In-feed bacitracin methylene disalicylate alters microbiota function and increases antibiotic resistance in a dose-dependent manner

Paul Oladele[1], Carmen L. Wickware[1,2], Julian Trachsel[2], Torey Looft[2#], Timothy A. Johnson[1#]

[1] Department of Animal Sciences, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana, USA

[2] National Animal Disease Center, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture, Ames, Iowa, USA

[#]Correspondence: torey.looft@usda.gov, john2185@purdue.edu

Abstract

Antibiotics are commonly used in turkey production to prevent and treat infection which can

improve animal growth and efficiency, but the mechanism by which antibiotics improve animal

performance, and the resistance risks associated with the antibiotic inclusion levels remain

unclear, particularly in turkey production. Therefore, we investigated the longitudinal effect of

subtherapeutic and therapeutic doses of bacitracin methylene disalicylate (BMD) on antibiotic

resistance genes, mobile genetic elements, and metabolism genes by analyzing the turkey cecal

metagenome. The therapeutic dose of BMD increased a vast array of antibiotic resistant genes

(ARGs), conjugation-related genes of type IV secretion system and transduction-related genes

for the length of the experiment (78 days), while a smaller, transient effect was observed due to

the subtherapeutic dose. Estimated bacterial growth rate, estimated by metagenome assembled

genome sequence coverage, decreased after 7 days of in-feed BMD, but increased in the

therapeutic group over time. Tryptophan synthesis from chorismate increased in a dose-

dependent manner between days 7 - 35. Overall, the effects of subtherapeutic BMD on the turkey

cecal microbiota was temporary while those of a therapeutic dose were longer lasting. This study

shows that antimicrobial resistance genes belonging to multiple antibiotic classes, and mobile

genetic elements (MGEs) were increased after BMD administration. The enrichment these genes

by BMD shows the risk associated with antimicrobial feed additives. BMD's effect on

tryptophan synthesis provides a potential metabolic target for developing non-antibiotic

microbiome modulatory growth promoters for turkey production.

Importance

Antibiotic use in agricultural animals remains a hotly debated and important topic to human, animal, and environmental health. The dose-dependent responses to BMD, an antibiotic fed additive allowed at both therapeutic and subtherapeutic doses, are not well understood. This study highlights that therapeutic use of BMD is a stronger selective pressure than the subtherapeutic dose for antibiotic resistance genes and genes related to horizontal gene transfer. This indicates that use of BMD could select for antibiotic resistant bacteria that may pose a risk to animal, human and environmental health. Additionally, this study highlighted that BMD decreased the activity of beneficially bacteria, and therefore may be associated with a decreased concentration of bacterial metabolites (especially tryptophan related) in the cecum. This may indicate that growth promoting antibiotics suppress bacterial activity generally, rather than allowing beneficial bacteria to generate beneficial metabolites.

Key words: Metagenomics, conjugation, in-feed antibiotics, turkey, transduction

Introduction

In livestock production, antibiotics have been widely used to treat infection and promote animal growth (Chattopadhyay, 2014; Damron et al., 1991; Dibner & Richards, 2005). Both subtherapeutic (Feighner & Dashkevicz, 1987) and therapeutic (Gupta et al., 2021) doses of antibiotics have been shown to improve animal growth and feed efficiency. While therapeutic antibiotics are prescribed in specific cases of bacterial infection, both dosage levels contribute to the increasing incidence and spread of antibiotic resistance genes, posing a significant threat to public health (Aslam et al., 2018; Gaskins et al., 2002; Landers et al., 2012; Manyi-Loh et al., 2018).

In response to this growing public health concern regarding antibiotic resistance in animal agriculture, legislation against the use of antibiotic growth promoters (AGPs) has increased. For instance, the European union banned all AGPs in 2006, and US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) implemented the veterinary feed directive (VFD) in 2017 which mandates veterinarian oversight when medically important antibiotics are administered in animal feed (FDA, 2013). This led to a reduction in overall antibiotic use in livestock production. For example, the Netherlands achieved a 70% reduction in antibiotic use in animals by 2016 compared to 2009 levels (Dorado-García et al., 2016) and in the United States, antibiotics used in animal agriculture dropped from 13.98 million kilograms in 2016 to an average of 11.11 million kilograms between 2017 – 2020 (FDA, 2021). However, the reduction was not uniform across all sectors. Antibiotic use in chicken production declined 30%, from 2.18 million kg in 2016 to 1.52 million kg between 2017 and 2020, whereas in turkey production, it decreased 15%, from 1.15 million kg to 0.98 million kg during the same period (USDA *ERS*, 2022). Given the extensive

use of antibiotics in turkey production, developing alternatives and assessing antibiotic resistance

risks are crucial (Wallinga et al., 2022).

Despite the high use of antibiotics, the mechanisms by which it enhances performance

and their impact on the microbiome remain poorly understood. Antibiotics may improve

performance by modulating the microbiome, either by reducing gut pathogen density

(Chattopadhyay, 2014) or altering the taxonomic and functional composition of gut microbiota

(Díaz Carrasco et al., 2018; Proctor & Phillips, 2019) and metabolome (Plata et al., 2022) to

benefit host health (Dibner & Richards, 2005). Understanding AGP-induced changes in the gut

microbiome composition and function is crucial, as the microbiome can influence host health

directly via physical contact or indirectly through fermentation metabolites that modulate

immune development and intestinal barrier function.

In addition to growth promotion, in-feed antibiotics increase the abundance of antibiotic-

resistant bacteria. Studies have shown that subtherapeutic and therapeutic antibiotics affected the

resistome of chicken microbiota differently (Gupta et al., 2021). Subtherapeutic antibiotics have

been thought to increase selection for resistance due to prolonged exposure compared to shorter

use at therapeutic levels (Zaheer et al., 2013). In chickens, subtherapeutic BMD has elevated

bacitracin resistance genes, while therapeutic dose increased multidrug resistant isolates (Gupta

et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2024). Keijser et al. (2019) observed a dose-dependent rise in the

resistome of veal calves after oxytetracycline administration, and Zaheer et al. (2013) reported an

increase in resistant enterococcus isolated from beef cattle treated with either therapeutic or

subtherapeutic macrolides. These findings suggest that selection pressure for ARGs is dependent

on antibiotic concentration.

Compared to chicken and cattle, limited studies have investigated the impact of antibiotics on the functional and resistome profiles of the turkey microbiome, despite the historically high use of antibiotics in turkeys. Understanding how subtherapeutic and therapeutic doses influence these profiles could improve our understanding of the risks associated with different antibiotic dosage and the mechanism by which AGPs enhance performance. This knowledge could help uncover new hypotheses that may lead to the development of non-antimicrobial growth promoters without increasing selection for antibiotic resistance genes.

We previously showed that both therapeutic and subtherapeutic doses of in-feed bacitracin methylene disalicylate (BMD) – a polypeptide AGP that inhibits bacterial cell wall synthesis and is allowed in animal feed at both dosage in the United States (FDA, 2023) – reduced microbiome richness and altered the cecal metabolome in turkey (Johnson et al., 2019). BMD administration initially (day 7) decreased the concentration of many metabolites, followed by a general increase in metabolites until day 87. BMD also increased the concentration of tryptophan and altered the concentration of many tryptophan metabolites in cecal contents of turkeys. However, it remains unclear whether these changes were driven by shifts in bacterial metabolism or nutrient uptake by the host. The impact of BMD on the antibiotic resistome is also not yet fully understood.

Our objectives were to determine the effect of therapeutic and subtherapeutic doses of BMD on the functional characteristics and antimicrobial resistance gene content in turkey cecal microbiota. We hypothesized that both subtherapeutic and therapeutic doses of BMD would reduce bacterial metabolic potential, alter aromatic amino acid metabolism, and increase antibiotic resistance genes in the turkey cecal microbiota.

Results

Shotgun metagenomic sequencing of cecal content from 90 turkeys at 7, 35 and 78 days after experimental diet initiation generated 2,480,187,759 sequences from Illumina HiSeq sequencing and 7,178,582 sequences from PacBio sequencing. After sample processing and quality control, reads were co-assembled and 594,500 open reading frames (ORFs) were identified and annotated using the TIGRfam database. To confirm the absence of bias in annotation rate by BMD treatment or age, we correlated the total number of sequences with the number of TIGRfam annotations, yielding a strong correlation ($r^2 = 0.95$, $p > 0.0001$) across all ages and treatment group, without discernible bias (Fig. 1a).

BMD treatment decreased ORF diversity and shifted functional profile

The number of observed ORFs decreased dose-dependently with BMD treatment, with the therapeutic dose having the fewest number of ORFs ($p < 0.05$). ORF numbers decreased in all BMD-treated groups but increased with age (Fig. 1b). We further assessed the impact of BMD and age on the functional gene profile of the turkey cecal microbiota using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity. Both BMD treatment and age significantly influenced the structure of the ORFs in the cecal microbiome (PERMANOVA, $q < 0.05$). The diversity of ORFs shifted along the y-axis in a BMD dose-dependent manner and along the x-axis with age (Fig. 1c). Interestingly, the therapeutic group remained distinct from the subtherapeutic group through day 78, despite receiving the same BMD dose as the subtherapeutic group from day 35 onward (PERMANOVA, $q < 0.05$, Fig. 1c). Compared to the control group, the therapeutic group showed a greater magnitude of beta diversity shift than the

subtherapeutic group, while the rate of change decreased after day 35 (Fig. 1d). This suggests

that a therapeutic dose of BMD had a greater impact on the functional profile of the turkey cecal

microbiota than the subtherapeutic dose.

BMD treatment impacted the abundance of functional genes

In addition to the global effects of BMD on the functional gene profile, its impact on

various functional pathways was investigated by performing differential abundance analysis

using DESeq2. Open reading frames (ORFs) were grouped into 2561 gene functions based on

TIGRfam annotation for analysis. Compared to the control group, the subtherapeutic group had

292, 235 and 234 differentially abundant genes (increased: 115, 144, 135; decreased: 177, 91,

99) on days 7, 35 and 78, respectively. In the therapeutic group, 538, 1,044, and 1,393 genes

were differentially abundant (increased: 282, 562, 732; decreased: 256, 482, 661) on days 7, 35

and 78, respectively (Fig 2a; Table S1 - 6). Compared to the control, the therapeutic group

consistently had more differentially abundant genes than the subtherapeutic group both in terms

of upregulated and downregulated genes. This suggests a stronger impact of the therapeutic

BMD on the microbiota functional gene profile.

BMD treatment impacted resistome profile of cecal microbiome of turkey

Previous studies have demonstrated that antibiotics impact the profile of antimicrobial

resistance genes in the both human (reviewed in Schwartz et al., 2020) and animal (reviewed in

Xu et al., 2022) microbiomes. To investigate how BMD affects the cecal resistome in turkeys,

we identified 393 antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) across the samples using the Resistance

Gene Identifier (RGI, version 4.0.3) based on the Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database

(CARD, version 3.2.0) (McArthur et al., 2013). The profile of ARGs in the turkey cecum was

impacted by both antibiotic treatment and age (Fig. 3a), while BMD caused a dose-dependent

shift in ARG profiles. On day 7, no significant difference was observed between the

subtherapeutic and therapeutic group (PERMANOVA, $q > 0.05$), but both groups differed

significantly from the control (PERMANOVA, $q < 0.01$). By days 35 and 78, both the

subtherapeutic and therapeutic group were distinct from the control group (PERMANOVA, $q <$

0.05), as well as from each other (PERMANOVA, $q < 0.05$). ARG diversity also shifted over

time, similar to the pattern seen with functional genes. The ARG community structure

dissimilarity between the therapeutic and control groups increased steadily through day 78. In

contrast, the ARG community structure dissimilarity between the subtherapeutic and control

groups decreased after day 7 (Fig. 3b). This suggests that therapeutic BMD may have a longer-

lasting impact on the resistome.

To further explore the changes in the resistome structure, we performed a differential

abundance analysis using DESeq2 to identify ARGs whose abundance was altered by BMD. The

therapeutic group exhibited 3-5 times more differentially abundant ARGs (relative to the control)

on days 35 and 78 than the subtherapeutic group (Fig. 3c, detailed results in Table S10 - 15). On

day 7, both treatment groups had a similar number of increased and decreased ARGs (Fig. 3c and

Table S7 - 9).

Over time, BMD administration affected a wide variety of ARGs, but antibiotic efflux

and antibiotic inactivation were the dominant ARG mechanisms in both treatment groups (Fig.

4). When turkeys were given a subtherapeutic dose, bacitracin resistance gene (*bacA*) increased

only on day 7 but not later. In contrast, *bacA* increased across all days in the therapeutic dose

(Fig. 4a - c). The two-component regulatory system (*baeR* and *baeS*), which is involved in

bacitracin resistance, also increased only in the therapeutic group. Despite the reduction of BMD

to subtherapeutic levels on day 78, the therapeutic group still exhibited elevated ARG levels.

Additionally, ARGs conferring resistance to tetracycline (*tet*(44), tet*(45), *tet*(L), *tet*(W/N/W),

tet(Z), *tet32*, *tetA*(P), *tetB*(P), *tetM*, *tetO* and *tetS*), vancomycin (a D-alanine-D-serine ligase

vanG cluster, labelled as vanI), and aminoglycosides (*aph*(6)-Id, *aph*(3")-Ib and *aph*(3')-IIIa)

were increased in the therapeutic group (Fig. 4b - c) showing that the increased ARGs were not

limited to bacitracin resistance. Multidrug efflux pump genes (*acrB,D,E,F*, *cpxA*, *emrA*, *emrK*,

evgS, *gadX*, and *mdtA,B,C,E,F,G,H,M,N,O,P*) increased primarily in the therapeutic group (Fig.

4b - c).

BMD increased the abundance of mobile genetic elements

To access the impact of BMD on specific cellular functions, we compared differentially

abundant genes (DAGs) in various metabolic pathways. On day 7, in both the subtherapeutic and

therapeutic groups, 15 gene categories had more DAGs that were decreased (mostly related to

essential cellular functions), while only one category had more DAG that were increased (mobile

and extrachromosomal element functions) (Fig. 2a; Fig. S1a). By day 35 and 78, in the

therapeutic group, the pattern reversed. All metabolic gene categories had more DAGs that were

increased compared to control group (Fig. 2b; Fig. S2 and 3). In the subtherapeutic group on day

35, most categories had more DAGs that were increased compared to the control, but this

reversed on day 78 when energy, nucleotide and central metabolism all had more decreased

DAGs. There were more DAGs related to mobile and extrachromosomal functions that increased

in abundance than those that decreased in abundance on all sampling days in the therapeutic

group, while in the subtherapeutic group, more MGEs were increased than decreased only on

days 7 and 35.

203   BMD increased the abundance of F-like bacterial conjugation genes and phage related

204   genes

Given the increase in mobile genetic elements in both the subtherapeutic and therapeutic

groups, we aimed to identify which specific genes were impacted. We found two key types of

mobile genetic elements whose abundance was altered by BMD treatment: type IV secretion

system (T4SS) used for conjugation and phage-related genes involved in transduction. Since

some of the TIGRfam genes were not categorized, we expanded our search to include genes in

the uncategorized category that could be identified as related to mobile genetic elements. In both

the subtherapeutic and therapeutic groups, about 20 T4SS genes increased in abundance on day 7

(Fig. 5a and b). On day 35, the subtherapeutic group showed an increase in only 2 T4SS genes,

while 5 were increased in therapeutic (Fig. S4a and b). On day 78, T4SS differential abundance

was restored in the therapeutic group (14 DAG increased, Fig. 5d) but not in subtherapeutic

group (0 DAG, Fig 5c). In both BMD treatment groups, 5 other MGE genes associated which

conjugative transposons either decreased due to BMD or were not differentially abundant (Fig.

S5).

We also investigated prophage-related genes. Most prophage-related TIGRfams were

classified in the mobile elements category (34/42 TIGRfams). BMD administration led to

differential abundance of these phage-related genes in both treatment groups. On day 7, no major

change in phage-related gene abundance was observed in either group (Fig 5e and f). On day 35,

18 genes increased in abundance in both BMD groups, while 2 and 5 genes decreased in

abundance in the subtherapeutic and therapeutic groups, respectively (Fig. S4c and d). On day

78, 6 genes increased, and 11 genes decreased in abundance in the subtherapeutic group

compared to the control group, while and 27 genes increased, and 10 genes decreased in

abundance in the therapeutic group (Fig 5g and h). The phage genes were associated with steps

in prophage induction, including prophage replication, phage DNA packaging, phage head,

phage tail, bacterial lysis, and bacterial defense against phage.

Co-occurrence analysis of conjugation and phage genes with antibiotic resistance genes

Given the observed increase in F-like T4SS genes and phage-related genes (MGEs),

which coincided with increase in ARG abundance, particularly in the therapeutic group on day

78, we conducted a co-occurrence analysis (Spearman's correlation, $rho = 0.8$, $q < 0.05$) to

assess the association between ARGs and the MGEs. This analysis aimed to investigate the

potential mobility of ARGs on MGEs. ARG-MGE co-occurrence networks were constructed

with either conjugation genes or phage genes. Both T4SS and phage genes showed significant

co-occurrence ($q < 0.05$) with ARGs, but the complexity of the network changed between the

timepoints (Table S3).

On day 7, the control and subtherapeutic group ARG-conjugation gene co-occurrence

networks had higher numbers of edges than the therapeutic group (Fig. S6, Table S16). However,

both BMD treatments generated phage networks with less complexity than the control group

(Fig. S8). On day 35, network structures had low complexity across all treatment groups for both

T4SS (Fig. S7) and phage networks (Fig. S9). By day 78, the therapeutic group had the highest

number of ARG-MGE interactions of all treatment groups (Fig. 6). A similar pattern was

observed for the relatively simple ARG-phage gene co-occurrence on day 78, in which the

therapeutic group had the highest network complexity (Fig. S10, Table S16). Taken together,

there was a decrease in network complexity in each treatment group over time, except that the

therapeutic group had its most complex ARG-T4SS network on day 78 and a slightly more

complex ARG-phage network on day 78 than on day 35. On day 78 in the therapeutic group,

traC (degree = 22) had the highest degree in the ARG-T4SS network (Fig. 6c and Table S16)

while phage tail assembly protein T (degree = 25) had the highest degree in the ARG-phage

network (Fig. S10c and Table S16).

BMD enriched genes involved in tryptophan biosynthesis

Based on our previous observation of that tryptophan metabolites were altered by BMD

(Johnson et al., 2019), we conducted further analysis of the genes within the amino acid

synthesis pathway, which revealed that genes involved in aromatic amino acid metabolism,

particularly in tryptophan biosynthesis from the shikimate pathway and phenylalanine through

chorismate were changed by BMD treatment. Tryptophan synthase alpha and beta chains, which

are responsible for the conversion of indole and (3-Indolyl)-glycerol phosphate to tryptophan,

were increased by both doses of BMD on day 7 and 35. Additionally, genes involved in the

conversion of phenylalanine to tryptophan through chorismate with anthranilate as an

intermediate, and the conversion of quinate to tryptophan through chorismate with shikimate as

intermediate, were also increased by BMD in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 7a-h, Fig. S11).

Estimated growth rate of bacteria decreased with therapeutic administration of BMD but recovered over time

Bacterial growth rates were estimated using the index of replication (iRep), which measures the genome sequencing coverage across the genome and is quantified by differential sequencing coverage at origin (peak) and terminus (trough) of replication. We obtained valid iRep estimates from 161 metagenome-assembled genomes (MAGs). Both therapeutic and subtherapeutic BMD treatments were associated with reduced community-average iRep growth rate estimates compared to the control group. The largest reductions in iRep estimates occurred on day 7, with the therapeutic group showing a difference of -0.20 (P < 0.001), while the subtherapeutic group showed a difference of -0.07 (P = 0.003) (Fig. 8a, and b; Fig. S12a and d). Several MAGs, such as bin231 (*Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*), bin235 (*Coprococcus comes*), bin280 (*Dorea formicigenerans*), bin433(*Anaerostipes caccae*), bin886 (*Erysipelotrichaceae bacterium*) and bin493 (f_Lachnospiraceae) showed significant reduction in iRep due to BMD treatments, particularly in the therapeutic group. On day 35, there was no overall difference in iRep estimates. On day 78, small but significant overall reductions in iRep growth rates were associated with both the therapeutic (difference = -0.07, P= 0.006), and subtherapeutic (difference = -0.06, P = 0.01) treatments compared to controls (Fig. 8a and b; Fig. S12c and f).

Discussion

Antibiotic use in U.S. turkey production, relative to the quantity of meat produced,

remains relatively high compared to other livestock species (Wallinga et al., 2022), but our

understanding of its impact on the risk of antibiotic resistance is currently limited. To address

this gap, we examined the effects of BMD - an antibiotic approved in United States for both

subtherapeutic and therapeutic use in poultry under the veterinary feed directive (VDF) - on

antimicrobial resistance genes, mobile genetic elements and the functional gene profile of the

turkey cecal microbiome.

Antibiotic resistance is a common response of bacteria to antibiotic stress (Gupta et al.

2021), it was therefore not surprising ARG abundance increased immediately after exposure to

BMD in both the subtherapeutic and therapeutic groups. However, the continued increase of

ARGs only in the therapeutic group and its co-occurrence with MGE even after reducing the

BMD dose indicates that the long-term risk of ARGs and their transfer remains a challenge in

turkey production after therapeutic use of BMD even after treatment stops. Consistent with our

observation of an increase in ARG abundance following the reduction of BMD dose in the

therapeutic group, previous studies have reported the persistence of ARGs and antibiotic

resistant bacteria even after the withdrawal of the antibiotics to which they were previously

exposed (Langlois et al., 1986; Ogunlana et al., 2023).

Interestingly, in our study, therapeutic BMD increased the abundance of ARG multidrug

efflux transporters (mdtABC and AcrAB-TolC) and genes conferring resistance to antibiotics

other than bacitracin, like tetracycline, beta lactamase, aminoglycoside and vancomycin.

Bacitracin binds to the two-component (*baeSR*) system which activates multidrug resistance

transporter (*mdtABC*) to pump out bacitracin (Gaetano et al., 2023) and can also increase

virulence (Ma et al., 2019). The baeSR – mdtABC interaction was previously shown to increase

multidrug resistance in *E. coli* (Nagakubo et al., 2002). Although, most multidrug efflux

transporters are encoded on chromosome (Martinez et al., 2009; Paulsen et al., 2001; Saier et al.,

1998), AcrAB-TolC has been shown to remove antibiotic from the bacterial cell through active

transport, allowing subsequent acquisition of antibiotic-specific resistance genes by plasmid

transfer (Nolivos et al., 2019). Taken together, the increase in complete multidrug efflux

operons, the co-occurrence of efflux transporters with mobile genetic elements, and the increase

in the abundance of resistance gene for antibiotics other than BMD suggests that a therapeutic

dose of BMD may increase the risk of multidrug resistance in turkeys by either selecting for

multidrug resistant bacteria or by increasing the mobility of ARGs.

We also found that therapeutic BMD administration increased the abundance of T4SS

genes, sustained until day 78, and they co-occurred with ARGs. This strengthens evidences that

therapeutic BMD facilitates horizontal ARG transfer through conjugation (G. Liu et al., 2019;

Dimitriu et al., 2021; Headd & Bradford, 2018), supporting the hypothesis that T4SS play a key

role in antibiotic-induced ARG acquisition and dissemination (Bragagnolo et al., 2020; X. Liu et

al., 2022; Low et al., 2014). Additionally, prophage genes increased following BMD

administration, consistent with reports that antibiotics such as carbadox induce phage gene

expression (Johnson et al., 2017). A recent study showed that colibactin, a small genotoxic

molecule, produced by several members of the gut microbiome, can induce prophage to lyse

their bacterial host as a stress response (Silpe et al., 2022). An important difference with these

previous reports is that BMD is not known to cause DNA damage, which is a typical stressor to

induce prophage activation. However, high antibiotic concentrations (therapeutic dose) may

trigger sustained increase in prophage induction (Wendling et al., 2021). CRISPR-related genes,

a bacterial defense system against phage infection, were also increased in the therapeutic group,

further indicating that prophage induction may have occurred in these animals. Although there

have been contrasting reports regarding the transfer of ARGs by phages through transduction

(Torres-Barceló, 2018), the co-occurrence of phage structural proteins with several ARGs in the

therapeutic group on day 78 suggests that phage-mediated ARG transfer warrants further

investigation. Direct evidence of such transfer could be obtained by isolating and sequencing

phage particles directly. Additionally, co-occurrence results should be interpreted with caution

because they only provide indirect association but not direct evidence that genes are encoded on

the same genetic element. More research is needed to investigate the frequency of T4SS- and

prophage-mediated ARG horizontal gene transfer during therapeutic BMD administration.

Notable, Barbosa & Levy (2000) proposed a similar mechanism of ARG acquisition via MGEs

in livestock under subtherapeutic antibiotic use. However, our findings contrast with their

observation, as ARG acquisition through conjugative plasmids predominantly occurred in at

therapeutic dose of BMD in turkeys. This hypothesis requires further investigation across

varying concentrations of BMD and other antibiotics in common food-producing animals.

Our results showed that BMD treatment, especially the therapeutic dose, significantly

decreased the number of ORFs in the turkey cecal microbiome and shifted its functional profile.

The dose dependent decrease in ORF diversity suggests that a higher concentration of BMD has

a more pronounced impact on the microbiome structure, potentially reducing the community's

functional capabilities. This is consistent with previous studies that have shown that antibiotics

can disrupt the microbiome, leading to a decline in functional capacity (Li et al., 2020).

Interestingly, despite receiving the same dose as the subtherapeutic group from day 35 onward,

the therapeutic group maintained a distinct functional profile, which indicates that therapeutic

treatment with BMD might induce a long-lasting shift in microbial function which may

potentially alter its development trajectory and resilience to future perturbations (Schwartz et al.,

2020).

BMD treatment significantly increased the abundance of genes involved in tryptophan

biosynthesis, primarily through the bioconversion of phenylalanine or quinate via chorismite.

Previously, metabolomic analysis of these same samples (Johnson et al., 2019) showed that

BMD increased tryptophan and its metabolites. This metagenomic study clarifies that BMD

administration increased bacterial biosynthesis of tryptophan, suggesting the observed

tryptophan increase may be partially attributed to bacterial production. Tryptophan is an

important precursor for neurotransmitters like serotonin and neurohormones like melatonin

(Parthasarathy et al., 2018) and tryptophan signaling can be influenced by the microbiome (Fu et

al., 2022, 2023). Tryptophan metabolites like kynurenine can induce an anti-inflammatory effect

(Kanova & Kohout, 2021; Sorgdrager et al., 2019) and increase protein synthesis through the

activation of mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Dukes et al., 2015; Yoon, 2017) which

may contribute to animal growth. While the exact role of BMD in modulating tryptophan

metabolism remains unclear, our findings suggest a hypothesis that altered tryptophan

metabolism is related to the growth promoting effects of BMD in livestock.

Although bacitracin inhibits bacterial growth, it is not clear if it affects all members of the

community equally. We hypothesized that the effect of bacitracin is not equal on all members of

the community, so we conducted microbial growth rate through the iRep estimates. There was

decreased community wide bacterial growth rates in both treatment groups early after BMD

exposure on day 7, particularly in the therapeutic group. Over time species, such as *Coprococcus*

comes and *Dorea formicigenerans* recovered, suggesting a potential adaptive resilience within

the microbiome. A decrease in bacterial growth rate by beta lactam antibiotics was observed in

the microbiome of infants which recovered over time (Dubois et al., 2024) similar to what was

observed in the BMD groups in our study. Antibiotic growth promoters have been proposed

enhance animal growth by reducing microbial load, thereby decreasing immunological energy

expenditures and nutrient competition with microbes (Gaskins et al., 2002). This effect of AGP

is primarily expected in the small intestine, where most nutrient absorption occurs, rather than in

the cecum, as in our study, where microbial fermentation benefits the host (Gaskins, 2000). Since

microbial replication rate can be associated with microbial load, BMD could exert selective

pressure on the gut microbiota, leading to suppression of specific bacterial populations and

potentially influencing animal growth and health over time. Further investigation is needed to

elucidate this effect of BMD in both the small intestine and cecum.

Conclusions

Collectively, this study shows that in-feed BMD, especially at a therapeutic dose, significantly affected the ARG, MGE and metabolic profiles of the turkey cecal microbiome. The enrichment of ARGs and MGEs in response to BMD raises concerns about the potential for increased horizontal gene transfer of ARGs, which may have implications from turkey and human from a one-health perspective. BMD also increased the abundance of tryptophan synthesis genes via the chorismite pathway. Further research should investigate the impact of tryptophan metabolites on host functions. These findings provide insights on risk associated with BMD administration and potential metabolic targets for developing microbiome-modulatory alternatives to AGPs for turkey production and health.

Methods

Animal treatment and sample collection

This experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at the National Animal Disease Center (NADC) under protocol ARS-2869. Two hundred and forty male day-of-hatch Nicolas turkey poults (Valley of the Moon Hatchery, Osceola, Iowa) were initially co-housed in a single room for 14 days on a conditioned litter obtained from NADC's non-antibiotic treated specific-pathogen free Small-Beltsville white turkey flock to homogenize microbiota between birds. These were the same animals as were used in a previous publication (Johnson et al., 2019). Briefly, poults were randomly assigned to three treatment groups: control (no antibiotic), subtherapeutic BMD (50 g/ton feed) and therapeutic BMD (200 g/ton feed). The therapeutic group received 200g/ton BMD for 35 days, followed by 50g/ton until day 78 (Fig. 9). Refer to Johnson et al. (2019) for additional details regarding animal management, diets and housing.

Sample collection and sequencing

Ten turkeys from each treatment group were euthanized after 7, 35 and 78 days of BMD administration. Cecal contents of the euthanized birds were collected for metagenomic analysis. DNA was isolated from the collected cecal samples (PowerMag microbiome DNA/RNA isolation kit, MO-BIO laboratories, Carlsbad, CA). Metagenomic DNA libraries (n = 10 per timepoint/treatment) were generated for short read sequencing using TrueSeq chemistry on an Illumina HiSeq3000 (2 × 150 bp; Iowa State University, Ames, IA) and long read sequencing

with the PacBio RSII SMRT sequencing technology (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA,

USA), in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions.

Sequence quality filtering and assembly.

Illumina sequences were processed using the BBTools suite (Bushnell et al., 2017) for

quality control as follows. For all sequence processing steps, the default parameters were used if

no parameters are stated here. Optical duplicates were removed using BBMap (v.38.18) through

the clumpify.sh script, while low-quality regions of the flow cell were filtered using

filterbytile.sh (removed 2% of reads). Adapter sequences and quality control sequences (PhiX

sequences) were removed with BBDuk (v.38.34). Sequences mapping to the turkey genome were

then removed with bbsplit.sh. Error correction was performed on the remaining sequences with

bbmerge.sh and clumpify.sh before combining them. The combined sequence set was normalized

with a target of 100X coverage using bbnorm.sh. One co-assembly was generated from the

pooled, normalized Illumina sequences, and the PacBio RSII sequences using metaSPAdes

(v.3.12.0, kmer length set at 25, 55, 95, 125) (Nurk et al., 2017). After assembly, contigs shorter

than 500 bp were removed. For full details, all files and scripts used in data analysis for this

study are available at https://github.com/oluwapaul/BMD-Metagenomics.

Prediction of open reading frame (ORF) and annotation

Open reading frames (ORFs) in the assembled contigs were predicted with

MetaGeneMark (v3.26). The resulting amino acid sequences (.faa) were functionally annotated

with the Hidden Markov Model (hmm) - based prediction tool HMMER (version 3.2.1), which

queried the TIGRfam database (Release 15.0, downloaded locally) and created a table of hmm-

predicted annotations for each gene. The resulting hmm table was converted into a general

feature format (.gff) file using an in-house script. The .gff file was used, in combination with

previously created .bam files for each treatment group to determine gene counts (Liao et al.,

2014). TIGRfam annotation role files (role link and role names) were used to create a combined

file of TIGRfam ID, functional annotation, role link, main role, and sub role using an in-house

script. To identify ARGs, we used the Resistance Gene Identifier (RGI, version 4.0.3) to

compare sequences against the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database (CARD, version

3.2.0; McArthur et al., 2013) with the following parameters: "--input_type contig --low_quality -

-local --include_nudge --clean".

MAG generation and estimation of replication rates

Assemblies were length-filtered to retain contigs ≥ 500 *bp*, and quality-controlled reads

from individual samples were mapped to the co-assembly of each sample using BBMap

(v.38.18). The resulting BAM files were processed using the *jgi_summarize_bam_contig_depths*

scripts of MetaBAT2 (v.2.12.1; Kang et al., 2019) to calculate within- and between-sample

coverages. The mapping files were then used to generate MAGs with MetaBAT2 (-*minContig*

1500 and -*maxEdges 200*). MAGs shorter than 5kb were excluded, as recommended in the iRep

workflow. CheckM (v.1.0.11; Parks et al., 2015) was used to calculate completeness and

contamination of the resulting MAGs. Only MAGs that were 75% complete and had less than

2.5% contamination were retained for replication rate estimation with iRep (Brown et al., 2016).

In line with package recommendations, iRep estimates originating from MAGs with lower than

5x coverage were removed. iRep estimates were further filtered to include only those from

MAGs that were detected a minimum of four times in all treatment groups per timepoints. A

total of 161 MAGs were used for generating 2,122 iRep estimates.

Network analysis

Network analysis was conducted to identify possible association between ARGs and

MGEs (conjugative T4SS, conjugative transposons and phage genes) to further elucidate

potential MGEs used for ARG trafficking. The normalized functional gene abundance table was

filtered to include only MGEs, which was merged with the normalized ARG abundance table.

Co-occurrence analysis was performed with the *rcorr* function from the corrplot package in R

using Spearman correlation with Benjamin-Hochberg procedure for the correction of false

discovery. Pairs of genes with strong correlation coefficients (rho > 0.8) that were statistically

significant (q < 0.05) were retained in the analysis. The co-occurrence network was visualized

with Cytoscape (v3.9.0; Shannon et al., 2003).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis was done in R v. 4.2.2.(R Core Team, 2014). Functional genes were

normalized to the total number of sequences per million reads (reads per million, rpm) while

ARGs were normalized to the total number of sequences and gene length per million reads (reads

per kilobase per million reads mapped, rpkm). The effects of BMD on functional gene and ARG

profiles were examined with a non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) based on Bray–

Curtis dissimilarity distance, calculated with normalized ORF and ARG count tables using the

vegdist function from the vegan package in R. PERMANOVA with the Holms correction was

used to detect statistical differences in community composition between treatment groups.

Kruskal-Wallis test was used to test significant statistical differences in the alpha diversity of

functional genes and ARG between the different treatment groups. Differential abundance

analyses of both the functional and ARG was done with the DESeq2 package. To explore the

associations between time, treatment and community wide bacterial growth rates, linear mixed

models implemented by the R package lme4 (Bates et al., 2015) were employed. A model with

the formula iRep ~ day * treatment + (1|genome) was used. P-value for fixed effect of BMD

treatment were generated using the lmerTest package using Satterthwaite's approximation

(Kuznetsova et al., 2020). Contrasts of interest were extracted with the emmeans package (Lenth,

2022) and adjusted P-values were generated (using FDR control) Significance was set at P-value

< 0.05. Data wrangling and plotting were accomplished with tidyverse (Wickham et al., 2019),

phyloseq and ggplot2 packages.

Availability of data and materials

All raw sequencing reads are available in the NCBI sequence read archive (SRA) under

the project accession PRJNA988023. All additional files and scripts used in data analysis for this

study are available at https://github.com/oluwapaul/BMD-Metagenomics.

Abbreviations

AGP: Antibiotic growth promoter; ANOVA: Analysis of variance; ARG: Antibiotic resistant

gene; BMD: Bacitracin methylene disalicylate**;** CARD: Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance

Database; DAG: Differentially abundant gene; FDA: Food and Drug Administration; iRep:

Index of replication; MAG: metagenome-assembled genomes; MGE: mobile genetic elements;

NMDS : Non-metric multidimensional scaling; ORF: Open reading frame; PERMANOVA:

Permutational Multivariable Analysis of Variance; RGI: Resistance Gene Identifier; T4SS: Type

IV secretion system; VFD: Veterinary feed directive.

References

References

Aslam, B., Wang, W., Arshad, M. I., Khurshid, M., Muzammil, S., Rasool, M. H., Nisar, M. A., Alvi, R. F.,

Aslam, M. A., Qamar, M. U., Salamat, M. K. F., & Baloch, Z. (2018). Antibiotic resistance: A

rundown of a global crisis. *Infection and Drug Resistance*, *11*, 1645–1658.

https://doi.org/10.2147/IDR.S173867

Barbosa, T. M., & Levy, S. B. (2000). The impact of antibiotic use on resistance development and

persistence. *Drug Resistance Updates*, *3*(5), 303–311. https://doi.org/10.1054/drup.2000.0167

Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., & Walker, S. (2015). Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4.

Journal of Statistical Software, *67*, 1–48. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01

Bragagnolo, N., Rodriguez, C., Samari-Kermani, N., Fours, A., Korouzhdehi, M., Lysenko, R., & Audette, G.

F. (2020). Protein Dynamics in F-like Bacterial Conjugation. *Biomedicines*, *8*(9), Article 9.

https://doi.org/10.3390/biomedicines8090362

Brown, C. T., Olm, M. R., Thomas, B. C., & Banfield, J. F. (2016). Measurement of bacterial replication

rates in microbial communities. *Nature Biotechnology*, *34*(12), Article 12.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3704

Bushnell, B., Rood, J., & Singer, E. (2017). BBMerge – Accurate paired shotgun read merging via overlap.

PLOS ONE, *12*(10), e0185056. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185056

Chattopadhyay, M. K. (2014). Use of antibiotics as feed additives: A burning question. *Frontiers in*

Microbiology, *5*, 334. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00334

Damron, B. L., Wilson, H. R., & Fell, R. V. (1991). Growth and Performance of Broiler Breeders Fed

Bacitracin Methylene Disalicylate and Zinc Bacitracin1. *Poultry Science*, *70*(7), 1487–1492.

https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.0701487

Díaz Carrasco, J. M., Redondo, E. A., Pin Viso, N. D., Redondo, L. M., Farber, M. D., & Fernández

Miyakawa, M. E. (2018). Tannins and Bacitracin Differentially Modulate Gut Microbiota of

Broiler Chickens. *BioMed Research International*, *2018*, e1879168.

https://doi.org/10.1155/2018/1879168

Dibner, J. J., & Richards, J. D. (2005). Antibiotic growth promoters in agriculture: History and mode of

action. *Poultry Science*, *84*(4), 634–643. https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/84.4.634

Dimitriu, T., Matthews, A. C., & Buckling, A. (2021). Increased copy number couples the evolution of

plasmid horizontal transmission and plasmid-encoded antibiotic resistance. *Proceedings of the*

National Academy of Sciences, *118*(31), e2107818118.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107818118

Dorado-García, A., Mevius, D. J., Jacobs, J. J. H., Van Geijlswijk, I. M., Mouton, J. W., Wagenaar, J. A., &

Heederik, D. J. (2016). Quantitative assessment of antimicrobial resistance in livestock during

the course of a nationwide antimicrobial use reduction in the Netherlands. *Journal of*

Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, *71*(12), 3607–3619. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw308

Dubois, L., Valles-Colomer, M., Ponsero, A., Helve, O., Andersson, S., Kolho, K.-L., Asnicar, F., Korpela, K.,

Salonen, A., Segata, N., & de Vos, W. M. (2024). Paternal and induced gut microbiota seeding

complement mother-to-infant transmission. *Cell Host & Microbe*, *32*(6), 1011-1024.e4.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2024.05.004

Dukes, A., Davis, C., Refaey, M. E., Upadhyay, S., Mork, S., Arounleut, P., Johnson, M. H., Hill, W. D.,

Isales, C. M., & Hamrick, M. W. (2015). The aromatic amino acid tryptophan stimulates skeletal

muscle IGF1/p70s6k/mTor signaling in vivo and the expression of myogenic genes in vitro.

Nutrition (Burbank, Los Angeles County, Calif.), *31*(0), 1018–1024.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2015.02.011

Feighner, S. D., & Dashkevicz, M. P. (1987). Subtherapeutic levels of antibiotics in poultry feeds and their

effects on weight gain, feed efficiency, and bacterial cholyltaurine hydrolase activity. *Applied*

and Environmental Microbiology, *53*(2), 331–336. https://doi.org/10.1128/aem.53.2.331-

336.1987

Fu, Y., Hu, J., Erasmus, M. A., Johnson, T. A., & Cheng, H. (2022). Effects of early-life cecal microbiota

transplantation from divergently selected inbred chicken lines on growth, gut serotonin, and

immune parameters in recipient chickens. *Poultry Science*, *101*(7), 101925.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2022.101925

Fu, Y., Hu, J., Erasmus, M. A., Zhang, H., Johnson, T. A., & Cheng, H. (2023). Cecal microbiota

transplantation: Unique influence of cecal microbiota from divergently selected inbred donor

lines on cecal microbial profile, serotonergic activity, and aggressive behavior of recipient

chickens. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology*, *14*, 66. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-

023-00866-9

Gaetano, G. V. D., Lentini, G., Famà, A., Coppolino, F., & Beninati, C. (2023). Antimicrobial Resistance:

Two-Component Regulatory Systems and Multidrug Efflux Pumps. *Antibiotics*, *12*(6), 965.

https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12060965

Gaskins, H. R. (2000). Intestinal bacteria and their influence on swine growth. In *Swine Nutrition, Second*

Edition (pp. 585–608). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781420041842

Gaskins, H. R., Collier, C. T., & Anderson, D. B. (2002). Antibiotics as Growth Promotants:mode of Action.

Animal Biotechnology, *13*(1), 29–42. https://doi.org/10.1081/ABIO-120005768

Guidance for Industry on New Animal Drugs and New Animal Drug Combination Products Administered

in or on Medicated Feed or Drinking Water of Food-Producing Animals: Recommendations for

Drug Sponsors for Voluntarily Aligning Product Use Conditions With Guidance for Industry #209;

Availability. (2013, December 12). Federal Register.

https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2013/12/12/2013-29697/guidance-for-industry-

on-new-animal-drugs-and-new-animal-drug-combination-products-administered-in

Gupta, C. L., Blum, S. E., Kattusamy, K., Daniel, T., Druyan, S., Shapira, R., Krifucks, O., Zhu, Y.-G., Zhou,

X.-Y., Su, J.-Q., & Cytryn, E. (2021a). Longitudinal study on the effects of growth-promoting and

therapeutic antibiotics on the dynamics of chicken cloacal and litter microbiomes and

resistomes. *Microbiome*, *9*(1), 178. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01136-4

Gupta, C. L., Blum, S. E., Kattusamy, K., Daniel, T., Druyan, S., Shapira, R., Krifucks, O., Zhu, Y.-G., Zhou,

X.-Y., Su, J.-Q., & Cytryn, E. (2021b). Longitudinal study on the effects of growth-promoting and

therapeutic antibiotics on the dynamics of chicken cloacal and litter microbiomes and

resistomes. *Microbiome*, *9*(1), 178. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01136-4

Headd, B., & Bradford, S. A. (2018). Physicochemical Factors That Favor Conjugation of an Antibiotic

Resistant Plasmid in Non-growing Bacterial Cultures in the Absence and Presence of Antibiotics.

Frontiers in Microbiology, *9*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2018.02122

Johnson, T. A., Looft, T., Severin, A. J., Bayles, D. O., Nasko, D. J., Wommack, K. E., Howe, A., & Allen, H.

K. (2017). The In-Feed Antibiotic Carbadox Induces Phage Gene Transcription in the Swine Gut

Microbiome. *mBio*, *8*(4), e00709-17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00709-17

Johnson, T. A., Sylte, M. J., & Looft, T. (2019). In-feed bacitracin methylene disalicylate modulates the

turkey microbiota and metabolome in a dose-dependent manner. *Scientific Reports*, *9*(1), 8212.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44338-5

Kang, D. D., Li, F., Kirton, E., Thomas, A., Egan, R., An, H., & Wang, Z. (2019). MetaBAT 2: An adaptive

binning algorithm for robust and efficient genome reconstruction from metagenome

assemblies. *PeerJ*, *7*, e7359. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.7359

Kanova, M., & Kohout, P. (2021). Tryptophan: A Unique Role in the Critically Ill. *International Journal of*

Molecular Sciences, *22*(21), Article 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms222111714

Keijser, B. J. F., Agamennone, V., van den Broek, T. J., Caspers, M., van de Braak, A., Bomers, R., Havekes,

M., Schoen, E., van Baak, M., Mioch, D., Bomers, L., & Montijn, R. C. (2019). Dose-dependent

606 impact of oxytetracycline on the veal calf microbiome and resistome. *BMC Genomics*, *20*(1), 65.

607 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-5419-x

608 Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P., & Christensen, R. (2020, April 8). *Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models [R*

609 *package lmerTest version 3.1-2]*. https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Tests-in-Linear-

610 Mixed-Effects-Models-%5BR-package-Kuznetsova-

611 Brockhoff/67176f108d958393ef4b710f4d9996653189b2f9

612 Langlois, B. E., Dawson, K. A., Cromwell, G. L., & Stahly, T. S. (1986). ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE IN PIGS

613 FOLLOWING A 13 YEAR BAN. *Journal of Animal Science*, *62*(suppl_3), 18–31.

614 https://doi.org/10.2527/1986.62Supplement_318s

615 Lenth, R. V. (2022). *R package emmeans: Estimated marginal means* [R].

616 https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans (Original work published 2017)

617 Li, Y., Zhu, Y., Wei, H., Chen, Y., & Shang, H. (2020). Study on the Diversity and Function of Gut

618 Microbiota in Pigs Following Long-Term Antibiotic and Antibiotic-Free Breeding. *Current*

619 *Microbiology*, *77*(12), 4114–4128. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-020-02240-8

620 Liao, Y., Smyth, G. K., & Shi, W. (2014). featureCounts: An efficient general purpose program for

621 assigning sequence reads to genomic features. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)*, *30*(7), 923–930.

622 https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt656

623 Liu, G., Bogaj, K., Bortolaia, V., Olsen, J. E., & Thomsen, L. E. (2019). Antibiotic-Induced, Increased

624 Conjugative Transfer Is Common to Diverse Naturally Occurring ESBL Plasmids in Escherichia

625 coli. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *10*.

626 https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02119

627 Liu, X., Khara, P., Baker, M. L., Christie, P. J., & Hu, B. (2022). Structure of a type IV secretion system core

628 complex encoded by multi-drug resistance F plasmids. *Nature Communications*, *13*(1), Article 1.

629 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28058-5

Low, H. H., Gubellini, F., Rivera-Calzada, A., Braun, N., Connery, S., Dujeancourt, A., Lu, F., Redzej, A.,

Fronzes, R., Orlova, E. V., & Waksman, G. (2014). Structure of a type IV secretion system. *Nature*,

508(7497), Article 7497. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13081

Ma, J., Liu, J., Zhang, Y., Wang, D., Liu, R., Liu, G., Yao, H., & Pan, Z. (2019). Bacitracin resistance and

enhanced virulence of Streptococcus suis via a novel efflux pump. *BMC Veterinary Research*,

15(1), 377. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-019-2115-2

Manyi-Loh, C., Mamphweli, S., Meyer, E., & Okoh, A. (2018). Antibiotic Use in Agriculture and Its

Consequential Resistance in Environmental Sources: Potential Public Health Implications.

Molecules : A Journal of Synthetic Chemistry and Natural Product Chemistry, *23*(4), 795.

https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23040795

Martinez, J. L., Sánchez, M. B., Martínez-Solano, L., Hernandez, A., Garmendia, L., Fajardo, A., & Alvarez-

Ortega, C. (2009). Functional role of bacterial multidrug efflux pumps in microbial natural

ecosystems. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, *33*(2), 430–449. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-

6976.2008.00157.x

McArthur, A. G., Waglechner, N., Nizam, F., Yan, A., Azad, M. A., Baylay, A. J., Bhullar, K., Canova, M. J.,

De Pascale, G., Ejim, L., Kalan, L., King, A. M., Koteva, K., Morar, M., Mulvey, M. R., O'Brien, J. S.,

Pawlowski, A. C., Piddock, L. J. V., Spanogiannopoulos, P., … Wright, G. D. (2013). The

Comprehensive Antibiotic Resistance Database. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, *57*(7),

3348–3357. https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.00419-13

Medicine, C. for V. (2021). FDA Releases Annual Summary Report on Antimicrobials Sold or Distributed

in 2020 for Use in Food-Producing Animals. *FDA*. https://www.fda.gov/animal-veterinary/cvm-

updates/fda-releases-annual-summary-report-antimicrobials-sold-or-distributed-2020-use-food-

producing

Medicine, C. for V. (2023). FACT SHEET: Veterinary Feed Directive Final Rule and Next Steps. *FDA*.

https://www.fda.gov/animal-veterinary/development-approval-process/fact-sheet-veterinary-

feed-directive-final-rule-and-next-steps

Nagakubo, S., Nishino, K., Hirata, T., & Yamaguchi, A. (2002). The putative response regulator BaeR

stimulates multidrug resistance of Escherichia coli via a novel multidrug exporter system,

MdtABC. *Journal of Bacteriology*, *184*(15), 4161–4167. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.184.15.4161-

4167.2002

Nolivos, S., Cayron, J., Dedieu, A., Page, A., Delolme, F., & Lesterlin, C. (2019). Role of AcrAB-TolC

multidrug efflux pump in drug-resistance acquisition by plasmid transfer. *Science*, *364*(6442),

778–782. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aav6390

Nurk, S., Meleshko, D., Korobeynikov, A., & Pevzner, P. A. (2017). metaSPAdes: A new versatile

metagenomic assembler. *Genome Research*, *27*(5), 824–834.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.213959.116

Ogunlana, L., Kaur, D., Shaw, L. P., Jangir, P., Walsh, T., Uphoff, S., & MacLean, R. C. (2023). Regulatory

fine-tuning of mcr-1 increases bacterial fitness and stabilises antibiotic resistance in agricultural

settings. *The ISME Journal*, *17*(11), 2058–2069. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-023-01509-7

Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P., & Tyson, G. W. (2015). CheckM: Assessing

the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes.

Genome Research, *25*(7), 1043–1055. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114

Parthasarathy, A., Cross, P. J., Dobson, R. C. J., Adams, L. E., Savka, M. A., & Hudson, A. O. (2018). A

Three-Ring Circus: Metabolism of the Three Proteogenic Aromatic Amino Acids and Their Role in

the Health of Plants and Animals. *Frontiers in Molecular Biosciences*, *5*.

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmolb.2018.00029

Paulsen, I. T., Chen, J., Nelson, K. E., & Saier, M. H. (2001). Comparative genomics of microbial drug

efflux systems. *Journal of Molecular Microbiology and Biotechnology*, *3*(2), 145–150.

Plata, G., Baxter, N. T., Susanti, D., Volland-Munson, A., Gangaiah, D., Nagireddy, A., Mane, S. P.,

Balakuntla, J., Hawkins, T. B., & Kumar Mahajan, A. (2022). Growth promotion and antibiotic

induced metabolic shifts in the chicken gut microbiome. *Communications Biology*, *5*(1), Article 1.

https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-022-03239-6

Proctor, A., & Phillips, G. J. (2019). Differential Effects of Bacitracin Methylene Disalicylate (BMD) on the

Distal Colon and Cecal Microbiota of Young Broiler Chickens. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *6*,

114. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2019.00114

Saier, M. H., Paulsen, I. T., Sliwinski, M. K., Pao, S. S., Skurray, R. A., & Nikaido, H. (1998). Evolutionary

origins of multidrug and drug-specific efflux pumps in bacteria. *FASEB Journal: Official*

Publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology, *12*(3), 265–274.

https://doi.org/10.1096/fasebj.12.3.265

Schwartz, D. J., Langdon, A. E., & Dantas, G. (2020). Understanding the impact of antibiotic perturbation

on the human microbiome. *Genome Medicine*, *12*(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-020-

00782-x

Shannon, P., Markiel, A., Ozier, O., Baliga, N. S., Wang, J. T., Ramage, D., Amin, N., Schwikowski, B., &

Ideker, T. (2003). Cytoscape: A Software Environment for Integrated Models of Biomolecular

Interaction Networks. *Genome Research*, *13*(11), 2498–2504.

https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.1239303

Silpe, J. E., Wong, J. W. H., Owen, S. V., Baym, M., & Balskus, E. P. (2022). The bacterial toxin colibactin

triggers prophage induction. *Nature*, *603*(7900), Article 7900. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-

022-04444-3

Sorgdrager, F. J. H., Naudé, P. J. W., Kema, I. P., Nollen, E. A., & Deyn, P. P. D. (2019). Tryptophan

Metabolism in Inflammaging: From Biomarker to Therapeutic Target. *Frontiers in Immunology*,

10. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02565

Team, R. (2014). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. *MSOR Connections*.

https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/R%3A-A-language-and-environment-for-statistical-

Team/659408b243cec55de8d0a3bc51b81173007aa89b

Torres-Barceló, C. (2018). The disparate effects of bacteriophages on antibiotic-resistant bacteria.

Emerging Microbes & Infections, *7*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41426-018-0169-z

USDA ERS - Turkey Sector: Background & Statistics. (n.d.). Retrieved December 19, 2022, from

https://www.ers.usda.gov/newsroom/trending-topics/turkey-sector-background-statistics/

Wallinga, D., Smit, L. A. M., Davis, M. F., Casey, J. A., & Nachman, K. E. (2022). A Review of the

Effectiveness of Current US Policies on Antimicrobial Use in Meat and Poultry Production.

Current Environmental Health Reports, *9*(2), 339–354. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40572-022-

00351-x

Wendling, C. C., Refardt, D., & Hall, A. R. (2021). Fitness benefits to bacteria of carrying prophages and

prophage-encoded antibiotic-resistance genes peak in different environments. *Evolution*, *75*(2),

515–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14153

Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L. D., François, R., Grolemund, G., Hayes, A.,

Henry, L., Hester, J., Kuhn, M., Pedersen, T. L., Miller, E., Bache, S. M., Müller, K., Ooms, J.,

Robinson, D., Seidel, D. P., Spinu, V., … Yutani, H. (2019). Welcome to the Tidyverse. *Journal of

Open Source Software*, *4*(43), 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686

Xu, C., Kong, L., Gao, H., Cheng, X., & Wang, X. (2022). A Review of Current Bacterial Resistance to

Antibiotics in Food Animals. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, *13*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.822689

Yoon, M.-S. (2017). mTOR as a Key Regulator in Maintaining Skeletal Muscle Mass. *Frontiers in*

Physiology, *8*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys.2017.00788

Zaheer, R., Cook, S., Klima, C., Stanford, K., Alexander, T., Topp, E., Read, R., & Mcallister, T. (2013).

Effect of subtherapeutic vs. Therapeutic administration of macrolides on antimicrobial

resistance in Mannheimia haemolytica and enterococci isolated from beef cattle. *Frontiers in*

Microbiology, *4*. https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2013.00133

Zhang, F., Liu, F., Sheng, X., Liu, Q., Cui, L., Cao, Z., Hu, T., Li, D., & Dai, M. (2024). Bacitracin-resistant

Staphylococcus aureus induced in chicken gut and *in vitro* under bacitracin exposure. *Microbial*

Pathogenesis, *191*, 106666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2024.106666

Acknowledgement

We thank the staff at USDA-ARS National Animal Disease Center, Ames, Iowa for assistance in

carrying out the animal study.

Funding

This work was supported USDA-ARS grant (Agreement Number 58-5030-7-074).

Authors' contributions

T.L. and T.A.J. conceived the study, T.L., and T.A.J. collected the samples, P.O., C.L.W., J.T.,

and T.A.J performed the data analysis, P.O., C.L.W., J.T., T.L. and T.A.J discussed the results,

P.O. wrote the manuscript, T.L. and T.A.J. edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved

the final manuscript.

Corresponding author

Correspondence to Timothy A. Johnson

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

751 This experiment was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) at

752 the National Animal Disease Center (NADC) and the experiment was carried out according to

753 the approved protocol ARS-2869.

Consent for publication

756 Not applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Supplementary Information

Additional file 1: List of differentially abundant genes in BMD treatment groups relative to

time-matched control. **Table S1**. Subtherapeutic relative to control on day 7. **Table S2.**

Therapeutic relative to control on day 7. **Table S3.** Subtherapeutic relative to control on day 35.

Table S4. Therapeutic relative to control on day 35. **Table S5**. Subtherapeutic relative to control

on day 78. **Table S6.** Therapeutic relative to control on day 78.

Additional file 2: List of differentially abundant ARGs in BMD treatment groups relative to

time-matched controls. **Table S7**. Subtherapeutic relative to control on day 7. **Table S8.**

Therapeutic relative to control on day 7. **Table S9.** Therapeutic relative to Subtherapeutic on day

7. **Table S10.** Subtherapeutic relative to control on day 35. **Table S11.** Therapeutic relative to

control on day 35. **Table S12.** Therapeutic relative to Subtherapeutic on day 35. **Table S13**.

Subtherapeutic relative to control on day 78. **Table S14.** Therapeutic relative to control on day

78. **Table S15.** Therapeutic relative to Subtherapeutic on day 78.

Additional file 3: Summary of ARG-MGE correlation network parameters (**Table S16**).

Additional file 4: Supplemental Figures. **Figure S1.** Differentially abundant genes from

DESeq2 in treatment groups relative to control on day 7 for A. Subtherapeutic and B.

Therapeutic. **Figure S2.** Differentially abundant genes from DESeq2 in treatment groups relative

to control on day 35 for A. Subtherapeutic and B. Therapeutic. **Figure S3.** Differentially

abundant genes from DESeq2 in treatment groups relative to control on day 78 for A.

Subtherapeutic and B. Therapeutic. **Figure S4.** Differentially abundant genes of the type IV

secretion system (T4SS) relative to control on day 35 in A. Subtherapeutic, B. Therapeutic, and

differentially abundant phage genes on day 35 in C. Subtherapeutic and D. Therapeutic. **Figure**

S5. Differentially abundant conjugative transposons in Subtherapeutic relative to control on day

7 A, day 35 B, and day 78 C. Differentially abundant conjugative transposons in Therapeutic

relative to control on day 7 D, day 35 E and day 78 F. **Figure S6.** Network analysis showing the

spearman correlation between ARG and MGE in turkey cecal microbiota on day 7. **Figure S7.**

Network analysis showing the spearman correlation between ARG and MGE in turkey cecal

microbiota on day 35. **Figure S8.** Network analysis showing the spearman correlation between

ARG and phage-related genes in turkey cecal microbiota on day 7. **Figure. S9.** Network analysis

showing the spearman correlation between ARG and phage-related genes in turkey cecal

microbiota on day 35. **Figure S10.** Network analysis showing the spearman correlation between

ARG and phage-related genes in turkey cecal microbiota on day 78. **Figure S11.** Abundance of

genes involved in tryptophan synthesis from either phenylalanine or quinate. **Figure S12.** Effect

of BMD administration on community wide replication rates overtime.

Figure 1. Diversity metrics of ORFs. A. Correlation plot of TIGRfam annotation and Total
sequences. B. Number of ORFs in each treatment groups within each day. C. Non-metric
multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination (Bray-Curtis) of ORFs showing the effect of both
BMD administration and age with stress shown below the figure. Ellipses indicate 95%
confidence interval with the symbol at the centroid of all replicate samples of BMD treatment
(indicated by color) and age (indicated by shape). D. PERMANOVA test for differences in
community structure due to BMD treatment and age. F-value indicate the degree of difference
between two communities compared, shapes indicate pairwise BMD treatment comparison and
color indicate pairwise comparison statistical significance. P-value was adjusted for false
discovery rate method for multiple comparisons.

Figure 2. Count of differentially abundant genes from DESeq2 in treatment groups relative to control. A - C Subtherapeutic (upper) and Therapeutic (lower) dose on days 7, 35 and 78, respectively. Statistical significance was determined with DESeq2. Black color indicates DAGs that are significantly increased while grey indicates DAG that are significantly decreased (q<0.05).

Figure 3. A. Non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) ordination (Bray-Curtis) of ARGs
showing the effect of both BMD administration and age with stress shown below the figure.
Ellipses indicate 95% confidence interval with the symbol at the centroid of all replicate samples
of BMD treatment (indicated by color) and age (indicated by shape). B. PERMANOVA test for
differences in community structure due to BMD treatment and age. F.Model indicates the degree
of difference between two communities compared, shapes indicate pairwise BMD treatment
comparison and color indicate pairwise comparison statistical significance. P-value was adjusted
for false discovery rate method for multiple comparisons. C. Number of differential abundant
ARGs on day 7, 35 and 78 in all treatment groups.

Figure 4. Differential abundant ARGs using DESeq2 between both therapeutic and control, and subtherapeutic and control on A. day 7, B. day 35 and C. day 78.

Figure 5. Differentially abundant genes of the type IV secretion system (T4SS) use for conjugation relative to control on day 7 in A. Subtherapeutic, B. Therapeutic, on day 78 in C. Subtherapeutic and D. Therapeutic. Differentially abundant phage related genes relative to control on day 7 in E. Subtherapeutic, F. Therapeutic, on day 78 in G. Subtherapeutic and H. Therapeutic.

Figure 6. Network analysis showing the spearman correlation between ARG and MGE (T4SS) in turkey cecal microbiota on day 78. Pairwise correlation of padj < 0.05 and rho > 0.8 was considered. The nodes represent the ARG and MGE, the size of the node represent its number of connections (Degree). A-C represent ARG– conjugation gene (both T4SS and conjugative transposon) on day 78 in control, subtherapeutic and therapeutic group. Triangles are T4SS, square are conjugative transposon while circle are ARG. Color of the circle indicate different ARG mechanism, green is antibiotic efflux, blue is antibiotic inactivation, red is antibiotic target alteration, purple is antibiotic target protection while light green is antibiotic target replacement.

Figure 7. Abundance of genes involved in phenylalanine synthesis from either tryptophan or quinate. A. Tryptophan synthase alpha subunit. B. Tryptophan synthase beta subunit. C. Aminodeoxychorismate synthase. D. Anthranilate synthase component I. E. Anthranilate phosphoribosyltransferase. F. Chorismate mutase. G. Shikimate dehydrogenase. H. Schematic representation of the pathway for reference.

Figure 8. Effect of BMD administration on community wide replication rates across time. Estimated growth rate of bacteria decreased with therapeutic administration of BMD but recovered over time. Effect of BMD administration on community wide replication rates across time. **A.** Estimated marginal means for iRep replication rates with 95% confidence intervals around the estimates at each day for each treatment. **B.** Treatment contrasts at each day depicting the difference in iRep replication rates between the control and each experimental group at each day. The estimated difference is represented by the points with 95% confidence intervals around those estimates. **C.** Differences in community wide replication rates over time in control and BMD fed animals. Each point represents the difference in iRep replication rates between the indicated days within each treatment. The lines represent 95% confidence intervals around the estimated differences. iRep estimates from MAGs with at least 4 observations in all treatment groups at each timepoint were included in the analysis.

Experiment Phase Control Subtherapeutic Therapeutic Feed*	Acclimation 0g BMD 0g BMD 0g BMD starter diet		Starter period 0g BMD/ton feed 50g BMD/ton feed 200g BMD/ton feed starter diet		Grower period 0g BMD/ton feed 50g BMD/ton feed 50g BMD/ton feed grower diet		
Timepoint†	-14	0		7		35	78
# of metagenome samples / treatment group				10		10	10

*All
†Days relative to BMD start

870 **Figure 9.** Time sequence (relative to start of BMD treatment) of antibiotic administration, diet
871 and sample collection throughout the study.