A curated great ape genome diversity panel

Sojung Han[1,2], Sepand Riyahi[1,2], Xin Huang[1,2], Martin Kuhlwilm[1,2,*]

1. Department of Evolutionary Anthropology, University of Vienna, Djerassiplatz 1, 1030 Vienna, Austria
2. Human Evolution and Archaeological Sciences (HEAS), University of Vienna, Austria

corresponding author: Martin Kuhlwilm (martin.kuhlwilm@univie.ac.at)

Abstract

Studying the genetic diversity of non-human great apes is important for research questions in evolution as well as human diversity and disease. Genomic data of the three great ape clades (*Pan*, *Gorilla*, *Pongo*) has been published across multiple studies over more than one decade. However, unlike in humans, no comprehensive dataset on great ape diversity is available, due to different scopes of the original studies. Here, we present a curated dataset of 203 high coverage (≥12-fold) whole genomes, including 77 chimpanzee, 14 bonobo, 77 gorilla and 35 orangutan individuals sequenced on the Illumina platform. By integrating data from captive individuals, we contextualize them with data from wild individuals. We discuss issues with previously published data leading to removal of individuals due to low sequencing depth, missing data, or occurrence of duplicate individuals. This resource of files in CRAM and gVCF format, as well as segregating sites per clade, will allow researchers to address questions related to human and great ape evolution and diversity in a comparative manner.

Background & Summary

Great apes have been of long-standing interest as the closest living relatives of humans. Studying their phylogenetic relationships to humans and amongst each other has been an important field of genetic and genomic research over the past few decades[1–4], fostering investigations into human uniqueness and understanding evolution and disease[5–7]. An assembly of the chimpanzee reference genome was published soon after the human reference genome[8], and by now high-quality reference genomes of all great ape species are available[9–11]. However, beyond the insights from single genome assemblies, the diversity within a clade is an important aspect to understand their evolution and their species-specific traits[12,13].

While the advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies allowed to characterize the genetic makeup of many individuals, in humans on a large scale and with high quality[14], this is not possible in great apes. Great apes are all endangered or critically endangered[15], with rapidly shrinking habitats and a high risk of extinction in the wild in the near future, and small captive populations from a limited pool of founder individuals. Hence, a limited number of individuals is available for genomic studies, and often, access to genetic diversity is only possible through non-invasive sampling[16], or from other degraded sources such as historical collections[17]. However, several key publications generated diversity data from all present-day great ape species: chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*)[18,19], bonobos (*Pan paniscus*)[18], western gorillas (*Gorilla gorilla*)[18,20] and eastern gorillas (*Gorilla beringei*)[18,21,22], as well as Bornean,

Sumatran and Tapanuli orangutans (*Pongo pygmaeus, Pongo abelii, Pongo tapanuliensis*)[18,23].
This is complemented by a number of genomes from mostly captive individuals across these
clades, published in the context of genome assemblies[9–11,24], trio sequencing for the
estimation of mutation rates[25–27], functional studies[28], or large-scale studies of primate
diversity[29].

In many studies of human and hominin evolution, great apes are used for comparison, either
as outgroup when calculating statistics[30] or for demographic modelling[31], or with the purpose
to put diversity or variation into context[5,32–35]. However, in many cases the great ape
reference genomes are used for such purposes rather than diversity data, even though it is
often important to put variation within species into context. For example, examining regions
of homozygosity[36] or inbreeding patterns in archaic hominins are better understood by
variation data from great ape species[37]. Furthermore, information on great ape and primate
variation guides studies on human disease[38] and can be used to predict the effects of
mutations in present-day people[39].

Given the importance of such data for human-related research questions, we suggest that it
is necessary to provide a comprehensive, curated panel of published great ape genomes.
Importantly, this should contain information on non-variant sites within populations, to
ascertain the status of each position in the genome. A considerable number of individuals is
available as short-read sequencing data (*i.e.* using the Illumina platform), while long-read
sequencing data was primarily used for reference genome assemblies. Hence, here we focus
on the single-nucleotide variant (SNV) diversity, providing a coherent dataset for 203 high-
coverage genomes from all extant great ape species. Given that great apes are very closely
related to humans and each other, all data was mapped to the human reference genome
(GrCH38)[19]. We provide both the mappings (in CRAM format) and whole-genome variant call
files (gVCF files), as well as sets of segregating sites across clades, all of which we hope will be
a useful resource for numerous studies on human and primate genomics.

78
79
80
81 **Methods**
82
Samples
We used publicly available great ape genomes published in different studies (Table 1). These
entail 22 chimpanzees, 10 bonobos, 27 gorillas and 10 orangutans from a landmark study on
great ape diversity[18]; 32 chimpanzees[19], 21 gorillas[20–22] and 15 orangutans[23] from subsequent
population-scale studies on different wild-born individuals; and a captive panel of in total 22
chimpanzees, 4 bonobos, 29 gorillas and 10 orangutans from multiple studies with different
focus[10,11,24–29]. All sequencing data was publicly available on the Short Read Archive, and
obtained through the European Nucleotide Archive branch for this study (Table S1).
We did not consider individuals from studies reporting partial genomic data (such as
chromosome 21[16] or the exome[40]) or with insufficient sequencing coverage[17,41] (below 12-
fold, on average, across the genome). In some of the studies considered here, sequencing
data was reported for additional individuals[18–20,23], which we excluded due to low average
coverage, or reported evidence of cross-contamination[18]. In the case of one individual
(SAMEA104361539[23]), no data was available for one accession (ERX2240355), leading to
insufficient coverage. Finally, we merged data for identical individuals published using
different identifiers or in different studies (see section "Captive panel" in Technical
Validation). We only considered data generated through Illumina short-read sequencing, in
an effort of building a coherent dataset. We note that both long-read and short-read
sequencing data was generated for some of the individuals from which the most recent

genome assemblies were generated – in such cases the short-read data are included here[10,11].

Study accession	Pan		Gorilla		Pongo		
	Chimpanzee	Bonobo	Western	Eastern	Sumatran	Bornean	Tapanuli
PRJNA189439[18]	22	10	24	3	5	5	
PRJEB15086[19]	32						
PRJEB19688[23]					5	9	1
PRJEB3220[21]				12			
PRJEB12821[22]				6			
PRJEB60463[20]			3				
PRJNA563344[28]	2		2			1	
PRJEB59576[29]	4		19	1	1	1	
PRJEB29710[26]	4		4		3		
PRJEB5937[25]	9						
PRJDB3537[27]	3						
PRJNA369439[11]					1		
PRJNA526933[10]		1					
PRJNA986878, PRJNA986879, PRJNA976699, PRJNA976700, PRJNA976701, PRJNA602326[24]	1	3	3		2	1	
Total	77	14	55	22	17	17	1

Table 1. Overview of studies and numbers of individuals from each clade represented. Short Read Archive (SRA) study identifiers and corresponding references are given in the first column. For metadata and per-sample identifiers see Tables S1-2.

Figure 1. Phylogeny and overview of individuals from each of the clades. Orangutans (*Pongo*): Sumatran = *P. abelii*; Tapanuli = *P. tapanuliensis*; Bornean = *P. pygmaeus*. Gorillas (*Gorilla*): Western lowland gorilla (WLG) = *G. gorilla gorilla*; Cross-river gorilla (CRG) = *G. gorilla diehli*; Mountain gorilla (MG) = *G. beringei beringei*; Eastern lowland gorilla (ELG) = *G. beringei graueri*. Chimpanzees and bonobos (*Pan*): Bonobo = *P. paniscus*; Central chimpanzee = *P. troglodytes troglodytes*; Eastern chimpanzee = *P. troglodytes schweinfurthii*; Nigeria-Cameroon chimpanzee (NC) = P. troglodytes *eliotii*; Western chimpanzee = *P. troglodytes verus*. Divergence times in million years ago (Mya) from previous studies. Numbers of individuals as reference panel plus (+) captive panel.

Bioinformatic processing

Raw fastq files were downloaded using sratoolkit (https://hpc.nih.gov/apps/sratoolkit.html, version 3.0.6), and fastQC[42] was applied for initial quality assessment. Adapter trimming was performed with trimmomatic (version 0.39)[43]. Reads were then mapped to the human genome version GRCh38 (GCA_000001405.15_GRCh38_no_alt_analysis_set from the UCSC genome browser) with bwa mem (version 0.7.16a)[44], sorted with samtools (version 1.14)[45] and unmapped reads removed. Read groups were assigned with picardtools (version 2.21.4) (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/), and duplicated reads were marked with GATK (version 4.1.4.0)[46] MarkDuplicatesSpark. Finally, reads from all sequencing libraries for each individual were merged with samtools merge into a single CRAM file. These files are reported in the associated dataset. For two individuals, sequencing depth was more than 200-fold[27], resulting in excessive spurious heterozygous calls. For coherence of the dataset, we restricted the analysis to a subset of the raw sequencing data (only one out of two run accessions each, as reported in Table S1).

Using this merged CRAM file per individual, genotypes were called per chromosome with GATK HaplotypeCaller, using the flag '-ERC GVCF' to generate genome-wide VCF files. These files are reported in the associated dataset.

For downstream analyses, we created joint callsets with GATK GenomicsDBImport, and GATK GenotypeGVCFs. After creating the callset for the wild-born individuals, we added the captive panels. We report sets of segregating sites per clade (*Pan*, *Gorilla*, *Pongo*) with and without the captive panel within the PHAIDRA repository (see Data Records section). Both sets are available as VCF files and in PLINK2 format after conversion using plink2[47] (version 2.00a5) with the parameters '--max-alleles 2 --snps-only --make-pgen --maf 0.00'. A permissive set contains all information on segregating sites per individual. We also obtained a more stringent set after filtering using bcftools (version 1.21)[48], retaining only bi-allelic SNPs passing a 36-basepair mappability filter[49], excluding sites outside the central 98% of the coverage distribution per individual with a minimum of 5-fold coverage per site, and removing heterozygous positions with less than 15% of reads supporting one allele.

Data analysis

We estimated depth of coverage using mosdepth[50] (version 0.3.3) on the CRAM files, and used bcftools[48] (version 1.16) to generate summary statistics on the VCF files. Genetic sexing was performed using ratios of the mean coverage per chromosome, with chrX:chr1 smaller than 0.75 and chrY:chrX larger than 0.1 to determine male sex.

We performed PCAs using VCF2PCACluster (version 1.41)[51] on VCF files before (Fig. S8-12) and after filtering (Fig. 3). For ADMIXTURE analyses, we subsampled 1,000,000 random autosomal loci from the VCF files and ran ADMIXTURE (version 1.3.0)[52]. Relatedness estimates were calculated using ngsRelate[53] (version 2.0). Runs of Homozygosity were detected using bcftools roh[54] (version 1.21) per chromosome per individual. Human contamination on captive individuals was estimated using HuConTest[55]. Subspecies assignment with f3-statistics was performed using admixtools2[56]. As outgroup, ancestral

alleles were approximated by liftover of genomic coordinates to the macaque reference
genome (rheMac10)[57] using rtracklayer[58] (version 1.58.0 in R version 4.2.2) and bedtools
getfasta[59] (version 2.31.1). Geolocalization of captive chimpanzees was performed using
rareCAGA[16] after liftover of genotypes to the human genome version hg19 with bcftools
liftover[60].
We used R[61] versions 4.2.3 and 4.2.2 for plotting, with packages ggplot2[62] (versions 3.4.4 and
3.5.1), gridExtra (version 2.3; 10.32614/CRAN.package.gridExtra), dplyr[63] (version 1.1.4),
tidyverse[64] (version 2.0.0), ggh4x[65] (version 0.2.8).
172
173

Data Records

The full dataset is available through PHAIDRA with the University of Vienna, under the
following DOI: https://doi.org/10.25365/phaidra.514. This dataset contains the CRAM files
(mapped reads) for 203 individuals, as well as gVCF files (genotype calls) for all 203
individuals for autosomes and X chromosomes, as well as Y chromosomes for the male
individuals. For all files, md5sums are provided in Table S6. Furthermore, joint genotype calls
in VCF format are available for the three species complexes *Pan*, *Gorilla* and *Pongo*, for the
full set of genotype calls as well as a filtered set.
183
184

Technical Validation

186
Sequencing data
We report the genomic data for 138 wild-born great ape individuals[18–23,25], which constitute a
reference panel for population genetic studies. We also included 65 captive individuals from
multiple studies[10,11,18,24–29], resulting in a total dataset of 203 individuals. Only individuals
with at least 12-fold average coverage across the genome were included, with a median of
28-fold and a maximum of 141-fold coverage (as obtained by mosdepth). Since in some cases
the coverage of called genotypes was below this threshold, we also excluded three such
individuals (SAMN02736775, SAMN01920524 and SAMEA104361528). Using the average
coverage for the sex chromosomes, we report 128 (63%) of individuals as female, and 75
(36%) as male. We provide this information, alongside other summary metrics, in Table S2
and S3, as well as Fig. S1.
We obtained several quality control measures to ensure completeness of the data: average
coverage per chromosome, the last position per chromosome, the numbers of non-reference
records and heterozygous positions per chromosome, and the ratio of transitions to
transversions per individual (Fig. S2-7). We present the genome-wide average coverage and
heterozygosity in Fig. 2. Heterozygosity values recapitulate findings from previous studies
when stratified by the different subspecies[3,18,19,21–23].
Combined genotype calls of segregating sites per clade contain 110,043,069 sites for *Pan*
(24,582,099 high quality sites after filtering), 80,907,619 sites for *Gorilla* (27,513,997 high
quality sites after filtering), and 137,868,052 sites for *Pongo* (71,848,611 high quality sites
after filtering). For downstream analyses, usually a coverage-based filtering is recommended.
We report the central 98% of the coverage distribution for each individual, separately for
autosomes and chromosome X in Table S4, with a lower cutoff of 5-fold coverage in cases
where this value was below five.
211

212
213 **Figure 2. Distributions of coverage and heterozygosity.** A) Average coverage per individual
214 across subspecies. Note: captive *Pan* is cut at 70-fold for three samples with more than 100-
215 fold coverage. B) Genome-wide heterozygosity per 1,000 base pairs (bp) per individual
216 across subspecies.

217
Population genetic analyses
We performed basic population genetic characterisation of the individuals in this dataset,
which allows to assess the quality of the data in the context of previous findings. First, we
performed a Principal Component Analysis (PCA), showing the expected population
clustering of all individuals within the respective clades *Pan*, *Gorilla* and *Pongo* (Fig. 3A-C; Fig.
S8-12). Captive individuals are shown in grey. Notably, a PCA on the unfiltered data shows
outliers for the three orangutan individuals with the highest amount of human
contamination in the sequencing data (see section below; Fig. SX). Although below 1%, the
human contamination does influence the results for this summary metric. We deduce that it
is important to perform a thorough quality filtering of the data. We also performed
clustering with ADMIXTURE (Fig. 3D; Fig. S13-15), which recapitulates known patterns of
subspecies stratification in these great ape species[3,18,19,21–23], and provides puts the captive
individuals into the context of clade-wide variation.
231

232
233 **Figure 3. Basic population genetic characterisation of great ape individuals in this dataset.**
234 A) PCA for the *Pan* clade (chimpanzees and bonobos), B) PCA for the *Gorilla* clade, and C)
235 PCA for the *Pongo* clade, all calculated on a filtered SNV callset. D) ADMIXTURE clustering for
236 the *Pan*, *Gorilla* and *Pongo* clades (based on 1,000,000 random SNVs per clade).
237
We estimated pairwise relatedness between individuals[53], recapitulating different degrees of
background relatedness in some of the groups (Fig. S16-18), *e.g.* among bonobos (Fig. 4A) or
Mountain gorillas (Fig. S17). Furthermore, individuals from studies aimed at mutation rate
estimates through trio sequencing[24–27] were clearly identifiable by their first-degree
relationships. We conclude that our data is comprehensive and reflects the original data
published through these studies. Finally, we estimated runs of homozygosity[54] (RoHs), a
measure informative on long-term small effective population sizes, bottlenecks, and recent
inbreeding[66]. We largely recapitulate previous findings[18], *e.g.* more such RoHs in bonobos
than chimpanzees or more in eastern gorillas than western lowland gorillas, while the
captive individuals do not seem to show a systematic increase in RoHs (Fig. 4B; Fig. S19).
248
249
250

251
252 **Figure 4. Kinship and runs of homozygosity.** A) Relatedness among bonobos (*Pan paniscus*)
253 as estimated by ngsRelate (KING method)[53], as an example of individual relationships.
254 Individuals from Trio sequencing (Mhudiblu, Loretta, PR00251) are distinguishable. Plots for
255 all clades are found in Supplementary Materials. B) Runs of homozygosity as estimated by
256 bcftools roh[54] across all species and subspecies. For abbreviations see Table S2.
257
258
Captive panel
Beyond the well-characterized datasets of wild-born great apes presented here as a
reference dataset, we included 65 captive individuals from different studies. As additional
quality measure, we estimated potential human contamination[55], which is below 1% for all
individuals (Fig. 5A, Table S5). However, for three orangutan individuals, contamination of
close to 1% apparently leads to false genotype calls and a shift in the PCA (Fig. SX). We
suggest that quality filtering is recommended for subsequent analyses. Furthermore, we
provide an accurate assignment on the subspecies level based on f3-statistics (Table S5, Fig.

S20)[67], since 24 gorilla and 10 chimpanzee individuals did not have subspecies-level
information in their short read archive record, as well as two orangutans which were only
labelled as *Pongo*. We assigned these two orangutans as *Pongo pygmaeus*, as reported in
supplementary materials of a corresponding study[68], though not in the short read archive
database. Most gorilla individuals are *Gorilla gorilla gorilla*, with the exception of PD_0179, a
Gorilla beringei graueri, also reported as such only in the supplementary of the
corresponding publication[29] (Fig. 5B). Among chimpanzees, we identify PD_0259 as *Pan*
troglodytes schweinfurthii and CH114 as *Pan troglodytes troglodytes*, while all other
chimpanzees are, at least predominantly, *Pan troglodytes verus*. Furthermore, we performed
geolocalisation of the captive chimpanzees (Fig. S21), finding, for example, an approximate
origin of PD_0259 in northern Democratic Republic of Congo (Fig. 5C). These analyses give a
meaningful context for the genomes of these individuals, as they can complement diversity
datasets of their respective subspecies or local population groups.
Notably, since we initially included all data reported in previous studies, we found several
identical individuals based on relatedness estimates[53] (KING relatedness>0.4). No indication
of identity was given in these respective publications[18,26] reported
data on the gorilla individuals Banjo and Mimi, with two unique SRA sample IDs each (Banjo:
SAMN01920482, SAMEA5204233; Mimi: SAMN01920483, SAMEA5204236). These data are
indeed from the same individuals, respectively. Furthermore, PD_0260 (SAMEA112483013)
and PD_0189 (SAMEA112482942) from one study[29] are identical, as well as Mawenzi
(SAMEA5204238) and PD_0264 (SAMEA112483017) from two studies[26,29]. We decided to
merge the data of these individuals, in order to obtain a higher individual coverage.
However, in the case of Banjo this resulted in excessive heterozygous calls, and we retained
only the data from the more recent study[26]. The orangutan individuals ORAN23[28] and
PD_0262[29] (SAMN12714422, SAMEA112483015) were also found to be identical, and here
we only retained the individual PD_0262, with more than 50-fold average coverage. We
report heatmaps of relatedness estimates including these identical individuals in the
Supplementary Materials (Figures S22-23).
295
296

297
298 **Figure 5. Characterizing the captive panel.** A) Human contamination estimates in captive
299 individuals. B) Subspecies assignment using f3-statistics for PD_0179. GBG, *Gorilla beringei*
300 *beringei*; GBG, *Gorilla beringei graueri*; GGD, *Gorilla gorilla diehlii*; GGG, *Gorilla gorilla gorilla*.
301 C) Geolocalization of a captive chimpanzee individual (PD_0259).
302
303

304 **Supplementary Tables**
305
306 Table S1. Short read archive identifiers used to retrieve raw sequencing data for each
307 individual.
308
309 Table S2. Metadata (species/subspecies, mean coverage, sex).
310
311 Table S3. Average coverage per chromosome.
312
313 Table S4. Central 98% coverage per individual.
314
315 Table S5. Subspecies-assignment using f3-statistics, and human contamination estimates for
316 captive individuals.
317
318 Table S6. Md5sums for the CRAM and gVCF files in the dataset.
319
320
321 **Supplementary Figures**
322
323 Figure S1. Coverage distribution per individual, stratified by subspecies.
324
325 Figure S2. Coverage per chromosome for 22 autosomes, stratified by subspecies.
326
327 Figure S3. Coverage for sex chromosomes, stratified by subspecies and sex (chrY for males
328 only, mitochondrial genome (chrM) for all individuals).
329
330 Figure S4. Last called position per chromosome, ensuring completeness of data, stratified by
331 subspecies.
332
333 Figure S5. Number of non-reference genotype calls per chromosome, stratified by
334 subspecies.
335
336 Figure S6. Number of heterozygous positions per chromosome, stratified by subspecies.
337
338 Figure S7. Transition-to-transversion ratio per chromosome, stratified by individual.
339
340 Figure S8: Principal Component Analysis for 4 PCs all *Pan* individuals, calculated on unfiltered
341 sites.
342
343 Figure S9: Principal Component Analysis for 4 PCs all *Pan troglodytes* (chimpanzee)
344 individuals, calculated on unfiltered sites.
345
346 Figure S10: Principal Component Analysis for 4 PCs all *Pan paniscus* (bonobo) individuals,
347 calculated on unfiltered sites.
348
349 Figure S11: Principal Component Analysis for 4 PCs all *Gorilla* individuals, calculated on
350 unfiltered sites.
351
352 Figure S12: Principal Component Analysis for 4 PCs all *Pongo* individuals, calculated on
353 unfiltered sites.
354

Figure S13: ADMIXTURE clustering for all *Pan* individuals, for k=2 to k=10, calculated on 1,000,000 randomly chosen SNVs.

Figure S14: ADMIXTURE clustering for all *Gorilla* individuals, for k=1 to k=10, calculated on 1,000,000 randomly chosen SNVs.

Figure S15: ADMIXTURE clustering for all *Pongo* individuals, for k=1 to k=10, calculated on 1,000,000 randomly chosen SNVs.

Figure S16. Relatedness between all *Pan* individuals, as determined by KING in ngsRelate. Calculated on filtered dataset.

Figure S17. Relatedness between all *Gorilla* individuals, as determined by KING in ngsRelate. Calculated on filtered dataset.

Figure S18. Relatedness between all *Pongo* individuals, as determined by KING in ngsRelate. Calculated on filtered dataset.

Figure S19. Runs of Homozygosity (RoHs) in all individuals, as estimated by bcftools roh, stratified by subspecies and partitioned into short (50,000-250,000 bp), medium (250,000-1,000,000 bp) and long (>1,000,000) RoHs.

Figure S20. Subspecies assignment based on f3-statistics.

Figure S21. Geolocalization of chimpanzees based on rareCAGA.

Figure S22. Relatedness between all *Gorilla* individuals, including duplicated individuals that were removed from the dataset, as determined by KING in ngsRelate. Calculated on an unfiltered dataset.

Figure S23. Relatedness between all *Pongo* individuals, including duplicated individuals that were removed from the dataset, as determined by KING in ngsRelate. Calculated on an unfiltered dataset.

Code Availability

The code used is available under https://github.com/admixVIE/Great_Ape_genomes.

Acknowledgements

We thank N. Schulmeister for revising parts of the data. This project has been funded by the Vienna Science and Technology Fund (WWTF) [10.47379/VRG20001] to M.K. S.H. was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [10.55776/ESP546]. The computational results of this work have been achieved using supercomputer resources provided by the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC) and the Life Science Compute Cluster (LiSC) of the University of Vienna.

Author contributions

M.K. conceived the study, analysed data, and wrote the manuscript with feedback from all coauthors. S.H., S.R. and X.H. analysed data.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Kaessmann, H. & Pääbo, S. The genetical history of humans and the great apes. *J. Intern. Med.* **251**, 1–18 (2002).
2. Wall, J. D. Great ape genomics. *ILAR J.* **54**, 82–90 (2013).
3. Kuhlwilm, M. *et al.* Evolution and demography of the great apes. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* (2016) doi:10.1016/j.gde.2016.09.005.
4. Yousaf, A., Liu, J., Ye, S. & Chen, H. Current Progress in Evolutionary Comparative Genomics of Great Apes. *Front. Genet.* **12**, (2021).
5. Pollen, A. A., Kilik, U., Lowe, C. B. & Camp, J. G. Human-specific genetics: new tools to explore the molecular and cellular basis of human evolution. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **24**, 687–711 (2023).
6. Varki, A. & Altheide, T. K. Comparing the human and chimpanzee genomes: Searching for needles in a haystack. *Genome Res.* **15**, 1746–1758 (2005).
7. Juan, D., Santpere, G., Kelley, J. L., Cornejo, O. E. & Marques-Bonet, T. Current advances in primate genomics: novel approaches for understanding evolution and disease. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **24**, 314–331 (2023).
8. The Chimpanzee Sequencing and Analysis Consortium. Initial sequence of the chimpanzee genome and comparison with the human genome. *Nature* **437**, 69–87 (2005).
9. Gordon, D. *et al.* Long-read sequence assembly of the gorilla genome. *Science (80-.).* **352**, aae0344–aae0344 (2016).
10. Mao, Y. *et al.* A high-quality bonobo genome refines the analysis of hominid evolution. *Nature* **594**, 77–81 (2021).
11. Kronenberg, Z. N. *et al.* High-resolution comparative analysis of great ape genomes. *Science (80-.).* **360**, eaar6343 (2018).
12. Zeberg, H., Jakobsson, M. & Pääbo, S. The genetic changes that shaped Neandertals, Denisovans, and modern humans. *Cell* **187**, 1047–1058 (2024).
13. Han, S., Andrés, A. M., Marques-Bonet, T. & Kuhlwilm, M. Genetic variation in Pan species is shaped by demographic history and harbors lineage-specific functions. *Genome Biol. Evol.* evz047 (2019) doi:10.1093/gbe/evz047.
14. Auton, A. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).
15. Caldecott, J. & Miles, L. World Atlas of Great Apes and their Conservation. in *Environmental Conservation* 33, 456 (University of California Press, 2005).
16. Fontsere, C. *et al.* Population dynamics and genetic connectivity in recent chimpanzee history. *Cell Genomics* **2**, (2022).
17. van der Valk, T., Díez-del-Molino, D., Marques-Bonet, T., Guschanski, K. & Dalén, L. Historical Genomes Reveal the Genomic Consequences of Recent Population Decline in Eastern Gorillas. *Curr. Biol.* **29**, 165-170.e6 (2019).
18. Prado-Martinez, J. *et al.* Great ape genetic diversity and population history. *Nature* **499**, 471–5 (2013).
19. De Manuel, M. *et al.* Chimpanzee genomic diversity reveals ancient admixture with bonobos. *Science (80-.).* **354**, (2016).

458   20.   Alvarez-Estape, M. *et al.* Past Connectivity but Recent Inbreeding in Cross River
459   Gorillas Determined Using Whole Genomes from Single Hairs. *Genes* vol. 14 (2023).

460   21.   Xue, Y. *et al.* Mountain gorilla genomes reveal the impact of long-term population
461   decline and inbreeding. *Science (80-.).* **348**, 242–245 (2015).

462   22.   Pawar, H. *et al.* Ghost admixture in eastern gorillas. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* (2023)
463   doi:10.1038/s41559-023-02145-2.

464   23.   Nater, A. *et al.* Morphometric, Behavioral, and Genomic Evidence for a New
465   Orangutan Species. *Curr. Biol.* **27**, 3487-3498.e10 (2017).

466   24.   Makova, K. D. *et al.* The complete sequence and comparative analysis of ape sex
467   chromosomes. *Nature* (2024) doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07473-2.

468   25.   Venn, O. *et al.* Strong male bias drives germline mutation in chimpanzees. *Science*
469   *(80-.).* **344**, 1272–1275 (2014).

470   26.   Besenbacher, S., Hvilsom, C., Marques-Bonet, T., Mailund, T. & Schierup, M. H. Direct
471   estimation of mutations in great apes reconciles phylogenetic dating. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.*
472   **3**, 286–292 (2019).

473   27.   Tatsumoto, S. *et al.* Direct estimation of de novo mutation rates in a chimpanzee
474   parent-offspring trio by ultra-deep whole genome sequencing. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 13561
475   (2017).

476   28.   García-Pérez, R. *et al.* Epigenomic profiling of primate lymphoblastoid cell lines
477   reveals the evolutionary patterns of epigenetic activities in gene regulatory
478   architectures. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 3116 (2021).

479   29.   Kuderna, L. F. K. *et al.* A global catalog of whole-genome diversity from 233 primate
480   species. *Science (80-.).* **380**, 906–913 (2023).

481   30.   Prüfer, K. *et al.* The complete genome sequence of a Neanderthal from the Altai
482   Mountains. *Nature* **505**, 43–9 (2014).

483   31.   Gronau, I., Hubisz, M. J., Gulko, B., Danko, C. G. & Siepel, A. Bayesian inference of
484   ancient human demography from individual genome sequences. *Nat. Genet.* **43**,
485   1031–1034 (2011).

486   32.   Staes, N. *et al.* FOXP2 variation in great ape populations offers insight into the
487   evolution of communication skills. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 16866 (2017).

488   33.   Dennis, M. Y. *et al.* Evolution of Human-Specific Neural SRGAP2 Genes by
489   Incomplete Segmental Duplication. *Cell* **149**, 912–922 (2012).

490   34.   Mangan, R. J. *et al.* Adaptive sequence divergence forged new neurodevelopmental
491   enhancers in humans. *Cell* **185**, 4587-4603.e23 (2022).

492   35.   Weiss, C. V *et al.* The \textit{cis}-regulatory effects of modern human-specific
493   variants. *Elife* **10**, e63713 (2021).

494   36.   Kuhlwilm, M. *et al.* Ancient gene flow from early modern humans into Eastern
495   Neanderthals. *Nature* **530**, 429–433 (2016).

496   37.   Skov, L. *et al.* Genetic insights into the social organization of Neanderthals. *Nature*
497   **610**, 519–525 (2022).

498   38.   Richard, D. *et al.* Evolutionary Selection and Constraint on Human Knee Chondrocyte
499   Regulation Impacts Osteoarthritis Risk. *Cell* **181**, 362-381.e28 (2020).

500   39.   Gao, H. *et al.* The landscape of tolerated genetic variation in humans and primates.
501   *Science (80-.).* **380**, eabn8153 (2023).

502   40.   Teixeira, J. C. *et al.* Long-Term Balancing Selection in LAD1 Maintains a Missense
503   Trans-Species Polymorphism in Humans, Chimpanzees, and Bonobos. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
504   **32**, 1186–1196 (2015).

505   41.   Locke, D. P. *et al.* Comparative and demographic analysis of orang-utan genomes.
506   *Nature* **469**, 529–33 (2011).

507   42.   Andrews, S. FASTQC. A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
508   (2010).

43.   Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina

sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

44.   Li, H. & Durbin, R. Fast and accurate long-read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler
transform. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 589–595 (2010).

45.   Li, H. *et al.* The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* **25**,
2078–2079 (2009).

46.   McKenna, A. *et al.* The Genome Analysis Toolkit: A MapReduce framework for
analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res.* **20**, 1297–1303 (2010).

47.   Chang, C. C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and
richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, s13742-015-0047–8 (2015).

48.   Danecek, P. *et al.* Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *Gigascience* **10**, giab008
(2021).

49.   Karimzadeh, M., Ernst, C., Kundaje, A. & Hoffman, M. M. Umap and Bismap:
quantifying genome and methylome mappability. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **46**, e120–e120
(2018).

50.   Pedersen, B. S. & Quinlan, A. R. Mosdepth: quick coverage calculation for genomes
and exomes. *Bioinformatics* **34**, 867–868 (2018).

51.   He, W. *et al.* VCF2PCACluster: a simple, fast and memory-efficient tool for principal
component analysis of tens of millions of SNPs. *BMC Bioinformatics* **25**, 173 (2024).

52.   Alexander, D. H., Novembre, J. & Lange, K. Fast model-based estimation of ancestry in
unrelated individuals. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1655–1664 (2009).

53.   Korneliussen, T. S. & Moltke, I. NgsRelate: A software tool for estimating pairwise
relatedness from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 4009–4011
(2015).

54.   Narasimhan, V. *et al.* BCFtools/RoH: a hidden Markov model approach for detecting
autozygosity from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 1749–1751
(2016).

55.   Kuhlwilm, M., Fontsere, C., Han, S., Alvarez-Estape, M. & Marques-Bonet, T.
HuConTest: Testing Human Contamination in Great Ape Samples. *Genome Biol. Evol.*
13, 2021.03.30.437753 (2021).

56.   Maier, R. *et al.* On the limits of fitting complex models of population history to
\textit{f}-statistics. *Elife* **12**, e85492 (2023).

57.   Warren, W. C. *et al.* Sequence diversity analyses of an improved rhesus macaque
genome enhance its biomedical utility. *Science (80-.).* **370**, eabc6617 (2020).

58.   Lawrence, M., Gentleman, R. & Carey, V. rtracklayer: an R package for interfacing with
genome browsers. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1841–1842 (2009).

59.   Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic
features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

60.   Genovese, G. *et al.* BCFtools/liftover: an accurate and comprehensive tool to convert
genetic variants across genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **40**, btae038 (2024).

61.   R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. (2015).

62.   Wickham, H. *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer-Verlag New York,
2009).

63.   Wickham, H., François, R., Henry, L., Müller, K. & Vaughan, D. dplyr: A Grammar of
Data Manipulation. (2023).

64.   Wickham, H. *et al.* Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* **4**, 1686 (2019).

65.   van den Brand, T. ggh4x: Hacks for 'ggplot2'. (2024).

66.   Kirin, M. *et al.* Genomic runs of homozygosity record population history and
consanguinity. *PLoS One* **5**, 1–7 (2010).

67.   Maier, R. & Patterson, N. admixtools: Inferring demographic history from genetic
data. (2024).

68.   Ferrández-Peral, L. *et al.* Transcriptome innovations in primates revealed by single-
molecule long-read sequencing. *Genome Res.* **32**, 1448–1462 (2022).