Tracing the function expansion for a primordial protein fold in the era of fold-

based function prediction: β-trefoil

Moushmi Goswami[1] and Subhashini Srinivasan[1, 2]

1. Institute of Bioinformatics and Applied Biotechnology, Biotech Park, Electronic City Phase I,

Bangalore 560100, India

2. Corresponding author

Acronym: PDB- Protein Data Bank; BFD- Big Fantastic Database; DNA- Deoxyribonucleic

Acid; EST- Expressed Sequence Tag; BLAST- Basic Local Alignment Search Tool; HMM-

Hidden Markov Model; CASP- Critical Assessment of Structure Prediction; ML- Machine

Learning; AI- Artificial Intelligence; r.m.s.d.- Root Mean Square Deviation; AFdb- AlphaFold

database; CATH- Class, Architecture, Topology and Homology; DALI- Distance-matrix

ALIgnment; 3Di- Three-Dimensional Interactome; PFam- Protein Families; RefSeq- Reference

Sequence; SCOP- Structural Classification Of Proteins.

ABSTRACT

The incredibly narrow protein fold bottleneck, which separates the billions of unique

proteins on one side to deliver diverse biological functions on the other, arises from folds that

tolerate mutations during evolution. One such fold, called the β-trefoil, is present in functionally

diverse proteins including cytokines involved in the immune system such as interleukin-1. The

unrecognizable sequence-level diversity, even among paralogs of interleukin-1 within the same

chromosomal locus, suggests the resilience of this fold to mutational onslaught. Furthermore, β-

trefoil domain containing-proteins are known to coexist with other domains to achieve functional

diversity. In this study, we challenge the reach and limitations of function prediction using fold-

fold comparison using β-trefoil fold as an example. We identified proteins containing β-trefoil fold

belonging to thirty-two distinct functional class based on diverse domain architecture and or

functional annotation by mining both the PDB and AlphaFold databases using fold-fold

comparison. Among the proteins with novel domain architecture we find β-trefoil along with

chitinase, lipase, β-glucosidase, protein kinase, peptidoglycan-binding + peptidase matrixin,

glycosyl hydrolases family 3 + PA14 + fibronectin type- III, alpha galactosidase A, PhoD-like

phosphatase, insecticidal crystal toxin, trypsin, alginate lyase and two novel structurally

uncharacterized domains. We demonstrate that fold-fold comparison can extend function

prediction beyond the reach of sequence-based approach and provides an opportunity to discover

novel domain architecture associated with known folds. However, since extending fold similarity

to functional similarity may be challenged by convergent fold evolution, we explore if β-trefoil

may be a convergent evolution and share our hypothesis.

INTRODUCTION

Nobel Laureate Francois Jacob, in his essay on Evolution and Tinkering, stated that nature

is a better tinkerer than an inventor. As evolution proceeds to create complex organisms from a

small repertoire of protein folds, one can expect accumulating diversity in the amino acid

sequences of proteins sharing the same fold. Considering that protein functions are dictated by

their unique three-dimensional structures, the accepted mutations during evolution are expected to

preserve the overall structural fold of the original protein. While, in some functional families, one

can recognize the remnant sequence motifs of the ancient protein, there are protein fold-families

with no recognizable sequence signatures, yet sharing folds and, perhaps, function.

In 1992, Cyrus Homi Chothia estimated the number of unique folds to be as low as one

thousand(1). As of 2023, the protein data bank (PDB) has 3D structures of 227,933 proteins with

~7000 clusters less than 30% identity representing only 1,257 unique folds. The five-fold reduction

in the number of folds from sequence-based clustering reflects both i) the diversity in sequences

within a family below the 30% identity cutoff, and ii) the differing domain architecture within a

protein family limiting homology over lengths of the proteins. More recently, the number of

sequence clusters in the BFD (big fantastic database), using a collation of 2 billion proteins, is as

large as 65,983,866 using a 30% identity cutoff and 90% coverage over the length of proteins(2).

The exponential lag in solving 3D structures of proteins and, until recently, our inability

to predict structures of divergent and novel proteins from primary sequences, made sequence

homology based-function prediction a norm for the last several decades. We have come a long

way since the efforts by Dayhoff in collating thousands of published protein sequences(3). The

development of tools for sequence homology-based function prediction kept pace with growing

sequence databases, bridging the lag in structure determination. For example, the early 1990's saw

a boom in DNA sequence databases from EST-sequencing efforts, triggering an unprecedented

race to discover novel paralogs of genes of therapeutic importance. Function prediction from

fragmented DNAs was the need of the time, which was met wholesomely by the timely

development of the most popular molecular biology tool in 1990 called BLAST(4). To improve

the sensitivity in sequence-based structure prediction, among divergent paralogs/homologs, hidden

markov models (HMM) for individual fold families were developed(5).

Theoretically one should be able to predict functions of divergent proteins using the shared

folds. Parallel efforts continued to predict structures of proteins based on homology at the

sequence-level. However, the progression of homology-based structure prediction had to wait for

decades until incremental progress on many fronts converged to advance structure prediction by

deep learning. These advances include the incorporation of multiple templates, the refinement of

secondary structure prediction, the optimization of *ab initio* loop modeling, the use of backbone-

dependent rotamer libraries for side-chain placement, cooperative substitutions, deluge in protein

sequences from next generation sequencing technologies, advances in artificial intelligence/

machine learning and GPU machines(6). That the native fold is present among the thousands of

simulated structures kept the lights-on for researchers through community-based challenge(7).

Wodak et al. has provided a detailed review of progress in methodologies achieved via collective

intelligence through community experiments like CASP (critical assessment of structure

prediction) and other efforts(8). Significant improvements in methods were observed by CASP10

by introducing co-evolution to guide the folding process(9). Parallel to this effort, the deluge in

protein sequences from advances in next generation sequencing revealed more and more

coevolving pairs within folding domains adding additional distance constraints between distal

amino acids in the primary sequence that are likely to be spatially proximal — advancing structure

prediction by leaps and bounds. By 2020, the convergence of collective and artificial intelligence

along with advances in high-throughput sequencing technologies, we entered an era when decades

happen in weeks. By the time of CASP14, AlphaFold2 predicted 3D structures of test proteins to

unprecedented accuracy(10).

Currently, AlphaFold-predicted structure database (AFdb) contains hundreds of millions

of predicted structures providing the basis for functional genomics using fold-fold comparison.

Methods to predict functions using AFdb are on the rise. In one such effort, using DeepFRI, the

contribution of AFdb in enhancing the accuracy of protein function prediction achieved previously

by just using PDB was demonstrated(11). Their results highlighted the importance of AFdb

structures among all three Gene Ontology (GO) categories.

The success of AlphaFold in predicting high-quality tertiary structures inspired

development of PANDA-3D(12), which is a novel computational tool designed to predict protein

functions by leveraging the high-quality 3D structural models in AFdb. Developed as a deep-

learning-based method, PANDA-3D focuses on combining the geometric vector perceptron graph

neural networks and transformer decoders for multi-label classification of GO over the traditional

function prediction tools using sequence-based annotation such as in PANDA(13) .

The research by Durairaj et al. explores the diversity of protein structures and functions

through advanced computational tools, leveraging the AFdb(14). By integrating structure,

sequence similarity and machine learning tools like DeepFRI, the study developed an annotated

sequence similarity network to explore protein functions and evolutionary relationships. The study

analyzed over 350 million protein sequences from UniProt, revealing that many proteins remain

unannotated/uncharacterized due to limitations in sequence-based annotation methods. Their

efforts significantly enhance understanding of these "dark" proteins by the identification of new

protein families, such as TumE-TumA, a superfamily of toxin-antitoxin systems and discovery of

the β-flower fold, a unique structural motif.

In yet another effort the authors attempted to cluster the 214 million structures in AFdb

using a novel structure comparison tool(15). The authors use Foldseek, a scalable structural-

alignment-based method, to identify ~18 million clusters with 2.3 million non-singletons. Out of

the non-singleton clusters, 31% was unannotated representing potentially novel structures. Their

key findings include species-specific clusters comprising 4% of the total clusters, hinting at *de*

novo gene birth. Evolutionary insights suggest most clusters are ancient, with some human

immune-related proteins showing remote homology to prokaryotic species, suggesting ancient

systems may have been co-opted into specialized functions in higher organisms. Also, they report

new domain families, expanding the understanding of protein evolution and function.

Building on these advances, we have used both PDB and AFdb to explore the functional

diversity achieved by the primordial β-trefoil fold(16). Our approach could be considered a

bottom-up approach compared to the top-down approach of clustering of all structures in AFdb

attempted using Foldseek(17). Pertaining to existing classification of proteins containing β-trefoil

domain, there are 1,544 proteins from acidic fibroblast growth factor and 13 structures with tail

fiber receptor-binding protein in the current version of the CATH database(18). The lack of other

functional classification in CATH is both because i) β-trefoil domain is very divergent missing

sequence-based annotation and ii) in multi-domain proteins they constitute less than 50% of the

protein. According to SCOP classification, β-trefoil is implicated in eight distinct functional

families with capacity to bind to DNA (3brf), to carbohydrate (1jly, 1jlx), to chlorophyll (2dre), to

immunoglobulin-like receptor domain (1ira), to actin in a pH dependent fashion (1hcd), proteases

(6dwu) and AbfB (1wd3 and 2wd4)(19).

RESULTS

In this work, the β-trefoil fold was chosen to challenge the reach and limitations of functional classification by fold-fold comparison. β-trefoil fold not only displays functional diversity by promiscuously binding to every class of macromolecules, but is the most divergent fold family challenging the sequence-based function prediction even among paralogs of the same functional class within the same locus in human(20).

Functional diversity of β-trefoil fold in PDB

As representative of the β-trefoil fold, we selected accessions for 3D structures of five known proteins including 1i1b, 5uc6, 4p0j, 5bow and 6ncu from PDB. Pairwise alignment of these structures displays greater than 2 Å r.m.s.d. with a sequence identity of less than 30%. During the first iteration, each of these structures were searched for potential homologs against the PDB using DALI(21), a structure comparison tool. The r.m.s.d. cutoff and query coverage for homolog selection was set at 2 Å and greater than 80% respectively to avoid false positives. This process revealed nineteen distinct functions among proteins containing the β-trefoil fold. This is still an underestimate, since we missed other functions present in PDB because of the stringent cutoff. For example, we missed proteins containing the β-trefoil fold in the PDB such as LAG-1 (3brf), AbfB (1wd3) and β-L-arabinopyranosidase (3a21) from our search with a relaxed filtering criteria against AFdb. As shown in Figure 1, we found 51 entries containing β-trefoil domain spanning diverse functions in the PDB including GalNAc, Hemagglutinin, Lectin, Mosquitocidal toxin, Carbohydrate-Binding Family, Fibroblast Growth Factor, Ubiquitin, Neurotoxin, Ebulin, Cytolysin, Mycocypin/Clitocypin, Ricin, Lysenin, Kunitz Inhibitor, Inositol-3 Phosphate receptor, Fascin, Spindlin, Sevil and IL-1.

Figure 1: The core of the sundial represents the β-trefoil fold. The second ring classifies the

proteins into functional families. The third layer shows the distribution of β-trefoil fold in PDB

across biological kingdoms, color-coded as blue for bacteria, gray for fungi, green for plants, red

for Metazoa, and yellow for protozoa. Fourth layer shows representative β-hairpin without loops

from each functional class along with r.m.s.d. and sequence identity compared to interleukin-1

with the PDBid of 5bow. The outermost layer illustrates domain architecture with purple

representing the β-trefoil domain and additional domains in unique colors such as Agglutinin

(orange), Glycosyl transferase family (dark-yellow), Glycosyl hydrolases family (dark-blue),

Clostridium neurotoxin receptor-binding domain (light-blue), RIH domain (brown), Ryanodine

receptor-associated domain (dark-green), Unknown domain 1 (light-pink), Unknown domain 2

(dark-pink), Unknown domain 3 (lemon-yellow), Unknown domain 4 (grey), Unknown domain 5

(pastel-green), Spindlin/Ssty family domain (light-green), Cellulase (red), Carbohydrate binding

family domain (forest-green).

The domain architecture of every protein identified containing the β-trefoil fold from the

PDB was characterized using HMM and their domain architecture is shown in the outermost ring

within each of the respective functional spokes in Figure 1. Table 1 lists eighteen representative

protein structures containing distinct domain architecture for second iteration to search against

AFdb. The representative structure for one of the functions, Mosquitocidal toxin, was not taken

forward because it did not yield any hits against AFdb. The representative protein structures were

queried against the AFdb v2.0 using the 3Di option in Foldseek(17). During this iteration, the

search results were filtered to include only those with an r.m.s.d. below 3 Å and coverage more

than 30%. The 3 Å increase in cutoff is based on our experience with searching the PDB during

our first iteration, where we missed some functions because of the stringent 2 Å cutoff. The

stringency of 30% query coverage for the second iteration was chosen because β-trefoil domain

constituted more than 30% of the majority of the query proteins as shown in Table1 column-2.

The cutoff of 30% coverage also allows discovery of novel domain architecture containing β-

trefoil domains from the selected query structures without the need for repeating the search only

with β-trefoil domain.

Details of the search results from mining AFdb are elaborated in Table 1. The second and

third columns contain the query IDs for the representative query structures and their respective

kingdoms. The fourth column reports the number of hits with 80% versus 30% coverage from

AFdb and the last column highlights the kingdom diversity in AFdb among the 30% hits. With a

few exceptions, hits with greater than 80% coverage show less diversity at the kingdom level, as

expected. We find considerable kingdom-level diversity from AFdb with respect to the PDB

(Figure 1, third ring) for the same functional class. For example, with the query 3vsz from the

functional class Ricin , we find AFdb hits in Metazoa, which is missing in PDB. On the other hand,

for homologs of ricin from plants is represented in PDB without a single hit from plants in AFdb.

Table 1: Results of AFdb search using 18 representative query structures

Protein function	Representative Query PDB ID	Query Kingdom	Total number of Hits (>80% /30% coverage)	Percentage hits from kingdoms other than query with less than 30% coverage (blue: bacteria, grey: fungi, red: metazoa, green: plant, yellow: protozoa)
Ricin	7KDU (47%)	Plantae	221/2250	95 %, 0.04 %, 4.3 %
Ricin	3VSZ (31%)	Bacteria	2/368	40 %, 20 %, 20 %, 20 %
Ricin	3PHZ (52 %)	Fungi	30/2038	0.05 %, 98.93 %, 0.9 %, 0.1 %
Hemagglutinin	3AH2 (48 %)	Bacteria	14/721	86.5 %, 2 %, 10 %, 3 %, 0.4 %
Lectin	2IHO (60 %)	Fungi	37/2271	96.8 %, 0.4 %, 2.1 %, 0.5%
Lectin	4HR6 (51 %)	Plantae	152/553	98.9 %, 0.7 %, 0.2 %
Carbohydrate binding family (CBF)	5G56 (20 %)	Bacteria	5/159	97 %, 2 %
Neurotoxin	5TPB (35 %)	Bacteria	39/42	none
Ebulin	1HWM (51 %)	Plantae	175/622	77.2 %, 22.8 %
Lysenin	3ZXD (45 %)	Metazoa	3/5	50 %, 50 %
Lysenin	5EC5 (49 %)	Metazoa	1/12	100 %
Kunitz inhibitor	1BA7 (100 %)	Plantae	631/634	100 %
Inositol 3-P receptor	1N4K (55 %)	Metazoa	6/34	100 %
Fascin	4GOV (31 %)	Metazoa	1049/1203	6.2 %, 25 %, 68.75 %
Spindlin	5Y5W (30 %)	Metazoa	16/55	100 %
Spindlin	4UY4 (36 %)	Metazoa	76/188	100 %
Spindlin	5A1H (31 %)	Metazoa	1069/1223	77.7 %, 5.5 %, 16.6 %
Galnac	5FV9 (27 %)	Metazoa	102/104	none

200
201

For some of the queries, the number of hits is large for 80% cutoff allowing us to interrogate

the accuracy of predicted structures in AFdb with respect to sequence identity. For the eleven query

structures with sufficient numbers of hits (purple, Table 1: column 2), the r.m.s.d. versus sequence

identity are plotted in Figure 2. As expected, there is a clear inverse correlation between r.m.s.d.

and sequence identity among these hits, validating that AlphaFold is a mega homology modeling

tool.

Figure 2: Each plot shows r.m.s.d. (x-axis: 0-3 Å) versus percent identities (y-axis: 30-100) for the

eleven selected queries (purple in Table 1 column 2) against AFdb hits with more than 80% query

coverage.

Figure 2 shows the plot of r.m.s.d on the x-axis verses sequence identity on the y-axis for

hits covering 80% of the query sequence against AFdb for the eleven query structures inset within

the respective plots. The hits from AFdb for the eleven selected query PDB structures shown in

Figure 2, vary in pattern widely. A few plots follow a step pattern with each step representing

distinct taxonomic classes or orders. For example, each step in the hits from 4gov belonging to

Metazoa represent homologs from birds at 78%, to fish at 66%, whale at 55% and Arthropoda at

42% identity. Similarly, hits from 5a1h, from Metazoa, have a step at 78% representing birds and

bats. Other predominant patterns in Figure 2 include those with missing structures above ~60%

sequence identity and below ~1.5 r.m.s.d cutoffs, such as hits from 4hr6, 2iho and 5tpb. The query

4hr6 is a lectin from snake gourd, belonging to Cucurbitales , with hits between 60% - 50% belong

to the same order, hits between 50% - 40% mostly belong to the order Dipsacales and Malpighiales

with a few from Rosales and Fabales, and hits between 40% - 30% mostly belong to the order

Malvales. The query 2iho is a lectin, which is from mushroom, and finds hits that are also from

mushrooms (Basidiomycetes) with a few exceptions from Ascomycetes, which is a sac fungus.

The query 5tpb is a toxin from bacterium *Clostridium botulinum* with most hits belonging to the

same species and a few from the same genus. Also, in these examples, to explain the gap in the

region spanning low r.m.s.d. (<1.5 Å) and high sequence identity (> 60%) we blasted the

sequences of the query protein against NR (Supplementary Figure S1, 1c, 2c and 3c) and UniProt

databases (Supplementary Figure S1, 1b and 3b) and find that this is resulting from incompleteness

in AFdb resulting from missing UniProt entries.

The third pattern is a sudden drop to 60% identity still showing low r.m.s.d. such as in

7kdu, a Rosid and in 1hwm, an Asterid. The 3-4 layers of hits against 7kdu are all plants from

different orders. For example, 98% identity is for another Rosid, 66% for plant species under

magnolids, and species under monocots show up at 43% identity. Similarly, hits against 1hwm at

81% come under Asterids and those at 43% belong to Rosids.

Functional annotation of hits from AFdb

Functional annotations of UniProt proteins for all hits from AFdb are by homology at the

sequence level. Hence, the annotation in UniProt could be based on partial domain-level homology

and may miss domain architecture-based functional annotation. Hereafter, even for hits that are

characterized entries in UniProt, independent annotation was attempted using fold-fold

comparison. In order to discover novel domain architecture containing the β-trefoil fold, we

interrogated hits with query coverage greater than 30% for multi-domain query structures.

Kingdom-level diversity in functions for the queries in Table 1 suggests that the fold-fold

comparison strategy has the reach to identify homologs across kingdoms. For example, the CBF

(carbohydrate binding family) homologs extend to metazoans and is not limited to microbes as

observed from PDB.

In Figure 3, we illustrate the domain architecture for the thirteen novel proteins from AFdb

containing a β-trefoil domain along with an additional domain, which are not yet represented in

the PDB. These proteins were categorized as follows. i) Category-1: Proteins that share their β-

trefoil fold, but the structure of the other domain is not yet experimentally determined, ii)

Category-2: Proteins whose additional domain is found in the PDB without the β-trefoil fold. In

these cases, it was verified that the β-trefoil domain is absent even in the full-length protein of the

corresponding PDB structures, indicating that while the additional domain is well represented, its

association with the β-trefoil fold is unique to the proteins identified. iii) Category-3: Proteins that

are represented in the PDB with both the β-trefoil fold and the extra domain, perhaps missed during

our first iteration because of stringent cutoffs.

Figure 3: Novel domain architecture of UniProt proteins containing β-trefoil domains predicted by

AlphaFold that are not yet represented in the PDB. A tabular format is included in Supplementary

Table S1.

Among the 13 hits from AFdb, we found four category-1 and eight category-2 hits as shown

in Figure 3 and listed in Supplementary Table S1. Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows structures for hits

characterized and uncharacterized in UniProt respectively. Figure 4a, 4c, 4e, 4f, 5c, 5d, 5e and 5f

shows hits belonging to category-2. Examples of Category 2 are i) A0A6I5GSK5, which is

annotated as chitinase sharing its chitinase domain with 2dsk (r.m.s.d 1.342 Å), which lacks β-

trefoil domain even in the corresponding full-length protein, WP_317259669.1, with 742 amino

acids, ii) A0A4Q2KX23, sharing its β-glucosidase domain with 4i3g (r.m.s.d 1.016 Å) lacks β-

trefoil domain even in the corresponding full-length protein, WP_230883105.1, with 832 amino

acids, iii) A0A3D9WMQ9 shares its protein kinase domain with 3f61 (1.95 Å), which lacks β-

trefoil domain even in the corresponding full-length protein, WP_057333683.1, with 626 amino

acids, iv) A0A820M8C8 shares its metallopeptidase domain with 1slm (0.824 Å) lacking β-trefoil

domain even in the corresponding full-length protein, NP_002413.1, with 477 amino acids, v)

A0A191US67 shares its β -L- arabinofuranosidase domain with 4qjy (2.83 Å) lacking β-trefoil

domain even in the corresponding full-length protein, WP_371878443.1, with 642 amino acids,

vi) A0A844M7R0 shares its phoD domain with 2yeq (0.741 Å) lacking β-trefoil domain even in

the corresponding full-length protein, WP_009969242.1, with 583 amino acids, vii) A0A820T4Y8

shares the trypsin domain with 7n7x (0.628 Å) lacking β-trefoil domain even in the corresponding

full-length protein, XP_054205941.1, with 436 amino acids, viii) A0A3A9W2R1 shares the

alginate lyase domain with 3zpy (0.976 Å) lacking β-trefoil domain even in the corresponding full-

length protein, WP_303396801.1, with 446 amino acids. An enlarged view of all proteins in Figure

4 and Figure 5 are shown individually in stereo figures in Supplementary Figure S2a-f and

Supplementary Figure S3a-g.

Figures 4b, 4d, 5a and 5b show category-1 hits. The β-trefoil domains of A0A318NTU0,

A0A1I6E245, A0A3L7BAS7 and A0A0U3MKC8 gives an r.m.s.d. of 0.795 Å, 1.867 Å, 0.686

Å and 0.888 Å against a representative β-trefoil domain to confirm the presence of this domain in

these novel multi-domain hits from AFdb. For the additional domains of these proteins there is no

representation in the PDB, suggesting absence of experimentally determined structure for these

domains. Perhaps the annotation for proteins shown in Figure 4b and 4d in UniProt, despite lacking

experimental structures in PDB, is based on the presence of the annotated domains in PFam.

Figure 4: Stereo diagram of the six characterized UniProt entries with predicted structures not yet represented in PDB. PDB queries in 4b and 4d representing category-1 are in green, AFdb structures in pink, PDB hits for category-2 in 4a, 4c, 4e and 4f are in blue.

Figure 5: Stereo diagram of the seven uncharacterized proteins from AFdb containing β-trefoil

domain not part of the functions and domain architecture presented in Figure 1. PDB queries in 5a

and 5b representing category-1 are in green, AFdb structures in orange, PDB hits for categories-2

and 3 in 5c through 5f in blue.

Interrogation of mutation tolerance in β-trefoil

We have produced structure-based alignment for β-trefoil domain of the 51 hits from PDB shown in the outer most layer in Figure 1_and 13 structures from AFdb hits. This alignment was filtered to include only those positions with less than 10% gaps. A phylogenetic tree created using this filtered alignment, shown in Supplementary Figure S5, cluster by kingdom. The same alignment is also used to compute Shannon entropy at each position shown in Figure 6 (bottom), which reveals the tolerance of most positions except those that are circled as forming core region. Since there are only 9 positions out of ~150 amino acids that display low entropy with 7 positions circled as forming protein core, less than 5% of the protein has low tolerance to mutations with non-conservative substitutions. A phylogenetic tree created using the alignment of the 7 core positions, shown in Figure 6, cluster by function more than by kingdom.

320 Figure 6: Top shows phylogenetic tree from only aligning the 7 core positions with low entropy.

321 Bottom show Shannon entropy at positions with less than 10% gaps from a structure-based

322 alignment. The mutation tolerance at each core position is shown with red arrows.

DISCUSSION

The incomprehensible convergence in fold for billions of proteins, divergent at the sequence-level, can now be used in functional characterization of thousands of proteins under each organism using AFdb. Here, by identifying novel domain architecture in the AFdb structures containing the β-trefoil fold, we demonstrate the usefulness of fold-fold comparison in exploring functional diversity. This approach could be considered a bottom-up compared to clustering the entire structure database using fold-fold comparison, which we consider top-down. All top-down approaches including the approaches used by CATHdb, SCOP and Foldseek is challenged while clustering multidomain proteins(15), (19), (22) . In that domains that are highly conserved at sequence or structure-levels is likely to misplace and disperse more divergent domains into several clusters, thus posing a real challenge in functional annotation of divergent protein folds like β-trefoil.

While the SCOP and CATH database classifies β-trefoil domain containing proteins into eight (8) and four (4) distinct functions, our search in the PDB revealed nineteen (19) distinct functions for proteins containing this domain as shown in Figure 1. Except for a few, these functions span all kingdoms including plants, bacteria, fungi and Metazoa. This is inspite of the limited functional diversity in the PDB, as the database is highly redundant and/or biased towards proteins of therapeutic or economic importance to humans. Therefore, we searched the AFdb containing over 200 million predicted protein structures using representative PDB structures from the nineteen functional class as listed in Table 1. Not surprisingly, as shown in Figure 2, among the AFdb hits for a given PDB query with 80% coverage, there is a clear inverse correlation between sequence identity and r.m.s.d. for the predicted structures as expected, thus validating

AlphaFold predictions. In that, AlphaFold is a mega homology modeling tool on the one end and

a novel fold prediction tool on the other. Interestingly, the steps in the plots of r.m.s.d. against

sequence identity in Figure 2, represent a distinct class or order or kingdom as expected.

Among the β-trefoil containing proteins from AFdb, we discovered thirteen novel domain

architectures where the β-trefoil fold is found along with an additional domain that is either not

yet structurally characterized or the domain architecture is not yet represented in the PDB. With

the exception of A0A820T4Y8, all the novel proteins containing a β-trefoil domain are from

culturable bacteria and are amenable to functional characterization using experimental techniques.

For example, A0A3L7BAS7 is a protein from *Micromonospora sp.* CV4, A0A191US67 is a

protein from *Streptomyces parvulus*, A0A844M7R0 is a protein from *Scytonema sp.* UIC 10036

(cyanobacteria), R8CKC2 from *Bacillus cereus*, A0A820T4Y8 from *Rotaria sp. Silwood2*

(Metazoa) and A0A0U3MKC8 from *Roseateles depolymerans*.

Function prediction using fold comparison is challenged by folds that may have evolved

independently several times. For β-trefoil fold, reports in the literature argues that as a primordial

fold, as a fold highly tolerant of mutations and as a fold that may have been built from peptides

components such as β-hairpins or antibody fragments, it is most likely a divergent evolution(16)

(23) (24). Here, using structure-based sequence alignment of β-trefoil domains from 32 distinct

functions including multiple domains we address if β-trefoil is a converging or diverging

evolution. As shown in Figure 6, entropy at every position in the structure-based sequence

alignment, covering less than 10% gaps among the 64 trefoil domains representing the 32 functions

identified from PDB and AFdb databases, show very few positions with low-entropy, supporting

a fold that is highly tolerant to mutations. A phylogenetic tree in Figure 6 generated using only the

seven low-entropy positions reveal four clades mostly by function suggesting that the fold may

have independently evolved at least four times, supporting convergent evolution and explaining

the diversity in function, diversity in domain architecture and ability to bind to diverse substrates.

If true, fold-based function prediction will hit a twilight zone for folds like β-trefoil just like

sequence-based function prediction methods. At the least, our work challenges our ability to

differentiate between conservation in the core amino acids stemming from divergent evolution or

structural constraint imposed during convergent evolution.

METHOD

Identifying β-trefoil fold containing proteins

As shown in Figure 7a, a few representative structures known to have β-trefoil domain and were

more diverged that 2 Å r.m.s.d and less than 30% identify were selected from the PDB database

and were used to search against the entire PDB using DALI, a structure-structure comparison

tool(21).   A filter of less than 2 Å r.m.s.d and greater than 80% coverage was used to reduce false

positives during this iteration. . These hits were then classified both based on annotation in the

PDB and based on domain architecture as shown by the spokes in the outer ring in Figure 1.

Figure 7: Flowchart of various steps along with filtering criteria

The command lines used for flowchart in Figure 7a are:

Obtain query PDBs (β-trefoil folds from PDB)

• wget https://files.rcsb.org/download/5bow.pdb

Uploaded PDB structure to DALI server at

• https://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali

Filtering hits for r.m.s.d. threshold < 2.0 Å and alignment coverage ≥ 80% for selecting hits.

• awk 'NF > 0 && $1 !~ /^#/ && $1 !~ /^Job:/ && $1 !~ /^Query:/ && $4+0 / 151 * 100

>= 80 && $3+0 < 2.0' dali_output_5bow.txt > dali_output_5bow_filtered.txt

As shown in Figure 7b one structure representing distinct domain architecture, discovered from

the PDB search (Figure 6a), were selected to search AFdb. In order to look for known and novel

functional architecture in AFdb, we interrogated hits with greater than 30% query coverage

because β-trefoil domain in all the 18 representative structures ranged 30-50% of the proteins.

Note that this cutoff may lead to false negatives if in novel large proteins β-trefoil domains is less

than 30% of the protein. For example, proteins with domain structures like 6mu1 (Supplementary

Figure S4) where the β-trefoil region spans only 15% of the entire structure. As mentioned in the

results section, the hits are binned into various categories depending on the presence of β-trefoil

and/or other domains in PDB. The command line for pipeline in Figure 6b are follows.

• wget https://mmseqs.com/foldseek/foldseek-linux-avx2.tar.gz; tar xvzf foldseek-linux-

avx2.tar.gz; export PATH=$(pwd)/foldseek/bin/:$PATH

• foldseek databases Alphafold/UniProt up tmp_up

• foldseek   easy-search   query_structure1.pdb   query_structure2.pdb   up

foldseek_results_combined_1_2.txt tmp_up --max-seqs 5000 -e 1e-4 --alignment-type 0 -

-format-output target,qlen,alnlen,rmsd,taxname,taxlineage

• awk -F'\t' '($4+0 < 3) && ($3+0 >= 0.3 * $2) { print }' foldseek_results_combined_1_2.txt

> foldseek_filtered_results.txt

AlphaFold predicts structures uses multiple sequence alignment, known PDB structures, rotromer

libraries, cooperative substitution, and other features using deep learning. Because of this, it is

anticipated that the predicted 3D structures of proteins with large representative of homologs in

sequence and structure database should be more accurate with lower r.m.s.d for homologs with

high sequence identity. The flowchart in Figure 7c shows the workflow to obtian r.m.s.d (x-axis)

against sequence identity (30%-100%, y-axis) for all the hits from AFdb against selected query

structures limited to a query coverage of greater than 80% shown in Figure 2.

Mutation tolerance analysis across 32 distinct functions for β-trefoil folds

from PDB and AFdb

To quantitatively assess the mutation tolerance of the β-trefoil fold, we performed a structure-

based MSA from all 32 functions, spanning diverse taxonomic groups. For each aligned position

containing less than 10% gaps, the Shannon entropy was computed to evaluate the degree of

sequence variability. Positions exhibiting high entropy were indicative of considerable amino acid

diversity. Only at 7 positions representing the core were significantly low, suggesting high

tolerance for mutations in β-trefoil. We also generated a phylogenetic tree with only the 7 core

positions shown in Figure 6 (top).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank GoK for funding for data analysis personnel via BioIT grant and computing infrastructure via the Department of IT, BT and ST. The authors also acknowledge using resources from DBT Builder Sanction No. BT/INF/22/SP45402/2022 dated 08/03/2022 and CCB Sanction No. BT/PR40212/BTIS/137/40/2022 dated 19/12/2022

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

MG: The entire bioinformatics work, SS: for conceptualization, guiding bioinformatics work and writing of the manuscript

DATA AVAILABILITY

Structural-based sequence alignment of all 64 structures representing 32 functions in fasta format is provided as supporting material.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Chothia C. Proteins. One thousand families for the molecular biologist. Nature. 1992 Jun 18;357(6379):543–4.

2. Jumper J, Evans R, Pritzel A, Green T, Figurnov M, Ronneberger O, et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature. 2021 Aug;596(7873):583–9.

3. Dayhoff M, Schwartz R, Orcutt B. Atlas of Protein Sequence nd Structure. 1978. 345–352 p. (3; vol. 5).

4. Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990 Oct 5;215(3):403–10.

5. Eddy SR. Hidden Markov models. Curr Opin Struct Biol. 1996 Jun;6(3):361–5.

6. Hameduh T, Haddad Y, Adam V, Heger Z. Homology modeling in the time of collective and
artificial intelligence. Comput Struct Biotechnol J. 2020;18:3494–506.

7. Simons KT, Strauss C, Baker D. Prospects for ab initio protein structural genomics. J Mol
Biol. 2001 Mar 9;306(5):1191–9.

8. Wodak SJ, Vajda S, Lensink MF, Kozakov D, Bates PA. Critical Assessment of Methods for
Predicting the 3D Structure of Proteins and Protein Complexes. Annu Rev Biophys. 2023
May 9;52:183–206.

9. Kamisetty H, Ovchinnikov S, Baker D. Assessing the utility of coevolution-based residue-
residue contact predictions in a sequence- and structure-rich era. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
2013 Sep 24;110(39):15674–9.

10. Kryshtafovych A, Schwede T, Topf M, Fidelis K, Moult J. Critical assessment of methods of
protein structure prediction (CASP)-Round XIV. Proteins. 2021 Dec;89(12):1607–17.

11. Ma W, Zhang S, Li Z, Jiang M, Wang S, Lu W, et al. Enhancing Protein Function Prediction
Performance by Utilizing AlphaFold-Predicted Protein Structures. J Chem Inf Model. 2022
Sep 12;62(17):4008–17.

12. Zhao C, Liu T, Wang Z. PANDA-3D: protein function prediction based on AlphaFold
models. NAR Genom Bioinform. 2024 Aug 6;6(3):lqae094.

13. Wang Z, Zhao C, Wang Y, Sun Z, Wang N. PANDA: Protein function prediction using
domain architecture and affinity propagation. Sci Rep. 2018 Feb 22;8(1):3484.

14. Durairaj J, Waterhouse AM, Mets T, Brodiazhenko T, Abdullah M, Studer G, et al.
Uncovering new families and folds in the natural protein universe. Nature. 2023
Oct;622(7983):646–53.

15. Barrio-Hernandez I, Yeo J, Jänes J, Mirdita M, Gilchrist CLM, Wein T, et al. Clustering
predicted structures at the scale of the known protein universe. Nature. 2023
Oct;622(7983):637–45.

16. Tenorio CA, Longo LM, Parker JB, Lee J, Blaber M. Ab initio folding of a trefoil-fold motif
reveals structural similarity with a β-propeller blade motif. Protein Sci. 2020
May;29(5):1172–85.

17. Fast and accurate protein structure search with Foldseek - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2024
Mar 31]. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/37156916/

18. Cuff AL, Sillitoe I, Lewis T, Clegg AB, Rentzsch R, Furnham N, et al. Extending CATH:
increasing coverage of the protein structure universe and linking structure with function.
Nucleic Acids Res. 2011 Jan;39(Database issue):D420-426.

19. Lo Conte L, Ailey B, Hubbard TJP, Brenner SE, Murzin AG, Chothia C. SCOP: a Structural
Classification of Proteins database. Nucleic Acids Res. 2000 Jan 1;28(1):257–9.

20. Bazan JF, Timans JC, Kastelein RA. A newly defined interleukin-1? Nature. 1996 Feb
15;379(6566):591.

21. Holm L, Sander C. Dali: a network tool for protein structure comparison. Trends Biochem
Sci. 1995 Nov;20(11):478–80.

22. The CATH database -- Class, Architecture, Topology, and Homology Protein Structure
Database | HSLS [Internet]. [cited 2025 May 30]. Available from:
https://www.hsls.pitt.edu/obrc/index.php?page=URL1100794593

23. Azarkan M, Martinez-Rodriguez S, Buts L, Baeyens-Volant D, Garcia-Pino A. The plasticity
of the β-trefoil fold constitutes an evolutionary platform for protease inhibition. J Biol Chem.
2011 Dec 23;286(51):43726–34.

24. Longo LM, Kolodny R, McGlynn SE. Evidence for the emergence of β-trefoils by "Peptide
Budding" from an IgG-like β-sandwich. PLoS Comput Biol. 2022 Feb;18(2):e1009833.

503