Comparative Transcriptomic Analysis of Perfluoroalkyl Substances-Induced Responses of

Exponential and Stationary Phase *Escherichia coli*

Molly E. Wintenberg,[a]# Olga B. Vasilyeva, [a] Samuel W. Schaffter [a]

[a] Material Measurement Laboratory, National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S.

Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA

Running head: Temporal Transcriptomic Responses of *E. coli* to PFCAs

(53 characters with spaces)

#Address correspondence to Molly E. Wintenberg, molly.wintenberg@nist.gov

Abstract word count: 250

ABSTRACT

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are highly stable chemical contaminants of emerging concern for human and environmental health due to their non-natural chemistry, widespread use, and environmental persistence. Despite conventional metrology, mitigation strategies, and removal technologies, the complexity of this growing problem necessitates the need for alternative approaches to tackle the immense challenges associated with complex environmental PFAS contamination. Recently, biology has emerged as an alternative approach to detect and mitigate PFAS and understand the molecular-level responses of living organisms, including microorganisms, to these compounds. However, further study is needed to understand how microorganisms in different environments and growth phases respond to PFAS. In this study, we performed RNA sequencing at mid-exponential, early stationary phase, and late stationary phase of bacterial growth to determine the global transcriptional response of a model chassis, *Escherichia coli* MG1655, induced by two PFAS, perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA), and equivalent non-fluorinated carboxylic acids (NFCA), octanoic acid and dodecanoic acid. Differential gene expression analysis revealed PFOA and PFDoA induced distinct changes in gene expression throughout cultivation. Specifically, we identified significant changes in expression of the formate regulon and sulfate assimilation at mid-exponential phase and ferrous iron transport, central metabolism, the molecular chaperone network, and motility processes during stationary phase. Importantly, many of these changes are not induced by NFCAs. In summary, we found PFAS induced a system-level change in gene expression, and our results expand the understanding of bacterial-PFAS interactions that could enable the development of future real-time environmental monitoring and mitigation technologies.

Importance

The prevalence and persistence of PFAS in the environment is a growing area of concern. However, little is understood of the impacts of PFAS on the environment, particularly impacts on microorganisms that play pivotal roles in nearly every ecosystem. Thus, comprehensive measurements that provide systems-level insight into how microorganisms respond and adapt to PFAS in the environment are paramount. Here, we use RNA sequencing to study the global transcriptional response of *E. coli* MG1655 to two PFAS and non-fluorinated equivalent compounds across growth phases. We find that PFAS induce system-level changes in metabolic, transport, and gene regulatory pathways, providing insight into how these non-natural chemicals interact with a model bacterium. Additionally, the transcriptomic dataset associated with this work provides the community with PFSA-specific gene expression patterns and possible PFAS degradation pathways for the development of future whole-cell biosensors and mitigation efforts.

INTRODUCTION

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are a class of fluorinated compounds with various structures conferring strong resistance to degradation or decomposition in the environment (1). Widespread industrial production of fire-fighting foam and non-stick coatings and use in other consumer products of pesticides, cleaning materials, and personal care products, has led to substantial release of PFAS into the environment, raising concerns about their environmental persistence and bioaccumulation (2, 3). PFAS have been detected ubiquitously in human hair, breast milk, and blood and in environmental populations, such as water basins, surface soils, and plants cultivated in contaminated sites (4-10). Exposure to PFAS has been linked to several adverse human health effects, including immunotoxicity, liver damage, and thyroid disfunction and negative ecological impacts of endocrine disruption in aquatic species (11-14).

To date, the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) and the international

Organization of Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) have categorized thousands

of individual compounds based on a threshold of fluorine percentage and molecular structure or

the presence of perfluoroalkyl moieties (15, 16). The structures of these compounds are distinct

with linear and branched carbon chains of varying length and fluorination and functional head

groups containing sulfonates, sulfonamides, carboxylates, and other moieties that are believed to

govern compound stability, transport, and environmental fate (17). In addition to the variety of

PFAS across industrial products, environmental samples from a single contaminated source will

often contain mixtures of intact PFAS, partial degradation products, and precursor chemicals (18,

19). Sample complexity and batch variation make measurement and quantitation of PFAS-

containing samples with traditional analytical techniques like liquid chromatography – mass

spectrometry (LC-MS) (20-22) challenging and costly. Moreover, water remediation strategies

including ion exchange resins, high-pressure membrane systems, and granular activated carbon

(23) and soil remediation strategies including thermal treatment and soil washing (24) are also

complicated by the unique chemical properties that originally made PFAS efficient in industrial

and commercial products. To rapidly respond to this growing problem, there is a need for

alternative approaches to real-time environmental monitoring and mitigation efforts, as well as

comprehensive methods to assess environmental impact (25-27). Increasingly, researchers are

turning to biology as an alternative approach for PFAS detection (28, 29) and mitigation (25, 30).

Broad measurements of living systems could not only aid in rapid, on-site detection of new

contamination sources and identification and classification of new PFAS byproducts but also

expand our general understanding of the biological consequences of PFAS contamination (25, 26).

Bacteria are a promising measurement platform as they play critical roles in most

ecosystems, are highly concentrated in soil environments and aquifers where PFAS contamination

persists (31, 32), and rapidly sense and respond to environmental fluctuations (33, 34).

Transcriptomic analysis by RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) of bacterial interactions with PFAS could

serve as an alternative measurement strategy to explore genome-wide molecular mechanisms

underlying gene expression level changes induced by PFAS. RNA-seq is a widely applicable

technique that can investigate the response of a wide variety of organisms to various types and

mixtures of PFAS, potentially enabling the identification of specific sensing gene targets and PFAS

degradation pathways and provide insight into how these non-natural chemicals interact with

living systems. Although, omics-based analyses have been used to examine the biological response

of several organisms, including primary human liver cell spheroids, zebrafish, and *Escherichia*

coli, to various PFAS (35-38), studies exploring the global transcriptional response of bacteria

across key growth phases are limited.

In this study, we employed time course RNA-seq at key phases of bacterial growth to

investigate the global transcriptional response of a model Gram-negative bacterium, *E. coli*

MG1655*,* to PFAS (Figure 1). *E. coli* is an optimal organism to begin understanding the microbial

transcriptional responses to PFAS, as it is present in different environments and its transcriptional

responses to numerous stressors and growth conditions have been extensively studied with RNA-

seq (39-42). *E. coli* was grown in the presence of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic acids (PFCA) or

equivalent non-fluorinated carboxylic acids (NFCA) at a concentration of 100 μmol/L for 48 hr,

and samples were sequenced at mid-exponential and stationary phase. Differential expression

analysis revealed significant changes in mid-exponential and stationary phase gene expression

induced by two different PFCAs, including changes that differed from the NFCAs. Our results

indicate *E. coli* employed different mechanisms at different growth phases further signifying

107 temporal gene expression in response to PFCAs. Specifically, we identified significant changes in

108 the expression of the formate regulon and sulfate assimilation at mid-exponential phase, ferrous

109 iron transport, amino acid metabolism, small regulatory RNAs during early stationary phase, and

110 central metabolism, ribosome biosynthesis, molecular chaperones, sigma factors, and motility

111 process of flagellar assembly and chemotaxis during late stationary phase. The transcription-level

112 responses of *E. coli* to PFCAs presented in this study expand our knowledge of the molecular-level

113 response to PFAS and could inform the development of future environmental monitoring and

114 mitigation strategies.

115 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

116 **Culturing *E. coli* with 100 µmol/L of PFCA does not inhibit growth**

117   To study the transcriptional responses of *E. coli* to PFAS, we first needed to understand the

118 growth characteristics of *E. coli* in the presence of PFAS. From a sub-class of PFAS, two PFCAs,

119 perfluorooctanoic acid (PFOA) and perfluorododecanoic acid (PFDoA), were selected as

120 representative PFCAs commonly found in environmental contamination (12, 43) and to provide a

121 comparison of chain length of PFCAs between 8 and 12 carbons, respectively (Figure 2 A). We

122 aimed to select a concentration high enough to induce a measurable change in gene expression but

123 low enough to avoid toxicity to the cells. Based on existing literature (37, 44) and the observation

124 that *E. coli* cell division and growth can stall with fluoride concentrations between 10 µmol/L and

125 100 µmol/L (45-47), we initially selected these concentrations to explore PFOA and PFDoA

126 toxicity. *E. coli* was grown in M9 minimal medium supplemented with glucose and 10 µmol/L or

127 100 µmol/L of either PFOA or PFDoA for a period of 48 hours at 37 °C (SI Figure 1, Materials

128 and Methods). The PFCAs were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), so to generate untreated

129 control samples for differential expression analysis, cells were cultivated in the same liquid

medium with an equal concentration of DMSO not containing PFCAs. NFCAs, octanoic acid (OA)

and dodecanoic acid (DoA) (Figure 2 A), were also included to compare the response of *E. coli* to

fluorinated and non-fluorinated carboxylic acids. All sample groups were prepared in biological

triplicate (SI Figure 1). We monitored growth of *E. coli* in the presence and absence of PFCAs or

NFCAs using optical density measurements at 600 nm (OD_{600}) at select time points (Figure 2 B;

SI Figure 2) across 48 hours. *E. coli* exhibited similar growth profiles for both 100 µmol/L (Figure

2 B) and 10 µmol/L (SI Figure 2) of PFOA, PFDoA, OA, and DoA, and a two-way mixed ANOVA

confirmed no statistically significant difference in growth to the untreated control (Table SI 1). As

both concentrations tested yielded similar growth profiles, we elected to collect samples for

sequencing and downstream analysis from cells grown with 100 µmol/L of each compound.

Global transcriptional response of *E. coli* to PFCAs and NFCAs across the time course

Next, we collected samples for total RNA extraction and next generation sequencing (NGS)

at 6 hr, 24 hr, and 48 hr. Sampling times were selected to represent mid-exponential phase, the

transition from exponential to stationary phase, and the end of stationary phase of bacterial growth.

Gene expression naturally shifts between these growth phases (48); therefore, we hypothesize

PFCAs will further exaggerate the natural changes in expression. We extracted total RNA from

three biologically distinct cultures of each sample type for commercial cDNA library preparation

and sequencing (Materials and Methods). NGS generated a total of over 350 million reads with a

mean quality score of 35.88 (Table SI 2).

We performed principal component analysis (PCA) of normalized data through DESeq2

methodology (49) to visualize variation in gene expression between the 45 samples in this study

(SI Figure 3). All transcriptomes first cluster with their respective growth phase as seen with PC1,

implying an inherent temporal transcriptomic response. Transcriptomes of 24 hr and 48 hr samples

had less variation and clustered closer to one another than to the 6 hr transcriptomes, suggesting

gene expression during the two points within stationary phase was more similar than mid-

exponential phase at the time of sampling. These results demonstrate the global shift in gene

expression is governed by a shift from active growth to cell survival and response to stress

regardless of sample type. Additionally, PFOA samples clustered more closely to PFDoA samples

than the untreated control samples throughout the time course (SI Figure 3), indicating additional

difference in gene expression was likely induced by PFCAs. At 6 hr and 24 hr, NFCA

transcriptomes clustered more closely to untreated control transcriptomes than PFCA

transcriptomes, suggesting gene expression of NFCAs and untreated samples were more similar

compared to PFCA samples. PCA indicated a biological replicate of DoA at 48 hr had a large

variation in gene expression from the triplicate group (outlier); as a result, DoA triplicate samples

at 48 hr were removed from further analysis.

We employed differential gene expression analysis to determine if PFCAs induced a

measurable change in *E. coli* gene expression over the time course. In this study, we consider genes

to be differentially expressed if the adjusted p-value (padj) is less than 0.05. Additionally, we mark

moderate and significant differential expression with absolute values of the $\log_2$ fold change

greater than or equal to 1.2 and 1.5, respectively. Untreated samples serve as the reference level

for gene expression pairwise comparisons. The global transcriptional response of *E. coli* to PFOA

and PFDoA demonstrates a measurable change in gene expression was induced by both PFCAs

throughout the time course (Figure 3 A, B). A similar number of genes were differentially

expressed by PFOA and PFDoA at 6 hr and 24 hr (Figure 4 A). However, this trend diverges at 48

hr, as PFOA induced the most differentially expressed genes and PFDoA induced the least. The

considerable change in gene expression induced at 48 hr by PFOA could be due to many factors,

which we will explore in more detail in later sections. NFCAs also induced a measurable change

in gene expression when compared to the untreated control across the time course (Figure 4 B; SI

Figure 4 A, B). *E. coli* grown with OA exhibited a similar pattern of expression to PFOA at 6 hr

and 24 hr (SI Figure 5 A). However, PFOA induced differential expression of more than 700 genes

at 48 hr, in contrast, OA induced less than 40 genes (SI Figure 5 B), indicating differed response

to fluorinated and non-fluorinated versions of the 8-chain compound. DoA had an opposite result

from PFDoA, inducing differential expression in over 350 genes compared to over 50 genes at 6

hr (Figure 4 A, B; SI Figure 5 C), further suggesting differed responses to fluorinated and non-

fluorinated versions of the 12-chain compound.

We observed a temporal pattern to PFCA- and NFCA-induced differential expression, as

the majority of genes were significantly changed at only one time point (Figure 4 C, D). Less than

30 and 15 genes were differentially expressed at multiple time points by PFOA and PFDoA,

respectively (Table SI 3; Table SI 4). Only three genes, *gatD, glpA*, and *yeaR,* which are related to

galactose metabolism and transport (50) and glycerol metabolism (51), were differentially

expressed by PFOA at all time points in the study (Figure 4 C top ; Table SI 3). Genes encoding

formate hydrogenlyase subunits, iron transporters, and small regulatory RNAs were differentially

expressed by PFOA at two of the three time points and will be discussed in later sections. Unlike

PFOA, no genes were differentially expressed in *E. coli* by PFDoA at all time points (Figure 4 C

bottom). Upon further investigation, nine genes, including the *trp* operon, downregulated by

PFDoA at 6 hr and 24 hr, were also downregulated by PFOA and OA at 24 hr and DoA at 6 hr (SI

Figure 5 D, E; Table SI 5), and will be discussed in a later section. Also discussed later, three of

the four genes upregulated by PFDoA at 6 hr and 24 hr were also downregulated by PFOA at 24

hr and 48 hr and OA at 6 hr (Table SI 5). These genes compose the ferrous iron transport operon,

*feo*ABC. Similar to PFOA, we observed a temporal pattern of OA-induced differential expression

over the time course with minimal common expression between time points (Figure 4 B, D top;

Table SI 6). Throughout the time course, PFOA and OA induced 13, 32, and 35 of the same genes

at 6 hr, 24 hr, and 48 hr, respectively (SI Figure 5 A, B; Table SI 7). These results suggest responses

were triggered by the octanoic acid moiety regardless of fluorination. Additionally, DoA

commonly induced differential expression in two genes, *prpC* and *ydeO*, involved in propionate

catabolism (52) and regulation of the *gad* system (53), at 6 hr and 24 hr (Figure 4 D bottom; Table

SI 8), similar to minimal shared expression of 9 genes by PFDoA at 6 hr and 24 hr (Figure 4 C

bottom; SI Figure 5 C; Table SI 4). Parallel to common expression between PFOA and OA, PFDoA

and DoA induced differential expression in the same 19 genes at 6 hr and 13 other genes at 24 hr

(SI Figure 5 C; Table SI 7). These results indicate differential expression induced by PFCAs or

NFCAs is predominantly specific to a particular phase of growth.

Transcriptional response of mid-exponential *E. coli* to PFCAs

Bacterial gene expression shifts significantly between exponential phase and stationary

phase, reflecting the transition from active growth and energy production towards stress-mitigation

and survival in resource-limited environments (48, 54). We hypothesize PFCAs would alter gene

expression differently during exponential phase and stationary phase. To better understand the

response of *E. coli* to PFCAs at each growth phase within the study's time course, we sought to

identify genes that were differentially expressed by PFOA and PFDoA (common responses) and

the genes only changed by one PFCA (specific responses), starting at mid-exponential phase (6

hr). Highlights of our results of the response of *E. coli* to PFCAs and NFCAs across the time

course, discussed below, are illustrated in Figure 5.

PFOA and PFDoA each induced differential expression of approximately 50 genes at 6 hr

(Figure 4 A; Table SI 3; Table SI 4). This is less than 2% of genes in the transcriptome, indicating

growth in the presence of PFCAs does not seem to have a major impact on gene expression of

mid-exponential *E. coli*. Only seven genes were differentially expressed by both PFCAs at 6 hr (SI

Figure 5 D). These genes, *nadA*, *msyB*, *hiuH*, *galS*, *adiY, yceK,* and *ymfQ,* encode a quinolinate

synthase, acidic protein, hydroxyisourate hydrolase, DNA-binding transcriptional dual regulator

and activator, and two uncharacterized proteins, respectively (Table SI 5). With roles in pyrimidine

and purine metabolism, quinolinate synthase and hydroxyisourate hydrolase were also

downregulated by PFOA and PFDoA at 24 hr, indicating a sustained effect on gene expression. As

an (4Fe-4S) cluster is required for activity of quinolinate synthase in NAD synthesis (55),

downregulation of *nadA* could suggest a requirement for iron and sulfur that correlates with

upregulation of sulfate assimilation and ferrous iron transport genes discussed in later sections.

The DNA-binding transcriptional dual regulator GalS was upregulated by PFOA at 6 hr, but

downregulated by PFDoA, suggesting *E. coli* exhibited a different response to the 8-chain PFCA

compared to the 12-chain. Several of these genes were also downregulated in response to the

NFCAs (Table SI 5; Table SI 7). For example, significant downregulation of *msyB* by both PFCAs

and NFCAs implies some changes in gene expression were not specific to fluorinated compounds,

but likely rather to carboxylic acids. The minimal common changes in gene expression at 6 hr

implies *E. coli* utilized different mechanisms at mid-exponential phase to respond to PFOA and

PFDoA.

During mid-exponential phase, *E. coli* differentially expressed several genes in response to

PFOA but not PFDoA, including the formate regulon, a putative transporter YhjX, L-aspartate

oxidase, L-alanine exporter, and small RNA RyjA (Table SI 3). Of particular interest, genes

encoding components of the formate regulon, including the formate hydrogenlyase (FHL)

complex, formate dehydrogenase H, and the hydrogenase pleiotropic *hyp* operon (56), were

significantly downregulated (Figure 5 A; Figure 6 A; Table SI 9). The FHL complex is essential to

fermentative H_2 production in *E. coli* during mixed-acid fermentation. At low extracellular pH and

high levels of re-imported formate during stationary phase, the FHL complex is activated to

disproportionate accumulated formate to hydrogen and carbon dioxide (57, 58). We found the

formate channel, encoded by *focA,* that transports formate from the cytoplasm during exponential

phase under physiological pH, was not differentially expressed at 6 hr by either PFCA or NFCA,

indicating these compounds did not induce more or less formate transport than the untreated

control. However, formate dehydrogenase H, encoded by *fdhF,* and the *hyc* operon, composed of

genes encoding the cytoplasmic domain subunits, *hycBEFG*, and membrane domains, *hycCD*, of

the FHL complex, plus the transcriptional regulator, *hycA*, chaperone, *hycH*, and protease, *hycI*,

were downregulated by PFOA at 6 hr (Figure 6 A; Table SI 9). Additionally, *hycBCDE* were also

significantly downregulated by PFOA at 48 hr, indicating the change in expression of these four

genes was not unique to mid-exponential phase. Four genes of the *hyp* operon, *hypABCD*, encoding

products involved in nickle:iron hydrogenase maturation (56, 59), and a gene encoding a putative

transporter predicted to function as an oxalate:formate antiporter, *yhjX* (60, 61), were also

downregulated at 6 hr by PFOA but not differentially expressed by PFDoA or either NFCA.

Downregulation of formate regulon genes, unfavorable reaction conditions in an aerobic

environment, and the unlikely occurrence of common inducing factors like acidic pH, the absence

of nitrates, and unlikely PFOA-induced formate accumulation during mid-exponential phase,

suggests forward conversion of formate was possibly prevented (57). These results further signify

a specific response to PFOA that differs from routine transport and conversion of formate under

physiological conditions. Lastly, formate is a degradation product of PFOA, and additional

measurements would be needed to determine if formate ions from PFOA were present in the

cultures.

During mid-exponential phase, PFDoA induced overexpression of genes involved in

sulfate and thiosulfate assimilation and L-cysteine biosynthesis (Figure 5 B, C; Figure 6 B; Table

SI 4; Table SI 10). Sulfate assimilation is crucial for the growth and survival of *E. coli*, as sulfur is

needed to synthesize cysteine and many cofactors and is important for cellular redox balance (62).

Sulfate and thiosulfate transporters are often overexpressed in *E. coli* when intracellular sulfur is

limited, and uptake of extracellular sulfate is needed (63). Newly assimilated sulfur-containing

intermediates are then converted to cysteine (64). The sulfate/thiosulfate ATP-binding cassette

(ABC) transporter complex, *cysPUWA,* was significantly upregulated with $\log_2$ fold changes

greater than 2.75 by PFDoA at 6 hr (Figure 6 B; Table SI 10). This was not observed with PFOA

or DoA. Genes encoding the transporter's periplasmic protein, *cysP*, and inner membrane subunit,

cysU, were also significantly upregulated by OA at 6 hr, indicating possible uptake of sulfate was

induced by OA as well (SI Figure 5 D). In a second path for sulfur assimilation, genes, *tsuAB*, in

a recently characterized thiosulfate ion uptake mechanism (65), were also upregulated by PFDoA

at 6 hr (Figure 6 B), but were not significantly changed by DoA. These results suggest PFDoA

induced a specific response to utilize this mechanism for thiosulfate ion uptake, and the response

was likely triggered by the 12-chain PFCA. Following sulfate and thiosulfate uptake, the genes

encoding enzymes that catalyze the activation and subsequent reduction of sulfate to intermediates,

sulfite and sulfide, were upregulated by PFDoA. The sulfate activation operon, *cysDNC*,

responsible for chemical activation of sulfate to adenosine 5'-phosphosulfate (APS) by ATP

sulfurylase and APS kinase and the gene cluster, *cysHIJ,* encoding APS reductase and sulfite

reductase subunits, responsible for the reduction of APS to sulfite and sulfite to sulfide (66, 67),

respectively, were overexpressed by PFDoA (Figure 6 B).

In addition to upregulation of sulfur-containing compound transporters and redox enzymes,

unsurprisingly, cysteine synthases, *cysKM* (64), and an inner membrane L-cystine (dimeric

cysteine) transporter, *tcyP*, were significantly upregulated by PFDoA at 6 hr (Figure 5 B; Figure 6

B). Furthermore, when grown on minimal medium, as done in this study, TcyP functions as the

main importer of L-cystine (68) and is activated when intracellular cysteine is low (64). These

changes in gene expression could indicate an increase requirement for cysteine through sulfate

assimilation or direct import in response to PFDoA. Lastly, the gene encoding the regulatory

protein CysB, which drives the sulfur limitation response in *E. coli,* was not differentially

expressed by any PFCA or NFCA treatment in this study (66, 69). It is possible the gene was

overexpressed at an early point in exponential phase as the genes it regulates were overexpressed

by PFDoA at the mid-exponential mark or has consistent expression. Overexpression of sulfate

and thiosulfate assimilation components and cysteine biosynthesis enzymes by PFDoA, indicates

PFDoA could be negatively impacting redox balancing and in turn, stimulating an increased

requirement for sulfur-containing compounds like cysteine during mid-exponential phase.

Transcriptional response of early stationary phase *E. coli* to PFCAs

During stationary phase, bacteria modulate gene expression by simultaneously activating

essential genes for survival and stress mitigation, while preventing transcription of unnecessary

genes in a nutrient-deficient environment. Additional stress induced by the prolonged presence of

PFCAs may further modify gene expression during stationary phase when compared to mid-

exponential phase. Unlike mid-exponential phase, the number of genes differentially expressed

specifically by PFOA or PFDoA during stationary phase at 24 hr was minimal (SI Figure 5 D, E).

PFOA induced specific changes in gene expression of genes encoding cytochrome bo3 subunits,

biotin biosynthesis enzymes, and transporters for iron and tryptophan (Table SI 3), whereas PFDoA

induced changes in gene expression of inner membrane proteins and the small regulatory RNA

GadY (Table SI 4). In contrast to minimal common responses observed at 6 hr, PFOA and PFDoA

induced differential expression of the same 49 genes at 24 hr (SI Figure 5 D, E, F; Table SI 5).

Products of these genes have roles in ferrous iron transport (Figure 7 A), amino acid metabolism

(Figure 7 B), and small RNA regulation (Figure 7 C). Common changes in gene expression suggest

these responses are not specific to a particular length of PFCAs, but to the PFCAs explored within

the scope of this study. Expression of 24 of the 49 genes were also significantly changed by OA at

24 hr and only 10 were changed by DoA (SI Figure 5 E; Table SI 5; Table SI 7), indicating those

genes likely have a larger role regarding carboxylic acids in general, rather than fluorination.

As iron is an essential nutrient and cofactor in various enzymes, several iron acquisition

systems and regulators have evolved to maintain intracellular iron homeostasis (70, 71). Our

analysis revealed genes encoding components of iron uptake transport mechanisms were

differentially expressed in response to PFCAs (Figure 5 D; Table SI 5; Table SI 11). One operon

feoABC, which encodes ferrous iron (Fe^{2+}) transporters (72), was significantly upregulated at 24

hr and 48 hr by both PFOA and PFDoA (Figure 7 A; SI Figure 5 F). The operon was also

upregulated at 24 hr by OA (Table SI 11), implying the elevated response could be stimulated by

the carboxylic acid moiety. Upregulation of *feo,* in combination with no significant change in the

expression of upstream Fur and Fnr transcripts, the ferric iron (Fe^{3+}) transcriptional regulator and

activator, (73, 74), suggests PFCAs induce a requirement for intracellular ferrous iron during

stationary phase. We hypothesize this is due to preference for the soluble ferrous form in

comparison to insoluble ferric form at the pH of the culture. Moreover, the level of free iron could

increase via *feo* transport because a putative Fur-regulated inhibitor, *rhyB,* (75, 76) was

downregulated by PFOA at 48 hr. Additionally, fluoride exposure can deplete active forms of metal

ions, including iron, through formation of stable metal-fluoride complexes, therefore, triggering

cells to increase free metal ion concentration (77, 78) to maintain homeostasis. Siderophores,

secreted ferric iron scavengers (79, 80), were not employed because the enterobactin gene cluster

and associated transporters were moderately downregulated at 48 hr by PFOA (Table SI 11).

However, genes, *exbB* and *exbD*, in the associated TonB energy-transducing complex (81) were

upregulated by PFOA and PFDoA at 48 hr (Figure 7 A). The *efeUOB* operon encoding a ferrous

iron transporter complex is not fully functional in *E. coli* MG1655 due to a frameshift mutation in

efeU (82), but the genes encoding periplasmic proteins*, efeO* and *efeB*, were also downregulated

by both PFCAs at 24 hr. Additionally, PFOA-induced downregulation of genes encoding various

cytochromes (Table SI 11) during stationary phase could suggest limited available iron for

incorporation into heme groups (83-85). These results further signify a specific preference and

need for ferrous iron uptake by the *feo* operon during stationary phase and likely limited

availability for incorporation in key components like cytochromes (84).

During stationary phase, gene expression is altered to meet metabolic requirements

including the adjustment of amino acid metabolism (86, 87). Genes encoding the *trp* operon, the

thr operon, isoleucine synthesis components (*ilvADE* and *ilvM*), and transporters of branched-

chain amino acids (*brnQ* and *livFGM*) were differentially expressed by PFOA and PFDoA during

stationary phase (Figure 5 E; Figure 7 B; Table SI 11). Notably, differences in gene expression

between PFCA samples and the untreated controls of the *trp* and *thr* operons were some of the

largest in this study, with $\log_2$ fold changes less than -5.3 and greater than 4.6, respectively.

Downregulation of the *trp* operon would suggest an effort to route metabolic flux towards optimal

parts of central metabolism or amino acid biosynthesis pathways (SI Figure 6) (88), in order to

adapt to high levels of intracellular tryptophan or related PFCA-induced stress. Alternatively,

upstream precursors to the *trp* operon, like chorismate (89), may not have been available, and as a

result, the operon was underexpressed. The *trp* operon was also downregulated by DoA at 6 hr and

OA at 24 hr (Figure 7 B; Table SI 11), indicating the change in gene expression was possibly

prompted by the octanoic acid moiety regardless of the presence or absence of fluorination.

Moreover, downregulation of tryptophan symporters, *mtr* and *tnaB*, at 24 hr and no change in

expression of the general aromatic acid transporter, *aroP*, imply extracellular tryptophan was likely

not imported into cell (Table SI 11). Upregulation of threonine and isoleucine biosynthesis genes

could be a direct result of carbon flux redirection from upper metabolism, and the synthesized

amino acids (90, 91) could be used in processes to mitigate stress and sustain growth during

nutrient-limited stationary phase.

Small regulatory RNAs (sRNAs) help modulate gene expression during stationary phase

and under environmental stress by stabilizing specific mRNAs and stimulating translation (92). At

24 hr, 10 sRNAs, their corresponding mRNAs targets, and flanking genes were downregulated by

PFOA and PFDoA (Figure 5 F; Figure 7 C; Table SI 11). A handful of these genes remained

downregulated by PFOA at 48 hr. In this study, this response was fairly specific to PFCAs, as only

four of these genes were differentially expressed by NFCAs. Also, the genes encoding RNA-

binding proteins Hfq and ProQ were minimally overexpressed by PFOA at 48 hr with $\log_2$ fold

changes of approximately one. These proteins work in tandem with sRNAs to bind target mRNAs

and provide intracellular stability (93). Downregulation of antisense sRNAs genes, *arrS* and *gadY*,

the corresponding mRNAs that they target, *gadE* and *gadX*, and flanking genes, *hdeAD, gadAFW,*

and mdtF, during stationary phase by PFOA and PFDoA (Figure 7 C), suggests possible energy

conservation and resource reallocation to critical survival pathways (92, 94) in response to PFCAs.

Lastly, *arrS* and *gadY* products have essential roles in acid resistance regulation (94), and

downregulation of these sRNAs at 24 hr suggests PFCAs either do not significantly induce acid

stress compared to the untreated control, or the activation of pH homeostasis mechanisms is too

costly due to nutrient limitation during stationary phase.

Transcriptional response of late stationary phase *E. coli* to PFCAs

To compare the transcriptional response of *E. coli* to PFCAs at different points within

stationary phase, we wanted to understand how differential expression patterns induced at 48 hr

differed from patterns at 24 hr. At 48 hr, PFOA and PFDoA upregulated 28 common genes that

encode the 50S ribosomal subunits, the DNA-binding transcriptional repressor LsrR, and Ton

complex subunit ExbD (Table SI 5; SI Figure 5 E, F). Seventeen of these genes were also

moderately upregulated by OA (Table SI 7), with a $\log_2$ fold change of at least 1.4, indicating

differential expression may not be specific to PFCAs. We observed specific changes in gene

expression of cold shock proteins and putative phosphotransferase system enzymes by PFDoA at

48 hr (Table SI 4).

In contrast to the minor response to PFDoA, PFOA induced the largest and broadest change

in gene expression in the entire study at 48 hr. For this timepoint, we observed a change in gene

expression of a fluoride exporter, central metabolism enzymes, ribosomal proteins, molecular

chaperones, autoinducer-2, and motility components induced by PFOA (Figure 8; SI Figure 6;

Table SI 3; Table SI 12; Table SI 13, Table SI 14).

High concentrations of environmental fluoride can inhibit metabolism, ribosomes, and

protein processing, in addition to altering pH and inducing oxidative stress in *E. coli* (45, 78).

Similar molecular mechanisms of oxidative stress along with membrane permeability have been

demonstrated by PFAS toxicity (38, 95, 96). Exporters, including the selective Fluc family fluoride

ion channels, mediate fluoride resistance and protect bacteria against toxicity (97). The *crcB* gene

of *E. coli* encodes a Fluc fluoride transport channel to expel fluoride out of the cell (45). Moderate

upregulation, $\log_2$ fold change of 1.4, of *crcB* at 48 hr by PFOA suggests a need to export

intracellular fluoride potentially accumulated from PFOA throughout the time course (Figure 5 G;

Figure 8 A; Table SI 12). Previous studies have observed the partitioning of PFAS with varying

chain length into bacterial membranes and subsequent increase in membrane fluidity (95, 96). In

addition, *E. coli* has mechanisms to indiscriminately uptake fluoride through membrane ion

channels or porins (45, 98). These results suggest a potential chain-length difference in PFCA

uptake and subsequent fluoride accumulation, as *crcB* was not differentially expressed by PFDoA

at 48 hr. Additional measurements will be needed to further explore this result.

Key components in various biological processes including glycolysis and the citric acid

cycle (TCA) enzymes, ribosomal proteins, ATPases, and molecular chaperones were also

upregulated at 48 hr by PFOA (Figure 8 A; Table SI 12). Vital for bacterial growth, genes encoding

glycolytic enzymes enolase, *eno*, and pyruvate kinases, *pykF* and *pykA*, were moderately

upregulated by PFOA at 48 hr (Figure 8 A; SI Figure 6), while *ppsA*, the phosphoenolpyruvate

(PEP) synthetase encoding gene, which catalyzes a reverse reaction from pyruvate to PEP, was not

differentially expressed. Enolase and kinases can be inhibited by micromolar amounts of fluoride

as the ion can complex to metal ion active sites displacing the electronegative substrate (97, 99).

Fluoride inhibition of the activity of these key enzymes in central metabolism can result in the

suspension of bacterial growth until fluoride is removed (45, 100). Upregulation of glycolytic

enzymes at 48 hr provides further evidence of a specific response to possible fluoride accumulation

from PFOA and export with *crcB*. Moreover, additional measurements within and beyond the time

course could show if *crcB* mediated export of fluoride alleviates possible inhibition. The *sucABCD*

operon, encoding TCA enzymes, was significantly upregulated by PFOA at 48 hr, whereas the

upstream *sdhCDAB* operon, encoding succinate dehydrogenase, was moderately upregulated, $\log_2$

fold change of approximately 1.0 and 1.2, by PFOA at PFDoA, respectively (Figure 8 A; SI Figure

6). Conversion of succinyl-CoA to succinate generates GTP indirectly contributing to energy

production (101). Succinyl-CoA also plays a role in peptidoglycan biosynthesis by generating

precursor lysine and diaminopimelate (102). Overexpression key metabolic enzymes and the

fluoride exporter at 48 hr, suggests *E. coli* could be recovering from possible fluoride inhibition

during stationary phase. In connection to partial upregulation of glycolytic and TCA enzymes,

genes encoding products of mixed-acid fermentation were also overexpressed in response to PFOA

at 48 hr (Figure 8 A; SI Figure 6). Upregulation of genes (*pta, ackA, poxB*, *adhE*) encoding

enzymes for pyruvate and acetyl-CoA conversion to acetate, succinate, and ethanol, in addition to

activation of upstream enolase further corroborates a possible restart of central metabolism (103).

Furthermore, production of mixed-acid fermentation products and TCA intermediates, implies an

essential metabolic requirement for survival in nutrient-limited stationary phase exacerbated by

PFOA.

Growth in the presence of PFCAs induced the overexpression of ribosomal proteins at 48

hr (Figure 5 H). Forty-two genes encoding 50S and 30S ribosomal protein subunits (104) were

upregulated by PFOA at 48 hr, and 17 of which were also upregulated by PFDoA (Table SI 12).

Though ribosome biogenesis can be reduced in response to nutrient limitations and stress (105),

and fluoride can inhibit protein synthesis (77), the observed increase in gene expression of

ribosomal proteins could suggest a requirement for machinery to translate new proteins in response

to PFCAs late in stationary phase. These results also suggest an increase in ribosome biogenesis

in response to the possible decrease in fluoride concentration by *crcB*-mediated export of fluoride.

This observation is corroborated by similar findings in a proteomics study of upregulation of

ribosomal proteins in anerobic *E. coli* supplemented with PFOA (38).

Several ATPases, including transporters and molecular chaperones, were also upregulated

by PFOA at 48 hr despite no significant change in expression at 24 (Figure 8 B; Table SI 12).

ATPases are integral to survival and stress adaptation, and PFCA-induced stress or inhibition by

fluoride (78, 106, 107) could lead to disruption of energy metabolism and cellular homeostasis.

Upregulation of the ATP-dependent Lon protease (Figure 8 B), with roles in metabolic regulation,

protein quality control, and degradation of abundant ribosomal proteins (108, 109), at 48 hr,

implies a possible need for the protease to degrade misfolded or abnormal proteins to supply amino

acids for protein synthesis during stationary phase (110). Gene expression of ABC transporter

ATPases in the oligopeptide transport system, *oppD* and *oppF,* and maltose transport, *malK*, (111)

varied throughout the time course. Initially, the ATPases were upregulated at 6 hr, not differentially

expressed at 24 hr, and moderately upregulated again at 48 hr by PFOA (Figure 8 B). Likewise, a

proteomics study found upregulation of the same oligopeptide-binding proteins in *E. coli*

cultivated with PFOA (38). The gene encoding the ATPase SecA and other essential components

of the bacterial Sec translocase, *secYG*, (112, 113), were also upregulated at 48 hr (Figure 8 B;

Table SI 12). As protein secretion is essential in bacteria, the Sec system provides a form of

transmembrane translocation to ferry polypeptides from the cytoplasm across the cytoplasmic

membrane into the periplasm (114, 115). Overexpression of these genes suggests possible PFOA-

induced intracellular protein accumulation and likely saturation of existing Sec-translocases at 48

hr. Moreover, if fluoride possibly inhibited SecA, upregulation at 48 hr implies an increased

abundance of SecA transcripts, similar to other ATPases discussed. Although the gene encoding

SecB, the protein secretion chaperone that interacts with the Sec translocase, was not even moderately upregulated with a log$_2$ fold change of 0.81, other molecular chaperones may assist in protein processing in response to PFOA.

Bacteria employ molecular chaperones as regulators and facilitators of protein folding, transport, and control to maintain cellular homeostasis, especially during environmental stress. ATP-dependent molecular chaperones DnaK, GroEL, and trigger factor (TF) along with cochaperones DnaJ, GrpE, and GroES coordinate the folding of newly synthesized cytosolic proteins in *E. coli* (116). Genes encoding the chaperone network, *dnaKJ, groLS*, *clpB,* and *htpG*, were highly overexpressed by PFOA at 48 hr (Figure 5 I; Figure 8 B; Table SI 12). Conversely, the genes were initially downregulated by PFOA at 6 hr. Molecular chaperones are overexpressed in response to other environmental stressors such as temperature, heavy metals, limited nutrients, and chemical pollutants (117, 118). Upregulation of molecular chaperones genes during stationary phase suggests stress-induced activation in reaction to the presence of PFOA. This is consistent with existing literature that has found PFAS can destabilize and denature proteins (119, 120). Although PFDoA induced moderate overexpression of select chaperones at 48 hr (Figure 8 B), significant upregulation by PFOA of the chaperone network indicates a chain-length dependent response. Moreover, these results also suggest a specific response to PFCAs as there was no significant change in gene expression induced by either NFCA at 48 hr.

Further analysis revealed PFOA triggered various stress responses as genes encoding sigma factors, components of envelope stress response mechanisms, and motility systems of flagellar assembly and chemotaxis were differentially expressed (Figure 8 C, D; SI Figure 7). RNA polymerase (RNAP) core enzyme subunits, *rpoA and rpoBC*, RNAP sigma factors, *rpoE, rpoH, rpoF/fliA,* and *rpoS,* and RpoE regulators, *rseABC,* were highly upregulated by PFOA and only

moderately upregulated by OA at 48 hr (Figure 8 C; Table SI 13). As these genes have regulatory

roles in the transcription of genes involved in outer membrane stress response, heat shock, flagellar

assembly, and stationary phase gene expression, respectively, overexpression suggests heightened

regulation of mechanisms employed to mitigate possible stress of PFCAs and NFCAs (121-123).

Similar to RNAP and sigma factors, the phage-shock-protein (Psp) operon, *pspABCD*, was also

upregulated by PFOA and OA at 48 hr (Figure 8 C; Table SI 13). In contrast to OA, the magnitude

of overexpression of the operon by PFOA increased over the time course. As the Psp system can

be initiated by a damaged inner membrane (124) and Sec translocase overload (125), an increase

in expression throughout the time course by PFOA suggests use of the regulation mechanism to

combat possible envelope stress. Our results show additional evidence of PFOA-induced envelope

stress as the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) gene clusters responsible for the synthesis of the core

oligosaccharide structure, *rfa*, and the lipid A soluble proteins, *lpxA, lpxC,* and *lpxD*, and the ATP-

binding LPS transporter, *msbA,* (126) were moderately upregulated at 48 hr (Figure 8 C; Table SI

13). Overexpression of these genes may suggest the need to synthesize LPS components to

maintain the outer membrane, despite the high energetic cost. Previous studies have shown that

PFAS partition into lipid bilayers and disrupt bacterial membranes, leading to increased

permeability (95, 96, 127). Our results suggest *E. coli* is employing several mechanisms to mitigate

envelope stress, likely from PFOA.

To adapt to limited resources in stationary phase and respond to external stressors, bacteria

will downregulate high energetic-cost processes like motility to reallocate resources to survival

(128, 129). We observed downregulation of genes encoding components involved in flagellar

assembly, chemotaxis, and extracellular polysaccharide biosynthesis (EPS) at 48 hr by PFOA

(Figure 5 J; Figure 8 D; SI Figure 7; Table SI 14). These results suggest PFOA could be inducing

a transition from a sessile state towards possible biofilm formation, therefore reducing motility via

downregulation of flagellar assembly, as an indirect effect, in order to conserve energetic

resources. Notably, DoA-induced upregulation of the same flagellar assembly and chemotaxis

genes during mid-exponential phase (SI Figure 7; Table SI 14) suggests these processes may have

been used to respond to DoA and to follow nutrient gradients. Moreover, these genes were not

significantly changed by OA or PFDoA at any point in the time course, suggesting a specific

response to PFOA at 48 hr. Furthermore, genes encoding autoinducer-2 (AI-2), *luxS*, a quorum-

sensing (QS) molecule (130) and the ABC transporter *lsr* operon, used for AI-2 uptake, were

significantly upregulated by PFOA at 48 hr (Figure 8 D; Table SI 14). AI-2 controls gene

expression of chemotaxis and flagellar assembly and has a possible link to biofilm formation (131).

A previous study found PFAS induced the production of EPS, biofilm precursor, in *Rhodococcus*

as a stress response (132). Although EPS biosynthesis genes are downregulated at 48 hr, with the

exception of the *rfb* operon (Table SI 14), additional measurements after 48 hr could provide

insight into possible PFOA-induced biofilm formation.

<u>CONCLUSIONS</u>

In summary, we performed time course RNA-seq to characterize the transcriptional

responses of mid-exponential phase and stationary phase *E. coli* to PFCAs, PFOA and PFDoA.

Our results suggest 100 µmol/L of either PFCA or respective NFCAs do not statistically impact

the growth of *E. coli* at any point in the time course relative to the untreated control. However,

differential expression analysis revealed PFOA and PFDoA did induce statistically significant

changes in gene expression of the formate regulon and sulfate assimilation at mid-exponential

phase and ferrous iron transport, the molecular chaperone network, and motility processes during

stationary phase. The time course enabled us to capture the specific and common responses of *E.*

coli to PFCAs and NFCAs across time and helped to characterize responses that were specific to

a single growth phase or prolonged over time. For instance, our results indicate a requirement for

sulfur-containing compounds, like cysteine, during mid-exponential phase as sulfate assimilation

components were overexpressed in response specifically to PFDoA. In contrast, overexpression of

ferrous iron transporters and amino acid enzymes by PFOA and PFDoA early in stationary phase

suggest a time dependent need for ferrous iron and select amino acids. Although differentially

expressed at different phases within the time course, sulfur-containing compounds and iron

participate in various redox reactions within the cell which could suggest PFCAs induce

adjustments to cellular redox. Interestingly, PFOA induced the largest change in gene expression

of this study at 48 hr, whereas PFDoA induced a minor change in expression. These results suggest

different modes of interactions between *E. coli* and two PFCAs that only differ in length by four

carbons but have different physiochemical properties.

These results not only provide additional biological context of the response of *E. coli* to

PFCAs at key phases of growth, but possible novel engineering targets to facilitate the

development of future environmental monitoring and mitigation strategies. For example, gene

expression of numerous small regulatory RNAs and their targets was only significantly changed

by PFCAs and not by NFCAs (Figure 7 C), suggesting a unique response to fluorinated compounds

that could be utilized in a whole cell biosensor. Additionally, the moderate upregulation of *crcB*, a

putative fluoride exporter downstream of a fluoride riboswitch, by PFOA at 48 hr (Figure 8 A),

suggests there may have been some removal of fluorine from the PFOA over the time course,

warranting further investigation as a mitigation strategy.

Even though these measurements offer a comprehensive transcriptomic snapshot of the

relationship between PFCAs and a model bacterium at key growth phases, further characterization

efforts would benefit from additional measurements of PFAS with different molecular structures,

concentrations, and complex mixtures to explore the extent to which the responses identified here

are conserved and identify novel responses. Furthermore, conducting similar studies on

environmental microorganisms and microbial communities could provide insight into conserved

responses across genera and species and how contamination by PFAS impacts the environment.

As technology continues to advance, improved gene expression measurements at the single cell,

population, and community level combined with multiomics level analysis would enable more

accurate, impactful understanding of PFAS at all levels of biology. This complete picture could aid

in the rapid development of inexpensive and easy-to-deploy living measurement systems for *in*

situ environmental monitoring and mitigation strategies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strain

Escherichia coli MG1655 ATCC 47076 was obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). The strain was maintained on Luria-Bertani (LB) agar plates at 37 °C prior to use.

PFOA, PFDoA, and NFCA preparation

Two PFCAs, perfluorooctanoic acid (96%, PFOA) and tricosafluorododecanoic acid (95%, perfluorododecanoic acid, PFDoA) were used in this study. See Table SI 15 for additional chemical stock information. PFOA and PFDoA were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) to prepare a stock solution of 100 mmol/L. Concentrations in the growth medium for growth experiments were 100 μmol/L and 10 100 μmol/L. The final concentration in the growth medium for sequencing experiments was 100 μmol/L. DMSO was present in the growth medium at a final volume fraction of 0.1%. Dodecanoic acid (98%, DoA) was prepared in the same way as the PFCA stocks. Octanoic acid (99%, OA) samples contained an addition of DMSO to the growth medium. All untreated samples contained an equivalent concentration of DMSO and were devoid of PFCA addition.

E. coli growth in PFCA or NFCA containing medium

E. coli MG1655 was struck onto an LB agar (BD Difco) plate from a glycerol stock obtained from ATCC. Overnight cultures for each biological replicate were prepared by inoculating 3 mL of M9 minimal medium (133), without PFCA or NFCA addition, with an individual colony incubated at 37 °C, 250 rpm (Table SI 15). For flask-based growth experiments, PFCA stocks, NFCA stocks, or DMSO were added into M9 prior inoculation with *E. coli* cultures as described above. To prepare sample flasks, 50 mL of M9 in sterile 125 mL baffled flasks was inoculated with overnight culture and adjusted to a starting optical density at 600 nm of 0.01 (SI

Figure 1; Table SI 1). Cultures were incubated at 37 °C, 250 rpm for 48 hr. Independent biological

triplicate flasks were used for each PFCA, NFCA, and untreated control group. To obtain the

optical density of each culture, bacterial growth was monitored in each culture with absorbance

measurements at 600 nm in technical triplicate throughout the 48 hr period. A Biotek Epoch2

microplate reader was used for absorbance measurements. A two-way mixed ANOVA of optical

density measurements was performed in RStudio running R version 4.2.2 with functions from

packages tidyverse (v2.0.0) and rstatix (v0.7.2) (134, 135).

Total RNA Extraction, cDNA Library preparation, and RNA Sequencing

Cell pellets of *E. coli* from each sample type (PFCA, NFCA, or untreated control) were

harvested at 6 hr, 24 hr, and 48 hr and normalized to an OD_{600} of 1.0. Samples were spun down at

5,500xg for 7 min at 4 °C, and supernatant was removed prior to storage at -80 °C until total RNA

extraction.

Total RNA was extracted from biological triplicates of each PFCA, NFCA, and untreated

control at 6 hr, 24 hr, and 48 hr using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's

instructions with an on-column DNase I digestion for 15 min using an RNase-free DNase Set

(Qiagen). Cells were lysed in 200 μL Tris-EDTA buffer solution, pH 8.0 containing 20 g/L

lysozyme (Sigma Aldrich) at room temperature for 10 min with vortexing every 2 min. RNA was

eluted in 35 μL of molecular grade water (Invitrogen) and stored at -80 °C. The concentration and

purity of total RNA were quantified on a Qubit 4 fluorometer (Invitrogen) using Qubit RNA Broad

Range Assay Kit, DeNovix D-11 Series Spectrophotometer, and 4200 TapeStation System

(Agilent) using RNA ScreenTape Analysis.

Total RNA samples were sent to Azenta Life Sciences (USA) for cDNA library preparation

using TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina) and next-generation sequencing (Strand-Specific

RNA-Seq Service) using an Illumina HiSeq platform for 2x150 bp paired-end reads. Average

sequencing depth obtained was 7.81 million. Additional information on sequencing is provided in

(Table SI 2).

Bioinformatic analysis

Bioinformatic analysis was performed on an Amazon Web Service EC2 instance and

locally on RStudio. TrimGalore (v0.6.7) with Cutadapt (v3.5) and fastQC (v0.11.9) was used to

remove adapter sequences and low-quality reads from each raw read file (136-138). HISAT2

(v2.2.1) (139) was used to align paired-end reads to *E. coli* MG1655 reference genome (GenBank

accession GCA_000005845.2). Alignment scores and quality metric scores are shown in Table SI

2. Samtools (v1.13) was used for file conversion of SAM files to sorted BAM files before transcript

assembly was performed with Stringtie (v2.2.1) (140, 141). In RStudio running R version 4.2.2,

tximport (v1.26.1) prepared count tables from Stringtie transcript-level estimates (134, 135, 142).

DESeq2 (v1.38.3) was used to perform differential gene expression analysis with a negative

binomial distribution model (49). The time specific untreated control served as the control baseline

for all pairwise comparisons. In this study, we consider genes to be differentially expressed if the

Benjamini-Hochberg adjusted p-value (padj) is less than 0.05. We mark moderate and significant

differential expression with absolute values of the $\log_2$ fold change greater than or equal to 1.2 and

1.5, respectively. Gene ontology and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes pathway over-

representation analyses were performed using clusterProfiler (v4.6.2) using the *E. coli* K12

organism package, org.EcK12.eg.db, (v3.19.1) and KEGG organism eco (143, 144). Additional

data curation was performed using EcoCyc (145).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data presented in this study have been deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information's Gene Expression Omnibus (146) and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE288896 (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE288896).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Author contributions: M.E.W. conceived the project, designed experiments, conducted data analysis, and wrote the first draft with input from S.W.S. O.B.V. designed growth experiments. M.E.W. and S.W.S edited the manuscript with input from all authors.

The authors would like to thank Elizabeth Strychalski, Allison Yaguchi, Justin Wagner, Svetlana Ikonomova, and Zoila Jurado Quiroga for insightful discussions throughout the project and on the manuscript.

Figure 1, Figure 5, SI Figure 1, and SI Figure 6 were created with BioRender.com.

Disclaimer: Certain commercial entities, equipment, or materials may be identified in this document to describe an experimental procedure or concept adequately. Such identification is not intended to imply recommendation or endorsement by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, nor is it intended to imply that the entities, materials, or equipment are necessarily the best available for the purpose. Official contribution of the National Institute of Standards and Technology; not subject to copyright in the United States.

FUNDING

This work was supported by a National Research Council Postdoctoral Fellowship to M.E.W.

REFERENCES

1. Parsons JR, Sáez M, Dolfing J, de Voogt P. 2008. Biodegradation of perfluorinated compounds. Rev Environ Contam Toxicol 196:53-71.
2. Glüge J, Scheringer M, Cousins IT, DeWitt JC, Goldenman G, Herzke D, Lohmann R, Ng CA, Trier X, Wang Z. 2020. An overview of the uses of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). Environ Sci Process Impacts 22:2345-2373.
3. Williams AJ, Gaines LGT, Grulke CM, Lowe CN, Sinclair GFB, Samano V, Thillainadarajah I, Meyer B, Patlewicz G, Richard AM. 2022. Assembly and Curation of Lists of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) to Support Environmental Science Research. Front Environ Sci 10:1-13.
4. Faust JA. 2023. PFAS on atmospheric aerosol particles: a review. Environ Sci Process Impacts 25:133-150.
5. Abunada Z, Alazaiza MYD, Bashir MJK. 2020. An overview of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in the environment: source, fate, risk and regulations. Water 12.
6. LaKind JS, Naiman J, Verner MA, Lévêque L, Fenton S. 2023. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in breast milk and infant formula: A global issue. Environ Res 219:115042.
7. Graber JM, Alexander C, Laumbach RJ, Black K, Strickland PO, Georgopoulos PG, Marshall EG, Shendell DG, Alderson D, Mi Z, Mascari M, Weisel CP. 2019. Per and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) blood levels after contamination of a community water supply and comparison with 2013-2014 NHANES. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol 29:172-182.
8. Li J, Sun J, Li P. 2022. Exposure routes, bioaccumulation and toxic effects of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) on plants: A critical review. Environ Int 158:106891.
9. Piva E, Giorgetti A, Ioime P, Morini L, Freni F, Faro FL, Pirani F, Montisci M, Fais P, Pascali JP. 2021. Hair determination of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in the Italian population. Toxicology 458:152849.
10. Brusseau ML, Anderson RH, Guo B. 2020. PFAS concentrations in soils: Background levels versus contaminated sites. Sci Total Environ 740:140017.
11. Coperchini F, Croce L, Ricci G, Magri F, Rotondi M, Imbriani M, Chiovato L. 2020. Thyroid Disrupting Effects of Old and New Generation PFAS. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 11:612320.
12. Evich MG, Davis MJB, McCord JP, Acrey B, Awkerman JA, Knappe DRU, Lindstrom AB, Speth TF, Tebes-Stevens C, Strynar MJ, Wang Z, Weber EJ, Henderson WM, Washington JW. 2022. Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances in the environment. Science 375:eabg9065.
13. Zhang X, Zhao L, Ducatman A, Deng C, von Stackelberg KE, Danford CJ. 2023. Association of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substance exposure with fatty liver disease risk in US adults. JHEP Rep 5:100694.
14. Khan EA, Zhang X, Hanna EM, Yadetie F, Jonassen I, Goksøyr A, Arukwe A. 2021. Application of quantitative transcriptomics in evaluating the ex vivo effects of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances on Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) ovarian physiology. Sci Total Environ 755:142904.
15. USEPA. 2022. CompTox chemicals dashboard, PFAS master list of PFAS substances.

713 16. OECD H. 2021. Reconciling terminology of the universe of per-and polyfluoroalkyl
714   substances: recommendations and practical guidance, vol 61, p 45. OECD Publishing
715   Paris.
716 17. Buck RC, Franklin J, Berger U, Conder JM, Cousins IT, de Voogt P, Jensen AA, Kannan
717   K, Mabury SA, van Leeuwen SP. 2011. Perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances in
718   the environment: terminology, classification, and origins. Integr Environ Assess Manag
719   7:513-41.
720 18. Berhanu A, Mutanda I, Taolin J, Qaria MA, Yang B, Zhu D. 2023. A review of microbial
721   degradation of per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS): Biotransformation routes and
722   enzymes. Sci Total Environ 859:160010.
723 19. Weiner B, Yeung LWY, Marchington EB, D'Agostino LA, Mabury SA. 2013. Organic
724   fluorine content in aqueous film forming foams (AFFFs) and biodegradation of the foam
725   component 6 : 2 fluorotelomermercaptoalkylamido sulfonate (6 : 2 FTSAS).
726   Environmental Chemistry 10:486-493.
727 20. Gonda N, Zhang C, Tepedelen D, Smith A, Schaefer C, Higgins CP. 2024. Quantitative
728   assessment of poly- and perfluoroalkyl substances (PFASs) in aqueous film forming foam
729   (AFFF)-impacted soils: a comparison of analytical protocols. Anal Bioanal Chem
730   doi:10.1007/s00216-024-05585-2.
731 21. Lopez-Vazquez J, Montes R, Rodil R, Cela R, Martinez-Pontevedra JA, Pena MT,
732   Quintana JB. 2024. Determination of regulated perfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in
733   drinking water according to Directive 2020/2184/EU. Environ Sci Pollut Res Int
734   doi:10.1007/s11356-024-34852-z.
735 22. USEPA. 2024. PFAS Analytical Methods Development and Sampling Research.
736   https://www.epa.gov/water-research/pfas-analytical-methods-development-and-sampling-
737   research. Accessed
738 23. Malouchi N, Chatzimichailidou S, Tolkou AK, Kyzas GZ, Calgaro L, Marcomini A,
739   Katsoyiannis IA. 2024. The Removal of Per- and Poly-Fluoroalkyl Substances from
740   Water: A Review on Destructive and Non-Destructive Methods. Separations 11:122.
741 24. Mahinroosta R, Senevirathna L. 2020. A review of the emerging treatment technologies
742   for PFAS contaminated soils. Journal of Environmental Management 255:109896.
743 25. Stockbridge RB, Wackett LP. 2024. The link between ancient microbial fluoride
744   resistance mechanisms and bioengineering organofluorine degradation or synthesis. Nat
745   Commun 15:4593.
746 26. Cordner A, Goldenman G, Birnbaum LS, Brown P, Miller MF, Mueller R, Patton S,
747   Salvatore DH, Trasande L. 2021. The True Cost of PFAS and the Benefits of Acting Now.
748   Environ Sci Technol 55:9630-9633.
749 27. Sharma N, Kumar V, Sugumar V, Umesh M, Sondhi S, Chakraborty P, Kaur K, Thomas J,
750   Kamaraj C, Maitra SS. 2024. A comprehensive review on the need for integrated
751   strategies and process modifications for per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS)
752   removal: Current insights and future prospects. Case Studies in Chemical and
753   Environmental Engineering 9:100623.
754 28. Mann MM, Berger BW. 2023. A genetically-encoded biosensor for direct detection of
755   perfluorooctanoic acid. Scientific Reports 13:15186.
756 29. Thompson D, Zolfigol N, Xia Z, Lei Y. 2024. Recent progress in per- and polyfluoroalkyl
757   substances (PFAS) sensing: A critical mini-review. Sensors and Actuators Reports
758   7:100189.

30. Tang Z, Vogel TM, Wang Q, Wei C, Ali M, Song X. 2024. Microbial defluorination of
TFA, PFOA, and HFPO-DA by a native microbial consortium under anoxic conditions.
Journal of Hazardous Materials 465:133217.
31. Griebler C, Lueders T. 2009. Microbial biodiversity in groundwater ecosystems.
Freshwater Biology 54:649-677.
32. Jagevall S, Rabe L, Pedersen K. 2011. Abundance and diversity of biofilms in natural and
artificial aquifers of the Aspo Hard Rock Laboratory, Sweden. Microb Ecol 61:410-22.
33. Nguyen J, Lara-Gutiérrez J, Stocker R. 2021. Environmental fluctuations and their effects
on microbial communities, populations and individuals. FEMS Microbiol Rev 45.
34. Norman TM, Lord ND, Paulsson J, Losick R. 2015. Stochastic Switching of Cell Fate in
Microbes. Annu Rev Microbiol 69:381-403.
35. Beale DJ, Sinclair GM, Shah R, Paten AM, Kumar A, Long SM, Vardy S, Jones OAH.
2022. A review of omics-based PFAS exposure studies reveals common biochemical
response pathways. Science of The Total Environment 845:157255.
36. Reardon AJF, Rowan-Carroll A, Ferguson SS, Leingartner K, Gagne R, Kuo B, Williams
A, Lorusso L, Bourdon-Lacombe JA, Carrier R, Moffat I, Yauk CL, Atlas E. 2021.
Potency Ranking of Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Using High-Throughput
Transcriptomic Analysis of Human Liver Spheroids. Toxicol Sci 184:154-169.
37. Rericha Y, St Mary L, Truong L, McClure R, Martin JK, Leonard SW, Thunga P,
Simonich MT, Waters KM, Field JA, Tanguay RL. 2024. Diverse PFAS produce unique
transcriptomic changes linked to developmental toxicity in zebrafish. Front Toxicol
6:1425537.
38. Yang M, Ye J, Qin H, Long Y, Li Y. 2017. Influence of perfluorooctanoic acid on
proteomic expression and cell membrane fatty acid of Escherichia coli. Environ Pollut
220:532-539.
39. Bhatia RP, Kirit HA, Predeus AV, Bollback JP. 2022. Transcriptomic profiling of
Escherichia coli K-12 in response to a compendium of stressors. Sci Rep 12:8788.
40. Wintenberg M, Manglass L, Martinez NE, Blenner M. 2023. Global Transcriptional
Response of Escherichia coli Exposed In Situ to Different Low-Dose Ionizing Radiation
Sources. mSystems 8:e0071822.
41. Valat C, Hirchaud E, Drapeau A, Touzain F, de Boisseson C, Haenni M, Blanchard Y,
Madec JY. 2020. Overall changes in the transcriptome of Escherichia coli O26:H11
induced by a subinhibitory concentration of ciprofloxacin. Journal of Applied
Microbiology 129:1577-1588.
42. Spiteri D, Griffin S, Karatzas KA, Scerri C, Valdramidis VP. 2023. Escherichia coli K-12
Transcriptomics for Assessing the Mechanism of Action of High-Power Ultrasound.
Microorganisms 11.
43. Zango ZU, Ethiraj B, Al-Mubaddel FS, Alam MM, Lawal MA, Kadir HA, Khoo KS,
Garba ZN, Usman F, Zango MU, Lim JW. 2023. An overview on human exposure,
toxicity, solid-phase microextraction and adsorptive removal of perfluoroalkyl carboxylic
acids (PFCAs) from water matrices. Environ Res 231:116102.
44. Addicks GC, Rowan-Carroll A, Reardon AJF, Leingartner K, Williams A, Meier MJ,
Moffat I, Carrier R, Lorusso L, Wetmore BA, Yauk CL, Atlas E. 2023. Per- and
polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) in mixtures show additive effects on transcriptomic
points of departure in human liver spheroids. Toxicol Sci 194:38-52.

45.   Ji C, Stockbridge RB, Miller C. 2014. Bacterial fluoride resistance, Fluc channels, and
805   the weak acid accumulation effect. J Gen Physiol 144:257-61.

806   46.   Adamek E, Pawłowska-Góral K, Bober K. 2005. In vitro and in vivo effects of fluoride
807   ions on enzyme activity. Ann Acad Med Stetin 51:69-85.

808   47.   Breaker RR. 2012. New insight on the response of bacteria to fluoride. Caries Res 46:78-
809   81.

810   48.   Ishihama A. 1997. Adaptation of gene expression in stationary phase bacteria. Curr Opin
811   Genet Dev 7:582-8.

812   49.   Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion
813   for RNA-seq data with DESeq2. Genome Biol 15:550.

814   50.   Nobelmann B, Lengeler JW. 1996. Molecular analysis of the gat genes from Escherichia
815   coli and of their roles in galactitol transport and metabolism. J Bacteriol 178:6790-5.

816   51.   Ehrmann M, Boos W, Ormseth E, Schweizer H, Larson TJ. 1987. Divergent transcription
817   of the sn-glycerol-3-phosphate active transport (glpT) and anaerobic sn-glycerol-3-
818   phosphate dehydrogenase (glpA glpC glpB) genes of Escherichia coli K-12. J Bacteriol
819   169:526-32.

820   52.   Gerike U, Hough DW, Russell NJ, Dyall-Smith ML, Danson MJ. 1998. Citrate synthase
821   and 2-methylcitrate synthase: structural, functional and evolutionary relationships.
822   Microbiology (Reading) 144 (Pt 4):929-935.

823   53.   Yamanaka Y, Oshima T, Ishihama A, Yamamoto K. 2014. Characterization of the YdeO
824   regulon in Escherichia coli. PLoS One 9:e111962.

825   54.   Navarro Llorens JM, Tormo A, Martínez-García E. 2010. Stationary phase in gram-
826   negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 34:476-95.

827   55.   Ollagnier-de Choudens S, Loiseau L, Sanakis Y, Barras F, Fontecave M. 2005.
828   Quinolinate synthetase, an iron-sulfur enzyme in NAD biosynthesis. FEBS Lett
829   579:3737-43.

830   56.   Skibinski DA, Golby P, Chang YS, Sargent F, Hoffman R, Harper R, Guest JR, Attwood
831   MM, Berks BC, Andrews SC. 2002. Regulation of the hydrogenase-4 operon of
832   Escherichia coli by the sigma(54)-dependent transcriptional activators FhlA and HyfR. J
833   Bacteriol 184:6642-53.

834   57.   Pinske C, Sargent F. 2016. Exploring the directionality of Escherichia coli formate
835   hydrogenlyase: a membrane-bound enzyme capable of fixing carbon dioxide to organic
836   acid. Microbiologyopen 5:721-737.

837   58.   McDowall JS, Murphy BJ, Haumann M, Palmer T, Armstrong FA, Sargent F. 2014.
838   Bacterial formate hydrogenlyase complex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 111:E3948-56.

839   59.   Lutz S, Jacobi A, Schlensog V, Böhm R, Sawers G, Böck A. 1991. Molecular
840   characterization of an operon (hyp) necessary for the activity of the three hydrogenase
841   isoenzymes in Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 5:123-35.

842   60.   Pao SS, Paulsen IT, Saier MH. 1998. Major facilitator superfamily. Microbiol Mol Biol
843   Rev 62:1-34.

844   61.   Saier MH, Reddy VS, Moreno-Hagelsieb G, Hendargo KJ, Zhang Y, Iddamsetty V, Lam
845   KJK, Tian N, Russum S, Wang J, Medrano-Soto A. 2021. The Transporter Classification
846   Database (TCDB): 2021 update. Nucleic Acids Res 49:D461-D467.

847   62.   Tanaka Y, Yoshikaie K, Takeuchi A, Ichikawa M, Mori T, Uchino S, Sugano Y,
848   Hakoshima T, Takagi H, Nonaka G, Tsukazaki T. 2020. Crystal structure of a YeeE/YedE
849   family protein engaged in thiosulfate uptake. Sci Adv 6:eaba7637.

63. Sirko A, Hryniewicz M, Hulanicka D, Böck A. 1990. Sulfate and thiosulfate transport in
Escherichia coli K-12: nucleotide sequence and expression of the cysTWAM gene cluster.
J Bacteriol 172:3351-7.
64. Imlay KRC, Korshunov S, Imlay JA. 2015. Physiological Roles and Adverse Effects of
the Two Cystine Importers of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 197:3629-44.
65. Ikei M, Miyazaki R, Monden K, Naito Y, Takeuchi A, Takahashi YS, Tanaka Y, Murata
K, Mori T, Ichikawa M, Tsukazaki T. 2024. YeeD is an essential partner for YeeE-
mediated thiosulfate uptake in bacteria and regulates thiosulfate ion decomposition. PLoS
Biol 22:e3002601.
66. Leyh TS, Vogt TF, Suo Y. 1992. The DNA sequence of the sulfate activation locus from
Escherichia coli K-12. J Biol Chem 267:10405-10.
67. Leyh TS, Taylor JC, Markham GD. 1988. The sulfate activation locus of Escherichia coli
K12: cloning, genetic, and enzymatic characterization. J Biol Chem 263:2409-16.
68. Deutch CE, Spahija I, Wagner CE. 2014. Susceptibility of Escherichia coli to the toxic L-
proline analogue L-selenaproline is dependent on two L-cystine transport systems. J Appl
Microbiol 117:1487-99.
69. Kredich NM. 1992. The molecular basis for positive regulation of cys promoters in
Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 6:2747-53.
70. Andrews SC, Robinson AK, Rodriguez-Quinones F. 2003. Bacterial iron homeostasis.
FEMS Microbiol Rev 27:215-37.
71. McHugh JP, Rodriguez-Quinones F, Abdul-Tehrani H, Svistunenko DA, Poole RK,
Cooper CE, Andrews SC. 2003. Global iron-dependent gene regulation in Escherichia
coli. A new mechanism for iron homeostasis. J Biol Chem 278:29478-86.
72. Hantke K. 2003. Is the bacterial ferrous iron transporter FeoB a living fossil? Trends
Microbiol 11:192-5.
73. Kammler M, Schön C, Hantke K. 1993. Characterization of the ferrous iron uptake
system of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 175:6212-9.
74. Lau CK, Ishida H, Liu Z, Vogel HJ. 2013. Solution structure of Escherichia coli FeoA
and its potential role in bacterial ferrous iron transport. J Bacteriol 195:46-55.
75. Massé E, Salvail H, Desnoyers G, Arguin M. 2007. Small RNAs controlling iron
metabolism. Curr Opin Microbiol 10:140-5.
76. Balbontín R, Villagra N, Pardos de la Gándara M, Mora G, Figueroa-Bossi N, Bossi L.
2016. Expression of IroN, the salmochelin siderophore receptor, requires mRNA
activation by RyhB small RNA homologues. Mol Microbiol 100:139-55.
77. Johnston NR, Strobel SA. 2020. Principles of fluoride toxicity and the cellular response:
a review. Arch Toxicol 94:1051-1069.
78. Murashko ON, Yeh KH, Yu CA, Kaberdin VR, Lin-Chao S. 2023. Sodium Fluoride
Exposure Leads to ATP Depletion and Altered RNA Decay in Escherichia coli under
Anaerobic Conditions. Microbiol Spectr 11:e0415822.
79. Ratledge C. 2004. Iron, mycobacteria and tuberculosis. Tuberculosis (Edinb) 84:110-30.
80. Tsylents U, Burmistrz M, Wojciechowska M, Stępień J, Maj P, Trylska J. 2024. Iron
uptake pathway of. Front Microbiol 15:1331021.
81. Postle K, Larsen RA. 2007. TonB-dependent energy transduction between outer and
cytoplasmic membranes. Biometals 20:453-65.
82. Grosse C, Scherer J, Koch D, Otto M, Taudte N, Grass G. 2006. A new ferrous iron-
uptake transporter, EfeU (YcdN), from Escherichia coli. Mol Microbiol 62:120-31.

83.   Clarke TA, Dennison V, Seward HE, Burlat B, Cole JA, Hemmings AM, Richardson DJ.
2004. Purification and Spectropotentiometric Characterization of Escherichia coli NrfB, a
Decaheme Homodimer That Transfers Electrons to the Decaheme Periplasmic Nitrite
Reductase Complex*. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279:41333-41339.
84.   Kranz RG, Richard-Fogal C, Taylor JS, Frawley ER. 2009. Cytochrome c biogenesis:
mechanisms for covalent modifications and trafficking of heme and for heme-iron redox
control. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 73:510-28, Table of Contents.
85.   Baez A, Sharma AK, Bryukhanov A, Anderson ED, Rudack L, Olivares-Hernández R,
Quan D, Shiloach J. 2022. Iron availability enhances the cellular energetics of aerobic
Escherichia coli cultures while upregulating anaerobic respiratory chains. New
Biotechnology 71:11-20.
86.   Erickson DW, Schink SJ, Patsalo V, Williamson JR, Gerland U, Hwa T. 2017. A global
resource allocation strategy governs growth transition kinetics of Escherichia coli. Nature
551:119-123.
87.   Jozefczuk S, Klie S, Catchpole G, Szymanski J, Cuadros-Inostroza A, Steinhauser D,
Selbig J, Willmitzer L. 2010. Metabolomic and transcriptomic stress response of
Escherichia coli. Mol Syst Biol 6:364.
88.   Ren X, Wei Y, Zhao H, Shao J, Zeng F, Wang Z, Li L. 2023. A comprehensive review and
comparison of L-tryptophan biosynthesis in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Escherichia
coli. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 11:1261832.
89.   Santillan M, Zeron ES. 2004. Dynamic influence of feedback enzyme inhibition and
transcription attenuation on the tryptophan operon response to nutritional shifts. J Theor
Biol 231:287-98.
90.   Hao R, Wang S, Jin X, Yang X, Qi Q, Liang Q. 2023. Dynamic and balanced regulation
of the thrABC operon gene for efficient synthesis of L-threonine. Front Bioeng
Biotechnol 11:1118948.
91.   Wechsler JA, Adelberg EA. 1969. Antipolarity in the ilv operon of Escherichia coli K-12.
J Bacteriol 98:1179-94.
92.   Ponath F, Hor J, Vogel J. 2022. An overview of gene regulation in bacteria by small
RNAs derived from mRNA 3' ends. FEMS Microbiol Rev 46.
93.   Holmqvist E, Vogel J. 2018. RNA-binding proteins in bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol
16:601-615.
94.   Hor J, Matera G, Vogel J, Gottesman S, Storz G. 2020. Trans-Acting Small RNAs and
Their Effects on Gene Expression in Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica. EcoSal
Plus 9.
95.   Fitzgerald NJM, Simcik MF, Novak PJ. 2018. Perfluoroalkyl Substances Increase the
Membrane Permeability and Quorum Sensing Response in *Aliivibrio fischeri*.
Environmental Science & Technology Letters 5:26-31.
96.   Fitzgerald NJM, Wargenau A, Sorenson C, Pedersen J, Tufenkji N, Novak PJ, Simcik
MF. 2018. Partitioning and Accumulation of Perfluoroalkyl Substances in Model Lipid
Bilayers and Bacteria. Environ Sci Technol 52:10433-10440.
97.   McIlwain BC, Ruprecht MT, Stockbridge RB. 2021. Membrane Exporters of Fluoride
Ion. Annu Rev Biochem 90:559-579.
98.   Stockbridge RB, Robertson JL, Kolmakova-Partensky L, Miller C. 2013. A family of
fluoride-specific ion channels with dual-topology architecture. Elife 2:e01084.

99. Qin J, Chai G, Brewer JM, Lovelace LL, Lebioda L. 2006. Fluoride inhibition of enolase:
crystal structure and thermodynamics. Biochemistry 45:793-800.
100. McCormick NE, Jakeman DL. 2015. On the mechanism of phosphoenolpyruvate
synthetase (PEPs) and its inhibition by sodium fluoride: potential magnesium and
aluminum fluoride complexes of phosphoryl transfer. Biochem Cell Biol 93:236-40.
101. Zhou H, Zhang Y, Long CP, Xia X, Xue Y, Ma Y, Antoniewicz MR, Tao Y, Lin B. 2024. A
citric acid cycle-deficient Escherichia coli as an efficient chassis for aerobic
fermentations. Nat Commun 15:2372.
102. Yu BJ, Sung BH, Lee JY, Son SH, Kim MS, Kim SC. 2006. sucAB and sucCD are
mutually essential genes in Escherichia coli. FEMS Microbiol Lett 254:245-50.
103. Förster AH, Gescher J. 2014. Metabolic Engineering of Escherichia coli for Production of
Mixed-Acid Fermentation End Products. Front Bioeng Biotechnol 2:16.
104. Nikolaeva DD, Gelfand MS, Garushyants SK. 2021. Simplification of Ribosomes in
Bacteria with Tiny Genomes. Mol Biol Evol 38:58-66.
105. Njenga R, Boele J, Öztürk Y, Koch H. 2023. Coping with stress: How bacteria fine-tune
protein synthesis and protein transport. J Biol Chem 299.
106. Marquis RE. 1995. Antimicrobial actions of fluoride for oral bacteria. Can J Microbiol
41:955-64.
107. Goldberg AL, Moerschell RP, Chung CH, Maurizi MR. 1994. ATP-dependent protease La
(lon) from Escherichia coli. Methods Enzymol 244:350-75.
108. Lee I, Suzuki CK. 2008. Functional mechanics of the ATP-dependent Lon protease-
lessons from endogenous protein and synthetic peptide substrates. Biochim Biophys Acta
1784:727-35.
109. Luo S, McNeill M, Myers TG, Hohman RJ, Levine RL. 2008. Lon protease promotes
survival of Escherichia coli during anaerobic glucose starvation. Arch Microbiol
189:181-5.
110. Kuroda A, Nomura K, Ohtomo R, Kato J, Ikeda T, Takiguchi N, Ohtake H, Kornberg A.
2001. Role of inorganic polyphosphate in promoting ribosomal protein degradation by
the Lon protease in E. coli. Science 293:705-8.
111. Wilkens S. 2015. Structure and mechanism of ABC transporters. F1000Prime Rep 7:14.
112. de Keyzer J, van der Does C, Driessen AJ. 2003. The bacterial translocase: a dynamic
protein channel complex. Cell Mol Life Sci 60:2034-52.
113. Sanganna Gari RR, Chattrakun K, Marsh BP, Mao C, Chada N, Randall LL, King GM.
2019. Direct visualization of the E. coli Sec translocase engaging precursor proteins in
lipid bilayers. Sci Adv 5:eaav9404.
114. Chatzi KE, Sardis MF, Economou A, Karamanou S. 2014. SecA-mediated targeting and
translocation of secretory proteins. Biochim Biophys Acta 1843:1466-74.
115. Natale P, Bruser T, Driessen AJ. 2008. Sec- and Tat-mediated protein secretion across the
bacterial cytoplasmic membrane--distinct translocases and mechanisms. Biochim
Biophys Acta 1778:1735-56.
116. Saibil H. 2013. Chaperone machines for protein folding, unfolding and disaggregation.
Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 14:630-42.
117. Bukau B, Walker GC. 1989. Cellular defects caused by deletion of the Escherichia coli
dnaK gene indicate roles for heat shock protein in normal metabolism. J Bacteriol
171:2337-46.

118. Storey JM, Storey KB. 2023. Chaperone proteins: universal roles in surviving
environmental stress. Cell Stress Chaperones 28:455-466.
119. Yadav A, Vuković L, Narayan M. 2024. An Atomic and Molecular Insight into How
PFOA Reduces α-Helicity, Compromises Substrate Binding, and Creates Binding Pockets
in a Model Globular Protein. Journal of the American Chemical Society 146:12766-
12777.
120. Xu M, Cui Z, Zhao L, Hu S, Zong W, Liu R. 2018. Characterizing the binding
interactions of PFOA and PFOS with catalase at the molecular level. Chemosphere
203:360-367.
121. Sutherland C, Murakami KS. 2018. An Introduction to the Structure and Function of the
Catalytic Core Enzyme of. EcoSal Plus 8.
122. De Las Penas A, Connolly L, Gross CA. 1997. The sigmaE-mediated response to
extracytoplasmic stress in Escherichia coli is transduced by RseA and RseB, two negative
regulators of sigmaE. Mol Microbiol 24:373-85.
123. Paget MS. 2015. Bacterial Sigma Factors and Anti-Sigma Factors: Structure, Function
and Distribution. Biomolecules 5:1245-65.
124. Darwin AJ. 2005. The phage-shock-protein response. Mol Microbiol 57:621-8.
125. Jones SE, Lloyd LJ, Tan KK, Buck M. 2003. Secretion defects that activate the phage
shock response of Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 185:6707-11.
126. Raetz CR, Guan Z, Ingram BO, Six DA, Song F, Wang X, Zhao J. 2009. Discovery of
new biosynthetic pathways: the lipid A story. J Lipid Res 50 Suppl:S103-8.
127. Naumann A, Alesio J, Poonia M, Bothun GD. 2022. PFAS fluidize synthetic and bacterial
lipid monolayers based on hydrophobicity and lipid charge. J Environ Chem Eng 10.
128. Colin R, Ni B, Laganenka L, Sourjik V. 2021. Multiple functions of flagellar motility and
chemotaxis in bacterial physiology. FEMS Microbiol Rev 45.
129. Abdelwahed EK, Hussein NA, Moustafa A, Moneib NA, Aziz RK. 2022. Gene Networks
and Pathways Involved in Escherichia coli Response to Multiple Stressors.
Microorganisms 10.
130. Laganenka L, Colin R, Sourjik V. 2016. Chemotaxis towards autoinducer 2 mediates
autoaggregation in Escherichia coli. Nat Commun 7:12984.
131. González Barrios AF, Zuo R, Hashimoto Y, Yang L, Bentley WE, Wood TK. 2006.
Autoinducer 2 controls biofilm formation in Escherichia coli through a novel motility
quorum-sensing regulator (MqsR, B3022). J Bacteriol 188:305-16.
132. Weathers TS, Higgins CP, Sharp JO. 2015. Enhanced biofilm production by a toluene-
degrading Rhodococcus observed after exposure to perfluoroalkyl acids. Environ Sci
Technol 49:5458-66.
133. Harbor CS. 2010. M9 minimal medium (standard). Cold Spring Harb Protoc 2010.
134. team P. 2022. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R., Posit Software,
PBC, Boston, MA. http://www.posit.co/.
135. Team RC. 2022. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, R
Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/.
136. Institute TB. Trim Galore. https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore. Accessed
137. Martin M. 2021. Cutadapt Removes Adapter Sequences From High-Throughput
Sequencing Reads. EMBnetJournal 17.
138. Andrews S. 2010. FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data
[Online].

139. Thakur V. 2024. RNA-Seq Data Analysis for Differential Gene Expression Using
HISAT2-StringTie-Ballgown Pipeline. Methods Mol Biol 2812:101-113.
140. Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane
T, McCarthy SA, Davies RM, Li H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.
Gigascience 10.
141. Pertea M, Pertea GM, Antonescu CM, Chang TC, Mendell JT, Salzberg SL. 2015.
StringTie enables improved reconstruction of a transcriptome from RNA-seq reads. Nat
Biotechnol 33:290-5.
142. Soneson C, Love MI, Robinson MD. 2015. Differential analyses for RNA-seq: transcript-
level estimates improve gene-level inferences. F1000Res 4:1521.
143. Kanehisa M, Goto S. 2000. KEGG: kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes. Nucleic
Acids Res 28:27-30.
144. Wu T, Hu E, Xu S, Chen M, Guo P, Dai Z, Feng T, Zhou L, Tang W, Zhan L, Fu X, Liu S,
Bo X, Yu G. 2021. clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics
data. Innovation (Camb) 2:100141.
145. Karp PD, Paley S, Caspi R, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Midford PE, Moore LR,
Subhraveti P, Gama-Castro S, Tierrafria VH, Lara P, Muñiz-Rascado L, Bonavides-
Martinez C, Santos-Zavaleta A, Mackie A, Sun G, Ahn-Horst TA, Choi H, Covert MW,
Collado-Vides J, Paulsen I. 2023. The EcoCyc Database (2023). EcoSal Plus
11:eesp00022023.
146. Edgar R, Domrachev M, Lash AE. 2002. Gene Expression Omnibus: NCBI gene
expression and hybridization array data repository. Nucleic Acids Res 30:207-10.
1054
1055

1056 **Figures**

1057

1058
1059

Figure 1. Experimental and computational workflow. This study characterizes the PFCA-
induced transcriptional response of *E. coli* during mid-exponential phase and stationary phase
growth. The schematic diagram of experimental design and data processing provides an overview
of the growth of *E. coli* with PFCAs or NFCAs, microbial growth measurements, and cDNA
library preparation and quality control measurements before next generation sequencing,
bioinformatic data processing and differential expression analysis. Additional culturing and
sampling information can be found in SI Figure 1.
1067

1068
1069
Figure 2. Growth of *E. coli* in the presence of PFCAs or NFCAs. (A) Chemical structures of
PFOA, PFDoA, OA, and DoA. (B) Growth of *E. coli* in M9 at 37 °C in the presence of 100 µmol/L
of PFOA (pink), PFDoA, (blue), OA (yellow), or DoA (green) displayed as optical density
measured at 600 nm (OD_{600}) over time (hr). Untreated cells containing an equivalent final
concentration of DMSO are displayed in gray. Error bars represent one standard deviation of the
mean value of biological triplicates (Table SI 1).
1076

1077
1078
Figure 3. Transcriptomic profiles of mid-exponential and stationary phase _E. coli_ grown with
PFCAs. Volcano plots show the global transcriptional response of _E. coli_ to (A) PFOA and (B)
PFDoA in comparison to an untreated control group at 6 hr (left), 24 hr (middle), and 48 hr (right).
Dashed lines represent a significance cutoff for differential expression of an adjusted p-value (padj)
of less than 0.05 and absolute value of the $\log_2$ fold change greater than or equal to 1.5. Upregulated
and downregulated genes are denoted by purple and orange, respectively, and genes not
significantly changed by PFCA treatment are gray. Differentially expressed genes with $\log_2$ fold
change and or padj values outside of the plot limits are represented by orange or purple triangles
in the upper corners of each plot, if applicable.
1088

Figure 4. Comparison of differential gene expression of *E. coli* induced by PFCAs or NFCAs across the time course. The number of differentially expressed genes induced by (A) PFOA (pink, left), PFDoA (blue, right), (B) OA (yellow, left), and DoA (green, right) over the time course are shown by the height of each bar and label above or below the bar. Genes are considered differentially expressed if the absolute value of the $\log_2$ fold change greater than or equal to 1.5 and the adjusted p-value less than 0.05. Upregulated genes are represented by the upper portion of the plot with lighter shaded bars as indicated by the legend. Downregulated genes are represented by the lower portion of the plot with darker shaded bars. Venn diagrams display the number of differentially expressed genes induced by (C) PFOA (top), PFDoA (bottom), (D) OA (top), and DoA (bottom) over the time course. Unions display genes differentially expressed by one PFCA or NFCA at more than one time point. Genes in the outer circles are only differentially expressed at a single time point by one PFCA or NFCA.

Figure 5. Schematic of key biological processes with altered gene expression in the presence of PFCAs across the time course. These processes are highlighted in the results and discussion. The formate regulon (A), sulfate assimilation (B), and cysteine biosynthesis (C) are discussed in the mid-exponential phase section. Ferrous iron transport (D), amino acid metabolism (E), and small regulatory RNAs (F) are discussed in the early stationary phase section. Fluoride export (G), ribosomal subunit proteins (H), the molecular chaperone network (I), and motility processes (J) are discussed in the late stationary phase section. The cytoplasm (CP), inner membrane (IM), periplasm (PP), outer membrane (OM), and extracellular environment (EC) are labeled on the right side of the schematic. The CP, PP, and EC have blue, white, and yellow backgrounds, respectively.

Figure 6. Select genes with differential expression at 6 hr and their temporal change.
Heatmaps display differential expression of select genes (padj < 0.05) involved in (A) the formate
regulon and (B) sulfate assimilation and cysteine biosynthesis. Expression is represented by $\log_2$
fold change (L2FC) within a gene compared to untreated control in a purple to orange scale
displayed by legend color bar below each heatmap. Note heatmap color bars are different scales in
(A) and (B) to best highlight differences. PFCA or NFCA treatment is represented by color coded
bars at the top of the heatmaps: PFOA (pink), PFDoA (blue), and OA (yellow). Genes with no
statistically significant change in expression are represented by gray boxes.

Figure 7. Select genes with differential expression at 24 hr. Heatmaps display differential expression of select genes (padj < 0.05) involved in (A) ferrous iron transport, (B) amino acid metabolism, and (C) small regulatory RNAs across the time course. Expression is represented by log$_2$ fold change (L2FC) within a gene compared to untreated control in a purple to orange scale displayed by legend color bar below each heatmap. Note panels A and C share the same L2FC scale, which differs from panel B. PFCA or NFCA treatment is represented by color coded bars at the top of the heatmaps: PFOA (pink), PFDoA (blue), DoA (green), and OA (yellow). Genes with no significant change in expression are represented by gray boxes.

Figure 8. Select genes with differential expression at 48 hr. Heatmaps display differential expression of select genes (padj < 0.05) encoding (A) a fluoride exporter and metabolic enzymes, (B) ATPases and the molecular chaperone network, (C) sigma factors and envelope stress response, and (D) the AI-2 signaling system at select time points in the time course (6, 24, 48 hr). Expression is represented by $\log_2$ fold change (L2FC) within a gene compared to untreated control in a purple to orange scale displayed by legend color bar below each heatmap. Note heatmaps in A and B share the same L2FC scale and heatmaps in C and D share a separate scale. PFCA or NFCA treatment is represented by color coded bars at the top of the heatmap: PFOA (pink), PFDoA (blue), and OA (yellow). Genes with no significant change in expression are represented by gray boxes.