Pseudogenes Document Protracted Parallel Regression of Oral Anatomy in Myrmecophagous Mammals

Christopher A. Emerling[1,2,*], Sophie Teullet[2], Rémi Allio[2,3], John Gatesy[4], Mark S. Springer[5] and Frédéric Delsuc[2,*]

[1] Biology Department, Reedley College, Reedley, CA, USA

[2] ISEM, Univ. Montpellier, CNRS, IRD, Montpellier, France

[3] CBGP, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ. Montpellier, Montpellier, France

[4] Division of Vertebrate Zoology, American Museum of Natural History, New York, NY, USA

[5] Department of Evolution, Ecology, and Organismal Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

Correspondence to christopher.emerling@reedleycollege.edu and
frederic.delsuc@umontpellier.fr

ABSTRACT

Adaptation to ant and/or termite consumption (myrmecophagy) in mammals constitutes a textbook example of convergent evolution, being independently derived in several mammalian lineages. Myrmecophagous species are characterized by striking convergent morphological adaptations such as skull elongation, enlargement of salivary glands, and long claws to dig into ant and termite nests. These evolutionary modifications also include anatomical regression, such as dental simplification or loss, reduction of masticatory muscles, and possessing a reduced set of taste buds. To gain insights into the molecular changes underlying the regression of these morpho-anatomical traits, we investigated the functionality of candidate genes related to dentition, gustation, and mastication in nine convergent myrmecophagous mammalian lineages. We examined these genes in a comparative phylogenetic context, paired with molecular evolutionary analyses, to estimate the relative timing of loss of gene function over the evolutionary history of each convergent lineage. We found that gustatory reduction and pseudogenization of masticatory myosin often were associated with the regression of dental genes. Evidence of pseudogenization events linked to oral anatomy dates to as early as the Cretaceous/Paleogene boundary, and is an ongoing process including examples of incipient gene inactivations. Whereas we found evidence for gene inactivations across all three functional

categories occurring during distinct temporal intervals, there was variation in the sets of genes

lost and the relative timing of inactivation events. The combined evidence suggests that the

convergent evolution of myrmecophagy has occurred as a protracted process with distinct

phases of anatomical evolution, over timescales as long as 60 Myr.

INTRODUCTION

Convergent evolution is a widespread phenomenon in which distantly-related organisms

independently derive highly similar phenotypic traits. Such a pattern-based definition of

evolutionary convergence does not make any assumptions on the underlying mechanisms and

allows one to test if similar traits evolved as a result of similar selection pressures (Stayton

2015). Deriving similar anatomy, physiology, and behavior can indeed result from modifications

to identical, unique or partially overlapping sets of genes, depending on the trait and its

underlying molecular basis. The frequency with which convergent evolution is demonstrably

repeatable at the genetic level, particularly among highly divergent taxa, is a topic of ongoing

interest (Blount et al. 2018; Cerca 2023).

One prominent example of convergent evolution can be found in myrmecophagous

mammals, species that specialize in eating ants and/or termites (termitivory), which constitute

more than 90% of their diet. Mammalian myrmecophages are phylogenetically widespread

(Redford 1987; Reiss 2001), with some of the most specialized myrmecophages being found

among the xenarthran anteaters (Vermilingua), pangolins (Pholidota), the aardvark

(Tubulidentata, *Orycteropus afer*), tolypeutine armadillos (Cingulata, Tolypeutinae: three-

banded [*Tolypeutes* spp.], giant [*Priodontes maximus*], and naked-tailed [*Cabassous* spp.]

armadillos), the aardwolves (Carnivora: *Proteles* spp.), the numbat (Dasyuromorphia,

Myrmecobius fasciatus), and the short-beaked echidna (Monotremata, *Tachyglossus*

aculeatus). Other mammals with at least partially myrmecophagous diets include include fairy

(Chlamyphorinae), hairy (Euphractinae) and long-nosed (Dasypodidae) armadillos, as well as

some carnivorans, such as the bat-eared fox (Canidae*, Otocyon megalotis*) and sloth bear

(Ursidae, *Melursus ursinus*) (Redford 1987; Reiss 2001).

As these lineages have independently adapted to consuming social insects, there has

been a shift from a more typical ancestral mammalian masticatory apparatus (specialized

multicuspid teeth, robust jaws and associated muscles) to a characteristically derived

myrmecophagous oral apparatus: dental simplification and/or loss, thinning of the mandible, a

reduced or absent zygomatic arch, an elongated tongue that can rapidly probe ant and termite

nests, enlarged salivary glands which produce a high volume of viscous saliva to capture prey,

and the reorganization and reduction of jaw musculature to accommodate this feeding

mechanism (Reiss 2001; Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2019; Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2020). These

morphological characteristics are exemplified in xenarthran anteaters ("anteaters" throughout

text) and pangolins, wherein extreme specialization for myrmecophagy and accompanying

anatomical modifications had led systematists to formerly conclude that they constitute a

monophyletic group (Edentata) (Glass 1985; Reiss 2001). It was not until molecular

phylogenetics was applied to this question towards the turn of the 21st century that it was firmly

demonstrated that their shared features were the result of convergent evolution (Murphy et al.

2001; Delsuc et al. 2002; Springer et al. 2013).

Given that convergent evolution of myrmecophagy can lead to the complete

reorganization of the oral apparatus, it raises the question of whether this is detectable at the

genomic level. One way to test for convergent molecular evolution is by studying the

phenomenon of regressive evolution, or vestigialization, whereby phenotypic traits are reduced

(e.g., in size or complexity) or completely lost over evolutionary time (Fong et al. 1995; Lahti et

al. 2009; Albalat and Cañestro 2016). While adaptations for myrmecophagy frequently include

the enlargement or extension of certain traits (e.g., hypertrophied salivary glands, lengthening of

tongue), several others can be classified as evolutionary regressions, including the thinning of

the mandible, the weakening of the jaw musculature, and reduction of the dentition. When such

regressed traits are linked to non-pleiotropic or marginally pleiotropic genes, these loci can

accumulate mutations that disrupt gene expression and/or translation (Albalat and Cañestro

2016). The end result is 'gene loss', either in the form of nonfunctional unitary pseudogenes,

characterized by frameshift indels, nonsense mutations and other inactivating mutations, or

genes that are deleted from the genome. Numerous examples of such gene loss linked to

vestigialization have been documented, ranging from the loss of claw keratins in snakes and

worm lizards (Emerling 2017; Holthaus et al. 2025), the loss of insect-digesting chitinase and

trehalase genes in mammals that have shifted from insectivory to carnivory and herbivory

(Emerling et al. 2018; Janiak et al. 2018; Jiao et al. 2019), and the inactivation of melatonin

synthesis and receptor genes in mammals that have lost their pineal glands (Huelsmann et al.

2019; Emerling et al. 2021; Valente et al. 2021; Yin et al. 2021).

Here, we test whether convergent myrmecophagous mammals show signals of

convergent molecular regression, by examining candidate genes related to three components of

oral anatomy: dentition, gustation, and masticatory muscle contraction. The development and

maintenance of vertebrate teeth relies on coordination between a suite of genes associated with

the formation of dentin and overlying enamel, and maintaining their point of contact with the
gingiva. Pseudogenizations have been extensively documented for a subset of these genes in
edentulous (toothless) and enamelless vertebrates, including turtles (Meredith et al. 2013), birds
(Meredith et al. 2014), toads (Shaheen et al. 2021), and baleen whales (Randall et al. 2022).
Dental simplification and tooth loss in myrmecophagous mammals is expected to lead to the
accumulation of inactivating mutations in these genes, which should vary depending on the
degree of dental reduction. Analyses of gustatory cells demonstrate that certain genes are
linked to specific taste modalities (Chandrashekar et al. 2006; Yarmolinsky et al. 2009;
Chaudhari and Roper 2010; Roper and Chaudhari 2017). Reductions in gustation from the
hypothesized ancestral vertebrate complement have been observed in certain species
exhibiting dietary specializations (Policarpo et al. 2024), particularly hypercarnivorous mammals
and the bamboo-specialist giant and red pandas (Zhao et al. 2010; Jiang et al. 2012), as well as
in taxa that have reduced or completely lost taste buds, such as cetaceans (Feng et al. 2014;
Kishida et al. 2015) and snakes (Emerling 2017). Given the extreme degree of dietary
specialization and modifications to the tongue in myrmecophagous mammals, losses of
gustatory genes are expected. Finally, a particular myosin protein (myosin heavy chain 16;
MYH16) is expressed exclusively in the jaw closing muscles of multiple vertebrate lineages, and
has been associated with species that possess a strong bite force (Hoh 2002; Toniolo et al.
2008; Lee et al. 2019). For myrmecophagous mammals, in which mastication has been greatly
reduced or even lost (Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2020), this gene is a promising candidate for
pseudogenization.
Using these candidate genes, we addressed two main questions. First, do these genes
show evidence of similar patterns of gene loss in myrmecophagous mammals, linked to the
degree of commitment to myrmecophagy? Second, given that these genes are related to the
processing of food, despite being expressed in distinctly different tissues (teeth, taste buds,
skeletal muscles), is the timing of gene losses in these three functional categories of genes
similar in convergently evolved lineages? If so, this may point to a concomitant sequence of
regressive morphological evolution of the oral apparatus associated with the convergent
evolution of myrmecophagy. We addressed these questions by interrogating genomic data in a
diverse collection of myrmecophagous mammals, including placentals (three anteaters, six
armadillos, eight pangolins, the aardvark, two aardwolves, the bat-eared fox, the sloth bear), a
marsupial (the numbat), and a monotreme (the short-beaked echidna), representing at least
nine convergent adaptations to myrmecophagy.
135

RESULTS

Overall patterns

Each of the examined genes was pseudogenized or deleted in at least some of our focal species (Figure 1). *TAS1R3* was inactivated the least frequently (3/24, 12.5%) with *ACP4* showing evidence of pseudogenization in the vast majority of the focal taxa (21/24, 87.5%). The most anatomically extreme myrmecophagous species, pangolins and anteaters, have the highest proportion of gene losses, with 86.7% (13/15) to 100% of the genes lost in pangolins, and 78.6% (11/14) to 85.7% (12/14) lost in anteaters. The white-bellied (*Phataginus tricuspis*) and black-bellied (*P. tetradactyla*) tree pangolins were the only species to present evidence of inactivation for every examined gene. By contrast, myrmecophagous carnivorans, which present far less anatomical regression in the oral apparatus, ranged from 0 to 6.7% (1/15) gene inactivation. In terms of the timing of gene losses, some appear very recent, including evidently incipient examples (*i.e.*, polymorphic pseudogenes), whereas the earliest pseudogenization estimates pre-date the K/Pg boundary (66 Mya). Some of the non-myrmecophagous outgroup species we examined also possessed pseudogenes for some of the genes we studied (*e.g.*, sloths [Folivora]), and these are due in part to a combination of shared history of gene loss with myrmecophagous species and in other cases may represent adaptations associated with other dietary niches.

FIGURE 1. Summary of gene functional status in the focal taxa. Evolutionary relationships

depicted using a composite phylogeny with branch lengths proportional to time (Dos Reis et al.

2012; Gibb et al. 2016; Hassanin et al. 2021; Foley et al. 2023; Heighton et al. 2023). Pholidota

= pangolins; Tubulidentata = aardvark; Cingulata = armadillos; Vermilingua = anteaters.

Paintings by Carl Buell except giant armadillo, pygmy anteater and numbat (Michelle S.

Fabros).

Pangolins

Nearly all of the genes examined in this study are pseudogenes or deleted in pangolins (13/15

to 15/15; Figure 1; Supplementary Figures S2–S6). Most of these genes show strong evidence

of loss prior to the last common ancestor (LCA) of pangolins, particularly due to shared

inactivating mutations, suggesting early losses in this clade. For example, the masticatory

myosin gene (*MYH16*), is pseudogenized in all five examined species (Figure 2), with 12

inactivating mutations shared among them (Supplementary Figure S4). The tooth genes

likewise share inactivating mutations (Figure 2; Supplementary Figures S2–S4), but the taste

receptor genes are more variable in their patterns of gene loss (Supplementary Figures S4–S6).

The tooth genes we examined have been described for some pangolin species,

particularly *Manis pentadactyla* (Meredith et al. 2009; Meredith et al. 2014; Choo et al. 2016;

Springer et al. 2016; Springer et al. 2019), but here we expand upon these results by

characterizing ten dental genes in three Asian pangolins (*Manis* spp.) and two African pangolins

(*Smutsia gigantea*, *Phataginus tricuspis*), representing the two major subclades within

Pholidota. All ten are pseudogenes or show evidence of whole gene deletion in all five pangolin

species investigated. In almost every case, there is evidence of inactivating mutations shared

between Asian and African pangolins. For the enamel genes, *ACP4* has 11 shared mutations,

AMELX has a single shared splice acceptor mutation (intron 3), *ENAM* has four shared

mutations, and both *AMBN* and *MMP20* have three shared mutations. *KLK4* returned no BLAST

results for all three *Manis* spp. *KLK5* and *KLK15* flank this gene in other boreoeutherian

mammals, and both were found on the RefSeq assembly for *Manis javanica* on chromosome

17, suggesting a whole gene deletion. For the African pangolins, we were only able to recover

the first two exons of *KLK4*, with exon 2 presenting two shared premature stop codons. For the

dento-gingival junction genes, *AMTN* exons 1–4 have been deleted in all pangolins, and *ODAM*

has eight shared mutations. The dentin development gene *DSPP* has been completely deleted

in Asian pangolins, confirmed by the retention of homologous sequence upstream and

downstream of the CDS, whereas African pangolins retain it but possess 10 shared mutations.

Finally, *ODAPH*, whose function is correlated with tooth retention, has six mutations shared between all five species (Figure 2).

FIGURE 2. Pseudogene dating estimates for pangolins (Pholidota) and DNA sequence alignments for representative genes. Average dates are mapped on a timetree based on divergence times from Heighton et al. (2023). Also included are relevant fossil taxa, indicated by black circles and daggers (see Discussion). * indicates minimum date of inactivation based on distribution of inactivating mutations. These genes were not dated using dN/dS due to violated assumptions. Paintings by Carl Buell.

Among the gustatory genes, *TAS1R2* is inactivated in all five pangolins we examined,

with 10 shared disabling mutations (Figure 2). At the other extreme, *TAS1R3* is only inactivated

in the two *Phataginus* species with up to nine mutations in *P. tricuspis* and five in *P.*

tetradactyla, although none of these are shared between the two species. A premature stop

codon is present in the *Smutsia gigantea TAS1R3*, though this is only 14 codons upstream from

the ancestral stop codon, making the functional impact uncertain. Likewise, *TAS1R1* shows

relatively minimal evidence of inactivation, presenting as a pseudogene in both *Phataginus*

species and *Smutsia temminckii*. Finally, *TAS1R1* in the Sunda (*Manis javanica*), Indian (*M.*

crassicaudata) and Philippine (*M. culionensis*) pangolins may likewise be a pseudogene, based

on an 8-bp insertion in exon 6. However, this is near the ancestral stop codon and results in an

additional five residues translated prior to the next stop codon, rendering its status uncertain. All

pangolins have inactivating mutations in *PKD2L1* and although none of these are

unambiguously shared among all five species, four mutations are shared between the African

pangolins, and Asian pangolins possibly share a splice acceptor mutation in intron 8 relative to

the ancestral AG condition (GG in *M. crassicaudata* and *M. javanica*; CG in *M. pentadactyla*).

Given that shared inactivation mutations only provide a minimum date for

pseudogenization, we performed numerous pseudogene dating analyses, based on dN/dS

calculations (Supplementary Tables S3–S17), to provide estimates of when relaxed selection

effectively began on each locus. In most cases, our dN/dS estimates were consistent with

assumptions of transitioning from a fully-functional gene to a pseudogene. Specifically, on a

transitional ("mixed") branch, the ω parameter value is expected to be higher than the

background ("functional") branches but less than fully relaxed selection or neutral evolution

("pseudogene", ω = 1).

Of the 12 genes with mutational evidence suggesting loss on the stem Pholidota branch,

nine had ω estimates for the crown Pholidota branches that were not a significantly better fit

(p > 0.05) relative to models in which ω was fixed at 1 for crown branches (range ω: 0.66–2.75;

mean ω: 1.18; median ω: 1.04). This suggests selection was largely relaxed after inactivation.

However, three genes deviated from this pattern for crown Pholidota: *DSPP* (ω = 0.54, p =

0.02); *MYH16* (ω = 0.76, p = 0.009); *TAS1R2* (ω = 0.52, p < 0.00001). One interpretation is that

even after becoming a pseudogene in the stem Pholidota lineage, these genes still experienced

some selective constraint. Alternatively, rapid evolution of CpG sites or other features of the

nucleotide substitution process that are not modelled by codeml might have distorted ω

estimates for these genes. When performing pseudogene dating analyses, we assumed the

"pseudogene" branch category to be ω = 1 for the former nine genes, and implemented the

direct estimates of ω for the latter three. For the remaining three genes, which all lack direct

evidence of inactivation on the stem Pholidota branch, two showed clear evidence of selective

constraint in crown Pholidota, estimating an ω much lower than one, and statistically

distinguishable from models in which the crown ω was fixed as one (*TAS1R1*, ω = 0.29;

TAS1R3, ω = 0.24). However, despite the absence of typical inactivating mutations shared

across all pangolins, *PKD2L1* had a crown ω of 1 and a stem ω that was statistically

distinguishable from the "functional" category (ω = 0.28 stem branch vs 0.17 background; p =

0.037). This suggests loss of the gene, or at least extensive relaxed selection, on the apical end

of the stem Pholidota branch, prior to the acquisition of clear disabling mutations in the

descendant lineages.

For the 13 genes with evidence of gene loss on the stem Pholidota branch (including

PKD2L1), nine have ω estimates that are consistent with assumptions for a "mixed" or

transitional branch, being higher than the "functional" ω of outgroups and lower than the

"pseudogene" ω. The three that violated this assumption had mixed branches wherein ω was

higher than one: *AMTN* (mixed ω: 1.01, functional ω: 0.49, pseudogene ω: 1.14), *DSPP*

(mixed ω: 1.08, functional ω: 0.75, pseudogene ω: 0.54), and *ODAPH* (mixed ω: 1.67, functional

ω: 0.5, pseudogene ω: 1.47). These all are suggestive of issues with how PAML is modelling

these genes, or perhaps a mixed history of positive selection along with purifying and/or relaxed

selection on the stem Pholidota branch. Regardless, they were excluded from pseudogene

dating estimates due to this assumption violation. For the stem Pholidota ω estimates, nine of

15 genes were statistically distinguishable from the "functional" category. The exceptions were

AMBN, *AMTN*, *DSPP*, *ODAM*, *TAS1R1* and *TAS1R3*, of which the latter two show no evidence

of gene loss on the stem Pholidota branch.

We performed pseudogene dating analyses for all genes that were consistent with our

assumptions (Figure 2). The inactivation dates here and for other taxa below indicate the

average and a range provided by assuming one synonymous substitution rate vs. assuming two

(see Meredith et al. 2009) during the transition from functional genes to pseudogenes: *KLK4*: 77

Mya (76.6–77.4); *ENAM*: 72.8 Mya (71.8–73.8); *MYH16*: 63.7 Mya (62–65.3); *AMELX*: 61.3 Mya

(59.6–63); *ODAM*: 60.8 Mya (59.6–61.3); *MMP20*: 60.4 Mya (58.7–60.4); *TAS1R2*: 58.6 Mya

(56.9–60.2); *AMBN*: 54.3 Mya (52.7–55.8); *ACP4*: 54.1 Mya (52.6–55.7); *PKD2L1*: 45.8 Mya

(45.1–46.5).

Anteaters

Like pangolins, anteaters show a large number of gene losses for our dataset (11/14 to 12/14) (Figure 1; Supplementary Figures S7–S8). The dental genes for xenarthrans have already been reported by Emerling et al. (2023). Among the gustatory genes (Supplementary Figures S7–S8), *TAS1R1* is intact in all three anteaters, *TAS1R3* and *PKD2L1* are variably inactivated, and *TAS1R2* is pseudogenic in all three. For *TAS1R2*, all anteaters share eight inactivating mutations, and their sister group, sloths (Folivora), also have a pseudogenized *TAS1R2* ortholog. The two clades of sloths (*Choloepus* spp., *Bradypus* spp.) share two mutations with anteaters: a 19-bp deletion of the exon 4-intron 4 boundary and an 8-bp deletion in exon 5 (Figure 3). *Myrmecophaga tridactyla* presents a premature stop codon in exon 3 of *TAS1R3* in one genome assembly, but this was not reproduced in a second. Furthermore, short-read sequence data suggest that this mutation is polymorphic, as this individual is heterozygous for the stop codon. Only *Cyclopes didactylus* shows evidence of *PKD2L1* pseudogenization, possessing a 2-bp deletion in exon 13, which is polymorphic based on short-read sequence data.

$\quad$ *MYH16* is a pseudogene in all three anteaters (Supplementary Figure S8), with three shared disabling mutations in *Tamandua tetradactyla* and *Myrmecophaga tridactyla* (Figure 3). While *Cyclopes didactylus* also has a nonfunctional *MYH16*, possessing 10 disabling mutations, none of these are shared with the other anteaters. Among the sloth outgroups, the two-fingered sloths (*Choloepus* spp.) have an intact *MYH16*, while it is a pseudogene with shared mutations in both of the three-fingered species that we examined (*Bradypus* spp.).

$\quad$ Given that the lineage leading to anteaters and armadillos is broken up by cladogenic events (Figure 3), we did not perform ω-based pseudogene dating analyses on xenarthrans, and instead used shared inactivating mutations to provide minimum pseudogenization dates, bracketed by likely maxima. First, we found no evidence of shared inactivating mutations between pilosans (anteaters + sloths) and armadillos, suggesting that the entire complement of genes we examined was functional in the LCA of xenarthrans. After this, assuming the dates from Gibb et al. (2016), *ACP4*, *AMELX*, *ENAM*, *MMP20*, *AMTN,* and *TAS1R2* were all lost on the stem Pilosa (anteaters + sloths) branch (68.1–58.9 Mya). Next, on the stem vermilinguan branch (58.9–38 Mya), *ODAM*, *ODAPH* and *DSPP* were inactivated. On the stem Myrmecophagidae (*Myrmecophaga* + *Tamandua*) branch (38–12.8 Mya), *MYH16* and *AMBN* were disabled, and *TAS1R3* shows evidence of incipient pseudogenization in *Myrmecophaga tridactyla*. Likewise, along the *Cyclopes didactylus* branch (38–0 Mya), *MYH16* and *AMBN* were inactivated, with evidence of incipient loss of *PKD2L1*.

FIGURE 3. Minimum pseudogenization dates in xenarthrans based on shared inactivating mutations and DNA sequence alignments for representative genes. Gene losses were arbitrarily placed midway on pertinent branches of a timetree, with divergence times derived from Gibb et al. (2016). Exceptions are *PKD2L1* in *Cyclopes didactylus* and *TAS1R3* in *Myrmecophaga tridactyla*, which were placed at the branch tips due to being polymorphic pseudogenes. Also included are relevant fossil taxa, indicated by black circles or bars and daggers. Bars indicate the date range for these fossils (see Discussion). Vermilingua = anteaters; Folivora = sloths; Cingulata = armadillos. Paintings by Carl Buell except *Priodontes maximus* and *Cyclopes didactylus* (Michelle S. Fabros).

Armadillos

Armadillos represent the third clade (Cingulata) within Xenarthra, and possess fewer pseudogenes (6/14–8/14) than their anteater relatives (Figure 1). Much like their dental genes (Emerling et al. 2023), armadillo gustatory genes are variably pseudogenic (Supplementary Figures S7–S8). *TAS1R1* has clear positive evidence of inactivation in only *Tolypeutes matacus*, with eight inactivating mutations across the gene, validated by two different genome assemblies. Furthermore, *TAS1R2* and *TAS1R3* appear functionally intact in all six armadillos we examined. By contrast, *PKD2L1* is present as a pseudogene in multiple armadillos including *T. matacus* (three inactivating mutations), *Cabassous unicinctus* (two), *Chlamyphorus truncatus* (two) and *Euphractus sexcinctus* (two). By contrast, *MYH16* is universally inactivated in armadillos (Supplementary Figure S8). We found seven mutations shared among all chlamyphorid armadillos (Figure 3). *Dasypus novemcinctus* also has an *MYH16* pseudogene, though none of its mutations are clearly shared with the five chlamyphorids.

Given the absence of shared inactivating mutations across dasypodid and chlamyphorid armadillos, the available evidence suggests that the examined genes were intact in the LCA of Cingulata (Figure 3). After the split between dasypodid and chlamyphorid armadillos (45.3 Mya), shared mutations suggest gene losses occurred repeatedly in parallel. On the stem chlamyphorid branch (45.3–37.2 Mya), *MYH16*, *ACP4, AMTN*, and *ODAM* were lost. This was followed by multiple parallel losses of enamel genes (*AMELX*, *ENAM*, *AMBN*) and *PKD2L1*, and a single loss of *TAS1R1*. In dasypodids, between 45.3 Mya and the present, *MYH16*, *AMBN*, *ENAM*, *ACP4*, *AMTN* and *ODAM* were lost. Based on results from Emerling et al. (2023), *ACP4*, *AMTN* and *ODAM* show evidence of loss prior to crown Dasypodidae (12.9 Mya), with *AMBN* and *ENAM* being inactivated more recently. Based on the number of inactivating mutations in *MYH16* (47), it is highly likely this gene was also lost on the stem Dasypodidae branch.

Aardvark

The aardvark's (*Orycteropus afer*) dental pseudogene distribution has been described previously (Meredith et al. 2009; Meredith et al. 2014; Springer et al. 2019), which we confirm here. The aardvark has inactivated orthologs for all of the enamel and dento-gingival junction genes while retaining functional *DSPP* and *ODAPH* (Figure 1). *MYH16* is intact in this species, as are the three *TAS1R*s, whereas *PKD2L1* possesses a 1-bp deletion in exon 8 (Supplementary Figure S9).

We performed pseudogene dating analyses based on dN/dS modelling (Figure 4; Supplementary Tables S3–S5, S8, S10, S11, S13), with the estimated dates as follows: *ODAM*

51.5 Mya (49.5–53.5), *MMP20* 28.6 Mya (25.7–31.5), *ACP4* 26.4 Mya (23.6–29.3), *AMBN* 23.3

Mya (20.6–25.9), *AMELX* 23 Mya (20.3–25.7), *ENAM* 17.7 Mya (15.4–20), and *PKD2L1* 11.6

Mya (9.9–13.4). We did not date *AMTN* because it violated our assumptions ("mixed branch"

$\omega > 1$). dN/dS estimates showed statistically elevated values for five pseudogenes (*ACP4*,

AMTN, *MMP20*, *ODAM*, *PKD2L1*), whereas *TAS1R1* was statistically reduced compared to the

background (Supplementary Tables S3–S8, S10–17).

428 **FIGURE 4.** Pseudogene dating estimates for aardvark (*Orycteropus afer*). Average dates are

429 mapped on a timetree based on dates from Foley et al. (2023). Also included are relevant fossil

430 taxa, indicated by black bars and daggers. Bars indicate the date range for these fossils (see

431 Discussion). Paintings by Carl Buell.

Carnivorans

Among the carnivorans we examined, disabling mutations were very rare, and when present

they always presented as polymorphic (functional/nonfunctional alleles; Supplementary Figures

S2, S5 and S6), demonstrating that these mutations are not fixed in their respective species.

First, we found no evidence of pseudogenes in the bat-eared fox. In the sloth bear (*Melursus*

ursinus), we found a single inactivating mutation in *ACP4*, being heterozygous for a splice donor

mutation (GT → GG) in intron 3 (Supplementary Figure S2). The two aardwolves only show

evidence of incipient pseudogenization in the *TAS1R*s (Supplementary Figures S5–S6). The

Southern aardwolf (*Proteles cristatus*) genome assembly has an 8-bp deletion in exon 1 of

TAS1R1, which is supported by short read data. However, one individual (NMB12641) is

homozygous for this mutation, whereas another individual (NMB12667) is heterozygous. By

contrast, its sister-species, the Eastern aardwolf (*P. septentrionalis*), does not possess this

mutation, but instead is heterozygous for a 1-bp insertion in exon 3 of *TAS1R2*, which is absent

in *P. cristatus*. We did not perform pseudogene dating estimates, given that disabling mutations

were not fixed in these species.

Numbat

The numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*) similarly had sparse evidence of gene dysfunction,

although more than one gene was affected in this species (Supplementary Figures S10–S11).

First, a single enamel gene (*ACP4*) presents evidence of pseudogenization (Figure 5),

possessing three 1-bp deletions spread across exons 10 and 11. Much of the gene was

irretrievable from the genome assembly due to a gap (10,000 N's), though some upstream

exons were assembled using short reads from our specimen. However, we were able to validate

these mutations using short read data from three specimens: NCBI BioProject PRJNA786364

(Peel et al. 2022), PRJNA512907 (https://www.dnazoo.org/), and our specimen

(PRJNA1268346). All three 1-bp deletions were supported by PRJNA786364 and

PRJNA512907, and two of the deletions were supported by PRJNA1268346 (assembly gap for

the third mutation), suggesting this is a fixed pseudogene in numbats. The remaining two genes

have polymorphic mutations. We found inactivating mutations in *TAS1R1*, in contrast to Peel et

al. (2022), with one premature stop codon in exon 2 and another in exon 4. Both stop codons

are heterozygous in the PRJNA786364 specimen, but absent from PRJNA512907 and

PRJNA1268346. Similarly, while *TAS1R2* of the genome assembly lacked evidence for gene

inactivation, PRJNA1268346 possesses a single heterozygous 1-bp deletion in exon 6, absent

in PRJNA786364 and PRJNA512907. A study of the tongue transcriptome in numbat noted the

absence of *TAS1R2* transcripts (Peel et al. 2022), in contrast to *TAS1R1* and *TAS1R3*, pointing

to the possibility that regulatory elements may be under relaxed selection, with some numbats

beginning to present inactivation mutations.

Given evidence of inactivation of *ACP4* in the numbat, we estimated its timing of

pseudogenization (Figure 5), and likewise performed dN/dS ratio analyses on the remaining

genes (Supplementary Tables S3–S8, S10–S17). For *ACP4*, we used the sequence

constructed from our specimen rather than the genome assembly given that the latter was much

more fragmented, estimating inactivation at 13 Mya (11.5–14.4). Among all of the genes, eight

had ω values lower on the numbat branch than on the background branches, and of the six with

higher ω, only *ACP4* (ω: 0.47, functional ω: 0.12, p = 0.008) and *TAS1R3* had values

statistically distinguishable from the background.

FIGURE 5. Pseudogene dating estimate and DNA sequence alignment for *ACP4* in numbat

(*Myrmecobius fasciatus*). Average date is mapped on a timetree based on divergence times

from Westerman et al. (2016). *TAS1R1* and *TAS1R2* were placed at the branch tip due to being

polymorphic pseudogenes. Paintings by Carl Buell except numbat (Michelle S. Fabros).

Echidna

For the short-beaked echidna (*Tachyglossus aculeatus*), the patterns of gene loss are most

similar to those of pangolins and anteaters (Figure 1; Supplementary Figure S12). All of the

enamel and dento-gingival genes are either pseudogenes or deleted, and some of these gene

losses are shared with the platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*). Zhou et al. (2021) reported the

absence of *AMTN*, *ODAM*, and *MMP20* in both monotremes, *AMBN* and *ENAM* were reported

lost uniquely in echidnas, and *DSPP* and *AMELX* were recorded as present and, implied,

functional in both species. Here we elaborate on these results, first by confirming that *AMTN*

and *ODAM* were completely deleted in both monotremes, and thereby pointing to loss in their

LCA. *AMTN*, along with *AMBN* and *ENAM*, is located between *CSN3*, *MUC7* and *JCHAIN* in

other mammals. While the latter three were found in synteny in both monotremes, *AMTN* was

absent. *AMBN* and *ENAM* likewise were deleted in echidna, while preserved in the platypus.
ODAM is situated between *CSN2* and *CSN3* in other mammals, both of which were found in
tandem in monotremes with *ODAM* missing. Furthermore, *MMP20* was completely deleted in
the platypus, whereas exons 9 and 10 were retained in the echidna, with a 13-bp deletion in
exon 9. Like other mammals, *MMP20* is positioned between *MMP7* and *MMP8*, both of which
can still be found in synteny within the platypus. We recovered *AMELX* in both monotremes, but
it is a pseudogene in echidna, with no recoverable exons 1–3 and two inactivating mutations in
exon 4 (Figure 6). The monotreme *DSPP* is difficult to characterize, and indeed appears to have
a quite different exon structure from that of placental mammals, as evidenced by BLAST and
alignment approaches, as well as the gene structure predicted by NCBI genome annotations.
While potential inactivation mutations were observed, RNA sequencing may provide more clarity
as to whether this gene is functional in these species. We do note that the echidna predicted
mRNA (XM_038769188) possesses a 2-bp deletion that was 'corrected' during model
construction, further pointing to potential pseudogenization. However, based on reports of
dentin in the egg tooth of echidnas, this may be a false positive (see Discussion).
To these results we add those for *ACP4* and *ODAPH*. The former is a pseudogene in
both monotremes, with major deletions (exons 2–9) in the echidna, and a stop codon in exon 10
of the platypus. We found no evidence of *ODAPH* in either monotreme, and both BLAST
searches and synteny analyses across vertebrates suggest this may be a therian (Marsupialia +
Placentalia) innovation. Among the non-dental genes, while the *TAS1R*s all appear intact in the
echidna, *MYH16* and *PKD2L1* are both pseudogenes. The former has at least 22 inactivating
mutations (Figure 6), and the latter likewise has numerous inactivating mutations. All five non-
dental genes appear functional in the platypus.
We performed gene inactivation estimates for five pseudogenes in echidna (Figure 6;
Supplementary Tables S3, S5, S10–S11, S14): *PKD2L1* 49.9 Mya (48.9–50.9); *MYH16* 20.3
Mya (18–22.6); *AMELX* 13.4 Mya (11.6–15.2); *ACP4* 9.9 Mya (8.4–11.3); *MMP20* 1.6 Mya (1.3–
1.9). dN/dS estimates were statistically elevated compared to the background for two
pseudogenes (*MYH16*, *PKD2L1*), and for all three *TAS1R*s, potentially suggestive of relaxed
selection on these gustatory genes (Supplementary Tables S11, S14–S17).

FIGURE 6. Pseudogene dating estimates for short-beaked echidna (*Tachyglossus aculeatus*) and platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*). Long-beaked echidnas (*Zaglossus* spp.) included for comparison, but not examined in this study. Average dates are mapped on a timetree derived from Dos Reis et al. (2012). * indicates minimum date of inactivation based on whole gene deletions. Also included are relevant fossil taxa, indicated by black circles or bars and daggers. Bars indicate the date range for the earliest fossils (see Discussion). Paintings by Carl Buell.

DISCUSSION

Here we showed that convergent myrmecophagous mammals have lost numerous genes underlying gustation, mastication and dentition in parallel, but their pseudogenization occured at varying degrees and at different evolutionary timescales. Of the genes examined, there was a spectrum of gene loss, ranging from 100% inactivation (African tree pangolins) to complete functional retention (bat-eared fox) or only incipient pseudogenizations (sloth bear, aardwolves). When gene inactivations did occur, the timing of the first estimate was variable, with some lineages showing pseudogenizations near the K/Pg boundary (66 Mya; anteaters), or perhaps even earlier (>70 Mya; pangolins), and others having their first gene loss estimated at 13 Mya

(numbat). However, while clusters of pseudogenization events did seem to occur, large variation in timing occurred within lineages. For example, we estimated *KLK4* was inactivated around 77 Mya in a stem pangolin, but *TAS1R3* inactivations occurred near 4.5 Mya in the African tree pangolins. Similarly, we estimated six pseudogene events between 68.1 and 58.9 Mya in the lineage leading to anteaters, while also reporting incipient pseudogenizations in the giant and pygmy anteaters, respectively.

It is tempting to hypothesize that there may be common patterns in terms of the timing of gene loss across different lineages. Indeed, among dentition genes, three appear to be commonly inactivated earlier in evolutionary history: *ACP4* (anteaters, aardvark, numbat, sloth bear), *AMTN* (anteaters, chlamyphorid armadillos, echidna) and *ODAM* (anteaters, pangolins, aardvark). The gustatory gene *TAS1R1* was rarely pseudogenized, but when it was, it uniformly occurred late (three-banded armadillo, pangolins). However, there were likewise major contrasts for certain genes. For example, while the taste gene *TAS1R2* appears to have been inactivated very early in the history of pangolins and anteaters, and also being among the first to be pseudogenized in an aardwolf and the numbat, it remains intact in all other species. Likewise, the enamel development gene *MMP20* was inactivated early in several convergent lineages (pangolins, anteaters, aardvark), but it perplexingly appears functional in all of the enamelless chlamyphorid armadillos. *PKD2L1* was the most frequently inactivated gustatory gene, but was lost relatively early (echidna), relatively late (xenarthrans, aardvark), or intermediate in timing (pangolins) during the evolution of myrmecophagous mammals. Similarly, we estimated that the masticatory myosin gene *MYH16* has been lost early in pangolins, chlamyphorid armadillos, and echidna, later in anteaters, and was maintained as functional in aardvark. The explanations for this variation in patterns of gene loss may be manifold, with some possibilities discussed below.

Myrmecophagy is associated with some degree of taste loss

One of the more remarkable convergent adaptations of myrmecophagous mammals involves the evolutionary remodelling of the tongue. A typically lengthened, often vermiform tongue, paired with rapid protrusive movements and the production of sticky saliva, allows for the consumption of large quantities of ants and termites during feeding bouts. Modifications are also apparent in the papillae of the dorsum of the tongue. For example, while pangolins are reported to have filiform, fungiform and circumvallate papillae (Prapong et al. 2009), the former two are reported to be poorly-developed (Kubota, Kubota, Nakamura, et al. 1962), with taste buds only being situated in two to three circumvallate papillae (Doran and Allbrook 1973; Prapong et al. 2009) or completely absent altogether (Kubota, Kubota, Nakamura, et al. 1962; Abayomi et al.

2009). Similarly, in anteaters, fungiform papillae appear to be absent, with taste buds restricted

to a pair of weakly innervated circumvallate papillae (Kubota, Kubota, Fukuda, et al. 1962;

Casali et al. 2017). Given the reshaping of the tongue into a prey-capturing structure, paired

with the tendency towards an extremely specialized diet, we hypothesized that a reduction of

taste perception might result from such extreme anatomical and behavioral evolution.

We found that there are divergent evolutionary patterns for gustation in these species. At

one extreme, some myrmecophagous species retained functional orthologs for the four genes

we investigated, suggesting the preservation of the sweet, umami, and sour taste modalities.

These included species with a presumably more recent and/or weaker commitment to this

dietary habit (sloth bear, bat-eared fox, nine-banded armadillo) but also a species with a

relatively long history of myrmecophagy (giant armadillo). At the opposite extreme are the

African tree pangolins (*Phataginus* spp.), both of which appear to have lost all three gustatory

pathways (Figure 1), suggesting a reduction in taste only matched so far by cetaceans (whales,

dolphins) among mammals (Feng et al. 2014). The remainder of the myrmecophagous taxa are

along a spectrum, losing one to three gustatory genes, with some being polymorphic

pseudogenes. Beyond the genes we examined, the limited studies that have looked at bitter

taste receptor genes (TAS2Rs) suggest that this gene family similarly has contracted in

myrmecophagous species (Liu et al. 2016; Seymen et al. 2016; Zhou et al. 2021; Li et al. 2024).

Loss of gustatory genes has been linked with major shifts in dietary habits, occurring in

mammalian hypercarnivores, bamboo specialists and sanguivores (Zhao et al. 2010; Jiang et al.

2012; Zhao et al. 2012; Hu et al. 2017). A narrowing of diet may largely explain the reduction of

taste observed in most myrmecophages, but there are other possible explanations. First, gene

loss may be related to the modification of the tongue to a prey-capturing organ rather than being

used to manipulate food and sample tastants. An analogous situation has been described in a

few squamate lineages, including snakes, varanids and teiids, which have modified (forked)

tongues specialized for vomeronasal sensation, evidently at the expense of most or all taste

buds (Schwenk 1985; Young 1997). Indeed, most snakes have lost their sweet and umami

receptor genes, with their bitter taste receptor genes likewise reduced (Emerling 2017). Second,

perhaps food consumption in myrmecophages is so rapid that it minimizes the need for

gustation. This hypothesis has also been put forth to explain taste reduction in cetaceans and

penguins (Feng et al. 2014; Cole et al. 2022). All three hypotheses – dietary specialization,

tongue modification for prey capture, and rapid consumption of prey – are certainly not mutually

exclusive as explanations for the pattern seen in myrmecophagous mammals, and indeed these

diverse factors may have reinforced each other. Yet, the retention of some taste modalities in

even some of the most specialized myrmecophagous species (*e.g.*, anteaters, most pangolins)

point to the possibility that complete taste gene loss is rarely adaptive. The fact that some of

these genes are expressed in extragustatory tissues (Roper and Chaudhari 2017) and have

been associated with processes as varied as carbohydrate metabolism (Kochem et al. 2024),

cerebrospinal fluid monitoring (Liu et al. 2023), skeletal muscle fitness (Serrano et al. 2024),

milk production (Kobayashi et al. 2023), testosterone synthesis (Gong et al. 2024), and

intestinal inflammation (Shon et al. 2023) may signify that they are unlikely to be lost with

regularity due to pleiotropy. Simultaneously, these patterns may indicate how significant it is that

gustation loss occurs at all, and has done so repeatedly, in convergent myrmecophagous

mammals.

Myrmecophagy is associated with loss of masticatory myosin

Myosin proteins, along with actin, tropomyosin and troponin, drive muscle contraction in

mammals. Myosins are heterohexamer proteins that are expressed in muscle cells, with the

underlying genes belonging to two families: MYHs (myosin heavy chains) and MYLs (myosin

light chains). Most myosin genes are expressed in more than one muscle type (Hoh 2002;

Toniolo et al. 2008), whereas the masticatory myosin, encoded by *MYH16*, appears to be

exclusively expressed in jaw-closing muscles, including the temporalis, masseters and

pterygoideus (Hoh 2002; Hoh et al. 2006; Toniolo et al. 2008; Lee et al. 2019). It has been

characterized in mammals such as primates, bats, carnivorans, opossums, dasyurids, and

bandicoots, as well as in non-mammalian gnathostomes as distantly-related as crocodylians,

turtles and sharks (Hoh 2002; Hoh et al. 2006; Toniolo et al. 2008). This myosin is distinctive in

that it seems to allow for a powerful bite for species that possess it, which makes it all the more

notable that it is not found in all jawed vertebrates. Specifically, it is absent in distinct lineages of

herbivorous mammals, such as kangaroos, ruminants and rabbits. While these species have

jaw-closing muscles, their sarcomeres are composed of other myosin classes (Hoh 2002).

Given that masticatory myosin provides a powerful bite, shifting from faunivory to dietary habits

that rely more on lateral, grinding movements may have rendered *MYH16* superfluous.

We expand on these observations, demonstrating that myrmecophagous mammals

likewise frequently lose *MYH16*. While faunivorous, species that consume social insects tend to

have reduced masticatory muscles and minimal to no chewing capacity (Murray 1981; Redford

1987; Smith and Redford 1990; Naples 1999; Ferreira-Cardoso et al. 2020; Thomas et al. 2024;

Shoshani et al. 1988; Endo et al. 2017; Endo et al. 2007; Endo et al. 1998). Furthermore, much

of the jaw musculature and associated skeletal elements have been rearranged in anteaters,

pangolins and echidnas such that they are able to facilitate rapid protrusion of the tongue

(Murray 1981; Endo et al. 1998; Endo et al. 2007; Endo et al. 2017; Ferreira-Cardoso et al.

2020). Indeed, *MYH16* has been pseudogenized in all three of these clades, in addition to

armadillos.

Still, some myrmecophagous taxa have retained a functional *MYH16*. The carnivoran

myrmecophages retain a functional *MYH16* ortholog, which may reflect more recent adaptations

to eating social insects or perhaps phylogenetic constraints associated with an ancestral

carnivorous diet. Alternatively, a strong bite force may be retained for behaviors unrelated to

diet. The strictly termitivorous aardwolves are territorial and protect their breeding dens from

jackals, both of which benefit from jaw muscles that provide a powerful bite (Koehler and

Richardson 1990).

Contrasting patterns of dental pseudogenization between myrmecophagous lineages

One of the best characterized examples of convergent evolution in the context of mammalian

myrmecophagy concerns what is apparently a ubiquitous simplification of the dentition. Different

taxa display various combinations of the following: a reduction in tooth number, supernumerary

teeth, enamel thinning and loss, simplification of crowns and roots, weak to no occlusion,

monophyodonty, intermolar diastemata, and variation in tooth number on the left versus right

sides of the jaws (Redford 1987; Koyasu 1993; Stefen and Rensberger 1999; Davit-Béal et al.

2009; Cooper 2011; Kupczik and Stynder 2012; Charles et al. 2013; Hayssen et al. 2013; Carter

et al. 2016; Pérez-Ramos et al. 2019). Seemingly paradoxically, myrmecophagous mammals

range from being completely edentulous (anteaters, pangolins, echidnas) to having the most

teeth of any terrestrial mammals (up to 100 in the giant armadillo), suggesting that the genomic

elements controlling the formation of teeth are generally under relaxed selection in these

species.

The association of tooth and enamel loss with dental pseudogenes is well-documented

(Deméré et al. 2008; Meredith et al. 2013; Meredith et al. 2014; Choo et al. 2016; Springer et al.

2019; Shaheen et al. 2021; Zhou et al. 2021; Randall et al. 2022; Emerling et al. 2023). Indeed,

the evidence is so extensive that these species have been considered genomic models that

may aid in the discovery of loci implicated in congenital dental diseases in humans (Emerling et

al. 2017). In this study, we have examined species at opposite extremes of dental gene loss,

particularly the edentulous pangolins, anteaters, and the short-beaked echidna at one end of the

spectrum and the myrmecophagous carnivorans and the numbat at the other.

Consistent with patterns seen in other edentulous vertebrates, pangolins, anteaters and

the short-beaked echidna present evidence of gene loss in all dental genes we examined

(Figure 1), with the possible exception of the dentin matrix gene *DSPP* in the echidna (see

below). Species with intermediate levels of dental regression with teeth constituted solely of

dentin, including the enamelless aardvark and armadillos, all retain genes necessary for dentin

and tooth formation (*DSPP*, *ODAPH*), but lack the dento-gingival genes and most to all of the

enamel genes. Myrmecophagous species that retain teeth with enamel crowns, namely

myrmecophagous carnivorans and the numbat, largely retain intact dental gene complements,

with two exceptions. First, the sloth bear has a pseudogenic allele of *ACP4*, a gene that

participates in enamel development and for which inactivation leads to hypoplastic (thin) enamel

in humans and mice (Kim et al. 2022; Liang et al. 2022). Likewise, the sole dental pseudogene

in the numbat is *ACP4*. Notably, when the pseudogenization pattern of this gene has been

compared with the other genes in detailed taxonomic datasets, it appears to be one of the first

to become inactivated (Randall et al. 2022; Emerling et al. 2023; Hautier et al. 2023; Randall et

al. 2024), which may suggest that dental regression in the sloth bear and numbat is indeed

underway.

Pseudogenes of the oral apparatus and their implications for the convergent evolution of

myrmecophagy

Organisms can, and often do, evolve analogous solutions to the same adaptive problem (Blount

et al. 2018; Cerca 2023), sometimes to the point of exhibiting highly similar traits. Despite

sharing their most recent common ancestor over 100 Mya (Foley et al. 2023), anteaters and

pangolins evolved remarkably similar anatomy and behavior as a result of their independent

adaptations for myrmecophagy. Indeed their striking similarities led anatomical systematic

studies to almost invariably group them together in a taxon known as Edentata (Glass 1985;

Reiss 2001; Springer et al. 2013). Indeed, we found that genes linked to their distinctive oral

anatomy were lost in high numbers in both anteaters and pangolins, providing a genomic record

for some of these commonalities (Figure 1). It was only with the advent of molecular

phylogenetics that it became abundantly clear that these shared traits were actually the result of

convergent evolution, with anteaters being the sister group to sloths, and pangolins recognized

as the closest living relatives of carnivorans (Murphy et al. 2001; Delsuc et al. 2002).

However, while other convergent myrmecophagous mammals display similar anatomical

modifications associated with this specific dietary habit, they are rarely as extreme in their

morphology as these two clades. One hypothesis is that this is the result of more recent

adaptive forays into eating ants and termites, in which not enough time has elapsed for natural

selection to fine-tune oral anatomy to the extremes found in pangolins and anteaters. For

example, the termitivorous aardwolves (*Proteles* spp.) are hyaenids, belonging to a radiation of

predominantly carnivorous mammals (Carnivora). The three other hyaenid species are

characterized by powerful jaws and teeth, capable of crushing bones using specialized enamel,

whereas aardwolves have small, peg-like teeth, which can vary in size and number, and

possess thin enamel, with cheek teeth separated by intermolar diastemata (Koehler and

Richardson 1990; Stefen and Rensberger 1999; Charles et al. 2013). Aardwolves consume

termites with the aid of sticky saliva produced by large submaxillary glands, and possess broad,

spatulate tongues that collect termites from soil surfaces. While clearly distinct from other

hyaenid species, the retention of enamel-capped teeth, a relatively typical mammalian tongue,

and powerful jaw muscles paired with robust zygomatic arches and jaws (Koehler and

Richardson 1990), likewise contrasts aardwolves strongly with anteaters and pangolins.

Aardwolves diverged from other hyaenids about 10 Mya (Koepfli et al. 2006; Hassanin et al.

2021), while the fossil aardwolf *Proteles transvaalensis*, which had larger teeth than *P. cristatus*

and even retained functional carnassials (Hendey 1974), dates to about 2.6–0.8 Mya. Together,

these suggest a relatively small temporal window for aardwolves to adapt to a myrmecophagous

niche. In support of this hypothesis, we found evidence of only incipient pseudogenization of

taste receptor genes in each aardwolf species (Figure 1).

In contrast with aardwolves, the highly specialized pangolins and anteaters show strong

evidence of far more ancient forays into myrmecophagy. First, both crown clades originated

around 40 million years ago (Gibb et al. 2016; Heighton et al. 2023), and their ancestors likely

strongly resembled modern species, being completely edentulous, possessing reorganized jaw

muscles and skull elements to facilitate rapid protrusion of a vermiform tongue covered in sticky

saliva. Their respective stem lineages mirror each other in the parallel loss of eight dental genes

and the sweet gustation gene (Figures 2 & 3). Furthermore, a high concentration of gene losses

appears to have taken place soon after the K/Pg boundary (six in stem Pilosa, five in stem

Pholidota), suggesting that at least some of these adaptations predated the crown clades by

nearly 20 million years, occurring during the radiation of placental mammals in the wake of the

end-Cretaceous mass extinction. However, analyzing the timing of gene losses reveals a

pattern in which these ancient adaptations may not have been derived all at once, but instead

occurred gradually over extensive periods of time.

For anteaters (Vermilingua), we have the benefit of a sister-group (sloths, Folivora),

which possesses genomic signatures for some of the regressive evolutionary events in their

shared history (stem Pilosa). Furthermore, this ancestral branch length is relatively short (9.2

Myr; Gibb et al. 2016), allowing for some temporal precision. Five genes underlying enamel

development possess inactivating mutations shared by anteaters and sloths, strongly

suggesting that enamel was lost on the stem pilosan branch during the Paleocene (Emerling et

al. 2023). Furthermore, sweet taste gustation likely was also lost on this ancestral branch

(Figure 3). While the fossil record is silent on xenarthran cranial anatomy during this epoch,

comparative analyses of chitinase genes (*CHIA*s) suggest that the earliest pilosans were highly

insectivorous (Emerling et al. 2018). This, paired with enamelless teeth and reduced gustation,

implies that myrmecophagy was the dietary habit of these ancestors. Following the split of

sloths (Folivora) and anteaters (Vermilingua), 21 Myr elapsed until the origin of crown

vermilinguans (Gibb et al. 2016). Stem vermilinguans likely completely lost their teeth, as

evidenced by shared inactivating mutations in *DSPP*, which encodes a dentin matrix protein,

and *ODAPH*, a gene correlated with the retention of teeth (Springer et al. 2016; Emerling et al.

2023). After the split of vermilinguans into pygmy (Cyclopedidae) and other anteaters

(Myrmecophagidae), the last remaining dental gene as well as the masticatory myosin gene

were inactivated independently in each lineage. Consistent with these genomic data, the earliest

fossil myrmecophagid and cyclopedid anteaters, *Protamandua* (18–15.2 Mya) and

Palaeomyrmidon (5–3 Mya), respectively, were both edentulous and possessed incomplete

zygomatic arches (Gaudin and Branham 1998). Finally, in the terminal branches, evidence for

additional incipient taste losses are suggested by polymorphic pseudogenes. Our genomic

results suggest that adaptations for myrmecophagy in the lineages leading to modern anteaters

have been ongoing for more than 60 million years, even continuing to the present day.

Our data on pangolins indicate that the pattern of protracted adaptation in anteaters may

not be anomalous. Crown Pholidota dates to 41.3 Mya, diverging from their closest living

relatives (Carnivora) 79.5 Mya (Heighton et al. 2023). While we can provide minimum dates for

gene losses based on shared mutations, with at least 11 genes lost by 41.3 Mya, pseudogene

dating estimates give an additional sense of how long these genes may have evolved neutrally

(Figure 2). Our results suggest that two enamel genes were soon after their divergence with

carnivorans (77–72.8 Mya), well before the K/Pg boundary. Next, we estimated that five gene

losses occurred over a 5.1 Myr window (63.7–58.6 Mya), including dental genes, the

masticatory myosin gene, and the sweet taste receptor gene. We dated the inactivation of two

additional tooth genes between 54.7–54.1 Mya, with the gustatory *PKD2L1* being inactivated

45.8 Mya. The dentin/tooth genes *DSPP* and *ODAPH* could not be dated beyond the LCA of

Pholidota, but they affirm the complete absence of teeth in this ancestor. We inferred that most

pseudogenization events substantially predate the earliest fossil stem pangolins, all of which

date to ~45 Mya (Figure 2) and were already edentulous (Storch 1978; Storch 1981; Gaudin et

al. 2009; Gaudin et al. 2020). Despite this long history of myrmecophagy, subsequent

inactivations of gustatory genes (*TAS1R1*, *TAS1R3*) occurred in parallel within Pholidota, as

recently as 4.8–4.3 Mya. The progressive regression and rearrangement of oral anatomy tied to

myrmecophagy is not uniquely suggested by DNA, but rather is further supported by analyses of

fossil and extant pangolin crania. From *Eurotamandua* and *Eomanis* (45 Mya), to *Patriomanis*

(37.7–33.9 Mya), *Necromanis* (28–14 Mya) and modern pangolins, there is a gradual sequence

of reductions and/or losses of masticatory anatomy, including the mandibular coronoid and

angular processes, the jugal bone and zygomatic arch (Gaudin et al. 2009; Gaudin et al. 2020).

These data raise questions about why such adaptations might occur over such extended

periods, and whether it can help explain why other myrmecophagous taxa have oral anatomy

that is not quite as extreme as those of anteaters and pangolins. One possibility is that there are

other selection pressures acting on the maintenance of certain genes and their emergent

phenotypes. Perhaps the most important of these concerns the maintenance of dietary breadth.

Given that a complete commitment to myrmecophagy generally involves the loss and reduction

of certain oral traits, corresponding with the inactivation and likely permanent loss of critical

underlying genes, it may be extremely difficult to switch to certain alternative dietary niches.

For example, the bat-eared fox consumes large quantities of ants and termites, but

frequently consumes other arthropods, and even vertebrate prey and plant material (Redford

1987; Clark Jr. 2005). The sloth bear uses mobile, protrusible lips, and lacks two upper incisors,

which facilitate suction of ants and termites (Laurie and Seidensticker 1977), but it also ingests

food as diverse as grass, fruits, potatoes, honey and other insects (Laurie and Seidensticker

1977). Long-nosed armadillos (*Dasypus* spp.), which commonly consume ants and termites,

have diets that can vary geographically and by species, with other invertebrates, plant material

and sometimes vertebrates being included in their diets (Redford 1986; Redford 1987; da

Silveira Anacleto 2007; Superina and Abba 2014). Even the aardvark, a mammal highly

committed to eating ants and termites, does not do so exclusively. This myrmecophage

possesses an elongated tongue (up to 30 cm), enlarged mandibular glands that produce

strongly adhesive saliva, weak jaw muscles and simplified, enamelless teeth (Shoshani et al.

1988; Davit-Béal et al. 2009). Fossils with enamelless teeth very similar to the extant aardvark

date to 20–16 Mya (Pickford 1974; Lehmann 2009), with our pseudogene dating estimates

pushing back enamel loss, and presumably myrmecophagy, to around 28.6–23 Mya (Figure 4).

However, the retention of most gustatory genes, masticatory myosin and dentition may point to

the need for a more generalized, versatile anatomy in this species. Indeed, the aardvark has a

mutualistic relationship with the aardvark cucumber (*Cucumis humifructus*). This plant fruits

underground and the cucumbers are dug out and consumed by aardvarks, which then spread

their seeds upon burying their feces (Shoshani et al. 1988). These fruits presumably provide

water and essential nutrients that would otherwise be inaccessible if the oral anatomy of

aardvarks had regressed to the condition seen in anteaters and pangolins.

Competing selection pressures that lead to gradual oral regression may not be solely

linked to diet. As a unique example, the short-beaked echidna has perhaps the morphology

most comparable to pangolins and anteaters, including an elongated, vermiform tongue, sticky

saliva, a highly reduced mandible, reorganized jaw musculature and edentulous jaws (Rismiller

and Grutzner 2019). Fossils indicate that edentulism in Monotremata followed a protracted

period of dental reduction over >100 Myr (Flannery et al. 2022), with enamel gene loss

estimates dating to only 13.4–1.6 Mya (Figure 6). Such a long history of dental regression,

culminating in much more recent losses of teeth in the short-beaked echidna may be tied to the

fact that monotremes are the only extant oviparous mammals. To aid in their emergence from

calcified eggs, monotreme hatchlings use an egg 'tooth'. A recent study characterized the egg

tooth of short-beaked echidnas (Fenelon et al. 2023), and found that this 'tooth' lacks enamel

but retains dentin. This critical function may have slowed and presumably prevented the loss of

certain genomic elements linked to tooth development (Davit-Béal et al. 2009), even though

echidnas are edentulous as adults.

Answers to how and why myrmecophagous mammals have evolved their specialized

anatomy over protracted periods of time may be as diverse as the lineages from which they

arose. While oral pseudogenes may reveal part of the picture, further insights will undoubtedly

be gained from further exploration of the genomes, transcriptomes, anatomies, and fossils of

these iconic examples of convergent evolution.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Candidate genes

The genes selected for this project were based on studies that have demonstrated a strong link

between gene function and phenotype. Furthermore, these genes are all known to become

pseudogenes in at least some instances. For example, numerous genes are known to

participate in tooth development, but some do not degrade into pseudogenes in toothless

species, likely due to pleiotropy (Deméré et al. 2008; Springer et al. 2016; Emerling et al. 2023).

We placed the tooth genes into one of three categories based on their expression patterns and

functions inferred from association with human congenital diseases, mouse knockout studies,

and interspecies comparisons (Meredith et al. 2013; Meredith et al. 2014; Smith et al. 2017;

Randall et al. 2022; Emerling et al. 2023): 1) Enamel-development: *AMELX* (amelogenin),

ENAM (enamelin), *AMBN* (ameloblastin), *MMP20* (enamelysin), *KLK4* (kallikrein-related

peptidase 4), and *ACP4* (acid phosphatase 4) (Lagerstrom et al. 1991; Rajpar et al. 2001; Kim

et al. 2005; Meredith et al. 2009; Poulter et al. 2014; Seymen et al. 2015; Seymen et al. 2016);

2) Expression during both enamel development and throughout adulthood at the dento-gingival

junction (*i.e.*, junctional epithelium): *AMTN* (amelotin) and *ODAM* (odontogenic ameloblast-

associated) (Nishio et al. 2010; Nakayama et al. 2015; Wazen et al. 2015; Smith et al. 2016;

Springer et al. 2019); and 3) Dentin development and tooth retention: *DSPP* (dentin

sialophosphoprotein) and *ODAPH* (odontogenesis-associated phosphoprotein) (Xiao et al.

2001; Parry et al. 2012; Springer et al. 2016; Emerling et al. 2023). *KLK4* is specific to

boreoeutherian mammals (Laurasiatheria + Euarchontoglires), so we only searched for

orthologs in pertinent species (Kawasaki et al. 2014). Furthermore, we ignored exons 7–9 in

AMBN and exon 2 in *ODAPH* due to these exons not being consistently present across

mammals (Toyosawa et al. 2000; Springer et al. 2016). Finally, *DSPP* possesses a highly

variable repetitive region towards the 3' end of exon 4 (McKnight and Fisher 2009; Fisher 2011),

making this region difficult to assemble and align with confidence. As such, this region was often

excluded from analyses.

While multiple genes are associated with gustation, we examined four genes with clear

orthologs that are pseudogenized in at least some vertebrates (Zhao et al. 2010; Jiang et al.

2012; Zhao et al. 2012; Feng et al. 2014; Emerling 2017) and known to be linked to specific

taste modalities: *TAS1R1* (taste receptor type 1 member 1), *TAS1R2* (taste receptor type 1

member 2), *TAS1R3* (taste receptor type 1 member 3), and *PKD2L1* (polycystic kidney disease

2-like 1). The protein TAS1R1 dimerizes with TAS1R3 to make the umami taste receptor,

responding to certain amino acids and nucleotides and leading to the perception of savory or

umami flavors. TAS1R2 likewise forms a heterodimer with TAS1R3, which instead detects

sweet tastants, especially monosaccharides and disaccharides (Yarmolinsky et al. 2009; Roper

and Chaudhari 2017). Although the specific function of *PKD2L1* is unclear, this gene is

expressed in type III cells, which facilitate the perception of sour tastants, making it a strong

genetic marker for this taste modality (Tu et al. 2018; Liman and Kinnamon 2021).

Finally, we examined the jaw myosin gene, *MYH16* (myosin heavy chain 16). While

vertebrate jaw muscles can express several types of myosin proteins, MYH16, also known as

the masticatory myosin, appears to be uniquely expressed in jaw-closing muscles. While the

gene itself has not been examined in much detail, outside of being a pseudogene in humans

(Stedman et al. 2004), the protein has been lost in multiple mammalian species (Hoh 2002; Lee

et al. 2019).

Genomic dataset

We used a mixture of publicly available genomes and newly generated data to compile gene

sequences from the focal myrmecophagous species, as well as from related 'outgroup' species

for comparison. Most sequences were taken from whole genome assemblies on NCBI's RefSeq

(Reference Sequence) and WGS (whole genome shotgun) databases (Supplementary Table

S1). Gene sequences for the following species were extracted from whole genome assemblies

generated by the ConvergeAnt project (Allio 2021; Bioproject ID: PRJNA1238091): the pale-

throated three-fingered sloth, *Bradypus tridactylus* (108x); giant anteater, *Myrmecophaga*

tridactyla (119x); southern tamandua, *Tamandua tetradactyla* (118x); pygmy anteater, *Cyclopes*

didactylus (91x); giant armadillo, *Priodontes maximus* (71x); pink fairy armadillo, *Chlamyphorus*

truncatus (92x; PRJNA1238091); six-banded armadillo, *Euphractus sexcinctus* (71x); and giant

pangolin, *Smutsia gigantea* (90x; now published, GCA_032199065.1 [Heighton et al. 2023]).

Details on the hybrid assembly procedure and genome annotation steps can be found in Allio et

al. (2021). The Southern tamandua (*Tamandua tetradactyla*), giant anteater (*Myrmecophaga*

tridactyla), and bat-eared fox (*O. megalotis*) hybrid genome assemblies were later upgraded to

chromosome-length by the DNA Zoo using complementary Hi-C data (Dudchenko et al. 2017;

Dudchenko et al. 2018) and re-annotated using the same strategy. Similarly, we used the DNA

Zoo annotations of the Hi-C genome assembly for the white-bellied tree pangolin (*Phataginus*

tricuspis). We also constructed a genomic library for numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*;

PRJNA1268346) using a kit from SeqOnce Biosciences. The average insert size for the numbat

library was ~410 bp. Paired-end sequencing (150 bp) was performed on a NovaSeq platform at

the University of California San Francisco and generated 300 Gb of sequence, which

corresponds to ~88X coverage assuming a genome size of 3.42 Gb (Peel et al. 2022, doi:

10.46471/gigabyte.47). Finally, we used short read sequences downloaded from NCBI's SRA

(Sequence Read Archive) database for the Eastern aardwolf (*Proteles septentrionalis*;

SRX9615643; Allio et al. 2021) and the sloth bear (*Melursus ursinus*; ERX1025771; Kumar et

al. 2017).

Gene assembly

A critical goal of this study is to find inactivated genes that may have been evolving neutrally as

pseudogenes for perhaps tens of millions of years. This is a challenging task that requires a

diversity of approaches to extract ancient pseudogenes from both assembled genomes and, in

some cases, short read data. Conventional bioinformatic pipelines typically have limitations in

their capabilities to discern ancient, highly degraded pseudogenes, score certain inactivating

mutations or validate whole gene deletions. By using a diversity of approaches, including

BLAST searches at various thresholds and reference sequences, synteny, and read mapping to

target loci sequences, we were able to mine highly divergent inactivated gene loci.

To assemble these genes, we first obtained reference mRNA sequences from NCBI

(GenBank) for the targeted genes in our study, typically for *Homo sapiens*. When this was not

possible, we used alternative curated mammal mRNA sequences or gene models derived from

the NCBI eukaryotic genome annotation pipeline (Thibaud-Nissen et al. 2016). We imported the

full mRNA sequence into Geneious Prime (v2019.2.3; Kearse et al. 2012) and used the

annotations provided by GenBank to identify exon/intron boundaries and coding sequence

structure. Since we did not evaluate non-coding DNA in this study, we did not analyze the

structure of untranslated regions. As such, exon numbering throughout this study is based on

coding exons only.

Once we obtained the coding regions of the reference mRNA sequences, we used these

to obtain sequences derived from whole genome assemblies. We began by obtaining

sequences from NCBI's RefSeq and WGS databases by performing similarity searches with

BLAST (discontiguous megablast) using the mRNA reference sequence against target

assemblies. We obtained the hits with the highest E-values that likewise spanned the largest

portions of the reference mRNA sequence, especially those found towards the 5' and 3' ends.

We then downloaded the contig or scaffold regions encompassing the entire coding sequence

(CDS) and some flanking sequence (100+ bp) and imported them into Geneious. Next, we

performed automated sequence alignments using MUSCLE (ver 3.8.425; Edgar 2004) within

Geneious, aligning the reference mRNA against the contig or scaffold to identify coding exons.

Some genome assemblies also had exon annotations for comparison. In cases where

sequences had long strings of unknown bases (*e.g.*, >50 Ns), all but ~10 Ns were deleted to

facilitate better sequence alignments. If after aligning the sequence the orthology appeared

dubious, we performed reciprocal BLAST (megablast) searches against NCBI's core nucleotide

database to confirm gene identity. This was validated a second time using gene tree analyses

(see below). After we obtained a whole gene sequence closely-related to a focal taxon, we then

used this in subsequent BLAST searches for a subset of representative species..

After extracting whole gene sequences from representative taxa from NCBI, these data

were used to mine targeted gene sequences locally from new genome assemblies. Once these

assemblies were imported into Geneious, we used a combination of BLAST searches and short-

read mapping to pull out gene sequences. BLAST searches followed the same basic

parameters as above in NCBI, but we also set BLAST results to return an additional 100-bp

flanking on each hit. For mapping, we used Minimap2 v2.24 (Li 2018) via the long-read spliced

alignment mode (with default parameters) as implemented in Geneious Prime v2022.1.1. This

splice-aware alignment enables the mapping of CDS, cDNA, or mRNA sequences against

reference genomic sequences containing introns by dividing the query protein-coding sequence

to its different mapping locations. The genomic regions that included both exons and

intercalating introns were then extracted for downstream analyses.

We used short read data derived from whole genome sequencing to assemble genes for

the sloth bear (*Melursus ursinus*; NCBI's SRA), a numbat (*Myrmecobius fasciatus*) specimen,

and the Eastern aardwolf (*Proteles septentrionalis*). For the sloth bear and numbat, we first

imported whole genome sequencing reads into Geneious, and obtained reference gene

sequences from closely-related species (ursids and dasyurids, respectively) using BLAST

methods on NCBI (WGS, RefSeq). We mapped the paired-end short reads to their respective

reference sequences using the Geneious Prime mapper, with settings at Medium-Low

Sensitivity/Fast with no fine-tuning (fast / read mapping), no sequence trimming and mapping

multiple best matches randomly. Each mapping result was then examined by eye, and in cases

of abundant erroneous mappings (*i.e*., nonhomologous sequences), we remapped those same

reads at Low Sensitivity/Fastest up to five iterations, in which reads were mapped to the

consensus from the previous iteration. Again, we examined each mapping alignment by eye and

manually adjusted as needed.

For the Eastern aardwolf (*Proteles septentrionalis*), paired-end short reads from SRA

were mapped to orthologs from the Southern aardwolf (*P. cristatus*) using bowtie2 v2.3.4.3

(Langmead and Salzberg 2012), with default parameters. Mapped reads were extracted from

the bam mapping files and converted to fastq files with SAMtools v1.10 (Danecek et al. 2021).

These reads were then imported into Geneious Prime and remapped against reference gene

sequences for visualization.

1015

1016

Sequence alignments and gene functionality analyses

While obtaining orthologs for a single gene, we performed successive DNA sequence

alignments with MUSCLE in Geneious, starting by aligning two closely related taxa, then

aligning these two to a third, those three to a fourth, and so on. By doing this, we were able to

anchor the alignment using highly similar sequences and then progressively add more divergent

ones, thereby minimizing alignment errors. In addition, this allowed us to examine each

alignment by eye to search for errors, a common issue when aligning divergent pseudogene

and intron sequences. Each alignment generally only included orthologs from species belonging

to a specific clade, to maximize our ability to determine homology.

Next, we examined the gene structure for every ortholog to determine gene

completeness. When data appeared to be missing, based on exon annotations from the

reference mRNA, we executed additional BLAST searches with more relaxed parameters

and/or alternative reference sequences. In some cases, there was evidence of partial or whole

gene deletion, which required us to examine additional sequence data upstream or downstream

from our original BLAST searches. In some cases where genes appeared to be deleted, we did

synteny analyses to validate their absence. This involved two strategies used in tandem. First,

we would examine the vertebrate-wide synteny comparisons provided in Genomicus v110.01

(Nguyen et al. 2022) to determine which genes should be flanking the putatively deleted gene.

In most cases, we then obtained NCBI RefSeq sequences from closely-related species that

contained the gene of interest along with annotated genes upstream and downstream of the

focal gene, comparing them to the Genomicus synteny maps. We then searched genome

assemblies (NCBI RefSeq, WGS) for these flanking genes in the species appearing to lack the

gene, and then downloaded and aligned these multigenic sequences to the related comparison

species. If the resulting alignment showed a major gap where the focal gene should be, not

explainable by an assembly gap indicated by N's, we considered this a whole gene deletion.

Once our gene alignments were complete (Supplementary Dataset S1), we searched for

evidence of the following categories of inactivating mutations: start codon mutations, frameshift

insertions and deletions, premature stop codons, splice site mutations, alterations to the

ancestral stop codon, exon-intron boundary deletions, and whole exon deletions. To search for

premature stop codons and mutations in the ancestral start and stop codons, we generated

alignments encompassing the coding sequences only. To do so, we removed all introns, deleted

all frameshift insertions, and inserted Ns to restore the correct reading frame due to frameshift

deletions.

When we found evidence of at least one disabling mutation in a species, we typically

attempted to rule out sequencing or assembly errors by using data from at least one of four

different sources. First, if the sister taxon shared the mutation, we considered this validation that

the mutation was inherited from a common ancestor (see below). Second, in some cases,

alternative genome assemblies, sometimes from separate individuals, were available either in

NCBI's WGS database or in our local datasets to validate the mutation. Third, for some NCBI-

derived sequences, we BLASTed (megablast) the relevant regions against short reads in

available experiments in SRA, downloaded them and mapped them in Geneious. Then we

examined the short reads mapped to the mutation by eye. Finally, for others (*Cyclopes*

didactylus, *Proteles cristatus*, *Myrmecobius fasciatus*), we mapped short reads using *de novo*

sequence data on the gene sequence with bowtie2 or the Geneious mapper, as described

above. Two individuals were used for *P. cristatus* (NMB12641 and NMB12667), one for *C.*

didactylus (M2300) and three for *M. fasciatus* (NCBI PRJNA512907, PRJNA786364,

PRJNA1268346). Together, these methods enabled us to confirm that an inactivating mutation

was not the result of a sequencing or assembly error, and in a few cases determine whether a

particular mutation was heterozygous or homozygous.

In addition to characterizing inactivating mutations in individual species, we checked

whether any were shared among two or more closely-related species. Such shared mutations

are suggestive of loss of gene function in a common ancestor, thereby providing a minimum

pseudogenization date. The only shared mutations were found in xenarthrans and pangolins, so

we referred to the phylogenies of Gibb et al. (2016) for the former and Heighton et al. (2023) for

the latter. Most of the gene sequences we collected for pangolins were from five species only

(*Smutsia gigantea*, *Phataginus tricuspis*, *Manis pentadactyla*, *M. javanica*, and *M.*

crassicaudata), because genome assemblies for *S. temminckii*, *P. tetradactyla* and *M.*

culionensis were not available until we were far into our analyses. These new assemblies were

rendered largely redundant by our initial sample of five species due to the presence of shared

inactivating mutations in all five, Asian pangolins only (*Manis* spp.) or African pangolins only

(*Phataginus* spp., *Smutsia* spp.), representing the deepest splits in Pholidota. For the subset of

candidate genes that did not show evidence of loss on these early branches, we obtained,

aligned and examined the orthologs for the remaining three pangolin species.

1080

1081

1082

1083

Selection analyses based on dN/dS and gene inactivation dating

Given the phylogenetic distribution of gene losses during the xenarthran radiation (see Results

below), we were able to provide minimum date estimates of gene inactivation based on patterns

of shared mutations in this clade. However, for other myrmecophagous taxa, there are long

branches on which genes were lost as autapomorphies, which do not permit any resolution of

the relative timing of inactivation events in different genes. As such, we estimated the timing of

pseudogenization in the remaining species that possess fixed (*i.e.*, not polymorphic) inactivating

mutations (pangolins, the aardvark, short-beaked echidna, numbat) by employing the dN/dS-

based method of Meredith et al. (2009).

To begin with, for each gene, we aligned functional orthologs from outgroup taxa

(Supplementary Table S2) and modeled their evolution over a fixed phylogeny (Supplementary

Dataset S2, Supplementary Tables S3-S17), to estimate the expected background ω value

when the gene is under functional constraint ("functional" branches). These orthologs were

provided from annotated mRNAs and predicted mRNA models from GenBank, the latter of

which are derived from NCBI's Eukaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/annotation_euk/process/). Our taxonomic sampling for

outgroup taxa was broadly representative of major lineages of mammals, with the following

clades being represented, when possible, for every dN/dS analysis (Supplementary Table S2):

Marsupialia: Didelphimorphia, Microbiotheria, Dasyuromorphia, Diprotodontia; Afrotheria:

Afrosoricida, Macroscelidea, Sirenia, Proboscidea; Euarchontoglires: Scandentia, Dermoptera,

Primates, Lagomorpha, Rodentia; Laurasiatheria: Eulipotyphla, Chiroptera, Perissodactyla,

Carnivora, Cetartiodactyla. In some cases, the targeted genes were not available from some

outgroup taxa, perhaps due to genome assembly gaps, being a heavily degraded pseudogene

or the entire gene having been deleted. The Meredith et al. (2009) method assumes that

outgroup orthologs are functional, so we also examined the annotations of predicted mRNA

models for information suggestive of pseudogenization. At NCBI, a gene's product is given a

"LOW QUALITY PROTEIN" designation when frameshift indels and/or premature stop codons

are 'corrected' during the construction of the gene model. Any such gene models were removed

from the dN/dS analysis dataset. The final datasets had as few as nine outgroup species (*KLK4*)

and as many as 18 (median of 17; Supplementary Table S2).

These outgroup sequences were imported into Geneious, where we removed any

portions of the gene models outside of the coding sequence annotation, and then performed

translation alignments (MUSCLE), which we then examined by eye. We manually removed stop

codons, taxon-specific predicted exons and insertions, and any codons with questionable

homology (*e.g.*, straddling a deletion with ambiguous homology). Next, we performed a

maximum likelihood phylogenetic analysis using RAxML (Stamatakis 2014; v8.2.11;

GTR+GAMMA model, Rapid hill-climbing) to validate orthology of the genes by comparing

topology and branch length to what is predicted by published species trees (Supplementary

Figure S1).

We then analyzed the outgroup taxa for each candidate gene using PAML v4.8 codeml

analyses (Yang 2007) to determine the best fit codon frequency model. For placental mammal

relationships, we implemented the topology from Fig. 4a in Foley et al. (2023), and for marsupial

relationships, we referred to Mitchell et al. (2014). We then performed one ratio model analyses

using the 1/61 each, F1X4 and F3X4 codon frequency models and determined the best fit using

the Akaike information criterion. The best fitting model was then implemented for subsequent

taxon-specific pseudogene dating analyses (Supplementary Table S3–S17).

To estimate gene inactivation dates for specific taxa, we ran separate analyses for

pangolins, the aardvark, the short-beaked echidna and the numbat, respectively

(Supplementary Dataset S2). In each case, coding sequences of focal taxa were aligned to the

corresponding outgroup alignment (MUSCLE, Translation align). Each resulting alignment was

examined by eye, and we manually removed stop codons, partial codons resulting from

frameshift deletions, lineage-specific insertions and any codons with ambiguous homology. We

then implemented branch models in codeml of PAML to estimate the ω values for the branches

on which pseudogenization occurred ("mixed" branches) and, when relevant (*i.e.*, most pangolin

analyses), branches on which selection is expected to be completely relaxed ("pseudogene"

branches). We then entered the relevant ω categories into the calculations provided by

Meredith et al. (2009), utilizing divergence times provided by different studies.

For pangolins, we usually only included four species in the analyses: *Smutsia gigantea*,

Phataginus tricuspis, *Manis pentadactyla* and *M. javanica* or *M. crassicaudata*. Since these taxa

represent the deepest divergences within Pholidota, they are probably sufficient for generating

dN/dS estimates for genes lost on the stem Pholidota branch. Given the lack of shared

inactivating mutations in *TAS1R1* and *TAS1R3*, we included all eight pangolin species in those

analyses. We implemented the topology from Fig. 2 of Heighton et al. (2023), and set the

following branch categories: the "functional" background branches received one ω, the "mixed"

branch(es), on which gene inactivation likely occurred, received its own ω, and then the

branches that post-dated the pseudogenization event ("pseudogene") received their own ω.

Though the "pseudogene" branches are expected to have a ω of 1 (relaxed selection), we ran a

second model in which the "pseudogene" branches were fixed at 1. We compared the two

models with a likelihood ratio test, and if there was no statistically significant difference between

the models, we assumed the "pseudogene" ω was 1 when performing pseudogene dating

calculations. By contrast, if there was a significant difference in models, we used the estimated

ω for the calculations. Divergence times used for these calculations were likewise derived from

Fig. 2 of Heighton et al. (2023).

For the aardvark, short-beaked echidna, and numbat dN/dS models, the branch leading

to the focal taxon always represented the "mixed" branch, and all other branches were

"functional" branches. No branches were designated as "pseudogene" branches, so the

pseudogene ω was assumed to be 1. For the aardvark, we assumed that its lineage split from

other afroinsectiphilian mammals 66.3 Myr ago (Foley et al. 2023, neutral 241 species average).

For the short-beaked echidna, we assumed it diverged from the platypus (*Ornithorhynchus*

anatinus) 56.4 Mya and monotremes from therian mammals at 184.7 Mya (Dos Reis et al.

2012). Since the platypus shows evidence for relevant gene losses (Zhou et al. 2021), we

obtained orthologs by interrogating genome assemblies for this species using the methods

described above and included these sequences in dN/dS analyses. Finally, for the numbat we

assumed a divergence from Dasyuridae 36.5 Mya (Westerman et al. 2016).

For each taxon set (pangolins, aardvark, echidna, numbat), we performed dN/dS

estimates for cases in which there was no evidence of gene loss on the branch of interest, to

compare patterns of dN/dS estimates in pseudogenes versus putatively functional orthologs as

controls. We also compared each of these to models in which the focal branch ω was fixed as

part of the background. This allowed us to test whether the dN/dS was statistically

distinguishable from the background, as determined by a likelihood ratio test.

1174

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This is dedicated to Averie Jeanne-Lorraine 玉蘭. We thank Lionel Hautier for insightful

discussions and comments on the manuscript, and two anonymous reviewers for their

constructive feedback. This work has been supported by grants from the European Research

Council (ConvergeAnt project: ERC-2015-CoG-683257; FD) and Investissements d'Avenir of

the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (CEBA: ANR-10-LABX-25-01; CEMEB: ANR-10-LABX-

0004; FD); a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology (award

no. 1523943; CAE); a National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow Research Opportunities

in Europe award (CAE); the People Programme (Marie Curie Actions) of the European Union's

Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under REA grant agreement no. PCOFUND-

Data availability statement

1189   Supporting data are available from zenodo.org (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14895250).

REFERENCES

Abayomi TA, Ofusori DA, Ayoka OA, Odukoya SA, Omotoso EO, Amegor FO, Ajayi SA, Ojo
GB, Oluwayinka OP. 2009. A Comparative Histological Study of the Tongue of Rat
(*Rattus norvegicus*), Bat (*Eidolon helvum*) and Pangolin (*Manis tricuspis*). *Int. J.*
Morphol. 27.
Albalat R, Cañestro C. 2016. Evolution by gene loss. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 17:379–391.
Allio R. 2021. Phylogenomics and comparative genomics in ant-eating mammals.
Allio R, Tilak M-K, Scornavacca C, Avenant NL, Kitchener AC, Corre E, Nabholz B, Delsuc F.
2021. High-quality carnivoran genomes from roadkill samples enable comparative
species delineation in aardwolf and bat-eared fox. *eLife* 10:e63167.
Blount ZD, Lenski RE, Losos JB. 2018. Contingency and determinism in evolution: Replaying
life's tape. *Science* 362:eaam5979.
Carter TS, Superina M, Leslie DM. 2016. *Priodontes maximus* (Cingulata: Chlamyphoridae).
Mamm. Species 48:21–34.
Casali DM, Martins-Santos E, Santos ALQ, Miranda FR, Mahecha GAB, Perini FA. 2017.
Morphology of the tongue of Vermilingua (Xenarthra: Pilosa) and evolutionary
considerations. *J. Morphol.* 278:1380–1399.
Cerca J. 2023. Understanding natural selection and similarity: Convergent, parallel and
repeated evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* 32:5451–5462.
Chandrashekar J, Hoon MA, Ryba NJP, Zuker CS. 2006. The receptors and cells for
mammalian taste. *Nature* 444:288–294.
Charles C, Solé F, Rodrigues HG, Viriot L. 2013. Under pressure? Dental adaptations to
termitophagy and vermivory among mammals. *Evolution* 67:1792–1804.
Chaudhari N, Roper SD. 2010. The cell biology of taste. *J. Cell Biol.* 190:285–296.
Choo SW, Rayko M, Tan TK, Hari R, Komissarov A, Wee WY, Yurchenko AA, Kliver S,
Tamazian G, Antunes A, et al. 2016. Pangolin genomes and the evolution of mammalian
scales and immunity. *Genome Res.* 26:1312–1322.
Clark Jr. HO. 2005. *Otocyon megalotis*. *Mamm. Species*:1–5.
Cole TL, Zhou C, Fang M, Pan H, Ksepka DT, Fiddaman SR, Emerling CA, Thomas DB, Bi X,
Fang Q, et al. 2022. Genomic insights into the secondary aquatic transition of penguins.
Nat. Commun. 13:3912.
Cooper CE. 2011. Myrmecobius fasciatus (Dasyuromorphia: Myrmecobiidae). *Mamm. Species*
43:129–140.
Danecek P, Bonfield JK, Liddle J, Marshall J, Ohan V, Pollard MO, Whitwham A, Keane T,
McCarthy SA, Davies RM, et al. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools.
GigaScience 10:giab008.
Davit-Béal T, Tucker AS, Sire J. 2009. Loss of teeth and enamel in tetrapods: fossil record,
genetic data and morphological adaptations. *J. Anat.* 214:477–501.
Delsuc F, Scally M, Madsen O, Stanhope MJ, De Jong WW, Catzeflis FM, Springer MS,

Douzery EJP. 2002. Molecular Phylogeny of Living Xenarthrans and the Impact of Character and Taxon Sampling on the Placental Tree Rooting. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 19:1656–1671.

Deméré TA, McGowen MR, Berta A, Gatesy J. 2008. Morphological and Molecular Evidence for a Stepwise Evolutionary Transition from Teeth to Baleen in Mysticete Whales. *Syst. Biol.* 57:15–37.

Doran GA, Allbrook DB. 1973. The Tongue and Associated Structures in Two Species of African Pangolins, *Manis gigantea* and *Manis tricuspis*. *J. Mammal.* 54:887–899.

Dos Reis M, Inoue J, Hasegawa M, Asher RJ, Donoghue PCJ, Yang Z. 2012. Phylogenomic datasets provide both precision and accuracy in estimating the timescale of placental mammal phylogeny. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 279:3491–3500.

Edgar RC. 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 32:1792–1797.

Emerling CA. 2017. Genomic regression of claw keratin, taste receptor and light-associated genes provides insights into biology and evolutionary origins of snakes. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 115:40–49.

Emerling CA, Delsuc F, Nachman MW. 2018. Chitinase genes (*CHIA*s) provide genomic footprints of a post-Cretaceous dietary radiation in placental mammals. *Sci. Adv.* 4:eaar6478.

Emerling CA, Gibb GC, Tilak M-K, Hughes JJ, Kuch M, Duggan AT, Poinar HN, Nachman MW, Delsuc F. 2023. Genomic data suggest parallel dental vestigialization within the xenarthran radiation. *Peer Community J.* 3:e75.

Emerling CA, Springer MS, Gatesy J, Jones Z, Hamilton D, Xia-Zhu D, Collin M, Delsuc F. 2021. Genomic evidence for the parallel regression of melatonin synthesis and signaling pathways in placental mammals. *Open Res. Eur.* 1:75.

Emerling CA, Widjaja AD, Nguyen NN, Springer MS. 2017. Their loss is our gain: regressive evolution in vertebrates provides genomic models for uncovering human disease loci. *J. Med. Genet.* 54:787–794.

Endo H, Ito K, Watabe H, Nguyen ST, Koyabu D. 2017. Macroscopic and CT Examinations of the Mastication Mechanism in the Southern Tamandua. *Mammal Study* 42:89–96.

Endo H, Niizawa N, Komiya T, Kawada S, Kimura J, Itou T, Koie H, Sakai T. 2007. Three-Dimensional CT Examination of the Mastication System in the Giant Anteater. *Zoolog. Sci.* 24:1005–1011.

Endo H, Nishiumi I, Kurohmaru M, Nabhitabhata J, Chan-Ard T, Nadee N, Agungpriyono S, Yamada J. 1998. The functional anatomy of the masticatory muscles of the Malayan pangolin, *Manis javanica*. *Mammal Study* 23:1–8.

Fenelon JC, Bennetts A, Anthwal N, Pyne M, Johnston SD, Evans AR, Tucker AS, Renfree MB. 2023. Getting out of a mammalian egg: the egg tooth and caruncle of the echidna. *Dev. Biol.* 495:8–18.

Feng P, Zheng J, Rossiter SJ, Wang D, Zhao H. 2014. Massive Losses of Taste Receptor Genes in Toothed and Baleen Whales. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 6:1254–1265.

Ferreira-Cardoso S, Delsuc F, Hautier L. 2019. Evolutionary Tinkering of the Mandibular Canal Linked to Convergent Regression of Teeth in Placental Mammals. *Curr. Biol.* 29:468-475.e3.

Ferreira-Cardoso S, Fabre P-H, De Thoisy B, Delsuc F, Hautier L. 2020. Comparative masticatory myology in anteaters and its implications for interpreting morphological convergence in myrmecophagous placentals. *PeerJ* 8:e9690.

Fisher LW. 2011. DMP1 and DSPP: Evidence for Duplication and Convergent Evolution of Two SIBLING Proteins. *Cells Tissues Organs* 194:113–118.

Flannery TF, Rich TH, Vickers-Rich P, Ziegler T, Veatch EG, Helgen KM. 2022. A review of monotreme (Monotremata) evolution. *Alcheringa Australas. J. Palaeontol.* 46:3–20.

Foley NM, Mason VC, Harris AJ, Bredemeyer KR, Damas J, Lewin HA, Eizirik E, Gatesy J,
Karlsson EK, Lindblad-Toh K, et al. 2023. A genomic timescale for placental mammal
evolution. *Science* 380:eabl8189.
Fong DW, Kane TC, Culver DC. 1995. Vestigialization and Loss of Nonfunctional Characters.
Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 2:249–268.
Gaudin TJ, Branham DG. 1998. The Phylogeny of the Myrmecophagidae (Mammalia,
Xenarthra, Vermilingua) and the Relationship of *Eurotamandua* to the Vermilingua. *J.*
Mamm. Evol. 5:237–265.
Gaudin TJ, Emry RJ, Wible JR. 2009. The Phylogeny of Living and Extinct Pangolins
(Mammalia, Pholidota) and Associated Taxa: A Morphology Based Analysis. *J. Mamm.*
Evol. 16:235–305.
Gaudin TJ, Gaubert P, Billet G, Hautier L, Ferreira-Cardoso S, Wible JR. 2020. Evolution and
morphology. In: Pangolins. Elsevier. p. 5–23. Available from:
https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780128155073000010
Gibb GC, Condamine FL, Kuch M, Enk J, Moraes-Barros N, Superina M, Poinar HN, Delsuc F.
2016. Shotgun Mitogenomics Provides a Reference Phylogenetic Framework and
Timescale for Living Xenarthrans. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 33:621–642.
Glass BP. 1985. History of classification and nomenclature in Xenarthra (Edentata). In: The
evolution and ecology of armadillos, sloths, and vermilinguas. Smithsonian Institution
Press. p. 51–64.
Gong T, Mu Q, Xu Y, Wang W, Meng L, Feng X, Liu W, Ao Z, Zhang Y, Chen X, et al. 2024.
Expression of the umami taste receptor T1R1/T1R3 in porcine testis of: Function in
regulating testosterone synthesis and autophagy in Leydig cells. *J. Steroid Biochem.*
Mol. Biol. 236:106429.
Hassanin A, Veron G, Ropiquet A, Jansen Van Vuuren B, Lécu A, Goodman SM, Haider J,
Nguyen TT. 2021. Evolutionary history of Carnivora (Mammalia, Laurasiatheria) inferred
from mitochondrial genomes.Mans BJ, editor. *PLOS ONE* 16:e0240770.
Hautier L, Gomes Rodrigues H, Ferreira-Cardoso S, Emerling CA, Porcher M-L, Asher RJ,
Portela Miguez R, Delsuc F. 2023. From teeth to pad: tooth loss and development of
keratinous structures in sirenians. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 290:20231932.
Hayssen V, Ortega J, Morales-Leyva A, Martínez-Mendez N. 2013. *Cabassous centralis*
(Cingulata: Dasypodidae). *Mamm. Species* 898:12–17.
Heighton SP, Allio R, Murienne J, Salmona J, Meng H, Scornacavva C, Bastos ADS, Nijiokou F,
Pietersen DW, Tilak M-K, et al. 2023. Pangolin Genomes Offer Key Insights and
Resources for the World's Most Trafficked Wild Mammals. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 40:msad190.
Hendey QB. 1974. New fossil carnivores from the Swartkrans australopithecine site (Mammalia:
Carnivora). *Ann. Transvaal Mus.* 29:27–47.
Hoh JFY. 2002. 'Superfast' or masticatory myosin and the evolution of jaw-closing muscles of
vertebrates. *J. Exp. Biol.* 205:2203–2210.
Hoh JFY, Kang LHD, Sieber LG, Lim JHY, Zhong WWH. 2006. Myosin isoforms and fibre types
in jaw-closing muscles of australian marsupials. *J. Comp. Physiol. B* 176:685–695.
Holthaus KB, Steinbinder J, Sachslehner AP, Eckhart L. 2025. Convergent Evolution Has Led to
the Loss of Claw Proteins in Snakes and Worm Lizards.Fraser B, editor. *Genome Biol.*
Evol. 17:evae274.
Hu Y, Wu Q, Ma S, Ma T, Shan L, Wang X, Nie Y, Ning Z, Yan L, Xiu Y, et al. 2017.
Comparative genomics reveals convergent evolution between the bamboo-eating giant
and red pandas. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 114:1081–1086.
Huelsmann M, Hecker N, Springer MS, Gatesy J, Sharma V, Hiller M. 2019. Genes lost during
the transition from land to water in cetaceans highlight genomic changes associated with
aquatic adaptations. *Sci. Adv.* 5:eaaw6671.
Janiak MC, Chaney ME, Tosi AJ. 2018. Evolution of Acidic Mammalian Chitinase Genes (CHIA)

Is Related to Body Mass and Insectivory in Primates. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 35:607–622.

Jiang P, Josue J, Li X, Glaser D, Li W, Brand JG, Margolskee RF, Reed DR, Beauchamp GK.
2012. Major taste loss in carnivorous mammals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 109:4956–4961.

Jiao H, Zhang L, Xie H-W, Simmons NB, Liu H, Zhao H. 2019. Trehalase Gene as a Molecular
Signature of Dietary Diversification in Mammals.Satta Y, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 36:2171–
2183.

Kawasaki K, Hu JC-C, Simmer JP. 2014. Evolution of *Klk4* and enamel maturation in
eutherians. *Biol. Chem.* 395:1003–1013.

Kearse M, Moir R, Wilson A, Stones-Havas S, Cheung M, Sturrock S, Buxton S, Cooper A,
Markowitz S, Duran C, et al. 2012. Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable
desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data.
Bioinformatics 28:1647–1649.

Kim J-W, Simmer JP, Hart TC, Hart PS, Ramaswami MD, Bartlett JD, Hu JC-C. 2005. MMP-20
mutation in autosomal recessive pigmented hypomaturation amelogenesis imperfecta. *J.*
Med. Genet. 42:271–275.

Kim YJ, Lee Y, Kasimoglu Y, Seymen F, Simmer JP, Hu JC-C, Cho E-S, Kim J-W. 2022.
Recessive Mutations in *ACP4* Cause Amelogenesis Imperfecta. *J. Dent. Res.* 101:37–
45.

Kishida T, Thewissen J, Hayakawa T, Imai H, Agata K. 2015. Aquatic adaptation and the
evolution of smell and taste in whales. *Zool. Lett.* 1:9.

Kobayashi K, Han L, Koyama T, Lu S-N, Nishimura T. 2023. Sweet taste receptor subunit T1R3
regulates casein secretion and phosphorylation of STAT5 in mammary epithelial cells.
Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Mol. Cell Res. 1870:119448.

Kochem MC, Hanselman EC, Breslin PAS. 2024. Activation and inhibition of the sweet taste
receptor TAS1R2-TAS1R3 differentially affect glucose tolerance in humans.Chisini LA,
editor. *PLOS ONE* 19:e0298239.

Koehler CE, Richardson PRK. 1990. *Proteles cristatus*. *Mamm. Species*:1–6.

Koepfli K-P, Jenks SM, Eizirik E, Zahirpour T, Valkenburgh BV, Wayne RK. 2006. Molecular
systematics of the Hyaenidae: Relationships of a relictual lineage resolved by a
molecular supermatrix. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 38:603–620.

Koyasu K. 1993. Variability of dentition in the bat-eared fox, *Otocyon megalotis*. *Aichi-Gakuin J.*
Dent. Sci. 31:63–104.

Kubota K, Kubota J, Fukuda N, Asakura S. 1962. Comparative anatomical and neurohistological
observations on the tongue of the great anteater (Myrmecophaga Jubata Linne). *Anat.*
Rec. 143:15–25.

Kubota K, Kubota J, Nakamura T, Fukuda N, Asakura S, Nakagawa S, Masui M. 1962.
Comparative anatomical and neurohistological observations on the tongue of the
pangolin (*Manis pentadactyla* , Linneus). *Anat. Rec.* 144:43–55.

Kumar V, Lammers F, Bidon T, Pfenninger M, Kolter L, Nilsson MA, Janke A. 2017. The
evolutionary history of bears is characterized by gene flow across species. *Sci. Rep.*
7:46487.

Kupczik K, Stynder DD. 2012. Tooth root morphology as an indicator for dietary specialization in
carnivores (Mammalia: Carnivora): TOOTH ROOT MORPHOLOGY AND DIET. *Biol. J.*
Linn. Soc. 105:456–471.

Lagerstrom M, Dahl N, Nakahori Y, Nakagome Y, Backman B, Landegren U, Pettersson U.
1991. A Deletion in the Amelogenin Gene (AMG) Causes X-Linked Amelogenesis
Imperfecta (AIHI). *Genomics* 10:971–975.

Lahti DC, Johnson NA, Ajie BC, Otto SP, Hendry AP, Blumstein DT, Coss RG, Donohue K,
Foster SA. 2009. Relaxed selection in the wild. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24:487–496.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*
9:357–359.

Laurie A, Seidensticker J. 1977. Behavioural ecology of the Sloth bear (*Melursus ursinus*). *J. Zool.* 182:187–204.

Lee LA, Karabina A, Broadwell LJ, Leinwand LA. 2019. The ancient sarcomeric myosins found in specialized muscles. *Skelet. Muscle* 9:7.

Lehmann T. 2009. Phylogeny and systematics of the Orycteropodidae (Mammalia, Tubulidentata). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 155:649–702.

Li H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences.Birol I, editor. *Bioinformatics* 34:3094–3100.

Li J, Guo C, Xie M, Wang K, Wang X, Zou B, Hou F, Ran C, Bi S, Xu Y, et al. 2024. Genomic signatures of sensory adaptation and evolution in pangolins. *BMC Genomics* 25:1176.

Liang T, Wang S-K, Smith C, Zhang H, Hu Y, Seymen F, Koruyucu M, Kasimoglu Y, Kim J-W, Zhang C, et al. 2022. Enamel defects in Acp4R110C/R110C mice and human ACP4 mutations. *Sci. Rep.* 12:16477.

Liman ER, Kinnamon SC. 2021. Sour taste: receptors, cells and circuits. *Curr. Opin. Physiol.* 20:8–15.

Liu X, Rich K, Nasseri SM, Li G, Hjæresen S, Finsen B, Scherberger H, Svenningsen Å, Zhang M. 2023. A Comparison of PKD2L1-Expressing Cerebrospinal Fluid Contacting Neurons in Spinal Cords of Rodents, Carnivores, and Primates. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 24:13582.

Liu Z, Liu G, Hailer F, Orozco-terWengel P, Tan X, Tian J, Yan Z, Zhang B, Li M. 2016. Dietary specialization drives multiple independent losses and gains in the bitter taste gene repertoire of Laurasiatherian Mammals. *Front. Zool.* 13:28.

McKnight DA, Fisher LW. 2009. Molecular evolution of dentin phosphoprotein among toothed and toothless animals. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 9:299.

Meredith RW, Gatesy J, Murphy WJ, Ryder OA, Springer MS. 2009. Molecular Decay of the Tooth Gene Enamelin (ENAM) Mirrors the Loss of Enamel in the Fossil Record of Placental Mammals.Malik HS, editor. *PLoS Genet.* 5:e1000634.

Meredith RW, Gatesy J, Springer MS. 2013. Molecular decay of enamel matrix protein genes in turtles and other edentulous amniotes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 13:20.

Meredith RW, Zhang G, Gilbert MTP, Jarvis ED, Springer MS. 2014. Evidence for a single loss of mineralized teeth in the common avian ancestor. *Science* 346:1254390.

Mitchell KJ, Pratt RC, Watson LN, Gibb GC, Llamas B, Kasper M, Edson J, Hopwood B, Male D, Armstrong KN, et al. 2014. Molecular Phylogeny, Biogeography, and Habitat Preference Evolution of Marsupials. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 31:2322–2330.

Murphy WJ, Eizirik E, O'Brien SJ, Madsen O, Scally M, Douady CJ, Teeling E, Ryder OA, Stanhope MJ, De Jong WW, et al. 2001. Resolution of the Early Placental Mammal Radiation Using Bayesian Phylogenetics. *Science* 294:2348–2351.

Murray PF. 1981. A Unique Jaw Mechanism in the Echidna, *Tachyglossus aculeatus* (Monotremata). *Aust. J. Zool.* 29:1–5.

Nakayama Y, Holcroft J, Ganss B. 2015. Enamel Hypomineralization and Structural Defects in Amelotin-deficient Mice. *J. Dent. Res.* 94:697–705.

Naples VL. 1999. Morphology, evolution and function of feeding in the giant anteater (*Myrmecophaga tridactyla*). *J. Zool.* 249:19–41.

Nguyen NTT, Vincens P, Dufayard JF, Roest Crollius H, Louis A. 2022. Genomicus in 2022: comparative tools for thousands of genomes and reconstructed ancestors. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 50:D1025–D1031.

Nishio C, Kuroda S, Moffatt P, Nanci A. 2010. Expression pattern of odontogenic ameloblast-associated and amelotin during formation and regeneration of the junctional epithelium. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 20:393–402.

Parry DA, Brookes SJ, Logan CV, Poulter JA, El-Sayed W, Al-Bahlani S, Al Harasi S, Sayed J, Raïf EM, Shore RC, et al. 2012. Mutations in C4orf26, Encoding a Peptide with In Vitro Hydroxyapatite Crystal Nucleation and Growth Activity, Cause Amelogenesis Imperfecta.

Am. J. Hum. Genet. 91:565–571.

Peel E, Silver L, Brandies P, Hayakawa T, Belov K, Hogg CJ. 2022. Genome assembly of the numbat (Myrmecobius fasciatus), the only termitivorous marsupial. Gigabyte 2022:1–17.

Pérez-Ramos A, Kupczik K, Van Heteren AH, Rabeder G, Grandal-D'Anglade A, Pastor FJ, Serrano FJ, Figueirido B. 2019. A three-dimensional analysis of tooth-root morphology in living bears and implications for feeding behaviour in the extinct cave bear. Hist. Biol. 31:461–473.

Pickford M. 1974. New Fossil Orycteropodidae (Mammalia, Tubulidentata) From East Africa. Orycteropus Minutus Sp. Nov. and Orycteropus Chemeldoi Sp. Nov. Neth. J. Zool. 25:57–88.

Policarpo M, Baldwin MW, Casane D, Salzburger W. 2024. Diversity and evolution of the vertebrate chemoreceptor gene repertoire. Nat. Commun. 15:1421.

Poulter JA, Murillo G, Brookes SJ, Smith CEL, Parry DA, Silva S, Kirkham J, Inglehearn CF, Mighell AJ. 2014. Deletion of ameloblastin exon 6 is associated with amelogenesis imperfecta. Hum. Mol. Genet. 23:5317–5324.

Prapong T, Liumsiricharoen M, Chungsamarnyart N, Chantakru S, Yatbantoong N, Sujit K, Patumrattanathan P, Pongket P, Duang-ngen A, Suprasert A. 2009. Macroscopic and Microscopic Anatomy of Pangolin's Tongue (Manis javanica). Kasetsart Vet. 19:9–19.

Rajpar MH, Harley K, Laing C, Davies RM, Dixon MJ. 2001. Mutation of the gene encoding the enamel-specific protein, enamelin, causes autosomal-dominant amelogenesis imperfecta. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10:1673–1677.

Randall JG, Gatesy J, McGowen MR, Springer MS. 2024. Molecular Evidence for Relaxed Selection on the Enamel Genes of Toothed Whales (Odontoceti) with Degenerative Enamel Phenotypes. Genes 15:228.

Randall JG, Gatesy J, Springer MS. 2022. Molecular evolutionary analyses of tooth genes support sequential loss of enamel and teeth in baleen whales (Mysticeti). Mol. Phylogenet. Evol. 171:107463.

Redford KH. 1986. Dietary specialization and variation in two mammalian myrmecophages (variation in mammalian myrmecophagy). Rev. Chil. Hist. Nat. 59:201–208.

Redford KH. 1987. Ants and Termites As Food. In: Genoways HH, editor. Current Mammalogy. Boston, MA: Springer US. p. 349–399. Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-1-4757-9909-5_9

Reiss KZ. 2001. Using Phylogenies to Study Convergence: The Case of the Ant-Eating Mammals. Am. Zool. 41:507–525.

Rismiller PD, Grutzner F. 2019. Tachyglossus aculeatus (Monotremata: Tachyglossidae).Rose RK, Hamilton MJ, editors. Mamm. Species 51:75–91.

Roper SD, Chaudhari N. 2017. Taste buds: cells, signals and synapses. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 18:485–497.

Schwenk K. 1985. Occurrence, Distribution and Functional Significance of Taste Buds in Lizards. Copeia 1985:91.

Serrano J, Boyd J, Brown IS, Mason C, Smith KR, Karolyi K, Maurya SK, Meshram NN, Serna V, Link GM, et al. 2024. The TAS1R2 G-protein-coupled receptor is an ambient glucose sensor in skeletal muscle that regulates NAD homeostasis and mitochondrial capacity. Nat. Commun. 15:4915.

Seymen F, Kim YJ, Lee YJ, Kang J, Kim T-H, Choi H, Koruyucu M, Kasimoglu Y, Tuna EB, Gencay K, et al. 2016. Recessive Mutations in <I>ACPT</I>, Encoding Testicular Acid Phosphatase, Cause Hypoplastic Amelogenesis Imperfecta. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 99:1199–1205.

Seymen F, Park J-C, Lee K-E, Lee H-K, Lee D-S, Koruyucu M, Gencay K, Bayram M, Tuna EB, Lee ZH, et al. 2015. Novel MMP20 and KLK4 Mutations in Amelogenesis Imperfecta. J. Dent. Res. 94:1063–1069.

Shaheen J, Mudd AB, Diekwisch TGH, Abramyan J. 2021. Pseudogenized Amelogenin Reveals
Early Tooth Loss in True Toads (Anura: Bufonidae). *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 61:1933–1945.
Shon W-J, Song JW, Oh SH, Lee K-H, Seong H, You HJ, Seong JK, Shin D-M. 2023. Gut taste
receptor type 1 member 3 is an intrinsic regulator of Western diet-induced intestinal
inflammation. *BMC Med.* 21:165.
Shoshani J, Goldman CA, Thewissen JGM. 1988. Orycteropus afer. *Mamm. Species*:1–8.
da Silveira Anacleto TC. 2007. Food Habits of Four Armadillo Species in the Cerrado Area,
Mato Grosso, Brazil. *Zool. Stud.* 46:529–537.
Smith CEL, Murillo G, Brookes SJ, Poulter JA, Silva S, Kirkham J, Inglehearn CF, Mighell AJ.
2016. Deletion of amelotin exons 3–6 is associated with amelogenesis imperfecta. *Hum.*
Mol. Genet. 25:3578–3587.
Smith CEL, Poulter JA, Antanaviciute A, Kirkham J, Brookes SJ, Inglehearn CF, Mighell AJ.
2017. Amelogenesis Imperfecta; Genes, Proteins, and Pathways. *Front. Physiol.* 8:435.
Smith KK, Redford KH. 1990. The anatomy and function of the feeding apparatus in two
armadillos (Dasypoda): anatomy is not destiny. *J. Zool.* 222:27–47.
Springer MS, Emerling CA, Gatesy J, Randall J, Collin MA, Hecker N, Hiller M, Delsuc F. 2019.
Odontogenic ameloblast-associated (ODAM) is inactivated in toothless/enamelless
placental mammals and toothed whales. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 19:31.
Springer MS, Meredith RW, Teeling EC, Murphy WJ. 2013. Technical Comment on "The
Placental Mammal Ancestor and the Post–K-Pg Radiation of Placentals." *Science*
341:613–613.
Springer MS, Starrett J, Morin PA, Lanzetti A, Hayashi C, Gatesy J. 2016. Inactivation of
C4orf26 in toothless placental mammals. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 95:34–45.
Stamatakis A. 2014. RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of
large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* 30:1312–1313.
Stayton CT. 2015. What does convergent evolution mean? The interpretation of convergence
and its implications in the search for limits to evolution. *Interface Focus* 5:20150039.
Stedman HH, Kozyak BW, Nelson A, Thesier DM, Su LT, Low DW, Bridges CR, Shrager JB,
Minugh-Purvis N, Mitchell MA. 2004. Myosin gene mutation correlates with anatomical
changes in the human lineage. *Nature* 428:415–418.
Stefen C, Rensberger JM. 1999. The specialized structure of hyaenid enamel: Description and
develpoment within the lineage - including percrocutids. *Scanning Microsc.* 13:363–380.
Storch G. 1978. *Eomanis waldi*, ein Schuppentier aus dem Mittel-Eozän der "Grube Messel"
bei Darmstadt (Mammalia: Pholidota). *Senckenberg. Lethaea* 59:503–529.
Storch G. 1981. *Eurotamandua joresi*, ein Myrmecophagidae aus dem Eozän der "Grube
Messel" bei Darmstadt (Mammalia, Xenarthra). *Senckenberg. Lethaea* 61:247–289.
Superina M, Abba AM. 2014. *Zaedyus pichiy* (Cingulata: Dasypodidae). *Mamm. Species* 905:1–
10.
Thibaud-Nissen F, DiCuccio M, Hlavina W, Kimchi A, Kitts PA, Murphy TD, Pruitt KD, Souvorov
A. 2016. P8008 the NCBI eukaryotic genome annotation pipeline. J Anim Sci 94:184–
184. *J. Anim. Sci.* 94:184.
Thomas VJ, Shaw J, Tay N, Warburton NM. 2024. Comparative three-dimensional jaw muscle
anatomy of marsupial carnivores (*Dasyurus* spp.) and the termite-eating numbat
(*Myrmecobius fasciatus*). *J. Morphol.* 285:e21684.
Toniolo L, Cancellara P, Maccatrozzo L, Patruno M, Mascarello F, Reggiani C. 2008.
Masticatory myosin unveiled: first determination of contractile parameters of muscle
fibers from carnivore jaw muscles. *Am. J. Physiol.-Cell Physiol.* 295:C1535–C1542.
Toyosawa S, Fujiwara T, Ooshima T, Shintani S, Sato A, Ogawa Y, Sobue S, Ijuhin N. 2000.
Cloning and characterization of the human ameloblastin gene. *Gene* 256:1–11.
Tu Y-H, Cooper AJ, Teng B, Chang RB, Artiga DJ, Turner HN, Mulhall EM, Ye W, Smith AD,
Liman ER. 2018. An evolutionarily conserved gene family encodes proton-selective ion

channels. *Science* 359:1047–1050.

Valente R, Alves F, Sousa-Pinto I, Ruivo R, Castro LFC. 2021. Functional or Vestigial? The
Genomics of the Pineal Gland in Xenarthra. *J. Mol. Evol.* 89:565–575.

Wazen R, Ponce K, Kuroda S, Nishio C, Nanci A. 2015. Inactivation of the Odontogenic
ameloblast-associated gene affects the integrity of the junctional epithelium and gingival
healing. *Eur. Cell. Mater.* 30:187–199.

Westerman M, Krajewski C, Kear BP, Meehan L, Meredith RW, Emerling CA, Springer MS.
2016. Phylogenetic relationships of dasyuromorphian marsupials revisited. *Zool. J. Linn.*
Soc. 176:686–701.

Xiao S, Yu C, Chou X, Yuan W, Wang Y, Bu L, Fu G, Qian M, Yang J, Shi Y, et al. 2001.
Dentinogenesis imperfecta 1 with or without progressive hearing loss is associated with
distinct mutations in DSPP. *Nat. Genet.* 27:201–204.

Yang Z. 2007. PAML 4: Phylogenetic Analysis by Maximum Likelihood. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
24:1586–1591.

Yarmolinsky DA, Zuker CS, Ryba NJP. 2009. Common Sense about Taste: From Mammals to
Insects. *Cell* 139:234–244.

Yin D, Zhou R, Yin M, Chen Y, Xu S, Yang G. 2021. Gene Duplication and Loss of *AANAT* in
Mammals Driven by Rhythmic Adaptations.Su B, editor. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 38:3925–3937.

Young BA. 1997. On the Absence of Taste Buds in Monitor Lizards (*Varanus*) and Snakes. *J.*
Herpetol. 31:130.

Zhao H, Xu D, Zhang S, Zhang J. 2012. Genomic and Genetic Evidence for the Loss of Umami
Taste in Bats. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 4:73–79.

Zhao H, Yang J-R, Xu H, Zhang J. 2010. Pseudogenization of the Umami Taste Receptor Gene
Tas1r1 in the Giant Panda Coincided with its Dietary Switch to Bamboo. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
27:2669–2673.

Zhou Y, Shearwin-Whyatt L, Li J, Song Z, Hayakawa T, Stevens D, Fenelon JC, Peel E, Cheng
Y, Pajpach F, et al. 2021. Platypus and echidna genomes reveal mammalian biology
and evolution. *Nature* 592:756–762.

1563