1

Revealing year-round activity of cave-dwelling insectivorous bats with a sonotype classifier in data-deficient areas.

Morgane Labadie*[1,2], Serge Morand[3,4,5], Alexandre Caron[1,2,6], Helene Marie De Nys[1,2,7], Fabien Roch Niama[8], Franel Nguilili[9], N'Kaya Tobi[9], Mathieu Bourgarel[1,2,6], Yves Bas[10,11], Charlotte Roemer[10,11]

[1] CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, F-34398 Montpellier, France,

[2] ASTRE, CIRAD, INRAE, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France

[3] IRL HealthDEEP CNRS – Kasetsart University – Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

[4] Faculty of Veterinary Technology, Kasetsart University, Bangkok, Thailand

[5] Department of Social and Environmental medicine, Faculty of Tropical Medicine, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

[6] Faculdade de Veterinaria, Universidade Eduardo Mondlane, Maputo, Mozambique

[7] CIRAD, UMR ASTRE, Harare, Zimbabwe

[8] Laboratoire National de Santé Publique, Brazzaville, République du Congo

[9] Direction Générale de l'Élevage (Service vétérinaire), Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'élevage et de la pêche, Brazzaville, République du Congo

[10] Centre d'Ecologie et des Sciences de la Conservation (CESCO), Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France

[11] CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

*Corresponding author
Correspondence: morgane.labadie@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Context: Bats are the only mammals who have conquered the skies and the leading mammals in terms of total biomass with the exception of humans and domestic mammals. However, the ecology of many bat species is still unknown, especially in poorly sampled regions such as Central Africa.

Aims: We present the first application of an acoustic method for studying the nocturnal activity patterns and annual phenology of bat activity of cave bat communities in regions where no bat acoustic classifier exists.

Methods: In two caves (Mont Belo and Boundou) in the Republic of Congo, which are home to several species of insectivorous bats throughout the year, we set up a passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) over a period of 19 months. To identify the massive recordings collected, we used a sonotype classifier. To assign a species name or species group to the different sonotypes identified by the classifier, we collected local reference calls by capturing bats at the cave entrances. This enabled us to identify two bat groups and three species despite the absence of local call libraries. The capture sessions also allowed us to collect information about the reproductive condition of the individuals, which we interpreted in relation to the annual phenology of bat activity. To quantify bat activity, we defined the activity of each acoustic group as the number of bat passes, where a bat pass corresponds to the detection of one or several echolocation calls within a five-second interval. This standardized measure allowed us to quantify nightly and seasonal variations in activity.

Key results: The nightly activity displayed strong peaks in the evening and the morning, except during the long rainy season, when a tri- or quadri-modal activity was detected. This more evenly distributed activity throughout the night is related to the period of juvenile (gestation, parturition and lactation). For all acoustic groups, we also observed a higher activity during the rainy seasons and the short dry season, compared to the long dry season. This high activity is linked to the lactation period, the weaning and increasing independence of young bats, and potentially the mating period. Finally, the reproductive activity of insectivorous bat species is synchronized with the period of high resource availability.

Conclusion : This study represents the first long-term acoustic monitoring of cave bats in the Republic of Congo. This was allowed by the use of a classifier built without any pre-existing regional call data, highlighting a practical pathway for studying bat activity in other data-deficient regions.

Implications : Information about the biological status of individuals captured at the monitored caves in association with the cave annual activity phenology produced with this method are key to progress in the understanding of the ecology and the conservation issues of cave bat communities, which are particularly threatened globally.

Keywords *:* PAM, Tadarida, activity pattern, abundance, bats phenology, Congo

Introduction

Human activities are currently triggering a massive loss of biodiversity, with rates of species decline or extinction unprecedented for several millennia (Barnosky et al., 2011; Ceballos et al., 2015; IPBES, 2019). However, the scale of this crisis is probably still concealed by the lack of data on the populations of many species around the world (Hughes, 2017). Chiropterans are one of these taxa, as information on their populations is still poor despite the many threats they face (Furey & Racey, 2016; Mickleburgh et al., 2002).

Of the 1,500 recognised bat species worldwide, 38% are cave-dwelling species (IUCN, 2023; Simmons & Cirranello, 2025, Tanalgo et al., 2022), living in caves or natural or man-made underground cavities (e.g. mines or tunnels). These habitats are crucial for bats, which use them to reproduce, raise their young, rest on a daily or temporary basis and hibernate (Barros et al., 2020; Keeley & Tuttle, 1999; Kunz, 1982; Kunz et al., 2003; Lewis, 1995; Mering & Chambers, 2014; Ormsbee et al., 2007; Russo & Ancillotto, 2015; Struebig et al., 2009; The Protection of Bat Roost Guidelines Subcommittee et al., 1992). In tropical environments, most cave-dwelling species use caves as permanent roosts, and several species can co-occur in groups of hundreds or even thousands (Kunz, 1982; Monadjem et al., 2020). However, despite their ecological importance, these fragile environments are threatened and still poorly protected on a global scale (Balogh et al., 2020; Medellin et al., 2017; Neuhuber et al., 2020). Threats include resources extraction (minerals, guano, etc.) or recreational activities (caving, tourism, etc.) (Okonkwo et al., 2017; Simons, 1998). In turn, cave-dwelling bats generate ecological niches for diverse cave communities through the resources they produce (e.g., live and dead-bats, guano) (Labadie et al. 2025a).

Deleva et al. (2023) highlight the need to identify and protect key underground roosts. Broader global syntheses emphasize that effective conservation of bats roosting underground requires coordinated protection, monitoring, and policy measures (Meierhofer et al., 2024). Recent tools, such as the bat cave vulnerability index, provide integrative frameworks for assessing and ranking cave vulnerability at multiple scales (Tanalgo & Hughes, 2024). Unfortunately, due to largescale underfunding for bat research and conservation, the ecological information available on cave-dwelling bats is often too limited to inform these tools. In particular, data on bat populations and annual phenology of the occupation of caves is lacking, especially in African countries (Moutaouakil et al., 2024; Pretorius et al., 2021). Understanding when and how bats use caves throughout the year is therefore fundamental to assigning appropriate conservation value to each site. For example, a cave that hosts colonies during key reproductive periods (e.g. parturition or lactation) contributes critically to population persistence and should thus receive a higher conservation rank than a site used only for transient or non-reproductive activities.

The annual phenology of species at a given site is the result of the adaptation of its activity patterns to meet three primary needs: feeding, reproduction or rest (Alcock, 2013; Appel et al., 2019; Jones & Rydell, 1994). The phenology of bat activity in tropical caves reflects reproductive cycles adapted to local environmental conditions. In African regions, the timing of parturition in cave-dwelling insectivorous bats is closely linked to rainfall and subsequent insect availability (Cumming & Bernard, 1997). Insect abundance peaks about a month after peak rainfall, while births occur earlier to ensure weaning before maximum prey availability (Cumming & Bernard, 1997; Happold & Happold, 1990). This synchrony, though variable among species, highlights strong selective pressures imposed by seasonal food dynamics. Such cave-associated activity patterns are especially critical during maternity, when energetic demands are highest, and their

alteration may signal adaptation to changing microclimatic or trophic conditions (Nkoana, 2020;
Wolkovich et al., 2014).

Historically, bat communities have been studied using both invasive and non-invasive methods.
Invasive methods, such as bat capture, remain often necessary to make an exhaustive species
inventory, verify individual reproductive status and collect biometric data or samples (Appel et al.,
2021; Barnhart & Gillam, 2014; Flaquer et al., 2007). Direct observation of individuals in their
roost (e.g., cave, tree) or when they emerge to forage have also provided information on their
behavior, abundance and movements (Thomas & West, 1989). Visual counts are sometimes
difficult because of the large number of bats or the difficulty accessing the roosting sites. In
addition, human observations are time-consuming and observer bias (Forsyth et al., 2006; Koger
et al., 2023; Westcott & McKeown, 2004).

The development of new technologies has made it possible to set up precise counts of individuals
in caves using digital image analysis, thermal cameras (Azmy et al., 2012; Sabol & Hudson, 1995)
or bioacoustics (Sugai et al., 2020; Whiting et al., 2021). Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) has
a number of advantages: it is non-invasive, applicable in all environments (even the most remote
ones), can collect a large amount of information such as abundance proxies, and the analysis can
be automated (Hill et al., 2019). PAM exploits the use of echolocation to move and forage by more
than 70% of the world's bats (Browning et al., 2017; Gibb et al., 2019), Pteropodidae excluded.
Recording these often species-specific sounds makes it possible to make a quantitative monitoring
of bat species and/or communities. In recent years, several protocols, including that of Revilla-
Martin et al (2020), have demonstrated the reliability of this technique compared to other methods
for counting bat populations as they leave caves. Recent work by Appel et al. (2025) demonstrated
that combining active and passive monitoring and optimally placing recorders at cave entrances
maximizes species detection and comparability across sites and seasons.

However, PAM also faces limitations, such as the difficulty to distinguish between overlapping
calls. This issue is compounded by the lack of reference call libraries in many regions, especially
in Central Africa, and thus the impossibility to identify species in massive recordings. Sonotype
classifiers were recently developed to counter this issue (Roemer et al., 2019) and opened the
possibility to classify bat sounds in shapes and frequency groups where no species classifier is
available. Labadie et al. (2025b) proposed a method to apply a sonotype classifier in association
with local reference calls collected at cave entrances to obtain sound identification at the species
level, or acoustic group, in massive recordings in the Republic of Congo. However, no application
of this method has been reported so far.

The aim of this study was to demonstrate the possibility to conduct quantitative studies of cave-
dwelling bat communities using acoustics in data-deficient areas. The Republic of Congo has very
limited information on the acoustic repertoire of bats (Bates et al., 2013). Over a period of 19
months, we set up a PAM to describe the annual phenology of bat activity in two caves. We used
the Tadarida sonotype classifier to classify bat vocalizations. To associate each sonotype with a
species, we performed captures to obtain a species list and record reference calls, following the
approach of Labadie et al. (2025b, c). To progress in the understanding of the biology and the
ecology of bats in Central Africa, we compared seasonal variation in activity with the reproductive

status of bats assessed from capture data. We expected species to show higher activity during
periods of breeding and juvenile rearing (Catto et al., 1995; Dietz & Kalko, 2006).

Methods

Study area

Our study took place in the Republic of Congo, in a highly karstic region favouring the presence
of numerous caves and/or cavities of various sizes in a rolling habitat of herbaceous savannah with
patches of tropical forest. We selected two caves for the study: Boundou and Mont Belo, located
in the Bouenza and Niari departments, about 50 km apart. Both caves are close to Dolisie, one of
the three most important towns in the Republic of Congo on the southbound Brazzaville - Pointe
Noire route. In this region, the seasons are divided into four: the short dry season (January to
February), the short rainy season (March to May), the long dry season (June to mid-September)
and the long rainy season (mid-September to December).

The Mont Belo Cave has several chambers (a main chamber and two secondary chambers). The
Boundou Cave, on the other hand, is a smaller cavity integrated into a rocky complex, with a main
chamber and an annex chamber that is very difficult for humans to access, and houses a small
internal lake. Details of the configuration of the two caves in this study are presented in Fig. 1.

 Figure 1 - General diagram of the configuration of the Boundou and Mont Belo Cave, the location of the acoustic recorder and the bat roosting sites.

Ethic statements

171 The protocols presented below have all been validated by the Ethics Committee of the Ministry of
172 Scientific Research and Technological Innovation and by the Ministry of Forest Economy of the
173 Republic of Congo (N°212/MRSIT/IRSSA/CERSSA and N°687/MEF/CAB/DGEF-DFAP). This
174 work was also carried out with the agreement and participation of the authorities in the Niari and
175 Bouenza departments, as well as the communities and landowners close to our study sites.

Capturing bats

During the 19 months of data collection (September 2021 – March 2023), we conducted eleven
capture sessions at the main entrance of each cave using a harp trap (© Ecotone) between 5:00 and
10:00 pm. The sessions were distributed across different months and seasons to capture temporal
variation in activity and reproductive condition. During the first session at Mont Belo in September
2021, no bats were captured, likely due to a nearby crop fire that may have forced bats to
temporarily abandon the cave. In total, 672 bats were captured, including 344 individuals at
Boundou Cave and 328 at Mont Belo Cave (Appendix 1). During these capture sessions, a wide
range of information was collected, including the time of capture, the family and species identified
in the field, sex, age and reproductive status. The reproductive status of individuals was determined
by assessing females for pregnancy (abdominal palpation) and lactation (mammary gland size,
absence of fur, presence of milk at the nipple). Males were assessed for sexual activity based on
testes size and epididymal coloration. At the beginning of the study, in order to confirm the genera
and species of bats present in the caves, we collected genetic samples, acoustic recordings and
specimens for reference purposes.

Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM)

At each main entrance of the two caves, at a maximum distance of five meters, we placed an
SM4BAT acoustic recorder with a U1 microphone (Wildlife acoustics, Maynard, MA, USA). Each
microphone was placed on a pole or tree and oriented to best record the acoustic calls of bats
emerging and re-entering through the main entrance. These recorders ran continuously several
weeks per month for a period of 19 months (September 2021 to first day of March 2023). The
SM4BATs were set up according to the stationary points protocol of Vigie-chiro, a citizen science
programme for the acoustic monitoring of bat populations in France (French Museum of Natural
History (see Mariton et al., 2023) starting 30 minutes before sunset and 30 minutes after sunrise.
Figure 1 show the location of the acoustic recorder in relation to the configuration of each cave.

Processing acoustic data

Recordings were cut in durations of five seconds maximum. In order to process all the acoustic
files collected during this study in the two caves, we used the automatic sonotype classifier
published in Roemer et al., (2021) and modified in Labadie et al. (2025b) to identify the presence
of different sonotypes inside each file. The classifier returns a table in which each line corresponds
to a group of calls with similar call shape and frequency, associated with information about their
acoustic parameters (e.g., frequency, duration). Because of an overlap in the shape and frequency
of certain acoustic calls from bat species of the same family, we decided to group the species at
each study site using a posteriori classification (detail for the different acoustic groups in Labadie
et al., 2025b). The Boundou cave has four distinct groups: (1) the *Miniopterus* group (*Miniopterus*
cf minor/ Miniopterus cf. inflatus), (2) *Hipposideros caffer* (*Hipposideros cf. caffer)*, (3)

Rhinolophus denti (*Rhinolophus cf. denti*) and (4) the *Rhinolophus* - Macro - Tria group (*R. cf.*
landeri-alcyone /Macronycteris gigas/Triaenops cf. afer) (Fig. 2). The Mont Belo cave also has
four distinct groups: (1) the *Miniopterus* group (*M. cf minor/ M. cf. inflatus*), (2) *Hipposideros*
caffer (*Hipposideros cf. caffer*), (3) *Rhinolophus denti* (*Rhinolophus cf. denti)* and (4) *Rhinolophus*
landeri-alcyone (Rhinolophus cf. landeri-alcyone) (Fig. 2). For more details on the automatic
classification and on a posteriori sorting of acoustic groups, please respectively refer to Roemer et
al. (2021) and Labadie et al. (2025b).

Statistical analysis

After analyzing all recorded files, the Tadarida acoustic classifier produced raw results, which were
then organized in the post-sorting output. All the statistical analyses presented below were carried
out using R software v. 4.3.1 (R Core Team, 2023). The graphics were produced using ggplot2
(Wickham, 2016) or ggradar (Bion, 2023).

Bat counting techniques and acoustics analyses

Bats may emerge from and enter a cave at any time during the night; nonetheless, most of them
emerge after sunset and enter before sunrise (Erkert, 1982). In order to assess the activity of the
five groups of bats during emergence and their entry at each cave during the 19 months, we decided
to standardise our methodology based on the protocol of Mariton et al. (2023). As in other acoustic
studies (Millon et al., 2015), we defined the activity of the different groups of bats as the number
of bat passes. A bat pass is the occurrence of a single or several calls detected during a five seconds
interval.

As our study took place in the tropics and in two caves that are geographically close, the
characterisation of the diel activity of groups of bats can be based on a time scale (on sunrise and
sunset). To begin with, we defined the time of sunset and sunrise based on the geographical
coordinates and using the getSunlightTimes function in the suncalc package (Thieurmel et al.,
2022). We then quantified the number of bat passes, over two specific time periods: (a) the period
of emergence, from 30 minutes before sunset to two hours after sunset, and (b) the period of entry
into the cave, from two hours before sunrise to 30 minutes after sunrise. This calculation was
carried out for each species, each site and each day on which a complete night was recorded by the
SM4BATs.

Distribution of activity during the night

To calculate the distribution of the activity of acoustic groups of bats throughout the night, we
estimated the activity density using the density function in the R package. This calculation was
done for the twelve months of the year (some months were sampled repetitively during different
years) for each species and each study site.

Monthly pattern of the emergence and the entry activity at the roost

We used Generalised Linear Mixed Models (GLMM) to model the number of bat passes (proxy of
activity) during their emergence and entry as a function of the month of the year in each cave and
for each acoustic group. We added month and year as a random effect (1|month_year). In total, we
fitted seven separate emergence models (see Appendix 2). Each model corresponds to a specific
bat species or acoustic group at a given cave. This approach allows us to account for species-

specific emergence patterns at each site while controlling for temporal variation across months and
years. We used the glmmTMB package (Brooks et al., 2017) to build our models. We used a
lognormal distribution and checked the conditions and diagnostics using the DHARMa package
(QQ plot residuals, Residuals vs predicted, dispersion, zero-inflation) (Hartig & Hartig, 2022). We
used a Bonferroni correction to limit the type I error rate (false positive) when interpreting
generalised linear models (Cabin & Mitchell, 2000).

Results

Species composition and reproductive phenology

In both caves, we captured a total of seven bat species. Five species were present at both sites:
Miniopterus cf. minor (N = 99), *Miniopterus cf. inflatus* (N = 105), *Rhinolophus cf. landeri-alcyone*
(N = 52), *Rhinolophus cf. denti* (N = 108), and *Hipposideros cf. caffer* (N = 204). *Triaenops cf.*
afer (N = 100) and *Macronycteris cf. gigas* (N = 4) were recorded exclusively in the Boundou
Cave. For each species, the number of captured individuals by sex and by site is summarized in
Table 1, together with information on reproductive status.
Across species and sites, most bats exhibited a juvenile-rearing period (gestation, parturition, and
lactation) during the long rainy season (October–December). Male sexual activity was mainly
observed during the long dry season (June–mid-September), suggesting a seasonal separation
between mating and juvenile rearing. Some taxa, particularly *Hipposideros cf. caffer*, showed
evidence of two reproductive periods within the annual cycle.

Passive acoustic monitoring

274 In total, during nineteen months, we collected 2,375,956 acoustic files (five seconds duration)
275 with 48.7% coming from Boundou and 51.3% from Mont Belo. The acoustic groups identified at
276 each cave are shown in Figure 2 (Appendix 3, raw data for each group).

Nocturnal activity patterns

In both caves, for the five acoustic groups (*Hipposideros caffer, Miniopterus group,*
Rhinolophus denti, Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone, Rhinolophus Macro Tria group), nocturnal
activity patterns—specifically emergence and return activity— were generally bi-modal. More
precisely, the first peak of activity occurred at the beginning of the night, around 6:00 pm (after
sunset), and a second peak was observed between 5:00 and 6:00 am, depending on the species (Fig.
3 and Fig. 4). In contrast, *Rhinolophus cf. denti* showed an earlier onset of activity, beginning
around 3:00 am in both caves.

In December, bat activity was more evenly distributed throughout the night than during the
other months for all five acoustic groups and in both caves (Fig. 3 and Fig. 4). In addition, the
Boundou cave activity was more spread-out during January and February (Fig. 3). In the Mont Belo
cave, activity was also more spread out throughout the night in November and July (Fig. 4).

Annual phenology of bat activity and predicted species-specific patterns

Because analyses using emergence and entry activity produced similar annual phenology of bat
activity, we decided to comment our results for the emergence activity only (Fig. 5) (Appendix 3,
4, 5 and 6 for entry activity prediction). The annual phenology of activity varied according to the
acoustic group and the month (Appendix 3 and 4). For *Rhinolophus denti*, their low activity during
emergence in Mont Belo cave meant that the model had no results (the model had too little data to
run) (Appendix 6 and 7).

Hipposideros caffer

Predicted bat activity varied seasonally across species groups and caves (Figs. 4 and 5). For
Hipposideros caffer, activity was generally moderate during the short dry (January–February) and
short rainy seasons (March–May) in both caves. Nightly activity patterns (Fig. 4) were consistently
bimodal, with distinct emergence and entry peaks. During the long dry season (June–August),
activity declined, particularly at Boundou. Activity increased again toward the long rainy season
(September–December), when nightly activity became more evenly distributed across the night,
coinciding with the main juvenile-rearing period. According to Fig. 5 (Appendix 8), monthly
predicted activity remained relatively stable at Mont Belo from January to April, while Boundou
showed higher values during the same period. The highest predicted activity occurred at the end of
the year in both caves.

Miniopterus group

The Miniopterus group showed the highest activity during the short dry and short rainy seasons
in both caves (Fig. 4). During the long dry season, activity declined and nightly patterns became
less structured. Activity remained lower during the long rainy season, despite the presence of
juveniles, with reduced intensity of emergence and entry peaks. Fig. 5 (Appendix 8) indicates that
predicted activity peaked between January and March at Mont Belo and remained high from
January to May at Boundou. Minimum activity was observed during the long dry season, especially
in July–August.

Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone

At Mont Belo, *Rhinolophus landeri–alcyone* exhibited low activity during the short dry season,
followed by an increase during the short rainy season (Fig. 4). Activity decreased markedly during
the long dry season, when nightly activity was minimal. An increase was observed again during
the long rainy season, corresponding to pregnancy, parturition, and lactation, with more continuous
nocturnal activity. As shown in Fig. 5 (Appendix 8), predicted activity reached its lowest values
between July and September and increased again from October to December. The highest monthly
values occurred during the late rainy season.

Rhinolophus denti

At Boundou, *Rhinolophus denti* showed low activity for most of the year, particularly from the
short rainy season through the long dry season. A clear increase occurred during the long rainy
season, with the highest activity at the end of the year (Fig. 4). Fig. 5 (Appendix 8) shows
consistently low predicted activity from February to September, followed by a marked increase
from October to December. The end of the year corresponds to the period of highest predicted bat
passes.

Rhinolophus Macro-Tria group

Finally, the *Rhinolophus Macro–Tria group* at Boundou showed higher activity during the short
dry and short rainy seasons (Fig. 4). Activity declined during the long dry season and remained
generally low during the long rainy season, with reduced nightly structure. According to Fig. 5
(Appendix 8), predicted activity was highest in January–February and declined sharply during the
long dry season. A secondary increase was observed at the end of the year.

Figure 2 - Acoustic characteristics for the different bat species captured at the two study sites and categorization into different acoustic groups or species. Detail of sonotype, sonogram and acoustic frequency recorded or listed in reference works for each bat genus and species captured in the two caves (species with * are present only at Boundou). In the Boundou Cave, there are four acoustic groups (*Rhinolophus* – Macro – Tria group; *Rhinolophus denti*; *Hipposideros caffer* and *Miniopterus* group) and in the Mont Belo Cave there are four acoustic groups (*Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone*; *Rhinolophus denti*; *Hipposideros caffer* and *Miniopterus* group).

Table 1: Total number of individuals captured by species, cave, and sex, with observed reproductive periods based on eleven capture sessions (September 2021–March 2023). Juvenile-rearing periods include gestation, parturition, and lactation. Male sexual activity indicates reproductive activity inferred from testes size and epididymal coloration. '?' indicates insufficient data.

Group	Species	Cave	Males	Females	Total	Juvenile-rearing period(s)	Male sexual activity
Hipposideros caffer	*Hipposideros cf. caffer*	Mont Belo	62	17	79	Oct, Dec, Jan, Apr	Oct, Jan, Feb
	Hipposideros cf. caffer	Boundou	43	82	125	Sept – Dec, Feb, Jun	Sept – Nov, Jan, Mar, Jun
Miniopterus group	*Miniopterus cf. minor*	Boundou	41	26	67	Sept, Oct, Mar	Jan, Jun
	Miniopterus cf. minor	Mont Belo	14	18	32	Sept – Nov, Jun	Jan, Jun
	Miniopterus cf. inflatus	Boundou	2	1	3	Jun	?
	Miniopterus cf. inflatus	Mont Belo	77	25	102	Sept, Oct, Apr, Jun	Nov
Rhinolophus denti	*Rhinolophus cf. denti*	Boundou	4	2	6	Oct, Nov	?
	Rhinolophus cf. denti	Mont Belo	31	71	102	Sept – Jan, Apr, Jun	Nov, Feb
Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone	*Rhinolophus cf. landeri-alcyone*	Mont Belo	9	4	13	Oct, Nov, Jan	?
Rhinolophus Macro Tria Group	*Rhinolophus cf. landeri-alcyone*	Boundou	6	33	39	Oct, Nov	?
	*Triaenops cf. afer**	Boundou	42	58	100	Sept - Dec, Jan, Apr, Jun	Nov
	*Macronycteris cf. gigas**	Boundou	3	1	4	Nov	?

*Species found only in the Boundou cave

Figure 3 - Number of bat passes for each acoustic group according to month at Boundou Cave. The reproductive status of the species or acoustic group is indicated by two pictograms with the color of the acoustics groups: 👶 young rearing (lactation, pregnant) and 💜 : mating period/male activity. The months of May, July and August, which have an *, are month when no captures were made, hence the absence of data on the reproductive status of the acoustic group.

Figure 4 - Number of bat passes for each acoustic group according to month at Mont Belo Cave. The reproductive status of the species or acoustic group is indicated by two pictograms with the color of the acoustics groups: 🛒 young rearing (lactation, pregnant) and 💜 : mating period/male activity. The months of May, July and August, which have an *, are month when no captures were made, hence the absence of data on the reproductive status of the acoustic group.

Figure 5 - Prediction of acoustic group emergence activity (estimated based on the number of bat passes) with 95% confidence interval as a function of months and study sites (Boundou and Mont Belo Cave). The *Rhinolophus denti* group at Mont Belo has not been represented here due to lack of data. The reproductive status of the species or acoustic group is indicated by two pictograms with the color of the acoustics groups: 🛒 young rearing (lactation, pregnant) and 💜 : mating period/male activity. The months of May, July and August, which have an *, are month when no captures were made, hence the absence of data on the reproductive status of the acoustic group.

Discussion

We used passive acoustic monitoring to characterize bat communities and their phenologies at the entrances of caves in the Republic of Congo, addressing key challenges in cave-dwelling bat research in datadeficient countries. A global sonotype classifier augmented with locally recorded reference calls allowed to overcome the main challenges of bat research in these contexts: the absence of pre-existing reference acoustic libraries; the difficulty of monitoring nocturnal and cryptic species in remote places; and the absence of standardized frameworks for quantifying bat activity. In two caves, we quantified the activity of five acoustic groups of bats and described their nightly and annual phenologies. This methodological adaptation, combining sonotypes with on-site reference recordings, offers a framework that can be replicated in other regions lacking acoustic data, potentially across different biomes and bat communities.

Nocturnal activity patterns

Five acoustic groups were detected in both caves: *Hipposideros caffer*, the *Miniopterus* group, *Rhinolophus denti*, *Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone*, and the *Rhinolophus Macro Tria group*. Overall, their emergence and entry patterns showed similar dynamics, with two bi-modal nocturnal activity patterns (a period of emergence and a period of entry into the cave) for most months in both caves. In several months, however, nocturnal activity patterns was more evenly distributed throughout the night—particularly in December for all groups, in January–February at Boundou, and in November and July at Mont Belo. When outside the cave, the large number of individuals observed are hypothesized to go hunting before returning to the cave. Some individuals may move to another resting place or return later.

Nightly observations revealed patterns consistent with known ecological behaviors. With a few exceptions, bats emerged from their daytime roosts at dusk—around 6 p.m.—to fly to feeding sites, as expected (Bullock et al., 1987). The time of this nocturnal emergence was correlated with the time of sunset (Erkert, 1982). A second peak of nocturnal activity generally occurred during the early morning hours, around 5–6 a.m., corresponding to their return to the caves. Central African countries such as Congo have little variation in sunrise and sunset throughout the year (average sunrise: about 05:50 – 06:02 am local time; average sunset: about 05:55 – 06:05 pm local time) (WorldData, 2024), leading to little variation in emergence time across the year. In our study, we also observed "burst activity" patterns during emergence, where several bats exited the cave in rapid succession followed by short periods of reduced activity, a behavior previously described in other bat colonies (Swift, 1980). However, between October and January, nocturnal activity was less concentrated around the initial emergence period and instead occurred more evenly across the night. This shift is evidenced by a flatter distribution of detections among hourly intervals, indicating sustained activity rather than a pronounced early-night peak. These nocturnal activity patterns provide the detailed temporal resolution necessary to interpret the annual phenology of bat activity, which is strongly shaped by reproductive cycles and resource availability.

Annual phenology of bat activity and reproductive period

Building on nocturnal activity patterns, we examined the annual phenology of bat activity across the year to understand seasonal trends. In our study, activity peaks during the main rainy season (October–December) coincided with maternity colony formation (gestation, birth, and beginning of lactation). In December and January, nocturnal activity was not restricted to the initial emergence

peak but extended across the entire night. Such patterns have previously been interpreted as
reflecting lactating females alternating between foraging and nursing their young (Catto et al., 1995
; Dietz & Kalko, 2006 ; Maier, 1992 ; Swift, 1980, 1997). Field observations and the ecological
knownledge of these species support this interpretation. We therefore hypothesise that activity
spread throughout the night corresponds to females making repeated trips between the cave and
foraging sites to alternately nurse their offspring and feed. This pattern is consistent with Henry et
al. (2002), who showed that *Myotis lucifugus* females reduced their foraging range and returned to
the roost one to two times per night. Such repeated returns created secondary and/or tertiary
nocturnal activity patterns several hours after the initial emergence observed in our data.

As young bats begin to fly and forage independently, overall activity can increase and become less
strictly tied to maternal commuting behavior. For example, young *Hipposideros caffer* become
independent between three and three and a half months after birth (Wright, 2009). However, the
young are not carried by their mother throughout this period, and may begin to fly one month after
birth, staying in the roost with other individuals of the same generation when their mothers forage
out of the cave (Wright, 2009). This transition could contribute to some seasonal variation observed
in activity levels during certain months following gestation and lactation.

Some acoustic groups in our study showed two reproductive periods within the year. For example,
the *Miniopterus* group (two species) exhibited peaks of reproductive activity in March–April and
again from September to December, while *Rhinolophus Macro Tria group* (three species) showed
similar patterns between September–January and March–June. These patterns likely reflect the
presence of multiple species within each acoustic group. Each species may have distinct
reproductive cycles. In the case of *Hipposideros caffer*, the dual activity could be explained by the
presence of a cryptic species complex within this acoustic group, as suggested in previous studies
(Wright, 2009). Alternatively, the observed extended annual phenology of bat activity could reflect
some flexibility in reproductive timing. Although individual females may start reproduction at
slightly different times, parturition generally occurs during the peak of insect availability in the
rainy season. This partial synchrony allows offspring to benefit from abundant food resources while
maintaining flexibility in reproductive timing, which can maximize survival (Racey & Entwistle,
2000; McWilliam, 1988). This flexible reproductive timing likely aligns annual phenology of bat
activity with seasonal resource peaks.

The long dry season (June–August) generally showed reduced nocturnal activity patterns compared
with the other seasons. This likely reflects lower use of these habitats due to limited resource
availability, here meaning a lower abundance of insects, the main food source for these species
(Barclay & Kurta, 2007; Patriquin & Ratcliffe, 2016; Patterson et al., 2007; Postawa & Gas, 2009).
However, the dry season also included some of the highest activity levels of the year for certain
species, particularly in August. This was especially evident for *Rhinolophus landeri alcyone* (Mont
Belo cave) and *Miniopterus group* (Boundou cave). Scattered nocturnal activity was observed
throughout the night during this period, which may reflect swarming behaviour and increased
movements around roosts. Although we did not capture bats in July and August and could not
directly assess reproductive status, the gestation period observed from late September to early
December suggests that copulation likely occurs toward the end of the long dry season (June–
August). Such mating activity may increase flight activity and the frequency of returns to the cave,
potentially explaining some of the observed activity peaks. This timing is consistent with reports
from other regions. For example, *Hipposideros caffer* typically mates at the end of the first rainy

season (June–July) and gives birth during the middle of the second rainy season (October–
November) (Bernard, 1982; Brosset, 1968; Günther et al., 2016).

The females of the species in our study, with the exception of *Macronycteris gigas*, use the cave to
gather in a maternity colony before giving birth in September and October (ML personal
observation). This would support Cumming and Bernard (1997) who mentioned that many bat
species give birth to their young about a month before the peak in resource availability.

Finally, variation in roost use by species highlights the complexity of bat ecology in these systems.
It is noteworthy that the mating period of *Hipposideros caffer* seemed to vary between cave
(January, February, September, October in Mont Belo Cave;January, March, June and September
- November in Boundou Cave). This variation in breeding months could potentially be explained
by the presence of two cryptic species of *Hipposideros* in this study (ML personal observation).

Conservation implications for the caves and application in other biomes

By describing nocturnal activity patterns and annual phenology of bat activity, our results also
highlight the ecological and conservation significance of these caves. Although this study did not
aim to formally calculate the Bat Cave Vulnerability Index (BCVI) (from Tanalgo & Hughes,
2024), a quick assessment of standard criteria suggests differing vulnerability between the two
caves. Mont Belo hosts more species and several maternity colonies. It therefore has the highest
biotic value. Its vulnerability score must then be assessed by considering the different threats
affecting the site (Labadie et al., 2025a). Boundou shows lower vulnerability, with fewer
disturbances and only occasional predation (Labadie et al., 2025a).

Limitation of this study

Information on reproductive status cannot be obtained from acoustic monitoring, it requires the
capture of individuals. We were not able to capture during the long dry season (June–August),
which hampers our understanding of the reproduction status of the different species. Moreover, we
did not have the opportunity to validate our acoustic monitoring method with manual counts, video
monitoring, or infrared cameras to verify the number of individuals in each cave per month due to
logistical constraints. These complementary methods would have provided direct estimates of
colony size and temporal fluctuations in bat abundance. Such information would have allowed us
to link nocturnal activity patterns more precisely to actual population dynamics and to better
distinguish between changes in the number of individuals. Acoustic activity is, however, generally
correlated with colony size in caves (Revilla-Martín et al., 2020). Still, variations in flight
behavior—such as increased vocal activity during social interactions or reduced calling during
certain foraging strategies—may lead to an over- or underestimation of this correlation.

Conclusion

We characterized the variation in activity of acoustic groups in relation to season and breeding
status, providing insights into temporal use of roosts and potential sensitivity to disturbance. While
both caves host similar species groups and share general seasonal trends, Mont Belo shows more
pronounced biannual peaks in acoustic activity (especially *R. landeri–alcyone*), whereas Boundou
displays more evenly distributed activity and possibly more stable year-round occupation (notably
for *Hipposideros   caffer*   and   the   *Rhinolophus   Macro   Tria   group*).
Because overall activity levels differ substantially between sites, these comparisons should be

interpreted cautiously and are intended to describe relative temporal patterns within each cave
rather than absolute differences between caves.

Taken together, these patterns suggest differences in seasonal use between caves, with Mont Belo
potentially experiencing stronger seasonal concentrations of activity, while Boundou appears to
support more continuous multi-species use throughout the year. Rather than indicating strict
functional specialization, these results point to complementary patterns of cave use across seasons,
which may reflect differences in roost structure, microclimate, or local landscape context.

These observations highlight the potential of passive acoustic monitoring (PAM), combined with
automated acoustic classification, to explore seasonal and reproductive-associated changes in bat
activity, an application that remains limited for Central African cave systems. While quantitative
acoustic monitoring has been successfully applied in other data-poor tropical and subtropical
regions, our study provides the first standardized acoustic baseline for cave-roosting bat
assemblages in this region, contributing new information for poorly documented taxa and sites.

This approach offers a valuable tool for cave conservation by enabling the identification of periods
of increased bat activity and species-specific patterns of cave use, which are relevant for
minimizing disturbance (Tanalgo & Hughes, 2024), although direct inference of reproductive
timing requires complementary data. A major strength of this study lies in its non-invasive
approach, applicable across multiple caves and species, while obtaining both nocturnal activity
patterns and annual phenology of bat activity.

Future improvements could include non-invasive hormonal monitoring by collecting bat urine to
analyze stress levels and reproductive hormones, allowing identification of mating and lactation
periods without capturing bats (Greville et al., 2022; Kumar & Umapathy, 2019; Voigt &
Schwarzenberger, 2008; Wilkinson et al., 2024). Given the importance of bats for the health of the
environment across the world, this study provides new avenues to produce knowledge in data-
deficient and remote areas in order to adapt bat conservation and management.

Appendices

Appendix 1 - Morphometric Data of Insectivorous Bat Communities in Two Caves of The Republic of
516 Congo

517 CIRAD Dataverse. https://doi.org/doi:10.18167/DVN1/M2J0TY.

518 **Appendix 2** - Summary table of seven emergence models for each cave (Boundou and Mont Belo) and each
519 acoustics group tested in this study.
520

Model name	Description
Model *Hipposideros caffer* emergence Boundou Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Miniopterus group* emergence Boundou Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Rhinolophus denti* emergence Boundou Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Rhinolophus landeri-alcyone* emergence Boundou Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Hipposideros caffer* emergence Mont Belo Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Miniopterus group* emergence Mont Belo Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)
Model *Rhinolophus Macro Tria* emergence Boundou Cave	Nb_batpass ~ Month + (1|month-year)

522   **Appendix 3** - Number of bat passes for each acoustic group according to month and site (Boundou and
523   Mont Belo Cave). The reproductive status of the species or acoustic group is indicated by two

524   pictograms: 🛒 young rearing (lactation, pregnant) and 💕: mating period/male activity. The months of
525   May, July and August, which have an *, are month when no captures were made, hence the absence of data
526   on the reproductive status of the acoustic group.

527   :

528　**Appendix 4** - Generalized linear mixed (GLM) with Bonferroni correction describing the relationship between activity and month for the
529　four acoustic groups in the Boundou Cave during the emergence time of bats.

Predictors	Hipposideros caffer emergence Boundou			Miniopterus group emergence Boundou			Rhinolophus denti emergence Boundou			Rhinolophus Macro Tria group emergence Boundou		
	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p
Intercept Jan	250.45 ***	192.77 – 325.39	<0.001	522.93 ***	440.28 – 621.08	<0.001	6.71 ***	4.73 – 9.51	<0.001	661.78 ***	488.20 – 897.08	<0.001
Feb	0.68	0.47 – 1.00	0.593	0.96	0.77 – 1.19	1.000	0.68	0.43 – 1.06	1.000	0.81	0.53 – 1.24	1.000
Mar	0.66	0.45 – 0.96	0.382	0.86	0.69 – 1.08	1.000	0.72	0.43 – 1.21	1.000	0.83	0.54 – 1.26	1.000
Apr	0.58	0.37 – 0.89	0.166	0.76	0.61 – 0.95	0.191	0.69	0.44 – 1.07	1.000	0.88	0.54 – 1.45	1.000
May	0.47 *	0.30 – 0.74	0.012	0.97	0.78 – 1.20	1.000	0.61	0.36 – 1.03	0.750	0.91	0.55 – 1.48	1.000
Jun	0.31 ***	0.20 – 0.49	<0.001	0.71 *	0.57 – 0.89	0.038	0.58	0.37 – 0.91	0.203	0.72	0.44 – 1.19	1.000
Jul	0.22 ***	0.14 – 0.35	<0.001	0.57 ***	0.43 – 0.74	<0.001	0.60	0.33 – 1.08	1.000	0.37 **	0.21 – 0.63	0.003
Aug	0.24 ***	0.15 – 0.38	<0.001	0.97	0.79 – 1.21	1.000	0.59	0.34 – 1.01	0.671	0.83	0.50 – 1.36	1.000
Sep	0.26 ***	0.18 – 0.38	<0.001	0.62 ***	0.51 – 0.77	<0.001	0.64	0.41 – 0.99	0.557	0.58	0.38 – 0.89	0.137
Oct	0.30 ***	0.21 – 0.44	<0.001	0.37 ***	0.30 – 0.46	<0.001	1.10	0.76 – 1.58	1.000	0.45 **	0.29 – 0.68	0.002
Nov	0.41 **	0.27 – 0.64	0.001	0.31 ***	0.23 – 0.42	<0.001	1.75	1.19 – 2.59	0.056	0.94	0.61 – 1.46	1.000
Dec	0.61	0.41 – 0.90	0.166	0.61 ***	0.48 – 0.77	0.001	4.47 ***	3.13 – 6.38	<0.001	1.06	0.69 – 1.64	1.000
Random Effects												
σ^2	58.54			192.82			7.76			191.71		
τ_{00}	0.03 month2			0.00 month2			0.00 month2			0.04 month2		
ICC	0.00									0.00		
N	19 month2			19 month2			19 month2			19 month2		
Observations	275			275			182			275		
Marginal R^2 / Conditional R^2	0.003 / 0.004			0.001 / NA			0.047 / NA			0.001 / 0.001		
AICc	2867.068			3566.270			900.772			3695.560		

* p<0.05　** p<0.01　*** p<0.001

Appendix 5 - Generalized linear mixed (GLM) with Bonferroni correction describing the relationship between activity and month for the four acoustic groups in the Mont Belo Cave during the emergence time of bats.

Predictors	Hipposideros caffer emergence Mont Belo			Miniopterus group emergence Mont Belo			Rhino landeri-alcyone emergence Mont Belo			Rhinolophus denti emergence Mont Belo		
	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p
Intercept Jan	9.35 ***	6.82 – 12.82	**<0.001**	737.51 ***	636.15 – 855.01	**<0.001**	499.73 ***	346.52 – 720.68	**<0.001**	1.00	NaN – NaN	NaN
Feb	1.05	0.74 – 1.47	1.000	1.08	0.91 – 1.29	1.000	1.03	0.66 – 1.60	1.000			
Mar	1.34	0.91 – 1.98	1.000	1.15	0.96 – 1.39	1.000	1.14	0.72 – 1.79	1.000			
Apr	1.08	0.72 – 1.63	1.000	1.06	0.86 – 1.31	1.000	1.27	0.76 – 2.11	1.000			
May	1.14	0.79 – 1.64	1.000	1.03	0.85 – 1.26	1.000	1.12	0.68 – 1.86	1.000			
Jun	0.68	0.44 – 1.05	0.958	0.79	0.64 – 0.97	0.316	0.92	0.55 – 1.54	1.000			
Jul	0.83	0.55 – 1.24	1.000	0.74	0.60 – 0.91	0.064	0.85	0.51 – 1.42	1.000			
Aug	0.61	0.37 – 1.00	0.588	0.70 *	0.56 – 0.86	**0.012**	0.77	0.46 – 1.28	1.000			
Sep	0.76	0.52 – 1.11	1.000	0.73 *	0.60 – 0.88	**0.013**	0.63	0.40 – 0.99	0.542	1.00	NaN – NaN	NaN
Oct	0.83	0.58 – 1.18	1.000	1.05	0.88 – 1.25	1.000	1.06	0.68 – 1.65	1.000	1.00	NaN – NaN	NaN
Nov	0.90	0.61 – 1.33	1.000	0.99	0.82 – 1.19	1.000	1.00	0.63 – 1.57	1.000			
Dec	1.85 **	1.34 – 2.56	**0.003**	1.00	0.84 – 1.19	1.000	0.98	0.62 – 1.53	1.000			
Random Effects												
σ^2	13.88			152.17			169.52			0.00		
τ_{00}	0.00 month2			0.00 month2			0.03 month2			0.00 month2		
ICC				0.00			0.00					
N	18 month2			18 month2			18 month2			4 month2		
Observations	271			293			293			4		
Marginal R^2 / Conditional R^2	0.007 / NA			0.000 / 0.000			0.000 / 0.000			0.000 / NA		
AICc	1644.111			3796.908			3859.854			NA		

*p<0.05 **p<0.01 ***p<0.001

534 **Appendix 6 -** Generalized linear mixed (GLM) with Bonferroni correction describing the relationship between activity and month for the
535 four acoustic groups in the Boundou Cave during the enter time of bats.

Predictors	Hipposideros caffer enter Boundou			Miniopterus group enter Boundou			Rhinolophus denti enter Boundou			Rhinolophus Macro Tria group enter Boundou		
	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p
Intercept Jan	249.41 ***	156.62 – 397.20	<0.001	463.67 ***	314.74 – 683.07	<0.001	17.20 ***	11.53 – 25.65	<0.001	439.89 ***	289.90 – 667.50	<0.001
Feb	1.07	0.59 – 1.96	1.000	1.71	1.07 – 2.74	0.290	0.43 *	0.25 – 0.73	**0.020**	1.65	0.96 – 2.85	0.865
Mar	1.57	0.87 – 2.85	1.000	1.63	1.02 – 2.60	0.497	0.55	0.33 – 0.91	0.242	1.54	0.89 – 2.67	1.000
Apr	1.16	0.58 – 2.31	1.000	1.53	0.91 – 2.57	1.000	0.37 **	0.21 – 0.64	**0.005**	1.70	0.90 – 3.20	1.000
May	1.18	0.60 – 2.34	1.000	1.45	0.86 – 2.44	1.000	0.41 *	0.24 – 0.72	**0.021**	1.86	0.99 – 3.50	0.656
Jun	0.75	0.38 – 1.49	1.000	0.76	0.44 – 1.31	1.000	0.29 ***	0.16 – 0.50	<0.001	1.26	0.66 – 2.40	1.000
Jul	0.48	0.24 – 0.96	0.457	0.89	0.52 – 1.52	1.000	0.31 ***	0.17 – 0.55	**0.001**	1.50	0.80 – 2.84	1.000
Aug	0.46	0.22 – 0.93	0.377	1.36	0.81 – 2.30	1.000	0.36 **	0.20 – 0.65	**0.007**	1.40	0.74 – 2.65	1.000
Sep	0.49	0.27 – 0.89	0.229	1.17	0.74 – 1.87	1.000	0.29 ***	0.17 – 0.50	<0.001	1.02	0.59 – 1.76	1.000
Oct	0.67	0.37 – 1.21	1.000	1.19	0.75 – 1.89	1.000	0.48 *	0.30 – 0.77	**0.028**	0.83	0.48 – 1.44	1.000
Nov	0.69	0.37 – 1.31	1.000	1.12	0.68 – 1.83	1.000	1.15	0.72 – 1.82	1.000	1.39	0.79 – 2.44	1.000
Dec	1.00	0.54 – 1.84	1.000	1.38	0.85 – 2.23	1.000	2.80 ***	1.73 – 4.54	<0.001	2.01	1.16 – 3.47	0.154
Random Effects												
σ^2	155.58			202.81			9.54			229.51		
τ_{00}	0.06 month2			0.03 month2			0.02 month2			0.06 month2		
ICC	0.00			0.00			0.00			0.00		
N	19 month2			19 month2			19 month2			19 month2		
Observations	276			277			242			277		
Marginal R^2 / Conditional R^2	0.001 / 0.001			0.000 / 0.000			0.042 / 0.044			0.000 / 0.001		
AICc	3301.278			3769.686			1366.644			3790.904		

* $p<0.05$ ** $p<0.01$ *** $p<0.001$

537 **Appendix 7 -** Generalized linear mixed (GLM) with Bonferroni correction describing the relationship between activity and month for the
538 four acoustic groups in the Mont Belo Cave during the enter time of bats.

Predictors	Hipposideros caffer enter Mont Belo			Miniopterus group enter Mont Belo			Rhino landeri-alcyone enter Mont Belo			Rhinolophus denti enter Mont Belo		
	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p	Estimates	CI	p
Intercept Jan	20.98 ***	12.75 – 34.52	<0.001	570.35 ***	501.54 – 648.60	<0.001	663.65 ***	530.64 – 830.00	<0.001	1.17	0.47 – 2.93	1.000
Feb	1.30	0.72 – 2.33	1.000	1.22	1.05 – 1.41	0.086	1.05	0.80 – 1.38	1.000			
Mar	1.41	0.77 – 2.58	1.000	1.17	0.99 – 1.38	0.822	0.92	0.69 – 1.23	1.000			
Apr	1.08	0.54 – 2.15	1.000	1.27	1.07 – 1.50	0.063	1.19	0.87 – 1.62	1.000			
May	0.83	0.42 – 1.63	1.000	1.37 ***	1.18 – 1.59	**0.001**	1.09	0.80 – 1.49	1.000	1.00	0.32 – 3.13	1.000
Jun	0.54	0.27 – 1.08	0.988	1.22	1.04 – 1.43	0.153	0.87	0.64 – 1.19	1.000			
Jul	0.50	0.24 – 1.03	0.705	1.21	1.03 – 1.42	0.229	0.66	0.48 – 0.92	0.155			
Aug	0.38	0.18 – 0.78	0.103	0.94	0.79 – 1.11	1.000	0.52 ***	0.37 – 0.72	**0.001**			
Sep	0.52	0.28 – 0.96	0.453	0.95	0.81 – 1.11	1.000	0.61 **	0.46 – 0.81	**0.007**			
Oct	0.66	0.36 – 1.20	1.000	0.94	0.81 – 1.10	1.000	0.73	0.55 – 0.96	0.279			
Nov	0.64	0.34 – 1.20	1.000	0.79	0.66 – 0.94	0.110	0.63 *	0.47 – 0.84	**0.021**			
Dec	1.22	0.66 – 2.25	1.000	0.98	0.84 – 1.14	1.000	0.97	0.73 – 1.29	1.000	1.55	0.53 – 4.57	1.000
Random Effects												
σ^2	22.89			166.33			157.22					
τ_{00}	0.04 month2			0.00 month2			0.01 month2					
ICC	0.00						0.00					
N	18 month2			18 month2			18 month2			4 month2		
Observations	279			294			294			4		
Marginal R^2 / Conditional R^2	0.007 / 0.009			0.000 / NA			0.000 / 0.000			NA		
AICc	1995.258			3840.501			3818.869			-12.700		

* p<0.05   ** p<0.01   *** p<0.001

540 **Appendix 8** - Prediction of the activity of acoustic group entries with 95% confidence interval as a function of months and study sites
541 (Boundou and Mont Belo Cave). The Rhinolophus denti group at Mont Belo has not been represented here due to lack of data. The
542 reproductive status of the species or acoustic group is indicated by two pictograms with the color of the acoustics groups: 🍼young
543 rearing (lactation, pregnant) and 💜: mating period/male activity. The months of May, July and August, which have an *, are month
544 when no captures were made, hence the absence of data on the reproductive status of the acoustic group.

Acknowledgements

We would also like to extend our warmest thanks to Léa Mariton for her support and advice on the R scripts for the density of bat activity over time. Many thanks to our Congolese partners: the "Laboratoire National de Santé Publique de Brazzaville" (LNSP), the "Direction Générale de l'Elevage" (DGE) and "Ministère de l'économie forestière" for their support in this project, their help with the fieldwork and the applications for the capture and ethics permits. Thanks also to the bat field team who helped collect data: F. Nguilili, C. Bazola, N. Nguimbi (our wizard), R. Dimoukissi and R. Nguimbi. Finally, we would like to thank the local authorities in Dolisie and the local population for their help with this project.

Data, scripts, code, and supplementary information availability

The summary file of species and the scripts used to create the figures can be obtained by following this link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15636144

Conflict of interest disclosure

The authors declare that they have no know competing financial interests or personal that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding

This study and publication were supported by the European Union Delegation under the Agreement [FOOD/2016/379-660], signed between the EU and the WOAH for the implementation of the Action EBO-SURSY.

References

Alcock, J. (2013). Animal Behavior: An Evolutionary Approach (10th edition). Sinauer Associates.

Appel, G., da Rocha, P.A., Cerqueira, P.V. et al. (2025). Using active and passive methods to monitor cave-dwelling bats in Amazonian caves. Biodiversity Conservation, 34, 4429–4449 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-025-03160-4

Appel, G., Capaverde, U. D., De Oliveira, L. Q., do Amaral Pereira, L. G., da Cunha Tavares, V., López-Baucells, A., Magnusson, W. E., Baccaro, F. B., & Bobrowiec, P. E. (2021). Use of complementary methods to sample bats in the Amazon. Acta Chiropterologica, 23(2), 499–511. https://doi.org/10.3161/15081109ACC2021.23.2.017

Appel, G., López-Baucells, A., Magnusson, W. E., & Bobrowiec, P. E. D. (2019). Temperature, rainfall, and moonlight intensity effects on activity of tropical insectivorous bats. Journal of Mammalogy, 100(6), 1889–1900. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyz140

Azmy, S. N., Sah, S. A. M., Shafie, N. J., Ariffin, A., Majid, Z., Ismail, M. N. A., & Shamsir, M. S. (2012). Counting in the dark: Non-intrusive laser scanning for population counting and identifying roosting bats. Scientific Reports, 2(1), 1–4.https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00524

Balogh, A., Ngo, L., Zigler, K. S., & Dixon, G. (2020). Population genomics in two cave-obligate invertebrates confirms extremely limited dispersal between caves. Scientific Reports, 10(1), 17554.https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-74508-9

Barclay, R. M., & Kurta, A. (2007). Ecology and behavior of bats roosting in tree cavities and
under bark. Bats in Forests: Conservation and Management (MJ Lacki, JP Hayes, and A. Kurta
Eds.). Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland, 352, 17–59.

Barnhart, P. R., & Gillam, E. H. (2014). The impact of sampling method on maximum entropy
species distribution modeling for bats. Acta Chiropterologica, 16(1), 241–
248.https://doi.org/10.3161/150811014X683435

Barnosky, A. D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G. O. U., Swartz, B., Quental, T. B., Marshall,
C., McGuire, J. L., Lindsey, E. L., Maguire, K. C., Mersey, B., & Ferrer, E. A. (2011). Has the
Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? Nature, 471(7336), 51–57.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678

Barros, J. D. S., Bernard, E., & Ferreira, R. L. (2020). Ecological preferences of neotropical cave
bats in roost site selection and their implications for conservation. Basic and Applied Ecology,
45, 31–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2020.03.007

Bates, P. J. J., Cameron, K., Pearch, M. J., & Hayes, B. (2013). A review of the bats (Chiroptera) of the
republic of Congo, including eight species new to the country. Acta Chiropterologica, 15(2), 313–
340. https://doi.org/10.3161/150811013X678955

Bernard, R. & Meester, J. A. J. (1982). Female reproduction and the female reproductive cycle of
Hipposideros caffer caffer (Sundevall, 1846) in Natal, South Africa. Annals of the Transvaal
Museum, 33(8), 131–144.

Bion, R. (2023). ggradar: Create radar charts using ggplot2. R Package Version 0.2.

Brooks, M. E., Kristensen, K., Van Benthem, K. J., Magnusson, A., Berg, C. W., Nielsen, A.,
Skaug, H. J., Machler, M., & Bolker, B. M. (2017). glmmTMB balances speed and flexibility
among packages for zero-inflated generalized linear mixed modeling. The R Journal, 9(2), 378–
400. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2017-066

Brosset, A. (1968). La Permutation du Cycle sexuel saisonnier chez le chiroptère Hipposideros
caffer, au voisinage de l'Équateur.

Browning, E., Gibb, R., Glover-Kapfer, P., & Jones, K. E. (2017). Passive acoustic monitoring in
ecology and conservation. http://dx.doi.org/10.25607/OBP-876

Bullock, D., Combes, B., Eales, L., & Pritchard, J. (1987). Analysis of the timing and pattern of
emergence of the pipistrelle bat (Pipistrellus pipistrettus). Journal of Zoology, 211(2), 267–274.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1987.tb01533.x

Cabin, R. J., & Mitchell, R. (2000). To Bonferroni or not to Bonferroni: When and how are the
questions. ESA Bulletin, 81, 246–248. 10.2307/20168454

Catto, C., Racey, P., & Stephenson, P. (1995). Activity patterns of the serotine bat (Eptesicus
serotinus) at a roost in southern England. Journal of Zoology, 235(4), 635–644.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1995.tb01774.x

Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015).
Accelerated modern human–induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. Science
Advances, 1(5), e1400253. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253

Cumming, G., & Bernard, R. (1997). Rainfall, food abundance and timing of parturition in African
bats. Oecologia, 111, 309–317. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050240

Deleva, S., Toshkova, N., Kolev, M., & Tanalgo, K. C. (2023). Important underground roosts
for bats in Bulgaria: current state and priorities for conservation. Biodiversity Data
Journal, 11, e98734.

Dietz, M., & Kalko, E. K. (2006). Seasonal changes in daily torpor patterns of free-ranging female
and male Daubenton's bats (Myotis daubentonii). Journal of Comparative Physiology B, 176,
223–231. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00360-005-0043-x

Erkert, H. G. (1982). Ecological Aspects of Bat Activity Rhythms. In T. H. Kunz (Ed.), Ecology
of Bats (pp. 201–242). Springer US. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-3421-7_5

Flaquer, C., Torre, I., & Arrizabalaga, A. (2007). Comparison of sampling methods for inventory
of bat communities. Journal of Mammalogy, 88(2), 526–533. https://doi.org/10.1644/06-
MAMM-A-135R1.1

Forsyth, D. M., Scroggie, M. P., & McDonald-Madden, E. (2006). Accuracy and precision of grey-
headed flying-fox (Pteropus poliocephalus) flyout counts. Wildlife Research, 33(1), 57–65.
10.1071/WR05029

Furey, N. M., & Racey, P. A. (2016). Conservation ecology of cave bats. In Bats in the
Anthropocene: Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (pp. 463–500). Springer, Cham.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9_15

Gibb, R., Browning, E., Glover-Kapfer, P., & Jones, K. E. (2019). Emerging opportunities and
challenges for passive acoustics in ecological assessment and monitoring. Methods in Ecology
and Evolution, 10(2), 169–185. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13101

Greville, L. J., Bueno, L. M., Pollock, T., & Faure, P. A. (2022). Quantification of Urinary
Sex Steroids in the Big Brown Bat (Eptesicus fuscus). *Physiological and Biochemical*
Zoology, *95*(1), 22-34.

Günther, L., Lopez, M. D., Knörnschild, M., Reid, K., Nagy, M., & Mayer, F. (2016). From
resource to female defence: The impact of roosting ecology on a bat's mating strategy. Royal
Society Open Science, 3(11), 160503. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.160503

Happold, D.C.D. and Happold, M. (1990), Reproductive strategies of bats in Africa. Journal of
Zoology, 222: 557-583. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1990.tb06014.x

Hartig, F., & Hartig, M. (2022). Package 'DHARMa'. R Package. Available Online:
Https://CRAN. R-Project. Org/Package= DHARMa (Accessed on 5 September 2022).

Henry, M., Thomas, D. W., Vaudry, R., & Carrier, M. (2002). Foraging Distances and Home Range
of Pregnant and Lactating Little Brown Bats (Myotis Lucifugus). Journal of Mammalogy, 83(3),
767–774. https://doi.org/10.1644/1545-1542(2002)083<0767:FDAHRO>2.0.CO;2

Hill, A. P., Prince, P., Snaddon, J. L., Doncaster, C. P., & Rogers, A. (2019). AudioMoth: A low-
cost acoustic device for monitoring biodiversity and the environment. HardwareX, 6, e00073.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2019.e00073

Hughes, A. C. (2017). Understanding the drivers of Southeast Asian biodiversity loss. Ecosphere,
8(1), e01624. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1624

IPBES (2019): Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the
Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. E. S.
Brondizio, J. Settele, S. Díaz, and H. T. Ngo (editors). IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany. 1148
pages. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831673

IUCN, N. and I. (International U. for C. of N. (2023). The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
Version 2022-2. IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. https://www.iucnredlist.org/en

Jenber, A. J., & Wili, G. G. (2021). Insect diversity in wet and dry seasons at hursa forest, central
Ethiopia. International Journal of Entomology Research, 6(4), 59–63.

Jones, G., & Rydell, J. (1994). Foraging strategy and predation risk as factors influencing
emergence time in echolocating bats. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 346(1318), 445–455.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1994.0161

Keeley, B. W., & Tuttle, M. D. (1999). Bats in American bridges. Bat Conservation International
Incorporated.

Koger, B., Hurme, E., Costelloe, B. R., O'Mara, M. T., Wikelski, M., Kays, R., & Dechmann, D.
K. N. (2023). An automated approach for counting groups of flying animals applied to one of
the world's largest bat colonies. Ecosphere, 14(6), e4590. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.4590

Kumar, V., & Umapathy, G. (2019). Non-invasive monitoring of steroid hormones in wildlife
for conservation and management of endangered species-A review. *Indian J Exp Biol*, *57*,
307-314.
Kunz, T. H. (1982). Roosting ecology of bats. In Ecology of bats (pp. 1–55). Springer.
Kunz, T. H., Lumsden, L. F., & Fenton, M. (2003). Ecology of cavity and foliage roosting bats.
Bat Ecology, 1, 3–89. http://doi.org/10.5281
Kurta, A., Bell, G. P., Nagy, K. A., & Kunz, T. H. (1989). Energetics of pregnancy and lactation
in freeranging little brown bats (Myotis lucifugus). Physiological Zoology, 62(3), 804–818.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1992.tb04433.x
Labadie, M., Morand, S., Bourgarel, M., Niama, F. R., Nguilili, F., N'Kaya, T., Caron, A., De Nys,
H., (2025a). PeerJ. Habitat sharing and interspecies interactions in caves used by bats in the
Republic of Congo 13:e18145 https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.18145
Labadie, M., Morand, S., Caron, A., De Nys, H. M., Niama, F. R., Nguilili, F., … Roemer, C.
(2025b). Passive acoustic monitoring of cave-dwelling bats with a sonotype classifier.
Bioacoustics, 1–29. https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2024.2438600
Labadie, M. (2025c). Complete results of acoustic data collected in the Republic of the Congo
(Tadarida classifier). Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15636144
Lewis, S. E. (1995). Roost Fidelity of Bats: A Review. Journal of Mammalogy, 76(2), 481–496.
https://doi.org/10.2307/1382357
Maier, C. (1992). Activity patterns of pipistrelle bats (Pipistrellus pipistrellus) in Oxfordshire.
Journal of Zoology, 228(1), 69–80.
Mariton, L., Le Viol, I., Bas, Y., & Kerbiriou, C. (2023). Characterising diel activity patterns to
design conservation measures: Case study of European bat species. Biological Conservation,
277, 109852. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2022.109852
Mcwilliam, A.N. (1988), The reproductive cycle of male long-fingered bats, Miniopterus minor
(Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae), in a seasonal environment of the African Tropics. Journal of
Zoology, 216: 119-129. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1988.tb02419.x
Medellin, R. A., Wiederholt, R., & Lopez-Hoffman, L. (2017). Conservation relevance of bat caves
for biodiversity and ecosystem services. Biological Conservation, 211, 45–50.
Meierhofer, M. B., Johnson, J. S., Perez-Jimenez, J., Ito, F., Webela, P. W., Wiantoro, S.,
Bernard, E., Tanalgo, K. C., Hughes, A., Cardoso, P., Lilley, T., & Mammola, S. (2024).
Effective conservation of subterranean-roosting bats. Conservation Biology, 38(1),
e14157. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.14157
Mering, E. D., & Chambers, C. L. (2014). Thinking outside the box: A review of artificial roosts
for bats. Wildlife Society Bulletin, 38(4), 741–751. 10.1002/wsb.461
Mickleburgh, S. P., Hutson, A. M., & Racey, P. A. (2002). A review of the global conservation
status of bats. Oryx, 36(1), 18–34. doi:10.1017/S0030605302000054
Millon, L., Julien, J.-F., Julliard, R., & Kerbiriou, C. (2015). Bat activity in intensively farmed
landscapes with wind turbines and offset measures. Ecological Engineering, 75, 250–257.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2014.11.050
Monadjem, A., Taylor, P. J., & Schoeman, M. C. (2020). Bats of southern and central Africa: A
biogeographic and taxonomic synthesis. Wits University Press.
Moutaouakil, S., Souza-Silva, M., Oliveira, L. F., Ghamizi, M., & Ferreira, R. L. (2024). A
cave with remarkably high subterranean diversity in Africa and its significance for
biodiversity conservation. *Subterranean Biology*, *50*, 1-28.
Nkoana, T. T. (2020). Temporal changes in food resource availability between two karst bat
assemblages (Doctoral dissertation, University of Pretoria).
Neuhuber, S., Plan, L., Gier, S., Hintersberger, E., Lachner, J., Scholz, D., Lüthgens, C., Braumann,
S., Bodenlenz, F., & Voit, K. (2020). Numerical age dating of cave sediments to quantify

vertical movement at the Alpine-Carpathian transition in the Plio-and Pleistocene. Geologica Carpathica, 71(6), 539–557. https://doi.org/10.31577/GeolCarp.71.6.5

Okonkwo, E. E., Afoma, E., & Martha, I. W. (2017). Cave Tourism and its Implications to Tourism Development in Nigeria: A Case Study of Agu-Owuru Cave in Ezeagu. International Journal of Research, 3, 16–24. doi:dx.doi.org/10.20431/2455-0043.0303003

Ormsbee, P. C., Kiser, J. D., & Perlmeter, S. I. (2007). Importance of night roosts to the ecology of bats. Bats in Forests: Conservation and Management. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 129–151.

Patriquin, K. J., & Ratcliffe, J. M. (2016). Should I stay or should I go? Fission–fusion dynamics in bats. Sociality in Bats, 65–103. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-38953-0_4

Patterson, B. D., Dick, C. W., & Dittmar, K. (2007). Roosting habits of bats affect their parasitism by bat flies (Diptera: Streblidae). Journal of Tropical Ecology, 23(2), 177–189. doi:10.1017/S0266467406003816

Pretorius, M., Markotter, W. & Keith, M. Assessing the extent of land-use change around important bat-inhabited caves. BMC Zool 6, 31 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40850-021-00095-5

Postawa, T., & Gas, A. (2009). Do the thermal conditions in maternity colony roost determine the size of young bats? Comparison of attic and cave colonies of Myotis myotis in Southern Poland. Folia Zoologica, 58(4), 396.

R Core Team. (2023). R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/

Racey, P. A., & Entwistle, A. C. (2000). Life-history and Reproductive Strategies of Bats. In Reproductive Biology of Bats (pp. 363–414). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012195670-7/50010-2

Revilla-Martín, N., Budinski, I., Puig-Montserrat, X., Flaquer, C., & López-Baucells, A. (2020). Monitoring cave-dwelling bats using remote passive acoustic detectors: A new approach for cave monitoring. Bioacoustics, 00(00), 1–16. https://doi.org/10.1080/09524622.2020.1816492

Roemer, C., Julien, J. F., & Bas, Y. (2021). An automatic classifier of bat sonotypes around the world. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 12(12), 2432–2444. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13721

Russo, D., & Ancillotto, L. (2015). Sensitivity of bats to urbanization: A review. Mammalian Biology, 80(3), 205–212. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2014.10.003

Racey, P.A. & Entwistle, A.C. (2000). Conservation Ecology of Cave Bats. In: Conservation of Tropical Biodiversity. Springer.

Rydell, J. (1993). Variation in Foraging Activity of an Aerial Insectivorous Bat during Reproduction. Journal of Mammalogy, 74(2), 503–509. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382411

Sabol, B. M., & Hudson, M. K. (1995). Technique using thermal infrared-imaging for estimating populations of gray bats. Journal of Mammalogy, 76(4), 1242–1248. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382618

Simmons, N. B., & Cirranello, A. L. (2025). Bat Species of the World: A taxonomic and geographic database. https://batnames.org/query.html

Simons, J. W. (1998). Guano mining in Kenyan lava tunnel caves. International Journal of Speleology, 27, 4. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:56146083

Struebig, M. J., Kingston, T., Zubaid, A., Le Comber, S. C., Mohd-Adnan, A., Turner, A., Kelly, J., Bożek, M., & Rossiter, S. J. (2009). Conservation importance of limestone karst outcrops for Palaeotropical bats in a fragmented landscape. Biological Conservation, 142(10), 2089–2096. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.04.005

Sugai, L. S. M., Desjonquères, C., Silva, T. S. F., & Llusia, D. (2020). A roadmap for survey
designs in terrestrial acoustic monitoring. Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation, 6(3),
220–235. https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.131

Swift, S. M. (1980). Activity patterns of pipistrelle bats (Pipistrellus pipistrellus) in north-east
Scotland. Journal of Zoology, 190(3), 285–295. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
7998.1980.tb01428.x

Swift, S. M. (1997). Roosting and foraging behaviour of Natterer's bats (Myotis nattereri) close to
the northern border of their distribution. Journal of Zoology, 242(2), 375–384.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1997.tb05809.x

Tanalgo, K. C., Oliveira, H. F., & Hughes, A. C. (2022). Mapping global conservation
priorities and habitat vulnerabilities for cave-dwelling bats in a changing world. Science of
The Total Environment, 843, 156909.

Tanalgo, K. C., & Hughes, A. C. (2024). Bat Cave Vulnerability Index 3.0 (BCVI-S): An
integrative and scalable tool to prioritise bat caves for conservation. Global Ecology and
Conservation, 57, e03396. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2024.e03396

The Protection of Bat Roost Guidelines Subcommittee, Sheffield, S. R., Shaw, J. H., Heidt, G. A.,
& McClenaghan, L. R. (1992). Guidelines for the protection of bat roosts. Journal of
Mammalogy, 707–710. https://doi.org/10.2307/1382051

Thieurmel, B., Elmarhraoui, A., & Thieurmel, M. B. (2022). Package 'suncalc'. R Package Version
0.5. https://cran.r-project.org/package=suncalc

Thomas, D. W., & West, S. D. (1989). Sampling methods for bats. U.S. Department of Agriculture,
Forest Service, Pacific Northwest Research Station. https://doi.org/10.2737/pnw-gtr-243

Voigt, C. C., & Schwarzenberger, F. (2008). Reproductive endocrinology of a small tropical
bat (female Saccopteryx bilineata; Emballonuridae) monitored by fecal hormone
metabolites. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *89*(1), 50-57.

Westcott, D. A., & McKeown, A. (2004). Observer error in exit counts of flying-foxes (Pteropus
spp.). Wildlife Research, 31(5), 551–558. 10.1071/WR03091

Whiting, J. C., Doering, B., Aho, K., & Rich, J. (2021). Long-term patterns of cave-exiting activity
of hibernating bats in western North America. Scientific Reports, 11(1), 8175.

Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. Springer-Verlag New York.
https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org

Wilkinson, G. S., Adams, D. M., & Rayner, J. G. (2024). Sex, season, age and status
influence urinary steroid hormone profiles in an extremely polygynous neotropical
bat. *Hormones and Behavior*, *164*, 105606.

Wolda, H. (1988). Insect seasonality: Why? Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 19(1), 1–
18. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.es.19.110188.000245

Wolkovich, E. M., Cook, B. I., McLauchlan, K. K., & Davies, T. J. (2014). Temporal ecology in
the Anthropocene. Ecology Letters, 17(11), 1365–1379. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12353

Wright, G. S. (2009). Hipposideros caffer (Chiroptera: Hipposideridae). Mammalian Species, 845,
1–9. https://doi.org/10.1644/845.1.Key