A network of coiled-coil and actin-like proteins controls the cellular organization of

magnetosome organelles in deep-branching magnetotactic bacteria

Virginia V. Russell[1], Anthony T. Iavarone[2], Ertan Ozyamak[1,3], Carly Grant[1,4] and Arash Komeili[1]

[1] Plant and Microbiology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA.

[2] QB3/Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley,

California, USA.

[3] Current affiliation: Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA

[4] Current affiliation: Entrepreneurship Program, UCSF Rosenman Institute, San Francisco,

California, USA

Abstract

Magnetotactic Bacteria (MTB) are a diverse group of microorganisms that use magnetosomes,

organelles composed of magnetite or greigite, to navigate along geomagnetic fields. While MTB

span several phyla and exhibit diverse phenotypes, magnetosome formation has been

mechanistically studied in only two species of *Alphaproteobacteria*. Here, we use *Desulfovibrio*

magneticus RS-1 to uncover the mechanisms behind tooth-shaped magnetosome assembly in

deep-branching MTB. Our findings show that magnetic particles in RS-1 initially form randomly

within the cell before localizing to the positive cell curvature. Genetic and proteomic analyses

indicate that early biomineralization involves membrane-associated proteins found in all MTB,

while later stages depend on coiled-coil (Mad20, 23, 25, and 26) and actin-like (MamK and

Mad28) proteins, most of which are unique to deep-branching MTB. These findings suggest that

while biomineralization originates from a common ancestor, magnetosome chain organization

has distinct evolutionarily origins among different MTB lineages.

Introduction

Magnetotactic Bacteria (MTB) are a diverse group of microorganisms that navigate

along geomagnetic fields to locate low-oxygen environments in a process termed magneto-

aerotaxis. This ability relies on magnetosomes—specialized organelles containing magnetic

crystals of magnetite (Fe_3O_4) or greigite (Fe_3S_4) 50-70 nm in diameter, enclosed by a lipid

bilayer membrane with a unique set of proteins[1,2]. Depending on the species, magnetosomes

form single or multiple chains, that allow for alignment with external fields[3]. These features have

made MTB models for studying bacterial organelle formation, biomineralization, and the global

geochemical cycling of iron[4]. MTB have also been deployed as potential vehicles for a variety of

biotechnological applications[5]. A key bottleneck in the study of MTB has been the limited

number of model organisms that sufficiently represent the mechanistic diversity and evolution of

magnetosome formation.

MTB are a polyphyletic group of microorganisms that belong to *Alphaproteobacteria*,

Gammaproteobacteria, *Candidatus Etaproteobacteria* classes in the *Pseudomonadota* phylum,

and the phyla *Desulfobacterota*, *Nitrospirota, Candidatus Omnitrophota*, and *Elusimicrobiota*

(Figure 1B). Metagenomic studies suggest MTB may also exist in the *Latescibacteria*,

Planctomycetes, *Nitrospinota*, *Fibrobacterota*, *Riflebacteria*, *Hydrogendentota*, *Myxococcota*,

Bdellovibrionota phyla and possibly more[2,6]. MTB exhibit diverse phenotypes, including

variations in crystal shape, chain organization, and magnetosome composition[3]. Phylogeny

correlates with some of these features; for instance, *Alphaproteobacteria* produce

cubooctahedral and prismatic magnetite crystals, while *Desulfobacterota* and other deep-

branching MTB (*Nitrospirota*, *Omnitrophota*, *Elusimicrobiota*) form irregular tooth-shaped

magnetite crystals thought to represent the ancestral magnetosome form (Figure 1C)[3].

Despite the vast diversity of MTB, most mechanistic studies have focused on two

Alphaproteobacterial species, *Magnetospirillum magneticum* AMB-1 and *Magnetospirillum*

gryphiswaldense MSR-1, which contain a conserved magnetosome gene cluster (MGC). The

MGC spans ~100 kilobases and includes 50-100 genes, with 29 necessary and sufficient for

magnetosome formation[7]. Depending on the accounting, only 5-9 core genes (*mamA*, *B*, *E*, I, K,

M, O, P and *Q*) are shared across all MTB[8,9]. However, many genes critical for magnetosome

formation in *Alphaproteobacteria* are absent in other MTB. In turn, group-specific genes are

found in the MGCs of other MTB. For instance, *mad* genes, originally named for '**m**agnetosome-

associated **D**eltaproteobacteria,' are present in all deep-branching MTB. Similarly, *man*

(**m**agnetosome-**a**ssociated **N**itrospirota) genes are found in *Nitrospirota*, and *mae*

(**ma**gnetosome **E**lusimicrobiota) genes are found in *Elusimicrobiota*[8]. Since few non-

Alphaproteobacterial MTB are cultured, and most lack genetic systems, mechanisms of *mad*

gene function and irregular tooth-shaped magnetosome formation have remained elusive. This

is a critical barrier in the study of MTB as tooth-shaped magnetosomes are common in nature[3],

yet their biomineralization mechanisms remain unknown.

The most promising model for deep-branching MTB is *Desulfovibrio magneticus* RS-1, a

sulfate-reducing obligate anaerobe from the *Desulfobacterota* phylum (originally grouped within

Deltaproteobacteria) [10,11]. RS-1 synthesizes a single chain of irregular tooth-shaped magnetite

magnetosomes, organized into subchains of contiguous crystals separated by gaps along the

positive cell curvature (Figure 2C-*ii* and 2G). It is one of the few cultured non-

Alphaproteobacterial MTB with a sequenced genome and the only deep-branching strain with

tools for heterologous gene expression and genome editing[12–14]. Previous studies of RS-1 have

highlighted several features distinguishing it from Alphaproteobacterial models. First, RS-1

produces tooth-shaped crystals. Second, unlike *Alphaproteobacteria*, mature RS-1 crystals

appear to lack a lipid membrane[15], though its MGC contains proteins with predicted

transmembrane domains, many of which are homologs of well-studied Mam proteins. Genetic

studies show mutations in these genes disrupt magnetite formation, implying the involvement of

a magnetosome membrane at some stage[12]. Finally, RS-1, like all deep-branching MTB,

contains a suite of *mad* genes. One conspicuous feature of many Mad proteins is that they are

predicted to contain one or multiple coiled-coil segments. These domains typically mediate

homo- and hetero-polymeric interactions allowing for assembly of simple dimers to complex

multimeric structures. In bacteria, proteins with coiled-coil domains are involved in organization

and segregation of chromosomes, assembly and function of the type III secretion system, and

formation of intermediate-like filaments that influence cell shape[16–19]. Bioinformatic analyses of

deep-branching MTB have proposed roles in biomineralization, protein sorting, and chain

organization for coiled-coil-domain containing Mad proteins[9]. However, direct experimental

evidence of their function has remained mysterious.

Here, we comprehensively characterize the RS-1 biomineralization process to develop a

deeper understanding of the mechanisms and evolution of magnetosome formation in deep-

branching MTB. Using a conditional biomineralization assay combined with genetics and

proteomics, we define specific steps in magnetic particle growth and identify specific factors

associated with the progression of biomineralization and magnetosome chain formation. We find

that early steps of biomineralization utilize proteins enriched in transmembrane domains

including some encoded by *mam* genes common to all MTB. In contrast, localization,

organization, and positioning of the magnetosome chain rely on the suite of coiled-coil domain-

containing Mad proteins as well as the actin-like proteins, MamK and Mad28. These findings

indicate a complex evolutionary history where iron biomineralization is an ancestral feature of all

MTB while chain formation follows an independent and group-specific path.

Results

Hydrogen inhibition of magnetosome synthesis

Our first goal was to develop a robust system to visualize the steps of magnetosome

formation in RS-1. In other MTB, magnetite is not formed under iron-limiting conditions. Addition

of iron then triggers synchronized magnetosome chain development[20]. However, transitioning

from iron-limited to iron-replete conditions in RS-1 leads to the accumulation of ferrosomes[15] —

organelles involved in storage and detoxification of iron[21,22]. The abundance and appearance of

ferrosomes in electron microscopy obscure magnetosomes, making it impossible to visualize

the early stages of magnetite biomineralization. To overcome this limitation, we sought an

alternative method of magnetosome inhibition that avoids iron starvation.

Serendipitously, we discovered that growth in hydrogen instead of nitrogen headspace

significantly reduces RS-1's magnetic response (measured as the coefficient of magnetism

(C_{mag})[23]) (Figure 2A). A trace C_{mag} at high hydrogen concentrations suggested the need for

hydrogen exposure throughout the culture medium. To test this, we flushed both the headspace

and medium with 5% hydrogen and grew cells while spinning. Under these conditions, cultures

showed no magnetic response as indicated by a C_{mag} of 1 (Figure 2B), and absence of

magnetosomes when viewed by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Figure 2C).

One explanation for these observations is that hydrogen inhibits RS-1 growth, indirectly

preventing magnetosome formation. However, growth measurements showed similar final cell

densities in hydrogen and nitrogen (Figure 2D), with hydrogen-grown cells exhibiting faster

doubling times (6 vs. 8 hours) (Figure 2D). Another possibility is that hydrogen limits iron

uptake, restricting magnetosome production. To test this, we used the post-iron-starvation

accumulation of ferrosomes as a proxy for iron uptake. Cells were grown in hydrogen and iron-

limiting conditions and subsequently exposed to iron with either a nitrogen or hydrogen

headspace. Ferrosomes were formed in both nitrogen and hydrogen (Figure 2E *iii* and *iv*), but

magnetosomes formed only in nitrogen conditions (Figure 2E-*iv*). This indicates hydrogen does

not inhibit iron uptake. Finally, we showed that hydrogen growth does not have a significant

impact on the global expression of magnetosome proteins as compared to nitrogen growth

conditions. Thus, the mechanisms for inhibition of biomineralization under hydrogen growth

conditions remain unresolved (See extended results).

Characteristics of early and late stages of biomineralization

We next hypothesized that switching from hydrogen to nitrogen conditions under iron

replete conditions could restore magnetosome formation without ferrosome interference. To test

this, RS-1 was grown with 10% hydrogen to eliminate magnetosomes, then transferred to

nitrogen infused medium. As predicted, magnetic response increased over time (Figure 2F), no

ferrosomes were formed, and only magnetosomes were observed (Figure 2G *i-iii*). This

transition provides a reliable method to synchronize magnetosome formation and study

biomineralization.

To capture early stages of biomineralization, RS-1 was incubated shaking in hydrogen-

infused medium for several passages to eliminate existing magnetosomes (Figure 2B-*i*). Cells

were then transferred to nitrogen-infused medium and harvested once a measurable magnetic

response (C_{mag} 1.05-1.15) was detected. Early timepoints in biomineralization showed an

average of 3 magnetosomes per cell (Figure 3A, 3C). Many cells contained both mature and

immature crystals (Figure 3A-*ii* and *iv*). The median crystal length was 38 nm, with a bimodal

distribution indicating both immature and mature crystals present (Figure 3D). Similarly, the

shape factor (width-to-length ratio) followed a bimodal pattern, with peaks around 1 for

immature and 2 for mature crystals (Figure 3E). During the late stages of biomineralization (C_{mag}

1.3-1.5), cells contained complete magnetosome chains composed of smaller subchains (Figure

3B), averaging 12 crystals per cell (Figure 3C), all localized to the positive cell curvature. The

average crystal length was 51 nm with a shape factor of 1.7, both fitting a normal distribution

due to presence of fewer immature crystals (Figure 3D, 3E). These findings point to a crystal

growth pattern similar to that proposed for other deep-branching MTB[24]. RS-1 crystals nucleate

and grow equidimensionally to approximately 30 nm before elongating anisotropically at one

end to produce a tooth-shaped morphology (Figure 3G). High-magnification TEM images

revealed two mature magnetosome morphologies: curved and straight which may indicate

divergent paths of crystal growth during the elongation step (Figure 3F v to 3F x). However,

additional analysis is required to confirm whether these are true characteristics of the 3D

crystals, since the images in this study capture only 2D projections.

While observing biomineralization stages, we discovered that subcellular magnetosome

location correlates with crystal maturation. In early stages, nearly all mature crystals are

positioned at the positive cell curvature, where magnetosome chains typically form, but only

about 25% of immature crystals are located there (Figure 3H, 3I).

Distinct proteomes differentiate early- and late-stage magnetosomes

We next investigated if specific cohorts of proteins are associated with distinct

biomineralization stages. Cells from early and late stages were harvested and lysed, and

magnetosomes were isolated using a magnetic column. Proteomic analysis of cell lysates and

magnetosome fractions via liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry focused on RS-1 MGC-

encoded proteins enriched at each stage. Early-stage magnetosomes were enriched for Mad10,

MamB, MamA, Mad4, FmpA, FmpB, and MamEO-Cter (Figure 3J), many of which contain

predicted transmembrane domains (Supplemental Figure S1 A). Late-stage magnetosomes

were enriched for Mad20, Mad23, Mad28, and MamK, all of which lack transmembrane

domains (Supplemental Figure S1 A). The actin-like proteins, Mad28 and MamK (Supplemental

Figure S1 A), may have roles in chain alignment and organization based on previous work in

Alphaproteobacterial MTB[25]. These results suggest a shift in membrane association and chain

organization from early to late stages.

Early magnetosome proteins regulate biomineralization activity

179   We next turned to genetics to provide functional evidence for stage-specific action of

180   magnetosome proteins. Previous studies using chemical and UV mutagenesis identified RS-1

181   mutants with severe biomineralization defects, including several alleles of *mamB* that lack a

182   magnetic response and do not produce crystals[12]. This phenotype corroborates our finding that

183   MamB preferentially associates with early-stage magnetosomes (Figure 3J).

184   In contrast to *mamB*, mutants in *fmpA* and *fmpB* can still produce some magnetic

particles[12]. FmpA has a transmembrane domain, whereas FmpB contains a signal peptide. Both

proteins share serine protease and denitrogenase Fe-Mo domains and are enriched on

magnetosomes in the early stages of biomineralization (Supplemental Figure S1 A and Figure

3J). Interestingly, the mutations in both *fmpA* and *fmpB* are predicted to eliminate their

respective denitrogenase Fe-Mo domains (Figure 4 and Supplemental Figure S1 A). Detailed

TEM analysis revealed distinct defects for each strain: *fmpA* mutants produce misshaped

circular or symmetrical crystals (Figure 4A, G and Supplemental Figure S2-A), while *fmpB*

mutants form smaller wildtype-like (WT-like) crystals, some of which are misshaped (Figure 4B,

H and Supplemental Figure S2-B). Consistent with previous findings[12], both mutants exhibit

significantly fewer crystals per cell, smaller crystal sizes, and a reduced shape factors as

compared to WT (Figure 4D, 4E, and 4F).

196   In addition to the defects in crystal shape and size, *fmpA* and *fmpB* mutants exhibited

abnormal crystal placement with most crystals not localized to the cell's positive curvature

(Figure 4J). The crystals of the *fmpA* mutant also fail to form chains, while only 5% of *fmpB*

mutant cells show chain organization (Figure 4I). These findings suggest FmpA and FmpB are

crucial for early magnetosome synthesis and indicate that biomineralization likely initiates away

from the positive curvature. We propose that crystal nucleation occurs throughout the cell and

crystals are later transported to the positive curvature during the maturation process. Without

FmpA and FmpB, crystals could remain "stuck" at nucleation sites, blocking their relocation and

preventing new crystal formation, resulting in fewer crystals in mutant cells (Figure 4D).

Initiation of chain formation by Mad10

Previous studies identified Mad10 as a magnetite-binding protein[26,27] and hypothesized a

role in magnetite nucleation or shape control. We find that Mad10 has the highest abundance

ratio among MGC proteins at the magnetosome compared to the cell lysate under all conditions

(Supplemental Figure S3 A-C). To investigate its function, we deleted *mad10* in RS-1 via allelic

exchange. The Δ*mad10* mutant shows a significantly reduced magnetic response, which is

restored by plasmid-based *mad10* expression (Supplemental Figure S4 B and C). Despite the

low C_{mag}, however, crystal size and shape remain unchanged and, instead, magnetosomes

cluster in a single location within the cell (Figure 5A, Supplemental Figure S4 I and

Supplemental Figure S5 A). Crystal numbers also vary widely per cell (Supplemental Figure S4

D), likely due to unequal distribution during cell division. These findings indicate that Mad10 is

essential for magnetosome chain organization but not for crystal size or shape regulation

(Figure 5A).

A module of Mad proteins assembles the magnetosome subchain

We next targeted genes encoding proteins more abundant in late stages of

biomineralization (Figure 3J) and neighboring genes potentially belonging to the same operon

(Figure 1A). All deletions were successfully complemented via plasmid-based expression

(Supplemental Figures S4 C, Supplemental Figure S6 A-G). Mutants exhibited a range of chain

formation defects which were quantified based on chain placement, magnetosome distribution,

and chain/subchain phenotypes (Supplemental Figure S7 F-I).

This analysis identified Mad20, Mad23, Mad25, and Mad26 as a module for

magnetosome subchain assembly in RS-1. The Δ*mad23* mutant produces the same number of

magnetosomes per cell as the WT but they appear dispersed throughout the cell (Figure 5C and

Supplemental Figure S4 D). This mutant also has the fewest crystals per subchain among the

chain organization mutants and WT resulting in the lowest C_{mag} amongst these strains (Figure

5C, Supplemental Figure S4 B, Supplemental Figure S4 E, and Supplemental Figure S5 C).

These results suggest that Mad23 connects individual magnetosomes to form subchains. In

contrast, the Δ*mad20* mutant forms distinct subchains, some linear, and others in the form of

rings, clusters, or curved lines (Figure 5B and Supplemental Figure S5 B). It has fewer

subchains than WT (Supplemental Figure S4 F and Supplemental S5 B), but each contains

more magnetosomes (Supplemental Figure S4 E). This suggests Mad20 regulates crystal influx

into subchains; without it, excess crystals cause curling and distortion (Figure 5B and

Supplemental Figure S5 B). Δ*mad25* and Δ*mad26* mutants show similar phenotypes (Figure 5D-

E and Supplemental Figure S5 D-E), with crystal and subchain numbers comparable to WT

(Supplemental Figure S4 D and F). However, most subchains cluster or form rings, especially in

Δ*mad26*, where ~80% cluster and 15% form rings (Supplemental Figure S7 E). These findings

indicate Mad25 and Mad26 are essential for maintaining subchain linearity.

Overall, these mutants reveal a variety of defects in magnetosome chain assembly and

localization in RS-1. Strikingly, many of the mutants exhibit clusters, rings, or curved subchains

within the same cell hinting at a lack of long-range connections between these structures. As

such, these data suggest that subchains are a fundamental structural unit of chain architecture

in RS-1.

MamK and Mad28 control subchain separation and chain localization

Mad28 and MamK were selected for genetic analysis due to their higher abundance in

late-stage magnetosomes (Figure 3J) and their actin-like domains (Supplemental Figures S1 A

and S8). *mamK* is present in nearly all MTB and is well-studied in AMB-1 and MSR-1[28–30]. In

AMB-1, *mamK* deletion disrupts chain cohesion[29], creating gaps that affect equal distribution

during cell division[31]. In MSR-1, *mamK* deletion results in mislocalized chains, mostly away from

the mid-cell[32]. Similarly, in RS-1, we find that MamK plays a role in chain organization. Deletion

of *mamK* eliminated the subchain phenotype (Figure 5G, Supplemental Figure S4 F, and

Supplemental Figure S5 F), leading to a single continuous chain, which sometimes wrapped

around itself, resembling multiple chains. These mutants also had more crystals per cell

compared to WT (Figure 5N, Supplemental Figure S4 D).

RS-1, and all deep-branching MTB, contain another magnetosome gene, *mad28*, that

encodes a protein with an actin-like domain previously suggested to have an overlapping

function with MamK[9,25](Supplemental Figure S1 A). Surprisingly, deleting *mad28* in RS-1

resulted in a phenotype distinct from that of the Δ*mamK*. In Δ*mad28*, WT-like subchain

organization was retained. However, chains were no longer exclusively localized to the positive

cell curvature and were found pole-to-pole across the long axis at mid-cell, as well as organized

diagonally or transversely across the cell (Figure 5F, 5J, 5K and Supplemental Figure S5 G).

To further distinguish between the Δ*mad28* and Δ*mamK* strains, we examined chain

formation in a biomineralization time-course experiment. In Δ*mad28*, chain assembly followed

the WT pattern, with a single mature crystal forming first, followed by subchain development and

crystal incorporation (Figure 5 I-K). However, chains were mispositioned from the start, forming

pole-to-pole or diagonal/transverse orientations instead of localizing to the positive cell

curvature as in WT (Figure 5 J-K). In contrast, Δ*mamK* exhibited a distinct chain formation

pattern. Early biomineralization resembled WT, but as crystals matured, subchains failed to

develop, resulting in a single continuous chain (Figure 5L). Δ*mamK* mutants also had more

magnetosomes per cell and a higher C_{mag} than WT at both biomineralization stages (Figure 5N,

5M, Supplemental Figure S4 B and D), a phenotype not observed in *mamK* mutants of AMB-1

and MSR-1[29,32]. These findings suggest that Mad28 aligns magnetosomes to the positive

curvature early in chain formation, while MamK distributes subchains along the cell length,

ensuring proper alignment and potentially regulating magnetosome production.

Interaction Network of chain organization proteins

Bioinformatic analysis shows that Mad10, 20, 23, 25, and 26 contain coiled-coil domains

which can facilitate a variety of protein-protein interactions[19] (Supplemental Figure S1 A, S8 C-

E). Mad23 also has HEAT repeat domains, known for similar functions (Supplemental Figure S1

A and S8 B). Additionally, MamK and Mad28 can form homo- and heteropolymers[25]. Thus, we

hypothesized that these chain formation proteins may interact with one another. To explore

potential interactions, we conducted Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH) assays[33]

(Figure 6). We created N- and C-terminal fusions to T25 and T18 domains for each protein and

tested all interaction combinations (Figure 6 and Supplemental Figure S9). Interactions were

classified based on color intensity: dark blue spots as strong (+++), medium blue as moderate

(++), and light blue as minor (+) (Figure 6). Our results reveal an extensive and complex

network of potential interactions. All proteins exhibited self-interactions in at least one fusion

combination. Mad25 was the only protein that interacted with all other proteins tested, while

showing no interaction with the T18 and T25 negative controls (Figure 6, Supplemental Figure

S9). Mad20, Mad23, Mad26, and MamK each interacted with three or more proteins. Mad10

interacted with itself, Mad23, and Mad25 (Figure 6). Mad28 showed an interaction only with

Mad25. Among these, Mad25 and Mad26, both containing extensive coiled-coil domains,

displayed a particularly strong interaction. Likewise, Mad25 and Mad23 exhibited a strong

interaction, likely driven by the coiled-coil domain of Mad25 and the HEAT domain of Mad23

(Supplemental Figure S1A, S8B, and S8D). Despite the artificial nature of the BACTH assay,

these findings provide further evidence for the existence of a distinct chain organization pathway

in deep-branching MTB, dependent on the interactions of coiled-coil and actin-like proteins.

A model for magnetosome formation in RS-1

The phenotypic and molecular data presented here suggest a new model for the temporal development of magnetosome chains in RS-1 with the following steps (Figure 7): **Step 1: Nucleation and growth.** Biomineralization initiates at randomly distributed sites within the cell. Mutations in *fmpA* and *fmpB* impair crystal maturation, reduce crystal numbers, and disrupt localization to the positive curvature, linking biomineralization to chain formation. **Step 2: Crystal maturation and localization.** As crystals mature, they are transported to the cell's positive curvature. Mad10, previously shown to interact with magnetite[26], may facilitate this transition. Bacterial two-hybrid results suggest an assembly pathway where Mad10 interacts with Mad23 and Mad25 which mediate subsequent steps. **Step 3: Subchain assembly.** Initially, one or two mature crystals appear at the positive curvature. Mad23, in conjunction of Mad25 and Mad26, links accumulating crystals to form and stabilize subchains. **Step 4: Chain elongation and positioning.** In parallel to the previous steps, biomineralization sites are distributed along the cell length by MamK, while Mad28 ensures the localization of subchains to the positive curvature. Interestingly, RS-1 MamK and the deep-branching Mad28 can partially complement *mamK* loss in MSR-1[25]. However, our findings suggest that Mad28 mainly controls chain localization, while MamK orchestrates subchain positioning and the number of biomineralization events.

Discussion

      Magnetotactic bacteria and their magnetosome organelles present an ideal test case to uncover the mechanisms that underly the diversification of specific microbial traits. In recent years, an explosion of genomic and metagenomic studies have revealed that a core magnetosome gene set is often associated with accessory genes that are unique to specific phylogenetic families of MTB. Bioinformatic and structural prediction programs, as well as heterologous expression of these genes in established model systems, have not been successful in determining their role in magnetosome formation. Here, we deployed a new workflow to monitor the process of biomineralization in *D. magneticus* RS-1, a representative of deep-branching MTB, to reveal a distinct mode of organelle assembly mediated by a suite of accessory Mad proteins.

      Several features of the RS-1 biomineralization and magnetosome chain formation process distinguish it from the model Alphaproteobacterial MTB. First, in RS-1 crystals are made serially, one or a few at a time. AMB-1 and MSR-1, however, produce multiple crystals in parallel[34,35]. Second, biomineralization is a pre-requisite for localization of crystals to the positive

cell curvature in RS-1. In contrast, in AMB-1 magnetosome membranes are localized to the

positive cell curvature even prior to the initiation of biomineralization[34]. And, while magnetic

interactions play a role in chain assembly in MSR-1, mutants with severe biomineralization

defects are still able to localize magnetosomes to the positive cell curvature. Third, we notice a

shift in the RS-1 magnetosome proteome across the stages of biomineralization. Since

proteomic studies in AMB-1 and MSR-1 have been conducted at fixed timepoints, the

quantitative shifts in their proteomes across biomineralization timepoints remain unknown. The

closest parallels to our work with RS-1 come from a study in AMB-1 that separated

magnetosomes based on their magnetic properties leading to the identification of MamY as a

protein associated with smaller magnetite particles[36]. Additionally, proteomic comparisons of

WT AMB-1 and a mutant lacking MamE protease activity show that despite a severe

biomineralization defect the magnetosome protein content was essentially the same between

the two strains[37]. An alternative to proteomics has been to visualize the localization of

fluorescently tagged proteins in AMB-1 and MSR-1. While most proteins examined maintain a

constant magnetosome localization, a handful of proteins, such as Mms6, MamD, and McaB,

only localize to magnetosomes of AMB-1 after the initiation of biomineralization[38–40]. However,

even these proteins seem to be at magnetosomes from the earliest stages of magnetite

formation in clear contrast to the shifting proteome of RS-1 magnetosomes during the

biomineralization process. Based on these circumstantial observations, we hypothesize that a

similar proteomic transition does not occur in the Alphaproteobacterial MTB.

Notably, many of the proteins associated with the early biomineralization stages in RS-1

contain predicted transmembrane domains indicating the presence of a magnetosome

membrane (Supplemental Figure S1 A). Indeed, previous chemical and UV mutagenesis of RS-

1 identified transmembrane-domain-containing proteins such as MamB, MamL, MamQ, Mad2,

Mad4,and FmpA as critical players in the early stages of biomineralization[12]. Magnetosome

proteins enriched in later stages of RS-1 biomineralization do not contain predicted

transmembrane domains and are instead enriched for coiled-coil and actin-like domains

(Supplemental Figure S1 A). A global examination of the domain architecture of magnetosome

proteins provides further evidence for the potentially distinct role of the magnetosome

membrane in each MTB group. For instance, 65% of AMB-1 magnetosome proteins, but only

39% RS-1's, are predicted to contain transmembrane domains (Supplemental Figure S10 A-B).

However, approximately ~26% (10 proteins) of RS-1 magnetosome proteins contain predicted

coiled-coil domains, in contrast to ~12% (6 proteins) of AMB-1's magnetosome proteins

(Supplemental Figure S10 C and D). In fact, all of AMB-1's coiled-coil-domain-containing

magnetosome proteins, and none of RS-1's, are predicted to contain transmembrane domains

(Supplemental Figure S10). This is consistent with previous findings that noted an absence of a

magnetosome membrane around mature crystals in RS-1[15]. However, it is also possible that

these late proteins are interacting with cytoplasmic domains of transmembrane domain proteins

embedded in a magnetosome membrane that is hard to detect. In contrast, lipid-bilayer

membranes surrounding mature magnetic particles can be readily observed in a variety of

Alphaproteobacterial MTB[34,35,41].

Our genetic analysis of several *mad* genes, along with *mamK*, revealed a variety of

chain formation defects. Based on the observed phenotypes and bacterial two-hybrid data, we

hypothesize that these Mad proteins promote a series of homo- and/or hetero-polymeric

reactions to assemble individual magnetic particles into subchains and separate subchains

across the long axis of the cell. Notably, other than MamK, all known magnetosome chain

formation factors of AMB-1 and MSR-1 (MamJ, MamY, LimJ, MamK-like, McaA, McaB, and

MamF and its homologs) are absent in RS-1[28,40,42–45]. Similarly, MTB of the deep-branching

Elusimicrobiota phylum members which do not form organized magnetosome chains are

missing MamK as well as the chain formation Mad proteins. While genome incompleteness

should be considered, the two *Elusimicrobiota* genomes show completeness levels of 75.84%

and 94.38% in GTDB[8,46]. Therefore, we suggest that these coiled-coil proteins along with the

actin-like MamK and Mad28, represent a mechanistic mode of chain organization exclusive to

deep-branching MTB.

Although the specific proteins involved in chain organization in RS-1 differ from those of

Alphaproteobacterial MTB, similar deletion phenotypes can be observed across these distantly

related species. For example, deletion of *mad10* in RS-1 produces a phenotype mirroring that of

mamJ deletion in MSR-1 and the triple deletion missing *mamJ, limJ,* and *mcaA* in AMB-1[40]—all

resulting in magnetosome clustering. Similar to Mad10, MamJ was originally hypothesized to be

involved in biomineralization due to the presence of a highly acidic domain[35]. However, this

domain is not involved in chain organization activity of MamJ and its ability to bind magnetite is

unknown[47]. Other mutants also carry superficial phenotypic similarity between RS-1 and AMB-

1/MSR-1. For instance, deletion of *mamK* in RS-1 and the loss of *mcaA/mcaB* in AMB-1 lead to

loss of subchains in both organisms. The scattered magnetosomes of Δ*mad23* mutant of RS-1

also resemble the chain formation defects of the Δ*F3* mutant of MSR-1[42]. These findings

indicate that non-homologous proteins may fulfill analogous roles in different MTB groups. They

also suggest that disruptions in the organization of a chain of magnetic particles can lead to

similar final outcomes.

Collectively, our findings shed further light on the evolution and diversification of

magnetosomes. Nearly all MTB share genes involved in membrane formation (*mamQ*), iron

transport (*mamB*), and chain organization (*mamK*), likely forming the foundations of an ancient

iron-accumulating organelle. Diverse magnetosome phenotypes, such as crystal shape

variations, may have emerged through addition of subgroup-specific proteins to this core

system. In our model, Alphaproteobacterial MTB developed a system to align magnetosome

membranes into chains using Mam proteins, while RS-1 and other deep-branching MTB

evolved to organize biominerals into chains using Mad proteins. This evolutionarily distinct

solution may have arisen to combat the previously described conflict between

magnetocrystalline orientation of tooth-shaped magnetosomes and their function as a

navigational tool[48,49].

Methods

Multiple-sequence alignments and tree construction

Dataset was designed by searching IMG/MER[50] for all known MTB species with

homologs for all five of the core *mam* genes selected: *mamA*, *mamB*, *mamE, mamI* and *mamQ.*

Next, the dataset was refined to encompass only species with available genomes and

accessible TEM images, enabling the identification of magnetosome gene sets (*mam*, *mms*,

mad, *man* and *mae*) for each species, along with their respective crystal shapes and

compositions. Supplemental Table 1 is a list of all species included in designing the

phylogenetic tree for this analysis. Once the dataset was complete, the 16S DNA sequences for

each species were obtained from NCBI or IMG-M [50] (Supplemental Table 1). Sequences were

aligned using MAFFT version 7.490 using the scoring matrix 1PAM/ k=2 [51]. Next, the aligned

sequences were used to generate the maximum likelihood tree using PhyMLTree version

3.3.20180621 using GTR substitution model with 1000 bootstraps [52]. Additionally, the tree was

rooted with *Omnitrophus magneticus* SKK-01.

General Culturing for RS-1

Desulfovibrio magneticus RS-1 strains were grown at 30°C anaerobically in RS-1 growth

medium (RGM), as described previously[12,15]. For growth with hydrogen, the medium was

gassed with 10% hydrogen balanced with Nitrogen prior to autoclaving the medium.

Additionally, after inoculating, the headspace was re-gassed with the same concentration of

hydrogen/nitrogen gas mixture for 10 minutes. All hydrogen cultures were grown spinning on a

wheel in the 30°C incubator. Cultures with nitrogen in the headspace that were used as controls

for these hydrogen cultures were grown on a wheel in the incubator. All other nitrogen cultures

were grown without shaking.

For experiments with different concentrations of hydrogen (Figure 2A), RS-1 WT strain

was grown at 30°C anaerobically in RS-1 growth medium (RGM), as described above. Initially,

all culture tubes were purged with nitrogen gas. Subsequently, prior to inoculation, varying

volumes of nitrogen from the headspace were displaced and substituted with an equivalent

volume of 100% hydrogen gas, aiming to achieve distinct concentrations of hydrogen in the

headspace. Afterwards, RS-1 was inoculated into the culture tubes. Optical density and

magnetic response via C_{mag} was monitored throughout growth as previously described [12] using

a Spectronic 20D+ Spectrophotometer.

Culturing for proteomics

Cultures for hydrogen vs. nitrogen consisted of 350 mL cultures with 2/3 volume of

headspace, which were prepared by gassing either with nitrogen or 10% hydrogen and grown

by shaking at room temperature. At late exponential phase, cultures were harvested by

centrifugation at 8,000 rpm using the Beckman Model J2-21M/E centrifuge. Cell pellets were

stored at -80°C until lysis and preparation for proteomic analysis. To examine different stages of

biomineralization, 300 mL initial cultures were grown with hydrogen in the headspace to remove

all magnetosomes. C_{mag} was measured as described previously[12] and TEM images were taken

of initial cultures to ensure no magnetosomes were present. Then 10 1L bottles of RGM-X

medium were prepared by infusing the medium with nitrogen and gassing the headspace with

nitrogen. Each of the 3 biological replicates consisted of 10 1L bottles, which were inoculated

with the same starting culture. Three bottles were used for late biomineralization, which were

inoculated with 10 mL of starting culture, and seven bottles were used for early

biomineralization samples, which were inoculated with 20 mL of the starting culture. The

cultures were grown in a 30°C incubator and OD and C_{mag} were taken 2X daily. When cultures

reached a C_{mag} of 1.05-1.15 seven of the bottles were harvested for early biomineralization

samples by centrifugation at 8,000 RPM. Subsequently, when the final 3 bottles had a C_{mag}

between 1.30-1.5 they were harvested for late stages of biomineralization samples also by

centrifugation at 8,000 RPM. Cell pellets were stored at -80°C until it was time to prepare

samples for proteomics. Each biological replicate originated from a single colony, which was

inoculated into 200 mL pre-culture bottles grown with hydrogen. Each 200 mL pre-culture was

subsequently used to inoculate a set of ten 1L bottles, resulting in three 10L cultures derived

from three separate colonies.

Collecting proteins associated with magnetosomes using a magnetic column

478   After harvesting cultures, cell pellets were resuspended in buffer A (25 mM Tris, pH 7.0,

100 mM sucrose, 1 µg/mL Leupeptin, 1 µg/mL Pepstatin A, and 1 mM PMSF. Cells were lysed

three times at 25 kpi using the Single Shot feature of the Multi Cycle (MC) Cell Disruptor from

Constant Systems[53]. Magnetic LS Columns (130-042-401) from Miltenyi Biotec were used to

separate the magnetosomes from the cell lysate. Magnetic columns were primed with a wash

with buffer A, followed by the attachment of magnets to the outside of the columns.

Subsequently, the cell lysate was passed through the column by gravity flow. Flow through

fractions were passed over the column two more times and buffer A was used for three

additional washes. Following wash cycles, the magnets were detached from the column, and

500 µL of buffer A was pipetted into the column. The plunger provided with the column was then

to provide additional force to collect all of the magnetosomes. Some of the cell lysate from each

sample was also saved as a control. Next, a Bradford assay was conducted on all samples to

determine the protein concentration, and if necessary, samples were concentrated during this

stage. Finally, all samples were trypsin-digested as previously described[21] to prepare them for

liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry, as detailed below.

Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

495   Trypsin-digested protein samples were each analyzed in triplicate using an Acquity M-

class ultra-performance liquid chromatography (UPLC) system that was connected in line with a

Synapt G2-Si mass spectrometer (Waters, Milford, MA) as described elsewhere[21]. Data

acquisition and analysis were performed using MassLynx (version 4.1, Waters) and Progenesis

QI for Proteomics software (version 4.2, Waters Nonlinear Dynamics). Data were searched

against the *Desulfovibrio magneticus* strain RS-1 translated protein database to identify

peptides[54].

Plasmid and cloning and deletion

504   All plasmids used in this study for generating deletions and for their complementations in

RS-1, as well as for plasmids used in the BACTH assays, are listed in Supplemental Table 3. In-

frame deletion vectors targeting *mad10*, *mad20*, *mad23*, *mad25*, *mad26, mad28* and *mamK*

were constructed by amplifying upstream and downstream homology regions of RS-1 genomic

DNA, using the primers listed in Supplementary Table 3. The homology regions were then

inserted into the XbaI site of pAK1127 using the Gibson cloning method. The P*npt* -*strAB*

cassette was subsequently inserted between the upstream and downstream homology regions

of the deletion vector. Plasmids were transformed into *Escherichia coli* WM3064 and then

transferred to *D. magneticus* RS-1 using conjugation methods as described previously [13]. Allelic

replacement of *mad10, mad20, mad23, mad25, mad26, mad28* or *mamK* with *strAB* was

achieved with streptomycin selection and using two counterselections, 5-Flurouracil and

sucrose. All deletions were confirmed by PCR followed by full genomic Illumina sequencing

using SeqCenter (https://www.seqcenter.com).

To generate plasmids used to complement deletion strains, the genes *mad10*, *mad20*,

mad23, *mad25*, *mad26, mad28* and *mamK* were individually amplified using primers listed in

Supplemental Table 4 and were cloned into pAK906 (containing the *npt* promoter) and pAK907

(containing a *mamA* promoter) using Gibson assembly. Both complementation plasmids for

each gene were tested by transformation into the respective RS-1 deletion strain. Each strain,

containing different versions of the complementation plasmid, was grown in liquid culture and

evaluated by C_{mag} measurements and TEM to determine which provided the best

complementation. The plasmid that most effectively restored the phenotype was selected for

further experiments.

To generate plasmids used in the BACTH studies, *mad10, mad20, mad23, mad25,*

mad26, mad28 and *mamK* were either synthesized by Twist Bioscience

(https://www.twistbioscience.com) or PCR amplified using the primers listed in Supplementary

Table 4 and were cloned into pKT25 or pKNT25 (the N or the C-termini of the T25 fragment)

and pUT18 or pUT18C (the N or the C-termini of the T18 fragment) vectors in frame with the

T25 and T18 fragment open reading frames by Gibson assembly.

Biomineralization time course using hydrogen

RS-1 strains were grown at 30°C anaerobically, as described above. Initial cultures were

all grown with medium infused with 10% hydrogen and headspace was purged again with 10%

hydrogen after inoculation. All hydrogen cultures were grown on a wheel in the incubator.

Additionally, all cultures were transferred for supplementary growth into hydrogen tubes to

ensure the removal of all magnetosomes. Varying volumes (1mL, 0.5 mL, 0.2 mL, and 0.1 mL)

of hydrogen grown cultures were transferred into nitrogen-infused medium, aiming to capture all

stages of biomineralization more effectively. Optical density and magnetic response via C_{mag}

was monitored throughout growth as previously described [12] and at different stages of

biomineralization samples were saved for TEM imaging. The progression of biomineralization stages was tracked using C_{mag}, with values ranging from 1.05 to 1.10 classified as early stages, 1.15 to 1.20 as middle stages, and 1.3 to 1.6 as late stages.

Bacterial adenylate cyclase two-hybrid (BACTH) assays

The assay was performed as described in the Euromedex BACTH system kit manual. N- and C-terminal T18 and T25 fusions of Mad10, Mad20, Mad23, Mad25, Mad26, Mad28 and MamK proteins were constructed using plasmid pKT25, pKNT25, pUT18C, and pUT18 in *E. coli* K12 strain DH5-alpha. All plasmids were sequence-verified to show T18/T25 magnetosome protein fusions were correct. The fusions were then co-transformed into competent *E. coli* DHM1 cells (lacking endogenous adenylate cyclase activity) in all pairwise combinations, plated on LB agar plates containing 100 µg/mL carbenicillin and 50 µg/mL kanamycin, and incubated at 30 °C overnight. Several colonies of T18/T25 co-transformants were isolated and grown in LB liquid medium with 100 µg/mL carbenicillin and 50 µg/mL kanamycin overnight at 30 °C with 220 rpm shaking. Overnight cultures were spotted on indicator plates containing minimal M63/maltose medium with agar supplemented with 40 µg/mL X-gal, 50 µg/ml carbenicillin; and 25 µg/ml kanamycin. Plates were incubated 4 to 8 days at 30 °C before imaging. Bacteria expressing interacting hybrid proteins will show blue, while bacteria expressing non-interacting proteins will remain white. Different concentrations of IPTG (0, 0.1 mM and 0.5 mM) were tested in the overnight culture as well as on the indicator plates with little to no difference. Therefore, IPTG was not included in the final cultures and plates of this experiment. Plates were imaged using a Canon EOS R50 camera with a Canon RF35mm F1.8 Macro STM Lens.

Electron microscopy

Whole-cell TEM imaging was performed as described previously [15]. All TEM was done using the Tecnai 12 at the EM-Lab at the University of California, Berkeley (https://em-lab.berkeley.edu/EML/index.php). Magnetosomes were measured manually using ImageJ. Cells were also counted manually.

Acknowledgement

A.K. and V.V.R. are supported through the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (R35GM127114). The QB3/Chemistry Mass Spectrometry Facility at the University of California, Berkeley received support from the National Institutes of Health (grant 1S10OD020062-01). Additionally, V.V.R. was supported through the Genetics Training Grant, which receives funds

576   from the National Institutes of Health (Grant #: 1T32GM132022). Thank you to the staff at the

577   University of California Berkeley Electron Microscope Laboratory for advice and assistance in

578   electron microscopy.

Figures:

Figure 1. *Phylogenetic tree of MTB with their magnetosome gene composition and*

magnetosome crystal shape

(A) Magnetosome gene cluster in RS-1. All *mam* genes are in yellow, all *mad* genes are in blue

and *fmp* genes are in purple. Genes outlined in red (*mamK*, *mad10*, *mad20*, *mad23*, *mad25*,

mad26 and *mad28*) were the focus of this study. Outlined in dotted red (*fmpA* and *fmpB*) are

genes mutated in a previous study[12], but examined further in this study. (B) 16S maximum

likelihood tree. MAFFT was used for alignment of 16S genes. Maximum likelihood tree was built

using PhyML Tree[52] with GTR substitution model and 1000 bootstraps. (C) For each MTB

species the classification of the type of magnetosome genes (*mam*, *mms*, *mad*, *man* or *mae*)

are provided as well as the magnetosome mineral composition and shape. White asterisks

indicate the potential presence of *mad* genes, which were previously reported as missing[8] (*see*

Supplemental Figure S1 B). (D) Deep-branching MTB species that contain the *mad* genes

studied here. Red asterisks represent uncertainty due to a lack of a completed genome on

NCBI. *Supplemental Table 1* contains all the data (NCBI genome IDs, 16S gene ID and

references) used to generate the phylogenetic tree (B), magnetosome gene information (A, C &

D), and literature with crystal TEM images and chemical composition (C).

Figure 2. *Hydrogen inhibits magnetosome synthesis but not ferrosome production*

(A) Different concentration of hydrogen gas was added to the headspace and the magnetic

response, C_{mag} was measured over growth. (B) Growth curve of WT RS-1 grown with nitrogen

(blue) or hydrogen (orange) in the headspace. Inlet graph shows the doubling time for both

conditions. (C) RS-1 grown spinning on a wheel with either nitrogen (blue) or hydrogen

(orange). C_{mag} values indicate no magnetic response for cultures grown with hydrogen in the

headspace. (D) TEM images of cultures from (C). (E) Initial cultures were either grown with or

without iron to simulate iron starvation. Pre-cultures were transferred into either hydrogen or

nitrogen conditions. (E *i*) TEM image of a cell grown in hydrogen with no iron starvation, (E *ii*)

TEM image of a cell grown in nitrogen with no iron starvation, and (E *iii* and *iv*) are TEM images

40 hours after iron starvation for cells grown in hydrogen (*iii*) or nitrogen (*iv*). Blue lines indicate

magnetosomes and yellow triangles point to ferrosomes. (F) Growth curve (blue line) of a

culture transitioning from hydrogen to nitrogen conditions to initiate biomineralization. The C_{mag}

curve (orange line) of the same culture demonstrates biomineralization initiation and

progression during growth. (F *i-iii*) indicate time points at which samples were collected for TEM

imaging in (G *i-iii*).

Figure 3. *Proteomic analysis of magnetosome proteins at different stages of biomineralization*

(A-B) TEM images of chains representing early and late stages of biomineralization, with the

scale bars representing 250 nm. (C) Violin plots depicting the number of magnetosomes per cell

for cells in early and late stages of biomineralization. (D) Violin plots illustrating measurements

of magnetosome length and (E) shape factor (length/width) for crystals found in early and late

stages of biomineralization, n=212 for early stages and n=214 for late stages. Statistical tests

for panels C–E are listed in Supplemental Table 5. (F) TEM images of RS-1 magnetosomes at

different stages of growth. The black scale bar in panel *x*, shown for the zoomed-in crystals,

represents 25 nm and applies to panels *i* through *x*. (G) Each point is the measurement of the

length and width of one crystal from WT (AK80). Yellow dots represent crystals from cells at

early stages of biomineralization. Blue dots represent crystals from cells at late stages of

biomineralization. The dotted line represents the median width of crystals from late stages of

biomineralization. Dashed line shows a slope (*m*) of 1. (H) TEM images of early stage

biomineralization of WT cells. Yellow triangles point to immature crystals that are included as

zoomed-in images in the inset. Red lines indicates mature magnetosomes. The scale bars in

the insets represents 25 nm and applies to panels *i* through *iv*. (I) The proportion of

magnetosomes positioned at the positive curvature of the cell for both immature (yellow bar)

and mature (blue bar) crystals during this stage, 140 cells counted; n=254 immature crystals,

and n=394 mature crystals and statistical tests for I are listed in Supplemental Table 5. (J) The

$\log_2$ maximum fold change of magnetosome protein abundance detected in the magnetosome

fraction at different stages of biomineralization. Yellow bars represent proteins more abundant

at the early stage and blue bars represent proteins more abundant at the late stage of

biomineralization.

Figure 4. *Characterization of fmpA and fmpB mutants*

A-C) (*i*) TEM images of *fmpA* and *fmpB* mutant strains (scale bars 500 nm for main image and

25 nm for inset). *fmpA2* represents the *fmpA* allele 2 mutant Q418*, where there is a premature

stop at amino acid 418 and *fmpB2* represent the *fmpB* allele 2 mutant 808delG, which has a

frameshift from a deleted nucleotide at amino acid 270[12] (Supplemental Figure S1 A). (*ii*)

Cartoon diagram of each strain. (D) Violin plots depicting the number of crystals per cell for

each strain. (E) Violin plots illustrating measurements of magnetosome length, and (F) shape

factor (length/width) for crystals in each strain. Panels (D), (E), and (F) are based on n = 200

per strain; details of the statistical tests are provided in Supplemental Table 6. (G-H) Crystal

morphology for each mutant, scale bars are 25 nm. (I) Percentage of cells exhibiting a chain

phenotype, defined as having more than one crystal aligned in a row, for each strain. (J)

Percent of cells with crystals located at the positive curvature in the cell. (I-J) Over 200 cells

were counted.

Figure 5. *Deletions of* mad *genes and mamK result in a variety of chain formation and*

localization defects

(A-H) Phenotypes of different magnetosome gene deletion mutants and WT RS-1 with scale

bars representing 500 nm. (*i*) is a TEM image for each strain and (*ii*) shows a cartoon diagram

of representative magnetosome chain morphology. (I-L) TEM images of biomineralization time

course of Δ*mad28*, Δ*mamK* and WT with scale bars representing 500 nm. Yellow triangles point

at individual magnetosomes, whereas red lines indicate chains or subchains of crystals. (I)

Biomineralization time course of WT. (J) shows the phenotype for Δ*mad28* where the chain

localizes to the mid-cell, whereas (K) shows the phenotype for Δ*mad28* where the chain

localizes diagonally or transversally. (L) Biomineralization time course for Δ*mamK*, shows no

subchains forming. (M) Growth curve and C_{mag} curve for WT, Δ*mad28* and Δ*mamK*. (N *i*) Violin

plots depicting the number of magnetosomes per cell for WT, Δ*mad28* and Δ*mamK* at early

stages of biomineralization, over 100 cells counted for each strain. (N *ii*) Violin plots illustrating

the number of magnetosomes per cell for WT, Δ*mad28* and Δ*mamK* at late stages of

biomineralization, over 100 cells counted for each strain. Statistical tests for panels N *i-ii* are

listed in Supplemental Table 7.

Figure 6. *Interactions of magnetosome chain organization proteins*

A Bacterial Adenylate Cyclase Two-Hybrid (BACTH) assay was conducted to assess

interactions among magnetosome chain organization proteins—Mad10, Mad20, Mad23, Mad25,

Mad26, Mad28, and MamK. Co-transformed *E. coli* DHM1 expressing T18- and T25-fusion

proteins were spotted onto M63 maltose-mineral salts agar, where blue coloration indicates high

β-galactosidase activity, signifying a positive interaction, while white indicates no interaction.

The positive control (leucine zipper) resulted in dark blue spots, while the negative controls

(empty vector combinations) produced white spots, as expected. (A) Photographic images of

spots for each interaction combination. (B) A table summarizing all interaction combinations,

scored based on results from (A) and categorized by color intensity: dark blue spots indicate

strong interaction (+++), medium blue spots represent moderate (++), light blue spots signify

minor (+), and white denotes no interaction (-). (C) A table highlighting the interactions. Strong

interactions (+++) are indicated in bold. (D) A visual diagram illustrating these interactions: black

arrows represent interactions, including bidirectional interactions (fusion with T25 and T18

interacting with their corresponding fusion partners), red arrows highlight stronger bidirectional

interactions, open blue circles indicate observed self-interactions, and filled blue circles denote

stronger self-interactions.

Figure 7. *Model of Magnetosome synthesis and chain organization in RS-1*

Model of the different stages of biomineralization in *Desulfovibrio magneticus* RS-1. ***Step 1:***

Nucleation and growth. Biomineralization begins at nucleation sites randomly distributed within

the cell. ***Step 2:*** *Crystal maturation and localization.* Maturing crystals are transported to the

cell's positive curvature by a cohort of Mad proteins. ***Step 3:*** *Subchain assembly.* As crystals

reach the positive curvature, the process shifts to organizing them into subchains. ***Step 4:***

Chain elongation and positioning. Subchains are extended and aligned along the cell length by

MamK, while Mad28 ensures the chain remains localized at the positive curvature.

References:

1. Amor, M., Mathon, F. P., Monteil, C. L., Busigny, V. & Lefevre, C. T. Iron-biomineralizing

organelle in magnetotactic bacteria: function, synthesis and preservation in ancient rock

samples. *Environ. Microbiol.* **22**, 3611–3632 (2020).

2. Wan, J. *et al.* Biomineralization in magnetotactic bacteria: From diversity to molecular

discovery-based applications. *Cell Rep.* **43**, 114995 (2024).

3. Lefevre, C. T. & Bazylinski, D. A. Ecology, Diversity, and Evolution of Magnetotactic

Bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **77**, 497–526 (2013).

4. Amor, M. *et al.* Magnetotactic Bacteria Accumulate a Large Pool of Iron Distinct from Their

Magnetite Crystals. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **86**, e01278-20 (2020).

5. Vargas, G. *et al.* Applications of Magnetotactic Bacteria, Magnetosomes and Magnetosome

Crystals in Biotechnology and Nanotechnology: Mini-Review. *Molecules* **23**, 2438 (2018).

6. Lin, W. *et al.* Genomic expansion of magnetotactic bacteria reveals an early common origin

of magnetotaxis with lineage-specific evolution. *ISME J.* **12**, 1508–1519 (2018).

7. Kolinko, I. *et al.* Biosynthesis of magnetic nanostructures in a foreign organism by transfer

of bacterial magnetosome gene clusters. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **9**, 193–197 (2014).

8. Uzun, M. *et al.* Recovery and genome reconstruction of novel magnetotactic Elusimicrobiota

from bog soil. *ISME J.* **17**, 204–214 (2023).

9. Liu, P. *et al.* Key gene networks that control magnetosome biomineralization in

magnetotactic bacteria. *Natl. Sci. Rev.* **10**, nwac238 (2023).

10. Sakaguchi, T., Burgess, J. G. & Matsunaga, T. Magnetite formation by a sulphate-reducing

bacterium. *Nature* **365**, 47–49 (1993).

11. Sakaguchi, T., Arakaki, A. & Matsunaga, T. Desulfovibrio magneticus sp. nov., a novel

sulfate-reducing bacterium that produces intracellular single-domain-sized magnetite

particles. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **52**, 215–221 (2002).

12. Rahn-Lee, L. *et al.* A Genetic Strategy for Probing the Functional Diversity of Magnetosome

Formation. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, e1004811 (2015).

13. Grant, C. R., Rahn-lee, L. & Legault, K. N. Genome Editing Method for the Anaerobic

Magnetotactic. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **84**, 1–12 (2018).

14. Nakazawa, H. *et al.* Whole genome sequence of *Desulfovibrio magneticus* strain RS-1

revealed common gene clusters in magnetotactic bacteria. *Genome Res.* **19**, 1801–1808

(2009).

15. Byrne, M. E. *et al. Desulfovibrio magneticus* RS-1 contains an iron- and phosphorus-rich

organelle distinct from its bullet-shaped magnetosomes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **107**, 12263–

12268 (2010).

16. Waldman, V. M., Stanage, T. H., Mims, A., Norden, I. S. & Oakley, M. G. Structural

mapping of the coiled-coil domain of a bacterial condensin and comparative analyses across

all domains of life suggest conserved features of SMC proteins: Coiled-Coil Domain of

Bacillus subtilis SMC. *Proteins Struct. Funct. Bioinforma.* **83**, 1027–1045 (2015).

17. Gazi, A. D., Charova, S. N., Panopoulos, N. J. & Kokkinidis, M. Coiled-coils in type III

secretion systems: structural flexibility, disorder and biological implications. *Cell. Microbiol.*

11, 719–729 (2009).

18. Ausmees, N., Kuhn, J. R. & Jacobs-Wagner, C. The Bacterial Cytoskeleton: An Intermediate

Filament-Like Function in Cell Shape. *Cell* **115**, 705–713 (2003).

19. Liu, Y., Van Den Ent, F. & Löwe, J. Filament structure and subcellular organization of the

bacterial intermediate filament–like protein crescentin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **121**,

e2309984121 (2024).

20. Komeili, A., Vali, H., Beveridge, T. J. & Newman, D. K. Magnetosome vesicles are present

before magnetite formation, and MamA is required for their activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

101, 3839–3844 (2004).

21. Grant, C. R. *et al.* Distinct gene clusters drive formation of ferrosome organelles in bacteria.

Nature **606**, 160–164 (2022).

22. Pi, H. *et al.* Clostridioides difficile ferrosome organelles combat nutritional immunity.

Nature **623**, 1009–1016 (2023).

23. Schüler, D., Uhl, R. & Bäuerlein, E. A simple light scattering method to assay magnetism in

Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **132**, 139–145 (1995).

24. Li, J. *et al.* Crystal growth of bullet-shaped magnetite in magnetotactic bacteria of the

Nitrospirae phylum. *J. R. Soc. Interface* **12**, 20141288 (2015).

25. Awal, R. P. *et al.* Experimental analysis of diverse actin-like proteins from various

magnetotactic bacteria by functional expression in *Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense. mBio*

14, e01649-23 (2023).

26. Pohl, A. *et al.* Decoding Biomineralization: Interaction of a Mad10-Derived Peptide with

Magnetite Thin Films. *Nano Lett.* **19**, 8207–8215 (2019).

27. Pohl, A. *et al.* Magnetite-binding proteins from the magnetotactic bacterium *Desulfamplus*

magnetovallimortis BW-1. *Nanoscale* **13**, 20396–20400 (2021).

28. Abreu, N. *et al.* Interplay between Two Bacterial Actin Homologs, MamK and MamK-Like,

Is Required for the Alignment of Magnetosome Organelles in Magnetospirillum magneticum

AMB-1. *J. Bacteriol.* **196**, 3111–3121 (2014).

29. Komeili, A., Li, Z., Newman, D. K. & Jensen, G. J. Magnetosomes Are Cell Membrane

Invaginations Organized by the Actin-Like Protein MamK. *Science* **311**, 242–245 (2006).

30. Toro-Nahuelpan, M. *et al.* Segregation of prokaryotic magnetosomes organelles is driven by

treadmilling of a dynamic actin-like MamK filament. *BMC Biol.* **14**, 88 (2016).

31. Taoka, A. *et al.* Tethered Magnets Are the Key to Magnetotaxis: Direct Observations of

Magnetospirillum magneticum AMB-1 Show that MamK Distributes Magnetosome

Organelles Equally to Daughter Cells. *mBio* **8**, e00679-17 (2017).

32. Katzmann, E., Scheffel, A., Gruska, M., Plitzko, J. M. & Schüler, D. Loss of the actin-like

protein MamK has pleiotropic effects on magnetosome formation and chain assembly in

Magnetospirillum gryphiswaldense. Mol. Microbiol. **77**, 208–224 (2010).

33. Fields, S. & Song, O. A novel genetic system to detect protein–protein interactions. *Nature*

340, 245–246 (1989).

34. Komeili, A., Vali, H., Beveridge, T. J. & Newman, D. K. Magnetosome vesicles are present

before magnetite formation, and MamA is required for their activation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*

101, 3839–3844 (2004).

35. Scheffel, A. *et al.* An acidic protein aligns magnetosomes along a filamentous structure in

magnetotactic bacteria. *Nature* **440**, 110–114 (2006).

36. Tanaka, M., Arakaki, A. & Matsunaga, T. Identification and functional characterization of

liposome tubulation protein from magnetotactic bacteria. *Mol. Microbiol.* **76**, 480–488

(2010).

37. Wan, J. *et al.* A protease-mediated switch regulates the growth of magnetosome organelles in

Magnetospirillum magneticum. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2111745119 (2022).

38. Arakaki, A. *et al.* Comparative Subcellular Localization Analysis of Magnetosome Proteins

Reveals a Unique Localization Behavior of Mms6 Protein onto Magnetite Crystals. *J.*

Bacteriol. **198**, 2794–2802 (2016).

39. Bickley, C. D., Wan, J. & Komeili, A. Intrinsic and extrinsic determinants of conditional

localization of Mms6 to magnetosome organelles in *Magnetospirillum magneticum* AMB-1.

J. Bacteriol. **206**, e00008-24 (2024).

40. Wan, J. *et al.* McaA and McaB control the dynamic positioning of a bacterial magnetic

organelle. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 5652 (2022).

41. Gorby, Y. A., Beveridge, T. J. & Blakemore, R. P. Characterization of the bacterial

magnetosome membrane. *J. Bacteriol.* **170**, 834–841 (1988).

42. Paulus, A. *et al.* MamF-like proteins are distant Tic20 homologs involved in organelle

assembly in bacteria. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 10657 (2024).

43. Scheffel, A. *et al.* An acidic protein aligns magnetosomes along a filamentous structure in

magnetotactic bacteria. *Nature* **440**, 110–114 (2006).

44. Draper, O. *et al.* MamK, a bacterial actin, forms dynamic filaments in vivo that are regulated

by the acidic proteins MamJ and LimJ: Dynamic MamK filaments are regulated by MamJ

and LimJ. *Mol. Microbiol.* **82**, 342–354 (2011).

45. Toro-Nahuelpan, M. *et al.* MamY is a membrane-bound protein that aligns magnetosomes

and the motility axis of helical magnetotactic bacteria. *Nat. Microbiol.* **4**, 1978–1989 (2019).

46. Parks, D. H. *et al.* GTDB: an ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a

phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy. *Nucleic*

Acids Res. **50**, D785–D794 (2021).

47. Scheffel, A. & Schüler, D. The Acidic Repetitive Domain of the Magnetospirillum

gryphiswaldense MamJ Protein Displays Hypervariability but Is Not Required for

Magnetosome Chain Assembly. *J. Bacteriol.* **189**, 6437–6446 (2007).

48. Chariaou, M. *et al.* Anisotropy of Bullet-Shaped Magnetite Nanoparticles in the

Magnetotactic Bacteria Desulfovibrio magneticus sp. Strain RS-1. *Biophys. J.* **108**, 1268–

1274 (2015).

49. Kovács, A. *et al.* Influence of crystal shape and orientation on the magnetic microstructure of

bullet-shaped magnetosomes synthesized by magnetotactic bacteria. *Geo-Bio Interfaces* **1**, e1

(2024).

50. Chen, I.-M. A. *et al.* The IMG/M data management and analysis system v.7: content updates

and new features. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **51**, D723–D732 (2023).

51. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:

improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–780 (2013).

52. Guindon, S. *et al.* New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood

phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 307–321 (2010).

53. Constant Systems | Multi Cycle Cell Disruptor. *Constant Systems*

https://constantsystems.com/products/multi-cycle-cell-disruptor/.

54. Ehrlich, H., Bailey, E., Wysokowski, M. & Jesionowski, T. Forced Biomineralization: A

Review. *Biomimetics* **6**, 46 (2021).

833