Arabidopsis TITAN LIKE is required for U12-type intron splicing,

especially of AT–AC subtypes

Tomoko Niwa[1, 2], Junshin Miyamoto[3], Nao Iwase[3], Haruka Iwai[3], Takaaki Kojima[4], and

Takamasa Suzuki[3*]

[1]Department of Agricultural Chemistry, School of Agriculture, Meiji University, 1-1-1

Higashi-Mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki, Kanagawa 214-8571, Japan

[2]Organization for the Strategic Coordination of Research and Intellectual Properties, Meiji

University, 1-1-1 Higashi-Mita, Tama-ku, Kawasaki, Kanagawa 214-8571, Japan

[3]Department of Biological Chemistry, College of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Chubu

University, 1200 Matsumoto-cho, Kasugai, Aichi 487-8501, Japan

[4]Department of Agrobiological Resources, Faculty of Agriculture, Meijo University, 1-501

Shiogamaguchi, Tempaku-ku, Nagoya 468-8502, Japan

Corresponding author

*Takamasa Suzuki, email: takamasa@tsbio.info

Abstract

Many eukaryotes possess two types of spliceosomes: the U2-dependent and U12-dependent

spliceosomes. The U2-dependent spliceosome processes more than 99% of all introns, whereas

the U12-dependent spliceosome acts on only about 0.3% of introns, one-third of which start

with AT and end with AC, with the remainder having GT–AG termini. How the U12-dependent

spliceosome splices two types of introns with different terminal sequences remains poorly

understood. Human CENATAC is a subunit of the U12-dependent spliceosome that is

particularly required for the splicing of the AT–AC subtype. The *Arabidopsis* genome contains

a single homolog, *TITAN LIKE* (*TTL*), but its function in splicing remains unknown. Here, we

generated TTL mutants and isolated two viable alleles, of which we analyzed one, designated

ttl-142, to investigate TTL function in splicing. *ttl-142* carries a 42-nucleotide deletion that

removes 14 amino acid residues from the predicted protein, and homozygous mutants exhibit

morphological abnormalities. Most U12-dependent introns were less efficiently spliced in

ttl-142 than in the wild-type, with the splicing of AT–AC introns particularly suppressed.

Splicing suppression in *ttl-142* was more extensive than that in a *DROL1* mutant, which carries

a mutation in a gene specifically required for AT–AC intron splicing. Conversely, fewer genes

showed altered expression levels in *ttl-142* than in *drol1*, and most differentially expressed

genes differed between the two mutants. These results suggest that the phenotypes of *ttl-142* and

drol1 mutants may reflect the impairment of distinct spliceosomal functions.

Keywords

Arabidopsis thaliana, AT–AC intron, minor intron, splicing, *TITAN LIKE*, TTL

Introduction

Many eukaryotes, including plants and vertebrates, possess two types of spliceosomes (Turunen et al. 2013). The first is the U2-dependent (major) spliceosome, which comprises five small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs)—U1, U2, U4, U5, and U6—along with numerous associated proteins (Wilkinson et al. 2019). The U2-dependent spliceosome is responsible for splicing nearly 99% of introns. The second type, the U12-dependent (minor) spliceosome, consists of U11, U12, U4atac, U5, and U6atac snRNAs, together with both unique proteins and several shared with the U2-dependent spliceosome (Turunen et al. 2013; Ding et al. 2023). The introns spliced by each spliceosome differ in their consensus sequences at the 5′ splice site and branch point sequences and have long been considered mutually exclusive. Based on these sequence features, approximately 400 introns of the more than 125,000 introns in the Arabidopsis genome have been predicted to be U12-type introns (Szcześniak et al. 2013). Although the GT–AG intron termini are highly conserved among eukaryotes, approximately one-third of U12-type introns spliced by the U12-dependent spliceosome possess AT–AC termini. How the U12-dependent spliceosome processes introns with these distinct boundaries remains unclear. Recently, two protein subunits specifically required for the splicing of AT–AC introns—DROL1 and CENATAC—have been identified (Suzuki et al. 2022; de Wolf et al. 2021).

While screening for *Arabidopsis thaliana* mutants defective in the repression of seed-maturation genes, we identified the *defective repression of the OLE3:LUC 1* (*drol1*) mutant, which carried a mutation in a splicing factor (Suzuki et al. 2018). The *A. thaliana* DROL1 protein is homologous to yeast DIB1 and human Dim1, both subunits of the U5 snRNP, but belongs to a distinct subfamily (Suzuki et al. 2022). Furthermore, comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of the *drol1* mutant revealed that splicing of AT–AC introns was specifically suppressed, suggesting that DROL1 functions as a splicing factor specific to AT–AC introns.

The Dim1 protein, together with PRP8, forms a binding pocket for 5′ splice sites in the B complex of the human U2-dependent spliceosome (Bertram et al. 2017; Zhan et al. 2018). In this complex, the 5′-terminal guanine stacks with a phenylalanine residue in PRP8, while the adjacent uracil is positioned close to Dim1 (Bertram et al. 2017). Structural analyses have suggested that Dim1 plays a direct role in recognizing the 5′ splice site (Bertram et al. 2017). Dim2, an ortholog of DROL1, has also been identified in the human U12-dependent B complex (Bai et al. 2024). Although the imaging resolution was low and the mechanism underlying the interaction between Dim2 and the 5′ splice site remains unclear, Dim2 may recognize the 5′-terminal AU in a manner similar to Dim1.

Another gene, *CENATAC*, has been identified as a cause of human mosaic variegated
aneuploidy (de Wolf et al. 2021). The CENATAC protein was originally reported to be a
subunit of the U12-dependent spliceosome, as it coprecipitated with minor spliceosome-specific
proteins and the U4atac and U6atac snRNAs. Comprehensive transcriptomic analysis of
CENATAC-depleted cells revealed increased retention of U12-type introns, particularly those
of the AT–AC subtype. Taken together, these findings indicate that CENATAC is required for
the splicing of AT–AC introns, similar to DROL1, although it also participates in the splicing of
some U12-type GT–AG introns.

CENATAC has also been identified in structural models of the U12-dependent
spliceosomal B complex (Bai et al. 2024). In this complex, CENATAC binds to the 5′ cap of
U4atac snRNA and to the loop region of U6atac snRNA. However, because CENATAC is not
positioned adjacent to an intron, it remains unclear how this factor specifically acts on AT–AC
introns.

The *Arabidopsis* ortholog of *CENATAC* has been named *TITAN LIKE* (*TTL*) because the
mutant phenotype resembles that of the *titan* mutant, exhibiting abnormalities in embryo
development (Lu et al. 2012). *TTL* encodes a protein containing a C2H2 domain, but the
mechanism by which its loss or dysfunction leads to embryonic lethality remains unknown.

An interesting feature of the *CENATAC*/*TTL* gene family is that these genes contain a
U12-type intron whose removal prevents the production of a functional protein (de Wolf et al.
2021). In vertebrates, *CENATAC* orthologs possess a U12-type intron with GT–AG termini that
shares a 3′ splice site with a U2-type intron. Consequently, when splicing occurs at the
U12-type 5′ splice site, the translational reading frame of the following exon is shifted, resulting
in a nonfunctional protein (de Wolf et al. 2021). In plants, *TTL* orthologs typically contain
AT–AC introns within coding exons. Moreover, splicing of these introns can lead to the loss of
amino acid sequences, thereby also causing a frameshift (de Wolf et al. 2021; Lu et al. 2012).
TTL undergoes alternative splicing to produce nine distinct transcripts, but only those retaining
the AT–AC intron have been shown to complement the *ttl* mutant phenotype (Lu et al. 2012).

In this study, we investigated the function of *Arabidopsis* TTL in pre-mRNA splicing. To
this end, we generated a viable TTL allele and identified a mutant, designated *ttl-142*, which
carries a 42-nucleotide deletion in its first exon. The *ttl-142* mutant exhibited a mild
morphological phenotype similar to that of *drol1* but showed a stronger suppression of AT–AC
intron splicing. Moreover, unlike *drol1*, splicing of U12-type GT–AG introns was also reduced
in *ttl-142*. Compared with wild-type (WT) *A. thaliana*, *ttl-142* displayed fewer differentially
expressed genes (DEGs) than *drol1*, a finding consistent with its morphological phenotype.

Taken together, these results indicate that TTL, like CENATAC, functions as a splicing factor
required for the efficient processing of U12-type introns, particularly those of the AT–AC
subtype. Furthermore, we speculate that the phenotypes of *drol1* and *ttl-142* plants may result
partly from genetic defects due to AT–AC intron retention and partly from distinct splicing
disruptions caused by each mutation.
115

Results and Discussion

ttl-142 is a weak allele of TITAN LIKE

Since *Arabidopsis TITAN LIKE* (TTL) is homologous to human *CENATAC*, it has been
speculated to be required for the splicing of U12-type introns, particularly those of the AT–AC
subtype. However, analysis of splicing patterns in the original *ttl* mutant has been difficult
because of its embryonic lethality. To overcome this limitation and to generate viable
Arabidopsis mutants for TTL, single guide RNAs (sgRNAs) were designed and introduced into
WT (Col-0) plants. One transformant that received sgRNA1 was found to lack 42 nucleotides in
the first exon of *TTL*; this mutant was designated *ttl-142* (Figures 1A and 1B). Plants
homozygous for *ttl-142* were then phenotyped and found to be smaller than WT plants,
displaying flattened cotyledons and dark green leaves (Figures 1C and 1D). Overall, these
phenotypes were reminiscent of those of *drol1* mutants, although less severe (Figure 1E).

To confirm that these phenotypes were caused by the *ttl-142* mutation, we introduced a
DNA fragment containing the entire *TTL* gene, including 1 kb of the upstream region and 0.6 kb
of the downstream region, into *ttl-142* plants. The resulting transformants exhibited a phenotype
highly similar to that of the WT (Figure 1F), indicating that the phenotypes observed in *ttl-142*
were indeed attributable to the *TTL* mutation rather than to off-target effects.

In addition to *ttl-142*, we obtained two other *TTL* mutants using different sgRNAs. A
transformant that received sgRNA2 was found to lack 15 nucleotides in the second exon of *TTL*,
and this mutant was designated *ttl-215* (Figures 1A and 1B). Homozygous *ttl-215* plants
exhibited a phenotype that was slightly smaller than that of WT plants (Figures 1C and 1G).
Another transformant that received sgRNA4 carried a thymidine insertion in the sixth exon and
was designated *ttl-401* (Figures 1A and 1B). Among 104 offspring obtained by self-pollination
of *ttl-401* heterozygotes, 29 were homozygous WT, 75 were heterozygous for *ttl-401*, and no
ttl-401 homozygotes were recovered. This segregation pattern is consistent with the
embryo-lethal phenotype reported for the original *ttl* mutant (Lu et al. 2012).

Consistent with their shoot phenotypes, root growth was also impaired in the *ttl* mutants.
Roots of *ttl-142* plants were 15–20% shorter than those of WT, whereas *ttl-215* roots were

20–45% shorter (Figure 2). By contrast, *drol1-1* seedlings germinated more slowly, and their
roots were significantly shorter than those of WT when compared on the same day.

Functional prediction indicated that the *ttl-142* mutation results in the production of a TTL
protein lacking 14 amino acids. Notably, this deletion occurs within the second of the two
C2H2-type zinc finger domains in TTL (Figure S1). In a previous structural study of the human
minor spliceosome, the TTL homolog CENATAC was shown to use these two zinc fingers to
bind the 5′ cap of U4atac and the central stem-loop of U6atac snRNAs (Figure S1; Bai et al.
2024). These findings suggest that the *ttl-142* mutation disrupts the binding of TTL to the
U4atac/U6atac di-snRNP complex. The *ttl-215* mutation is located in a less conserved region
following the second C2H2 domain. Given that *ttl-215* exhibits phenotypes similar to those of
ttl-142, this mutation is also likely to impair TTL function in a similar manner.

In addition to the C2H2 motif, the *CENATAC/TTL* gene family contains several other
conserved motifs. Four motifs of unknown function (M1–M4) are conserved in the C-termini of
metazoan homologs (de Wolf et al. 2021), but *TTL* lacks a region with high similarity to M2
(Figure S1D). In human patients, a mutation altering a splice site results in the loss of M3 and
M4 (de Wolf et al. 2021), and the *ttl-401* mutation in *Arabidopsis* similarly disrupts M3 and
eliminates M4 due to a frameshift. These results indicate that these motifs are essential for
CENATAC/TTL function. In the three-dimensional structure predicted by AlphaFold3
(Abramson et al. 2024), these motifs form part of an α-helix (Figures S1B and S1C); however,
they have not yet been experimentally confirmed. The region between the C2H2 domain and
M1 is an intrinsically disordered domain, predicted to have no defined structure. Future studies
should focus on elucidating the role of these motifs in *TTL* function and their contribution to
splicing activity.

RNA-Seq analysis of splicing in ttl-142
RNA extracted from whole 3-day-old *ttl-142* seedlings was subjected to RNA-Seq analysis. The
resulting transcriptomic dataset was compared with those from WT plants and the *drol1-1*
mutant (Suzuki et al. 2022). Splicing patterns were analyzed using ASpli (Estefania et al. 2021),
and the percentage of intron retention (PIR) was calculated. As shown in Figure 3A, most PIR
values were similar between WT and *ttl-142* plants; however, 479 introns exhibited significant
differences (false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.01; red and blue dots in Figure 3A). Among these,
60 introns (13%; red dots in Figure 3A) began with AT and ended with AC—that is, putative
AT–AC introns. This proportion was markedly higher than that in the *Arabidopsis* genome as a
whole (0.06%; $P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, chi-square test). Furthermore, among the 479 introns identified,

PIRs of approximately half of the non–AT–AC introns were significantly increased, whereas the

other half were decreased in *ttl-142*. Conversely, the PIRs of nearly all AT–AC introns

increased, indicating that splicing of AT–AC introns was specifically suppressed in the *ttl-142*

mutant. Genes containing AT–AC introns whose PIRs were altered in *ttl-142* are listed in Table

1.

The splicing patterns of AT–AC introns were next compared between the *ttl-142* and

drol1-1 mutants (Figure 3B). Among the 79 AT–AC introns analyzed, 47 showed significant

changes in PIR in both *ttl-142* and *drol1-1* relative to the WT (FDR < 0.01; blue dots in Figure

3B). On average, PIR increased by 9% in *ttl-142* (P < 0.001, Student's *t*-test). Eighteen AT–AC

introns exhibited significantly increased PIR values only in *ttl-142* compared with WT (red

squares in Figure 3B), whereas only one intron showed a significant increase in *drol1-1* alone

(green triangle in Figure 3B). Taken together, these results indicate that splicing of AT–AC

introns was more strongly suppressed in *ttl-142* than in *drol1-1*. This finding is inconsistent with

the observation that *ttl-142* exhibits a milder morphological phenotype than *drol1-1* and

suggests that the severe phenotype of *drol1-1* is not solely attributable to inhibition of AT–AC

intron splicing.

In general, AT–AC introns are spliced by the U12-dependent spliceosome, which also

processes introns with GT–AG termini. We next examined the dependency of each intron type

on TTL function. The U2-dependent spliceosome, the major spliceosome in eukaryotes, is

responsible for splicing most introns. As shown in Figure 3C (left column), the PIR values of

introns spliced by the U2-dependent spliceosome were zero or nearly zero in both WT and

ttl-142 plants. Moreover, no significant differences in PIR were observed between WT and

ttl-142 for U2-type introns (Figure 3C, lower left), indicating that TTL is not required for

U2-dependent splicing. Conversely, the PIR values of most U12-type GT–AG introns were near

zero in WT plants but increased in *ttl-142* (Figure 3C, middle column). On average, the

difference in PIR between *ttl-142* and WT for these introns was 24%, which was significantly

different from zero ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$, Student's *t*-test). Furthermore, the PIR values of U12-type

AT–AC introns were significantly higher in *ttl-142* than in WT plants ($P < 2.2 \times 10^{-16}$,

Student's *t*-test), with an average difference of 45% (Figure 3C, right column). In *ttl-142* plants,

PIR values of U12-type AT–AC introns were significantly greater than those of U12-type

GT–AG introns ($P < 1.3 \times 10^{-8}$, Student's *t*-test). Taken together, these results indicate that TTL

is required for U12-dependent intron splicing, particularly for AT–AC introns. This finding is

consistent with previous results for human CENATAC but differs from DROL1, which is

required exclusively for the splicing of AT–AC introns.

Structural analysis of the human minor spliceosome has revealed that CENATAC binds to
the U4atac/U6atac di-snRNP (Bai et al. 2024). A previous biochemical study also shown that
CENATAC primarily associates with the U4atac/U6atac di-snRNP (de Wolf et al. 2021). These
findings are consistent with the observation that when CENATAC or TTL function is impaired,
splicing of all U12-type introns is suppressed. However, because CENATAC is positioned far
from the 5′ end of the intron within the spliceosome, this localization cannot explain the
observed differences in dependency between U12-type GT–AG and AT–AC introns.
Conversely, Dim1, a homolog of DROL1, is known to be located adjacent to the 5′ end of the
intron (Bertram et al. 2017; Zhan et al. 2018). Functional analysis of DROL1 has shown that it
regulates the specific binding of U5 snRNPs to the 5′ end of the intron (Suzuki et al. 2025).
Further analysis will be required to elucidate why U12-type AT–AC introns are more dependent
on TTL.
224

RT-PCR analysis of AT–AC intron retention in ttl-142
Figure 4A shows the compiled RNA-Seq reads derived from WT and *ttl-142* seedlings mapped
to the *TTL* gene. Short reads from WT seedlings primarily mapped to exons, with minimal
coverage in introns other than the fourth intron (Figure 4A, upper panel). Overall, *ttl-142*
exhibited a pattern similar to WT except for the 42-nucleotide deletion in the first exon (red
arrowhead) and the AT–AC intron located in the third exon. The PIR for this intron was 44% in
WT but reached 100% in *ttl-142*. This result was further validated via reverse transcription PCR
(RT-PCR). RT-PCR was performed using primers designed upstream of the AT–AC intron and
on exon 4 (Figure 4B). In WT plants, three peaks were detected, one of which, located around
250 bp, could not be identified. The remaining two peaks were assigned to mRNAs with or
without the AT–AC intron (Figure 4C). In contrast, in the *ttl-142* mutant, the peak representing
the AT–AC intron-containing mRNA was predominant, whereas the peak corresponding to the
spliced product was barely detectable (Figure 4D).
The *TTL* gene contains an AT–AC intron within its third exon that encodes part of the
functional protein. In WT plants, approximately half of TTL transcripts retain the AT–AC
intron and encode functional proteins, whereas the remainder are spliced to remove this intron.
This balance may be maintained through a negative feedback mechanism, as TTL itself
promotes splicing of the AT–AC intron. In our RNA-Seq dataset, the abundance of TTL
transcripts in *ttl-142* was approximately 2.4-fold higher than that in WT, supporting the
possibility that TTL expression is regulated by negative feedback.

The histone deacetylase 2B (*HD2B*) gene belongs to the *HD2* gene family, which encodes

plant-specific histone deacetylases (Hollender and Liu 2008). Notably, its third intron is an

AT–AC intron. In WT seedlings, all introns were fully spliced, whereas in *ttl-142*, 65% of the

AT–AC introns were retained (Figure 4E). Additionally, DNA fragments containing AT–AC

introns were undetectable in WT transcriptomes but were markedly more abundant in *ttl-142*, as

confirmed via RT-PCR (Figures 4F–4H). Finally, no significant difference was observed in

HD2B expression levels between WT and *ttl-142*.

A similar analysis was performed on five genes (*HSI2*, *AT1G79880*, *AT5G06620*,

AT4G23330, and *AT1G18090*) that contain U12-type GT–AG introns. In each case, U12-type

intron–specific retention was increased in *ttl-142* compared with WT and *drol1-1*, confirming

that *TTL* is required for U12-dependent splicing (Figures S2–S6).

DEGs in the ttl-142 mutant

We next compared genome-wide gene expression patterns between WT and *ttl-142* plants.

DEGs were identified using ASpli (Estefania et al. 2021) with an FDR threshold of $<1 \times 10^{-4}$.

Compared with WT, 295 genes were significantly upregulated and 657 were downregulated in

ttl-142 (Figure 5A). In contrast, 1402 genes were upregulated and 1376 were downregulated in

drol1-1 compared with WT (Figure 5B). Overall, *drol1-1* exhibited a larger number of DEGs

than *ttl-142*, which may account for its stronger developmental phenotype; however, this

difference could not be explained by the degree of intron retention.

When comparing the *ttl-142* and *drol1-1* DEG datasets, we identified 80 genes that were

upregulated and 220 that were downregulated in both mutants (Figure 5C, blue circles).

However, 66 genes exhibited opposing expression changes between *ttl-142* and *drol1-1* (Figure

5C, blue circles), indicating that the overall gene expression patterns of *ttl-142* and *drol1-1* are

distinct.

Previous studies reported that gene ontology (GO) terms such as "lipid storage," "response

to abscisic acid," "response to water deprivation," and "response to salt stress" were

over-represented among genes upregulated in *drol1* relative to WT, whereas "mitotic cell cycle

transition" and "regulation of cyclin-dependent protein serine/threonine kinase activity" were

over-represented among genes downregulated in *drol1* (Suzuki et al. 2022). In this study, a

similar GO analysis of *ttl-142* revealed no significantly enriched ontologies among genes whose

expression levels were either increased or decreased. Moreover, no significantly enriched GO

terms were identified among genes that were commonly up- or downregulated in both *drol1* and

ttl-142. Taken together, these findings indicate that the upregulation of abscisic acid

(ABA)-related genes and the downregulation of cell division–related genes in *drol1* are specific

to that mutant and are not necessarily associated with inhibition of AT–AC intron splicing.

Although *drol1* and *ttl-142* display similar phenotypes in cotyledon shape and leaf color,

the *drol1* phenotype is more severe (Figure 1). Previously, the *drol1* phenotype was attributed to

splicing suppression specific to AT–AC introns (Suzuki et al. 2022). However, in *ttl-142*, where

splicing suppression is even more pronounced than in *drol1*, the phenotype is milder. This

indicates that the *drol1* phenotype cannot be explained by splicing suppression alone.

Additionally, *drol1* exhibits high sensitivity to ABA, with significant upregulation of ABA

pathway–related genes relative to WT (Suzuki et al. 2022). Taken together, these findings

suggest that the loss of DROL1 specifically impairs a particular stage of splicing, which in turn

activates the ABA response.

Subcellular localization of the TTL protein

We next examined the subcellular localization of the TTL protein. A DNA fragment containing

1 kbp upstream and the full coding sequence of TTL was amplified from the *Arabidopsis*

genome and fused at its C-terminus to GFP. Introduction of this recombinant construct

(TTLn-GFP) into *ttl-142* rescued the mutant phenotype (Figure 6A), but GFP fluorescence was

not detected (10 independent T2 lines were examined). RNA was extracted from the

transformants and reverse-transcribed using a GFP-specific primer. RT-PCR revealed that

approximately half of the AT–AC intron was spliced (Figure 6B). We speculated that

alternative splicing of the AT–AC intron reduces the abundance of transcripts encoding a

functional TTL protein.

To test this, we introduced 23 base substitutions into the AT–AC intron without altering the

amino acid sequence (Figure S7). The modified gene was designated *TTLnmI*. When GFP was

fused to *TTLnmI* and expressed in *ttl-142*, the phenotype was restored (Figure 6C). RT-PCR

confirmed that the modified AT–AC intron was no longer spliced (Figure 6D). Strong GFP

fluorescence was observed in root (Figures 6E–6J), and the signal was localized to the nucleus,

consistent with previous results obtained using protoplasts (Lu et al. 2012).

The fluorescent intensities of TTLn-GFP and TTLnmI-GFP were not correlated with the

amounts of their transcripts, suggesting that TTLn-GFP mRNAs retaining the AT–AC intron are

not used for translation. These results are consistent with our previous report showing that the

retained AT–AC intron inhibits mRNA export to the cytoplasm (Suzuki et al. 2025).

Because *TTL* mRNA levels were increased in *ttl-142* mutants, we propose that TTL

expression is regulated through a negative feedback loop (de Wolf et al. 2021). Furthermore,

GFP fused to TTL was not expressed in transformants, whereas GFP fluorescence was detected
when mutations were introduced into the AT–AC intron. Given that the AT–AC intron within
the TTL exon encodes part of the functional protein and that TTL itself promotes splicing of
this intron, we suggest that TTL protein abundance is controlled by a negative feedback
mechanism mediated by AT–AC intron splicing. Such splicing-dependent autoregulation may
represent a conserved feature of the *CENATAC/TTL* gene family.

Conclusion

Arabidopsis *TTL* was originally isolated as a recessive embryonic lethal gene (Lu *et al.*,
2012), which substantially hindered analysis of its role in pre-mRNA splicing. Using
CRISPR-Cas9, we therefore generated two weak *TTL* alleles, *ttl-142* and *ttl-215*. RNA-Seq
analysis showed that splicing of U12-type introns was suppressed in *ttl-142*, with AT–AC
introns being particularly affected. This phenotype resembles that observed in human patients
and in CENATAC-depleted cells (de Wolf *et al.*, 2021), indicating that the CENATAC/*TTL*
gene family constitutes a conserved factor required for efficient splicing of U12-type introns,
especially those of the AT–AC subtype.

Although both *ttl□142* and *drol1* exhibit defects in AT–AC intron splicing and share
similar developmental abnormalities, the severity of their phenotypes correlates with changes in
gene expression rather than with the extent of splicing impairment. This suggests that defective
splicing of AT–AC introns does not directly determine specific phenotypic outcomes. Instead,
the distinct phenotypes of *ttl□142* and *drol1* are likely caused by the loss of their respective
protein functions. We have ioslated *drol1* suppressors and demonstrated that their
developmental phenotypes were indistinguishable from those of the WT, although the defects in
AT-AC intron splicing were not fully restored (Suzuki et al. 2025). This result further indicates
that the phenotypes of *ttl-142* and *drol1* are not caused solely by splicing impairment.

Expression of the *TTL* was increased in the *ttl-142* mutant, suggesting that *TTL* abundance
may be regulated through a negative feedback loop (de Wolf *et al.*, 2021). Consistent with this
idea, TTL–GFP was not detected in transformants expressing the wild□type construct, whereas
strong GFP fluorescence was observed when mutations were introduced into the AT–AC intron.
Because the AT–AC intron within the *TTL* exon encodes part of the functional protein and *TTL*
itself promotes splicing of this intron, these results indicate that *TTL* protein levels are regulated
by a negative feedback mechanism mediated by AT–AC intron splicing. Such

splicing-dependent autoregulation appears to represent a common feature of the
CENATAC/*TTL* gene family.

The CENATAC/*TTL* family contains two C2H2 domains at the N-terminus and a
conserved sequence of unknown function at the C-terminus, connected by an intrinsically
disordered region. CENATAC has been shown to bind U4atac and U6atac snRNAs via its
C2H2 domain (Bai et al. 2024). The *ttl-142* allele carries a deletion within one C2H2 domain,
which likely reduces its ability to bind the 5′ cap of U4atac snRNA, yet *ttl-142* remains viable.
This observation suggests that *TTL* binding to U4atac snRNA may be partially retained or
dispensable for viability. In contrast, the mutation identified in humans eliminates the conserved
C-terminal motif, and the recessive lethal allele *ttl-401* similarly lacks this region. Future studies
will be required to determine how these structural features contribute to U12-dependent splicing
and to fully elucidate the molecular function of *TTL*.

Materials and Methods
Plant material and growth conditions
A. thaliana (L.) Heynh. ecotype Columbia (Col-0) was used as the WT. The *drol1-1* mutant was
characterized previously (Suzuki et al. 2018). Unless otherwise indicated, seeds were
surface-sterilized and germinated on 0.3% (w/v) gellan gum plates containing half-strength
Murashige and Skoog medium (Wako, Japan) supplemented with 2% (w/v) sucrose, 0.05%
(w/v) 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (pH adjusted to 5.7 with KOH), 100 mg/L
myo-inositol, 10 mg/L thiamine hydrochloride, 1 mg/L nicotinic acid, and 1 mg/L pyridoxine
hydrochloride. Plant growth conditions were as described previously (Suzuki et al. 2018).   For
root observation and RNA extraction, seeds were surface-sterilized and plated on 1.5% (w/v)
agar medium supplemented with 1% (w/v) sucrose. Plates were placed vertically in a growth
chamber.

Gene cloning and plasmid construction
Gene-editing constructs were prepared using the pKI1.1R vector and combinations of specific
oligonucleotides (Tsutsui and Higashiyama 2016).
DNA fragments for TTLg were amplified from *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA using the
attB1_TTLp and attB2_TTLt primers. The amplified fragments were ligated to a pDONR vector
that had been inverse-PCR-amplified with the attL1 and attL2 primers, using an In-Fusion
Cloning Kit (Takara, Tokyo, Japan). The resulting plasmid was designated pDONR-TTLg. The
TTLg insert was subsequently transferred into pFAST-G01 (Shimada et al. 2010) via LR

recombination using Gateway cloning (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA), and the resulting

construct was used for complementation of *ttl-142*.

For the expression of GFP-fused TTL, pDONR-TTLg was used as a template for inverse PCR

with the attB2_TTL and attL2 primers, and the resulting PCR product was circularized using an

In-Fusion Cloning Kit to generate pDONR-TTLn. This plasmid was then used as a template for

inverse PCR with TTL_mIS and TTL_mIA primers, and the self-ligated product was designated

pDONR-TTLnmI. Finally, inserts were transferred into the pGWB550 binary vector (Nakagawa

et al. 2007), which was subsequently used for transformation. All oligonucleotides used in this

study are listed in Table S1.

RNA extraction

Seedlings were harvested at the time points specified in the Results section, flash-frozen in

liquid nitrogen, and ground into a fine powder using a pestle and mortar. Total RNA was

extracted from the powdered tissue using the NucleoSpin RNA Plant Kit (Takara, Shiga, Japan),

following the manufacturer's instructions.

RNA-Seq analysis

Library preparation, sequencing, and read trimming were performed using the same protocols as

described in our previous study (Suzuki et al. 2022). The obtained reads were aligned to the

genomic sequence using HISAT2 (Kim, Paggi, et al. 2019) with default parameters. PIR values

for introns, as well as log(fold change) and FDR values for both introns and genes, were

calculated using ASpli (Estefania et al. 2021).

RT-PCR and microchip electrophoresis

For RT-PCR, cDNA was synthesized from 400 ng of total RNA using 100 U of SuperScript III

reverse transcriptase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, MA, USA) and 1 pmol of each of antisense

primers in a total reaction volume of 10 μL. The synthesized cDNA was then amplified via PCR

using MightyAmp (Takara, Shiga, Japan) for 30 cycles. PCR products were diluted fivefold

with water and analyzed using the MultiNA microchip electrophoresis system (Shimadzu,

Kyoto, Japan). All primers used are listed in Table S1.

Microscopy

The roots of seedlings were grown vertically for 4 days on medium containing 1% sucrose and
1.5% agar. Roots were then observed using an LSM 710 AxioObserver confocal microscope
(Zeiss, Jena, Germany).
413

414 *Statistical analyses*
415 All statistical analyses were performed using R version 4.3.3 (https://www.r-project.org/).
416 Specifically, the t.test and chisq.test functions were used to conduct Student's *t*-tests and
417 chi-square tests, respectively.
418

Data availability
The data underlying this article are available in the DNA Data Bank of Japan under accession
number PRJDB20300. Data for WT and *drol1* were obtained under accession number
PRJDB9966. Arabidopsis *ttl* mutants will be made available following publication through the
RIKEN BioResource Research Center (https://epd.brc.riken.jp/en/). Plasmids generated in this
study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
425

426 **Funding**
427 This work was supported by a grant from JSPS KAKENHI (No. 23K05809 to T.S.), two grants
428 from MEXT KAKENHI (Nos. JP20H05905 and JP20H05906 to T.S.), and two additional
429 grants from Chubu University (Nos. 21M04A1 and 22210K to T.S.).
430

431 **Acknowledgments**
432 We thank Ayami Furuta and Mine Morimoto for valuable technical assistance. We also thank
433 Enago (www.enago.jp) for English language review.
434

435 **Author contributions**
436 T.S. conceived the study; T.S. and T.N. designed the experiments; T.S., T.N., J.M., N.I., H.I.,
437 and T.K. performed the experiments; T.S. analyzed the data; and T.S. wrote the manuscript.
438

439 **Disclosures/Conflicts of interest**
440 The authors declare no conflicts of interest.
441

References

Abramson, J., Adler, J., Dunger, J., Evans, R., Green, T., Pritzel, A., et al. (2024) Accurate
structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature 630: 493-500.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w.

Bai, R., Yuan, M., Zhang, P., Luo, T., Shi, Y., and Wan, R. (2024) Structural basis of U12-type
intron engagement by the fully assembled human minor spliceosome. Science 383:
1245-1252. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adn7272.

Bertram, K., Agafonov, D.E., Dybkov, O., Haselbach, D., Leelaram, M.N., Will, C.L., et al.
(2017) Cryo-EM structure of a pre-catalytic human spliceosome primed for activation. Cell
170: 701-713.e11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.07.011.

de Wolf, B., Oghabian, A., Akinyi, M.V., Hanks, S., Tromer, E.C., van Hooff, J.J.E., et al.
(2021) Chromosomal instability by mutations in the novel minor spliceosome component
CENATAC. EMBO J. 40: e106536. https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020106536.

Ding, Z., Meng, Y.R., Fan, Y.J., and Xu, Y.Z. (2023) Roles of minor spliceosome in intron
recognition and the convergence with the better understood major spliceosome. Wiley
Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 14: e1761. https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1761.

Estefania, M., Andres, R., Javier, I., Marcelo, Y., and Ariel, C. (2021) ASpli: integrative
analysis of splicing landscapes through RNA-Seq assays. Bioinformatics 37: 2609-2616.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btab141.

Gordon, J.M., Phizicky, D.V., and Neugebauer, K.M. (2021) Nuclear mechanisms of gene
expression control: pre-mRNA splicing as a life-or-death decision. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.
67: 67-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2020.11.002.

Hollender, C., and Liu, Z. (2008) Histone deacetylase genes in *Arabidopsis* development. J.
Integr. Plant Biol. 50: 875-885. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00704.x.

Kim, D., Paggi, J.M., Park, C., Bennett, C. and Salzberg, S.L. (2019) Graph-based genome
alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nat. Biotechnol., 37:
907–915. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-019-0201-4

Lu, X., Li, Y., Su, Y., Liang, Q., Meng, H., Li, S., et al. (2012) An *Arabidopsis* gene encoding a
C2H2-domain protein with alternatively spliced transcripts is essential for endosperm
development. J. Exp. Bot. 63: 5935-5944. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ers243.

Nakagawa, T., Suzuki, T., Murata, S., Nakamura, S., Hino, T., Maeo, K., et al. (2007) Improved
Gateway binary vectors: high-performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in
transgenic analysis of plants. Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem. 71: 2095-2100.
https://doi.org/10.1271/bbb.70216.

Ohtani, M. (2017) Plant snRNP biogenesis: a perspective from the nucleolus and Cajal bodies.
Front. Plant Sci. **8**: 2184. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2017.02184.

Szcześniak, M.W., Kabza, M., Pokrzywa, R., Gudyś, A. and Makałowska, I. (2013) ERISdb: A
Database of Plant Splice Sites and Splicing Signals. Plant Cell Physiol. 54: e10–e10.
https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pct001.

Shimada, T.L., Shimada, T., and Hara-Nishimura, I. (2010) A rapid and non-destructive
screenable marker, FAST, for identifying transformed seeds of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant
J. 61: 519-528. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2009.04060.x.

Suzuki, T., Kawai, T., Takemura, S., Nishiwaki, M., Suzuki, T., Nakamura, K., et al. (2018)
Development of the Mitsucal computer system to identify causal mutation with a
high-throughput sequencer. Plant Reprod. 31: 117-128.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00497-018-0331-8.

Suzuki, T., Niwa, T., Furuta, A., Aramaki, D., Toyama, K., Ito, M., et al. (2025) *DROL1/DIB1*
determines U5 snRNP specificity for intron terminal dinucleotide in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J.
123: e70493. https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.70493.

Suzuki, T., Shinagawa, T., Niwa, T., Akeda, H., Hashimoto, S., Tanaka, H., et al. (2022) The
DROL1 subunit of U5 snRNP in the spliceosome is specifically required to splice
AT–AC-type introns in *Arabidopsis*. Plant J. 109: 633-648.
https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15582.

Tsutsui, H., and Higashiyama, T. (2017) pKAMA-ITACHI vectors for highly efficient
CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Cell Physiol. 58:
46-56. https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcw191.

Turunen, J.J., Niemelä, E.H., Verma, B., and Frilander, M.J. (2013) The significant other:
splicing by the minor spliceosome. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. *RNA* 4: 61-76.
https://doi.org/10.1002/wrna.1141.

Wilkinson, M.E., Charenton, C., and Nagai, K. (2020) RNA splicing by the spliceosome. Annu.
Rev. Biochem. 89: 359-388. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-091719-064225.

Zhan, X., Yan, C., Zhang, X., Lei, J., and Shi, Y. (2018) Structures of the human pre-catalytic
spliceosome and its precursor spliceosome. Cell Res. 28: 1129-1140.
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-018-0094-7.

Table 1 Genes with AT–AC-type introns showing significant intron retention in *ttl-142* mutants

Gene	Difference in PIR	Log$_2$ (fold change)	FDR	Gene function
AT4G15802	46.4	−1.01	1.07×10^{-92}	Heat shock factor-binding protein
AT2G39080	64.0	−1.54	2.64×10^{-71}	NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein
AT5G22650	64.5	−1.55	7.89×10^{-71}	Histone deacetylase 2B (HD2B)
AT4G13615	39.7	−0.85	1.46×10^{-69}	Uncharacterized protein family SERF
AT3G54670	90.2	−3.23	4.18×10^{-67}	Structural maintenance of chromosome family protein (SMC1)
AT1G80500	44.1	−0.96	2.21×10^{-55}	SNARE-like superfamily protein
AT2G16485	78.2	−2.21	2.08×10^{-54}	NEEDED FOR RDR2-INDEPENDENT DNA METHYLATION (NERD)
AT4G05050*	15.1	−6.28	1.58×10^{-52}	Ubiquitin 11
AT3G22880*	73.4	−1.94	1.66×10^{-52}	DNA repair (Rad51) family protein (DMC1)
AT3G51460	41.2	−0.88	2.01×10^{-49}	Phosphoinositide phosphatase family protein
AT5G27380	57.3	−1.34	1.71×10^{-48}	Glutathione synthetase 2 (GSH2)
AT2G39960	48.5	−1.07	2.36×10^{-47}	Microsomal signal peptidase 25 kDa subunit (SPC25)
AT3G50590	66.6	−1.65	9.18×10^{-46}	Transducin/WD40 superfamily repeat-like protein
AT4G38240	76.9	−2.10	4.06×10^{-45}	α-1,3-mannosyl-glycoprotein β-1,2-N-acetylglucosaminyltransferase, putative
AT1G29940	76.9	−2.09	1.03×10^{-44}	Nuclear RNA polymerase A2 (NRPA2)
AT3G53180	79.4	−2.23	2.45×10^{-43}	Glutamate-ammonia ligases
AT5G22110	83.3	−2.56	6.78×10^{-42}	DNA polymerase epsilon subunit B2 (DPB2)
AT2G40840	29.1	−0.61	2.81×10^{-41}	Disproportionating enzyme 2 (DPE2)
AT3G62830	38.2	−0.82	2.30×10^{-40}	NAD(P)-binding Rossmann-fold superfamily protein
AT2G47650	42.6	−0.92	3.57×10^{-40}	UDP-glucuronic acid decarboxylase 4 (UXS4)
AT5G03740	38.5	−0.83	1.69×10^{-38}	Histone deacetylase 2C (HD2C)
AT3G51120	82.6	−2.62	8.62×10^{-38}	Zinc finger CCCH domain-containing protein 44
AT1G31660	64.1	−1.57	3.12×10^{-37}	Unknown protein
AT5G38380	65.5	−1.62	2.11×10^{-36}	Unknown protein

Gene	Difference in Log$_2$ PIR	Log$_2$ (fold change)	FDR	Gene function
AT5G56900	59.4	−1.38	3.19×10^{-34}	CwfJ-like family protein/zinc finger (CCCH-type) family protein
AT5G44200	49.9	−1.14	2.70×10^{-32}	CAP-binding protein 20 (CBP20)
AT5G18410	70.4	−1.76	1.07×10^{-31}	Transcription activators
AT3G21215	49.8	−1.12	5.01×10^{-29}	RNA-binding (RRM/RBD/RNP motifs) family protein
AT1G24050	15.8	−0.32	1.85×10^{-28}	RNA-processing, Lsm domain
AT5G60150*	90.8	−3.05	3.74×10^{-28}	Unknown protein
AT1G29630	84.7	−2.72	5.32×10^{-27}	5′→3′ exonuclease family protein (EXO1)
AT1G63640*	49.6	−1.11	7.41×10^{-27}	P-loop nucleoside triphosphate superfamily hydrolases protein with CH (Calponin Homology) domain
AT1G06720	37.3	−0.80	2.33×10^{-26}	P-loop containing nucleoside triphosphate superfamily hydrolases protein
AT1G77320	75.4	−2.27	8.58×10^{-26}	Transcription coactivator
AT1G67960	66.5	−1.72	2.53×10^{-25}	Unknown protein
AT3G06820	67.8	−1.78	3.09×10^{-25}	Mov34/MPN/PAD-1 family protein
AT5G63700	86.1	−3.62	3.89×10^{-25}	Zinc ion binding/DNA binding protein
AT3G53520	23.5	−0.48	5.88×10^{-25}	UDP-glucuronic acid decarboxylase 1 (UXS1)
AT2G44270	37.5	−0.80	8.88×10^{-24}	Repressor of *lrx1* (ROL5)
AT3G24100	8.0	−0.16	2.29×10^{-22}	Uncharacterized protein family SERF
AT3G56900*	32.7	−0.69	2.46×10^{-22}	Transducin/WD40 superfamily repeat-like protein
AT2G27840	16.1	−0.32	2.48×10^{-22}	Histone deacetylase 2D (HD2D)
AT2G27170*	38.2	−0.81	8.54×10^{-22}	Structural maintenance of chromosomes family protein
AT5G08430	65.4	−1.85	2.60×10^{-19}	SWIB/MDM2, Plus-3, and GYF domain-containing protein
AT1G73350	48.9	−1.22	2.89×10^{-19}	Unknown protein
AT1G26170	48.3	−1.06	2.41×10^{-18}	ARM repeat superfamily protein
AT1G02750	43.0	−0.94	5.44×10^{-18}	Drought-responsive family protein
AT1G80210	54.2	−1.23	1.07×10^{-17}	Mov34/MPN/PAD-1 family protein
AT1G54370	51.4	−1.17	1.11×10^{-17}	Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchanger 5 (NHX5)
AT5G26990*	45.7	−1.04	3.94×10^{-17}	Drought-responsive family protein
AT1G04130*	31.1	−0.65	1.88×10^{-16}	Tetratricopeptide repeat (TPR)-like superfamily protein
AT4G24900	55.6	−5.64	1.99×10^{-16}	C2H2-domain protein (TTL)

Gene	Difference in PIR	Log$_2$ (fold change)	FDR	Gene function
AT1G79610	54.4	−1.25	6.55×10^{-16}	Na$^+$/H$^+$ exchanger 6 (NHX6)
AT4G30900	48.5	−1.08	1.57×10^{-13}	DNAse I-like superfamily protein
AT3G05700[*]	39.0	−0.84	3.11×10^{-12}	Drought-responsive family protein
AT2G47500[*]	22.7	−0.46	3.18×10^{-10}	P-loop nucleoside triphosphate superfamily hydrolases protein with CH domain
AT1G56280[*]	5.4	−0.11	1.23×10^{-9}	drought-induced 19
AT3G04820[*]	20.9	−0.43	5.03×10^{-9}	Pseudouridine synthase family protein
AT5G24450[*]	25.0	−0.53	5.40×10^{-7}	Transcription factor IIIC, subunit 5
AT5G49230[*]	16.2	−0.34	0.00171	Drought-responsive family protein

510   * indicates genes corresponding to the red squares in Figure 3B

    Figure legends

Figure 1

Generation of TTL mutants. (A) Structure of *TTL*. Exons are shown as boxes, with white

regions indicating coding sequences and gray regions indicating UTRs. The blue box indicates

the AT–AC intron located in exon 3, and the red boxes denote the *ttl-142*, *ttl-215*, and *ttl-401*

mutations, respectively. (B) Nucleotide sequences surrounding the TTL genomic region

targeted for genome editing. The WT nucleotide and deduced amino acid sequences are shown

in the first and second lines, respectively. The sgRNA target sequence is underlined, and the

protospacer adjacent motif is shown in green. Exonic and intronic regions are indicated in

uppercase and lowercase letters, respectively. The sequences of the *ttl-142*, *ttl-215*, and *ttl-401*

alleles generated by genome editing are shown below the WT sequence, and numbers within the

sequences indicate the length of nucleotide deletions. (C–G) Phenotypes of WT, *ttl-142*, *drol1-1*,

TTLg/ttl-142, and   *ttl-215*. All photographs were taken 13 days after germination. Scale bar = 5

mm.

Figure 2

Root phenotypes and growth defects of *ttl* mutants. (A–D) Seedlings of 3-day-old WT, *ttl-142*,

ttl-215, and *drol1-1*. Scale bar = 1 mm. (E) Root length of 3–7-day-old seedlings. Error bars

represent standard deviation (n = 32–95).

Figure 3

Global intron retention in the *ttl-142* mutant. (A) Scatter plot of the PIR in WT and *ttl-142*

plants. A total of 3,387 introns were analyzed using ASpli (Estefania et al. 2021). AT–AC

introns and other intron types (mainly GT–AG) with FDR < 0.01 are plotted as large red and

blue dots, respectively; small dots represent introns without significant changes. (B)

Comparison of PIR between *ttl-142* and *drol1-1*. PIR values for all AT–AC introns in *ttl-142*

and *drol1-1* are plotted on the vertical and horizontal axes, respectively. Red squares, green

triangles, and large blue dots represent AT–AC introns with FDR < 0.01 (relative to WT) in

ttl-142, *drol1-1*, and both mutants, respectively. Small dots denote AT–AC introns with no

significant change in PIR. (C) Histograms of PIR distributions. From left to right, columns show

U2 introns, U12-type GT–AG introns, and U12-type AT–AC introns grouped by PIR values.

The first and second rows display observed PIR values in WT and *ttl-142*, respectively, and the

third row shows the differences in PIR (*ttl-142* minus WT). U12-type introns were downloaded

from ERISdb (Szcześniak et al. 2013).

Figure 4

RNA-Seq and RT-PCR analyses of AT–AC intron retention in *TTL* and *HD2B* in WT and

ttl-142. (A) RNA-Seq analysis of *TTL*. Total RNA was extracted from 3-day-old WT (upper)

and *ttl-142* (lower) seedlings, followed by cDNA library construction and next-generation

sequencing. All reads obtained were aligned to the *TTL* gene sequence. The x-axis represents

reference sequences, and the y-axis indicates short-read coverage. The red arrowhead marks the

42-nucleotide deletion in *ttl-142*. (B) Structure of the *TTL* gene. Gray and white boxes represent

introns and exons, respectively, and blue boxes indicate AT–AC-type introns. Expected

RT-PCR products shown in (C) and (D) are also indicated, along with their expected fragment

sizes. (C, D) Microchip electrophoresis of DNA fragments amplified via RT-PCR from (C) WT

and (D) *ttl-142* RNA. The x-axis represents DNA fragment size (bp), and the y-axis indicates

fluorescence intensity corresponding to DNA abundance. (E-H) Corresponding analyses for

HD2B.

Figure 5

Comparison of DEGs in *ttl-142* and *drol1-1*. (A) Volcano plot showing DEGs in *ttl-142* relative

to WT. Genes with FDR $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$ are highlighted with red squares. FDR values smaller than 1

$\times 10^{-30}$ were rounded to 1×10^{-30} for plotting. (B) Volcano plot showing DEGs in *drol1-1*

relative to WT. Genes with FDR $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$ are highlighted with green triangles. (C) Log_2 fold

changes in gene expression levels in *ttl-142* and *drol1-1* were plotted on the vertical and

horizontal axes, respectively. Red squares, green triangles, and blue dots represent genes with

FDR $< 1 \times 10^{-4}$ in *ttl-142*, *drol1-1*, and both mutants, respectively. Numbers within the plot

indicate the number of genes in each group.

Figure 6

Subcellular localization of TTL in root cells. (A and C) Phenotypes of *TTLn-GFP* / *ttl-142* and

TTLnmI-GFP / *ttl-142*. All photographs were taken 13 days after germination. Scale bar = 5 mm.

(B and D) RT☐PCR analysis of TTL splicing. RNA extracted from (B) *TTLn☐GFP* / *ttl☐142*

and (D) *TTLnmI-GFP* / *ttl-142* was reverse☐transcribed using primers for GFP (black line) or

for the 3′ UTR of TTL (blue line). Subsequent PCR and electrophoresis were performed as

described in Figure☐4C. (E-G) Root of a WT transformant expressing TTLnmI–GFP. Shown

are (E) fluorescence from TTLnmI–GFP, (F) a differential interference contrast image, and (G)

the merged image. Scale bar = 20 µm. (H–J) Magnified view of (E–G). Scale bar = 20 µm. All

images were acquired using an LSM 710 AxioObserver confocal microscope (Zeiss, Jena,

Germany).

A

ttl-142   *ttl-215*   *ttl-401*

109 bp   300 bp

B

```
WT      : GTTTGGTGTGTCTTCTGCGATGAGGACATTGTTGAGCTCGGTAGCTCCTTCGCCTGGtat
        :  V  W  C  V  F  C  D  E  D  I  V  E  L  G  S  S  F  A  C
ttl-142 : GTTTGGTGT----------------- 42 bp ------------------GCCTGgtat

WT      : CATCTTAAGAATATCAAGCAATTTCTGTCGAAAAATGGCCCGGCAATGGATTGCATAGAC
        :  H  L  K  N  I  K  Q  F  L  S  K  N  G  P  A  M  D  C  I  D
ttl-215 : CATCTTAAGAATATCAAGCAATATCAA---- 15 bp ----GCAATGGATTGCATAGAC

WT      : AAGAATCGTAAGCTGAATCCTAATAGGGTTGGCGCTGCATGGGCTGAAAGGAGAAAGATA
        :  K  N  R  K  L  N  P  N  R  V  G  A  A  W  A  E  R  R  K  I
ttl-401 : AAGAATCGTAAGCTGAATCCTAATAGGGTTGGCGCTGCATGGGCTGAAAGGAGAAAGATA
```

C   **D**   **E**   **F**   **G**

Figure 1

E

root length (mm)

WT
ttl-142
ttl-215
drol1-1

day after sowing

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6