Macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes

Chao Yang[1,*], Hao Huang[1], Naike Wang[1], Xavier Didelot[2], Ruifu Yang[3], Yujun Cui[3], Daniel Falush[1,*]

[1] The Center for Microbes, Development and Health, CAS Key Laboratory of Molecular Virology and Immunology, Shanghai Institute of Immunity and Infection, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China;

[2] School of Life Sciences and Department of Statistics, University of Warwick, UK

[3] State Key Laboratory of Pathogen and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Beijing, China;

* Corresponding authors:

C.Y.: cyang@siii.cas.cn; D.F.: daniel.falush@siii.cas.cn

Abstract

Macrogenetics investigates the patterns and predictors of intraspecific genetic variation across diverse taxa, offering a framework to address longstanding evolutionary hypotheses. Here, we present a comprehensive macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes (MAP), integrating genomic data (summarized by 30 parameters in 12 categories) from 15,235 prokaryotic species and population genetic data (35 parameters in 7 categories) from 786 species with phylogenetic, phenotypic, and ecological data. We illustrate the power and potential of the MAP by addressing a series of fundamental questions. For example, we provide evidence that genome size in prokaryotes depends on a balance between deletion bias and the beneficial effects of additional genes. Moreover, we show that long-range and short-range linkage disequilibrium represent quite different features of evolutionary dynamics and contribute independently to genetic diversity. Notably, we show that as within-species diversity increases, selection becomes an increasingly strong force structuring diversity, for example creating convergent ecospecies structures in *Streptococcus mitis* and *S. oralis*. Overall, our atlas represents a widely applicable resource and offers novel insights into the drivers of macroevolution and the life-cycle of prokaryotic species.

Introduction

Over the past 50 years, population genetics has greatly advanced our understanding of fundamental questions regarding the nature of genetic variation and evolution, while providing essential underpinnings for fields such as evolutionary biology and ecology[1]. More recently, the rapid advent of high-throughput sequencing technologies has dramatically propelled this field forward by enabling the generation of large-scale genomic data with unprecedented scope[2,3]. Classical population genetics focuses primarily on genetic diversity and evolutionary forces within individual species. Now, with the ongoing accumulation of data across many taxa, the time is ripe for a novel view—macrogenetics—which embraces broad taxonomic, spatial or temporal scales across dozens to thousands of species[4,5].

Macrogenetics holds significant promise for addressing longstanding evolutionary hypotheses. For instance, recent macrogenetic studies have uncovered a positive correlation between species diversity within a community and intrapopulation genetic diversity in fish and mammals[6,7], providing evidence for the species-genetic diversity correlation (SGDC) concept[8]. Additionally, macrogenetic studies also offer novel insights into what determines genetic diversity[9], revealing the species traits (fecundity and propagule size) underlying genetic diversity[10] and latitudinal gradients in genetic diversity[11].

Prokaryotes make up more biomass than all organisms other than plants combined[12]. Furthermore, a single prokaryotic species can have lineages within it that specialize to multiple distinct niches, for example differing in host range, pathogenicity and nutrient sources, the classic example being *Escherichia coli*[13]. This feature contrasts with eukaryotes where there is typically limited genetic differentiation and specialization within species. This difference raises a number of specific questions such as how are ecological niches partitioned between prokaryotic species, and how does phenotypic and niche diversity affect the genomic and population genetic characteristics of each species. These differences also mean that the process of speciation is likely to be driven by a different set of driving forces, which are collectively less well understood than those in eukaryotes[14].

Here we present a comprehensive macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes, integrating large-scale genomic and population genetic data with phylogenetic, phenotypic, and ecological metadata. This atlas is a widely applicable resource, and we demonstrate its utility in addressing long-standing evolutionary hypotheses and offering novel insights into the process of speciation.

Results

Overview of macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes

We analyzed 317,542 assembled genomes across 15,235 species (503 archaeal and 14,732 bacterial species; NCBI taxonomy). Following deduplication and quality control, a representative (*Rep.*) dataset for each species was constructed to minimize biases from repeat sampling (see Methods). To incorporate microevolution data, we also constructed one or more clonal group (CG) datasets for each species, consisting of sets of closely related genomes.

For genomic parameters (GP), we used the median value of *Rep.* genomes as the species estimate.
To estimate population genetic parameters (PGP), we performed random sampling of ten *Rep.*
genomes for each species across 10 independent replications, accounting for variable sample sizes.
The median PGP value from these replications was used as the species estimate. The CG dataset
was exclusively used to estimate mutation rates and recombination levels. In total, we obtained 30
parameters from 12 categories of GP for all 15,235 species and 35 parameters from 7 categories of
PGP for 786 species (**Fig. 1a,** Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). Moreover, we correlated these
parameters with phylogenetic trees, as well as phenotypic (ten categories) and ecological (four
categories) data retrieved from public databases[15–17].

85
We refer to the integrated GP and PGP data as the macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes (MAP). The
parameter values were transformed into rank percentages for each species to make them
distribution-agnostic and to provide a comprehensive overview (**Fig. 1b,c**). We quantified the
correlations among various categories (using *r* for quantitative data or η for categorical data), as
well as their relationships with the phylogenetic tree (Pagel's λ), demonstrating prevalent
correlations and strong phylogenetic signals for most parameters (**Fig. 1d**). The distribution of
different parameters was assessed (**Fig. 1e**), showing similar GP distributions for archaea and
bacteria, with the exception that archaeal species tend to have shorter gene lengths. Most
parameters exhibited normal-like, left-skewed, or right-skewed distributions, while bimodal
distributions were rarely identified, with the notable exceptions of GC content and codon bias
index (CBI).

97
Interestingly, we observed that some "well-studied" species (with >100 *Rep.* genomes) exhibit
"extreme" parameter values. For instance, the human pathogen *Helicobacter pylori* displays an
exceptionally high proportion of restriction-modification (RM) system genes, comprising 5.1% of
its genome, ranking first among 15,235 species. Additionally, we identified "extreme" genera. For
example, the cell-wall-deficient helical *Spiroplasma* bacterial genus has an exceptionally low
average gene length of 278 bp, with 7 of the lowest 10 species in gene length belonging to this
genus.

105
Determinants of genomic characteristics
The MAP enabled a comprehensive investigation of fundamental questions, such as what
determines genome size. To explore this, we extracted several genomic parameter (genome size,
gene length and GC content) related network (*r* or $\eta \geq 0.3$, **Fig. 2a**) and adjusted for phylogenetic
signals in the correlations (quantified by *r-adj*), due to the significant phylogenetic signals
observed across most parameters. Following adjustment, the correlation coefficients for most
parameter pairs decreased, with a notable reduction in the correlation between genome size and
GC content (*r* = 0.5, *r-adj* = 0.1).

114
Genome size
Genome replication is costly, so natural selection will remove unnecessary sequence. In support of
this prediction, we have found a negative correlation between genome size and growth rate (*r-adj*
= -0.13, **Fig. 2b**). Genome streamlining theories, which have found good empirical support in
eukaryotes, therefore predict that species where selection is more effective should have shorter,

more compact genomes[18,19]. In prokaryotes, a convenient way to measure the effectiveness of

selection is using *dN/dS* (the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous substitution rates), with

lower *dN/dS* or high *dS/dN* values found in species where purifying selection is more effective.

Previous work in bacteria has found that *dN/dS* is negatively correlated with genome size, which

goes against the expectation under the "streamlining" theory, since weaker selection should cause

both a higher *dN/dS* and a higher genome size[19]. It has therefore been proposed that in prokaryotes

stronger selection allows a larger number of beneficial genes to remain in the genome, in the face

of ubiquitous deletion bias[19]. Other authors have found a negative correlation between mutation

rate (*u*) and genome size[20]. We validated the previous observations of negative correlations

between *dN/dS* and genome size (*r-adj* = -0.22), as well as between *u* and genome size (*r-adj* =

-0.26). Furthermore, we showed that the compound parameter *dS/dN*×*u* (*r-adj* = -0.59) was most

predictive of genome size (**Fig. 2b**). This observation provides additional support for a "balance"

model, with the two factors acting in opposition to each other to determine the overall genome

size.

We have also found several other factors influencing genome size including the habitat,

temperature and oxygen tolerance. For example, aerobic species living in soil and in low

temperatures tend to have larger genomes. Some of these factors, such as gene number,

core-/pan-genes, RM-genes, tRNA counts, and cell size are likely outcome factors of genome size.

Other factors correlated with genome size are metabolic pathways, cell shape and sporulation (**Fig.**

2b).

Gene length

Similar reasoning to the determinants of genome size should also predict that species with more

effective selection should also have longer genes. Gene length is more strongly correlated with

dN/dS (*r-adj* = -0.31) than *u* (*r-adj* = -0.14) or the compound parameter *dS/dN*×*u* (*r-adj* = -0.29,

Fig 2c), implying that selection rather than deletion bias is a driver of gene length. Moreover,

there is a strong positive correlation between gene length and coding proportion (*r-adj* = 0.48, **Fig.**

2c), which could be explained by non-coding regulatory regions being of similar length for long

and short genes.

GC content

GC content is highly variable in bacteria despite empirical evidence of a universal mutation bias

towards AT[21]. We identified a significant negative correlation between mutation rate and GC

content (*r-adj* = -0.19, **Fig. 2d**), suggesting that a high input of A and Ts through mutation acts to

lower the GC content, while the factors counteracting this mutation bias need time to act.

Habitat emerged as another potential causal factor, with soil-isolated species exhibiting higher GC

content. In contrast, GC content had a significant positive effect on codon bias (*r-adj* = 0.84).

Furthermore, we observed that aerobic species with rod-shaped cells and monotrichous or polar

flagella tend to have an elevated GC content (**Fig. 2d**).

Determinants of population genetic characteristics

Genetic diversity

Classical population genetic theory predicts that in a well-mixed population, synonymous

nucleotide diversity π_s should be proportional to the product on the mutation rate u and the

effective population size N_e[9]. In practice, we do not have a direct measure of N_e for any species,

however in eukaryotes, the negative correlation between propagule size or fecundity and π_s[10] is

likely to be driven by higher N_e of fecund organisms and those with small propagules. In our atlas,

there is a negative correlation (*r-adj* = -0.14) between cell size and within-species π_s (**Fig. 3a,b**),

consistent with the pattern seen in eukaryotes. *dN/dS* can be used as a proxy for N_e since selection

decreases both[14], and we find a strong negative correlation between *dN/dS* and π_s (*r-adj* = -0.76),

consistent with theory. There is also a weak correlation between the mutation rate u and π_s (*r-adj* =

0.13). However, the relationships between other parameters is more complex. Specifically, there is

an unexpected negative correlation between the compound parameter *dS/dN×u* and π_s (*r-adj* =

-0.73).

In terms of potential ecological factors (**Fig. 3b**), the habitat with the highest within-species π_s are

plant roots, which might reflect the stability of these habitats and the large bacterial population

sizes associated with them. By contrast, fermented food has the lowest diversity, which might

reflect artificial selection but also the bottlenecks associated with the fermentation cycle.

Concordant with this, species involved in fermentation have lower diversity than those that fix

nitrogen. There is also a positive correlation with temperature (*r-adj* = 0.24), similar to the higher

genetic diversity observed in eukaryotic species living in tropical regions[11], which might reflect

warmer habitats supporting larger populations of both prokaryotes and eukaryotes, as well as

seasonal bottlecks in colder climbs.

According to population genetic theory, linkage disequilibrium (LD) values within a species are

determined by N_e and the recombination rate[22]. In species which maintain large well-mixed

populations for long periods, recombination will break down LD, meaning that there should be

low LD at all genetic distances and high π_s. We have examined correlations between π_s and LD at

different genetic distances. Concordant with theory, there is a strong negative correlation between

short-range LD (10 bp) and π_s (*r-adj* = -0.58). However, while long-range LD (10 kb) and

short-range LD are highly correlated (*r-adj* = 0.68), the correlation between long-range LD and π_s

is not significant. Furthermore, for species with similar levels of short-range LD, there is a

pronounced positive correlation between long-range LD and π_s (**Fig. 4a**). Thus long-range and

short-range LD reflect evolutionary dynamics that contribute independently in opposite directions

to genetic diversity.

Recombination is expected to positively influence genetic diversity, for example by reducing the

fraction of the genome influenced by selective sweeps. We identified significant positive

correlations between π_s and several recombination-related parameters (**Fig. 3b**): the proportion of

genome sites affected by recombination (*Rec. c*[23], *r-adj* = 0.72), the ratio of effects of

recombination and mutation (*r/m*[24], *r-adj* = 0.32) and the ratio of homoplasic and non-homoplasic

alleles (*h/m*[25], *r-adj* = 0.16). The correlations between *c*, *h/m* and π_s are more likely mediated by

LD, given the stronger correlation of these parameters with LD than with π_s (*c* vs r^2 10 bp: *r-adj* =

0.89, *h/m* vs r^2 10 kb: *r-adj* = 0.73). However, the correlation between *r/m* and π_s is stronger than

with LD (r^2 10 bp: *r-adj* = 0.2, r^2 10 kb: *r-adj* = 0.19), suggesting that LD statistics do not capture
the full effect of recombination on diversity.
210
Mutation rate
The determinants of mutation rate remain poorly understood. One potentially revealing
explanation, the drift-barrier hypothesis, suggests that selection minimizes mutation rates to
enhance replication fidelity, with selection efficiency constrained by genetic drift[26]. However, we
did not observe a significant correlation between *dN/dS* and *u* (*p > 0.05*). Instead, temperature
emerged as a potential causal factor (*r-adj* = 0.23), with species in warmer environments showing
higher mutation rates (**Fig. 3c**).
218
Gene fluidity
Lifestyle, effective population size, and genome size have been reported as major determinants of
prokaryotic pangenomes[27–29]. Consistent with previous studies, we identified significant
correlations between habitat (η = 0.53), *dN/dS* (*r-adj* = 0.53)*,* genome size (*r-adj* = 0.24) and gene
fluidity (**Fig. 3d**), measured by the ratio of unique gene families to the total gene families in
genome pairs[28]. Gene fluidity positively affects pan-gene number (*r-adj* = 0.63), but has a weak
effect on core-gene number (*r* = -0.1).
226
227   We found a strong positive correlation between the number of RM genes (*r-adj* = 0.57) and gene
228   fluidity. Given the role of RM genes in regulating gene transfer[30], we propose RM genes as a
229   putative causal factor for gene fluidity. Moreover, the number of mobile genetic elements, such as
230   phages (*r-adj* = 0.29) and insertion sequence elements (ISE, *r-adj* = 0.2), are also putative causal
231   factors due to their role in promoting gene transfer.
232
Other factors, including cell shape, growth rate, metabolic pathways and oxygen tolerance, were
also significantly correlated with gene fluidity, though the causal direction remain unclear. Notably,
a strong connection was found between cell shape and gene fluidity, with rod-shaped species
exhibiting more than a two-fold higher gene fluidity than spherical-shaped species. Furthermore,
the correlations between *u*, *r/m*, and gene fluidity suggest shared drivers and molecular
mechanisms that influence both core and accessory genomic variations, as well as homologous
and non-homologous recombination.
240
Absence of panmictic species
Many bacterial species disperse effectively around the globe and have enormous population sizes.
Accordingly, we have observed several species with very high genetic diversity, comparable to
that in most diverse eukaryotes[31], such as *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (**Fig. 4a,b**). Classical
population genetic theory suggests that these species should be panmictic, characterized by low
LD at all genetic distances. However, while the most diverse species do have low short-range LD,
consistent with high recombination rates, the diverse species are all highly structured (**Fig. 4a,b**),
reflected by their moderate long-range LD. Consequently, there is a paradoxical absence of
panmictic species.
250

An example of a well-mixed species with low long-range LD is *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, which
has moderate diversity. Previous analysis has suggested that diversity of *V. parhaemolyticus* is
moderate because its population size has expanded only recently[32] and that if population size were
maintained, equilibrium diversity would be much higher, and short-range LD would become lower,
which makes it a prime candidate to evolve into a panmictic species. However, *V.*
parahaemolyticus is also in the initial stages of functional diversification into ecospecies, which
are highly differentiated from each other, but only in a small fraction of the genome[33,34]. If
long-range LD increases over time due to an expansion of functional diversification across the
genome, then *V. parahaemolyticus* would not evolve into a panmictic species but instead come to
resemble the structured high diversity species that we have observed.
261
Our hypothesis is therefore that panmictic bacteria are absent because in species that have
maintained large population sizes, selection will progressively create long-range LD, due to
inevitable functional diversification. To test this hypothesis, we examined the genetic basis of
population structure within bacteria. Specifically, we used principal component analysis (PCA) to
identify the major population structure in each species. We hypothesized that this structure should
show stronger signatures of selection in more diverse species. As a simple measure of selection,
we looked for an enrichment of non-synonymous sites amongst the SNPs most strongly associated
with particular PC components, as measured by SNP loadings. We expect selection to be evident
when species have maintained their current population size for long time periods, which should
result in similar values of short- and long-range LD. Accordingly, we introduce an LD saturation
statistic, defined by the ratio of long-range to short-range LD. As predicted by the hypothesis, we
observed a strong enrichment of such non-synonymous sites amongst species with high saturation
levels (**Fig. 4c**), which we tested statistically by computing the correlation between enrichment
and saturation when the latter is >0.5 (zones 3 and 4 in **Fig. 4a,c**). The correlation is strongest for
species with lower levels of short-range LD (zone 4), indicating selection is more effective for
highly recombining species (**Fig. 4c**), supporting our hypothesis. The absence of correlation for
species with low saturation (zones 1 and 2 in **Fig. 4a,c**) shows that selection only becomes evident
in patterns of genome wide diversity for species that have maintained their current population size
for long periods.
281
Given the evidence that selection has molded genetic structure, we asked whether we could
identify species further along the road to functional differentiation than *V. parahaemolyticus*. To
this end, we examined species with higher diversity and many genomes (>100 *Rep.* genomes).
Two such species are *Streptococcus mitis* and *S. oralis*. The PCA loading distribution   for both
resembled that seen for previous ecospecies[33,34], implying high differentiation in specific regions
and high gene flow elsewhere (**Fig. 4d,e**). Furthermore, there is strong enrichment of
non-synonymous SNPs and genes of particular categories within SNPs with extreme PC1 loadings.
For both species, COG categories D (cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partitioning)
and M (cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis), and KEGG category ko03036 (chromosome
and associated proteins) were invoved, suggesting functional divergence in cell division and
biogenesis. The congruence of the differentiation signal is further supported by a correlation of
0.41 between PC1 gene loadings in the two species and an overlap of the majority of the extreme
PC1 loadings genes in the D, M and ko03036 categories (**Fig. 4f**).

To characterize these putative ecospecies, we constructed phylogenetic trees based on SNPs with

extreme loadings (**Fig. 4d,e**). We found two putative ecospecies for *S. mitis* and three for *S. oralis*,

which also correspond to distinct clusters in strain PCA loadings. To determine whether they had

evolved independently, we constructed a joint tree for the shared high loading genes, which imply

independent origin of ecospecies in the two species (**Fig. 4f**). Finally, we constructed phylogenetic

trees ased on remaining SNPs to examine the pattern of differentiation in the rest of the genome.

Distinct ecospecies clusters are visible implying modest genome-wide differentiation, which

contrasts with the absence of differentiation in most of the genome seen in *V. parahaemolyticus*

and *H. pylori*[33,34]. This result is consistent with the *Streptococcus* ecospecies being older and

futher along the path of functional differentiation and helps to explain the higher long-range LD

seen in these two species.

Discussion

The MAP resource should be useful to any scientist interested in a particular microbe, by

providing a simple summary of genomic, population genetic and other parameters and allowing

easy comparison between all available prokaryotic species. It also represents a resource for

macrogenomic and population genetic analysis, including raw data and non-redundant datasets

(see Data availability). We have illustrated the power and potential of MAP by addressing a series

of fundamental questions, and by comparing our results to those obtained from eukaryotes, which

remain better studied. For example, we provide evidence that genome size depends on a balance

between deletion bias and the beneficial effects of additional genes[19]. Secondly, we show that

long-range and short-range LD represent quite different features of evolutionary dynamics and

contribute independently to genetic diversity. Thirdly, we have uncovered a surprisingly strong

relationship between cell shape and genome fluidity, with rod-shaped species having more than

two-fold higher fluidity than spherical ones.

Our analyses and resources are available based on two taxonomic classifications, namely NCBI

and Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB)[35,36]. The problem of bacterial species definitions

remains unsolved and large databases inevitably contain errors[37,38]. NCBI is based on user

inputted classifications, while GTDB uses an automatic algorithm, based on a universal average

nucleotide identity cutoff of 0.95. While GTDB has advantages of consistency and quality control

over NCBI, it often splits taxonomically diverse named species into multiple putative species

(Supplementary Fig. 1). In many cases, these species do not correspond to coherent evolutionary

units. In particular, the most diverse species, such as *P. flourescens*, have very low short-range LD,

indicating that gene flow is effective in distributing diversity within the species, and accordingly

they are amongst the best examples of biological species, despite being split into >10 species by

GTDB (Supplementary Fig. 1). Therefore GTDB is not especially well-suited for macrogenomic

analysis, especially for highly diverse species, and we presented resulted based on NCBI

classification. We nevertheless provide an alternative version of parameter calculation and

correlation analysis results based on GTDB (Supplementary Tables 3-4), and show a strong

correlation between parameter relationships derived from two taxonomies ($r = 0.97$,

Supplementary Fig. 2), indicating that the great majority of our results are robust to species

definitions.

Our population genetic analysis of hundreds of species gives insight into the life-cycle of
prokaryotic species and the speciation process. We noticed a paradoxical feature, namely that
despite there being several highly diverse species in the data, panmictic species with low LD at all
distances are absent, suggesting they are also rare or absent in nature[32]. Our resolution of the
paradox is that as within-species diversity increases, selection becomes an increasingly strong
force structuring diversity.
346
One example of structure generated by selection is bacterial ecospecies, which are characterized
by fixed differences in a small fraction of the genome, but high recombination elsewhere. We have
previously described the first two bacterial ecospecies, in *V. parahaemolyticus* and *H. pylori,*
which are well-mixed species with moderate diversity[33,34]. In these species, ecospecies structure is
restricted to a small fraction of the genome. Here we have identified two additional species with
ecospecies-like structure, namely *S. mitis* and *S. oralis*. What is particularly fascinating is the
convergent nature of the ecospecies structure, with the same cell division and biogenesis
associated genome categories and genes involved. These species are more diverse and
correspondingly, their ecospecies show evidence for some level of differentiation genome-wide,
which is likely to be a precursor to full speciation.
357
Extrapolating from early to late stage ecospecies divergence and beyond, we can begin to
reconstruct the life-cycle of many prokaryotic species. However, ecospecies is only one type of
structure caused by selection. We have previously hypothesized the existence of bacterial
ecoclines, which exhibit continuous variation driven by a quantitative trait[39] and the genetic
structure in the most diverse species such as *P. flourescens* resists any immediate classification.
Characterizing the full range of bacterial genetic structures should provide a unique new window
into the forces shaping the most diverse and adaptable organisms on our planet.
365

Methods

Genome datasets

Deduplication and quality control

We downloaded all assembled genomes (n=317,542) included in the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB; https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org, release 207)[35,36]. We performed deduplications based on the Biosample accessions, retaining only the genome with highest N50 for each Biosample. Genomes with completeness <90% or contamination >5% (estimated by CheckM[40]) were excluded.

The remaining genomes (n=268,463) were grouped into species based on National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) taxonomy (taxid) and GTDB classifications. For each species, the earliest sequenced complete genomes or the genome with highest N50 (if no complete genome was available) were selected as the reference genomes. All genomes of a species were aligned against the reference using MUMmer v3.23[41] to generate whole-genome alignments. Genomes with <60% coverage against the reference (n=4,280) were excluded.

Representative datasets and clonal groups

While reliable estimation of population genetic parameters relies on random representative datasets, biased sampling (*e.g.*, strains from disease outbreaks) is common for public datasets, especially for pathogenic species. To minimize the effect of repeat sampling, we generated representative genome datasets. For each species, core-genome (regions present in >95% strains) single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified using SNP-sites v2.5.1[42], as previously described[43]. SNPs located in repetitive regions identified by TRF v4.07b[44] or BLASTN self-search were excluded. Pairwise SNP-distance between strains were calculated using snp-dists v0.8.2 (https://github.com/tseemann/snp-dists). Genomes of a species were assigned to complete-linkage clusters (CLCs) based on SNP-distance. For representative datasets of each species, we used a "relative value" - 1% median pairwise SNP-distance as the cutoff, and selected one genome with highest N50 from a CLC or these singletons as representative genomes.

Mutation rate and recombination parameter estimations rely on clonal groups (CGs) consisting of closely related genomes. We used an "absolute value" – 5,000 SNPs (total SNP threshold per branch for reliable recombination detection[45]) as the cutoff to identify CLCs representing CGs.

Genomic parameters

We calculated 12 categories of genomic parameters for all the representative genomes, using the median value of representative genomes to represent each species. Genome size and GC content were calculated using Quast v5.0.2[46]. We re-annotated representative genomes using Prokka v1.13[47] and extracted or calculated the gene number and coding proportion based on annotation results. Codon bias parameters (CBI, Fop, ENc) were estimated using codonW v1.3 (https://codonw.sourceforge.net/) based on coding sequences. The number of tRNA and rRNA genes was extracted from Prokka annotation results.

The standard protein sequences of restriction-modification (R-M) system genes were retrieved from REBASE[48] and used for searching against annotated protein sequences to identify R-M genes using BLASTP, as previously described[49]. Repetitive regions of genomes were identified

using TRF v4.07b[44] and BLASTN self-search. CRISPR arrays were identified using

CRISPRidentify v1.1.0[50]. Phage sequences were identified using PhiSpy v3.7.8[51]. Insertion

sequence (IS) elements were identified using ISEScan v1.7.2.3[52]. Default parameters were used

for these analysis.

Population genetics parameters

We calculated 7 categories of population genetics parameters for species with ≥10 representative

genomes and for CGs with ≥10 genomes. To account for the varying number of representative

genomes per species, which can introduce bias in parameter estimation, we performed random

sampling of 10 genomes from all representative genomes. The random sampling was

independently replicated 10 times, and the median value of the parameters from the replications

with ≥5 successful outcomes was used to represent each species.

π_s and dN/dS

For each species, the coding sequence alignment of representative genomes were extracted from

whole-genome alignment. Pairwise non-synonymous divergence (dN), synonymous divergence

(dS), and dN/dS were calculated with PAML v4.10.0[53] using the yn00 algorithm. The mean

pairwise value of dS was used to represent the synonymous nucleotide diversity (π_s).

Recombination

Recombination parameters were calculated for each species using three different methods. For

each species, we calculated five parameters using mcorr[23] based on all the representative genomes:

mutational divergence (θ_{pool}), recombinational divergence (φ_{pool}), mean fragment size (δ), fraction

of the sample diversity resulting from recombination (c), and the relative effect of recombination

to mutation ($r/m = \varphi_{pool}/\theta_{pool}$).

We calculated the ratio of homoplasic and non-homoplasic alleles (h/m) using ConSpeciFix

v1.3.0[25] based on randomly sampled representative genomes, as well as based on all the genomes

for each CG. The median h/m value obtained from random sampling was used to represent each

species.

Using all the genomes for each CG, we calculated the parameters of relative rate of recombination

to mutation (R/θ), mean divergence between donor and recipient (v), δ and r/m using

ClonalFrameML v1.12[24].

Linkage disequilibrium

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) correlation coefficient between two loci (r^2) at distances of 1 bp, 10

bp, 100 bp, 1 kb, and 10 kb was calculated using Haploview v4.2[54] based on randomly sampled

representative genomes. The r^2 values were retained only when the number of loci pairs within the

given distance exceeded 1,000. We also calculated the LD satuation level, defined as the ratio of r^2

between different distances (e.g. r^2 at 10 kb / r^2 at 10 bp).

Core-genome, pan-genome and gene fluidity

For each species, the number of core- and pan-genes was calculated using Panaroo v1.2.8[55] based

on re-annotated results of randomly sampled representative genomes. Gene fluidity was measured

by the mean pairwise ratio between the number of genes not shared and the total number of genes.

Mutation rate

For each CG of a species, we reconstructed maximum-likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees using

FastTree v 2.1.10[56] based on non-repetitive and non-recombinational SNPs, as previously

described[43]. The isolation year of strains were extracted from the corresponding BioSample

information. We used the ML tree and isolation year information to calculate the mutation rate

with BactDating v1.1.0[57]. Only mutation rates with effective sample sizes (ESS) >100 were

retained. The median mutation rate of CGs was used to represent each species.

Phenotype and ecology data

The phenotype and ecology data were extracted from three sources: BacDive database[15], Madin *et*

al[16] and Secaira-Morocho *et al*[17]. The median value of quantitative data and the major status of

category data of multiple strains was used to represent each species.

Correlation analysis

We used the Python packages pingouin and scipy.stats to calculate correlations between different

parameter types. Specifically, we generated all pairwise combinations of parameters and

performed correlation analyses on parameter pairs containing more than 100 observations. For

quantitative data, we applied three methods to compute the correlation coefficient (r): Pearson,

Spearman, and Kendall. For categorical data, we performed logistic regression and quantified

associations with categorical variables using the eta coefficient (η). When significant correlations

were detected ($p < 0.05$ and coefficient r or $\eta > 0.1$), the highest correlation coefficient was

retained to represent the relationship between the parameters.

We conducted phylogenetic signal analysis on quantitative data parameters using phylolm v2.6.2[58].

The phylogenetic trees based on universally distributed genes (archaea: 53 genes, bacteria: 120

genes) used by GTDB[35,36] were employed in the analysis. Pagel's λ value was used to quantify the

strength of the phylogenetic signal.

Principal component analysis (PCA)

PCA was performed using PLINK v1.9[59] based on core-SNPs with a minor allele frequency (MAF)

threshold of 2%. The SNP loading for each principal component (PC) was calculated as described

in Liu *et al.*[39], reflecting the correlation between SNPs and PCs. Briefly, strain loadings were

derived by multiplying the eigenvectors by the square root of the eigenvalues corresponding to

each PC. SNP loadings were then computed by matrix multiplication between strain loadings and

the SNP matrix.

SNP annotation and gene enrichment analysis

Synonymous and non-synonymous SNPs were annotated using SnpEff v4.3t[60], based on the

re-annotated reference genome. Genes associated with SNPs in the top 1% of SNP loadings on

PC1 were extracted for subsequent analysis. Functional enrichment was performed using the R
package ClusterProfiler v4.2.2[61].
498
499   **Between-species homologous gene analysis**
500   Homologous genes between *Streptococcus mitis* and *S. oralis* were identified using BLASTp
501   based on annotated protein sequences, with a minimum identity and coverage of 50%. Protein
502   sequences of homologous genes associated with SNPs in the top 1% of SNP loadings on PC1 were
503   used for phylogenetic tree construction using FastTree v2.1.10[56].
504
Data availability
All genome sequences are available from the Genome Taxonomy Database (GTDB;
https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org, release 207). Essential data files for replication, including
whole-genome alignments, the SNP matrix, sorted metadata, and calculated results, are available
on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.14880462) and Supplementary Tables. Source data are provided
with this paper.
511

References
1.   Charlesworth, B. & Charlesworth, D. Population genetics from 1966 to 2016. *Heredity* **118**
(2017).
2.   Goodwin, S., McPherson, J. D. & McCombie, W. R. Coming of age: Ten years of
next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **17** (2016).
3.   De Coster, W., Weissensteiner, M. H. & Sedlazeck, F. J. Towards population-scale
long-read sequencing. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **22** (2021).
4.   Blanchet, S., Prunier, J. G. & De Kort, H. Time to Go Bigger: Emerging Patterns in
Macrogenetics. *Trends in Genetics* **33**, 579–580 (2017).
5.   Leigh, D. M. *et al.* Opportunities and challenges of macrogenetic studies. *Nature Reviews*
Genetics **22**, 791–807 (2021).
6.   Manel, S. *et al.* Global determinants of freshwater and marine fish genetic diversity. *Nat*
Commun **11** (2020).
7.   Theodoridis, S. *et al.* Evolutionary history and past climate change shape the distribution
of genetic diversity in terrestrial mammals. *Nat Commun* **11** (2020).
8.   Vellend, M. & Geber, M. A. Connections between species diversity and genetic diversity.
Ecology Letters **8** (2005).
9.   Ellegren, H. & Galtier, N. Determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **17**,
422–433 (2016).
10.   Romiguier, J. *et al.* Comparative population genomics in animals uncovers the
determinants of genetic diversity. *Nature* **515**, 261–263 (2014).
11.   Miraldo, A. *et al.* An Anthropocene map of genetic diversity. *Science (1979)* **353** (2016).
12.   Bar-On, Y. M., Phillips, R. & Milo, R. The biomass distribution on Earth. *Proceedings of*
the National Academy of Sciences **115**, 6506–6511 (2018).
13.   Denamur, E., Clermont, O., Bonacorsi, S. & Gordon, D. The population genetics of
pathogenic Escherichia coli. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **19**, 37–54 (2021).
14.   Charlesworth, B. Effective population size and patterns of molecular evolution and
variation. *Nat Rev Genet* **10** (2009).

15. Reimer, L. C. *et al.* BacDive in 2022: The knowledge base for standardized bacterial and
archaeal data. *Nucleic Acids Res* **50**, (2022).

16. Madin, J. S. *et al.* A synthesis of bacterial and archaeal phenotypic trait data. *Sci Data* **7**,
(2020).

17. Secaira-Morocho, H., Chede, A., Gonzalez-de-Salceda, L., Garcia-Pichel, F. & Zhu, Q. An
evolutionary optimum amid moderate heritability in prokaryotic cell size. *Cell Rep* **43**,
114268 (2024).

18. Lynch, M. & Conery, J. S. The Origins of Genome Complexity. *Science (1979)* **302**,
1401–1404 (2003).

19. Sela, I., Wolf, Y. I. & Koonin, E. V. Theory of prokaryotic genome evolution. *Proc Natl*
Acad Sci U S A **113**, 11399–11407 (2016).

20. Bourguignon, T. *et al.* Increased Mutation Rate Is Linked to Genome Reduction in
Prokaryotes. *Current Biology* **30**, 3848-3855.e4 (2020).

21. Hershberg, R. & Petrov, D. A. Evidence that mutation is universally biased towards AT in
bacteria. *PLoS Genet* **6** (2010).

22. Slatkin, M. Linkage disequilibrium - Understanding the evolutionary past and mapping the
medical future. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **9** (2008).

23. Lin, M. & Kussell, E. Inferring bacterial recombination rates from large-scale sequencing
datasets. *Nat Methods* **16**, 199–204 (2019).

24. Didelot, X. & Wilson, D. J. ClonalFrameML: Efficient Inference of Recombination in
Whole Bacterial Genomes. *PLoS Comput Biol* **11**, 1–18 (2015).

25. Bobay, L. M., Ellis, B. S. H. & Ochman, H. ConSpeciFix: Classifying prokaryotic species
based on gene flow. *Bioinformatics* **34**, (2018).

26. Lynch, M. *et al.* Genetic drift, selection and the evolution of the mutation rate. *Nature*
Reviews Genetics **17** (2016).

27. Dewar, A. E., Hao, C., Belcher, L. J., Ghoul, M. & West, S. A. Bacterial lifestyle shapes
pangenomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121** (2024).

28. Andreani, N. A., Hesse, E. & Vos, M. Prokaryote genome fluidity is dependent on effective
population size. *ISME Journal* **11**, 1719–1721 (2017).

29. Bobay, L.-M. & Ochman, H. Factors driving effective population size and pan-genome
evolution in bacteria. *BMC Evol Biol* **18**, 153 (2018).

30. Oliveira, P. H., Touchon, M. & Rocha, E. P. C. Regulation of genetic flux between bacteria
by restriction-modification systems. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **113**, 5658–5663 (2016).

31. Dey, A., Chan, C. K. W., Thomas, C. G. & Cutter, A. D. Molecular hyperdiversity defines
populations of the nematode Caenorhabditis brenneri. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**,
(2013).

32. Yang, C., Cui, Y., Didelot, X., Yang, R. & Falush, D. Why panmictic bacteria are rare.
bioRxiv (2018).

33. Cui, Y. *et al.* The landscape of coadaptation in Vibrio parahaemolyticus. *Elife* **9**, e54136
(2020).

34. Tourrette, E. *et al.* An ancient ecospecies of Helicobacter pylori. *Nature* **635**, 178–185
(2024).

35. Parks, D. H. *et al.* A standardized bacterial taxonomy based on genome phylogeny
substantially revises the tree of life. *Nat Biotechnol* **36**, 996 (2018).

36. Parks, D. H. *et al.* GTDB: An ongoing census of bacterial and archaeal diversity through a
phylogenetically consistent, rank normalized and complete genome-based taxonomy.
Nucleic Acids Res **50**, D785–D794 (2022).

37. Rosselló-Mora, R. & Amann, R. The species concept for prokaryotes. *FEMS Microbiol*
Rev **25**, (2001).

38. VanInsberghe, D., Arevalo, P., Chien, D. & Polz, M. F. How can microbial population
genomics inform community ecology? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
Biological Sciences **375**, 20190253 (2020).

39. Liu, S., Svensson, S. L. & Falush, D. A putative ecocline in Klebsiella pneumoniae.
bioRxiv (2025).

40. Parks, D. H., Imelfort, M., Skennerton, C. T., Hugenholtz, P. & Tyson, G. W. CheckM:
Assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and
metagenomes. *Genome Res* **25**, 1043–1055 (2015).

41. Delcher, A. L., Salzberg, S. L. & Phillippy, A. M. Using MUMmer to Identify Similar
Regions in Large Sequence Sets . *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* **00**, (2003).

42. Page, A. J. *et al.* SNP-sites: rapid efficient extraction of SNPs from multi-FASTA
alignments. *Microb Genom* **2**, e000056 (2016).

43. Yang, C. *et al.* Outbreak dynamics of foodborne pathogen Vibrio parahaemolyticus over a
seventeen year period implies hidden reservoirs. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 1221–1229 (2022).

44. Benson, G. Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. *Nucleic Acids*
Res **27**, 573–580 (1999).

45. Croucher, N. J. *et al.* Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant
bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43**, e15 (2015).

46. Gurevich, A., Saveliev, V., Vyahhi, N. & Tesler, G. QUAST: quality assessment tool for
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1072–1075 (2013).

47. Seemann, T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2068–2069
(2014).

48. Roberts, R. J., Vincze, T., Posfai, J. & Macelis, D. REBASE: a database for DNA
restriction and modification: enzymes, genes and genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* **51**, (2023).

49. Yang, C. *et al.* Recent mixing of Vibrio parahaemolyticus populations. *ISME J* **13**,
2578–2588 (2019).

50. Mitrofanov, A. *et al.* CRISPRidentify: Identification of CRISPR arrays using machine
learning approach. *Nucleic Acids Res* **49**, (2021).

51. Akhter, S., Aziz, R. K. & Edwards, R. A. PhiSpy: A novel algorithm for finding prophages
in bacterial genomes that combines similarity-and composition-based strategies. *Nucleic*
Acids Res **40**, (2012).

52. Xie, Z. & Tang, H. ISEScan: automated identification of insertion sequence elements in
prokaryotic genomes. *Bioinformatics* **33**, (2017).

53. Yang, Z. PAML 4: Phylogenetic analysis by maximum likelihood. *Mol Biol Evol* **24**,
(2007).

54. Barrett, J. C. Haploview: Visualization and analysis of SNP genotype data. *Cold Spring*
Harb Protoc **4**, (2009).

55. Tonkin-Hill, G. *et al.* Producing polished prokaryotic pangenomes with the Panaroo
pipeline. *Genome Biol* **21**, 180 (2020).

56. Price, M. N., Dehal, P. S. & Arkin, A. P. FastTree 2 – Approximately
Maximum-Likelihood Trees for Large Alignments. *PLoS One* **5**, e9490 (2010).
57. Didelot, X., Croucher, N. J., Bentley, S. D., Harris, S. R. & Wilson, D. J. Bayesian
inference of ancestral dates on bacterial phylogenetic trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* **46**, (2018).
58. Tung Ho, L. S. & Ané, C. A linear-time algorithm for gaussian and non-gaussian trait
evolution models. *Syst Biol* **63**, (2014).
59. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based
linkage analyses. *Am J Hum Genet* **81**, 559–575 (2007).
60. Cingolani, P. *et al.* A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide
polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly (Austin)* **6**, (2012).
61. Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data.
The Innovation **2**, 100141 (2021).
640

Acknowledgements
This work was supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China (No.
2022YFC2304700 to F.D.), National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 32270003 and No.
32000008 to C.Y., No. 32170640 and No.32211550014 to D.F.), Youth Innovation Promotion
Association, Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. 2022278 to C.Y.) and Shanghai Rising-Star
Program (No. 23QA1410500 to C.Y.).
647

648 **Author contributions**
649 C.Y., D.F., R.Y., and Y.C. initiated and coordinated the study. C.Y., H.H. and N.W. contributed to
650 data collectionand and performed bioinformatics analysis. All authors contributed to interpretation
651 of the data. C.Y. and D.F. wrote the first draft of the paper and D.X., R.Y., and Y.C. reviewed and
652 revised the paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.
653

654 **Competing interests**
655 The authors declare no competing interests.
656

Figure legends

Figure 1. Overview of the macrogenetic atlas of prokaryotes (MAP). a) Study flowchart. **b,c)**

Heatmaps displaying the rank percentages of genomic parameters (GP) and population genetic

parameters (PGP) for each species. The bars at the top indicate the kingdom and phylum of each

species, while the heatmap colors represent rank percentages. **d)** Correlation heatmap among

various parameters. Phylogenetic signals were quantified using Pagel's λ. **e)** Distribution of

different GP and PGP. Red and blue colors represent archaea and bacteria, respectively. PGP is

shown only for bacteria, as few archaeal species met the criteria for PGP estimation ($\geq$10 *Rep.*

genomes).

Figure 2. Determinants of genomic characteristics. a) Correlation network of three GPs

(genome size, gene length and GC content, r or $\eta \geq 0.3$) and related parameters. Node color

represents parameter categories, while line color indicates the type or direction of the correlation.

Line width and transparency indicate the strength of the correlation coefficient. **b-d)** Three GPs

related parameters before and after phylogenetic signal adjustment (*r-adj* ≥ 0.1). Bar color

indicates the direction or type of correlation. Green and orange stars indicate putative causal and

consequential factors, respectively. Violin and box plots display the distribution of GPs across

different categories, with only categories containing $\geq$100 species shown. Point density plots show

detailed correlations between the GPs and their putative causal/outcome factors, with color

representing point density.

Figure 3. Determinants of population genetics characteristics. a) Correlation network of three

PGs (genetic diversity, mutation rate, and gene fluidity, r or $\eta \geq 0.2$) and their related parameters.

Node and line color, width and transparency are as in Fig. 2a. **b-d)** Three PGs related parameters

before and after phylogenetic signal adjustment (*r-adj* ≥ 0.1). Bar and point colors are as in Fig.

2b-d. Only categories containing $\geq$10 species are shown.

Figure 4. Determinants of population structure and ecospecies. a) Relationships between

short-range LD (10 bp), long-range LD (10 kb), and genetic diversity. Point colors represent

genetic diversity levels. Species with $\geq$100 representative genomes are outlined in black. Blue

dashed lines indicate LD saturation levels of 0.5 (y=0.5x) and 1 (y=x). **b)** Phylogenetic trees of

seven selected species. **c)** Correlations between LD saturation and N/S (top 1% vs other SNPs),

the ratio of non-synonymous to synonymous sites (N/S) for SNPs in the top 1% of SNP loadings

on PC1 (SNP-top1%-PC1) relative to the ratio of N/S for SNPs outside the top 1%

(SNP-other-PC1). **d,e)** Top: Principal components analysis (left, point colors indicate inferred

ecospecies) and functional enrichment of genes related to SNP-top1%-PC1 (right) in

Streptococcus mitis and *S. oralis.* Middle: Distribution of squared SNP loadings on PC1 across the

genome, with point colors indicating COG or KEGG categories. Dashed lines represent the top 1%

SNP loading cutoff. Bottom: Phylogenetic trees based on SNPs with top and bottom 1% SNP

loadings on PC1 and other SNPs. Branch colors indicate inferred ecospecies. **f)** Top: Shared

homologous genes associated with SNP-top1%-PC1 between two species. Middle: Correlation of

gene loading (average SNP loading per gene) between species. Point colors indicate COG or

KEGG categories. Bottom: Phylogenetic trees based on protein sequences of shared genes

associated with SNP-top1%-PC1 between two species.

a

Genome dataset of prokaryotes

GTDB release 207:
317,542 genomes

Archaea: 503 species
Bacteria: 14,732 species

Deduplication
Quality control

Complete-linkage
clustering(CLC)

Rep.: singleton or one genome from a CLC
cluster (cutoff: ≤1% median SNP-distance)

92,198 representative
(*Rep.*) genomes

2,231 clonal groups
(CGs)

CG: CLC cluster (cutoff: ≤5,000 SNPs)

Genomic parameters
- Genome size
- Gene number
- Gene length
- Coding proportion
- GC content
- Codon bias
- rRNA/tRNA
- RM gene
- Repeat region
- CRISPR
- Phage
- ISE

- π (π_n, π_s)
- *dN/dS*
- Recombination level (e.g. *r/m*, *h/m*)
- LD-decay r^2
- Core-pan gene
- Gene fluidity

- Mutation rate u
- Recombination level

Population genetic parameters

Phylogeny
Phylogenetic tree

Phenotype
- Cell size
- Cell shape
- Growth rate
- Gram
- Sporulation
- Motility
- Flagellum
- Carbon source
- Pathway
- Metabolism

Ecology
- Temperature
- pH
- Salinity
- Habitat

b 15,236 species

Kingdom
Phylum

CRISPR num.
CRISPR len. prop.
rRNA num.
ISE num.
ISE len. prop.
tRNA num.
Repeat seq. len. prop.
Phage-gene num.
Phage-gene len. prop.
RM-gene num.
RM-gene len. prop.
Coding proportion
Gene length
Genome size
Gene num.
GC%
CBI

c 786 species

u
$dS/dN*u$
Rec. h/m (CG)
Rec. δ (CG)
Rec. r/m (CG)
Rec. h/m (*Rep.*)
Gene fluidity
π_s
Rec. c
LD r^2 1 bp
LD r^2 10 bp
LD r^2 100 bp
dN/dS
Core/pan-gene ratio
Core-gene num.
Pan-gene num.
Rec. r/m (*Rep.*)
LD r^2 1 kb
LD r^2 10 kb
LD r^2 10 kb/10 bp
Rec. δ (*Rep.*)

Kingdom
- Archaea
- Bacteria

Phylum
- Actinobacteria
- Bacteroidetes
- Cyanobacteria
- Euryarchaeota
- Firmicutes
- Planctomycetes
- Proteobacteria
- Spirochaetes
- Tenericutes
- Others

Rank (%)
0 — 100
Not detected

d

λ

Phylogeny
Root-to-tip dist.

Phenotype
- Cell size
- Cell shape (cat.)
- Growth rate
- Gram (cat.)
- Sporulation (cat.)
- Motility (cat.)
- Flagellum (cat.)
- Metabolism (cat.)
- Pathway (cat.)
- Hemolysis (cat.)

Ecology
- Temperature
- Temperature (cat.)
- pH
- Salinity
- Salinity (cat.)
- Habitat (cat.)

Genomic parameter (GP)
- Genome size
- Gene num.
- GC%
- Codon bias
- Coding prop.
- Gene len.
- rRNA num.
- tRNA num.
- Repeat region
- ISE
- Phage-gene
- CRISPR
- RM-gene

Population genetic parameter (PGP)
- π_s
- *dN/dS*
- u
- *Rec. h/m* (*Rep.*)
- *Rec. h/m* (CG)
- *Rec. r/m* (*Rep.*)
- *Rec. δ* (*Rep.*)
- *Rec. c*
- *Rec. r/m* (CG)
- *Rec. δ* (CG)
- LD r^2 10 bp
- LD r^2 10 kb
- LD r^2 10 kb/10 bp
- Core-gene num.
- Pan-gene num.
- Gene fluidity
- Core/Pan-gene ratio

Correlation index $r/\eta/\lambda$
−1 — 0 — 1

e

Archaea
Bacteria

Genome size (Mb)
GC (%)
CBI
Coding proportion (%)
Gene length (bp)
Total rRNA num.

tRNA num.
Repeat seq. len. prop. (%)
RM gene num.
CRISPR array num.
ISE num.
Phage gene num.

π_s ($\log_{10}$)
dN/dS
u (subs./site/year, CG)
Rec. h/m
Rec. c

Rec. r/m ($\log_{10}$, *Rep.*)
Rec. r/m (CG)
Rec. δ ($\log_{10}$ bp, *Rep.*)
Rec. δ ($\log_{10}$ bp, CG)

Core-gene num. (x1000)
Pan-gene num. (x1000)
Gene fluidity

1 bp
10 bp
100 bp
1 kb
10 kb

LD r^2