Pediatric cerebrospinal fluid immune profiling distinguishes pediatric-onset multiple sclerosis from other pediatric-onset acute neurological disorders

Diego A. Espinoza[1,2,3,+], Tobias Zrzavy[1,2,4,+], Gautier Breville[1,2,+], Simon Thebault[1,2,5], Amaar Marefi[2,6,7], Ina Mexhitaj[1,2], Luana D. Yamashita[1,2], Mengyuan Kan[3,8], Micky Bacchus[6], Jessica Legaspi[6], Samantha Fernandez[6], Anna Melamed[6], Mallory Stubblebine[6], Yeseul Kim[1,2], Zachary Martinez[9], Caroline Diorio[9], Andreas Schulte-Mecklenbeck[10], Heinz Wiendl[11], Ayman Rezk[1,2], Rui Li[1,2,3,12], Sona Narula[2,6,7], Amy T. Waldman[2,6,7], Sarah E. Hopkins[2,6,7], Brenda Banwell[1,2,6,7,13]*, Amit Bar-Or[1,2,3.6,7,]*

[1]Center for Neuroinflammation and Experimental Therapeutics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[2]Department of Neurology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[3]Colton Center for Autoimmunity, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[4]Department of Neurology, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

[5]Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Montreal Neurological Institute, McGill University, Montreal, Canada

[6]Division of Child Neurology, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, PA

[7]Department of Pediatrics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[8]Department of Biostatistics, Epidemiology, and Informatics, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

[9]Division of Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia,

Philadelphia, PA, USA

[10]Department of Neurology with Institute of Translational Neurology, University Hospital of

Münster, University of Münster, Münster, Germany.

[11]Clinic for Neurology and Neurophysiology, University Medical Center Freiburg, Freiburg,

Germany

[12]Department of Neurology of the First affiliated Hospital, Institute of Neuroscience, Fujian

Medical University, Fujian, China.

[13]Department of Pediatrics, Johns Hopkins Children's Center, Baltimore, MD, USA

[+]Co-first authors

[*]Co-corresponding authors

ABSTRACT

The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) provides a unique glimpse into the central nervous system (CNS)

compartment and offers insights into immune processes associated with both healthy immune

surveillance as well as inflammatory disorders of the CNS. The latter include demyelinating

disorders, such as multiple sclerosis (MS) and myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-

associated disease (MOGAD), that warrant different therapeutic approaches yet are not always

straightforward to distinguish on clinical and imaging grounds alone. Here, we establish a

comprehensive phenotypic landscape of the pediatric CSF immune compartment across a range of

non-inflammatory and inflammatory neurological disorders, with a focus on better elucidating

CNS-associated immune mechanisms potentially involved in, and discriminating between,

pediatric-onset MS (MS) and other pediatric-onset suspected neuroimmune disorders, including

MOGAD. We find that CSF from pediatric patients with non-inflammatory neurological disorders

is primarily composed of non-activated CD4+ T cells, with few if any B cells present. CSF from

pediatric patients with acquired inflammatory demyelinating disorders is characterized by

increased numbers of B cells compared to CSF of both patients with other inflammatory or non-

inflammatory conditions. Certain features, including particular increased frequencies of antibody-

secreting cells (ASCs) and decreased frequencies of CD14+ myeloid cells, distinguish MS from

MOGAD and other acquired inflammatory demyelinating disorders.

INTRODUCTION

In the non-inflammatory state, the central nervous system (CNS) contains immune cells involved in steady-state immune surveillance[1], while in inflammatory disease states such as multiple sclerosis (MS), the abundance and profile of peripheral immune cells present within the CNS can vary and may include pathogenic immune cells [1,2]. Cerebrospinal fluid (CSF), which is produced in the choroid plexus and drains into the subarachnoid granulations, is a fluid that envelops the brain in the subarachnoid space. It thus represents a CNS sub-compartment and may harbor cells that partially mirror disease processes occurring within the CNS tissue. To this end, prior studies have profiled CSF immune cells across neurological diseases including MS [3–6], Alzheimer's disease[7], and brain metastases[8], among others (recently reviewed in [9]), to elucidate disease-implicated immune mechanisms. However, while CSF cells from adult patients have been profiled extensively [3–6,10–16], there exists limited data on the CSF cellular compartment in the pediatric age group[5], whether in health or across neurological disease states. The latter includes pediatric acquired inflammatory demyelinating syndromes (ADS), a collection of disorders in which immune responses are implicated in mediating CNS demyelination.

Pediatric-onset ADS include pediatric-onset MS (MS) which accounts for approximately 25% of all pediatric ADS diagnoses[17–23]; the more recently described MOGAD which accounts for approximately 30% of pediatric ADS diagnoses[17]; and individuals with ADS who do not meet MS, MOGAD, or neuromyelitis-optica spectrum disorder (NMOSD) diagnostic criteria (collectively referred to here as 'other ADS'). MS, and particularly MOGAD, can share considerable overlap in their clinical presentation and disease course (with a relapsing course experienced in some patients with MOGAD). At the time of initial presentation, it may not be straightforward to distinguish the

two conditions, and results of anti-MOG antibody testing that can be diagnostic for MOGAD may

take days to weeks to become available. Like MS, patients with MOGAD can experience relapsing

episodes of inflammatory demyelination. However, use of certain MS disease-modifying drugs

may not be efficacious in prevention of MOGAD relapses and may even exacerbate its course[24–

26], pointing to distinct immunopathophysiological mechanisms underlying these two inflammatory

demyelinating disorders, and the importance of distinguishing between them.

Here, we investigate the CSF immune cell compartment across a spectrum of pediatric-onset

neurological disorders, including new-onset MS and MOGAD. We first characterize the immune

compartment in non-inflammatory CNS conditions across the pediatric age-span. We then identify

immune cell features distinguishing ADS from non-inflammatory and other (non-demyelinating)

inflammatory disorders and further identify key cell-based immune features distinguishing MS

from both MOGAD and other forms of ADS at the time of incident clinical presentation. In

addition to establishing a foundational dataset describing the profile and dynamic nature of the

cellular composition within non-inflammatory pediatric CSF throughout childhood and

adolescence, we provide disease-specific insights into early CNS-associated inflammation in MS,

MOGAD, other ADS and other neuroimmune disorders. We expect our findings to represent a

useful resource for future studies into the pediatric CNS immune compartment in health and

disease.

RESULTS

CSF immune cell profiling in the non-inflammatory pediatric CSF

We first established a standardized 16-parameter flow cytometric platform to profile immune cells

from fresh pediatric CSF samples obtained at diagnostic lumbar punctures (**Fig. 1A,** see ***Methods***).

This platform enabled us to identify and characterize major cell lineages (e.g. T cell, B cells,

myeloid cells), as well as subsets within these lineages, from small volumes of pediatric CSF (0.5-

7 mL) (**Supplementary Fig. 1**).

With this platform established, we next set out to determine the landscape of pediatric CSF across

neurological conditions that are not considered primarily inflammatory in nature (i.e. non-

inflammatory neurological disorders, or NIND, which include disorders such as primary headaches

and idiopathic intracranial hypertension, among others (**Supplementary Table 1**). To this end, we

analyzed the CSF flow cytometric profiles of 33 patients with subsequently ascertained diagnoses

of NIND (**Table 1**). NIND CSF cell concentrations ranged from 0.2-6.0 cells/uL (median 0.63

cells/uL, **Fig. 1B**). The most abundant cell types within the cell fraction of the NIND CSF were

CD3+ T cells (**Fig. 1C**, median 67.3%, CD45+/CD14-/CD19-/CD3+) and CD14+ myeloid cells

(median 12.9%, CD45+/CD14+). Natural killer (NK cells 4.3%, CD45+/CD14-/CD3-/CD19-

/CD56+), dendritic cells (DCs 1.6%, CD45+/CD14-/CD3-/CD19-/CD56-/HLA-DR+/CD11c+), B

cells (0.6%, CD45+/CD14-/CD3-/CD19+) and polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs 0.3%,

CD45+/SSChi, inclusive of neutrophils, eosinophils, and basophils) were detected at lower

frequencies.

Within the CD3+ T-cell compartment of NIND CSF (**Fig. 1D**), most cells were CD4+ (median

67.2%), whereas CD8+ (median 23.6%) and double-negative (CD4-/CD8-; median 8.4%) T cells

were less frequent. Double-positive (CD4+/CD8+) T cells were rarely observed (median 0.7%).

Most CD4+ and CD8+ T cells were memory T cells (based on CD27 and CD45RA expression,

median 97.8% and 77.0%, respectively, **Fig. 1E-F**), with larger variability in memory CD8+ T cell

frequencies across donors. This variability appeared to be explained, at least in part, by a clear

correlation between age and frequency of naive CD8+ T cells, such that youngest children with

NIND had high frequencies of naive CD8+ T cells, with gradual increases in the frequency of

memory CD8+ T cells observed with increasing age (**Fig. 1G**). Total CD8+ T-cell counts

(cells/mL) remained stable over time (**Supplementary Fig. 2A**), indicating an age-associated shift

in the balance of naive and memory CD8+ T cells in the non-inflamed pediatric CSF. We did not

observe an age-associated pattern for naive and memory CD4+ T cells (**Supplementary Fig. 2B**).

Further assessment of the memory CD4+ and CD8+ T-cell compartments in patients with NIND

revealed that memory CD4+ T cells were mostly CD27+/CD45RA-, consistent with a central-

memory (CM) phenotype (**Supplementary Fig. 2C**) with the remainder being primarily effector-

memory (EM) cells (CD27-/CD45RA-), and few CD4+ TEMRA cells (CD45RA+/CD27-)

detected (**Supplementary Fig. 2C**). Most memory CD4+ T cells in NIND CSF were Th1-like

(CXCR3+/CCR6-, **Supplementary Fig. 2D**). Few memory CD4+ T cells were activated

(CD38+/HLA-DR+, **Supplementary Fig. 2E**). Similarly, most memory CD8+ T cells in the NIND

patients had a CM phenotype (**Supplementary Fig. 2F**), and activated memory CD8+ T cells

made up a minority of the memory CD8+ T-cell compartment (**Supplementary Fig. 2G**).

Overall, pediatric NIND CSF was as expected of generally low cellularity, with most leukocytes

being CD4+ T cells, most of which were memory T cells with a Th1-like phenotype and non-

activated. CD8+ T cells were similarly mostly memory T cells and non-activated. We found that

younger age correlated with an increased frequency of naive CD8+, but not CD4+, T cells.

Elevations in B-cell counts highlight the increased cellularity of pediatric ADS CSF

Having established the CSF immune cell landscape in pediatric NIND, we next compared CSF

cellular profiles of children with ADS (n = 33) to children with both NIND and a range of other

inflammatory and non-inflammatory neurological disorders (**Table** 1, further detail in

Supplementary Table 1). These disorders included peripheral inflammatory neurological

disorders (PIND, n=7, which include inflammatory demyelinating disorders of the peripheral

nervous system such as Guillain-Barre syndrome), autoimmune encephalitidies (AIE n = 6,,

autoimmune inflammatory disorders of the CNS that are not necessarily demyelinating, such as

NMDA-receptor encephalitis), and inherited disorders of white matter (IDWM, n = 4, genetic

disorders resulting in abnormal growth or destruction of CNS white matter).

We first compared the absolute CSF cell counts of all children with ADS to children with NIND,

PIND, AIE, and IDWM. We observed a statistically significant increase in the cellularity of ADS

CSF compared to NIND, PIND, and AIE CSF (**Fig. 2A**). We next examined how individual

lineages contributed to the increased cellularity in ADS CSF. We found that counts of total CD3+

T cells, CD14+ myeloid cells, NK cells, DCs, PMNs, and B cells showed statistically significant

increases in ADS CSF when compared to NIND CSF (**Fig 2B**). Similarly, cell counts for these

lineages tended to be increased when comparing ADS CSF to PIND, AIE, and IDWM CSF, though

differences did not reach statistical significance for all comparisons (**Fig. 2B**). Of all examined

lineages, the B-cell lineage exhibited the greatest relative enrichment in ADS CSF, with a greater

than tenfold increase of B-cell counts in ADS CSF relative to B-cell counts in NIND, PIND, AIE,

or IDWM CSF (**Fig. 2C**).

MS CSF is distinguished from MOGAD and other ADS by a high frequency of antibody

secreting cells and a decreased frequency of CD14+ myeloid cells

Having identified a pronounced B-cell enrichment in the CSF of children with ADS (**Fig. 2B-C**),

we next assessed individual ADS diagnoses (MS, MOGAD, and other ADS). We observed that

CSF B-cell counts were increased in each of MS, MOGAD, and other ADS CSF when

independently compared to NIND CSF (**Supplementary Table 2**). Among these, B-cell

frequencies were particularly elevated in MS CSF in comparison to MOGAD and other ADS CSF

(**Fig. 3A**); B-cell absolute counts also appeared elevated in MS CSF in comparison to MOGAD

and other ADS CSF, though this comparison did not reach statistical significance for MS vs.

MOGAD, in part likely due to the large range of CSF B-cell and total cell counts observed in

MOGAD patients (**Fig. 3A, Supplementary Fig. 3A**). We next sought to determine whether levels

of antibody-secreting cells (ASCs), a B-cell subset that includes plasmablasts and plasma cells,

differed between MS, MOGAD, and other ADS CSF. We found that both ASC frequencies and

counts were increased in MS CSF when compared to MOGAD and other ADS (**Fig. 3B**). In fact,

ASCs were frequently absent in MOGAD (absent in 5/10 samples) or other ADS CSF (absent in

5/10 samples) while almost always detected in MS CSF (**Fig. 3B**). Finally, frequencies and counts

of B cells excluding ASCs (non-ASC B cells) appeared elevated in MS when compared to

MOGAD and other ADS (**Supplementary Fig. 3B-C**). Together these findings point to elevated

B-cell and particularly ASC levels as a feature distinguishing MS CSF not only from non-

inflammatory disease controls but also from MOGAD and other ADS (**Fig. 3D**).

We further observed that frequencies of CSF CD14+ myeloid cells were lower in CSF of MS

compared to both MOGAD and other ADS patients (**Fig. 3C-D**), which appeared to reflect

elevations in CD14+ myeloid cell counts in the CSF of MOGAD and the other ADS patients,

relative to NIND CSF (**Fig. 3C**, **Supplementary Table 2**).

CD3+ T-cell frequencies were enriched in MS CSF relative to MOGAD and other ADS CSF

(**Supplementary Fig. 3B),** though no particular subset of T-cells clearly contributed this difference

(**Supplementary Fig. 5A-B**). NK cell and DC frequencies and counts appeared to be similar

across the three groups (**Supplementary Fig. 3B-C**). PMN frequencies appeared, at times,

elevated in MOGAD and other ADS CSF, while largely absent in MS (**Supplementary Fig. 3B**).

To explore this phenomenon further, we set a threshold (defined as >2 times the mean PMN

frequency in NIND CSF) and assessed whether the presence of CSF PMNs above this threshold

was associated with MOGAD/other ADS compared to MS (**Supplementary Fig. 3D**). Indeed,

detection of CSF PMNs above this threshold was associated with a diagnosis of other

ADS/MOGAD and essentially excluded MS (**Supplementary Fig. 3E**).

Potential for the ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell ratio to distinguish MS CSF from MOGAD and

other ADS CSF

Since an increase in ASC frequencies and a decrease in CD14+ myeloid cell frequencies appeared

to best differentiate between CSF of MS versus MOGAD or other ADS patients (**Fig. 3D,**

Supplementary Fig. 4B), we considered whether the ratio of ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell

frequencies could be utilized to discriminate MS from MOGAD and other ADS, at the individual

patient level. As expected, we found that the CSF ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell ratios (AMRs) were

elevated in children with MS, both in comparison to MOGAD and to other ADS patients ($p <$

0.001, **Fig. 4A**). A previously reported ratio, termed the *neuroinflammatory composite score*[6]

(NCS), similarly incorporated several cellular measures, using both CSF (B-cell, CD14+ myeloid

cell, CD56+ CD3+ NKT-cell frequencies and total cell counts) and blood (CD56^{dim} NK-cell

frequencies) to distinguish between neuroinflammatory diagnoses (high composite scores), and

non-inflammatory controls (lower composite scores). We utilized a CSF-only version of the NCS

(coNCS, see Methods) and similarly found that this coNCS was also elevated in patients with MS,

in comparison to MOGAD and other ADS (**Fig. 4B**). We found similar AMR and coNCS patterns

distinguishing between patients who had received systemic glucocorticoid and/or intravenous

immunoglobulin (IVIG) treatment prior to sampling versus those who had not (**Supplementary**

Fig. S5A-B). We next assessed the performance of the AMR and the coNCS as classifiers for MS,

when compared to either MOGAD, other ADS, or both by constructing receiver-operating

characteristic (ROC) curves and found that both the AMR and coNCS performed well at

discriminating MS from MOGAD and other ADS, with AUCs > 0.7 (**Fig. 4C**). The AMR, however,

appeared superior to the coNCS in discriminating MS vs MOGAD (AUC=0.95, 0.80, respectively)

and MS vs MOGAD/other ADS (AUC=0.94, 0.88, respectively). The AMR also provided

excellent performance at distinguishing MS from other ADS (AUC=0.93), though the coNCS

outperformed the AMR in this regard. (AUC=0.96). We were able to generate the full NCS in a

subset of patients for whom we also had available blood CD56dim NK-cell frequencies and

similarly observed that the full NCS was higher in MS relative to MOGAD and other ADS

(**Supplementary Fig. 6A**) and overall performed similarly to the AMR (**Supplementary Fig. 6B**).

Finally, we also evaluated the NCS companion "MS score", which incorporates ASC presence and

IgG synthesis rates. We found that it performed relatively poorly in our dataset (correctly

classifying only 7 of 15 MS diagnoses; **Supplementary Table 3**). Overall, the two-parameter

AMR we describe here provided excellent performance at discriminating MS CSF from MOGAD

and other ADS CSF and performed at least as well as a previously reported neuro-immunological

classifier reliant on additional parameters (NCS).

Interactive exploration of pediatric CSF immune profiles

We next developed a public, web-based R-Shiny application for further analysis and visualization

of pediatric CSF immune-profiles across a broader range of disease states

(https://diegoalexespi.shinyapps.io/pedscsf/). By extending our CSF profiling to patients with viral

encephalitis (VE) and patients with CNS-involved hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH),

for example, we are able to observe a breadth of CD8+ T-cell activation states (**Supplementary**

Fig. S7), such that patients with VE and HLH harbored elevated levels of CD8+ T-cell activation

that are rarely observed in other disease states including other ADS, MOGAD, and MS

(**Supplementary Fig. S7**). Such exploratory analyses can provide preliminary insight into further

studies of the CSF immune compartment across disease states.

DISCUSSION

Data on CSF cellular immune profiles in pediatric neurological disorders has been exceptionally limited. Here, we present a flow cytometric characterization of CSF immune cells across a spectrum of pediatric neurological disorders, identifying age-associated profiles in non-inflammatory neurological diseases that likely reflect steady-state immune surveillance, as well as disease-specific cellular signatures across a range of inflammatory states. We find that under normal, non-inflammatory conditions, pediatric CSF is not entirely acellular, with small numbers of immune cells predominantly composed of T cells, and with a CD8+ T-cell compartment that evolves with age. B cells are scarce or entirely absent in the CSF of non-inflammatory states while the CSF of children diagnosed with a range of ADS, exhibit notable increases in the number of B cells present. Among ADS presentations, a distinguishing feature of the CSF immune cell profile of children with MS compared to MOGAD and other ADS, is an increased frequency of ASCs and a decreased of frequency of CD14+ myeloid cells. Overall, these data represent the first characterization of pediatric CSF across both noninflammatory states and inflammatory neurological disorders and provides insight into normal immune surveillance as well as putative mechanisms of pediatric CNS disease.

Our characterization of the CSF profile across the pediatric age-span in non-inflammatory disorders provides us insight into age-associated patterns of CNS immune surveillance in the immunological steady-state. We find that most cells participating in CNS immune surveillance are CD4+ CM T cells across the pediatric age-span. These findings in the pediatric population are overall consistent with prior reports in adults that identified CM CD4+ and CD8+ T cells and CXCR3+ T cells as the primary cellular components of the NIND CSF immune compartment, with

minimal to no B cells detected[27–29]. We extend these observations by finding that, across the

pediatric age-span, CD8+ T cells shift from a naive (antigen-inexperienced) to memory

(presumably antigen-experienced) phenotype with increasing age. This trend aligns with the

observation that adult NIND CSF is largely devoid of naive CD8+ T cells[27]. Since the migration

of immune cells from the periphery into the CNS is a regulated process and not a simple reflection

of the periphery (as both CD4+ and CD8+ T cells are primarily naive throughout childhood[30]), this

observation implies that naive CD8+ T cells earlier in life are endowed with a capacity to migrate

into the CNS. One explanation is that these naive CD8+ T cells are "tissue-residency poised[31]" and

mature into CD8+ tissue-resident memory T cells within the CNS that eventually saturate the CNS

CD8+ tissue resident T-cell niche. Most parenchymal T cells in human brain (across health and

disease states) appear to be CD8+ rather than CD4+, and express tissue residency markers[32],

consistent with the above phenomenon observed in CD8+ but not CD4+ T cells. It is also possible

that what we observe as "naive" CD8+ T cells in the CSF, instead reflect a collection of antigen-

experienced memory CD8+ T cells that share markers with naive CD8+ T cells[33,34]. In any event,

it is evident that there exists an evolving recruitment of CD8+ T-cells of different phenotypes into

the CNS across the pediatric age span, likely reflecting in part tandem immunological changes in

CD8+ T-cell compartments beyond the CNS[35–37].

We observe a significant elevation in CSF B-cell counts in children with ADS, beyond elevations

observed for other lineages, and relative to both non-inflammatory and other inflammatory states.

This elevation of B cells in ADS CSF could reflect a trafficking of peripheral B cells into the CNS

where they may then participate in mechanisms associated with inflammatory demyelination; B

cells are indeed present both in MS and MOGAD brain lesions[38,39]. The observation that B-cell

numbers are elevated in both MS and MOGAD CSF does not necessarily mean they participate in

these diseases through the same mechanism. In fact, B-cell depleting therapies, which are highly

efficacious in preventing relapse activity in MS[40–42], have had mixed results in preventing relapse

activity in MOGAD[25,26]. Thus, it is plausible that these cells play distinct functional roles in

different inflammatory demyelinating disease processes. Future studies could further delineate

whether and how B cells in MS and MOGAD CSF differ with regards to, for example, their pro-

and/or anti-inflammatory functions.

We found a particular enrichment of ASCs (the antibody-secreting B-cell subset) in MS CSF

compared to other ADS and MOGAD. While ASC elevations have previously been described in

MS CSF, prior studies have typically compared MS to non-inflammatory controls rather than to

other inflammatory states[4,43–45]. Here, our direct comparisons with MOGAD and other ADS

allowed us to demonstrate that increased presence of ASCs is a relatively distinct feature of MS

CSF, rather than a feature of all ADS CSF. While peripheral ASCs may generate circulating

antibodies to CNS proteins in certain ADS, such as the generation of circulating antibodies to

MOG in MOGAD, the contribution of ASCs to disease in the MS CNS has not been fully

elucidated and their function within the CNS may be distinct from that of peripheral ASCs. Of

interest is whether and how the enrichment of ASCs in MS CSF may be associated somehow with

presence (or possibly generation of) meningeal lymphoid aggregates, a feature also reported in the

brains of patients with MS[46] but not in MOGAD or other ADS. These aggregates, which are

composed of T cells, B cells, plasma cells, and myeloid cells, are thought to contribute to chronic

CNS-compartmentalized inflammation and progressive disease[47,48], also a feature of MS, but not

MOGAD[49]. If the presence of these ASCs in pediatric MS CSF were indeed associated with the

presence or development of meningeal lymphoid aggregates, this could imply that the cellular

machinery and anatomical structures associated with chronic CNS-compartmentalized

inflammation in MS may already be developing very early in the MS disease spectrum.

We also identify decreased numbers of CD14+ myeloid cells in the CSF (and a concomitant

increased ratio of ASCs to CD14+ myeloid cells) as a feature distinguishing MS from MOGAD

and other ADS. Further work could dissect the pro/anti-inflammatory states of myeloid cells in the

CSF of ADS patients including MOGAD, and assess their potential interactions with B-cell

subsets, as such interactions are implicated in the context of CNS inflammatory disease[48].

Regardless of the processes that underlie the different CSF ratios of ASC to CD14+ myeloid cells,

the ratio in our cohort distinguishes between MS and non-MS ADS including MOGAD and could

be further investigated for its potential to provide an MS disease-specific CSF signature at time of

initial CSF evaluation.   The utility of this ratio may prove complementary to the previously

developed CSF and blood "neuroinflammatory composite score (NCS)" that has been used in

adults to distinguish between neuroinflammatory syndromes and non-inflammatory syndromes[6].

We note that CSF CD4+ T cell subset profiles did not clearly distinguish between MS and other

ADS or MOGAD. While prior reports in adults identified elevated frequencies of CD4+ regulatory

T cells (Tregs) in MS CSF when compared to idiopathic intracranial hypertension (IIH)

controls[4,50], we did not find elevated Treg frequencies in MS CSF when compared to either NIND

or to MOGAD or other ADS. Plausible explanations for this discrepancy include the more

heterogenous cohort of NINDs included in our study and the differing ages of the patients across

studies. While T cells observed in white matter lesions of MOGAD[39] have been shown to be

primarily CD4+ (in contrast to MS lesions, which are CD8+ T-cell dominant[38,51]), we did not find

differences in CSF frequencies of CD4+ T cells or CD8+ T cells between MS and MOGAD

patients.

While we were able to identify a CSF immune profile that distinguishes MS from MOGAD (and

other ADS), we did not identify a unique CSF immune profile that clearly distinguished MOGAD

patients. In prior studies[52,53] PMNs (i.e. neutrophils or eosinophils) have been found to be elevated

in MOGAD CSF. Here we identify that elevated frequencies of PMNs (while potentially

exclusionary for diagnosis of MS), is a potential feature of both MOGAD and other ADS. The

presence of PMNs in the CSF has been historically associated with anti-pathogen responses

(typically to bacterial or also viral species) during CNS infection, yet the development of MOGAD

or other ADS have not been firmly linked to a specific viral infection (including EBV[54]). It is

plausible that the presence of PMNs in select MOGAD and other ADS CSF samples could hint at

some anti-pathogen (potentially anti-viral) immune processes that, in parallel, also manifest as

anti-self, inflammatory CNS demyelination processes.

Our study has several limitations. While we profiled children as proximal as possible to clinical

disease onset, the underlying disease processes may already be well underway prior to clinical

manifestations, which may be particularly true in MS where evidence for injury manifesting as

elevated serum neurofilament light chain (NfL) levels is documented well prior to clinical disease

onset[55]. Another limitation is absence of CSF profiles from children with neuromyelitis optica

spectrum disorder (NMOSD), which is in the differential diagnosis of children with incident ADS

presentations. Even though it is relatively uncommon in the pediatric age-range, NMOSD is

thought to involve pathogenic antibodies, and it will be important to assess in the future whether

CSF ASC levels are elevated or not and how the ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell ratio manifests in

such children. Given that relapsing disease is a shared feature between NMOSD and MS (and some

children with MOGAD), defining these profiles in NMOSD could provide additional insight into

the pathophysiology of antibody-mediated ADS and disease chronicity. Finally, our cohort consists

of children who underwent diagnostically-necessary lumbar punctures, which may not be wholly

representative of all MS, MOGAD, or other ADS diagnoses. The diagnostic criteria for these

disorders do not always necessitate CSF analyses, and the set of children who do undergo lumbar

punctures may be enriched for those whose presentations elicit diagnostic uncertainty. Clear

immune profile patterns nevertheless emerged in our data, such as those clearly separating MS

from MOGAD and other ADS.

In sum, our findings provide insights into CSF immune cell profiles in the context of both normal

immune surveillance and CNS non-inflammatory and inflammatory diseases, across the pediatric

age-span. Our findings identify CSF cellular features that distinguish pediatric-onset MS from

MOGAD and other ADS and may imply that some features of chronic inflammation are present

early in the disease course. While no cellular features clearly delineated MOGAD from other ADS,

the profiles observed in MOGAD and other ADS, as well as in MS, could reflect biological

heterogeneity that may have implications for a patient's clinical course. For example, a patient's

responsiveness to treatment(s) and risk of future relapse. Future studies could determine such

"endophenotypes" by acquiring these CSF measures at the time of presentation and associating

them with prospectively ascertained diagnoses, as has been done for peripheral blood profiles in

adult MS[56]. As flow cytometric profiling of CSF samples becomes more widely available (driven

by newly-developed CSF fixation protocols leveraged for multicenter studies[57,58]), CSF immune

profiling has the potential to play an important complementary role in providing improved

diagnosis and hence care of patients as part of a larger precision neuroimmunology approaches[59].

METHODS

Patient eligibility and recruitment

Patients were recruited over a period of 3 years from inpatient and outpatient facilities at the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP). Patients were eligible and approached for enrollment (subject to staff availability) if they were undergoing a diagnostic lumbar puncture (LP) for a suspected neurological condition. Written informed consent was obtained from all donors. All protocols and consents were approved by the University of Pennsylvania Institutional Review Board.

Acquisition, transport, and analysis of CSF samples

A total of 0.5-7 mLs of CSF per participant were obtained. CSF samples were collected in 15 mL polypropylene tubes at the time of LP, placed on ice and immediately transported to a single laboratory facility for prompt same-day processing and analysis. CSF from one HLH patient was collected from an external ventricular drain. Standardized operating procedures were consistent with consensus protocols for CSF analysis[60].

Flow cytometry of CSF cells

Upon arrival to the laboratory, 20 uL of CSF was placed on the Blood/Hemoglobin region of a Chemstrip (Chemstrip 10 SG, Cat. No. 11895362160) to evaluate for blood and/or hemoglobin contamination in the CSF sample. Samples with Chemstrip readings of $\geq$50 Erythrocytes/uL using either the blood or hemoglobin reading were not evaluated further. Evaluable samples were immediately spun at 4º C for 10 minutes at 400 RCF. CSF supernatant was then removed from the cell pellet, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 200 uL cold 1X PBS. 10 uL of sample was added

to 10 uL of Methylene Blue and utilized for cell counting using a hemocytometer. Following cell

counting, 800 uL of cold 1X PBS was added to the sample, and the sample was spun again at 4º C

for 10 minutes at 400 RCF. Supernatant was discarded and the cell pellet was resuspended in 100

uL of cold 1X PBS for flow cytometry staining with a cocktail of 16 antibodies targeting CD45,

CD14, CD3, CD19, CD56, HLA-DR, CD4, CD8, CD38, CD27, CD45RA, CD11c, CD127, CD25,

CXCR3, and CCR6 (**Supplementary Table 4**) for 30 minutes at 4ºC protected from light.

Following the 30-minute stain, 900 uL of 1X cold PBS was added to the sample before another

spin at 4º C for 10 minutes at 400 RCF. Supernatant was discarded and cell pellet was resuspended

in 200-300 uL of 1X cold PBS and placed on ice prior to immediate analysis on an LSR Fortessa.

Routinely, paired whole blood was stained and run on the Fortessa side-by-side with the CSF. BD

FACSDiva software was utilized to collect flow cytometry data on the LSR Fortessa. Single-stain

compensation tubes with beads were run prior to data collection and utilized for compensation on

FlowJo software. After gating, frequencies were exported from FlowJo into .csv files for

downstream statistical analysis.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed utilizing R software. When statistical comparisons were

performed between conditions, a Wilcoxon-rank-sum test was utilized. The R packages *dplyr,*

ggplot2, magrittr, tibble, tidyr, ggpubr, pROC, and *cowplot* were utilized for statistical analysis and

figure generation.

Neuroinflammatory composite score

A CSF-only neuroinflammatory composite score (coNCS, adapted from [6]) was calculated with the

following formula and used in **Fig. 4B**:

$$\left[\left(\frac{cells}{uL} + 1\right) * B\ cells * 100\right]/[Monocytes * NKT\ cells]$$

Where *cells/uL* = CSF cell concentration calculated from hemocytometer manual count, *B cells* =

CSF B cell frequency as % of CSF lymphocytes, *Monocytes* = CSF CD14+ myeloid cell frequency

as % of CSF cells, and *NKT cells* = CSF CD56+ CD3+ T-cell frequency as % of CSF lymphocytes.

If either the denominator or numerator were 0, the coNCS was set to 0. For **Supplementary Fig.**

S6B, the full NCS (with blood CD56dim NK) was utilized when blood CD56dim NK cell

frequencies were available:

$$\left[\left(\frac{cells}{uL} + 1\right) * B\ cells * 100\right]/[CD56dim\ NKcells_{blood} * Monocytes * NKT\ cells]$$

Where *CD56dim NK cells$_{blood}$* = blood CD56dim NK cell frequency as % of blood lymphocytes

and all other parameters identical to the coNCS. If either the denominator or numerator were 0,

the full NCS was set to 0.

Patient diagnoses and categorical classification

Patient diagnoses were ascertained in prospective follow-up by pediatric neurologists with

extensive experience in pediatric neuroimmunology, and based on all available clinical and clinical

laboratory data (but blinded to the CSF flow cytometry results). When possible, these diagnoses

were then binned into five categories: non-inflammatory neurological disorders (NINDs),

peripheral inflammatory neurological disorders (PIND), autoimmune encephalitidies (AIE),

inherited disorders of white matter (IDWM). For acquired demyelinating syndromes (ADS),

diagnoses were further characterized by presenting phenotype. The classification of specific

diagnoses into categories is available within **Supplementary Table 1**. Within the ADS category,

MOGAD was diagnosed utilizing the 2023 International MOGAD Panel proposed criteria[61] and

MS was diagnosed utilizing the 2017 McDonald criteria[62]. For children diagnosed with other ADS

or MOGAD, CSF samples were determined to have been procured with a median of 4.5 days or

12 days, respectivley, from the onset of most recent episode of clinically active disease

(**Supplementary Table 5**). For children diagnosed with MS, 4 of 15 were found to be in remission

at the time of sampling, defined as the absence of clinical symptoms for at least 3 months prior to

LP (**Supplementary Table 5**); for those with active clinical disease, CSF samples were determined

to have been procured with a median of 6 days since the onset of most recent episode of clinically

active disease (**Supplementary Table 5**). In **Supplementary Fig. S5**, other ADS, MOGAD, and

CSF samples were further classified as *treated* if they had received any systemic glucocorticoid

and/or IVIG treatment within the last 30 days prior to LP. Children with other ADS, MOGAD, or

MS with any history of MS disease-modifying therapies were excluded from all analyses.

DATA AVAILABILITY AND REPRODUCIBILITY

Complete R code (including R markdown notebooks with clear documentation) and final tables

used   to   analyze   and   visualize   our   results   have   been   deposited   at

https://github.com/diegoalexespi/espinozada_pediatricCSF2024.

REFERENCES

1. Ousman SS, Kubes P. Immune surveillance in the central nervous system. *Nat Neurosci*.
2012;15(8):1096-1101. doi:10.1038/nn.3161

2. Stangel M, Fredrikson S, Meinl E, Petzold A, Stüve O, Tumani H. The utility of cerebrospinal
fluid analysis in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2013;9(5):267-276.
doi:10.1038/nrneurol.2013.41

3. Ostkamp P, Deffner M, Schulte-Mecklenbeck A, et al. A single-cell analysis framework
allows for characterization of CSF leukocytes and their tissue of origin in multiple sclerosis.
Science Translational Medicine. 2022;14(673):eadc9778. doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.adc9778

4. Schafflick D, Xu CA, Hartlehnert M, et al. Integrated single cell analysis of blood and
cerebrospinal fluid leukocytes in multiple sclerosis. *Nature Communications*.
2020;11(1):247. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-14118-w

5. Han S, Lin YC, Wu T, et al. Comprehensive Immunophenotyping of Cerebrospinal Fluid
Cells in Patients with Neuroimmunological Diseases. *The Journal of Immunology*.
2014;192(6):2551-2563. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1302884

6. Gross CC, Schulte-Mecklenbeck A, Madireddy L, et al. Classification of neurological
diseases using multi-dimensional CSF analysis. *Brain*. 2021;144(9):2625-2634.
doi:10.1093/brain/awab147

7. Gate D, Saligrama N, Leventhal O, et al. Clonally expanded CD8 T cells patrol the
cerebrospinal fluid in Alzheimer's disease. *Nature*. 2020;577(7790):399-404.
doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1895-7

8. Rubio-Perez C, Planas-Rigol E, Trincado JL, et al. Immune cell profiling of the cerebrospinal
fluid enables the characterization of the brain metastasis microenvironment. *Nat Commun*.
2021;12(1):1503. doi:10.1038/s41467-021-21789-x

9. Heming M, Börsch AL, Wiendl H, Meyer zu Hörste G. High-dimensional investigation of the
cerebrospinal fluid to explore and monitor CNS immune responses. *Genome Med*.
2022;14(1):1-12. doi:10.1186/s13073-022-01097-9

10. Pappalardo JL, Zhang L, Pecsok MK, et al. Transcriptomic and clonal characterization of T
cells in the human central nervous system. *Science Immunology*. 2020;5(51):eabb8786.
doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.abb8786

11. Ramesh A, Schubert RD, Greenfield AL, et al. A pathogenic and clonally expanded B cell
transcriptome in active multiple sclerosis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences*. 2020;117(37):22932-22943. doi:10.1073/pnas.2008523117

12. Jacobs BM, Gasperi C, Kalluri SR, et al. Single-cell analysis of cerebrospinal fluid reveals
common features of neuroinflammation. *Cell Reports Medicine*. Published online December
20, 2024:101733. doi:10.1016/j.xcrm.2024.101733

13. Cantoni C, Smirnov RA, Firulyova M, et al. A single-cell compendium of human
cerebrospinal fluid identifies disease-associated immune cell populations. *J Clin Invest*.
2025;135(1). doi:10.1172/JCI177793

14. Heming M, Börsch AL, Melnik S, et al. Atlas of Cerebrospinal Fluid Immune Cells Across
Neurological Diseases. *Ann Neurol*. Published online December 12, 2024.
doi:10.1002/ana.27157

15. Ban M, Bredikhin D, Huang Y, et al. Expression profiling of cerebrospinal fluid identifies
dysregulated antiviral mechanisms in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2024;147(2):554-565.
doi:10.1093/brain/awad404

16. Lepennetier G, Hracsko Z, Unger M, et al. Cytokine and immune cell profiling in the
cerebrospinal fluid of patients with neuro-inflammatory diseases. *Journal of
Neuroinflammation*. 2019;16(1):219. doi:10.1186/s12974-019-1601-6

17. Waters P, Fadda G, Woodhall M, et al. Serial Anti–Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein
Antibody Analyses and Outcomes in Children With Demyelinating Syndromes. *JAMA
Neurology*. 2020;77(1):82-93. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.2940

18. Langer-Gould A, Zhang JL, Chung J, Yeung Y, Waubant E, Yao J. Incidence of acquired
CNS demyelinating syndromes in a multiethnic cohort of children. *Neurology*.
2011;77(12):1143-1148. doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e31822facdd

19. Ketelslegers IA, Catsman-Berrevoets CE, Neuteboom RF, et al. Incidence of acquired
demyelinating syndromes of the CNS in Dutch children: a nationwide study. *J Neurol*.
2012;259(9):1929-1935. doi:10.1007/s00415-012-6441-6

20. Banwell B, Bar-Or A, Arnold DL, et al. Clinical, environmental, and genetic determinants of
multiple sclerosis in children with acute demyelination: a prospective national cohort study.
The Lancet Neurology. 2011;10(5):436-445. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(11)70045-X

21. Tantsis EM, Prelog K, Brilot F, Dale RC. Risk of multiple sclerosis after a first demyelinating
syndrome in an Australian Paediatric cohort: clinical, radiological features and application of
the McDonald 2010 MRI criteria. *Mult Scler*. 2013;19(13):1749-1759.
doi:10.1177/1352458513484377

22. Mikaeloff Y, Adamsbaum C, Husson B, et al. MRI prognostic factors for relapse after acute
CNS inflammatory demyelination in childhood. *Brain*. 2004;127(9):1942-1947.
doi:10.1093/brain/awh218

23. Dale RC, Pillai SC. Early relapse risk after a first CNS inflammatory demyelination episode:
examining international consensus definitions. *Developmental Medicine & Child Neurology*.
2007;49(12):887-893. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8749.2007.00887.x

24. Chen JJ, Flanagan EP, Bhatti MT, et al. Steroid-sparing maintenance immunotherapy for
MOG-IgG associated disorder. *Neurology*. 2020;95(2):e111-e120.
doi:10.1212/WNL.0000000000009758

25. Whittam DH, Cobo-Calvo A, Lopez-Chiriboga AS, et al. Treatment of MOG-IgG-associated
disorder with rituximab: An international study of 121 patients. *Multiple Sclerosis and*
Related Disorders. 2020;44:102251. doi:10.1016/j.msard.2020.102251

26. Hacohen Y, Wong YY, Lechner C, et al. Disease Course and Treatment Responses in
Children With Relapsing Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein Antibody–Associated
Disease. *JAMA Neurology*. 2018;75(4):478-487. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2017.4601

27. Kivisäkk P, Mahad DJ, Callahan MK, et al. Human cerebrospinal fluid central memory CD4+
T cells: Evidence for trafficking through choroid plexus and meninges via P-selectin.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2003;100(14):8389-8394.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1433000100

28. de Graaf MT, Smitt PAES, Luitwieler RL, et al. Central memory CD4+ T cells dominate the
normal cerebrospinal fluid. *Cytometry Part B: Clinical Cytometry*. 2011;80B(1):43-50.
doi:10.1002/cyto.b.20542

29. KIVISÄKK P, TREBST C, LIU Z, et al. T-cells in the cerebrospinal fluid express a similar
repertoire of inflammatory chemokine receptors in the absence or presence of CNS
inflammation: implications for CNS trafficking. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*.
2002;129(3):510-518. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2249.2002.01947.x

30. Shearer WT, Rosenblatt HM, Gelman RS, et al. Lymphocyte subsets in healthy children
from birth through 18 years of age: The pediatric AIDS clinical trials group P1009 study.
Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology. 2003;112(5):973-980.
doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2003.07.003

31. Kok L, Masopust D, Schumacher TN. The precursors of CD8+ tissue resident memory T
cells: from lymphoid organs to infected tissues. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2022;22(5):283-293.
doi:10.1038/s41577-021-00590-3

32. Smolders J, Heutinck KM, Fransen NL, et al. Tissue-resident memory T cells populate the
human brain. *Nat Commun*. 2018;9(1):4593. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-07053-9

33. van den Broek T, Borghans JAM, van Wijk F. The full spectrum of human naive T cells. *Nat*
Rev Immunol. 2018;18(6):363-373. doi:10.1038/s41577-018-0001-y

34. Pulko V, Davies JS, Martinez C, et al. Human memory T cells with a naive phenotype
accumulate with aging and respond to persistent viruses. *Nat Immunol*. 2016;17(8):966-975.
doi:10.1038/ni.3483

35. Cossarizza A, Ortolani C, Paganelli R, et al. CD45 isoforms expression on CD4+ and CD8+
T cells throughout life, from newborns to centenarians: implications for T cell memory.
Mechanisms of Ageing and Development. 1996;86(3):173-195. doi:10.1016/0047-
6374(95)01691-0

36. Farber DL, Yudanin NA, Restifo NP. Human memory T cells: generation,
compartmentalization and homeostasis. *Nat Rev Immunol*. 2014;14(1):24-35.
doi:10.1038/nri3567

37. Thome JJC, Yudanin N, Ohmura Y, et al. Spatial Map of Human T Cell
Compartmentalization and Maintenance over Decades of Life. *Cell*. 2014;159(4):814-828.
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2014.10.026

38. Machado-Santos J, Saji E, Tröscher AR, et al. The compartmentalized inflammatory
response in the multiple sclerosis brain is composed of tissue-resident CD8+ T lymphocytes
and B cells. *Brain*. 2018;141(7):2066-2082. doi:10.1093/brain/awy151

39. Höftberger R, Guo Y, Flanagan EP, et al. The pathology of central nervous system
inflammatory demyelinating disease accompanying myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein
autoantibody. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2020;139(5):875-892. doi:10.1007/s00401-020-02132-y

40. Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Comi G, et al. Ocrelizumab versus Interferon Beta-1a in Relapsing
Multiple Sclerosis. *N Engl J Med*. 2017;376(3):221-234. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1601277

41. Hauser SL, Bar-Or A, Cohen JA, et al. Ofatumumab versus Teriflunomide in Multiple
Sclerosis. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2020;383(6):546-557.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1917246

42. Hauser SL, Waubant E, Arnold DL, et al. B-Cell Depletion with Rituximab in Relapsing–
Remitting Multiple Sclerosis. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2008;358(7):676-688.
doi:10.1056/NEJMoa0706383

43. Bogers L, Engelenburg HJ, Janssen M, et al. Selective emergence of antibody-secreting
cells in the multiple sclerosis brain. *eBioMedicine*. 2023;89.
doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104465

44. Cepok S, Jacobsen M, Schock S, et al. Patterns of cerebrospinal fluid pathology correlate
with disease progression in multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2001;124(11):2169-2176.
doi:10.1093/brain/124.11.2169

45. Cepok S, Rosche B, Grummel V, et al. Short-lived plasma blasts are the main B cell effector
subset during the course of multiple sclerosis. *Brain*. 2005;128(7):1667-1676.
doi:10.1093/brain/awh486

46. Magliozzi R, Howell O, Vora A, et al. Meningeal B-cell follicles in secondary progressive
multiple sclerosis associate with early onset of disease and severe cortical pathology. *Brain*.
2007;130(4):1089-1104. doi:10.1093/brain/awm038

47. Li R, Patterson KR, Bar-Or A. Reassessing B cell contributions in multiple sclerosis. *Nat*
Immunol. 2018;19(7):696-707. doi:10.1038/s41590-018-0135-x

48. Touil H, Li R, Zuroff L, et al. Cross-talk between B cells, microglia and macrophages, and
implications to central nervous system compartmentalized inflammation and progressive
multiple sclerosis. *eBioMedicine*. 2023;96. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2023.104789

49. Akaishi T, Misu T, Takahashi T, et al. Progression pattern of neurological disability with
respect to clinical attacks in anti-MOG antibody-associated disorders. *Journal of*
Neuroimmunology. 2021;351. doi:10.1016/j.jneuroim.2020.577467

50. Straeten F, Zhu J, Börsch AL, et al. Integrated single-cell transcriptomics of cerebrospinal
fluid cells in treatment-naïve multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*.
2022;19(1):306. doi:10.1186/s12974-022-02667-9

51. van Nierop GP, van Luijn MM, Michels SS, et al. Phenotypic and functional characterization
of T cells in white matter lesions of multiple sclerosis patients. *Acta Neuropathol*.
2017;134(3):383-401. doi:10.1007/s00401-017-1744-4

52. Jarius S, Pellkofer H, Siebert N, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid findings in patients with myelin
oligodendrocyte glycoprotein (MOG) antibodies. Part 1: Results from 163 lumbar punctures
in 100 adult patients. *Journal of Neuroinflammation*. 2020;17(1):261. doi:10.1186/s12974-
020-01824-2

53. Kornbluh AB, Campano VM, Har C, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid eosinophils in pediatric myelin
oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease. *Multiple Sclerosis and Related*
Disorders. 2024;85. doi:10.1016/j.msard.2024.105526

54. Fadda G, Yea C, O'Mahony J, et al. Epstein–Barr Virus Strongly Associates With Pediatric
Multiple Sclerosis, But Not Myelin Oligodendrocyte Glycoprotein-Antibody-Associated
Disease. *Annals of Neurology*. 2024;95(4):700-705. doi:10.1002/ana.26890

55. Bjornevik K, Munger KL, Cortese M, et al. Serum Neurofilament Light Chain Levels in
Patients With Presymptomatic Multiple Sclerosis. *JAMA Neurology*. 2020;77(1):58-64.
doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2019.3238

56. Gross CC, Schulte-Mecklenbeck A, Steinberg OV, et al. Multiple sclerosis endophenotypes
identified by high-dimensional blood signatures are associated with distinct disease
trajectories. *Science Translational Medicine*. 2024;16(740):eade8560.
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.ade8560

57. Mexhitaj I, Lim N, Fernandez-Velasco JI, et al. Stabilization of leukocytes from cerebrospinal
fluid for central immunophenotypic evaluation in multicenter clinical trials. *J Immunol*
Methods. 2022;510:113344. doi:10.1016/j.jim.2022.113344

58. Schulte-Mecklenbeck A, Zinnhardt B, Müller-Miny L, et al. Letter to the editor regarding
"Stabilization of leukocytes from cerebrospinal fluid for central immunophenotypic evaluation
in multicenter clinical trials." *Journal of Immunological Methods*. 2023;514:113428.
doi:10.1016/j.jim.2023.113428

59. Oh J, Bar-Or A. Precision neuroimmunology in multiple sclerosis — the horizon is near. *Nat*
Rev Neurol. Published online July 4, 2024:1-2. doi:10.1038/s41582-024-00992-6

60. Teunissen CE, Petzold A, Bennett JL, et al. A consensus protocol for the standardization of
cerebrospinal fluid collection and biobanking. *Neurology*. 2009;73(22):1914-1922.
doi:10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181c47cc2

61. Banwell B, Bennett JL, Marignier R, et al. Diagnosis of myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein
antibody-associated disease: International MOGAD Panel proposed criteria. *The Lancet*
Neurology. 2023;22(3):268-282. doi:10.1016/S1474-4422(22)00431-8

62. Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, et al. Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions
of the McDonald criteria. *The Lancet Neurology.* 2018;17(2):162-173. doi:10.1016/S1474-
4422(17)30470-2

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported in part through the Melissa and Paul Anderson fund and Rosenblum
donation (ABO, BB) with DAE supported in part by NIH Medical Scientist Training Program
T32 GM07170, T32 G000046, F31AI167501, the Blavatnik Family Fellowship in Biomedical
Research at the University of Pennsylvania, and the Penn Colton Center for Autoimmunity. TZ
was supported in part by FWF Schrödinger grant (Nr: J4524). We would also like to thank the
Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Division of Child Neurology for their help in procuring CSF
samples. Figure 1A was created with Biorender.com.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

DAE performed analysis. DAE, TZ, GB, ST, IM, LDY, YK, and ZM performed experiments. DAE,
TZ, GB, ST, IM, MB, JL, SF, AnM, and MS assisted in sample transport and patient consenting.
AmM ascertained patient diagnoses. DAE and MK designed the web-based R-shiny app for
exploration of data. TZ, IM, CD, AS-M, HW, AR, and RL aided with analysis, protocol
optimization, and panel development. ATW, SEH, and SN aided with patient recruitment and
lumbar punctures. BB and ABO supervised the project. All authors contributed to the writing of
the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this study.

694   **FIGURES AND FIGURE LEGENDS**

Figure 1: Pediatric NIND CSF is primarily composed of memory CD4+ and CD8+ T cells,

with an age-associated increase in CD8+ memory T cell frequency (A) Schematic of CSF

sample procurement and flow cytometry data acquisition. **(B)** Cell count range (cells/mL) of NIND

CSF (n=33). **(C)** Frequencies of CD3+ T cells, CD14+ myeloid cells, NK cells, DCs, PMNs, and

B cells in NIND CSF. **(D)** Frequencies of T-cell subsets, as percent of CD3+ T cells, in NIND CSF.

(E) Frequencies of CD4+ naive and memory T cells, as percent of CD4+ T cells, in NIND CSF.

(F) Frequencies of CD8+ naive and memory T cells, as percent of CD8+ T cells, in NIND CSF

and **(G)** across the NIND age span.

Figure 2: An increase in CSF B-cell counts best differentiates ADS from both other inflammatory and non-inflammatory neurological disease cohorts (A) Cell counts (cells/mL) in NIND CSF (n=33), PIND CSF (n=7), AIE CSF (n=6), IDWM CSF (n=4), and ADS CSF (n=35). **(B)** Cell counts (cells/mL) for CD3+ T cells, CD14+ myeloid cells, NK cells, DCs, PMNs, and B cells across the same cohorts as **A**. **(C)** log10 of the fold change of median cell counts, comparing the median cell count in ADS to the median cell count in other cohorts for each lineage. For **Fig. 2A-B**, Wilcoxon-rank-sum test used to compare ADS to NIND, PIND, AIE, and IDWM independently (* = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$, **** = $p < 0.0001$). NIND = non-inflammatory neurological disease, PIND = peripheral inflammatory neurological disease, AIE = autoimmune encephalitidies, IDWM = inherited disorders of white matter, ADS = acquired demyelinating syndromes.

Figure 3: Pediatric MS CSF exhibits increased ASC frequencies and decreased CD14+ myeloid cell frequencies when compared to MOGAD and other ADS. (**A**) B-cell, (**B**) ASC, and (**C**) CD14+ myeloid cell frequencies and counts (cells/mL) in NIND CSF (n=33), PIND CSF (n=7), AIE CSF (n=6), IDWM CSF (n=4), other ADS CSF (n=10), MOGAD CSF (n=10), and MS CSF (n=15). (**D**) log10 of the fold change of median frequencies, comparing the median frequency in MS to the median frequency in other ADS and MOGAD for each cell population (lineage or subset). For **Fig. 3A-C**, Wilcoxon-rank-sum test used to compare MS to MOGAD, MS to other ADS, and MOGAD to other ADS (* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001, **** = p < 0.0001). ASC = antibody secreting cell, NIND = non-inflammatory neurological disease, PIND = peripheral inflammatory neurological disease, AIE = autoimmune encephalitidies, IDWM = inherited disorders of white matter, other ADS = non-MS/non-MOGAD acquired demyelinating syndromes, MOGAD = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease, MS = multiple sclerosis.

Figure 4: Ratio of CSF ASC to CSF CD14+ myeloid cell frequencies differentiates MS from

MOGAD and other ADS (**A**) Ratio of ASC frequencies to CD14+ myeloid cell frequencies

(AMR) and (**B**) CSF-only neuroinflammatory composite scores (coNCS) across NIND CSF

(n=33), PIND CSF (n=7), AIE CSF (n=6), IDWM CSF (n=4), and other ADS CSF (n=10),

MOGAD CSF (n=10), and MS CSF (n=15). (**C**) Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves

built for AMR or coNCS classifiers for the MS-vs-MOGAD/other ADS comparison, MS-vs-

MOGAD comparison, and MS-vs-other ADS comparison, along with each corresponding area

under the curve (AUC). For **Fig. 4A-B**, Wilcoxon-rank-sum used to compare MS to MOGAD, MS

to other ADS, and MOGAD to other ADS (* = $p < 0.05$, ** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$, **** = $p < 0.0001$). AMR = ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell ratio, coNCS = CSF-only neuroinflammatory

< 0.0001). AMR = ASC to CD14+ myeloid cell ratio, coNCS = CSF-only neuroinflammatory

composite score, NIND = non-inflammatory neurological disease, PIND = peripheral

inflammatory neurological disease, AIE = autoimmune encephalitidies, IDWM = inherited

disorders of white matter, other ADS = non-MS/non-MOGAD acquired demyelinating syndromes,

MOGAD = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease, MS = multiple

sclerosis.

Category	Median Age	Age Range	Male Sex	Female Sex	Days from clinical episode onset to sampling (median for ADS groups only)	Days from clinical episode onset to sampling (range for ADS groups only)	Number in remission (MS only)
NIND	12.2	2.6 - 19.4	21	12	--	--	--
PIND	16.8	3.5 - 19.4	3	4	--	--	--
AIE	14	8.7 - 19	2	4	--	--	--
IDWM	10.1	1.3 - 17.2	4	0	--	--	--
other ADS	9.3	0.7 - 17.9	8	2	4.5	1-40	--
MOGAD	9.3	1.8 - 16.2	5	5	12	1-23	--
MS	16.9	11.6 - 19.1	4	11	6	3-41	4

Table 1 Aggregated patient metadata by age and sex. NIND = non-inflammatory neurological disease, PIND = peripheral inflammatory neurological disease, AIE = autoimmune encephalitidies, IDWM = inherited disorders of white matter, other ADS = non-MS/non-MOGAD acquired demyelinating syndromes, MOGAD = myelin oligodendrocyte glycoprotein antibody-associated disease, MS = multiple sclerosis

758