1 **CCR7 Expression Distinguishes Functionally Distinct pTfh1 Subsets with Roles in Malaria-**
2 **Specific Immunity**
3

Megan SF Soon [1], Damian A Oyong[1], Nicholas Dooley[1], Reena Mukhiya[1,2,3], Zuleima Pava[1], Dean
Andrew[2], Jessica R Loughland[1], James McCarthy[4], Jo-Anne Chan[1,4,5], James G Beeson[1,4,5], Christian
Engwerda[2,3], Ashraful Haque[4], Michelle J Boyle[1,3,4,5 #]

1. Burnet Institute, 85 Commercial Road, Melbourne, VIC, Australia
2. QIMR-Berghofer, 300 Herston Road, Herston, QLD, Australia
3. Environment and Sciences, Griffith University, QLD, Australia
4. University of Melbourne, VIC, Australia
5. Monash University

corresponding author: michelle.boyle@burnet.edu.au

Abstract

T-follicular CD4 T (Tfh) cells play essential roles in antibody induction during infection and
following vaccination. In humans, peripheral Tfh (pTfh) cells are commonly analysed based on
expression of CXCR3 and CCR6, with different subsets of pTfh (pTfh1, pTfh2, pTfh17) associated
with antibody induction in a context-dependent manner. In malaria, the specific roles of pTfh subsets
in antibody development is not clear. Several studies in human malaria infection and vaccination have
identified an important role of pTfh2 cells, which associate with antibody development while pTfh1
cells do not. However, *in vitro* studies and animal models highlight that pTfh1 cells are key drivers of
cytophilic antibody development, which are protective. To dissect these contradictions, we mapped
the heterogeneity of pTfh cells in healthy donors and individuals with controlled human malaria
infection using scRNAseq. We identified two previously unidentified pTfh1-like subsets with
functional relevance, which can be defined based on CCR7 expression. CCR7^{pos} pTfh1 cells have
increased capacity to produce IL-21, whereas CCR7^{neg} pTfh1 cells express markers of cytotoxicity. In
controlled human malaria infection, we show that both CCR7^{pos} and CCR7^{neg} pTfh1 cells, along with
Tfh2 cells, clonally expand, are transcriptionally and phenotypically activated, and are malaria
specific. However, only CCR7^{pos} pTfh1 and pTfh2 cells associated with antibody responses to
infection. Our data expand our knowledge of Tfh cell diversity and function during human infection
and resolve contradictions of the role of pTfh1 cells in antibody development targeting malaria. Data
advance our knowledge of Tfh cell diversity and may inform approaches to target these key CD4 T
cells during vaccination.

Introduction

T-follicular helper (Tfh) cells are the key CD4 T cell subset that function within the germinal centre to support B cell development. Cytokine and receptor mediated signals from Tfh cells, drive B cell selection, support antibody class switching, and differentiation into antibody-producing long-lived plasma cells and memory B cells. Due to the key role of antibodies and memory B cells in protection from most pathogens, Tfh cells are attractive targets to boost naturally acquired or vaccine-mediated protection *(1)*. To develop such therapies, an improved understanding of how Tfh cells drive antibody development in specific disease contexts is required.

While Tfh cells function within the secondary lymphoid tissues such as draining lymph nodes, spleens and tonsils, some Tfh cells, or a subset thereof, also circulate. This allows analysis of peripheral blood to provide a 'snapshot' of the germinal centre response during human infection *(2–5)*. Both GC- and peripheral Tfh cells, identified by expression of C-X-C chemokine receptor 5 (CXCR5) and programmed cell death protein (PD1), can be further analysed as Tfh-subsets, with cells taking on characteristics of CD4+ T cell helper cell lineages *(6)*. The most common strategy to identify pTfh cell subsets is analysis of CXCR3 and CCR6, classifying cells as pTfh1 (CXCR3+/CCR6-), pTfh2 (CXCR3-/CCR6-) and pTfh17 (CXCR3-/CCR6+). Expression of CXCR3 and CCR6 has also been reported on germinal centre (GC) Tfh cells from secondary lymphoid tissues *(3)*. Specific pTfh cell subsets have differing abilities to activate naïve or memory B cells and have been associated with antibody development in a pathogen and vaccine-dependent manner. For example, pTfh2 cells are more able to activate naïve B cells and have been associated with the development of broadly neutralizing antibodies in HIV infection *(7)*. On the other hand, pTfh1 cells robustly activate memory B cells, and are consistently associated with antibodies induced by influenza vaccination *(8, 9)*. Differing functional capacities of pTfh2 cells may be underpinned by 'skewing' of Tfh cells by the cytokine milieu induced during infection or vaccination, allowing Tfh cells to adopt specific roles to influence B cell development *(6)*.

For some infections, the link between specific cytokine-skewed pTfh subsets and antibody development is less clear. In malaria, caused by *Plasmodium* parasites, we have shown that the activation of pTfh2 cells was associated with antibody development during controlled human malaria infection (CHMI) with *P. falciparum* of naïve adults *(10)*. Similarly, pTfh2 cells also associated with induction of antibodies by approved and experimental malaria vaccines *(11–13)*. This association was observed despite the overall response during malaria being dominated by pTfh1 cell activation *(10, 14, 15)*. In Malian children, the robust pTfh1 cell activation was not associated with antibody development following malaria treatment *(15)*. However, recent studies by our team have shown that these relationships are more nuanced, with Ugandan children who had the highest levels of protective antibodies having increased frequencies of activated and proliferating pTfh2, together with pTfh1

cells *(16)*. Additionally, parasite activated pTfh1 cells induced T-bet+ B cells with high IgG3

expression *(17)*, and IgG3 antibodies are consistently associated with protection from malaria *(18)*.

Mouse models of malaria have not clarified these contradictions, with Tfh1 cells being shown to be

protective and supporting affinity maturation of B cells in some studies *(19)*, and being suppressers of

antibody development in others *(20)*. These contradictory results may be in part due to the limited

approaches to identify subsets of pTfh cells. While transcriptional and phenotypic diversity of Tfh

have begun to be explored in other infections in mouse models *(21, 22)*, and in small human vaccine

studies with influenza and SARS-CoV-2 *(23, 24)*, our understanding of Tfh diversity in malaria

infection is currently limited.

We hypothesised that there is greater diversity of pTfh cells with distinct functional activities that is

currently not understood, in part due to analysis of pTfh in human infection with limited chemokine

markers. To address this, we analysed pTfh cells in healthy individuals and during human malaria by

applying single cell RNA sequencing (scRNAseq) and multidimensional spectral flow cytometry. We

applied these approaches to cell samples from well-defined CHMI cohorts and identified

characteristics of antigen specific pTfh subsets associated with antibody development. Our data

highlight the phenotypic and functional diversity of Tfh cells during human infection, and nuanced

associations with antibody development.

Results

Analysis of pTfh cells with scRNAseq identifies two Tfh1-like subsets

To explore pTfh cell transcriptional heterogeneity and generate a reference map for pTfh cells during

human infection, we first performed scRNAseq on pTfh cells sorted from healthy human donors (n=4,

sex 50% males, aged 28.5 [25.5-35], median [IQR] years). We sorted three pTfh subsets (pTfh1,

pTfh2 and pTfh17 from CXCR5+ PD1+ cells), based on classical definitions of CXCR3/CCR6

expression, alongside the less functional or less activated CXCR5+ PD1- compartment (**Fig. 1A,**

Supplementary Fig. S1A). After QC, a total of 7,302 cells were analysed with high quality cells

captured from each donor and subset (pTfh1 n= 2,089, pTfh2 n=717, pTfh17 n=744, PD1- n=2,839,

Supplementary Fig. 1B). Following data integration by donor (**Supplementary Fig. 1C**), we

identified 11 pTfh cell clusters using a combination of unsupervised and semi-supervised clustering

methods (**Fig. 1B-D, Supplementary Table 1**). Two Tfh1 like clusters were identified, both with

relatively high expression of *CXCR3* and *CST7* (annotated Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7). A Tfh2

cluster was identified with high expression of genes associated with GC Tfh cells, including *TOX2*

(25, 26), MAF (27, 28), and *TCF7*. The signature of this cluster mapped to the majority of tonsil GC

Tfh cells in a publicly available data set *(29)* (**Supplementary Fig. 1D**), confirming the

transcriptional relationship between Tfh2 cluster and GC Tfh cells identified here and reported previously *(7)*. Tfh17 cluster was annotated based on high expression of genes associated with Th17 cells *CCR6, BHLHE40,* and *TGFB1 (30–32)*. Most cells within these Tfh1/Tfh2 and Tfh17 transcriptional clusters were sorted from the PD1+ cells (**Fig. 1B/C**). Other transcriptional clusters included cells enriched in Type I IFN genes (annotated as IFNI), a cluster enriched with heat shock proteins (annotated stress), another cluster enriched with ribosomal genes (annotated ribosomal), and two clusters without clear marker genes (cluster 2, possibly a quiescence cell subset, with upregulation of genes *BTG1* and *ZFP36L2,* and cluster 3 which only had limited upregulated genes including annexin family members *ANXA1*, *ANXA2*, *S100A10)* (**Fig. 1D**). Analysis of key T helper genes also identified a small cluster of cells positioned close to the Tfh1 cluster that expressed a mixture of Tfh1/Tfh17 signature, which were manually clustered and annotated as Tfh1.17 (**Fig. 1B/D**). Additionally, another subset of cells expressing *FOXP3* and Treg signature genes including *CTLA4* was identified manually and annotated as Tfr (**Fig. 1D**). The remaining Tfh cell subsets expressed diverse levels of markers associated with various aspects of Tfh or CD4 T cell development, with no clear patterns of expression across subsets (**Supplementary Fig. 1E**).

Comparing the two cell clusters identified as pTfh1 cells, the Tfh1_cytotoxic cluster had high expression of *GZMK*, *GZMM*, *CCL5* and *NKG7* (**Fig. 1D/E, Supplementary Fig. 1E, Supplementary Table 2)**. The signature of the Tfh1_cytotoxic annotated cells overlaps with cytotoxic Tfh cells previously identified in mice models, including the increased expression of *EOMES (33)*, and in a recent small analysis of human Tfh cells from the periphery and tonsil *(34)* (**Supplementary Fig. 1E**). Potentially cytotoxic, granzyme-K expressing pTfh cells have also recently been identified in type-1 skewed response in mice, and in human CMV specific pTfh cells *(21)*. In contrast, the Tfh1_CCR7 cluster had lower expression of cytotoxic markers, and instead had increased expression of *CCR7* and *SELL*. Differential expression of CCR7 along with PD1 has previously been used to differentiate Tfh subsets within CXCR5+ cells in both mice and humans, with $CCR7^{lo}/PD1^{hi}$ described as effector cells and $CCR7^{hi}/PD1^{lo}$ described as resting cells *(35)*. However here, both Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7 subsets largely existed in clusters that were from the PD1+ sorted populations thus identifying an unappreciated gradient of CCR7 expression within PD1+ cells (**Fig. 1C/F, Supplementary Fig. 1F).** Pathway analysis of Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh1_cytotoxic cluster marker genes highlighted the shared and divergent transcriptional signatures of these cells (**Supplementary Fig. 1G**). For example, both subsets were enriched for 'Th1 pathway', and 'Interferon gamma signalling', while only Tfh1_CCR7 cells were enriched in 'Th2 pathway' and 'ICOS-ICOSL Signalling in T Helper Cells' suggesting potential functional differences between the two transcriptional clusters (**Supplementary Fig. 1G**).

To dissect the relationship between Tfh cell transcriptional and phenotypic characteristics, the degree of overlap between designated Tfh cell subsets and sorted cell phenotypes was analysed.

Tfh1_cytotoxic, Tfh1_CCR7, Tfh2 and Tfh17 clusters, defined by transcriptional profiles, were

mainly found in cells expressing *PDCD1*. Further, *CXCR3* and *CCR6* expression overlapped with the

pTfh1 and Tfh17 sorted populations, respectively, suggesting some overlap between transcriptional

clusters and those identified via CXCR3 and CCR6 expression (**Fig. 1C**). However, *CCR4* expression,

often used to define Th2 cells, overlapped in both pTfh2 and pTfh17 sorted clusters and had the

highest expression in Tfh17 and Tfr transcriptional clusters (**Supplementary Fig. 1E**). Nearly all cells

found within both Tfh1 transcriptional clusters (Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7), were from the

pTfh1 sorted cell population. However, the sorted pTfh1 population also contained cells from all other

transcriptional subsets. Similarly, the phenotypic pTfh2 population was dominated by the Tfh2

transcriptional subset, but also contained cells with diverse transcriptional annotations (**Fig. 1F,**

Supplementary Fig. 1F). This pattern was also seen for the phenotypic pTfh17 population. The PD1-

phenotypic cells contained cells of all clusters, but were dominated by IFNI, stress, ribosomal and

cluster 2 and cluster 3 cells.

To understand the clonal sharing between clusters, TCR sequences were analysed. TCR sequences

with complete TRA/TRB genes were captured for 3,998 (55.6%) cells. Clones were defined by the

sharing of identical amino acid sequences. While the majority of TRA/TRB cells were singletons

(3839 clones), clonal expansion was detected in 159 cells across 57 clones ranging in size from 2 to

20 identical clones. Clonal expansion was detected particularly within the Tfh1_cytotoxic subset

(**Supplementary Fig. 1H**). As expected, no clones were shared across individuals (**Supplementary**

Fig. 1I) Within each clonotype, we examined the fate sharing clones to investigate if clones of the

same family tend to share the same transcriptional subsets or were found across diverse Tfh

phenotypes. 40/57 clonotypes (70.2%) were found in a single subset. Clonal sharing was evident

between Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7 but not Tfh2 transcriptional clusters, while Tfh2 shared

clones with ribosomal, cluster 2 and cluster 3 cells (**Fig. 1G**). Together, these data show that while

commonly used phenotypic markers CXCR3 and CCR6 can resolve some heterogeneity in pTfh cells,

additional diversity, particularly within pTfh1 cells is identified when analysing underlying

transcriptional profiles of pTfh cells. Transcriptional profiles suggest that this diversity has potential

functional relevance in antibody development.

Fig. 1. scRNAseq analysis of pTfh cells from healthy individuals

A. *Four populations were sorted from pTfh CD4+ cells from 4 healthy donors - 1) CXCR5+PD1-, 2)*

CXCR5+PD1+ pTfh1, 3) CXCR5+PD1+ pTfh2, and 4) CXCR5+PD1+ pTfh17. Populations were

analysed by 5' droplet scRNAseq for gene expression and TCR sequence. ***B.*** *UMAP of data following*

integration by donor, with 11 transcriptional subsets indicated. ***C.*** *UMAP of data depicting cell sorted*

identity. ***D.*** *Relative gene expression of genes used to annotate transcriptional clusters.* ***E.*** *Selection*

of key genes differentially expressed between Tfh1_Cyto and Tfh1_CCR7 annotated transcriptional

clusters. ***F.*** *Relationship between cell sorted identity and transcriptional cluster identity in the data*

set. ***G.*** *Fate sharing at the individual clonotype level across transcriptional subsets. Expanded*

clonotypes (n$\geq$2) with only same fates (exist within the same subset), or different fates are shown See

also Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Table S1 and S2.

pTfh1 subsets have distinct phenotypic characteristics and functional potential

Transcriptional analysis identified two pTfh1-like subsets with distinct expression of cytotoxic and

memory associated genes. To explore these pTfh1 subsets at the protein level, along with pTfh2 and

pTfh17 cells, we designed a comprehensive phenotyping panel for pTfh cells which included

commonly assessed chemokine receptors (CXCR3 and CCR6), markers identified in our

transcriptional data set or previously used *(36)* (including CCR7, and cytotoxic markers) and

transcriptional factors with reported roles in Tfh cell function or development (**Supplementary Table**

S3). pTfh cell subsets were analysed within healthy human donors (n= 19, sex 83.3% males, aged 24

years [20.2-28.2, median [IQR] years). Within pTfh cells (CXCR5+PD1+ CD4 T cells) a gradient of

CCR7 expression was detected, including within pTfh1 cells (**Fig. 2A, Supplementary Fig. 2A**).

Within pTfh subsets, expression of cytotoxic markers NKG7, GrzmB and GrzmK was significantly

higher in CCR7^{neg} pTfh1 compared to either CCR7^{pos} pTfh1 or pTfh2 and pTfh17 cells, consistent

with scRNAseq analysis and suggesting that cytotoxic potential of pTfh cells is restricted to pTfh1

CCR7^{neg} subsets (**Fig. 2B-D, Supplementary Fig. 2B**). Transcriptional analysis also suggested that

Tfr cells were more closely related to pTfh2 subsets. This was also confirmed phenotypically where

there was a relatively increased proportion of FoxP3+CD25+ pTfh cells within the pTfh2 cell subset

(Supplementary Fig. 2C).

Within pTfh cells, we also quantified expression of cMaf which was upregulated in Tfh2 cell clusters

and SAP (*SH2D1A)* which was a marker of Tfh1_CCR7 (**Fig. 1D**), both of which have essential roles

in GC Tfh cell development *(37)*. cMaf expression was not different between pTfh1 CCR7$^{neg/pos}$ cells,

both of which had significantly lower expression compared pTfh2 and pTfh17, consistent with

previous reports *(7)* (**Fig. 2E, Supplementary Fig. 2B**). SAP expression was low across all subsets,

and was lowest in pTfh2, and comparable between the two pTfh1 subsets (**Fig. 2F**). We also assessed

TIGIT which is reported to have highest expression in GC-Tfh and pTfh2 cells *(7)*, and which was

relatively higher in Tfh1_CCR7 cells compared to Tfh1_cytotoxic cells transcriptionally (**Fig. 1D**). In

contrast to our transcriptional data and previous reports, TIGIT expression was highest on pTfh1

CCR7^{neg} cells compared to both pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and pTfh2 cells (**Fig. 2G**). The function of TIGIT+

pTfh cells is unclear, with both reports of TIGIT+ pTfh having both enhanced *(38)* and reduced

capacity *(39)* to provide B cell help and associated with antibody development help depending on the

disease context. Thus, different findings on TIGIT expression on pTfh cell subsets may be

underpinned by the experimental models analysed.

To assess pTfh cell subset diversity with an unbiased approach, all pTfh cells were analysed with

unsupervised clustering based on expression of CXCR3, CCR6, CCR7, NKG7, GrzmB, GrzmK,

cMAF, TIGIT and FoxP3. Unbiased clustering consistently identified two subsets of pTfh1 cells

based on CCR7 expression, where CCR7^{neg} cells also expressed high levels of NKG7, GrzmB and

GrzmK (**Fig. 2H, Supplementary Fig. 2D-F).** pTfh2, pTfh17 and pTfh1-17 cells could also be

identified (**Fig. 2H**). Additionally, FoxP3+ Tfr cells were identified and clustered within the pTfh2

cell subset, consistent with scRNAseq data, and reflected in manual gating (**Supplementary Fig. 2C**).

Figure 2: Phenotypic diversity of pTfh cell subsets based on CCR7 expression.
A comprehensive spectral flow cytometry panel was used to analyse pTfh cells in healthy individuals
*(n =19). **A)** A gradient of CCR7 expression is detected within pTfh cells, and pTfh1 CCR7neg (CCR7-*
*) and pTfh1 CCR7pos (CCR7+) subsets can be identified, along with pTfh2 and pTfh17 cells. **B-D)***
*Expression of cytotoxic markers NKG7, Granzyme K and Granzyme B (**B**) and cMaf, SAP (**C**), and*
*TIGIT (**D**) were analysed within pTfh cell subsets. Flow plots are a representative individual with*
marker expression overlayed against CXCR3 and CCR6 or CCR7 and CD45RA. Boxplots with
median and IQR are indicated along with individuals. P is the paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test after
adjustment with multiple testing correction using Holm's method, while the Kruskal-Wallis test is
*used for the global comparison. (**E**) pTfh cells were analysed with unbiased analysis of indicated*
markers. UMAP of a single experiment analysing n=7 individuals. Analysis performed three
independent times. See also Supplementary Fig. 2.

To further investigate functional relevance of pTfh1 cell subsets, cytokine production from pTfh cells was quantified following PMA/Io stimulation in healthy donors (**Supplementary Fig. 3, Supplementary Table S4,** n=11, sex 36.36 % males, age 37.5[32-40], median[IQR] years). As seen *ex vivo*, a gradient of CCR7 expression is detected within pTfh1 cell subsets identified with CXCR3 and CCR6 expression post stimulation (**Fig. 3A**). Following PMA/Io stimulation and within the commonly identified pTfh1, pTfh2 and pTfh17 cell subsets, the production of the Tfh-related cytokine IL-21 was comparable, while pTfh1 produced higher IFNγ and TNF, pTfh2 produced higher IL-4 and pTfh17 produced higher IL-17, as expected (**Fig. 3B**). Production of the regulatory cytokine IL-10 was detected at low levels in all subsets. When pTfh1 cells were analysed based on CCR7 expression, pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells produced significantly higher levels of IL-21, relative to pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells (**Fig. 3C**). Further, when the total cytokine composition was analysed, there was a significant difference in the cytokine composition produced by pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} compared to CCR7^{neg} cells (**Fig. 3D).**

Together, these data show that transcriptionally, phenotypically and functionally, pTfh1 cell subsets identified by CXCR3+ expression can be further distinguished based on CCR7 expression. pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells having higher functional potential, while the expression of markers associated with cytotoxic potential are restricted to pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells. These data highlight a previously unrecognised diversity of pTfh cells in healthy individuals when only considering subsets identified by CXCR3 and CCR6 expression, which may have important implication to B cell development during infection.

Figure 3. Functional diversity within pTfh cell subsets based on CCR7 expression

*Cytokine production following PMA/ Io stimulation was measured by intracellular staining in healthy individuals (n=11). **A**) pTfh cells subsets were identified by CXCR3 and CCR6 expression as pTfh1 (CXCR3+CCR6+), pTfh2 (CXCR3-CCR6-) and pTfh17 (CXCR3-CCR6+), and pTfh1 cells identified as CCR7^{pos} and CCR7^{neg} cells. **B**). IL-21, IFNγ, TNF, IL-4, IL-17, and IL-10 expression within pTfh cell subsets. P is the paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test after adjustment with multiple testing correction using Holm's method, while the Kruskal-Wallis test is used for the global comparison. **C**). IL-21 cytokine expression in pTfh1 cell subsets based on CCR7 expression. P is paired Wilcoxon signed-rank test. **D**)Overall cytokine production within pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and CCR7^{neg} cells. P is permutation test in SPICE. Box and whisker plots indicate first and third quartiles for hinges, median line and lowest and highest values no further than 1.5 IQR from hinges. All individual data are represented by the grey dots and lines. See also Supplementary Fig. 3.*

Expansion of pTfh1 cell subsets in human malaria infection

To investigate the roles of pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} subsets, along with other pTfh cells, during infection, we applied findings to human malaria with samples from a CHMI study cohort. pTfh cells (CD45RA-CXCR5+PD1+) were sorted from individuals in CHMI at day 0 (prior to inoculation), day 8 (peak infection), day 15 and day 36 (both after anti-parasitic drug treatment), and their transcriptional profiles analysed using 5' droplet scRNAseq (n =4, 100 % males, age 22 [21.5-26], median [IQR] years, **Supplementary Fig. 4A/B**). After QC, 27,552 cells were analysed, with high quality cells captured from each donor and timepoint (day 0 n = 6,741, day 8 n=6,125, day 16 n=8,103, day 36 n=7,583, **Supplementary Fig. 4C**). Data were integrated based on donor and time

point (**Supplementary Fig. 4D),** and pTfh cell clusters identified by label transfer with scType (an

automated cell-type identification tool *(40)*), using the top 20 upregulated and downregulated marker

genes for each cell cluster identified in the healthy 'map' data set used as a reference

(**Supplementary Table S5**, **Supplementary Fig. 4E-H**). scType specificity scores were used to

collapse clusters into cell subsets (**Figure 4A, Supplementary Fig. 4H**). This approach identified

Tfh1_cytotoxic, Th1_CCR7, Tfh2, Tfh17, IFNI, stress, ribosomal, cluster 2 and cluster 3 subsets.

Tfh1.17 and Tfr cells were not included in the reference map because of overlapping signatures with

Tfh1/Tfh17 and Tfh2 subsets. Following label transfer, Tfh1.17 could not be identified manually,

however Tfr cells were identified by inspection of marker genes *FOXP3, CTLA4* and *IL2RA* (**Fig.**

4B). Gene expression of annotated cell clusters was consistent with the reference data set (**Fig. 4B,**

Supplementary Fig. 4G, Supplementary Table S6). For example, Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7

clusters both expressed *CXCR3*, with the two clusters having differing levels of inflammatory markers

including *GZMK*, *CCL5* and *NKG7,* which were increased in Tfh1_cytotoxic, and the memory

markers *SELL* and *CCR7,* which were increased in Tfh1_CCR7 (**Fig. 4B)**. Similarly, Tfh17 cells

identified with label transfer had increased expression of *CCR6*, *BHLHE40* and *TNFRSF4*.

Interestingly, *TCF7*, *MAF* and *TOX2*, which were identified as marker genes in the reference data set

for Tfh2, were expressed both within the Tfh2 subset, but also relatively increased in the Tfh1_CCR7

cells in the infection data set (**Fig. 4B, Supplementary Fig. 4G).** Together, these data show the utility

of the reference map data set to identify Tfh cell subsets within sorted pTfh cells during multiple time

points in infection.

To investigate how the Tfh cell compartment was impacted by malaria, we assessed the proportion of

each cluster over time. There was a clear expansion of the Tfh1_CCR7 subset in all individuals at day

16 post infection, while Tfh1_cytotoxic cells were expanded at this time point to a lesser magnitude

(**Fig. 4C**). Tfh2 cells expanded in three of four individuals at day 8, consistent with the earlier

activation of this subset in this cohort reported previously *(10)*. Other subsets were not clearly

impacted by infection (**Supplementary Fig. 4I)**. Within each subset, TCRA/B sequences were

analysed. TCR sequences with complete TRA/TRB genes were captured for 21,939 (77.97%) cells,

and this was comparable across the days/cell types (**Supplementary Fig. 5A**). Clones were defined as

cells sharing identical amino acid sequence in both TRA and TRB. During CHMI there was evidence

of clonal expansion at day 16, with a significant increase in clonal family size greater than 1 (**Fig.**

4D/E), and increased Simpson Index, indicating increased clonal expansion (**Fig. 4F**). Expansion was

highest in Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7 clusters, consistent with the increased frequency of these

cells and indicative of clonal proliferation (**Fig. 4E, Supplementary Fig. 5B**). Clonal expansion was

also detected within Tfh2 cells at day 16 (**Fig. 4E**). As expected, minimal clonal sharing was detected

between donors with only a single clone shared between donor 0 and donor 1 on day 36, which may

indicate sequence convergence detected due to analysis of clones with amino acid rather than

nucleotide sequence *(41, 42)* (**Supplementary Fig. 5C**). All other clonal sharing occurred within an individual, the majority at a single timepoint (**Supplementary Fig. 5D**). For donor 3, clones were also shared across time points including day 0 prior to malaria, with large clonal families maintaining a single fate across time in the Tfh1_cytotoxic cell cluster, possibly indicating a subset expansion during a prior infection (**Supplementary Fig. 5D**). At day 16, where malaria associated expansion was most evident, clonal sharing across Tfh cell clusters were analysed. While there was a minimal sharing between Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2 cell subsets, Tfh1_CCR7 cells shared clones with both Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2 cells, consistent with the overlapping profiles of Tfh1_CCR7 with both Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2 subsets (**Fig. 4G**). Taken together, these data are consistent with the emergence of malaria specific Tfh cells with diverse transcriptional signatures during infection. These include pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells with increased functional capacity, pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells with cytotoxic phenotypes, and pTfh2 cells which are transcriptionally most similar to GC Tfh cells *(7)*.

Figure 4: Clonal expansion of diverse pTfh cell subsets during malaria infection

A) pTfh cells (CXCR5+PD1+nnCD4 T cells) were isolated from individuals (n=4) during controlled
human malaria infection (CHMI) at day 0, 8, 16 and 36. scType label transfer was used to identify Tfh
*transcriptional subsets using the healthy Tfh cell data set as a reference. **B.** Relative expression of*
*marker genes used to annotate reference data set in each subset in CHMI data set **C.** Relative*
proportion of each pTfh transcriptional cluster during CHMI. Each individual is show. Statistical
*testing was not performed due to limited sample size in scRNAseq data set. **D.** Counts of clones with*
either a clone size = 1 (top) or clone size ≥ 2 across days (bottom). Clonal expansion was detected at
*day 16. **E.** Clone family size count over time in each subset - Clonal expansion was detected in*
*Tfh1_cyto, Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh2 clusters at day 16. **F.** Simpsons diversity Index in each donor across*
*time. **G.** Clonal overlap at day 16 across clusters. Clonal size is indicated in count in top bar, each*
clonal proportion across subsets is indicated. See also Supplementary Fig. 4 and 5.
342
343
344

Unique transcriptional activation of Tfh1 subsets during human malaria infection

To investigate transcriptional pathways activated in each Tfh transcriptional subset during malaria,

differential gene expression between day 0 and subsequent time points was performed with pseudo-

bulk analysis, grouped by individual (**Supplementary Table S7**). The largest transcriptional changes

were detected at day 16 within the Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh1_cytotoxic subsets (**Fig. 5A**). Differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) at day 16 were also detected in Tfh2 cells consistent with clonal expansion

of these cells, and previously reported findings *(10)* (**Fig. 5A**). The number of DEGs was contracted

by day 36, with DEGs detected at this time point restricted to Tfh1_CCR7, suggesting this subset had

a sustained response to infection. The majority of DEGs at day 16 from Tfh1_CCR7, Tfh1_cytotoxic,

and Tfh2 were subset specific (**Fig. 5B**). Shared across all three subsets was the activation marker

CD38, and Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh1_CCR7 cells also both upregulated *MKI67* consistent with

proliferation and clonal expansion of these cells during malaria. However, overall expression of

activation and proliferation genes was highest in Tfh1_CCR7 cells (**Fig. 5C, Supplementary Fig.**

6A). Analysis of the top 20 upregulated genes from Tfh1_CCR7, Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2 cells

highlighted the uniquely upregulated gene signatures identified from Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh1_cytotoxic

cells (**Fig. 5D, Supplementary Fig. 6D**). Additionally, while shared upregulated genes also included

genes associated with increased inflammation and cytotoxicity including *NKG7, CCL5, GZMH,*

CXCR3 and *IFNG* the level of these genes was significantly higher in Tfh1_cytotoxic cells (**Fig. 5D,**

Supplementary Fig. 6B). Further, the transcriptional profile of Tfh2 cells was also maintained and

similar to that seen at day 0, despite upregulation of Tfh1 associated genes (**Supplementary Fig. 6C**).

Taken together, scRNAseq analysis of pTfh cells during malaria identify the activation, proliferation

and clonal expansion of Tfh1_CCR7, Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2 cells with unique transcriptional

activation profiles.

Figure 5: Transcriptional activation of Tfh cell subsets during controlled human malaria infection
A. DEGs for each cluster were calculated at day 8, 16, and 36 compared to day 0. The number of
DEGs for each subset and timepoint. B. Venn diagram indicating the shared and unique DEGS at day
16 for Tfh1_cytotoxic, Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh2 subsets. C. Expression of activation genes MKI67, CD38
and ICOS at day 16 in each Tfh subset compared to Day 0. D. Expression of top 50 unique
upregulated genes for Tfh1_Cyto, Tfh1_CCR7 and Tfh2 (curated from top 20 upregulated DEGs in
Tfh1_CCR7, Tfh1_cytotoxic and Tfh2) cells across all three subsets at day 16 subsets. See also
Supplementary Figure 6.

Frequency and proliferation of malaria specific pTfh CCR7^{pos} cells is associated with the

magnitude and function of antibody induction in CHMI

To confirm transcriptional findings at the phenotypic and antigen specific level and assess

associations of different pTfh subsets with antibody induction, we assessed the activation of pTfh

cells during CHMI in a subset of individuals from a previously published cohort (n= 19, sex 83.3%%

males, aged 24 years [20.2-28.2, median [IQR] years) *(10)*. Here we expanded on the published analysis by focusing on malaria specific pTfh cells which were identified by Activated Induced Marker (AIM) assays following PBMC stimulation with parasite infected RBCs (pRBCs) *(14)* (**Supplementary Fig. 7A).** Consistent with scRNAseq analysis, AIM+ (CD69+OX40+) pTfh cells were expanded at day 16 and 36 during CHMI. The frequencies of cells detected in control stimulated cultures (uninfected RBCs) were unchanged (**Fig. 6A).** The largest response of malaria specific (AIM+ in pRBC stim) pTfh cells occurred in pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells, however, increased frequencies of Tfh1 CCR7^{neg} and Tfh2 cells were also detected, consistent with the proliferation and expansion of these cells detected transcriptionally (**Fig. 6B**). Proliferation of AIM+ pTfh17 cells were also detected at day 36 (p=0.051), however given that pTfh17 cells did not expand clonally, nor have any detectable transcriptional changes during infection, these cells are likely to be TCR independent cells which are activated via cytokines within AIM assays employed here *(43)*. Within malaria specific pTfh1 CCR7^{pos}, pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} and pTfh2 cells, there were significant increases in expression of activation markers ICOS and CD38, and proliferation marker Ki67 at day 16 and 36 (**Fig. 6C/D**). However, the expression of these markers was significantly higher on malaria specific pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells compared to other subsets, consistent with the greatest expansion and activation of pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells transcriptionally (**Fig. 6E/F**).

To investigate the link between each malaria specific pTfh cells and antibody development, we correlated malaria-specific pTfh cells with antibodies produced after infection in response to intact merozoites, quantified previously *(10)*. Antibody development was quantified with an antibody score which captured the magnitude, breadth and functionality of response, by measuring IgM, IgG and IgG subclasses, C1q fixation, FcR crosslinking and opsonic phagocytosis *(10)*. The frequencies of malaria-specific pTfh1 CCR7^{pos}, pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} and pTfh2 cells correlated with each other, but not with pTfh17 cells (**Fig. 6G**). Despite this, pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} were correlated the most strongly and consistently with antibody induction compared to other subsets, and were positively correlated with total antibody score, and IgM and IgG to the merozoite (**Fig. 6G**), along with IgG1 and IgG2 (**Supplementary Fig. S7B).** In contrast, pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells only correlated with IgM and pTfh2 cells only correlated with antibody score (**Fig. 6G**). Similarly, while Ki67 expression amongst subsets was correlated, only Ki67+ pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and Ki67+ pTfh2 cells were correlated with antibody score, IgG and IgM and antibody functions (**Fig. 6H, Supplementary Fig. S7D/E)**. Together, data highlight that pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells have distinct functional potential. While both are activated in malaria, pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells, together with pTfh2 cells, are more strongly associated with antibody development.

Discussion

Here we provide data that advances understanding of Tfh cell subsets, highlighting their functional
diversity across the course of human infection. Using unbiased analysis to integrate pTfh in the
resting state, we identify two clearly distinct pTfh1 subsets, which we show can be distinguished
based on CCR7 expression phenotypically. pTfh1 CCR7^{pos}cells have increased capacity to produce
IL-21, while cytotoxic markers are associated with pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells. Expanding our findings to
the context of human infection, we show during malaria that while pTfh1 CCR7^{pos}, pTfh1
cytotoxic/CCR7^{neg}, and Tfh2 cell subsets expand, and are activated, activation pathways are unique
between the subsets. We show both transcriptionally and at the malaria specific level, the magnitude
of activation is greatest in pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells, which also expand clonally. Additionally, the
frequency and proliferation of pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells was most consistently associated with antibody
induction compared to other subsets. Taken together, these findings provide new knowledge on Tfh
cell activation and functions during human infection. The specific role of pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells, but not
pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} responses in antibody induction during malaria resolves previously contradictory
findings on the potential roles of pTfh1-like subsets in the development of humoral immunity during
malaria.

Development of distinct Tfh cell subsets is suggested to be mediated by cytokine skewing driven by

distinct inflammatory milieu occurring during different immune responses to infection or vaccination

(6). A recent investigation of Tfh cell development in mice immunized with Type-1 or Type-2

adjuvanted conditions supported this concept, inducing Tfh1 or Tfh2 cell-dominated responses,

respectively *(21)*. However, a comparative investigation of Tfh cell development and function in

different infections has highlighted that cytokine signalling within Tfh cells is more important in

driving subset fate than pathogen class *(22)*. For example, within that study Tfh cell fates induced by

LCMV and influenza, both of which drive type-1 immune responses, were distinct and drove differing

antibody responses *(22)*. While Tfh cells enriched for different cytokine signalling pathways could be

identified in human tonsils *(22)*, how distinct Tfh cell subsets develop in human infection is unclear.

Further, our data highlight that even within a single infection, induced pTfh cells are diverse. During

malaria infection both pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and CCR7^{neg} subsets, along with pTfh2 are expanded,

transcriptionally activated and malaria specific. pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells shared clonal overlap with both

pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells and pTfh2 subsets, but these later subsets were largely clonally distinct from

each other. Shared clonal relationships of malaria specific pTfh1 and pTfh2 cells has been reported

previously *(44)*. Whether these relationships indicate cells along a single developmental pathway, or

divergence from a parent population and how cytokine signalling may influence subsets development

is unknown.

The importance of understanding Tfh cell subset development is highlighted by the distinct functional

consequences on antibody development linked to Tfh cell subset function. Human studies linking Tfh

cell subsets to antibody development have largely been limited to identifying Tfh cell subsets based

on CXCR3 and CCR6 expression *(4, 7, 8, 45)*, including in malaria *(10, 14–16, 44)*. Alternatively,

studies which include a more comprehensive analysis of pTfh cells have not related Tfh cell diversity

to antibody development *(34, 46)*. Here, our scRNAseq analysis shows that while CXCR3 and CCR6

are informative, diversity is masked by this gating strategy. Of functional relevance, within pTfh1-like

cells, we found that pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells contain the large majority of Tfh cells expressing cytotoxic

markers, including *NKG7,* granzyme K and granzyme B. In contrast, these markers were absent from

Tfh1 CCR7^{pos} cells, which produce comparatively higher levels of IL-21. Tfh cells with expression of

cytotoxic markers have been identified previously, and GC-Tfh cells which produce granzyme B in

response to group A *Streptococcus* kill B cells, potentially hampering antibody development *(36)*.

More recently granzyme K+ CMV specific pTfh cells were reported in humans. However, the

function of these cells in the context of antibody development is unknown *(21)*. During malaria

infection, we show that that while malaria specific pTfh1 CCR7^{pos}, pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} and pTfh2 cell

subsets are induced, only pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and pTfh2 cell subsets were clearly associated with antibody

development. We have previously reported in the same cohort that global pTfh2 cell activation early

in infection (not considering antigen specificity) was associated with antibody development *(10)*.

While the importance of pTfh2 cells in antibody development to malaria has been supported by others *(11–13)*, a negative role of pTfh1 in antibody development was difficult to rationalise in the context of what is known from *in vitro* studies and animal models of induction of cytophilic IgG3 antibodies which protect from malaria *(18)*. Data here resolve these contradictions with the identification of distinct pTfh1 cell subsets. Further studies are required to investigate whether pTfh1 CCR7^{neg} cells with cytotoxic functions have negative roles in antibody induction, and how pTfh1 CCR7^{pos} and pTfh2 cells function to induce protective antibodies in malaria.

Limitations of our study include the analysis of pTfh cells isolated from peripheral blood of volunteers, and not GC-Tfh within secondary lymphoid tissues. Future approaches to obtain and analyse human secondary lymphoid tissue in the context of health and disease are needed. While pTfh cells share overlapping signatures with GC-Tfh cells and are thought to provide a snapshot of the GC response *(3)*, activated pTfh may not fully represent all GC-Tfh phenotypes and functions *(47)*. Future studies will focus on developing approaches for fine needle aspirate studies of draining lymph nodes during human malaria infection as applied to COVID and influenza vaccination settings *(23, 24, 47–49)* , and investigating the immune response within the spleen in malaria infected individuals which is likely a key site of antibody development in blood stage malaria *(50, 51)*. Nevertheless, such approaches are not feasible for large human cohorts, thus data here on pTfh cells provides important insights that can be applied to other human infection and vaccinations. Future studies dissecting mechanisms of protective and disruptive Tfh cell development may lead to avenues to modulate Tfh cells during infection or vaccination to induced more robust and protective antibody responses.

In conclusion, this study provides important new knowledge on functional diversity with pTfh cells during human infection. The identification of further Tfh subsets and their potential functional importance is highly relevant to developing novel therapeutics or new vaccine strategies that can induce potent and long-lasting immunity against not only malaria, but other important pathogens.

Materials and methods

Ethics statement

Ethics approval for the use of human samples was obtained from the QIMR Berghofer Human Research Ethics Committee (HREC P1479) and the Alfred Health Ethics Committee (288/23). Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

Study cohorts

For CHMI studies, inoculum preparation, volunteer recruitment, infection, monitoring and treatment

were performed as previously described *(52)*. In brief, healthy malaria naive individuals underwent

CHMI with 2800 viable *P. falciparum* parasitized RBCs, and peripheral parasitaemia was measured at

least daily by qPCR as described previously *(53)*. Participants were treated with antimalarial drugs at

day 8 of infection when parasitaemia reaches approximately 20,000 parasites/ml. Blood samples

(from 5 studies across 6 independent cohorts) were collected prior to infection (day 0), at peak

infection (day 8) and 14 or 15 and 27-36 days (end of study, EOS) after inoculation (in analyses these

time points are grouped as 0, 8, 14/15 and EOS). PBMCs were isolated by Ficoll-Paque (Sigma,

USA) density gradient centrifugation, isolated PBMCs were cryopreserved in 10% DMSO/FBS.

Participants were healthy malaria naïve adults with no prior exposure to malaria or residence in

malaria-endemic regions. Clinical trials were registered at ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02867059 *(54)*,

NCT02783833 *(55)*, NCT02431637 *(56)*, and NCT02431650 *(56)*. For trials NCT02867059,

NCT02783833, NCT02431637 all participants received the same study drug (no-randomization) and

3D7-strain parasites. For NCT02431650, participants were randomized 1:1 to receive study drug

or control and 3D7-strain parasites. Samples used here were from samples opportunistically

collected from volunteers who consented to donate blood for immunological studies within the parent

clinical trial. As such, no sample size estimation was performed for this immunology study.

PBMCs from healthy non-infected controls was collected by the same processes for analysis of

responses following PMA/Io stimulation, development of transcriptional map of pTfh cells in health

donors.

Ex vivo cell phenotyping with flow cytometry and activation induced marker assays

PBMCs were thawed in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) containing 10% FCS and 0.02% Benzonase and rested

for 2 hours at 37°C, 5% CO2, and then cultured overnight in 96-well plates in 10% FCS/RPMI at 1 x

10^6 cells per well and stimulated for 18 hours with pRBCS or uninfected red blood cells (uRBCs) at

1:1 ratio. pRBC stimulated cells were used to assess malaria specific responses, and uRBC stimulated

cells were used to phenotype all Tfh cells. PBMCs were stained with antibodies against CD49b and

LAG-3 along with Fc block for 45 minutes at 37C, 5% CO_2. Following 2 washes with PBS, cells were

then stained with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain for 15 minutes at room temperature,

washed twice with 2% FCS/PBS, and then surface stained for 15 minutes at room temperature with

fluorescent-tagged antibodies from Biolegend (CA, USA), and BD Biosciences (CA, USA)

(**Supplementary Table S3**) e. After 2 washes with 2% FCS/PBS Cells were fixed and permeabilized

with eBioscienceTM Foxp3 / Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set (Thermo Fisher Scientific

(MA, USA); Cat. #00-5523-00) solution for 20 minutes on ice. After 2 washes with 1X perm buffer,

intracellular staining was performed for 30 minutes on ice with fluorescent-tagged antibodies from

Biolegend (CA, USA), and BD Biosciences (CA, USA) (**Supplementary Table S3**). Cells were re-

suspended in 2% FCS/PBS, and events were collected on the Cytek Aurora 5 laser cytometer (CA,

USA).

PMA stimulation and intracellular cytokine staining

Approximately 1×10^6 PBMCs were used per patient per condition/ stain. Thawing of cryopreserved

PBMCs was done as previously described. For cytokine induction following PMA and Ionomycin

stimulation, PBMC samples were rested overnight in 10% FCS/RPMI after thawing. After rest,

PBMCs were stimulated with PMA (25 ng/ mL; Sigma) and Ionomycin (1 ug/mL; Sigma) for 6 hours

at 37°C, 5% CO2. Brefeldin A (10 ug/mL; BD Biosciences) and monensin (10 ug/mL; BD

Biosciences) were added after the first 2 hours of stimulation. To account for downregulation of

chemokine receptors after exposure to BFA and monensin, antibodies against CCR7, CXCR3,

CXCR5 and CCR6 were added alongside Fc block during stimulation with PMA/Ionomycin, prior to

addition of BFA and monensin. Anti-CD107a was also included during stimulation for intracellular

capture. After stimulation, cells were washed with PBS and stained at room temperature for

15 minutes with LIVE/DEAD Fixable Blue Dead Cell Stain, washed twice with 2% FCS/PBS, and

then surface stained with the antibodies listed in **Supplementary Table S4** for 15 minutes at room

temperature. Following 2 washes with 2% FCS/PBS, cells underwent fixation/permeabilization with

eBioscience Fixation/Permeabilization solution for 20 minutes on ice and washed in permeabilization

buffer. Intracellular staining (ICS) with the antibodies listed in **Supplementary Table S4** was

performed for 30 minutes on ice following washes with permeabilization buffer and then fixed with

BD stabilizing fixative. Samples were acquired on Cytek Aurora 5.

Flow cytometry data analysis

577   Flow cytometry data was analysed using FlowJo v10 and then analysed on R (version 4.4.2). For

578   unsupervised data analysis, spectre (version 1.2.0) was used *(57)*.

Single Cell RNA sequencing and analysis

Cell isolation

<u>Healthy reference data set –</u> PBMCs from four healthy donors were thawed in thawed in RPMI 1640

(Gibco) containing 10% FCS and 0.02% Benzonase. Cells were rested for 2 hours in 10% FCS/PBS at

37C, 5% CO_2. After rest, untouched CD4+ T cells were enriched from PBMCs using the CD4+ T cell

isolation kit (Miltenyi). Enriched CD4+ T cells were then incubated with Fc block for 15 minutes and

then surface stained with antibodies listed in **Supplementary Table S8** for 15 minutes at room

temperature. Cells were washed with 0.5% BSA/PBS and stained for viability with Sytox Blue

(Invitrogen (CA, USA), Cat. # S34857) and sorted on BD FACSAria™III Cell Sorter into 0.5%

BSA/PBS. Four populations of cells were sorted, PD1- Tfh (nnCD4/CXCR5+/PD1-), pTfh1

(nnCD4/CXCR5+/PD1+/CXCR3+ CCR6-), pTfh2 (nnCD4/CXCR5+/PD1+/CXCR3- CCR6-) and

pTfh17 (nnCD4/CXCR5+/PD1+/CXCR3- CCR6+). After sorting, cells of a single phenotype were

pooled and run on a single 10X Chromium lane.

CHMI – PBMCs collected at day 0, 8, 16, 36 from four participants in CHMI study NCT02867059

(54) were thawed in RPMI 1640 (Gibco) containing 10% FCS and 0.02% Benzonase. Cells were

washed with 0.5% BSA/PBS and then incubated with Fc block and antibodies against pan-gD and

CXCR5 for 15 minutes at room temperature. Following that, the other antibodies listed in Stain 2 in

Supplementary Table S9 were added and cells were incubated for another 15 minutes at room

temperature. Cells were washed and stained for viability with Sytox Blue (Invitrogen (CA, USA), Cat.

S34857) and sorted on BD FACSAria™III Cell Sorter into 0.5% BSA/PBS. Live Tfh cells were

sorted as nnCD4, CXCR5+PD1+ cells. After sorting, cells from a single time point were pooled and

run on a single 10X Chromium lane.

10 X Genomics Chromium GEX Library preparation and sequencing

Sorted cells were loaded into each lane of Chromium Next GEM Single Cell 5' Reagent Kit v2 and

Gel Bead-in- Emulsion (GEMs) generated in the 10X Chromium Controller (Chromium Next GEM

Single Cell 5' Kit v2, PN-1000263, Chromium Single cell V(D)J Amplification Kits: Human TCR,

PN-1000252, Chromium Next GEM Chip K Single Cell Kit, PN-1000286, , Dual Index Kit TT Set

A, PN-1000215). 5' Gene Expression

Libraries and VDJ libraries (TCR) were generated according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Generated libraries were sequenced in a NextSeq 550 System using High Output Kit (150 Cycles)

version 1 according to the manufacturer's protocol using paired-end sequencing (150-bp Read 1 and

150 bp Read 2) with the following parameters Read 1: 26 cycles, i7 index: 10 cycles, i5 index: 10

cycles and Read 2: 90 cycles.

Demultiplexing and analysis

ScRNAseq data was demultiplexed, aligned and quantified using Cell Ranger version 3.1.0 software

(10x Genomics) against the human reference genome (GRCh38-3.0.0) with default parameters. Cell

genotype was obtained using cellsnp-lite *(58)* with the list of informative SNPs obtained from

1000_Genome_Project *(59)*. Then vireo was used to predict the original donor utilising the cell's

haplotype ratios, only predicted singlets were used for the analysis *(60)*. Cell ranger count matrices for

each sample were loaded, merged and analysed using Seurat package v4.3.0 and v5.1.0 *(61)* and

visualised with scCustomize v3.0.1 *(62)*. The healthy reference and CHMI datasets were processed as

two separate datasets. Cells were filtered to remove those expressing fewer than 200 genes and more than 4000 genes, and those with more than 10% mitochondrial content. Only genes expressed in three or more cells were considered. TRA/TRB gene expression were removed from the GEX dataset prior to further downstream processing.

For <u>Healthy Reference map</u> – Dataset was split by donor and then each individual donor dataset was normalised with SCTransform where highly variable genes were also selected. Before integration, the most variable genes shared among the donor datasets were identified using FindintegrationAnchors and were used to integrate the datasets using the IntegrateData function. Following that, PCA dimensionality reduction was performed using RunPCA and the top 20 PCs were used to compute UMAP visualisation using RunUMAP.

For <u>CHMI data</u> - Dataset was split per donor and day, then each individual donor_day dataset was normalised with SCTransform where highly variable genes were also selected.*(63)* Before integration, the most variable genes shared among the datasets were identified using FindIntegrationAnchors and used to integrate the datasets using the IntegrateData function. Following that, PCA dimensionality reduction was performed using RunPCA and the top 20 PCs were used to compute UMAP visualisation using RunUMAP.

Cell cluster annotation

<u>Healthy reference map</u> – We applied unsupervised clustering using the Louvain algorithm as our modularity optimisation technique and set the granularity of clusters at a resolution of 0.3. A cluster with high mitochondrial content and low read counts was removed as it implies a cluster of cells of low quality. RNA-level counts were log-normalised using NormalizeData (scale factor = 10,000 by default) and were used to run FindMarkers for identifying cluster marker genes as part of cluster annotation. This identified the Tfh1 cluster, along with Tfh2, Tfh17 stress, ribosomal, Type I IFN, cluster 2 and cluster 3 clusters. We then visualised the expression of Treg-related genes (*FOXP3* and *IL2RA)* on the map to identify a cluster of cells belonging to Tfreg within Tfh2 cluster, and another subset of Tfh1/17 cluster which expressed *RORC* along with *CXCR3*, that were positioned separately from the main Tfh1 cluster. Further sub-clustering was also attempted on each of the main Tfh1/2/17 clusters separately to identify any higher-level annotations/groupings that could exist within each subset, however only 2 sub-clusters within the Tfh1 subset were suggested to be of functional difference. Automated cell labelling tool, scType *(40)*, was used to transfer labels from the healthy reference Tfh map onto a published human tonsil Tfh dataset *(29)* containing non-GC and GC Tfh subsets. Detailed steps of how the label transfer was performed is as per described below in CHMI data section. Cluster markers of each cluster were further analysed for pathway enrichment using the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) suite (v01-22-01, QIAGEN, DUS, Germany).

CHMI data – Unsupervised clustering was calculated using Louvain algorithm with resolution set at

2.2 to achieve overclustering. Low-quality clusters with high mitochondrial content and low read

counts were removed. Label transfer using cell type annotations from the Healthy Reference Map

onto the CMHI dataset was performed using scType *(40)*. The top 20 up- and down-regulated cluster

marker genes from each reference cell type were used to calculate a specificity score to predict the

cell type for each cluster in the CHMI dataset. Based on the final top-specificity score, similar clusters

are then stitched together for the final annotation. As an additional quality check step, a fold-change

between the score of the top-predicted cell type versus every other possible cell type was calculated

per cluster, and any cluster where the difference between the top-predicted cell type and the next

possible cell type was less than 1.1 was flagged as "low confidence". For clusters 14, 19 and 22 where

the top-predicted cell type was flagged as "low-confidence", a manual comparison of key cell type

marker genes was done to confirm the final cell annotation used (final annotation: cluster 14 as

Tfh1_CCR7, cluster 19 as cluster 2, cluster 22 as Tfh1_Cyto). After the final prediction, we visualised

Treg markers (*"Il2ra", "Foxp3"*) and manually selected for an area on the UMAP with high

expression of these markers as "Tfr" cluster. For the reference database, we omitted Tfh1/17 and Tfr

as input as these 2 clusters had signatures commonly shared with the other clusters: Tfh1/17 with

Tfh1 and Tfh17, and Tfreg with Tfh2. RNA-level counts were log-normalised using NormalizeData

(scale factor = 10,000 by default) and were used to run FindMarkers for identifying additional cluster

marker genes for each annotated cluster.

Pseudobulked Differential Gene Expression Analysis and Pathway Analysis

The normalised count matrix and the metadata were extracted from the Seurat object. Counts were

aggregated per sample (i.e. donor and day) for each cluster. Since we conducted a paired-wise

comparison (e.g. day 0 vs day 16) only clusters present in both samples were included in the analysis.

Significant genes were determined using the quasi-likelihood (QL) F-test with an FDR< 0.05 using

edgeR *(64)*. Aggregated counts were used for visualising changes in specific DEGs using

ComplexHeatmap.

TCRA/B clonal analysis

Annotated TCR sequences were integrated with the single-cell transcriptomics data using the

combineTCR and combineExpression functions from scRepertoire (version 2.0.0). The CDR3 amino

acid sequences were used to call and quantify clones. Only cells with single and complete paired TCRA

and TCRB chain were included. The R package circlize (v0.4.16) and clonalOverlap function of

scRepertoire were used to generate the circos and heatmap plots of clonal overlap, respectively. For

Simpson's diversity index calculations, data were down-sampled to 1,000 cells to adjust for cell count

variations between samples.

Statistical analysis

Non-parametric testing was performed for all analysis. Continuous data for all cellular responses were compared between groups using Mann-Whitney U test, or in paired samples with Wilxcox paired sign-rank test, or correlated with antibody score as a continuous variable with Spearman's correlations. Statistical comparisons were adjusted for multiple comparisons where indicated. All analyses were performed in R (version 4.4.2). Graphical outputs were made in ggplot2 (version 3.5.1) and ggpubr (version 0.6.0). No sample size calculation was performed, instead all available participant data was included. Due to sample size restrictions, no subgroup analysis was performed. Data generation was performed with blinding to participant demographic data.

Data and code availability

All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this article and supplementary information files or deposited online as follows. The raw sequencing data and processed Cell Ranger outputs used in this study have been deposited in the NCBI data base under accession code GSE272939 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE272939 and GSE253661 https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE253661.

The processed single cell RNA sequencing data are available in the Zenodo, https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14847353.

Code used to analyse scRNAseq data is available at https://github.com/MichelleBoyle/CCR7-expression-defines-distinct-pTfh1-subsets-involved-in-malaria-immunity.git

Flow cytometry files will be made freely available on publication in an online repository.

Author contributions

MSFS, ND, RM, DA, JRL and JAC generated data, supervised by JGB, CE, AH and MJB

MSFS, DAO, ZP analysed data

JM and BB provided clinical samples used in this study

AH and MJB conceptualised study

MSFS and MJB led manuscript writing.

All authors contributed to and approved manuscript

Declarations of interest

All authors declare no conflicts of interest

Acknowledgements

Burnet Institute, University of Melbourne and QIMR-Berghofer acknowledge the traditional custodians of the lands where they are located, the Boonwurrung and Wurundjeri Woi-wurrong people of the Kulin Nation, and the Turrbal and Jagera people.

RBC and human serum were provided by the Australian Red Cross Blood Bank (Melbourne and Brisbane). We thank the participants involved in the CHMI studies and all study clinicians and support staff at QPharm.

This work was supported by the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (program grant 1132975 to J.S.M and C.R.E.); Senior Research Fellowships C.R.E. (1154265), Career Development Award 1141278, Project Grant 1125656, and Ideas Grant 1181932 to MJB.; Emerging Leadership 2 Fellowship 2016792 to BEB); the CSL Centenary Fellowship and the Snow Medical Foundation Fellowship 2022/SF167 to M.J.B; the Jim and Margaret Beever Fellowship to J.A.C. The Burnet Institute is supported by the NHMRC for Independent Research Institutes Infrastructure Support Scheme and the Victorian State Government Operational Infrastructure Support. Medicines for Malaria Venture funded the parent CHMI studies.

References

1. D. Yu, L. S. K. Walker, Z. Liu, M. A. Linterman, Z. Li, Targeting TFH cells in human diseases and vaccination: rationale and practice. *Nat. Immunol.* **23**, 1157–1168 (2022).

2. E. Brenna, A. N. Davydov, K. Ladell, J. E. McLaren, P. Bonaiuti, M. Metsger, J. D. Ramsden, S. C. Gilbert, T. Lambe, D. A. Price, S. L. Campion, D. M. Chudakov, P. Borrow, A. J. McMichael, CD4+ T Follicular Helper Cells in Human Tonsils and Blood Are Clonally Convergent but Divergent from Non-Tfh CD4+ Cells. *CellReports* **30**, 137-152.e5 (2020).

3. L. A. Vella, M. Buggert, S. Manne, R. S. Herati, I. Sayin, L. Kuri-Cervantes, I. B. Brody, K. C. O'Boyle, H. Kaprielian, J. R. Giles, S. Nguyen, A. Muselman, J. P. Antel, A. Bar-Or, M. E. Johnson, D. H. Canaday, A. Naji, V. V. Ganusov, T. M. Laufer, A. D. Wells, Y. Dori, M. G. Itkin, M. R. Betts, E. J. Wherry, T follicular helper cells in human efferent lymph retain lymphoid characteristics. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **129**, 3185–3200 (2019).

4. R. Morita, N. Schmitt, S.-E. Bentebibel, R. Ranganathan, L. Bourdery, G. Zurawski, E. Foucat, M. Dullaers, S. Oh, N. Sabzghabaei, E. M. Lavecchio, M. Punaro, V. Pascual, J. Banchereau, H. Ueno, Human blood CXCR5(+)CD4(+) T cells are counterparts of T follicular cells and contain specific subsets that differentially support antibody secretion. *Immunity* **34**, 108–121 (2011).

5. A. Heit, F. Schmitz, S. Gerdts, B. Flach, M. S. Moore, J. A. Perkins, H. S. Robins, A. Aderem, P. Spearman, G. D. Tomaras, S. C. D. Rosa, M. J. McElrath, Vaccination establishes clonal relatives of germinal center T cells in the blood of humans. *The Journal of experimental medicine* **214**, 2139–2152 (2017).

6. A. C. Olatunde, J. S. Hale, T. J. Lamb, Cytokine-skewed Tfh cells: functional consequences for B cell help. *Trends Immunol.* **42**, 536–550 (2021).

7. M. Locci, C. Havenar-Daughton, E. Landais, J. Wu, M. A. Kroenke, C. L. Arlehamn, L. F. Su, R. Cubas, M. M. Davis, A. Sette, E. K. Haddad, P. Poignard, S. Crotty, Human Circulating PD-1+CXCR3-CXCR5+ Memory Tfh Cells Are Highly Functional and Correlate with Broadly Neutralizing HIV Antibody Responses. *Immunity* **39**, 758–769 (2013).

8. S.-E. Bentebibel, S. Lopez, G. Obermoser, N. Schmitt, C. Mueller, C. Harrod, E. Flano, A. Mejias, R. A. Albrecht, D. Blankenship, H. Xu, V. Pascual, J. Banchereau, A. Garcia-Sastre, A. K. Palucka, O. Ramilo, H. Ueno, Induction of ICOS+CXCR3+CXCR5+ TH Cells Correlates with Antibody Responses to Influenza Vaccination. *Sci. Transl. Med.* **5**, 176ra32 (2013).

9. S.-E. Bentebibel, S. Khurana, N. Schmitt, P. Kurup, C. Mueller, G. Obermoser, A. K. Palucka, R. A. Albrecht, A. Garcia-Sastre, H. Golding, H. Ueno, ICOS+PD-1+CXCR3+ T follicular helper cells contribute to the generation of high-avidity antibodies following influenza vaccination. *Sci. Rep.* **6**, 26494 (2016).

10. J.-A. Chan, J. R. Loughland, F. de L. Rivera, A. SheelaNair, D. W. Andrew, N. L. Dooley, B. D. Wines, F. H. Amante, L. Webb, P. M. Hogarth, J. S. McCarthy, J. G. Beeson,

C. R. Engwerda, M. J. Boyle, Th2-like T Follicular Helper Cells Promote Functional Antibody Production during Plasmodium falciparum Infection. *Cell Reports Medicine* **1**, 100157 (2020).

11. G. Bowyer, A. Grobbelaar, T. Rampling, N. Venkatraman, D. Morelle, R. W. Ballou, A. V. S. Hill, K. J. Ewer, CXCR3+ T Follicular Helper Cells Induced by Co-Administration of RTS,S/AS01B and Viral-Vectored Vaccines Are Associated With Reduced Immunogenicity and Efficacy Against Malaria. *Front Immunol* **9**, 1660 (2018).

12. C. M. Nielsen, A. Ogbe, I. Pedroza-Pacheco, S. E. Doeleman, Y. Chen, S. E. Silk, J. R. Barrett, S. C. Elias, K. Miura, A. Diouf, M. Bardelli, R. A. Dabbs, L. Barfod, C. A. Long, B. F. Haynes, R. O. Payne, A. M. Minassian, T. Bradley, S. J. Draper, P. Borrow, Protein/AS01B vaccination elicits stronger, more Th2-skewed antigen-specific human T follicular helper cell responses than heterologous viral vectors. *Cell Reports Medicine* , 100207 (2021).

13. A. M. Minassian, S. E. Silk, J. R. Barrett, C. M. Nielsen, K. Miura, A. Diouf, C. Loos, J. K. Fallon, A. R. Michell, M. T. White, N. J. Edwards, I. D. Poulton, C. H. Mitton, R. O. Payne, M. Marks, H. Maxwell-Scott, A. Querol-Rubiera, K. Bisnauthsing, R. Batra, T. Ogrina, N. J. Brendish, Y. Themistocleous, T. A. Rawlinson, K. J. Ellis, D. Quinkert, M. Baker, R. L. Ramon, F. R. Lopez, L. Barfod, P. M. Folegatti, D. Silman, M. Datoo, I. J. Taylor, J. Jin, D. Pulido, A. D. Douglas, W. A. de Jongh, R. Smith, E. Berrie, A. R. Noe, C. L. Diggs, L. A. Soisson, R. Ashfield, S. N. Faust, A. L. Goodman, A. M. Lawrie, F. L. Nugent, G. Alter, C. A. Long, S. J. Draper, Reduced blood-stage malaria growth and immune correlates in humans following RH5 vaccination. *Med* **2**, 701-719.e19 (2021).

14. Damian. A. Oyong, Jessica. R. Loughland, Megan. S. F. Soon, J.-A. Chan, D. Andrew, B. D. Wines, P. M. Hogarth, S. D. Olver, A. D. Collinge, A. Varelias, J. G. Beeson, E. Kenangalem, R. N. Price, N. M. Anstey, G. Minigo, M. J. Boyle, Adults with Plasmodium falciparum malaria have higher magnitude and quality of circulating T-follicular helper cells compared to children. *Ebiomedicine* **75**, 103784 (2022).

15. N. Obeng-Adjei, S. Portugal, T. M. Tran, T. B. Yazew, J. Skinner, S. Li, A. Jain, P. L. Felgner, O. K. Doumbo, K. Kayentao, A. Ongoiba, B. Traore, P. D. Crompton, Circulating Th1-Cell-type Tfh Cells that Exhibit Impaired B Cell Help Are Preferentially Activated during Acute Malaria in Children. *CellReports* **13**, 425–439 (2015).

16. J.-A. Chan, J. R. Loughland, L. de la Parte, S. Okano, I. Ssewanyana, M. Nalubega, F. Nankya, K. Musinguzi, J. Rek, E. Arinaitwe, P. Tipping, P. Bourke, D. Andrew, N. Dooley, A. SheelaNair, B. D. Wines, P. M. Hogarth, J. G. Beeson, B. Greenhouse, G. Dorsey, M. Kamya, G. Hartel, G. Minigo, M. Feeney, P. Jagannathan, M. J. Boyle, Age-dependent changes in circulating Tfh cells influence development of functional malaria antibodies in children. *Nat Commun* **13**, 4159 (2022).

17. N. Obeng-Adjei, S. Portugal, P. Holla, S. Li, H. Sohn, A. Ambegaonkar, J. Skinner, G. Bowyer, O. K. Doumbo, B. Traore, S. K. Pierce, P. D. Crompton, C. R. Engwerda, Ed. Malaria-induced interferon-γ drives the expansion of Tbethi atypical memory B cells. *PLoS pathogens* **13**, e1006576 (2017).

18. D. H. Opi, L. Kurtovic, J.-A. Chan, J. L. Horton, G. Feng, J. G. Beeson, Multi-functional antibody profiling for malaria vaccine development and evaluation. *Expert Rev Vaccines* **20**, 1257–1272 (2021).

19. A. Ly, Y. Liao, H. Pietrzak, L. J. Ioannidis, T. Sidwell, R. Gloury, M. Doerflinger, T. Triglia, R. Z. Qin, J. R. Groom, G. T. Belz, K. L. Good-Jacobson, W. Shi, A. Kallies, D. S. Hansen, Transcription Factor T-bet in B Cells Modulates Germinal Center Polarization and Antibody Affinity Maturation in Response to Malaria. *Cell Reports* **29**, 2257-2269.e6 (2019).

20. J. J. Guthmiller, A. C. Graham, R. A. Zander, R. L. Pope, N. S. Butler, Cutting Edge: IL-10 Is Essential for the Generation of Germinal Center B Cell Responses and Anti-Plasmodium Humoral Immunity. *J Immunol* **198**, 617–622 (2017).

21. S. Kumar, A. P. Basto, F. Ribeiro, S. C. P. Almeida, P. Campos, C. Peres, N. Pulvirenti, S. Al-Khalidi, A. Kilbey, J. Tosello, E. Piaggio, M. Russo, M. Gama-Carvalho, S. B. Coffelt, E. W. Roberts, J. Geginat, H. F. Florindo, L. Graca, Specialized Tfh cell subsets driving type-1 and type-2 humoral responses in lymphoid tissue. *Cell Discov.* **10**, 64 (2024).

22. L. Dalit, C. W. Tan, A. A. Sheikh, R. Munnings, C. Alvarado, T. Hussain, A. Zaini, L. Cooper, A. Kirn, L. Hailes, A. Nguyen, L. Mackay, N. Harris, C. Zaph, N. L. L. Gruta, S. L. Nutt, K. Good-Jacobson, M. J. Davis, V. L. Bryant, J. R. Groom, Divergent cytokine and transcriptional signatures control functional T follicular helper cell heterogeneity. (2024), doi:10.1101/2024.06.12.598622.

23. N. Borcherding, W. Kim, M. Quinn, F. Han, J. Q. Zhou, A. J. Sturtz, A. J. Schmitz, T. Lei, S. A. Schattgen, M. K. Klebert, T. Suessen, W. D. Middleton, C. W. Goss, C. Liu, J. C. Crawford, P. G. Thomas, S. A. Teefey, R. M. Presti, J. A. O'Halloran, J. S. Turner, A. H. Ellebedy, P. A. Mudd, CD4+ T cells exhibit distinct transcriptional phenotypes in the lymph nodes and blood following mRNA vaccination in humans. *Nat. Immunol.* , 1–11 (2024).

24. S. A. Schattgen, J. S. Turner, M. A. Ghonim, J. C. Crawford, A. J. Schmitz, H. Kim, J. Q. Zhou, W. Awad, R. C. Mettelman, W. Kim, K. M. McIntire, A. Haile, M. K. Klebert, T. Suessen, W. D. Middleton, S. A. Teefey, R. M. Presti, A. H. Ellebedy, P. G. Thomas, Influenza vaccination stimulates maturation of the human T follicular helper cell response. *Nat. Immunol.* , 1–12 (2024).

25. W. Xu, X. Zhao, X. Wang, H. Feng, M. Gou, W. Jin, X. Wang, X. Liu, C. Dong, The Transcription Factor Tox2 Drives T Follicular Helper Cell Development via Regulating Chromatin Accessibility. *Immunity* **51**, 826-839.e5 (2019).

26. S. Horiuchi, H. Wu, W.-C. Liu, N. Schmitt, J. Provot, Y. Liu, S.-E. Bentebibel, R. A. Albrecht, M. Schotsaert, C. V. Forst, B. Zhang, H. Ueno, Tox2 is required for the maintenance of GC TFH cells and the generation of memory TFH cells. *Sci Adv* **7**, eabj1249 (2021).

27. M. A. Kroenke, D. Eto, M. Locci, M. Cho, T. Davidson, E. K. Haddad, S. Crotty, Bcl6 and Maf Cooperate To Instruct Human Follicular Helper CD4 T Cell Differentiation. *J. Immunol.* **188**, 3734–3744 (2012).

28. F. Andris, S. Denanglaire, M. Anciaux, M. Hercor, H. Hussein, O. Leo, The Transcription
Factor c-Maf Promotes the Differentiation of Follicular Helper T Cells. *Front. Immunol.* **8**,
480 (2017).

29. R. Massoni-Badosa, S. Aguilar-Fernández, J. C. Nieto, P. Soler-Vila, M. Elosua-Bayes,
D. Marchese, M. Kulis, A. Vilas-Zornoza, M. M. Bühler, S. Rashmi, C. Alsinet, G. Caratù,
C. Moutinho, S. Ruiz, P. Lorden, G. Lunazzi, D. Colomer, G. Frigola, W. Blevins, L.
Romero-Rivero, V. Jiménez-Martínez, A. Vidal, J. Mateos-Jaimez, A. Maiques-Diaz, S.
Ovejero, J. Moreaux, S. Palomino, D. Gomez-Cabrero, X. Agirre, M. A. Weniger, H. W.
King, L. C. Garner, F. Marini, F. J. Cervera-Paz, P. M. Baptista, I. Vilaseca, C. Rosales, S.
Ruiz-Gaspà, B. Talks, K. Sidhpura, A. Pascual-Reguant, A. E. Hauser, M. Haniffa, F.
Prosper, R. Küppers, I. G. Gut, E. Campo, J. I. Martin-Subero, H. Heyn, An atlas of cells in
the human tonsil. *Immunity* (2024), doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2024.01.006.

30. M. E. Cook, I. Shchukina, C.-C. Lin, T. R. Bradstreet, E. A. Schwarzkopf, N. N. Jarjour,
A. M. Webber, K. Zaitsev, M. N. Artyomov, B. T. Edelson, BHLHE40 Mediates Cross-Talk
between Pathogenic TH17 Cells and Myeloid Cells during Experimental Autoimmune
Encephalomyelitis. *ImmunoHorizons* **7**, 737–746 (2023).

31. C.-C. Lin, T. R. Bradstreet, E. A. Schwarzkopf, N. N. Jarjour, C. Chou, A. S.
Archambault, J. Sim, B. H. Zinselmeyer, J. A. Carrero, G. F. Wu, R. Taneja, M. N.
Artyomov, J. H. Russell, B. T. Edelson, IL-1–induced Bhlhe40 identifies pathogenic T helper
cells in a model of autoimmune neuroinflammation. *J. Exp. Med.* **213**, 251–271 (2016).

32. R. D. Hatton, TGF-β in Th17 Cell Development: The Truth Is Out There. *Immunity* **34**,
288–290 (2011).

33. M. M. Xie, S. Fang, Q. Chen, H. Liu, J. Wan, A. L. Dent, Follicular regulatory T cells
inhibit the development of granzyme B-expressing follicular helper T cells. *JCI insight* **4**
(2019), doi:10.1172/jci.insight.128076.

34. C. Liang, S. Spoerl, Y. Xiao, K. M. Habenicht, S. S. Haeusl, I. Sandner, J. Winkler, N.
Strieder, R. Eder, H. Stanewsky, C. Alexiou, D. Dudziak, A. Rosenwald, M. Edinger, M.
Rehli, P. Hoffmann, T. H. Winkler, F. Berberich-Siebelt, Oligoclonal CD4+CXCR5+ T cells
with a cytotoxic phenotype appear in tonsils and blood. *Commun. Biol.* **7**, 879 (2024).

35. J. He, L. M. Tsai, Y. A. Leong, X. Hu, C. S. Ma, N. Chevalier, X. Sun, K. Vandenberg, S.
Rockman, Y. Ding, L. Zhu, W. Wei, C. Wang, A. Karnowski, G. T. Belz, J. R. Ghali, M. C.
Cook, D. S. Riminton, A. Veillette, P. L. Schwartzberg, F. Mackay, R. Brink, S. G. Tangye,
C. G. Vinuesa, C. R. Mackay, Z. Li, D. Yu, Circulating Precursor CCR7loPD-1hi CXCR5+
CD4+ T Cells Indicate Tfh Cell Activity and Promote Antibody Responses upon Antigen
Reexposure. *Immunity* **39**, 770–781 (2013).

36. J. M. Dan, C. Havenar-Daughton, K. Kendric, R. Al-Kolla, K. Kaushik, S. L. Rosales, E.
L. Anderson, C. N. LaRock, P. Vijayanand, G. Seumois, D. Layfield, R. I. Cutress, C. H.
Ottensmeier, C. S. L. Arlehamn, A. Sette, V. Nizet, M. Bothwell, M. Brigger, S. Crotty,
Recurrent group A Streptococcus tonsillitis is an immunosusceptibility disease involving
antibody deficiency and aberrant TFH cells. *Science translational medicine* **11** (2019),
doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aau3776.

37. S. Crotty, T Follicular Helper Cell Differentiation, Function, and Roles in Disease.
Immunity **41**, 529–542 (2014).

38. E. Godefroy, H. Zhong, P. Pham, D. Friedman, K. Yazdanbakhsh, TIGIT-positive
circulating follicular helper T cells display robust B-cell help functions: potential role in
sickle cell alloimmunization. *Haematologica* **100**, 1415–1425 (2015).

39. N. M. Edner, L. P. Houghton, E. Ntavli, C. Rees-Spear, L. Petersone, C. Wang, A. Fabri,
Y. Elfaki, A. R. Gonzalez, R. Brown, K. Kisand, P. Peterson, L. E. McCoy, L. S. K. Walker,
TIGIT+Tfh show poor B-helper function and negatively correlate with SARS-CoV-2
antibody titre. *Front. Immunol.* **15**, 1395684 (2024).

40. A. Ianevski, A. K. Giri, T. Aittokallio, Fully-automated and ultra-fast cell-type
identification using specific marker combinations from single-cell transcriptomic data. *Nat.*
Commun. **13**, 1246 (2022).

41. N. P. Smith, B. Ruiter, Y. V. Virkud, A. A. Tu, B. Monian, J. J. Moon, J. C. Love, W. G.
Shreffler, Identification of antigen-specific TCR sequences based on biological and statistical
enrichment in unselected subjects. *JCI Insight* **6**, e140028 (2021).

42. V. Venturi, K. Kedzierska, D. A. Price, P. C. Doherty, D. C. Douek, S. J. Turner, M. P.
Davenport, Sharing of T cell receptors in antigen-specific responses is driven by convergent
recombination. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **103**, 18691–18696 (2006).

43. M. Z. M. Zheng, L. Burmas, H.-X. Tan, M.-C. Trieu, H. J. Lee, D. Rawlinson, A. Haque,
S. J. Kent, A. K. Wheatley, J. A. Juno, Deconvoluting TCR-dependent & -independent
activation is vital for reliable Ag-specific CD4+ T cell characterization by AIM assay.
bioRxiv , 2024.12.10.627643 (2024).

44. I. Wahl, A. S. Obraztsova, J. Puchan, R. Hundsdorfer, S. Chakravarty, B. K. L. Sim, S. L.
Hoffman, P. G. Kremsner, B. Mordmüller, H. Wardemann, Clonal evolution and TCR
specificity of the human TFH cell response to Plasmodium falciparum CSP. *Sci Immunol* **7**,
eabm9644 (2022).

45. J. A. Juno, H.-X. Tan, W. S. Lee, A. Reynaldi, H. G. Kelly, K. Wragg, R. Esterbauer, H.
E. Kent, C. J. Batten, F. L. Mordant, N. A. Gherardin, P. Pymm, M. H. Dietrich, N. E. Scott,
W.-H. Tham, D. I. Godfrey, K. Subbarao, M. P. Davenport, S. J. Kent, A. K. Wheatley,
Humoral and circulating follicular helper T cell responses in recovered patients with COVID-
19. *Nature medicine* , 1–22 (2020).

46. M. T. Wong, J. Chen, S. Narayanan, W. Lin, R. Anicete, H. T. K. Kiaang, M. A. C. D.
Lafaille, M. Poidinger, E. W. Newell, Mapping the Diversity of Follicular Helper T Cells in
Human Blood and Tonsils Using High-Dimensional Mass Cytometry Analysis. *CellReports*
11, 1822–1833 (2015).

47. K. Lederer, E. Bettini, K. Parvathaneni, M. M. Painter, D. Agarwal, K. A. Lundgreen, M.
Weirick, K. Muralidharan, D. Castano, R. R. Goel, X. Xu, E. M. Drapeau, S. Gouma, J. T.
Ort, M. Awofolaju, A. R. Greenplate, C. L. Coz, N. Romberg, J. Trofe-Clark, G. Malat, L.
Jones, M. Rosen, D. Weiskopf, A. Sette, B. Besharatian, M. Kaminiski, S. E. Hensley, P.
Bates, E. J. Wherry, A. Naji, V. Bhoj, M. Locci, Germinal center responses to SARS-CoV-2

mRNA vaccines in healthy and immunocompromised individuals. *Cell* (2022),
doi:10.1016/j.cell.2022.01.027.

48. C. S. Nelson, P. T. Sage, TFH cell responses endure in human lymph nodes after
vaccination. *Nat. Immunol.* , 1–3 (2024).

49. P. A. Mudd, A. A. Minervina, M. V. Pogorelyy, J. S. Turner, W. Kim, E. Kalaidina, J.
Petersen, A. J. Schmitz, T. Lei, A. Haile, A. M. Kirk, R. C. Mettelman, J. C. Crawford, T. H.
O. Nguyen, L. C. Rowntree, E. Rosati, K. A. Richards, A. J. Sant, M. K. Klebert, T. Suessen,
W. D. Middleton, S. S. Team, J. H. Estepp, S. Schultz-Cherry, M. A. McGargill, A. Gaur, J.
Hoffman, M. Mori, L. Tang, E. Tuomanen, R. Webby, R. T. Hayden, H. Hakim, D. R.
Hijano, K. J. Allison, E. K. Allen, R. Bajracharya, W. Awad, L.-A. V. de Velde, B. L. Clark,
T. L. Wilson, A. Souquette, A. Castellaw, R. H. Dallas, A. Gowen, T. P. Fabrizio, C.-Y. Lin,
D. C. Brice, S. Cherry, E. K. Roubidoux, V. Cortez, P. Freiden, N. Wohlgemuth, K. Whitt, J.
Wolf, S. A. Teefey, J. A. O'Halloran, R. M. Presti, K. Kedzierska, J. Rossjohn, P. G.
Thomas, A. H. Ellebedy, SARS-CoV-2 mRNA vaccination elicits a robust and persistent T
follicular helper cell response in humans. *Cell* **185**, 603-613.e15 (2022).

50. S. Kho, L. Qotrunnada, L. Leonardo, B. Andries, P. A. I. Wardani, A. Fricot, B. Henry,
D. Hardy, N. I. Margyaningsih, D. Apriyanti, A. M. Puspitasari, P. Prayoga, L. Trianty, E.
Kenangalem, F. Chretien, I. Safeukui, H. A. del Portillo, C. Fernandez-Becerra, E. Meibalan,
M. Marti, R. N. Price, T. Woodberry, P. A. Ndour, B. M. Russell, T. W. Yeo, G. Minigo, R.
Noviyanti, J. R. Poespoprodjo, N. C. Siregar, P. A. Buffet, N. M. Anstey, Hidden Biomass of
Intact Malaria Parasites in the Human Spleen. *New Engl J Med* **384**, 2067–2069 (2021).

51. S. Kho, L. Qotrunnada, L. Leonardo, B. Andries, P. A. I. Wardani, A. Fricot, B. Henry,
D. Hardy, N. I. Margyaningsih, D. Apriyanti, A. M. Puspitasari, P. Prayoga, L. Trianty, E.
Kenangalem, F. Chretien, V. Brousse, I. Safeukui, H. A. del Portillo, C. Fernandez-Becerra,
E. Meibalan, M. Marti, R. N. Price, T. Woodberry, P. A. Ndour, B. M. Russell, T. W. Yeo,
G. Minigo, R. Noviyanti, J. R. Poespoprodjo, N. C. Siregar, P. A. Buffet, N. M. Anstey,
Evaluation of splenic accumulation and colocalization of immature reticulocytes and
Plasmodium vivax in asymptomatic malaria: A prospective human splenectomy study. *Plos*
Med **18**, e1003632 (2021).

52. J. S. McCarthy, S. Sekuloski, P. M. Griffin, S. Elliott, N. Douglas, C. Peatey, R. Rockett,
P. O'Rourke, L. Marquart, C. Hermsen, S. Duparc, J. Möhrle, K. R. Trenholme, A. J.
Humberstone, L. von Seidlein, Ed. A pilot randomised trial of induced blood-stage
Plasmodium falciparum infections in healthy volunteers for testing efficacy of new
antimalarial drugs. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e21914 (2011).

53. R. J. Rockett, S. J. Tozer, C. Peatey, S. Bialasiewicz, D. M. Whiley, M. D. Nissen, K.
Trenholme, J. S. M. Carthy, T. P. Sloots, A real-time, quantitative PCR method using
hydrolysis probes for the monitoring of Plasmodium falciparum load in experimentally
infected human volunteers. *Malaria Journal* **10**, 48 (2011).

54. A. H. Gaur, J. S. McCarthy, J. C. Panetta, R. H. Dallas, J. Woodford, L. Tang, A. M.
Smith, T. B. Stewart, K. C. Branum, B. B. Freeman, N. D. Patel, E. John, S. Chalon, S. Ost,
R. N. Heine, J. L. Richardson, R. Christensen, P. M. Flynn, Y. V. Gessel, B. Mitasev, J. J.
Möhrle, F. Gusovsky, L. Bebrevska, R. K. Guy, Safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and
antimalarial efficacy of a novel Plasmodium falciparum ATP4 inhibitor SJ733: a first-in-

human and induced blood-stage malaria phase 1a/b trial. *Lancet Infect. Dis.* **20**, 964–975 (2020).

55. J. S. McCarthy, C. Donini, S. Chalon, J. Woodford, L. Marquart, K. A. Collins, F. D. Rozenberg, D. A. Fidock, M. H. Cherkaoui-Rbati, N. Gobeau, J. J. Möhrle, A Phase 1, Placebo-controlled, Randomized, Single Ascending Dose Study and a Volunteer Infection Study to Characterize the Safety, Pharmacokinetics, and Antimalarial Activity of the Plasmodium Phosphatidylinositol 4-Kinase Inhibitor MMV390048. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **71**, e657–e664 (2020).

56. K. A. Collins, C. Y. Wang, M. Adams, H. Mitchell, M. Rampton, S. Elliott, I. J. Reuling, T. Bousema, R. Sauerwein, S. Chalon, J. J. Möhrle, J. S. McCarthy, A controlled human malaria infection model enabling evaluation of transmission-blocking interventions. *The Journal of clinical investigation* **128**, 1551–1562 (2018).

57. T. M. Ashhurst, F. Marsh-Wakefield, G. H. Putri, A. G. Spiteri, D. Shinko, M. N. Read, A. L. Smith, N. J. C. King, Integration, exploration, and analysis of high-dimensional single-cell cytometry data using Spectre. *Cytom Part A* (2021), doi:10.1002/cyto.a.24350.

58. X. Huang, Y. Huang, Cellsnp-lite: an efficient tool for genotyping single cells. *Bioinformatics* **37**, 4569–4571 (2021).

59. T. 1000 G. P. Consortium, A. Auton, G. R. Abecasis, D. M. Altshuler, R. M. Durbin, G. R. Abecasis, D. R. Bentley, A. Chakravarti, A. G. Clark, P. Donnelly, E. E. Eichler, P. Flicek, S. B. Gabriel, R. A. Gibbs, E. D. Green, M. E. Hurles, B. M. Knoppers, J. O. Korbel, E. S. Lander, C. Lee, H. Lehrach, E. R. Mardis, G. T. Marth, G. A. McVean, D. A. Nickerson, J. P. Schmidt, S. T. Sherry, J. Wang, R. K. Wilson, R. A. Gibbs, E. Boerwinkle, H. Doddapaneni, Y. Han, V. Korchina, C. Kovar, S. Lee, D. Muzny, J. G. Reid, Y. Zhu, J. Wang, Y. Chang, Q. Feng, X. Fang, X. Guo, M. Jian, H. Jiang, X. Jin, T. Lan, G. Li, J. Li, Y. Li, S. Liu, X. Liu, Y. Lu, X. Ma, M. Tang, B. Wang, G. Wang, H. Wu, R. Wu, X. Xu, Y. Yin, D. Zhang, W. Zhang, J. Zhao, M. Zhao, X. Zheng, E. S. Lander, D. M. Altshuler, S. B. Gabriel, N. Gupta, N. Gharani, L. H. Toji, N. P. Gerry, A. M. Resch, P. Flicek, J. Barker, L. Clarke, L. Gil, S. E. Hunt, G. Kelman, E. Kulesha, R. Leinonen, W. M. McLaren, R. Radhakrishnan, A. Roa, D. Smirnov, R. E. Smith, I. Streeter, A. Thormann, I. Toneva, B. Vaughan, X. Zheng-Bradley, D. R. Bentley, R. Grocock, S. Humphray, T. James, Z. Kingsbury, H. Lehrach, R. Sudbrak, M. W. Albrecht, V. S. Amstislavskiy, T. A. Borodina, M. Lienhard, F. Mertes, M. Sultan, B. Timmermann, M.-L. Yaspo, E. R. Mardis, R. K. Wilson, L. Fulton, R. Fulton, S. T. Sherry, V. Ananiev, Z. Belaia, D. Beloslyudtsev, N. Bouk, C. Chen, D. Church, R. Cohen, C. Cook, J. Garner, T. Hefferon, M. Kimelman, C. Liu, J. Lopez, P. Meric, C. O'Sullivan, Y. Ostapchuk, L. Phan, S. Ponomarov, V. Schneider, E. Shekhtman, K. Sirotkin, D. Slotta, H. Zhang, G. A. McVean, R. M. Durbin, S. Balasubramaniam, J. Burton, P. Danecek, T. M. Keane, A. Kolb-Kokocinski, S. McCarthy, J. Stalker, M. Quail, J. P. Schmidt, C. J. Davies, J. Gollub, T. Webster, B. Wong, Y. Zhan, A. Auton, C. L. Campbell, Y. Kong, A. Marcketta, R. A. Gibbs, F. Yu, L. Antunes, M. Bainbridge, D. Muzny, A. Sabo, Z. Huang, J. Wang, L. J. M. Coin, L. Fang, X. Guo, X. Jin, G. Li, Q. Li, Y. Li, Z. Li, H. Lin, B. Liu, R. Luo, H. Shao, Y. Xie, C. Ye, C. Yu, F. Zhang, H. Zheng, H. Zhu, C. Alkan, E. Dal, F. Kahveci, G. T. Marth, E. P. Garrison, D. Kural, W.-P. Lee, W. F. Leong, M. Stromberg, A. N. Ward, J. Wu, M. Zhang, M. J. Daly, M. A. DePristo, R. E. Handsaker, D. M. Altshuler, E. Banks, G. Bhatia, G. del Angel, S. B. Gabriel, G. Genovese, N. Gupta, H. Li, S. Kashin, E. S. Lander, S. A. McCarroll, J. C. Nemesh, R. E. Poplin, S. C. Yoon, J. Lihm, V. Makarov, A. G. Clark, S.

Gottipati, A. Keinan, J. L. Rodriguez-Flores, J. O. Korbel, T. Rausch, M. H. Fritz, A. M.
Stütz, P. Flicek, K. Beal, L. Clarke, A. Datta, J. Herrero, W. M. McLaren, G. R. S. Ritchie, R.
E. Smith, D. Zerbino, X. Zheng-Bradley, P. C. Sabeti, I. Shlyakhter, S. F. Schaffner, J. Vitti,
D. N. Cooper, E. V. Ball, P. D. Stenson, D. R. Bentley, B. Barnes, M. Bauer, R. K.
Cheetham, A. Cox, M. Eberle, S. Humphray, S. Kahn, L. Murray, J. Peden, R. Shaw, E. E.
Kenny, M. A. Batzer, M. K. Konkel, J. A. Walker, D. G. MacArthur, M. Lek, R. Sudbrak, V.
S. Amstislavskiy, R. Herwig, E. R. Mardis, L. Ding, D. C. Koboldt, D. Larson, K. Ye, S.
Gravel, A. Swaroop, E. Chew, T. Lappalainen, Y. Erlich, M. Gymrek, T. F. Willems, J. T.
Simpson, M. D. Shriver, J. A. Rosenfeld, C. D. Bustamante, S. B. Montgomery, F. M. D. L.
Vega, J. K. Byrnes, A. W. Carroll, M. K. DeGorter, P. Lacroute, B. K. Maples, A. R. Martin,
A. Moreno-Estrada, S. S. Shringarpure, F. Zakharia, E. Halperin, Y. Baran, C. Lee, E.
Cerveira, J. Hwang, A. Malhotra, D. Plewczynski, K. Radew, M. Romanovitch, C. Zhang, F.
C. L. Hyland, D. W. Craig, A. Christoforides, N. Homer, T. Izatt, A. A. Kurdoglu, S. A.
Sinari, K. Squire, S. T. Sherry, C. Xiao, J. Sebat, D. Antaki, M. Gujral, A. Noor, K. Ye, E. G.
Burchard, R. D. Hernandez, C. R. Gignoux, D. Haussler, S. J. Katzman, W. J. Kent, B.
Howie, A. Ruiz-Linares, E. T. Dermitzakis, S. E. Devine, G. R. Abecasis, H. M. Kang, J. M.
Kidd, T. Blackwell, S. Caron, W. Chen, S. Emery, L. Fritsche, C. Fuchsberger, G. Jun, B. Li,
R. Lyons, C. Scheller, C. Sidore, S. Song, E. Sliwerska, D. Taliun, A. Tan, R. Welch, M. K.
Wing, X. Zhan, P. Awadalla, A. Hodgkinson, Y. Li, X. Shi, A. Quitadamo, G. Lunter, G. A.
McVean, J. L. Marchini, S. Myers, C. Churchhouse, O. Delaneau, A. Gupta-Hinch, W.
Kretzschmar, Z. Iqbal, I. Mathieson, A. Menelaou, A. Rimmer, D. K. Xifara, T. K. Oleksyk,
Y. Fu, X. Liu, M. Xiong, L. Jorde, D. Witherspoon, J. Xing, E. E. Eichler, B. L. Browning, S.
R. Browning, F. Hormozdiari, P. H. Sudmant, E. Khurana, R. M. Durbin, M. E. Hurles, C.
Tyler-Smith, C. A. Albers, Q. Ayub, S. Balasubramaniam, Y. Chen, V. Colonna, P. Danecek,
L. Jostins, T. M. Keane, S. McCarthy, K. Walter, Y. Xue, M. B. Gerstein, A. Abyzov, S.
Balasubramanian, J. Chen, D. Clarke, Y. Fu, A. O. Harmanci, M. Jin, D. Lee, J. Liu, X. J.
Mu, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, R. Luo, H. Zhu, C. Alkan, E. Dal, F. Kahveci, G. T. Marth, E.
P. Garrison, D. Kural, W.-P. Lee, A. N. Ward, J. Wu, M. Zhang, S. A. McCarroll, R. E.
Handsaker, D. M. Altshuler, E. Banks, G. del Angel, G. Genovese, C. Hartl, H. Li, S. Kashin,
J. C. Nemesh, K. Shakir, S. C. Yoon, J. Lihm, V. Makarov, J. Degenhardt, J. O. Korbel, M.
H. Fritz, S. Meiers, B. Raeder, T. Rausch, A. M. Stütz, P. Flicek, F. P. Casale, L. Clarke, R.
E. Smith, O. Stegle, X. Zheng-Bradley, D. R. Bentley, B. Barnes, R. K. Cheetham, M.
Eberle, S. Humphray, S. Kahn, L. Murray, R. Shaw, E.-W. Lameijer, M. A. Batzer, M. K.
Konkel, J. A. Walker, L. Ding, I. Hall, K. Ye, P. Lacroute, C. Lee, E. Cerveira, A. Malhotra,
J. Hwang, D. Plewczynski, K. Radew, M. Romanovitch, C. Zhang, D. W. Craig, N. Homer,
D. Church, C. Xiao, J. Sebat, D. Antaki, V. Bafna, J. Michaelson, K. Ye, S. E. Devine, E. J.
Gardner, G. R. Abecasis, J. M. Kidd, R. E. Mills, G. Dayama, S. Emery, G. Jun, X. Shi, A.
Quitadamo, G. Lunter, G. A. McVean, K. Chen, X. Fan, Z. Chong, T. Chen, D. Witherspoon,
J. Xing, E. E. Eichler, M. J. Chaisson, F. Hormozdiari, J. Huddleston, M. Malig, B. J. Nelson,
P. H. Sudmant, N. F. Parrish, E. Khurana, M. E. Hurles, B. Blackburne, S. J. Lindsay, Z.
Ning, K. Walter, Y. Zhang, M. B. Gerstein, A. Abyzov, J. Chen, D. Clarke, H. Lam, X. J.
Mu, C. Sisu, J. Zhang, Y. Zhang, R. A. Gibbs, F. Yu, M. Bainbridge, D. Challis, U. S. Evani,
C. Kovar, J. Lu, D. Muzny, U. Nagaswamy, J. G. Reid, A. Sabo, J. Yu, X. Guo, W. Li, Y. Li,
R. Wu, G. T. Marth, E. P. Garrison, W. F. Leong, A. N. Ward, G. del Angel, M. A. DePristo,
S. B. Gabriel, N. Gupta, C. Hartl, R. E. Poplin, A. G. Clark, J. L. Rodriguez-Flores, P. Flicek,
L. Clarke, R. E. Smith, X. Zheng-Bradley, D. G. MacArthur, E. R. Mardis, R. Fulton, D. C.
Koboldt, S. Gravel, C. D. Bustamante, D. W. Craig, A. Christoforides, N. Homer, T. Izatt, S.
T. Sherry, C. Xiao, E. T. Dermitzakis, G. R. Abecasis, H. M. Kang, G. A. McVean, M. B.
Gerstein, S. Balasubramanian, L. Habegger, H. Yu, P. Flicek, L. Clarke, F. Cunningham, I.
Dunham, D. Zerbino, X. Zheng-Bradley, K. Lage, J. B. Jespersen, H. Horn, S. B.

Montgomery, M. K. DeGorter, E. Khurana, C. Tyler-Smith, Y. Chen, V. Colonna, Y. Xue, M. B. Gerstein, S. Balasubramanian, Y. Fu, D. Kim, A. Auton, A. Marcketta, R. Desalle, A. Narechania, M. A. W. Sayres, E. P. Garrison, R. E. Handsaker, S. Kashin, S. A. McCarroll, J. L. Rodriguez-Flores, P. Flicek, L. Clarke, X. Zheng-Bradley, Y. Erlich, M. Gymrek, T. F. Willems, C. D. Bustamante, F. L. Mendez, G. D. Poznik, P. A. Underhill, C. Lee, E. Cerveira, A. Malhotra, M. Romanovitch, C. Zhang, G. R. Abecasis, L. Coin, H. Shao, D. Mittelman, C. Tyler-Smith, Q. Ayub, R. Banerjee, M. Cerezo, Y. Chen, T. W. Fitzgerald, S. Louzada, A. Massaia, S. McCarthy, G. R. Ritchie, Y. Xue, F. Yang, R. A. Gibbs, C. Kovar, D. Kalra, W. Hale, D. Muzny, J. G. Reid, J. Wang, X. Dan, X. Guo, G. Li, Y. Li, C. Ye, X. Zheng, D. M. Altshuler, P. Flicek, L. Clarke, X. Zheng-Bradley, D. R. Bentley, A. Cox, S. Humphray, S. Kahn, R. Sudbrak, M. W. Albrecht, M. Lienhard, D. Larson, D. W. Craig, T. Izatt, A. A. Kurdoglu, S. T. Sherry, C. Xiao, D. Haussler, G. R. Abecasis, G. A. McVean, R. M. Durbin, S. Balasubramaniam, T. M. Keane, S. McCarthy, J. Stalker, A. Chakravarti, B. M. Knoppers, G. R. Abecasis, K. C. Barnes, C. Beiswanger, E. G. Burchard, C. D. Bustamante, H. Cai, H. Cao, R. M. Durbin, N. P. Gerry, N. Gharani, R. A. Gibbs, C. R. Gignoux, S. Gravel, B. Henn, D. Jones, L. Jorde, J. S. Kaye, A. Keinan, A. Kent, A. Kerasidou, Y. Li, R. Mathias, G. A. McVean, A. Moreno-Estrada, P. N. Ossorio, M. Parker, A. M. Resch, C. N. Rotimi, C. D. Royal, K. Sandoval, Y. Su, R. Sudbrak, Z. Tian, S. Tishkoff, L. H. Toji, C. Tyler-Smith, M. Via, Y. Wang, H. Yang, L. Yang, J. Zhu, W. Bodmer, G. Bedoya, A. Ruiz-Linares, Z. Cai, Y. Gao, J. Chu, L. Peltonen, A. Garcia-Montero, A. Orfao, J. Dutil, J. C. Martinez-Cruzado, T. K. Oleksyk, K. C. Barnes, R. A. Mathias, A. Hennis, H. Watson, C. McKenzie, F. Qadri, R. LaRocque, P. C. Sabeti, J. Zhu, X. Deng, P. C. Sabeti, D. Asogun, O. Folarin, C. Happi, O. Omoniwa, M. Stremlau, R. Tariyal, M. Jallow, F. S. Joof, T. Corrah, K. Rockett, D. Kwiatkowski, J. Kooner, T. T. Hiê`n, S. J. Dunstan, N. T. Hang, R. Fonnie, R. Garry, L. Kanneh, L. Moses, P. C. Sabeti, J. Schieffelin, D. S. Grant, C. Gallo, G. Poletti, D. Saleheen, A. Rasheed, L. D. Brooks, A. L. Felsenfeld, J. E. McEwen, Y. Vaydylevich, E. D. Green, A. Duncanson, M. Dunn, J. A. Schloss, J. Wang, H. Yang, A. Auton, L. D. Brooks, R. M. Durbin, E. P. Garrison, H. M. Kang, J. O. Korbel, J. L. Marchini, S. McCarthy, G. A. McVean, G. R. Abecasis, A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68–74 (2015).

60. Y. Huang, D. J. McCarthy, O. Stegle, Vireo: Bayesian demultiplexing of pooled single-cell RNA-seq data without genotype reference. *Genome Biol.* **20**, 273 (2019).

61. Y. Hao, T. Stuart, M. H. Kowalski, S. Choudhary, P. Hoffman, A. Hartman, A. Srivastava, G. Molla, S. Madad, C. Fernandez-Granda, R. Satija, Dictionary learning for integrative, multimodal and scalable single-cell analysis. *Nat. Biotechnol.* **42**, 293–304 (2024).

62. S. Marsh, M. Salmon, P. Hoffma, kew24, *samuel-marsh/scCustomize Version 3.0.1* (Zenodo, 2024; https://zenodo.org/records/14529706).

63. S. Choudhary, R. Satija, Comparison and evaluation of statistical error models for scRNA-seq. *Genome Biol.* **23**, 27 (2022).

64. M. D. Robinson, G. K. Smyth, Small-sample estimation of negative binomial dispersion, with applications to SAGE data. *Biostatistics* **9**, 321–332 (2008).