Integrative genotyping and analysis of canine structural variation using long-read and short-read data

Peter Z. Schall[1] and Jeffrey M. Kidd[1]

[1]Department of Human Genetics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA; Department of
Computational Medicine and Bioinformatics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA.

*Corresponding author:

Jeffery M. Kidd, Ph.D.

jmkidd@umich.edu

734-764-6922

27 Short Title: Canine Structural Variants

28 Keywords: structural variants, long-read sequencing, mobile elements

Abstract

Structural variation makes an important contribution to canine evolution and phenotypic differences.
Although recent advances in long-read sequencing have enabled the generation of multiple canine
genome assemblies, most prior analyses of structural variation have relied on short-read sequencing. To
offer a more complete assessment of structural variation in canines, we performed an integrative
analysis of structural variants present in 12 canine samples with available long-read and short-read
sequencing data along with genome assemblies. Use of long-reads permits the discovery of
heterozygous variation that is absent in existing haploid assembly representations while offering a
marked increase in the ability to identify insertion variants relative to short-read approaches.
Examination of the size spectrum of structural variants shows that dimorphic LINE-1 and SINE variants
account for over 40% of all deletions and insertions and identified 1,410 LINE-1s with intact open
reading frames that show presence-absence dimorphism. Using a graph-based approach, we genotype
newly discovered structural variants in an existing collection of 1,879 resequenced dogs and wolves,
generating a variant catalog containing a 56.5% increase in the number of deletions and 705% increase
in the number of insertions previously found in the analyzed samples. Examination of allele frequencies
across admixture components present across breed clades identified 283 structural variants evolving
with a signature of selection.

Significance statement

Existing studies of structural variation have focused on genomes sequenced with short-read technology.
In this study, we systematically combine short-read and long-read data sets to identify and genotype
structural variation across canines, resulting in an expanded catalog of structural variants. Analysis of
allele frequencies identified structural variants in genic regions that may have been evolving under
selection across breed clades.

Introduction

Structural variation, operationally defined as insertions, deletions, duplications, or inversions of genome sequence at least 50 base pairs (bps) in length, is a critical component of genome variation (Escaramis et al., 2015). Although fewer in number than single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), structural variants (SVs) typically affect a larger percentage of the genome sequence (Pang et al., 2010, Genomes Project et al., 2015), and have been linked as a source of genetic diversity underpinning genome evolution, environmental adaptation, and differences in gene expression (Hujoel et al., 2022, Ho et al., 2020).

The past 15 years have seen marked improvement in algorithms to identify and genotype SVs, mostly focusing on widely prevalent short-read data from the Illumina platform (Mahmoud et al., 2019). These approaches rely upon split-read and discordant read-pair signatures obtained from aligning short-read data to an existing genome reference assembly (Ho et al., 2020, Kosugi et al., 2019). While short-read technologies, typically paired-end reads of ~150 bp in length, can readily identify SV breakpoints, fully resolving insertions longer than the read length remains a challenge. Identifying structural variation in genomic regions where short-reads cannot be unambiguously aligned (such as within duplications or regions with high repeat content) poses additional problems (Ho et al., 2020). With the recent advent of long-read based sequencing from Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) and Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT), sequenced reads can now reach lengths in the 100s of kb. This massive increase in read length has allowed for the closing of gaps in genome assemblies, enabling the identification of variants within these regions (Aganezov et al., 2022), and the full resolution of large SVs (Amarasinghe et al., 2020). Comparisons in humans and other species have shown that long-read approaches offer a more complete view of SVs, particularly of insertions longer than Illumina read lengths (Kosugi et al., 2019). Due to cost and library preparation requirements, short-read approaches continue to dominate large-scale analyses of genomic diversity. However, the development of graph-based analysis tools enables the genotyping of SVs identified from long-read data in samples with existing short-read information, offering a compelling analysis paradigm for a more comprehensive assessment of SVs (Yan et al., 2021, Chen et al., 2019).

Canines (dogs and wolves) have emerged as a powerful model for studies of genome evolution and disease. The differences in size, shape, coloration, and behavior among modern dogs makes canines an attractive system for studying the action of selection and for untangling the molecular basis of complex phenotypes (Boyko, 2011, Karlsson and Lindblad-Toh, 2008). Naturally occurring genetic variation in dogs is associated with traits, including morphological and behavior differences that have been the subject of selection (Shearin and Ostrander, 2010, Schlamp et al., 2016) as well as differences in longevity and susceptibility to multiple diseases, including cancer (Fleming et al., 2011). The canine genomics community has developed rich resources including the creation of multiple reference assemblies generated from long-read sequencing technologies (Dreger et al., 2016, Plassais et al., 2019, Freedman et al., 2016, Schall et al., 2023, Edwards et al., 2021, Sinding et al., 2021, Wang et al., 2021, Field et al., 2020, Field et al., 2022, Jagannathan et al., 2021, Player et al., 2021, Halo et al., 2021).

SVs are known to make important contributions to canine traits. Examples include deletions and duplications associated with body weight in Labrador Retrievers (Antkowiak and Szydlowski, 2023), a 133-kb duplication predisposing Ridgebacks to dermoid sinus and causing the distinctive hair ridge (Salmon Hillbertz et al., 2007), a small insertion causing globoid cell leukodystrophy Irish Setters (McGraw and Carmichael, 2006), a *FGF4* retrogene insertion causing the short-legged phenotypes found

in many breeds (Parker et al., 2009), and the well characterized copy-number variation of *AMY2B*
leading to adaptation to a starch-rich diet (Axelsson et al., 2013).

Previous studies have found that mobile element insertions make a major contribution to genomic
differences among dogs (Wang and Kirkness, 2005, Halo et al., 2021). Mobile elements (also called
transposable elements) are DNA sequences which can move into new genomic locations.
Retrotransposons, the most abundant type of mobile element in mammalian genomes, mobilize
through a "copy-and-paste" mechanism that uses an RNA intermediate that is reverse transcribed and
integrated into a new genomic location (Kazazian and Moran, 2017, Levin and Moran, 2011).
Retrotransposons can be further subdivided into two classes, those that contain long terminal repeats
(LTRs) and those that do not. Non-LTR retrotransposons include Long INterspersed Elements (LINEs),
which are autonomous retrotransposons that encode the proteins required for their mobilization, and
Short INterspersed Elements (SINEs), which are non-autonomous retrotransposons that require
protein(s) encoded by LINEs for mobilization. LINE-1, the autonomous retrotransposon family active
across mammals, is approximately 6 kb in size and encodes two proteins, ORF1p and ORF2p, required
for its own mobilization (Beck et al., 2011).

Most copies of mobile elements in the genome were inserted many millions of years ago. These
sequences have acquired multiple mutations that make them incapable of mobilization and are "fixed"
in the species, meaning they are found in the same genomic location in all individuals. However, some
elements that were inserted more recently in evolutionary time show presence-absence variation,
known as dimorphism, among individuals. These variants manifest as insertions and deletions in a
sample relative to a reference genome. Several previous studies have linked mobile element insertions
to canine phenotypes, including cerebellar ataxia, retinal atrophy, and merle coloration (Hitti-Malin et
al., 2020, Mauri et al., 2017, Langevin et al., 2018). A recent study identified a *de novo* LINE-1 mutation
as causing X-linked muscular dystrophy in a Border Collie dog, confirming that at least one type of
retrotransposon remains active in the canine genome (Van Poucke et al., 2024).

In this study, we perform a comprehensive analysis of SVs in 12 canine samples with available long-read
and short-read sequencing data along with genome assemblies. We compare the ability of each
modality to detect SVs and analyze the sequence content of identified variants, finding that dimorphic
LINE-1 and SINE variants account for over 40% of all deletions and insertions. Finally, using a graph-
based approach, we genotype newly discovered SVs in an existing collection of resequenced canine
genomes analyzed by the Dog10K consortium and identify SVs with frequency patterns consistent with
non-neutral evolution among clades of breed dogs, including several mobile element insertions. In total,
this manuscript integrates long-read and short-read genome data to provide a comprehensive view of
structural variation in canines.

Materials and Methods
129

Included samples with long-read sequencing and assembled genomes
131

We identified a total of 12 samples that had accessible long-read sequencing data and associated
assembled genomes (Supplemental Table S1). The analyzed samples include 10 samples from 7 dog
breeds: two Basenjis (Edwards et al., 2021), two Bernese Mountain Dogs (Schall et al., 2023), two
German Shepherds (Wang et al., 2021, Field et al., 2020), a Cairn Terrier (Schall et al., 2023), a Boxer

(Jagannathan et al., 2021), a Great Dane (Halo et al., 2021), a Labrador Retriever (Player et al., 2021,
Schall et al., 2023); a Dingo (Field et al., 2022); and a Greenland Wolf (Sinding et al., 2021). Illumina
short-read sequencing was also available for each sample, except one Basenji (China). A detailed
summary of the analyses undertaken in this manuscript can be found in Supplemental Figure S1.

Canine structural variant calling

Structural variants were identified from Oxford Nanopore Technologies (ONT) and PacBio long-reads
using a method adapted from (Lemay et al., 2022). Reads were aligned to the UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0_ROSY
genome reference with minimap2 (v2.26, RRID: SCR_018550) (Li, 2018) with standard settings,
converting SAM output to BAM with SAMtools (v1.13, RRID:SCR_002105) (Li et al., 2009). Due to the
incomplete nature of the assembled Y chromosome, our results are limited to assembled chromosomes
(chr1-38 and X). Initial structural variants were called using sniffles2 (v2.4, RRID:SCR_017619) (Smolka et
al., 2024) with a maximum deletion length of 10 Mb. To increase sensitivity, the coordinates of known
tandem repeats were downloaded from the UCSC Genome Browser (RRID:SCR_005780) (Meyer et al.,
2013) and included in the sniffles2 analysis. For each sample, a filtering step was applied removing: BND
variants (breakend variants; where the SV breakpoints are not fully resolved), homozygous reference
variants, insertions with unassembled or gap sequences ('N'), variants less than 50bp, and variants
greater than 10Mb. Subsequently, the filtered VCF files were sorted, normalized, and filtered for
duplicate entries with BCFtools (v1.12, RRID:SCR_005227) (Danecek et al., 2021). Refinement of SV
breakpoints and sequences were conducted with the R script breakpoint_refinement.R (Lemay et al.,
2022). In short, initial local assemblies were generated for each variant with wtdbg2 (v2.5,
RRID:SCR_017225) (Ruan and Li, 2020) using reads mapped +/- 200bp from each SV followed by
realignment of the same reads to each assembled sequence with minimap2, and the local assembly
aligned to the region of the reference genome with AGE (v0.4, RRID:SCR_005253) (Abyzov and Gerstein,
2011). Since Nala and Sandy have both ONT and PacBio long-read sequencing data, an additional step of
processing was applied to create a single long-read callset for each sample: the post-breakpoint
refinement VCF files were merged with SURVIVOR (v1.0.7, RRID:SCR_022995) (Jeffares et al., 2017), with
the following flags: 100 0 1 0 0 50. To facilitate genotyping SVs with short-read data, the final VCF files
for each of the 12 samples were ported to SVmerge from the SVanalyzer package (v0.36)
(https://github.com/nhansen/SVanalyzer) with the following flags: *-maxdist 15 -reldist 0.1 -relsizediff 0.1*
-relshift 0.1 -seqspecific. The SVmerge output VCF was processed with the R script
merge_realigned_variants.R (Lemay et al., 2022), wherein SVs were selected from clusters, resulting in
the final representative SV per loci.

Structural variants were also identified using Illumina short-read data. Read pre-processing and
alignment was conducted using the dogmap pipeline (https://github.com/jmkidd/dogmap) (Meadows et
al., 2023). In summary, the pipeline consists of mapping with bwa-mem2 (v2.1, RRID: SCR_022192) (Li,
2013), followed by marking and sorting duplicates with MarkDuplicates, base score recalibration with
BaseRecalibrator and ApplyBQSR, from the GATK suite of tools (v4.2, RRID:SCR_001876) (DePristo et al.,
2011). Structural variants were identified from the resulting alignment files using Manta (v1.6.0,
RRID:SCR_022997) (Chen et al., 2016), using standard settings. The initial Manta SV calling of Sandy
failed to complete, with further investigation noting an aberrant insert-size distribution. This
necessitated an additional filter to remove those reads with an apparent fragment size less than 20; this
filtering ameliorated the issue. Manta SV calls were merged with svimmer (v0.1)
(https://github.com/DecodeGenetics/svimmer), with standard settings, and genotyped using

GraphTyper2 (v2.7.7) (Eggertsson et al., 2019). Additionally, to resolve large insertions that could not be
rectified by the short-read sequencing data, structural variant genotypes were determined for the
variants identified by long-reads (the output of SVmerge described above) from mapped Illumina data
using Paragraph (v2.4a) (Chen et al., 2019). Within each sample, the output of the short-read manta SV
calls and paragraph genotyping of long-read variants was merged with SURVIVOR, as previously
described, filtering to include unique SVs only and retaining the genotype calls from Paragraph when SVs
matched.

The third method for calling SVs consisted of comparing the published assemblies for each sample to the
UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0_ROSY genome assembly. The genome alignment was conducted using minimap2
(v2.26) using the following settings: *-a -x asm5 --cs -r2k*. Resultant alignment output was sorted and
indexed using SAMTools. Structural variants were called using the haploid caller from SVIM-asm (v1.0.3)
(Heller and Vingron, 2021) with standard settings.

In summary, three SV call sets were generated for each sample compared to UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0_ROSY:
long-read, short-read, and assembly. We additionally used short-read data to genotype SVs discovered
by long-reads. To identify concordance across the three methodologies, within sample, the VCF files
were merged with SURVIVOR. VCF files were then converted into table format to enabling porting to R
(v4.2.0, RRID:SCR_001905) (Dessau and Pipper, 2008), for processing and plotting. Downstream analyses
and annotations were further limited to insertions and deletions, due to the higher confidence in calling
these events compared to the other SV types.

Annotation of genomic regions and structural variants
SVs were annotated using SnpEff (v5.2c, RRID:SCR_005191) (Cingolani et al., 2012), using the
UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0 genomic data retrieved from Ensembl (Dyer et al., 2025), version 113, deriving
genomic locations (exons, introns, intergenic, etc.) and applicable intersecting gene information. Only
SVs that intersected with exons, or entire feature ablation, were considered to affect genes.

Repetitive elements within SVs were identified using blastn (v2.10, RRID:SCR_001653) (Ladunga, 2017),
comparing canine and ancestral species repeat consensus sequences retrieved from RepBase (v29.05,
RRID:SCR_021169) (Bao et al., 2015). The output was then annotated to include SV length, repeat
sequence length, and reciprocal percent sequence overlap between repeat sequences and SVs. Aiming
to identify SVs that correspond to dimorphic repeats, we identified SVs where 1) ≥95% of the SV
corresponded to a given repeat as well as 2) SVs with a 95% reciprocal overlap with a given repeat,
representing near-full length dimorphic repeats. Furthermore, insertions and deletions identified as
putative full-length Long INterspersed Elements (LINEs) were analyzed using BLASTX (v2.10,
RRID:SCR_001653) (Ladunga, 2017) to identify intact open-reading frames for ORF1p (QOV08756.1) and
ORF2p (QOV08757.1), requiring >=99% amino acid identity.

Genotyping structural variants and selection analysis with the Dog10K dataset
The Dog10K dataset (Meadows et al., 2023) is a repository of short-read sequenced samples from nearly
2000 canines, covering well characterized breed dogs, mixed breeds, free breeding village dogs, and
wolves. The output of the long-read SV pipeline, post SVmerge, was used for genotyping structural
variants from the Dog10K dataset with Paragraph, with standard settings. The Dog10K dataset also
included previously generated SV calls from Manta, which were retrieved and merged with the

Paragraph output, as described above. A total of 1,879 samples were used that previously passed all
variant calling filters.

In the Dog10K manuscript, SNPs were used to identify signals of selection in the ancestral admixture
components from 790 breed dogs that were categorized into nine broad clades (Belgian Herders,
Mastiffs, Pointers, Scenthounds, Sighthounds, Spaniels, Spitz, UK Herders, and Waterdogs) using Ohana
(Cheng et al., 2022). We replicated the initial generation of the allele frequency model based on the
Dog10K SNP data, using the same breed-clades and number of ancestral components (K=5), and filtering
parameters: minor allele frequency of 5% and no missing genotypes. We then applied the SNP-based
model to the union of Manta and Paragraph SV genotypes. It should be noted that increased filtering
metrics were required for the SV analysis in the Dog10K manuscript, resulting in a set of 781 dogs used
for this present analysis. We applied thresholds to identify variants with allele frequency patterns
inconsistent with neutral evolution as defined by Ohana. First, we applied a strict threshold of $1.67*10^{-7}$,
corresponding to a nominal significance level of 0.05 after a Bonferroni correction accounting for the
299,116 deletion and insertions tested. Since the Bonferroni correction may be overly conservative as
variant genotypes are not independent, we also applied a reduced threshold of 0.0001. A total of 283
variants passed this threshold, corresponding to the top 0.096% of all SVs evaluated.

Generation of Figures

Unless otherwise noted, all figures were produced in R (v4.2.0), using a combination of the following R
packages: ggplot2 (v3.5.1, RRID:SCR_014601) (https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org), ggpubr (v0.6.0,
RRID:SCR_021139) (https://github.com/kassambara/ggpubr), ggforce (v0.4.1, RRID:SCR_022575)
(https://github.com/thomasp85/ggforce), ggrepel (v0.9.5, RRID:SCR_017393)
(https://github.com/slowkow/ggrepel), ggnewscale (v0.4.9) (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.2543762),
ComplexHeatmap (v2.20.0, RRID:SCR_017270) (Gu et al., 2016), and paletteer (v1.6.0)
(https://github.com/EmilHvitfeldt/paletteer).

Results

Canine structural variant detection

We identified structural variants from 12 canine samples using three modalities: genome assembly,
long-read sequencing, and short-read sequencing (Figure 1, Supplemental Table S1). For increased
readability, we refer to the different samples and assemblies by the name of the sequenced individual.
All structural variants were identified compared to the UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0_ROSY (Mischka) genome,
which is derived from a female German Shepherd Dog supplemented by partially assembled Y-
chromosome sequences from a Labrador retriever. The Dog10K Consortium used the same assembly
(Meadows et al., 2023). A detailed flowchart displaying the pipeline used for this manuscript can be
found in Supplemental Figure S1. Regardless of input datatype, deletions and insertions were the most
frequent class of detected structural variants, accounting for 52.7% and 46.8% of the total calls,
respectively.

Structural variation identified by assembly comparison

The number of structural variants identified by assembly comparison, which due to the self-comparison
resulted in zero Mischka calls, is dominated by deletions (mean=21,509) and insertions (mean=20,712),
followed by inversions (mean=68), and duplications (mean=18) (Table 1, Figure 2 Panel A, Supplemental
Figure S2, Supplemental Table S2). Querying the total number of impacted base pairs by sample found

an average of 21.9 Mb for deletions, 12.2 Mb for insertions, 4.6 Mb for duplications, and 17.4 Mb for
inversions (Table 1, Figure 2 Panel B, Supplemental Figure S2, Supplemental Table S2). The length of SVs
for both insertions and deletions displayed a similar distribution, with a mostly lefthanded dominance
showing a prominent peak at ~200-250bp and a smaller peak at ~6,000bp (Figure 2 Panel C). The length
distribution of both duplications and inversions displayed a lefthand bias (Supplemental Figure S2). SVs
were filtered to include those confirmed by at least one other modality to avoid assembly errors,
intersected with coding regions, and limited to genes with an annotated gene symbol. Deletions
intersected with a median of 42 genes per sample (range=4-66 genes). Of the total 127 intersecting
genes, 37.8% were variant in a single sample while only one, *ZCCHC10*, contained a deletion overlapping
with an exon in all samples, excluding the self-comparison of Mischka. Total genes with insertions were
less, numbering 70, with a median of 13 per sample (range=1-20 genes). Approximately 57.1% of the
genes were variable in a single sample, and none were present in all samples (Supplemental Table S4).

Structural variation identified from long-reads

Similar to assembly-based calls, the greatest number of SVs identified from long-reads were deletions
(mean=28,148) and insertions (mean=30,798), trailed by inversions (mean=205) and duplications
(mean=26) (Table 1, Figure 2, Supplemental Figure S2, Supplemental Table S2). Average impacted base
pairs by the different types of SVs totaled 12.4 Mb for deletions, 26.1 Mb for insertions, 13.8 Mb for
inversions, and 2.1 Mb for duplications. The increase in the number of SVs from long-read-based calls
compared to assembly comparison can largely be attributed to heterozygous SVs. On average, 51% of
deletions (range=25-99%) and 65% of insertions (range=45-98%) were heterozygous (Supplemental
Figure S3, Supplemental Table S3). As expected, the greatest proportion of heterozygous calls were
found in Mischka. Zoey also displayed an increased number of heterozygous calls, possibly due to long-
read data quality. The length distribution of deletions, insertions, and inversions were in line with
assembly calls; however, the peak of the duplications was shifted rightward.

A median of 76 genes were impacted by deletions per sample (range=15-93 genes), with a total of 241
genes affected across the samples. Approximately 42% of the impacted genes were deleted in only a
single sample. Only two genes were found to have exonic deletions in all samples: *ZCCHC10* and *EEF1A1*.
A median of 32 genes per sample contained exonic insertions (range=3-157 genes), affecting a total of
364 genes. The majority (84.15%) of the genes were variable in a single sample and none present in all
samples (Supplemental Table S4).

Structural variants identified using short-read sequencing

Short-read calling, which did not include China due the absence of Illumina data, was initially conducted
using Manta. To better ascertain longer SVs, variants found by long-reads were genotyped using short-
read from each data with the Paragraph software. The union of these discovered and genotyped SVs
were defined as the short-read+Paragraph call set. The short-read deletions displayed a similar
magnitude as previous methods (mean=20,121 per sample), with a reduced representation of insertions
(mean=6,019), followed by duplications (mean=232), and inversions (mean=92) (Table 1, Figure 2,
Supplemental Figure S2, Supplemental Table S2). The SV length distribution of deletions matched those
resulting from assemblies and long-reads. Insertions displayed a similar distribution among shorter SVs
while lacking longer, duplications were likewise similar to the assembly calls, while inversions displayed
a much more flat and wider accounting of SV lengths. On average, 47% (range=32-93%) of deletions and
60% of insertions (range=4-97%) were heterozygous (Supplemental Figure S3, Supplemental Table S3).
Sandy, the sample that had an aberrant insert-size distribution, was the sample with the fewest
proportion of heterozygous insertion calls, and overall, the fewest insertions.

While a number of statistics show relative agreement in overall SV capture by short-reads, the union of
short-read+Paragraph showed increased sensitivity, specifically for longer SVs. This was most apparent
for insertions, with a median percent increase of 243% identified SVs, which had a mean length of 515bp
(Figure 3, Supplemental Table S2). While less of a dramatic increase in SVs, deletion calls saw a median
increase of 27%, and duplications and inversions remained relatively the same. The overall impacted
base-pairs increased for deletions (mean=13.95 Mb, range=6.1-13.5 Mb) and insertions (mean=9.9 Mb,
range=4.9-10.9 Mb). The distribution of heterozygous calls was in-line with other sequencing modalities
with deletions averaging 51% and insertions 66%. A total of 128 genes had exonic overlapping deletions
and 70 genes with insertions. The median number per sample of genes with exonic deletions was 36
(range=9-73 genes) and insertions numbered 11 (range=3-28 genes). Similar to the other modalities, a
substantial proportion of deletion and insertion intersecting genes were singletons, 42.3% and 64.3%,
respectively (Supplemental Table S4).

Comparison of SVs by modality

Within each sample, SV concordance was assessed as the fraction of shared deletions and insertions
across sequencing modality, e.g., long-read vs. assembly, long-read vs. short-read, long-read vs. short-
read+Paragraph (Figure 4, Supplemental Table S5). We found that on average 88% of deletions and 84%
of insertions discovered by comparing genome assemblies were also found in the long-read data.
Conversely, on average 64% of deletions and 53% of insertions discovered in the long-read data were
also found in the genome assembly comparisons. Across the samples, a total of 336,121 long-read SVs
were missing in the assembly calls, of which 81.5% were heterozygous. The relatively tight grouping of
both deletions and insertions found in long-read vs assembly comparison was not found when
comparisons involved calls from short-read or short-read+Paragraph, with short-read modalities
consistently identifying a lower fraction of insertions. However, with the inclusion of Paragraph SV
calling, there is an average increase in insertion agreement compared to assembly (4%) and long-read
(19%) data (Figure 4).

Repeat annotation of SVs

All identified deletion and insertion sequences were extracted and annotated for matches to canine and
ancestral repeat sequences from RepBase using blastn. The SV-repeat data was then filtered to identify
those variants with at least 95% of length annotated as a given repeat. These SVs correspond to
putatively variable repeats, as opposed to larger SVs that incidentally contain repetitive sequence. This
filtered dataset contained a total of 18,082 deletions and 69,103 insertions, with a mean per sample of
5,492 deletions and 6,690 insertions (Figure 5). As expected, the repeat annotation was dominated by
SINEC2A1 sequences, accounting for 72.5% of deletions and 67.4% of insertions that meet the criteria
and 33.8% and 10.7% of the total deletions and insertions, respectively. The second largest category
consisted of Long INterspersed Nuclear Elements-1 (LINE-1s), comprising 26.6% of deletions and 31.8%
of insertions of the repeat annotated SVs, and 12.0% of total deletions and 5% of total insertions (Figure
5). Thus, variable SINEC and LINE-1 sequences account for 45.8% and 42.5% of all deletion and insertion
structural variants.

The SV-repeat data was further filtered to identify variants putatively corresponding to full-length LINE-
1s by requiring at least 95% reciprocal overlap with the element consensus sequence, which were
primarily comprised of L1_CF sequences. A mean of 332 deleted and 709 inserted full-length LINE-1s
were found across samples. Full-length LINE-1 sequences were further queried to identify those with
intact open reading frames for the ORF1p and ORF2p proteins (>=99% sequence identity), splitting by SV
type (Figure 6). A total of 1,410 variable LINEs with intact ORFs were identified with a sample median of

205 LINE-1s (Supplemental Table S6). The majority of the intact LINE-1s (82.1%) were present in a single sample, with 41.8% of the singletons originating from mCanLor, the Greenland Wolf. In fact, mCanLor had the largest number of intact LINE-1 sequences derived from SV events (n=707, deletions=233, insertions=474). Excluding Mischka, the samples with the fewest LINE-1 insertions (BD, OD, CA611, Yella, and China), were sequenced on the ONT platform only, possibly due to sequencing errors that disrupted apparent ORFs.

Signals of selection in structural variant allele frequencies

We leveraged the Dog10K dataset (Meadows et al., 2023), which consists of short-read sequencing of nearly 2,000 canines, comprised of characterized breed dogs, free breeding village dogs, and wolves, to assess frequency patterns of structural variation. We applied the Paragraph method to genotype the identified SVs in the Dog10K data, thus offering genotype information for variants discovered using both short-read and long-read data. Similar to the increase seen previously when applying the Paragraph method, we found a 56.5% increase in the number of deletions and 705% increase in the number of insertions relative to the Manta-only short-read calls (Figure 7).

We next used the comprehensive catalog of insertion and deletion variants genotyped in 1,867 canines (breed dogs=1575, village dogs=237, wolves=55) to search for allele frequency profiles indicating SVs that may be evolving under selection. Previously, the Dog10K consortium identified single nucleotide variants that may have been evolving under selection among major breed clades (Meadows et al., 2023). This analysis was performed using Ohana, a program which models allele frequencies among ancestry components to identify variants with frequency profiles that are not consistent with genome-wide profiles (Cheng et al., 2022).

Following the procedure used by the Dog10K consortium, we analyzed 781 breed dogs across nine clades (Belgian Herders, Mastiffs, Scenthounds, Sighthounds, Spaniels, Spits, Pointers, UK Herders, and Waterdogs). Using SNP genotype data, we replicated the ancestry proportions identified previously and found five ancestral components that largely corresponded to specific breed clades: 0 = Collie & Shetland Sheepdog (within the UK Herding clade), 1 = Spitz, 2 = Scenthounds, 3 = Mastiffs, and 4 = Pointers & Spaniels (Supplemental Figure S4 and Figure 8). We then fit the Ohana model to SV genotype data, from a total of 299,116 deletions and insertions, to identify SVs with allele frequencies that did not fit genome wide profiles identified using SNPs in each ancestral component. A total of 81 sites that exceeded a Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold ($1.67*10^{-7}$) were identified across the 5 ancestral components, although we note that this threshold is conservative since genotypes are not independent. The largest number of SVs were found in the ancestry component maximized in Collie & Shetland Sheepdog (n=64), followed by Mastiffs (n=10), Spitz (n=5), and Scenthounds (n=2) (Supplemental Table S7). For comparison, selection analysis was also repeated using SNP genotypes.

Specific breeds that were maximized within the selected clades included, Spitz: Japanese Spitz, Volpino Italiano, and the Pomeranian; Scenthounds: Billy, English Foxhound, Otterhound, Great Anglo-French White and Orange Hound, and Great Anglo-French Tricolour Hound; Mastiffs: Bull Terriers, English Bulldogs, Miniature Bull Terrier, and Boxer; Pointers & Spaniels: Sussex Spaniel, Ariège Pointer, Bourbonnais Pointing Dog, English Pointer, and Braque Français.

Several of the identified SVs overlap genes previously linked to breed specific traits or disorders. Of the 64 Collie & Shetland Sheepdog SVs, 34 were located within the introns of annotated genes. This included

a 7.8 kb intronic deletion within *NHEJ1*; this deletion has been previously associated with a disorder of
ocular development found in Collies and related breeds (Collie eye anomaly [*cea*]) (Parker et al., 2007)
(Figure 9, Supplemental Figure 5, Supplemental Table S8). We note that the identified deletion has a
more significant selection signal than other markers, including SNPs, in this region.

In the Spitz group, we found three SVs within introns of *HMGA2*, a gene which has been associated with
body weight (Rimbault et al., 2013), and ear type (Webster et al., 2015), although SNPs in the region also
show statistical evidence for selection (Supplemental Figure S6). SVs identified in the Mastiffs clade
intersected with the introns of three genes: *ABCB9*, *PITPNM2*, and *DENR*, that last of which was found to
have two intronic deletions and has been implicated in canine glioma susceptibility (Miller et al., 2019,
Truve et al., 2016) (Supplemental Figure S7). Each of the deleted sequences were identified as SINEC2A1
repeats with intact poly(A) tails and target site duplications. All four of the SINEC sequences are present
in the UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0 reference genome, derived from a German Shepherd. Consistent with the
recent origin of the SINE insertions, a high deletion frequency is found across canine populations, the
SINEs were absent in all available wolf samples, while found among Mastiffs. Interestingly, the two
polymorphic SINEs in *DENR* have approximately 96% sequence identity and are present in an opposite
orientation in adjacent introns, with one located near a coding exon, suggesting that they may affect
splicing (Supplemental Figure S8).

Relaxing the level of significance to p<=0.0001, increased the total number of identified SVs to 283. This
increased list included a Collie and Shetland Sheepdog intronic SV within *AP3B1*, where a homozygous
loss-of-function mutations have been associated with gray Collie syndrome (Benson et al., 2003), as well
as a large deletion covering multiple exons from *MX2. MX2* contributes to the innate immune defense
against viruses (Haller et al., 2018, Betancor, 2023) and regulates cell-cycle progression in melanoma
(Juraleviciute et al., 2020). Variation in *MX2* has also been linked to wool and skin traits in sheep
(Bolormaa et al., 2021) and increased expression of *MX2* has been found in canine atopic dermatitis
(Plager et al., 2012). Similarly, three intronic deletions were found below the relaxed threshold in the
Spitz group within *RCL1*, a gene implicated in snout ratio and curly tail phenotype (Vaysse et al., 2011).
Two additional Mastiff intronic SV deletions with signals of selection below the relaxed threshold were
found within *TRAF4* and *NSRP1*. Similar to the previous three genes identified with the Mastiffs clade,
each of the deleted sequences were identified as SINEC2A1 repeats with intact poly(A) tails and target
site duplications and not present within the mCanLor wolf reference genome (Supplemental Figure S9).
TRAF4 plays an important role in bone development (Regnier et al., 2002) and promotes osteogenic
differentiation (Li et al., 2019). Overexpression of *TRAF4* has been implicated in the regulation of tumor
formation in osteosarcoma (Yao et al., 2014b, Yao et al., 2014a), a type of bone cancer that is common
in certain dog breeds, including Mastiffs (Edmunds et al., 2021). *NSRP1* is a splicing regulatory protein
(Kim et al., 2011). Homozygous loss-of-function alleles of *NSRP1* lead to a severe neurodevelopmental
disorder (Calame et al., 2021), while *NSRP1* function has been linked to adipogenesis and modulation of
weight in pigs (Liu et al., 2024, Yang et al., 2019). Mastiffs are a collection of large and giant dog breeds,
with a high incidence rate of cancer and short lifespan (Bell and Hesketh, 2021).

Discussion

Advances in long-read sequencing have ushered in a new era of genomics and have enabled the
generation of gap-free telomere-to-telomere assemblies (Marx, 2023). These resources offer a refined
view of complex genome variation, including structural variation involving duplicated and repetitive

sequences. Despite the power of long-read approaches, most existing catalogs of genetic variation are
derived from short-read data. The canine research community has generated a rich collection of
genomic resources including thousands of diverse samples sequenced using Illumina short-read
sequencing along with multiple samples with long-read data. In this study, we present a uniform
catalogue of SVs using a wealth of publicly available data covering short-read, long-read, and assembled
genomes from 12 canine samples. Further, we used recently developed graph-based algorithms to
genotype the discovered variants in a collection of 1,879 dog and wolf samples sequenced by the
Dog10K consortium.

As expected, the spectrum of SV found between genome assemblies is dominated by insertion and
deletions. However, the analyzed canine assemblies are haploid representations of diploid genomes and
offer an incomplete view of variation present in each sample. This is reinforced by comparison with SVs
identified through alignment of the raw long-read data used to generate each assembly, where the
increased number of identified SVs reflects heterozygous variants that are not represented in the
respective assemblies. For most samples, an excess of heterozygous insertions was found when
analyzing the long-reads, suggesting that existing assemblies may show a bias towards representing the
shorter, deletion allele at heterozygous sites. Differences in data quality among the samples likely also
contributes to these patterns.

The size distribution of identified insertion and deletions displayed peaks that correspond to the size of
dimorphic SINE and LINE-1 elements. Comparison with repeat element consensus sequences showed
that variable SINE and LINE-1 sequences from the most recent active families account for 45.8% and
42.5% of all deletion and insertion SVs. This includes 1,410 dimorphic LINE-1s that appear to have intact
open reading frames for both the ORF1p and ORF2p proteins required for retrotransposition. The
greatest contributor of intact LINE-1 sequences was found in the mCanLor Greenland Wolf genome, the
only analyzed sample sequenced using PacBio HiFi reads, highlighting the importance of sequencing
technology to the accurate base-pair level resolution of variation among repetitive sequences.

As expected, comparisons across sequencing technologies confirmed the dramatically reduced power of
short-read approaches to capture insertion variants. However, variants discovered with long-reads can
be genotyped using short-read data. To offer a more complete assessment of canine variation, we
therefore genotyped the expanded SV catalog in samples from the Dog10K collection, resulting in a
56.5% increase in the number of deletions and 705% increase in the number of insertions previously
reported in these samples (Meadows et al., 2023).

The Dog10K consortium previously analyzed single nucleotide variants to identify signatures of selection
in the ancestral components present across major clades of breed dogs. We repeated this analysis using
the expanded catalog of 299,116 deletions and insertions, revealing examples where a genotyped
insertion or deletion has a clearer signal of selection than flanking SNPs (Figure 9). Consistent with their
major contribution to canine SVs, we found that 90 of the 283 variants that exceed the reduced
selection threshold (p<=0.0001) corresponded to SINE or LINE deletions. Mobile element insertions can
disrupt gene function through multiple mechanisms, including disruption of protein-coding exons,
alterations to gene expression, and disrupted splicing processes (Hancks and Kazazian, 2016, Cordaux
and Batzer, 2009). Recent reports have highlighted the potential of pairs of SINEs located in inverted
orientation to disrupt splicing due to the formation of secondary structures in pre-mRNA, a mechanism
implicated as contributing to the tail loss in apes (Xia et al., 2024) and the evolution of skin pigmentation

variation in humans (Kamitaki et al., 2024). We identified a pair of dimorphic SINE sequences in introns
of *DENR* that appear to have evolved under selection in Mastiffs and that have such an inverted
orientation (Supplemental Figure S8). Additional studies will be required to determine whether
improper splicing is found in dogs that carry the identified SINE insertions. Several of the genes
containing SVs with signals of selection were also identified by an analysis of SNPs (Meadows et al.,
2023), making it challenging to identify specific mutations that are functionally important. Several of the
identified genes have been linked to diseases, making it unclear how to interpret statistical signals
suggesting they were selected across breed clades. One possibility is that the identified variants are
deleterious mutations that are tightly linked to variants that contribute to breed-defining phenotypes
that have yet to be identified. Another possibility is that the variants have pleiotropic effects that both
promote characteristics desired during breed formation while also contributing to the development of
disease. More focused studies that link models of gene function with evolutionary dynamics across time
periods will be required to identify the precise molecular changes underlying the formation of modern
breeds.

Data availability

488 VCF files describing the identified structural variants have been deposited in the Zenodo data archive
489 under accession DOI 10.5281/zenodo.14968873. A UCSC browser track hub depicting the detected SVs is
490 available at https://github.com/KiddLab/dog-long-read-sv

Acknowledgements

492 The authors thank all members of the Kitano Lab for discussion related to this manuscript and members
493 of the canine genomics community for creating and sharing valuable resources.

Author's Contributions

495 P.Z.S and J.M.K conceived and designed the study, P.Z.S. performed analyses and implemented
496 computational tools, P.Z.S. and J.M.K wrote the manuscript.

 Tables

		Number of SVs			Basepairs (Mb)		
	SV Type	Mean	Min	Max	Mean	Min	Max
Assembly	Deletions	21,509	14,775	32,112	21.9	12.8	33.4
	Insertions	20,712	12,686	28,262	12.2	8.6	17.7
	Inversions	68	19	210	17.4	0.0	160.0
	Duplications	18	7	34	4.6	0.0	44.8
Long-read	Deletions	28,148	16,021	39,021	12.4	5.3	16.9
	Insertions	30,798	25,992	45,520	26.1	12.9	102.6
	Inversions	205	86	289	13.8	0.5	147.9
	Duplications	26	7	45	2.1	0.2	53.9
Short-read	Deletions	20,121	12,634	24,369	12.2	12.8	33.4
	Insertions	6,019	732	9,361	12.2	8.6	17.7
	Inversions	92	4	114	17.4	0.0	160.0
	Duplications	232	110	319	4.6	0.0	44.8
Short-read + Paragraph	Deletions	25,525	15,542	36,853	14.0	6.1	20.7
	Insertions	23,730	9,646	40,930	9.9	4.9	14.4
	Inversions	92	4	114	111.0	9.1	167.9
	Duplications	234	110	323	1.3	0.5	2.0

Table 1 - Summary statistics of quantified SVs and impacted base pairs in 12 canine samples by
modality

Figures

Figure 1 – Overview of SV detection in canines

The flowchart describes integrative analysis and detection of structural variants using genome assembly,
long-read, and short-read data from 12 samples. Additionally, genotyping of structural variants detected
using long-reads in the Dog10K repository is depicted. All variants were identified relative to the
UU_Cfam_GSD_1.0_ROSY genome assembly. Created in Lucidchart www.lucidchart.com.

Figure 2 – Global quantification of deletions and insertions using different data types

Panel A plots the number of deletions and insertions found across the 12 samples and four different datatypes: assembly, long-read, short-read, and short-read+paragraph. Panel B displays the summation of the total impacted basepairs. Panel C plots the distribution of SV lengths. The fill color of each bar denotes datatype origin as noted in legend.

Figure 3 – Genotyping with paragraph enables increased detection of deletion and insertion variants using short-read data

The number and size of structural variants detected using short-read data only (Manta) and that can be genotyped using paragraph is shown. The first panel denotes the increased number of variants that can be detected when genotyping with paragraph. The pink bars display SVs found in both methods, and grey shows additional SVs detected from paragraph. The second panel shows the length distribution of detected SVs, illustrating the ability to genotype long insertions when using Paragraph.

521
522 **Figure 4 – Concordance of SV detection between datatypes**

523 Scatter plots depict the fractional quantification of detected SVs when comparing different datatypes
524 (e.g., long-read vs. assembly, long-read vs. short-read, etc.). Each panel depicts a specific comparison
525 with the axis quantifying the fraction shared. The shape of each point denotes the sample and color
526 denotes SV type: deletions in red and insertions in blue.

Figure 5 – Detection of SINEs and LINEs from SV data

All deletions and insertions, regardless of origin datatype, were scanned for the presence of repeat
sequences via blastn using canine and ancestral SINE and LINE sequences from RepBase. To identify
those SVs comprised of a singular repeat, SVs were only included with at least 95% of the length
constituting a repeat sequence. The left panel denotes the distribution of SV lengths of all deletions and
insertions. The right panel quantifies the number of detected SVs by repeat sequence name and/or
family.

Figure 6 – Census of dimorphic full-length LINE-1s with intact open reading frames

SVs corresponding to full-length LINE-1 sequences were extracted and scanned for intact open reading frames (>=99% sequence similarity) and quantified by either deletion or insertion for each sample. The stacked barplot lists deleted LINE-1s in red, and inserted LINE-1s in blue.

Figure 7 – Genotyping SVs identified using longreads in the Dog10K sample collection

Box plots depict the number of deletions and insertions genotyped across the Dog10K collection using the Manta and Manta+Paragraph approaches. The data are plotted by canine category (Breed Dogs, Village Dogs, and Wolves), as noted along the x-axis. The tabulation of the original Manta SVs is in blue, while Manta+Paragraph is in yellow.

Figure 8 – Structural variants with signatures of selection detected by Ohana with five ancestral components

Left vertical panel plots the population structure for the nine dog-breed/clade group with *K*=5 ancestral components based on the SNP data. Manhattan plots depict selection scans for SVs in each of the five ancestral components, exterior border color corresponds to color of each ancestral component, horizontal dashed red-line denotes Bonferroni threshold. The bottom network tree depicts the inferred relationships among the ancestral components from the SNP data, labeled with the breeds in which each component is maximized, filled color corresponding to both the Manhattan plot border and population structure.

Figure 9 – Structural variants with signals of selection

The output of Ohana, combining SNP and SV data, for three examples in their specific genomic context across the included canine breed/clade categories is depicted. Each panel consists of three sub panels: the top displaying the genomic coordinates along the x-axis and the significance along the y-axis reported by Ohana, the middle displays the relevant gene model within the region, with thick boxes denoting the position of exons and the position of most significant SV given in red, the bottom displays the allele frequency by breed or geographic location for the most significant SV. For each top panel, the color denotes the canine grouping and shape delineating variant type. Within the bottom allele frequency panel, the red colored dots correspond to the clade identified via Ohana for the associated SV selection signal. Ohana output can be found in Supplemental Table S7, and allele frequencies in Supplemental Table S8.

References

ABYZOV, A. & GERSTEIN, M. 2011. AGE: defining breakpoints of genomic structural variants at single-
nucleotide resolution, through optimal alignments with gap excision. *Bioinformatics,* 27, 595-
603.

AGANEZOV, S., YAN, S. M., SOTO, D. C., KIRSCHE, M., ZARATE, S., AVDEYEV, P., TAYLOR, D. J., SHAFIN, K.,
SHUMATE, A., XIAO, C., WAGNER, J., MCDANIEL, J., OLSON, N. D., SAURIA, M. E. G., VOLLGER, M.
R., RHIE, A., MEREDITH, M., MARTIN, S., LEE, J., KOREN, S., ROSENFELD, J. A., PATEN, B., LAYER,
R., CHIN, C. S., SEDLAZECK, F. J., HANSEN, N. F., MILLER, D. E., PHILLIPPY, A. M., MIGA, K. H.,
MCCOY, R. C., DENNIS, M. Y., ZOOK, J. M. & SCHATZ, M. C. 2022. A complete reference genome
improves analysis of human genetic variation. *Science,* 376, eabl3533.

AMARASINGHE, S. L., SU, S., DONG, X., ZAPPIA, L., RITCHIE, M. E. & GOUIL, Q. 2020. Opportunities and
challenges in long-read sequencing data analysis. *Genome Biol,* 21, 30.

ANTKOWIAK, M. & SZYDLOWSKI, M. 2023. Uncovering structural variants associated with body weight
and obesity risk in labrador retrievers: a genome-wide study. *Front Genet,* 14, 1235821.

AXELSSON, E., RATNAKUMAR, A., ARENDT, M. L., MAQBOOL, K., WEBSTER, M. T., PERLOSKI, M., LIBERG,
O., ARNEMO, J. M., HEDHAMMAR, A. & LINDBLAD-TOH, K. 2013. The genomic signature of dog
domestication reveals adaptation to a starch-rich diet. *Nature,* 495, 360-4.

BAO, W., KOJIMA, K. K. & KOHANY, O. 2015. Repbase Update, a database of repetitive elements in
eukaryotic genomes. *Mob DNA,* 6, 11.

BECK, C. R., GARCIA-PEREZ, J. L., BADGE, R. M. & MORAN, J. V. 2011. LINE-1 elements in structural
variation and disease. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet,* 12, 187-215.

BELL, L. & HESKETH, S. 2021. Mastiff breed mortality: A study of owner experience, dog age and
longevity. *Vet Anim Sci,* 13, 100194.

BENSON, K. F., LI, F. Q., PERSON, R. E., ALBANI, D., DUAN, Z., WECHSLER, J., MEADE-WHITE, K.,
WILLIAMS, K., ACLAND, G. M., NIEMEYER, G., LOTHROP, C. D. & HORWITZ, M. 2003. Mutations
associated with neutropenia in dogs and humans disrupt intracellular transport of neutrophil
elastase. *Nat Genet,* 35, 90-6.

BETANCOR, G. 2023. You Shall Not Pass: MX2 Proteins Are Versatile Viral Inhibitors. *Vaccines (Basel),* 11.

BOLORMAA, S., SWAN, A. A., STOTHARD, P., KHANSEFID, M., MOGHADDAR, N., DUIJVESTEIJN, N., VAN
DER WERF, J. H. J., DAETWYLER, H. D. & MACLEOD, I. M. 2021. A conditional multi-trait
sequence GWAS discovers pleiotropic candidate genes and variants for sheep wool, skin wrinkle
and breech cover traits. *Genet Sel Evol,* 53, 58.

BOYKO, A. R. 2011. The domestic dog: man's best friend in the genomic era. *Genome Biol,* 12, 216.

CALAME, D. G., BAKHTIARI, S., LOGAN, R., COBAN-AKDEMIR, Z., DU, H., MITANI, T., FATIH, J. M.,
HUNTER, J. V., HERMAN, I., PEHLIVAN, D., JHANGIANI, S. N., PERSON, R., SCHNUR, R. E., JIN, S.
C., BILGUVAR, K., POSEY, J. E., KOH, S., FIROUZABADI, S. G., ALEHABIB, E., TAFAKHORI, A.,
ESMKHANI, S., GIBBS, R. A., NOURELDEEN, M. M., ZAKI, M. S., MARAFI, D., DARVISH, H., KRUER,
M. C. & LUPSKI, J. R. 2021. Biallelic loss-of-function variants in the splicing regulator NSRP1 cause
a severe neurodevelopmental disorder with spastic cerebral palsy and epilepsy. *Genet Med,* 23,
2455-2460.

CHEN, S., KRUSCHE, P., DOLZHENKO, E., SHERMAN, R. M., PETROVSKI, R., SCHLESINGER, F., KIRSCHE, M.,
BENTLEY, D. R., SCHATZ, M. C., SEDLAZECK, F. J. & EBERLE, M. A. 2019. Paragraph: a graph-based
structural variant genotyper for short-read sequence data. *Genome Biol,* 20, 291.

CHEN, X., SCHULZ-TRIEGLAFF, O., SHAW, R., BARNES, B., SCHLESINGER, F., KALLBERG, M., COX, A. J.,
KRUGLYAK, S. & SAUNDERS, C. T. 2016. Manta: rapid detection of structural variants and indels
for germline and cancer sequencing applications. *Bioinformatics,* 32, 1220-2.

CHENG, J. Y., STERN, A. J., RACIMO, F. & NIELSEN, R. 2022. Detecting Selection in Multiple Populations by
Modeling Ancestral Admixture Components. *Mol Biol Evol,* 39.
CINGOLANI, P., PLATTS, A., WANG LE, L., COON, M., NGUYEN, T., WANG, L., LAND, S. J., LU, X. & RUDEN,
D. M. 2012. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide
polymorphisms, SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2;
iso-3. *Fly (Austin),* 6, 80-92.
CORDAUX, R. & BATZER, M. A. 2009. The impact of retrotransposons on human genome evolution. *Nat*
Rev Genet, 10, 691-703.
DANECEK, P., BONFIELD, J. K., LIDDLE, J., MARSHALL, J., OHAN, V., POLLARD, M. O., WHITWHAM, A.,
KEANE, T., MCCARTHY, S. A., DAVIES, R. M. & LI, H. 2021. Twelve years of SAMtools and
BCFtools. *Gigascience,* 10.
DEPRISTO, M. A., BANKS, E., POPLIN, R., GARIMELLA, K. V., MAGUIRE, J. R., HARTL, C., PHILIPPAKIS, A. A.,
DEL ANGEL, G., RIVAS, M. A., HANNA, M., MCKENNA, A., FENNELL, T. J., KERNYTSKY, A. M.,
SIVACHENKO, A. Y., CIBULSKIS, K., GABRIEL, S. B., ALTSHULER, D. & DALY, M. J. 2011. A
framework for variation discovery and genotyping using next-generation DNA sequencing data.
Nat Genet, 43, 491-8.
DESSAU, R. B. & PIPPER, C. B. 2008. ["R"--project for statistical computing]. *Ugeskr Laeger,* 170, 328-30.
DREGER, D. L., RIMBAULT, M., DAVIS, B. W., BHATNAGAR, A., PARKER, H. G. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2016.
Whole-genome sequence, SNP chips and pedigree structure: building demographic profiles in
domestic dog breeds to optimize genetic-trait mapping. *Dis Model Mech,* 9, 1445-1460.
DYER, S. C., AUSTINE-ORIMOLOYE, O., AZOV, A. G., BARBA, M., BARNES, I., BARRERA-ENRIQUEZ, V. P.,
BECKER, A., BENNETT, R., BERACOCHEA, M., BERRY, A., BHAI, J., BHURJI, S. K., BODDU, S.,
BRANCO LINS, P. R., BROOKS, L., RAMARAJU, S. B., CAMPBELL, L. I., MARTINEZ, M. C.,
CHARKHCHI, M., CORTES, L. A., DAVIDSON, C., DENNI, S., DODIYA, K., DONALDSON, S., EL
HOUDAIGUI, B., EL NABOULSI, T., FALOLA, O., FATIMA, R., GENEZ, T., MARTINEZ, J. G., GURBICH,
T., HARDY, M., HOLLIS, Z., HUNT, T., KAY, M., KAYKALA, V., LEMOS, D., LODHA, D., MATHLOUTHI,
N., MERINO, G. A., MERRITT, R., MIRABUENO, L. P., MUSHTAQ, A., HOSSAIN, S. N., PEREZ-SILVA,
J. G., PERRY, M., PILIZOTA, I., POPPLETON, D., PROSOVETSKAIA, I., RAJ, S., SALAM, A. I. A.,
SARAF, S., SARAIVA-AGOSTINHO, N., SINHA, S., SIPOS, B., SITNIK, V., STEED, E., SUNER, M. M.,
SURAPANENI, L., SUTINEN, K., TRICOMI, F. F., TSANG, I., URBINA-GOMEZ, D., VEIDENBERG, A.,
WALSH, T. A., WILLHOFT, N. L., ALLEN, J., ALVAREZ-JARRETA, J., CHAKIACHVILI, M., CHEEMA, J.,
DA ROCHA, J. B., DE SILVA, N. H., GIORGETTI, S., HAGGERTY, L., ILSLEY, G. R., KEATLEY, J.,
LOVELAND, J. E., MOORE, B., MUDGE, J. M., NAAMATI, G., TATE, J., TREVANION, S. J.,
WINTERBOTTOM, A., FLINT, B., FRANKISH, A., HUNT, S. E., FINN, R. D., FREEBERG, M. A.,
HARRISON, P. W., MARTIN, F. J. & YATES, A. D. 2025. Ensembl 2025. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 53, D948-
D957.
EDMUNDS, G. L., SMALLEY, M. J., BECK, S., ERRINGTON, R. J., GOULD, S., WINTER, H., BRODBELT, D. C. &
O'NEILL, D. G. 2021. Dog breeds and body conformations with predisposition to osteosarcoma in
the UK: a case-control study. *Canine Med Genet,* 8, 2.
EDWARDS, R. J., FIELD, M. A., FERGUSON, J. M., DUDCHENKO, O., KEILWAGEN, J., ROSEN, B. D.,
JOHNSON, G. S., RICE, E. S., HILLIER, D., HAMMOND, J. M., TOWARNICKI, S. G., OMER, A., KHAN,
R., SKVORTSOVA, K., BOGDANOVIC, O., ZAMMIT, R. A., AIDEN, E. L., WARREN, W. C. & BALLARD,
J. W. O. 2021. Chromosome-length genome assembly and structural variations of the primal
Basenji dog (Canis lupus familiaris) genome. *BMC Genomics,* 22, 188.
EGGERTSSON, H. P., KRISTMUNDSDOTTIR, S., BEYTER, D., JONSSON, H., SKULADOTTIR, A., HARDARSON,
M. T., GUDBJARTSSON, D. F., STEFANSSON, K., HALLDORSSON, B. V. & MELSTED, P. 2019.
GraphTyper2 enables population-scale genotyping of structural variation using pangenome
graphs. *Nat Commun,* 10, 5402.

ESCARAMIS, G., DOCAMPO, E. & RABIONET, R. 2015. A decade of structural variants: description, history
and methods to detect structural variation. *Brief Funct Genomics,* 14**,** 305-14.
FIELD, M. A., ROSEN, B. D., DUDCHENKO, O., CHAN, E. K. F., MINOCHE, A. E., EDWARDS, R. J., BARTON,
K., LYONS, R. J., TUIPULOTU, D. E., HAYES, V. M., A, D. O., COLARIC, Z., KEILWAGEN, J.,
SKVORTSOVA, K., BOGDANOVIC, O., SMITH, M. A., AIDEN, E. L., SMITH, T. P. L., ZAMMIT, R. A. &
BALLARD, J. W. O. 2020. Canfam_GSD: De novo chromosome-length genome assembly of the
German Shepherd Dog (Canis lupus familiaris) using a combination of long reads, optical
mapping, and Hi-C. *Gigascience,* 9.
FIELD, M. A., YADAV, S., DUDCHENKO, O., ESVARAN, M., ROSEN, B. D., SKVORTSOVA, K., EDWARDS, R. J.,
KEILWAGEN, J., COCHRAN, B. J., MANANDHAR, B., BUSTAMANTE, S., RASMUSSEN, J. A., MELVIN,
R. G., CHERNOFF, B., OMER, A., COLARIC, Z., CHAN, E. K. F., MINOCHE, A. E., SMITH, T. P. L.,
GILBERT, M. T. P., BOGDANOVIC, O., ZAMMIT, R. A., THOMAS, T., AIDEN, E. L. & BALLARD, J. W.
O. 2022. The Australian dingo is an early offshoot of modern breed dogs. *Sci Adv,* 8**,** eabm5944.
FLEMING, J. M., CREEVY, K. E. & PROMISLOW, D. E. 2011. Mortality in north american dogs from 1984 to
2004: an investigation into age-, size-, and breed-related causes of death. *J Vet Intern Med,* 25**,**
187-98.
FREEDMAN, A. H., SCHWEIZER, R. M., ORTEGA-DEL VECCHYO, D., HAN, E., DAVIS, B. W., GRONAU, I.,
SILVA, P. M., GALAVERNI, M., FAN, Z., MARX, P., LORENTE-GALDOS, B., RAMIREZ, O.,
HORMOZDIARI, F., ALKAN, C., VILA, C., SQUIRE, K., GEFFEN, E., KUSAK, J., BOYKO, A. R., PARKER,
H. G., LEE, C., TADIGOTLA, V., SIEPEL, A., BUSTAMANTE, C. D., HARKINS, T. T., NELSON, S. F.,
MARQUES-BONET, T., OSTRANDER, E. A., WAYNE, R. K. & NOVEMBRE, J. 2016. Demographically-
Based Evaluation of Genomic Regions under Selection in Domestic Dogs. *PLoS Genet,* 12**,**
e1005851.
GENOMES PROJECT, C., AUTON, A., BROOKS, L. D., DURBIN, R. M., GARRISON, E. P., KANG, H. M.,
KORBEL, J. O., MARCHINI, J. L., MCCARTHY, S., MCVEAN, G. A. & ABECASIS, G. R. 2015. A global
reference for human genetic variation. *Nature,* 526**,** 68-74.
GU, Z., EILS, R. & SCHLESNER, M. 2016. Complex heatmaps reveal patterns and correlations in
multidimensional genomic data. *Bioinformatics,* 32**,** 2847-9.
HALLER, O., ARNHEITER, H., PAVLOVIC, J. & STAEHELI, P. 2018. The Discovery of the Antiviral Resistance
Gene Mx: A Story of Great Ideas, Great Failures, and Some Success. *Annu Rev Virol,* 5**,** 33-51.
HALO, J. V., PENDLETON, A. L., SHEN, F., DOUCET, A. J., DERRIEN, T., HITTE, C., KIRBY, L. E., MYERS, B.,
SLIWERSKA, E., EMERY, S., MORAN, J. V., BOYKO, A. R. & KIDD, J. M. 2021. Long-read assembly
of a Great Dane genome highlights the contribution of GC-rich sequence and mobile elements to
canine genomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A,* 118.
HANCKS, D. C. & KAZAZIAN, H. H., JR. 2016. Roles for retrotransposon insertions in human disease. *Mob*
DNA, 7**,** 9.
HELLER, D. & VINGRON, M. 2021. SVIM-asm: structural variant detection from haploid and diploid
genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics,* 36**,** 5519-5521.
HITTI-MALIN, R. J., BURMEISTER, L. M., RICKETTS, S. L., LEWIS, T. W., PETTITT, L., BOURSNELL, M.,
SCHOFIELD, E. C., SARGAN, D. & MELLERSH, C. S. 2020. A LINE-1 insertion situated in the
promoter of IMPG2 is associated with autosomal recessive progressive retinal atrophy in Lhasa
Apso dogs. *BMC Genet,* 21**,** 100.
HO, S. S., URBAN, A. E. & MILLS, R. E. 2020. Structural variation in the sequencing era. *Nat Rev Genet,* 21**,**
171-189.
HUJOEL, M. L. A., SHERMAN, M. A., BARTON, A. R., MUKAMEL, R. E., SANKARAN, V. G., TERAO, C. & LOH,
P. R. 2022. Influences of rare copy-number variation on human complex traits. *Cell,* 185**,** 4233-
4248 e27.

JAGANNATHAN, V., HITTE, C., KIDD, J. M., MASTERSON, P., MURPHY, T. D., EMERY, S., DAVIS, B.,
BUCKLEY, R. M., LIU, Y. H., ZHANG, X. Q., LEEB, T., ZHANG, Y. P., OSTRANDER, E. A. & WANG, G.
D. 2021. Dog10K_Boxer_Tasha_1.0: A Long-Read Assembly of the Dog Reference Genome.
Genes (Basel), 12.
JEFFARES, D. C., JOLLY, C., HOTI, M., SPEED, D., SHAW, L., RALLIS, C., BALLOUX, F., DESSIMOZ, C.,
BAHLER, J. & SEDLAZECK, F. J. 2017. Transient structural variations have strong effects on
quantitative traits and reproductive isolation in fission yeast. *Nat Commun,* 8, 14061.
JURALEVICIUTE, M., POZNIAK, J., NSENGIMANA, J., HARLAND, M., RANDERSON-MOOR, J., WERNHOFF,
P., BASSAROVA, A., OY, G. F., TROEN, G., FLORENES, V. A., BISHOP, D. T., HERLYN, M., NEWTON-
BISHOP, J. & SLIPICEVIC, A. 2020. MX 2 is a novel regulator of cell cycle in melanoma cells.
Pigment Cell Melanoma Res, 33, 446-457.
KAMITAKI, N., HUJOEL, M. L. A., MUKAMEL, R. E., GEBARA, E., MCCARROLL, S. A. & LOH, P. R. 2024. A
sequence of SVA retrotransposon insertions in ASIP shaped human pigmentation. *Nat Genet,* 56,
1583-1591.
KARLSSON, E. K. & LINDBLAD-TOH, K. 2008. Leader of the pack: gene mapping in dogs and other model
organisms. *Nat Rev Genet,* 9, 713-25.
KAZAZIAN, H. H., JR. & MORAN, J. V. 2017. Mobile DNA in Health and Disease. *N Engl J Med,* 377, 361-
370.
KIM, Y. D., LEE, J. Y., OH, K. M., ARAKI, M., ARAKI, K., YAMAMURA, K. & JUN, C. D. 2011. NSrp70 is a
novel nuclear speckle-related protein that modulates alternative pre-mRNA splicing in vivo.
Nucleic Acids Res, 39, 4300-14.
KOSUGI, S., MOMOZAWA, Y., LIU, X., TERAO, C., KUBO, M. & KAMATANI, Y. 2019. Comprehensive
evaluation of structural variation detection algorithms for whole genome sequencing. *Genome
Biol,* 20, 117.
LADUNGA, I. 2017. Finding Homologs in Amino Acid Sequences Using Network BLAST Searches. *Curr
Protoc Bioinformatics,* 59, 3 4 1-3 4 24.
LANGEVIN, M., SYNKOVA, H., JANCUSKOVA, T. & PEKOVA, S. 2018. Merle phenotypes in dogs - SILV SINE
insertions from Mc to Mh. *PLoS One,* 13, e0198536.
LEMAY, M. A., SIBBESEN, J. A., TORKAMANEH, D., HAMEL, J., LEVESQUE, R. C. & BELZILE, F. 2022.
Combined use of Oxford Nanopore and Illumina sequencing yields insights into soybean
structural variation biology. *BMC Biol,* 20, 53.
LEVIN, H. L. & MORAN, J. V. 2011. Dynamic interactions between transposable elements and their hosts.
Nat Rev Genet, 12, 615-27.
LI, H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. Available:
https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2013arXiv1303.3997L [Accessed March 01, 2013].
LI, H. 2018. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics,* 34, 3094-3100.
LI, H., HANDSAKER, B., WYSOKER, A., FENNELL, T., RUAN, J., HOMER, N., MARTH, G., ABECASIS, G.,
DURBIN, R. & GENOME PROJECT DATA PROCESSING, S. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map
format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics,* 25, 2078-9.
LI, J., WANG, P., XIE, Z., WANG, S., CEN, S., LI, M., LIU, W., TANG, S., YE, G., ZHENG, G., SU, H., MA, M.,
WU, X., WU, Y. & SHEN, H. 2019. TRAF4 positively regulates the osteogenic differentiation of
mesenchymal stem cells by acting as an E3 ubiquitin ligase to degrade Smurf2. *Cell Death Differ,*
26, 2652-2666.
LIU, L., WANG, W., LIU, W., LI, X., YI, G., ADETULA, A. A., HUANG, H. & TANG, Z. 2024. Comprehensive
Atlas of Alternative Splicing Reveals NSRP1 Promoting Adipogenesis through CCDC18. *Int J Mol
Sci,* 25.
MAHMOUD, M., GOBET, N., CRUZ-DAVALOS, D. I., MOUNIER, N., DESSIMOZ, C. & SEDLAZECK, F. J. 2019.
Structural variant calling: the long and the short of it. *Genome Biol,* 20, 246.

MARX, V. 2023. Method of the year: long-read sequencing. *Nat Methods,* 20, 6-11.

MAURI, N., KLEITER, M., DIETSCHI, E., LESCHNIK, M., HOGLER, S., WIEDMER, M., DIETRICH, J., HENKE, D.,
STEFFEN, F., SCHULLER, S., GURTNER, C., STOKAR-REGENSCHEIT, N., O'TOOLE, D., BILZER, T.,
HERDEN, C., OEVERMANN, A., JAGANNATHAN, V. & LEEB, T. 2017. A SINE Insertion in ATP1B2 in
Belgian Shepherd Dogs Affected by Spongy Degeneration with Cerebellar Ataxia (SDCA2). *G3*
(Bethesda), 7, 2729-2737.

MCGRAW, R. A. & CARMICHAEL, K. P. 2006. Molecular basis of globoid cell leukodystrophy in Irish
setters. *Vet J,* 171, 370-2.

MEADOWS, J. R. S., KIDD, J. M., WANG, G. D., PARKER, H. G., SCHALL, P. Z., BIANCHI, M., CHRISTMAS, M.
J., BOUGIOURI, K., BUCKLEY, R. M., HITTE, C., NGUYEN, A. K., WANG, C., JAGANNATHAN, V.,
NISKANEN, J. E., FRANTZ, L. A. F., ARUMILLI, M., HUNDI, S., LINDBLAD-TOH, K., GINJA, C.,
AGUSTINA, K. K., ANDRE, C., BOYKO, A. R., DAVIS, B. W., DROGEMULLER, M., FENG, X. Y.,
GKAGKAVOUZIS, K., ILIOPOULOS, G., HARRIS, A. C., HYTONEN, M. K., KALTHOFF, D. C., LIU, Y. H.,
LYMBERAKIS, P., POULAKAKIS, N., PIRES, A. E., RACIMO, F., RAMOS-ALMODOVAR, F.,
SAVOLAINEN, P., VENETSANI, S., TAMMEN, I., TRIANTAFYLLIDIS, A., VONHOLDT, B., WAYNE, R.
K., LARSON, G., NICHOLAS, F. W., LOHI, H., LEEB, T., ZHANG, Y. P. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2023.
Genome sequencing of 2000 canids by the Dog10K consortium advances the understanding of
demography, genome function and architecture. *Genome Biol,* 24, 187.

MEYER, L. R., ZWEIG, A. S., HINRICHS, A. S., KAROLCHIK, D., KUHN, R. M., WONG, M., SLOAN, C. A.,
ROSENBLOOM, K. R., ROE, G., RHEAD, B., RANEY, B. J., POHL, A., MALLADI, V. S., LI, C. H., LEE, B.
T., LEARNED, K., KIRKUP, V., HSU, F., HEITNER, S., HARTE, R. A., HAEUSSLER, M., GURUVADOO,
L., GOLDMAN, M., GIARDINE, B. M., FUJITA, P. A., DRESZER, T. R., DIEKHANS, M., CLINE, M. S.,
CLAWSON, H., BARBER, G. P., HAUSSLER, D. & KENT, W. J. 2013. The UCSC Genome Browser
database: extensions and updates 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res,* 41, D64-9.

MILLER, A. D., MILLER, C. R. & ROSSMEISL, J. H. 2019. Canine Primary Intracranial Cancer: A
Clinicopathologic and Comparative Review of Glioma, Meningioma, and Choroid Plexus Tumors.
Front Oncol, 9, 1151.

PANG, A. W., MACDONALD, J. R., PINTO, D., WEI, J., RAFIQ, M. A., CONRAD, D. F., PARK, H., HURLES, M.
E., LEE, C., VENTER, J. C., KIRKNESS, E. F., LEVY, S., FEUK, L. & SCHERER, S. W. 2010. Towards a
comprehensive structural variation map of an individual human genome. *Genome Biol,* 11, R52.

PARKER, H. G., KUKEKOVA, A. V., AKEY, D. T., GOLDSTEIN, O., KIRKNESS, E. F., BAYSAC, K. C., MOSHER, D.
S., AGUIRRE, G. D., ACLAND, G. M. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2007. Breed relationships facilitate fine-
mapping studies: a 7.8-kb deletion cosegregates with Collie eye anomaly across multiple dog
breeds. *Genome Res,* 17, 1562-71.

PARKER, H. G., VONHOLDT, B. M., QUIGNON, P., MARGULIES, E. H., SHAO, S., MOSHER, D. S., SPADY, T.
C., ELKAHLOUN, A., CARGILL, M., JONES, P. G., MASLEN, C. L., ACLAND, G. M., SUTTER, N. B.,
KUROKI, K., BUSTAMANTE, C. D., WAYNE, R. K. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2009. An expressed fgf4
retrogene is associated with breed-defining chondrodysplasia in domestic dogs. *Science,* 325,
995-8.

PLAGER, D. A., TORRES, S. M., KOCH, S. N. & KITA, H. 2012. Gene transcription abnormalities in canine
atopic dermatitis and related human eosinophilic allergic diseases. *Vet Immunol Immunopathol,*
149, 136-42.

PLASSAIS, J., KIM, J., DAVIS, B. W., KARYADI, D. M., HOGAN, A. N., HARRIS, A. C., DECKER, B., PARKER, H.
G. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2019. Whole genome sequencing of canids reveals genomic regions
under selection and variants influencing morphology. *Nat Commun,* 10, 1489.

PLAYER, R. A., FORSYTH, E. R., VERRATTI, K. J., MOHR, D. W., SCOTT, A. F. & BRADBURNE, C. E. 2021. A
novel canis lupus familiaris reference genome improves variant resolution for use in breed-
specific GWAS. *Life Sci Alliance,* 4.

REGNIER, C. H., MASSON, R., KEDINGER, V., TEXTORIS, J., STOLL, I., CHENARD, M. P., DIERICH, A.,
TOMASETTO, C. & RIO, M. C. 2002. Impaired neural tube closure, axial skeleton malformations,
and tracheal ring disruption in TRAF4-deficient mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*, 99, 5585-90.
RIMBAULT, M., BEALE, H. C., SCHOENEBECK, J. J., HOOPES, B. C., ALLEN, J. J., KILROY-GLYNN, P., WAYNE,
R. K., SUTTER, N. B. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2013. Derived variants at six genes explain nearly half of
size reduction in dog breeds. *Genome Res*, 23, 1985-95.
RUAN, J. & LI, H. 2020. Fast and accurate long-read assembly with wtdbg2. *Nat Methods*, 17, 155-158.
SALMON HILLBERTZ, N. H., ISAKSSON, M., KARLSSON, E. K., HELLMEN, E., PIELBERG, G. R., SAVOLAINEN,
P., WADE, C. M., VON EULER, H., GUSTAFSON, U., HEDHAMMAR, A., NILSSON, M., LINDBLAD-
TOH, K., ANDERSSON, L. & ANDERSSON, G. 2007. Duplication of FGF3, FGF4, FGF19 and ORAOV1
causes hair ridge and predisposition to dermoid sinus in Ridgeback dogs. *Nat Genet*, 39, 1318-
20.
SCHALL, P. Z., WINKLER, P. A., PETERSEN-JONES, S. M., YUZBASIYAN-GURKAN, V. & KIDD, J. M. 2023.
Genome-wide methylation patterns from canine nanopore assemblies. *G3 (Bethesda)*, 13.
SCHLAMP, F., VAN DER MADE, J., STAMBLER, R., CHESEBROUGH, L., BOYKO, A. R. & MESSER, P. W. 2016.
Evaluating the performance of selection scans to detect selective sweeps in domestic dogs. *Mol*
Ecol, 25, 342-56.
SHEARIN, A. L. & OSTRANDER, E. A. 2010. Canine morphology: hunting for genes and tracking mutations.
PLoS Biol, 8, e1000310.
SINDING, M. S., GOPALAKRISHNAN, S., RAUNDRUP, K., DALEN, L., THRELFALL, J., DARWIN TREE OF LIFE
BARCODING, C., WELLCOME SANGER INSTITUTE TREE OF LIFE, P., WELLCOME SANGER
INSTITUTE SCIENTIFIC OPERATIONS, D. N. A. P. C., TREE OF LIFE CORE INFORMATICS, C., DARWIN
TREE OF LIFE, C. & GILBERT, T. 2021. The genome sequence of the grey wolf, Canis lupus
Linnaeus 1758. *Wellcome Open Res*, 6, 310.
SMOLKA, M., PAULIN, L. F., GROCHOWSKI, C. M., HORNER, D. W., MAHMOUD, M., BEHERA, S., KALEF-
EZRA, E., GANDHI, M., HONG, K., PEHLIVAN, D., SCHOLZ, S. W., CARVALHO, C. M. B., PROUKAKIS,
C. & SEDLAZECK, F. J. 2024. Detection of mosaic and population-level structural variants with
Sniffles2. *Nat Biotechnol*, 42, 1571-1580.
TRUVE, K., DICKINSON, P., XIONG, A., YORK, D., JAYASHANKAR, K., PIELBERG, G., KOLTOOKIAN, M.,
MUREN, E., FUXELIUS, H. H., WEISHAUPT, H., SWARTLING, F. J., ANDERSSON, G., HEDHAMMAR,
A., BONGCAM-RUDLOFF, E., FORSBERG-NILSSON, K., BANNASCH, D. & LINDBLAD-TOH, K. 2016.
Utilizing the Dog Genome in the Search for Novel Candidate Genes Involved in Glioma
Development-Genome Wide Association Mapping followed by Targeted Massive Parallel
Sequencing Identifies a Strongly Associated Locus. *PLoS Genet*, 12, e1006000.
VAN POUCKE, M., LEDEGANCK, L., GUO, L. T., SHELTON, G. D., BHATTI, S. F. M., CORNELIS, I. & PEELMAN,
L. 2024. Exonisation of an intronic L1 element in the dystrophin gene associated with X-linked
muscular dystrophy in a Border Collie dog. *Anim Genet*, 55, 733-743.
VAYSSE, A., RATNAKUMAR, A., DERRIEN, T., AXELSSON, E., ROSENGREN PIELBERG, G., SIGURDSSON, S.,
FALL, T., SEPPALA, E. H., HANSEN, M. S., LAWLEY, C. T., KARLSSON, E. K., CONSORTIUM, L.,
BANNASCH, D., VILA, C., LOHI, H., GALIBERT, F., FREDHOLM, M., HAGGSTROM, J., HEDHAMMAR,
A., ANDRE, C., LINDBLAD-TOH, K., HITTE, C. & WEBSTER, M. T. 2011. Identification of genomic
regions associated with phenotypic variation between dog breeds using selection mapping. *PLoS*
Genet, 7, e1002316.
WANG, C., WALLERMAN, O., ARENDT, M. L., SUNDSTROM, E., KARLSSON, A., NORDIN, J., MAKELAINEN,
S., PIELBERG, G. R., HANSON, J., OHLSSON, A., SAELLSTROM, S., RONNBERG, H., LJUNGVALL, I.,
HAGGSTROM, J., BERGSTROM, T. F., HEDHAMMAR, A., MEADOWS, J. R. S. & LINDBLAD-TOH, K.
2021. A novel canine reference genome resolves genomic architecture and uncovers transcript
complexity. *Commun Biol*, 4, 185.

WANG, W. & KIRKNESS, E. F. 2005. Short interspersed elements (SINEs) are a major source of canine
genomic diversity. *Genome Res,* 15**,** 1798-808.
WEBSTER, M. T., KAMGARI, N., PERLOSKI, M., HOEPPNER, M. P., AXELSSON, E., HEDHAMMAR, A.,
PIELBERG, G. & LINDBLAD-TOH, K. 2015. Linked genetic variants on chromosome 10 control ear
morphology and body mass among dog breeds. *BMC Genomics,* 16**,** 474.
XIA, B., ZHANG, W., ZHAO, G., ZHANG, X., BAI, J., BROSH, R., WUDZINSKA, A., HUANG, E., ASHE, H., ELLIS,
G., POUR, M., ZHAO, Y., COELHO, C., ZHU, Y., MILLER, A., DASEN, J. S., MAURANO, M. T., KIM, S.
Y., BOEKE, J. D. & YANAI, I. 2024. On the genetic basis of tail-loss evolution in humans and apes.
Nature, 626**,** 1042-1048.
YAN, S. M., SHERMAN, R. M., TAYLOR, D. J., NAIR, D. R., BORTVIN, A. N., SCHATZ, M. C. & MCCOY, R. C.
2021. Local adaptation and archaic introgression shape global diversity at human structural
variant loci. *Elife,* 10.
YANG, Q., WU, P., WANG, K., CHEN, D., ZHOU, J., MA, J., LI, M., XIAO, W., JIANG, A., JIANG, Y., BAI, L.,
ZHU, L., LI, X. & TANG, G. 2019. SNPs associated with body weight and backfat thickness in two
pig breeds identified by a genome-wide association study. *Genomics,* 111**,** 1583-1589.
YAO, W., WANG, X., CAI, Q., GAO, S., WANG, J. & ZHANG, P. 2014a. Knockdown of TRAF4 expression
suppresses osteosarcoma cell growth in vitro and in vivo. *Int J Mol Med,* 34**,** 1655-60.
YAO, W., WANG, X., CAI, Q., GAO, S., WANG, J. & ZHANG, P. 2014b. TRAF4 enhances osteosarcoma cell
proliferation and invasion by Akt signaling pathway. *Oncol Res,* 22**,** 21-28.