Frequency-Specific Resting-State MEG Network Characteristics of Tinnitus Patients Revealed by Graph Learning

Payam S. Shabestari[1], Harry H. Behjat[2,3], Dimitri Van De Ville[3,4], Christopher R. Cederroth[5,6]

Niklas K. Edvall[5], Adrian Naas[7,8], Tobias Kleinjung[1] and Patrick Neff[1,9]

[1] Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University Hospital Zurich, University of Zurich, Switzerland

[2] Department of Clinical Sciences Malmö, Lund University, Lund, Sweden

[3] Neuro-X Institute, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Campus Biotech, Geneva, Switzerland

[4] Department of Radiology and Medical Informatics, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

[5] Laboratory of Translational Auditory Neuroscience, Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

[6] Translational Hearing Research, Tübingen Hearing Research Center, Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

[7] Bern University of Applied Sciences, Business School, Institute New Work, Bern, Switzerland

[8] University of Fribourg/Freiburg, Department of Psychology, Fribourg/Freiburg, Switzerland

[9] Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Regensburg, Germany

Keywords: Brain functional connectivity, Magnetoencephalography (MEG), Graph learning, Tinnitus, Fingerprinting

ABSTRACT

Tinnitus, the perception of sound without an external source, affects a significant portion of the population, yet its impact on brain communication diagram known as the functional connectome, remains limited. Traditional functional connectivity (FC) methods, such as Pearson correlation, phase lag index and coherence rely on pairwise comparisons and are therefore limited in providing a holistic encoding of FC. Here, we employ an alternative approach to estimate the entire connectivity structure by analyzing all time-courses simultaneously. This approach is robust even for short-duration recordings, facilitating

faster functional connectome identification and real-time applications. Using resting-state MEG

recordings from controls and individuals with tinnitus, we demonstrated that the learned connectomes

outperform correlation-based connectomes in fingerprinting, that is, identifying an individual from

test-retest acquisitions. Group-level analysis revealed distinct altered FC in tinnitus across multiple

frequency bands, affecting the default mode, auditory, visual, and salience networks, suggesting a

reorganization of these large-scale networks beyond auditory areas. Our study reveals that tinnitus

presents highly individualized and heterogeneous whole-brain connectome profiles, highlighting the need

to focus on individual variability rather than group-level differences to gain a more nuanced

understanding of tinnitus. Personalized FC could enable patient-specific tinnitus models, optimizing

treatment strategies for individualized care.

AUTHOR SUMMARY

The rapidly evolving field of brain fingerprinting has revealed that the functional architecture of each

individual's brain entails uniquely identifiable features, which are also intricately linked to diverse

behavioral traits. Leveraging graph signal processing, we reconstructed whole-brain functional

connectivity graphs from resting-state MEG recordings by jointly analyzing all electrode time courses.

We demonstrate that the resulting brain graphs surpass traditional correlation-based connectomes in

individual identification. These graph-based connectomes surpassed traditional correlation methods in

individual identification, maintaining robustness even in brief recordings, paving the way for real-time

applications. In tinnitus, connectivity patterns displayed pronounced subject-specific idiosyncrasies and

region-specific alterations. Our findings underscore the reconfiguration of brain fingerprints in clinical

populations and advocate a paradigm shift from group-level comparisons toward individualized

connectivity profiling.

1 INTRODUCTION

43 Chronic subjective tinnitus is characterized by the ongoing conscious perception of sound without

44 external acoustic sources (Baguley, McFerran, & Hall, 2013), which can develop into a more complex

45 condition known as "tinnitus disorder" associated with high levels of distress (De Ridder et al., 2021).

Affecting approximately 14.4% of the global population, tinnitus is common and often accompanied by severe burdens (Jarach et al., 2022), including comorbidities such as depression and anxiety (Pinto et al., 2014). Currently, there is no effective treatment and available approaches only address secondary symptoms like quality of life (Langguth, Kleinjung, Schlee, Vanneste, & De Ridder, 2023; McFerran, Stockdale, Holme, Large, & Baguley, 2019; Simoes et al., 2021). Tinnitus is typically considered to result from noise trauma and/or hearing loss (Biswas et al., 2023; Langguth, Kreuzer, Kleinjung, & De Ridder, 2013), leading to a lack of peripheral auditory input and subsequent maladaptive changes in the auditory pathway and central nervous system, which are believed to cause the perception of phantom sounds (Eggermont & Roberts, 2012; Eggermont & Tass, 2015; Elgoyhen, Langguth, De Ridder, & Vanneste, 2015). These pathological changes are reflected in distinctive brain activity patterns, with increased gamma and decreased alpha band activity in the auditory cortical regions, as observed by EEG and MEG studies (Ashton et al., 2007; Vanneste, Heyning, & Ridder, 2011; Weisz, Moratti, Meinzer, Dohrmann, & Elbert, 2005; Weisz, Müller, et al., 2007). Additionally, tinnitus-related changes have been observed in both global and modality-specific functional networks, which typically show increased connectivity within and between the auditory network, the default mode network, attention networks, and the visual network (Kok et al., 2022; Paraskevopoulos et al., 2019a; Sadeghi Shabestari et al., 2024; Schlee, Hartmann, Langguth, & Weisz, 2009a).

Complex cognitive functions are supported by anatomically and functionally interconnected networks of brain regions (Bressler, 1995; Goldman-Rakic, 1988; Mesulam, 1990; Young, Scanneil, Burns, & Blakemore, 1994). Functional connectivity (FC) is the most common technique to identify these networks through fMRI (Birn, 2012) or M/EEG recordings (Brookes et al., 2011). In this framework, brain regions are depicted as vertices, while statistical metrics of dependency like Pearson correlation, Phase lag index (Stam, Nolte, & Daffertshofer, 2007), or methods relying on time-resolved evaluations of cross and power spectral densities such as Coherence between regional time-courses, serve as the weights of edges. However, despite its widespread use, FC has two major shortcomings that undermine the reliability and interpretability of the networks it recovers. First, FC assumes that brain regions are functionally connected if their activities are temporally correlated. However, this correlation can occur even if the regions do not actually exchange functional information. For example, misleading correlations can arise from noise that is temporally synchronized due to physiological factors such as respiration,

heart rate, and movement (Liu, 2016). Furthermore, the magnitude of spurious correlations is influenced

by the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the brain areas involved. Regions with low SNR are particularly

susceptible to these erroneous correlations caused by temporally correlated noise. Secondly, functional

connectomes inherently offer only a pairwise view of brain dynamics, simplifying the brain into dyads.

This simplicity, restricts the exploration of unique features within human brain networks.

Finn et al. (Finn et al., 2015) demonstrated that certain FC edge weights are idiosyncratic—both

distinctive to each individual and consistent across repeated fMRI sessions. Crucially, the idiosyncratic

edges that aid in identifying individuals were also found to be the most predictive of behavioral

traits (Finn et al., 2015). These discoveries have inspired numerous studies emphasizing idiosyncratic

rather than generalizable FC patterns, leading to the emerging field of brain fingerprinting. Recently, few

studies have started to explore connectome fingerprinting across different functional neuroimaging

modalities, such as MEG (Sareen et al., 2021), expanding the applicability of this approach beyond fMRI.

We employed an alternative approach: directly inferring a sparse graph structure (Kalofolias, 2016)

from an individual's MEG data using graph signal processing (GSP) principles (Huang et al., 2018;

Ortega, Frossard, Kovačević, Moura, & Vandergheynst, 2018). This strategy aims to infer a graph where

brain maps are perceived as smooth signals, meaning most signal energy is concentrated at the lower end

of the graph spectrum. This property aligns with prior findings in brain GSP and reflects the intrinsic

organization of functional brain networks (Behjat & Larsson, 2020; Behjat, Leonardi, Sörnmo, & Van

De Ville, 2015; Behjat, Tarun, Abramian, Larsson, & Van De Ville, 2023; Glomb et al., 2020; Miri,

Abootalebi, & Behjat, 2022). This approach offers two key advantages: i) it mitigates misleading

correlations caused by temporally synchronized noise, as graph learning treats each time point as an

independent observation and ii) by leveraging all time courses simultaneously, it addresses limitations of

dyadic networks inherent in pairwise correlation methods, providing a more robust and holistic

understanding of functional brain connectivity.

We further investigated several aspects of brain connectivity in the context of tinnitus: i) The

robustness of the generated graphs when constructed using only a small fraction of the available time

samples, demonstrating the method's efficiency in data-limited scenarios. ii) A statistical group-level

comparison between healthy controls and tinnitus individuals, which highlighted significant differences

in connections between brain regions associated with auditory processing and memory. iii) The

examination of within-session brain connectivity fingerprints, showcasing the ability to identify an

individual's functional connectivity (FC) profile within a population, emphasizing the consistency of

brain connectivity patterns within individuals. iv) The analysis of the distribution of connections with the

highest fingerprint, which allowed for subject identification. This revealed significant involvement of

brain regions within key functional networks in tinnitus, namely medial posterior cingulate and

ventro-medial prefrontal cortex complexes. Our analysis revealed that whole-brain functional

connectivity patterns were reliably stable across both healthy individuals and tinnitus patients. This

robustness enabled the identification of individual patients solely based on their functional connectomes.

Besides this reliability, we observed significant differences in the spatial organization of brain

fingerprints in tinnitus patients, suggesting unique alterations in their functional connectivity patterns.

2 RESULTS

Network robustness

Figures 1.a presents an overview of the processing steps, where graph structures are inferred from brain

parcel signals across various time windows and compared to the ideal graph, which is constructed using

the entire recording. Figures 1.b and c illustrate the portrait divergence (PDiv) and the Euclidean

distance, respectively, between each learned graph and the ideal graph across different configurations of

the learning parameters σ and ρ. The portrait divergence quantifies differences between graph portraits,

representing distributions of shortest path lengths between node pairs, and was computed following the

method described in (Bagrow & Bollt, 2019). Euclidean distance was obtained by subtracting the upper

triangular elements of the adjacency matrices and calculating the 2-norm of the resulting differences. As

the number of time samples used for training increases, both the PDiv and Euclidean distance decrease,

reflecting a closer alignment with the ideal graph. This effect becomes more pronounced for higher

values of σ and ρ, demonstrating improved performance under these parameter configurations. To further

benchmark the learning method against the PLI approach, we analyzed both PDiv and Euclidean distance

within connectomes obtained using the PLI method and computed the differences by subtracting the

corresponding values derived from the graph learning (GL) method. Figures 1.d and e illustrate these

differences for the alpha and gamma frequency bands across various σ and ρ configurations. Negative

values for ΔPDiv (Figure 1.d) and ΔEuc (Figure 1.e) indicate that the GL method has a lower divergence

and distance from the ideal graph. This suggests that the GL method achieves a greater similarity to the

ideal graph in terms of both local and global structures compared to the connectomes derived using the

PLI method.

Statistical group comparison of functional networks of tinnitus and healthy controls

Group-level statistical comparisons of the graphs learned from the control and tinnitus groups revealed

significant differences in functional connectivity in specific frequency bands: theta (4–8 Hz), alpha (8–13

Hz), and gamma (30–80 Hz) (Figure 2). No significant differences were observed in the delta (0.5–4 Hz)

or beta (13–30 Hz) bands. In the theta band, significant connections were identified between the fusiform

gyrus and the banks of the superior temporal sulcus in the right hemisphere, the cuneus and the lateral

occipital cortex in the left hemisphere, and the paracentral-rh lobule and the precentral-lh gyrus. These

connections were notably weaker in individuals with tinnitus, suggesting reduced theta band connectivity

in these regions. In the alpha band, significantly different connections were observed between the

parahippocampus and the insula-lh and the superior frontal gyrus in both hemispheres. Additionally, the

lateral orbitofrontal cortex showed stronger connectivity with the transverse temporal gyrus in individuals

with tinnitus. These findings suggest that tinnitus is associated with increased alpha band connectivity in

regions related to memory and frontal processing. In the gamma band, stronger connections were

observed in the control group between the superior frontal gyrus and the frontal pole. In contrast,

individuals with tinnitus exhibited stronger connectivity between the inferior parietal cortex and the

precuneus, the inferior parietal cortex and pars orbitalis, the superior parietal cortex and the paracentral

gyrus, and the pars orbitalis and the banks of the superior temporal sulcus. These patterns suggest a

higher activity of the gamma band in patients with tinnitus in networks related to attention and sensory

processing.

Within-session functional network fingerprints

165 The identification rank of each individual using both the PLI and GL methods is presented in Figure 3.a

166 for both groups in two frequency ranges: alpha and gamma. Graph learning outperforms PLI, achieving

167 first rank for the majority of subjects, suggesting that the learning approach better captures

168 subject-specific idiosyncrasies in function. In this analysis, both the learning parameters σ and ρ were set

169 to 1. We investigated within-session brain fingerprints in two independent groups for a total of N = 41

Figure 1. Graph stability across various regularization parameter configurations and different numbers of time points used for graph learning; (**a**) Overview of processing steps: graph structures inferred from brain parcel signals across various time windows, and compared to the ideal graph learned from the entire recording. PDiv (**b**) and Euclidean distances (**c**) were calculated between each graph and the ideal graph at alpha and gamma frequency ranges. Differences in PDivs (**d**) and Euclidean distances (**e**) between PLI and GL methods; negative values indicate that the GL method results in less divergence (greater similarity) to the ideal graph compared to the PLI method.

Figure 2. (a) The significantly different edges over ROIs (i.e., between centroids of the ROIs) are shown on a semi-inflated standard brain surface (left/right

hemispheres, lateral and medial views). Abbreviations not specified above: FF fusiform gyrus, BSS banks of the superior temporal sulcus, CU cuneus, LOP

lateral occipital cortex, PCL paracentral lobule, PRCL precentral gyrus, IP inferior parietalclobule, PCU precuneus, INS insula, PHC parahippocampal gyrus,

LOF lateral orbitofrontal cortex, TT transverse temporal gyrus, SFL superior frontal gyrus, PO pars orbitalis and FPL frontal pole. (b) Significantly different

graph edges ($p < 0.05$) between the two groups are illustrated for the canonical frequency bands (i.e theta, alpha and gamma). Distribution of the connection

strengths are displayed per each frequency band in both control (blue) and case (red) groups.

subjects (control group: N = 23 and case group: N = 18). Our approach can be summarized in three

steps: (i) we first estimated the FCs of each subject during the first (test) and second halves (retest) of

MEG acquisitions separately (see Section 4 for details). (ii) We then estimated the degree of

within-session brain identification or "brain fingerprint" at the whole-brain level for each group

separately, through a mathematical object called an identifiability matrix (Figure 3.a). The identifiability

matrix provides two useful metrics for brain fingerprinting: the degree of similarity of each subject to

himself (Iself, diagonal elements, Figure 3.b) and the degree of brain discriminability, conceptualized as

the extent to which subjects were more similar to themselves than others (Idiff, section 4 for details)

(density and boxplots in Figure 3.a). The fingerprinting results revealed significant idiosyncrasies in

individual subject networks, particularly in tinnitus cases. To investigate this heterogeneity further, (iii)

we then applied spectral clustering with three clusters to the symmetric similarity matrix. This matrix

was derived from the identifiability matrix by computing the cosine similarity for all row pairs, repeating

the process for columns, and averaging the results. For better visualization, the symmetric matrix was

projected into a 2D space using Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) (manifold.MDS Python function,

sklearn package). MDS models similarity or dissimilarity data as distances in a geometric space.

Figure 3.c displays the MDS representation of individuals for the alpha and gamma frequency bands,

with clustering results (control: blue, case: red). Marker sizes correspond to individuals' I_{diff} values,

where larger marker sizes indicate greater brain discriminability from others. In contrast, Figure 3.d

presents the MDS representation for both groups based on individual PLI networks. This analysis

suggests that there is no discernible group clustering between the two groups.

Spatial specificity of functional network fingerprints in tinnitus

In these analyses, our goal was to identify brain regions (or nodes) whose functional connectivity with the

rest of the brain contributed significantly to the observed variability within the tinnitus group. To achieve

this, we evaluated the spatial specificity of brain fingerprints using edgewise intra-class correlation (ICC)

(see Section 4 for details). This analysis revealed a spatial reconfiguration of the most identifiable edges

in individuals with tinnitus, particularly in the alpha and gamma frequency ranges (Figure 4.a and b, left

panels). To further investigate this spatial reconfiguration, we analyzed the pattern of ICC nodal strength

for each brain region. The nodal strength was calculated as the sum of the ICC values for all edges

connected to a given brain region. Regions with the highest nodal strength—specifically, those in the top

Figure 3. (**a**) The identifiability matrix shows within-subjects similarity (Iself, diagonal elements) and between-subjects similarity (off-diagonal elements) across all subjects (case + control) for frequency bands alpha and gamma. The density and box plots of Idiff values are shown below. The Idiff value for each subject is calculated as the difference between their Iself value and the average of their off-diagonal values, highlighting the subject's distinctiveness in functional connectivity. (**b**) Bar plots showing the identification ranks in two groups (case and control) derived via the GL and PLI methods, comparing the test and retest FCs among subjects. (**c**) The 2D MDS representation of the similarity matrix, derived from the identifiability matrix for the alpha and gamma frequency bands, shows a clear grouping of controls and cases. Below this, clustering results into three distinct clusters further highlight a more robust group formation compared to the PLI-based fingerprinting as shown in (**d**). In both panels, the marker sizes correspond to individuals Idiff values, where larger marker sizes indicate greater brain discriminability from others.

25th percentile—were visualized by rendering them onto the cortical surface (Figure 4.a and b, right panels). This approach highlights the key regions contributing to the altered functional connectivity patterns in tinnitus. (see supplementary for spatial configuration of brain fingerprints in control group.)

3 DISCUSSION

In this study, we aimed to address several key questions: i) We evaluated the robustness of the graph learning method with respect to the number of samples, particularly investigating whether the brain functional connectome could be inferred reliably from short-duration recordings. ii) We analyzed group-level differences in functional connectivity (FC) between healthy individuals and those with tinnitus. iii) We explored whether individuals or groups could be accurately identified based solely on their unique patterns of brain activity. iv) Finally, we examined the spatial configuration of brain fingerprints within the tinnitus group to uncover distinct connectivity patterns.

To assess graph robustness, we examined whether consistent results emerged when using only a small fraction of time samples. This was achieved by comparing Portrait Divergence (PDiv) between these graphs and the one constructed from all data points. The PDiv comparison checks whether the global and local structures of the graphs are preserved, with smaller PDiv values indicating better preservation of these structures (Tantardini, Ieva, Tajoli, & Piccardi, 2019). As PDiv is an Unknown Node-Correspondence (UNC) method and is a general and flexible metric capable of handling graphs with different numbers of nodes, a Known Node-Correspondence (KNC) method was also employed. In this method, the sum of the Euclidean distances between corresponding edges in the graphs and the ideal graph was computed. The analysis confirmed that the graphs remained stable regardless of the number of time samples used, across various parameter settings (Figure 1.b, c). The graph learning (GL) method was also compared with the PLI method. For any sample size, both methods produced approximately equal PDiv values. Notably, for smaller sample sizes, negative PDiv values indicated smaller graph distances in the GL method. Euclidean distance comparisons further demonstrated that the GL method consistently outperformed PLI, especially for smaller sample sizes (Figure 1.d, e). The stability of the GL method with few time samples makes it suitable for functional connectivity estimation in task-related data, where ERPs are divided into shorter epochs. Here, overall connectivity can be represented by averaging graphs across trials. In contrast, PLI and Coherence methods estimate cross-spectral density

Figure 4. The spatial specificity of functional connectivity (FC) fingerprints in the tinnitus group was assessed using intra-class correlation (ICC), which quantified the fingerprint of each brain edge (connection) for the (**a**) alpha and (**b**) gamma frequency bands. Fingerprinting hubs were identified by calculating the nodal strength of the ICC matrix for each frequency band. Regions with nodal strength in the top 25th percentile were visualized on the cortical surface, highlighting the key hubs contributing to the altered connectivity patterns.

over epochs using Morlet waveforms, which are prone to edge effects in short signals and require a trade-off between time and frequency resolution. This issue also arises in real-time applications of MEG signal processing: Traditional correlation- or spectral-based methods often fail with such limited data, but the rapid convergence of the GL method renders it ideal for fast real-time applications. However, while the GL approach captures relationships between brain regions in MEG recordings, it fails to account for how these connections evolve over time because the analysis treats each time sample as an independent observation. To address this limitation and incorporate both spatial and temporal information, one could resort to using the graph spectral representation of the instantaneous MEG data (Shuman, Narang, Frossard, Ortega, & Vandergheynst, 2013) on top of the learned networks (Miri, Abootalebi, Saeedi-Sourck, Van De Ville, & Behjat, 2024) in conjunction with the Fukunaga-Koontz transform (Itani & Thanou, 2021).

The whole brain connectivity analysis at the group level showed significant differences ($p < 0.05$) in theta, alpha, and gamma frequency band connectivity, but not in the delta and beta bands. This highlights the importance of these frequency band connectivities in distinguishing control subjects from those with tinnitus, aligning with previous studies (Sadeghi Shabestari et al., 2024; Schlee, Hartmann, Langguth, & Weisz, 2009b). Yet, unlike (Schlee, Hartmann, et al., 2009b), resulting differences in the alpha networks in our study were both negative and positive: In memory-related networks (alpha connectivity between IPU, INS, PHC, and SFL, see Figure 2), as part of the Default Mode Network (DMN), connectivity in the tinnitus group become less pronounced whereas increased alpha connectivity can be observed in more auditory-related connections (alpha connectivity between LOF and TT, see Figure 2) pertaining to the large-scale Auditory Network (AUN) and potentially the Salience Network (SAN), given the involvement of the orbitofrontal cortex. Thus, decreased alpha connectivity in memory-related regions suggests DMN hypoactivity in tinnitus, aligning with findings of altered DMN functioning (Schmidt, Akrofi, Carpenter-Thompson, & Husain, 2013). Conversely, increased alpha connectivity between LOF and TT indicates hyperactivation of the AUN and possibly the SAN, aligning with enhanced auditory cortex excitability in tinnitus (Paraskevopoulos et al., 2019b). Our findings of reduced theta band connectivity in tinnitus patients, specifically between the fusiform gyrus and banks of the superior temporal sulcus (right hemisphere), the cuneus and lateral occipital cortex (left hemisphere), and the paracentral lobule and precentral gyrus, suggest disruptions in large-scale brain networks linked to the Visual Network (VN;

cuneus and lateral occipital cortex), Sensorimotor Network (SMN; paracentral lobule and precentral gyrus), and potentially to the AUN (fusiform gyrus and superior temporal sulcus). These findings partially corroborate Schlee et al.'s (Schlee, Mueller, et al., 2009) observation of widespread abnormal functional connectivity in tinnitus, extending beyond auditory areas to include visual and sensorimotor regions, but contrast with Mohan et al.'s (Mohan, De Ridder, & Vanneste, 2016) lack of significant theta differences. The observed theta-band disruptions also resonate with findings from fMRI studies. Similar disruptions have been reported in fMRI studies, such as altered DMN and VN connectivity (Y.-C. Chen et al., 2018), though primarily in unilateral tinnitus. This suggests that reduced theta connectivity in tinnitus reflects impaired integration across sensory and somatosensory networks. Finally, our findings of stronger gamma band connectivity in tinnitus patients between regions such as the inferior parietal cortex, precuneus, pars orbitalis, and superior temporal sulcus align with previous M/EEG studies. Increased gamma connectivity between frontal, parietal, and temporal regions has been reported, reflecting heightened attentional and sensory processing (De Ridder et al., 2014; Schlee, Mueller, et al., 2009; Vanneste et al., 2010). Gamma alterations have also been linked to auditory-limbic interactions, with increased connectivity between the auditory cortex and limbic areas (Vanneste, Song, & De Ridder, 2018; Weisz, Müller, et al., 2007). Synthesizing our findings with previous studies, tinnitus is associated with increased gamma connectivity across auditory, attentional, and limbic networks, indicating maladaptive changes in sensory, attentional, and emotional integration. Taken together, our findings, combined with previous research, suggest that tinnitus involves complex alterations in functional connectivity across multiple frequency bands and large-scale brain networks, particularly affecting the integration of sensory, attentional, and emotional processing. Future studies should focus on characterizing the temporal dynamics of these connectivity patterns and their relationship to tinnitus severity, while exploring targeted neuromodulation techniques (Langguth et al., 2012) to normalize altered connectivity patterns in specific networks and frequency bands.

Our study demonstrated that individuals can be accurately identified based solely on their brain activity patterns. Notably, individuals exhibited high consistency in their brain connectivity across test and retest sessions (I_{self}), regardless of their clinical condition. Identification ranks for both groups (Fig. 3.b) indicated that graph learning (GL) enhances both global and local (edgewise) individual fingerprints of functional connectivity profiles. This improvement was data-driven and independent of group

membership. A potential concern is that splitting the resting-state recording into two halves for the same individual (test and retest) might inherently yield higher correlation values and lower ranks due to similar noise covariance in both halves. To address this, we compared GL ranks with those from a correlation-based method (PLI). The GL method outperformed PLI, achieving more accurate individual identification (Fig. 3.b). Although specific connections distinguishing healthy individuals from those with tinnitus were identified, substantial variability across subjects highlights the need for personalized analyses in future studies. Spectral clustering on the similarity matrix from the identifiability matrix (see Section 2 for details) visualized with 2D MDS, revealed significant variability in subjects' functional connectivities (FCs). Notably, individuals appearing isolated in the MDS representation were typically those with high I_{diff} values (Fig. 3.c). These findings underscore the importance of focusing on individual variability rather than solely on group differences when studying functional connectivity alterations in tinnitus. We further demonstrated that the GL method effectively captures the underlying functional connectome, differentiating individuals while maintaining group coherence. In contrast, PLI scattered individuals without forming clear group structures (Fig. 3.d), highlighting the superior performance of the GL method in preserving individual and group-level distinctions.

Examining the topological distribution of connections underlying this unique pattern, we observed a spatial reconfiguration of regions with the highest idiosyncratic fingerprint within tinnitus group (Fig. 4.a, b left panels). These regions may, in fact, represent areas least affected by tinnitus, as they remain distinct across individuals rather than converging toward a common pattern. To identify regions impacted by tinnitus, we compared their spatial specificity to that of controls (Supplementary Figure S1). By examining regions with heightened spatial node strength in the tinnitus group, we identified key areas that play a more significant role in distinguishing individuals with tinnitus. In the alpha frequency band, these include the left and right isthmus of the (posterior) cingulate gyrus, while in the gamma band, prominent regions include the left frontal pole, the right pericalcarine cortex, and the left medial orbitofrontal cortex. In these cortical regions, connectivity profiles exhibit subject-specific idiosyncrasies that extend beyond the variability seen in controls. Conversely, regions with greater spatial node strength in controls appear to have diminished discriminative power for tinnitus, exhibiting more homogeneous connectivity patterns in affected individuals. These regions include the left and right superior frontal cortex, right temporal pole, and right rostral anterior cingulate cortex in the alpha band, along with the

right pars orbitalis, right rostral middle frontal cortex, and left superior frontal cortex in the gamma band.

This homogenization may reflect tinnitus' impact on large-scale brain network organization.

The key contribution of this work lies in the novel approach to extracting individual features within

each specific diagnostic group. While traditional machine learning methods focus on extracting features

to allocate new patients to specific diagnostic categories, our approach emphasizes identifying sources of

individual variability unique to each group. This can be instrumental in predicting clinical features at an

individual level within the diagnostic group. In this context, our fingerprinting approach, which enables

the extraction of individualized functional connectivity features, holds potential for use in machine

learning-based predictions. Importantly, diagnostic classification and individualized feature

extraction—are complementary, addressing distinct clinical and research questions. Together, they offer a

more comprehensive framework for understanding and leveraging brain connectivity in clinical settings.

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

The research received ethical approval from the Regional Ethics Committee in Stockholm, *Regionala*

etikprövningsnämnden (Dnr:2019-05226). All participants provided written informed consent after being

fully briefed on the study's purpose, scope, and potential risks. The study strictly adhered to the ethical

principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Control individuals consisted of 26 individuals with normal

hearing that were recruited via the online platform (accindi.se). Participants with chronic and constant

tinnitus (tinnitus always present in silence for > 1 year) were recruited via the accindi platform (n = 8),

the Swedish Tinnitus Outreach Project (STOP; n = 4) and the Karolinska Hospital (n = 8). Five

participants were excluded due to technical difficulties (MR), claustrophobia and/or low quality signal

resulting in a final group size of n = 23 for control group and n = 18 for tinnitus group. Exclusion criteria

included pregnancy, sound sensitivity (hyperacusis), psychiatric or neurological conditions, drug use, and

non-removable metal implants. Control participants self-reported their sex, resulting in a balanced

distribution of males and females, and one identifying as other. Their mean age was 28.4 years (SD ±

5.8), with 88% being right-handed. Tinnitus participants comprised 7 females and 11 males. Their mean

age was 36.8 years (SD ± 7.7), with 94.4% being right-handed. Participants attended the national

magnetoencephalography facility (NatMEG) for a single session, where they received detailed procedural

information, confirmed the absence or presence of tinnitus or hearing issues, and completed an online

survey assessing stress, anxiety, depression, and hyperacusis before undergoing auditory assessments.

Resting-state MEG recordings were conducted, followed by a structural MRI scan for each participant

using a 3 Tesla GE MR750 Discovery scanner at the MR Center, Karolinska Institutet.

MEG and MRI data acquisition

The MEG data consisted of recordings from 18 individuals with tinnitus and 23 controls acquired using a

306-channel Elekta Neuromag184 TRIUX system. Standard MEG preparation procedures were

implemented, which included the placement of position indicators (cHPI coils) to monitor movement, 3D

registration of the scalp using Polhemus for alignment with structural MRI, and the attachment of

electrodes to mitigate muscle artifacts from heart and eye movements. Participants were instructed to sit

in a relaxed manner and watch a nature film devoid of sound displayed on a screen in front of them. The

silent nature movie was projected onto a screen measuring 72 x 44 cm using an FL35 LED DLP projector

positioned outside the magnetically shielded room. The MEG session concluded with a 5-minute resting

state recording, during which participants were instructed to maintain a relaxed posture and continue

watching the movie as they had done previously during the measurement.

The MRI data consisted of 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MPRAGE)

sequence structural images. These images were acquired using a GE Discovery 3.0T MR scanner, with a

voxel size of 1x1x1 mm, a field of view of 256 mm, repetition time (RT) of 2300 ms, and echo time (ET)

of 2.98 ms.

MEG and MRI data processing pipeline

The MEG data, sampled at 5000 Hz, underwent several preprocessing steps. Signal-Space Projection

(SSP) vectors (Uusitalo & Ilmoniemi, 1997) were derived from empty-room recordings conducted before

and after the experiment to filter out environmental noise from sources external to the subject and the

MEG system. Continuous head position indicator (cHPI) coil signals were used to track head movements

for compensation during recording. Automatic identification and correction of noisy and flat MEG

channels, along with crosstalk compensation, were performed using spatiotemporal Signal-Space

Separation (tSSS) in 10-second intervals (Gramfort et al., 2013; Taulu & Simola, 2006). After

downsampling to 250 Hz and applying a low-pass filter to prevent aliasing, bandpass filtering between

0.1 and 80 Hz was applied. Independent Component Analysis (ICA) was employed to decompose the

data for artifact correction (Bell & Sejnowski, 1995). The minimum number of components capturing at

least 95% of data variance was determined. Specifically, for ECG artifacts, a bandpass filter was applied,

and relevant components were removed using the Cross-Trial Phase Statistics method (Dammers et al.,

2008). Muscle artifacts were identified and eliminated using the methodology outlined in (Dharmaprani

et al., 2016). The signals were bandpass filtered into standard frequency bands: Delta (0.5–4 Hz), Theta

(4–8 Hz), Alpha (8–13 Hz), Beta (15–30 Hz), and Gamma (30–80 Hz). This filtering was used to

estimate brain activity within each frequency range for further analysis.

We conducted anatomical cortical surface reconstructions of the MRIs using `FreeSurfer` software

version 7 (Fischl, 2012). This processing involved cortical surface reconstruction and the generation of

source space models. Subsequently, we created Boundary Element Model (BEM) surfaces,

encompassing the inner skull, outer skull, and outer skin (scalp), employing the watershed

algorithm (Gramfort et al., 2013; Ségonne et al., 2004). A surface-based source space was established,

representing bilateral hemispheres, and recursively divided with octahedron spacing. For each subject, a

BEM model and its corresponding solution were constructed using the linear collocation

method (Gramfort et al., 2013). To ensure accurate alignment, co-registration between the MRI and the

head model was performed, initially using three fiducial points provided in the MRI. This alignment was

refined through 40 iterations of the Iterative Closest Point (ICP) algorithm (Y. Chen & Medioni, 1992),

with outlier points exceeding a distance of 5 cm excluded and the fitting process reiterated. For each

subject, the forward solution was computed utilizing the source model, BEM model, and co-registration

data. We computed the noise covariance of the recordings based on empty room recordings. Estimation

of noise covariance was carried out using both empirical (Gramfort et al., 2013) and shrunk (Ledoit &

Wolf, 2004) methods, with the best estimator chosen based on log-likelihood and cross-validation with

unseen data (Engemann & Gramfort, 2015). Applying the linear minimum-norm inverse method (dSPM)

with the noise covariance and forward solution enabled determination of the inverse solution (Gramfort et

al., 2013), yielding source time courses for each vertex in the source space. Subsequently, a single time

course was generated for each brain label by averaging source time courses within vertices located in that

specific brain label, irrespective of their orientation. Brain labels and cortical parcellation were derived

from the Desikan-Killiany Atlas (Desikan et al., 2006), comprising 68 bi-hemispheric parcels. All MEG

processing analysis was performed using `MNE` software (Version 1.6.1).

Construction of functional networks

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \mathbf{A})$ denote a weighted, undirected graph, where $\mathcal{V} = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$ represents the graph's

finite set of N vertices (nodes), $\mathcal{E}$ denotes the graph's edge set, i.e., pairs (i, j) where $i, j \in \mathcal{V}$, and $\mathbf{A}$

denotes the graphs' adjacency matrix, which is symmetric with elements $A_{i,j} = A_{j,i}$ representing the

weight of (i, j). The weights in the adjacency matrix indicate the strength of the connection, or similarity

between two corresponding vertices, therefore, $A_{i,j} = 0$ if there is no connection/similarity between

vertices i and j. In this work we consider graphs with no self-loops, i.e., $A_{i,i} = 0$. The graph's

combinatorial Laplacian matrix is defined as:

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{D} - \mathbf{A}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathbf{D}$ is the diagonal matrix of vertex degrees with its elements given as $D_{i,i} = \sum_j A_{i,j}$. Let $\mathbf{f} \in \mathbb{R}^N$

denote a graph signal, that is, a real signal defined on the vertices of $\mathcal{G}$ whose n-th component ($\mathbf{f}[n]$)

represents the signal value at the n-th vertex of $\mathcal{G}$. The total variation (TV) of a graph signal $\mathbf{f}$ on graph $\mathcal{G}$

can be quantified using $\mathbf{L}$ as (Von Luxburg, 2007):

$$\text{TV}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{L}) = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{E}} A_{i,j} (\mathbf{f}[i] - \mathbf{f}[j])^2 = \mathbf{f}^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}. \tag{2}$$

Larger values of $\text{TV}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{L})$ indicate greater changes of $\mathbf{f}$ on $\mathcal{G}$, i.e., higher spatial variability, and thus,

lower spatial smoothness. Using this notion of smoothness, a sparse graph structure can be inferred from

a given set of observations (Dong, Thanou, Frossard, & Vandergheynst, 2016). Let

$\mathbf{F} = [\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{f}_M] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$ denote a matrix that stores a set of M measurements on a domain with N

elements, and let $\mathbf{Z}$ denote an $N \times N$ matrix with entries that represent the Euclidean distance (or any

other distance metric) between pairs of rows in $\mathbf{F}$, i.e., $Z_{i,j} = \|\mathbf{F}_{i,:} - \mathbf{F}_{j,:}\|_2$, where $\mathbf{F}_{k,:}$ denotes the k-th

row of $\mathbf{F}$, that is measurements from the k-th element. A graph structure can be inferred from $\mathbf{F}$ via the

optimization (Kalofolias, 2016):

$$\min_{\mathbf{A}} \quad \|\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{Z}\|_1 - \sigma \mathbf{1}^T \log(\mathbf{A1}) + \frac{\rho}{2}\|\mathbf{A}\|_F^2$$

$$\text{s.t.} \quad \text{diag}(\mathbf{A}) = 0$$

$$A_{i,j} = A_{j.i} \geq 0, \ i \neq j, \tag{3}$$

where $\circ$ and $\|\cdot\|_F$ denote the Hadamard product and the Frobenius norm, respectively. σ and ρ are regularization parameters; intuitively, smaller values of ρ yield sparser graphs by penalizing edges between vertices with larger $Z_{i,j}$ (Kalofolias, 2016). The first term in (3) enforces smoothness by invoking that (Kalofolias, 2016):

$$0.5 \cdot \|\mathbf{A} \circ \mathbf{Z}\|_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \mathbf{f}_m^T \mathbf{L} \mathbf{f}_m. \tag{4}$$

Smooth graph signals reside on strongly-connected vertices, thus, the vertices are expected to have
smaller distances. The second term enforces degrees to be positive and improves overall connectivity.

To evaluate the performance of the constructed FC graphs, we used the Phase Lag Index (PLI) method.
PLI is a reliable measure of phase synchronization that remains unaffected by common sources, such as
volume conduction or active reference electrodes. This method is widely utilized to create brain FC
networks and it helps in determining the phase relationship between two signals, indicating the extent to
which signal leads or lags behind another (Stam et al., 2007). Each element of the PLI matrix ($N \times N$)
can be quantified as:

$$PLI_{i,j} = \left| \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \text{sign}\left(\Im(S_{ij})\right) \right|, \tag{5}$$

where T denotes the total number of time-points and $\Im(S_{ij})$ denotes the imaginary component of the
averaged cross-spectral density (CSD) across frequency bins. The CSD is computed between
time-courses of two cortical regions i and j (i.e. $\mathbf{F}_{i,:}$ and $\mathbf{F}_{j,:}$) using Morlet wavelets, and it has the
dimensions of the number of time points by the number of frequency bins. $PLI_{i,j} = 0$, means that signal
$\mathbf{F}_{i,:}$ leads and lags signal $\mathbf{F}_{j,:}$ equally often, while a value greater than 0 means that there is an imbalance
in the likelihood for signal $\mathbf{F}_{i,:}$ to be leading or lagging. A value of 1 means that signal $\mathbf{F}_{i,:}$ only leads or
only lags signal $\mathbf{F}_{j,:}$.

Network robustness

To assess the stability of the learned graphs across varying numbers of samples and learning parameters, we followed these steps: We constructed graphs using four different σ and ρ regularization parameters chosen from 0.25, 0.5, 1, and 1.5. These graphs were created for different numbers of samples ranging from 2 to 300 seconds. For each selected number of samples, we randomly sampled data points from the entire recording, repeating this process five times per number of samples. For each parameter configuration, we designated the graph constructed using all samples (300 seconds) as the ideal graph (G_{ideal}). We then compared each graph to the ideal graph by computing the Portrait divergence (PDiv) and Euclidean distances between them. The Portrait divergence compares the "graph portraits" which are distributions of shortest path lengths between nodes and was calculated using the method described in (Bagrow & Bollt, 2019) and the Euclidean distance was computed by subtracting the upper triangles of the adjacency matrices and taking the 2-norm of the resulting differences.

Statistical group comparison

To compare group-level global cortical connectivity between controls and cases, we first normalized each FC using its Frobenius norm. Edges with weights below 1% of the maximum edge weight were excluded from the analysis. Statistical tests were conducted as two-sided, with normality evaluated via the Shapiro-Wilk test (stats.shapiro Python function, scipy package). For data not meeting the normality assumption, the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test (mannwhitneyu Python function, scipy package) was employed. Bonferroni correction was applied to control for multiple comparisons and edges with p-values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Subject identifiability of functional networks

The fundamental concept of subject identifiability revolves around assessing whether the connectivity profiles, or graph structures, corresponding to the same individual demonstrate more similarity compared to those across different individuals. We employed identifiability measures from (Amico & Goñi, 2018) to construct an $S \times S$ identifiability matrix **M** for the given FC matrices. In this context, S represents the number of subjects, and each element $M_{k,l}$ is the Pearson's correlation between y_k^{test} and y_l^{retest}, where y_k^{test} and y_l^{retest} denote the test (first half) and retest (second half) feature vectors of subjects k and l,

respectively. First, for each subject—within any of the groups—we generated two graphs: i.e. test and retest (each 2.5 minutes). In these graphs, vertices denote the center of mass for macro-scale cortical regions, as defined by the Desikan-Killiany atlas (Desikan et al., 2006), encompassing a total of 68 regions. The graph structure associated to each test or retest was inferred via an optimization outlined in Eq. 3. Given that the learned graphs are symmetric, we only used the upper triangle part of their adjacency matrices to compute the identifiability matrix. Finally, we sorted the correlation values for each subject, determining the rank of correlation of the same subject between the test and retest FCs. Identification ranks offer a reliable group-level estimate of identifiability at the individual connectome level, with lower values indicating better individual identifiability.

Spatial specificity of functional network fingerprints

The spatial specificity of functional connectivity (FC) fingerprints was assessed using edgewise intra-class correlation (ICC) based on a one-way random effects model (McGraw & Wong, 1996):

$$ICC = \frac{MS_R - MS_W}{MS_R + (k-1)MS_W},\tag{6}$$

where, MS_R denotes the variance between subjects (mean square for rows), MS_W represents the residual variance, and k is the number of sessions. ICC quantifies the consistency between measurements and is widely used in reliability studies. Higher ICC values indicate greater consistency, reflecting that most of the variance originates from differences between individuals rather than measurement errors or test-retest variability. Conversely, lower ICC values suggest that random error or inconsistencies between test and retest contribute more significantly to the variance. In this work, ICC was applied, following previous studies, to measure FC stability between pairs of brain regions (edges) across test-retest sessions. A high ICC for an edge signifies that its connectivity remains highly consistent for a given individual across sessions and varies systematically across subjects, emphasizing the edge's distinctiveness or "fingerprint".

CONCLUSION

In this study, we present a graph learning approach to extract functional networks from spontaneous MEG data in individuals with tinnitus. Our results demonstrate that the obtained connectomes outperform conventional correlation-based ones in terms of fingerprinting accuracy. The applicability and

robustness of the method was validated in various configurations of parameters and sizes of training sets.

At the group level, our study reveals previously unreported patterns of altered connectomes in tinnitus

patients across multiple frequency bands, involving the DMN, AUN, VN, and SAN. Specifically, we

observed reduced theta-band connectivity in regions associated with the VN and SMN, decreased alpha

connectivity in memory-related DMN regions coupled with increased alpha connectivity in

auditory-related areas, and increased gamma-band connectivity between auditory, attentional, and limbic

networks, suggesting a complex reorganization of large-scale brain networks in tinnitus that extends

beyond auditory areas. In addition, we investigated the spatial specificity of functional network

fingerprints by analyzing the distinctiveness of each connection at the individual level. This analysis

uncovered key brain regions associated with tinnitus. Our study provides novel insights into the neural

mechanisms underlying the condition. Finally, the proposed approach offers rapid and reliable

implementation, paving the way for objective diagnostics and personalized treatment strategies, including

real-time interventions.

DATA AVAILABILITY

489 Large raw data files, including resting state MEG recordings and MR images are available upon request.

490 As data is not de-identified, data access will require a data processing agreement and ethics approval.

CODE AVAILABILITY

491 The codes used for analyzing MEG and MRI data, graph learning and generating figures are available in

492 the following repository: `https://github.com/payamsash/paypatmeg`.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST DISCLOSURES

493 C.R.C. reported being a member of the British Tinnitus Association's Professional Advisers' Committee

494 and the American Tinnitus Association's Scientific Advisory Board.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

495 P.S.S. and P.N. have been funded by SNF (Project Grant number 325130 208164) for this work. C.R.C.

496 received funding from the Rainwater Charitable Foundation, Tysta Skolan, the Swedish Research

Council (VR 2023-02326), the GENDER-Net Co-Plus Fund (GNP-182), the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme, grant agreement no. 848261, and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 722046, and a Karolinska Institutet Doctoral (KID) grant. N.K.E. received funds from Hörselforskningsfonden and Tysta Skolan.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

P.S.S. analyzed the data, generated figures and wrote the first draft of the paper and all authors contributed to and approved the final manuscript. H.B. supervised, reviewed and edited the graph analysis sections. D.V.D.V. and T.K reviewed and edited the manuscript. N.K.E. and C.R.C. collected and shared raw MEG + MRI data and P.N. supervised, reviewed and edited the neurophysiological relevance of the results.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

REFERENCES

Amico, E., & Goñi, J. (2018). The quest for identifiability in human functional connectomes. *Scientific reports*, *8*(1), 8254.

Ashton, H., Reid, K., Marsh, R., Johnson, I., Alter, K., & Griffiths, T. (2007). High frequency localised "hot spots" in temporal lobes of patients with intractable tinnitus: A quantitative electroencephalographic (QEEG) study. *Neurosci Lett*, *426*(1), 23–28. Retrieved 2020-03-05, from https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0304394007008415 doi: 10.1016/j.neulet.2007.08.034

Bagrow, J. P., & Bollt, E. M. (2019). An information-theoretic, all-scales approach to comparing networks. *Applied Network Science*, *4*(1), 1–15.

Baguley, D., McFerran, D., & Hall, D. (2013). Tinnitus. *The Lancet*, *382*(9904), 1600–1607. Retrieved 2018-08-29, from http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0140673613601427 doi:

10.1016/S0140-6736(13)60142-7

Behjat, H., & Larsson, M. (2020). Spectral characterization of functional mri data on voxel-resolution cortical graphs. In

2020 ieee 17th international symposium on biomedical imaging (isbi) (pp. 558–562).

Behjat, H., Leonardi, N., Sörnmo, L., & Van De Ville, D. (2015). Anatomically-adapted graph wavelets for improved

group-level fmri activation mapping. *NeuroImage*, *123*, 185–199.

Behjat, H., Tarun, A., Abramian, D., Larsson, M., & Van De Ville, D. (2023). Voxel-wise brain graphs from diffusion mri:

Intrinsic eigenspace dimensionality and application to functional mri. *IEEE Open Journal of Engineering in Medicine*

and Biology.

Bell, A. J., & Sejnowski, T. J. (1995). An information-maximization approach to blind separation and blind deconvolution.

Neural computation, *7*(6), 1129–1159.

Birn, R. M. (2012). The role of physiological noise in resting-state functional connectivity. *Neuroimage*, *62*(2), 864–870.

Biswas, R., Genitsaridi, E., Trpchevska, N., Lugo, A., Schlee, W., Cederroth, C. R., . . . Hall, D. A. (2023). Low evidence

for tinnitus risk factors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of the Association for Research in*

Otolaryngology, *24*(1), 81–94.

Bressler, S. L. (1995). Large-scale cortical networks and cognition. *Brain Research Reviews*, *20*(3), 288–304.

Brookes, M. J., Hale, J. R., Zumer, J. M., Stevenson, C. M., Francis, S. T., Barnes, G. R., . . . Nagarajan, S. S. (2011).

Measuring functional connectivity using meg: methodology and comparison with fcmri. *Neuroimage*, *56*(3), 1082–1104.

Chen, Y., & Medioni, G. (1992). Object modelling by registration of multiple range images. *Image and vision computing*,

10(3), 145–155.

Chen, Y.-C., Liu, S., Lv, H., Bo, F., Feng, Y., Chen, H., . . . Gu, J.-P. (2018). Abnormal resting-state functional connectivity

of the anterior cingulate cortex in unilateral chronic tinnitus patients. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, *12*, 9.

Dammers, J., Schiek, M., Boers, F., Silex, C., Zvyagintsev, M., Pietrzyk, U., & Mathiak, K. (2008). Integration of amplitude

and phase statistics for complete artifact removal in independent components of neuromagnetic recordings. *IEEE*

transactions on biomedical engineering, *55*(10), 2353–2362. doi: 10.1109/TBME.2008.926677

De Ridder, D., Schlee, W., Vanneste, S., Londero, A., Weisz, N., Kleinjung, T., . . . Langguth, B. (2021). Tinnitus and

tinnitus disorder: Theoretical and operational definitions (an international multidisciplinary proposal). , *260*, 1–25. doi:

10.1016/bs.pbr.2020.12.002

De Ridder, D., Vanneste, S., Weisz, N., Londero, A., Schlee, W., Elgoyhen, A. B., & Langguth, B. (2014). An integrative

model of auditory phantom perception: tinnitus as a unified percept of interacting separable subnetworks. *Neuroscience &*

Biobehavioral Reviews, *44*, 16–32.

Desikan, R. S., Ségonne, F., Fischl, B., Quinn, B. T., Dickerson, B. C., Blacker, D., . . . others (2006). An automated

labeling system for subdividing the human cerebral cortex on mri scans into gyral based regions of interest. *Neuroimage*,

31(3), 968–980.

Dharmaprani, D., Nguyen, H. K., Lewis, T. W., DeLosAngeles, D., Willoughby, J. O., & Pope, K. J. (2016). A comparison

of independent component analysis algorithms and measures to discriminate between eeg and artifact components. In

2016 38th annual international conference of the ieee engineering in medicine and biology society (embc) (pp. 825–828).

doi: 10.1109/EMBC.2016.7590828

Dong, X., Thanou, D., Frossard, P., & Vandergheynst, P. (2016). Learning laplacian matrix in smooth graph signal

representations. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, *64*(23), 6160–6173.

Eggermont, J. J., & Roberts, L. E. (2012). The Neuroscience of Tinnitus: Understanding Abnormal and Normal Auditory

Perception. *Front Syst Neurosci*, *6*. Retrieved 2018-08-29, from

http://journal.frontiersin.org/article/10.3389/fnsys.2012.00053/abstract doi:

10.3389/fnsys.2012.00053

Eggermont, J. J., & Tass, P. A. (2015). Maladaptive Neural Synchrony in Tinnitus: Origin and Restoration. *Front Neurol*, *6*.

Retrieved 2018-08-29, from

http://journal.frontiersin.org/Article/10.3389/fneur.2015.00029/abstract doi:

10.3389/fneur.2015.00029

Elgoyhen, A. B., Langguth, B., De Ridder, D., & Vanneste, S. (2015). Tinnitus: perspectives from human neuroimaging.

Nature Reviews Neuroscience, *16*(10), 632–642. Retrieved 2018-06-12, from

http://www.nature.com/articles/nrn4003 doi: 10.1038/nrn4003

Engemann, D. A., & Gramfort, A. (2015). Automated model selection in covariance estimation and spatial whitening of

meg and eeg signals. *NeuroImage*, *108*, 328–342. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2014.12.040

Finn, E. S., Shen, X., Scheinost, D., Rosenberg, M. D., Huang, J., Chun, M. M., . . . Constable, R. T. (2015). Functional

connectome fingerprinting: identifying individuals using patterns of brain connectivity. *Nature neuroscience*, *18*(11),

1664–1671.

Fischl, B. (2012). Freesurfer. *Neuroimage*, *62*(2), 774–781. doi: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2012.01.021

Glomb, K., Queralt, J. R., Pascucci, D., Defferrard, M., Tourbier, S., Carboni, M., . . . Hagmann, P. (2020). Connectome

spectral analysis to track eeg task dynamics on a subsecond scale. *NeuroImage*, *221*, 117137.

Goldman-Rakic, P. S. (1988). Topography of cognition: parallel distributed networks in primate association cortex. *Annual*

review of neuroscience, *11*(1), 137–156.

Gramfort, A., Luessi, M., Larson, E., Engemann, D. A., Strohmeier, D., Brodbeck, C., . . . others (2013). Meg and eeg data

analysis with mne-python. *Frontiers in neuroscience*, 267. doi: 10.3389/fnins.2013.00267

Huang, W., Bolton, T. A., Medaglia, J. D., Bassett, D. S., Ribeiro, A., & Van De Ville, D. (2018). A graph signal processing

perspective on functional brain imaging. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *106*(5), 868–885.

Itani, S., & Thanou, D. (2021). Combining anatomical and functional networks for neuropathology identification: A case

study on autism spectrum disorder. *Medical image analysis*, *69*, 101986.

Jarach, C. M., Lugo, A., Scala, M., van den Brandt, P. A., Cederroth, C. R., Odone, A., . . . Gallus, S. (2022). Global

prevalence and incidence of tinnitus: A systematic review and meta-analysis. , *79*(9), 888–900. Retrieved 2023-01-18,

from https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.2189 doi: 10.1001/jamaneurol.2022.2189

Kalofolias, V. (2016). How to learn a graph from smooth signals. In *Artificial intelligence and statistics* (pp. 920–929).

Kok, T. E., Domingo, D., Hassan, J., Vuong, A., Hordacre, B., Clark, C., . . . Shekhawat, G. S. (2022). Resting-state

networks in tinnitus: A scoping review. *Clinical Neuroradiology*, *32*(4), 903–922.

Langguth, B., Kleinjung, T., Schlee, W., Vanneste, S., & De Ridder, D. (2023). Tinnitus guidelines and their evidence base. ,

12(9), 3087. doi: 10.3390/jcm12093087

Langguth, B., Kreuzer, P. M., Kleinjung, T., & De Ridder, D. (2013). Tinnitus: causes and clinical management. *Lancet*

Neurol, *12*(9), 920–930. Retrieved 2018-05-22, from

http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1474442213701601 doi:

10.1016/S1474-4422(13)70160-1

Langguth, B., Schecklmann, M., Lehner, A., Landgrebe, M., Poeppl, T. B., Kreuzer, P. M., . . . Ridder, D. D. (2012).

Neuroimaging and neuromodulation: complementary approaches for identifying the neuronal correlates of tinnitus.

Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience, *6*, 15. doi: 10.3389/fnsys.2012.00015

Ledoit, O., & Wolf, M. (2004). A well-conditioned estimator for large-dimensional covariance matrices. *Journal of*

multivariate analysis, *88*(2), 365–411.

Liu, T. T. (2016). Noise contributions to the fmri signal: An overview. *NeuroImage*, *143*, 141–151.

McFerran, D. J., Stockdale, D., Holme, R., Large, C. H., & Baguley, D. M. (2019). Why is there no cure for tinnitus? , *13*.

Retrieved 2020-07-13, from

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnins.2019.00802/full (Publisher:

Frontiers) doi: 10.3389/fnins.2019.00802

McGraw, K. O., & Wong, S. P. (1996). Forming inferences about some intraclass correlation coefficients. *Psychological*

methods, *1*(1), 30.

Mesulam, M.-M. (1990). Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and

memory. *Annals of Neurology: Official Journal of the American Neurological Association and the Child Neurology*

Society, *28*(5), 597–613.

Miri, M., Abootalebi, V., & Behjat, H. (2022). Enhanced motor imagery-based eeg classification using a discriminative

graph fourier subspace. In *2022 ieee 19th international symposium on biomedical imaging (isbi)* (pp. 1–5).

Miri, M., Abootalebi, V., Saeedi-Sourck, H., Van De Ville, D., & Behjat, H. (2024). Spectral representation of eeg data using

learned graphs with application to motor imagery decoding. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, *87*, 105537.

Mohan, A., De Ridder, D., & Vanneste, S. (2016). Graph theoretical analysis of brain connectivity in phantom sound

perception. *Scientific reports*, *6*(1), 19683.

Ortega, A., Frossard, P., Kovačević, J., Moura, J. M., & Vandergheynst, P. (2018). Graph signal processing: Overview,

challenges, and applications. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, *106*(5), 808–828.

Paraskevopoulos, E., Dobel, C., Wollbrink, A., Salvari, V., Bamidis, P. D., & Pantev, C. (2019a). Maladaptive alterations of

resting state cortical network in tinnitus: A directed functional connectivity analysis of a larger meg data set. *Scientific*

Reports, *9*(1), 15452.

Paraskevopoulos, E., Dobel, C., Wollbrink, A., Salvari, V., Bamidis, P. D., & Pantev, C. (2019b). Maladaptive alterations of

resting state cortical network in Tinnitus: A directed functional connectivity analysis of a larger MEG data set. *Scientific*

Reports, *9*(1), 15452. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-51747-z

Pinto, P. C. L., Marcelos, C. M., Mezzasalma, M. A., Osterne, F. J. V., de Melo Tavares de Lima, M. A., & Nardi, A. E.

(2014). Tinnitus and its association with psychiatric disorders: systematic review. , *128*(8), 660–664. doi:

10.1017/S0022215114001030

Sadeghi Shabestari, P., Schoisswohl, S., Wellauer, Z., Naas, A., Kleinjung, T., Schecklmann, M., . . . Neff, P. (2024).

Prediction of acoustic tinnitus suppression using resting state eeg: An explainable ai approach. *bioRxiv*, 2024–04.

Sareen, E., Zahar, S., Van De Ville, D., Gupta, A., Griffa, A., & Amico, E. (2021). Exploring meg brain fingerprints:

Evaluation, pitfalls, and interpretations. *NeuroImage*, *240*, 118331.

Schlee, W., Hartmann, T., Langguth, B., & Weisz, N. (2009a). Abnormal resting-state cortical coupling in chronic tinnitus.

BMC neuroscience, *10*, 1–11.

Schlee, W., Hartmann, T., Langguth, B., & Weisz, N. (2009b). Abnormal resting-state cortical coupling in chronic tinnitus.

BMC Neurosci, *10*(1), 11. Retrieved 2018-12-06, from

http://bmcneurosci.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2202-10-11 doi:

10.1186/1471-2202-10-11

Schlee, W., Mueller, N., Hartmann, T., Keil, J., Lorenz, I., & Weisz, N. (2009). Mapping cortical hubs in tinnitus. *BMC*

biology, *7*, 1–14.

Schmidt, S. A., Akrofi, K., Carpenter-Thompson, J. R., & Husain, F. T. (2013). Default Mode, Dorsal Attention and

Auditory Resting State Networks Exhibit Differential Functional Connectivity in Tinnitus and Hearing Loss. *PLoS ONE*,

8(10), e76488. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0076488

Ségonne, F., Dale, A. M., Busa, E., Glessner, M., Salat, D., Hahn, H. K., & Fischl, B. (2004). A hybrid approach to the skull

stripping problem in mri. *Neuroimage*, *22*(3), 1060–1075.

Shuman, D. I., Narang, S. K., Frossard, P., Ortega, A., & Vandergheynst, P. (2013). The emerging field of signal processing

on graphs: Extending high-dimensional data analysis to networks and other irregular domains. *IEEE signal processing*

magazine, *30*(3), 83–98.

Simoes, J. P., Daoud, E., Shabbir, M., Amanat, S., Assouly, K., Biswas, R., . . . Genitsaridi, E. (2021). Multidisciplinary

tinnitus research: Challenges and future directions from the perspective of early stage researchers. , *13*. Retrieved

2021-04-25, from

https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fnagi.2021.647285/abstract (Publisher:

Frontiers) doi: 10.3389/fnagi.2021.647285

Stam, C. J., Nolte, G., & Daffertshofer, A. (2007). Phase lag index: assessment of functional connectivity from multi

channel eeg and meg with diminished bias from common sources. *Human brain mapping*, *28*(11), 1178–1193.

Tantardini, M., Ieva, F., Tajoli, L., & Piccardi, C. (2019). Comparing methods for comparing networks. *Scientific reports*,

9(1), 17557.

Taulu, S., & Simola, J. (2006). Spatiotemporal signal space separation method for rejecting nearby interference in meg

measurements. *Physics in Medicine & Biology*, *51*(7), 1759. doi: 10.1088/0031-9155/51/7/008

Uusitalo, M. A., & Ilmoniemi, R. J. (1997). Signal-space projection method for separating meg or eeg into components.

Medical and biological engineering and computing, *35*, 135–140.

Vanneste, S., Heyning, P. V. d., & Ridder, D. D. (2011). Contralateral parahippocampal gamma-band activity determines

noise-like tinnitus laterality: a region of interest analysis. *Neuroscience*, *199*, 481–490. doi:

10.1016/j.neuroscience.2011.07.067

Vanneste, S., Plazier, M., Van Der Loo, E., Van de Heyning, P., Congedo, M., & De Ridder, D. (2010). The neural correlates

of tinnitus-related distress. *Neuroimage*, *52*(2), 470–480.

Vanneste, S., Song, J.-J., & De Ridder, D. (2018). Thalamocortical dysrhythmia detected by machine learning. *Nature*

communications, *9*(1), 1103.

Von Luxburg, U. (2007). A tutorial on spectral clustering. *Statistics and computing*, *17*, 395–416.

Weisz, N., Moratti, S., Meinzer, M., Dohrmann, K., & Elbert, T. (2005). Tinnitus Perception and Distress Is Related to

Abnormal Spontaneous Brain Activity as Measured by Magnetoencephalography. *PLoS Med*, *2*(6), e153. Retrieved

2018-07-09, from http://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020153 doi:

10.1371/journal.pmed.0020153

Weisz, N., Müller, S., Schlee, W., Dohrmann, K., Hartmann, T., & Elbert, T. (2007). The neural code of auditory phantom

perception. *Journal of Neuroscience*, *27*(6), 1479–1484.

Weisz, N., Müller, S., Schlee, W., Dohrmann, K., Hartmann, T., & Elbert, T. (2007). The neural code of auditory phantom

perception. *J. Neurosci*, *27*(6), 1479–1484. doi: 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3711-06.2007

Young, M. P., Scanneil, J. W., Burns, G. A., & Blakemore, C. (1994). Analysis of connectivity: neural systems in the

cerebral cortex. *Reviews in the Neurosciences*, *5*(3), 227–250.

a

Covariance

L R

mma

α frequency range   γ frequen

Ideal Graph

beta
0.25
0.5
1.0
1.5

beta
0.25
0.5
1.0
1.5

beta
0.25   1.0
0.5   1.5

σ = 0.25

σ = 0.5

σ = 1.0

σ = 1.5

PDiv

Euc distance

ΔPDiv

ΔPDiv

ΔEuc dist

samples

samp

a α frequency band

ICC values

Nodal strength percentile

75   100

b γ frequency band

ICC values

Nodal strength percentile

75   100