RESEARCH ARTICLE

Degraded ecosystem soil and type 2 diabetes gut microbiomes share altered potential

metabolism for sugars, lignin and branched-chain fatty acids: a blind spot for global

health?

Craig Liddicoat[1,*], Bart A. Eijkelkamp[1], Timothy R. Cavagnaro[1], Jake M. Robinson[1], Kiri Joy

Wallace[2], Andrew D. Barnes[2], Garth Harmsworth[3], Damien J. Keating[4], Robert A. Edwards[1] and

Martin F. Breed[1]

[1]College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

[2]Te Aka Mātuatua – School of Science, University of Waikato, Hamilton, Aotearoa New Zealand

[3]Manaaki Whenua – Landcare Research, Palmerston North, Aotearoa New Zealand

[4]College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

Corresponding author: Craig Liddicoat; craig.liddicoat@flinders.edu.au

ORCIDs: CL: 0000-0002-4812-7524, BAE: 0000-0003-0179-8977, TRC: 0000-0002-9922-5677,

JMR: 0000-0001-8108-3271; KJW: 0000-0003-1236-6846, ADB: 0000-0002-6499-381X, GH: 0000-

0002-7041-1847, DJK: 0000-0002-0154-8305, RAE: 0000-0001-8383-8949, MFB: 0000-0001-7810-

9696

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Keywords: Healthy microbiome, type 2 diabetes, metagenomics, compound processing potential, soil

biodiversity, human health, metabolism, branched-chain fatty acids

Running Title: Degraded ecosystems and type 2 diabetes

Abstract

The global rise in human allergic and autoimmune diseases is linked to altered environmental microbiome exposures from increasing land use change, ecosystem degradation and biodiversity loss. Yet, microbiome-mediated impacts of global change on metabolic health have received little attention. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a complex metabolic disease with rapidly increasing global prevalence, uncertain pathogenesis and influences from gut microbiome-host interactions and environmental factors. Soil microbiomes shift in composition and functional capacity with ecosystem condition and are an important source for priming and resupplying the human gut microbiome, which is critical in metabolism. Here, we sought to examine overlapping functional capacities in model case study microbiome datasets from (1) soils from a gradient of ecosystem quality (from highly disturbed to mature/natural sites under forest ecosystem restoration) and (2) gut microbiomes in T2D versus normal health to investigate plausible links between ecosystem degradation and metabolic anomalies encoded in T2D gut microbiomes. We developed a novel method to translate microbiome functional capacities (i.e., functional gene-associated biochemical pathway relative abundances) to 'compound processing potential' (CPP, %), reflecting the potential metabolism of individual compounds. Examining selected compounds relevant to soil and gut health, and via exhaustive compound-wide trend analyses, we identified compounds that shared potential metabolism trends. We found increased potential metabolism for sugars and decreased potential metabolism for lignin and monomethyl branched-chain fatty acids, in both T2D and degraded ecosystems. These trends were confirmed in two separate T2D case study cohorts from Sweden and China, suggesting a generalizable pattern. Our findings support a consequential new hypothesis that excessive exposures to degraded ecosystem soil microbiomes may distort gut microbiome functional capacities and metabolism and thereby contribute to type 2 diabetes.

1. Introduction

Environment and lifestyle exposures under the global trends of land use change, ecosystem degradation, biodiversity loss, urbanization and reduced nature contact are associated with many non-communicable diseases, including several with suspected microbiome-mediated links (Flandroy et al., 2018; Flies et al., 2019; Roslund et al., 2022). Among these, type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a major chronic multifaceted metabolic disease with uncertain pathogenesis, characterized by insulin resistance, loss of insulin secretion, high blood sugar levels and wider metabolic dysregulation (Beulens et al., 2022; Lu et al., 2024; Pearson, 2019). Growing evidence supports the influence of gut microbiomes on human metabolic health and dysfunction. However, there is a pressing need to better understand the contribution of microbiomes in T2D (Cunningham et al., 2021; Gurung et al., 2020) due to their potential mediating influence via diet, lifestyle and environmental factors. The role of environmental microbiomes in supporting human metabolic health remains understudied (Flandroy et al., 2018)—particularly in the context of accelerating global change (IPBES, 2018). T2D is a major contributor to the global non-communicable disease pandemic (Cheema et al., 2014) and represents ~96% of total diabetes cases, which impacted 529 million people in 2021 (6.1% prevalence; costing US$966 billion), and is expected to rise to 1.31 billion people by 2045-2050 (10% prevalence; costing US$1.05 trillion) (Ong et al., 2023; Sun et al., 2022).

The human gut microbiome is directly involved in our metabolism, shaping the biochemical profile of our diet via an extensive metabolic repertoire that is distinct yet complementary to mammalian digestive enzymes (Rowland et al., 2018). The gut microbiome and its diet-derived metabolites influence human physiology and energy regulation by modulating appetite, energy harvest, gene expression, gut motility, and the conversion and storage of dietary energy (Chao et al., 2025; Krajmalnik-Brown et al., 2012). Specifically, in T2D shifts in gut microbiome community and functional composition have been compared to normal healthy subjects, which are in part alleviated by

treatment with the antidiabetic drug metformin (Forslund et al., 2015). Moreover, fecal microbiome

transplantation studies have demonstrated amelioration of clinical indicators of T2D (Chen et al., 2023;

Wu et al., 2023). Long-term diet is a key factor shaping gut microbiome composition (Gilbert et al.,

2018), and diet shaped by the gut microbiome can modify the expression and operation of intestinal

enteroendocrine cells (EECs) responsible for sensing and responding to nutrients (e.g., shifting from

serotonin to peptide producing EECs, or microbiome-dependent silencing of EECs; Aliluev et al.,

2021; Ye et al., 2019). These are multidirectional interactions, as loss of EECs and their secreted

hormones also changes microbiome structures (Blot et al., 2023).

Intriguingly, numerous assessments attribute a major share of T2D risk to environmental

exposures that extend well beyond lifestyle and dietary behaviors to include the built, natural, social,

and physicochemical environments (Beulens et al., 2022). Among these, strong population-level

evidence links T2D to a shift in living environments from rural to rapidly growing, densely populated

urban areas (Attard et al., 2012; Cheema et al., 2014; Gassasse et al., 2017; Ruiz-Alejos et al., 2018).

Importantly, microbiomes represent a key potential linkage mechanism between environmental

exposures consistent with T2D risk and metabolic processes occurring in the human gut. Gut

microbiomes are predominantly shaped by environmental factors, including diet and ambient

exposures, not host genetics (Rothschild et al., 2018). In particular, soils represent a rich source of

environmental microbial exposures, providing home to 59% to >99.9% of species on Earth (Anthony et

al., 2023; Blakemore, 2025). The gut is dominated by spore-forming bacteria, together with facultative

anaerobes, capable of surviving and dispersing through aerobic environments before re-establishing in

suitable oxygen-deprived habitats (e.g., lower intestine, wet organic-containing soil habitats) (Browne

et al., 2016; Wyres & Holt, 2018). Exposure to naturally biodiverse soil microbiomes shapes and

supplements gut microbiome composition and functional capacity, particularly from a young age

(Liddicoat et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Roslund et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2024). Consequently, soil

microbes may be introduced to the gut environment through direct soil contact, foodborne and airborne

(e.g., dust) microbiomes. Moreover, soil microbiome composition and functional capacity may shift in

generalizable ways with ecosystem quality (i.e., spanning anthropogenically disturbed/degraded to

intact natural condition), with potential to impact human health (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021;

Liddicoat et al., 2024; Liddicoat et al., 2022). As urbanization accelerates—with around 70% of the

global population projected to live in cities by 2050 (UNDESA, 2019)—the degradation and

homogenization of soil microbiomes in urban and peri-urban environments may pose growing risks to

human health (Sun et al., 2023). Exploring overlaps in the functional capacities of (1) naturally

biodiverse versus degraded soil microbiomes (linked to aboveground degraded versus intact ecosystem

condition) and (2) normal healthy versus T2D gut microbiomes, may reveal previously unappreciated

microbiome linkages between environments and T2D.

Despite their importance, the measurement and definition of 'healthy microbiomes' in humans

and soils remain challenging (Fierer et al., 2021; Van Hul et al., 2024). Approaches that examine the

range of functions performed by microbiomes are expected to offer improved understanding compared

to measures based on compositional diversity (Van Hul et al., 2024). Community-scale microbial

metabolism, where bioenergetic machinery and resources (including metabolites and electron transfer)

are shared in the extracellular space (Daisley et al., 2021), adds complexity and means that multiple

taxa may often combine to perform functional roles of interest. The need for improved insight into the

contributions of microbiomes in health and disease has motivated efforts to analyze soil and gut

microbiomes through the lens of their *compound processing potential* (CPP) (Liddicoat et al., 2024;

Figure S1). A compound-oriented approach can provide more direct functional information about

microbiomes because of known biochemical and health associations with chemical compounds (e.g.,

microbial feedstocks, substrates and metabolites, such as carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, amino-sugars,

vitamins), which are not described for most microbial taxa. New insights into differential compound

processing by microbiomes may also point to mechanistic hypotheses and/or possible therapeutic

targets. Microbial metagenomes (the entire collective DNA of a sample) are used to examine functions.

However, existing approaches require specialized knowledge of complex biochemical pathways and do

not provide resolution at the fundamental level of the chemical compounds involved (i.e., individual

compounds may often participate in multiple pathways). Existing metabolite prediction frameworks are

also unsuited to highly biodiverse and varying environments, such as soils (Liddicoat et al., 2024).

Thus, there is a need for new, compound-oriented methods to examine healthy functioning in soil and

gut microbiomes.

Here we explore and compare model case study soil and gut metagenome datasets from a

gradient of ecosystem quality and in subjects with T2D versus normal health by quantifying their

genomic potential to metabolize an array of chemical compounds. Specifically, the aims were to

improve functional and metabolic insights to the role of microbiomes in ecosystems and T2D, and their

potential overlaps, through identifying: (1) compounds with differential potential metabolism (i.e., with

trending CPP) based on analysis of soil metagenomes across an ecosystem quality gradient (i.e.,

degraded to intact natural condition); (2) compounds with differential potential metabolism (based on

CPP values) from gut metagenomes in health versus untreated T2D; and (3) overlapping compounds

and biochemical/functional pathways between (1) and (2) that may reveal new insights to potential

environmental microbiome contributions to T2D.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Case study data

We examined three model case study datasets (Table 1) comprising previously published (1) soil

metagenomes from a restoration gradient case study that compared degraded post-mining, reforested,

and unmined reference sites (Sun & Badgley, 2019), and (2) gut metagenomes from subjects with

untreated T2D and normal healthy controls (Forslund et al., 2015). We determined CPP trends with

restoration (described below), however, our subsequent focus is to characterize potential metabolism

outcomes associated with degraded ecosystems that correspond to the more disturbed soil microbiomes

in the younger revegetation age samples of the restoration gradient. We used two T2D datasets

comprising separate case study cohorts. The first T2D dataset from Sweden (Karlsson et al., 2013) was

analyzed to identify differences in CPP or potential metabolism in T2D, and then assess overlaps with

trending CPP found in more degraded (towards younger) samples from the restoration gradient case

study. A second T2D dataset from China (Qin et al., 2012) was then integrated to confirm and

consolidate trends in compound-level potential metabolism that emerged consistently across all three

case studies.

Table 1. Description of case study metagenome datasets.

Case study	Main comparison groups (and sample/subject numbers)	Metagenome functional profile characteristics (sample mean ± s.d.)	Source data reference; sequencing platform	Study nation of origin
Soil				
Post-mining forest ecosystem restoration ('restoration gradient')	Soil samples spanned revegetation ages of 6, 12, 22, 31 years, and unmined (UM) samples (treated as ordinal variables). Comprising five age-based groups, each with three replicates. Total $n = 15$	Functions (SUPER-FOCUS): $n = 30,125$ ($20,328 \pm 767$). Functional % all sum to 100%. Compounds (CPP profiling): $n = 8,370$ ($7,777 \pm 92$). CPP (%): (67 ± 0.4 %)	MG-RAST project mgp16379 (Sun & Badgley, 2019); Illumina HiSeq	United States
Human gut				
Type 2 diabetes	T2D with no metformin treatment (T2D met-, $n = 33$),	Functions (SUPER-FOCUS): $n = 17,962$ (7861 ± 1699).	SRA accession PRJEB1786	Sweden

(T2D) vs. Normal	vs. normal healthy ($n = 43$). Subjects were females only, aged 69-72 years. Total $n = 76$	Functional % all sum to 100%. Compounds (CPP profiling): $n = 7,031$ ($5,292 \pm 584$). CPP (%): (70.5 ± 2.9 %)	(Forslund et al., 2015; Karlsson et al., 2013)[a]; Illumina HiSeq	
T2D vs. Normal (Validation dataset)	T2D met- (n = 30; females $n = 17$, aged 51-71 years; males $n = 13$, aged 51-75 years). Normal ($n = 52$; females $n = 24$, aged 51-67 years; males $n = 28$, aged 52-74 years). Total $n = 82$	Functions (SUPER-FOCUS): $n = 19,363$ (8677 ± 4212). Functional % all sum to 100%. Compounds (CPP profiling): $n = 7,206$ ($5,329 \pm 1644$). CPP (%): (65.7 ± 4.1 %)	SRA accession PRJNA422434 (Forslund et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2012)[a]; Illumina Genome Analyzer II	China

Note: [a]Forslund et al. (2015) applied metformin treatment data in a reanalysis of metagenome data

from Swedish (Karlsson et al., 2013) and Chinese (Qin et al., 2012) cohorts. We analyzed a subset of

each cohort. From the Swedish cohort, we excluded subjects who were treated with metformin or

diagnosed with impaired glucose tolerance (prediabetes). From the Chinese cohort, used here for

validation purposes, we only included subjects >50 years old to compare with the mature age (69-72

years) Swedish subjects.

2.2. Metagenome functional profiling via compound processing potential (CPP)

The CPP analysis approach uses a novel bioinformatics workflow that redistributes values of

conventionally derived functional potential relative abundances (%), from the scale of functions (i.e.,

biochemical pathways that often comprise multiple linked chemical reactions), down to the level of the

individual chemical compounds. These compounds are conceptually participating in the array of

intersecting chemical reactions represented in the collective functional capacity of a metagenome.

These methods do not measure actual chemical compounds. Rather, they quantify the genetic capacity

of a microbial community to metabolize (or process) compounds, as written in the metagenome. The

distinction is not made between compounds being consumed (reactants) or produced (products) as

functional pathways may often involve strings of chemical reactions (metabolic processes), involving

one or many organisms, where a compound can be the output of one reaction and an input to another.

Measures of CPP were derived following the steps outlined in Figure 1. Firstly, following

Liddicoat et al. (2024), raw metagenomic sequences were accessed, trimmed for quality control, then

classified to SEED subsystem (Overbeek et al., 2013) functional annotations and relative abundances

using SUPER-FOCUS software (Silva et al., 2015). Every SUPER-FOCUS function (output row) was

translated to one or more corresponding chemical reaction(s) using a purpose-built R-script algorithm

based on ModelSEED database lookup tables (from

https://github.com/ModelSEED/ModelSEEDDatabase; accessed 10 Aug 2022). Linking of functions to

chemical reactions was based on either: full matching of functional hierarchies (using subsystem-class,

-subclass, -name and -role); detection of Enzyme Commission (EC) number; or matching of SUPER-

FOCUS function name within ModelSEED lookup tables for reactions (reaction name or alias),

subsystems (role), or reaction-pathways (external reaction name). Reactions were then linked to

corresponding compounds using ModelSEED database tables ('reactions.tsv', 'compounds.tsv').

Advancing from the previous method used in Liddicoat et al. (2024), we derived CPP measures at the

resolution of individual compounds (all software parameters and supporting R code for CPP

calculations are available at: https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d):

a. Function level relative abundances from SUPER-FOCUS (which sum to 100% in each sample)

were allocated to compounds by dividing values equally across all sub-functions and reactions,

and dividing again across all corresponding compounds, with weightings to account for

stoichiometric coefficients for each compound within each reaction, with equal consideration to

reactant and product compounds.

b. Relative abundances (%) for respective unique compounds (which may arise across multiple

reactions and functions/pathways) were then collated and summed to provide a summary CPP

relative abundance (%) for each compound. (Here, conversion to CPP data format successfully

recovered typically 65 to 70% of the initial 100% function potential per sample; Table 1).

c. To assist further mapping visualization of CPP patterns for carbon-containing compounds,

elemental ratios of O:C, H:C, and N:C were calculated. Such chemical mapping frameworks

have been used previously to display and interpret groupings of energetically and chemically

similar compounds (Liddicoat et al., 2024; Rivas-Ubach et al., 2018).

Figure 1. Overview of the CPP analysis workflow used to translate microbial metagenome functional

potential relative abundances to quantify potential metabolism at the level of individual compounds.

2.3. Statistical Analysis

We used a staged approach to explore overlapping potential metabolism (i.e., CPP) trends in the

restoration gradient (soil) and T2D gut samples (further detail is in Supplementary Material and at

https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d):

a. *Thirty selected compounds* were chosen due to their relevance to soil and/or gut health (e.g.,

Blanco-Pérez et al., 2021; Daisley et al., 2021; Krajmalnik-Brown et al., 2012; Six et al., 2006;

compounds and reference information are listed in Table S1). For this list of compounds, CPP

trends were evaluated using Kendall's tau rank correlation tests based on ordinal revegetation

age classes. We interpreted CPP trends with ecosystem degradation as the inverse of calculated

trends with increasing revegetation age (towards intact natural condition). This assumption that

degradation may be modelled as the opposite of restoration is based on previous work showing

highly disturbed post-mining soil microbiomes progressively shift in their structure with

ecosystem restoration (Liddicoat et al., 2022). CPP trends (i.e., differences) with T2D versus

normal health were evaluated using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) tests,

due to often differing variance of groups (T2D vs. normal) and to avoid normality assumptions.

All data were plotted to assist interpretation.

b. ***Compound-wide association tests*** were also performed to exhaustively scan all compounds

represented in the respective CPP datasets, using the same trend evaluation methods as above.

In soils, compounds that did not have relative abundance data for at least a quarter of the total

sample number were excluded due to low data. In soils, strong trends were discovered, and only

significant results based on adjusted *P*-values were considered further (i.e., after applying

Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction). In the separate compound-wide

analysis of T2D gut samples, compounds were only included if at least one or other of the T2D

or normal groups contained at least 50% non-zero CPP values. Testing was based on $\log_{10}$-

transformed CPP % values, after zero replacement with small positive values equal to half the

minimum non-zero CPP % of all samples. One-sided (directional) difference tests were based

on whether the median value in T2D was greater or less than the median value for normal

healthy subjects. The T2D (Swedish cohort, SWE) Wilcoxon rank sum test results yielded

many *P*-values < 0.05. However, none were low enough to withstand Benjamini and Hochberg

P-adjustment for multiple testing, therefore, *P*-adjustment was not performed in the compound-

wide T2D gut data to retain the most interesting (potentially significant) results for further

exploration.

c. ***Overlap analysis.*** Compounds with CPP % trends in both the restoration gradient and T2D

(SWE) case studies were identified, based on matching ModelSEED compound identifiers. Our

focus was to highlight compounds that displayed consistent increases or decreases in CPP %

with degraded/disturbed ecosystems (i.e., towards younger revegetation age) and in T2D.

d. ***Visualising compound-wide association test results.*** Next, compounds with CPP % trends in

the restoration gradient and T2D (SWE) gut microbiomes, and overlaps between the two, were

mapped using their chemical formula elemental ratios of O:C, H:C, and N:C. From this

mapping space, prominent localized clusters of consistently trending compounds (i.e., with

ecosystem degradation and T2D) were identified and further analyzed to determine trends in

their collective (summed) CPP % and to interpret their possible metabolic importance.

e. ***Trend confirmation and consolidation.*** The above steps were repeated with the second T2D

(Chinese cohort, CHN) dataset, which ultimately refined the list of individual compounds with

parallel trends in CPP % across all datasets. Trend and contextual information for these

compounds was reported and visualised to represent the significance ($-\log_{10}(P\text{-value})$; as used in

genome-wide association study Manhattan plots) of their association with disturbed/degraded

ecosystems and T2D, and the number of functional themes (level 3 subsystems) they are linked

to. Here, data for T2D were visualised using mean results from the Swedish and Chinese cohort

datasets.

f. ***Beta diversity ordinations.*** Supplementary analyses were performed to examine community-

scale compositional patterns and differences between sample groups and analysis approaches,

comparing conventional functional potential (%) data (termed 'functions') versus CPP (%) data.

This analysis used principal coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordinations based on Bray-Curtis

distances, and testing for differences between groups via permutational analysis of variance

(PERMANOVA) and beta dispersion testing for homogeneity of groupings using the vegan R

package (Oksanen et al., 2020). These data are presented in the Supplementary Material,

Supporting Information and Figure S2.

269   3. Results

270   3.1. Potential metabolism trends with ecosystem degradation

Analysis of CPP trends for 30 selected compounds (Table S1; Figures S3-S32) found increased

potential metabolism with ecosystem degradation for (very strong evidence, $P < 0.001$) fructose

(Kendall's tau ~restoration, $\tau = -0.761$), sucrose ($\tau = -0.782$), arabinose ($\tau = -0.844$), glucose

($\tau = -0.802$), xylose ($\tau = -0.761$) and chitin ($\tau = -0.782$); also (strong evidence, $P < 0.01$) galactose

($\tau = -0.617$), mannose ($\tau = -0.597$) and hydrogen sulfide ($\tau = -0.617$); and (moderate evidence, $P \leq$

0.05) glucosamine ($\tau = -0.514$) and arabinoxylan (hemicellulose) ($\tau = -0.453$). Conversely, we found

decreased potential metabolism with ecosystem degradation for ($P < 0.001$) acetate ($\tau = 0.72$), carbon

dioxide ($\tau = 0.844$) and lignin ($\tau = 0.802$); also ($P < 0.01$) glycogen ($\tau = 0.597$), butyrate ($\tau = 0.658$),

trimethylamine N-oxide ($\tau = 0.576$) and trimethylamine ($\tau = 0.555$); and ($P \leq 0.05$) propionate

($\tau = 0.432$) and methane ($\tau = 0.473$).

Compound-wide ordinal correlation trend analyses identified 2,122 compounds with significant

trends ($n = 757$ increasing, $n = 1,365$ decreasing with revegetation age; compound and trend data are in

Supplementary Material files). Carbon-containing compounds were mapped using chemical formula

ratios O:C, H:C and N:C (Figure 2; $n = 720$ increasing, $n = 1,255$ decreasing with revegetation age) to

visualize clusters of compounds of similar chemical and energetic composition that trend together. We

interpreted trends with ecosystem degradation as the opposite of the visualized trends with revegetation

age (restoration). An example plot visualizing all carbon-containing compounds within a single sample

is provided in Figure S33.

Figure 2. Compound-wide trend analysis results derived from soil metagenomes (described in Table

1), showing carbon-containing compounds with increasing (aqua, $n = 720$) or decreasing (red, $n =$

1,255) compound processing potential (CPP, i.e., functional capacity % or potential metabolism at

individual compound scale) with revegetation age. Each point represents a compound, mapped via its

chemical formula O:C, H:C and N:C elemental ratios. Only compounds that have trending potential

metabolism (i.e., shifting CPP % values) from degraded to mature/natural ecosystem quality are

displayed. Clusters of points indicate energetically and chemically similar compounds. The O:C by

H:C panels are zoomed in from their full extent to improve visualization of more data-rich regions. N:C

ratios are displayed as three depth-slices to represent the third dimension. Rectangular zones denoting

compound classes are provided as a visual guide only (adapted from Rivas-Ubach et al., 2018; Wu et

al., 2018).

3.2. Potential metabolism trends in T2D

In the 30 selected compounds, we found consistent trends in the Swedish (SWE) and Chinese (CHN)

cohort datasets (Table S1; Figures S3-S32, S34) for increased potential metabolism in T2D for fructose

(SWE: $P \leq 0.05$, CHN: $P < 0.001$), arabinose (SWE: $P \leq 0.05$, CHN: $P < 0.001$), and amylopectin and

amylose (SWE and CHN: $P \leq 0.05$). We found decreased potential metabolism in T2D for lignin (SWE

and CHN: $P \leq 0.05$).

Numerous trends were only found in one T2D cohort or the other. Increased potential

metabolism was found in T2D in the Swedish cohort for sucrose and starch ($P \leq 0.05$), and in the

Chinese cohort for mannose ($P < 0.001$); glucose, galactose and xylose (all $P < 0.01$). Decreased

potential metabolism was found in T2D in the Chinese cohort for acetate, propionate, butyrate, carbon

dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, Menaquinone (Vitamin K2), indole (all $P < 0.001$); glucosamine ($P < 0.01$);

methane, p-cresol and serotonin (all $P \leq 0.05$). We found conflicting potential metabolism trends for

ammonia across the cohorts, which increased in Swedish ($P < 0.01$) but decreased in Chinese ($P <$

0.001).

The compound-wide Wilcoxon rank sum trend analyses in T2D Swedish and Chinese cohorts

identified similar numbers of compounds with increased potential metabolism, but substantially higher

numbers of compounds with decreased potential metabolism in the Chinese cohort (visualized in

Figures S35 and S36; compounds and trend data for both T2D datasets are available in Supplementary

Material). For consistency, across both compound-wide T2D association analyses, and to retain the

most interesting T2D (SWE) cohort data, these data were not subject to P-adjustment for multiple

testing. In the T2D (SWE) data, a total of 1243 compounds with trending potential metabolism (n =

915 decreased, $n = 328$ increased) were found. From these, a total of 1158 carbon-containing

compounds ($n = 864$ decreased, $n = 294$ increased) were mapped using chemical formula ratios O:C,

H:C and N:C (Figure S35). For the T2D (CHN) data a total of 4299 compounds (n = 3965 decreased, n

= 334 increased) were identified, corresponding to 3970 carbon-containing compounds (n = 3678

decreased, n = 292 increased) mapped using chemical formula ratios O:C, H:C and N:C (Figure S36).

From visual inspection (comparing Figures S35 and S36), the chemical ratio mapping displays some

zones of similarity (e.g., in the vicinity of carbohydrates) but also dramatic differences due to the

widespread number of compounds with reduced potential metabolism in the T2D (CHN) dataset.

3.3. Consistent CPP trends with ecosystem degradation and T2D

Within the list of 30 selected compounds (Table S1), we found limited cases of consistent potential

metabolism trends across all three datasets – i.e. with ecosystem degradation, T2D (SWE), and T2D

(CHN). Specifically, we found increased potential metabolism in fructose and arabinose and decreased

potential metabolism in lignin was consistent across all datasets (Table S1).

Using the compound-wide trend results from T2D (SWE) and restoration gradient datasets, we

performed an overlap analysis, based on matching ModelSEED compound identifiers, to highlight

compounds with potential metabolism trends across both case study scenarios (compound and trend

data are in the Supplementary Material). From a total of 276 compounds that had CPP trends with T2D

(SWE) and across the restoration gradient, 260 carbon-containing compounds were visualized through

chemical ratio mapping (Figure 3). Decreased CPP (i.e., potential metabolism) with T2D (SWE) and

ecosystem degradation was observed in 70 compounds (66 contained carbon; red dots in Figure 3).

Increased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem degradation was observed in 58 compounds (52 with

carbon; green dots in Figure 3). Matching trends with ecosystem restoration were also found.

Decreased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem restoration was observed in 98 compounds (93

contained carbon; yellow dots in Figure 3). Increased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem restoration

was observed in 50 compounds (49 with carbon; blue dots in Figure 3).

Figure 3. Overlap analysis showing carbon-containing compounds with trending potential metabolism in both compound-wide trend analyses of the T2D (Swedish cohort) and restoration gradient case study datasets (i.e., this plot shows overlapping compounds from Figures 2 and S35). Clusters of each color represent energetically and chemically similar compounds occurring in each of the four trend groups listed (numbers of compounds are provided in the text). Further description of the plot format is provided in Figure 2. Expanded views of the grouped 'sugars' and branched-chain fatty acid - acyl-carrier proteins (BCFA-ACPs) are provided in Figures S37-S41.

With our focus on microbiome functional shifts with ecosystem degradation, and to further

explore compounds that also shared potential metabolism trends with T2D (SWE), we inspected the

mapping visualization in Figure 3 and observed two prominent clusters of compounds with matching

trends (also see Table S2-S3, Figures S37 and S39). The first group outlined in green and labelled

'Sugars' in Figure 3 comprised six carbohydrate compounds (L-arabinose, melibiose, manninotriose,

D-lyxulose, sucrose, D-fructose; Table S2) that all display increasing CPP in both T2D (SWE) and

with ecosystem degradation. In the O:C, H:C, N:C mapping space, these sugars all occur on the line

H:C = 2 × O:C, with N:C = 0 (i.e., green points in Figure S37). Analysis of summed CPP values for

these sugars showed that they collectively exhibit a very strong increase with ecosystem degradation

(Kendall's tau ~restoration = -0.844, P = <0.001) and a strong increase in T2D (SWE) (Wilcoxon rank

sum W = 984, P = 0.002) (Figures 4 and S38).

The second group outlined in red in Figure 3 comprised 35 unique compounds involved in fatty

acid biosynthesis. This group was labelled BCFA-ACPs (branched-chain fatty acid – acyl-carrier

proteins) as it is dominated by this type of compound (n = 30), together with n = 5 straight-chain fatty

acid-ACPs (Table S3). All compounds in the BCFA-ACPs group have reduced CPP in T2D (SWE) and

reduced CPP in disturbed ecosystems, and they each occur on two straight lines in the O:C, H:C, N:C

mapping space (line 1: H:C = -0.625 × O:C + 2, and line 2: H:C = -0.375 × O:C + 2, where N:C is in

the range >0 to 0.2; Figure S39). Analysis of summed CPP values for the BCFA-ACPs group showed

that they collectively exhibited a very strong decrease with ecosystem degradation (Kendall's tau

~restoration = 0.741, P = <0.001) and also moderate reduction in T2D (SWE) (Wilcoxon rank sum W

= 513, P = 0.02) (Figures 4 and S40). When the summed CPP data for these groups were validated in

the T2D Chinese cohort data, they showed very strong agreement (sugars: Wilcoxon rank sum W =

1146, P <0.001, BCFA-ACPs: W = 336, P <0.001; Figure 4, detailed plot in Figure S41).

Figure 4. Potential metabolism of sugars (a-c), lignin (d-f), and branched-chain fatty acid – acyl carrier

proteins (BCFA-ACPs, listed in Tables S3, S4) (g-i), within the restoration gradient (spanning

restoration planting ages 6, 12, 22, 31 years and unmined, UM) (a, d, e), T2D (Swedish cohort, SWE;

T2D met- = type 2 diabetes not treated with metformin, Normal = normal healthy subjects) (b, e, h),

and T2D (Chinese cohort, CHN) (c, f, i). Sugars and BCFA-ACPs were groupings identified via

matching trends in T2D (SWE) and with ecosystem degradation (Figure 3). Sugars were graphed as the

sum of compound processing potential (CPP, %) for six compounds (L-arabinose, melibiose, D-

fructose, sucrose, manninotriose and D-lyxulose), however subsequent testing showed only three of

these sugars (L-arabinose, melibiose, D-fructose) displayed individual trends in T2D (Chinese cohort,

CHN) (Tables S2, S4). Lignin CPP values were log_{10}-transformed to improve display.

To confirm and consolidate individual compound trends exhaustively across all compound-wide

trend results, we further isolated only those ModelSEED compound identifiers with matching trends

across all three case studies. This process identified 76 compounds with $n = 60$ decreased, $n = 16$

increased with ecosystem degradation, T2D (SWE) and T2D (CHN) (Figure 5; Table S4; compound

and trend data are further detailed in Supplementary Material). Summary trend and contextual

information are displayed in Figure 5, using mean results from T2D (SWE) and T2D (CHN) cohort

datasets. From this filtering process at the individual compound level, remarkably, the signal of

decreased potential metabolism for all 35 compounds in the BCFA-ACPs group previously identified

from overlapping restoration gradient and T2D (SWE) datasets also remained when intersected with

the T2D (CHN) dataset.

The BCFA-ACPs group comprised three categories of compounds: monomethyl unsaturated

medium to long BCFA-ACPs ($n = 18$), monomethyl saturated predominantly medium BCFA-ACPs (n

$= 12$), and unsaturated short, medium, and long straight-chain fatty acid-ACPs ($n = 5$) (Table S3).

BCFA lengths are based on the main carbon chain (short: < 6, medium: 6-12, long: 13-21 carbon

atoms; Mao et al., 2023). All 35 compounds, for all case studies, were associated with NADH and

NADPH enoyl-ACP reductases (EC 1.3.1.9 and EC 1.3.1.10 respectively), while several compounds

from the restoration case study were also associated with beta-ketoacyl-ACP synthase (EC 2.3.1.41) –

all of which are involved in type II fatty acid biosynthesis. One compound from the BCFA-ACPs group

(unsaturated medium-length straight-chain (2E)-Decenoyl-[acp]) was also associated across all case

studies with trans-2-cis-3-decenoyl-ACP isomerase (EC 5.3.3.14), which is involved in unsaturated

fatty acid biosynthesis.

As monomethyl BCFA-ACPs dominated this trend group, we were curious to understand

whether they might feature there exclusively, or if similar compounds were also present throughout the

data and not display trends. We found hundreds of other unique non-trending ACP-containing

compounds ($n = 296$ restoration, $n = 289$ T2D-SWE, $n = 293$ T2D-CHN), spanning a multitude of

enzymes or sub-functions ($n = 223$ restoration, $n = 137$ T2D-SWE, $n = 146$ T2D-CHN). Focusing only

on the methyl-containing variants, a shared inventory of non-trending ACP compounds was found

across all three datasets. Intriguingly, there were no other monomethyl unsaturated (-enoyl) BCFA in

the datasets beyond those that featured in our BCFA-ACPs trend group. The remaining shared non-

trending methyl-containing ACP compounds ($n = 56$) either contained carbonyl (-oxo-) groups ($n =$

18), or hydroxyl (-hydroxy-) groups ($n = 22$), or ester groups ($n = 9$), while the remaining ($n = 7$) were

saturated BCFA-ACPs (one short chain, and six long 13-16 chain length). These latter, non-trending

saturated BCFA-ACPs also differed from the saturated BCFA-ACPs in our trend group, which

contained mostly medium-length chains (Table S3).

Additionally, when results from the T2D (CHN) dataset were intersected, this refined the results

for the sugars that were previously highlighted from overlapping the restoration gradient and T2D

(SWE) datasets. When all datasets were intersected, arabinose, fructose, and melibiose still remained as

trending, together with 6-phosphosucrose, an intermediate in sucrose biosynthesis.

Figure 5. Relative importance of compounds with consistent trends across all case study data, showing

increased or decreased compound processing potential (CPP %, i.e., potential metabolism) with

ecosystem degradation (soil) and in T2D (gut) microbiomes. Compounds including branched-chain

fatty acid-acyl carrier proteins (BCFA-ACPs), sugars that feature widely across many functional

themes and pathways, and lignin are highlighted in the plot. Trends with ecosystem degradation are

interpreted as the inverse of restoration (based on Kendall's tau ordinal correlation with revegetation

age classes). Measures of significance (y-axis) from the Wilcoxon difference testing of CPP in T2D

(versus normal), and the number of level 3 (L3) subsystems (functional themes) linked to each

compound, are calculated as mean values from the Swedish and Chinese cohorts. Further details for

compounds ($n = 76$ total) are in Table S4.

4. Discussion

We identify several meaningful overlapping trends in the functional capacity of soil and human gut

microbiomes, supporting our hypothesis that increased population exposure to degraded soil

ecosystems may contribute to the development of type 2 diabetes. These linkages warrant further

investigation due to the rising prevalence and impact of T2D and the widespread and increasing

processes of global change impacting soil microbiomes, which provide a key reservoir and source for

priming and resupplying the human gut microbiome. A possible alternative or complementary

explanation may be that similar ecological stresses and dysfunction in soil and gut ecosystems may be

driving convergence in microbial functional traits. Using a novel method, we translated community-

scale microbial metagenome functional capacities to quantify potential metabolism for individual

compounds. This compound-oriented approach facilitates linkages to a wealth of published literature

that links chemical compounds with metabolism, health and wider biological processes in humans and

the environment. Our mapping of compounds into the bioenergetically informed O:C, H:C and N:C

coordinate space helped to reveal meaningful patterns of simultaneously trending compounds (e.g.,

sugars, BCFA-ACPs) with soil-ecosystem quality and T2D versus normal health.

4.1. Do branched-chain fatty acids connect healthy ecosystems and healthy people?

Our data suggest that microbiomes in degraded ecosystems and T2D have reduced capacity for

monomethyl BCFA (mmBCFA) biosynthesis. This may reflect a shift in the biochemical composition

of bacterial assemblages as BCFAs are key components that enhance bacterial membrane fluidity, and

BCFA synthesis capacity enables membrane fluidity-related adaptive responses for survival and

proliferation through environmental stresses (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Yoon et al., 2015). BCFA levels

vary among bacterial taxa, being commonly higher in Gram-positive bacteria, present in some Gram-

negative species, and especially high in *Bacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* (Yang et al., 2025; Yoon et al.,

2015). Within ecosystems, BCFAs are present in lipid/waxy plant compounds, animal (including

human) tissues and fluids, including ruminant products (milk, cheese, meat), fish, organs, blood serum,

skin, hair, adipose tissue, colostrum, breast milk, *vernix caseosa* of newborns, and at very high levels in

saprotrophic fungi such as *Conidiobolus* spp. found in soil and decaying organic matter (Gozdzik et al.,

2023; Maheshwari et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2023). Therefore, variation in BCFA synthesis capacity

could result from changes in microbial taxonomic composition as ecosystems degrade.

Monomethyl BCFAs are of interest because they possess multiple beneficial bioactivities for

human health and provide a direct link between bacterial and human physiology (Mao et al., 2023).

Beneficial bioactivities of mmBCFA include lipid-lowering, reducing the risk of metabolic disorders,

maintaining normal β cell (i.e., insulin-producing) function and insulin sensitivity, anti-inflammation,

cytotoxicity to cancer cells and regulation of development (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023;

Yang et al., 2025). Bacterially-derived mmBCFAs facilitate the direct biochemical crosstalk (i.e.,

uptake and cross-domain metabolism) between the gut microbiome and animal hosts (Brown et al.,

2023; Zhu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2021). In the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and mammalian

tissue culture cells, mmBCFA have been shown to trigger the host mechanistic target of rapamycin

complex 1 (mTORC1) (Zhu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2021), a central regulator of cell growth and

metabolism (Takahara et al., 2020). The host mTORC1 responds to environmental signals (e.g., amino

acid availability, growth factors, energy levels, stress) to coordinate cellular status. However, mTORC1

dysregulation is closely linked to diabetes, cancer, and neurodegenerative disorders (Takahara et al.,

2020). Liu et al. (2017) reported high capacities for human fetal intestinal epithelial cells (enterocytes)

to incorporate long-chain BCFA into membrane phospholipids. Following uptake by human intestinal

epithelial cells, further potential interactions between BCFA and host endogenous BCFA synthesis and

metabolism may occur (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023), including post-translational

modifications to cell proteins, which alter intestinal cell function (Ezzine et al., 2022). While the

precise mechanisms are uncertain, it is plausible that mmBCFA in the gut microbiome might modulate

host cellular metabolism and physiological functioning via these interactions.

Microbial sources play a dominant role in the supply of health-associated mmBCFA (Mao et

al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). Key inputs to BCFA synthesis include branched-chain amino acids (which

can only be made in bacteria, plants, and fungi, but not in animals; Neinast et al., 2019) or shorter

BCFA, which can be elongated. Microbes (e.g., rumen bacteria) also contribute to BCFA synthesis in

animals (Mao et al., 2023). Subsequently, both diet and gut microbiome synthesis provide major

sources of BCFA to humans (Mao et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). Within microbial environments (e.g.,

in soil or the gut), the interchange of BCFA between substrates and microbes can be expected because

fatty acids are a high-value energy source, and bacteria can assimilate environmental BCFA into their

bodies (Sen et al., 2016; Yao & Rock, 2015). In the gut, the constant release of a portion of mmBCFAs

from bacterial cell membranes occurs due to the rapid turnover of bacterial cells from competitive

interactions (Booth et al., 2023) and the secretion of fatty acids and their derivatives (Brown et al.,

2023). A portion of these gut bacteria may be supplied from external ecosystem exposures (Roslund et

al., 2021; Rothschild et al., 2018) and be further shaped by diet and other factors (e.g., high BCFA-

content Bifidobacterium spp. proliferate in infants receiving breast milk; Stewart et al., 2018).

As ecosystems degrade, there may be several reasons why BCFA-synthesizing organisms

decline. Ecosystem degradation is widely associated with reductions in soil organic matter (e.g., Chen

et al., 2018; Cunningham et al., 2015; IPBES, 2018; Kooch et al., 2022). Indeed, the more degraded

soils from the restoration gradient case study dataset had lower soil organic content than unmined sites

(Avera et al., 2015). Soil organic matter comprises a continuum of progressively decomposed organic

compounds originating from plant residues, root exudates, microbial synthesis, and other chemical

reactions, and commonly includes amino acids and fatty acids (Song et al., 2024). Amino acids can be

released from proteins in the soil through proteolysis at rates correlated with soil organic matter pools

and soil protein concentrations (Näsholm et al., 2009). This release increases with ecosystem

succession (Kielland et al., 2007). Natural decomposition and recycling networks of saprotrophic fungi

(which includes many high BCFA-content spp.) may also be degraded, likely reducing BCFA content

and turnover in soil microbiomes. Diverse plant litter contributes to increased soil carbon, higher

microbial abundance, diversity, decomposition and turnover, which strengthens over time (Zhang et al.,

2023). In contrast, urban areas exhibit dramatically reduced fungal abundance and diversity compared

to natural ecosystems (Abrego et al., 2020). Urbanization has been associated with the homogenization

of soil microbiomes (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021), which in turn reduces the diversity of

assemblages that resupply the human gut microbiome. Taken together, these influences may reduce

access to and recycling of both branched-chain amino acids and fatty acids for soil microbiomes in

degraded ecosystems, thereby potentially reducing ambient human exposure to health-promoting

microbial BCFA synthesis capacity.

4.2. Identified compounds linked to energy harvesting

We found that potential metabolism of sugars (including arabinose, fructose, and melibiose) and the

intermediate compound 6-phosphosucrose each increased with ecosystem degradation and T2D.

Additionally, the potential metabolism of sucrose, glucose, galactose, xylose and mannose increased in

more degraded ecosystems. Sugars are the most abundant organic compounds in the biosphere and are

key carbon and energy sources for soil microbes (Gunina & Kuzyakov, 2015). Sugars in soil can either

be derived from primary sources (e.g., decomposition of plant litter, rhizodeposits, root exudates) or

secondary sources (e.g., microorganisms and their residues) (Gunina & Kuzyakov, 2015). Our

detection of increased potential metabolism of simple sugars (largely monosaccharides and

disaccharides) likely reflects enhanced microbial decomposition of soil organic matter within more

degraded, less biodiverse ecosystems (Chen et al., 2018). Aligning with the sugars that we identified,

previous work suggests that galactose, glucose, mannose, arabinose and xylose are chief components of

the estimated 5% sugar residues contained within soil organic matter (Nagar, 1962). We might

therefore conclude that increased potential metabolism of sugars is indicative of microbiomes in

degraded ecosystems that consume, rather than build or maintain, soil organic matter.

In the gut microbiome, carbohydrate metabolism contributes up to 10% of the host's overall

energy extraction and is recognized as an important contributor to insulin resistance and the

development of obesity and prediabetes (Takeuchi et al., 2023). Takeuchi et al. (2023) found increased

gut microbiome metabolism of monosaccharides, including fructose, galactose, mannose and xylose,

significantly correlated with insulin resistance. Interestingly, in soils and humans, enhanced sugar

metabolism is also associated with more opportunistic bacteria (Le Bouguénec & Schouler, 2011).

Increased relative abundances of opportunists (including fast-growing, potential pathogens) have been

associated with disturbance in urban greenspace soils (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021) and in human-

altered land uses (Liddicoat et al., 2019). If microbial assemblages with enhanced sugar metabolism are

transferred from degraded ecosystems to the human gut, they may exhibit opportunistic or pathogen-

like traits—potentially contributing to increased energy harvest and metabolic imbalances associated

with T2D.

4.3. Identified compounds linked to energy management

We found altered potential metabolism for compounds with plausible links to energy management

(e.g., energy storage via fatty acids, gut hormone secretion and appetite regulation) that decreased in

both degraded ecosystems and T2D. This finding supports the notion that exposures to degraded

ecosystem soils that are deficient in potential metabolism of compounds involved in energy

management may supplement and shape gut microbiomes that are also deficient in this way, thereby

contributing to further metabolic imbalances found in T2D. We discuss above how gut microbiome

mmBCFA content and biosynthesis capacity might modulate host cellular metabolism via central

mTORC1 regulation, alteration of enterocyte phospholipid composition and function, and/or supply of

BCFA for further host endogenous fatty acid synthesis and BCFA-mediated metabolism.

Dietary fiber, such as lignin, is known to decrease plasma ghrelin, known as the hunger

hormone, and increase other key gut regulatory hormones: cholecystokinin (CCK), glucagon-like-

peptide-1 (GLP-1), and peptide YY (PYY) (Bulsiewicz, 2023). Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are

produced when certain members of the gut microbiome ferment non-digestible fibers, and these SCFAs

bind to receptors in the colon, leading to the production of a range of key hormones that regulate food

intake, body weight, and energy metabolism (Bulsiewicz, 2023; Chao et al., 2025). We included both

lignin and pectin to represent fiber, which were detected in the datasets and are among the recognized

key types of dietary fibers (Blanco-Pérez et al., 2021). Potential metabolism of lignin decreased with

ecosystem degradation and in T2D gut microbiomes. Similar trends appeared for sinapyl alcohol and

coumaryl alcohol (both precursors to lignin) in the compound-wide results spanning all datasets.

Potential metabolism for pectin also decreased in T2D (significantly in the Swedish cohort, and

marginally in the Chinese cohort), but not in degraded ecosystems. We also searched for

oligosaccharides (e.g., fructan, inulin, galactan) but did not detect these compounds in our datasets.

Additional compounds that we identified remain to be explored (see Table S4).

4.4. Disentangling dietary and environmental influences on T2D gut microbiomes

We expect that our results showing altered potential metabolism for compounds detected within gut

metagenomes may arise from a combination of environmental exposures that supplement and shape gut

microbe assemblages, dietary choices that further support or deplete members of the gut microbiome,

gut environment and function, and neurological influences, among other factors (Cryan et al., 2019).

Where we found matching trends across all case studies (e.g., arabinose, fructose, melibiose, BCFA-

ACPs, lignin), this is consistent with an alignment between environmental exposures and dietary

choices or perhaps an overwhelming influence from environmental exposures. In the scenario where

compounds consistently showed in both T2D cohorts, but not in the restoration gradient, this suggests

dietary effects alone. For example, increased potential metabolism results for amylopectin and amylose

suggest that excessive consumption of starch-rich foods may contribute to T2D. For many compounds

we found alignment in potential metabolism between the ecosystem condition and T2D gut (Chinese

cohort), but not the T2D gut (Swedish cohort) – this may point to greater environmental exposures and

detrimental health influence in some population groups. Yet another contrast occurred in the case of

ammonia, where potential metabolism trends were opposing in the T2D cohorts, in the absence of any

ecosystem condition effect, which suggests a lone influence of dietary exposures associating with T2D.

4.5. Implications for community connection to soils and ecosystems

Our findings suggest that filling knowledge gaps in the pathogenesis of T2D may require more holistic

consideration of linkages, especially at the systems level of health risk and promotion (consistent with

Handte-Reinecker & Sardeshpande, 2025); such as where landscape-scale ecosystem management may

drive changes in microbial functional capacities represented across assemblages of organisms. Our

findings suggest that maintaining balanced functioning healthy soil ecosystems in human habitats,

especially those used for food production, is increasingly important for supporting healthy human

populations. Increasing urbanization, ecosystem degradation, the industrialization of food production

and its distribution, and reducing time spent in nature have collectively reduced contact with soil

microbiomes for a large proportion of people. When humans are exposed to soil in modern

environments, it is increasingly within urbanized or highly modified landscapes (e.g., degraded

ecosystems with low natural biodiversity, highly modified land and disturbed soils, monocultural

agricultural fields) where microbiomes and their functional capacities have been greatly altered.

From a societal viewpoint, our findings suggest that addressing the global T2D pandemic may

benefit from restoring urban biodiversity, developing effective approaches for regenerative agriculture,

increasing soil biological health and diversity as key parts of a restorative continuum, and finding a

better balance between anthropogenic land use and nature. At the heart of this challenge lies the need

for a deeper understanding of soil health—recognizing soil as a complex living ecosystem in which the

microbiome plays a pivotal role. Understanding the critical links between soil health, food production,

and human health is fundamental for strengthening the diminished connections between people, land

and soil (Wall et al., 2015) and provides a foundation for developing strategies and actions that might

lead to improved gut health and resilience to T2D. This critical understanding of links between soil

ecosystem health and human health also draws on parallels of thinking about nature from an

Indigenous peoples' worldview. Indigenous knowledge offers guidance and support to theories that

place the health or condition of nature (land, biodiversity, water and air) as essential to maintaining

human health and wellbeing. Central concepts also recognize the importance of reconnecting with

nature by strengthening engagement with ecosystems through activities such as restoration and

regeneration. For example, in Aotearoa-New Zealand, Indigenous Māori stress connection and inter-

dependency with ecosystems through core principles such as ancestral links and association (Warbrick

et al., 2023). This includes concepts of whakapapa (genealogical descent of all living things) which

holistically reaffirms the importance of genetic and chemical assemblages in soils and landscapes to

support all life forms, including microbiomes (Harmsworth & Awatere, 2013). Concepts of

kaitiakitanga (guardianship of the environment) are also commonly used to reinforce actions and

strategies to guide environmental restoration and promote health. Indigenous perspectives offer

important guidance to all peoples for personally reconnecting and engaging with our ecosystems and

their stewardship in order to support healthy landscapes, soils, microbiomes and people.

4.6. Conclusions

We found overlapping trends in the potential metabolism of compounds with beneficial bioactivities

and involved in energy harvesting and management within separate model case study datasets of

degraded ecosystem soil and T2D gut microbiomes from Swedish and Chinese cohorts. Our results

support existing studies implicating, at least in part, a role for microbiomes in T2D. Additionally, our

findings support the hypothesis that excessive soil microbiome exposures from degraded environments

may contribute to metabolic imbalances found in T2D. Our novel method used to examine microbiome

potential metabolism at the resolution of individual compounds has derived new insights into potential

links between soil, ecosystem and T2D gut health that warrant further transdisciplinary investigation.

Data availability

Code used to support this study is available from: https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d

Acknowledgments

652 We acknowledge the authors of previously published metagenomics datasets used here, which enabled

653 comparison of samples spanning human and environmental health. We acknowledge Indigenous

654 peoples and the traditional custodians of the lands on which the case study datasets originate, and our

655 authors live and work. This work was supported by the People, Cities and Nature research program

656 (Aotearoa New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, grant UOWX2101).

References

Aliluev, A., Tritschler, S., Sterr, M., Oppenländer, L., Hinterdobler, J., Greisle, T., . . . Böttcher, A. (2021). Diet-induced alteration of intestinal stem cell function underlies obesity and prediabetes in mice. *Nature Metabolism, 3*(9), 1202-1216. doi:10.1038/s42255-021-00458-9

Anthony, M. A., Bender, S. F., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2023). Enumerating soil biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120*(33), e2304663120. doi:doi:10.1073/pnas.2304663120

Attard, S. M., Herring, A. H., Mayer-Davis, E. J., Popkin, B. M., Meigs, J. B., & Gordon-Larsen, P. (2012). Multilevel examination of diabetes in modernising China: what elements of urbanisation are most associated with diabetes? *Diabetologia, 55*(12), 3182-3192. doi:10.1007/s00125-012-2697-8

Avera, B. N., Strahm, B. D., Burger, J. A., & Zipper, C. E. (2015). Development of ecosystem structure and function on reforested surface-mined lands in the Central Appalachian Coal Basin of the United States. *New Forests, 46*(5), 683-702. doi:10.1007/s11056-015-9502-8

Beulens, J. W. J., Pinho, M. G. M., Abreu, T. C., den Braver, N. R., Lam, T. M., Huss, A., . . . Vermeulen, R. C. H. (2022). Environmental risk factors of type 2 diabetes—an exposome approach. *Diabetologia, 65*(2), 263-274. doi:10.1007/s00125-021-05618-w

Blakemore, R. J. (2025). Biodiversity restated: > 99.9% of global species in Soil Biota. *ZooKeys, 1224*, 283-316.

Blanco-Pérez, F., Steigerwald, H., Schülke, S., Vieths, S., Toda, M., & Scheurer, S. (2021). The Dietary Fiber Pectin: Health Benefits and Potential for the Treatment of Allergies by Modulation of Gut Microbiota. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports, 21*(10), 43. doi:10.1007/s11882-021-01020-z

Blot, F., Marchix, J., Ejarque, M., Jimenez, S., Meunier, A., Keime, C., . . . Gradwohl, G. (2023). Gut Microbiota Remodeling and Intestinal Adaptation to Lipid Malabsorption After Enteroendocrine Cell Loss in Adult Mice. *Cellular and molecular gastroenterology and hepatology, 15*(6), 1443-1461. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2023.02.013

Booth, S. C., Smith, W. P. J., & Foster, K. R. (2023). The evolution of short- and long-range weapons for bacterial competition. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 7*(12), 2080-2091. doi:10.1038/s41559-023-02234-2

Brown, E. M., Clardy, J., & Xavier, R. J. (2023). Gut microbiome lipid metabolism and its impact on host physiology. *Cell Host & Microbe, 31*(2), 173-186. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.01.009

Browne, H. P., Forster, S. C., Anonye, B. O., Kumar, N., Neville, B. A., Stares, M. D., . . . Lawley, T. D. (2016). Culturing of 'unculturable' human microbiota reveals novel taxa and extensive sporulation. *Nature, 533*(7604), 543-546. doi:10.1038/nature17645 http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v533/n7604/abs/nature17645.html#supplementary-information

Bulsiewicz, W. J. (2023). The Importance of Dietary Fiber for Metabolic Health. *American Journal of Lifestyle Medicine, 17*(5), 639-648. doi:10.1177/15598276231167778

Chao, J., Coleman, R. A., Keating, D. J., & Martin, A. M. (2025). Gut Microbiome Regulation of Gut Hormone Secretion. *Endocrinology, 166*(4). doi:10.1210/endocr/bqaf004

Cheema, A., Adeloye, D., Sidhu, S., Sridhar, D., & Chan, K. Y. (2014). Urbanization and prevalence of type 2 diabetes in Southern Asia: A systematic analysis. *J Glob Health, 4*(1), 010404. doi:10.7189/jogh.04.010404

Chen, L., Guo, L., Feng, S., Wang, C., Cui, Z., Wang, S., . . . Ding, D. (2023). Fecal microbiota
transplantation ameliorates type 2 diabetes via metabolic remodeling of the gut microbiota in
db/db mice. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care, 11*(3), e003282. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2022-
003282
Chen, S., Wang, W., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Wan, H., Chen, D., . . . Bai, Y. (2018). Plant diversity
enhances productivity and soil carbon storage. *Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences, 115*(16), 4027. doi:10.1073/pnas.1700298114
Cryan, J. F., O'Riordan, K. J., Cowan, C. S. M., Sandhu, K. V., Bastiaanssen, T. F. S., Boehme, M., . . .
Dinan, T. G. (2019). The Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. *Physiological Reviews, 99*(4), 1877-2013.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00018.2018
Cunningham, A. L., Stephens, J. W., & Harris, D. A. (2021). Gut microbiota influence in type 2
diabetes mellitus (T2DM). *Gut Pathogens, 13*(1), 50. doi:10.1186/s13099-021-00446-0
Cunningham, S. C., Cavagnaro, T. R., Mac Nally, R., Paul, K. I., Baker, P. J., Beringer, J., . . .
Thompson, R. M. (2015). Reforestation with native mixed-species plantings in a temperate
continental climate effectively sequesters and stabilizes carbon within decades. *Global Change
Biology, 21*(4), 1552-1566. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12746
Daisley, B. A., Koenig, D., Engelbrecht, K., Doney, L., Hards, K., Al, K. F., . . . Burton, J. P. (2021).
Emerging connections between gut microbiome bioenergetics and chronic metabolic diseases.
Cell Reports, 37(10), 110087. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110087
Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D. J., Liu, Y.-R., Sokoya, B., Wang, J.-T., Hu, H.-W., . . . Fierer, N.
(2021). Global homogenization of the structure and function in the soil microbiome of urban
greenspaces. *Science Advances, 7*(28), eabg5809. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abg5809
Ezzine, C., Léa, L., Nadine, M., Christine, B.-F., Pierre, D., Moïse, C., & and Ribet, D. (2022). Fatty
acids produced by the gut microbiota dampen host inflammatory responses by modulating
intestinal SUMOylation. *Gut Microbes, 14*(1), 2108280. doi:10.1080/19490976.2022.2108280
Fierer, N., Wood, S. A., & Bueno de Mesquita, C. P. (2021). How microbes can, and cannot, be used to
assess soil health. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 153*, 108111.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108111
Flandroy, L., Poutahidis, T., Berg, G., Clarke, G., Dao, M.-C., Decaestecker, E., . . . Rook, G. (2018).
The impact of human activities and lifestyles on the interlinked microbiota and health of
humans and of ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment, 627*, 1018-1038.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.288
Flies, E. J., Mavoa, S., Zosky, G. R., Mantzioris, E., Williams, C., Eri, R., . . . Buettel, J. C. (2019).
Urban-associated diseases: Candidate diseases, environmental risk factors, and a path forward.
Environment International, 133, 105187. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105187
Forslund, K., Hildebrand, F., Nielsen, T., Falony, G., Le Chatelier, E., Sunagawa, S., . . . Pedersen, O.
(2015). Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut
microbiota. *Nature, 528*(7581), 262-266. doi:10.1038/nature15766
http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v528/n7581/abs/nature15766.html#supplementary-information
Gassasse, Z., Smith, D., Finer, S., & Gallo, V. (2017). Association between urbanisation and type 2
diabetes: an ecological study. *BMJ Global Health, 2*(4), e000473. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-
000473
Gilbert, J. A., Blaser, M. J., Caporaso, J. G., Jansson, J. K., Lynch, S. V., & Knight, R. (2018). Current
understanding of the human microbiome. *Nature Medicine, 24*, 392. doi:10.1038/nm.4517
Gozdzik, P., Magkos, F., Sledzinski, T., & Mika, A. (2023). Monomethyl branched-chain fatty acids:
Health effects and biological mechanisms. *Progress in Lipid Research, 90*, 101226.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2023.101226

Gunina, A., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2015). Sugars in soil and sweets for microorganisms: Review of origin, content, composition and fate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 90*, 87-100. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.07.021

Gurung, M., Li, Z., You, H., Rodrigues, R., Jump, D. B., Morgun, A., & Shulzhenko, N. (2020). Role of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes pathophysiology. *EBioMedicine, 51*. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.051

Handte-Reinecker, A., & Sardeshpande, M. (2025). Microbiomes as Modulators of Human and Planetary Health: A Relational and Cross-Scale Perspective. *Global Change Biology, 31*(4), e70152. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.70152

Harmsworth, G. R., & Awatere, S. (2013). Indigenous māori knowledge and perspectives of ecosystems. In J. Dymond (Ed.), *Ecosystem services in New Zealand – conditions and trends* (pp. 274-286). Lincoln, New Zealand: Manaaki Whenua Press.

IPBES. (2018). *The IPBES assessment report on land degradation and restoration*. Retrieved from Bonn, Germany:

Karlsson, F. H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergström, G., Behre, C. J., Fagerberg, B., . . . Bäckhed, F. (2013). Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control. *Nature, 498*(7452), 99-103. doi:10.1038/nature12198

Kielland, K., McFarland, J. W., Ruess, R. W., & Olson, K. (2007). Rapid Cycling of Organic Nitrogen in Taiga Forest Ecosystems. *Ecosystems, 10*(3), 360-368. doi:10.1007/s10021-007-9037-8

Kooch, Y., Amani, M., & Abedi, M. (2022). The effect of shrublands degradation intensity on soil organic matter-associated properties in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Science of The Total Environment, 853*, 158664. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158664

Krajmalnik-Brown, R., Ilhan, Z.-E., Kang, D.-W., & DiBaise, J. K. (2012). Effects of Gut Microbes on Nutrient Absorption and Energy Regulation. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice, 27*(2), 201-214. doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533611436116

Le Bouguénec, C., & Schouler, C. (2011). Sugar metabolism, an additional virulence factor in enterobacteria. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology, 301*(1), 1-6. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2010.04.021

Liddicoat, C., Edwards, R. A., Roach, M., Robinson, J. M., Wallace, K. J., Barnes, A. D., . . . Breed, M. F. (2024). Bioenergetic mapping of 'healthy microbiomes' via compound processing potential imprinted in gut and soil metagenomes. *Science of The Total Environment, 940*, 173543. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173543

Liddicoat, C., Krauss, S. L., Bissett, A., Borrett, R. J., Ducki, L. C., Peddle, S. D., . . . Breed, M. F. (2022). Next generation restoration metrics: Using soil eDNA bacterial community data to measure trajectories towards rehabilitation targets. *Journal of Environmental Management, 310*, 114748. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114748

Liddicoat, C., Sydnor, H., Cando-Dumancela, C., Dresken, R., Liu, J., Gellie, N. J. C., . . . Breed, M. F. (2020). Naturally-diverse airborne environmental microbial exposures modulate the gut microbiome and may provide anxiolytic benefits in mice. *Science of The Total Environment, 701*, 134684. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134684

Liddicoat, C., Weinstein, P., Bissett, A., Gellie, N., Mills, J., Waycott, M., & Breed, M. (2019). Can bacterial indicators of a grassy woodland restoration inform ecosystem assessment and microbiota-mediated human health? *Environment International, 129*, 105-117. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.011

Liu, L., Wang, Z., Park, H. G., Xu, C., Lawrence, P., Su, X., . . . Brenna, J. T. (2017). Human fetal intestinal epithelial cells metabolize and incorporate branched chain fatty acids in a structure specific manner. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids, 116*, 32-39. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plefa.2016.12.002

Liu, W., Sun, Z., Ma, C., Zhang, J., Ma, C., Zhao, Y., . . . Zhang, H. (2021). Exposure to soil
    environments during earlier life stages is distinguishable in the gut microbiome of adult mice.
    Gut Microbes, 13(1), 1-13. doi:10.1080/19490976.2020.1830699

Lu, X., Xie, Q., Pan, X., Zhang, R., Zhang, X., Peng, G., . . . Tong, N. (2024). Type 2 diabetes mellitus
    in adults: pathogenesis, prevention and therapy. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy,*
    9(1), 262. doi:10.1038/s41392-024-01951-9

Maheshwari, G., Ringseis, R., Wen, G., Gessner, D. K., Rost, J., Fraatz, M. A., . . . Eder, K. (2020).
    Branched-Chain Fatty Acids as Mediators of the Activation of Hepatic Peroxisome Proliferator-
    Activated Receptor Alpha by a Fungal Lipid Extract. *Biomolecules, 10*(9).
    doi:10.3390/biom10091259

Mao, S., Liu, Z., Tian, Y., Li, D., Gao, X., Wen, Y., . . . Liu, L. (2023). Branched-Long-Chain
    Monomethyl Fatty Acids: Are They Hidden Gems? *Journal of Agricultural and Food*
    Chemistry, 71(48), 18674-18684. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.3c06300

Nagar, B. R. (1962). Free Monosaccharides in Soil Organic Matter. *Nature, 194*(4831), 896-897.
    doi:10.1038/194896a0

Näsholm, T., Kielland, K., & Ganeteg, U. (2009). Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New*
    Phytologist, 182(1), 31-48. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02751.x

Neinast, M., Murashige, D., & Arany, Z. (2019). Branched Chain Amino Acids. *Annual Review of*
    Physiology, 81(Volume 81, 2019), 139-164. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-
    020518-114455

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., . . . Wagner, H.
    (2020). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. Retrieved from
    https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan

Ong, K. L., Stafford, L. K., McLaughlin, S. A., Boyko, E. J., Vollset, S. E., Smith, A. E., . . . Vos, T.
    (2023). Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes from 1990 to 2021, with projections of
    prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *The*
    Lancet, 402(10397), 203-234. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01301-6

Overbeek, R., Olson, R., Pusch, G. D., Olsen, G. J., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., . . . Stevens, R. (2013). The
    SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST).
    Nucleic Acids Research, 42(D1), D206-D214. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1226

Pearson, E. R. (2019). Type 2 diabetes: a multifaceted disease. *Diabetologia, 62*(7), 1107-1112.
    doi:10.1007/s00125-019-4909-y

Qin, J., Li, Y., Cai, Z., Li, S., Zhu, J., Zhang, F., . . . Wang, J. (2012). A metagenome-wide association
    study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature, 490*(7418), 55-60.
    doi:http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v490/n7418/abs/nature11450.html#supplementary-
    information

Rivas-Ubach, A., Liu, Y., Bianchi, T. S., Tolić, N., Jansson, C., & Paša-Tolić, L. (2018). Moving
    beyond the van Krevelen Diagram: A New Stoichiometric Approach for Compound
    Classification in Organisms. *Analytical Chemistry, 90*(10), 6152-6160.
    doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00529

Roslund, M. I., Parajuli, A., Hui, N., Puhakka, R., Grönroos, M., Soininen, L., . . . Sinkkonen, A.
    (2022). A Placebo-controlled double-blinded test of the biodiversity hypothesis of immune-
    mediated diseases: Environmental microbial diversity elicits changes in cytokines and increase
    in T regulatory cells in young children. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 242*, 113900.
    doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113900

Roslund, M. I., Puhakka, R., Nurminen, N., Oikarinen, S., Siter, N., Grönroos, M., . . . Sinkkonen, A.
    (2021). Long-term biodiversity intervention shapes health-associated commensal microbiota

among urban day-care children. *Environment International, 157*, 106811.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106811
Rothschild, D., Weissbrod, O., Barkan, E., Kurilshikov, A., Korem, T., Zeevi, D., . . . Segal, E. (2018).
Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature*.
doi:10.1038/nature25973
https://www.nature.com/articles/nature25973#supplementary-information
Rowland, I., Gibson, G., Heinken, A., Scott, K., Swann, J., Thiele, I., & Tuohy, K. (2018). Gut
microbiota functions: metabolism of nutrients and other food components. *European Journal of*
Nutrition, 57(1), 1-24. doi:10.1007/s00394-017-1445-8
Ruiz-Alejos, A., Carrillo-Larco, R. M., Miranda, J. J., Anderson, C. A. M., Gilman, R. H., Smeeth, L.,
& Bernabé-Ortiz, A. (2018). Addressing the impact of urban exposure on the incidence of type
2 diabetes mellitus: The PERU MIGRANT Study. *Scientific Reports, 8*(1), 5512.
doi:10.1038/s41598-018-23812-6
Sen, S., Sirobhushanam, S., Johnson, S. R., Song, Y., Tefft, R., Gatto, C., & Wilkinson, B. J. (2016).
Growth-Environment Dependent Modulation of Staphylococcus aureus Branched-Chain to
Straight-Chain Fatty Acid Ratio and Incorporation of Unsaturated Fatty Acids. *PLoS One,*
11(10), e0165300. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165300
Silva, G. G. Z., Green, K. T., Dutilh, B. E., & Edwards, R. A. (2015). SUPER-FOCUS: a tool for agile
functional analysis of shotgun metagenomic data. *Bioinformatics, 32*(3), 354-361.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv584
Six, J., Frey, S. D., Thiet, R. K., & Batten, K. M. (2006). Bacterial and Fungal Contributions to Carbon
Sequestration in Agroecosystems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal, 70*(2), 555-569.
Song, Y., Yao, S., Li, X., Wang, T., Jiang, X., Bolan, N., . . . Chang, S. X. (2024). Soil metabolomics:
Deciphering underground metabolic webs in terrestrial ecosystems. *Eco-Environment & Health,*
3(2), 227-237. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eehl.2024.03.001
Stewart, C. J., Ajami, N. J., O'Brien, J. L., Hutchinson, D. S., Smith, D. P., Wong, M. C., . . .
Petrosino, J. F. (2018). Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from
the TEDDY study. *Nature, 562*(7728), 583-588. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0617-x
Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B. B., . . . Magliano, D. J.
(2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates
for 2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 183*, 109119.
doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119
Sun, S., & Badgley, B. D. (2019). Changes in microbial functional genes within the soil metagenome
during forest ecosystem restoration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 135*, 163-172.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.05.004
Sun, X., Liddicoat, C., Tiunov, A., Wang, B., Zhang, Y., Lu, C., . . . Zhu, Y.-G. (2023). Harnessing soil
biodiversity to promote human health in cities. *npj Urban Sustainability, 3*(1), 5.
doi:10.1038/s42949-023-00086-0
Takahara, T., Amemiya, Y., Sugiyama, R., Maki, M., & Shibata, H. (2020). Amino acid-dependent
control of mTORC1 signaling: a variety of regulatory modes. *Journal of Biomedical Science,*
27(1), 87. doi:10.1186/s12929-020-00679-2
Takeuchi, T., Kubota, T., Nakanishi, Y., Tsugawa, H., Suda, W., Kwon, A. T.-J., . . . Ohno, H. (2023).
Gut microbial carbohydrate metabolism contributes to insulin resistance. *Nature, 621*(7978),
389-395. doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06466-x
UNDESA. (2019). *World urbanization prospects: The 2018 Revision*. Retrieved from New York:
https://population.un.org/wup/assets/WUP2018-Report.pdf
Van Hul, M., Cani, P. D., Petitfils, C., De Vos, W. M., Tilg, H., & El-Omar, E. M. (2024). What
defines a healthy gut microbiome? *Gut, 73*(11), 1893. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2024-333378

Wall, D. H., Nielsen, U. N., & Six, J. (2015). Soil biodiversity and human health. *Nature, 528*(7580),
69-76. doi:10.1038/nature15744

Warbrick, I., Heke, D., & Breed, M. (2023). Indigenous Knowledge and the Microbiome—Bridging
the Disconnect between Colonized Places, Peoples, and the Unseen Influences That Shape Our
Health and Well-Being. *mSystems, 8*(1), e00875-00822. doi:10.1128/msystems.00875-22

Wu, X., Wu, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, P., Li, Q., Zhou, J., . . . Chakraborty, R. (2018). Microbial Interactions
With Dissolved Organic Matter Drive Carbon Dynamics and Community Succession. *Frontiers
in Microbiology, 9*. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01234

Wu, Z., Zhang, B., Chen, F., Xia, R., Zhu, D., Chen, B., . . . Hou, K. (2023). Fecal microbiota
transplantation reverses insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: A randomized, controlled,
prospective study. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, Volume 12 - 2022*.
doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.1089991

Wyres, K. L., & Holt, K. E. (2018). Klebsiella pneumoniae as a key trafficker of drug resistance genes
from environmental to clinically important bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology, 45*, 131-
139. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2018.04.004

Yang, S.-j., Yu, X.-k., & Zuo, Q. (2025). Branched- Chain Fatty Acids and Obesity: A Narrative
Review. *Nutrition Reviews*. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuaf022

Yao, J., & Rock, C. O. (2015). How Bacterial Pathogens Eat Host Lipids: Implications for the
Development of Fatty Acid Synthesis Therapeutics*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry, 290*(10),
5940-5946. doi:https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R114.636241

Ye, L., Mueller, O., Bagwell, J., Bagnat, M., Liddle, R. A., & Rawls, J. F. (2019). High fat diet induces
microbiota-dependent silencing of enteroendocrine cells. *eLife, 8*, e48479.
doi:10.7554/eLife.48479

Yoon, Y., Lee, H., Lee, S., Kim, S., & Choi, K.-H. (2015). Membrane fluidity-related adaptive
response mechanisms of foodborne bacterial pathogens under environmental stresses. *Food
Research International, 72*, 25-36. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.03.016

Zhang, W.-P., Fornara, D., Yang, H., Yu, R.-P., Callaway, R. M., & Li, L. (2023). Plant litter
strengthens positive biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships over time. *Trends in
Ecology & Evolution, 38*(5), 473-484. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.12.008

Zhou, G.-L., Xie, Y., Zhang, Y.-D., Wang, L., Xie, Y.-T., Qiu, H.-L., . . . Yang, B.-Y. (2024).
Exposure to greenspaces sourced soils improves mice gut microbiota. *Environmental Pollution,
362*, 124931. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2024.124931

Zhu, H., Shen, H., Sewell, A. K., Kniazeva, M., & Han, M. (2013). A novel sphingolipid-TORC1
pathway critically promotes postembryonic development in Caenorhabditis elegans. *eLife, 2*,
e00429. doi:10.7554/eLife.00429

Zhu, M., Teng, F., Li, N., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Xu, F., . . . Zhu, H. (2021). Monomethyl branched-
chain fatty acid mediates amino acid sensing upstream of mTORC1. *Developmental Cell,
56*(19), 2692-2702.e2695. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.09.010