RESEARCH ARTICLE

Degraded ecosystem soil and type 2 diabetes gut microbiomes share altered potential

metabolism for sugars, lignin and branched-chain fatty acids: a blind spot for global

health?

Craig Liddicoat[1,*], Bart A. Eijkelkamp[1], Timothy R. Cavagnaro[1], Jake M. Robinson[1], Kiri Joy

Wallace[2], Andrew D. Barnes[2], Garth Harmsworth[3], Damien J. Keating[4], Robert A. Edwards[1] and

Martin F. Breed[1]

[1]College of Science and Engineering, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

[2]Te Aka Mātuatua – School of Science, University of Waikato, Hamilton, Aotearoa New Zealand

[3]Manaaki Whenua – Landcare Research, Palmerston North, Aotearoa New Zealand

[4]College of Medicine and Public Health, Flinders University, Bedford Park, South Australia, Australia

Corresponding author: Craig Liddicoat; craig.liddicoat@flinders.edu.au

ORCIDs: CL: 0000-0002-4812-7524, BAE: 0000-0003-0179-8977, TRC: 0000-0002-9922-5677,

JMR: 0000-0001-8108-3271; KJW: 0000-0003-1236-6846, ADB: 0000-0002-6499-381X, GH: 0000-

0002-7041-1847, DJK: 0000-0002-0154-8305, RAE: 0000-0001-8383-8949, MFB: 0000-0001-7810-

9696

Competing Interest Statement: The authors declare no competing interest.

Keywords: Healthy microbiome, type 2 diabetes, metagenomics, compound processing potential, soil

biodiversity, human health, metabolism, branched-chain fatty acids

Running Title: Degraded ecosystems and type 2 diabetes

Abstract

Microbiomes connect ecosystems and humans under One Health. Yet, microbiome-mediated impacts of global change on human metabolic health have received little attention. Type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a complex metabolic disease with rapidly increasing global prevalence, uncertain pathogenesis and influences from gut microbiome-host interactions and environmental factors. Soil microbiomes change with ecosystem condition and may influence human metabolism through shaping food quality and gut microbiomes (e.g., functions, metabolites), including transfer of chemical compounds via microbial turnover. Here, we explored potential links between ecosystem degradation and metabolic alterations in T2D gut microbiomes by examining overlapping functional capacities in (1) soil microbiomes from ecosystem quality gradients (highly disturbed to mature/natural sites) and (2) gut microbiomes in T2D versus normal health. Often overlooked, metabolites are key components of microbiomes, with structural, functional and nutritional roles. Therefore, we sought to emphasize the compounds that might be accumulated, depleted and/or transferred via microbiomes, possibly independent of colonization. We developed a novel method to translate microbiome functional capacities (i.e., functional gene-associated biochemical pathway relative abundances) to 'compound processing potential' (CPP, %), reflecting potential metabolism of individual compounds. Examining selected compounds relevant to soil and gut health, and via exhaustive compound-wide trend analyses, we identified compounds relevant to energy harvesting and management that shared potential metabolism trends. We found increased potential metabolism for sugars and decreased potential metabolism for lignin and monomethyl branched-chain fatty acids, in both T2D and degraded ecosystems. These trends were confirmed in separate T2D case study cohorts from Sweden and China, and degraded versus more natural biodiverse soil-plant systems in the United States and Australia, suggesting a generalizable pattern. Our findings support a consequential new hypothesis that nutrient-depleted food and/or

52   excessive exposures associated with degraded ecosystem soil microbiomes may distort gut microbiome

53   functional capacities and metabolism and thereby contribute to type 2 diabetes.

Introduction

Environment, diet and lifestyle exposures under the global trends of urbanization, land use change,

ecosystem degradation, biodiversity loss and reduced nature contact are associated with many non-

communicable diseases, including several with suspected microbiome-mediated links (Flandroy et al.,

2018; Flies et al., 2019; Roslund et al., 2022). Among these, type 2 diabetes (T2D) is a major chronic

multifaceted metabolic disease with uncertain pathogenesis, characterized by insulin resistance, loss of

insulin secretion, high blood sugar levels and wider metabolic dysregulation (Beulens et al., 2022; Lu

et al., 2024; Pearson, 2019). Growing evidence supports the influence of gut microbiomes on human

metabolic health and dysfunction. However, there is a pressing need to better understand the

contribution of microbiomes in T2D (Cunningham et al., 2021; Gurung et al., 2020) due to their

potential mediating influence via diet, lifestyle and environmental factors. The role of environmental

microbiomes in supporting human metabolic health remains understudied (Flandroy et al., 2018)—

particularly in the context of accelerating global change (IPBES, 2018), and despite growing

recognition that microbiomes connect ecosystems and humans under One Health (Banerjee & van der

Heijden, 2022; Muhummed et al., 2025). T2D is a major contributor to the global non-communicable

disease pandemic (Cheema et al., 2014) and represents ~96% of total diabetes cases, which impacted

529 million people in 2021 (6.1% prevalence; costing US$966 billion), and is expected to rise to 1.31

billion people by 2045-2050 (10% prevalence; costing US$1.05 trillion) (Ong et al., 2023; Sun et al.,

2022).

The human gut microbiome is directly involved in our metabolism, shaping the biochemical

profile of our diet via an extensive metabolic repertoire that is distinct yet complementary to

mammalian digestive enzymes (Rowland et al., 2018). The gut microbiome and its diet-derived

metabolites influence human physiology and energy regulation by modulating appetite, energy harvest,

gene expression, gut motility, and the conversion and storage of dietary energy (Chao et al., 2025;

Krajmalnik-Brown et al., 2012). Specifically in T2D, shifts in gut microbiome community and

functional composition have been compared to normal healthy subjects, which are in part alleviated by

treatment with the antidiabetic drug metformin (Forslund et al., 2015). Moreover, fecal microbiome

transplantation studies have demonstrated amelioration of clinical indicators of T2D (Chen et al., 2023;

Wu et al., 2023). Long-term diet is a key factor shaping gut microbiome composition (Gilbert et al.,

2018), and diet shaped by the gut microbiome can modify the expression and operation of intestinal

enteroendocrine cells (EECs) responsible for sensing and responding to nutrients (Aliluev et al., 2021;

Ye et al., 2019). These are multidirectional interactions, as loss of EECs and their secreted hormones

also changes microbiome structures (Blot et al., 2023).

Intriguingly, numerous assessments attribute a major share of T2D risk to environmental

exposures that extend well beyond lifestyle and dietary behaviors to include the built, natural, social,

and physicochemical environments (Beulens et al., 2022). Among these, strong population-level

evidence links T2D to a shift in living environments from rural to rapidly growing, densely populated

urban areas (Attard et al., 2012; Cheema et al., 2014; Gassasse et al., 2017; Ruiz-Alejos et al., 2018).

Importantly, microbiomes may represent a key potential linkage mechanism between environmental

exposures consistent with T2D risk and metabolic processes occurring in the human gut. Gut

microbiomes are predominantly shaped by environmental factors, including diet and ambient

exposures, not host genetics (Rothschild et al., 2018).

In particular, soils represent the globally dominant source of environmental microbial

exposures, providing home to 59% to >99.9% of species on Earth (Anthony et al., 2023; Blakemore,

2025). The gut is dominated by spore-forming bacteria, together with facultative anaerobes, capable of

surviving and dispersing through aerobic environments before re-establishing in suitable oxygen-

deprived habitats (e.g., lower intestine, wet organic-containing soil habitats) (Browne et al., 2016;

Wyres & Holt, 2018). Butyrate-producing bacteria, which ferment organic matter to produce the short-

chain fatty acid butyrate and are considered key members of gut microbiota, are found widely in many

outdoor ecosystems and host organisms (Brame et al., 2024). Exposure to naturally biodiverse soil

microbiomes shapes and may supplement gut microbiome composition and functional capacity,

particularly from a young age (Liddicoat et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2021; Roslund et al., 2021; Wopereis et

al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2024). Gut microbiota variation in wild primates has been explained by

environmental heterogeneity, especially soil differences (Grieneisen et al., 2019). Consequently, soil

microbes and products of microbial activity and turnover (i.e., microbiome components) may be

introduced to the gut environment through direct soil contact, foodborne and airborne (e.g., dust)

microbiomes. Beyond the microbes per se, microbiomes also comprise important metabolites

(including constituent molecules, chemical compounds) and potentially transferable genetic material

(Peng et al., 2025) with diverse structural, functional and nutritional roles (Adamczyk, 2021; Bindels et

al., 2025; Daisley et al., 2021). Although the proportion of soil microbes that transfer and colonize the

gut is uncertain – the capacity for soil microbiomes to shape human gut microbiomes and influence

health and disease is well-recognized (Muhummed et al., 2025; Robinson & Breed, 2025; Roslund et

al., 2024).

Moreover, soil microbiome composition and functional capacity may shift in generalizable

ways with ecosystem quality (i.e., spanning anthropogenically disturbed/degraded to intact natural

condition), with potential to impact human health (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021; Liddicoat et al.,

2024; Liddicoat et al., 2022). As urbanization accelerates—with around 70% of the global population

projected to live in cities by 2050 (UNDESA, 2019)—the degradation and homogenization of soil

microbiomes in urban and peri-urban environments may pose growing risks to human health (Sun et

al., 2023). Soil microbiomes are important for incorporating diverse nutrients into plant and animal-

derived foodstuffs – including via microbial nutrient gathering (Cao et al., 2024), direct incorporation

of metabolites and components of microbial bodies (Adamczyk, 2021), and influencing gut microbiota

of ruminants (Qin et al., 2022). Therefore, soil ecosystem degradation may also impact on human gut

microbiomes and metabolism through dietary pathways. Exploring overlaps in the functional capacities

of (1) degraded versus naturally biodiverse soil microbiomes (linked to aboveground degraded versus

intact ecosystem condition) and (2) T2D versus normal healthy gut microbiomes, may reveal

previously unappreciated microbiome linkages between environments and T2D.

Despite their importance, the measurement and definition of 'healthy microbiomes' in humans

and soils remain challenging (Fierer et al., 2021; Van Hul et al., 2024). Approaches that examine the

range of functions performed by microbiomes are expected to offer improved understanding over

measures based on taxonomic composition (Van Hul et al., 2024). Community-scale microbial

metabolism, where bioenergetic machinery and resources (including metabolites and electron transfer)

are shared in the extracellular space (Daisley et al., 2021), adds complexity and means that assemblages

may often combine to perform functional roles of interest. The need for improved insight into the

contributions of microbiomes in health and disease has motivated efforts to analyze soil and gut

microbiomes through the lens of their *compound processing potential* (CPP) (Liddicoat et al., 2024;

Figure S1). A compound-oriented approach can provide more direct functional information about

microbiomes because of known biochemical and health associations with chemical compounds (e.g.,

microbial feedstocks, substrates and metabolites, such as carbohydrates, lipids, proteins, amino-sugars,

vitamins), which are not described for most microbial taxa. New insights into differential compound

processing by microbiomes may also point to mechanistic hypotheses and/or possible therapeutic

targets. Microbial metagenomes (the entire collective DNA of a sample) are used to examine functions.

However, existing approaches require specialized knowledge of complex biochemical pathways and do

not provide resolution at the fundamental level of the chemical compounds involved (i.e., individual

compounds may often participate in multiple pathways). Existing metabolite prediction frameworks

(e.g., Garza et al., 2018; Mallick et al., 2019; Neveu et al., 2023) have their own strengths in particular

applications, but rely variously on metabolome training datasets, microorganism-specific genome-scale

metabolic models, taxonomic abundance estimates, and assumed environmental conditions, to model

short-term reaction rates. However, these approaches are unsuited to highly biodiverse, poorly

characterized and varying environments such as soils (Liddicoat et al., 2024). Thus, there is a need for

new, compound-oriented methods to examine normal versus aberrant functioning with a consistent

approach across soil and gut microbiomes.

Here we explore and compare model case study soil and gut metagenome datasets from

gradients of ecosystem quality and in subjects with T2D versus normal health by quantifying their

genomic potential to metabolize an array of chemical compounds. Specifically, the aims were to

improve functional and metabolic insights to the role of microbiomes in ecosystems and T2D, and their

potential overlaps, through identifying: (1) compounds with differential potential metabolism (i.e., with

trending CPP) based on analysis of soil metagenomes across an ecosystem quality gradient (i.e.,

degraded to intact natural condition); (2) compounds with differential potential metabolism (based on

CPP values) from gut metagenomes in untreated T2D versus normal health; and (3) overlapping

compounds and biochemical/functional pathways between (1) and (2) that may reveal new insights to

potential environmental microbiome contributions to T2D.

Materials and Methods

Case study data

We examined four model case study datasets (Table 1) comprising previously published (1) soil

metagenomes from a restoration gradient case study (United States, US; that compares degraded post-

mining, reforested, and unmined reference sites; Sun & Badgley, 2019) and disturbed versus natural

soils (as described in Liddicoat et al., 2024) from the Australian Microbiome Initiative (AMI; Bissett et

al., 2016); and (2) gut metagenomes from subjects with untreated T2D and normal healthy controls

(Forslund et al., 2015). We determined CPP trends with restoration (described below), however, our

subsequent focus was to characterize potential metabolism associated with degraded ecosystems (i.e.,

corresponding to the more disturbed soil microbiomes in the younger revegetation age samples of the

restoration gradient). We used two T2D datasets comprising separate case study cohorts. The first T2D

dataset from Sweden (Karlsson et al., 2013) was analyzed to identify differences in CPP or potential

metabolism in T2D, and then assess overlaps with trending CPP found in more degraded (towards

younger) samples from the restoration gradient (US) case study. A second T2D dataset from China

(i.e., '1st validation dataset') (Qin et al., 2012) was then integrated to confirm and consolidate CPP

trends that emerged consistently across the restoration gradient and both T2D case studies. Lastly,

corroboration of overlapping CPP trends was sought in the disturbed versus natural AMI soils dataset

(i.e., '2nd validation dataset').

Table 1. Description of case study metagenome datasets.

Case study	Main comparison groups (and sample/subject numbers)	Metagenome functional profile characteristics (sample mean ± s.d.)	Source data reference; sequencing platform	Study nation of origin
Human gut				
Type 2 diabetes (T2D) vs. Normal	T2D with no metformin treatment (T2D met-, n = 33), vs. normal healthy (n = 43). Subjects were females only, aged 69-72 years. Total n = 76	Functions (SUPER-FOCUS): n = 17,912 (7833 ± 1699). Functional % all sum to 100%. Compounds (CPP profiling): n = 7,024 (5,287 ± 585). CPP (%): (70.5 ± 2.9 %)	SRA accession PRJEB1786 (Forslund et al., 2015; Karlsson et al., 2013)[a]; Illumina HiSeq	Sweden

T2D vs. Normal (1st validation dataset)	T2D met- (n = 30; females n = 17, aged 51-71 years; males n = 13, aged 51-75 years). Normal (n = 52; females n = 24, aged 51-67 years; males n = 28, aged 52-74 years). Total n = 82	<u>Functions (SUPER-FOCUS)</u>: n = 19,352 (8650 ± 4214). Functional % all sum to 100%. <u>Compounds</u> (CPP profiling): n = 7,213 (5,310 ± 1670). CPP (%): (65.7 ± 4.1 %)	SRA accession PRJNA422434 (Forslund et al., 2015; Qin et al., 2012) [a]; Illumina Genome Analyzer II	China
Soil				
Post-mining forest ecosystem restoration ('restoration gradient')	Soil samples spanned revegetation ages of 6, 12, 22, 31 years, and unmined (UM) samples (treated as ordinal variables). Comprising five age-based groups, each with three replicates. Total n = 15	<u>Functions (SUPER-FOCUS)</u>: n = 30,125 (20,328 ± 767). Functional % all sum to 100%. <u>Compounds</u> (CPP profiling): n = 8,370 (7,777 ± 92). CPP (%): (67 ± 0.4 %)	MG-RAST project mgp16379 (Sun & Badgley, 2019); Illumina HiSeq	United States
Australian Microbiome Initiative (AMI) (2nd validation dataset)	Disturbed soils (n = 29) from agricultural land uses (cereals, cotton, horticulture, irrigated pasture) or natural soils (n = 55) from conservation and national park land uses. Total n =84	<u>Functions (SUPER-FOCUS)</u>: n = 37,335 (22,959 ± 1172). Functional % all sum to 100%. <u>Compounds</u> (CPP profiling): n = 8,515 (7,994 ± 127). CPP (%): (65.7 ± 1.2 %)	AMI Data Portal [Data accessed Sep 2022] (Bissett et al., 2016) [b]; Illumina HiSeq	Australia

Note: [a] Forslund et al. (2015) applied metformin treatment data in a reanalysis of metagenome data from Swedish (Karlsson et al., 2013) and Chinese (Qin et al., 2012) cohorts. We analyzed a subset of each cohort. From the Swedish cohort, we excluded subjects who were treated with metformin or diagnosed with impaired glucose tolerance (prediabetes). From the Chinese cohort, we only included subjects >50 years old to compare with the mature age (69-72 years) Swedish subjects. [b] AMI Data portal URL: https://data.bioplatforms.com/organization/australian-microbiome; AMI soils were surface

depth (0–10 cm), from the temperate climate zone, with 7.5–45 % clay content (i.e., avoiding very

sandy and very clayey soils).

Metagenome functional profiling via compound processing potential (CPP)

Our CPP analysis approach uses a novel bioinformatics workflow that redistributes values of functional

potential relative abundances (%), from the scale of functions (i.e., biochemical pathways that often

comprise multiple linked chemical reactions), down to the level of the individual chemical compounds.

These compounds are conceptually participating in the array of intersecting chemical reactions

represented in the collective functional capacity of a metagenome. These methods do not measure

actual chemical compounds. Rather, they quantify the genetic capacity of a microbiome to metabolize

(or process) compounds, as written in the metagenome. The distinction is not made between

compounds being consumed (reactants) or produced (products) as functional pathways may often

involve strings of chemical reactions (metabolic processes), involving one or many organisms, where a

compound can be the output of one reaction and an input to another.

Measures of CPP were derived following the steps outlined in Figure 1. Firstly, following

Liddicoat et al. (2024), using high performance computing resources (Flinders_University, 2021;

Pawsey_Supercomputing_Research_Centre, 2023) raw metagenomic sequences were accessed,

trimmed for quality control, and human case study sequences that mapped (using Bowtie2; Langmead

& Salzberg, 2012) to the human genome (GRCh38.p14 / hg38) were excluded (low levels were

observed; Supplementary Material, Supporting Information). Then good quality read 1 sequences were

classified to SEED subsystem (Overbeek et al., 2013) functional annotations and relative abundances

using SUPER-FOCUS software (Silva et al., 2015). Every SUPER-FOCUS function (output row) was

translated to one or more corresponding chemical reaction(s) using a purpose-built R-script algorithm

based on ModelSEED database lookup tables (from

https://github.com/ModelSEED/ModelSEEDDatabase; accessed 10 Aug 2022). Linking of functions

(and sub-functions where present) to chemical reactions was based on either: full matching of

functional hierarchies (using subsystem-class, -subclass, -name and -role); detection of Enzyme

Commission (EC) number; or matching of SUPER-FOCUS function name within ModelSEED lookup

tables for reactions (reaction name or alias), subsystems (role), or reaction-pathways (external reaction

name). Reactions were then linked to corresponding compounds using ModelSEED database tables

('reactions.tsv', 'compounds.tsv'). Advancing from the previous method used in Liddicoat et al.

(2024), here we derived CPP measures at the resolution of individual compounds (all software

parameters and supporting R code for CPP calculations are available at:

https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d):

a.   Function level relative abundances from SUPER-FOCUS (which sum to 100% in each sample)

were allocated to compounds by dividing values equally across all sub-functions and reactions,

and dividing again across all corresponding compounds, with weightings to account for

stoichiometric coefficients for each compound within each reaction, with equal consideration to

reactant and product compounds.

b.   Relative abundances (%) for respective unique compounds (which may arise across multiple

reactions and functions/pathways) were then collated and summed to provide a summary CPP

relative abundance (%) for each compound. (Here, conversion to CPP data format successfully

recovered typically 65 to 70% of the initial 100% function potential per sample; Table 1).

c.   To assist mapping visualization of CPP patterns for carbon-containing compounds, elemental

ratios of O:C, H:C, and N:C were calculated. Such chemical mapping frameworks have been

used previously to display and interpret groupings of energetically and chemically similar

compounds (Liddicoat et al., 2024; Rivas-Ubach et al., 2018).

Compound processing potential (CPP) workflow

Figure 1. Overview of the CPP analysis workflow used to translate microbial metagenome functional potential relative abundances to quantify potential metabolism at the level of individual compounds.

Statistical Analysis

We used a staged approach to explore overlapping potential metabolism (i.e., CPP) trends in the soil and T2D gut samples. We first examined the restoration gradient soils (US), then progressively considered the T2D (Swedish cohort, SWE) and T2D (Chinese cohort, CHN) datasets, and lastly the AMI soils to corroborate findings (further detail is in Supplementary Material and at https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d):

    a.   ***Thirty selected compounds*** were chosen due to their relevance to soil and/or gut health (e.g., Blanco-Pérez et al., 2021; Daisley et al., 2021; Krajmalnik-Brown et al., 2012; Six et al., 2006; compounds and reference information are listed in Table S1). For this list of compounds, CPP trends were evaluated using Kendall's tau rank correlation tests based on ordinal revegetation age classes. We interpreted CPP trends with ecosystem degradation as the inverse of calculated trends with increasing revegetation age (towards intact natural condition). This assumption that degradation may be modelled as the opposite of restoration is based on previous work showing

highly disturbed post-mining soil microbiomes progressively shift in their structure with

ecosystem restoration (Liddicoat et al., 2022). CPP trends (i.e., differences) with T2D versus

normal health were evaluated using non-parametric Wilcoxon rank-sum (Mann-Whitney) tests,

due to often differing variance of groups (T2D vs. normal) and to avoid normality assumptions.

All data were plotted to assist interpretation.

b.   ***Compound-wide association tests*** were also performed to exhaustively scan all compounds

represented in the respective CPP datasets, using the same trend evaluation methods as above.

In soils, compounds that did not have relative abundance data for at least a quarter of the total

sample number were excluded due to low data. In restoration gradient soils, strong trends were

discovered, and only significant results based on adjusted *P*-values were considered further (i.e.,

after applying Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate correction). In the separate

compound-wide analysis of T2D gut samples, compounds were only included if at least one or

other of the T2D or normal groups contained at least 50% non-zero CPP values. Testing was

based on $\log_{10}$-transformed CPP % values, after zero replacement with small positive values

equal to half the minimum non-zero CPP % of all samples. One-sided (directional) difference

tests were based on whether the median value in T2D was greater or less than the median value

for normal healthy subjects. The T2D (SWE) Wilcoxon rank sum test results yielded many *P*-

values ≤0.05. However, none were low enough to withstand Benjamini and Hochberg *P*-

adjustment for multiple testing, therefore, *P*-adjustment was not performed in the compound-

wide T2D gut data to retain the most interesting (potentially significant) results for further

exploration.

c.   ***Overlap analysis.*** Compounds with CPP % trends in both the restoration gradient (US) and

T2D (SWE) case studies were identified, based on matching ModelSEED compound identifiers.

Our focus was to highlight compounds that displayed consistent increases or decreases in CPP

% with degraded/disturbed ecosystems (i.e., towards younger revegetation age) and in T2D.

d. ***Visualising compound-wide association test results.*** Next, compounds with CPP % trends in

the restoration gradient (US) and T2D (SWE) gut microbiomes, and overlaps between the two,

were mapped using their chemical formula elemental ratios of O:C, H:C, and N:C. From this

mapping space, prominent localized clusters of consistently trending compounds (i.e., with

ecosystem degradation and T2D) were identified and further analyzed to determine trends in

their collective (summed) CPP % and to interpret their possible metabolic importance.

e. ***Trend confirmation and consolidation.*** The above steps were repeated with the second T2D

(CHN) cohort or '1st validation dataset', which refined the list of individual compounds with

parallel trends in CPP values across the restoration gradient (US) and both T2D datasets. Trend

and contextual information for these compounds was reported and visualized to represent the

significance (-$\log_{10}$[P-value]; as used in genome-wide association study Manhattan plots) of

their association with disturbed/degraded ecosystems and T2D, and the number of functional

themes (level 3 subsystems) they are linked to. Here, data for T2D were visualized using mean

results from the Swedish and Chinese cohort datasets. To corroborate parallel CPP trends from

the restoration gradient (US) and T2D (SWE, CHN) datasets, a focused analysis of CPP trends

only for previously identified compounds was performed in disturbed versus natural AMI soils

(i.e., '2nd validation dataset').

f. ***Beta diversity ordinations.*** Supplementary analyses using the restoration gradient and Swedish

cohort dataset were performed to examine community-scale compositional patterns and

differences between sample groups and analysis approaches, comparing conventional functional

potential (%) data (termed 'functions') versus CPP (%) data. This analysis used principal

coordinate analysis (PCoA) ordinations based on Bray-Curtis distances, and testing for

differences between groups via permutational analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) and beta

dispersion testing for homogeneity of groupings using the vegan R package (Oksanen et al.,

2020). These data are presented in the Supplementary Material, Supporting Information and

Figure S2.

Results

Potential metabolism trends with ecosystem degradation

In the restoration gradient (US) soils, analysis of CPP trends for 30 selected compounds (Table S1;

Figures S3-S32) found increased potential metabolism with ecosystem degradation for (very strong

evidence, $P < 0.001$) fructose (Kendall's tau ~restoration, $\tau = -0.761$), sucrose ($\tau = -0.782$), arabinose

($\tau = -0.844$), glucose ($\tau = -0.802$), xylose ($\tau = -0.761$) and chitin ($\tau = -0.782$); also (strong evidence, P

< 0.01) galactose ($\tau = -0.617$), mannose ($\tau = -0.597$) and hydrogen sulfide ($\tau = -0.617$); and (moderate

evidence, $P \leq 0.05$) glucosamine ($\tau = -0.514$) and arabinoxylan (hemicellulose) ($\tau = -0.453$).

Conversely, we found decreased potential metabolism with ecosystem degradation for ($P < 0.001$)

acetate ($\tau = 0.72$), carbon dioxide ($\tau = 0.844$) and lignin ($\tau = 0.802$); also ($P < 0.01$) glycogen

($\tau = 0.597$), butyrate ($\tau = 0.658$), trimethylamine N-oxide ($\tau = 0.576$) and trimethylamine ($\tau = 0.555$);

and ($P \leq 0.05$) propionate ($\tau = 0.432$) and methane ($\tau = 0.473$).

Compound-wide ordinal correlation trend analyses identified 2,122 compounds with significant

trends ($n = 757$ increasing, $n = 1,365$ decreasing with revegetation age; compound and trend data are in

Supplementary Material files). Carbon-containing compounds were mapped using chemical formula

ratios O:C, H:C and N:C (Figure 2; $n = 720$ increasing, $n = 1,255$ decreasing with revegetation age) to

visualize clusters of compounds of similar chemical and energetic composition that trend together. We

interpreted trends with ecosystem degradation as the opposite of the visualized trends with revegetation

age (restoration). An example plot visualizing all carbon-containing compounds within a single sample

is provided in Figure S33.

Figure 2. Compound-wide trend analysis results derived from restoration gradient (US) soil

metagenomes (described in Table 1), showing carbon-containing compounds with increasing (aqua, $n =$

720) or decreasing (red, $n = 1,255$) compound processing potential (CPP, i.e., functional capacity % or

potential metabolism at individual compound scale) with revegetation age. Each point represents a

compound, mapped via its chemical formula O:C, H:C and N:C elemental ratios. Only compounds that

have trending potential metabolism (i.e., shifting CPP % values) from degraded to mature/natural

ecosystem quality are displayed. Clusters of points indicate energetically and chemically similar

compounds. The O:C by H:C panels are zoomed in from their full extent to improve visualization of

more data-rich regions. N:C ratios are displayed as three depth-slices to represent the third dimension.

Rectangular zones denoting compound classes are provided as a visual guide only (adapted from Rivas-

Ubach et al., 2018; Wu et al., 2018).

Potential metabolism trends in T2D

Potential metabolism trends in T2D

In the 30 selected compounds, we found consistent trends in the Swedish (SWE) and Chinese (CHN)

cohort datasets (Table S1; Figures S3-S32, S34) for increased potential metabolism in T2D for fructose

(SWE: $P \leq 0.05$, CHN: $P < 0.01$), arabinose (SWE: $P \leq 0.05$, CHN: $P < 0.001$), and amylopectin (SWE

and CHN: $P \leq 0.05$). We found decreased potential metabolism in T2D for lignin (SWE and CHN: $P \leq$

0.05).

Numerous trends were only found in one T2D cohort or the other. Increased potential

metabolism was found in T2D in the Swedish cohort for sucrose and starch ($P \leq 0.05$), and in the

Chinese cohort for mannose ($P < 0.001$); glucose, galactose and xylose (all $P < 0.01$). Decreased

potential metabolism was found in T2D in the Chinese cohort for acetate, propionate, butyrate, carbon

dioxide, hydrogen sulfide, indole (all $P < 0.001$); Menaquinone (Vitamin K2), glucosamine ($P < 0.01$);

methane, p-cresol and serotonin (all $P \leq 0.05$). We found conflicting potential metabolism trends for

ammonia across the cohorts, which increased in Swedish ($P < 0.01$) but decreased in Chinese ($P <$

0.001).

The compound-wide Wilcoxon rank sum trend analyses in T2D Swedish and Chinese cohorts

identified similar numbers of compounds with increased potential metabolism, but substantially higher

numbers of compounds with decreased potential metabolism in the Chinese cohort (visualized in

Figures S35 and S36; compounds and trend data for both T2D datasets are available in Supplementary

Material). For consistency, across both compound-wide T2D association analyses, and to retain the

most interesting T2D (SWE) cohort data, these data were not subject to *P*-adjustment for multiple

testing. In the T2D (SWE) data, a total of 1269 compounds with trending potential metabolism (n =

911 decreased, $n = 358$ increased) were found. From these, a total of 1181 carbon-containing

compounds ($n = 860$ decreased, $n = 321$ increased) were mapped using chemical formula ratios O:C,

H:C and N:C (Figure S35). For the T2D (CHN) data a total of 4292 compounds ($n = 3984$ decreased, n

$= 308$ increased) were identified, corresponding to 3964 carbon-containing compounds ($n = 3695$

decreased, $n = 269$ increased) mapped using chemical formula ratios O:C, H:C and N:C (Figure S36).

From visual inspection (comparing Figures S35 and S36), the chemical ratio mapping displays some

zones of similarity (e.g., in the vicinity of carbohydrates) but also dramatic differences due to the

widespread number of compounds with reduced potential metabolism in the T2D (CHN) dataset.

Consistent CPP trends with ecosystem degradation and T2D

Within the list of 30 selected compounds (Table S1), we found limited cases of consistent potential

metabolism trends across all three datasets – i.e. with ecosystem degradation (US), T2D (SWE), and

T2D (CHN). Specifically, we found increased potential metabolism in fructose and arabinose and

decreased potential metabolism in lignin was consistent across all datasets (Table S1).

Using the compound-wide trend results from T2D (SWE) and restoration gradient (US)

datasets, we performed an overlap analysis, based on matching ModelSEED compound identifiers, to

highlight compounds with potential metabolism trends across both case study scenarios (compound and

trend data are in the Supplementary Material). From a total of 304 compounds that had CPP trends with

T2D (SWE) and across the restoration gradient, 286 carbon-containing compounds were visualized

through chemical ratio mapping (Figure 3). Decreased CPP (i.e., potential metabolism) with T2D

(SWE) and ecosystem degradation was observed in 70 compounds (66 contained carbon; red dots in

Figure 3). Increased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem degradation was observed in 89 compounds

(81 with carbon; green dots in Figure 3). Matching trends with ecosystem restoration were also found.

Decreased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem restoration was observed in 95 compounds (90

contained carbon; orange dots in Figure 3). Increased CPP with T2D (SWE) and ecosystem restoration

was observed in 50 compounds (49 with carbon; blue dots in Figure 3).

Figure 3. Overlap analysis showing carbon-containing compounds with trending potential metabolism in both compound-wide trend analyses of the T2D (Swedish cohort) and restoration gradient (US) case study datasets (i.e., this plot shows overlapping compounds from Figures 2 and S35). Clusters of each color represent energetically and chemically similar compounds occurring in each of the four trend groups listed (numbers of compounds are provided in the text). Further description of the plot format is provided in Figure 2. Expanded views of the grouped 'sugars' and branched-chain fatty acid - acyl-carrier proteins ('BCFA-ACPs') are provided in Figures S37-S41.

With our focus on microbiome functional shifts with ecosystem degradation, and to further

explore compounds that also shared potential metabolism trends with T2D (SWE), we inspected the

mapping visualization in Figure 3 and observed two prominent clusters of compounds with matching

trends (also see Table S2-S3, Figures S37 and S39). The first group outlined in green and labelled

'Sugars' in Figure 3 comprised six carbohydrate compounds (L-arabinose, melibiose, manninotriose,

D-lyxulose, sucrose, D-fructose; Table S2) that all display increasing CPP in both T2D (SWE) and

with ecosystem degradation (US). In the O:C, H:C, N:C mapping space, these sugars all occur on the

line H:C = 2 × O:C, with N:C = 0 (i.e., green points in Figure S37). Analysis of summed CPP values

for these sugars showed that they collectively exhibit a very strong increase with ecosystem

degradation (Kendall's tau ~restoration = -0.844, P = <0.001) and a strong increase in T2D (SWE)

(Wilcoxon rank sum W = 985, P = 0.002) (Figures 4 and S38).

The second group outlined in red in Figure 3 comprised 35 unique compounds involved in fatty

acid biosynthesis. This group was labelled BCFA-ACPs (branched-chain fatty acid – acyl-carrier

proteins) as it is dominated by this type of compound (n = 30), together with n = 5 straight-chain fatty

acid-ACPs (Table S3). All compounds in the BCFA-ACPs group have reduced CPP in T2D (SWE) and

reduced CPP in degraded ecosystems, and they each occur on two straight lines in the O:C, H:C, N:C

mapping space (line 1: H:C = -0.625 × O:C + 2, and line 2: H:C = -0.375 × O:C + 2, where N:C is in

the range >0 to 0.2; Figure S39). Analysis of summed CPP values for the BCFA-ACPs group showed

that they collectively exhibited a very strong decrease with ecosystem degradation (Kendall's tau

~restoration = 0.741, P = <0.001) and also moderate reduction in T2D (SWE) (Wilcoxon rank sum W

= 518, P = 0.023) (Figures 4 and S40). When the summed CPP data for these groups were validated in

the T2D Chinese cohort data, they showed very strong agreement (sugars: Wilcoxon rank sum W =

1149, P <0.001, BCFA-ACPs: W = 335, P <0.001; Figure 4, detailed plots in Figure S41).

Figure 4. Potential metabolism of sugars (a-c), lignin (d-f), and branched-chain fatty acid – acyl carrier

proteins (BCFA-ACPs, listed in Tables S3, S4) (g-i), within the restoration gradient (US; spanning

restoration planting ages 6, 12, 22, 31 years and unmined, UM) (a, d, g), T2D (Swedish cohort, SWE;

T2D met- = type 2 diabetes not treated with metformin, Normal = normal healthy subjects) (b, e, h),

and T2D (Chinese cohort, CHN) (c, f, i). Sugars and BCFA-ACPs were groupings identified via

matching trends in T2D (SWE) and with ecosystem degradation (Figure 3). Sugars were graphed as the

sum of compound processing potential (CPP, %) for six compounds (L-arabinose, melibiose, D-

fructose, sucrose, manninotriose and D-lyxulose), however subsequent testing showed only three of

these sugars (L-arabinose, melibiose, D-fructose) displayed individual trends in T2D (Chinese cohort,

CHN) (Tables S2, S4). Lignin CPP values were log_{10}-transformed to improve display.

To confirm and consolidate individual compound trends exhaustively across all compound-wide

trend results, we further isolated only those ModelSEED compound identifiers with matching trends

across the restoration gradient (US) and T2D (SWE, CHN) case studies. This process identified 76

compounds with $n = 60$ decreased, $n = 16$ increased with ecosystem degradation (US) and both T2D

datasets (Figure 5; Table S4; compound and trend data are further detailed in Supplementary Material).

Summary trend and contextual information are displayed in Figure 5, using mean results from T2D

(SWE) and T2D (CHN) cohort datasets. From this filtering process at the individual compound level,

remarkably, the signal of decreased potential metabolism for all 35 compounds in the BCFA-ACPs

group previously identified from overlapping restoration gradient and T2D (SWE) datasets also

remained when intersected with the T2D (CHN) dataset.

The BCFA-ACPs group comprised three categories of compounds: monomethyl unsaturated

medium to long BCFA-ACPs ($n = 18$), monomethyl saturated predominantly medium BCFA-ACPs (n

$= 12$), and unsaturated short, medium, and long straight-chain fatty acid-ACPs ($n = 5$) (Table S3).

BCFA lengths are based on the main carbon chain (short: < 6, medium: 6-12, long: 13-21 carbon

atoms; Mao et al., 2023). All 35 compounds, for all case studies, were associated with NADH and

NADPH enoyl-ACP reductases (EC 1.3.1.9 and EC 1.3.1.10 respectively), while several compounds

from the restoration case study were also associated with beta-ketoacyl-ACP synthase (EC 2.3.1.41) –

all of which are involved in type II fatty acid biosynthesis. One compound from the BCFA-ACPs group

(unsaturated medium-length straight-chain (2E)-Decenoyl-[acp]) was also associated across all case

studies with trans-2-cis-3-decenoyl-ACP isomerase (EC 5.3.3.14), which is involved in unsaturated

fatty acid biosynthesis.

As monomethyl BCFA-ACPs dominated this trend group, we were curious to understand

whether they might feature there exclusively, or if similar compounds were also present throughout the

data and not display trends. We found hundreds of other unique non-trending ACP-containing

compounds ($n = 296$ restoration, $n = 289$ T2D-SWE, $n = 293$ T2D-CHN), spanning a multitude of

enzymes or sub-functions ($n = 223$ restoration, $n = 137$ T2D-SWE, $n = 146$ T2D-CHN). Focusing only

on the methyl-containing variants, a shared inventory of non-trending ACP compounds was found

across all three datasets. Intriguingly, there were no other monomethyl unsaturated (-enoyl) BCFA in

the datasets beyond those that featured in our BCFA-ACPs trend group. The remaining shared non-

trending methyl-containing ACP compounds ($n = 56$) either contained carbonyl (-oxo-) groups ($n =$

18), or hydroxyl (-hydroxy-) groups ($n = 22$), or ester groups ($n = 9$), while the remaining ($n = 7$) were

saturated BCFA-ACPs (one short chain, and six long 13-16 chain length). These latter, non-trending

saturated BCFA-ACPs also differed from the saturated BCFA-ACPs in our trend group, which

contained mostly medium-length chains (Table S3).

Additionally, when results from the T2D (CHN) dataset were intersected, this refined the results

for the sugars that were previously highlighted from overlapping the restoration gradient and T2D

(SWE) datasets. When the restoration gradient, T2D (SWE), and T2D (CHN) datasets were intersected,

arabinose, fructose, and melibiose still remained as trending, together with 6-phosphosucrose, an

intermediate in sucrose biosynthesis.

Lastly, corroboration of the majority of observed overlapping trends was found in the

Australian soil metagenomes ('2nd validation dataset'). In disturbed AMI soils, we observed increased

potential metabolism of L-Arabinose, D-Fructose, Melibiose and 6-Phosphosucrose, and decreased

potential metabolism of lignin and all 35 of the BCFA-ACPs (all $P = <0.001$; Figure S42). From the 76

compounds with matching potential metabolism trends in degraded soils (restoration gradient, US),

T2D (SWE) and T2D (CHN), a total of 65 compounds (85.5 %) displayed shared potential metabolism

trends in the AMI disturbed soils (Table S4).

Figure 5. Relative importance of compounds with consistent trends across restoration gradient (US)

and T2D (Swedish and Chinese) case study data, showing increased or decreased compound processing

potential (CPP %, i.e., potential metabolism) with ecosystem degradation (soil) and in T2D (gut)

microbiomes. Compounds including branched-chain fatty acid-acyl carrier proteins (BCFA-ACPs),

sugars that feature widely across many functional themes and pathways, and lignin are highlighted in

the plot. Trends with ecosystem degradation are interpreted as the inverse of restoration (based on

Kendall's tau ordinal correlation with revegetation age classes). Measures of significance (y-axis) from

the Wilcoxon difference testing of CPP in T2D (versus normal), and the number of level 3 (L3)

493   subsystems (functional themes) linked to each compound, are calculated as mean values from the

494   Swedish and Chinese cohorts. Further details for compounds ($n = 76$ total) are in Table S4.

Discussion

We identify several meaningful overlapping trends in the functional capacity of soil and human gut

microbiomes, supporting our new hypothesis that nutrient-depleted food (e.g., low BCFA and lignin

content, high sugar content) and/or excessive microbiome exposures associated with degraded

ecosystem soil may contribute to the development of type 2 diabetes. These linkages warrant further

investigation due to the rising prevalence and impact of T2D and the widespread and increasing

processes of global change impacting soil microbiomes – which underpin food quality and provide a

key microbial reservoir for shaping the human gut microbiome. A possible alternative or

complementary explanation may be that similar ecological stresses and dysfunction in soil and gut

ecosystems may be driving convergence in microbial functional traits.

Using a novel method, we translated community-scale microbial metagenome functional

capacities to quantify potential metabolism for individual compounds. This compound-oriented

approach facilitates linkages to a wealth of published literature that links chemical compounds with

metabolism, health and wider biological processes in humans and the environment. Our mapping of

compounds into the bioenergetically informed O:C, H:C and N:C coordinate space helped to reveal

meaningful patterns of simultaneously trending compounds (e.g., sugars, BCFA-ACPs) with soil-

ecosystem quality and T2D versus normal health.

Do branched-chain fatty acids connect healthy ecosystems and healthy people?

Our data suggest that microbiomes in degraded ecosystems and T2D have reduced capacity for

monomethyl BCFA (mmBCFA) biosynthesis. This may reflect a shift in the biochemical composition

of bacterial assemblages as mmBCFAs are key components that enhance bacterial membrane fluidity, and mmBCFA synthesis capacity enables membrane fluidity-related adaptive responses for survival and proliferation through environmental stresses (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Yoon et al., 2015). BCFA levels vary among bacterial taxa, being commonly higher in Gram-positive bacteria, present in some Gram-negative species, and especially high in *Bacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* (Yang et al., 2025; Yoon et al., 2015). Within ecosystems, BCFAs are present in lipid/waxy plant compounds, animal (including human) tissues and fluids, including ruminant products (milk, cheese, meat), fish, organs, blood serum, skin, hair, adipose tissue, colostrum, breast milk, *vernix caseosa* of newborns, and at very high levels in saprotrophic fungi such as *Conidiobolus* spp. found in soil and decaying organic matter (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Maheshwari et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2023). Therefore, variation in BCFA synthesis capacity could result from changes in microbial taxonomic composition as ecosystems degrade.

Monomethyl BCFAs are of interest because they possess multiple beneficial bioactivities for human health and provide a direct link between bacterial and human physiology (Mao et al., 2023). Beneficial bioactivities of mmBCFA include lipid-lowering, reducing the risk of metabolic disorders, maintaining normal β cell (i.e., insulin-producing) function and insulin sensitivity, anti-inflammation, cytotoxicity to cancer cells and regulation of development (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). Bacterially-derived mmBCFAs facilitate the direct biochemical crosstalk (i.e., uptake and cross-domain metabolism) between the gut microbiome and animal hosts (Brown et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2021). In the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans* and mammalian tissue culture cells, mmBCFA have been shown to be critical for triggering the host mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) (Zhu et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2021), a central regulator of cell growth and metabolism (Takahara et al., 2020). The host mTORC1 responds to environmental signals (e.g., amino acid availability, growth factors, energy levels, stress) to coordinate cellular status. However, mTORC1 dysregulation is closely linked to diabetes, cancer, and neurodegenerative

disorders (Takahara et al., 2020). Liu et al. (2017) reported high capacities for human fetal intestinal

epithelial cells (enterocytes) to incorporate long-chain BCFA into membrane phospholipids. Following

uptake by human intestinal epithelial cells, further potential interactions between BCFA and host

endogenous BCFA synthesis and metabolism may occur (Gozdzik et al., 2023; Mao et al., 2023),

including post-translational modifications to cell proteins, which alter intestinal cell function (Ezzine et

al., 2022). While the precise mechanisms are uncertain, it is plausible that mmBCFA in the gut

microbiome might modulate host cellular metabolism and physiological functioning via these

interactions.

Microbial sources play a dominant role in the supply of health-associated mmBCFA (Mao et

al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). Key inputs to BCFA synthesis include branched-chain amino acids (which

can only be made in bacteria, plants, and fungi, but not in animals; Neinast et al., 2019) or shorter

BCFA, which can be elongated. Microbes (e.g., rumen bacteria) also contribute to BCFA synthesis in

animals (Mao et al., 2023). Subsequently, both diet and gut microbiome synthesis provide major

sources of BCFA to humans (Mao et al., 2023; Yang et al., 2025). Within microbial environments (e.g.,

in soil or the gut), the interchange of BCFA between substrates and microbes can be expected because

fatty acids are a high-value energy source, and bacteria can assimilate environmental BCFA into their

bodies (Sen et al., 2016; Yao & Rock, 2015). In the gut, the constant release of a portion of mmBCFAs

from bacterial cell membranes will occur due to the rapid turnover of bacterial cells from competitive

interactions (Booth et al., 2023) and the secretion of fatty acids and their derivatives (Brown et al.,

2023). A portion of these gut bacteria may be supplied from external ecosystem exposures (Roslund et

al., 2021; Rothschild et al., 2018), while BCFA-synthesizing bacteria may be further shaped by diet and

other factors (e.g., high BCFA-content Bifidobacterium spp. proliferate in infants receiving breast milk;

Stewart et al., 2018).

As ecosystems degrade, there may be several reasons why BCFA-synthesizing organisms

decline. Ecosystem degradation is widely associated with reductions in soil organic matter (e.g., Chen

et al., 2018; Cunningham et al., 2015; IPBES, 2018; Kooch et al., 2022). Indeed, the more degraded

soils from the restoration gradient case study dataset had lower soil organic content than unmined sites

(Avera et al., 2015). Soil organic matter comprises a continuum of progressively decomposed organic

compounds originating from plant residues, root exudates, microbial synthesis, and other chemical

reactions, and commonly includes amino acids and fatty acids (Song et al., 2024). Amino acids can be

released from proteins in the soil through proteolysis at rates correlated with soil organic matter pools

and soil protein concentrations (Näsholm et al., 2009). This release increases with ecosystem

succession (Kielland et al., 2007). Natural decomposition and recycling networks of saprotrophic fungi

(which includes many high BCFA-content spp.) may also be degraded, likely reducing BCFA content

and turnover in soil microbiomes. Diverse plant litter contributes to increased soil carbon, higher

microbial abundance, diversity, decomposition and turnover, which strengthens over time (Zhang et al.,

2023). In contrast, urban areas exhibit dramatically reduced fungal abundance and diversity compared

to natural ecosystems (Abrego et al., 2020). Urbanization has been associated with the homogenization

of soil microbiomes (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021), which in turn reduces the range of assemblages

available to shape and possibly resupply the human gut microbiome. Taken together, these influences

may reduce access to and recycling of both branched-chain amino acids and fatty acids for soil

microbiomes in degraded ecosystems, thereby potentially reducing ambient human exposure to health-

promoting microbial mmBCFA synthesis capacity (Figure 6). Degraded agricultural soils might also

produce crops and ruminant products (e.g., meat, dairy) with reduced mmBCFA content, that impact

gut microbiomes via diet. However, these ideas require further investigation.

Figure 6. Hypothesis: Intact natural ecosystems typically have greater biodiversity, woody plant debris

(including lignin), fungal recycling and soil organic matter (SOM) pools. SOM releases branched-chain

amino acids (BCAA) which are used to make precious monomethyl branched-chain fatty acids

(mmBCFA) used in bacterial cell membranes, stored by fungi, and needed to regulate animal cell

metabolism via the mechanistic target of rapamycin complex 1 (mTORC1) among other pathways.

With ecosystem degradation, soil organic matter decomposes into simple sugars. Degraded soil

microbiomes have increased capacity to metabolise simple sugars, decreased capacity to metabolise

lignin (potentially impacting production of short-chain fatty acids, SCFAs), and decreased mmBCFA

synthesis capacity (potentially linked to mmBCFA content in microbial bodies and the wider

ecosystem). If people consume nutrient-depleted food (low mmBCFA and lignin content, high sugar

content) and/or are increasingly exposed to soil microbiomes associated with degraded ecosystems, it

may contribute to metabolic shifts in the gut consistent with type 2 diabetes.

Identified compounds linked to energy harvesting

We found that potential metabolism of sugars (including arabinose, fructose, and melibiose) and the intermediate compound 6-phosphosucrose each increased with ecosystem degradation and T2D. Additionally, the potential metabolism of sucrose, glucose, galactose, xylose and mannose increased in more degraded ecosystems. Sugars are the most abundant organic compounds in the biosphere and are key carbon and energy sources for soil microbes (Gunina & Kuzyakov, 2015). Sugars in soil can either be derived from primary sources (e.g., decomposition of plant litter, rhizodeposits, root exudates) or secondary sources (e.g., microorganisms and their residues) (Gunina & Kuzyakov, 2015). Our detection of increased potential metabolism of simple sugars (largely monosaccharides and disaccharides) likely reflects enhanced microbial decomposition of soil organic matter within more degraded, less biodiverse ecosystems (Chen et al., 2018). Previous work suggests that galactose, glucose, mannose, arabinose and xylose are chief components of the estimated 5% sugar residues contained within soil organic matter (Nagar, 1962). We might therefore conclude that increased potential metabolism of sugars is indicative of microbiomes in degraded ecosystems that consume, rather than build or maintain, soil organic matter.

In the gut microbiome, carbohydrate metabolism contributes up to 10% of the host's overall energy extraction and is recognized as an important contributor to insulin resistance and the development of obesity and prediabetes (Takeuchi et al., 2023). Takeuchi et al. (2023) found increased gut microbiome metabolism of monosaccharides, including fructose, galactose, mannose and xylose, significantly correlated with insulin resistance. Interestingly, in soils and humans, enhanced sugar metabolism is also associated with more opportunistic bacteria (Le Bouguénec & Schouler, 2011). Increased relative abundances of opportunists (including fast-growing, potential pathogens) have been associated with disturbance in urban greenspace soils (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021) and in human-altered land uses (Liddicoat et al., 2019). If microbiome components with enhanced sugar metabolism

are transferred from degraded ecosystems to the human gut, they may exhibit opportunistic or

pathogen-like traits—potentially contributing to increased energy harvest and metabolic imbalances

associated with T2D.

Identified compounds linked to energy management

We found altered potential metabolism for compounds with plausible links to energy management

(e.g., energy storage via fatty acids, gut hormone secretion and appetite regulation) that decreased in

both degraded ecosystems and T2D. This finding supports the notion that nutrient-depleted foods

and/or excessive exposures to degraded ecosystem soils that are deficient in potential metabolism of

compounds involved in energy management may shape and supplement gut microbiomes that are also

deficient in this way, thereby contributing to further metabolic imbalances found in T2D. We discuss

above how gut microbiome mmBCFA content and biosynthesis capacity might modulate host cellular

metabolism via central mTORC1 regulation, alteration of enterocyte phospholipid composition and

function, and/or supply of BCFA for further host endogenous fatty acid synthesis and BCFA-mediated

metabolism.

Dietary fiber, such as lignin, is known to decrease plasma ghrelin, known as the hunger

hormone, and increase other key gut regulatory hormones: cholecystokinin (CCK), glucagon-like-

peptide-1 (GLP-1), and peptide YY (PYY) (Bulsiewicz, 2023). Short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) are

produced when certain members of the gut microbiome ferment non-digestible fibers, and these SCFAs

bind to receptors in the colon, leading to the production of a range of key hormones that regulate food

intake, body weight, and energy metabolism (Bulsiewicz, 2023; Chao et al., 2025). We included both

lignin and pectin to represent fiber, which were detected in the datasets and are among the recognized

key types of dietary fibers (Blanco-Pérez et al., 2021). Potential metabolism of lignin decreased with

ecosystem degradation and in T2D gut microbiomes. Similar trends appeared for sinapyl alcohol and

coumaryl alcohol (both precursors to lignin) in the compound-wide results spanning all datasets.

Potential metabolism for pectin also decreased in T2D (significantly in the Swedish cohort, and

marginally in the Chinese cohort), but not in degraded ecosystems. We also searched for

oligosaccharides (e.g., fructan, inulin, galactan) but did not detect these compounds in our datasets.

Additional compounds that we identified remain to be explored (see Table S4).

Disentangling dietary and environmental influences on T2D gut microbiomes

We expect that our results showing altered potential metabolism for compounds detected within gut

metagenomes may arise from a combination of environmental exposures that shape and supplement gut

microbiomes, dietary inputs that further support or deplete members of the gut microbiome, gut

environment and function, and neurological influences, among other factors (Cryan et al., 2019).

Where we found matching trends across all case studies (e.g., arabinose, fructose, melibiose, BCFA-

ACPs, lignin), this may be consistent with an alignment between environmental exposures and dietary

inputs. In the scenario where compounds consistently showed in both T2D cohorts, but not in the

restoration gradient, this suggests dietary effects alone. For example, increased potential metabolism

results for amylopectin suggests that excessive consumption of starch-rich foods may contribute to

T2D. For many compounds we found alignment in potential metabolism between the ecosystem

condition and T2D gut (Chinese cohort), but not the T2D gut (Swedish cohort) – this may point to

greater environmental exposures and detrimental health influence in some population groups. Yet

another contrast occurred in the case of ammonia, where potential metabolism trends were opposing in

the T2D cohorts, in the absence of any ecosystem condition effect, which suggests influence of dietary

exposures alone associating with T2D.

Implications for community connection to soils and ecosystems

Our findings suggest that filling knowledge gaps in the pathogenesis of T2D may require more holistic

consideration of linkages, especially at the systems level of health risk and promotion (consistent with

Handte-Reinecker & Sardeshpande, 2025). For example, where landscape-scale ecosystem

management may drive changes in functional capacities of health-supporting microbiomes and

microbiome units of interest may span scales from collective activity of assemblages to constituent

compounds of microbial bodies. Our findings suggest that maintaining balanced functioning healthy

soil ecosystems in human habitats, especially those used for food production, is increasingly important

for supporting healthy human populations. Increasing urbanization, ecosystem degradation, the

industrialization of food production and its distribution, and reducing time spent in nature have

collectively reduced contact with soil microbiomes for a large proportion of people. When humans are

exposed to soil in modern environments, it is increasingly within urbanized or highly modified

landscapes (e.g., degraded ecosystems with low natural biodiversity, highly modified land and

disturbed soils, monocultural agricultural fields) where microbiomes and their functional capacities

have been greatly altered.

From a societal viewpoint, our findings suggest that addressing the global T2D pandemic may

benefit from restoring urban biodiversity, developing effective approaches for regenerative agriculture,

increasing soil biological health and diversity as key parts of a restorative continuum, and finding a

better balance between anthropogenic land use and nature. At the heart of this challenge lies the need

for a deeper understanding of soil health—recognizing soil as a complex living ecosystem in which the

microbiome plays a pivotal role. Understanding the critical links between soil health, food production,

and human health is fundamental for strengthening the diminished connections between people, land

and soil (Wall et al., 2015) and provides a foundation for developing strategies and actions that might

lead to improved gut health and resilience to T2D. This critical understanding of links between soil

ecosystem health and human health also draws on parallels of thinking about nature from an

Indigenous peoples' worldview. Indigenous knowledge offers guidance and support to theories that

place the health or condition of nature (land, biodiversity, water and air) as essential to maintaining

human health and wellbeing. Central concepts also recognize the importance of reconnecting with

nature by strengthening engagement with ecosystems through activities such as restoration and

regeneration. For example, in Aotearoa-New Zealand, Indigenous Māori stress connection and inter-

dependency with ecosystems through core principles such as ancestral links and association (Warbrick

et al., 2023). This includes concepts of whakapapa (genealogical descent of all living things) which

holistically reaffirms the importance of genetic and chemical assemblages in soils and landscapes to

support all life forms, including microbiomes (Harmsworth & Awatere, 2013). Concepts of

kaitiakitanga (guardianship of the environment) are also commonly used to reinforce actions and

strategies to guide environmental restoration and promote health. Indigenous perspectives offer

important guidance to all peoples for personally reconnecting and engaging with our ecosystems and

their stewardship in order to support healthy landscapes, soils, microbiomes and people.

Study limitations

There is well documented regional geographic variation in soil and gut microbiomes (Andreu-Sánchez

et al., 2025; Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2018; Kumar & Bhadury, 2023; Vasar et al., 2022) which may

affect the interpretation of our results. We have examined a limited number of case studies to

demonstrate a proof-of-concept, because relevant case study metagenome datasets (with publicly

available metadata that span gradients of ecosystem quality while limiting uncontrolled sources of

variation) are rare. Although, we note that land uses and management that degrade ecosystems may be

driving homogenization and hence greater predictability of soil microbiome structure and functions in

urban areas (Delgado-Baquerizo et al., 2021; Sun et al., 2025). Our method aims to bypass variation

that is often inherent with taxonomically focused studies, via targeting the hypothetical potential

metabolism of compounds, based on the idea that microbiomes will be universally shaped by the

resources (e.g., feedstocks) and the environmental conditions available to them. It remains to be tested

whether the patterns we observed hold in other geographic regions and human populations, and

whether they may be replicated under controlled experimental conditions. The SUPER-FOCUS and

ModelSEED resources we used have not been actively updated in recent years and may be missing the

latest functional annotations. There may be some inaccuracies in the mapping from SUPER-FOCUS

functions to ModelSEED pathways and reactions, however we use rule-based scripts that can be

refined, consistent with other software development. We recognize this CPP method represents a

simplification of complex metabolic processes within microbiomes (e.g., it does not discern anabolism,

catabolism, reactants or products). Moving beyond this proof-of-concept, future work should use

updated resources that enable comprehensive linkage from functional pathways to reaction and

compound databases. Noting the conceptual difference in our approach (CPP reflects functional

potential imprinted in DNA), we suggest future work should compare CPP measures to quantitative

measurement of compounds present (e.g., via metabolomics). Control samples were not included in the

case study datasets analysed, however all samples are considered 'high biomass' with low risk of

contamination, and we removed human genome sequences (observed at low levels) from gut

metagenome samples.

Conclusions

We found overlapping trends in the potential metabolism of compounds with beneficial bioactivities

and involved in energy harvesting and management within separate model case study datasets of

degraded ecosystem soil from the United States and Australia, and T2D gut microbiomes from Swedish

and Chinese cohorts. Our results support existing studies implicating, at least in part, a role for

microbiomes in T2D. Additionally, our findings support the hypothesis that degraded soil microbiomes

may contribute to metabolic imbalances found in T2D via nutrient-depleted food (e.g., low mmBCFA

and lignin content, high sugar content) and/or excessive environmental exposures associated with

degraded ecosystems. Our novel method used to examine microbiome potential metabolism at the

resolution of individual compounds has derived new insights into potential links between soil,

ecosystem and T2D gut health that warrant further transdisciplinary investigation.

Data availability

Code used to support this study is available from: https://github.com/liddic/cpp3d_t2d . The datasets

used are available from NCBI Sequence Read Archive (accessions PRJEB1786 and PRJNA422434),

MG-RAST (project mgp16379), and soil metagenomes from the Australian Microbiome Initiative data

portal (https://data.bioplatforms.com/organization/australian-microbiome).

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge and thank the authors of previously published metagenomics datasets used here,

which enabled comparison of samples spanning human and environmental health. This includes the

Australian Microbiome initiative which was supported by funding from Bioplatforms Australia and the

Integrated Marine Observing System (IMOS) through the Australian Government's National

Collaborative Research Infrastructure Strategy (NCRIS), Parks Australia through the Bush Blitz

program funded by the Australian Government and BHP, and the CSIRO. We acknowledge Indigenous

peoples and the traditional custodians of the lands on which the case study datasets originate, and our

authors live and work. This work was supported by the People, Cities and Nature research program

(Aotearoa New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment, grant UOWX2101).

References

Adamczyk, B. (2021). How do terrestrial plants access high molecular mass organic nitrogen, and why does it matter for soil organic matter stabilization? *Plant and Soil*. doi:10.1007/s11104-021-05022-8

Aliluev, A., Tritschler, S., Sterr, M., Oppenländer, L., Hinterdobler, J., Greisle, T., . . . Böttcher, A. (2021). Diet-induced alteration of intestinal stem cell function underlies obesity and prediabetes in mice. *Nature Metabolism, 3*(9), 1202-1216. doi:10.1038/s42255-021-00458-9

Andreu-Sánchez, S., Blanco-Míguez, A., Wang, D., Golzato, D., Manghi, P., Heidrich, V., . . . Segata, N. (2025). Global genetic diversity of human gut microbiome species is related to geographic location and host health. *Cell, 188*(15), 3942-3959.e3949. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2025.04.014

Anthony, M. A., Bender, S. F., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2023). Enumerating soil biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 120*(33), e2304663120. doi:doi:10.1073/pnas.2304663120

Attard, S. M., Herring, A. H., Mayer-Davis, E. J., Popkin, B. M., Meigs, J. B., & Gordon-Larsen, P. (2012). Multilevel examination of diabetes in modernising China: what elements of urbanisation are most associated with diabetes? *Diabetologia, 55*(12), 3182-3192. doi:10.1007/s00125-012-2697-8

Avera, B. N., Strahm, B. D., Burger, J. A., & Zipper, C. E. (2015). Development of ecosystem structure and function on reforested surface-mined lands in the Central Appalachian Coal Basin of the United States. *New Forests, 46*(5), 683-702. doi:10.1007/s11056-015-9502-8

Banerjee, S., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2022). Soil microbiomes and one health. *Nature Reviews Microbiology, 21*, 6-20. doi:10.1038/s41579-022-00779-w

Beulens, J. W. J., Pinho, M. G. M., Abreu, T. C., den Braver, N. R., Lam, T. M., Huss, A., . . . Vermeulen, R. C. H. (2022). Environmental risk factors of type 2 diabetes—an exposome approach. *Diabetologia, 65*(2), 263-274. doi:10.1007/s00125-021-05618-w

Bindels, L. B., Watts, J. E. M., Theis, K. R., Carrion, V. J., Ossowicki, A., Seifert, J., . . . Clavel, T. (2025). A blueprint for contemporary studies of microbiomes. *Microbiome, 13*(1), 95. doi:10.1186/s40168-025-02091-0

Bissett, A., Fitzgerald, A., Meintjes, T., Mele, P. M., Reith, F., Dennis, P. G., . . . Young, A. (2016). Introducing BASE: the Biomes of Australian Soil Environments soil microbial diversity database. *GigaScience, 5*(1), 21. doi:10.1186/s13742-016-0126-5

Blakemore, R. J. (2025). Biodiversity restated: > 99.9% of global species in Soil Biota. *ZooKeys, 1224*, 283-316.

Blanco-Pérez, F., Steigerwald, H., Schülke, S., Vieths, S., Toda, M., & Scheurer, S. (2021). The Dietary Fiber Pectin: Health Benefits and Potential for the Treatment of Allergies by Modulation of Gut Microbiota. *Current Allergy and Asthma Reports, 21*(10), 43. doi:10.1007/s11882-021-01020-z

Blot, F., Marchix, J., Ejarque, M., Jimenez, S., Meunier, A., Keime, C., . . . Gradwohl, G. (2023). Gut Microbiota Remodeling and Intestinal Adaptation to Lipid Malabsorption After Enteroendocrine Cell Loss in Adult Mice. *Cellular and molecular gastroenterology and hepatology, 15*(6), 1443-1461. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmgh.2023.02.013

Booth, S. C., Smith, W. P. J., & Foster, K. R. (2023). The evolution of short- and long-range weapons for bacterial competition. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 7*(12), 2080-2091. doi:10.1038/s41559-023-02234-2

Brame, J. E., Liddicoat, C., Abbott, C. A., Edwards, R. A., Robinson, J. M., Gauthier, N. E., & Breed, M. F. (2024). The macroecology of butyrate-producing bacteria via metagenomic assessment of butyrate production capacity. *Ecology and Evolution, 14*(5), e11239. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.11239

Brown, E. M., Clardy, J., & Xavier, R. J. (2023). Gut microbiome lipid metabolism and its impact on host physiology. *Cell Host & Microbe, 31*(2), 173-186. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2023.01.009

Browne, H. P., Forster, S. C., Anonye, B. O., Kumar, N., Neville, B. A., Stares, M. D., . . . Lawley, T. D.
(2016). Culturing of 'unculturable' human microbiota reveals novel taxa and extensive sporulation.
Nature, 533(7604), 543-546. doi:10.1038/nature17645
Bulsiewicz, W. J. (2023). The Importance of Dietary Fiber for Metabolic Health. *American Journal of*
Lifestyle Medicine, 17(5), 639-648. doi:10.1177/15598276231167778
Cao, T., Luo, Y., Shi, M., Tian, X., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2024). Microbial interactions for nutrient acquisition
in soil: Miners, scavengers, and carriers. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 188*, 109215.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2023.109215
Chao, J., Coleman, R. A., Keating, D. J., & Martin, A. M. (2025). Gut Microbiome Regulation of Gut
Hormone Secretion. *Endocrinology, 166*(4). doi:10.1210/endocr/bqaf004
Cheema, A., Adeloye, D., Sidhu, S., Sridhar, D., & Chan, K. Y. (2014). Urbanization and prevalence of
type 2 diabetes in Southern Asia: A systematic analysis. *J Glob Health, 4*(1), 010404.
doi:10.7189/jogh.04.010404
Chen, L., Guo, L., Feng, S., Wang, C., Cui, Z., Wang, S., . . . Ding, D. (2023). Fecal microbiota
transplantation ameliorates type 2 diabetes via metabolic remodeling of the gut microbiota in db/db
mice. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research & Care, 11*(3), e003282. doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2022-003282
Chen, S., Wang, W., Xu, W., Wang, Y., Wan, H., Chen, D., . . . Bai, Y. (2018). Plant diversity enhances
productivity and soil carbon storage. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 115*(16),
4027. doi:10.1073/pnas.1700298114
Cryan, J. F., O'Riordan, K. J., Cowan, C. S. M., Sandhu, K. V., Bastiaanssen, T. F. S., Boehme, M., . . .
Dinan, T. G. (2019). The Microbiota-Gut-Brain Axis. *Physiological Reviews, 99*(4), 1877-2013.
doi:10.1152/physrev.00018.2018
Cunningham, A. L., Stephens, J. W., & Harris, D. A. (2021). Gut microbiota influence in type 2 diabetes
mellitus (T2DM). *Gut Pathogens, 13*(1), 50. doi:10.1186/s13099-021-00446-0
Cunningham, S. C., Cavagnaro, T. R., Mac Nally, R., Paul, K. I., Baker, P. J., Beringer, J., . . . Thompson,
R. M. (2015). Reforestation with native mixed-species plantings in a temperate continental climate
effectively sequesters and stabilizes carbon within decades. *Global Change Biology, 21*(4), 1552-
1566. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12746
Daisley, B. A., Koenig, D., Engelbrecht, K., Doney, L., Hards, K., Al, K. F., . . . Burton, J. P. (2021).
Emerging connections between gut microbiome bioenergetics and chronic metabolic diseases. *Cell*
Reports, 37(10), 110087. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2021.110087
Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Eldridge, D. J., Liu, Y.-R., Sokoya, B., Wang, J.-T., Hu, H.-W., . . . Fierer, N.
(2021). Global homogenization of the structure and function in the soil microbiome of urban
greenspaces. *Science Advances, 7*(28), eabg5809. doi:10.1126/sciadv.abg5809
Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Oliverio, A. M., Brewer, T. E., Benavent-González, A., Eldridge, D. J., Bardgett,
R. D., . . . Fierer, N. (2018). A global atlas of the dominant bacteria found in soil. *Science,*
359(6373), 320. doi:10.1126/science.aap9516
Ezzine, C., Léa, L., Nadine, M., Christine, B.-F., Pierre, D., Moïse, C., & and Ribet, D. (2022). Fatty acids
produced by the gut microbiota dampen host inflammatory responses by modulating intestinal
SUMOylation. *Gut Microbes, 14*(1), 2108280. doi:10.1080/19490976.2022.2108280
Fierer, N., Wood, S. A., & Bueno de Mesquita, C. P. (2021). How microbes can, and cannot, be used to
assess soil health. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 153*, 108111.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2020.108111
Flandroy, L., Poutahidis, T., Berg, G., Clarke, G., Dao, M.-C., Decaestecker, E., . . . Rook, G. (2018). The
impact of human activities and lifestyles on the interlinked microbiota and health of humans and of
ecosystems. *Science of The Total Environment, 627*, 1018-1038.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.288
Flies, E. J., Mavoa, S., Zosky, G. R., Mantzioris, E., Williams, C., Eri, R., . . . Buettel, J. C. (2019). Urban-
associated diseases: Candidate diseases, environmental risk factors, and a path forward.
Environment International, 133, 105187. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.105187

Flinders_University. (2021). DeepThought (HPC). Retrieved from
https://doi.org/10.25957/FLINDERS.HPC.DEEPTHOUGHT.
Forslund, K., Hildebrand, F., Nielsen, T., Falony, G., Le Chatelier, E., Sunagawa, S., . . . Pedersen, O.
(2015). Disentangling type 2 diabetes and metformin treatment signatures in the human gut
microbiota. *Nature, 528*(7581), 262-266. doi:10.1038/nature15766
Garza, D. R., van Verk, M. C., Huynen, M. A., & Dutilh, B. E. (2018). Towards predicting the
environmental metabolome from metagenomics with a mechanistic model. *Nature Microbiology,*
3(4), 456-460. doi:10.1038/s41564-018-0124-8
Gassasse, Z., Smith, D., Finer, S., & Gallo, V. (2017). Association between urbanisation and type 2
diabetes: an ecological study. *BMJ Global Health, 2*(4), e000473. doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2017-000473
Gilbert, J. A., Blaser, M. J., Caporaso, J. G., Jansson, J. K., Lynch, S. V., & Knight, R. (2018). Current
understanding of the human microbiome. *Nature Medicine, 24*, 392. doi:10.1038/nm.4517
Gozdzik, P., Magkos, F., Sledzinski, T., & Mika, A. (2023). Monomethyl branched-chain fatty acids:
Health effects and biological mechanisms. *Progress in Lipid Research, 90*, 101226.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plipres.2023.101226
Grieneisen, L. E., Charpentier, M. J. E., Alberts, S. C., Blekhman, R., Bradburd, G., Tung, J., & Archie, E.
A. (2019). Genes, geology and germs: gut microbiota across a primate hybrid zone are explained by
site soil properties, not host species. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,*
286(1901), 20190431. doi:doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.0431
Gunina, A., & Kuzyakov, Y. (2015). Sugars in soil and sweets for microorganisms: Review of origin,
content, composition and fate. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 90*, 87-100.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.07.021
Gurung, M., Li, Z., You, H., Rodrigues, R., Jump, D. B., Morgun, A., & Shulzhenko, N. (2020). Role of gut
microbiota in type 2 diabetes pathophysiology. *EBioMedicine, 51*. doi:10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.11.051
Handte-Reinecker, A., & Sardeshpande, M. (2025). Microbiomes as Modulators of Human and Planetary
Health: A Relational and Cross-Scale Perspective. *Global Change Biology, 31*(4), e70152.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.70152
Harmsworth, G. R., & Awatere, S. (2013). Indigenous māori knowledge and perspectives of ecosystems. In
J. Dymond (Ed.), *Ecosystem services in New Zealand – conditions and trends* (pp. 274-286).
Lincoln, New Zealand: Manaaki Whenua Press.
IPBES. (2018). *The IPBES assessment report on land degradation and restoration*. Retrieved from Bonn,
Germany:
Karlsson, F. H., Tremaroli, V., Nookaew, I., Bergström, G., Behre, C. J., Fagerberg, B., . . . Bäckhed, F.
(2013). Gut metagenome in European women with normal, impaired and diabetic glucose control.
Nature, 498(7452), 99-103. doi:10.1038/nature12198
Kielland, K., McFarland, J. W., Ruess, R. W., & Olson, K. (2007). Rapid Cycling of Organic Nitrogen in
Taiga Forest Ecosystems. *Ecosystems, 10*(3), 360-368. doi:10.1007/s10021-007-9037-8
Kooch, Y., Amani, M., & Abedi, M. (2022). The effect of shrublands degradation intensity on soil organic
matter-associated properties in a semi-arid ecosystem. *Science of The Total Environment, 853*,
158664. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.158664
Krajmalnik-Brown, R., Ilhan, Z.-E., Kang, D.-W., & DiBaise, J. K. (2012). Effects of Gut Microbes on
Nutrient Absorption and Energy Regulation. *Nutrition in Clinical Practice, 27*(2), 201-214.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1177/0884533611436116
Kumar, G., & Bhadury, P. (2023). Exploring the influences of geographical variation on sequence
signatures in the human gut microbiome. *Journal of Genetics, 102*(2), 51. doi:10.1007/s12041-023-
01448-4
Langmead, B., & Salzberg, S. L. (2012). Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods, 9*(4),
357-359. doi:10.1038/nmeth.1923

Le Bouguénec, C., & Schouler, C. (2011). Sugar metabolism, an additional virulence factor in
enterobacteria. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology, 301*(1), 1-6.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2010.04.021
Liddicoat, C., Edwards, R. A., Roach, M., Robinson, J. M., Wallace, K. J., Barnes, A. D., . . . Breed, M. F.
(2024). Bioenergetic mapping of 'healthy microbiomes' via compound processing potential
imprinted in gut and soil metagenomes. *Science of The Total Environment, 940*, 173543.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2024.173543
Liddicoat, C., Krauss, S. L., Bissett, A., Borrett, R. J., Ducki, L. C., Peddle, S. D., . . . Breed, M. F. (2022).
Next generation restoration metrics: Using soil eDNA bacterial community data to measure
trajectories towards rehabilitation targets. *Journal of Environmental Management, 310*, 114748.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2022.114748
Liddicoat, C., Sydnor, H., Cando-Dumancela, C., Dresken, R., Liu, J., Gellie, N. J. C., . . . Breed, M. F.
(2020). Naturally-diverse airborne environmental microbial exposures modulate the gut microbiome
and may provide anxiolytic benefits in mice. *Science of The Total Environment, 701*, 134684.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.134684
Liddicoat, C., Weinstein, P., Bissett, A., Gellie, N., Mills, J., Waycott, M., & Breed, M. (2019). Can
bacterial indicators of a grassy woodland restoration inform ecosystem assessment and microbiota-
mediated human health? *Environment International, 129*, 105-117.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.05.011
Liu, L., Wang, Z., Park, H. G., Xu, C., Lawrence, P., Su, X., . . . Brenna, J. T. (2017). Human fetal
intestinal epithelial cells metabolize and incorporate branched chain fatty acids in a structure
specific manner. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids, 116*, 32-39.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plefa.2016.12.002
Liu, W., Sun, Z., Ma, C., Zhang, J., Ma, C., Zhao, Y., . . . Zhang, H. (2021). Exposure to soil environments
during earlier life stages is distinguishable in the gut microbiome of adult mice. *Gut Microbes,
13*(1), 1-13. doi:10.1080/19490976.2020.1830699
Lu, X., Xie, Q., Pan, X., Zhang, R., Zhang, X., Peng, G., . . . Tong, N. (2024). Type 2 diabetes mellitus in
adults: pathogenesis, prevention and therapy. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy, 9*(1), 262.
doi:10.1038/s41392-024-01951-9
Maheshwari, G., Ringseis, R., Wen, G., Gessner, D. K., Rost, J., Fraatz, M. A., . . . Eder, K. (2020).
Branched-Chain Fatty Acids as Mediators of the Activation of Hepatic Peroxisome Proliferator-
Activated Receptor Alpha by a Fungal Lipid Extract. *Biomolecules, 10*(9).
doi:10.3390/biom10091259
Mallick, H., Franzosa, E. A., McLver, L. J., Banerjee, S., Sirota-Madi, A., Kostic, A. D., . . . Huttenhower,
C. (2019). Predictive metabolomic profiling of microbial communities using amplicon or
metagenomic sequences. *Nature Communications, 10*(1), 3136. doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10927-1
Mao, S., Liu, Z., Tian, Y., Li, D., Gao, X., Wen, Y., . . . Liu, L. (2023). Branched-Long-Chain Monomethyl
Fatty Acids: Are They Hidden Gems? *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 71*(48), 18674-
18684. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.3c06300
Muhummed, A. M., Lanker, K. C., Yersin, S., Zinsstag, J., & Vonaesch, P. (2025). One Health, One
Microbiome. *Microbiome, 13*(1), 216. doi:10.1186/s40168-025-02231-6
Nagar, B. R. (1962). Free Monosaccharides in Soil Organic Matter. *Nature, 194*(4831), 896-897.
doi:10.1038/194896a0
Näsholm, T., Kielland, K., & Ganeteg, U. (2009). Uptake of organic nitrogen by plants. *New Phytologist,
182*(1), 31-48. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02751.x
Neinast, M., Murashige, D., & Arany, Z. (2019). Branched Chain Amino Acids. *Annual Review of
Physiology, 81*(Volume 81, 2019), 139-164. doi:https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-physiol-020518-
114455

Neveu, V., Nicolas, G., Amara, A., Salek, R. M., & Scalbert, A. (2023). The human microbial exposome: expanding the Exposome-Explorer database with gut microbial metabolites. *Scientific Reports, 13*(1), 1946. doi:10.1038/s41598-022-26366-w

Oksanen, J., Blanchet, F. G., Friendly, M., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., McGlinn, D., . . . Wagner, H. (2020). vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.5-7. Retrieved from https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan

Ong, K. L., Stafford, L. K., McLaughlin, S. A., Boyko, E. J., Vollset, S. E., Smith, A. E., . . . Vos, T. (2023). Global, regional, and national burden of diabetes from 1990 to 2021, with projections of prevalence to 2050: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *The Lancet, 402*(10397), 203-234. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(23)01301-6

Overbeek, R., Olson, R., Pusch, G. D., Olsen, G. J., Davis, J. J., Disz, T., . . . Stevens, R. (2013). The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids Research, 42*(D1), D206-D214. doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1226

Pawsey_Supercomputing_Research_Centre. (2023). Setonix Supercomputer. Perth, Western Australia. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.48569/18sb-8s43

Pearson, E. R. (2019). Type 2 diabetes: a multifaceted disease. *Diabetologia, 62*(7), 1107-1112. doi:10.1007/s00125-019-4909-y

Peng, H., Andreu-Sanchez, S., Ruiz-Moreno, A. J., Fernández-Pato, A., Wu, J., Gacesa, R., . . . Fu, J. (2025). Longitudinal gut microbiota tracking reveals the dynamics of horizontal gene transfer. *Nature Communications, 16*(1), 11543. doi:10.1038/s41467-025-66612-z

Qin, J., Li, Y., Cai, Z., Li, S., Zhu, J., Zhang, F., . . . Wang, J. (2012). A metagenome-wide association study of gut microbiota in type 2 diabetes. *Nature, 490*(7418), 55-60. doi:10.1038/nature11450

Qin, W., Song, P., & Zhang, S. (2022). Seasonal and Soil Microbiota Effects on the Adaptive Strategies of Wild Goitered Gazelles Based on the Gut Microbiota. *Frontiers in Microbiology, Volume 13 - 2022*. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2022.918090

Rivas-Ubach, A., Liu, Y., Bianchi, T. S., Tolić, N., Jansson, C., & Paša-Tolić, L. (2018). Moving beyond the van Krevelen Diagram: A New Stoichiometric Approach for Compound Classification in Organisms. *Analytical Chemistry, 90*(10), 6152-6160. doi:10.1021/acs.analchem.8b00529

Robinson, J. M., & Breed, M. F. (2025). Beyond microbial exposure and colonization: multisensory shaping of the gut microbiome. *mSystems, 10*(10), e01107-01125. doi:doi:10.1128/msystems.01107-25

Roslund, M. I., Laitinen, O. H., & Sinkkonen, A. (2024). Scoping review on soil microbiome and gut health—Are soil microorganisms missing from the planetary health plate? *People and Nature, 6*(3), 1078-1095. doi:https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10638

Roslund, M. I., Parajuli, A., Hui, N., Puhakka, R., Grönroos, M., Soininen, L., . . . Sinkkonen, A. (2022). A Placebo-controlled double-blinded test of the biodiversity hypothesis of immune-mediated diseases: Environmental microbial diversity elicits changes in cytokines and increase in T regulatory cells in young children. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, 242*, 113900. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2022.113900

Roslund, M. I., Puhakka, R., Nurminen, N., Oikarinen, S., Siter, N., Grönroos, M., . . . Sinkkonen, A. (2021). Long-term biodiversity intervention shapes health-associated commensal microbiota among urban day-care children. *Environment International, 157*, 106811. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106811

Rothschild, D., Weissbrod, O., Barkan, E., Kurilshikov, A., Korem, T., Zeevi, D., . . . Segal, E. (2018). Environment dominates over host genetics in shaping human gut microbiota. *Nature*. doi:10.1038/nature25973

Rowland, I., Gibson, G., Heinken, A., Scott, K., Swann, J., Thiele, I., & Tuohy, K. (2018). Gut microbiota functions: metabolism of nutrients and other food components. *European Journal of Nutrition, 57*(1), 1-24. doi:10.1007/s00394-017-1445-8

Ruiz-Alejos, A., Carrillo-Larco, R. M., Miranda, J. J., Anderson, C. A. M., Gilman, R. H., Smeeth, L., &
Bernabé-Ortiz, A. (2018). Addressing the impact of urban exposure on the incidence of type 2
diabetes mellitus: The PERU MIGRANT Study. *Scientific Reports, 8*(1), 5512. doi:10.1038/s41598-
018-23812-6

Sen, S., Sirobhushanam, S., Johnson, S. R., Song, Y., Tefft, R., Gatto, C., & Wilkinson, B. J. (2016).
Growth-Environment Dependent Modulation of Staphylococcus aureus Branched-Chain to Straight-
Chain Fatty Acid Ratio and Incorporation of Unsaturated Fatty Acids. *PLoS One, 11*(10), e0165300.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0165300

Silva, G. G. Z., Green, K. T., Dutilh, B. E., & Edwards, R. A. (2015). SUPER-FOCUS: a tool for agile
functional analysis of shotgun metagenomic data. *Bioinformatics, 32*(3), 354-361.
doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv584

Six, J., Frey, S. D., Thiet, R. K., & Batten, K. M. (2006). Bacterial and Fungal Contributions to Carbon
Sequestration in Agroecosystems. *Soil Science Society of America Journal, 70*(2), 555-569.

Song, Y., Yao, S., Li, X., Wang, T., Jiang, X., Bolan, N., . . . Chang, S. X. (2024). Soil metabolomics:
Deciphering underground metabolic webs in terrestrial ecosystems. *Eco-Environment & Health,*
3(2), 227-237. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eehl.2024.03.001

Stewart, C. J., Ajami, N. J., O'Brien, J. L., Hutchinson, D. S., Smith, D. P., Wong, M. C., . . . Petrosino, J.
F. (2018). Temporal development of the gut microbiome in early childhood from the TEDDY study.
Nature, 562(7728), 583-588. doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0617-x

Sun, H., Saeedi, P., Karuranga, S., Pinkepank, M., Ogurtsova, K., Duncan, B. B., . . . Magliano, D. J.
(2022). IDF Diabetes Atlas: Global, regional and country-level diabetes prevalence estimates for
2021 and projections for 2045. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract, 183*, 109119.
doi:10.1016/j.diabres.2021.109119

Sun, S., & Badgley, B. D. (2019). Changes in microbial functional genes within the soil metagenome during
forest ecosystem restoration. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 135*, 163-172.
doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2019.05.004

Sun, X., Liddicoat, C., Tiunov, A., Wang, B., Zhang, Y., Lu, C., . . . Zhu, Y.-G. (2023). Harnessing soil
biodiversity to promote human health in cities. *npj Urban Sustainability, 3*(1), 5.
doi:10.1038/s42949-023-00086-0

Sun, X., Robinson, J. M., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Potapov, A., Yao, H., Zhu, B., . . . Zhu, Y.-G. (2025).
Unforeseen high continental-scale soil microbiome homogenization in urban greenspaces. *Nature*
Cities, 2(8), 759-769. doi:10.1038/s44284-025-00294-y

Takahara, T., Amemiya, Y., Sugiyama, R., Maki, M., & Shibata, H. (2020). Amino acid-dependent control
of mTORC1 signaling: a variety of regulatory modes. *Journal of Biomedical Science, 27*(1), 87.
doi:10.1186/s12929-020-00679-2

Takeuchi, T., Kubota, T., Nakanishi, Y., Tsugawa, H., Suda, W., Kwon, A. T.-J., . . . Ohno, H. (2023). Gut
microbial carbohydrate metabolism contributes to insulin resistance. *Nature, 621*(7978), 389-395.
doi:10.1038/s41586-023-06466-x

UNDESA. (2019). *World urbanization prospects: The 2018 Revision*. Retrieved from New York:
https://population.un.org/wup/assets/WUP2018-Report.pdf

Van Hul, M., Cani, P. D., Petitfils, C., De Vos, W. M., Tilg, H., & El-Omar, E. M. (2024). What defines a
healthy gut microbiome? *Gut, 73*(11), 1893. doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2024-333378

Vasar, M., Davison, J., Sepp, S.-K., Mucina, L., Oja, J., Al-Quraishy, S., . . . Zobel, M. (2022). Global soil
microbiomes: A new frontline of biome-ecology research. *Global Ecology and Biogeography,*
31(6), 1120-1132. doi:https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.13487

Wall, D. H., Nielsen, U. N., & Six, J. (2015). Soil biodiversity and human health. *Nature, 528*(7580), 69-76.
doi:10.1038/nature15744

Warbrick, I., Heke, D., & Breed, M. (2023). Indigenous Knowledge and the Microbiome—Bridging the
Disconnect between Colonized Places, Peoples, and the Unseen Influences That Shape Our Health
and Well-Being. *mSystems, 8*(1), e00875-00822. doi:10.1128/msystems.00875-22

Wopereis, H., Oozeer, R., Knipping, K., Belzer, C., & Knol, J. (2014). The first thousand days – intestinal microbiology of early life: establishing a symbiosis. *Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, 25*(5), 428-438. doi:10.1111/pai.12232

Wu, X., Wu, L., Liu, Y., Zhang, P., Li, Q., Zhou, J., . . . Chakraborty, R. (2018). Microbial Interactions With Dissolved Organic Matter Drive Carbon Dynamics and Community Succession. *Frontiers in Microbiology, 9*. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01234

Wu, Z., Zhang, B., Chen, F., Xia, R., Zhu, D., Chen, B., . . . Hou, K. (2023). Fecal microbiota transplantation reverses insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: A randomized, controlled, prospective study. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology, Volume 12 - 2022*. doi:10.3389/fcimb.2022.1089991

Wyres, K. L., & Holt, K. E. (2018). Klebsiella pneumoniae as a key trafficker of drug resistance genes from environmental to clinically important bacteria. *Current Opinion in Microbiology, 45*, 131-139. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2018.04.004

Yang, S.-j., Yu, X.-k., & Zuo, Q. (2025). Branched- Chain Fatty Acids and Obesity: A Narrative Review. *Nutrition Reviews*. doi:10.1093/nutrit/nuaf022

Yao, J., & Rock, C. O. (2015). How Bacterial Pathogens Eat Host Lipids: Implications for the Development of Fatty Acid Synthesis Therapeutics*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry, 290*(10), 5940-5946. doi:https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.R114.636241

Ye, L., Mueller, O., Bagwell, J., Bagnat, M., Liddle, R. A., & Rawls, J. F. (2019). High fat diet induces microbiota-dependent silencing of enteroendocrine cells. *eLife, 8*, e48479. doi:10.7554/eLife.48479

Yoon, Y., Lee, H., Lee, S., Kim, S., & Choi, K.-H. (2015). Membrane fluidity-related adaptive response mechanisms of foodborne bacterial pathogens under environmental stresses. *Food Research International, 72*, 25-36. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.03.016

Zhang, W.-P., Fornara, D., Yang, H., Yu, R.-P., Callaway, R. M., & Li, L. (2023). Plant litter strengthens positive biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships over time. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 38*(5), 473-484. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2022.12.008

Zhou, G.-L., Xie, Y., Zhang, Y.-D., Wang, L., Xie, Y.-T., Qiu, H.-L., . . . Yang, B.-Y. (2024). Exposure to greenspaces sourced soils improves mice gut microbiota. *Environmental Pollution, 362*, 124931. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2024.124931

Zhu, H., Shen, H., Sewell, A. K., Kniazeva, M., & Han, M. (2013). A novel sphingolipid-TORC1 pathway critically promotes postembryonic development in Caenorhabditis elegans. *eLife, 2*, e00429. doi:10.7554/eLife.00429

Zhu, M., Teng, F., Li, N., Zhang, L., Zhang, S., Xu, F., . . . Zhu, H. (2021). Monomethyl branched-chain fatty acid mediates amino acid sensing upstream of mTORC1. *Developmental Cell, 56*(19), 2692-2702.e2695. doi:10.1016/j.devcel.2021.09.010