A central, shared role for disulfide bonds in Bacteroidota protein transport and gliding motility

Xiaolong Liu[1]*, Marieta Avramova[1], Justin C. Deme[2], Rachel L. Jones[1], Camilla A.K. Lundgren[1], Susan M. Lea[2]*, and Ben C. Berks[1]*

[1] Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

[2] Center for Structural Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland, United States of America.

* Corresponding authors. Email ben.berks@bioch.ox.ac.uk, susan.lea@nih.gov, xiaolong.liu@bioch.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

The gliding motility and Type 9 Secretion Systems (T9SSs) of Bacteroidota share a Power Chain that in current models uses a Hub complex to distribute energy to both the T9SS translocon and a moving gliding track. In *Flavobacterium johnsoniae* the cell surface gliding adhesins are attached to the internal gliding track by the outer membrane-spanning protein SprF. We show that both SprF and the T9SS component PorG contain twin-cysteine motifs that form a disulfide link to a shared cysteine residue in the Hub component GldK. These linkages are crucial for gliding motility and T9SS export, respectively. Since SprF moves with the gliding track, the SprF-GldK bond indicates that the Hub components are part of the gliding track in gliding Bacteroidota. We determine the structure of the 3 MDa Hub complex from the non-gliding bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and used this to model the *F. johnsoniae* gliding track.

Introduction

The phylum Bacteroidota (formerly Bacteroidetes) constitutes one of the largest groups of Gram-negative bacteria. Bacteroidota are major human commensals and anaerobic pathogens as well as being abundant members of the wider biosphere. Two important phylum-specific features of the Bacteroidota are the ability to move using gliding motility and to export proteins using the Type 9 Secretion System (T9SS).

The T9SS exports substrate proteins across the OM and plays key roles in Bacteroidota virulence and nutrition[1-6]. During Type 9 transport the substrate protein moves through a channel in the OM translocon protein SprA to from a complex with a docked OM `carrier protein'[7](**Fig. 1a**). The resulting substrate-carrier protein complex is then ejected from the translocon using an energetic input from a multi-protein Power Chain[8]. T9SS substrates fall into two classes[9]. Type A substrates are extracted from the translocon by the general carrier protein PorV and are subsequently either released into the extracellular environment or covalently attached to cell surface lipopolysaccharide[8,10]. By contrast, Type B substrates each have their own dedicated carrier protein to which they remain bound thereby anchoring them to the cell surface[11,12].

Gliding is the only mode of motility present in the Bacteroidota and allows rapid movement across solid surfaces[5,13]. It is present in most free-living Bacteroidota and some oral commensals and is mechanistically distinct from the gliding motility exhibited by other phyla[13]. Bacteroidota gliding motility has been best studied in the soil bacterium *Flavobacterium johnsoniae*. Gliding in this organism is mediated by the cell surface adhesin SprB, which flows in helical trajectories up and down the cell[14-18]. When SprB adhesins attach to a solid surface the cell is propelled forward in a cork-

screwing motion[7,16,18,19]. The SprB adhesin is anchored to the cell surface by the OM

protein SprF [11,12,20](**Fig. 1a**). SprF is, in turn, thought to bind to a moving track structure

at the inner face of the OM resulting in the propulsion of the attached adhesin along

the cell body [16,21,22]. In current models of the gliding apparatus the track is

predominantly or exclusively a polymer of the OM-anchored lipoprotein GldJ[16,21,23].

Bacteroidota gliding has long been known to depend on the organism also

possessing a T9SS in order to transport gliding adhesins to the cell surface[24]. For

example, the *F. johnsoniae* adhesin SprB is a Type B T9SS substrate and SprF is its

cognate carrier protein[11,12]. More recently it has shown that gliding motility and Type 9

transport are intimately mechanistically linked because they are both powered by the

T9SS Power Chain[24-26]. In current models the Power Chain is composed of motor

complexes that transduce the energy of the inner membrane protonmotive force into

mechanical energy and transfer this across the periplasm to a Hub complex on the

inner face of the OM[25,27,28](**Fig. 1a**). The Hub complex then distributes this mechanical

energy both to the T9SS translocon and to the gliding machinery to power movement

of the gliding track[25]. In the non-gliding bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* the Hub

has been shown to be a megadalton ring-shaped molecule composed of many copies

of the proteins PorK and PorN and anchored to the OM by the lipidated N-terminus of

PorK [24,29-31]. In the gliding bacterium *F. johnsoniae* the equivalent Hub proteins GldK

and GldN[32] are assumed to form a similar ring structure, the outer rim of which contacts

the gliding track[13,23]. The motors are envisaged to rotate the Hub ring (`pinion') to drive

the movement of the associated gliding track (`rack') [22,23].

Given the enormous mechanical forces exerted on the adhesins during gliding it

would be anticipated that the adhesin carrier protein SprF would form an extremely

strong interaction with the gliding track. However, AlphaFold3 (AF3) [33] fails to predict

a plausible complex between SprF and the presumed track protein GldJ. Intrigued by

this observation we decided to investigate the nature of the interaction between SprF

and the track. Our work uncovers an unanticipated and shared role for disulfide bonds

in connecting gliding and T9SS components to the Hub proteins. This work leads to a

radically new model for the organisation of the Power Chain in gliding bacteria in which

the Hub proteins are components of the gliding track.

Results

A twin-cysteine motif in the periplasmic tail of SprF is essential for gliding

motility

In considering how the adhesin carrier protein SprF might interact with the gliding

track we noticed that the periplasmic C-terminal tail of SprF contains a Cys-Pro-Cys

motif that is invariant in all close homologues (**Fig. 1b**). Since conserved cysteine

residues often have a functional role, we examined whether this twin-cysteine motif is

required for SprF to function in gliding motility. Substituting either or both of the

cysteine residues abolished gliding (**Fig. 1c**). Thus, both cysteine residues are

essential for SprF function. Control experiments confirmed that the cysteine

substitutions do not affect the levels or stability of SprF (**Supplementary Data Fig. 1**).

SprF can be considered to carry out two mechanistically separable functions. First,

it acts as a carrier protein for the export and surface-anchoring of the SprB adhesin.

Second, it attaches to the periplasmic gliding tracks to allow movement of the attached

adhesin. We used protease accessibility experiments to test whether the twin-cysteine

motif is required for SprF to fulfil its carrier protein function (**Fig. 1d**). In these

experiments a protease is added to the medium around the cells and digests any SprB

molecules present on the cell surface. However, if export of SprB is unable to take

place (for example in a Δ*sprF* background in **Fig. 1d**), the adhesin remains inside the

cell and is protected from digestion by the external protease. Using this approach, we

found substitution of the SprF cysteine residues either singly or in combination had no

effect on the surface localization of SprB (**Fig. 1d**). Thus, the twin-cysteine motif is not

required for the carrier protein function of SprF.

To determine whether the twin-cysteine motif is, instead, required for the interaction

of SprF with the gliding track we carried out live cell single molecule tracking

experiments (**Fig. 1e, Supplementary Video 1**). In this approach fluorophore-labelled

SprB adhesin molecules are tracked as a proxy for the behaviour of the SprF

molecules to which they are bound. In a wild-type SprF background a large proportion

of the SprB molecules move on sustained helical trajectories as previously

reported[15,17,18,25]. However, removing the cysteine pair in SprF almost completely

suppresses this continuous helical movement. Thus, the twin-cysteine motif is required

for SprF to make normal interactions with the gliding track. Although most of the SprB

molecules in the cysteine-substituted background were close to stationary, a minority

still exhibited short, apparently directed motions. 3D tracking confirmed that the

handedness of these short trajectories matched the exclusively left handed geometry

of the gliding tracks[15-17] showing that these trajectories still represent SprB molecules

moving on a track (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). The adhesins with these short trajectories

showed frequent stopping behaviour, often for extended periods of time (> 10

seconds). During these `stops' the molecules could be either immobile or slowly

diffusive, and the adhesin often resumed helical movement in a different direction from

the trajectory before the `stop'. Thus, SprF is still able to interact with the gliding tracks

in the absence of the twin-cysteine motif, but these residual interactions are sporadic,

short term, and prone to aberrant behaviour. Control experiments show that if SprB

export is blocked by the complete removal of SprF ($\Delta sprF$) then the non-exported SprB

molecules in the periplasm exhibit rapid isotropic diffusion that is quite distinct from

the behaviours observed when the SprF cysteine residues are removed.

SprF is disulfide-bonded to GldK

Conserved cysteines residues in periplasmic proteins are often involved in forming

disulfide bonds. This prompted us to explore whether the twin-cysteine motif in SprF

was involved in forming a disulfide link to the gliding track. To do this we first trapped

the thiol/disulfide state of the cellular proteome by treating *F. johnsoniae* with the

membrane-permeable thiol-reactive reagent *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM). NEM forms an

irreversible adduct with free thiol groups. The modified thiols are no longer able to

attack and break disulfide bonds and so the disulfide bonds present in the cell are

preserved.

Treatment of *F. johnsoniae* cells with NEM resulted in almost the entire SprF pool

being recovered in a high molecular mass adduct running larger than 100 kDa (**Fig.**

2a). Formation of this adduct was reversed by addition of the thiol-containing reagent

DTT, confirming that the two proteins were linked by a disulfide bond (**Fig. 2a**).

Formation of the adduct also required the presence of the twin-cysteine motif in SprF,

demonstrating the involvement of these residues in the disulfide linkage (**Fig. 2a**).

SprF anchors the gliding adhesin SprB to the cell surface. We found that SprB was

required for the formation of the SprF-containing disulfide adduct (**Fig. 2a**). The

disulfide adduct was also missing in a strain in which export of SprB was blocked by

deletion of the T9SS translocon (Δ*sprA* strain, **Fig. 2b**), though not by removal of a

T9SS carrier protein that is not involved in SprB export (Δ*porV* strain, **Fig. 2b**),

confirming that SprB must be exported and loaded onto SprF for disulfide bond

formation to occur.

The SprF adducts were still detected after dissipation of the protonmotive force that

powers the gliding apparatus[15,25] (**Extended Data Fig. 2a**). Thus, their stability does

not depend on active movement of the SprF-SprB complex.

To identify the partner protein to which SprF is disulfide linked we took a candidate

approach informed by our current understanding of the organisation of the gliding

motility apparatus. In this model the periplasmic domain of SprF interacts with a gliding

track constructed from GldJ protomers (**Fig. 1a**). Based on this model the partner

protein to which SprF is disulfide-linked should be GldJ. Surprisingly, however, no

adduct equivalent to that identified with SprF antibodies was detected by

immunoblotting against GldJ (**Fig. 2a**), thus ruling out GldJ as the binding partner of

SprF. Upon broadening our screen to other gliding components, we found that the

lipoprotein GldK was partially recovered in a disulfide-linked adduct with the same

molecular mass as that formed by SprF (**Fig. 2a**). Crucially this adduct was no longer

formed when the twin-cysteine motif of SprF was removed showing that the SprF is

the interacting partner (**Fig. 2a**). Thus, the Hub component GldK is the partner protein

to which SprF is disulfide linked. Because SprF is in continuous movement along the

gliding track, the covalent link between SprF and GldK implies that GldK is a

component of the track rather than being located in a separate Hub complex as

envisaged in the current gliding model (**Fig. 1a**).

We next attempted to identify the cysteine residue(s) in GldK that are involved in

forming the disulfide linkage with SprF. Discounting the lipidated N-terminal cysteine,

GldK contains two cysteine residues (Cys285 and Cys343). These cysteine residues

are highly conserved across GldK homologues (Fig. 3a). Gliding motility is blocked

when Cys343, but not Cys285, is substituted providing presumptive evidence that it is

Cys343 that forms the disulfide linkage with SprF (**Fig. 3b**). This is confirmed by

biochemical analysis which shows that the SprF-GldK disulfide bond is still present in

a *gldK^C285S* background but missing in a *gldK^C343S* strain (**Fig. 3c**). Export of the SprB

adhesin still takes place when the disulfide-bond forming Cys343 of GldK is

substituted, albeit with lower efficiency than in the wild-type strain (**Fig. 3d**).

Nevertheless, as with the SprF twin-cysteine deletion strain, single particle tracking

measurements fail to detect SprB molecules following the sustained helical trajectories

typical of gliding adhesins in wild-type cells. Although there are rare examples of

adhesins exhibiting short helical trajectories, most adhesins are close to stationary

(there is also a background of rapidly isotropically moving particles due to the elevated

levels of non-exported SprB molecules in the periplasm of this strain)(**Fig. 3e,**

Supplementary Video 2). Thus, for those adhesins that have been successfully

exported, the effects of removing GldK Cys343 on their mobility are equivalent to those

of removing the SprF twin-cysteine motif. This is as expected if these two groups of

cysteine residues are partners in the same disulfide bond.

The SprF twin-cysteine residues have non-identical roles

We next investigated the individual contributions of the two SprF Cys residues to

disulfide bond formation with GldK. Removing Cys326, but not Cys324, prevented

formation of the adduct with GldK (**Fig. 2a**). This shows that it is Cys326 that forms

the disulfide bond to GldK (**Fig. 3f**). Although the SprF-GldK disulfide bond still forms

when Cys324 is removed, the bond is only formed by a minority of the SprF molecules

present. This contrasts with the situation with the wild-type protein where almost the

entire SprF pool is crosslinked to GldK (**Fig. 2a**). Thus, Cys324 enhances the

efficiency of formation of the inter-protein disulfide bond. Our interpretation of this

observation is that Cys324 forms an intramolecular disulfide bond with Cys326, and

that this bond is then attacked by a cysteine thiol on GldK to form the SprF-GldK

disulfide link (**Fig. 3f**). Consequently, when this intramolecular disulfide bond is absent

in the SprF Cys324Ala variant, formation of the disulfide bond between the remaining

SprF cysteine and Cys343 in GldK requires an adventitious oxidant and so occurs with

low efficiency. We speculate that GldK is positioned sufficiently close to the OM that

periplasmic thiol oxidizing proteins are sterically occluded from accessing the

SprF/GldK interface to form the intrachain disulfide bond, and that to circumvent this

problem the cell introduces a 'donor' Cys324-Cys326 disulfide linkage into SprF during

its biosynthesis. The *F. johnsoniae* genome contains many periplasmic proteins with

potential thiol/disulfide interchange activity that could be involved in forming the initial

disulfide bond in SprF. Although none of these proteins have been implicated in gliding

function, a disulfide oxidoreductase termed TlpB has been shown to be required for

gliding motility in other Bacteroidota[34,35].

It is notable that the SprF-GldK adduct is present even without NEM treatment of

the bacteria in cells expressing the SprF Cys324A variant (**Fig. 2a**). This suggests that

Cys324 is responsible for the instability of the SprF-GldK disulfide linkage in wild-type

cells. Because NEM treatment of wild-type cells stabilises almost the entire SprF pool

in disulfide linked adducts with GldK (**Fig. 2a**), we can infer that under physiological

steady state conditions Cys324 is present almost exclusively in the thiol state

(derivatized by NEM) and so the Cys324-Cys326/Cys326-GldK disulfide equilibrium is

positioned heavily towards the Cys326-GldK side (**Fig. 3f**). This is intuitively

reasonable because the vicinal thiols in SprF are separated by only a single amino

acid residue and so the disulfide bond between them will be highly strained and

unstable relative to the Cys326-GldK disulfide. We interpret the need for NEM

treatment in our experimental analysis of disulfide bonding patterns as reflecting the

fact that SprF and GldK will irreversibly separate once solubilized in SDS if their

disulfide linkage is broken. Consequently, even very low levels of attack on the intra-

protein disulfide bond by Cys324 will, over time, remove the SprF-GldK adduct from

the sample. By contrast, in the cellular context SprF and GldK are kept adjacent

allowing their disulfide bond to be reformed if it is cleaved by Cys324.

Both of the cysteine residues in the C-tail of SprF are essential for gliding (**Fig. 1c**).

This behaviour is readily explained for Cys326 because this residue is required to form

the disulfide bond with GldK. However, this disulfide bond is still formed when Cys324

is absent, raising the question of why this residue is needed for the cell to move. One

possibility is that the small proportion of SprF molecules that are crosslinked to GldK

in this background (**Fig. 2a**) is insufficient to sustain gliding. A second possibility is that

the gliding mechanism requires the crosslink between Cys326 and GldK to be

dynamically reversible, but that this is no longer possible without Cys324 to attack the

intra-protein disulfide bond (**Fig. 3f**). In an attempt to gain insight into these

possibilities we examined the effect of the single SprF cysteine substitutions on the

mobility of fluorophore-labelled adhesins (**Fig. 1e**). As expected, removal of Cys326

phenocopies the behaviour of other backgrounds (*sprF^{C324A,C326A}*, *gldK^{C343S}*) that

cannot form the SprF-GldK disulfide bond (**Figs. 1e and 3e**). By contrast, removal of

Cys324 produces a mix of behaviours in which some adhesins perform sustained

helical movements (like cells with wild-type SprF) whilst others only move helically for

short periods (as in strains that cannot disulfide bond to GldK)(**Fig. 1e**), consistent with

the observation that only a proportion of the SprF molecules are disulfide-bonded to

the gliding track in these cells (**Fig. 2a**). If, as we hypothesise, the SprF-GldK disulfide

links in this strain cannot readily be reversed, then the fact that some adhesins

(presumably those with a GldK-linked SprF) are able to sustain continuous helical

movement for multiple circuits of the cell implies that the ability to break the disulfide

linkage is not a requirement for normal adhesin motion. This conclusion is

strengthened by the observation that the movement of another protein that moves on

the gliding track (SprC[36]) is unimpaired in the *sprF^{C324A}* background (**Fig. 1e**). Thus,

locking some SprF molecules to GldK does not impede the movement of the track

network.

GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein export

The work detailed here has shown that Cys343 of GldK plays a crucial role in gliding

motility by forming a disulfide bond that attaches the SprF-SprB adhesin complex to

the gliding track. It is, therefore, very surprising that this cysteine residue is conserved

in the equivalent PorK protein of *P. gingivalis* even though this organism does not glide

and so does not need to interact with gliding adhesins (**Fig. 3a**). Indeed, this residue

is completely invariant across GldK/PorK proteins. This strongly suggests that this

conserved cysteine has a role(s) beyond anchoring adhesin carrier proteins. More

specifically, since PorK is part of the *P. gingivalis* T9SS[24], it suggests that the

conserved cysteine is involved in Type 9 protein export.

To test this hypothesis, we asked whether GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein

secretion in *F. johnsoniae*. We found that removal of this residue completely abrogated

the export of a model T9SS Type A substrate protein (**Fig. 3g**). It also phenocopied

the effects of removing GldK or the T9SS translocon SprA on the secreted proteome,

which is dominated by Type A T9SS-secreted proteins[7] (**Fig. 3h**). By contrast, and as

noted previously above, removal of Cys343 reduces rather than completely blocks

export of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB (**Fig. 3d**). Thus, these experiments confirm

the hypothesis that GldK Cys343 is involved in Type 9 protein export in *F. johnsoniae*,

in addition to gliding motility, and that it is essential for the secretion of Type A

substrates. Control experiments using SprF variants lacking the twin-cysteine motif

confirm that the requirement for GldK Cys343 in Type 9 transport is independent of

the disulfide bond that GldK Cys343 makes with SprF (**Extended Data Fig. 3**).

GldK Cys343 forms a disulfide bond with the T9SS component PorG

Since the established mechanistic function of GldK Cys343 in gliding motility is to

form a disulfide bond with a cysteine in a partner protein (SprF), it is highly likely that

its role in Type 9 protein secretion is also to form a disulfide linkage with a partner

protein(s), in this case presumably a T9SS component. Indeed, a possible additional

disulfide-bonded GldK adduct could be distinguished after NEM treatment of cells as

a faint band in some high exposure GldK immunoblots. This is most clearly seen in

the example shown in **Extended Data Fig. 4.**

Analysis of known T9SS components identified the protein PorG as the most likely

candidate to partner GldK. *F. johnsoniae* PorG (Fjoh_1692, hereafter PorG$_{Fj}$) is

predicted to be an 8-stranded OM protein in which the periplasmic C-tail contains the

same vicinal Cys-Xaa-Cys motif used by SprF to form a disulfide bond with GldK (**Fig.**

4a). The presence of cysteine residues in the PorG tail is a conserved feature across

the T9SS-containing Bacteroidota, although the number, spacing, and positioning of

the residues varies (**Fig. 4a**). For example, *P. gingivalis* PorG (PG0189 in strain W83,

hereafter PorG$_{Pg}$) contains a single cysteine that aligns with the second cysteine in the

F. johnsoniae PorG$_{Fj}$ motif (**Fig. 4a**). PorG$_{Pg}$ was identified as an essential T9SS

component in *P. gingivalis*[37,38] but its mechanistic role is unknown. Importantly, PorG$_{Pg}$

has been reported to co-purify with the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub Complex[29], an

observation which is consistent with the hypothesis that PorG is a disulfide-linked

partner of GldK/PorK.

We constructed a *porG* deletion mutant in *F. johnsoniae* and used this to confirm

that PorG is part of the T9SS in this organism. Specifically, PorG is essential for the

secretion of both Type A substrates and the Type B substrate SprB (**Fig. 4b-d**). The

porG$_{Fj}$ deletion mutant was also unable to glide, consistent with its inability to export

the SprB glding adhesin (**Fig. 4e**). Control experiments confirmed that the absence of

PorG$_{Fj}$ did not affect cellular levels of the key T9SS and gliding components SprA

(T9SS translocon), GldK, or SprF (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**).

We next tested whether the C-tail Cys$_{225}$-Xaa-Cys$_{227}$ motif was important for *F.*

johnsoniae PorG function. Type A protein export and gliding motility were fully blocked

in the absence of the cysteine pair (**Fig. 4b,d,e**). However, partial export of the Type

B substrate SprB still occurred (**Fig. 4c**). This is in contrast to the phenotype of a full

PorG$_{Fj}$ deletion (**Fig. 4c**) but is reminiscent of the phenotype obtained after deleting

the GldK Cys343 to which we propose PorG$_{Fj}$ binds (**Fig. 3d**). Removal of Cys225

alone was sufficient to almost completely block export of a model Type A substrate,

whereas removal of Cys227 had no substantive effect on this process (**Fig. 4b**),

indicating that Cys225 is the more important of the two cysteine residues. Export of

the Type B substrate SprB and gliding motility were either not (Cys227), or only slightly

(Cys225), sensitive to the loss of the individual cysteine residues (**Fig. 4d,e and**

Extended Data Fig. 5a). Overall, the phenotypic effects of Cys225 removal are more

severe than those of Cys227 loss.

Having established that the PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteine motif is required for PorG$_{Fj}$ function we

next investigated whether this motif was involved in forming the predicted interaction

with GldK Cys343. In order to detect PorG$_{Fj}$ by immunoblotting we introduced an

ALFA-tag into loop 3 of PorG$_{Fj}$. This epitope tag did not affect the expression, stability,

or functionality of PorG$_{Fj}$, and epitope-tagged cysteine motif variants showed the same

patterns of functional defects as the untagged proteins (**Extended Data Fig. 5c-e**).

Treatment of cells with NEM trapped a proportion of the PorG$_{Fj}$ molecules in a

disulfide-linked adduct (**Fig. 4f**) of the same size as the additional, non-SprF, adduct

of GldK detected in **Extended Data Fig. 4**. This adduct was absent in a *gldK* deletion

mutant (**Fig. 4f**) confirming that GldK is the partner protein to which PorG$_{Fj}$ is disulfide-

linked. Removal of GldK Cys343, but not Cys285, also blocked formation of the PorG$_{Fj}$

adduct confirming that GldK uses the same cysteine residue to form disulfide

crosslinks with both PorG$_{Fj}$ and SprF (**Fig. 4f**). Formation of the PorG$_{Fj}$-GldK adduct

required the presence of the twin-cysteine motif in PorG$_{Fj}$ demonstrating the

involvement of these residues in the disulfide linkage (**Fig. 4f**). When the PorG$_{Fj}$

cysteines are individually removed the adduct is still observed (**Fig. 4f**) indicating that

either of the cysteines can form a bond with GldK. Nevertheless, in both cases the

levels of the PorG$_{Fj}$-GldK adduct are lower than in the wild type, with the larger effect

of Cys225 removal suggesting that Cys225 is preferentially involved in forming the

inter-subunit disulfide bond in agreement with the greater functional importance of this

cysteine. Removal of either of the $PorG_{Fj}$ cysteine residues results in the $PorG_{Fj}$-GldK

adduct being detected even in the absence of NEM treatment (**Fig. 4f**) suggesting that

in these variants the disulfide bond with GldK is stabilised because it cannot be

attacked by the missing $PorG_{Fj}$ cysteine. Disulfide bond formation between PorG and

GldK does not require T9SS activity (**Extended Data Fig. 5f**).

Overall, the disulfide-bonding behaviours of the twin-cysteine motifs of $PorG_{Fj}$ and

SprF are comparable, although with $PorG_{Fj}$ there is less differentiation between the

roles of the two cysteines. Consequently, we interpret the behaviour of the $PorG_{Fj}$

cysteines in an analogous way to the SprF motif (**Fig. 3f**). Specifically, we envisage

that an intramolecular disulfide bond is formed between $PorG_{Fj}$ Cys225 and Cys227

during $PorG_{Fj}$ biogenesis, that this bond is subsequently attacked by GldK Cys343 to

form a disulfide linkage with $PorG_{Fj}$ (preferentially involving Cys225). In the absence

of either one of the $PorG_{Fj}$ cysteines formation of the disulfide bond with GldK relies

on adventitious oxidants and is inefficient.

We then examined the effects of altering the $PorG_{Fj}$ twin-cysteine motif on the

motions of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules. Adhesins still moved in

continuous helical trajectories when $PorG_{Fj}$ lacked either one or both cysteine residues

(**Fig. 4g and Supplementary Video 3**) showing that adhesin movement on the tracks

does not require the disulfide link between $PorG_{Fj}$ and GldK. Thus, the gliding defects

in some of these mutants are most likely to be due to their reduced levels of adhesin

export (**Fig. 4c**, also evident by the larger proportion of rapidly diffusing non-exported

molecules in the periplasm of these strains in **Supplementary Video 3**) rather than

loss of adhesin function. An appreciable fraction of the adhesin trajectories in all three

porG_{Fj} cysteine mutants showed mid-cell reversals rather than travelling fully to the

next pole. Examples are shown in **Fig. 4g and Supplementary Video 4**. This

behaviour is very rare in wild-type cells[17] suggesting that track morphology is

perturbed in the cysteine mutants and that $PorG_{Fj}$ may have a role in determining the

architecture of the gliding tracks.

As noted above, the $PorG_{Fj}$ cysteine motif is not rigidly conserved. For example,

the PorG protein of *P. gingivalis* is missing the cysteine residue that in *F. johnsoniae*

PorG forms the disulfide bond with GldK (**Fig. 4a**) raising the question as to whether

the remaining cysteine residue (Cys232) is required to support Type 9 function. We

found that removing this residue abolished Type 9 export in *P. gingivalis,* as judged by

the loss of colony pigmentation that is characteristic of T9SS-defective strains grown

on blood agar[39] (**Fig. 5a,b**). Thus, the single cysteine motif of *P. gingivalis* PorG is still

an essential requirement for Type 9 protein secretion. Generalising this observation

implies that all PorG C-tail cysteine motifs are involved in PorG function but that there

is flexibility in the exact complement and arrangement of the cysteine residues.

Structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub Complex

We sought to understand the structural context of the disulfide link between

GldK/PorK and their partner OM proteins. Since we and others[15] have been

unsuccessful in isolating GldK-containing polymers from *F. johnsoniae* we

concentrated our efforts on the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex which has previously been

characterized at low (>40 Å) resolution by cryo-EM and shown to form a large ring-

shaped structure[29]. We were able to improve the structure of the ~3 MDa PorKN ring

to near-atomic resolution (2.4 Å) (**Fig. 5c**, **Extended Data Figs. 6 and 7a**, **SI Table**

1). The PorK subunit is structurally defined from Gly32 to Phe476 and thus missing

the 8 N-terminal residues and 15 C-terminal residues of the mature protein. PorN is

defined from Thr47 to Trp299 and so is missing the 22 N-terminal residues and 62 C-

terminal residues of the mature protein (**Fig. 5d**). Two glycosylation sites are present

on each subunit, providing glycosylation on both the outer (PorK-linked) and inner

(PorN-linked) faces of the ring (**Fig. 5d**).

The PorKN ring is composed of multiple side-by-side PorKN heterodimer units (**Fig.**

5c), consistent with earlier biochemical evidence for an equimolar PorK:PorN

stoichiometry[29]. The actual number of repeats is not fixed, ranging from 31 to 35 PorKN

heterodimers, but is most frequently 33 (**Fig. 5c**), in agreement with the earlier lower-

resolution study of the complex which reported 32 to 36 repeating units[29]. Such

variation in subunit stoichiometry is commonly observed for ring complexes made up

from large numbers of repeating subunits[40,41], reflecting the small differences in

stability of oligomers of closely similar size.

The overall shape of the PorKN complex is consistent with earlier lower resolution

cryoEM and *in situ* cryoET studies of the complex [29-31], and our high resolution

structure is readily fit into the published lower-resolution envelopes for the complex

(**Fig. 5e**). The wall of the ring is angled inwards and is almost triangular in cross-

section, with the outer face more sloped than the inner (**Fig. 5f**). The 33-mer ring has

an outer diameter of 540 Å and an inner diameter of 380 Å (**Fig. 5c**). Published cryoET

images (and the fit of our model to these data, **Fig. 5e**) suggest that the face of the

ring formed by the base of the triangle is positioned next to the OM[30,31]. Consistent

with this assignment, the detergent micelle in our structure lies above this face of the

ring and is appropriately positioned to interact with the lipidated N-termini of the PorK

subunits (**Fig. 5c**). This membrane-facing side of the ring is noticeably flat (**Fig. 5c**)

and not highly charged, as would be appropriate for a surface that moves across the

face of the membrane. PorK and PorN form distinct layers of the ring (**Fig. 5c**), as

previously speculated[29]. The positions of the PorN subunits are somewhat offset

relative to PorK, resulting in each PorN subunit contacting two PorK subunits and vice

versa. The contacts between all neighbouring subunits are tightly packed and very

extensive, with the interactions between the well-folded subunit cores augmented by

a long N-terminal arm extended by each PorK subunit across the clockwise-adjacent

(as viewed from the OM) PorK molecule and shorter arms at the termini of the PorN

subunits which contact adjacent PorK molecules (**Fig. 5g**). These extensive contacts

bury more than ~5,500 $Å^2$ of surface for every PorKN pair incorporated into the ring

(**Fig. 5h**). This large interaction interface indicates a very stable complex in which the

subunit interactions are rigidly fixed. Likewise, the bulk of the individual subunits are

compactly folded implying they are rigid structures and there are no indications of

conformational flexibility anywhere within the ring in the cryoEM data. These

observations are difficult to reconcile with earlier interpretations of cryoET data as

showing a 40° variation in PorKN angle driven by the PorLM motors[30].

The core of the PorK subunit has an extensively elaborated sulfatase-modifying

enzyme (SME) fold[42], as previously noted[26], and contains the canonical disulfide bond

and bound metal atom (that we identify as a calcium ion based on the observed co-

ordination, **Extended Data Fig. 7b**) [42] that likely structurally stabilize the fold. The

extensions to the SME core found in PorK are involved in extensive interactions with

neighboring subunits, adding to the stability of the ring (**Fig. 5g**).

The PorN subunits have a well-folded core as seen in an earlier crystal structure of

this part of the subunit (RMSD 0.7 Å across 169 residues) [43]. However, both the N and

C-termini become ordered in assembling the ring, with the N-terminus packing against

PorK and the C-terminus packing on the inner face of the PorN portion of the ring (**Fig.

5f,g**). Unsurprisingly, the residues buried in assembling the ring are conserved.

The PorG-reactive cysteine residue Cys356 is exposed on the OM-facing side of

PorK, congruent with its role in interacting with the OM-embedded PorG, and is located

at the inner rim of the PorKN ring (**Fig. 5g,i**). Cys356 is found in a conserved Arg-Gly-

<u>Cys</u>-Phe motif (**Fig. 3a**) with the arginine presented at the apex of a small surface loop

that projects away from the protein core and is stabilized by intra-loop backbone

hydrogen bonds. Within this loop the side chain of Arg354 sits above Cys356 partially

screening it from interacting partners (**Fig. 5i**) although the cysteine side chain remains

highly solvent exposed.

We used AF3 to model the interaction between PorG and PorKN. AF3 recapitulated

the structure of PorKN well (RMSD 2.8 Å overall for a 3:3 heterocomplex, **Extended**

Data Fig. 7c) and demonstrated that interactions between PorG and the ring are likely

limited to the linking disulfide bond and interactions from residues immediately

surrounding the disulfide in the unstructured tail of PorG (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**). In

particular, no consistent or high confidence interactions were predicted between the

base of the PorG barrel and the upper surface of the ring. We also used AF3 to predict

interactions between the PorM dimer arm of the PorLM motor that energizes the

PorKN ring (**Extended Data Fig. 7e**). The tip of the PorM dimer is predicted to lie

within the cleft formed between neighbouring copies of PorN at the periplasmic face

of the ring, with the majority of the contacts coming from one copy of the D4 domain

of PorM. The surface buried in this interaction is not substantially conserved or

charged and the confidence metrics also give little support for this mode of interaction.

Previous biochemical and genetic interaction data had suggested that PorM interacts

with both PorN and PorK[43-45] but only PorN contacts are supported by this modelling.

Model for the *F. johnsoniae* gliding track structure

In this work we have discovered that the adhesin carrier protein SprF is covalently attached to the OM lipoprotein GldK through a disulfide bond. Since SprF is known to be propelled around the cell by interactions with the gliding tracks this implies that GldK is a component of the gliding track. This conclusion contrasts with the current model for the gliding machinery where GldK is part of a PorKN-like Hub complex that is distinct from the gliding track itself (**Fig. 1a**).

We probed the structural plausibility of our new model for the organisation of the gliding track by using AF3 to predict the structure formed by the *F. johnsoniae* GldKN oligomer and how this interacts with the only currently known gliding track component GldJ. Predictions containing equal numbers of GldK, GldN, and GldJ protomers formed a high confidence and well-packed filamentous structure (**Fig. 6a**). Within this filament the GldKN subunits have essentially the same arrangement as the equivalent subunits in the *P. gingivalis* PorKN structure (231 core residue superimpose with an RMSD of 0.7A).The GldJ subunits, which are structurally related to GldK[21,32], mimic the organisation and placement of the GldK protomers but are on the opposite side of the filament and run in the reverse direction along the filament. The result is that GldK and GldJ pack alongside each other in two antiparallel lines on the OM-proximal side of the filament with both subunits in contact with GldN. The packing between all three types of subunits is extensive and indicative of a single stable entity consistent with the idea that the filament represents the gliding track and that GldK and GldN are components of the track. In our model the SprF/PorG-interacting Cys343 of GldK is positioned at the centre of the OM-facing surface of the filament at the interface between the lines of GldJ and GldK subunits. (**Fig. 6a**) We note that our model for the

489   interactions between GldJ and GldK differs from a recent proposal based on

490   computational docking[23].

Discussion

In *F. johnsoniae* the carrier protein SprF spans the OM to link the major cell surface

gliding adhesin SprB to the internal gliding track. In this work we have discovered that

SprF forms a disulfide bond at the periplasmic face of the OM with the protein GldK.

At steady state almost all the SprF molecules in the cell have this disulfide linkage

(**Figs. 2a,b and 3c**). Because almost all the SprF molecules are also carrying

adhesins along the gliding tracks (**Figs. 1e,3e, and 4g and Supplementary Video 1**),

it can be inferred that the disulfide link must be to a track component and, thus, that

GldK is part of the gliding track. This conclusion overturns the current model of the

gliding apparatus in which GldK is considered to be a component of a Hub complex

that links the gliding motors to the gliding tracks[13,22,23] (**Fig. 1a**). Instead, it suggests

that GldK and its Hub partner GldN are integral components of the gliding track (**Fig.**

6c), a possibility that has been briefly considered by some authors[3]. Several lines of

evidence support the new model for the organisation of the gliding track. First, AF3

modelling predicts a high-confidence equimolar complex between the Hub proteins

GldK and GldN and the known track protein GldJ. The resulting complex is stabilised

by extensive tight packing between all three of the constituent protomer types, and the

proteins are arranged in a repeating filament-like structure as expected of the track

(**Fig. 6a**). The possibility that the predicted interactions instead represent an interfacial

contact between the moving Hub and track complexes is unlikely, not only because of

the very tight predicted packing but because the GldJ 'track' should contact the outside

of the ring in a sprocket-and-chain model whilst the structure prediction locates it on

the equivalent of the inner face of the PorKN ring (**Fig. 6a**). Second, the previously

observed stabilisation of GldK by GldJ[46] is better interpreted in terms of GldK forming

part of a GldJ-containing gliding track than GldK being part of a separate Hub complex

that does not contain GldJ. Third, a SprF variant (Cys324Ala) that we infer cannot

easily reverse its attachment to GldK is still able to move helically for multiple circuits

of the cell implying that it must be attached to GldK throughout those circuits (**Figs.**

1e, 2a and Supplementary Video 1). Finally, the fact that only SprF molecules that

can form a disulfide link with GldK are able to mediate continuous helical movement

of adhesins along the tracks (**Figs. 1e and 3e and Supplementary Video 1**) is also

consistent with GldK being part of the track.

SprF is still able to interact transiently with the gliding track in the absence of the

disulfide linkage to GldK (**Figs. 1e and 3e and Supplementary Video 1**), indicating

that there are disulfide-independent interactions between SprF and the track but that

these are weaker than those mediated by the disulfide bond. These weak interactions

may be required for initial complex formation between SprF and GldK to allow

subsequent disulfide bond creation. We envisage that the disulfide bond provides a

very stable linkage between the track and SprF that allows the track to exert force on

those adhesin molecules that are bound to the external substratum in order to propel

the cell forward (high load). Even under the low load conditions used in our

experiments, where the cells are suspended in agarose rather than pushing against a

surface, the very long (~200nm[15]) SprB adhesins may still require substantial force to

move them through the medium surrounding the cell and, thus, still show strong

dependence on the presence of the SprF-GldK disulfide linkage.

Remarkably, we found that SprF is not the only OM protein bound to GldK. The

T9SS component PorG makes an equivalent linkage to GldK, and this is important for

Type 9 protein transport. Although SprF and PorG bind to the same cysteine residue

in GldK, it is unlikely that their binding is competitive because GldK is in large molar

excess over either protein (**Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 4**). The presence of a

disulfide linkage between PorG and GldK allows us to predict that PorG moves on the

gliding track like SprF. We also deduce that this movement results in the exertion of

considerable mechanical force, necessitating the covalent attachment of PorG to the

track. Because the ejection of carrier protein-substrate complexes from the T9SS

translocon is the energy-requiring step in Type 9 transport[8] we speculate that the role

of PorG might be to transmit force from the Energy Chain to push carrier proteins out

of the translocon. Intriguingly, we find that cells that fail to make a disulfide connection

between PorG and GldK show apparent alterations in long-range track morphology

(**Fig. 4g**) suggesting that PorG has a role in track placement, perhaps by displacing

other OM proteins from the track path. We find that full deletion of the PorG protein

has a stronger effect on export of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB than achieved by

blocking disulfide bond formation between PorG and GldK (**Fig. 4c,g**). This indicates

that, like SprF, PorG possesses disulfide-independent interactions, potentially with the

gliding track.

One major takeaway from the structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub complex is

that is has no substantive conformational flexibility. This suggests that force exerted

on the Hub by the motor complexes cause it to rotate in place as a rigid body carrying

any attached partner proteins with it (**Fig. 6b**). Our modelling data suggest that the

motor complexes push on the OM-distal end of the PorN subunit (**Extended Fig. 7e**).

The energetic barrier to rotation of the PorKN ring is minimised because it is anchored

to the OM only by lipid tails on the PorK subunits and sub-stoichiometric quantities of

the integral membrane PorG protein. The GldJKN filaments would move linearly in a

very similar manner to the PorKN ring, with the GldN subunits providing closely spaced

contacts all along the filament for the gliding motors to push on (**Fig. 6b**).

An interesting question is why both *F. johnsoniae* SprF and PorG$_{Fj}$ require two

cysteine residues to realise their physiological disulfide linkage to GldK. Two, not

mutually exclusive, possibilities can be considered. First, that the periplasmic thiol-

oxidizing proteins required for disulfide bond formation cannot access the SprF/PorG$_{Fj}$-

GldK interface and so a progenitor disulfide bonds must be introduced into SprF and

PorG$_{Fj}$ during biogenesis that are then attacked by the GldK cysteine (**Fig. 3f**). This

suggestion is supported by the AF3 model of the gliding track which shows that the

GldK cysteine is located in the centre of the side facing the OM and is, therefore,

relatively inaccessible (**Fig. 6a**). Second, the presence of the second cysteine could

allow the link with GldK to be reversibly broken (**Fig. 3f**), for example to allow SprF or

PorG$_{Fj}$ to move between tracks or temporarily detach at track crossings. However, this

cannot be an essential requirement during regular adhesin movement as a single

cysteine SprF variant (Cys324Ala) that should be locked onto the track is still able to

make multiple passages up and down the cell (**Figs. 1e, 2a, and Supplementary**

Video 1). Intriguingly *P. gingivalis* PorG only has a single cysteine rather than the two

cysteines seen in the *F. johnsoniae* PorG protein. This would be consistent with either

of the two scenarios just outlined. First, the PorKN ring the PorG-reactive cysteine is

exposed on the inner edge of the ring rather than being sequestered within the ring-

OM interface (**Fig. 5b**) and so would in this case be easily accessible to thiol oxidising

proteins. Second, the PorKN rings act in isolation from each other[30,31] and so there is

no requirement for PorG$_{Pg}$ to move between rings. Although these are explanations

provide attractive rationales for the different numbers of cysteines found in *F.*

johnsoniae and *P. gingivalis* PorG it is worth noting that there is no simple correlation

between the number of cysteines present in the PorG periplasmic tail and whether an

organism is a gliding or non-gliding bacterium (**Fig. 4a**).

Our new model of the organisation of the gliding track indicates its likely

evolutionary relationship with the T9SS. We suggest that the T9SS first evolved as a

component of the Bacteroidota gliding machinery in order to place gliding adhesins on

the cell surface (**Fig. 6c**). This would explain why Type 9 transport uses a very unusual

mechanism in which protein export involves forming a complex with an OM carrier

protein rather than the substrate being directly exported. This is an ideal strategy for

adhesin export because the adhesin needs to end up anchored to an OM adaptor

protein that connects it to the gliding track. The gliding track would also be conveniently

positioned to accept the adhesin-carrier protein complex from the translocon because

it is already involved in energizing the translocon. In non-gliding bacteria, such as *P.*

gingivalis, the gliding function of the track is no longer required. However, the track is

still required to power Type 9 export. We, envisage that in these bacteria the track has

become miniaturised as the PorKN ring and retained solely to provide energy to the

translocon (**Fig. 6b**). The suggested evolutionary relationship between gliding motility

and Type 9 protein transport has parallels with the relationship between the

nanomachines constituting the bacterial flagellum (a motility system that exports its

own components) and Type 3 Secretion Systems (secretion only) [47].

Acknowledgments

We thank James Horne for producing the PorN and GldJ antisera and *F. johnsoniae* Δ*gldK* and Δ*porG* mutants, Mark McBride for providing SprF and GldK antisera, Frederick Lauber for producing the Δ*sprF* mutant, Kevin Foster for access to anaerobic culture and imaging facilities, and James Garnett, Mark Roberts, Joseph Aduse-Opoku, Michael Curtis, and Graham Stafford for strains, reagents, and advice on working with *P. gingivalis*. We acknowledge the use of Oxford Micron Advanced Imaging Facility. This work was supported by European Research Council Advanced Award 833713 (BCB), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/S007474/1 (BCB and SML), and a Medical Research Council studentship to RJ. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH.

Author Contributions

XL carried out all genetic and biochemical experiments, with the exception that MA purified the PorKN complex. JCD, CL, and SML collected electron microscopy data and determined all structures. RJ and XL carried out fluorescence microscopy experiments. XL, BCB, and SML conceived the project. BCB and SML secured funding. The draft manuscript was written by XL and BCB. All authors interpreted data, produced figures, and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains used in this work are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

F. johnsoniae was routinely grown aerobically in Casitone Yeast Extract (CYE) medium[48] at 30 °C with shaking. For some physiological studies the cells were cultured in Motility Medium (MM)[49] or PY2 medium[50].

P. gingivalis was grown anaerobically (5 % CO_2, 5 % H_2, 90 % N_2) at 37 °C in a Don Whitley Scientific A45 workstation. For liquid culture *P. gingivalis* was grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium (Oxoid) supplemented with 5 µg/ml haemin, 1 µg/ml menadione, 0.5 mg/ml L-cysteine (BHIS). Culture on blood agar (BA) plates (blood agar base (Oxoid), 50 µl/ml defibrinated horse blood (HB034, TCS Biosciences Ltd), 5 µg/ml haemin, 1 µg/ml menadione, 0.5 mg/ml L-cysteine) was used to obtain single colonies. Where required, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 30 µg/ml erythromycin, 1 µg/ml tetracycline, 3 µg/ml carbenicillin (in BHIS liquid medium), and 40 µg/ml carbenicillin (on BA solid medium).

Genetic constructs

Plasmids were constructed by Gibson assembly[51] or Q5 site-directed mutagenesis (New England Biolabs) using the primers and target DNA in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4. All *F. johnsoniae* and *P. gingivalis* mutations were constructed in the native chromosomal genes.

Chromosomal modifications were introduced into *F. johnsoniae* using the suicide vector pYT313[52] harbouring the counter-selectable *sacB* gene as previously

described[25]. Suicide and expression plasmids were introduced into the appropriate *F.*

johnsoniae background strain by triparental mating using DH5α transformed with the

plasmid to be transferred and HP101 containing the helper plasmid pRK2013 as

previously described[48].

Linear DNA fragments were used for chromosomal modification in *P. gingivalis* and

introduced by electroporation. Electrocompetent cells were prepared from *P. gingivalis*

grown anaerobically to OD_{600} = 0.7. From this point manipulations were carried out

outside the glove box until anaerobic conditions were re-established after

electroporation. The cultures harvested by centrifugation at 10,000*g* for 10 min at 4°C,

then resuspended in ice-cold electroporation buffer (10% glycerol, 1 mM $MgCl_2$) and

pelleted again under the same conditions. This washing step was repeated once more

before resuspending the final electrocompetent cells in 200 µl of electroporation buffer.

Electroporation was performed by mixing the electrocompetent cells with 0.5–2 µg of

transforming DNA in an 2 mm electroporation cuvette (165-2086, Bio-Rad) and pulsing

at 2500 V for 5 ms in a BTX ECM 399 Electroporation System (SLS). Following

electroporation, the cuvette was returned to the glove box, supplemented with 1 ml

deoxygenated BHIS medium, and incubated for 24 h to allow the cells to recover. If

cepA was used as the selective marker the cultures were then diluted 1:100 in BHIS

supplemented with 3 µg/mL carbenicillin and incubated until turbid (5–7 days). A

dilution series was then plated on blood agar plates supplemented with 40 µg/mL

carbenicillin. For other selection markers the transformed culture was plated directly

onto BA supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic.

To facilitate genetic modification of *P. gingivalis* in a triple gingipain deleted

background the *rgpB*::*ermAM-F* locus in strain EK18[53] was replaced with *cepA* using

a SmaI-digested fragment of pCL54 to produced strain P54.

All plasmid constructs and chromosomal modifications were confirmed by

sequencing.

Immunoblotting and antisera used.

Immunoblotting was carried out as previously described[8]. Polyclonal antibodies

against GldJ and PorN were raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides. Polyclonal

antibodies against SprF[11], GldK[26], and SprA[54] were provided by Mark McBride

(University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee). The following commercial antisera were used:

anti-HaloTag (G921A, Promega), anti-GroEL (G6532 Merck), anti-mCherry

(ab167453, Abcam), anti-ALFA-Tag (N1582, Synaptic Systems GmbH), anti-mouse

IgG peroxidase conjugate (A4416 Merck), and anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugate

(31462 Pierce).

Analytical methods

The protease protection assay for SprB export and the analysis of mCherry-CTD

secretion were carried out as previously described[8].

Measurements of gliding motility on agar and analysis of the secreted proteome

were performed as previously described[7].

Whole cell analysis of disulfide-bonded protein complexes

The *F. johnsoniae* strain to be analyzed was grown overnight in 5 ml CYE medium,

diluted 1:100 into 15 ml fresh CYE medium, and cultured until OD_{600}=0.6. Cells were

collected by centrifugation at 8,000g for 5 min, washed in PBS buffer, and

resuspended in PBS buffer to OD_{600}=1. The sample was aliquoted equally into two

0.5ml fractions which were then either supplemented or not with 5 mM *N*-

ethylmaleimide (E3876-5G, Merck) and incubated for 5 min in the dark on a roller at

room temperature. Each sample was aliquoted equally into two 0.25 ml fractions that

were then pelleted, washed in PBS, resuspended in PBS, and boiled for 5 min with 1x

SDS-PAGE sample buffer either with or without 100 mM DTT, then analyzed by

immunoblotting. For the protonophore treatment experiments the growing culture was

supplemented with 10 µM CCCP (C2759-1G, Merck) for 20 min before harvesting the

cells, and 10 µM CCCP was then maintained throughout the sample preparation

procedure.

Live cell fluorescence microscopy

F. johnsoniae strains were cultured in MM to OD_{600} = 0.4 and 900 µl added to an

Eppendorf tube containing 100 µl of MM pre-mixed with 1 µl of a 10 nM solution of

Janelia Fluor JFX650 HaloTag Ligand (Promega) in dimethyl sulfoxide. The tubes

were shaken at 30 °C for 20 min and the cells then pelleted by centrifugation at

7,000*g* for 3 min. The cells were washed four times by resuspension in 1 ml of PY2

medium and repelleting, and finally resuspended in 200 µl PY2 medium. 1 µl of this

cell suspension was spotted onto agarose pads formed from 1% agarose in PY2

medium and then imaged.

All imaging data were acquired on a Nanoimager (Oxford Nanoimaging) equipped

with a 640 nm 1W DPSS laser. Optical magnification was provided by a 100× oil-

immersion objective (Olympus, numerical aperture (NA) 1.4) and images were

acquired using an ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 CMOS camera (Hamamatsu). All fluorescence

images were collected at 15% laser power using 30 ms continuous exposure. Where

applicable, data were acquired in 3D by engaging the astigmatic lens of the

Nanoimager. The z-co-ordinate was calibrated by taking a z-stack in 2 nm increments

in the range -1 μm to +1 μm from focus, of 0.1 μm tetraspec beads (T7279, Invitrogen)

immobilised on a glass slide with poly-L-lysine.

Raw data were analysed using the Fiji plugin ThunderSTORM[55] to determine single

molecule localisations. Single molecule trajectories within cells were computed using

a custom Python script that incorporated the Trackpy package

(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1213240), with a memory allowance of 2 frames and

a search range of 3 pixels per frame. Tracks were filtered using a minimum length of

30 frames.

738   Purification of PorKN complexes

PorKN complexes were purified by modifying a previously described method[29,56].

The complexes were purified from a triple gingipain-deleted mutant of *P. gingivalis*

W50 (EK18). In this *P. gingivalis* strain PorK is PG_0288 and PorN is PG_0291. The

strain was grown under anaerobic conditions in 10 l of BHIS broth to an OD_{650} of 1.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 15,000g for 1 h. The pellet was resuspended

in lysis buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 M sucrose, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1%

(w/v) n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM)(Anatrace), benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich) and

cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)) and gently mixed using a

magnetic stirrer at 4 °C for 3 h. The resulting lysate was clarified by centrifugation at

10,000g at 4 °C for 1 h. Na_2EDTA was added to the clarified supernatant to a final

concentration of 10 mM, and then lysozyme powder (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to a

final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 45 min

followed by centrifugation at 210,000g at 4 °C for 90 min. The resulting pellet was

resuspended in resuspension buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM

Na$_2$EDTA, 1% (w/v) DDM) and centrifuged at 10,000g at 4 °C for 15 min to remove

unsolubilised material. The recovered supernatant was mixed at a ratio of 1:3 with a

30% CsCl solution (30% (w/v) CsCl, 10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 0.5% (w/v) DDM] and

then centrifuged at 215,000g at 20 °C for 19 h in a SW55 Ti rotor (Beckman-Coulter).

Fractions were sampled from the top downwards with a wide-bore pipette tip. 10

soluble fractions were isolated, followed by a loose pellet (LP) fraction containing the

soluble PorKN complexes, and an insoluble pellet (P) fraction. Fractions were diluted

at a ratio of 1:2 with dilution buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v)

DDM, 5 mM Na$_2$EDTA) and the PorKN complexes pelleted by centrifugation at

210,000g for 2 h. The pellets were resuspended in sample buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH

7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) DDM) and successful fractionation confirmed by

immunoblotting using a PorN antibody. The LP fraction (400 µL) was loaded onto a

discontinuous sucrose gradient formed by layering equal volumes (400 µL) of,

successively, 2 M, 1.9 M, 1.8 M, 1.7 M, 1.6 M, 1.5 M, and 1 M sucrose in dilution buffer.

The gradients were centrifuged at 215,000g at 12 °C for 17 h in a SW55 Ti rotor

(Beckman-Coulter). Fractions were sampled from the top downwards with a wide-bore

pipette tip, 11 fractions were isolated (F1 to F11, with F1 being the upper-most

fraction). Fractions were diluted at a ratio of 1:2 with dilution buffer and the PorKN

complexes pelleted by centrifugation at 250,000g for 1 h 45 min. The pellets were

resuspended in sample buffer and then analysed by SDS-PAGE and by

immunoblotting using a PorN antibody to identify the fractions (typically F6 and F7)

containing the highest concentration of PorKN rings. These fractions were pooled and

pelleted at 186,000g for 2 h at 12 °C. The pellet was resuspended in 30 µl sample

buffer and used to prepare cryoEM grids.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and imaging

3 µl of the PorKN sample (A_{280nm} = 7.2) was applied to ultra-thin carbon coated

grids (Quantifoil 300 mesh, Au R2/1) at 4°C in 100% humidity. The sample was

adsorbed for 60 s, blotted 3 s, and plunge frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot mark

IV (FEI).

Movies were collected in counted mode, in Electron Event Representation (EER)

format, on a CFEG-equipped Titan Krios G4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at

300 kV with a Selectris X imaging filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and slit width of 10

eV, at 165,000x magnification on a Falcon 4i direct detection camera (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), corresponding to a calibrated pixel size of 0.732 Å. Movies were collected

at a total dose of 55.0 (Table 1), fractionated to ~ 1.0 e$^-$/Å^2 per fraction for motion

correction.

Cryo-EM data processing

Patched motion correction, CTF parameter estimation, particle picking, extraction,

and initial 2D classification was performed in SIMPLE 3.0[57]. All downstream

processing was carried out in cryoSPARC[58]. Global resolution was estimated from

gold-standard Fourier shell correlations (FSCs) using the 0.143 criterion and local

resolution estimation was calculated using the 0.5 criterion.

The cryo-EM processing workflow for PorKN is outlined in **Extended Data Fig. 6**.

Briefly, particles were downsampled from 0.732 Å/pixel to 1.464 Å/pixel and subjected

to one round of reference-free 2D classification (k=100) using a 600 Å soft circular

mask. Selected particles (162,414) were further downsampled to a pixel size of 2.928

Å and subjected to another round of 2D classification (50 classes, no mask), yielding

124,099 cleaner particles. A low resolution volume was generated from these particles

after *ab initio* reconstruction with D33 symmetry applied. Corresponding particles were

then non-uniform refined (applying D33 symmetry, 10 Å lowpass filter) against this

volume, resulting in a Nyquist-limited 5.9 Å volume. Unmasked 3D classification of the

particles was then performed against 10 classes using a resolution filter of 8 Å while

applying D33 symmetry. Particles belonging to the most symmetric and structured

volume were re-extracted to a pixel size of 1.7568 Å/pixel after re-centring using prior

aligned shifts. This was followed by non-uniform refinement (D33 symmetry) against

a corresponding 10 Å lowpass-filtered volume that generated an improved 4.0 Å

volume. Local refinement using a rotational search extent of 5 degrees and shift extent

of 3 Å was performed on D33 symmetry-expanded particles (1,097,778) in C1 against

the corresponding map lowpass-filtered to 8 Å, using a soft mask covering multiple

subunit copies on the ring, resulting in a 3.5 Å Nyquist-limited reconstruction. Particles

and corresponding volume were then re-centred to the mask centre of mass and re-

extracted using these aligned shifts to a pixel size of 1.1764 Å/pixel. These particles

were subjected to local refinement using limited searches (5° angular, 3 Å shifts),

resulting in a 3.1 Å volume. Local CTF refinement followed by an identical local

refinement scheme further improved map quality to 2.5 Å. Particles were then re-

extracted using their aligned shifts to a pixel size of 1.097 Å, followed by an additional

round of C1 local refinement that generated a slightly improved 2.5 Å volume. Focused

3D classification using a mask covering three subunits on the ring was performed

against 4 classes in C1 and using a resolution filter of 3 Å. Particles belonging to the

most populated class were selected and underwent local refinement (C1), generating

a 2.4 Å volume from 676,608 particles.

Model building, structure refinement, and figure preparation

Iterative model building and real-space refinement using rotamer, and

Ramachandran restraints was performed in Coot v0.9[59] and Phenix[60], respectively.

Validation was performed in Molprobity[61] within Phenix. Cryo-EM data collection,

image processing and structure refinement statistics are listed in Supplementary Table

1. Figures were prepared using UCSF ChimeraX v.1.9[62].

Statistics and reproducibility

All experiments shown in the paper are representative with similar data obtained for

at least three biological repeats.

Data availability

Cryo-EM density maps and atomic coordinates are deposited in the Electron

Microscopy DataBank (EMDB) with the following accession number: EMD-48769.

Atomic coordinates are deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the following

accession number: PDB 9MZU.

Gel and immunoblot source data are published alongside this paper.

https://github.com/notdrjones/Single-Particle-Tracking-for-Disulfide-Bond-Paper

Requests for materials should be addressed to BCB.

Code availability

Original code used in this study is available at https://github.com/notdrjones/Single-

Particle-Tracking-for-Disulfide-Bond-Paper.

Supplementary Video 1. Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules
in *F. johnsoniae* cells with mutations in *sprF*. Raw images were recorded with
continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real
time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 2. Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules
in *F. johnsoniae* cells with mutations in *gldK*. Raw images were recorded with
continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real
time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 3. **Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules**
in *F. johnsoniae* cells with mutations in *porG*. Raw images were recorded with
continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real
time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 4. Single particle tracking of a fluorophore-tagged SprB
adhesin molecule in a *F. johnsoniae porG*^{C225S,C227S} mutant cell showing mid-cell
reversals. Raw images were recorded with continuous exposure at 33 fps using
epifluorescence microscopy. Overlaid on the image is the real time progression of the
super-resolution fit of the adhesin trajectory, coloured by time. The scale bar
represents 1 µm.

Fig. 1 | The cysteine residues in the periplasmic C-tail of SprF are essential for SprB movement and gliding motility.

a, Current model for the organization of the gliding motility apparatus and T9SS in *F. johnsoniae* involving a shared Power Train. OM, outer membrane. IM, inner membrane.

b, Sequence of the periplasmic C-tail of *F. johnsoniae* SprF with the twin-cysteine motif highlighted and a Weblogo display of the sequence conservation (500 closest whole protein homologues) above.

c-e, Characterization of *sprF* mutants with substitutions in the conserved cysteine pair. wt, wild type. Similar results were obtained for 3 biological repeats.

c, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

d, Cell surface exposure of the adhesin SprB assessed by protease protection. The indicated

strains expressing a fusion between HaloTag and the final 448 amino acids of SprB (Halo-

SprB$_{448}$) were incubated with Proteinase K (+ lanes). Reactions were stopped immediately (t$_0$)

or after 20 min (t$_{20}$) and analysed by immunoblotting with Halotag antibodies. The cytoplasmic

protein GroEL serves as a both a loading and cell integrity control. In each panel (which

correspond to separate immunoblots) a lane has been removed between the t$_0$ and t$_{20}$

samples.

e, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules or

HaloTag-SprC molecules in the indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single

molecule localizations in successive frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are

2D projections of what is a 3D process. Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different

colour and the segmented outline of the cell is shown in black. For the SprF cysteine variants

cells were chosen to illustrate the observed range of SprB mobility behaviours. See also

Supplementary Video 1.

Fig. 2 | SprF forms a disulfide bond with the Hub protein GldK.

Strains with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the

specified antisera. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE

step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions.

GroEL serves as a loading control. *, non-specific background band. wt, wild type; a strain

missing the T9SS translocon (ΔsprA); a strain missing the Type A carrier protein PorV (ΔporV).

Similar results were obtained for three biological repeats.

a, SprF forms disulfide bonds with GldK but not GldJ.

b, Formation of a disulfide bond between SprF and GldK depends on Type 9 transport activity

but is independent of Type A T9SS export.

Fig. 3 | Identification and functional characterization of the SprF-crosslinking residue in GldK.

a, The sequences of the two cysteine-containing polypeptide regions of *F. johnsoniae* GldK and the corresponding regions of *P. gingivalis* PorK. Above is a Weblogo display of the sequence conservation for sequences with >40% whole protein identity to GldK.

b,c, Identification of the GldK cysteine that forms the disulfide linkage with SprF.

b, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

c, Strains with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the specified antisera. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. *, non-specific background band.

d, Cell surface exposure of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB assessed by protease protection. Strains expressing a HaloTag fusion between the signal sequence and the final 448 amino

acids of SprB (Halo-SprB448) in the indicated genetic backgrounds, were incubated with
Proteinase K and the detergent Triton X-100 as indicated. Reactions were stopped
immediately (t_0) or after 20 min (t_{20}) and analysed by immunoblotting with HaloTag antibodies.
The cytoplasmic protein GroEL serves as both a loading and cell integrity control.

e, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules in the
indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single molecule localizations in successive
frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are 2D projections of what is a 3D process.
Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different colour and the segmented outline of the
cell is shown in black. For the *gldK*^{C343S} background a cell was chosen to illustrate the observed
range of SprB mobility behaviours. See also Supplementary Video 2.

f, Schematic showing the proposed reactions between the cysteine residues of SprF and GldK
(top) and how this is altered in single cysteine SprF variants (bottom). The pink arrows
represent attacks by a free cysteine residue on an adjacent disulfide bond. Whether disulfide
bond formation between SprF and GldK is reversible under physiological conditions is
unknown (indicated by `?').

g,h, GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein secretion.

g, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between
a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).
Cells were separated into cell and supernatant fractions and analyzed by anti-mCherry
immunoblotting. The successively processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the
right of the blots. GroEL serves as a loading control.

h, Secretome analysis. Culture supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with
Coomassie Blue.

b-e,g,h, Similar results were obtained for three biological repeats. wt, wild type. $\Delta sprA$, a
strain missing the T9SS translocon.

Fig. 4 | *F. johnsoniae* **PorG forms a disulfide bond with GldK C343 that is essential for**
Type 9 protein transport and gliding motility.

a, The periplasmic C-tails of PorG proteins contain cysteine residues. Sequence alignment of
representative PorG C-tails from the indicated Bacteroidota species with cysteine residues
highlighted.

b-d, The Cys residues of *F. johnsoniae* PorG are required for gliding motility and Type 9 protein
transport. wt, wild type. Δ*sprA*, strain missing the T9SS translocon. Similar results were
obtained for 3 biological repeats.

b, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between
a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).
Cells were grown to exponential or stationary phase as indicated, separated into cell and
supernatant fractions, and analyzed by anti-mCherry immunoblotting. The successively

processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the right of the blots. GroEL serves as

a loading control.

c, Cell surface exposure of the Type B substrate SprB assessed by protease protection. The

specified strains expressing a fusion between HaloTag and the final 448 amino acids of SprB

(Halo-SprB$_{448}$) were incubated with Proteinase K and the detergent Triton X-100 as indicated.

Reactions were stopped immediately (t_0) or after 20 min (t_{20}) and analysed by immunoblotting

with Halotag antibodies. The cytoplasmic protein GroEL serves as a both a loading and cell

integrity control.

d, Secretome analysis. The supernatants of cultures grown to stationary phase were

separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue.

e, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar after 24 h growth. Scale bar, 5mm. See Extended

Data Fig. 5a for data at 6 h growth.

f, PorG is disulfide-bonded to GldK C343. All strains express PorG epitope-tagged by insertion

of ALFA-tag in loop 3. Strains were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the indicated

antibodies. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step

samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. *, non-

specific background band.

g, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules in the

indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single molecule localizations in successive

frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are 2D projections of what is a 3D process.

Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different colour and the segmented outline of the

cell is shown in black. Un-transported periplasmic SprB molecules have been edited out for

clarity. Arrows indicate examples of mid-cell reversals of the SprB trajectories. See also

Supplementary Videos 3 and 4.

b-g, Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type.

Fig. 5 | Importance of the cysteine residue of *P. gingivalis* PorG and structure of the PorKN Central Hub complex.

a,b, *P. gingivalis* PorG Cys232 is required for T9SS activity. Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type. *porG^ALFA^*, codes for PorG with an ALFA-tag epitope.

a, Strains containing the indicated *porG* alleles were grown on blood agar.

b, Equivalent strains constructed in a gingipain-deficient background were analysed by whole cell immunoblotting.

c, CryoEM volume for a 33-mer *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex at 4.0 Å resolution viewed from the side and from the periplasm. The inset shows the structure of the repeating PorKN unit

obtained at higher (2.4 Å) resolution. The structure is depicted at high contour level (solid

representation with a single PorKN unit shown in colour) and at a low contour level

(transparent envelope) to visualize the detergent micelle which approximates the position of

the OM.

d, Structures of PorK and PorN in cartoon representation and rainbows coloured from N- (blue)

to C-terminus (red), viewed from the inner rim of the PorKN ring and with the OM at Top. The

bound Ca^{2+} ion is shown in atomic sphere representation. Glycosylated serine and threonine

residues are labelled.

e, The PorKN structure from **c** (coloured density) placed into the cryoET volume of the complex

resolved previously in intact cells[30] (EMD-24227).

f, View of the PorKN heterodimer unit in cartoons representation viewed from within the ring

and with OM at top illustrating the triangular cross-section of the PorKN ring.

g, Space-filling model of a PorKN pair and their immediate neighbours viewed from the OM

(Top) and from the outer rim of the PorKN ring with the OM above (Bottom). The position of

the PorG-reactive Cys 356 on PorK is shown (yellow).

h, Schematic summarizing the areas buried by the different inter-subunit interfaces of the

PorKN complex. Protomers are oriented as in **d**.

i, Structure of the external loop bearing the PorG-reactive cysteine 356 of PorK with density

for the motif overlaid.

1016
1017

Fig. 6 | A new model for the connection between gliding motility and T9SS protein transport.

a, Comparison of the structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN ring oligomer (Left) with an AF3 model for the *F. johnsoniae* GldJKN track oligomer (Right). In each case three adjacent copies of the repeating unit (PorKN or GldJKN) are shown in spacefilling representation viewed from the OM (Top) or in cross-section through the oligomer with the OM at the top (Bottom). The position of the PorG/SprF-interacting cysteines are indicated (yellow).

b, Updated model for the organization of the T9SS in the paradigm non-gliding Bacteroidota

P. gingivalis based on the findings in this work. The T9SS component PorG is disulfide-linked

to the rotating PorKN Hub complex which energizes the translocon.

c, Revised model for the relationship between the gliding motility apparatus and the T9SS in

the paradigm gliding Bacteroidota *F. johnsoniae* based on discoveries in this work. The

adhesin carrier protein SprF and the T9SS component PorG are disulfide-linked to a moving

gliding track composed of GldJ, GldK, and GldN. The translocon receives energetic input from

the gliding track.

1033

Extended Data Fig. 1 | 3D single particle tracking of fluorescently-tagged SprB adhesin molecules in 3D in SprF cysteine-substituted variants.

The motions of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules were imaged in 3D in agarose-immobilised cells of strains with single and double cysteine substitutions in SprF. The short, apparently directional trajectories present in these cells were extracted and the single molecule localizations in successive frames plotted in xy projection with the relative z position represented by variations in colour and line width as specified in the colour bar. Three representative trajectories are shown. 100 out of 100 such trajectories (from three biological repeats of the three cysteine mutants) where the handedness could be determined showed left-handed helicity consistent with the movement being on the gliding tracks.

1044

1045

1046

Extended Data Fig. 2 | The disulfide adduct involving SprF is stable to removal of the

protonmotive force.

Immunoblots of whole wild type cells. Where indicated the cells were treated with 10 μM of

the protonophore carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP) for 20 min before

analysis. Where indicated cells were treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were

run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a

loading control. Similar results were obtained from three biological repeats.

1054

1055

Extended Data Fig. 3 | The GldK-SprF disulfide linkage is not required for the secretion

of T9SS Type A substrates. Similar results were obtained from three biological repeats.

a, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between

a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).

whole cell and supernatant samples analyzed by anti-mCherry immunoblotting. The

successively processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the right of the blots. The

Δ*sprA* strain is missing the T9SS translocon. GroEL serves as a loading control.

b, Secretome analysis of SprF C-tail cysteine variants. The Δ*sprA* strain is missing the T9SS

translocon.

1065

1066

Extended Data Figure 4 │GldK forms disulfide linkages with SprF and another protein.

Wild type cells were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the specified antisera. Where
indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM for the specified time. At the SDS-PAGE step
samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL
serves as a loading control. The red arrow indicates a low intensity disulfide-linked adduct
band formed by GldK that is additional to the adduct with SprF. *, non-specific background
band.

1074

1075

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Characterization of *F. johnsoniae* PorG.

a, Spreading (gliding) morphology of non-tagged PorG cysteine variants at 6 h. Scale bar, 1

mm.

b, *F. johnsoniae* PorG is not required for the expression and stability of the key T9SS and

gliding components GldK, SprA, and SprF. Whole cell immunoblotting using antibodies against

the indicated proteins. *, non-specific band.

c-e, Insertion of an ALFA-tag into loop 3 does not affect the stability or functionality of *F.*

johnsoniae PorG and its variants.

c, Whole cells immunoblotting using ALFA-tag antibodies. GroEL serves as loading control.

d,e, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

f, Disulfide bond formation between PorG and GldK does not require T9SS activity. Strains

with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with ALFA-tag

antibodies. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step

samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL

serves as a loading control. Δ*sprA*, strain missing the T9SS translocon.

a-f, Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type.

1092

1093

1094 **Extended Data Fig. 6 | Cryo-EM Workflow for the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex structure.**

1095 **a**, Image processing workflow, including the local resolution estimate of the volume.

1096 Micrograph scale bar is 300 Å.

1097 **b**, Gold-standard Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) curves used for global resolution estimation.

1098 **c**, Conical FSC (cFSC) plot assessing directional signal content.

1099

1100

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Further information on the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex.

a, Purification of the PorKN complex for structural analysis. The successive CsCl and sucrose density gradient steps of an exemplar preparation are shown. Coomassie Blue stained SDS-PAGE gels with corresponding anti-PorN immunoblots below. Fractions (F) are numbered from the tops of the gradients. P, pellet; LP, loose pellet. Fraction LP from the CsCl was loaded onto the sucrose gradient from which fractions F6 and F7 were pooled and used for structure determination.

b, The Ca^{2+} ion binding site in PorK with the metal ion (green) and co-ordinating ligands shown as atomic spheres.

c, AF3 reliably models the PorKN oligomer. AF3 models (coloured cartoons) of the isolated PorK and PorN subunits (Top) or of the complex between three PorKN units (Bottom) are superpositioned on a three PorKN unit section of the experimental structure (grey cartoons) with the indicated RMSDs.

d, AF3 model in cartoons representation for the complex formed between PorG and the PorKN ring. The model contains one copy of PorG and three copies of the PorKN unit. No protein-protein protein contacts are predicted beyond the intersubunit disulfide linkage.

e, AF3 model for the complex formed between the distal ends of the PorM motor arms and the PorKN ring. The model contains three copies of the PorKN unit and four copies of the D3-D4 fragment of PorM. Note that each PorM arm is a homodimer. (Top) Two views of the model in cartoons representation. (Bottom) The model viewed from the periplasm but with the PorKN units shown in spacefilling representation and coloured by sequence conservation using Consurf[63]. One of the PorM homodimer arms has been removed to allow the PorM interaction surface on PorKN to be inspected. The remaining PorM homodimer arm is shown in cartoons representation with the upper PorM subunit forming the potential specific interaction with PorKN.

References

Veith, P. D., Glew, M. D., Gorasia, D. G. & Reynolds, E. C. Type IX secretion: the generation of bacterial cell surface coatings involved in virulence, gliding motility and the degradation of complex biopolymers. *Mol Microbiol* **106**, 35-53 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1111/mmi.13752

Lasica, A. M., Ksiazek, M., Madej, M. & Potempa, J. The Type IX Secretion System (T9SS): Highlights and Recent Insights into Its Structure and Function. *Front Cell Infect Microbiol* **7**, 215 (2017). https://doi.org:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00215

Veith, P. D., Glew, M. D., Gorasia, D. G., Cascales, E. & Reynolds, E. C. The Type IX Secretion System and Its Role in Bacterial Function and Pathogenesis. *J Dent Res* **101**, 374-383 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1177/00220345211051599

Paillat, M., Lunar Silva, I., Cascales, E. & Doan, T. A journey with type IX secretion system effectors: selection, transport, processing and activities. *Microbiology (Reading)* **169** (2023). https://doi.org:10.1099/mic.0.001320

McBride, M. J. Bacteroidetes Gliding Motility and the Type IX Secretion System. *Microbiol Spectr* **7** (2019). https://doi.org:10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0002-2018

Li, Y. *et al.* Identification of trypsin-degrading commensals in the large intestine. *Nature* **609**, 582-589 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-022-05181-3

Lauber, F., Deme, J. C., Lea, S. M. & Berks, B. C. Type 9 secretion system structures reveal a new protein transport mechanism. *Nature* **564**, 77-82 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-018-0693-y

Lauber, F. *et al.* Structural insights into the mechanism of protein transport by the Type 9 Secretion System translocon. *Nat Microbiol* (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-024-01644-7

Kulkarni, S. S., Zhu, Y., Brendel, C. J. & McBride, M. J. Diverse C-Terminal Sequences Involved in Flavobacterium johnsoniae Protein Secretion. *J Bacteriol* **199** (2017). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00884-16

Glew, M. D. *et al.* PorV is an Outer Membrane Shuttle Protein for the Type IX Secretion System. *Sci Rep* **7**, 8790 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-017-09412-w

Kulkarni, S. S., Johnston, J. J., Zhu, Y., Hying, Z. T. & McBride, M. J. The Carboxy-Terminal Region of Flavobacterium johnsoniae SprB Facilitates Its Secretion by the Type IX Secretion System and Propulsion by the Gliding Motility Machinery. *J Bacteriol* **201** (2019). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00218-19

Gorasia, D. G. *et al.* Type B CTD Proteins Secreted by the Type IX Secretion System Associate with PorP-like Proteins for Cell Surface Anchorage. *Int J Mol Sci* **23** (2022). https://doi.org:10.3390/ijms23105681

Wadhwa, N. & Berg, H. C. Bacterial motility: machinery and mechanisms. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **20**, 161-173 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41579-021-00626-4

Nelson, S. S., Bollampalli, S. & McBride, M. J. SprB is a cell surface component of the Flavobacterium johnsoniae gliding motility machinery. *J Bacteriol* **190**, 2851-2857 (2008). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.01904-07

Nakane, D., Sato, K., Wada, H., McBride, M. J. & Nakayama, K. Helical flow of surface protein required for bacterial gliding motility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **110**, 11145-11150 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1219753110

Shibata, S. *et al.* Filamentous structures in the cell envelope are associated with bacteroidetes gliding machinery. *Commun Biol* **6**, 94 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1038/s42003-023-04472-3

Miller, H., Kjaer, A., Coppola, S., Jones, R., Berks, B.C., and Berry, R.M. *Architecture of the Bacteroidota gliding motility machinery revealed by 3D tracking of adhesin movements* (2025).

Shrivastava, A., Roland, T. & Berg, H. C. The Screw-Like Movement of a Gliding Bacterium Is Powered by Spiral Motion of Cell-Surface Adhesins. *Biophys J* **111**, 1008-1013 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.07.043

Wada, H., Nakane, D. & Chen, H. Y. Bidirectional bacterial gliding motility powered by the collective transport of cell surface proteins. *Phys Rev Lett* **111**, 248102 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.248102

Rhodes, R. G., Nelson, S. S., Pochiraju, S. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium johnsoniae sprB is part of an operon spanning the additional gliding motility genes sprC, sprD, and sprF. *J Bacteriol* **193**, 599-610 (2011). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.01203-10

Braun, T. F. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium johnsoniae GldJ is a lipoprotein that is required for gliding motility. *J Bacteriol* **187**, 2628-2637 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.187.8.2628-2637.2005

Shrivastava, A. & Berg, H. C. A molecular rack and pinion actuates a cell-surface adhesin and enables bacterial gliding motility. *Sci Adv* **6**, eaay6616 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1126/sciadv.aay6616

Trivedi, A. M., J. A.; Henderson, E. C.; Singharoy, A.; Shrivastava, A. A membrane-associated conveyor belt controls the rotational direction of the bacterial type 9 secretion system. *bioRxiv* (2025). https://doi.org: https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.23.614571

Sato, K. *et al.* A protein secretion system linked to bacteroidete gliding motility and pathogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 276-281 (2010). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0912010107

Hennell James, R. *et al.* Structure and mechanism of the proton-driven motor that powers type 9 secretion and gliding motility. *Nat Microbiol* **6**, 221-233 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-020-00823-6

Shrivastava, A., Johnston, J. J., van Baaren, J. M. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium johnsoniae GldK, GldL, GldM, and SprA are required for secretion of the cell surface gliding motility adhesins SprB and RemA. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 3201-3212 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00333-13

Leone, P. *et al.* Type IX secretion system PorM and gliding machinery GldM form arches spanning the periplasmic space. *Nat Commun* **9**, 429 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-017-02784-7

Rieu, M., Krutyholowa, R., Taylor, N. M. I. & Berry, R. M. A new class of biological ion-driven rotary molecular motors with 5:2 symmetry. *Front Microbiol* **13**, 948383 (2022). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2022.948383

Gorasia, D. G. *et al.* Structural Insights into the PorK and PorN Components of the Porphyromonas gingivalis Type IX Secretion System. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005820 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005820

Song, L. *et al.* A unique bacterial secretion machinery with multiple secretion centers. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **119**, e2119907119 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2119907119

Gorasia, D. G. C., G.; Seers, C.A.; Butler, C.A.; Heath, J.E.; Glew, M.D.; McBride, M.J.; Subramanian, P.; Kjær, A.; Jensen, G.J.; Veith, P.D.; Reynolds, E.C. In situ structure and organisation of the type IX secretion system. *bioRxiv* (2020).

32   Braun, T. F., Khubbar, M. K., Saffarini, D. A. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium
johnsoniae gliding motility genes identified by mariner mutagenesis. *J Bacteriol* **187**,
6943-6952 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.187.20.6943-6952.2005

33   Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with
AlphaFold 3. *Nature* **630**, 493-500 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-
w

34   Alvarez, B., Secades, P., Prieto, M., McBride, M. J. & Guijarro, J. A. A mutation in
Flavobacterium psychrophilum tlpB inhibits gliding motility and induces biofilm
formation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **72**, 4044-4053 (2006).
https://doi.org:10.1128/AEM.00128-06

35   Zhao, D. *et al.* A Disulfide Oxidoreductase (CHU_1165) Is Essential for Cellulose
Degradation by Affecting Outer Membrane Proteins in Cytophaga hutchinsonii. *Appl
Environ Microbiol* **86** (2020). https://doi.org:10.1128/AEM.02789-19

36   Kjaer, A. *Investigating bacteroidetes gliding motility*, University of Oxford, (2022).

37   Naito, M., Tominaga, T., Shoji, M. & Nakayama, K. PGN_0297 is an essential
component of the type IX secretion system (T9SS) in Porphyromonas gingivalis: Tn-
seq analysis for exhaustive identification of T9SS-related genes. *Microbiol Immunol*
63, 11-20 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1111/1348-0421.12665

38   Ono, S. *et al.* Construction and Characterization of a PGN_0297 Mutant of
Porphyromonas gingivalis: Evidence of the Contribution of PGN_0297 to Gingipain
Activity. *Acta Med Okayama* **73**, 315-323 (2019). https://doi.org:10.18926/AMO/56933

39   Nakayama, K. Porphyromonas gingivalis and related bacteria: from colonial
pigmentation to the type IX secretion system and gliding motility. *J Periodontal Res* **50**,
1-8 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1111/jre.12255

40   Johnson, S. *et al.* Molecular structure of the intact bacterial flagellar basal body. *Nat
Microbiol* **6**, 712-721 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-021-00895-y

41   Johnson, S. *et al.* Structural basis of directional switching by the bacterial flagellum.
Nat Microbiol **9**, 1282-1292 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-024-01630-z

42   Dierks, T. *et al.* Molecular basis for multiple sulfatase deficiency and mechanism for
formylglycine generation of the human formylglycine-generating enzyme. *Cell* **121**,
541-552 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.001

43   Fuchsbauer, O., Lunar Silva, I., Cascales, E., Roussel, A. & Leone, P. Structural and
functional analyses of the Porphyromonas gingivalis type IX secretion system PorN
protein. *J Biol Chem* **298**, 101618 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101618

44   Vincent, M. S. *et al.* Dynamic proton-dependent motors power type IX secretion and
gliding motility in Flavobacterium. *PLoS Biol* **20**, e3001443 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001443

45   Vincent, M. S. *et al.* Characterization of the Porphyromonas gingivalis Type IX
Secretion Trans-envelope PorKLMNP Core Complex. *J Biol Chem* **292**, 3252-3261
(2017). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M116.765081

46   Johnston, J. J., Shrivastava, A. & McBride, M. J. Untangling Flavobacterium
johnsoniae Gliding Motility and Protein Secretion. *J Bacteriol* **200** (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00362-17

47   Worrall, L. J., Majewski, D. D. & Strynadka, N. C. J. Structural Insights into Type III
Secretion Systems of the Bacterial Flagellum and Injectisome. *Annual review of
microbiology* **77**, 669-698 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-micro-032521-
025503

48   McBride, M. J. & Kempf, M. J. Development of techniques for the genetic manipulation
of the gliding bacterium Cytophaga johnsonae. *J Bacteriol* **178**, 583-590 (1996).

Liu, J., McBride, M. J. & Subramaniam, S. Cell surface filaments of the gliding bacterium Flavobacterium johnsoniae revealed by cryo-electron tomography. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 7503-7506 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00957-07

Agarwal, S., Hunnicutt, D. W. & McBride, M. J. Cloning and characterization of the Flavobacterium johnsoniae (Cytophaga johnsonae) gliding motility gene, gldA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **94**, 12139-12144 (1997).

Gibson, D. G. *et al.* Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred kilobases. *Nat Methods* **6**, 343-345 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1038/nmeth.1318

Zhu, Y. *et al.* Genetic analyses unravel the crucial role of a horizontally acquired alginate lyase for brown algal biomass degradation by Zobellia galactanivorans. *Environ Microbiol* **19**, 2164-2181 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1111/1462-2920.13699

Stafford, P. *et al.* Gingipain-dependent degradation of mammalian target of rapamycin pathway proteins by the periodontal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis during invasion. *Mol Oral Microbiol* **28**, 366-378 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1111/omi.12030

Nelson, S. S., Glocka, P. P., Agarwal, S., Grimm, D. P. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium johnsoniae SprA is a cell surface protein involved in gliding motility. *J Bacteriol* **189**, 7145-7150 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00892-07

Ovesny, M., Krizek, P., Borkovec, J., Svindrych, Z. & Hagen, G. M. ThunderSTORM: a comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-resolution imaging. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2389-2390 (2014). https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu202

Gorasia, D. G., Hanssen, E., Veith, P. D. & Reynolds, E. C. Structural Characterization of the Type IX Secretion System in Porphyromonas gingivalis. *Methods Mol Biol* **2210**, 113-121 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-0716-0939-2_11

Caesar, J. *et al.* SIMPLE 3.0. Stream single-particle cryo-EM analysis in real time. *J Struct Biol X* **4**, 100040 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.yjsbx.2020.100040

Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. *Nat Methods* **17**, 1214-1221 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-020-00990-8

Brown, A. *et al.* Tools for macromolecular model building and refinement into electron cryo-microscopy reconstructions. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* **71**, 136-153 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1107/S1399004714021683

Afonine, P. V. *et al.* Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. *Acta Crystallogr D Struct Biol* **74**, 531-544 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1107/S2059798318006551

Williams, C. J. *et al.* MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. *Protein Sci* **27**, 293-315 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3330

Pettersen, E. F. *et al.* UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. *Protein Sci* **30**, 70-82 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3943

Ashkenazy, H. *et al.* ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**, W344-350 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkw408