A novel shared mechanism for Bacteroidota protein transport and gliding motility

Authors:

Xiaolong Liu[1]*, Marieta Avramova[1], Justin C. Deme[2], Rachel L. Jones[1], Camilla A.K. Lundgren[1], Susan M. Lea[2]*, and Ben C. Berks[1]*

Affiliations:

[1] Department of Biochemistry, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom.

[2] Center for Structural Biology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland, United States of America.

* Corresponding authors. Email ben.berks@bioch.ox.ac.uk, susan.lea@nih.gov, xiaolong.liu@bioch.ox.ac.uk

Abstract

Bacteria of the phylum Bacteroidota are major human commensals and pathogens in addition to being abundant members of the wider biosphere. Bacteroidota move by gliding and they export proteins using the Type 9 Secretion System (T9SS). Here we discover that gliding motility and the T9SS share a novel mechanism of energisation in which outer membrane proteins are covalently attached by disulfide bonds to a moving internal track structure which propels them through the membrane. We determined the structure of a representative Bacteriodota mobile track by obtaining the cryoEM structure of a 3 MDa circular mini-track from *Porphyromonas gingivalis*. Our discoveries identify a novel mechanistic and evolutionary link between gliding motility and T9SS-dependent protein transport.

Introduction

The Bacteroidota (formerly Bacteroidetes) are one of the three main phyla of Gram-negative bacteria. They are the most abundant Gram-negative commensals in the human gut and are also major components of other human microbiota[1]. The phylum includes major human opportunistic anaerobic pathogens responsible for sepsis as well as oral pathogens such as *Porphyromonas gingivalis* which cause severe dental disease (periodontitis). More broadly, the Bacteriodota are widely distributed in environments throughout the biosphere[2]. Two key distinctive features of the Bacteroidota are the ability to move using gliding motility and to export proteins using the Type 9 Secretion System (T9SS).

The T9SS transports substrate proteins across the outer membrane (OM) and plays critical roles in Bacteroidota virulence and nutrition[3-9]. During Type 9 transport the substrate protein moves through a channel in the OM translocon protein SprA to form a complex with a docked OM `carrier protein'[10](**Fig. 1a**). The resulting substrate-carrier protein complex is then ejected from the translocon using an energetic input from a multi-protein Power Chain[11]. T9SS substrates fall into two classes[12]. Type A substrates are extracted from the translocon by the general carrier protein PorV and are subsequently either released into the extracellular environment or covalently attached to cell surface lipopolysaccharide[11,13]. By contrast, Type B substrates each have their own dedicated carrier protein to which they remain bound thereby anchoring them to the cell surface[14,15].

Gliding is the only mode of motility present in the Bacteroidota and allows rapid movement across solid surfaces[7,16]. It is present in most free-living Bacteroidota and some oral commensals and is mechanistically distinct from the gliding motility

exhibited by other phyla[16]. Bacteroidota gliding motility has been best studied in the soil bacterium *Flavobacterium johnsoniae*. Gliding in this organism is mediated by the cell surface adhesin SprB, which flows in helical trajectories up and down the cell[17-21]. When SprB adhesins attach to a solid surface the cell is propelled forward in a cork-screwing motion[10,19,21,22]. The SprB adhesin is anchored to the cell surface by the OM protein SprF [14,15,23](**Fig. 1a**). SprF is, in turn, thought to bind to a moving track structure at the inner face of the OM resulting in the propulsion of the attached adhesin along the cell body[19,24,25]. In current models of the gliding apparatus the track is predominantly or exclusively a polymer of the OM-anchored lipoprotein GldJ[19,24,26].

Bacteroidota gliding has long been known to depend on the organism possessing a T9SS in order to transport the gliding adhesins to the cell surface[27]. For example, in *F. johnsoniae* the adhesin SprB is a Type B T9SS substrate and SprF is its cognate carrier protein[14,15]. More recently it has shown that gliding motility and Type 9 transport are intimately mechanistically linked because they are both powered by the T9SS Power Chain[27-29]. In current models the Power Chain is composed of motor complexes that transduce the energy of the inner membrane protonmotive force into mechanical energy and transfer this across the periplasm to a Hub complex on the inner face of the OM[28,30,31](**Fig. 1a**). The Hub complex then distributes this mechanical energy both to the T9SS translocon and to the gliding machinery to power movement of the gliding track[28]. In the non-gliding pathogenic bacterium *Porphyromonas gingivalis* the Hub has been shown to be a megadalton ring-shaped molecule composed of many copies of the proteins PorK and PorN and anchored to the OM by the lipidated N-terminus of PorK[27,32-34]. In the gliding bacterium *F. johnsoniae* the PorKN-equivalent Hub proteins GldK and GldN[35] are assumed to form a similar ring structure, the outer rim of which

contacts the gliding track[16,26]. The motors are envisaged to rotate the Hub ring

(`pinion') to drive the movement of the associated gliding track (`rack') [25,26].

Given the enormous mechanical forces exerted on the adhesins during gliding it

would be anticipated that the adhesin carrier protein SprF forms an extremely strong

interaction with the gliding track. However, we were unable to predict a plausible

complex between SprF and the presumed track protein GldJ using AlphaFold3 (AF3)

[36]. Intrigued by this observation we decided to investigate the nature of the interaction

between SprF and the track. We uncovered an unanticipated and shared role for

disulfide bonds in connecting gliding and T9SS components to moving internal track

structures. This work leads to a radically new mechanistic model for the gliding motility

apparatus and of its relationship to the T9SS.

Results

A twin-cysteine motif in the periplasmic tail of SprF is essential for gliding

motility

In considering how the adhesin carrier protein SprF might interact with the gliding

track we noticed that the periplasmic C-terminal tail of SprF contains a Cys-Xaa-Cys

motif that is invariant in all close homologues (**Fig. 1b**). Since conserved cysteine

residues often have a functional role, we examined whether this twin-cysteine motif is

required for SprF to function in gliding motility. Substituting either or both of the

cysteine residues abolished gliding (**Fig. 1c**). Thus, both cysteine residues are

essential for SprF function. Control experiments confirmed that the cysteine

substitutions do not affect the levels or stability of SprF (**Supplementary Data Fig. 1**).

SprF can be considered to carry out two mechanistically separable functions. First,

it acts as a carrier protein for the export and surface-anchoring of the SprB adhesin.

Second, it attaches to the periplasmic gliding tracks to allow movement of the attached

adhesin. We used protease accessibility experiments to test whether the twin-cysteine

motif is required for SprF to fulfil its carrier protein functions (**Fig. 1d**). In these

experiments a protease is added to the medium around the cells and digests any SprB

molecules present on the cell surface. However, if export of SprB is unable to take

place (for example in a Δ*sprF* background in **Fig. 1d**), the adhesin remains inside the

cell and is protected from digestion by the external protease. Using this approach, we

found substitution of the SprF cysteine residues either singly or in combination had no

effect on the surface localization of SprB (**Fig. 1d**). Thus, the twin-cysteine motif is not

required for the carrier protein function of SprF.

To determine whether the twin-cysteine motif is, instead, required for the interaction

of SprF with the gliding track we carried out live cell single molecule tracking

experiments (**Fig. 1e, Supplementary Video 1**). In this approach fluorophore-labelled

SprB adhesin molecules are tracked as a proxy for the behaviour of the SprF

molecules to which they are bound. In a wild-type SprF background a large proportion

of the SprB molecules move on sustained helical trajectories as previously

reported[18,20,21,28]. However, removing the cysteine pair in SprF almost completely

suppresses this continuous helical movement. Thus, the twin-cysteine motif is required

for SprF to make normal interactions with the gliding track. Although most of the SprB

molecules in the cysteine-substituted background were close to stationary, a minority

still exhibited short, apparently directed motions. 3D tracking confirmed that the

handedness of these short trajectories matched the exclusively left handed geometry

of the gliding tracks[18-20] showing that these trajectories still represent SprB molecules

moving on a track (**Extended Data Fig. 1**). The adhesins with these short trajectories

showed frequent stopping behaviour, often for extended periods of time (> 10

seconds). During these `stops' the molecules could be either immobile or slowly

diffusive, and the adhesin often resumed helical movement in a different direction from

the trajectory before the `stop'. Thus, SprF is still able to interact with the gliding tracks

in the absence of the twin-cysteine motif, but these residual interactions are sporadic,

short term, and prone to aberrant behaviour. Control experiments show that if SprB

export is blocked by the complete removal of SprF (Δ*sprF*) then the non-exported SprB

molecules in the periplasm exhibit rapid isotropic diffusion that is quite distinct from

the behaviours observed when the SprF cysteine residues are removed.

SprF is disulfide-bonded to GldK

Conserved cysteines residues in periplasmic proteins are often involved in forming

disulfide bonds. This prompted us to explore whether the twin-cysteine motif in SprF

was involved in forming a disulfide link to the gliding track. To do this we first trapped

the thiol/disulfide state of the cellular proteome by treating *F. johnsoniae* with the

membrane-permeable thiol-reactive reagent *N*-ethylmaleimide (NEM). NEM forms an

irreversible adduct with free thiol groups. The modified thiols are no longer able to

attack and break disulfide bonds and so the disulfide bonds present in the cell are

preserved.

Treatment of *F. johnsoniae* cells with NEM resulted in almost the entire SprF pool

being recovered in a high molecular mass adduct running larger than 100 kDa (**Fig.**

2a). Formation of this adduct was reversed by addition of the thiol-containing reagent

DTT, confirming that the two proteins were linked by a disulfide bond (**Fig. 2a**).

Formation of the adduct also required the presence of the twin-cysteine motif in SprF,

demonstrating the involvement of these residues in the disulfide linkage (**Fig. 2a**).

SprF anchors the gliding adhesin SprB to the cell surface. We found that SprB was

required for the formation of the SprF-containing disulfide adduct (**Fig. 2a**). The

disulfide adduct was also missing in a strain in which export of SprB was blocked by

deletion of the T9SS translocon (Δ*sprA* strain, **Fig. 2b**), though not by removal of a

T9SS carrier protein that is not involved in SprB export (Δ*porV* strain, **Fig. 2b**),

confirming that SprB must be exported and loaded onto SprF for disulfide bond

formation to occur. The stability of the SprF adduct does not depend on active

movement of the SprB-SprF complex since it is still detected after dissipation of the

protonmotive force that powers the gliding apparatus[18,28] (**Extended Data Fig. 2a**).

To identify the partner protein to which SprF is disulfide linked we took a candidate

approach informed by our current understanding of the organisation of the gliding

motility apparatus. In this model the periplasmic domain of SprF interacts with a gliding

track constructed from GldJ protomers (**Fig. 1a**). Based on this model the partner

protein to which SprF is disulfide-linked should be GldJ. Surprisingly, however, no

adduct equivalent to that identified with SprF antibodies was detected by

immunoblotting against GldJ (**Fig. 2a**). This rules out GldJ as the binding partner of

SprF. Upon broadening our screen to other gliding components, we found that the

lipoprotein GldK was partially recovered in a disulfide-linked adduct with the same

molecular mass as that formed by SprF (**Fig. 2a**). Crucially this adduct was no longer

formed when the twin-cysteine motif of SprF was removed showing that the SprF is

the interacting partner (**Fig. 2a**). Thus, the Hub component GldK is the partner protein

to which SprF is disulfide linked. Because SprF is in continuous movement along the gliding track, the covalent link between SprF and GldK implies that GldK is a component of the track rather than being located in a separate Hub complex as envisaged in the current gliding model (**Fig. 1a**).

We next attempted to identify the cysteine residue(s) in GldK that are involved in forming the disulfide linkage with SprF. Discounting the lipidated N-terminal cysteine, GldK contains two cysteine residues (Cys285 and Cys343). These cysteine residues are highly conserved across GldK homologues (Fig. 3a). Gliding motility is blocked when Cys343, but not Cys285, is substituted providing presumptive evidence that it is Cys343 that forms the disulfide linkage with SprF (**Fig. 3b**). This is confirmed by biochemical analysis which shows that the SprF-GldK disulfide bond is still present in a *gldK^{C285S}* background but missing in a *gldK^{C343S}* strain (**Fig. 3c**). Export of the SprB adhesin still takes place when the disulfide-bond forming Cys343 of GldK is substituted, albeit with lower efficiency than in the wild-type strain (**Fig. 3d**). Nevertheless, as with the SprF twin-cysteine deletion strain, single particle tracking measurements fail to detect SprB molecules following the sustained helical trajectories typical of gliding adhesins in wild-type cells. Although there are rare examples of adhesins exhibiting short helical trajectories, most adhesins are close to stationary (there is also a background of rapidly isotropically moving particles due to the elevated levels of non-exported SprB molecules in the periplasm of this strain)(**Fig. 3e, Supplementary Video 2**). Thus, for those adhesins that have been successfully exported, the effects of removing GldK Cys343 on their mobility are equivalent to those of removing the SprF twin-cysteine motif. This is as expected if these two groups of cysteine residues are partners in the same disulfide bond.

The SprF twin-cysteine residues have non-identical roles

We next investigated the individual contributions of the two SprF Cys residues to disulfide bond formation with GldK. Removing Cys326, but not Cys324, prevented formation of the adduct with GldK (**Fig. 2a**). This shows that it is Cys326 that forms the disulfide bond to GldK (**Fig. 3f**). Although the SprF-GldK disulfide bond still forms when Cys324 is removed, the bond is only formed by a minority of the SprF molecules present. This contrasts with the situation with the wild-type protein where almost the entire SprF pool is crosslinked to GldK (**Fig. 2a**). Thus, Cys324 enhances the efficiency of formation of the inter-protein disulfide bond. Our interpretation of this observation is that Cys324 forms an intramolecular disulfide bond with Cys326, and that this bond is then attacked by a cysteine thiol on GldK to form the SprF-GldK disulfide link (**Fig. 3f**). Consequently, when the intramolecular disulfide bond in SprF is absent in the SprF Cys324Ala variant, formation of the disulfide bond between the remaining SprF cysteine and Cys343 in GldK requires an adventitious oxidant and so occurs with low efficiency.

It is notable that the SprF-GldK adduct is present even without NEM treatment of the bacteria in cells expressing the SprF Cys324A variant (**Fig. 2a**). This suggests that Cys324 is responsible for the instability of the SprF-GldK disulfide linkage in wild-type cells, which it presumably attacks to reform the intramolecular Cys324-Cys326 disulfide bond on SprF (**Fig. 3f**) Because NEM treatment of wild-type cells stabilises almost the entire SprF pool in disulfide linked adducts with GldK (**Fig. 2a**), we can infer that under physiological steady state conditions Cys324 is present almost exclusively in the thiol state (and thus derivatized by NEM) and so the Cys324-Cys326/Cys326-GldK disulfide equilibrium is positioned heavily towards the Cys326-GldK side (**Fig. 3f**). This is intuitively reasonable because the vicinal thiols in SprF are separated by

only a single amino acid residue and so the disulfide bond between them will be highly

strained and unstable relative to the Cys326-GldK disulfide. We interpret the need for

NEM treatment in our experimental analysis of disulfide bonding patterns as reflecting

the fact that SprF and GldK will irreversibly separate once solubilized in SDS if their

disulfide linkage is broken. Consequently, even very low levels of attack on the

disulfide bond between SprF and GldK by SprF Cys324 will, over time, remove the

SprF-GldK adduct from the sample. By contrast, in the cellular context SprF and GldK

are kept adjacent allowing their disulfide bond to be reformed if it is cleaved by SprF

Cys324.

Both cysteine residues in the C-tail of SprF are essential for gliding (**Fig. 1c**). This

behaviour is readily explained for Cys326 because this residue is required to form the

disulfide bond with GldK. However, this disulfide bond is still formed when Cys324 is

absent, raising the question of why this residue is needed for the cell to move. It is

possible that the small proportion of SprF molecules that are crosslinked to GldK in

this background (**Fig. 2a**) is insufficient to sustain gliding. It is also possible that the

gliding mechanism requires the crosslink between Cys326 and GldK to be dynamically

reversible, but that this is no longer possible without Cys324 to attack the intra-protein

disulfide bond (**Fig. 3f**). In an attempt to gain insight into these possibilities we

examined the effect of the single SprF cysteine substitutions on the mobility of

fluorophore-labelled adhesins (**Fig. 1e**). As expected, removal of Cys326 phenocopies

the behaviour of other backgrounds (*sprF^{C324A,C326A}*, *gldK^{C343S}*) that cannot form the

SprF-GldK disulfide bond (**Figs. 1e and 3e**). By contrast, removal of Cys324 produces

a mix of behaviours in which some adhesins perform sustained helical movements

(like cells with wild-type SprF) whilst others only move helically for short periods at

best (as in strains that cannot disulfide bond to GldK)(**Fig. 1e**), consistent with the

observation that only a proportion of the SprF molecules are disulfide-bonded to the

gliding track in these cells (**Fig. 2a**). If, as we hypothesise, the SprF-GldK disulfide

links in this strain cannot readily be reversed, then the fact that some adhesins (those

we have interpreted as associating with SprF molecules that are disulfide-linked to

GldK) are able to sustain continuous helical movement for multiple circuits of the cell

implies that the ability to break the disulfide linkage is not a requirement for normal

adhesin motion. This conclusion is strengthened by the observation that the movement

of another protein that moves on the gliding track (SprC) [37] is unimpaired in the

$sprF^{C324A}$ background (**Fig. 1e**). Thus, locking some SprF molecules to GldK does not

impede the movement of the track network. Since these experiments do not detect

defects in adhesin mobility upon removal of SprF Cys324 it is most likely that the loss

of gliding in this background is due to the low levels of SprF-GldK disulfide links.

GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein export

Our findings demonstrate that Cys343 in GldK is essential for gliding motility,

functioning through the formation of a disulfide bond that attaches the SprF-SprB

adhesin complex to the gliding track. Remarkably, this cysteine residue is conserved

in the homologous PorK protein of *Porphyromonas gingivalis* even though this

organism is non-motile and does not utilize gliding adhesins (**Fig. 3a**). Indeed, this

cysteine residue is completely invariant across GldK/PorK proteins. This strongly

suggests that this conserved cysteine has a role(s) beyond anchoring adhesin carrier

proteins. More specifically, since PorK is part of the *P. gingivalis* T9SS[27], it suggests

that the conserved cysteine is involved in Type 9 protein export.

To test this hypothesis, we asked whether GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein

secretion in *F. johnsoniae*. We found that removal of this residue completely abrogated

the export of a model T9SS Type A substrate protein (**Fig. 3g**). It also phenocopied

the effects of removing GldK or the T9SS translocon SprA on the secreted proteome,

which is dominated by Type A T9SS-secreted proteins[10] (**Fig. 3h**). By contrast, and as

noted previously above, removal of Cys343 reduces rather than completely blocks

export of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB (**Fig. 3d**). Thus, these experiments confirm

the hypothesis that GldK Cys343 is involved in Type 9 protein export in *F. johnsoniae*,

in addition to gliding motility, and that it is essential for the secretion of Type A

substrates. Control experiments using SprF variants lacking the twin-cysteine motif

confirm that the requirement for GldK Cys343 in Type 9 transport is independent of

the disulfide bond that GldK Cys343 makes with SprF (**Extended Data Fig. 3**).

GldK Cys343 forms a disulfide bond with the T9SS component PorG

The established mechanistic function of GldK Cys343 in gliding motility is to form a

disulfide bond with a cysteine in a partner protein (SprF). It was, therefore, highly likely

that its role in Type 9 protein secretion is also to form a disulfide linkage with a partner

protein(s), in this case presumably a T9SS component. Indeed, a possible additional

disulfide-bonded GldK adduct could be distinguished after NEM treatment of cells as

a faint band in some high exposure GldK immunoblots. This is most clearly seen in

the example shown in **Extended Data Fig. 4.**

Analysis of known T9SS components identified the protein PorG as the most likely

candidate to partner GldK. *F. johnsoniae* PorG (Fjoh_1692, hereafter PorG$_{Fj}$) is

predicted to be an 8-stranded OM protein in which the periplasmic C-tail contains the

same vicinal Cys-Xaa-Cys motif used by SprF to form a disulfide bond with GldK (**Fig.**

4a). The presence of cysteine residues in the PorG tail is a conserved feature across

the T9SS-containing Bacteroidota, although the number, spacing, and positioning of

the residues varies (**Fig. 4a**). For example, *P. gingivalis* PorG (PG0189 in strain W83,

hereafter PorG$_{Pg}$) contains a single cysteine that aligns with the second cysteine in the

F. johnsoniae PorG$_{Fj}$ motif (**Fig. 4a**). PorG$_{Pg}$ was identified as an essential T9SS

component in *P. gingivalis*[38,39] but its mechanistic role is unknown. Importantly, PorG$_{Pg}$

has been reported to co-purify with the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub Complex[32], an

observation which is consistent with the hypothesis that PorG is a disulfide-linked

partner of GldK/PorK.

We constructed a *porG* deletion mutant in *F. johnsoniae* and used this to confirm

that PorG is part of the T9SS in this organism. Specifically, PorG is essential for the

secretion of both Type A substrates and the Type B substrate SprB (**Fig. 4b-d**). The

porG$_{Fj}$ deletion mutant was also unable to glide, consistent with its inability to export

the SprB glding adhesin (**Fig. 4e**). Control experiments confirmed that the absence of

PorG$_{Fj}$ did not affect cellular levels of the key T9SS and gliding components SprA

(T9SS translocon), GldK, or SprF (**Extended Data Fig. 5b**).

We next tested whether the C-tail Cys$_{225}$-Xaa-Cys$_{227}$ motif was important for *F.*

johnsoniae PorG function. Type A protein export and gliding motility were fully blocked

in the absence of the cysteine pair (**Fig. 4b,d,e**). However, partial export of the Type

B substrate SprB still occurred (**Fig. 4c**). This is in contrast to the phenotype of a full

PorG$_{Fj}$ deletion (**Fig. 4c**), but equivalent to the phenotype obtained after deleting the

GldK Cys343 to which we propose PorG$_{Fj}$ binds (**Fig. 3d**). Removal of Cys225 alone

was sufficient to almost completely block export of a model Type A substrate, whereas

removal of Cys227 had no substantive effect on this process (**Fig. 4b**), indicating that

Cys225 is the more important of the two cysteine residues. Export of the Type B

substrate SprB and gliding motility were either not (Cys227), or only slightly (Cys225),

sensitive to the loss of the individual cysteine residues (**Fig. 4d,e and Extended Data**

Fig. 5a). Overall, the phenotypic effects of Cys225 removal are more severe than

those of Cys227 loss.

Having established that the PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteine motif is required for PorG$_{Fj}$ function we

next investigated whether this motif was involved in forming the predicted interaction

with GldK Cys343. In order to detect PorG$_{Fj}$ by immunoblotting we introduced an

ALFA-tag into loop 3 of PorG$_{Fj}$. This epitope tag did not affect the expression, stability,

or functionality of PorG$_{Fj}$, and epitope-tagged cysteine motif variants showed the same

patterns of functional defects as the untagged proteins (**Extended Data Fig. 5c-e**).

Treatment of cells with NEM trapped a proportion of the PorG$_{Fj}$ molecules in a

disulfide-linked adduct (**Fig. 4f**) of the same size as the additional, non-SprF, adduct

of GldK detected in **Extended Data Fig. 4**. This adduct was absent in a *gldK* deletion

mutant (**Fig. 4f**) confirming that GldK is the partner protein to which PorG$_{Fj}$ is disulfide-

linked. Removal of GldK Cys343, but not Cys285, also blocked formation of the PorG$_{Fj}$

adduct confirming that GldK uses the same cysteine residue to form disulfide

crosslinks with both PorG$_{Fj}$ and SprF (**Fig. 4f**). Formation of the PorG$_{Fj}$-GldK adduct

required the presence of the twin-cysteine motif in PorG$_{Fj}$ demonstrating the

involvement of these residues in the disulfide linkage (**Fig. 4f**). When the PorG$_{Fj}$

cysteines are individually removed the adduct is still observed (**Fig. 4f**) indicating that

either of the cysteines can form a bond with GldK. Nevertheless, in both cases the

levels of the PorG$_{Fj}$-GldK adduct are lower than in the wild type, with the larger effect

of Cys225 removal suggesting that Cys225 is preferentially involved in forming the

inter-subunit disulfide bond in agreement with the greater functional importance of this

cysteine. Removal of either of the PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteine residues results in the PorG$_{Fj}$-GldK

adduct being detected even in the absence of NEM treatment (**Fig. 4f**) suggesting that

in these variants the disulfide bond with GldK is stabilised because it cannot be

attacked by the missing PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteine. Disulfide bond formation between PorG and

GldK does not require T9SS activity (**Extended Data Fig. 5f**).

Overall, the disulfide-bonding behaviours of the twin-cysteine motifs of PorG$_{Fj}$ and

SprF are comparable, although with PorG$_{Fj}$ there is less differentiation between the

roles of the two cysteines. Consequently, we interpret the behaviour of the PorG$_{Fj}$

cysteines in an analogous way to the SprF motif (**Fig. 3f**). Specifically, we envisage

that an intramolecular disulfide bond is formed between PorG$_{Fj}$ Cys225 and Cys227

during PorG$_{Fj}$ biogenesis, that this bond is subsequently attacked by GldK Cys343 to

form a disulfide linkage with PorG$_{Fj}$ (preferentially involving Cys225). In the absence

of either one of the PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteines formation of the disulfide bond with GldK relies

on adventitious oxidants and is inefficient.

We then examined the effects of altering the PorG$_{Fj}$ twin-cysteine motif on the

motions of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules. Adhesins still moved in

continuous helical trajectories when PorG$_{Fj}$ lacked either one or both cysteine residues

(**Fig. 4g and Supplementary Video 3**) showing that adhesin movement on the tracks

does not require the disulfide link between PorG$_{Fj}$ and GldK. Thus, the gliding defects

in some of these mutants are most likely to be due to their reduced levels of adhesin

export (**Fig. 4c**, also evident by the larger proportion of rapidly diffusing non-exported

molecules in the periplasm of these strains in **Supplementary Video 3**) rather than

loss of adhesin function. An appreciable fraction of the adhesin trajectories in all three

porG$_{Fj}$ cysteine mutants showed mid-cell reversals rather than travelling fully to the

next pole. Examples are shown in **Fig. 4g and Supplementary Video 4**. This

behaviour is very rare in wild-type cells[20] suggesting that track morphology is

perturbed in the cysteine mutants and that PorG$_{Fj}$ may have a role in determining the

architecture of the gliding tracks.

As noted above, the PorG$_{Fj}$ cysteine motif is not rigidly conserved. For example,

the PorG protein of *P. gingivalis* is missing the cysteine residue that in *F. johnsoniae*

PorG forms the disulfide bond with GldK (**Fig. 4a**) raising the question as to whether

the remaining cysteine residue (Cys232) is required to support Type 9 function. We

found that removing this residue abolished Type 9 export in *P. gingivalis,* as judged by

the loss of colony pigmentation that is characteristic of T9SS-defective strains grown

on blood agar[40] (**Fig. 5a,b**). Thus, the single cysteine motif of *P. gingivalis* PorG is still

an essential requirement for Type 9 protein secretion. Generalising this observation

implies that all PorG C-tail cysteine motifs are involved in PorG function but that there

is flexibility in the exact complement and arrangement of the cysteine residues.

Structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub Complex

The work reported here shows that the *F. johnsoniae* protein GldK and its *P.*

gingivalis equivalent PorK are disulfide-linked to partner proteins in the OM protein.

Whilst PorK is known to be a component of the *P. gingivalis* Hub complex, we find that

the equivalent GldK protein is part of the mobile *F. johnsoniae* gliding track. This

implies that that the Hub complex and gliding track are related structures, and thus

that both are mobile tracks. From this standpoint the Hub is a miniature circular

analogue of the longer gliding track.

We sought to understand the structure of these Bacteroidota mobile tracks and to

characterize the structural context of the disulfide link between GldK/PorK and their

partner OM proteins. Since we and others[18] have been unsuccessful in isolating the

gliding tracks from *F. johnsoniae* we concentrated our efforts on the *P. gingivalis* PorKN

complex which has previously been characterized at low (>40 Å) resolution by cryo-

EM[32]. We were able to improve the structure of the ~3 MDa PorKN ring to near-atomic

resolution (2.4 Å) (**Fig. 5c**, **Extended Data Figs. 6 and 7a**, **SI Table 1**). The PorK

subunit is structurally defined from Gly32 to Phe476 and thus missing the 8 N-terminal

residues and 15 C-terminal residues of the mature protein. PorN is defined from Thr47

to Trp299 and so is missing the 22 N-terminal residues and 62 C-terminal residues of

the mature protein (**Fig. 5d**). Two glycosylation sites are present on each subunit,

providing glycosylation on both the outer (PorK-linked) and inner (PorN-linked) faces

of the ring (**Fig. 5d**).

The PorKN ring is composed of multiple side-by-side PorKN heterodimer units (**Fig.**

5c), consistent with earlier biochemical evidence for an equimolar PorK:PorN

stoichiometry[32]. The actual number of repeats is not fixed, ranging from 31 to 35 PorKN

heterodimers, but is most frequently 33 (**Fig. 5c**), in agreement with the earlier lower-

resolution study of the complex which reported 32 to 36 repeating units[32]. Such

variation in subunit stoichiometry is commonly observed for ring complexes made up

from large numbers of repeating subunits[41,42], reflecting the small differences in

stability of oligomers of closely similar size.

The overall shape of the PorKN complex is consistent with earlier lower resolution

cryoEM and *in situ* cryoET studies of the complex[32-34], and our high resolution structure

is readily fit into the published lower-resolution envelopes for the complex (**Fig. 5e**).

The wall of the ring is angled inwards and is almost triangular in cross-section, with

the outer face more sloped than the inner (**Fig. 5f**). The 33-mer ring has an outer

diameter of 540 Å and an inner diameter of 380 Å (**Fig. 5c**). Published cryoET images

(and the fit of our model to these data, **Fig. 5e**) suggest that the face of the ring formed

by the base of the triangle is positioned next to the OM[33,34]. Consistent with this

assignment, the detergent micelle in our structure lies above this face of the ring and

is appropriately positioned to interact with the lipidated N-termini of the PorK subunits

(**Fig. 5c**). This membrane-facing side of the ring is noticeably flat (**Fig. 5c**) and not

highly charged, as would be appropriate for a surface that moves across the face of

the membrane. PorK and PorN form distinct layers of the ring (**Fig. 5c**), as previously

speculated[32]. The positions of the PorN subunits are somewhat offset relative to PorK,

resulting in each PorN subunit contacting two PorK subunits and vice versa. The

contacts between all neighbouring subunits are tightly packed and very extensive, with

the interactions between the well-folded subunit cores augmented by a long N-terminal

arm extended by each PorK subunit across the clockwise-adjacent (as viewed from

the OM) PorK molecule and shorter arms at the termini of the PorN subunits which

contact adjacent PorK molecules (**Fig. 5g**). These extensive contacts bury more than

~5,500 $Å^2$ of surface for every PorKN pair incorporated into the ring (**Fig. 5h**). This

large interaction interface indicates a very stable complex in which the subunit

interactions are rigidly fixed. Likewise, the bulk of the individual subunits are compactly

folded implying they are rigid structures and there are no indications of conformational

flexibility anywhere within the ring in the cryoEM data. These observations are difficult

to reconcile with earlier interpretations of cryoET data as showing a 40° variation in

PorKN angle driven by the PorLM motors[33].

The core of the PorK subunit has an extensively elaborated sulfatase-modifying

enzyme (SME) fold[43], as previously noted[29], and contains the canonical disulfide bond

and bound metal atom (that we identify as a calcium ion based on the observed co-

ordination, **Extended Data Fig. 7b**) [43] that likely structurally stabilize the fold. The

extensions to the SME core found in PorK are involved in extensive interactions with

neighboring subunits, adding to the stability of the ring (**Fig. 5g**).

The PorN subunits have a well-folded core as seen in an earlier crystal structure of

this part of the subunit (RMSD 0.7 Å across 169 residues)[44]. However, both the N and

C-termini become ordered in assembling the ring, with the N-terminus packing against

PorK and the C-terminus packing on the inner face of the PorN portion of the ring (**Fig.**

5f,g).

The PorG-reactive cysteine residue Cys356 is exposed on the OM-facing side of

PorK, congruent with its role in interacting with the OM-embedded PorG, and is located

at the inner rim of the PorKN ring (**Fig. 5g,i**). Cys356 is found in a conserved Arg-Gly-

Cys-Phe motif (**Fig. 3a**) with the arginine presented at the apex of a small surface loop

that projects away from the protein core and is stabilized by intra-loop backbone

hydrogen bonds. Within this loop the side chain of Arg354 sits above Cys356 partially

screening it from interacting partners (**Fig. 5i**) although the cysteine side chain remains

highly solvent exposed.

We used AF3 to model the interaction between PorG and PorKN. AF3 recapitulated

the structure of PorKN well (RMSD 2.8 Å overall for a 3:3 heterocomplex, **Extended**

Data Fig. 7c) and demonstrated that interactions between PorG and the ring are likely

limited to the linking disulfide bond and interactions from residues immediately

surrounding the disulfide in the unstructured tail of PorG (**Extended Data Fig. 7d**). In

particular, no consistent or high confidence interactions were predicted between the

base of the PorG barrel and the upper surface of the ring. We also used AF3 to predict

interactions between the PorM dimer arm of the PorLM motor that energizes the

PorKN ring (**Extended Data Fig. 7e**). The tip of the PorM dimer is predicted to lie

within the cleft formed between neighbouring copies of PorN at the periplasmic face

of the ring, with the majority of the contacts coming from one copy of the D4 domain

of PorM. Although the surface buried in this interaction is not substantially conserved

and the confidence metrics for this mode of interaction weak, this may reflect the

dynamic nature of the interaction between PorM and the PorKN ring. Previous

biochemical and genetic interaction data had suggested that PorM interacts with both

PorN and PorK[44-46] but only PorN contacts are supported by our modelling.

Model for the *F. johnsoniae* gliding track structure

Having established that AF3 reliably predicts the experimental structure of the

PorKN `mini-track' we used AF3 to predict the structure of the analogous *F. johnsoniae*

gliding track. These predictions included the `Hub' proteins GldK and GldN, as well as

the previously established gliding track component GldJ. Predictions containing equal

numbers of GldK, GldN, and GldJ protomers formed a high confidence and well-

packed filamentous structure (**Fig. 6a**). Within this filament the GldKN subunits have

essentially the same arrangement as the equivalent subunits in the *P. gingivalis* PorKN

structure (231 core residue superimpose with an RMSD of 0.7A).The GldJ subunits,

which are structurally related to GldK[24,35], mimic the organisation and placement of the

GldK protomers but are on the opposite side of the filament and run in the reverse

direction along the filament. The result is that GldK and GldJ pack alongside each

other in two antiparallel lines on the OM-proximal side of the filament with both

subunits in contact with GldN. The packing between all three types of subunits is

extensive and indicative of a single stable entity consistent with the idea that the

filament represents the gliding track and that GldK and GldN are components of the

track. In our model the SprF/PorG-interacting Cys343 of GldK is positioned at the

centre of the OM-facing surface of the filament at the interface between the lines of

GldJ and GldK subunits (**Fig. 6a**). Our model for the interactions between GldJ and

GldK differs from a recent proposal based on computational docking[26].

Discussion

This work reveals a novel cellular mechanism of mechanical energy transduction in

which membrane proteins are linked by disulfide bonds to a moving track structure

(**Fig. 6b,c**). To the best of our knowledge such a use of disulfide bonds to attach client

proteins to moving elements of a machine has no analogy in any other mechanical

system in biology. Remarkably, we find that this mechanism of energy transduction is

shared between two of the major phylum-specific systems of the Bacteroidota – gliding

motility and Type 9 protein transport – revealing that these processes are

mechanistically and evolutionarily linked (**Fig. 6b,c**).

The key observation underpinning our conclusions is the unexpected discovery that

the OM-spanning protein SprF - which links the cell surface gliding adhesin SprB to

the internal gliding track - is disulfide-bonded to the periplasmic lipoprotein GldK. Since

almost all the SprF molecules in the cell have this disulfide linkage (**Figs. 2a,b and**

3c), and almost all are carrying adhesins along the gliding tracks (**Figs. 1e,3e, and 4g**

and Supplementary Video 1), we were able to deduce that GldK must be a

component of the track. This conclusion overturns the current model for the gliding

apparatus in which GldK is considered to be a component of a Hub complex that links

the gliding motors to the gliding tracks[16,25,26] (**Fig. 1a**). In our new model GldK, and its

Hub partner GldN, are integral components of the gliding track (**Fig. 6c**), a possibility

that has been briefly considered by some authors[5]. Several additional lines of

evidence support this new model. First, AF3 modelling predicts a high-confidence

equimolar complex between the Hub proteins GldK and GldN and the known track

protein GldJ. The resulting complex is stabilised by extensive tight packing between

all three of the constituent protomer types, and the proteins are arranged in a repeating

filament-like structure as expected of the track (**Fig. 6a**). The predicted complex is

unlikely to represent the interfacial contact between the outside of the rotating Hub

and the track filament that is envisaged in the current rack-and-pinion-model of

gliding[25,26] because the structure prediction places the GldJ 'track' (rack) on the

equivalent of the inner, rather than outer, face of the PorKN ring (**Fig. 6a**). Second, the

previously observed stabilisation of GldK by GldJ[47] is better interpreted in terms of

GldK forming part of a GldJ-containing gliding track than GldK being part of a separate

Hub complex that does not contain GldJ. Third, a SprF variant (Cys324Ala) that we

infer cannot easily reverse its attachment to GldK is still able to move helically for

multiple circuits of the cell, implying that it must be attached to GldK throughout those

circuits (**Figs. 1e, 2a and Supplementary Video 1**). Finally, the fact that only SprF

molecules that can form a disulfide link with GldK are able to mediate continuous

helical movement of adhesins along the tracks (**Figs. 1e and 3e and Supplementary**

Video 1) is also consistent with GldK being part of the track.

Our new model for the organisation of the gliding track changes our understanding

of how Type 9 transport is energised in both gliding and non-gliding Bacteroidota. In

gliding Bacteroidota the T9SS translocon is now inferred to be energised directly by

the gliding track (**Fig. 6c**) rather than by a separate Hub complex (**Fig. 1a**) while the

Hub complex of non-gliding bacteria can now be understood to be a moving track

structurally analogous to the gliding track (**Fig. 6b**).

We envisage that the disulfide bond between SprF and the track provides a very

stable linkage that allows the track to exert force on those adhesin molecules that are

bound to the external substratum in order to propel the cell forward (high load). Even

under the low load conditions used in our experiments, where the cells are suspended

in agarose rather than pushing against a surface, the very long (~200nm) [18] SprB

adhesins may still require substantial force to move them through the medium

surrounding the cell and, thus, still show strong dependence on the presence of the

SprF-GldK disulfide linkage. SprF is still able to interact transiently with the gliding

track even in the absence of the disulfide linkage to GldK (**Figs. 1e and 3e and**

Supplementary Video 1). These weak interactions may be required for initial complex

formation between SprF and GldK to allow subsequent disulfide bond creation.

We found that PorG, an essential component of the T9SS, is also disulfide-bonded

to the track. Although PorG and SprF both bind to the same cysteine residue in GldK,

it is unlikely that their binding is competitive because GldK is in large molar excess

over either protein (**Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 4**). The presence of a disulfide

linkage between PorG and GldK suggests, by analogy to SprF, that PorG moves on

the gliding track and that this movement results in the exertion of considerable

mechanical force, necessitating covalent attachment to the track. The energy-requiring

step in Type 9 transport is the ejection of carrier protein-substrate complexes from the

T9SS translocon[11] and so we speculate that the role of PorG might be to transmit force

from the Energy Chain to push carrier proteins out of the translocon. Intriguingly, we

find that cells that fail to make a disulfide connection between PorG and GldK show

apparent alterations in long-range track morphology (**Fig. 4g**) suggesting that PorG

also has a role in track placement, perhaps by displacing other OM proteins from the

track path. Export of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB is more strongly affected by

removal of PorG protein than by blocking its ability to disulfide bond with GldK (**Fig.**

4c,g). This indicates that PorG, like SprF, possesses disulfide-independent

interactions, potentially with the gliding track.

The disulfide linkages characterized here appear to be the only known instances of

permanent inter-molecular disulfide bonds between periplasmic proteins. This

probably reflects the fact that disulfide bonds are normally introduced into individual,

unfolded nascent polypeptides as they enter the periplasm and are thus intramolecular

in nature[48,49]. It may be for this reason that *F. johnsoniae* adopts a strategy of

introducing intramolecular disulfide linkages into SprF and PorG during their

biogenesis that can later be used as `donor' disulfides in the formation of the GldK-

SprF linkage (**Fig. 3f**). This strategy is, however, not applicable to *P. gingivalis* PorG

because this protein contains only a single cysteine residue. We note that the PorG-

reactive cysteine in the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex is highly exposed relative to the

F. johnsoniae gliding track (**Figs. 5b,c and 6a**) which might, instead, provide sufficient

accessibility to allow periplasmic thiol-oxidising proteins to form the disulfide link with

PorG *in situ*. It is also possible that the presence of the second cysteine in the *F.*

johnsoniae PorG and SprF proteins allows the disulfide link with GldK to be reversibly

broken under some circumstances (**Fig. 3f**), for example to allow PorG and SprF to

move between tracks or temporarily detach at track crossings, but that this is not

required in *P. gingivalis* where PorG is attached to PorKN rings which operate in

isolation from one another[33,34].

Based on our revised understanding of the organisation of the Bacteroidota Energy

Chain determined here we infer that the T9SS initially evolved as part of the

Bacteroidota gliding machinery in order to place gliding adhesins onto the cell surface

(**Fig. 6c**). This ancestral function would explain why Type 9 transport uses a very

unusual mechanism in which exported proteins initially form a complex with an OM

carrier protein - an appropriate strategy for the biogenesis of cell surface adhesins -

rather than being directly transferred into the extracellular environment. Placing the

gliding track next to the translocon in order to accept the newly transported adhesin-

carrier protein complexes would have provided the translocon with a ready-made

energy source to power adhesin export. We propose that the ability to glide was

ancestral in the Bacteroidota but that this capability has been lost in most commensals.

In these non-gliding bacteria, such as *P. gingivalis*, the mobile track no longer has a

gliding function but is still retained in order to power the Type 9 translocon. This more

limited function allowed the cell to miniaturize the track in the form of the PorKN ring

(**Fig. 6b**). The suggested evolutionary relationship between the gliding motility

machinery and Type 9 protein transport has parallels with the relationship between the

nanomachines constituting the bacterial flagellum (a motility system that exports its

own components) and Type 3 Secretion Systems (which possesses only the secretion

function) [50].

Our structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN Hub/mini-track shows that is has no

substantive conformational flexibility. This suggests that force exerted on the Hub by

the motor complexes cause it to rotate in place as a rigid body carrying any attached

partner proteins with it (**Fig. 6b**). The GldJKN filaments would move linearly in a very

similar manner to the PorKN ring, with the GldN subunits providing closely spaced

contacts all along the filament for the gliding motors to push on (**Fig. 6c**). These track

movements would then drag the disulfide-linked partner proteins through the OM.

Acknowledgments:

We thank James Horne for producing the PorN and GldJ antisera and *F. johnsoniae* Δ*gldK* and Δ*porG* mutants, Mark McBride for providing SprF and GldK antisera, Frederick Lauber for producing the Δ*sprF* mutant, Kevin Foster for access to anaerobic culture and imaging facilities, and James Garnett, Mark Roberts, Joseph Aduse-Opoku, Michael Curtis, and Graham Stafford for strains, reagents, and advice on working with *P. gingivalis*. We acknowledge the use of Oxford Micron Advanced Imaging Facility. This work was supported by European Research Council Advanced Award 833713 (BCB), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council grant BB/S007474/1 (BCB and SML), and a Medical Research Council studentship to RJ. This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH.

Author Contributions

XL carried out all genetic and biochemical experiments, with the exception that MA purified the PorKN complex. JCD, CL, and SML collected electron microscopy data and determined all structures. RJ and XL carried out fluorescence microscopy experiments. XL, BCB, and SML conceived the project. BCB and SML secured funding. The draft manuscript was written by XL and BCB. All authors interpreted data, produced figures, and revised the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

All strains used in this work are listed in Supplementary Table 2.

F. johnsoniae was routinely grown aerobically in Casitone Yeast Extract (CYE) medium[51] at 30 °C with shaking. For some physiological studies the cells were cultured in Motility Medium (MM)[52] or PY2 medium[53].

P. gingivalis was grown anaerobically (5 % CO_2, 5 % H_2, 90 % N_2) at 37 °C in a Don Whitley Scientific A45 workstation. For liquid culture *P. gingivalis* was grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) medium (Oxoid) supplemented with 5 µg/ml haemin, 1 µg/ml menadione, 0.5 mg/ml L-cysteine (BHIS). Culture on blood agar (BA) plates (blood agar base (Oxoid), 50 µl/ml defibrinated horse blood (HB034, TCS Biosciences Ltd), 5 µg/ml haemin, 1 µg/ml menadione, 0.5 mg/ml L-cysteine) was used to obtain single colonies. Where required, antibiotics were used at the following concentrations: 30 µg/ml erythromycin, 1 µg/ml tetracycline, 3 µg/ml carbenicillin (in BHIS liquid medium), and 40 µg/ml carbenicillin (on BA solid medium).

Genetic constructs

Plasmids were constructed by Gibson assembly[54] or Q5 site-directed mutagenesis (New England Biolabs) using the primers and target DNA in Supplementary Tables 3 and 4. All *F. johnsoniae* and *P. gingivalis* mutations were constructed in the native chromosomal genes.

Chromosomal modifications were introduced into *F. johnsoniae* using the suicide vector pYT313[55] harbouring the counter-selectable *sacB* gene as previously

described[28]. Suicide and expression plasmids were introduced into the appropriate *F.*

johnsoniae background strain by triparental mating using DH5α transformed with the

plasmid to be transferred and HP101 containing the helper plasmid pRK2013 as

previously described[51].

Linear DNA fragments were used for chromosomal modification in *P. gingivalis* and

introduced by electroporation. Electrocompetent cells were prepared from *P. gingivalis*

grown anaerobically to OD_{600} = 0.7. From this point manipulations were carried out

outside the glove box until anaerobic conditions were re-established after

electroporation. The cultures harvested by centrifugation at 10,000g for 10 min at 4°C,

then resuspended in ice-cold electroporation buffer (10% glycerol, 1 mM $MgCl_2$) and

pelleted again under the same conditions. This washing step was repeated once more

before resuspending the final electrocompetent cells in 200 µl of electroporation buffer.

Electroporation was performed by mixing the electrocompetent cells with 0.5–2 µg of

transforming DNA in an 2 mm electroporation cuvette (165-2086, Bio-Rad) and pulsing

at 2500 V for 5 ms in a BTX ECM 399 Electroporation System (SLS). Following

electroporation, the cuvette was returned to the glove box, supplemented with 1 ml

deoxygenated BHIS medium, and incubated for 24 h to allow the cells to recover. If

cepA was used as the selective marker the cultures were then diluted 1:100 in BHIS

supplemented with 3 µg/mL carbenicillin and incubated until turbid (5–7 days). A

dilution series was then plated on blood agar plates supplemented with 40 µg/mL

carbenicillin. For other selection markers the transformed culture was plated directly

onto BA supplemented with the appropriate antibiotic.

To facilitate genetic modification of *P. gingivalis* in a triple gingipain deleted

background the *rgpB*::*ermAM-F* locus in strain EK18[56] was replaced with *cepA* using

a SmaI-digested fragment of pCL54 to produced strain P54.

All plasmid constructs and chromosomal modifications were confirmed by

sequencing.

Immunoblotting and antisera used.

Immunoblotting was carried out as previously described[11]. Polyclonal antibodies

against GldJ and PorN were raised in rabbits against synthetic peptides. Polyclonal

antibodies against SprF[14], GldK[29], and SprA[57] were provided by Mark McBride

(University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee). The following commercial antisera were used:

anti-HaloTag (G921A, Promega), anti-GroEL (G6532 Merck), anti-mCherry

(ab167453, Abcam), anti-ALFA-Tag (N1582, Synaptic Systems GmbH), anti-mouse

IgG peroxidase conjugate (A4416 Merck), and anti-rabbit IgG peroxidase conjugate

(31462 Pierce).

Analytical methods

The protease protection assay for SprB export and the analysis of mCherry-CTD

secretion were carried out as previously described[11].

Measurements of gliding motility on agar and analysis of the secreted proteome

were performed as previously described[10].

Whole cell analysis of disulfide-bonded protein complexes

The *F. johnsoniae* strain to be analyzed was grown overnight in 5 ml CYE medium,

diluted 1:100 into 15 ml fresh CYE medium, and cultured until $OD_{600}=0.6$. Cells were

collected by centrifugation at 8,000g for 5 min, washed in PBS buffer, and

resuspended in PBS buffer to OD_{600}=1. The sample was aliquoted equally into two

0.5ml fractions which were then either supplemented or not with 5 mM *N*-

ethylmaleimide (E3876-5G, Merck) and incubated for 5 min in the dark on a roller at

room temperature. Each sample was aliquoted equally into two 0.25 ml fractions that

were then pelleted, washed in PBS, resuspended in PBS, and boiled for 5 min with 1x

SDS-PAGE sample buffer either with or without 100 mM DTT, then analyzed by

immunoblotting. For the protonophore treatment experiments the growing culture was

supplemented with 10 µM CCCP (C2759-1G, Merck) for 20 min before harvesting the

cells, and 10 µM CCCP was then maintained throughout the sample preparation

procedure.

Live cell fluorescence microscopy

F. johnsoniae strains were cultured in MM to OD_{600} = 0.4 and 900 µl added to an

Eppendorf tube containing 100 µl of MM pre-mixed with 1 µl of a 10 nM solution of

Janelia Fluor JFX650 HaloTag Ligand (Promega) in dimethyl sulfoxide. The tubes

were shaken at 30 °C for 20 min and the cells then pelleted by centrifugation at

7,000*g* for 3 min. The cells were washed four times by resuspension in 1 ml of PY2

medium and repelleting, and finally resuspended in 200 µl PY2 medium. 1 µl of this

cell suspension was spotted onto agarose pads formed from 1% agarose in PY2

medium and then imaged.

All imaging data were acquired on a Nanoimager (Oxford Nanoimaging) equipped

with a 640 nm 1W DPSS laser. Optical magnification was provided by a 100× oil-

immersion objective (Olympus, numerical aperture (NA) 1.4) and images were

acquired using an ORCA-Flash4.0 V3 CMOS camera (Hamamatsu). All fluorescence

images were collected at 15% laser power using 30 ms continuous exposure. Where

applicable, data were acquired in 3D by engaging the astigmatic lens of the

Nanoimager. The z-co-ordinate was calibrated by taking a z-stack in 2 nm increments

in the range -1 μm to +1 μm from focus, of 0.1 μm tetraspec beads (T7279, Invitrogen)

immobilised on a glass slide with poly-L-lysine.

Raw data were analysed using the Fiji plugin ThunderSTORM[58] to determine single

molecule localisations. Single molecule trajectories within cells were computed using

a custom Python script that incorporated the Trackpy package

(https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1213240), with a memory allowance of 2 frames and

a search range of 3 pixels per frame. Tracks were filtered using a minimum length of

30 frames.

Purification of PorKN complexes

PorKN complexes were purified by modifying a previously described method[32,59].

The complexes were purified from a triple gingipain-deleted mutant of *P. gingivalis*

W50 (EK18). In this *P. gingivalis* strain PorK is PG_0288 and PorN is PG_0291. The

strain was grown under anaerobic conditions in 10 l of BHIS broth to an OD_{650} of 1.

Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 15,000*g* for 1 h. The pellet was resuspended

in lysis buffer (50 mM TrisHCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 M sucrose, 5 mM $MgCl_2$, 1%

(w/v) n-Dodecyl β-D-maltoside (DDM)(Anatrace), benzonase (Sigma-Aldrich) and

cOmplete™ Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich)) and gently mixed using a

magnetic stirrer at 4 °C for 3 h. The resulting lysate was clarified by centrifugation at

10,000*g* at 4 °C for 1 h. Na_2EDTA was added to the clarified supernatant to a final

concentration of 10 mM, and then lysozyme powder (Sigma-Aldrich) was added to a

final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml. The mixture was then incubated at 37 °C for 45 min

followed by centrifugation at 210,000g at 4 °C for 90 min. The resulting pellet was

resuspended in resuspension buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 5 mM

Na$_2$EDTA, 1% (w/v) DDM) and centrifuged at 10,000g at 4 °C for 15 min to remove

unsolubilised material. The recovered supernatant was mixed at a ratio of 1:3 with a

30% CsCl solution (30% (w/v) CsCl, 10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 0.5% (w/v) DDM] and

then centrifuged at 215,000g at 20 °C for 19 h in a SW55 Ti rotor (Beckman-Coulter).

Fractions were sampled from the top downwards with a wide-bore pipette tip. 10

soluble fractions were isolated, followed by a loose pellet (LP) fraction containing the

soluble PorKN complexes, and an insoluble pellet (P) fraction. Fractions were diluted

at a ratio of 1:2 with dilution buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH 7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v)

DDM, 5 mM Na$_2$EDTA) and the PorKN complexes pelleted by centrifugation at

210,000g for 2 h. The pellets were resuspended in sample buffer (10 mM Tris HCl pH

7.5, 500 mM NaCl, 0.5% (w/v) DDM) and successful fractionation confirmed by

immunoblotting using a PorN antibody. The LP fraction (400 µL) was loaded onto a

discontinuous sucrose gradient formed by layering equal volumes (400 µL) of,

successively, 2 M, 1.9 M, 1.8 M, 1.7 M, 1.6 M, 1.5 M, and 1 M sucrose in dilution buffer.

The gradients were centrifuged at 215,000g at 12 °C for 17 h in a SW55 Ti rotor

(Beckman-Coulter). Fractions were sampled from the top downwards with a wide-bore

pipette tip, 11 fractions were isolated (F1 to F11, with F1 being the upper-most

fraction). Fractions were diluted at a ratio of 1:2 with dilution buffer and the PorKN

complexes pelleted by centrifugation at 250,000g for 1 h 45 min. The pellets were

resuspended in sample buffer and then analysed by SDS-PAGE and by

immunoblotting using a PorN antibody to identify the fractions (typically F6 and F7)

containing the highest concentration of PorKN rings. These fractions were pooled and

pelleted at 186,000g for 2 h at 12 °C. The pellet was resuspended in 30 µl sample

buffer and used to prepare cryoEM grids.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and imaging

3 µl of the PorKN sample (A_{280nm} = 7.2) was applied to ultra-thin carbon coated

grids (Quantifoil 300 mesh, Au R2/1) at 4°C in 100% humidity. The sample was

adsorbed for 60 s, blotted 3 s, and plunge frozen in liquid ethane using a Vitrobot mark

IV (FEI).

Movies were collected in counted mode, in Electron Event Representation (EER)

format, on a CFEG-equipped Titan Krios G4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operating at

300 kV with a Selectris X imaging filter (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and slit width of 10

eV, at 165,000x magnification on a Falcon 4i direct detection camera (Thermo Fisher

Scientific), corresponding to a calibrated pixel size of 0.732 Å. Movies were collected

at a total dose of 55.0 (Table 1), fractionated to ~ 1.0 e$^-$/Å^2 per fraction for motion

correction.

Cryo-EM data processing

Patched motion correction, CTF parameter estimation, particle picking, extraction,

and initial 2D classification was performed in SIMPLE 3.0[60]. All downstream

processing was carried out in cryoSPARC[61]. Global resolution was estimated from

gold-standard Fourier shell correlations (FSCs) using the 0.143 criterion and local

resolution estimation was calculated using the 0.5 criterion.

The cryo-EM processing workflow for PorKN is outlined in **Extended Data Fig. 7**. Briefly, particles were downsampled from 0.732 Å/pixel to 1.464 Å/pixel and subjected to one round of reference-free 2D classification (k=100) using a 600 Å soft circular mask. Selected particles (162,414) were further downsampled to a pixel size of 2.928 Å and subjected to another round of 2D classification (50 classes, no mask), yielding 124,099 cleaner particles. A low resolution volume was generated from these particles after *ab initio* reconstruction with D33 symmetry applied. Corresponding particles were then non-uniform refined (applying D33 symmetry, 10 Å lowpass filter) against this volume, resulting in a Nyquist-limited 5.9 Å volume. Unmasked 3D classification of the particles was then performed against 10 classes using a resolution filter of 8 Å while applying D33 symmetry. Particles belonging to the most symmetric and structured volume were re-extracted to a pixel size of 1.7568 Å/pixel after re-centring using prior aligned shifts. This was followed by non-uniform refinement (D33 symmetry) against a corresponding 10 Å lowpass-filtered volume that generated an improved 4.0 Å volume. Local refinement using a rotational search extent of 5 degrees and shift extent of 3 Å was performed on D33 symmetry-expanded particles (1,097,778) in C1 against the corresponding map lowpass-filtered to 8 Å, using a soft mask covering multiple subunit copies on the ring, resulting in a 3.5 Å Nyquist-limited reconstruction. Particles and corresponding volume were then re-centred to the mask centre of mass and re-extracted using these aligned shifts to a pixel size of 1.1764 Å/pixel. These particles were subjected to local refinement using limited searches (5° angular, 3 Å shifts), resulting in a 3.1 Å volume. Local CTF refinement followed by an identical local refinement scheme further improved map quality to 2.5 Å. Particles were then re-extracted using their aligned shifts to a pixel size of 1.097 Å, followed by an additional round of C1 local refinement that generated a slightly improved 2.5 Å volume. Focused

825   3D classification using a mask covering three subunits on the ring was performed

826   against 4 classes in C1 and using a resolution filter of 3 Å. Particles belonging to the

827   most populated class were selected and underwent local refinement (C1), generating

828   a 2.4 Å volume from 676,608 particles.

830   Model building, structure refinement, and figure preparation

831   Iterative model building and real-space refinement using rotamer, and

832   Ramachandran restraints was performed in Coot v0.9[62] and Phenix[63], respectively.

833   Validation was performed in Molprobity[64] within Phenix. Cryo-EM data collection,

834   image processing and structure refinement statistics are listed in Supplementary Table

835   1. Figures were prepared using UCSF ChimeraX v.1.9[65].

837   Statistics and reproducibility

838   All experiments shown in the paper are representative with similar data obtained for

839   at least three biological repeats.

841   Data availability

Cryo-EM density maps and atomic coordinates are deposited in the Electron

Microscopy DataBank (EMDB) with the following accession number: EMD-48769.

Atomic coordinates are deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the following

accession number: PDB 9MZU.

Gel and immunoblot source data are published alongside this paper.

https://github.com/notdrjones/Single-Particle-Tracking-for-Disulfide-Bond-Paper

Requests for materials should be addressed to BCB.

Code availability

Original code used in this study is available at https://github.com/notdrjones/Single-

Particle-Tracking-for-Disulfide-Bond-Paper.

Supplementary Video 1. Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules in _F. johnsoniae_ cells with mutations in _sprF_. Raw images were recorded with continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 2. Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules in _F. johnsoniae_ cells with mutations in _gldK_. Raw images were recorded with continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 3. **Mobility of fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecules in _F. johnsoniae_ cells with mutations in _porG_.** Raw images were recorded with continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Playback is in real time. The area of the field of view is 32.7 x 32.7 µm.

Supplementary Video 4. Single particle tracking of a fluorophore-tagged SprB adhesin molecule in a _F. johnsoniae porG_^{C225S,C227S} mutant cell showing mid-cell reversals. Raw images were recorded with continuous exposure at 33 fps using epifluorescence microscopy. Overlaid on the image is the real time progression of the super-resolution fit of the adhesin trajectory, coloured by time. The scale bar represents 1 µm.

Fig. 1 | The cysteine residues in the periplasmic C-tail of SprF are essential for SprB movement and gliding motility.

a, Current model for the organization of the gliding motility apparatus and T9SS in *F. johnsoniae* involving a shared Power Train. OM, outer membrane. IM, inner membrane.

b, Sequence of the periplasmic C-tail of *F. johnsoniae* SprF with the twin-cysteine motif highlighted and a Weblogo display of the sequence conservation (500 closest whole protein homologues) above.

c-e, Characterization of *sprF* mutants with substitutions in the conserved cysteine pair. wt, wild type. Similar results were obtained for 3 biological repeats.

c, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

d, Cell surface exposure of the adhesin SprB assessed by protease protection. The indicated

strains expressing a fusion between HaloTag and the final 448 amino acids of SprB (Halo-

SprB$_{448}$) were incubated with Proteinase K (+ lanes). Reactions were stopped immediately (t_0)

or after 20 min (t_{20}) and analysed by immunoblotting with Halotag antibodies. The cytoplasmic

protein GroEL serves as a both a loading and cell integrity control. In each panel (which

correspond to separate immunoblots) a lane has been removed between the t_0 and t_{20}

samples.

e, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules or

HaloTag-SprC molecules in the indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single

molecule localizations in successive frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are

2D projections of what is a 3D process. Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different

colour and the segmented outline of the cell is shown in black. For the SprF cysteine variants

cells were chosen to illustrate the observed range of SprB mobility behaviours. See also

Supplementary Video 1.

Fig. 2 | SprF forms a disulfide bond with the Hub protein GldK.

Strains with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the specified antisera. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. *, non-specific background band. wt, wild type; a strain missing the T9SS translocon (ΔsprA); a strain missing the Type A carrier protein PorV (ΔporV). Similar results were obtained for three biological repeats.

a, SprF forms disulfide bonds with GldK but not GldJ.

b, Formation of a disulfide bond between SprF and GldK depends on Type 9 transport activity but is independent of Type A T9SS export.

Fig. 3 | Identification and functional characterization of the SprF-crosslinking residue in GldK.

a, The sequences of the two cysteine-containing polypeptide regions of *F. johnsoniae* GldK and the corresponding regions of *P. gingivalis* PorK. Above is a Weblogo display of the sequence conservation for sequences with >40% whole protein identity to GldK.

b,c, Identification of the GldK cysteine that forms the disulfide linkage with SprF.

b, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

c, Strains with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the specified antisera. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. *, non-specific background band.

d, Cell surface exposure of the Type B T9SS substrate SprB assessed by protease protection. Strains expressing a HaloTag fusion between the signal sequence and the final 448 amino acids of SprB (Halo-SprB448) in the indicated genetic backgrounds, were incubated with

Proteinase K and the detergent Triton X-100 as indicated. Reactions were stopped

immediately (t_0) or after 20 min (t_{20}) and analysed by immunoblotting with HaloTag antibodies.

The cytoplasmic protein GroEL serves as both a loading and cell integrity control.

e, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules in the

indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single molecule localizations in successive

frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are 2D projections of what is a 3D process.

Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different colour and the segmented outline of the

cell is shown in black. For the $gldK^{C343S}$ background a cell was chosen to illustrate the observed

range of SprB mobility behaviours. See also Supplementary Video 2.

f, Schematic showing the proposed reactions between the cysteine residues of SprF and GldK

(top) and how this is altered in single cysteine SprF variants (bottom). The pink arrows

represent attacks by a free cysteine residue on an adjacent disulfide bond. Whether disulfide

bond formation between SprF and GldK is reversible under physiological conditions is

unknown (indicated by `?').

g,h, GldK Cys343 is required for Type 9 protein secretion.

g, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between

a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).

Cells were separated into cell and supernatant fractions and analyzed by anti-mCherry

immunoblotting. The successively processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the

right of the blots. GroEL serves as a loading control.

h, Secretome analysis. Culture supernatants were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with

Coomassie Blue.

b-e,g,h, Similar results were obtained for three biological repeats. wt, wild type. Δ*sprA*, a strain

missing the T9SS translocon.

Fig. 4 | *F. johnsoniae* PorG forms a disulfide bond with GldK C343 that is essential for

Type 9 protein transport and gliding motility.

a, The periplasmic C-tails of PorG proteins contain cysteine residues. Sequence alignment of

representative PorG C-tails from the indicated Bacteroidota species with cysteine residues

highlighted. *F. johnsoniae* and *C. hutchinsonii* are gliding bacteria, others are non-gliding

species.

b-d, The Cys residues of *F. johnsoniae* PorG are required for gliding motility and Type 9 protein

transport. wt, wild type. Δ*sprA*, strain missing the T9SS translocon. Similar results were

obtained for 3 biological repeats.

b, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between

a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).

Cells were grown to exponential or stationary phase as indicated, separated into cell and

supernatant fractions, and analyzed by anti-mCherry immunoblotting. The successively

processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the right of the blots. GroEL serves as

a loading control.

c, Cell surface exposure of the Type B substrate SprB assessed by protease protection. The

specified strains expressing a fusion between HaloTag and the final 448 amino acids of SprB

(Halo-SprB$_{448}$) were incubated with Proteinase K and the detergent Triton X-100 as indicated.

Reactions were stopped immediately (t_0) or after 20 min (t_{20}) and analysed by immunoblotting

with Halotag antibodies. The cytoplasmic protein GroEL serves as a both a loading and cell

integrity control.

d, Secretome analysis. The supernatants of cultures grown to stationary phase were

separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie Blue.

e, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar after 24 h growth. Scale bar, 5mm. See Extended

Data Fig. 5a for data at 6 h growth.

f, PorG is disulfide-bonded to GldK C343. All strains express PorG epitope-tagged by insertion

of ALFA-tag in loop 3. Strains were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the indicated

antibodies. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step

samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. *, non-

specific background band.

g, Exemplar trajectories of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules in the

indicated backgrounds. The trajectories link the single molecule localizations in successive

frames recorded for agarose-immobilised cells and are 2D projections of what is a 3D process.

Each trajectory within a cell is shown in a different colour and the segmented outline of the

cell is shown in black. Un-transported periplasmic SprB molecules have been edited out for

clarity. Arrows indicate examples of mid-cell reversals of the SprB trajectories. See also

Supplementary Videos 3 and 4.

b-g, Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type.

Fig. 5 | Importance of the cysteine residue of *P. gingivalis* PorG and structure of the PorKN Hub complex.

a,b, *P. gingivalis* PorG Cys232 is required for T9SS activity. Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type. *porG^ALFA^*, codes for PorG with an ALFA-tag epitope.

a, Strains containing the indicated *porG* alleles were grown on blood agar.

b, Equivalent strains constructed in a gingipain-deficient background were analysed by whole cell immunoblotting.

c, CryoEM volume for a 33-mer *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex at 4.0 Å resolution viewed from the side and from the periplasm. The inset shows the structure of the repeating PorKN unit

obtained at higher (2.4 Å) resolution. The structure is depicted at high contour level (solid
representation with a single PorKN unit shown in colour) and at a low contour level
(transparent envelope) to visualize the detergent micelle which approximates the position of
the OM.

d, Structures of PorK and PorN in cartoon representation and rainbows coloured from N- (blue)
to C-terminus (red), viewed from the inner rim of the PorKN ring and with the OM at Top. The
bound Ca^{2+} ion is shown in atomic sphere representation. Glycosylated serine and threonine
residues are labelled.

e, The PorKN structure from **c** (coloured density) placed into the cryoET volume of the complex
resolved previously in intact cells[33] (EMD-24227).

f, View of the PorKN heterodimer unit in cartoons representation viewed from within the ring
and with OM at top illustrating the triangular cross-section of the PorKN ring.

g, Space-filling model of a PorKN pair and their immediate neighbours viewed from the OM
(Top) and from the outer rim of the PorKN ring with the OM above (Bottom). The position of
the PorG-reactive Cys 356 on PorK is shown (yellow).

h, Schematic summarizing the areas buried by the different inter-subunit interfaces of the
PorKN complex. Protomers are oriented as in **d**.

i, Structure of the external loop bearing the PorG-reactive cysteine 356 of PorK with density
for the motif overlaid.

1021

1022

Fig. 6 | A new model for the connection between gliding motility and T9SS protein
transport.

a, Comparison of the structure of the *P. gingivalis* PorKN ring oligomer (Left) with an AF3
model for the *F. johnsoniae* GldJKN track oligomer (Right). In each case three adjacent copies
of the repeating unit (PorKN or GldJKN) are shown in spacefilling representation viewed from
the OM (Top) or in cross-section through the oligomer with the OM at the top (Bottom). The
position of the PorG/SprF-interacting cysteines are indicated (yellow).

b, Updated model for the organization of the T9SS in the paradigm non-gliding Bacteroidota

P. gingivalis based on the findings in this work. The T9SS component PorG is disulfide-linked

to the rotating PorKN Hub complex which energizes the translocon.

c, Revised model for the relationship between the gliding motility apparatus and the T9SS in

the paradigm gliding Bacteroidota *F. johnsoniae* based on discoveries in this work. The

adhesin carrier protein SprF and the T9SS component PorG are disulfide-linked to a moving

gliding track composed of GldJ, GldK, and GldN. The translocon receives energetic input from

the gliding track.

1038

Extended Data Fig. 1 | 3D single particle tracking of fluorescently-tagged SprB adhesin molecules in 3D in SprF cysteine-substituted variants.

The motions of single fluorophore-labelled HaloTag-SprB adhesin molecules were imaged in 3D in agarose-immobilised cells of strains with single and double cysteine substitutions in SprF. The short, apparently directional trajectories present in these cells were extracted and the single molecule localizations in successive frames plotted in xy projection with the relative z position represented by variations in colour and line width as specified in the colour bar. Three representative trajectories are shown. 100 out of 100 such trajectories (from three biological repeats of the three cysteine mutants) where the handedness could be determined showed left-handed helicity consistent with the movement being on the gliding tracks.

1049

1050

1051

Extended Data Fig. 2 | The disulfide adduct involving SprF is stable to removal of the protonmotive force.

Immunoblots of whole wild type cells. Where indicated the cells were treated with 10 μM of the protonophore carbonyl cyanide *m*-chlorophenyl hydrazone (CCCP) for 20 min before analysis. Where indicated cells were treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. Similar results were obtained from three biological repeats.

1059

1060

Extended Data Fig. 3 | The GldK-SprF disulfide linkage is not required for the secretion

of T9SS Type A substrates. Similar results were obtained from three biological repeats.

a, Analysis of the secretion of a model Type A substrate protein comprising a fusion between

a signal sequence (SS), mCherry (mCh), and a T9SS-targeting C-terminal domain (CTD).

whole cell and supernatant samples analyzed by anti-mCherry immunoblotting. The

successively processed forms of the fusion proteins are indicated to the right of the blots. The

Δ*sprA* strain is missing the T9SS translocon. GroEL serves as a loading control.

b, Secretome analysis of SprF C-tail cysteine variants. The Δ*sprA* strain is missing the T9SS

translocon.

Extended Data Figure 4 │GldK forms disulfide linkages with SprF and another protein.

Wild type cells were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with the specified antisera. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM for the specified time. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. The red arrow indicates a low intensity disulfide-linked adduct band formed by GldK that is additional to the adduct with SprF. *, non-specific background band.

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Characterization of _F. johnsoniae_ PorG.

a, Spreading (gliding) morphology of non-tagged PorG cysteine variants at 6 h. Scale bar, 1 mm.

b, _F. johnsoniae_ PorG is not required for the expression and stability of the key T9SS and gliding components GldK, SprA, and SprF. Whole cell immunoblotting using antibodies against the indicated proteins. *, non-specific band.

c-e, Insertion of an ALFA-tag into loop 3 does not affect the stability or functionality of _F. johnsoniae_ PorG and its variants.

c, Whole cells immunoblotting using ALFA-tag antibodies. GroEL serves as loading control.

d,e, Spreading (gliding) morphology on agar. Scale bar, 5mm.

f, Disulfide bond formation between PorG and GldK does not require T9SS activity. Strains with the indicated mutations were subject to whole cell immunoblotting with ALFA-tag antibodies. Where indicated the cells were pre-treated with NEM. At the SDS-PAGE step samples were run under either non-reducing (- DTT) or reducing (+ DTT) conditions. GroEL serves as a loading control. Δ_sprA_, strain missing the T9SS translocon.

a-f, Similar results were obtained from 3 biological repeats. wt, wild type.

1097

1098

Extended Data Fig. 6 | Cryo-EM Workflow for the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex structure.

a, Image processing workflow, including the local resolution estimate of the volume. Micrograph scale bar is 300 Å.

b, Gold-standard Fourier Shell Correlation (FSC) curves used for global resolution estimation.

c, Conical FSC (cFSC) plot assessing directional signal content.

1104

1105

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Further information on the *P. gingivalis* PorKN complex.

a, Purification of the PorKN complex for structural analysis. The successive CsCl and sucrose density gradient steps of an exemplar preparation are shown. Coomassie Blue stained SDS-PAGE gels with corresponding anti-PorN immunoblots below. Fractions (F) are numbered from the tops of the gradients. P, pellet; LP, loose pellet. Fraction LP from the CsCl was loaded onto the sucrose gradient from which fractions F6 and F7 were pooled and used for structure determination.

b, The Ca^{2+} ion binding site in PorK with the metal ion (green) and co-ordinating ligands shown

as atomic spheres.

c, AF3 reliably models the PorKN oligomer. AF3 models (coloured cartoons) of the isolated

PorK and PorN subunits (Top) or of the complex between three PorKN units (Bottom) are

superpositioned on a three PorKN unit section of the experimental structure (grey cartoons)

with the indicated RMSDs.

d, AF3 model in cartoons representation for the complex formed between PorG and the PorKN

ring. The model contains one copy of PorG and three copies of the PorKN unit. No protein-

protein protein contacts are predicted beyond the intersubunit disulfide linkage.

e, AF3 model for the complex formed between the distal ends of the PorM motor arms and the

PorKN ring. The model contains three copies of the PorKN unit and four copies of the D3-D4

fragment of PorM. Note that each PorM arm is a homodimer. (Top) Two views of the model in

cartoons representation. (Bottom) The model viewed from the periplasm but with the PorKN

units shown in spacefilling representation and coloured by sequence conservation using

Consurf[66]. One of the PorM homodimer arms has been removed to allow the PorM interaction

surface on PorKN to be inspected. The remaining PorM homodimer arm is shown in cartoons

representation with the upper PorM subunit forming the potential specific interaction with

PorKN.

References

Human Microbiome Project, C. Structure, function and diversity of the healthy human microbiome. Nature 486, 207-214 (2012). https://doi.org:10.1038/nature11234

Thomas, F., Hehemann, J. H., Rebuffet, E., Czjzek, M. & Michel, G. Environmental and gut bacteroidetes: the food connection. Front Microbiol 2, 93 (2011). https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00093

Veith, P. D., Glew, M. D., Gorasia, D. G. & Reynolds, E. C. Type IX secretion: the generation of bacterial cell surface coatings involved in virulence, gliding motility and the degradation of complex biopolymers. Mol Microbiol 106, 35-53 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1111/mmi.13752

Lasica, A. M., Ksiazek, M., Madej, M. & Potempa, J. The Type IX Secretion System (T9SS): Highlights and Recent Insights into Its Structure and Function. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 7, 215 (2017). https://doi.org:10.3389/fcimb.2017.00215

Veith, P. D., Glew, M. D., Gorasia, D. G., Cascales, E. & Reynolds, E. C. The Type IX Secretion System and Its Role in Bacterial Function and Pathogenesis. J Dent Res 101, 374-383 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1177/00220345211051599

Paillat, M., Lunar Silva, I., Cascales, E. & Doan, T. A journey with type IX secretion system effectors: selection, transport, processing and activities. Microbiology (Reading) 169 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1099/mic.0.001320

McBride, M. J. Bacteroidetes Gliding Motility and the Type IX Secretion System. Microbiol Spectr 7 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1128/microbiolspec.PSIB-0002-2018

Li, Y. et al. Identification of trypsin-degrading commensals in the large intestine. Nature 609, 582-589 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-022-05181-3

Buzun, E. et al. The Type 9 Secretion System enables sharing of fungal mannan by human gut Bacteroides. bioRxiv (2022). https://doi.org: https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.07.15.500217

Lauber, F., Deme, J. C., Lea, S. M. & Berks, B. C. Type 9 secretion system structures reveal a new protein transport mechanism. Nature 564, 77-82 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-018-0693-y

Lauber, F. et al. Structural insights into the mechanism of protein transport by the Type 9 Secretion System translocon. Nat Microbiol (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-024-01644-7

12 Kulkarni, S. S., Zhu, Y., Brendel, C. J. & McBride, M. J. Diverse C-Terminal Sequences
Involved in Flavobacterium johnsoniae Protein Secretion. J Bacteriol 199 (2017).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00884-16

13 Glew, M. D. et al. PorV is an Outer Membrane Shuttle Protein for the Type IX Secretion
System. Sci Rep 7, 8790 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41598-017-09412-w

14 Kulkarni, S. S., Johnston, J. J., Zhu, Y., Hying, Z. T. & McBride, M. J. The Carboxy-
Terminal Region of Flavobacterium johnsoniae SprB Facilitates Its Secretion by the
Type IX Secretion System and Propulsion by the Gliding Motility Machinery. J Bacteriol
201 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00218-19

15 Gorasia, D. G. et al. Type B CTD Proteins Secreted by the Type IX Secretion System
Associate with PorP-like Proteins for Cell Surface Anchorage. Int J Mol Sci 23 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.3390/ijms23105681

16 Wadhwa, N. & Berg, H. C. Bacterial motility: machinery and mechanisms. Nat Rev
Microbiol 20, 161-173 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41579-021-00626-4

17 Nelson, S. S., Bollampalli, S. & McBride, M. J. SprB is a cell surface component of the
Flavobacterium johnsoniae gliding motility machinery. J Bacteriol 190, 2851-2857
(2008). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.01904-07

18 Nakane, D., Sato, K., Wada, H., McBride, M. J. & Nakayama, K. Helical flow of surface
protein required for bacterial gliding motility. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 110, 11145-11150
(2013). https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.1219753110

19 Shibata, S. et al. Filamentous structures in the cell envelope are associated with
bacteroidetes gliding machinery. Commun Biol 6, 94 (2023).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s42003-023-04472-3

20 Miller, H., Kjaer, A., Coppola, S., Jones, R., Berks, B.C., and Berry, R.M. Architecture
of the Bacteroidota gliding motility machinery revealed by 3D tracking of adhesin
movements (2025).

21 Shrivastava, A., Roland, T. & Berg, H. C. The Screw-Like Movement of a Gliding
Bacterium Is Powered by Spiral Motion of Cell-Surface Adhesins. Biophys J 111, 1008-
1013 (2016). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.bpj.2016.07.043

22 Wada, H., Nakane, D. & Chen, H. Y. Bidirectional bacterial gliding motility powered by
the collective transport of cell surface proteins. Phys Rev Lett 111, 248102 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.248102

23 Rhodes, R. G., Nelson, S. S., Pochiraju, S. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium
johnsoniae sprB is part of an operon spanning the additional gliding motility genes
sprC, sprD, and sprF. J Bacteriol 193, 599-610 (2011).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.01203-10

24 Braun, T. F. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium johnsoniae GldJ is a lipoprotein that is
required for gliding motility. J Bacteriol 187, 2628-2637 (2005).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.187.8.2628-2637.2005

25 Shrivastava, A. & Berg, H. C. A molecular rack and pinion actuates a cell-surface
adhesin and enables bacterial gliding motility. Sci Adv 6, eaay6616 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1126/sciadv.aay6616

26 Trivedi, A. M., J. A.; Henderson, E. C.; Singharoy, A.; Shrivastava, A. A membrane-
associated conveyor belt controls the rotational direction of the bacterial type 9
secretion system. bioRxiv (2025). https://doi.org:
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.09.23.614571

27 Sato, K. et al. A protein secretion system linked to bacteroidete gliding motility and
pathogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107, 276-281 (2010).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.0912010107

28 Hennell James, R. et al. Structure and mechanism of the proton-driven motor that
powers type 9 secretion and gliding motility. Nat Microbiol 6, 221-233 (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-020-00823-6

29 Shrivastava, A., Johnston, J. J., van Baaren, J. M. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium
johnsoniae GldK, GldL, GldM, and SprA are required for secretion of the cell surface
gliding motility adhesins SprB and RemA. J Bacteriol 195, 3201-3212 (2013).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00333-13

30 Leone, P. et al. Type IX secretion system PorM and gliding machinery GldM form
arches spanning the periplasmic space. Nat Commun 9, 429 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41467-017-02784-7

31 Rieu, M., Krutyholowa, R., Taylor, N. M. I. & Berry, R. M. A new class of biological ion-
driven rotary molecular motors with 5:2 symmetry. Front Microbiol 13, 948383 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.3389/fmicb.2022.948383

32 Gorasia, D. G. et al. Structural Insights into the PorK and PorN Components of the
Porphyromonas gingivalis Type IX Secretion System. PLoS Pathog 12, e1005820
(2016). https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005820

33   Song, L. et al. A unique bacterial secretion machinery with multiple secretion centers.
Proc   Natl   Acad   Sci   U   S   A   119,   e2119907119   (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1073/pnas.2119907119

34   Gorasia, D. G. C., G.; Seers, C.A.; Butler, C.A.; Heath, J.E.; Glew, M.D.; McBride,
M.J.; Subramanian, P.; Kjær, A.; Jensen, G.J.; Veith, P.D.; Reynolds, E.C. In situ
structure and organisation of the type IX secretion system. bioRxiv (2020).

35   Braun, T. F., Khubbar, M. K., Saffarini, D. A. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium
johnsoniae gliding motility genes identified by mariner mutagenesis. J Bacteriol 187,
6943-6952 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.187.20.6943-6952.2005

36   Abramson, J. et al. Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with
AlphaFold 3. Nature 630, 493-500 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-
w

37   Kjaer, A. Investigating bacteroidetes gliding motility, University of Oxford, (2022).

38   Naito, M., Tominaga, T., Shoji, M. & Nakayama, K. PGN_0297 is an essential
component of the type IX secretion system (T9SS) in Porphyromonas gingivalis: Tn-
seq analysis for exhaustive identification of T9SS-related genes. Microbiol Immunol
63, 11-20 (2019). https://doi.org:10.1111/1348-0421.12665

39   Ono, S. et al. Construction and Characterization of a PGN_0297 Mutant of
Porphyromonas gingivalis: Evidence of the Contribution of PGN_0297 to Gingipain
Activity. Acta Med Okayama 73, 315-323 (2019). https://doi.org:10.18926/AMO/56933

40   Nakayama, K. Porphyromonas gingivalis and related bacteria: from colonial
pigmentation to the type IX secretion system and gliding motility. J Periodontal Res 50,
1-8 (2015). https://doi.org:10.1111/jre.12255

41   Johnson, S. et al. Molecular structure of the intact bacterial flagellar basal body. Nat
Microbiol 6, 712-721 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-021-00895-y

42   Johnson, S. et al. Structural basis of directional switching by the bacterial flagellum.
Nat Microbiol 9, 1282-1292 (2024). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-024-01630-z

43   Dierks, T. et al. Molecular basis for multiple sulfatase deficiency and mechanism for
formylglycine generation of the human formylglycine-generating enzyme. Cell 121,
541-552 (2005). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2005.03.001

44   Fuchsbauer, O., Lunar Silva, I., Cascales, E., Roussel, A. & Leone, P. Structural and
functional analyses of the Porphyromonas gingivalis type IX secretion system PorN
protein. J Biol Chem 298, 101618 (2022). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.jbc.2022.101618

45 Vincent, M. S. et al. Dynamic proton-dependent motors power type IX secretion and
gliding motility in Flavobacterium. PLoS Biol 20, e3001443 (2022).
https://doi.org:10.1371/journal.pbio.3001443

46 Vincent, M. S. et al. Characterization of the Porphyromonas gingivalis Type IX
Secretion Trans-envelope PorKLMNP Core Complex. J Biol Chem 292, 3252-3261
(2017). https://doi.org:10.1074/jbc.M116.765081

47 Johnston, J. J., Shrivastava, A. & McBride, M. J. Untangling Flavobacterium
johnsoniae Gliding Motility and Protein Secretion. J Bacteriol 200 (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00362-17

48 Kadokura, H. & Beckwith, J. Detecting folding intermediates of a protein as it passes
through the bacterial translocation channel. Cell 138, 1164-1173 (2009).
https://doi.org:10.1016/j.cell.2009.07.030

49 Landeta, C., Boyd, D. & Beckwith, J. Disulfide bond formation in prokaryotes. Nat
Microbiol 3, 270-280 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1038/s41564-017-0106-2

50 Worrall, L. J., Majewski, D. D. & Strynadka, N. C. J. Structural Insights into Type III
Secretion Systems of the Bacterial Flagellum and Injectisome. Annual review of
microbiology 77, 669-698 (2023). https://doi.org:10.1146/annurev-micro-032521-
025503

51 McBride, M. J. & Kempf, M. J. Development of techniques for the genetic manipulation
of the gliding bacterium Cytophaga johnsonae. J Bacteriol 178, 583-590 (1996).

52 Liu, J., McBride, M. J. & Subramaniam, S. Cell surface filaments of the gliding
bacterium Flavobacterium johnsoniae revealed by cryo-electron tomography. J
Bacteriol 189, 7503-7506 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00957-07

53 Agarwal, S., Hunnicutt, D. W. & McBride, M. J. Cloning and characterization of the
Flavobacterium johnsoniae (Cytophaga johnsonae) gliding motility gene, gldA. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 94, 12139-12144 (1997).

54 Gibson, D. G. et al. Enzymatic assembly of DNA molecules up to several hundred
kilobases. Nat Methods 6, 343-345 (2009). https://doi.org:10.1038/nmeth.1318

55 Zhu, Y. et al. Genetic analyses unravel the crucial role of a horizontally acquired
alginate lyase for brown algal biomass degradation by Zobellia galactanivorans.
Environ Microbiol 19, 2164-2181 (2017). https://doi.org:10.1111/1462-2920.13699

56   Stafford, P. et al. Gingipain-dependent degradation of mammalian target of rapamycin
pathway proteins by the periodontal pathogen Porphyromonas gingivalis during
invasion. Mol Oral Microbiol 28, 366-378 (2013). https://doi.org:10.1111/omi.12030

57   Nelson, S. S., Glocka, P. P., Agarwal, S., Grimm, D. P. & McBride, M. J. Flavobacterium
johnsoniae SprA is a cell surface protein involved in gliding motility. J Bacteriol 189,
7145-7150 (2007). https://doi.org:10.1128/JB.00892-07

58   Ovesny, M., Krizek, P., Borkovec, J., Svindrych, Z. & Hagen, G. M. ThunderSTORM: a
comprehensive ImageJ plug-in for PALM and STORM data analysis and super-
resolution   imaging.   Bioinformatics   30,   2389-2390   (2014).
https://doi.org:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu202

59   Gorasia, D. G., Hanssen, E., Veith, P. D. & Reynolds, E. C. Structural Characterization
of the Type IX Secretion System in Porphyromonas gingivalis. Methods Mol Biol 2210,
113-121 (2021). https://doi.org:10.1007/978-1-0716-0939-2_11

60   Caesar, J. et al. SIMPLE 3.0. Stream single-particle cryo-EM analysis in real time. J
Struct Biol X 4, 100040 (2020). https://doi.org:10.1016/j.yjsbx.2020.100040

61   Punjani, A., Zhang, H. & Fleet, D. J. Non-uniform refinement: adaptive regularization
improves single-particle cryo-EM reconstruction. Nat Methods 17, 1214-1221 (2020).
https://doi.org:10.1038/s41592-020-00990-8

62   Brown, A. et al. Tools for macromolecular model building and refinement into electron
cryo-microscopy reconstructions. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr 71, 136-153
(2015). https://doi.org:10.1107/S1399004714021683

63   Afonine, P. V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography.
Acta   Crystallogr   D   Struct   Biol   74,   531-544   (2018).
https://doi.org:10.1107/S2059798318006551

64   Williams, C. J. et al. MolProbity: More and better reference data for improved all-atom
structure validation. Protein Sci 27, 293-315 (2018). https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3330

65   Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: Structure visualization for researchers,
educators,   and   developers.   Protein   Sci   30,   70-82   (2021).
https://doi.org:10.1002/pro.3943

66   Ashkenazy, H. et al. ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize
evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. Nucleic Acids Res 44, W344-350
(2016). https://doi.org:10.1093/nar/gkw408