Adaptive behavioural strategies to seasonal challenges by a semi-urban feral

ungulate

Debottam Bhattacharjee [1,2] *, Kate J. Flay [3], Hannah. S. Mumby [4], and Alan G. McElligott [1,2] *

Affiliations

[1] Department of Infectious Diseases and Public Health, Jockey Club College of Veterinary

Medicine and Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

[2] Centre for Animal Health and Welfare, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine and Life

Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

[3] Department of Veterinary Clinical Sciences, Jockey Club College of Veterinary Medicine

and Life Sciences, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

[4] Applied Behavioural Ecology and Conservation Lab, Area of Ecology and Biodiversity,

School of Biological Sciences, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR

ORCID IDs

D.B.: 0000-0002-4555-7983

K.J.F.: 0000-0003-3770-3765

H.S.M.: 0000-0002-1774-5688

A.G.M.: 0000-0001-5770-4568

* Correspondence:

Debottam Bhattacharjee (bhattacharjee.debottam@gmail.com)

Alan G. McElligott (alan.mcelligott@cityu.edu.hk)

Abstract

Seasonality, or temporal shifts between wet and dry seasons, profoundly affects the behavioural ecology of animals, particularly large herbivores, in (sub-) tropical climatic conditions. Behavioural strategies are crucial for overcoming challenges associated with seasonality. Group-level strategies to seasonality in the wild have received considerable attention, yet little is known about how large herbivores in human-dominated landscapes respond to seasonal challenges. Moreover, focusing solely on groups can obscure how individual animals of different sexes, ages, and personalities respond. We investigated a semi-urban feral population of a large ungulate, the water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) (*N=71*) during a wet and a dry season. Individual body condition was non-invasively assessed to evaluate whether seasonality impacts physiology. To identify behavioural strategies, we collected data on feeding (grazing and browsing) and space use (core area and home range). Further, using a subset (*n=30*), we investigated the associations between personality and space use in the dry season. Body condition scores were highest during the wet season and then declined during the dry season, indicating an impact of seasonality on physiology. Older individuals were more affected than younger ones. Browsing increased during the dry compared to the wet season. While there was no change in core area use, home ranges expanded in the dry compared to the wet season. Regardless of season, females utilised home ranges larger than males. Females exhibiting higher 'social tension' personalities had smaller core areas and home ranges than those with lower social tension. We show that buffalo employ behavioural strategies to overcome challenges associated with seasonality, and these strategies are contingent on intrinsic factors: sex, age, and personality. Our findings offer insights into the ecological principles –habitat use, resource utilisation, and competition– that govern the behavioural ecology of herbivores, and have implications for conservation and welfare in increasingly human-dominated and climate-sensitive landscapes.

Keywords: Behavioural adaptation, behavioural flexibility, biodiversity conservation, body condition, feral ungulate, space use patterns.

1. Introduction

Seasonality, or the alternating climatic shifts between wet and dry seasons, drives ecological processes, which in turn influences animal survival (Forrest & Miller-Rushing, 2010; Varpe, 2017; Williams et al., 2017). The wet and dry season of (sub-) tropical climatic conditions exposes animals to periods of high and low resource availability, respectively (Thackeray et al., 2016). More specifically, the dry season's low resource availability (e.g., reduced grass forage) imposes severe physiological and general survival challenges on herbivores in the wild (Owen-Smith et al., 2005; Woodworth et al., 2018). Adaptive behavioural strategies in animals are imperative to cope with challenges associated with seasonality (Abraham et al., 2022). In comparison to the wet season, during (dry) low resource periods, herbivores reduce general activity (Wittemyer et al., 2007), limit physiologically costly events like reproduction (Ogutu et al., 2014; Owen-Smith & Ogutu, 2013), migrate and expand their home ranges (Aikens et al., 2020; Young et al., 2009), and flexibly use feeding strategies, such as grazing and browsing (Staver & Hempson, 2020). While these behavioural strategies have been extensively studied in the wild, little is known about how large herbivores navigate seasonal challenges in complex human-dominated landscapes.

The rapid expansion of human-dominated landscapes in the Anthropocene is a major biodiversity concern (Lewis & Maslin, 2015; Monsarrat et al., 2019). Immediate and long-term anthropogenic impacts, such as habitat fragmentation and loss due to infrastructure development and climate change, can shape the spatial and social ecology of animals (Gaynor et al., 2024). Large herbivores living in (semi-)urban habitats are of great interest as seasonal challenges can have further implications for human-animal conflict (such as road accidents, crop raiding, and disease transmission) and the maintenance of local biodiversity (such as increased density and overgrazing, and also eco-engineering of habitat) (Lundgren et al., 2024; Carpio et al., 2021; David Walter et al., 2011; Honda et al., 2018; Pascual-Rico et al., 2021; Polfus & Krausman, 2012; Wevers et al., 2020). Typically, (semi-)urban animals, including large herbivores, have smaller home ranges than their wild counterparts (O'Donnell & delBarco-Trillo, 2020), and are often highly sensitive (due to low population size) to seasonal challenges and climate change (Hetem et al., 2014; McCain & King, 2014). However, such responses are not necessarily uniform across individuals within a group, as intrinsic factors like sex, age, personality, and even sterilisation status (if animals are managed) can lead to substantial inter-individual variations (Bonnot et al., 2018; Monestier et al., 2016; Spiegel et al., 2017; Williams et al., 2022). Therefore, it is crucial to examine the adaptive behavioural strategies of (semi-)urban large herbivores with regard to seasonality, emphasising the intrinsic individual-level factors. Such knowledge would help devise appropriate management decisions for successful human-animal coexistence.

The Water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) (hereafter, buffalo) is a globally abundant ungulate
species (Minervino et al., 2020). Although a few feral and free-ranging buffalo populations
exist worldwide, scientific research is predominantly restricted to livestock. Nonetheless,
evidence suggests that free-ranging buffalo are sensitive to seasonality and may exhibit
adaptive strategies. For example, in the savannahs and floodplains of the Northern Territory
in Australia, the space use patterns of non-native buffalo vary between wet and dry seasons
(Campbell et al., 2021; Pike et al., 2024), and they show mixed feeding strategies during low
resource periods (Bowman et al., 2010). These feral populations have further implications,
as they may positively (as eco-engineers) and negatively (through overgrazing and
outcompeting other grazers) impact local biodiversity, contingent on the population size
(Lundgren et al., 2024; Mihailou & Massaro, 2021; Petty et al., 2007). However, feral
populations of buffalo in human-dominated landscapes are rare and have subsequently
received relatively little to no attention.

Lantau Island in Hong Kong accommodates a small population (approximately 115
individuals) of feral and free-ranging buffalo, primarily inhabiting semi-natural and semi-
aquatic marshlands around human settlements (Yang et al., 2024). This 'iconic' marshland
population of buffalo has historical, ecological, and socio-cultural values to the Island and its
residents (Yang et al., 2024; So & Dudgeon, 2020), but is also threatened due to increasing
developmental activities, such as landfill and habitat fragmentation (WWF-Hong Kong,
2021). Furthermore, semi-aquatic marshlands are subject to intense pressure of
terrestrialisation (Koshida & Katayama, 2018), especially during the dry season, leading to
deterioration in resource quality and quantity for the buffalo. Thus, understanding their
behavioural ecology in Hong Kong's sub-tropical climatic conditions with alternating wet and
dry seasons (Niu & Dudgeon, 2011) is valuable in evaluating the adaptive behavioural
strategies of large herbivores to seasonality, particularly in human-dominated landscapes,
and their potential biodiversity conservation and welfare implications.

We non-invasively assessed buffalo body condition during a wet and a dry season. We
hypothesised that body condition scores would be highest in the wet season and then
decline in the dry due to the relatively low availability of nutritional grass forage, indicating
the physiological challenges posed by seasonality. Accordingly, we expected buffalo to
adjust their feeding behaviour and space use patterns (*sensu* Optimal foraging theory,
(Bergman et al., 2001)) as adaptive behavioural strategies. In comparison to the wet season,
we predicted that (i) the relative frequency of browsing (to grazing) would increase during the
dry season, and (ii) buffalo would expand their home range sizes to mitigate resource
competition. We also predicted that females, regardless of season, would utilise larger home
ranges than (resident-) males as territoriality would constrain space use patterns of males

(Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). Given that buffalo are predominantly marshland dwellers and
have site fidelity (Campbell et al., 2021; Dudgeon & Corlett, 2011; So & Dudgeon, 2020), we
expected no significant changes in core area use with regard to seasonality. In other words,
core area expansion is unexpected due to the geographical confinement of semi-aquatic
marshlands to certain locations of the study area (WWF-Hong Kong, 2021). Finally, we
predicted that buffalo space use patterns during the dry season would be associated with
certain personality traits, particularly 'social tension' and 'general dominance' (Bhattacharjee
et al., 2024). Social tension personality trait in feral buffalo was characterised by
approaching and avoiding herd members with the exhibition of self-groom (or self-directed)
behaviours, whereas, general dominance was defined by displacing herd members to sit and
potentially utilise marshland habitats (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). As traits similar to social
tension and general dominance can influence niche specialisation and habitat exploration
(Schirmer et al., 2019), we expected a negative relationship between social tension and
space use and a positive relationship between general dominance and space use.

2. Methods

2.1. Study area and species

We conducted observations on a feral and free-ranging water buffalo population on Lantau
Island (22°16'14" N 113°57'10" E), Hong Kong. The buffalo population is predominantly
present in the semi-natural marshlands of the southern region of Lantau Island (Yang et al.,
2024). We studied the buffalo in and around four geographic locations: Lo Wai Tsuen (Pui O)
(22°14'32.7" N 113°58'42.3" E), Lo Uk Tsuen (Pui O) (22°14'29.3" N 113°58'31.1" E), Shap
Long Kau Tsuen (22°14'22" N 113°59'27" E), and Shui Hau (22°13'19" N 113°54'48" E)
(**Figure S1**). All four locations consist of human habitation, semi-natural freshwater
marshlands, backwater rivers, and natural vegetation (such as grasslands, woodlands, and
shrublands) common to Lantau Island and, in general, Hong Kong (Dudgeon & Corlett,
2011).

We studied 71 adult buffalo (>60% of the population on Lantau Island; female = 50, resident
male = 21; age range = 4 to 22 years, median age = 10 years) between July 2023 and
March 2024 (**Table S1**). All males studied were part of herds, and the non-herd living solitary
males were not observed. This was primarily due to the reasons that finding and observing
solitary males is challenging, and they cover large areas without exhibiting site fidelity
(personal observation, D.B., 2023-2024). Thus, we only included the territorial resident
males in this study (cf. Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). Individual age details were collected from
a local non-government citizen group that has documented the Lantau buffalo population's

demographic information (e.g., birth year with identities) for >20 years. All individuals, except
two females (one from Lo Uk Tsuen and the other from Shap Long Kau Tsuen) and six males
(three from Lo Wai Tsuen, one from Lo Uk Tsuen, and two from Shap Long Kau Tsuen), were
sterilised by the Agriculture, Fisheries and Conservation Department of Hong Kong (AFCD)
before the beginning of our study (AFCD, 2013). The sterilisation status of these non-
sterilised individuals did not change during the study period. Approximately 65% of the
individuals had numbered ear tags (administered by the AFCD). We also developed a photo
catalogue based on morphological features (horn shape and structure, relative horn length,
and scar marks) to ensure reliable identification of the rest of the individuals. Although the
majority of the buffalo (i.e., 88%) are sterilised, social interactions among individuals in our
study population resemble those of wild and more natural populations in the forms of
complex affiliative patterns, physical aggression, territorial defence and guarding, and
dominance-rank relationships (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). The buffalo population on Lantau
Island occasionally (2-4 times a week from December to January) receive supplemental food
(like hay and sweet potato leaves) from local non-government citizen groups. However, the
amount of provisioned food and their nutritional values were unknown.

2.2. Ethical note

We obtained ethical approval for our study from the Animal Research Ethics Sub-Committee
of City University of Hong Kong (Reference no. AN-STA-00000195). Behavioural
observations of buffalo were conducted from a distance of at least 20 m without direct
human intervention, and we also adhered to the ethical guidelines of the ASAB/ABS while
conducting this study (ASAB Ethical Committee & ABS Animal Care Committee, 2022).

2.3. Data collection

We used a transect sampling method to collect data between July 2023 and March 2024.
During the transects, we followed predetermined routes at each of the four study locations
(Lo Wai Tsuen, Lo Uk Tsuen, Shap Long Kau Tsuen, and Shui Hau). Upon sighting an
individual buffalo, we recorded the following: (i) body condition (Ezenwa et al., 2009), by
visually assessing and scoring four regions of the body (ribs, tail, hips, and spine), along with
coat condition (see below), (ii) feeding behaviour, whether an individual was feeding by
grazing on grass or browsing on shrubs or trees or not feeding, and (iii) location, using a
mobile GPS device on *Google My Maps* software's 'satellite' basemap.

Data on body condition and feeding behaviour were recorded using a handheld video
camera (Panasonic HC-V785), while GPS locations of individuals were collected live. The
duration of the recording depended on the area size and the number of individuals sampled

(range of cumulative duration = 3 min to 14 min). We conducted only one transect per study
location on a given day, but multiple study locations were often sampled on the same day.
Additionally, we did not conduct transects within a given study location on consecutive days
to avoid potential data dependence, as buffalo are usually slow-moving and exhibit site
fidelity (Campbell et al., 2021). The sampling time was pseudo-randomised for each study
location, ensuring the data represented a broad time window between 0930 and 1730 hours.
We conducted 1 - 3 transects per week over the entire study period. During a transect, the
same roads in all study locations were walked more than once to cover the entire area
(Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). However, data on an already sampled individual was not
recorded twice. We set a criterion of collecting 80 data points per individual over the entire
study (Börger et al., 2006; Laver & Kelly, 2008), specifically for feeding behaviour and
individual GPS locations. Therefore, if an individual was not sighted during a transect, we
conducted additional ones on the following days to fulfil the criterion exclusively for the given
individual. Individuals who had already been sampled during the first transects were not
considered during those additional transects. We calculated the percentages of finding
individuals during the first transects (range = 87.5% - 100%; see **Table S2**). Body condition
was assessed monthly, i.e., nine times during the study period between July 2023 and
March 2024.

2.4. Characterising wet and dry seasons: We extracted monthly mean air temperature (in
˚C) and total rainfall (in mm) data between July 2023 and March 2024 from the Hong Kong
Observatory public database (Hong Kong Observatory, 2024). To determine the wet and dry
months, we conducted a *k-means clustering* analysis based on temperature and rainfall
data. We used a *k value* of 2, i.e., considering potential wet and dry seasons. The two
clusters (cluster one: July to October; cluster two: November to March) explained >80% of
the variance of the data (**Figure S2**). Cluster one was characterised by median temperature
and rainfall of 29.4˚C (range: 26.4˚C – 30.1˚C) and 391.2 mm (range: 175.2 mm – 546 mm),
respectively. Cluster two, by contrast, was characterised by median temperature and rainfall
of 19.4˚C (range: 17.9˚C – 23.5˚C) and 4.1 mm (range: 0.9 mm – 21.6 mm), respectively.
We further collected the temperature and rainfall data between July 2019 and March 2023 to
check whether our study years resembled those of previous years' weather patterns. We
found consistent patterns, i.e., higher temperatures and rainfall between July and October
than between November and March (Mann Whitney U test – Temperature: Z = -5.07, *p*
<0.001; Rainfall: Z = -4.66, *p* <0.001). Subsequently, we determined July to October (or
cluster one) as the wet season and November to March (or cluster two) as the dry season.
Body condition, feeding behaviour, and space use data were divided accordingly into wet
and dry seasons for statistical analyses.

2.5. Data coding, preparation, and analysis

2.5.1. Body condition scoring: Body condition scoring is a widely accepted and validated
non-invasive indicator for welfare and fitness-related traits in herbivores (Parker et al., 2009;
Powell et al., 2024). A standardised non-invasive body condition scoring technique, originally
used for African buffalo (*Syncerus caffer caffer*), was employed in our study (Ezenwa et al.,
2009). Although a comprehensive scoring technique has been developed for and
implemented in water buffalo (Alapati et al., 2010), the free-ranging living conditions did not
allow us to inspect the individuals from a close distance (i.e., <20 m) necessary for the
method. In our currently implemented method, four regions of the body (ribs, tail, hips, and
spine; **Figure S3**), along with coat condition, were scored separately on a scale of 1 to 5,
with higher scores indicating a better condition (cf. (Ezenwa et al., 2009)). Based on all five
measures, a composite body condition score (i.e., the overall body condition) was calculated
with a maximum potential value of 25. For a general understanding, we describe the two
extreme scores for each region of the body considered for scoring: (i) ribs – a score of 1
indicates clearly visible ribs with deep depression between them, while a score of 5 indicates
invisible ribs due to the presence of fatty layers, (ii) tail – a score of 1 indicates the tissue
surrounding tail base forms round hollows, whereas 5 indicates that the tail base sits in
a depression surrounded by fatty tissues, (iii) hips – a score of 1 suggests that the hip bones
protrude beyond hip points, while a score of 5 indicates no visually apparent hip bones, (iv)
spine – a score of 1 indicates visually distinguishable vertebrae, whereas 5 indicates
invisible vertebrae due to the presence of fatty layers, and finally (v) coat – a score of 1
indicates bald or sparsely coated body, whereas 5 suggests the presence of glossy coat with
no bald patches. In the original study by Ezenwa et al. (2009) on African buffalo (*Syncerus*
caffer caffer), coat condition was dropped from the composite body condition measure as it
was not sufficiently validated. However, we decided to retain it in our calculation of overall
body condition score as the wet (average ± standard deviation = 4.93 ± 0.25) and dry (4.76 ±
0.42) season coat conditions were statistically different (Mann Whitney U test: *p* <0.001).
This suggests that coat condition likely had an impact on the overall composite measure of
body condition in feral water buffalo in Hong Kong.

Due to the subjective nature of the body condition scoring technique, we performed a
reliability test between the main observer (D.B.) and another trained person who was
unaware (i.e., blind coder) of the study objectives. Based on 16% of the body condition data,
we obtained a *Cohen's kappa* value of 0.94, indicating a high reliability. We pooled the
monthly body condition scores and calculated averages for the wet (based on four data
points per individual) and dry (based on five data points per individual) seasons.

2.5.2. Feeding behaviour: We coded the occurrences of feeding from the videos, focusing
on two strategies: grazing and browsing. Grazing was recorded when an individual was
observed to place their mouth at the level of grass with clear visible contact, along with
visible movement of the mouth (**Figure S4a**). Browsing was defined as an individual
standing with their mouth visibly in touch with plant leaves or shrubs but not grass, along
with the visible movement of the mouth (**Figure S4b**). Notably, during a transect, only the
first instance was coded to determine whether an individual was feeding (either by grazing or
browsing) or not. We pooled and counted all occurrences of individual-level feeding
behaviour during the transect sampling and then calculated the proportion of browsing.

2.5.3. Space use: Individual geo-locations were used to investigate the space use patterns
of buffalo during the wet and dry seasons. We calculated home ranges and core areas for
individuals by computing minimum convex polygon (MCP) and Kernel density estimation
(KDE). As MCP and KDE often over- or underrepresent space use patterns contingent on
the number and distribution of data points (i.e., locations), it is recommended to use more
than one method to check validity; we computed 100% MCP, 95% KDE with both reference
(HREF) and least squares cross-validation (LSCV) bandwidth selectors, and 50% KDE with
both HREF and LCSV bandwidth selectors (Börger et al., 2006; Laver & Kelly, 2008; Lichti &
Swihart, 2011). A minimum sample size of 30 is recommended for the LSCV method
(Seaman et al., 1999), whereas the HREF method can be applied based on at least a
sample size of 10 (Webb et al., 2011). We used 40 individual geo-locations each for wet and
dry seasons in the current study. For MCP, a convex hull was computed around the spatial
points, showing the spatial extent of the data. The computed convex hull was plotted to
check the spatial boundary of the dataset visually. KDE was computed to estimate the
utilisation distribution, with two specific contours extracted: 95% and 50%, representing the
broader home range and core area, respectively. The resulting contours were overlaid on a
plot of the spatial points to visualise the distribution of the observed locations and the
estimated home ranges and core areas. Home range and core area values were calculated
in square metres and converted into square kilometres.

2.5.4. Personality: To investigate whether personality traits predict the space use patterns in
buffalo, we utilised a published dataset on personality, representing a subset (30 adult
females) of our study population (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024). Based on focal observations
spanning a wet and a dry season, followed by a 'bottom-up' approach, the study reported
three consistent inter-individual traits in female buffalo: *social tension* (positively correlating
behaviours: approaching a conspecific, avoiding a conspecific, and self-groom), *vigilance*
(vigilance behaviour towards a within-herd event or herd member), and *general dominance*
(inversely associated behaviours of sitting and displacing a herd member). We used

individual scores for each personality trait and separately investigated their associations with

the KDE (i.e., 95% and 50% KDE with LSCV bandwidth selector) values for the dry season.

2.6. Statistics

All statistical analyses were performed using R (version 4.4.1) (R Development Core Team,

2019). MCP was calculated using the *sf* and *sp* packages (Pebesma, 2018; Roger et al.,

2013), and KDE was calculated using the *adehabitatHR* package (Calenge, 2006). We

applied a Bayesian mixed modelling approach for analyses using the *brms* package

(Bürkner, 2018). We used weakly informative priors to guide the estimation process while

allowing the data to dominate the posterior distributions (Lemoine, 2019; McElreath, 2020).

We used the *correlation* package to conduct partial Bayesian correlations (Makowski et al.,

2020).

We built five models (*Models 1 – 5*) to investigate the following: buffalo body condition

(*Model 1*), proportion of browsing (i.e., occurrences of browsing divided by total occurrences

of feeding through grazing and browsing) (*Model 2*), home range size using MCP (*Model 3*),

home range size using 95% KDE with LSCV bandwidth selector (*Model 4*), and core area

size using 50% KDE with LSCV bandwidth selector (*Model 5*). Notably, KDE with HREF

bandwidth selectors consistently, i.e., for both 95% and 50% estimates, visually

overestimated home range and core area in comparison to MCP and KDE with LSCV

bandwidth selectors (**Figure S5**). Additionally, since KDE with LSCV estimate is a more

highly recommended method for assessing animal range use than KDE with HREF, we

avoided using the latter in our analyses (Powell, 2000). In all models, we included season

(wet and dry), age, and sex (female and male) as predictors, and individual identities nested

within the herds as random effects.

In Model 1, a Gaussian distribution was used, and a normal prior with a mean (m) of 0 and a

standard deviation (SD) of 2 was included for the intercept term. We applied a normal prior

for all other fixed-effect coefficients with m = 0 and SD = 1. In Model 2, we used a binomial

distribution and identical normal priors for the intercept and fixed effects to that of Model 1.

The Gaussian Model 3 included a normal prior with m = 0 and SD = 5 for the intercept term.

The normal prior for all other fixed effects was identical to Models 1 and 2. We log-

transformed the MCP data to reduce its positive skewness. The priors, m, and SD in Model 4

were identical to Models 1 and 2. Log transformation of the 95% KDE (with LSCV) estimates

was performed here. Model 5 was identical to Model 4, except a square root transformation

was used for the 50% KDE (with LSCV) data. In all models (Models 1 – 5), an exponential

prior with a rate parameter of 1 was assigned to the SD of the random effects. For better

convergence, models were subjected to 5500 – 7000 iterations with 1000 – 1500 warmup

sessions. Finally, we performed partial Bayesian correlations with the Spearman method to
investigate the associations between the three personality traits (social tension, vigilance,
and general dominance) and KDE (95% and 50% with LSCV) exclusively for the dry season.

We reported median estimate coefficients (*Est*), 89% credible interval (*crl*) that contains 89%
of the posterior probability density function, and probability of direction (*pd*), indicating
certainty of an effect. We established model convergence by following Bayesian statistics
guidelines (Depaoli & van de Schoot, 2017). For all models, we checked trace and
autocorrelation plots, Gelman-Rubin convergence estimations, and density histograms of
posterior distributions. We sampled posterior distributions using 10,000 iterations and 2000
warmups. Furthermore, we used the "*DHARMa.helpers*" package (Rodríguez-Sánchez,
2024) and investigated model fits by examining the residual distributions (i.e., deviation,
dispersion, and outliers).

3. Results

3.1. Body condition score

Buffalo body condition scores were highest during the wet season (mean ± standard
deviation = 23.87 ± 0.88) and then declined in the dry season (19.20 ± 1.30), suggesting a
strong effect of season on physiology (*Est* = -1.58, 89% *crl* = [-1.68, -1.49], *pd* = 1.00;
Figure 1, Figure S6). Age also predicted individual body condition (*Est* = -0.10, 89% *crl* = [-
0.12, -0.07], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure 1**). The negative relationship indicates that body condition
scores declined with increasing age of the individuals. No effect of sex was found (*Est* = -
0.01, 89% *crl* = [-0.14, 0.12], *pd* = 0.56; **Figure 1**).

Figure 1. Effects of season, age, and sex on buffalo body condition. The posterior
predictive effects of season, age, and sex on buffalo body condition scores (*Model 1*). Yellow
colours indicate robust effects of the corresponding predictors, while the blue colour
indicates no effect. The width of the 'half-eye' represents data distribution (89% *crI*), and
solid black points on the horizontal bars indicate the median values. The vertical dashed line
indicates a parameter estimate of zero. An overlap of the *crI* values of the predictors with this
line suggests no effect.

3.2. Feeding behaviour

Grazing was the predominant feeding strategy during the wet season (percentage feeding:
grazing = 99.95%, browsing = 0.05%), whereas a mixed strategy (grazing = 96.82%,
browsing = 3.18%) was observed in the dry season. The proportion of browsing frequency
increased during the dry season (0.83 ± 1.24) compared to the wet (0.01 ± 0.11) season (*Est*
= 1.69, 89% *crI* = [1.22, 2.25], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure 2**). There was no effect of age (*Est* = 0.04,
89% *crI* = [-0.02, 0.10], *pd* = 0.86) or sex (*Est* = -0.00, 89% *crI* = [-0.34, 0.33], *pd* = 0.50) on
feeding behaviour (**Figure 2**).

Figure 2. Effects of season, age, and sex on feeding behaviour of buffalo. The posterior
predictive effects of season, age, and sex on the proportion of browsing (to grazing) (*Model*
2). Yellow colour indicates robust effects of the corresponding predictor, while blue colours
indicate no effect. The width of the 'half-eye' represents data distribution (89% *crl*), and solid
black points on the horizontal bars indicate the median values. The vertical dashed line
indicates a parameter estimate of zero. An overlap of the *crl* values of the predictors with this
line suggests no effect.

3.3. Space use patterns

We found variations in space use patterns between wet and dry seasons. See **Figure S7** for
the location-specific distribution overview of buffalo during wet and dry seasons.

3.3.1. Home range using MCP: The home range of buffalo was 0.09 ± 0.05 km^2 during the
wet season, which increased more than twice to 0.19 ± 0.20 km^2 in the dry season,
suggesting a strong effect of season (*Est* = 0.13, 89% *crl* = [0.11, 0.16], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure**
3a). We did not find any effect of age on home range (*Est* = -0.00, 89% *crl* = [-0.01, 0.00], *pd*
= 0.82; **Figure 3a**). However, a strong effect of sex was found (*Est* = 0.23, 89% *crl* = [0.20,
0.27], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure 3a**). Females (0.18 ± 0.17 km^2) utilised close to four times larger
home range than males (0.04 ± 0.03 km^2), irrespective of season.

Figure 3. Effects of season, age, and sex on space use by buffalo. (a) The posterior
predictive effects of season, age, and sex on buffalo home range using MCP method (*Model*
3). (b) The posterior predictive effects of season, age, and sex on buffalo home range using
95% KDE method with LSCV bandwidth selector (*Model 4*). Yellow colours indicate robust
effects of the corresponding predictors, while the blue colour indicates no effect. The width of
the 'half-eye' represents data distribution (89% *crl*), and solid black points on the horizontal
bars indicate the median values. The vertical dashed line indicates a parameter estimate of
zero. An overlap of the *crl* values of the predictors with this line suggests no effect.

3.3.2. Home range using 95% KDE LSCV: Since MCP and 95% KDE with LCSV bandwidth
selector estimated buffalo home ranges similarly (and unlike 95% KDE with HREF, cf.
Figure S5), we investigated whether the seasonal influence on home range pattern was
consistent (cf. Model 4). Home range size was 0.11 ± 0.09 km^2 in the wet and 0.15 ± 0.10
km^2 in the dry season. Thus, similar to MCP, we found a strong effect of season on buffalo
home range calculated using 95% KDE with LSCV bandwidth selector (*Est* = 0.09, 89% *crl* =
[0.06, 0.12], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure 3b**). No effect of age was found (*Est* = -0.01, 89% *crl* = [-0.01,
0.00], *pd* = 0.88; **Figure 3b**). Like MCP, a strong effect of sex was found here (*Est* = 0.15,
89% *crl* = [0.11, 0.19], *pd* = 1.00; **Figure 3b**). Females (0.17 ± 0.10 km^2) utilised more than
three times bigger home range than males (0.05 ± 0.03 km^2), irrespective of dry and wet
seasons.

3.3.3 Core area using 50% KDE LSCV: Core areas were 0.03 ± 0.02 km^2 in dry and 0.026

± 0.02 km^2 in wet seasons. Regardless of season, areas were 0.03 ± 0.02 km^2 for females

and 0.012 ± 0.007 km^2 for males. We did not find any evidence of season (*Est* = 0.47, 89%

crl = [-1.08, 2.01], *pd* = 0.68), age (*Est* = 0.41, 89% *crl* = [-0.64, 1.48], *pd* = 0.73), or sex (*Est*

= 1.17, 89% *crl* = [-0.42, 2.75], *pd* = 0.88) influencing or being associated with the core area

use.

As sterilisation status can influence core area use, we compared the sterilised and non-

sterilised males in an additional model (identical priors to *Model 5*). Due to the relatively

small number of non-sterilised males (i.e., 6 out of 21 males), we pooled the season data.

Non-sterilised males showed a general tendency (*Est* = 0.01, 89% *crl* = [0.00, 0.03], *pd* =

0.98) of using larger core areas (0.017 ± 0.009 km^2) than sterilised (0.009 ± 0.005 km^2)

males.

3.4 Association of space use in dry season with personality

We found a moderately strong negative correlation between social tension and 95% KDE

home range (*rho* = -0.39, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.62, -0.10], *pd* = 0.99), suggesting that female

buffalo with higher social tension had smaller home range than those with lower social

tension. No associations were found for the vigilance (*rho* = 0.002, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.30,

0.31], *pd* = 0.51) and general dominance traits (*rho* = -0.28, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.54, 0.02],

pd = 0.91). Similarly, we found a strong negative correlation between social tension and 50%

KDE core area estimate (*rho* = -0.40, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.63, -0.11], *pd* = 0.98), suggesting

that female buffalo with higher social tension had smaller core area than those with lower

social tension. Consistent with the home range results, no associations were found for the

vigilance (*rho* = 0.0002, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.31, 0.31], *pd* = 0.53) and general dominance

traits (*rho* = -0.24, n = 30, 89% *crl* = [-0.51, 0.07], *pd* = 0.86).

4. Discussion

Resources that fluctuate seasonally bring survival challenges to herbivores, which may

increase manifold when animals live in fragmented human-dominated landscapes (Dagtekin

et al., 2024). Little is known about how large herbivores adaptively respond to seasonal

challenges in such landscapes. We investigated a population of semi-urban feral water

buffalo living under sub-tropical climatic conditions with alternating wet and dry seasons. Our

results demonstrate that buffalo living in this human-dominated landscape were highly

sensitive to seasonality and faced physiological challenges, particularly during the low

resource period or dry season. As predicted, we observed a decline in body condition

between the wet and the dry season. Adaptive behavioural strategies, in the form of
increased browsing and expanded home ranges, were found in the dry season compared to
the wet. In line with our predictions, intrinsic factors such as sex, age, and, to an extent,
personality were associated with the physiological impact of seasonality and subsequent
behavioural responses. While we discuss these findings in light of the broader ecological
principles of habitat use, resource utilisation, and competition at the spatial-social interface,
we also emphasise the biodiversity conservation and welfare implications of our findings
(Webber et al., 2023).

460 **4.1 Seasonality and body condition scoring**

Both intrinsic (e.g., sex, age, and disease) and extrinsic (e.g., environmental seasonality)
factors can influence physiology and, subsequently, an individual's body condition (Rödel et
al., 2023; Stephenson et al., 2020). We found independent effects of age and seasonality on
buffalo body condition scores. While age is a strong predictor of general health, with older
individuals less able to cope due to senescence (Rödel et al., 2023), a lower average score
during the dry season (in comparison to the wet season) highlights the adverse effects of
seasonality, thus potentially heightened physiological stress (Pokharel et al., 2017).
Nevertheless, the relatively high average body condition score, which was sustained during
the wet season, indicates that our study population is unlikely to be under density-dependent
resource competition pressure (Gaidet & Gaillard, 2008) and has access to a sufficiently
suitable quality habitat (Ranglack & du Toit, 2015). Furthermore, a visually sharp decline in
body condition was observed after the first two months of the dry season (cf. **Figure S6**).
This pattern could be attributed to the residual effects of rainfall in the late wet season on
grass growth and potentially low density-dependent competition.

475 **4.2 Seasonality and feeding behaviour**

A mixed feeding strategy, i.e., a flexible switch from grazing to browsing, particularly during
resource-limited periods (often called seasonal dietary shifts), can be beneficial (Staver &
Hempson, 2020) and has been reported in several herbivore species (Abraham et al., 2019;
Codron et al., 2007). While not essentially a mixed feeding strategy, in comparison to the wet
season, we found an >80-fold increase in browsing during the dry season (3.13% increase
based on overall feeding budget) in our observed population of buffalo. The null effects of
sex and age indicate that this seasonal shift was a strategy shared across the entire
population. African (*Syncerus caffer*) and Asian buffalo are often considered generalist bulk
grazers; however, several studies have highlighted the variable nature of grazing with a
preference for forage quality over quantity (Kaszta et al., 2016) and regional and seasonal
dietary shift strategies (Beekman & Prins, 1989; Bowman et al., 2010; Codron et al., 2007).

In contrast to the dry season, we found only one instance of browsing during the wet season, thus indicating an exclusive seasonal dietary shift in the feral buffalo of Hong Kong. This does not translate into more frequent browsing than grazing, as the latter was still the predominant feeding strategy (browsing = 3.18% and grazing = 96.82%) in the dry season. A more nuanced and informative dietary compositional pattern in feral Hong Kong buffalo could be achieved by investigating faecal samples and applying stable carbon isotopes (i.e., C_3 for browse and C_4 for graze; Codron et al., 2007) or DNA metabarcoding techniques (Kartzinel et al., 2015). Additionally, an assessment of the nutritional values of forage with regard to body mass would be an interesting future study that would allow us to better understand the influence of seasonality on feeding behaviour and general welfare conditions of buffalo. Finally, a predominantly high grazing strategy can have implications for local biodiversity. Bulk grazing by buffalo can facilitate the growth of less abundant plant species (Lundgren et al., 2024). Therefore, an assessment of plant diversity between buffalo grazing and non-grazing zones at a restricted spatial scale would be valuable in determining their impact on biodiversity.

4.3 Seasonality and space use

Adjusting space use patterns by large herbivores in response to seasonally fluctuating resources is arguably the most prominent adaptive behavioural strategy. Large herbivores, like wildebeest (*Connochaetes taurinus*), migrate in search of food during harsh environmental conditions (Holdo et al., 2009); however, even non-migratory species with high site fidelity exhibit changes (e.g., by expansion of home range) in how they utilise their habitat during the dry season (Bukombe et al., 2018). In a spatially constrained human-dominated landscape on Lantau Island (WWF-Hong Kong, 2021), we found seasonal adjustments in space use by buffalo, such as an increase in the area of their home range during dry compared to the wet season. This pattern of change in home rage is consistent with wild populations of bovids, such as the African buffalo which cover a higher average daily distance in the dry season than in the wet (Roug et al., 2020). Similarly, Asian water buffalo in the floodplains of Northern Australia increase movements and space use during the dry season (Campbell et al., 2021). In contrast, a recent study reported larger home ranges in Asian water buffalo during the wet season in comparison to the dry season (Pike et al., 2024). This contrasting pattern can be attributed to the contraction of wetlands in the habitat, which led individuals to optimise their resources during the dry season within a restricted space. Additionally, buffalo living in the savannahs of Northern Australia have comparable space use patterns between wet and dry seasons (Campbell et al., 2021). These findings clearly suggest that the variations in available resources and habitat type drive space use patterns. Yet, compared to these studies on the same or related species of

buffalo, we found the home range of individuals of our feral buffalo population to be much
smaller. Such a pattern is to be expected in species present in human-dominated
landscapes (O'Donnell & delBarco-Trillo, 2020), where habitats are highly fragmented due to
anthropogenic activities.

As predicted, space use patterns in our study buffalo population were associated with
intrinsic individual-level factors. Resident males utilised smaller home ranges than females,
irrespective of seasons. This difference could potentially be due to a constraint of male
territoriality (Stammes et al., *unpublished data*). Expanding their home range would require a
resident male to cross other resident males' territories, which could lead to costly physical
encounters. Adult males generally have higher social status than females within a herd, as
evidenced by frequent guarding of females and unidirectional aggressive instances towards
females (personal observation, D.B., 2023-2024, also see (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024)).
Therefore, males may benefit from reduced intra-specific resource competition during the dry
season when females expand their home ranges. While the core areas were found to be
comparable across seasons, there was a tendency for non-sterilised males to utilise a larger
core area than sterilised males. Although the effect size was not large due to the limited
number of non-sterilised males in our sample (6 of 21), this could be investigated in the
future by including more non-sterilised males. The temporally stable close social
associations (Bhattacharjee et al., 2024) among females may prevent them from escaping
competition, where home range expansion during the dry season is necessary. Nonetheless,
we found no overlap of expanded home ranges among individuals from the four herds, even
for the relatively adjacent ones, with no physical barriers preventing them from mixing.

We found partial support for our hypothesis of personality traits influencing space use
patterns. In our study, general dominance did not appear to be associated with space use
patterns in females, but social tension and space use patterns were negatively associated.
We suspect that relatively higher social tension in females could indicate a reluctance to be
bold and explorative, leading to reduced space utilisation (Stiegler et al., 2022). In line with
this, it would also be important in the future to investigate the association between male
personalities and space use patterns, focusing primarily on territoriality.

4.3.1 Seasonal space use and biodiversity implications

Seasonal space use patterns by buffalo have implications for local biodiversity. Feral buffalo
on Lantau Island live in and around semi-natural marshlands (Yang et al., 2024; So &
Dudgeon, 2020). Semi-natural marshlands are considered a highly productive and alternate
ecosystem to natural wetlands as they accommodate a wide range of aquatic
macroinvertebrates (Natuhara, 2013). However, these marshlands are susceptible to

terrestrialisation, a transformation process through which the diversity of aquatic
macroinvertebrates can perish (Koshida & Katayama, 2018). Buffalo, and in general bovids,
through grazing, trampling, and wallowing (exclusive to buffalo), can halt this process of
terrestrialisation and maintain a high biodiversity, and even help restore ecosystems (So &
Dudgeon, 2020; Bora et al. 2024). Note that the core area of buffalo fully overlapped with the
distribution of marshlands on Lantau Island ((WWF-Hong Kong, 2021); also see **Figure S7**),
which also remained comparable between wet and dry seasons. Thus, the marshlands can
be considered high-activity zones of buffalo on Lantau Island. Experimental studies in future
would be useful to establish the role of buffalo on marshland biodiversity more firmly (see
Perrino et al., 2021; Georgoudis et al., 1999). Nevertheless, protecting the severely
threatened marshlands using buffalo as agents should be an immediate first step (cf. (So &
Dudgeon, 2020; WWF-Hong Kong, 2021)). This might also reduce potential buffalo-human
conflicts on the island (Tang, 2017), especially during the dry season range expansion.

5. Conclusion

In conclusion, we provide compelling evidence based on observations that buffalo, a large
herbivore species, living in a human-dominated landscape, exhibit sensitivity to seasonality.
In particular, the dry season poses physiological challenges, leading to altered feeding
behaviour and space use patterns by buffalo. We also show that these adaptive behavioural
strategies vary with sex, age, and personality, and thus, seasonality may not affect a
population uniformly. However, our study has two limitations. First, tracking individuals using
only direct observations and not employing more precise automated tools like GPS collars
limits our data collection to areas where animals are visible. This may bias our sampling by
underrepresenting buffalo use of dense habitats such as woodlands. However, note that a
very high proportion of individuals were found during the first transects (cf. **Table S2**).
Second, we were unable to quantify the impacts of supplemental feeding on natural feeding
and space use patterns of buffalo. While we acknowledge that such an influence is
inevitable, the robust evidence of alternative strategies (i.e., both feeding and space use)
suggests that the natural behavioural tendencies of buffalo were not suppressed.
Nonetheless, future studies should tackle the two identified shortcomings, i.e., by using
precise tracking tools and accounting for supplement feeding impacts, to add more to our
already valuable findings regarding the behavioural ecology of buffalo in human-dominated
landscapes.

Author contributions

Conceptualisation: Debottam Bhattacharjee, Kate J. Flay, Hannah S. Mumby, and Alan G.
McElligott; Data curation: Debottam Bhattacharjee; Methodology: Debottam Bhattacharjee,
Kate J. Flay, Hannah S. Mumby, and Alan G. McElligott; Software and visualisation:
Debottam Bhattacharjee; Funding acquisition: Alan G. McElligott, Kate J. Flay, and Hannah
S. Mumby; Project administration: Alan G. McElligott; Writing—original draft: Debottam
Bhattacharjee; Writing—review and editing: Debottam Bhattacharjee, Kate J. Flay, Hannah
S. Mumby, and Alan G. McElligott. All authors contributed critically to the drafts and gave
final approval for publication.

Acknowledgements

We thank Wong Ching Ki and Tin Ka Yuen for their assistance with data collection and
coding. We are grateful to Jean Leung of the South Lantau Buffalo Society for providing the
buffalo demographic data. We sincerely thank Giacomo D'Ammando, Rene Corner-Thomas,
Blair Costelloe, George Hodgson, and Tania Perroux for their valuable feedback on earlier
versions of this manuscript. This research was funded by the Lantau Conservation Fund
(Hong Kong SAR Government-funded program) (Grant ref. RE-2021-01).

Conflict of interest statement

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Statement of inclusion

The study brings together authors from several countries. The authors resided in the city in
which the data were collected. The summary findings of the research will be made public
and actively distributed (through project website, local social media groups, and non-
government organisations) among residents of South Lantau in both English and Chinese
languages.

Data availability statement

Data and code will be made publicly available upon publication.

References

Abraham, J. O., Hempson, G. P., Faith, J. T., & Staver, A. C. (2022). Seasonal strategies differ between tropical and extratropical herbivores. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *91*(3), 681–692. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13651

Abraham, J. O., Hempson, G. P., & Staver, A. C. (2019). Drought-response strategies of savanna herbivores. *Ecology and Evolution*, *9*(12), 7047–7056. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5270

AFCD. (2013). Stray cattle and buffalo management plan. *Agriculture, Fisheries, and Conservation Department of Hong Kong.* https://www.afcd.gov.hk/english/quarantine/cattlebuffalo.html

Aikens, E. O., Mysterud, A., Merkle, J. A., Cagnacci, F., Rivrud, I. M., Hebblewhite, M., Hurley, M. A., Peters, W., Bergen, S., De Groeve, J., Dwinnell, S. P. H., Gehr, B., Heurich, M., Hewison, A. J. M., Jarnemo, A., Kjellander, P., Kröschel, M., Licoppe, A., Linnell, J. D. C., … Kauffman, M. J. (2020). Wave-like patterns of plant phenology determine ungulate movement tactics. *Current Biology, 30*(17), 3444-3449.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.06.032

Alapati, A., Kapa, S. R., Jeepalyam, S., Rangappa, S. M. P., & Yemireddy, K. R. (2010). Development of the body condition score system in Murrah buffaloes: validation through ultrasonic assessment of body fat reserves. *Journal of Veterinary Science*, *11*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2010.11.1.1

ASAB Ethical Committee, & ABS Animal Care Committee. (2022). Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. *Animal Behaviour*, *183*, I–XI. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-3472(21)00389-4

Beekman, J. H., & Prins, H. H. T. (1989). Feeding strategies of sedentary large herbivores in East Africa, with emphasis on the African buffalo, Syncerus coffer. *African Journal of Ecology*, *27*(2), 129–147. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.1989.tb00937.x

Bergman, C. M., Fryxell, J. M., Gates, C. C., & Fortin, D. (2001). Ungulate foraging strategies: energy maximizing or time minimizing? *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *70*(2), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2001.00496.x

Bhattacharjee, D., Flay, K. J., & McElligott, A. G. (2024). Personality homophily drives female friendships in a feral ungulate. *IScience*, *27*(12), 111419. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2024.111419

Bonnot, N. C., Goulard, M., Hewison, A. J. M., Cargnelutti, B., Lourtet, B., Chaval, Y., & Morellet, N. (2018). Boldness-mediated habitat use tactics and reproductive success in a wild large herbivore. *Animal Behaviour*, *145*, 107–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2018.09.013

Bora, J.K., Vardhan, V., Vijh, R.K., Deshmukh, A.V., Srinivas, Y., Mungi, N.A., Goswami, S., Jhala, H., Chauhan, J.S., Kumar, U., & Jhala, Y. (2024). Evaluating the potential for reintroducing the endangered wild water buffalo (Bubalus arnee) in Kanha National Park, central India. *Restoration Ecology*, *32*(3), p.e14079.

Börger, L., Franconi, N., De Michele, G., Gantz, A., Meschi, F., Manica, A., Lovari, S., & Coulson, T. (2006). Effects of sampling regime on the mean and variance of home

range size estimates. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *75*(6), 1393–1405.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01164.x

Bowman, D. M. J. S., Murphy, B. P., & McMahon, C. R. (2010). Using carbon isotope
analysis of the diet of two introduced Australian megaherbivores to understand
Pleistocene megafaunal extinctions. *Journal of Biogeography*, *37*(3), 499–505.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2009.02206.x

Bukombe, J., Kittle, A., Senzota, R., Mduma, S., Fryxell, J., & Sinclair, A. R. E. (2018).
Resource selection, utilization and seasons influence spatial distribution of ungulates in
the western Serengeti National Park. *African Journal of Ecology*, *56*(1), 3–11.
https://doi.org/10.1111/aje.12410

Bürkner, P.-C. (2018). Advanced Bayesian multilevel modeling with the R package brms. *The*
R Journal, *10*(1), 395. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-017

Calenge, C. (2006). The package "adehabitat" for the R software: A tool for the analysis of
space and habitat use by animals. *Ecological Modelling*, *197*(3–4), 516–519.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2006.03.017

Campbell, H. A., Loewensteiner, D. A., Murphy, B. P., Pittard, S., & McMahon, C. R. (2021).
Seasonal movements and site utilisation by Asian water buffalo (Bubalus bubalis) in
tropical savannas and floodplains of northern Australia. *Wildlife Research*, *48*(3), 230.
https://doi.org/10.1071/WR20070

Carpio, A. J., Apollonio, M., & Acevedo, P. (2021). Wild ungulate overabundance in Europe:
contexts, causes, monitoring and management recommendations. *Mammal Review*,
51(1), 95–108. https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12221

Codron, D., Codron, J., Lee-Thorp, J. A., Sponheimer, M., De Ruiter, D., Sealy, J., Grant, R.,
& Fourie, N. (2007). Diets of savanna ungulates from stable carbon isotope composition
of faeces. *Journal of Zoology*, *273*(1), 21–29. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
7998.2007.00292.x

Dagtekin, D., Ertürk, A., Sommer, S., Ozgul, A., & Soyumert, A. (2024). Seasonal habitat-use
patterns of large mammals in a human-dominated landscape. *Journal of Mammalogy*,
105(1), 122–133. https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyad107

David Walter, W., Beringer, J., Hansen, L. P., Fischer, J. W., Millspaugh, J. J., & Vercauteren,
K. C. (2011). Factors affecting space use overlap by white-tailed deer in an urban
landscape. *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*, *25*(3), 379–392.
https://doi.org/10.1080/13658816.2010.524163

Depaoli, S., & van de Schoot, R. (2017). Improving transparency and replication in Bayesian
statistics: The WAMBS-Checklist. *Psychological Methods*, *22*(2), 240–261.
https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000065

Dudgeon, D., & Corlett, R. T. (2011). *The ecology and biodiversity of Hong Kong (Revised*
ed.). Cosmos Books & Lions Nature Education Foundation.
https://doi.org/http://hdl.handle.net/10722/147078

Ezenwa, V. O., Jolles, A. E., & O'Brien, M. P. (2009). A reliable body condition scoring
technique for estimating condition in African buffalo. *African Journal of Ecology*, *47*(4),
476–481. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2028.2008.00960.x

Forrest, J., & Miller-Rushing, A. J. (2010). Toward a synthetic understanding of the role of phenology in ecology and evolution. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *365*(1555), 3101–3112. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0145

Gaidet, N., & Gaillard, J.-M. (2008). Density-dependent body condition and recruitment in a tropical ungulate. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*, *86*(1), 24–32. https://doi.org/10.1139/Z07-111

Gaynor, K. M., Abrahms, B., Manlove, K. R., Oestreich, W. K., & Smith, J. A. (2024). Anthropogenic impacts at the interface of animal spatial and social behaviour. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *379*(1912). https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0527

Hetem, R. S., Fuller, A., Maloney, S. K., & Mitchell, D. (2014). Responses of large mammals to climate change. *Temperature*, *1*(2), 115–127. https://doi.org/10.4161/temp.29651

Holdo, R. M., Holt, R. D., & Fryxell, J. M. (2009). Opposing rainfall and plant nutritional gradients best explain the wildebeest migration in the Serengeti. *The American Naturalist*, *173*(4), 431–445. https://doi.org/10.1086/597229

Honda, T., Iijima, H., Tsuboi, J., & Uchida, K. (2018). A review of urban wildlife management from the animal personality perspective: The case of urban deer. *Science of The Total Environment*, *644*, 576–582. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.335

Hong Kong Observatory. (2024). *Monthly weather summary*. https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/wxinfo/pastwx/mws/mws.htm

Kartzinel, T. R., Chen, P. A., Coverdale, T. C., Erickson, D. L., Kress, W. J., Kuzmina, M. L., Rubenstein, D. I., Wang, W., & Pringle, R. M. (2015). DNA metabarcoding illuminates dietary niche partitioning by African large herbivores. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *112*(26), 8019–8024. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1503283112

Kaszta, Ż., Marino, J., Ramoelo, A., & Wolff, E. (2016). Bulk feeder or selective grazer: African buffalo space use patterns based on fine-scale remotely sensed data on forage quality and quantity. *Ecological Modelling*, *323*, 115–122. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2015.12.006

Koshida, C., & Katayama, N. (2018). Meta-analysis of the effects of rice-field abandonment on biodiversity in Japan. *Conservation Biology*, *32*(6), 1392–1402. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13156

Laver, P. N., & Kelly, M. J. (2008). A critical review of home range studies. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *72*(1), 290–298. https://doi.org/10.2193/2005-589

Lemoine, N. P. (2019). Moving beyond noninformative priors: why and how to choose weakly informative priors in Bayesian analyses. *Oikos*, *128*(7), 912–928. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05985

Lewis, S. L., & Maslin, M. A. (2015). Defining the Anthropocene. *Nature*, *519*(7542), 171–180. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14258

Lichti, N. I., & Swihart, R. K. (2011). Estimating utilization distributions with kernel versus local convex hull methods. *The Journal of Wildlife Management*, *75*(2), 413–422. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.48

Lundgren, E. J., Bergman, J., Trepel, J., le Roux, E., Monsarrat, S., Kristensen, J. A.,
Pedersen, R. Ø., Pereyra, P., Tietje, M., & Svenning, J.-C. (2024). Functional traits—not
nativeness—shape the effects of large mammalian herbivores on plant communities.
Science, *383*(6682), 531–537. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adh2616

Makowski, D., Ben-Shachar, M., Patil, I., & Lüdecke, D. (2020). Methods and algorithms for
correlation analysis in R. *Journal of Open Source Software*, *5*(51), 2306.
https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02306

McCain, C. M., & King, S. R. B. (2014). Body size and activity times mediate mammalian
responses to climate change. *Global Change Biology*, *20*(6), 1760–1769.
https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12499

McElreath, R. (2020). *Statistical Rethinking* (2nd Edition). Chapman and Hall/CRC.
https://doi.org/10.1201/9780429029608

Mihailou, H., & Massaro, M. (2021). An overview of the impacts of feral cattle, water buffalo
and pigs on the savannas, wetlands and biota of northern Australia. *Austral Ecology*,
46(5), 699–712. https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.13046

Minervino, A. H. H., Zava, M., Vecchio, D., & Borghese, A. (2020). Bubalus bubalis: A Short
Story. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, *7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2020.570413

Monestier, C., Gilot-Fromont, E., Morellet, N., Debeffe, L., Cebe, N., Merlet, J., Picot, D.,
Rames, J.-L., Hewison, A. J. M., & Verheyden, H. (2016). Individual variation in an
acute stress response reflects divergent coping strategies in a large herbivore.
Behavioural Processes, *132*, 22–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beproc.2016.09.004

Monsarrat, S., Jarvie, S., & Svenning, J.-C. (2019). Anthropocene refugia: integrating history
and predictive modelling to assess the space available for biodiversity in a human-
dominated world. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological
Sciences*, *374*(1788), 20190219. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2019.0219

Natuhara, Y. (2013). Ecosystem services by paddy fields as substitutes of natural wetlands
in Japan. *Ecological Engineering*, *56*, 97–106.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2012.04.026

Niu, S. Q., & Dudgeon, D. (2011). Environmental flow allocations in monsoonal Hong Kong.
Freshwater Biology, *56*(6), 1209–1230. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
2427.2010.02558.x

O'Donnell, K., & delBarco-Trillo, J. (2020). Changes in the home range sizes of terrestrial
vertebrates in response to urban disturbance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Urban
Ecology*, *6*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/jue/juaa014

Ogutu, J. O., Piepho, H.-P., & Dublin, H. T. (2014). Reproductive seasonality in African
ungulates in relation to rainfall. *Wildlife Research*, *41*(4), 323.
https://doi.org/10.1071/WR13211

Owen-Smith, N., Mason, D. R., & Ogutu, J. O. (2005). Correlates of survival rates for 10
African ungulate populations: density, rainfall and predation. *Journal of Animal Ecology*,
74(4), 774–788. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2005.00974.x

Owen-Smith, N., & Ogutu, J. O. (2013). Controls over reproductive phenology among
ungulates: allometry and tropical-temperate contrasts. *Ecography*, *36*(3), 256–263.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2012.00156.x

Parker, K. L., Barboza, P. S., & Gillingham, M. P. (2009). Nutrition integrates environmental responses of ungulates. *Functional Ecology*, *23*(1), 57–69. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01528.x

Pascual-Rico, R., Morales-Reyes, Z., Aguilera-Alcalá, N., Olszańska, A., Sebastián-González, E., Naidoo, R., Moleón, M., Lozano, J., Botella, F., von Wehrden, H., Martín-López, B., & Sánchez-Zapata, J. A. (2021). Usually hated, sometimes loved: A review of wild ungulates' contributions to people. *Science of The Total Environment*, *801*, 149652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149652

Pebesma, E. (2018). Simple features for R: standardized support for spatial vector data. *The R Journal*, *10*(1), 439. https://doi.org/10.32614/RJ-2018-009

Petty, A. M., Werner, P. A., Lehmann, C. E. R., Riley, J. E., Banfai, D. S., & Elliott, L. P. (2007). Savanna responses to feral buffalo in Kakadu national park, Australia. *Ecological Monographs*, *77*(3), 441–463. https://doi.org/10.1890/06-1599.1

Pike, K. N., Perry, J., Vanderduys, E., Arnould, J. P. Y., & Hoskins, A. (2024). Love thy neighbour: Feral buffalos show greater space use, resource overlap and encounters during the wet season in the Northern Territory. *Ecology and Evolution*, *14*(10). https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.70345

Pokharel, S. S., Seshagiri, P. B., & Sukumar, R. (2017). Assessment of season-dependent body condition scores in relation to faecal glucocorticoid metabolites in free-ranging Asian elephants. *Conservation Physiology*, *5*(1). https://doi.org/10.1093/conphys/cox039

Polfus, J. L., & Krausman, P. R. (2012). Impacts of residential development on ungulates in the Rocky Mountain West. *Wildlife Society Bulletin*, *36*(4), 647–657. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.185

Powell, D. M., Beetem, D., Breitigan, R., Eyres, A., & Speeg, B. (2024). A perspective on ungulate management and welfare assessment across the traditional zoo to large landscape spectrum. *Zoo Biology*, *43*(1), 5–14. https://doi.org/10.1002/zoo.21772

Powell, R. A. (2000). Animal home ranges and territories and home range estimators. In L. Boitani & T. K. Fuller (Eds.), *Research Techniques in Animal Ecology: Controversies and Consequences* (pp. 65–110). Columbia University. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/304436028

R Development Core Team. (2019). R Core Team (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/. In *R Foundation for Statistical Computing* (Vol. 2).

Ranglack, D. H., & du Toit, J. T. (2015). Wild bison as ecological indicators of the effectiveness of management practices to increase forage quality on open rangeland. *Ecological Indicators*, *56*, 145–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.04.009

Rödel, H. G., Ibler, B., Ozogány, K., & Kerekes, V. (2023). Age-specific effects of density and weather on body condition and birth rates in a large herbivore, the Przewalski's horse. *Oecologia*, *203*(3–4), 435–451. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-023-05477-9

Rodríguez-Sánchez, F. (2024). *DHARMa.helpers: Helper functions to check models not (yet) directly supported by DHARMa* (R package version 0.0.2). https://github.com/Pakillo/DHARMa.helpers

Roger, S. B., Edzer, P., & Virgilio, G.-R. (2013). *Applied spatial data analysis with R*
(Second). Springer. https://asdar-book.org/

Roug, A., Muse, E. A., Clifford, D. L., Larsen, R., Paul, G., Mathayo, D., Mpanduji, D., Mazet,
J. A. K., Kazwala, R., Kiwango, H., & Smith, W. (2020). Seasonal movements and
habitat use of African buffalo in Ruaha National Park, Tanzania. *BMC Ecology*, *20*(1), 6.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12898-020-0274-4

Schirmer, A., Herde, A., Eccard, J. A., & Dammhahn, M. (2019). Individuals in space:
personality-dependent space use, movement and microhabitat use facilitate individual
spatial niche specialization. *Oecologia*, *189*(3), 647–660.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-019-04365-5

Seaman, D. E., Millspaugh, J. J., Kernohan, B. J., Brundige, G. C., Raedeke, K. J., & Gitzen,
R. A. (1999). Effects of sample size on kernel home range estimates. *The Journal of
Wildlife Management*, *63*(2), 739. https://doi.org/10.2307/3802664

So, K. Y. K., & Dudgeon, D. (2020). Conservation management of abandoned paddy fields in
Asia: Semi-natural marshes with low-intensity bovid grazing have higher biodiversity.
Aquatic Conservation: Marine and Freshwater Ecosystems, *30*(10), 1934–1944.
https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.3442

Spiegel, O., Leu, S. T., Bull, C. M., & Sih, A. (2017). What's your move? Movement as a link
between personality and spatial dynamics in animal populations. *Ecology Letters*, *20*(1),
3–18. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12708

Staver, A. C., & Hempson, G. P. (2020). Seasonal dietary changes increase the abundances
of savanna herbivore species. *Science Advances*, *6*(40).
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abd2848

Stephenson, T. R., German, D. W., Cassirer, E. F., Walsh, D. P., Blum, M. E., Cox, M.,
Stewart, K. M., & Monteith, K. L. (2020). Linking population performance to nutritional
condition in an alpine ungulate. *Journal of Mammalogy*, *101*(5), 1244–1256.
https://doi.org/10.1093/jmammal/gyaa091

Stiegler, J., Lins, A., Dammhahn, M., Kramer-Schadt, S., Ortmann, S., & Blaum, N. (2022).
Personality drives activity and space use in a mammalian herbivore. *Movement
Ecology*, *10*(1), 33. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-022-00333-6

Tang, K.-L. (2017). Whose development? Transnational capitalism and the homogenisation
of space. In *Encountering Development in the Age of Global Capitalism* (pp. 147–164).
Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5120-3_6

Thackeray, S. J., Henrys, P. A., Hemming, D., Bell, J. R., Botham, M. S., Burthe, S.,
Helaouet, P., Johns, D. G., Jones, I. D., Leech, D. I., Mackay, E. B., Massimino, D.,
Atkinson, S., Bacon, P. J., Brereton, T. M., Carvalho, L., Clutton-Brock, T. H., Duck, C.,
Edwards, M., … Wanless, S. (2016). Phenological sensitivity to climate across taxa and
trophic levels. *Nature*, *535*(7611), 241–245. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18608

Varpe, Ø. (2017). Life History Adaptations to Seasonality. *Integrative and Comparative
Biology*, *57*(5), 943–960. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx123

Webb, W. C., Marzluff, J. M., & Hepinstall-Cymerman, J. (2011). Linking resource use with
demography in a synanthropic population of common ravens. *Biological Conservation*,
144(9), 2264–2273. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.06.001

Wevers, J., Fattebert, J., Casaer, J., Artois, T., & Beenaerts, N. (2020). Trading fear for food
in the Anthropocene: How ungulates cope with human disturbance in a multi-use,
suburban ecosystem. *Science of The Total Environment*, *741*, 140369.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140369

Williams, C. M., Ragland, G. J., Betini, G., Buckley, L. B., Cheviron, Z. A., Donohue, K.,
Hereford, J., Humphries, M. M., Lisovski, S., Marshall, K. E., Schmidt, P. S., Sheldon, K.
S., Varpe, Ø., & Visser, M. E. (2017). Understanding evolutionary impacts of
seasonality: An introduction to the symposium. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*,
57(5), 921–933. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icx122

Williams, C. T., Chmura, H. E., Deal, C. K., & Wilsterman, K. (2022). Sex-differences in
phenology: A Tinbergian perspective. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, *62*(4), 980–
997. https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icac035

Wittemyer, G., Getz, W. M., Vollrath, F., & Douglas-Hamilton, I. (2007). Social dominance,
seasonal movements, and spatial segregation in African elephants: a contribution to
conservation behavior. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *61*(12), 1919–1931.
https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-007-0432-0

Woodworth, B. K., Norris, D. R., Graham, B. A., Kahn, Z. A., & Mennill, D. J. (2018). Hot
temperatures during the dry season reduce survival of a resident tropical bird.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, *285*(1878), 20180176.
https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0176

WWF-Hong Kong. (2021). *Preserving our natural wealth of Southern Lantau Island of Hong
Kong.*
https://wwfhk.awsassets.panda.org/downloads/wwf_south_lantau_watershed_analysis_
27sept2021.pdf

Yang, D., Bhattacharjee, D., Flay, K. J., Wang, Y., Mumby, H. S., & McElligott, A. G. (2024).
Public attitudes and values regarding a semi-urban feral megaherbivore. *SocArXiv*.
https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/yu9rj

Young, K. D., Ferreira, S. M., & Van Aarde, R. J. (2009). Elephant spatial use in wet and dry
savannas of southern Africa. *Journal of Zoology*, *278*(3), 189–205.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2009.00568.x

911 **Supplementary Materials**

914 **Figure S1. Geographic locations of the study area.** A map of Hong Kong showing the
915 geographic locations of the study area on Lantau Island: Pui O (Lo Wai Tsuen and Lo Uk
916 Tsuen), Shap Long Kau Tsuen, and Shui Hau.

927 **Table S1. Summary of individual buffalo identity, location, sex, age, and sterilisation**
928 **status.**

Individual	Location	Sex	Age	Sterilisation status
857	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	15	Sterilised
856	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	14	Sterilised
855	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	14	Sterilised
Ear Pink tag	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	15	Sterilised
Chase Car	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	12	Sterilised
Chase Car Daughter	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	5	Non-sterilised
Amy's Mum	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	14	Sterilised
Cloud	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
1160	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
Baby Girl's mum 812	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	10	Sterilised
Baby Girl 1097	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	5	Sterilised
Older Sister 1076	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	9	Sterilised
Younger Sister	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	9	Sterilised
Yellow Button	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	14	Sterilised
Sneaky Boy's mum	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	15	Sterilised
Fighter Girl 1166	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	4	Sterilised
Ah Mui Yellow Button	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
1158	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	8	Sterilised
1045	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	9	Sterilised
1159	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	15	Sterilised
No Hair Pink Tag	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	14	Sterilised
1035	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	11	Sterilised
1091	Lo Uk Tsuen	Female	9	Sterilised
Big Head Boy	Lo Uk Tsuen	Male	22	Sterilised
B Jai	Lo Uk Tsuen	Male	8	Non-sterilised
Anti Bridge 1233	Lo Uk Tsuen	Male	10	Sterilised
Big Eye 1227	Lo Uk Tsuen	Male	10	Sterilised
Younger Brother	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	16	Non-sterilised
Ross's mum	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	19	Sterilised
Siu Ying Mum	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	19	Sterilised
Siu Ying	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	7	Sterilised
Siu Ying Sis 1168	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
Chu 802	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	10	Sterilised
Chu Daughter	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
Weak Sister Pink tag	Lo Wai Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
Ngong Zeoi	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	9	Non-sterilised

Hungry beast	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	14	Sterilised
Big Head Son 1070	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	8	Sterilised
Big Iron Head 1202	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	6	Sterilised
Ming 1219	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	6	Sterilised
1276	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	6	Sterilised
Bambi	Lo Wai Tsuen	Male	4	Non-sterilised
Dai Ngau	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	16	Non-sterilised
Naughty 20	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	21	Sterilised
Big V 729	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	18	Sterilised
No tail	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	22	Sterilised
Mr. Chan 948	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	7	Sterilised
Long face	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	12	Non-sterilised
Sai Bok	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Male	8	Sterilised
Blossom mum	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	11	Sterilised
Blossom's daughter 1177	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	7	Sterilised
825	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	19	Sterilised
Boot Boot grandma 826	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	16	Sterilised
Boot Boot mother 851	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	10	Sterilised
Boot Boot	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	6	Non-sterilised
Chocolate	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	8	Sterilised
Coffee girl 1176	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	6	Sterilised
824	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	20	Sterilised
Tweety Mama	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	15	Sterilised
Wa 1162	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	7	Sterilised
853	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	10	Sterilised
Golden girl	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	Female	8	Sterilised
1001	Shui Hau	Female	12	Sterilised
1002	Shui Hau	Female	13	Sterilised
1021	Shui Hau	Female	6	Sterilised
1022	Shui Hau	Female	11	Sterilised
1023	Shui Hau	Female	16	Sterilised
962	Shui Hau	Female	8	Sterilised
Long Horn	Shui Hau	Male	22	Sterilised
Shui Hau Jai	Shui Hau	Male	7	Sterilised
1134	Shui Hau	Female	8	Sterilised

933 **Table S2. Summary of individual buffalo identity, location, and the percentage of**
934 **visibility during first transects.**

Individual	Location	Percentage of visibility during first transects
857	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
856	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
855	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Ear Pink tag	Lo Uk Tsuen	96.25%
Chase Car	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Chase Car Daughter	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Amy's Mum	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Cloud	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
1160	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Baby Girl's mum 812	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Baby Girl 1097	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Older Sister 1076	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Younger Sister	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Yellow Button	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Sneaky Boy's mum	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Fighter Girl 1166	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Ah Mui Yellow Button	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
1158	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
1045	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
1159	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
No Hair Pink Tag	Lo Uk Tsuen	98.75%
1035	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
1091	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Big Head Boy	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
B Jai	Lo Uk Tsuen	96.25%
Anti Bridge 1233	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Big Eye 1227	Lo Uk Tsuen	100%
Younger Brother	Lo Wai Tsuen	98.75%
Ross's mum	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Siu Ying Mum	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Siu Ying	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Siu Ying Sis 1168	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Chu 802	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Chu Daughter	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Weak Sister Pink tag	Lo Wai Tsuen	96.25%

Ngong Zeoi	Lo Wai Tsuen	95%
Hungry beast	Lo Wai Tsuen	95%
Big Head Son 1070	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Big Iron Head 1202	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Ming 1219	Lo Wai Tsuen	98.75%
1276	Lo Wai Tsuen	100%
Bambi	Lo Wai Tsuen	98.75%
Dai Ngau	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	91.25%
Naughty 20	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Big V 729	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
No tail	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Mr. Chan 948	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	98.75%
Long face	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Sai Bok	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	87.5%
Blossom mum	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Blossom's daughter 1177	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
825	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Boot Boot grandma 826	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Boot Boot mother 851	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Boot Boot	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Chocolate	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Coffee girl 1176	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
824	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Tweety Mama	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Wa 1162	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
853	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
Golden girl	Shap Long Kau Tsuen	100%
1001	Shui Hau	96.25%
1002	Shui Hau	96.25%
1021	Shui Hau	96.25%
1022	Shui Hau	91.25%
1023	Shui Hau	96.25%
962	Shui Hau	96.25%
Long Horn	Shui Hau	100%
Shui Hau Jai	Shui Hau	98.75%
1134	Shui Hau	95%

Figure S2. Results of K-means cluster analysis and the two distinct seasons based on
publicly available weather data. Cluster 0 represents the months of July to October 2023,
and Cluster 1 represents the months of November 2023 to March 2024. Cluster 0, or the wet
season, had a median temperature of 29.4°C (range: 26.4°C – 30.1°C) and median rainfall of
391.2 mm (range: 175.2 mm – 546 mm). Cluster 1, or the dry season, had a median
temperature of 19.4°C (range: 17.9°C – 23.5°C) and a median rainfall of 4.1 mm (range: 0.9
mm – 21.6 mm). k = 2 explained 80.19% of the variance of the data.

Figure S3. Different regions used for assessing buffalo body condition. The four different regions of the body are shown – ribs, tail, hips, and spine. For each region, conditions improve or scores increase from upper to lower photos.

Figure S4: Feeding behaviour in buffalo. (a) Two female buffalo grazing on grass in Shui Hau, Lantau Island, Hong Kong and **(b)** A male buffalo browsing on leaves from shrubs in Shap Long Kau Tsuen, Lantau Island, Hong Kong [Photo credit: Debottam Bhattacharjee].

989 **Figure S5. Buffalo space use pattern estimates based on different methods.** Half-violin
990 plots indicate the distribution of the data. Solid dots indicate the estimated area use values
991 (based on 80 data points from wet and dry seasons) of the individuals (N = 71) calculated in
992 square kilometres. The boxes illustrate the interquartile range, horizontal bars inside the
993 boxes indicate median values, and whiskers indicate the range of the data.

Figure S6. Monthly average body condition scores of buffalo. Mean (± standard deviation) body condition scores of buffalo (N = 71) from July 2023 to March 2024. Body condition scores were highest in the wet (July - October 2023) season (23.87 ± 0.88) and then declined during the dry (November 2023 – March 2024) season (19.20 ± 1.30). The wet season is highlighted by the blue box.

1005

1006

1007

1008

1009

1010

1011

1012

1013

1014   **(a)**

1015

1016

1017

1018   **(b)**

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

1026   **(c)**

1027

1028

1029

1030

1031

1032

1033 **(d)**

1034

Figure S7: Location-specific distribution of buffalo during wet and dry seasons. The
distribution of buffalo during wet and dry seasons in (a) Lo Wai Tsuen, (b) Lo Uk Tsuen, (c)
Shap Long Kau Tsuen, and (d) Shui Hau. Blue dots show buffalo distribution during the wet
season and orange dots show buffalo distribution during the dry season. Lantau Country
Park borders, and major road networks are represented by green and black lines,
respectively.

1041

1042