Bacterial lipids traverse the hydrophobic groove of TamB

Yiechang Lin,[1,2]* Ben Corry[1]

[1] Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, Australia 2601

[2] Present address: Center for Quantitative Biology, Peking University, China 100871

Email: Yiechang.Lin@pku.edu.cn

Abstract

The double-membrane envelope of Gram-negative bacteria protects it against environmental stress and antibiotics. Phospholipids are a core component of the bacterial outer membrane (OM). However, how phospholipids are transported to the OM from the inner membrane (IM) where they are synthesized is poorly understood. We show that the AsmA-like protein TamB transfers lipids through a large hydrophobic groove which directly bridges the inner and outer membranes. Lipid dissociation at the outer membrane is impeded when TamB is bound to its OM partner protein TamA but occurs spontaneously when TamA is not bound. Other members of the AsmA-like family in *E. coli* can also accommodate lipids within their hydrophobic grooves, suggesting they may also function as lipid transporters. Our findings highlight the lipid transport ability of TamB and other AsmA-like proteins, suggesting their importance in maintaining bacterial OM integrity.

Main Text

Introduction

Gram-negative bacteria possess a double membrane envelope which serves as a protective barrier against environmental stress and antibiotics (*1*). This envelope comprises an inner membrane (IM) and outer membrane (OM) separated by an aqueous periplasmic compartment containing a peptidoglycan layer. The IM surrounds the cytoplasm and is composed of phospholipids, predominantly phosphatidylethanolamine, phosphatidylglycerol and cardiolipin. The OM, which separates the periplasm from the external environment and plays critical roles in maintaining cell integrity, is highly asymmetric. Its outer leaflet is composed of lipopolysaccharides, which prevent the passage of hydrophobic molecules including antibiotics while the inner leaflet is composed of phospholipids.

How intracellularly synthesized phospholipids are transported to the inner leaflet of the OM has eluded our understanding until recently, when members of the AsmA-like protein family were proposed as the conduits for lipid transfer from the IM to the OM (*2–5*). In *E. coli*, this family contains 6 members (TamB, YhdP, YdbH, YhjG, YicH and AsmA), which are thought to be related to the eukaryotic repeating β-groove proteins which transfer lipids between organelles (*6*). Deletion of the genes associated with the three largest members of this family (TamB, YhdP, and YdbH) generates a lethal phenotype while deletion of *tamB* and *yhdP* together disrupts OM lipid homeostasis (*4*, *5*). Furthermore, recent *in vivo* crosslinking assays and molecular dynamics simulations showed that phosphate-containing molecules directly interact with the hydrophobic groove of YhdP and phospholipids can spontaneously enter the groove during simulations (*7*). Results from these genetic, biochemical and computational studies support the idea that TamB, YhdP, and YdbH may act as redundant lipid transporters in E. coli, facilitating the spontaneous diffusion of phospholipids from the IM to OM.

The largest of these three proteins, TamB, complexes with the OM protein TamA to form the Translocation and Assembly Module (TAM) (*8*). While the β-barrel assembly machine (BAM) is

responsible for catalyzing the assembly of most *E. coli* OM proteins, the structurally and
evolutionarily related TAM has also been proposed to play a role in assembling several OM proteins
(*8–11*). Additionally, TAM was recently shown to directly catalyze the assembly of several OM
proteins in a BAM independent manner when reconstituted into liposomes (*12*). These findings
raise the question of whether TAM could play dual roles in transporting lipids and assembling OM
proteins, and what roles TamA and TamB each play individually during this process. Additionally,
while previous studies imply a role for TAM in lipid homeostasis, it has been suggested that the
phenotypes observed in these genetic studies could stem from secondary consequences of OM
protein assembly (*11*), and a direct role in lipid transport has yet to be conclusively shown.
Here, we use a combination of structural modelling, coarse grained and all-atom molecular
dynamics simulations to investigate the lipid transfer ability of TAM and other members of the
AsmA-like family. Our data suggests IM lipids can spontaneously enter and traverse the large
periplasmic spanning groove of TamB in a TAM model embedded in both the bacterial IM and OM.
Lipid dissociation at the OM is impeded when TamA is in complex with TamB but occurs
spontaneously in structures of TamB alone. Finally, we also demonstrate that all six members of
the AsmA family in *E. coli* can accommodate lipids within their hydrophobic grooves. Our results
support the idea that the TAM directly transports lipids from the IM to the OM and provide insights
into the mechanisms through which this occurs.
67
68
69 **Results**
70
Inner membrane lipids spontaneously traverse the hydrophobic groove of TamB
To assess the ability of the TamA/TamB complex (hereafter referred to as TAM) to act as a conduit
for lipid transfer from the *E. coli* inner membrane (IM) to the outer membrane (OM), we carried out
coarse grained molecular dynamics simulations of TAM. Our starting TAM model was produced by
McDonnell et al. using a combination of AF2Complex and membrane morphing simulations to
generate a structure that can plausibly span the bacterial envelope (*13*). In all replicate (n=5)
simulations of this model with the N-terminal helix of TamB embedded in the bacterial IM (modelled
as 75% POPE, 20% POPG, 5% CDL2) (*14–16*) and TamA embedded in the OM (upper: 100%
ReLPS, lower: 90% POPE, 5% POPG, 5% CDL2) (*17*), we observed lipids spontaneously entering
the hydrophobic groove of TamB from the upper leaflet of the IM (Fig. 1A-B, Movie S1).
81
82 Over the course of each 75 μs simulation, lipids filled the wide, periplasm spanning groove of TamB,
83 traversing upwards towards the OM. By the end of the simulation, an average of 31.8±1.5 lipids
84 were present in the hydrophobic groove of TamB (Fig. 1C). The relative proportions of lipid types
85 within the groove closely matched the composition of the bacterial IM, with most of the lipids in the
86 groove being POPE (Fig. 1C).
87
While lipids moved freely from the IM into TamB, and lipids can pass each other within the groove,
we did not observe any lipid dissociation events from the groove to the OM within the timescale of
our simulations. Interestingly, lipids in TamB cease to move upwards at around 10-20 Å from the
OM (Fig. 1B, D). This is due to the lack of clear hydrophobic path for the lipid as the hydrophobic
groove of TamB ends (Fig. 1D inset, green helix - resid 1137 to 1150) before meeting the OM. An
additional bent helix (Fig. 1D inset, purple helix – resid 1160-1185) occludes upward lipid
movement in this replicate, presenting another obstacle to continuous lipid flow between the IM
and OM via TamB.
96
As lipids moved through the hydrophobic groove, their tail moieties interacted with other lipids in
the groove as well as residues belonging to TamB for > 95% of simulation time (Fig.1E, left). Protein
and lipid interactions formed the major proportion of contacts for lipid tails (Fig. 1E, right), with
protein-tail interactions occurring on the interior of the TamB groove (Fig. 1F). In contrast, the

headgroups of these lipids predominantly interacted with surrounding water and the peripheral
edges and loops of the protein (Fig. 1E-F).
103
Spontaneous entry of inner membrane lipids into the hydrophobic groove of TamB was also
observed in simulations of an unmorphed AlphaFold2 model of TamB embedded in a model IM
single bilayer system (Fig S1A). This phenomenon was also observed for the morphed TamB
model embedded in the IM in simulations using both the Martini 2.2 and Martini 3 forcefields (Fig.
S1B-C). This suggests that the entry of lipids into TamB is independent of the structural model, the
use of a single or double bilayer system, and forcefield used in our simulations.
110
AF2 predictions suggests alternative orientations of TamB in the OM
Given that free lipid dissociation at the bacterial OM does not occur in our TAM simulations using
a structural model where TamA and TamB interact, we wondered if alternate configurations could
allow free lipid exchange at the OM. One possibility is that the conformation of TamB within the
TAM complex changes such that the hydrophobic groove moves closer to the lipid bilayer. To
address this, we carried out AlphaFold2 structural predictions of TAM, TamA alone, and TamB
alone, generating 100 structures of each using default parameters (without alteration of the multiple
sequence alignment).
119
In all 100 predicted TAM structures, TamA and TamB interact at the beta barrel region, with
additional interactions formed between the hydrophobic groove of TamB and the first polypeptide-
transport-associated (POTRA) domain in TamA (Fig. 2A). At the OM, β sheet templating between
residues 266-276 of TamA and the last 10 residues (resid 1249-1259) of TamB defines the
interaction interface. Compared to the crystal structure (*18*) and AF2 predictions of TamA alone
(which are similar to one another, as evidenced by a TM score of 0.97), where the beta strand
formed by residues 266-276 interacts instead with the final beta strand of TamA (resid 569-574),
predictions of the complex show a widening of the opening in the OM beta-barrel due to interaction
with TamB. All TAM structures adopted similar conformations, particularly in terms of the z-
displacement of the hydrophobic groove of TamB relative to TamA (Fig. 2B). As these structures
were predicted without the context of the IM and OM, the resulting structures have lengths of around
100-150 Å (distance between the center of masses of the N-terminal IM helix and the OM beta
strand region), with some diversity sampled in the angle made between the IM helix and OM
segments (Fig. 2C). Notably, due to the lack of structural diversity in the produced TAM structures,
none showed a hydrophobic groove which could be plausibly embedded in the OM to provide a
continuous pathway for lipid dissociation.
136
Interestingly, structures of TamB differed considerably when it was predicted alone compared to
predictions in complex with TamA (Fig. 2B-E). The predicted structures of TamB alone are longer,
with the longest structures having lengths of ~215 Å, making them likely to be capable of spanning
the periplasm independently (Fig. 2C). The absence of TamA in the prediction also leads to a
significant change in the relative orientations of the outermost beta-sheet and alpha helix regions
as well as the hydrophobic groove (Fig. 2B, D). This change in orientation (which would be sterically
occluded in the presence of TamA) significantly alters which regions of TamB which would be
embedded in the OM (Fig, 2D), with the structures predicted in the absence of TamA placing the
hydrophobic groove closer to the OM.
146
Given the differences between structural predictions of TamB in the presence and absence of
TamA, we wondered whether the altered orientation of the OM portion of TamB when predicted
alone may represent a physiologically relevant conformation through which phospholipids can
freely move between the protein and the OM. To this end, we first assessed whether the alternate
conformations of TamB could stably embed in the OM, especially as there are several polar and
charged residues in portions of the protein predicted to be embedded in the membrane. To do this
we selected three distinct representative structures (Fig. 2C-D) and used all-atom simulations to
assess the feasibility of this region to remain in the OM. After 500 ns, we observed some distortion

in the lower leaflet of the OM in simulations using the top ranked (by AlphaFold2, based on average
pLDDT value) structure of TamB (1) due to the high placement of the hydrophobic groove which
has a polar outer surface (Fig. 2F). However, this membrane distortion was not present in
simulations using structures (2) or (3) where the groove was predicted to be slightly lower relative
to the OM, and no large conformational changes of the protein were observed (Fig. S2). In these
stable conformations the beta sheet region of TamB spans the membrane, placing acidic residues
at each end of the barrel among the lipid headgroups in each leaflet. Polar and charged residues
in the middle of the sheets point inwards in a partial barrel interacting with each other or water
molecules, leaving a more hydrophobic surface to associate with the lipids (Fig. 2G).
164
165 Subsequent coarse grain simulations of structure (2) showed that phospholipids belonging to the
166 lower leaflet of the OM can spontaneously enter the upper segment of TamB. This is not the case
167 in simulations of TAM (as discussed above) nor in simulations of TamB predicted together with
168 TamA, with TamA removed prior to simulation (Fig. 2G). This suggests that lipid flow is not occluded
169 by TamA directly but that when bound, it alters the conformation of TamB with respect to the OM.
170
171 Taken together, our data suggests that significantly different conformations of the TAM complex
172 from those predicted here would be required to allow free lipid transfer to the OM in the TAM
173 complex. In addition, our data suggests that TamB could span the periplasm without the aid of
174 TamA and may possibly be oriented in the membrane in a manner which allows it to independently
175 facilitate lipid flow, if correctly trafficked to and embedded in the OM.
176
AsmA-like proteins may share the ability to conduct lipids
To assess how lipid transfer may differ between TamB and other members of the AsmA family in
E. coli, we carried out 75 μs CG MD simulations of AlphaFold2 predicted structures of YhdP and
YdbH, the two other members of the family suggested to transport lipids. For YhdP the predicted
structure on the AlphaFold2 database contained a flexible loop near the IM which completely
prevented lipid entry in our simulations, so we carried out additional simulations using an
AlphaFold3 model of the protein predicted with palmitic acid in the hydrophobic groove which
caused displacement of the loop. In these simulations, we observe lipids spontaneously entering
the hydrophobic groove of both YhdP and YdbH from the periplasmic leaflet of the IM (Fig. 3A-B).
186
The number of lipids present in the hydrophobic groove differed between TamB, YdbH and YhdP
(Fig. 3C), which may be due in part to the difference in cavity volume and length between these
proteins (Fig. S3A). As the protein with the widest hydrophobic groove and largest cavity volume
(Fig. S3), TamB was able to accommodate more than double the number of lipids when compared
to YdbH and YhdP. During the 75 μs long simulations, lipids fully traversed the groove of YdbH and
reached the top of the protein. In contrast, owing to the narrow constriction in the YhdP groove (Fig.
S3B), lipids were prevented from fully occupying the length of YhdP. We note that the shorter length
of YdbH, which does not span the periplasm alone, and the presence of the constriction in YhdP
(Fig. S3B), partially accounts for the reduced number of lipids within the groove when compared to
TamB.
197
198 The proportion of each lipid type found within the grooves of TamB, YdbH and YhdP mostly
199 matched the composition of the IM (Fig. 3D), although few cardiolipin molecules were found in the
200 grooves of TamB and YdbH, and none entered YhdP in any replicate.
201
202 Finally, given that AlphaFold3 was able to correctly place palmitic acid within the hydrophobic
203 groove of YhdP, we carried out predictions for all 6 AsmA proteins with 50 palmitic acid molecules
204 and showed that these are placed within the grooves of each protein (Fig. 3E). Taken together,
205 these data suggest that the hydrophobic groove of all 6 proteins can accommodate lipids.
206
207
208 **Discussion**

209
The AsmA-like family of proteins were recently proposed to act as conduits for lipid transfer
between the bacterial IM and OM. One member of this family, TamB, interacts with an OM protein
TamA to form the Translocation and Assembly Module (TAM). TAM is known to aid in the assembly
of several OM proteins (*8–12*), in addition to being implicated in lipid transport (*4*, *5*). We sought to
directly investigate whether TAM transports lipids and elucidate the individual roles of TamA and
TamB in this process. In simulations, phospholipids spontaneously enter and traverse the large
hydrophobic groove of TamB, strongly suggesting its role in lipid transport. Additionally, we show
that TamB alone may be able to facilitate lipid transfer if it can be trafficked to the OM and inserted
such that the hydrophobic groove is continuous with the lower leaflet of the OM, although we cannot
exclude the possibility that other proteins, including TamA, could play roles in offloading lipids at
the OM. Finally, we show that all 6 members of the AsmA-like family can accommodate lipids in
their hydrophobic grooves.
222
The TAM structures predicted using AF2 in this study and by others always place the complexation
interface such that the OM segment of TamB completes the TamA β-barrel. This is consistent with
previous size-exclusion chromatography experiments which show that residues 22-293 of TamA
interact with residues 1180-1259 of TamB, with the final β-strand of TamB (residues 1252-1259)
being important for this complex formation (*8*, *13*). This suggests TamA and TamB likely bind to
each other at the OM mediated by interactions between β-strands belonging to both proteins, and
the TAM structure simulated here likely represents one plausible configuration of the two proteins
in bacterial cells. Our use of a morphed AlphaFold2 model was necessary to enable correct
placement of the TAM complex, consistent with the proposed orientation of TamA in the OM and
TamB in the IM. While this awaits validation from cryo-EM structures of the full TAM complex, which
could also help to clarify the native interaction interface between TamA and TamB, additional
simulations using an unmorphed AF2 model shows that lipid entry into the hydrophobic groove
occurs irrespective of the model used, suggesting this is not an artefact of the model.
236
Interestingly, while lipid influx from the IM and traversal through the hydrophobic groove of TAM
was rapid, lipids did not dissociate at the OM within the timescale of our simulations. In contrast,
structural predictions of TamB alone suggest that it may be able to span the periplasm on its own,
and simulations of one of these structures in the OM showed that these conformations were stable
and allowed spontaneous movement of phospholipids from the OM into the groove. These results
suggest that it may be possible for TamB to facilitate phospholipid movement from the IM to the
OM without TamA bound during this process. However, previous genetic knock out experiments
have shown that TamA is required for TamB's roles in OM lipid homeostasis, with the same
phenotypes being observed when tamA or tamB is knocked out in bacteria lacking other lipid
transporters (*4*, *19*).
247
There are several explanations which can account for these experimental and simulation data.
Firstly, it's possible that lipid dissociation from TamB at the OM can occur while TamB is in complex
with TamA in an alternative conformation not captured by our AlphaFold modelling or at timescales
beyond what we can currently simulate. Secondly, it is also plausible that one or more additional
accessory proteins aid in lipid offloading at the OM. Alternatively, our observations may be
explained by a model in which TamA aids correct localization and folding of TamB at the OM, but
where the two proteins are not associated during the lipid transfer process. This proposed transient
disassociation of TamA from TamB is perhaps supported by recent work which suggests that TamB
dissociates from the TamA β-barrel during OMP assembly as the interaction interface is the site for
β -strand templating of other proteins (*12*).
258
Comparison of our simulations of TAM, YhdP and YdbH reveal differences in the number of lipids
which can be accommodated in the hydrophobic grooves of these proteins, with the wider groove
of TamB permitting more than twice as many lipids than YhdP and YdbH to enter. These findings
are consistent with the proposal that TamB may facilitate the trafficking of nascent OMPs to the

OM via this hydrophobic groove, perhaps simultaneously allowing lipid transport (*12*, *20*). YhdP is
capable of spanning the periplasm alone and is longer than YdbH, which requires the aid of
additional proteins to completely bridge the space between the IM and OM (*7*, *21*). Despite this,
fewer lipids were present in the groove of YhdP at the end of our simulations, owing to the narrow
nature of the groove and the presence of a constriction in the groove in the middle of the protein in
our predicted structure.
269
Our simulations of YhdP are consistent with previous findings that phosphate-containing molecules
(ostensibly phospholipids) span the length of the YhdP groove, supporting its proposed role in lipid
transport (*3–5*, *7*). CG simulations spanning 5 µs captured on average one lipid entering the
hydrophobic groove from the IM, fewer than the 3-10 lipids were observed in the same timespan
owing to the use of a different starting structure with the N-terminal loop displaced (*7*). Interestingly,
spontaneous bilayer formation simulations conducted with the C-terminal segment of YhdP showed
that the protein interacted only the inner leaflet of the OM and no lipid entry events were observed
from the OM (*7*). This finding might support the idea that an additional accessory protein could be
required to offload lipids at the OM across the different transporters. Alternatively, the YhdP
conformation used, or the timescale of the simulations could also account for this result.
280
Previous genetic studies showed that only one of TamB, YhdP or YdbH is required for bacterial
survival (*4*, *19*), and the purpose of multiple redundant lipid transporters remains an open question.
The possibility of differential phospholipid-substrate transport preferences between YhdP, TamB
and YdbH has been proposed to explain the existence of three redundant lipid transporters and
differences in phenotypes when these proteins were knocked out (*22*). These differences were
based more strongly on the saturation of the fatty acyl chains rather than lipid headgroups, with
YhdP proposed to transport cardiolipin and saturated lipids while TamB was proposed to transport
more unsaturated lipids. While the data from our simulations are insufficient to draw strong
conclusions on this point, there does not appear to be any obvious selectivity for or against a
specific lipid headgroup type by any of these proteins, nor a large difference between the types of
lipids found in the hydrophobic groove when comparing between proteins, although we note that
cardiolipin was not found in the hydrophobic groove of YhdP in any of our simulations. Further
investigation of TamB, YhdP and YdbH is necessary to determine whether these proteins exhibit
differences in substrate specificity or could play distinct roles physiologcially. In addition, much
remains to be understood about how AsmA-like proteins work alongside the Pqi, Let and Mla
systems which are also implicated in bacterial phospholipid transport (reviewed in (*23*)). Further
study is required to better understand the directionality of lipid transport, whether energy input is
required, substrate specificity and the broader physiological roles of these pathways.
299
300 Our findings offer new insights into lipid transport mechanisms in bacteria and the role of TamB in
301 outer membrane biogenesis. Given the essential nature of the AsmA-like proteins in bacterial
302 survival, increasing our understanding of how they function is foundational to the development of
303 antibiotics targeting these proteins.
304
305
306 **Materials and Methods**
307
308 ***Coarse grained (CG) molecular dynamics simulations***
309 All CG simulations were prepared with the CHARMM-GUI Martini Bilayer Builder with the Martini
310 2.2 forcefield with elastic networks imposed (elnedyn22) and carried out using GROMACS 2023
311 (*24*).
312
313 CG simulations of full-length TAM, YdbH and YhdP embedded in bacterial membranes were carried
314 out to investigate their lipid transfer ability.
315

Simulations of the TAM complex were initiated from a structure produced by McDonnell et al. (2023)
using a combination of AlphaFold2 predictions and membrane morphing simulations (*13*). Two
separate systems containing the TAM complex were generated and manually merged. The first
system contained the N-terminal helix of TamB embedded in a 18 x 18 nm bilayer representing the
bacterial IM with 75% POPE, 20% POPG and 5% CDL2 in both leaflets. The total number of lipids
in the IM is 1040, 520 in each leaflet. The second system contained TamA embedded in a 18 x 18
nm bilayer (700 lipids total) containing 100% ReLPS in the outer leaflet and 90% POPE, 5% POPG
and 5% CDL in the inner leaflet to represent the OM. The total number of lipids in the OM is 700,
with 200 ReLPS molecules (which have a larger area per lipid given the 4 tails in Lipid A) and 500
lipids in the lower leaflet. The two systems were merged by aligning the protein complex and adding
the inner membrane lipids to the second system and removing the overlapping water molecules in
the second system. A timestep of 15 fs was used in production simulations and 5 replicates were
carried out for 75 μs each.

To investigate whether lipid entry and traversal of the TamB groove can occur using an alternative
structural model, we set up systems containing an unmorphed AlphaFold2 model of TamB
embedded in a model IM as described above and simulated these systems in triplicate for 18 μs
each.

In addition, to ensure that lipid entry and traversal were not an artefact of the double bilayer system
and/or forcefield used, we carried out simulations with just TamB from the membrane morphed
structure using the Martini 2.2 and Martini 3 forcefields. As Martini 3 CDL2 parameters have yet to
be implemented in the CHARMM-GUI bilayer builder, we used an 18 x 18 nm membrane composed
of 80% POPE and 20% POPG in these simulations, which were also carried out in triplicate for 18
μs each.

The predicted structure of *E. coli* YdbH (UNIPROT ID: P52645) was obtained from the AlphaFold
Protein Structure Database (*25*, *26*). The N-terminal helix was embedded into a symmetric bilayer
mimicking the lipid composition of the bacterial IM as described above. A timestep of 20 fs was
used in production simulations and 5 replicates were carried out for 75 μs each.

The predicted structure of E. coli YhdP (UNIPROT ID: P46474) obtained from the AlphaFold Protein
Structure Database contains an N-terminal loop which prevents unencumbered lipid access from
the periplasmic leaflet of the IM. Prediction of the YhdP structure using AlphaFold2 and AlphaFold3
revealed that this loop is flexible (with multiple conformations present between predictions) and
could be displaced from a blocking conformation by predicting YhdP together with palmitic acid
molecules. For our simulations of YhdP, we thus used an AF3 predicted structure of YhdP in which
the N-terminal loop was displaced using palmitic acid molecules. These molecules were removed
prior to simulation and the N-terminal helix embedded into a bilayer mimicking the composition of
a bacterial IM. A timestep of 20 fs was used in production simulations and 5 replicates were carried
out for 75 μs each.

Simulations of the C-terminal portion of TamB were carried out to investigate whether phospholipids
spontaneously enter from the OM. These simulations were initiated from a AF2 structural prediction
of TamB (truncated, residues 900-1259). A timestep of 20 fs was used in production simulations
and 3 replicates were carried out for 3 μs each.

Each system was solvated, neutralized and ionized using 150 mM NaCl. Following the standard
CHARMM-GUI protocol, the system was subjected to a short energy minimization, followed by a
5-step equilibration protocol spanning 4.75 ns in total in which restraints on the protein (1000 kJ
mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 250 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 100 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 50 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}) and lipid
headgroups (200 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 100 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 50 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 20 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 10 kJ mol^{-1}
nm^{-2}) were gradually reduced. Production simulations were carried out in the NPT ensemble, with
a pressure of 1 bar maintained using a Parinello-Rahman barostat with semi-isotropic conditions

(*27*). The temperature was maintained at 310 K using a v-rescale thermostat (*28*). To prevent
unrealistic protein deformation in the absence of an OM or OM anchoring region, we imposed weak
backbone position restraints of 5 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2} in production simulations of all single bilayer
systems.
374
Structural Predictions
To investigate the complexation interface between TamA and TamB and in search of a TamB
structure which may plausibly span the periplasm alone, E. coli TamA, TamB and TamA+TamB
together (TAM) were predicted using Colabfold (*25*, *29*). 100 structures (5 structures x 20 random
seeds) were predicted in each case without relaxation or modification to the multiple sequence
alignment, using 3 recycles.
381
382   To investigate whether the hydrophobic grooves of AsmA-like proteins are likely to accommodate
383   lipids, the structures of *E. coli* TamB (UNIPROT ID: P39321), YhdP (UNIPROT ID: P46474), YdbH
384   (UNIPROT ID: P52645), YhjG (UNIPROT ID: P37645), YicH (UNIPROT ID: P31433) and AsmA
385   (UNIPROT ID: P28249) were each predicted with 50 molecules of palmitic acid using the
386   AlphaFold3 online server (*30*).
387
All Atom Simulations
All atom molecular dynamics simulations were carried out using GROMACS 2023 with the
CHARMM36 forcefield (*24*, *31*). Simulation systems prepared using the CHARMM-GUI Bilayer
Builder with three representative starting structures of TamB generated by AlphaFold2. These
structures were truncated to include only the C-terminal portion (residues 900-1259) and embedded
in a membrane containing PVCL2, PMPE, PMPG, PVPE and PVPG in a ratio of 2:8:1:8:2 in the
lower leaflet and lipid A in the upper leaflet according to the protocol in Li *et al.* (2022) (*32*). The
system was solvated, neutralized and ionized with 150 mM NaCl. Following the standard
CHARMM-GUI protocol, the system was subjected to a short energy minimization, followed by a
5-step equilibration protocol spanning 11.25 ns in total in which restraints on the protein backbone
(2000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 1000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 10 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}),
sidechain (2000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 1000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 0 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 0 kJ mol^{-1}
nm^{-2}) and lipid headgroups (1000 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}, 500 kJ mol^{-1}
nm^{-2}, 0 kJ mol^{-1} nm^{-2}) were gradually reduced. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all
directions. The temperature was maintained at 310 K using the Nose-Hoover thermostat (*33*).
Production simulations used a timestep of 2 fs. Hydrogen bonds were constrained using the LINCS
algorithm (*34*). Electrostatic interactions were treated using the Particle Mesh Ewald algorithm with
a cut off of 12 Å (*35*). A van der Waals radius of 12 Å was used. Simulations were carried out in
triplicate for 500 ns each.
407
408   **Analysis and Visualization**
409   Analysis scripts were written in python using the MDAnalysis, pandas and scipy libraries (*36–38*).
410   All simulations were visualized and snapshots prepared using Visual Molecular Dynamics (VMD)
411   (*39*).
412
All analysis was performed on simulation trajectories post-processed to account for periodic
boundary conditions, with the protein aligned to the first frame of the simulation. The trajectory was
strided such that each frame represents 75 ns of simulation time for TamB simulations and 100 ns
all other simulations. The z coordinates of lipid headgroups (PO4 beads) were tracked across the
simulation time. The number of lipids present in the hydrophobic grooves of each protein was
calculated as the number of headgroup (PO4, PO41) beads with z coordinates above the average
z coordinate the upper leaflet headgroups of the IM. Lipid headgroup and tail binding occupancies
were calculated per residue by computing the proportion of simulation time for which any bead
belonging to a phospholipid headgroup (PO4, GL0, GL1, GL2, NH3, PO41, GL11, GL21, PO42,
GL12, GL22) or tail moiety (all other beads) could be found within 7 Å of the residue.
423

To calculate the cavity volume of YhdP, YdbH and the morphed TamB model, we used the MOLEonline server to model the pathway through each protein, using 'pore mode' with a probe radius of 45 and interior radius of 0.8 (parameters previously used to investigate YhdP) (*7*, *40*). We estimated the volume of each tunnel, which was represented by overlapping spheres, using a Monte Carlo integration method. Sphere centers and radii were extracted from the VMD script provided by the MOLEonline server. A bounding box encompassing all spheres was computed, and 10,000,000 random points were sampled within it. The tunnel volume was estimated as the bounding box volume multiplied by the fraction of points found inside at least one sphere. The length was calculated as the distance between the ends of the first and last sphere forming the tunnel. Pore radius profiles were generated by projection of the sphere centers to the tunnel axis, and plotting the corresponding radii as a function of position along the axis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Denisse Leyton and Dr. Matthew Doyle for helpful discussions. This research was undertaken with the assistance of resources and services from the National Computational Infrastructure (NCI), which is supported by the Australian Government.

References

1. T. J. Silhavy, D. Kahne, S. Walker, The Bacterial Cell Envelope. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* **2**, a000414 (2010).

2. S. Kumar, N. Ruiz, Bacterial AsmA-Like Proteins: Bridging the Gap in Intermembrane Phospholipid Transport. *Contact* **6**, 25152564231185931 (2023).

3. J. Grimm, H. Shi, W. Wang, A. M. Mitchell, N. S. Wingreen, K. C. Huang, T. J. Silhavy, The inner membrane protein YhdP modulates the rate of anterograde phospholipid flow in Escherichia coli. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **117**, 26907–26914 (2020).

4. N. Ruiz, R. M. Davis, S. Kumar, YhdP, TamB, and YdbH Are Redundant but Essential for Growth and Lipid Homeostasis of the Gram-Negative Outer Membrane. *mBio* **12**, e02714-21 (2021).

5. M. V. Douglass, A. B. McLean, M. S. Trent, Absence of YhdP, TamB, and YdbH leads to defects in glycerophospholipid transport and cell morphology in Gram-negative bacteria. *PLOS Genetics* **18**, e1010096 (2022).

6. S. D. Neuman, T. P. Levine, A. Bashirullah, A novel superfamily of bridge-like lipid transfer proteins. *Trends in Cell Biology* **32**, 962–974 (2022).

7. B. F. Cooper, R. Clark, A. Kudhail, D. Dunn, Q. Tian, G. Bhabha, D. C. Ekiert, S. Khalid, G. L. Isom, Phospholipid Transport Across the Bacterial Periplasm Through the Envelope-spanning Bridge YhdP. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **437**, 168891 (2025).

8. J. Selkrig, K. Mosbahi, C. T. Webb, M. J. Belousoff, A. J. Perry, T. J. Wells, F. Morris, D. L. Leyton, M. Totsika, M.-D. Phan, N. Celik, M. Kelly, C. Oates, E. L. Hartland, R. M. Robins-Browne, S. H. Ramarathinam, A. W. Purcell, M. A. Schembri, R. A. Strugnell, I. R. Henderson, D. Walker, T. Lithgow, Discovery of an archetypal protein transport system in bacterial outer membranes. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* **19**, 506–510 (2012).

9. E. Heinz, C. J. Stubenrauch, R. Grinter, N. P. Croft, A. W. Purcell, R. A. Strugnell, G. Dougan, T. Lithgow, Conserved Features in the Structure, Mechanism, and Biogenesis of the Inverse Autotransporter Protein Family. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **8**, 1690–1705 (2016).

10. C. J. Stubenrauch, R. S. Bamert, J. Wang, T. Lithgow, A noncanonical chaperone interacts with drug efflux pumps during their assembly into bacterial outer membranes. *PLOS Biology* **20**, e3001523 (2022).

11. K. J. Goh, C. J. Stubenrauch, T. Lithgow, The TAM, a Translocation and Assembly Module for protein assembly and potential conduit for phospholipid transfer. *EMBO reports* **25**, 1711–1720 (2024).

12. X. Wang, S. B. Nyenhuis, H. D. Bernstein, The translocation assembly module (TAM) catalyzes the assembly of bacterial outer membrane proteins in vitro. *Nat Commun* **15**, 7246 (2024).

13. R. T. McDonnell, N. Patel, Z. J. Wehrspan, A. H. Elcock, Atomic Models of All Major Trans-Envelope Complexes Involved in Lipid Trafficking in Escherichia Coli Constructed Using a Combination of AlphaFold2, AF2Complex, and Membrane Morphing Simulations. *bioRxiv*, 2023.04.28.538765 (2023).

14. V. Schmidt, M. Sidore, C. Bechara, J.-P. Duneau, J. N. Sturgis, The lipid environment of Escherichia coli Aquaporin Z. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes* **1861**, 431–440 (2019).

15. K. Gupta, J. A. C. Donlan, J. T. S. Hopper, P. Uzdavinys, M. Landreh, W. B. Struwe, D. Drew, A. J. Baldwin, P. J.
Stansfeld, C. V. Robinson, The role of interfacial lipids in stabilizing membrane protein oligomers. *Nature* **541**,
421–424 (2017).
16. H. S. Jayasekera, F. A. Mohona, M. J. De Jesus, K. M. Miller, M. T. Marty, Alanine Scanning to Define Membrane
Protein–Lipid Interaction Sites Using Native Mass Spectrometry. *Biochemistry*, doi:
10.1021/acs.biochem.4c00717 (2025).
17. J. Shearer, D. Jefferies, S. Khalid, Outer Membrane Proteins OmpA, FhuA, OmpF, EstA, BtuB, and OmpX Have
Unique Lipopolysaccharide Fingerprints. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **15**, 2608–2619 (2019).
18. F. Gruss, F. Zähringer, R. P. Jakob, B. M. Burmann, S. Hiller, T. Maier, The structural basis of autotransporter
translocation by TamA. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology* **20**, 1318–1320 (2013).
19. D. Sposato, J. Mercolino, L. Torrini, P. Sperandeo, M. Lucidi, R. Alegiani, I. Varone, G. Molesini, L. Leoni, G.
Rampioni, P. Visca, F. Imperi, Redundant essentiality of AsmA-like proteins in Pseudomonas aeruginosa.
mSphere **9**, e00677-23 (2024).
20. I. Josts, C. J. Stubenrauch, G. Vadlamani, K. Mosbahi, D. Walker, T. Lithgow, R. Grinter, The Structure of a
Conserved Domain of TamB Reveals a Hydrophobic β Taco Fold. *Structure(London, England:1993)* **25**, 1898
(2017).
21. S. Kumar, R. M. Davis, N. Ruiz, YdbH and YnbE form an intermembrane bridge to maintain lipid homeostasis in
the outer membrane of Escherichia coli. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **121**, e2321512121
(2024).
22. A. K. Rai, K. Sawasato, H. C. Bennett, A. Kozlova, G. C. Sparagna, M. Bogdanov, A. M. Mitchell, Genetic evidence
for functional diversification of gram-negative intermembrane phospholipid transporters. *PLOS Genetics* **20**,
e1011335 (2024).
23. S. I. Giacometti, M. R. MacRae, K. Dancel-Manning, G. Bhabha, D. C. Ekiert, Lipid Transport Across Bacterial
Membranes. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* **38**, 125–153 (2022).
24. S. Pronk, S. Páll, R. Schulz, P. Larsson, P. Bjelkmar, R. Apostolov, M. R. Shirts, J. C. Smith, P. M. Kasson, D. van
der Spoel, B. Hess, E. Lindahl, GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular
simulation toolkit. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 845–854 (2013).
25. J. Jumper, R. Evans, A. Pritzel, T. Green, M. Figurnov, O. Ronneberger, K. Tunyasuvunakool, R. Bates, A. Žídek,
A. Potapenko, A. Bridgland, C. Meyer, S. A. A. Kohl, A. J. Ballard, A. Cowie, B. Romera-Paredes, S. Nikolov, R.
Jain, J. Adler, T. Back, S. Petersen, D. Reiman, E. Clancy, M. Zielinski, M. Steinegger, M. Pacholska, T.
Berghammer, S. Bodenstein, D. Silver, O. Vinyals, A. W. Senior, K. Kavukcuoglu, P. Kohli, D. Hassabis, Highly
accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. *Nature* **596**, 583–589 (2021).
26. M. Varadi, D. Bertoni, P. Magana, U. Paramval, I. Pidruchna, M. Radhakrishnan, M. Tsenkov, S. Nair, M. Mirdita,
J. Yeo, O. Kovalevskiy, A. Tunyasuvunakool, A. Laydon, A. Žídek, H. Tomlinson, D. Hariharan, J. Abrahamson, T.
Green, J. Jumper, E. Birney, M. Steinegger, D. Hassabis, S. Velankar, AlphaFold Protein Structure Database in
2024: providing structure coverage for over 214 million protein sequences. *Nucleic Acids Research* **52**, D368–
D375 (2024).
27. M. Parrinello, A. Rahman, Polymorphic transitions in single crystals: A new molecular dynamics method.
Journal of Applied Physics **52**, 7182–7190 (1981).
28. G. Bussi, D. Donadio, M. Parrinello, Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *The Journal of Chemical*
Physics **126**, 014101 (2007).
29. M. Mirdita, K. Schütze, Y. Moriwaki, L. Heo, S. Ovchinnikov, M. Steinegger, ColabFold: making protein folding
accessible to all. *Nature Methods* **19**, 679–682 (2022).
30. J. Abramson, J. Adler, J. Dunger, R. Evans, T. Green, A. Pritzel, O. Ronneberger, L. Willmore, A. J. Ballard, J.
Bambrick, S. W. Bodenstein, D. A. Evans, C.-C. Hung, M. O'Neill, D. Reiman, K. Tunyasuvunakool, Z. Wu, A.
Žemgulytė, E. Arvaniti, C. Beattie, O. Bertolli, A. Bridgland, A. Cherepanov, M. Congreve, A. I. Cowen-Rivers, A.
Cowie, M. Figurnov, F. B. Fuchs, H. Gladman, R. Jain, Y. A. Khan, C. M. R. Low, K. Perlin, A. Potapenko, P. Savy,
S. Singh, A. Stecula, A. Thillaisundaram, C. Tong, S. Yakneen, E. D. Zhong, M. Zielinski, A. Žídek, V. Bapst, P.
Kohli, M. Jaderberg, D. Hassabis, J. M. Jumper, Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with
AlphaFold 3. *Nature*, doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w (2024).
31. J. Huang, S. Rauscher, G. Nawrocki, T. Ran, M. Feig, B. L. de Groot, H. Grubmüller, A. D. MacKerell,
CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nature Methods* **14**,
71–73 (2017).
32. Y. Li, J. Liu, J. C. Gumbart, "Preparing Membrane Proteins for Simulation Using CHARMM-GUI" in *Structure and*
Function of Membrane Proteins, I. Schmidt-Krey, J. C. Gumbart, Eds. (Springer US, New York, NY, 2021;
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-0716-1394-8_13), pp. 237–251.
33. D. J. Evans, B. L. Holian, The Nose–Hoover thermostat. *The Journal of Chemical Physics* **83**, 4069–4074 (1985).

34. B. Hess, H. Bekker, H. J. C. Berendsen, J. G. E. M. Fraaije, LINCS: A linear constraint solver for molecular
simulations. *Journal of Computational Chemistry* **18**, 1463–1472 (1997).
35. T. Darden, D. York, L. Pedersen, Particle mesh Ewald: An $N \cdot \log(N)$ method for Ewald sums in large systems.
The Journal of Chemical Physics **98**, 10089–10092 (1993).
36. R. Gowers, M. Linke, J. Barnoud, T. Reddy, M. Melo, S. Seyler, J. Domański, D. Dotson, S. Buchoux, I. Kenney, O.
Beckstein, "MDAnalysis: A Python Package for the Rapid Analysis of Molecular Dynamics Simulations" (Austin,
Texas, 2016; https://conference.scipy.org/proceedings/scipy2016/oliver_beckstein.html), pp. 98–105.
37. W. McKinney, "Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python" (Austin, Texas, 2010;
https://doi.curvenote.com/10.25080/Majora-92bf1922-00a), pp. 56–61.
38. P. Virtanen, R. Gommers, T. E. Oliphant, M. Haberland, T. Reddy, D. Cournapeau, E. Burovski, P. Peterson, W.
Weckesser, J. Bright, S. J. van der Walt, M. Brett, J. Wilson, K. J. Millman, N. Mayorov, A. R. J. Nelson, E. Jones,
R. Kern, E. Larson, C. J. Carey, İ. Polat, Y. Feng, E. W. Moore, J. VanderPlas, D. Laxalde, J. Perktold, R. Cimrman,
I. Henriksen, E. A. Quintero, C. R. Harris, A. M. Archibald, A. H. Ribeiro, F. Pedregosa, P. van Mulbregt, SciPy 1.0:
fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nat Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).
39. W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, K. Schulten, VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *Journal of Molecular Graphics* **14**, 33–38
(1996).
40. L. Pravda, D. Sehnal, D. Toušek, V. Navrátilová, V. Bazgier, K. Berka, R. Svobodová Vařeková, J. Koča, M.
Otyepka, MOLEonline: a web-based tool for analyzing channels, tunnels and pores (2018 update). *Nucleic Acids*
Res **46**, W368–W373 (2018).

Figures and Tables

Figure 1. Inner membrane lipids spontaneously traverse the hydrophobic groove of TamB

(A) Representative snapshots of the TamA:TamB (TAM) complex embedded in the bacterial inner and outer membranes after 0, 3.5, 37.5 and 75 μs of coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations showing lipid movement from the IM into the hydrophobic groove of TamB. Lipids are colored by type (ReLPS – grey, POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red).

(B) Lipid headgroup z positions across simulation time, with each panel representing one of 5 replicates. Each line indicates the z position of one lipid headgroup, coloured by the lipid type (POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red). The green lines indicate the headgroup positions of lipids in the inner and outer membranes.

(C) The number of different lipids present in the hydrophobic groove of TamB in each of five replicates after 75 µs of simulation, coloured by the lipid type (POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red).

(D) Representative snapshot after 75 µs of simulation, showing a POPG lipid blocked from moving further upwards by a TamB helix (resid 1160-1185, purple), near the interface of TamA (majority of protein embedded in the OM, visible domains indicated in transparent grey) with TamB. A short helix (resid 1137-1150, green) in TamB marks the top of the hydrophobic column, which ends prior to meeting the OM.

(E) TamB surface coloured by occupancy of lipid headgroups (left) and tails (right). Regions of higher occupancy are indicated by darker colouring.

579
Figure 2. AF2 predictions suggests alternative orientations of TamB in the OM

(A) Overlay of the top ranked AlphaFold2 structures of TamA, predicted alone (blue) and together with TamB (red). Inset: the beta strand interface of TamA predicted alone (top), showing an interaction between two beta strands (orange, resid 272-276 and 569-574) and TamA predicted together with TamB (bottom), showing an interaction between a beta strand in TamA (orange, resid 266-276) and in TamB (grey, resid 1249-1259).

(B) The angle made by the beta strand region (resid 1188 to 1259), OM helix (resid 1151 to 1187) and helix at the top of the hydrophobic groove (resid 1140 to 1150) plotted against the z distance between the center of masses of the beta strand region and the upper region of the hydrophobic groove. Each datapoint represents one of 100 TamB predicted alone (blue) or together with TamA (red).

(C) The angle made between the IM helix (resid 1 to 28) and the OM beta strand region (resid 1188 to 1259) plotted against the length of TamB in each predicted structure as measured by the distance between the center of masses of the IM helix and the OM beta

strand region. Each datapoint represents one of 100 TamB predicted alone (blue) or
together with TamA (red).
(D) Structural comparison of three representative structures of the OM portion of TamB
predicted alone (blue) and with the OM portion of the top ranked TamA (red) with the
metrics plotted in (C) indicated on the figure. The structures are numbered to correspond
with the positions in (B) and reflect the structures presented in (F), (G) and (H).
(E) The top ranked AlphaFold2 structures of TamB, predicted alone (blue) and together with
TamA (red, TamA shown in transparent colouring).
(F) Representative snapshots from all-atom simulations of the three TamB structures
embedded in the bacterial OM after 500 ns of simulations. The protein is shown using
cartoon representation, with the lipid membrane depicted in a transparent surface. The
locations of the phosphate atoms of lipids belonging to the lower leaflet of the OM are
highlighted using a pink surface.
(G) Snapshot of the TamB beta sheet region embedded in the OM during all atom
simulations viewed from the plane of the membrane (top) and from the extracellular
space (bottom). Polar sidechains are shown (red: acidic, blue: basic and green: polar),
and the location of the lipid headgroups near the protein is indicated by the grey surface.
(H) Representative snapshots of TamB embedded in the bacterial OM after 0 and 3 µs of
coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations showing lipid movement from the OM
into the upper segment of the TamB hydrophobic groove.
614

Figure 3. MD simulations and AF3 predictions of AsmA proteins suggest they share the ability to conduct lipids

(A) Representative snapshots of YdbH embedded in the bacterial inner membrane after 0 and 75 µs of coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations showing lipid movement from the IM into the hydrophobic groove. (POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red).

(B) Representative snapshots of YhdP embedded in the bacterial inner membranes after 0 and 75 µs of coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulations showing lipid movement from the IM into the hydrophobic groove.

(C) The average number of different lipids present in the hydrophobic groove of TamB, YdbH and YhdP after 75 µs of simulation, coloured by the lipid type (POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red).

(D) The % lipid composition within the hydrophobic groove of TamB, YdbH and YhdP after 75 µs of simulation, coloured by the lipid type (POPE- blue, POPG – pink, CDL2 – red).

(E) Snapshots of TamB, YhdP, YdbH, YicH, YhjG and AsmA AF3 predictions made in the presence of 50 palmitic acid molecules (yellow). Cut though images of each protein are shown above the full structure to indicate the width of the hydrophobic groove.