Lactobacillus iners **dominates the vaginal microbiota of healthy Italian women of reproductive**

age.

Authors

E. Vinerbi[1, †], F. Chillotti[1, †], A. Maschio[1, †], S. Lenarduzzi[2, †], S. Camarda[3], F. Crobu[1], D. V.

Zhernakova[1], V. Lo Faro[1], G. Beltrame Vriz[3], S. Incollu[1], J. Spreckels[4], N. Kuzub[4], A. Kadric[5], R.

Gacesa[6], A. Zhernakova[4], F. De Seta[2,3, *], D. Mazzà[2], F. Busonero[1], M. L. Ferrando[1], G. Girotto[2,3, #],

S. Sanna[1,4, #]

Affiliations

[1] *Institute of Genetic and Biomedical Research (IRGB), National Research Council (CNR), Monserrato,*

Italy

[2] *Institute for Maternal and Child Health—IRCCS "Burlo Garofolo", Trieste, Italy*

[3] *Department of Medicine, Surgery and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy*

[4] *Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands*

[5] *Department of Life Science, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy*

[6] *Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen,*

The Netherlands

Running Head: *L. iners is predominant in healthy Italian women*

[†] These authors contributed equally; authors order was determined in order of increasing seniority

#Address Correspondence to Serena Sanna, serena.sanna@cnr.it and Giorgia Girotto,

giorgia.girotto@burlo.trieste.it

*Present address: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, IRCCS San Raffaele Scientific Institute,

University Vita and Salute, Milan, Italy.

Abstract words count: 209

Text words count: 7057

ABSTRACT

Large sex hormonal fluctuations are thought to influence vaginal microbiota, but little is known about the impact of small, physiological variations. Here we tracked changes in vaginal microbiota during four key menstrual cycle phases in 61 healthy, naturally menstruating Italian women from the Women4Health cohort. The microbiota, characterized using a high depth 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing approach covering four hypervariable regions, was primarily composed of *Lactobacillus species*, with *Lactobacillus iners* being the most abundant (average relative abundance 40%) and the most prevalent (prevalence 98%). Individual microbiota were generally stable, but beta diversity was higher during the follicular phase (p=0.007). Only 11 women exhibited compositional shifts, mostly occurring between follicular and ovulatory phases. Finally, using linear mixed models we assessed the association between taxa relative abundance and five sex-hormones along the menstrual cycle. Among these, 17-beta estradiol showed the largest number of significant associations, linking its increase to a decrease in relative abundance of taxa that are more common after menopause. Our study highlights specific features of the Italian population and points to the resilience of the vaginal microbiota to physiological hormonal changes. Noteworthy, the observed high abundance of *L. iners* contrasts with previous studies in European populations, challenging its proposed pathogenic role and suggesting distinct microbiota profiles within Europe.

IMPORTANCE

The vaginal microbiota plays an important role in women's health, yet we know little about how it responds to normal hormonal fluctuations. In this study, we followed 61 healthy Italian women over a natural menstrual cycle to explore microbiota changes across different hormonal phases. We found that *Lactobacillus iners* was the most common species, unlike previous findings in Northern Europe, suggesting population-specific patterns. The common hypothesis that *L. iners* is invariably linked

with poor health is called into question by our findings. They emphasize the importance of

considering population context and hormonal status when assessing vaginal health.

The vaginal microbiota was generally stable, with only a few changes observed between the follicular

and ovulatory phases. When evaluating association between five sex hormones and taxa

abundances, we found that 17-beta estradiol levels had the largest number of significant

associations. These highlight an association between increase levels of 17-beta estradiol and

increased relative abundance of rare bacterial taxa rather than dominant species like *Lactobacillus*.

Our findings help define what constitutes a "healthy microbiota" in generally healthy Italian women

of reproductive age and may inform future strategies for diagnosing or preventing women's health

conditions.

INTRODUCTION

The vaginal microbiota refers to the community of microorganisms that colonize the vagina. Unlike

microbiota from other mucosal sites (i.e., the gastrointestinal tract), it has lower diversity, and it is

dominated by a few species, primarily *Lactobacillus spp.*, which play a key role in regulating genital

health. Producing lactic acid and antimicrobial molecules, these bacteria reduce the risk of

colonization by other microorganisms, including potential pathogens involved in infections and

diseases of the vaginal tract (1); (2); (3); (4); (5); (6); (7); (8). In general, the prevalence of the

Lactobacillus genus in healthy women increases with puberty and remains stable until menopause,

except during vaginal infections (9).

The most common species of *Lactobacillus* are *Lactobacillus iners*, *Lactobacillus crispatus*,

Lactobacillus gasseri, and *Lactobacillus jensenii*. Earliest studies showed that a vaginal microbiota

dominated by *L. crispatus* was associated with a healthy status, whereas *L. iners* was often isolated

during infections and therefore a microbiota dominated by it is frequently considered unhealthy.

However, this hypothesis originated from studies with small sample sizes and a direct pathogenic

role for *L. iners* has not been proved (2); (10); (11). Likewise, a vaginal microbiota dominated by

other anaerobic bacteria, such as *Gardnerella vaginalis* (classified as *Bifidobacterium vaginale* and

other *Bifidobacterium spp.* in Genome Taxonomy data base (GTDB) nomenclature (12)*), Prevotella*,

and *Fannyehessea*, has been associated with clinical conditions of the female genital tract, such as

bacterial vaginosis (BV) and vulvovaginal atrophy (VVA), due to the virulence potential of some

strains (13); (14).

The pathogenetic role of *L. iners* remains under debate since its prevalence can vary substantially

among different populations (3); (15); (16); (17); (18). The vaginal microbiota of African and Hispanic

women during the reproductive age is dominated by *L. iners*, while is much rarer in Northern

European women with *L. crispatus* being the most abundant and prevalent *Lactobacillus* species

(15); (2). The reasons for this north-to-south gradient in the prevalence of *L. crispatus* and *L. iners*

are still unknown. It is worth noting that these two species can co-exist in the same microbiota,

therefore it is unlikely they are antagonists (15).

The vaginal microbiota has been considered an overall stable ecosystem, although two recent

studies conducted on short-term (42 days) and medium-term (18 months) longitudinal follow-up

have shown that variations may occur in a small fraction of women (2); (19). These studies evaluated

changes in the so-called Community State Types (CST), a method that classifies the vaginal

microbiota into 5 groups depending on the composition and the most abundant species (3); (20). In

the shorter 42-days study, only microbiotas classified as CST-I (dominated by *L. crispatus*) and CST-

IV (dominated by anaerobic bacteria) remained stable, while variations were observed in other CST

types. In the longer 18 months longitudinal study, vaginal microbiota of CST-I and CST-III (dominated

by *L. iners*) remained stable, while CST-IV microbiota displayed lower temporal stability

(approximately 6 months). The underlying causes of these variations, how they occur in populations

with different *Lactobacillus spp.* prevalences, and which species may be responsible for these

changes in CSTs are not yet known.

It is worth noting that none of these studies have characterized the association between

compositional changes in the microbiota and specific fluctuations in sex hormones.

Here we present vaginal microbiome composition and its dynamics in 61 healthy women from the

Italian population cohort Women4Health (W4H), an observational longitudinal study centered on

one menstrual cycle, set up to explore the interactions between sex hormones, vaginal and intestinal

microbiome, and lipid and glucose metabolism in women (21). We characterized vaginal microbiome

composition and evaluated dynamics at four time points pivotal for the menstrual cycle: follicular

(F), ovulatory (O), early luteal (EL) and late luteal (LL) phases (**Figure 1)**. We also evaluated host

factors associated with diversity and identified sex-hormones that are mostly associated with the

observed dynamic changes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The Women4Health cohort

In this study, we analyzed the vaginal swabs, metadata, and sex hormone levels for the first 61

women enrolled in the Women4Health (W4H) cohort, an ongoing short-term longitudinal study

aiming to recruit up to 300 healthy women and to follow them up through a natural menstrual cycle.

The W4H study design has been previously described (21). Briefly, women of European origin aged

18 to 45 years old, who were not using hormonal contraceptives and who have not a diagnosis of

gynecological, metabolic, or gastrointestinal diseases, and reported absence of urogenital

symptoms, were enrolled in the study at the Institute for Maternal and Child Health – IRCCS Burlo

Garofolo in Trieste, Italy. Upon inclusion and signature of an informed consent form, electronic

questionnaires were used to gather data on family history, clinical and anthropometric

measurements, lifestyle and diet. They were then scheduled for 4 weekly follow-up appointments

to hand in self-collected biological samples, including a vaginal swab, and to undergo a blood

withdrawal. Moreover, they were given an additional questionnaire to collect information related to

their health status and lifestyle in days preceding the appointment. Weekly follow-up visits were

scheduled according to pivotal phases of the menstrual cycle: the 1st at the follicular phase

(between days 6 and 9), the 2nd at the ovulatory phase (between days 12 and 16), the 3rd at the

early luteal phase (between days 18 and 22), and the 4th at late luteal phase (between days 24 and

27) (**Figure 1**). Blood samples were processed within 1 hour after collection. On serum, we measured

5 sex hormones (17-beta estradiol, luteinizing hormone, follicle stimulating hormone, progesterone

and prolactin). During the first visit, we also measured testosterone and thyroid parameters (thyroid

stimulating hormone and thyroxine (T_4)) to exclude endocrine disorders. Hormones were measured

using 1.5 ml serum on the ADVIA Centaur CP Immunoassay System instrument from Siemens

Healthineers.

The study protocol - including informed consent forms - was approved by the Friuli-Venezia Giulia

Ethical Committee on 09/2021 with prot. N. 0034184/P/GEN/ARCS and modifications amended on

09/2023 with prot. N. 0033477/P/GEN/ARCS. Descriptive statistics for the 61 women are presented

in **Table S1.**

Vaginal swabs collection, processing, and microbial DNA extraction

Vaginal swabs were self-collected by volunteers before each follow-up visit (the same day or the day before) using the OMNIgene-VAGINAL kits (OMR-130, DNA Genotek), stored at room temperature and handed at IRCCS Burlo Garofolo within 24 hours, where kits were promptly stored, as recommended by the manufacturer, and shipped to the Institute for Genetic and Biomedical Research - National Research Council (IRGB-CNR) within 21 days. DNA was extracted from swabs with QIAamp PowerFecal Pro DNA kits (QIAgen) using a custom semiautomated protocol on a QIAcube platform. Prior to DNA extraction, a bead-beating step on a TissueLyser II (QIAgen) at 7.5 Hz for 5 min was performed to improve lysis. The composition of vaginal microbiota was established by sequencing amplicons of the V3-V4 and V7-V9 regions of the 16S rRNA gene, along with ITS1 gene of fungi. Library construction was performed with a 2-step PCR protocol and dual-barcoding strategy (QIAseq 16S/ITS Region Panel kits, QIAgen). Sequencing was carried out with the MiSeq sequencing system (Illumina) targeting an average of 100K 250-bp paired-end reads per sample. Samples were processed in three different batches, each including one QIAseq 16S/ITS Smart Control (QIAgen), a synthetic DNA construct used both as positive control for library preparation and as control for the identification of contaminants, and a PCR negative control (distilled sterile water). Data obtained were demultiplexed using Qiagen's GeneGlobe software.

Quality Control (QC) process

All demultiplexed *FastQ* underwent quality control screening before bioinformatic analysis. *FastQC* v.0.12.1 (see **URLs**) and *MultiQC* v.1.22.2 (22) (see **URLs**) tools were used to detect outliers based on read quality. The *trimmomatic* tool v.0.39 (23) (see **URLs**) was used for trimming low quality paired-end reads. We used the options *SLIDINGWINDOW:4:25* to evaluate whether the average quality score was at least 25 per four bases; if not, this part of the read was trimmed. The option MILEN:50

was used to remove reads shorter than 50 bp. After the trimming process, samples were re-analyzed

with *FastQC* and *MultiQC* to evaluate their post-process quality.

We first processed 185 samples, 4 smart controls and 3 negative controls in two plates and decided

to remove **i)** all samples that had less than 80K reads as input before the trimming process and/or

less than 60K reads survived post-trimming (N=10 samples), **ii)** one sample with a very high number

of ITS reads mapping on *Sporobolomyces spp.*, a known environmental contaminant, and **iii)** samples

whose libraries were prepared together with a negative control that showed contamination (>500

reads) (N=10) (Table S2). These 21 samples were repeated on a third plate, containing additional 28

samples, 1 smart control and 1 negative control. The same quality control filters were applied and

only 1 sample was removed from plate 3. Finally, one sample that showed 1.5 million reads and 1.3

million post-trimming reads as input, due to an error in library equimolar pooling, was subjected to

the subsampling process by randomly selecting 187K reads (the average value of reads survived post-

trimming for Plate 3), through the R package *ShortRead* v1.62.0 (see **URLs**).

After all these QC steps, a total of 212 samples were left for downstream analyses with the median

number of QCed reads per sample being 138,531 (127,689, 141,450 and 190,975 for plates 1, 2 and

3, respectively), across all sequenced regions. The average number of QCed reads was 148,660.0 for

V3V4V7V9 regions, and 195.2 for the ITS region.

Characterization of the vaginal microbiota

To characterize the bacterial composition of the samples, the *DADA2* v3.19.0 package (24) (see **URLs**)

was used in the R (v 4.4.1) environment. Using the *"filterAndTrim()"* function, only sequences with a

minimum length of 160 bp were selected. With the *"removeBimeraDenovo()"* function, all chimeras

were removed.

The microorganisms were classified up to the taxonomic level of species with the

"assignTaxonomy()" and *"addSpecies()"* function Genome Taxonomy DataBase (GTDB) v.r95 (25) was

used to profile a phylogenetically consistent microbial taxonomy (see **URLs**). Hypervariable regions

V3V4 and V7V9 were processed together. Rare amplicon sequence variants (ASVs) resulting from

DADA2 were removed; only ASVs that had at least 5 reads in at least 2 samples were maintained for

subsequent analyses. To increase taxonomic resolution for the genus *Lactobacillus,* we followed a

previously described protocol (15). Using their code and data files provided (see **URLs**), the genus

Lactobacillus was reclassified into different subgenera, allowing the identification of more

Lactobacillus species. Finally, *Lactobacillus* species names were replaced by the respective subgenus

identified (**Table S3**). Next, we selected ambiguous ASVs – i.e. those ASVs that were assigned by the

algorithm as *Lactobacillus* species but belonged to other genera - and uploaded the corresponding

sequences on BLAST to identify the most similar species. Since ambiguity remained, we decided to

assign these ASVs the label "unclassified" (**Table S4).**

To characterize the fungi composition of the samples, the ITS fastQ files were processed with the

DADA2 v3.19.0 (24) (see **URLs**) package on R (v.4.4.1). To this end, *"filterAndTrim()"* function was set

as "*minLen = 50*" and "*maxLen = 200*". We profiled samples with the Unite database v.10.0 (26) (see

URLs).

We derived global metrics of bacterial microbiome composition such as alpha and beta diversity

using the *vegan* package v.2.6-4 (27) (see **URLs**). Alpha diversity was calculated based on taxa counts

according to the Shannon-Weiner (H) and Simpson (D) indices. Beta diversity was calculated

according to the Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index using taxa relative abundances and according to

Euclidean distance using taxa relative abundances normalized using the Centered log ratio (CLR)

transformation. The Euclidean distance was used in addition to Bray-Curtis because it allowed us to

use normalized and corrected data (**Table S5**). To perform CLR transformation we used our own

function that calculates the transformation in parallel among taxa, using the *Parallel* package v.4.4.1

on R (see **URLs**).

In addition, we classified our samples according to the Community State Types (CSTs) definition of

the VALENCIA algorithm (20) (see **URLs**). Toward this end, it was necessary to manually modify the

taxonomy names according to those required by VALENCIA (**Table S6**). To identify which ASV could

be attributed to *Gardnerella vaginalis*, given the different nomenclature in GTDB, we run again

DADA2 with the SILVA v.138.1 database where the nomenclature of species aligns to that used in the

VALENCIA algorithm (**Figure S1**). Specifically, we identified 46 ASVs assigned to the genus

Bifidobacterium using GTDB v r.95, among these, 15 ASVs classified as *B. vaginalis* (6 ASV) and *B.*

unclassified (9 ASV) corresponded to *Gardnerella vaginalis* when annotated using the SILVA

database. These 15 ASVs were renamed accordingly and used in the VALENCIA algorithm, while the

remaining ASVs were discarded.

Finally, we used the t-SNE algorithm (28) to visualize the vaginal microbiome composition in a two-

dimensional space and used color-coding labels to describe the observed variation in terms of taxa

abundance as well as CSTs (**Figure S2**). For this analysis, we employed the t-SNE algorithm to CLR-

transformed data using the R package *Rtsne* v.0.17 *(29)* (see **URLs**).

Finally, we profiled microbiotas using the same pipeline but subsampling 30K (and 60K) survived

reads per sample using the R package *ShortRead* (see **URLs**)*.

Statistical analyses

Identification of factors associated with beta diversity

239   We evaluated the impact on beta diversity of technical confounders, characteristics of volunteers (as

240   recorded in questionnaires) and of sample collection. Using the *vegan* package (27) (see **URLs**), we

first investigated whether the first five Bray-Curtis beta diversity principal coordinate analyses (PCoA) axes were correlated with technical variables, such as microbial DNA concentration, library concentration, and total reads counts (survived reads). Each PCoA axis was linearly adjusted using only the covariates with which it showed significant correlation. As the dataset included longitudinal measurements, independence tests were conducted separately for each visit to ensure independence between observations. Namely, we used the Kruskal-Wallis independence test in R through the function *kruskal.test()* of *stats* package v.4.4.1 (30); (see **URLs**).

Variables from the questionnaires encompassed both categorical and continuous data related to demographics (e.g., age), anthropometric measures (e.g., body mass index [BMI], waist-to-hip ratio [WHR]), lifestyle factors (e.g., smoking, hormonal contraceptive use), clinical history related to women's health (e.g., number of pregnancies), and conditions surrounding vaginal swab collection (e.g., medication or supplement use prior to collection, sexual intercourse within two days of collection, swab timing, and stool consistency assessed using the Bristol stool scale). Continuous variables were categorized to allow the application of the independence test. All tested variables are summarized in **Table S7**.

We also run PERMANOVA analyses for the significant associations resulting from independence test, using *adonis2()* function from *vegan* R package (27) (see **URLs**).

Evaluation of vaginal microbiota dynamics

To evaluate changes in alpha diversity, beta diversity and taxa relative abundance across the four phases of the menstrual cycle, we used the non-parametric Friedman test. To evaluate changes between two phases (pairwise comparisons) we used the non-parametric Wilcoxon pairwise test for the untransformed data, and the parametric pairwise t-test for the CLR-transformed data. All these

comparative tests were run using the *stat* package v.4.4.1 (30) (see **URLs**). All pairwise comparisons

were corrected using the Bonferroni approach.

To evaluate beta diversity changes (both Bray-Curtis and Euclidean distance, adjusted for technical

covariates), we used two approaches. First, we calculated mean and median beta diversity across all

women within each menstrual cycle phase and compared these across the different phases, using

the paired Wilcoxon test (**Table S8**).

In a second step, we calculated mean and median beta diversity for each woman among her different

collections (up to 4), and compared the distribution obtained when comparing her first collection to

3 collections from different women from the other 3 phases, using again the unpaired Wilcoxon test.

All comparisons were corrected using Bonferroni.

We instead used linear models to investigate dynamics of specific taxa and association with sex

hormones. Specifically, we fitted three groups of linear models to: **i)** investigate whether bacterial

abundances vary across the menstrual cycle; **ii)** explore the relationship between hormones and

bacterial abundance variations between two consecutive phases and **iii)** explore the overall

relationship between hormones and bacteria relative abundances.

For all linear models, taxa were filtered using a prevalence > 20% and a mean relative abundance

threshold of 0.10 % **(Table S9).**

In the first group of models, we fitted the following Gaussian mixed models:

$$\text{Taxa\_adj} \sim \text{Visit\_number} + (1|\text{Woman}) \qquad \textbf{(1)}$$

where visit_number is a numeric variable coded as 1, 2, 3, 4 according to the four phases of the

menstrual cycle, and Taxa_adj are the residuals of the linear models:

$$\text{Taxa\_CLR-transformed} \sim \text{covariates}$$

fitted using the function *"LinearRegression()"* of *sklearn* package v.1.5.2 in Python v.3.10.12 (31)

(see **URLs**). The placeholder "covariates" here means that we systematically considered several sets

of covariates based on the results of independence tests and technical variables to evaluate if these

changes could be confounded by a specific covariate. We opted for stepwise models with an

increasing number of covariates, rather than running a model with the full set of covariates, given

the limited sample size of the study. A total of 10 models were considered **(Table S10).**

From model (1) we used the regression coefficients and the p-values for the variable Visit_number

to identify taxa with significant changes. We used permutations to derive an empirical p-value and

evaluate robustness of significant results (p<0.05). We permuted the column containing taxa

abundances and re-run the model 1000 times. The proportion of "fake" p-values less than or equal

to the original p-value was calculated to provide the empirical p-value. In addition, false discovery

rate (FDR) was instead used to adjust p-values for multiple testing (original pvalues, not empirical

pvalues), with the function *"p.adjust()"* of *stats* package and method 'BH' (32).

In addition, we calculated the interclass correlation coefficient (ICC) for the model where bacteria

were adjusted for the technical covariates (model **i)** above). This parameter quantifies the

proportion of total variance attributable to between-subject variability, reflecting the stability of

bacterial abundance across different time points within subjects. The ICC was calculated using the

function *"icc()"* from the package *performance v 0.12.4 (32)* (see **URLs**).

In the second group of models, we again used linear mixed models to investigate if changes in

bacteria relative abundance between two phases were associated with changes in sex hormones.

Firstly, we removed outlier values in the sex hormone variables exceeding 4 standard deviations from

the mean. Secondly, we calculated the differences between two consecutive phases of both sex

hormones and bacteria relative abundances and then we normalized these differences using the

function *"ordernorm()"* of the R package *bestNormalize v 1.9.1 (33)*(see **URLs**).

The following Gaussian mixed models were fitted:

$$(\text{Hormone}_{t+1} - \text{Hormone}_t) \sim (\text{Taxa\_adj}_{t+1} - \text{Taxa\_adj}_t) \quad \textbf{(2)}$$

where t represents the menstrual cycle phase, and taxa_adj is the CLR-transformed abundance

adjusted by technical covariates, calculated as the residuals of the models:

$\qquad$ Taxa_CLR_transformed ~ Qubit_DNA + Qubit_Library + Total_counts $\qquad$ **(3)**

where Qubit_DNA is the DNA concentration, Qubit_Library is the library concentration, and

Total_counts is the total number of survived reads.

In the third group of models we investigate relationships between taxa relative abundances and sex

hormones changes across the entire menstrual cycle by fitting the following:

$\qquad$ Hormone ~ Visit_number + Taxa_adj + (1|Woman) + Pregnancy_category $\qquad$ **(4)**

In both models **(2)** and **(4)** we used the same strategies described above for models (1) to derive

empirical p-values and to correct p-values for multiple testing. To fit all linear mixed models in (1),

(2) and (4) we used the *lme4 v 1.1.35.5* (34) *(see* **URLs***)* and the *lmerTest v.3.1.3 (32)* R packages

(see **URLs**).

Shotgun metagenomics sequencing

A total of 10 samples with different microbiome composition were selected for whole-genome

sequencing (WGS). We used the beta diversity matrix to maximize dissimilarity among selected

samples, as follows. The first three selected samples were those showing a high relative abundance

of *L. iners, L. crispatus* and *L. gasseri,* respectively, and had the maximum beta diversity between

them. Then, we selected seven samples showing maximum distance from the first three samples.

Sequencing was performed at Prebiomics srl on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 sequencing system, and

microbiome profiling was carried out using *MetaPhlan 4* (35). An average of 3 million bacterial reads

per sample was obtained **(Table S11a)**. Relative abundances from the two data sets (16S and WGS)

were compared for taxa with abundances > 0 in at least one of the samples. Spearman's correlation

test was used to check the correlations between relative abundances of taxa detected by both

approaches and for which at least 3 samples had no-zero value **(Table S11b).**

RESULTS

Vaginal Microbiome Composition

A total of 61 women contributed 213 vaginal swabs to this study, of which 212 passed quality control

(QC) as described in **Methods**. Bacterial composition was profiled using previously described

protocols (see **Methods**). At the genus level, *Lactobacillus* was the most common genus, followed

by *Bifidobacterium* and *Streptococcus*. The two most abundant *Lactobacillus spp.* were *L. iners* and

L. crispatus (**Figure S2**) and were identified in almost all samples (prevalence > 90%). When

restricting to samples with a relative abundance > 60%, *L. iners* was detected in 43.4% of the samples

(corresponding to 62.2% of women), whereas *L. crispatus* was detected in 34.9% (55.7% of women).

In addition to *Lactobacillus spp.*, we identified other species, such as *Bifidobacterium spp.* and

Fannyhessea vaginae (**Figure S3** and **Table S9**). Of note, some of the ASVs classified as

Bifidobacterium spp. by the GTDB used in this study, would be classified as *Gardnerella vaginalis*

according to other nomenclatures (see **Methods** and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

Overall, vaginal microbiota remained stable throughout the four menstrual cycle phases here

investigated. There were no significant differences in alpha diversity across the phases, as measured

by the Shannon (H) and Simpson (D) indices (**Figure S4** and **Table S12**). The average beta diversity

was instead higher in the follicular phase compared to the ovulatory phase (O) ($p_{wilcoxon}$ = 0.009 and

$p_{t\text{-}test}$ = 0.007) and early luteal phase (EL) ($p_{wilcoxon}$ = 0.04 and $p_{t\text{-}test}$ = 0.03) (**Figure 2A** and **Table S8).**

Similar results were obtained when using median instead of average (**Figure S5** and **Table S8**), or the

overall distribution **(Figure S6** and **Table S13)**. Nevertheless, these changes were not significant and

did not lead women's microbiota to align to a similar composition during a particular phase. In fact,

the average beta diversity of a woman's microbiota across all her samples was lower than the

average obtained when using random samples from other women (p value << 0.05 for all

comparisons), indicating that microbiota is largely determined by individual factors, rather than by

menstrual phase (**Figure 2B**). We investigated the impact of host factors and described these in the

next paragraph.

Analyses of dynamic changes could not be performed for the mycobiome, due to the limited number

of samples for which mycobiome could be profiled. Although we sequenced the ribosomal internal

transcribed spacer (ITS) region in all 213 samples, only 25 samples had at least 200 reads, cutoff

deemed necessary to obtain fungi classification (**Figure S7** and **Table S14)**. Twenty-five fungal species

were identified, the most prevalent being *Candida albicans*, a fungus associated with vaginal

infections (candidiasis) but also frequently found as part of the normal vaginal microbiota. The

average number of reads on the 8 women with presence of *Candida albicans* and at least 200 fungal

reads was low (749 compared to 92832 reads on *Lactobacillus spp*. on the same women) (**Table S14**)

and thus the presence of this fungus is unlikely to be a sign of infection. Of note, we excluded at

enrollment all women who reported to currently have vaginal infections or urogenital symptoms.

The relatively low number of reads overall detected in the ITS region may be due to the use of a

protocol and kit optimized for bacterial rather than fungal DNA extraction, as reported in previous

studies (36).

The impact of host phenotypes

We then investigated the impact on vaginal microbiota of host phenotypes, lifestyle and information

on sample collection, by correlating genus-based and species-based beta diversity PCoA axes with

available metadata. Among the 12 variables tested, the variable "having had at least one pregnancy"

had the higher impact at both genus and species level, followed by "having used oral hormonal

contraceptives in the past" (**Table S15**). Other variables that were significant albeit with less impact

include age, waist-to-hip ratio, smoking habits, intercourse in the days preceding the swab, time of

collection, and use of medication or supplements **(Figure S8)**. Intriguingly, using a PERMANOVA a

test and projecting values in the PCoA space, we observed that the microbiota of women who had

at least one pregnancy before participating in the study had a lower likelihood to be dominated by

L. crispatus (p=0.005), in line with observations from the ISALA study (15), suggesting the existence

of a potential lifelong pregnancy signature (**Figure S9)**. Likewise, using PERMANOVA we observed

that the microbiota of older women is less likely dominated by *Lactobacillus spp.* (p=0.003), in line

with the observed decline in their abundance during menopause (**Figure S10)** (9)**;** (37). Similarly,

dominance of *Lactobacillus spp*. is less frequent in women who reported to have collected vaginal

swabs after feces collection compared to those who collected them prior feces (p=0.02) **(Figure S11**

), and in those who collected vaginal swabs in the morning compared to those who collected hem

during the day (p=0.003) **(Figure S12).**

Frequency of *L. iners* and *L. crispatus* along the menstrual cycle

In contrast to findings from cohort studies on Northern European women (Belgium, Denmark,

Sweden) (15); (2), our study found a greater abundance of *L. iners* than *L. crispatus*, a microbiome

composition typically observed instead in Hispanic and African populations (16); (18); (3) (**Table 1**).

Notably, all volunteers have reported absence of urogenital symptoms and or diagnosis for sexually

transmitted diseases or infection, so we can rule out the abundance of *L. iners* in our samples due

to either vaginal pathologies or infections. We also ruled out the possibility that our result is driven

by a potential bias from the 16S rRNA gene profiling method, since comparison of the relative

abundances of these two *Lactobacillus spp.*in 10 samples showed very high concordance with

profiles obtained using the shotgun whole-genome sequencing (WGS) method (**Figure S13** and **Table**

S11b). Likewise, we also excluded the possibility that this result is driven by the higher number of

reads compared to protocols used in previous studies. In fact, taxa relative abundances were almost

identical when using a subsampling approach on our 16S rRNA data to restrict analyses to maximum

30K or 60K reads per sample (**Figure S14**).

In our cohort, *L. iners* and *L. crispatus* have a similar prevalence in the follicular phase (98% and 95%,

respectively), but the average relative abundance was higher for *L. iners* (average relative abundance

40% and 25% for *L. iners* and *L. crispatus*, respectively) (**Table 1**). The difference in average relative

abundance between these two species was negligible in the other 3 phases (absolute difference

between percentages: 2.03, -1.27, 2.21 in the ovulatory, early and late luteal phases, respectively).

Interestingly, all microbiota dominated by *L. crispatus* in the follicular phase were stable during the

menstrual cycle, while a small fraction (20%) of those dominated by *L. iners* at the follicular phase

switched to *L. crispatus*-dominant - or to other species - in the ovulatory or in the late luteal phase

(**Figure 3**).

In addition, the microbiota of two women dominated by low prevalent species in the follicular phase

became *L. crispatus*-dominant in the ovulatory phase. Inter-class correlation (ICC) analyses indicated

that these two species explained the largest variance of total variability between women (**Table S16**).

While these results suggest a trend for women's microbiota to switch to *L. crispatus* during the

ovulatory phase, *L. iners* still remains the most abundant species in this cohort across all time points.

Vaginal microbiota dynamics

Given these clear-cut changes observed in main *Lactobacillus spp.*, we sought to thoroughly

investigate microbiota dynamics along the menstrual cycle. First, we assessed the composition and

variations along the menstrual cycle using the CST classification (see **Methods**). Overall, we found

that CST-V (*L. jensenii* dominated) was the rarest among all samples and phases (3.3%), followed by

CST-II (*L. gasseri* dominated) (12.7%) and CST-IV (defined by moderate to high abundance of

different anaerobic species, such as *Prevotella spp.* and *G. vaginalis,* and low abundance of

Lactobacillus spp. (14.1%) (**Figure S15A**). In line with relative abundances, the CST-III (*L. iners*

dominated) was the most common (38.2%) and with higher prevalence in the follicular phase, while

the second most common was CST-I (31.6%) (*L. crispatus* dominated), whose prevalence increased

during ovulatory phase (**Figure S15B**)**.** Most women maintained a stable CST throughout the

menstrual cycle: only 11 out of 61 women changed CST at any time point (18 if we consider changes

in subCST) (**Figure 4A**). Interestingly, 72% (N=8) of these changes occurred from follicular to

ovulatory phases (**Figure 4B**). Among them, 6 were transitions from CST-III or CST-IV (N=2) to CST-I

(N=4). The remaining changes occurred from early to luteal phase, with 3 women's microbiota

switching to CST-IV.

None of the women's microbiota classified as CST-I exhibited CST shifts, indicating the high stability

of communities dominated by *L. crispatus*. Of the women who undergo CST shifts, only one changed

CST types more than once (**Figure S16**). We were unable to detect association with CST/subCST

changes and presence of fungi, given the limited amount of information (only 4 out of 11 women

exhibiting shits in CST had fungi information at the relevant time points). Likewise, we did not detect

association with CST/subCST changes with having had sex intercourse in the two days prior sample

collection (p>0.05).

Secondly, we examined the longitudinal changes of taxa relative abundances using linear mixed

models and controlling for potential confounding variables, as described in **Methods**. We found that

the relative abundance of a few bacteria species varies significantly across phases, with the majority

remaining stable when different covariates were adjusted. For the genus *Lactobacillus, L. iners, L.*

gasseri and *L. jensenii* showed significant variations across mostly all corrections applied, while *L.*

crispatus was not significant in any of the models (**Figure 5**).

Likewise, for the genus *Streptococcus,* two taxa, namely *S. unclassified* and *S. agalactiae,* varied

significantly across phases regardless of covariates used. Finally, another bacterial species, *Dialister*

B micraerophilus showed significant variation in 3 of the 10 models (**Figure 5).**

Longitudinal changes of bacteria abundance associate with sex hormone levels

We investigated whether these observed significant changes in *Lactobacillus* and *Streptococcus*

species between two consecutive phases were associated with changes in sex hormone levels. We

found that an increase in abundance *L. crispatus* between early luteal and late luteal phases was

associated with an increase in progesterone (PROG) levels (p=0.03) (**Table S17** and **Figure 6A**).

However, these results were only nominally significant, therefore larger samples size and/or

additional cohorts are needed to confirm this finding.

Furthermore, among all taxa with prevalence >20%, we identified several bacteria whose

longitudinal fluctuations along the entire menstrual cycle were significantly associated with sex

hormones levels, resulting in a total of 14 significant associations (**Figure 6B** and **Figure S17** and

Table S18). Most of the associations involved 17-beta estradiol (BES17), with some shared between

BES17 and LH, including *Prevotella* genus and species *P. timonensis_A*, and genera *Finegoldia* and

Anaerococcus. Only one association was detected with prolactin (PRL), namely with the abundance

of *Bifidobacterium vaginale*. All these associations were negative, indicating that an increase in sex

hormones levels is associated with a decrease in the relative abundance of these bacteria (**Figure 6B**

and **Figure S17**). The number of significant associations decreased to 9 out of 14, when considering

permuted p-values to evaluate robustness of results to outliers. Notably, 4 of these 9 associations,

all linked to BES17, also meet a stricter significance threshold applied after correcting multiple

testing, suggesting that these are likely genuine.

DISCUSSION

We carried out the first short-term longitudinal study on the vaginal microbiota of non-pregnant,

healthy women from an Italian population. In the W4H cohort we observed a higher abundance of

L. iners compared to *L. crispatus*, in contrast to earlier studies on cohorts from Northern Europe (2);

(15) (**Table 1**). Of note, a recent study on young French women (19) reported as well a higher

prevalence of *L. crispatus*-dominated CST (CST-I) over the *L. iners*-dominated *CST* (CST-III), although

the difference in frequency between the two CSTs was less pronounced (40.5 vs 38.1%, while our

study has obtained 32% for CST-I and 38% for CST-III) (**Figure S15**) compared to observations from

cohorts based in Belgium (ISALA study), Danmark and Sweden (MiMens study) (15); (2). In the

French cohort, 70% of women were enrolled in Bordeaux (south of France). Hence, considered these

results and the higher prevalence of *L. iners* in African and Hispanic cohorts (16); (3); (17), the results

from our study of the Italian population, we provide evidence for a north-to-south gradient of

increased *L. iners* abundance even within Europe.

The reasons for these geographical differences in microbiota composition remain unclear, but results

from our study and those from the ISALA study offer insights for speculation. For example, in our

study we found that women who previously had children were less likely to have a microbiota

dominated by *L. crispatus*. Likewise, in the ISALA study, having children was negatively correlated

with *L. crispatus* and positively with *L. iners*; this variable was the strongest host factor associated -

with opposite direction - with the abundance of these two species (15). Geographic differences may

thus be related to the delivery mode, or to post-pregnancy health care practices. Another

contributing factor might be related to different cultural and hygiene practices, such as the soap type

used, the frequency of washing, the use of intimate wipes and even the type of pads, tampons or

other periods supplies (38); (5). While we do not have this information in our cohort, results from

the ISALA study indicate that the use of menstrual pads is negatively correlated with *L. crispatus*

abundance, while the use menstrual cups was positively correlated (15). Intriguingly, the ISALA study

also reported a positive correlation with *L. crispatus* abundance with use of hormonal contraceptive

methods (combination pill, vaginal ring or patch). Previously, also Tuddenham S. (39) showed that

the proportion of CST I (*L. crispatus*-dominated) was higher among users of oral hormonal

contraceptive than the proportion of CST I among non-users, albeit this result was significant only in

White and not in African American. No association was instead seen in these two studies between

hormonal contraceptives use and *L. iners*.

All our volunteers had a natural menstrual cycle and did not use hormonal contraceptives for at least

the past 30 days. This, together with the overall limited use of hormonal contraceptive in the Italian

population (average 19.1% of females during reproductive age versus ~30% in Northern and Western

European countries, according to WHO (40)) could explain the observed low abundance of *L.*

crispatus. Prolonged and/or early use of hormonal contraceptives may also be relevant. In Italy, free

access to hormonal contraceptives was given only in 2023, while in some North European countries

has been available since decades (41), facilitating its use in the young generation and for longer

periods. Finally, differences in abundance of the two *Lactobacillus* species may be attributed to

variations in the host-immune system, in the amount and quality of vaginal secretion, in genetic

variants of gene encoding receptors expressed on the surface of the vaginal epithelial cells, and

other genetically determined factors specific to each host and bacteria (7).

The very high prevalence and abundance of *L. iners* in our cohort of healthy women is striking and

challenges the idea that *L. iners* dominated microbiotas are 'unhealthy' or 'dysbiotic'. In fact, W4H

volunteers have reported no current urogenital symptoms or diagnosed sexually transmitted

diseases or vaginal infections, so we can rule out the abundance of *L. iners* in our samples due to

either vaginal pathologies or infections. We speculate that the presence of different strains could be

on the most plausible explanation for geographic and clinical differences. Unfortunately, with the

16S sequencing approach we (and others) are unable to identify which strains of *L. iners* are present

in the studied vaginal microbiota. However, given its high genetic variability, is reasonable to assume

that certain strains of *L. iners* may promote healthy conditions, while others may increase the risk of

infection, thus explaining its presence as species abundance in both healthy and dysbiotic vaginal

conditions (42); (11). In addition, a woman can be colonized by multiple coexisting strains with

different genetic characteristics and metabolic functions, enabling the survival of this species in a

variety of vaginal microenvironments (43). A deep genetic study on *L. iners* strains coupled with

human phenotypes is needed to better understand the ecology of this bacterial species and their

differential impact on infections and other gynecological diseases.

Our results on dynamic changes along menstrual cycle phases agree with previous studies reporting

that vaginal microbiota is generally stable over the menstrual cycle in healthy women and support

current knowledge on the strong stability of microbiomes dominated by *L. crispatus* (4); (19); (2).

Furthermore, we were able to pinpoint to species that show dynamic changes in a minority of

women, namely *L. iners*, *L. gasseri, L. jensenii* and *Streptococcus spp*. The decreased stability

conferred by these species could be explained by the production of two distinct isoforms of lactic

acid by these vaginal microorganisms. Unlike *L. crispatus, L. iners*, *Bifidobacterium spp*. and

Streptoccosus spp. produce only the L- enantiomer of lactic acid that allows easier colonization by

other microbes than species also producing the D- enantiomer (44); (5). Furthermore, *L. iners*

possesses additional genes compared to *L. crispatus*, encoding stress-tolerance proteins, iron–sulfur

proteins, exogenous L-cysteine transport, and exhibits superior metabolic adaptation to the

changing carbohydrate sources in the vaginal environment (45); (1).

Intriguingly, we observed that even though only a few women's microbiota changed along the

menstrual cycle, most changes occurred from follicular to ovulatory phase, with most of these

aligning to an *L. crispatus* profile. Beta diversity was also lower during the ovulatory and early luteal

phases compared to follicular phase, which could be due to a protective mechanism to support a

potentially fertilized ovum. It is possible that the fluctuation of sex hormones plays a key role in

vaginal microbiota changes. Our analyses showed that 17-beta estradiol has the major impact on

longitudinal variation in taxa abundances, although none of the hormone-taxa association involved

Lactobacillus species and thus they cannot fully explain changes we observed during ovulatory

phase. Nonetheless, these associations with 17-beta estradiol are fascinating. In fact, we detected

significant negative relationships with *Prevotella spp.*, *Finegoldia* and *Corynebacterium* genera, taxa

that are often found at high abundances in women after menopause with menopause-associated

symptoms, such as vulvo-vaginal atrophy and genito-urinary symptoms (46). The decline in estrogen

levels during menopause may play a prominent role in driving microbiota change, as reduced

estrogen leads to a decrease in glycogen by vaginal cells. This causes an elevated vaginal pH, creating

conditions that favor the colonization of multiple microbial species adapted to a less acidic

environment such as *Bifidobacterium spp*. and *Prevotella spp*., as well as fungi of the genus *Candida*.

Our study has several strengths and novelties, including the high depth 16S rRNA sequencing, the

enrollment of naturally menstruating women, the direct measurement of sex hormones, and the

investigation of a south European healthy population. While our results have not a direct clinical

implication, knowledge of vaginal microbiota dynamics under physiological sex hormones variations

in all populations is an essential route for investigating the potential role of microbes also in

diagnosis and prevention of diseases conditions such infertility and endometriosis.

We also recognize the limitations of the study. First, we did not collect samples during menses, a

stage where important changes in bacterial composition have been reported to occur, leading in

some women to an excess of anaerobic bacteria (2). The compositional shifts observed for 8 women

between the follicular phase and ovulatory phase, five of which occuring from anaerobic to

lactobacilli dominated CSTs, may be a signature of a perturbation occurred during menses. Still, there

was no evidence in our data that these compositional shifts were due to women collecting vaginal

swabs on those days right after menses (day 5 and 6) compared to women who did not experienced

shifts (Fisher test p=0.71). Moreover, we have focused on a single menstrual cycle and therefore we

were unable to assess if observed changes were cyclic, i.e. the microbiota of that minority of women

experiencing shift returns to the original profile after menstruation. Furthermore, we have no

measurement of vaginal pH, which is a crucial factor in the regulation of the microbiota. Finally,

while we have employed a very deep 16S sequencing approach, we are limited to the relative

abundance of bacteria and do not have information on bacterial function or strains, features that

metagenomic sequencing could provide.

In conclusion, our study highlights the importance to extend investigations on vaginal microbiota in

healthy women from diverse populations, also from the same ethnicity, and the need to assess

stability and dynamics with sex hormone changes, including those occurring during a natural

menstrual cycle.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. Schematic representation of data and biological samples collection.

Schematic representation of data collected and analyzed in this work. For a description of additional data and samples

being collected in the Women4Health study see Busonero F et al EHJ Open 2024. Created in BioRender. Sanna, S.

(2025) https://BioRender.com/rcl99h9

Figure 2. Average beta diversity across menstrual cycle phases.

In **(A)** Average Beta diversity across the four menstrual cycle phases: Follicular phase (F), Ovulatory phase (O), Early

Luteal phase (EL), and Late Luteal phase (LL). The black line inside the boxplot represents the median, with the lowest

and highest values within the 1.5 interquartile range represented by the whiskers. The black lines between violins

indicate significant differences (*p< 0.01). P-values were obtained using paired T-test. In **(B)** Average beta diversity within

the same woman across phases (Self) and average of beta diversity among different women (Random 1 to Random 10).

In both panels, beta diversity was calculated using Euclidean distances on transformed taxa adjusted for technical

covariates (see **Material and methods)**.

Figure 3: Distribution of the most abundant taxa across menstrual cycle phases.

Relative abundance of the 10 most abundant species in the four different phases. In **(A)** samples are sorted according

to the abundance of *L. iners*, *L. crispatus*, *L. gasseri*, respectively. Samples in **(B)**, **(C)**, and **(D)** are ordered as in **(A)**. The

grey bars show missing information for those women in the specific week. In the x-axis title we indicate how many

samples had the microbiome profile available. Of note, some of the ASVs classified as *Bifidobacterium spp.* by the

GTDB used in this study, would be classified as *Gardnerella vaginalis* according to other nomenclatures (see **Methods**

and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

Figure 4: Community State Type (CST) across menstrual cycle phases

In **(A)** t-SNE representation of the vaginal microbiome composition, samples are colored according to their CST

classification. Arrows highlight instances where a woman changes CST between consecutive phases, with the notation

start_phase → final_phase indicated with color-coding of the arrow as in the pie chart. In the bottom-left corner, a pie

chart shows the proportions of phases where CST changes occur. The legend provides color coding for points according

to the assigned CST. In **(B)** Alluvial plot showing Community State Type (subCST) changes between phases. The four

columns represent the Follicular (F), Ovulatory (O), Early Luteal (EL), and Late Luteal (LL) phases, respectively. In legend

of the plot: CH: a change occurred in at least one phase, complete data available (15/61); CH + NA: a change occurred

in at least one phase, missing samples in some phases (3/61); NO CH: No changes occurred, complete data available

(26/61); NO CH + NA: No changes occurred, but missing samples in some phases (17/61).

Figure 5: Longitudinal changes in taxa relative abundance across phases

This figure shows the results of a linear model investigating whether bacterial abundances change across menstrual cycle

phases. In each panel, the model coefficients for each taxon are shown with points representing the beta coefficient of

the variable time and the bars at the 95% confidence interval of the estimate. The actual p-values are shown above each

point. Colour coding indicates the significance of the associations (p-values< 0.05 yellow, black otherwise).

Each panel corresponds to the same model using different corrections for the taxa, with the addition of an increasing

number of covariates. In particular: model 1 is the basic model with CLR transformed bacteria, model 2 adds to previous

model the technical covariates, model 3 adds Age, model 4 adds sex_less_than_two_days, model 5 adds

Pregnancy_category, model 6 adds Pill_use, model 7 adds Swab_after_feces, model 8 adds Bristol_stool_scale, model

adds Swab_morning_or_not and model 10 adds WHR_ranges. Of note, some of the ASVs classified as *Bifidobacterium*

spp. by the GTDB used in this study, would be classified as *Gardnerella vaginalis* according to other nomenclatures (see

Methods and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

Figure 6: Relationship between sex hormones and bacteria

These forest plots display the significant associations between sex hormones and bacterial taxa when considering two

consecutive phases **(A)** or in the overall menustral cycle **(B)**. The x-axis represents the model coefficients, indicating the

strength and direction of each association, while the y-axis lists bacterial taxa. Each line illustrates the 95% confidence

interval for the coefficient, with colors representing the sex hormone associated with each taxon. The p-value for each

model is shown above the corresponding point. Associations that remain significant after FDR correction, with a

threshold of 0.2, are highlighted with an asterisk on the y-axis. In the legend, the color coding for the hormones is as

follows: BES17=17-beta estradiol, FSH=follicle-stimulating hormone, LH=luteinizing hormone, PRL=prolactin, and

PROG=progesterone. Of note, some of the ASVs classified as *Bifidobacterium spp.* by the GTDB used in this study, would

be classified as *Gardnerella vaginalis* according to other nomenclatures (see **Methods** and **Supplementary Figure 1**).

DATA AVAILABILITY

All our code used to analyze the data and instructions are available at: https://github.com/Sanna-s-

LAB/Women4Health

The human-depleted 16S rRNA and ITS sequences are deposited at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA)

repository under accession number PRJNA1222832 [which will be released upon acceptance of the

manuscript]. Participant-level personally identifiable data cannot be shared in respect to

participants' informed consent and are protected under the Italian Personal Data Protection Code

and European Regulation 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council (GDPR) that

prohibit distribution even in pseudo-anonymized form.

All data necessary to support the conclusions drawn in this study are available in the Supplementary

Tables.

URLs

Code for the reclassification of *Lactobacillus spp.*:

https://github.com/LebeerLab/Citizen-science-map-of-the-vaginal-microbiome

Code and files for VALENCIA algorithm

https://github.com/ravel-lab/VALENCIA

Tools

FastQC: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/

Trimmomatic: https://github.com/timflutre/trimmomatic?tab=readme-ov-file

MultiQC: https://github.com/MultiQC/MultiQC

R packages:

vegan: https://github.com/vegandevs/vegan/

lme4: 10.32614/CRAN.package.lme4

lmerTest: 10.32614/CRAN.package.lmerTest

Rtsne: 10.32614/CRAN.package.Rtsne

Parallel: https://www.rdocumentation.org/packages/parallel/versions/3.6.2

STAT: https://doi.org/10.32614/CRAN.package.STAT

DADA2: https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/release/bioc/html/dada2.html

ShortRead: https://kasperdanielhansen.github.io/genbioconductor/html/ShortRead.html

Performance: 10.32614/CRAN.package.performance

sklearn: https://jmlr.csail.mit.edu/papers/v12/pedregosa11a.html

Databases:

GTDB: https://gtdb.ecogenomic.org/stats/r95

UNITE DB: https://doi.org/10.15156/BIO/2959330

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank all the volunteers who participated in the study for their time and commitment. We thank

the physicians of the University of Trieste for their contribution to the recruitment phase, including

but not limited to, Francesco Cracco, Roberta Maria Gentile, Elena Stefani and Michele

Stracquadaini, the Directors of I.R.C.C.S. Burlo-Garofolo and of IRGB-CNR for logistic support, the

Agenzia Regionale Sardegna Ricerche for providing access to laboratories, technologists Davide

Murrau, Marco Masala and Michele Marongiu from the IRGB-CNR for IT support. Finally, we thank

Dr. Alessandra Meloni and Dr. Ferdinando Coghe from the Azienda Ospedaliero Universitaria di

Cagliari and Prof. Stefano Guerriero from the University of Cagliari for having joined the

Women4Health project and initiating a second recruiting center in Cagliari starting in October 2024.

Likewise, we thank Prof. Maria Raffaella Barbaro and Prof. Giovanni Barbara from the IRCCS Azienda

Ospedaliero Universitaria di Bologna, Policlinico Sant' Orsola, for having joined the Women4Health

project and initiating a second recruiting center in Bologna starting in April 2025.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: F.D.S., G.G., S.S.

Writing - original draft preparation: E.V., F.C., A.M., F.B., M.L.F., S.S.,

Project supervision: S.S.

Funding acquisition: G.G., S.S.

Statistical and Bioinformatic analyses: E.V., F.C., S.S.

Critical support for bioinformatic analyses: D.V.Z., V. LF., R.G., J.S., N.K., A.Z., S.S.

Samples and data collection: S.L., S.C., G.V.B., A.K., F.D.S., D.M, G.G.

Vaginal samples processing: A.M., F.Cr., S.I., F.B., M.L.F.

All authors read and revised the manuscript and approved its final version. Furthermore, all authors

agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy

or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

FUNDING

This study was co-funded by the Italian Ministry of Health through the contribution given to the

Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste - Italy (SD 02/21 to G.G.), by the

European Union (ERC Stg 2022 to S.S., acronym SEMICYCLE, GA n.101075624), by the Next

Generation EU, in the context of the National Recovery and Resilience Plan, Investment PE8 – Project

Age-It: "Ageing Well in an Ageing Society, " [DM 1557 11.10.2022 to S.S.] and by NutrAGE grant (CNR

Project FOE-2021 DBA.AD005.225). Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the

author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research

Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

In addition, S.S. also received a PRIN2022 grant from the Next Generation EU funds (DSB.PN004.021

2022PMZKEC_LS2_PRIN2022 SANNA, CUP B53D23008300006).

USE OF AI STATEMENT

The authors declare that they have used generative artificial intelligence, specifically Quillbot,

ChatGPT and Reverso online platforms only to check spelling and grammar. The authors declare that

ChatGPT was also used to improve R scripts for handling data formatting and for the generation of

figures.

750 **Table 1. Prevalence and relative abundance of *L. iners* and *L. crispatus* in our study and other European cohorts.**

751 The table reports the statistics from previously published studies on European cohorts and those from our study, for *L. iners* and *L. crispatus*. The
752 column Time point indicates weather statistics refer to samples collected in a specific menstrual cycle phase or at any time during menstrual cycle
753 (or menopause).

Study	Country	Sequencing Method	N reads (K)	N samples	Age range	Time point	Frequency (%)		Prevalence (%)		Average Relative Abundance (%)	
							CST-I	CST-III	L.iners	L.crispatus	L.iners	L.crispatus
ISALA	Belgium	16S (V4)	25	3000	18-73	any	43	28	72	90	24	38
MiMens	Denmark, Sweden	16S (V3V4) WGS	--	49	20-28	Follicular phase	--	--	63	76	12	59
						Ovulatory phase	--	--	66	83	14	67
i-Predict	France	16S (V3V4)	34	241	18-25	any	41	38	--	--	38	45
W4H	Italy	16S (V3V4, V7V9)	155	61	18-45	Follicular phase	25	42	98	95	40	25
						Ovulatory phase	36	38	93	93	37	35
						Early Luteal phase	35	37	100	98	34	35
						Late Luteal phase	30	35	100	100	33	31

REFERENCE

1. France MT, Mendes-Soares H, Forney LJ. Genomic Comparisons of Lactobacillus
crispatus and Lactobacillus iners Reveal Potential Ecological Drivers of Community
Composition in the Vagina. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2016 Dec 15;82(24):7063–73.

2. Hugerth LW, Krog MC, Vomstein K, Du J, Bashir Z, Kaldhusdal V, Fransson E, Engstrand L,
Nielsen HS, Schuppe-Koistinen I. Defining Vaginal Community Dynamics: daily
microbiome transitions, the role of menstruation, bacteriophages, and bacterial genes.
Microbiome. 2024 Aug 19;12(1):153.

3. Ravel J, Gajer P, Abdo Z, Schneider GM, Koenig SSK, McCulle SL, Karlebach S, Gorle R,
Russell J, Tacket CO, Brotman RM, Davis CC, Ault K, Peralta L, Forney LJ. Vaginal
microbiome of reproductive-age women. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011 Mar
15;108(supplement_1):4680–7.

4. Gajer P, Brotman RM, Bai G, Sakamoto J, Schütte UME, Zhong X, Koenig SSK, Fu L, Ma Z
(Sam), Zhou X, Abdo Z, Forney LJ, Ravel J. Temporal Dynamics of the Human Vaginal
Microbiota. Sci Transl Med. 2012 May 2;4(132):132ra52-132ra52.

5. Holm JB, France MT, Gajer P, Ma B, Brotman RM, Shardell M, Forney L, Ravel J. Integrating
compositional and functional content to describe vaginal microbiomes in health and
disease. Microbiome. 2023 Nov 30;11(1):259.

6. Petrova MI, Lievens E, Malik S, Imholz N, Lebeer S. Lactobacillus species as biomarkers
and agents that can promote various aspects of vaginal health. Front Physiol [Internet].
2015 Mar 25 [cited 2024 Oct 22];6. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/physiology/articles/10.3389/fphys.2015.00081/full

7. Nori SRC, Walsh CJ, McAuliffe FM, Moore RL, Van Sinderen D, Feehily C, Cotter PD. Strain-
level variation among vaginal Lactobacillus crispatus and Lactobacillus iners as identified
by comparative metagenomics. Npj Biofilms Microbiomes. 2025 Mar 23;11(1):1–11.

8. Scillato M, Spitale A, Mongelli G, Privitera GF, Mangano K, Cianci A, Stefani S, Santagati M.
Antimicrobial properties of Lactobacillus cell-free supernatants against multidrug-
resistant urogenital pathogens. MicrobiologyOpen. 2021;10(2):e1173.

9. Łaniewski P, Herbst-Kralovetz MM. Connecting microbiome and menopause for healthy
ageing. Nat Microbiol. 2022 Mar;7(3):354–8.

10. Ravel J, Brotman RM, Gajer P, Ma B, Nandy M, Fadrosh DW, Sakamoto J, Koenig SS, Fu L,
Zhou X, Hickey RJ, Schwebke JR, Forney LJ. Daily temporal dynamics of vaginal microbiota
before, during and after episodes of bacterial vaginosis. Microbiome. 2013 Dec 2;1(1):29.

11. Petrova MI, Reid G, Vaneechoutte M, Lebeer S. *Lactobacillus iners*: Friend or Foe? Trends
Microbiol. 2017 Mar 1;25(3):182–91.

12. Cornejo OE, Hickey RJ, Suzuki H, Forney LJ. Focusing the diversity of Gardnerella vaginalis
through the lens of ecotypes. Evol Appl. 2018;11(3):312–24.

13. Muzny CA, Łaniewski P, Schwebke JR, Herbst-Kralovetz MM. Host–vaginal microbiota
interactions in the pathogenesis of bacterial vaginosis. Curr Opin Infect Dis. 2020
Feb;33(1):59.

14. Brotman RM, Shardell MD, Gajer P, Fadrosh D, Chang K, Silver MI, Viscidi RP, Burke AE,
Ravel J, Gravitt PE. Association between the vaginal microbiota, menopause status, and
signs of vulvovaginal atrophy. Menopause. 2014 May;21(5):450.

15. Lebeer S, Ahannach S, Gehrmann T, Wittouck S, Eilers T, Oerlemans E, Condori S, Dillen J,
Spacova I, Vander Donck L, Masquillier C, Allonsius CN, Bron PA, Van Beeck W, De Backer
C, Donders G, Verhoeven V. A citizen-science-enabled catalogue of the vaginal
microbiome and associated factors. Nat Microbiol. 2023 Nov;8(11):2183–95.

16. Serrano MG, Parikh HI, Brooks JP, Edwards DJ, Arodz TJ, Edupuganti L, Huang B, Girerd PH,
Bokhari YA, Bradley SP, Brooks JL, Dickinson MR, Drake JI, Duckworth RA, Fong SS,
Glascock AL, Jean S, Jimenez NR, Khoury J, Koparde VN, Lara AM, Lee V, Matveyev AV,
Milton SH, Mistry SD, Rozycki SK, Sheth NU, Smirnova E, Vivadelli SC, Wijesooriya NR, Xu
J, Xu P, Chaffin DO, Sexton AL, Gravett MG, Rubens CE, Hendricks-Muñoz KD, Jefferson
KK, Strauss JF, Fettweis JM, Buck GA. Racioethnic diversity in the dynamics of the vaginal
microbiome during pregnancy. Nat Med. 2019 Jun;25(6):1001–11.

17. Wei X, Tsai MS, Liang L, Jiang L, Hung CJ, Jelliffe-Pawlowski L, Rand L, Snyder M, Jiang C.
Vaginal microbiomes show ethnic evolutionary dynamics and positive selection of
Lactobacillus adhesins driven by a long-term niche-specific process. Cell Rep. 2024 Apr
23;43(4):114078.

18. Roachford OSE, Alleyne AT, Nelson KE. Insights into the vaginal microbiome in a diverse
group of women of African, Asian and European ancestries. PeerJ. 2022 Nov
29;10:e14449.

19. Tamarelle J, Thiébaut ACM, de Barbeyrac B, Bébéar C, Bourret A, Fauconnier A, Ravel J,
Delarocque-Astagneau E, Sabin B, Guillemot D, Morré S, Karsenti I, Claret-Vermeulen MF,
Mathiot-Vicaigne E, Régnier C, Aoussou P, Badie-Perez R, Rebouillat-Savy K, Valin N,
Tzourio C, Rahis AC, Judlin P, Brun JL, Bongain A, Aegerter P, Méaude-Roufai L, Chemani C,
Grimaldi-Bensouda L, Mediouni C, Kersaudy-Rahib D, Lydié N, The i-Predict study group.
Vaginal microbiota stability over 18 months in young student women in France. Eur J Clin
Microbiol Infect Dis [Internet]. 2024 Sep 20 [cited 2024 Sep 24]; Available from:
https://doi.org/10.1007/s10096-024-04943-3

20. France MT, Ma B, Gajer P, Brown S, Humphrys MS, Holm JB, Waetjen LE, Brotman RM,
Ravel J. VALENCIA: a nearest centroid classification method for vaginal microbial
communities based on composition. Microbiome. 2020 Nov 23;8(1):166.

21. Busonero F, Lenarduzzi S, Crobu F, Gentile RM, Carta A, Cracco F, Maschio A, Camarda S,
Marongiu M, Zanetti D, Conversano C, Di Lorenzo G, Mazzà D, De Seta F, Girotto G, Sanna
S. The Women4Health cohort: a unique cohort to study women-specific mechanisms of
cardio-metabolic regulation. Eur Heart J Open. 2024 Mar 1;4(2):oeae012.

22. Ewels P, Magnusson M, Lundin S, Käller M. MultiQC: summarize analysis results for
multiple tools and samples in a single report. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2016 Oct
1;32(19):3047–8.

23. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence
data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014 Aug 1;30(15):2114–20.

24. Callahan BJ, McMurdie PJ, Rosen MJ, Han AW, Johnson AJA, Holmes SP. DADA2: High
resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. Nat Methods. 2016
Jul;13(7):581–3.

25. Parks DH, Chuvochina M, Chaumeil PA, Rinke C, Mussig AJ, Hugenholtz P. A complete
domain-to-species taxonomy for Bacteria and Archaea. Nat Biotechnol. 2020
Sep;38(9):1079–86.

26. Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Larsson KH, Taylor AFS, May TW, Frøslev TG, Pawlowska J,
Lindahl B, Põldmaa K, Truong C, Vu D, Hosoya T, Niskanen T, Piirmann T, Ivanov F, Zirk A,
Peterson M, Cheeke TE, Ishigami Y, Jansson AT, Jeppesen TS, Kristiansson E, Mikryukov V,
Miller JT, Oono R, Ossandon FJ, Paupério J, Saar I, Schigel D, Suija A, Tedersoo L, Kõljalg U.
The UNITE database for molecular identification and taxonomic communication of fungi
and other eukaryotes: sequences, taxa and classifications reconsidered. Nucleic Acids
Res. 2024 Jan 5;52(D1):D791–7.

27. Oksanen J, Blanchet FG, Kindt R, Legendre P, Minchin P, O'Hara R, Simpson G, Solymos P,
Stevenes M, Wagner H. Vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.0-2.
2012 Jan 1;

28. Maaten L van der, Hinton G. Visualizing Data using t-SNE. J Mach Learn Res.
2008;9(86):2579–605.

29. Krijthe J, Van der Maaten L. Rtsne: T-Distributed Stochastic Neighbor Embedding using a
Barnes-Hut Implementation [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2024 Dec 16]. Available from:
https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/Rtsne/index.html

30. Bolar K. STAT: Interactive Document for Working with Basic Statistical Analysis [Internet].
2019 [cited 2024 Dec 16]. Available from: https://cran.r-
project.org/web/packages/STAT/index.html

31. Pedregosa F, Varoquaux G, Gramfort A, Michel V, Thirion B, Grisel O, Blondel M,
Prettenhofer P, Weiss R, Dubourg V, Vanderplas J, Passos A, Cournapeau D, Brucher M,
Perrot M, Duchesnay É. Scikit-learn: Machine Learning in Python. J Mach Learn Res. 2011
Nov 1;12(null):2825–30.

32. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB, Jensen SP. lmerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed
Effects Models [Internet]. 2020 [cited 2024 Dec 16]. Available from: https://cran.r-
project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html

33. Peterson RA. Finding Optimal Normalizing Transformations via bestNormalize. R J. 2021
Jun 7;13(1):294–313.

34. Bates D, Maechler M, Bolker B, Walker S, Singmann H, Dai B, Scheipl F, Grothendieck G,
Green P, Fox J, Bauer A, simulate.formula) PNK (shared copyright on, Tanaka E, Jagan M.
lme4: Linear Mixed-Effects Models using "Eigen" and S4 [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jan
14]. Available from: https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lme4/index.html

35. Blanco-Míguez A, Beghini F, Cumbo F, McIver LJ, Thompson KN, Zolfo M, Manghi P, Dubois
L, Huang KD, Thomas AM, Nickols WA, Piccinno G, Piperni E, Punčochář M, Valles-
Colomer M, Tett A, Giordano F, Davies R, Wolf J, Berry SE, Spector TD, Franzosa EA, Pasolli
E, Asnicar F, Huttenhower C, Segata N. Extending and improving metagenomic taxonomic
profiling with uncharacterized species using MetaPhlAn 4. Nat Biotechnol. 2023
Nov;41(11):1633–44.

36. Ahannach S, Delanghe L, Spacova I, Wittouck S, Van Beeck W, De Boeck I, Lebeer S.
Microbial enrichment and storage for metagenomics of vaginal, skin, and saliva samples.
iScience. 2021 Nov 19;24(11):103306.

37. Muhleisen AL, Herbst-Kralovetz MM. Menopause and the vaginal microbiome. Maturitas.
2016 Sep 1;91:42–50.

38. Holdcroft AM, Ireland DJ, Payne MS. The Vaginal Microbiome in Health and Disease-What
Role Do Common Intimate Hygiene Practices Play? Microorganisms. 2023 Jan
23;11(2):298.

39. Tuddenham S, Gajer P, Burke AE, Murphy C, Klein SL, Stennett CA, Wilgus B, Ravel J,
Ghanem KG, Brotman RM. *Lactobacillus*-dominance and rapid stabilization of vaginal
microbiota in combined oral contraceptive pill users examined through a longitudinal
cohort study with frequent vaginal sampling over two years. eBioMedicine. 2023 Jan
1;87:104407.

40. United Nations. Contraceptive Use by Method 2019: Data Booklet [Internet]. United
Nations; 2019 [cited 2025 Feb 13]. Available from: https://www.un-
ilibrary.org/content/books/9789210046527

41. Doppenberg H. Free pill in the Netherlands: for how much longer? Plan Parent Eur Plan
Fam En Eur. 1994 Mar;23(1):8–9.

42. Kwak W, Han YH, Seol D, Kim H, Ahn H, Jeong M, Kang J, Kim H, Kim TH. Complete
Genome of Lactobacillus iners KY Using Flongle Provides Insight Into the Genetic
Background of Optimal Adaption to Vaginal Econiche. Front Microbiol [Internet]. 2020 May
26 [cited 2025 Jan 14];11. Available from:
https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/microbiology/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2020.01048/ful
l

43. Holm JB, Carter KA, Ravel J, Brotman RM. Lactobacillus iners and Genital Health:
Molecular Clues to an Enigmatic Vaginal Species. Curr Infect Dis Rep. 2023 Apr
1;25(4):67–75.

44. Witkin SS, Mendes-Soares H, Linhares IM, Jayaram A, Ledger WJ, Forney LJ. Influence of
Vaginal Bacteria and d- and l-Lactic Acid Isomers on Vaginal Extracellular Matrix

Metalloproteinase Inducer: Implications for Protection against Upper Genital Tract
Infections. mBio. 2013 Aug 6;4(4):10.1128/mbio.00460-13.

45. Macklaim JM, Gloor GB, Anukam KC, Cribby S, Reid G. At the crossroads of vaginal health
and disease, the genome sequence of Lactobacillus iners AB-1. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011
Mar 15;108(supplement_1):4688–95.

46. Anahtar MN, Byrne EH, Doherty KE, Bowman BA, Yamamoto HS, Soumillon M, Padavattan
N, Ismail N, Moodley A, Sabatini ME, Ghebremichael MS, Nusbaum C, Huttenhower C,
Virgin HW, Ndung'u T, Dong KL, Walker BD, Fichorova RN, Kwon DS. Cervicovaginal
Bacteria Are a Major Modulator of Host Inflammatory Responses in the Female Genital
Tract. Immunity. 2015 May 19;42(5):965–76.

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

Follicular (F) - Samples (n=59/61)

Ovulatory (O) - Samples (n=58/61)

Early Luteal (EL) - Samples (n=53/61)

Lactobacillus iners
Lactobacillus crispatus
Others
Bifidobacterium sp_uncl
Lactobacillus gasseri
Lactobacillus jensenii
Streptococcus sp_uncl
Prevotella sp_uncl
Streptococcus agalactiae
Bifidobacterium breve
Fannyhessea vaginae

Late Luteal (LL) - Samples (n=44/61)

FIGURE 4

A

CST   ● I   ● II   ● III   ● IV   ● V

B

■ CH (15/61)   ■ CH + NA (3/61)   ■ NO CH (26/61)   ■ NO CH + NA (17/61)

FIGURE 5

FIGURE 6

A

B