Mitochondrial DNA variation of the striped hyena (*Hyaena hyaena*) in

Algeria and further insights into the species' evolutionary history

Authors: Louiza Derouiche[a*] (0000-0002-9379-9514), Mónica Rodrigues[b,c]

(0000-0001-9059-0216), Hafida Benameur-Hasnaoui[d], Ridah Hadj Aissa[e],

Yasaman Hassan-Beigi[b], Seyed Massoud Madjdzadeh[f] (0000-0002-1505-5456),

Zuhair Amr[g] (0000-0002-4778-2308), Aimee Cokayne[h], Paul Vercammen[i] (0009-

0004-1568-7463), Carlos Rodríguez Fernandes[b,c*] (0000-0002-1386-8103)

Affiliations: [a]École Supérieure des Sciences de l'Aliment et Industries

Agroalimentaires (ESSAIA), Avenue Ahmed Hamidouche, route de Beaulieu, El

Harrach, 16200 Algiers, Algeria; [b]CE3C - Centre for Ecology, Evolution and

Environmental Changes & CHANGE - Global Change and Sustainability Institute,

Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de

Lisboa, 1749-016 Lisboa, Portugal; [c]Faculdade de Psicologia, Universidade de

Lisboa, Alameda da Universidade, 1649-013 Lisboa, Portugal; [d]Parc National de

Tlemcen, Plateau Lalla Setti, 13000 Tlemcen, Algeria; [e]Département des Sciences

Vétérinaires de l'Université de Blida, BP 27, 09000 Blida, Algeria; [f]Zoological

Museum and Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Shahid Bahonar

University of Kerman, 76169-14111 Kerman, Iran; [g]Department of Biology,

Jordan University of Science and Technology, Jordan; [h]Sir Bani Yas Island, P.O

Box 126888, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates; [i]Breeding Centre for Endangered

Arabian Wildlife, P.O. Box 29922, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

*Corresponding authors

E-mail: derouiche_fatma@yahoo.fr; cafernandes@fc.ul.pt

Abstract

The striped hyena (*Hyaena hyaena*) occurs in a wide range from north and east

Africa, through southwest Asia to India, but its distribution is increasingly patchy

and many of its populations are in decline due to intense human pressure. Its

genetic diversity and structure, phylogeography, and evolutionary history,

remain poorly understood. In this study, we investigated mitochondrial DNA

variation in Algerian striped hyenas. Moreover, with the aim of contributing to

our understanding of the evolutionary history of the species, we also examined

samples from other geographic regions and compared our results with those of

the only previous study in which individuals from across the range of the species

were analysed. In particular, we performed a wide range of analyses of

demographic history and estimation of the age of the extant mitochondrial DNA

variation. The Algerian population sample was monomorphic. Overall, the global

patterns of genetic diversity and the results of some demographic history

analyses supported a scenario of population growth in the species, estimated to

have occurred in the Late Pleistocene, but many of the analyses did not detect a

significant signal of growth, most likely a result of the limited power provided by

a small number of segregating sites. The estimates, from three different methods,

for the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the mitochondrial

DNA variation hovered around 400 ka, coinciding with one of the longest and

warmest interglacials of the last 800,000 years, with environmental conditions

similar to the Holocene.

Keywords: hyena, phylogeography, demographic history, TMRCA, subspecies,

hyaenid

Introduction

The striped hyena *Hyaena hyaena* (Linnaeus, 1758), the only extant representative of its genus (Werdelin and Solounias 1991; Koepfli et al. 2006), is a large mammalian carnivore distributed from north and east Africa, through southwest Asia to India (Rieger 1981; Mills and Hofer 1998; Kingdon 2001; Holekamp and Kolowski 2009; Abi-Said and Dloniak 2015). Although once abundant and widespread across this range, its distribution is now mostly patchy, with the species extinct in many areas and the remaining populations generally in decline (Mills and Hofer 1998; Low Cunningham 2004; Kasparek et al. 2004; Khorozyan et al. 2011; Tourani et al. 2012; Abi-Said and Dloniak 2015; Akash et al. 2021; Bhandari et al. 2021; Çoğal et al. 2021). The global population is estimated at less than 10,000 individuals and, given the intense human pressure (persecution, poaching, poisoning, conflict with pastoralists, target of negative feelings and superstitious fears, prey base depletion, use as folk medicine, road mortality) to which it is subject, it is listed as 'Near Threatened' on the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) Red List of Threatened Species (Abi-Said and Dloniak 2015).

The striped hyena is one of the four living species in the family Hyaenidae, the other three being the brown hyena (*Parahyaena brunnea*), the spotted hyena (*Crocuta crocuta*), and the aardwolf (*Proteles cristata*). The latter represents the oldest lineage of extant hyaenids, being quite distinct from the other three (Werdelin and Solounias 1991; Jenks and Werdelin 1998; Koepfli et al. 2006; Westbury et al. 2019). It has been estimated that the lineage ancestral to *Crocuta* diverged from the lineage ancestral to *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena* 9-11 Ma (Koepfli

et al. 2006; Westbury et al. 2019, 2020), while the latter two lineages diverged

from each other about 4-5 Ma (Rohland et al. 2005; Koepfli et al. 2006; Hu et al.

2021; Westbury et al. 2021). Traditionally, based on differences in body size and

skull and pelage characteristics (Pocock 1934), the following five subspecies

were accepted (Rieger 1979, 1981; Mills and Hofer 1998; Kasparek et al. 2004):

barbara in Northwest Africa (type locality: Oran, Algeria); *dubbah* in East Africa

(type locality: Atbara, Sudan); *syriaca* in Syria and Anatolia (type locality:

Antakya, Turkey); *sultana* in southern Arabia (type locality: Mt. Qara, Oman); and

hyaena from Iran to India (type locality: Benna Mountains, Larestan, Iran).

However, the distributions and limits of these classically recognized subspecies

are not precisely known (Rieger 1981), and attempts to delineate their

geographic ranges and boundaries should be seen as tentative (Mills and Hofer

1998). Jenks and Werdelin (1998) noted that molecular data could be very useful

for assessing subspecies designations in the striped hyena. In fact, a study that

sequenced a 340-bp fragment of the mitochondrial Cytochrome b (*Cyt b*) gene in

13 striped hyena samples from scattered locations throughout the historical and

current distribution of the species, found low genetic diversity (four haplotypes

with two variable sites) and a general lack of obvious phylogeographic structure,

with three of the four haplotypes shared between Africa and Eurasia (Rohland et

al. 2005). Thus, although the species exhibits marked geographic variation in size

and coat colour patterns, the currently accepted view is that there is no evidence

for the recognition of subspecies (Kingdon 2001; Wozencraft 2005).

In Algeria, the striped hyena had the same history of decline over the past few

centuries as observed in many other regions of its distribution, and the species

remains vulnerable and threatened by anthropogenic causes of mortality and in

need of conservation efforts, but it has managed to persist throughout much of

the country (Kowalski and Rzebik-Kowalska 1991; Derouiche et al. 2020).

In this study, we sequenced a large sample of striped hyenas from Algeria (i.e.,

representing the traditional subspecies *barbara*) for a *Cyt b* fragment containing

the shorter region analysed by Rohland et al. (2005) to allow comparisons. We

also examined samples from geographic regions and traditional subspecies not

included in that study, such as southern Arabia (subspecies *sultana*) and

southern Iran (subspecies *hyaena*). Our objectives were to assess mitochondrial

DNA (mtDNA) diversity of striped hyenas in Algeria and, expanding on the

pioneering and seminal study of Rohland et al. (2005), contribute to increase our

knowledge of the evolutionary history of the species.

Materials and methods

Sampling and laboratory procedures

To investigate the mtDNA diversity of the striped hyena in Algeria, we collected

samples from 25 specimens found dead on roads or in the field. We also analysed

samples of striped hyenas from Jordan (2), Saudi Arabia (3), Oman (5), and Iran

(2) (Fig. 1 and Table 1). DNA was extracted from muscle tissue and blood

samples using the EZNA Tissue DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek), while that from dry

hair samples was extracted following a simple polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

buffer-based extraction protocol (Allen et al. 1998; Vigilant 1999). For every

batch of samples, we used DNA extraction blanks to monitor contamination. We

amplified a fragment containing the first 753 base pairs (bp) of the *Cyt b* gene

with the primers L14724 (5'- TGATATGAAAAACCATCGTTG-3'; Irwin et al. 1991)

and H15791 (5'- AATGTAGTTGTCTGGGTC-3'; Fernandes et al. 2008). For four

hair samples (one from Algeria, one from Saudi Arabia, and the two from Jordan)

we were unsuccessful in amplifying this fragment, which we interpreted as a

result of DNA degradation. Thus, for those samples we amplified a 363-bp part of

the fragment (corresponding to positions 16-378 of *Cyt b*), containing the 340-bp

region analysed by Rohland et al. (2005), in two overlapping pieces, using

respectively the two following primer pairs: Hyena Cytb F1 (5'-

CCAATGACCAACATTCGA-3')/Hyena Cytb R1 (5'-TCAGCCATAGTTGACGTC-3')

(for a fragment of 198 bp) and Hyena Cytb F2 (5'-AACCGCCTTTTCATCAGT-

3')/Hyena Cytb R2 (5'-GACGTAACCTATGAATGC-3') (for a fragment of 181 bp).

PCRs were carried out in volumes of 15 μl with 1x PCR Buffer (NZYTech), 2 mM

$MgCl_2$, 0.2 mM of each dNTP (Bioline), 0.5 μM of each primer, 0.75 U of Supreme

NZYTaq DNA Polymerase (NZYTech), and 3 μl of DNA extract. DNA extraction

and PCR blanks were included to check for contamination. Thermal cycling

conditions consisted of an initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 45

cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 50 °C, 30 s at 72 °C, and a final extension of 7 min at

72 °C. The results of the PCR amplifications were visualized on 2% agarose gels

to verify PCR quality and absence of contamination, and the PCR products were

purified with an Exo-SAP protocol (Hanke and Wink 1994; Werle et al. 1994)

and sequenced by the Sanger method at Macrogen Inc.

Data analyses

Sequences were edited, assembled, aligned, and checked for the absence of

indels and stop codons using SEQUENCER 4.7 (Gene Codes Corporation) and

the Translate program of the SEQUENCE MANIPULATION SUITE 2 (Stothard

2000). We downloaded striped hyena *Cyt b* sequences from GenBank (mainly the

sequences from Rohland et al. (2005) and 39 sequences of Iranian individuals

from Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. (2022)) and included these in our alignments (Table

1). Trimming our sequences to 648 bp allowed us to include those Iranian

sequences from GenBank, but in order to incorporate other shorter sequences

from diverse geographic origins, in particular those from Rohland et al. (2005),

we created a smaller alignment of 340 bp (Table 1). Sequence alignments were

analysed with FABOX 1.5 (Villesen 2007) to identify samples with identical

sequences. File format conversions of sequence alignments for use in different

computer programs were done using ALTER (Glez-Peña et al. 2010).

To characterize patterns of mitochondrial genetic diversity, and what they

suggest in terms of demographic history of the striped hyena, we computed the

number of polymorphic or segregating sites (S), the number of haplotypes (n_H),

haplotype diversity (h), nucleotide diversity (π), the mismatch distribution

(Rogers and Harpending 1992; Schneider and Excoffier 1999), Tajima's *D*

(Tajima 1989), Fu's F_S (Fu 1997) and the R_2 statistic (Ramos-Onsins and Rozas

2002) in ARLEQUIN 3.5.2.2 (Excoffier and Lischer 2010) and DNASP 5.10.1

(Librado and Rozas 2009). We also computed Fu and Li's (1993) D* and F* tests,

as they are particularly powerful for detecting background selection (Fu 1997). If

only these tests are significant, this suggests the action of background selection;

if, on the contrary, they are not significant, but, for example, the Fu's F_S test is,

then this is more compatible with a history of population growth (Fu 1997).

To visualize and examine the genealogical and geographical relationships among

haplotypes, we estimated haplotype networks using the integer neighbour-

joining method (IntNJ) in POPART 1.7 (Leigh and Bryant 2015). The IntNJ

method was run with the reticulation tolerance parameter α set to zero. We used

outgroup rooting (Jansen et al. 2002; Cassens et al. 2003; Dubach et al. 2013) to

estimate the ancestral node of the ingroup. The outgroups used here, and in the

phylogenetic tree reconstructions described next, were the brown hyena (*P.*

brunnea) and the spotted hyena (*C. crocuta*).

To infer evolutionary relationships among haplotypes and assess the presence of

clades within *H. hyaena*, we constructed phylogenetic trees. The tree

reconstructions were conducted with the data partitioned by codon position, as

this was the best-fit partitioning scheme according to the corrected Akaike

information criterion (AICc) (Akaike 1974; Sugiura 1978; Hurvich and Tsai

1989) in PARTITIONFINDER 2.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2017) using PHYML (Guindon

et al. 2010). Phylogenetic analyses were performed using Bayesian inference (BI)

and maximum likelihood (ML) as implemented in MRBAYES 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al.

2012) and IQ-TREE 1.6.12 (Nguyen et al. 2015), respectively. Analyses in

MRBAYES were conducted with two parallel Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC)

runs, each with four Markov chains (one cold and three heated), default heating

parameter ($t = 0.1$), and 20 million generations. The first five million generations

were discarded as burn-in and, thereafter, chains were sampled every 500

generations. The entire general time-reversible (GTR; Lanave et al. 1984)

substitution model space was sampled within the analyses (Huelsenbeck et al.

2004). Convergence was indicated by an average standard deviation of split

frequencies between parallel runs of less than 0.01. For all model parameters,

the effective sample size (ESS) was greater than 700 and the potential scale

reduction factor (PSRF) was 1.0. Support for tree nodes was determined

according to the values of Bayesian posterior probability (BPP) obtained in a

majority-rule consensus tree (Holder et al. 2008; Huggins et al. 2011). In IQ-

TREE we used the nucleotide substitution models estimated as best fit for each

codon position, according to the Bayesian information criterion (BIC; Schwarz

1978), by ModelFinder (Kalyaanamoorthy et al. 2017), a model-selection method

implemented in IQ-TREE (648 bp dataset, according to codon position: Kimura

two-parameter model (K2P; Kimura 1980); Hasegawa-Kishino-Yano model

(Hasegawa et al. 1985) with a proportion of invariable sites (HKY+I); HKY) (340

bp dataset, according to codon position: K2P+I; HKY; Tamura-Nei model (TN93;

Tamura and Nei 1993)). Tree search runs were performed using IQ-TREE default

settings, with the exception of the '-allnni' option which turns on a more

thorough Nearest Neighbour Interchange (NNI) tree search, and support for each

node was evaluated by 1000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates (Felsenstein

1985). Majority-rule consensus trees (Berry and Gascuel 1996; Holder et al.

2008) were computed with SUMTREES 4.5.2 of the DendroPy library version

4.5.2 (Sukumaran and Holder 2010) and visualized and edited with FIGTREE

1.4.4 (available at https://github.com/rambaut/figtree/releases).

To try to learn more about the recent evolutionary history of the species, we

performed several analyses specifically on demographic history. In these

analyses we used only the 648 bp dataset (76 striped hyenas; 13 segregating

sites), as the 340 bp dataset (50 individuals) only contains five segregating sites.

We constructed skyline plots (Ho and Shapiro 2011), which do not depend on a

prespecified parametric model of demographic history, using the following four

MCMC sampling-based methods: Bayesian Skyline Plot (BSP; Drummond et al.

2005), Extended Bayesian Skyline Plot (EBSP; Heled and Drummond 2008),

Bayesian Skyride (Minin et al. 2008), and Bayesian Skygrid (Gill et al. 2013).

These skyline plot methods were performed in BEAST 1.10.4 ('Bayesian

Evolutionary Analysis Sampling Trees'; Suchard et al. 2018), using the BEAGLE

3.1.0 library (Ayres et al. 2019), with the data partitioned by codon position. All

BEAST input files were created in BEAUti, available in the BEAST package.

Methodological details of the MCMC sampling-based skyline analyses are given

in Supplementary Information. We compared different skyline models, and also

simple parametric models (such as constant population size or exponential

growth) (see Villanea et al. 2020), in terms of their relative fit to the data, using

the Bayes factor (Jeffreys 1935; Kass and Raftery 1995), the ratio of the marginal

likelihoods of the two models under comparison. The marginal likelihoods were

estimated in BEAST with path sampling (Lartillot and Philippe 2006) and

stepping-stone sampling (Xie et al. 2011), based on 100 path steps and a chain

length of two million iterations. We also tested skyline plot methods based on a

single tree (Ho and Shapiro 2011): classical (Pybus et al. 2000), generalized

(Strimmer and Pybus 2001), and Bayesian multiple-change-point (MCP; Opgen-

Rhein et al. 2005). The required ultrametric binary tree was a maximum clade

credibility tree (MCCT) from an analysis in MRBAYES. MCMC in MRBAYES was

performed as described above. The analysis used a strict clock model with a

uniform prior probability distribution on branch lengths, a normally distributed

clock rate prior with mean 0.01 and standard deviation 0.0025 (in substitutions

per site per Ma) (how we obtained this substitution rate estimate is explained

below), and a truncated normal prior probability distribution on the tree age

with a mean of 0.4 Ma and a standard deviation of 0.15 (the choice of these

values reflects the estimates obtained for the age of the mtDNA diversity,

presented below). The single-tree skyline plot analyses were performed in the R

package APE version 5.7.1 (Paradis and Schliep 2019). The analyses performed

in the R environment used R version 4.2.3 (R Core Team 2023). Bayesian MCP

analyses were performed assuming both a constant population size prior

demographic function (Felsenstein 1992) and a skyline plot prior demographic

function (Opgen-Rhein et al. 2005). We also estimated the fit of different

demographic models (constant population size, exponential growth, expansion

growth, logistic growth, with both continuous and piecewise variants) (Pybus

and Rambaut 2002) to the MCCT in the R package genieR version 0.1.0 (Xiang et

al. 2019).

We also used the Monte Carlo likelihood approach of Weiss and von Haeseler

(1998), as implemented in IPHULA 1.16 (Schmidt et al. 2007), for inference on

demographic history. In this analysis we used the HKY model, which was

selected as best-fitting the 648 bp alignment by BIC in ModelFinder and also in

JMODELTEST 2.1.10 (Darriba et al. 2012), and a transition/transversion rate

ratio (kappa, κ) of 28 estimated in TREE-PUZZLE 5.3.rc16 (Schmidt et al. 2002).

The method of Weiss and von Haeseler (1998) involves a model with three

parameters (theta, θ: mutation-scaled effective population size (N_e) in the past

('initial'), i.e. before an eventual N_e change; tau, τ: mutation-scaled time since N_e

started to change exponentially; rho, ρ: ratio of current to initial N_e), which are

estimated based on the number of segregating sites and the mean number of

pairwise nucleotide differences (k). The method approximates the likelihood

surface for the three parameters by coalescent simulations on a three-

dimensional grid. After preliminary simulations to explore the likelihood surface

and find the parameter ranges that enclose the global maximum likelihood peak,

we ran 10 replicate runs, zoomed into grid areas of high likelihoods, for

parameter estimation. In these final runs we defined five grid points, equally

spaced between 1 and 20, for ρ, 10 grid points, equally spaced between 0.1 and 5,

for θ, and 10 grid points, equally spaced between 0.1 and 2, for τ. We ran 50,000

simulations for each grid point (i.e. parameter combination), and the maximum

allowed difference between simulated and observed k was set to less than 0.03

(i.e. ± 1% around the observed mean k of 1.72).

Inference on demographic history parameters was also performed with the

MCMC coalescent genealogy sampler LAMARC 2.1.10 (Kuhner 2006; Kuhner and

Smith 2007), assuming either an exponential growth or shrinkage model or a

simpler model of constant population size. We used the Bayesian version of

LAMARC, with a default starting value of θ per site of 0.01 and a logarithmic

prior between 10^{-5} and 10; in the growth model analysis, we specified for the

growth rate parameter (g) a starting value of 1 and a linear prior bounded

between -500 and 5000. Percentile profile likelihoods were computed for both θ

per site and g. The HKY model is not implemented in LAMARC, so we used the

closest model available, Felsenstein84 (F84; Felsenstein and Churchill 1996),

which in any case is very closely related to the HKY model. We ran four

replicates of two final chains, in which for each chain we used an initial burn-in

period of 200,000 discarded genealogies, followed by two million genealogies

sampled every 50 for parameter estimation. We used adaptive heating, with four

tree search temperatures (initial values: 1, 1.2, 1.5, 2) in each chain, and tree

swapping between temperatures attempted at every step of the chain. TRACER

1.7.2 (Rambaut et al. 2018) was used to analyse the resulting trace files, assess

run convergence, and calculate summary statistics and ESS values of parameters.

We also tested constant population size and exponential growth models in

GENETREE 9.01, which implements a Markov chain technique for ancestral

inference that simulates gene trees conditional on the mutation pattern in a

sample of DNA sequences (Griffiths and Tavaré 1994a,b,c, 1995). GENETREE

requires data compatible with the infinite sites model (Kimura 1969; Griffiths

and Tavaré 1995). To meet this requirement, in the 648 bp dataset we needed to

remove one polymorphic site (site 546) inconsistent with the model, which

caused the haplotypes H1 and H3, as well as H7 and H10, to no longer differ from

each other. In the constant population model, after preliminary runs with

different values of the generating parameter for θ to optimize settings and

identify the region of maximum likelihood of θ, we generated likelihood surfaces

for θ based on 10 million simulations and 1000 points for the empirical

distribution. In the exponential growth model, after preliminary runs to identify

the likelihood surface regions of θ and growth rate yielding the highest joint

likelihood, as the two parameters are not independent of each other, we

generated likelihood surfaces separately for θ and growth rate, in both cases

based on 100,000 simulations and 1000 points for the empirical distribution. A

likelihood ratio test (LRT) was used to determine whether there was a

significant difference in the fit to the data between the simpler constant

population size model and the exponential growth model.

To estimate the time to the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the

observed mitochondrial genetic variation, we obtained an estimate of the

substitution rate for the analysed *Cyt b* fragment as follows. Given our observed

Cyt b divergence between striped hyena and its closest relative, the brown hyena,

and the divergence between the two lineages that the fossil record suggests may

have occurred 4-5.2 Ma ago (Werdelin and Solounias 1991; Werdelin et al. 1994;

Werdelin 2003; Werdelin and Manthi 2012; Westbury et al. 2020, 2021), an

estimate of the substitution rate can be obtained using equation $d_{xy} = 2\mu T$ (Nei

1985, 1987), where d_{xy} is the mean sequence divergence per site, μ is the mean

substitution rate per site, and T is the time since divergence. Genetic estimates of

divergence time between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena* also fall at about 4-5 Ma

(Rohland et al. 2005; Koepfli et al. 2006; Hu et al. 2021; Westbury et al. 2021).

We confirmed the assumption of nucleotide substitution rate homogeneity

among the striped hyena haplotypes and between these and *Parahyaena*, using

Crocuta as outgroup, via generalized relative rate tests with topological

weighting (Robinson et al. 1998) in RRTREE 1.1.11 (Robinson-Rechavi and

Huchon 2000), and with Tajima's relative rate test (1993) as implemented in

MEGA 11.0.9 (Tamura et al. 2021). In RRTREE, statistical significance ($P < 0.05$)

of rate heterogeneity among lineages was assessed using both synonymous

substitution rates (*Ks*) and nonsynonymous substitution rates (*Ka*). The d_{xy}

between striped hyenas and *Parahyaena* was computed in MEGA using the

substitution model that best fits the data, according to the BIC in both IQ-TREE

and MEGA, among the models available in MEGA for distance estimation (TN93

for the 648 bp alignment; K2P for the 340 bp alignment), and the standard error

was estimated using 1000 bootstrap replicates. As a point value for the

divergence time between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena* we used 4.6 Ma, the midpoint

in the 4-5.2 Ma interval suggested by the fossil record. Similar point values have

been used or estimated for the divergence of the two lineages in recent genetic

studies of hyaenids (Westbury et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2021; Westbury et al. 2021).

The d_{xy} estimate for the 648 bp alignment was 0.091 ± 0.013 (mean ± standard

error), and for the 340 bp alignment was 0.086 ± 0.017 (mean ± standard error).

Approximating 95% confidence intervals (CIs) based on 1.96 standard errors

yields 95% CIs of [0.066, 0.116] and [0.053, 0.119], respectively. Using the above

equation d_{xy} = 2μT with T = 4.6 Ma, the d_{xy} estimates yield substitution rate

estimates of 0.99% per Ma (95% CI: 0.72-1.26%) and 0.93% per Ma (95% CI:

0.58-1.29%), respectively; i.e. ≈ 1% per Ma, the traditional average rate for

mtDNA (Brown et al. 1979; Wilson et al. 1985). Also integrating the uncertainty

in the fossil record about the divergence time between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena*

results, respectively, in the following CIs: 0.63-1.45% and 0.51-1.49%.

We estimated the TMRCA of the extant striped hyena mitochondrial genetic

variation, based on both 648-bp and 340-bp datasets and using different

methods implemented in Thomson's estimator (Thomson et al. 2000),

GENETREE and BEAST. An attractive feature of Thomson's estimator is that it

does not rely on a specific population genetic model (Thomson et al. 2000). On

the other hand, the estimator requires data consistent with the infinite sites

model. Accordingly, as mentioned above for the GENETREE analysis, in the 648

bp dataset we removed a polymorphic site (site 546). In the 340 bp dataset it

was also necessary to remove one polymorphic site incompatible with the said

model (site 317), which caused the haplotypes H1 and H7, as well as H4 and H5,

to no longer differ from each other. We computed Thomson's estimator and its

variance (the latter according to equation 4 in Hudson 2007) using NumPy code

kindly provided by Dr. Helmut Simon (https://github.com/helmutsimon), and

95% CIs (as in equation 5 in Hudson 2007). The required estimate of the

unfolded site frequency spectrum was obtained using the site.spectrum function

of the R package PEGAS (Paradis 2010) and an estimate of the ancestral

sequence of the ingroup. We estimated this ancestral sequence using the two

outgroups (*P. brunnea* and *C. crocuta*) in two different computer programs,

FASTML (http://fastml.tau.ac.il/; Ashkenazy et al. 2012) and IQ-TREE, for

comparison purposes, and both provided the same estimate of the ingroup's

ancestral sequence for each of the two datasets. In GENETREE, we used both the

constant population model and the exponential growth model, with the ML

estimates of θ and growth rate (obtained as described above) as generating

parameters. Both the 648-bp and 340-bp datasets were made compatible with

the infinite sites model by removing one inconsistent site in each dataset, as

described above. The ingroup's ancestral sequences used, one for each of the two

datasets, were those mentioned above, estimated using FASTML and IQ-TREE.

We ran 100 million simulations to obtain TMRCA estimates and likelihood

surfaces with 10,000 points. In BEAST we ran analyses with both outgroups and

only with *P. brunnea*. The outgroups correspond to the molecular clock

calibrations used: i) the divergence between the *Crocuta* lineage and the lineage

ancestral to *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena*, for which we used a normally distributed

calibration prior with mean 9.5 Ma and standard deviation 2 Ma (Jenks and

Werdelin 1998; Rohland et al. 2005; Westbury et al. 2019, 2020; Hu et al. 2021);

and ii) the split between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena*, with a normal calibration prior

with mean 4.6 Ma and standard deviation 0.3 Ma (Rohland et al. 2005; Koepfli et

al. 2006; Hu et al. 2021; Westbury et al. 2020, 2021). The datasets were

partitioned by codon position. We used the piecewise-constant skyline tree prior

(Drummond et al. 2005), which has been shown to perform well in molecular

dating analyses involving a mixture of inter- and intraspecific data (Ritchie et al.

2017; Mello et al. 2021), and the approximate continuous time Markov chain

(CTMC) rate reference prior (Ferreira and Suchard 2008). In the analyses of the

648 bp dataset with two outgroups, we used relaxed clock models (uncorrelated

lognormal model, UCLN, Drummond et al. 2006; random local clocks model, RLC,

Drummond and Suchard 2010) because a LRT (performed in MEGA) of the strict

molecular clock hypothesis rejected it ($P < 0.001$). In contrast, this hypothesis

was not rejected ($P = 0.060$) for the dataset with only *P. brunnea* as outgroup, in

agreement with the results from RRTREE and Tajima's relative rate test, and

therefore we assumed a strict molecular clock in the analysis of this dataset.

Similarly, the strict clock hypothesis was rejected for the 340 bp dataset with

two outgroups ($P = 0.007$), but not for the one with only *P. brunnea* as outgroup

($P = 0.226$). For each BEAST analysis, we ran four independent replicate MCMC

simulations, each with 50 million generations and sampled every 5000

generations following a pre-burnin of 10%. We also ran two replicate

simulations without data to obtain estimates from the prior distribution and test

the influence of priors on posterior distributions (Drummond et al. 2006; Brown

and Smith 2018). For each analysis, we used TRACER with the default burn-in to

assess convergence of the chain to the stationary distribution, obtain estimates

and ESS of parameters, and plot marginal posterior densities. After verifying

convergence, and confirming that posterior distributions of estimates were

markedly different from the prior distributions, the tree files from the four runs

with data were combined using SUMTREES, with the first 25% (2500) trees from

each run discarded as burn-in, into a MCCT with median node ages. This method

has a good overall performance, in terms of accuracy in estimating ages and

model fit, compared to other tree summary approaches (Morrison 2008;

Battistuzzi et al. 2010; Heled and Bouckaert 2013; Bromham et al. 2018). After

analysing the results of the runs with the relaxed clock models, we noticed that

in general the TMRCA estimates for the striped hyena mitochondrial variation

seemed too high (> 800 ka) when compared with those obtained with Thomson's

estimator (range of mean estimates: ~ 410-420 ka) and with GENETREE (range

of mean estimates: ~ 240-330 ka) and the only previously published estimate

that we are aware of (340 ka; Rohland et al. 2005). Thus, we considered these

high estimates likely to be erroneous. The apparent poor performance of the

UCLN clock model does not seem to be due to the presence of significant rate

autocorrelation among lineages, since, for both datasets with two outgroups, the

95% highest posterior density (HPD) interval of the covariance between parent

and child branch rates contained zero (Drummond et al. 2006). The CorrTest

method (Tao et al. 2019), implemented in MEGA, also did not reject the

hypothesis of independent evolutionary rates among lineages ($P > 0.05$).

However, we also noted that the standard deviation of the UCLN clock

(ucld.stdev parameter) was low in the analyses of both datasets (648 bp dataset:

mean = 0.049, median = 0.010, 95% HPD interval = [3.7×10^{-7}, 0.243]; 340 bp

dataset: mean = 0.138, median = 0.055, 95% HPD interval = [9.9×10^{-6}, 0.557]),

which suggests that the data is quite clock-like. The same indication was given by

the results for the posterior distribution of the number of rate changes (K)

(rateChangeCount parameter) in the RLC analyses, as a greater posterior than

prior probability for $K = 0$ supports the global clock hypothesis, while small or

negligible posterior probability for $K = 0$ strongly rejects the hypothesis

(Drummond and Suchard 2010). In the analysis with the 648 bp dataset, the

posterior distribution for K (mean: 0.619; median and mode: 0; 95 % HPD

interval: [0,2]) showed a higher probability for $K = 0$ than the prior distribution.

This was not so with the 340 bp dataset (mean: 0.769; median and mode: 1;

95 % HPD interval: [0,2]), for which 43% of the probability mass of the posterior

distribution was for $K = 1$, but yet 42% of the probability was for $K = 0$. In such

cases, a strict clock (SC) may perform better in dating estimation (Ho et al. 2005;

Brown and Yang 2011). Marginal likelihood estimates, obtained using stepping-

stone sampling in BEAST with 100 path steps and a chain length of 500,000, also

supported the use of a SC (648 bp dataset: log marginal likelihood = -1344.5; 340

bp dataset: log marginal likelihood = -711.2), compared with the RLC (648 bp

dataset: log marginal likelihood = -1344.5; 340 bp dataset: log marginal

likelihood = -711.1) and the UCLN (648 bp dataset: log marginal likelihood = -

1347.4; 340 bp dataset: log marginal likelihood = -713.2). Therefore, we decided

to also use the SC in the analyses with two outgroups.

Results

Genetic variation, haplotype relationships and phylogenetic analyses

The new striped hyena DNA sequences discovered in this study were deposited

in GenBank under accession numbers PP328974-PP328975 (Table 1). Several

observations indicate that the generated sequences are mitochondrial and not

nuclear-integrated copies of mtDNA (Arctander 1995; Zhang and Hewitt 1996;

Bensasson et al. 2001). First, PCRs consistently yielded single products of the

expected size. Second, independent replicate PCRs produced identical sequences.

Third, the sequences were unambiguous and did not contain indels or stop

codons (Smith et al. 1992; Mirol et al. 2000; Triant and DeWoody 2007).

Genetic diversity measures and results of mutation-drift equilibrium tests, for

both the 648 bp and 340 bp alignments of striped hyenas, are presented in Table

2. In the longer alignment the number of polymorphic sites was 13, with nine

being parsimony-informative and four singletons, and in the shorter alignment

the number of polymorphic sites was five, four of them parsimony-informative

and one a singleton. In both datasets, none of the mutation-drift equilibrium

tests used rejected at the 95% confidence level the null hypothesis of a constant

size population under the neutral model; note that in the F_S statistic test, an α =

0.02 corresponds to a 5% significance level (Fu 1997). The D* and F* statistics

were respectively -0.739 (P = 0.233) and -0.983 (P = 0.180) for the 648 bp

alignment, and 0.134 (P = 0.529) and -0.228 (P = 0.396) for the 340 bp alignment.

Analysis of the mismatch distribution for the 648 bp dataset under a model of

sudden demographic expansion (Schneider and Excoffier 1999), implemented in

ARLEQUIN, did not reject the expansion hypothesis (P = 0.678; 20,000 bootstrap

replicates). In agreement, the Harpending's (1994) raggedness index was low

(0.032; P = 0.552). The model parameter for the expansion time was estimated at

1.877 (in mutational time units) (95% CI: 0.715-3.736), which, assuming a

nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma, translates into 144,830 years (95%

CI: 55,170-288,272). For the 340 bp dataset, the analysis of the mismatch

distribution under the sudden expansion model failed to converge, possibly due

to the low number of polymorphic sites in this dataset. However, analyses in

DNASP showed that the observed mismatch distribution fits better with the

expected distribution under a sudden expansion model (Rogers and Harpending

1992) than under a model of constant population size (Fig. S1). We also

attempted in ARLEQUIN to test the mismatch distribution under a model of

spatial expansion (Ray et al. 2003; Excoffier 2004), but the least-square

procedure to fit the expected and observed mismatch distributions did not

converge.

Both the haplotype networks (Fig. 2) and the BI and ML phylogenetic trees (Figs.

S2-S4), for both the 648 bp and 340 bp datasets, indicate a shallow genetic

divergence among the striped hyena haplotypes, and essentially an overall lack

of phylogeographic structure.

Demographic simulations

The different MCMC sampling-based skyline plot analyses conducted in BEAST

did not identify signs of significant population size change in the Late Quaternary

demographic history of the striped hyena. In the BSP analyses using a normally

distributed prior for the substitution rate with mean 0.01 and standard deviation

0.0025 (in substitutions per site per Ma), truncated to be positive

(corresponding to a 95% interquantile range of 0.005-0.015), the estimated

mean tree root height was 281 ka (median: 248 ka; 95% HPD interval: 61-571

ka) for the piecewise-constant model (ESS > 1500 for all parameters), and 264

ka (median: 232 ka; 95% HPD interval: 60-536 ka) for the piecewise-linear

model (ESS > 2200 for all parameters). The respective BSPs for the two models

are shown in Fig. S5, in which it can be observed that the rise of the population

size curve at the left end of the plots never exceeds the 95% HPD limits along the

rest of the graph (Ho and Shapiro 2011; Grant 2015), where the curve is

essentially flat. The results of analyses using either a uniformly or exponentially

distributed prior for the substitution rate exhibited a similar pattern of no

significant population size changes (not shown). In the EBSP analyses using the

same normally distributed prior for the substitution rate as above, the estimated

mean tree root height was 363 ka (median: 331 ka; 95% HPD interval: 85-717

ka) for the stepwise model (ESS > 2200 for all parameters), and 341 ka (median:

304 ka; 95% HPD interval: 58-688 ka) for the linear model (ESS > 2800 for all

parameters). For the 'population size changes' parameter in each model, the

estimated mean was 0.867 (median and mode were 1, and the 95% HPD interval

was 0-2) and 1.024 (median and mode were 1, and the 95% HPD interval was 0-

3), respectively. The respective EBSPs for the two models are presented in Fig.

S6. Results of analyses using a uniformly distributed prior for the substitution

rate also did not indicate significant population size changes in recent

demographic history (not shown). The skyride (ESS > 6500 for all parameters)

and skygrid (ESS > 650 for all parameters) analyses also yielded the same result

(Fig. S7); their respective mean tree root height estimates were 72 ka (median:

66 ka; 95% HPD interval: 24-133 ka) and 277 ka (median: 245 ka; 95% HPD

interval: 67-564 ka). In the analyses with the constant population size (uniform

population size prior; ESS > 4700 for all parameters) and exponential growth

(uniform population size and growth rate priors; ESS > 1500 for all parameters)

models, the estimated mean tree root heights were 419 ka (median: 349 ka; 95%

HPD interval: 111-809 ka) and 508 ka (median: 349 ka; 95% HPD interval: 103-

814 ka). The 95% HPD interval of the posterior distribution of the exponential

growth rate parameter ([-1.803, 4.018]) included zero, i.e. did not reject a

constant population size. The skygrid model was the one with the highest log

marginal likelihood estimate (stepping-stone sampling MLE = -1096; path

sampling MLE = -1095), but not significantly different from that of the piecewise-

linear version of the BSP (MLE = -1097 by both stepping-stone sampling and

path sampling). Still using Bayes factors, the skygrid model had positive support

against the piecewise-constant version of the BSP (stepping-stone sampling MLE

= -1099; path sampling MLE = -1098) and very strong support (2 ln Bayes factor

> 10) against other models. The results of the single-tree skyline plot analyses

done in APE suggested population growth during the Late Pleistocene (Figs. S8-

S9). The fit of the different demographic models tested in genieR was compared

using the Akaike information criterion (AIC). The constant population size model

was rejected (ΔAIC = 90), while the exponential growth (AIC = -1575.919) and

expansion growth (AIC = -1573.919) models were equally supported (ΔAIC $\leq$ 2).

The implementation of Weiss and Von Haeseler's (1998) method in IPHULA

estimated a maximum likelihood model parameter combination (ρ = 10, θ = 2.8,

τ = 0.3) that suggests slow exponential growth since the Late Pleistocene.

Specifically, assuming a nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma and a

generation time for the striped hyena of 9.2 years (Pacifici et al. 2013), a τ of 0.3

translates to about 23 ka. In turn, a θ of 2.8 corresponds to a female N_e of 23484

at that time. However, the 95% confidence set of models, based on the likelihood

ratio of each combination of demographic parameters tested and the maximum

likelihood model, included scenarios of constant population size.

In LAMARC, analyses using the exponential growth or shrinkage model

suggested a slow exponential growth scenario. The highest likelihood estimate of

g was 910 (95% HPD interval: [-83, 4704]; ESS > 700 in all individual replicate

runs). Therefore, the 95% HPD interval crossed zero, not ruling out scenarios of

constant population size or even contraction. Moreover, LAMARC estimates of g

tend to be biased upwards when only a few loci are analysed (Kuhner et al.

1998). The highest likelihood estimate of current θ per site was 0.008 (95% HPD

interval: [0.002, 0.020]; ESS > 350 in all individual replicate runs), which

corresponds to a θ of 5.2 for the 648 bp fragment and, again assuming a

nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma and a generation time of 9.2 years, can

be translated into a female N_e ($N_e f$) of 43478. However, LAMARC estimates of θ

in the varying population size model may also be slightly biased upward, due to

correlation with g, when only a few loci are analysed (Kuhner et al. 1998). In the

analyses with the constant population size model, the highest likelihood estimate

of θ per site was 0.004 (95% HPD interval: [0.002, 0.006]; ESS > 850 in all

individual replicate runs), which corresponds to a θ of 2.6 for the 648 bp

fragment and, assuming a nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma and a

generation time of 9.2 years, can be translated into a $N_e f$ of 21739. This θ

estimate was very similar to that obtained using Watterson's (1975) estimator

based on the number of segregating sites: 2.7.

In GENETREE, the analyses using the constant population size model also yielded a

ML θ estimate of 2.7 (thus, a $N_e f$ of 22645), while in the exponential growth

model the ML θ estimate was 3.1 (i.e. $N_e f$ = 26000); the latter model suggested a

slow exponential growth rate. However, a LRT did not detect a significant

difference in the fit to the data between the simpler constant population size

model and the exponential growth model (P = 0.460).

Time to most recent common ancestor (TMRCA)

Thomson's method estimates for the TMRCA of the observed genetic variation in

H. hyaena were 419 ± 112 ka (mean ± standard error; 95% CI: 225-672 ka)

based on the 648 bp dataset and a nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma, and

410 ± 160 ka (mean ± standard error; 95% CI: 153-793 ka) based on the 340 bp

dataset and a nucleotide substitution rate of 0.93% per Ma (Table 3). Adding the

uncertainty in the fossil record and in the d_{xy} estimate for the divergence

between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena* into the nucleotide substitution rate estimate

(i.e. 95% CIs of 0.63-1.45% and 0.51-1.49% for the 648 bp and 340 bp datasets,

respectively) yields the following respective 95% CIs for the TMRCA: [155, 1066]

and [95, 1447]. In GENETREE, analyses of the 648 bp dataset with the constant

population size model and the ML estimate of θ of 2.7 gave a TMRCA estimate, in

coalescent time units, of 1.6 ± 0.5 (mean ± standard deviation), which, using a

nucleotide substitution rate of 1% per Ma and a generation time of 9.2 years,

corresponds to 333 ± 104 ka; approximating the 95% CI by ± 2 standard

deviations (Harding et al. 1997) gives 125-542 ka (86-860 ka including

uncertainty in the fossil record and in the d_{xy} estimate for the divergence

between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena* in the nucleotide substitution rate estimation).

With the exponential growth model and the respective ML estimate of θ of 3.1,

the TMRCA estimate in coalescent time units was 1.27 ± 0.35 (mean ± standard

deviation), i.e. 304 ± 84 ka (95% CI: 136-471 ka) (94-748 ka incorporating the

aforementioned uncertainty in the nucleotide substitution rate estimate).

Analyses of the 340 bp dataset with the constant population size model and a ML

estimate of θ of 0.9 yielded a TMRCA estimate in coalescent time units of 1.9 ±

0.8 (mean ± standard deviation), which, using a nucleotide substitution rate of

0.93% per Ma and a generation time of 9.2 years, translates into 279 ± 118 ka

(95% CI: 44-515 ka) (28-939 ka considering the uncertainty in the nucleotide

substitution rate estimate). Analyses of the same dataset with the exponential

growth model and a ML estimate of θ of 1.1 resulted in a TMRCA estimate in

coalescent time units of 1.38 ± 0.45 (mean ± standard deviation), i.e. 240 ± 78 ka

(95% CI: 83-397 ka) (52-723 ka considering the uncertainty in the nucleotide

substitution rate estimate) (Table 3). The fact that GENETREE TMRCA estimates

using two very different demographic models were quite similar suggests a

minor effect of the underlying demographic model on the estimates. TMRCAs

estimates from the BEAST analyses for different combinations of dataset,

calibrations, and clock model, are given in Table 3; ESS values were > 3000 for all

parameters in all individual runs under the strict clock, and > 200 under a

random local clock. Across the different analyses, the TMRCA point estimate for

the observed mtDNA variation in striped hyenas ranged from 330-480 ka, with

the clock rate point estimate between 1.1% and 1.6% per Ma, hovering around

1.3% per Ma (Table 3), which is quite close to the rate estimates of ≈ 1% that we

inferred based on the d_{xy} distance estimate between *Hyaena* and *Parahyaena*.

Discussion

Topics such as genetic diversity and structure, phylogeography, and evolutionary

history of the striped hyena remain poorly investigated (Wilkinson et al. 2024).

To date, our knowledge of the mitochondrial genetic diversity and

phylogeographic structure of the striped hyena comes essentially from the

analysis of a 340-bp *Cyt b* fragment in 13 individuals from across the historical

and present distribution of the species, which was part of a ground-breaking

study on the evolutionary history of extant hyenas (Rohland et al. 2005). That

analysis found low genetic diversity and no evident geographic structure of this

diversity. In our study we wanted to investigate the mitochondrial genetic

variation of the striped hyena in Algeria, using for this purpose a *Cyt b* fragment

encompassing the one analysed by Rohland et al. (2005). It was also our

objective, with the addition of samples from the Arabian Peninsula and Iran

(regions not covered in Rohland et al. (2005) and where classic morphological

subspecies have been described) and using a diverse set of demographic history

and coalescence time inference analyses, to conduct a more exhaustive and

better-sampled global analysis of the species than in Rohland et al. (2005). The

finding that a sample of 24 Algerian striped hyenas was monomorphic for a 753-

bp *Cyt b* fragment was striking, and agrees with the notion of low mitochondrial

diversity in the species. The apparent absence of phylogeographic structure in

the species seemingly extends also to southern Arabia and Iran (Figs. 2 and S2-

S4), with for example haplotype sharing between Oman and Iran for the 648-bp

fragment (Fig. 2a), which therefore also calls into question the validity of the

sultana and *hyaena* subspecies.

Demographic history

The observed patterns of moderate haplotype diversity and low nucleotide

diversity (Table 2) are compatible with a historical population bottleneck in the

species, followed by demographic growth and the appearance/accumulation of

new haplotypes (Grant and Bowen 1998). Moreover, the mismatch distributions

for both 648 bp and 340 bp datasets showed a significant fit with expected

distributions under a sudden expansion model. Nevertheless, it should be taken

into account that tests based on the mismatch distribution have been noted as

conservative in rejecting the null hypothesis of population expansion (Ramos-

Onsins and Rozas 2002; Fahey et al. 2014). On the other hand, none of the

mutation-drift equilibrium tests used rejected the hypothesis of constant

population size (Table 2). A reduced number of segregating sites, as is the case

here, can limit the power of these tests (Ramos-Onsins and Rozas 2002). In

particular, the values of the F_S statistic were clearly negative, a result consistent

with expectations in a scenario of population growth, which may suggest a lack

of power in the datasets due to a small number of segregating sites. However, a

limited number of segregating sites does not necessarily prevent significant

results in those tests (Pilkington et al. 2008; Kvist et al. 2011; Cabanne et al.

2013; Álvarez-Varas et al. 2015; Hassan-Beigi et al. 2022). These tests also have

less power if the demographic expansion is historically very recent (e.g.

Holocene), especially if it was not very intense (Fu 1997; Ramos-Onsins and

Rozas 2002). Rohland et al. (2005) also did not detect a statistical signal of

demographic expansion in their data (the authors did not report which analyses

and tests of demographic history were performed), but the observed

phylogeographic pattern was inferred to be the result of a recent and rapid range

expansion, probably within the last 100 ka and possibly as recently as the

Holocene, from a small refuge population, most likely located in Africa as,

according to Werdelin and Solounias (1991), no Late Pleistocene fossils of

striped hyenas are know outside of Africa. The results of the single-tree skyline

plot analyses and demographic model fit comparisons all supported the

population growth hypothesis. However, these single-tree methods have the

limitation of not taking into account uncertainty in the tree topology (Strimmer

and Pybus 2001; Pybus and Rambaut 2002); we attempted to mitigate this

problem by obtaining the tree to be used by Bayesian analysis and summarizing

the posterior into a MCCT, the tree that maximizes the product of the posterior

clade probabilities. All the most sophisticated demographic inference methods

used (MCMC sampling-based skyline plots, IPHULA, LAMARC, GENETREE) could

not reject with high confidence (> 95%) the hypothesis of constant population

size. MCMC sampling-based skyline methods may allow to correctly identify

demographic trajectories based on single-locus data (Gill et al. 2013), but such

datasets may also lack the power to reject the hypothesis of constant

demography (Heled and Drummond 2008). For example, although the sample

size we analysed cannot be considered small (n = 76), it has been noted in the

literature that the BSP method may lack the power to correctly detect

demographic expansions using single locus mitochondrial data when the sample

size is < 100 (Grant 2015). Again, also for those more complex methods it is

obviously preferable that the number of segregating sites is not small (Ho and

Shapiro 2011), but they have been applied to datasets with numbers of

segregating sites similar to our data, and produced significant results (e.g.

Griffiths and Tavaré 1994b, 1999; Kvist et al. 2011; Cabanne et al. 2013; Horreo

et al. 2013; Kuo et al. 2014; Álvarez-Varas et al. 2015; Ely et al. 2017). A small

number of segregating sites may not be the only challenge for the methods

implemented in IPHULA, LAMARC, and GENETREE. Another factor is the

assumed population growth model, which in the case of these three methods is

the exponential model. It is conceivable that the hypothesis of constant

population size may not be rejected with confidence if an exponential growth

model is very far from the true demographic history, which could be, for example,

one of slow, more or less linear growth, or a complex history alternating

recurrent episodes of expansion, stability, and/or decline (e.g. Excoffier and

Schneider 1999; Strimmer and Pybus 2001). For example, in the BEAST analysis

with the exponential growth coalescent model as tree prior, the marginal

posterior distribution of the exponential growth rate parameter included zero,

implying that a contrasting model of constant population size could not be

rejected. Overall, the observed patterns of haplotype and nucleotide diversity,

the mismatch distributions, and the single-tree skyline plot analyses and

demographic model fit comparisons supported the hypothesis of population

growth, confirming the inference by Rohland et al. (2005). This hypothesis was

not statistically significant in the more sophisticated and exacting methods used,

probably largely as a result of the limited power provided by a small number of

segregating sites.

It was surprising to observe only one haplotype in 24 individuals from Algeria,

whereas for example 41 animals from Iran showed eight haplotypes (648 bp

fragment; Table 1, Fig. 2a). It is therefore possible that the post-bottleneck

demographic growth within Africa was not as rapid and intense as that

associated with the colonization of Eurasia. If this was the case, then signatures

of demographic expansion will perhaps be easier to detect using large Asian

population samples. In the same way that in the human species, signatures of

demographic expansion are easier to detect in non-African populations than in

African ones (Ingman et al. 2000; Atkinson et al. 2008) or in pooled or global

datasets (e.g. Atkinson et al. 2009). Given the typical substitution rates in

mitochondrial DNA, it is expected that recent demographic expansions (e.g.

postglacial), particularly in organisms whose generation time is not short, may

be difficult to detect with data from relatively short mtDNA fragments (e.g. <

1000 bp), even using efficient methods in extracting the information present in

the data (Felsenstein 1992). Data from mitogenomes can be very useful in such

cases (Keis et al. 2013; Jensen et al. 2018). Future mitogenomic studies will likely

help to clarify open questions regarding the nature and age of the Late

Quaternary striped hyena demographic and geographic expansion(s) suggested

by the currently available mitochondrial data.

Age of the extant mitochondrial DNA variation

For the estimation of the TMRCA of the sampled genetic variation we also used

very different methods, always based on the same rationale that if different

analytical approaches yield congruent results, this increases our confidence in

them. The Thomson's estimator is simple and does not require the assumption of

a model for the demographic history of the population. GENETREE computes

TMRCA likelihood distributions conditional on the gene tree mutation structure,

a given value of θ, and the assumed model of population size history. For both

approaches the TMRCA estimates were translated into absolute time using the

estimated substitution rates for each fragment (340 bp and 648 bp). In contrast,

in BEAST the time information to calculate the TMRCA in years was derived from

fossil calibrations for the divergence between the striped hyena lineage and the

brown hyena and spotted hyena lineages. The TMRCA point estimates of the

observed genetic variation ranged between about 300 and 420 ka based on the

648 bp dataset, and between about 240 and 480 ka based on the 340 bp dataset

(Table 3); hence there was relative agreement between the estimates from the

two datasets. The variability of the estimates was lower for the dataset with

longer sequence length and more segregating sites, as would perhaps be

expected. For both datasets, estimates were higher with the Thomson's method

(648 bp: 419 ka; 340 bp: 410 ka) and with BEAST (648 bp: 334-387 ka; 340 bp:

441-484 ka) than with GENETREE (648 bp: 304-333 ka; 340 bp: 240-279 ka).

This pattern is in line with arguments that GENETREE results should be

interpreted as estimated lower bounds for the true values (Hammer et al. 1998;

Karafet et al. 1999). In fact, most estimates from Thomson's method and BEAST

tended to hover around 400 ka, coinciding with one of the longest and warmest

interglacials of the last 800,000 years (within Marine Isotope Stage 11, MIS 11:

374-424 Ka, Lisiecki and Raymo 2005), with environmental conditions similar to

the Holocene (Howard 1997; Kleinen et al. 2014; Tzedakis et al. 2022). Rohland

et al. (2005), using the phylogenetic program r8s (Sanderson 2003) and a point

estimate of 10 Ma for the divergence between spotted and striped/brown

hyenas as a calibration date, estimated the TMRCA of striped hyenas at about

340 ka, which is quite congruent with our estimates. One of our goals in this

study was precisely to provide additional estimates, using different methods, for

comparison with that one obtained with r8s. For instance, it has been suggested

that BEAST may be more accurate than r8s for clade age estimation, particularly

with single locus mitochondrial data (Mulcahy et al. 2012).

Nuclear DNA perspective

A recent whole nuclear genome sequencing study on the evolutionary histories

of extant hyenas (Westbury et al. 2021), in which the striped hyena was

represented by a single captive individual of unknown geographic origin,

indicated a very low genetic diversity also in the nuclear genome of the species,

and estimated a demographic growth in the lineage starting ∼ 500 ka that was

eventually followed by a sharp decline since ∼ 100 ka. A priority in the near

future should be a genome-wide study with a broad geographic sampling

throughout Africa and Asia to allow a better characterization of genetic diversity,

and the impact on it of the anthropogenic reduction of the species in the last

centuries, and a more powerful and informative assessment of population

structure and demographic history, as already attempted for its closest extant

species, the brown hyena (Westbury et al. 2018).

Acknowledgments

Carlos Rodríguez Fernandes thanks the support of CE3C and CHANGE through a

FCT-Tenure auxiliary researcher contract (FCiência.ID grant 1441) and an

auxiliary researcher contract (FCiência.ID grant 0801), and of FPUL for a

contract of invited assistant professor. We thank Yassine Righi for a striped

hyena sample from Belezma National Park, Algeria. We are extremely grateful to

Dr. Helmut Simon for all his advice on TMRCA estimation, and for the NumPy

code to compute Thomson's estimator. We thank Professor Robert Griffiths for

his advice on running GENETREE and analysing the results. We thank Dr.

Emmanuel Paradis for his advice on how to compute the unfolded site frequency

spectrum in his R package PEGAS. We thank Dr. Heiko A. Schmidt for his advice

in installing the IPHULA software. Lastly, we would like to thank Mammalian

Biology's Editor in Chief, Professor Heiko Georg Rödel, and two anonymous

reviewers for helpful comments and suggestions to improve the manuscript.

Author contributions

LD and MR performed the laboratory work for genetic analysis. LD and CRF

analysed the data and led the writing of the manuscript. All other authors read

previous versions of the manuscript and approved the final version. LD, HBH,

RHA, SMM, ZA, AC, and PV contributed striped hyena biological samples. YHB

produced Figure 1.

Funding

The costs associated with the sampling were supported by the private resources of Louiza Derouiche. This study was financed by Portuguese National Funds, through FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, within projects granted to CE3C: UID/BIA/00329/2019, UIDB/00329/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00329/2020), UIDP/00329/2020 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDP/00329/2020), and UIDP/00329/2025.

Data availability

Data from this study are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

References

Abi-Said M, Dloniak SMD (2015) *Hyaena hyaena*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened

Species. https://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2015-2.RLTS.T10274A45195080.en.

Akaike H (1974) A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Automat

Contr 19:716–723. https://doi.org/10.1109/TAC.1974.1100705

Akash M, Dheer A, Dloniak SM, Jacobson AP (2021) The faded stripes of Bengal: a

historical perspective on the easternmost distribution of the striped hyena. Eur J Wildl

Res 67:108. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-021-01552-9

Allen M, Engström A-S, Meyers S, Handt O, Saldeen T, Von Haeseler A, Pääbo S,

Gyllensten U (1998) Mitochondrial DNA sequencing of shed hairs and saliva on robbery

caps: sensitivity   and   matching   probabilities.   J   Forensic   Sci   43:453-464.

https://doi.org/10.1520/JFS16169J

Álvarez-Varas R, González-Acuña D, Vianna JA (2015) Comparative phylogeography of

co-distributed *Phrygilus* species (Aves, Thraupidae) from the Central Andes. Mol

Phylogenet Evol 90:150–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2015.04.009

Arctander P (1995) Comparison of a mitochondrial gene and a corresponding nuclear

pseudogene. Proc Biol Sci 262:13–19. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.1995.0170

Ashkenazy H, Penn O, Doron-Faigenboim A, Cohen O, Cannarozzi G, Zomer O, Pupko T

(2012) FastML: a web server for probabilistic reconstruction of ancestral sequences.

Nucleic Acids Res 40:W580–W584. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks498

Atkinson QD, Gray RD, Drummond AJ (2009) Bayesian coalescent inference of major

human mitochondrial DNA haplogroup expansions in Africa. Proc Biol Sci 276:367–373.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2008.0785

Atkinson QD, Gray RD, Drummond AJ (2008) mtDNA variation predicts population size

in humans and reveals a major Southern Asian chapter in human prehistory. Mol Biol

Evol 25:468–474. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm277

Ayres DL, Cummings MP, Baele G, Darling AE, Lewis PO, Swofford DL, Huelsenbeck JP,

Lemey P, Rambaut A, Suchard MA (2019) BEAGLE 3: improved performance, scaling,

and usability for a high-performance computing library for statistical phylogenetics. Syst

Biol 68:1052–1061. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syz020

Battistuzzi FU, Filipski A, Hedges SB, Kumar S (2010) Performance of relaxed-clock

methods in estimating evolutionary divergence times and their credibility intervals. Mol

Biol Evol 27:1289–1300. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msq014

Bensasson D, Zhang D-X, Hartl DL, Hewitt GM (2001) Mitochondrial pseudogenes:

evolution's   misplaced   witnesses.   Trends   Ecol   Evol   16:314–321.

https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(01)02151-6

Berry V, Gascuel O (1996) On the interpretation of bootstrap trees: appropriate

threshold of clade selection and induced gain. Mol Biol Evol 13:999–1011.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/13.7.999

Bhandari S, Youlatos D, Thapamagar T, Bhusal DR (2021) Shrinking striped hyena

(*Hyaena hyaena* Linnaeus, 1758) distribution in Nepal. Eur J Wildl Res 67:10.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-020-01437-3

Bromham L, Duchêne S, Hua X, Ritchie AM, Duchêne DA, Ho SYW (2018) Bayesian

molecular   dating: opening   up   the   black   box.   Biol   Rev   93:1165–1191.

https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12390

Brown JW, Smith SA (2018) The past sure is tense: on interpreting phylogenetic

divergence time estimates. Syst Biol 67:340–353.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syx074

Brown RP, Yang Z (2011) Rate variation and estimation of divergence times using strict

and relaxed clocks. BMC Evol Biol 11:271. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-11-271

Brown WM, George M, Wilson AC (1979) Rapid evolution of animal mitochondrial DNA.

Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 76:1967–1971. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.4.1967

Cabanne GS, Sari EHR, Meyer D, Santos FR, Miyaki CY (2013) Matrilineal evidence for

demographic expansion, low diversity and lack of phylogeographic structure in the

Atlantic forest endemic Greenish *Schiffornis Schiffornis virescens* (Aves: Tityridae). J

Ornithol 154:371–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-012-0901-8

Cassens I, Van Waerebeek K, Best PB, Crespo EA, Reyes J, Milinkovitch MC (2003) The

phylogeography of dusky dolphins (*Lagenorhynchus obscurus*): a critical examination of

network methods and rooting procedures. Mol Ecol 12:1781–1792.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294X.2003.01876.x

Çoğal M, İlemin Y, Sözen M (2021) Status and distribution of the striped hyaena, *Hyaena*

hyaena, in Turkey: an updated assessment (Carnivora: Mammalia). Turk J Zool 45:131–

141. https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-2007-8

Darriba D, Taboada GL, Doallo R, Posada D (2012) jModelTest 2: more models, new

heuristics and parallel computing. Nat Methods 9:772.

https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2109

Derouiche L, Irzagh A, Rahmouni R, Tahri R, Hadjeloum M, Bouhadad R, Fernandes C

(2020) Deep mitochondrial DNA phylogeographic divergence in the threatened aoudad

Ammotragus   lervia   (Bovidae,   Caprini).   Gene   739:144510.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2020.144510

Drummond AJ (2005) Bayesian coalescent inference of past population dynamics from

molecular   sequences.   Mol   Biol   Evol   22:1185–1192.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi103

Drummond AJ, Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Rambaut A (2006) Relaxed phylogenetics and

dating with confidence. PLoS Biol 4:e88. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0040088

Drummond AJ, Suchard MA (2010) Bayesian random local clocks, or one rate to rule

them all. BMC Biol 8:114. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-8-114

Dubach JM, Briggs MB, White PA, Ament BA, Patterson BD (2013) Genetic perspectives

on "Lion Conservation Units" in Eastern and Southern Africa. Conserv Genet 14:741–755.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-013-0453-3

Ely CR, Wilson RE, Talbot SL (2017) Genetic structure among greater white-fronted

goose   populations   of   the   Pacific   flyway.   Ecol   Evol   7:2956–2968.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2934

Excoffier L (2004) Patterns of DNA sequence diversity and genetic structure after a

range   expansion: lessons   from   the   infinite-island   model.   Mol   Ecol   13:853–864.

https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-294x.2003.02004.x

Excoffier L, Lischer HEL (2010) Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to

perform   population   genetics   analyses   under   Linux   and   Windows.   Mol   Ecol   Resour

10:564–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02847.x

Excoffier L, Schneider S (1999) Why hunter-gatherer populations do not show signs of

Pleistocene   demographic   expansions.   Proc   Natl   Acad   Sci   USA   96:10597–10602.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.19.10597

Fahey AL, Ricklefs RE, Dewoody JA (2014) DNA-based approaches for evaluating

historical demography in terrestrial vertebrates: evaluating historical demography. Biol

J Linn Soc 112:367–386. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12259

Felsenstein J (1992) Estimating effective population size from samples of sequences:

inefficiency of pairwise and segregating sites as compared to phylogenetic estimates.

Genet Res 59:139–147. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672300030354

Felsenstein J (1985) Confidence limits on phylogenies: an approach using the bootstrap.

Evolution 39:783–791. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1985.tb00420.x

Felsenstein J, Churchill GA (1996) A Hidden Markov Model approach to variation among

sites in rate of evolution. Mol Biol Evol 13:93–104.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025575

Fernandes CA, Ginja C, Pereira I, Tenreiro R, Bruford MW, Santos-Reis M (2008) Species-

specific mitochondrial DNA markers for identification of non-invasive samples from

sympatric carnivores in the Iberian Peninsula. Conserv Genet 9:681–690.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-007-9364-5

Ferreira MAR, Suchard MA (2008) Bayesian analysis of elapsed times in

continuous-time Markov chains. Can J Statistics 36:355–368.

https://doi.org/10.1002/cjs.5550360302

Fu Y-X (1997) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations against population growth,

hitchhiking and background selection. Genetics 147:915–925.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/147.2.915

Fu YX, Li WH (1993) Statistical tests of neutrality of mutations. Genetics 133:693–709.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/133.3.693

Gill MS, Lemey P, Faria NR, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Suchard MA (2013) Improving

Bayesian population dynamics inference: a coalescent-based model for multiple loci.

Mol Biol Evol 30:713–724. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mss265

Glez-Peña D, Gómez-Blanco D, Reboiro-Jato M, Fdez-Riverola F, Posada D (2010) ALTER:

program-oriented conversion of DNA and protein alignments. Nucleic Acids Res

38:W14–W18. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq321

Grant WAS, Bowen BW (1998) Shallow population histories in deep evolutionary

lineages of marine fishes: insights from sardines and anchovies and lessons for

conservation. J Hered 89:415–426. https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/89.5.415

Grant WS (2015) Problems and cautions with sequence mismatch analysis and Bayesian

skyline plots to infer historical demography. J Hered 106:333–346.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esv020

Griffiths RC, Tavaré S (1994c) Sampling theory for neutral alleles in a varying

environment. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 344:403–410.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1994.0079

Griffiths RC, Tavaré S (1999) The ages of mutations in gene trees. Ann Appl Probab

9:567–590 https://doi.org/10.1214/aoap/1029962804

Griffiths RC, Tavaré S (1994b) Ancestral inference in population genetics. Stat Sci 9:307–

319 https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1177010378

Griffiths RC, Tavare S (1994a) Simulating probability distributions in the coalescent.

Theor Popul Biol 46:131–159. https://doi.org/10.1006/tpbi.1994.1023

Griffiths RC, Tavaré S (1995) Unrooted genealogical tree probabilities in the infinitely-

many-sites model. Math Biosci 127:77–98. https://doi.org/10.1016/0025-

5564(94)00044-Z

Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W, Gascuel O (2010) New

algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the

performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 59:307–321.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq010

Hammer MF, Karafet T, Rasanayagam A, Wood ET, Altheide TK, Jenkins T, Griffiths RC,

Templeton AR, Zegura SL (1998) Out of Africa and back again: nested cladistic analysis

of human Y chromosome variation. Mol Biol Evol 15:427–441.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025939

Hanke M, Wink M (1994) Direct DNA sequencing of PCR-amplified vector inserts

following enzymatic degradation of primer and dNTPs. Biotechniques 17:858–860

Harding RM, Fullerton SM, Griffiths RC, Bond J, Cox MJ, Schneider JA, Moulin DS, Clegg JB

(1997) Archaic African and Asian lineages in the genetic ancestry of modern humans.

Am J Hum Genet 60:772–789

Harpending HC (1994) Signature of ancient population growth in a low-resolution

mitochondrial DNA mismatch distribution. Hum Biol 66:591–600

Hasegawa M, Kishino H, Yano T (1985) Dating of the human-ape splitting by a molecular

clock of mitochondrial DNA. J Mol Evol 22:160–174.

https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02101694

Hassan-Beigi Y, Rodrigues M, Adibi MA, Madjdzadeh SM, Vercammen P, Farhadinia MS,

Fernandes CR (2023) Mitochondrial DNA variation of the caracal (*Caracal caracal*) in

Iran and range-wide phylogeographic comparisons. Mamm Biol 103:83–106.

https://doi.org/10.1007/s42991-022-00328-1

Heled J, Bouckaert RR (2013) Looking for trees in the forest: summary tree from

posterior samples. BMC Evol Biol 13:221. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-13-221

Heled J, Drummond AJ (2008) Bayesian inference of population size history from

multiple loci. BMC Evol Biol 8:289. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-8-289

Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Drummond AJ, Cooper A (2005) Accuracy of rate estimation using

relaxed-clock models with a critical focus on the early metazoan radiation. Mol Biol Evol

22:1355–1363. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi125

Ho SYW, Shapiro B (2011) Skyline-plot methods for estimating demographic history

from   nucleotide   sequences.   Mol   Ecol   Resour   11:423–434.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2011.02988.x

Holder MT, Sukumaran J, Lewis PO (2008) A justification for reporting the majority-rule

consensus   tree   in   Bayesian   phylogenetics.   Syst   Biol   57:814–821.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150802422308

Holekamp KE, Kolowski JM (2009) Hyaenidae. In: Wilson DE, Mittermeier RA (eds),

Handbook of the mammals of the world. Volume 1: Carnivores. Lynx Edicions, Madrid,

Spain, pp 234–260

Horreo JL, Palacín C, Alonso JC, Milá B (2013) A link between historical population

decline in the threatened great bustard and human expansion in Iberia: evidence from

genetic and demographic data: bustards' historical population decline. Biol J Linn Soc

110:518–527. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12152

Howard   WR   (1997)   A   warm   future   in   the   past.   Nature   388:418–419.

https://doi.org/10.1038/41201

Hu J, Westbury MV, Yuan J, Zhang Z, Chen S, Xiao B, Hou X, Ji H, Lai X, Hofreiter M, Sheng

G (2021) Ancient mitochondrial genomes from Chinese cave hyenas provide insights

into the evolutionary history of the genus *Crocuta*. Proc R Soc B 288:20202934.

https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2020.2934

Hudson RR (2007) The variance of coalescent time estimates from DNA sequences. J Mol

Evol 64:702–705. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00239-006-0261-1

Huelsenbeck JP, Larget B, Alfaro ME (2004) Bayesian phylogenetic model selection using

reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. Mol Biol Evol 21:1123–1133.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msh123

Huggins PM, Li W, Haws D, Friedrich T, Liu J, Yoshida R (2011) Bayes estimators for

phylogenetic reconstruction. Syst Biol 60:528–540.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syr021

Hurvich CM, Tsai C-L (1989) Regression and time series model selection in small

samples. Biometrika 76:297–307. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/76.2.297

Ingman M, Kaessmann H, Pääbo S, Gyllensten U (2000) Mitochondrial genome variation

and the origin of modern humans. Nature 408:708–713.

https://doi.org/10.1038/35047064

Irwin DM, Kocher TD, Wilson AC (1991) Evolution of the cytochrome b gene of

mammals. J Mol Evol 32:128–144. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02515385

Jansen T, Forster P, Levine MA, Oelke H, Hurles M, Renfrew C, Weber J, Olek K (2002)

Mitochondrial DNA and the origins of the domestic horse. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA

99:10905–10910. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.152330099

Jeffreys H (1935) Some tests of significance, treated by the theory of probability. Math

Proc Camb Phil Soc 31:203–222. https://doi.org/10.1017/S030500410001330X

Jenks SM, Werdelin L (1998) Taxonomy and systematics of living hyaenas (Family

Hyaenidae). In: Mills MGL, Hofer H (eds) Hyaenas: status survey and conservation action

plan. IUCN/SSC Hyaena Specialist Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland, pp 8–17

Jensen EL, Miller JM, Edwards DL, Garrick RC, Tapia W, Caccone A, Russello MA (2018)

Temporal mitogenomics of the Galapagos giant tortoise from Pinzón reveals potential

biases in population genetic inference. J Hered 109:631–640.

https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esy016

Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, Von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS (2017)

ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat Methods

14:587–589. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.4285

Karafet TM, Zegura SL, Posukh O, Osipova L, Bergen A, Long J, Goldman D, Klitz W,

Harihara S, de Knijff P, Wiebe V, Griffiths RC, Templeton AR, Hammer MF (1999)

Ancestral Asian source(s) of New World Y-chromosome founder haplotypes. Am J Hum

Genet 64:817–831. https://doi.org/10.1086/302282

Kasparek M, Kasparek A, Gözcelioğlu B, Çolak E, Yiğit N (2004) On the status and

distribution of the striped hyaena, *Hyaena hyaena* , in Turkey. Zool Middle East 33:93–

108. https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2004.10638068

Kass RE, Raftery AE (1995) Bayes Factors. J Am Stat Assoc 90:773–795.

https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1995.10476572

Keis M, Remm J, Ho SYW, Davison J, Tammeleht E, Tumanov IL, Saveljev AP, Männil P,

Kojola I, Abramov AV, Margus T, Saarma U (2013) Complete mitochondrial genomes and

a novel spatial genetic method reveal cryptic phylogeographical structure and migration

patterns among brown bears in north-western Eurasia. J Biogeogr 40:915–927.

https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12043

Khorozyan I, Malkhasyan A, Murtskhvaladze M (2011) The striped hyaena *Hyaena*

hyaena (Hyaenidae, Carnivora) rediscovered in Armenia. Folia Zool 60:253–261.

https://doi.org/10.25225/fozo.v60.i3.a10.2011

Kimura M (1969) The number of heterozygous nucleotide sites maintained in a finite
population due to steady flux of mutations. Genetics 61:893–903.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/61.4.893

Kimura M (1980) A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base
substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J Mol Evol 16:111–
120. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01731581

Kingdon J (2001) The Kingdon Field Guide to African Mammals. Academic Press, London

Kleinen T, Hildebrandt S, Prange M, Rachmayani R, Müller S, Bezrukova E, Brovkin V,
Tarasov PE (2014) The climate and vegetation of Marine Isotope Stage 11 – model
results and proxy-based reconstructions at global and regional scale. Quat Int 348:247–
265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2013.12.028

Koepfli K-P, Jenks SM, Eizirik E, Zahirpour T, Valkenburgh BV, Wayne RK (2006)
Molecular systematics of the Hyaenidae: relationships of a relictual lineage resolved by a
molecular supermatrix. Mol Phylogenet Evol 38:603–620.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2005.10.017

Kowalski K, Rzebik-Kowalska B (1991) Mammals of Algeria. Polish Academy of Science,
Institute of Systematics and Evolution of Animals, Wrocław

Kuhner MK (2006) LAMARC 2.0: maximum likelihood and Bayesian estimation of
population parameters. Bioinformatics 22:768–770.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btk051

Kuhner MK, Smith LP (2007) Comparing likelihood and Bayesian coalescent estimation
of population parameters. Genetics 175:155–165.
https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.056457

Kuhner MK, Yamato J, Felsenstein J (1998) Maximum likelihood estimation of
population growth rates based on the coalescent. Genetics 149:429–434.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/149.1.429

Kuo H-C, Chen S-F, Fang Y-P, Flanders J, Rossiter SJ (2014) Comparative rangewide
phylogeography of four endemic Taiwanese bat species. Mol Ecol 23:3566–3586.
https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12838

Kvist L, Giralt D, Valera F, Hoi H, Kristin A, Darchiashvili G, Lovaszi P (2011) Population
decline is accompanied by loss of genetic diversity in the Lesser Grey Shrike *Lanius*
minor. Ibis 153:98–109. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01091.x

Lanave C, Preparata G, Sacone C, Serio G (1984) A new method for calculating
evolutionary substitution rates. J Mol Evol 20:86–93.
https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02101990

Lanfear R, Frandsen PB, Wright AM, Senfeld T, Calcott B (2016) PartitionFinder 2: new
methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and morphological
phylogenetic analyses. Mol Biol Evol msw260.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msw260

Lartillot N, Philippe H (2006) Computing Bayes Factors using thermodynamic
integration. Syst Biol 55:195–207. https://doi.org/10.1080/10635150500433722

Leigh JW, Bryant D (2015) POPART⬚: full-feature software for haplotype network
construction. Methods Ecol Evol 6:1110–1116. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-
210X.12410

Librado P, Rozas J (2009) DnaSP v5: a software for comprehensive analysis of DNA
polymorphism data. Bioinformatics 25:1451–1452.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp187

Lisiecki LE, Raymo ME (2005) A Pliocene-Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed

benthic δ18O records. Paleoceanography 20:2004PA001071.

https://doi.org/10.1029/2004PA001071

Low Cunningham P (2004) Checklist and status of the terrestrial mammals from the

United Arab Emirates. Zool Middle East 33:7–20.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2004.10638059

Mello B, Tao Q, Barba-Montoya J, Kumar S (2021) Molecular dating for phylogenies

containing a mix of populations and species by using Bayesian and RelTime approaches.

Mol Ecol Resour 21:122–136. https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13249

Mills MGL, Hofer H (1998) Hyaenas: status survey and conservation action plan.

IUCN/SSC Hyaena Specialist Group, IUCN, Gland, Switzerland

Minin VN, Bloomquist EW, Suchard MA (2008) Smooth skyride through a rough skyline:

Bayesian coalescent-based inference of population dynamics. Mol Biol Evol 25:1459–

1471. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msn090

Mirol PM, Mascheretti S, Searle JB (2000) Multiple nuclear pseudogenes of

mitochondrial cytochrome b in *Ctenomys* (Caviomorpha, Rodentia) with either great

similarity to or high divergence from the true mitochondrial sequence. Heredity 84:538–

547. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2540.2000.00689.x

Morrison DA (2008) How to summarize estimates of ancestral divergence times. Evol

Bioinform Online 4:EBO.S545. https://doi.org/10.4137/EBO.S545

Mulcahy DG, Noonan BP, Moss T, Townsend TM, Reeder TW, Sites JW, Wiens JJ (2012)

Estimating divergence dates and evaluating dating methods using phylogenomic and

mitochondrial data in squamate reptiles. Mol Phylogenet Evol 65:974–991.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2012.08.018

Nei M (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. Columbia University Press, New York

Nei M (1985) Human evolution at the molecular level. In: Ohta T, Aoki K (eds)
Population Genetics and Molecular Evolution. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 41–64.

Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Minh BQ (2015) IQ-TREE: A fast and effective
stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol
32:268–274. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300

Opgen-Rhein R, Fahrmeir L, Strimmer K (2005) Inference of demographic history from
genealogical trees using reversible jump Markov chain Monte Carlo. BMC Evol Biol 5:6.
https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-5-6

Pacifici M, Santini L, Marco MD, Baisero D, Francucci L, Marasini GG, Visconti P,
Rondinini C (2013) Generation length for mammals. Nature Conservation 5:89–94.
https://doi.org/10.3897/natureconservation.5.5734

Paradis E (2010) pegas: an R package for population genetics with an integrated–
modular approach. Bioinformatics 26:419–420.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp696

Paradis E, Schliep K (2019) ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and
evolutionary analyses in R. Bioinformatics 35:526–528.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty633

Pilkington MM, Wilder JA, Mendez FL, Cox MP, Woerner A, Angui T, Kingan S, Mobasher
Z, Batini C, Destro-Bisol G, Soodyall H, Strassmann BI, Hammer MF (2008) Contrasting
signatures of population growth for mitochondrial DNA and Y chromosomes among
human populations in Africa. Mol Biol Evol 25:517–525.
https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msm279

Pocock RI (1934) The races of the striped and brown hyænas. Proceedings of the

Zoological Society of London 104:799–825. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-

3642.1934.tb01667.x

Pybus OG, Rambaut A (2002) GENIE: estimating demographic history from molecular

phylogenies. Bioinformatics 18:1404–1405.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.10.1404

Pybus OG, Rambaut A, Harvey PH (2000) An integrated framework for the inference of

viral population history from reconstructed genealogies. Genetics 155:1429–1437.

https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/155.3.1429

R Core Team (2023) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R

Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.R-project.org/

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA (2018) Posterior summarization

in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst Biol 67:901–904.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032

Ramos-Onsins SE, Rozas J (2002) Statistical properties of new neutrality tests against

population growth. Mol Biol Evol 19:2092–2100.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a004034

Ray N, Currat M, Excoffier L (2003) Intra-deme molecular diversity in spatially

expanding populations. Mol Biol Evol 20:76–86.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msg009

Rieger I (1979) A review of the biology of striped hyaenas, *Hyaena hyaena* (Linné, 1758).

Saeugetierkd Mitt 27:81–95

Rieger I (1981) Hyaena hyaena. Mamm Species 150:1–5.

https://doi.org/10.2307/41353899

Ritchie AM, Lo N, Ho SYW (2017) The impact of the tree prior on molecular dating of

data sets containing a mixture of inter- and intraspecies sampling. Syst Biol 66:413–425.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syw095

Robinson M, Gouy M, Gautier C, Mouchiroud D (1998) Sensitivity of the relative-rate test

to   taxonomic   sampling.   Mol   Biol   Evol   15:1091–1098.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026016

Robinson-Rechavi M, Huchon D (2000) RRTree: Relative-rate tests between groups of

sequences   on   a   phylogenetic   tree.   Bioinformatics   16:296–297.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/16.3.296

Rogers AR, Harpending H (1992) Population growth makes waves in the distribution of

pairwise   genetic   differences.   Mol   Biol   Evol   9:552–569.

https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040727

Rohland N, Pollack JL, Nagel D, Beauval C, Airvaux J, Pääbo S, Hofreiter M (2005) The

population history of extant and extinct hyenas. Mol Biol Evol 22:2435–2443.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msi244

Ronquist F, Teslenko M, Van Der Mark P, Ayres DL, Darling A, Höhna S, Larget B, Liu L,

Suchard MA, Huelsenbeck JP (2012) MrBayes 3.2: efficient Bayesian phylogenetic

inference and model choice across a large model space. Syst Biol 61:539–542.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/sys029

Sanderson MJ (2003) r8s: inferring absolute rates of molecular evolution and

divergence times in the absence of a molecular clock. Bioinformatics 19:301–302.

https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/19.2.301

Schmidt HA, Strimmer K, Vingron M, Von Haeseler A (2002) TREE-PUZZLE: maximum
likelihood phylogenetic analysis using quartets and parallel computing. Bioinformatics
18:502–504. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/18.3.502

Schmidt HA, Von Haeseler A, Buschbom J (2007) pIPHULA—parallel inference of
population parameters using a likelihood approach. Bioinformatics 23:2636–2637.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm391

Schneider S, Excoffier L (1999) Estimation of past demographic parameters from the
distribution of pairwise differences when the mutation rates vary among sites:
application to human mitochondrial DNA. Genetics 152:1079–1089.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/152.3.1079

Schwarz G (1978) Estimating the dimension of a model. Ann Stat 6:461–464.
https://doi.org/10.1214/aos/1176344136

Smith MF, Thomas WK, Patton JL (1992) Mitochondrial DNA-like sequence in the
nuclear genome of an akodontine rodent. Mol Biol Evol 9:204–215.
https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040714

Stothard P (2000) The Sequence Manipulation Suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing
and formatting protein and DNA sequences. BioTechniques 28:1102–1104.
https://doi.org/10.2144/00286ir01

Strimmer K, Pybus OG (2001) Exploring the demographic history of DNA sequences
using the generalized skyline plot. Mol Biol Evol 18:2298–2305.
https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a003776

Suchard MA, Lemey P, Baele G, Ayres DL, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A (2018) Bayesian
phylogenetic and phylodynamic data integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus Evol 4:vey016.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vey016

Sugiura N (1978) Further analysis of the data by Akaike's information criterion and the

finite   corrections.   Commun   Stat   -   Theory   Methods   7:13–26.

https://doi.org/10.1080/03610927808827599

Sukumaran J, Holder MT (2010) DendroPy: a Python library for phylogenetic computing.

Bioinformatics 26:1569–1571. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq228

Tajima F (1993) Simple methods for testing the molecular evolutionary clock hypothesis.

Genetics 135:599–607. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/135.2.599

Tajima F (1989) Statistical method for testing the neutral mutation hypothesis by DNA

polymorphism. Genetics 123:585–595. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/123.3.585

Tamura K, Nei M (1993) Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the

control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. Mol Biol Evol 10:512–

526. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a040023

Tamura K, Stecher G, Kumar S (2021) MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics

Analysis   Version   11.   Mol   Biol   Evol   38:3022–3027.

https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab120

Tao Q, Tamura K, U. Battistuzzi F, Kumar S (2019) A machine learning method for

detecting autocorrelation of evolutionary rates in large phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol

36:811–824. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz014

Thomson R, Pritchard JK, Shen P, Oefner PJ, Feldman MW (2000) Recent common

ancestry of human Y chromosomes: evidence from DNA sequence data. Proc Natl Acad

Sci USA 97:7360–7365. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.97.13.7360

Tourani M, Moqanaki EM, Kiabi BH (2012) Vulnerability of striped hyaenas, *Hyaena*

hyaena , in a human-dominated landscape of Central Iran. Zool Middle East 56:133–136.

https://doi.org/10.1080/09397140.2012.10648948

Triant DA, DeWoody JA (2007) The occurrence, detection, and avoidance of
mitochondrial DNA translocations in mammalian systematics and phylogeography. J
Mammal 88:908–920. https://doi.org/10.1644/06-MAMM-A-204R1.1

Tzedakis PC, Hodell DA, Nehrbass-Ahles C, Mitsui T, Wolff EW (2022) Marine Isotope
Stage   11c: an   unusual   interglacial.   Quat   Sci   Rev   284:107493.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2022.107493

Vigilant L (1999) An evaluation of techniques for the extraction and amplification of
DNA   from   naturally   shed   hairs.   Biol   Chem   380:1329–1331.
https://doi.org/10.1515/BC.1999.169

Villanea FA, Kitchen A, Kemp BM (2019) Applications of Bayesian skyline plots and
approximate Bayesian computation for human demography. Hum Biol 91:279-296.
https://doi.org/10.13110/humanbiology.91.4.04

Villesen P (2007) FaBox: an online toolbox for fasta sequences. Mol Ecol Notes 7:965–
968. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-8286.2007.01821.x

Watterson GA (1975) On the number of segregating sites in genetical models without
recombination.   Theor   Popul   Biol   7:256–276.   https://doi.org/10.1016/0040-
5809(75)90020-9

Weiss G, Von Haeseler A (1998) Inference of population history using a likelihood
approach. Genetics 149:1539–1546. https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/149.3.1539

Werdelin L (2003) Carnivora from the Kanapoi hominid site, Turkana Basin, northern
Kenya, in: Harris JM, Leakey MG (eds), Geology and Vertebrate Paleontology of the Early
Pliocene Site of Kanapoi, northern Kenya. Contrib Sci 498:115–132

Werdelin L, Manthi FK (2012) Carnivora from the Kanapoi hominin site, northern Kenya.
J Afr Earth Sci 64:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2011.11.003

Werdelin L, Solounias N (1991) The Hyaenidae: taxonomy, systematics and evolution.

Fossils and Strata 30:1-104. https://doi.org/10.18261/8200374815-1991

Werdelin L, Turner A, Solounias N (1994) Studies of fossil hyaenids: the genera

Hyaenictis Gaudry and *Chasmaporthetes* Hay, with a reconsideration of the Hyaenidae of

Langebaanweg,   South   Africa.   Zool   J   Linn   Soc   111:197–217.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-3642.1994.tb01483.x

Werle E, Schneider C, Renner M, Völker M, Fiehn W (1994) Convenient single-step, one

tube purification of PCR products for direct sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res 22:4354–

4355. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/22.20.4354

Westbury MV, Cahsan BD, Dalerum F, Norén K, Hofreiter M (2019) Aardwolf population

diversity and phylogenetic positioning inferred using complete mitochondrial genomes.

Afr J Wildl Res 49:27-33. https://doi.org/10.3957/056.049.0027

Westbury MV, Hartmann S, Barlow A, Preick M, Ridush B, Nagel D, Rathgeber T, Ziegler

R, Baryshnikov G, Sheng G, Ludwig A, Wiesel I, Dalen L, Bibi F, Werdelin L, Heller R,

Hofreiter M (2020) Hyena paleogenomes reveal a complex evolutionary history of cross-

continental gene flow between spotted and cave hyena. Sci Adv 6:eaay0456.

https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aay0456

Westbury MV, Hartmann S, Barlow A, Wiesel I, Leo V, Welch R, Parker DM, Sicks F,

Ludwig A, Dalén L, Hofreiter M (2018) Extended and continuous decline in effective

population size results in low genomic diversity in the world's rarest hyena species, the

brown hyena. Mol Biol Evol 35:1225–1237. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msy037

Westbury MV, Le Duc D, Duchêne DA, Krishnan A, Prost S, Rutschmann S, Grau JH, Dalén

L, Weyrich A, Norén K, Werdelin L, Dalerum F, Schöneberg T, Hofreiter M (2021)

Ecological specialization and evolutionary reticulation in extant Hyaenidae. Mol Biol

Evol 38:3884–3897. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msab055

Wilkinson CE, Dheer A, Zett T, Torrents-Ticó M, Yarnell RW, Bar Ziv E, Bhandari S,

Jacobson A, Dloniak SM (2024) Review of the global research on Hyaenidae and

implications for conservation and management. Mammal Rev 54:193-212.

https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12337

Wilson AC, Cann RL, Carr SM, George M, Gyllensten UB, Helm-Bychowski KM, Higuchi RG,

Palumbi SR, Prager EM, Sage RD, Stoneking M (1985) Mitochondrial DNA and two

perspectives on evolutionary genetics. Biol J Linn Soc 26:375–400.

https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1985.tb02048.x

Wozencraft WC (2005) Order Carnivora. In: Wilson DE, Reeder, D.M. (eds), Mammal

Species of the World: A Taxonomic and Geographic Reference, 3rd edn. Johns Hopkins

University Press, Baltimore, pp 532–628

Xiang F, Dearlove B, Frost S (2019) genieR: An R package for inference of demographic

history of phylogenies. J Open Source Softw 4:634.

https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00634

Xie W, Lewis PO, Fan Y, Kuo L, Chen M-H (2011) Improving marginal likelihood

estimation for Bayesian phylogenetic model selection. Syst Biol 60:150–160.

https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syq085

Zhang DX, Hewitt GM (1996) Nuclear integrations: challenges for mitochondrial DNA

markers. Trends Ecol Evol 11:247–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/0169-

5347(96)10031-8

1359

1360

1361

Figures and Tables

Fig. 1. Map showing the geographic range of the striped hyena according to Abi-Said and Dloniak (2015). The plotted dots indicate the origin of the samples and sequences analysed; black dots in the case of our samples and dark grey dots for Genbank sequences (see Table 1 for details).

Table 1. Information on the samples and previously published *Cyt b* sequences of striped hyenas and outgroups used in this study.

Sample code	Country	Location	Haplotype (648 bp alignment)	Haplotype (340 bp alignment)	GenBank accession number	Reference
Hy1	Algeria	Chlef	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy2	Algeria	Batna	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy3	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy4	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy5	Algeria	Médéa	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy6	Algeria	Bouïra	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy7	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy9	Algeria	Biskra	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy10	Algeria	Chlef	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy11	Algeria	Bouïra	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy13	Algeria	Constantine	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy16	Algeria	Bouïra	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy18	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy21	Algeria	Médéa	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy22	Algeria	Batna	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy27	Algeria	Batna	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy28	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy29	Algeria	Batna	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy30	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1		PP328974	This study
Hy31	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1		PP328974	This study

Sample code	Country	Location	Haplotype (648 bp alignment)	Haplotype (340 bp alignment)	GenBank accession number	Reference
Hy32	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy33	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy34	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Hy35	Algeria	Tlemcen	H1	H1	PP328974	This study
Belezma_YRhigi	Algeria	Batna		H1	= PP328974	This study
	Algeria	Algiers		H1	DQ157581	Rohland et al. 2005
	Libya	Tripoli		H1	DQ157585	Rohland et al. 2005
	Cameroon			H1	DQ157579	Rohland et al. 2005
	Angola	Luanda		H7	DQ157582	Rohland et al. 2005
	Tanzania	NE Tanzania		H4	DQ157583	Rohland et al. 2005
	Tanzania		H11	H5	AY048787	Albert 2001
	Somalia	Somaliland		H5	DQ157584	Rohland et al. 2005
Hyena_Amr1	Jordan	Qatraneh		H3	= KP789314	This study
Hyena_Amr2	Jordan	Abu Nusair		H3	= KP789314	This study
	Syria			H4	DQ157577	Rohland et al. 2005
	Greece	Thebes		H5	DQ157578	Rohland et al. 2005
00-01C7-1926	Saudi Arabia	Taif	H2		PP328975	This study
00-01C7-F7AE	Saudi Arabia	Taif		H2	= PP328975	This study
Phiri	Saudi Arabia	Taif	H2		PP328975	This study
Arnold	Oman		H3	H1	= MT893967	This study
Buwayter	Oman		H3	H1	= MT893967	This study

Sample code	Country	Location	Haplotype (648 bp alignment)	Haplotype (340 bp alignment)	GenBank accession number	Reference
Dopey	Oman		H3	H1	= MT893967	This study
Luna	Oman		H3	H1	= MT893967	This study
Sirius	Oman		H3	H1	= MT893967	This study
	Oman			H3	KP789314	Unpublished
Hh1_Massoud	Iran	Kerman	H4	H6	= MT893978	This study
20_5_7_Massoud	Iran	Kerman	H4	H6	= MT893978	This study
	Iran	Razavi Khorasan	H3		MT893967	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Qorveh	H3		MT893969	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Khash	H3		MT893970	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Bushehr	H3		MT893972	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Nishapur	H3		MT893973	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Damghan	H3		MT893974	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Khuzestan	H3		MT893975	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Kerman	H3		MT893984	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Qom	H3		MT893989	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Qazvin	H3		MT893991	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Tehran	H3		MT893993	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Qom	H3		MT893994	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Hamedan	H3		MT893997	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Razavi Khorasan	H4		MT893978	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Razavi Khorasan	H4		MT893979	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022

Sample code	Country	Location	Haplotype (648 bp alignment)	Haplotype (340 bp alignment)	GenBank accession number	Reference
	Iran	Sabzevar	H4		MT893983	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Joghatai	H4		MT893988	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	North Khorasan	H4		MT893990	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Bardsir	H4		MT893996	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Khatam	H4		MT893998	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Bijar	H4		MT893999	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Kerman	H4		MT894000	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Khatam	H4		MT894001	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Bam	H4		MT894002	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Yasuj	H5		MT893965	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Ardestan	H5		MT893966	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Ardabil	H5		MT893968	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	East Azerbaijan	H5		MT893971	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Khamir	H5		MT893976	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Fars	H5		MT893977	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Semnan	H5		MT893981	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	East Azerbaijan	H5		MT893985	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Ardabil	H5		MT893987	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Hamedan	H5		MT893992	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Razavi Khorasan	H6		MT893980	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Taft	H7		MT893982	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022

Sample code	Country	Location	Haplotype (648 bp alignment)	Haplotype (340 bp alignment)	GenBank accession number	Reference
	Iran	Khatam	H8		MT893986	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Shahdad	H9		MT893995	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Iran	Hamedan	H10		MT894003	Dadashi-Jourdehi et al. 2022
	Azerbaijan			H1	DQ157587	Rohland et al. 2005
	Russia	Vladikavkaz		H1	DQ157576	Rohland et al. 2005
	Russia	Siberia		H1	DQ157586	Rohland et al. 2005
	India			H1	DQ157580	Rohland et al. 2005
		Tierpark Berlin	H5	H3	AY048788	Albert 2001
		San Diego Zoo	H5	H3	AY928678	Koepfli et al. 2006
		Cerza Zoo	H5	H3	JF894376	Bon et al. 2012
Outgroups						
Parahyaena brunnea				Parahyaena_brunnea	NC038159	Westbury et al. 2018
Crocuta crocuta				Crocuta_crocuta	NC020670	Bon et al. 2012

Table 2. Estimates of mitochondrial genetic diversity and mutation-drift equilibrium tests in striped hyenas, based on the two *Cyt b* alignments with different sequences and lengths

	S	n_H	h	π	D	F_s	R_2
648 bp dataset (n = 76)	13	11	0.794 ± 0.022	0.0026 ± 0.0017	-0.993 (P = 0.166)	-2.662 (P = 0.126)	0.064 (P = 0.181)
340 bp dataset (n = 50)	5	7	0.498 ± 0.082	0.0020 ± 0.0017	-0.922 (P = 0.198)	-3.345 (P = 0.020)	0.071 (P = 0.149)

n, number of samples; S, number of segregating sites; n_H, number of haplotypes; h, haplotype diversity (mean and standard deviation); π, nucleotide diversity (mean and standard deviation); *D*, Tajima's *D* statistic (Tajima 1989); F_S, Fu's F_S statistic (Fu 1997); R_2, Ramos-Onsins and Rozas' R_2 statistic (Ramos-Onsins and Rozas 2002); P, *p*-value of the test.

a)

b)

Fig. 2. IntNJ networks of (a) 648-bp and (b) 340-bp striped hyena *Cyt b* haplotypes, rooted with two outgroups (brown hyena and spotted hyena). The first contains 76 striped hyena sequences and the second contains 50. Circles represent haplotypes and their size is proportional to frequency. Circles are coloured according to where haplotypes were found and to their relative frequency. Small black circles represent hypothetical haplotypes. Dashes on lines connecting haplotypes represent the number of nucleotide substitutions separating them. Haplotype designations and further information are given in Table 1.

Table 3. TMRCA estimates for the striped hyena and between striped hyena and the outgroups used for time calibrations in the phylogenetic dating analyses

	Hyaena hyaena	*H. hyaena / P. brunnea*	*(H. hyaena + P. brunnea) / C. crocuta*
Thomson's estimator			
648 bp dataset	418.938 ka[a] (95% CI: 225.273-672.201)		
340 bp dataset	410.256 ka[b] (95% CI: 152.578-792.834)		
GENETREE			
648 bp dataset			
Constant population size model	333.334 ka[a] (95% CI: 125.000-541.668)		
Exponential growth model	303.784 ka[a] (95% CI: 136.344-471.224)		
340 bp dataset			
Constant population size model	279.418 ka[b] (95% CI: 44.118-514.718)		
Exponential growth model	240.043 ka[b] (95% CI: 83.493-396.593)		
BEAST[c]			
648 bp dataset; calibration with two outgroups[d]			
Strict clock (estimated clock rate: mean = 1.3% median = 1.3% 95% HPD = [0.9%, 1.8%])	377.8 ka (mean: 395.9 ka) (95% HPD: 172.7-657.2)	4.598 Ma (mean: 4.599 Ma) (95% HPD: 4.015-5.164)	9.323 Ma (mean: 9.393 Ma) (95% HPD: 6.808-12.118)
Random local clock (estimated clock rate: mean = 1.3% median = 1.3% 95% HPD = [0.9%, 1.8%])	387.3 ka (mean: 422 ka) (95% HPD: 161.5-711.9)	4.600 Ma (mean: 4.602 Ma) (95% HPD: 4.010-5.163)	9.304 Ma (mean: 9.369 Ma) (95% HPD: 6.705-12.070)

	Hyaena hyaena	*H. hyaena / P. brunnea*	*(H. hyaena + P. brunnea) / C. crocuta*
648 bp dataset; calibration with one outgroup[e]			
Strict clock (estimated clock rate: mean = 1.6% median = 1.5% 95% HPD = [0.8%, 2.5%])	333.8 ka (mean: 353.1 ka) (95% HPD: 135.0-613.3)	4.605 Ma (mean: 4.605 Ma) (95% HPD: 4.028-5.199)	
340 bp dataset; calibration with two outgroups[d]			
Strict clock (estimated clock rate: mean = 1.3% median = 1.2% 95% HPD = [0.8%, 1.8%])	440.7 ka (mean: 472.1 ka) (95% HPD: 143.4-872.3)	4.567 Ma (mean: 4.569 Ma) (95% HPD: 3.973-5.136)	9.874 Ma (mean: 9.940 Ma) (95% HPD: 6.908-13.058)
340 bp dataset; calibration with one outgroup[e]			
Strict clock (estimated clock rate: mean = 1.1% median = 1.1% 95% HPD = [0.6%, 1.7%])	483.8 ka (mean: 522.3 ka) (95% HPD: 150.8-974.3)	4.603 Ma (mean: 4.604 Ma) (95% HPD: 4.010-5.183)	

[a] Estimate using a substitution rate of 1% per Ma

[b] Estimate using a substitution rate of 0.93% per Ma

[c] For each of the analyses carried out in BEAST we also present the respective estimated clock rate in % per Ma

[d] Two calibration points: *Hyaena hyaena / Parahyaena brunnea* (4.6 Ma; 95% interquantile range: 4.012-5.188 Ma) and *(H. hyaena + P. brunnea) / Crocuta crocuta* (9.5 Ma; 95% interquantile range: 5.58-13.42 Ma) (normally distributed calibration densities)

[e] One calibration point: *Hyaena hyaena / Parahyaena brunnea* (4.6 Ma; 95% interquantile range: 4.012-5.188 Ma) (normally distributed calibration density)

Supplementary Information

Methodological details of analyses using MCMC sampling based skyline methods in BEAST

In the BSP analysis we used four groups and performed analyses with both the piecewise-constant and piecewise-linear models, and for the substitution rate parameter we tested normal, exponential, and uniform prior distributions. The lengths of the MCMC chains were 200 million generations, sampled every 20000th after a pre-burn-in of 20 million. In the EBSP analysis we performed runs with both the stepwise and linear models, and for the substitution rate parameter we tested normal and uniform prior distributions. A uniform distribution was used for the population prior mean. The lengths of the MCMC chains were 200 million generations, sampled every 20000th after a pre-burn-in of 20 million. For the Skyride model, we used time-aware smoothing, a normal prior distribution for the substitution rate parameter, and MCMC chains with 200 million generations, sampled every 20000th after a pre-burn-in of 20 million. For Skygrid, we used 75 grid points, a cutoff value of 1 Ma, a normal prior distribution for the substitution rate parameter, and MCMC chains with 200 million generations, sampled every 20000th after a pre-burn-in of 20 million. For each of the four types of MCMC sampling-based skyline plot analyses, we used Tracer 1.7.2 (Rambaut et al. 2018), with a default burn-in of 10% of the chain length, to assess convergence of the chain to the stationary distribution, plot marginal posterior densities, and obtain estimates and ESS of parameters. To draw the skyline plots we also used Tracer, and the Python script popGraphFromCSV.py (obtained from https://github.com/benb/beast-mcmc/tree/master/doc/EBSP/scripts).

References

Rambaut A, Drummond AJ, Xie D, Baele G, Suchard MA (2018) Posterior summarization in Bayesian phylogenetics using Tracer 1.7. Syst Biol 67:901–904. https://doi.org/10.1093/sysbio/syy032

a)

b)

Fig. S1. DNASP graphs of mismatch distributions for the striped hyena 340 bp dataset comparing the observed distribution with (a) the expected values under a model of population growth or decline (Rogers and Harpending 1992), and (b) the expected values under a model of constant population size. The x-axis measures the number of pairwise nucleotide differences between sequences, while the y-axis measures the frequency of such pairwise differences in the dataset.

Parahyaena brunnea

H1_Algeria

H2_KSA

H3_Oman_Iran

0.99

H5_Iran_UnknownProvenance

0.86

H4_Iran

0.84 H8_Iran

H6_Iran

0.78

H9_Iran

H11_Tanzania

0.72

H7_Iran

0.91

H10_Iran

Crocuta crocuta

0.02

b)

Parahyaena brunnea

H1_Algeria_Libya_Oman_Azerbaijan_Russia_India_Cameroon

H2_KSA

H4_Syria_Tanzania

0.97

H5_Greece_Somalia_Tanzania

H7_Angola

H3_Oman_Jordan_UnknownProvenance

0.94

H6_Iran

Crocuta crocuta

0.03

Fig. S2. Majority-rule consensus phylograms (cut-off 0.7) from the Bayesian inference analyses of the (a) 648-bp and (b) 340-bp *Cyt b* datasets, with two outgroups (*Parahyaena brunnea* and *Crocuta crocuta*). See Table 1 for haplotype information. Numbers above branches are Bayesian posterior probabilities.

Fig. S3. Majority-rule consensus phylogram (cut-off 70%) of the maximum likelihood analysis of the 648 bp dataset with two outgroups (*Parahyaena brunnea* and *Crocuta crocuta*). See Table 1 for haplotype information. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values.

Fig. S4. Majority-rule consensus phylogram (cut-off 70%) of the maximum likelihood analysis of the 340 bp dataset with two outgroups (*Parahyaena brunnea* and *Crocuta crocuta*). See Table 1 for haplotype information. Numbers above branches are bootstrap values.

a)   b)

Fig. S5. BSPs for the sample of 76 striped hyena 648-bp *Cyt b* sequences, using the a) piecewise-constant and b) piecewise-linear models, and a normally distributed prior for the substitution rate with mean 0.01 and standard deviation 0.0025 (in substitutions per site per Ma). The thicker solid dark blue line is the median estimate of population size, and the lighter blue shaded region represents the 95% HPD envelope. Maximum time is the root height median, and the black vertical dotted line indicates the lower 95% HPD estimate. The x-axis (in linear scale) measures time in Ma units (going backwards in time from left to right) and the y-axis (in logarithmic scale) measures population size in units of estimated effective population size (N_e) x generation time in years x 10^{-6}.

a)   b)

Fig. S6. EBSPs for the sample of 76 striped hyena 648-bp *Cyt b* sequences, using the a) stepwise and b) linear models, and a normally distributed

prior for the substitution rate with mean 0.01 and standard deviation 0.0025 (in substitutions per site per Ma). The thicker dashed line is the median

estimate of population size, and the shaded region represents the 95% HPD envelope. The x-axis (in linear scale) measures time in Ma units (going

backwards in time from left to right) and the y-axis (in logarithmic scale) measures population size in units of estimated effective population size

(N_e) x generation time in years x 10^{-6}..

a) b)

Fig. S7. Skyline plots for the sample of 76 striped hyena 648-bp *Cyt b* sequences, using the a) skyride and b) skygrid methods, and a normally distributed prior for the substitution rate with mean 0.01 and standard deviation 0.0025 (in substitutions per site per Ma). The thicker solid dark blue lines are the median estimates of population size, and the lighter blue shaded regions represent 95% HPD envelopes. Maximum time is the root height median, and the black vertical dotted lines indicate the lower 95% HPD estimates. The x-axis (in linear scale) measures time in Ma units (going backwards in time from left to right) and the y-axis (in logarithmic scale) measures population size in units of estimated effective population size (N_e) x generation time in years x 10^{-6}.

Fig. S8. Generalized skyline plot (black line), and classic skyline plot (grey line) in the background. Time is zero at the present.

a)
b)

Fig. S9. Bayesian MCP plots estimated using a) a constant population size prior and b) a skyline plot prior. The thicker black lines are the median of the posterior distribution of population size, and the thinner lines represent the 95% confidence intervals. In both figures, for comparison, the classic skyline plot is shown in the background (dashed lines). Time is zero at the present.