Host-parasite interactions of an avian blood parasite elucidated by

single-cell transcriptomics

Tim Maximilian Rapp[1], Tobias Lautwein[2], Robert Peuß[3,4], Joachim Kurtz[3,4], Oliver Krüger[1,4], Nayden

Chakarov[1,4]

[1]Department of Animal Behaviour, Bielefeld University, Germany

[2]Biologisch-Medizinisches Forschungszentrum (BMFZ), Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Germany

[3]Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Münster, Germany

[4]JICE, Joint Institute for Individualisation in a Changing Environment, University of Münster and Bielefeld

University, Germany

Correspondence:

Tim Maximilian Rapp

Department of Animal Behaviour

Bielefeld University

Konsequenz 45

33615 Bielefeld

E-mail: timrapp@hotmail.de

Abstract

To date, the intricate cellular and molecular interplay between hosts and parasites is well described for a limited number of model systems. Recent methodological advances now allow deep insights in non-model symbioses, including such that ultimately appear barely antagonistic and may entail intense reciprocal manipulations. We applied single cell RNA-sequencing to blood samples of a wild, long-lived avian host, the Common Buzzard (*Buteo buteo*), infected with the malaria-like parasite *Leucocytozoon toddi*. We identified host cell types and their respective molecular toolkits involved in both, host immunity and parasite virulence. Comparing blood cell-type specific transcriptomic profiles between Buzzards with low and high parasitaemia, we gain insights into the parasitic immune evasion and potential modulation of the host immune response. The response of immune cells was overall low indicating immunosuppression under high parasitaemia, despite the reportedly low pathogenicity of the parasite, likely a result of long-lasting coevolution. Our study illuminates in rare detail a benign host-parasite interaction and bridges a large phylogenetic and symbiotic gap in the evolutionary gradient from parasitism to mutualism. The cell-type specific markers which we identify allow a more complete understanding of the evolutionary forces shaping host immunity.

Key words: Host-parasite interaction, avian malaria, scRNA-seq, transcriptomics, immunosuppression, host response, *Leucocytozoon*, *Plasmodium*

Parasites represent a significant proportion of biodiversity and have evolved to manipulate host

defences in numerous and diverse ways[1–3]. On an evolutionary scale, this variability of effects ranges

from mutualism to parasitism and may be maintained by a trade-off between transmission and

virulence[4–9]. Conversely, host immune responses, associated costs and effects on parasites vary

strongly among and within species[10]. For example, possible courses of human malaria range from

parasite clearance, over asymptomatic and uncomplicated forms, to severe malaria and death[11].

The complex lifecycles of intracellular protozoans involve many mechanisms for host cell invasion and

immune evasion[12–15]. In well-characterised malaria parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*, some of these

mechanisms include antigenic variation, dysregulation of B cells, anergy of T-cells and concomitant

immunosuppression[13,14].

Despite their long-established role as models of evolutionary ecology, many aspects of the biology of

avian malaria and malaria-like parasite remains enigmatic[16]. Here, we focus on *Leucocytozoon*, which

is phylogenetically most separated from its haemosporidian sister genera such as *Plasmodium* and

Haemoproteus[17]. A comparatively high host specificity of *Leucocytozoon* occurs with far more than a

hundred different *Leucocytozoon* species, each specialised on a relatively small range of bird species[18].

Especially in birds of prey a number of specialist parasite lineages occur, suggesting a long history of

co-adaptation between *Leucocytozoon* and their hosts[19].

Unlike other haemosporidians, *Leucocytozoon* is capable of infecting diverse hosts cell types, including

leukocytes[18]. Furthermore, some *Leucocytozoon* species achieve high prevalence, while displaying

apparently low virulence[20–23]. In our study population of Common Buzzards *L. toddi*-infections occurred

at prevalences of up to 74% (unpublished data). With its distinct infection biology, high prevalence and

evolutionary trajectory, this taxon may allow us annotate a case of rather mutualistic co-adaptation

within the wide range of host-parasite interactions.

Vector-mediated parent-to-offspring transmission, i.e. quasi-vertical transmission, may facilitate the

evolution towards low virulence in *L. toddi*[24,25]. This pattern of transmission might be a pervasive

consequence of social niche choice, i.e., territoriality and local confinement of parents and offspring

through extended parental care leading to transmission[25,26].

The *Leucocytozoon* lifecycle includes merozoite stages invading erythroblasts, erythrocytes, and

mononuclear leukocytes within the host. Macro- and microgametocytes ("female" and "male"-type

precursors, respectively) then develop in the infected cells. Gametogenesis is initiated upon ingestion

by blackflies (Simuliidae)[18]. Nonetheless, the remarkable changes experienced by these parasites

throughout their lifecycle have so far never been linked to context-dependent transcriptional changes.

Few studies have yet studied transcriptomic responses to haemosporidian infections in wild birds[27–30].

However, only whole blood transcriptomes have been analysed potentially convoluting cell-type

specific expression changes. Meanwhile, single cell RNA-sequencing (scRNA-seq) became capable of

filling this potential gap, with first advances in mapping immune cells in domestic avian species[31–33].

Extending the approach to a wild host-parasite system and their blood parasites we identify genes

involved in intra- and intercellular interactions between parasite and host cells. By examining the

extent of virulence elicited by *Leucocytozoon* we highlight mechanisms potentially driving

downregulation of immune genes and a concomitant increase of host tolerance to parasites.

Results

Identification of 22 distinct cell populations in *L. toddi*-infected peripheral blood

A total of 38295 cells were analysed resulting in the identification of 22 clusters via dimensionality

reduction of transcriptional profiles (Fig. 1A). A comparison of three HP (high parasitaemia) with three

LP (low parasitaemia) samples allowed identification of infected cells (Fig. 1B). Peripheral blood cell

type proportions diverged between blood smears and scRNA-seq-derived counts (Tab. S2), indicating

a potential bias introduced during cell preparation for scRNAseq (e.g., due to density gradient

centrifugation). Overall, 28337 annotated and 712 unannotated genes were detected. Transcript

diversity (Fig. S1A), expression levels (normalised UMI counts; Fig. S1B), proportion of mitochondrial

and parasite transcripts (Fig. S1C-D, Fig. S2) varied strongly between clusters.

Absence of pronounced changes in immune cell populations

Apart from expectedly strong increases in gametocytes comparing HP versus LP, most other cell types exhibited slightly decreasing proportions (Fig. 1). Signs of adaptive immunity activation, such as clonal expansion of lymphoid cells, were absent. Strong decreases were observed in few cell types such as heterophils and B cells. As indicated above, an increase observed for red blood cells is possibly due to variation during extraction, as highly infected samples have less discrete layering of cell types during density gradient centrifugation.

Strong differential gene expression occurs in two parasite cell types

The differential gene expression analysis resulted in 723 (LP) versus 1278 (HP) DEGs (differentially expressed genes; Fig. 2A, Tab. S4; cut-off value: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05). Overall, 1138 parasite DEGs, 866 host DEGs and 29 unannotated DEGs were identified (Tab. S4; cut-off value: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05). Subsetting the strongest DEGs (cut-off values: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05; log_2-FC (averaged) ≥ 1) limited the pool of genes to 360 DEGs under LP versus 1165 DEGs under HP. The highest number of DEGs was found in microgametocytes and developing gametocytes, while host cells generally expressed fewer DEGs (Fig. 2A-C). Host DEGs were largely expressed under LP, whereas parasite DEGs were predominantly present in HP samples (Fig. 2B & 2C). Since parasite genes dominated under HP in developing gametocytes and the unannotated genes followed the same trend, they likely represent parasitic transcripts. While slightly more of the strongest host DEGs were expressed under LP than under HP, the strongest parasite DEGs were exclusive to HP, but present in all host clusters. This implies an overall downregulation of host transcriptomic responses under HP.

A comparison of uninfected versus infected samples was not feasible due to the high prevalence of *L. toddi* in the study population during sample acquisition. In order to validate that differential expression analysis of HP versus LP groups represented changes in gene expression related to gradual changes in parasitaemia, we correlated gene expression with parasitaemia as a continuous variable determined from blood smears. The analysis delivered fewer genes of interest with a higher ratio of parasite to

host genes. 216 CGs (correlated genes) showed a strong correlation with parasitaemia (Tab. S5, cut-

off value: FDR ≤ 0.05). In summary, 182 parasite and 34 host CGs were correlated with the gradient of

parasitaemia. The correlations were almost exclusively positive apart from 4 host CGs displaying a

negative correlation with parasitaemia in heterophils. Out of the 216 CGs (Tab. S5) 19 parasite genes

also represented DEGs retrieved by differential expression analysis (Tab. S4; cut-off value: p-value

(adjusted) ≤ 0.05).

Cell-type specificity of DEGs

While we expected to observe cell-type specific host DEGs, parasite gene expression might rely on a

more homogenous transcriptomic makeup across cell types, potentially required for the initial invasion

of host cells by merozoites. The redundancy of DEGs across clusters was therefore expectedly higher

for parasite than for host DEGs (Fig. S6). The most redundant parasite DEGs were only among the top

five DEGs under HP in host cells but not in gametocytes (Tab. 1, Fig. S5 & 5).

Immune gene expression generally lacks correlation with parasitaemia

The host immune genes which ranked among the top five DEGs were largely downregulated under HP

within various cell types (Tab. 1). These genes are implicated in processes such as in T cell activation,

the inflammatory response or pathogen defence (Tab. 1). The strong downregulation of immune genes

in various cell types indicates the absence of a pronounced immune response during increasing

parasitaemia.

Immune effectors including cytokines remained largely unaffected by increased parasitaemia or even

displayed downregulation across cell types. Cytokines are crucial for the vertebrate immune response

to pathogens[34]. While generally promoting parasite elimination, an exaggerated pro-inflammatory

response via TNF-α, IL-6, or IL-8 can also favour severe malaria and pathogenicity[35]. While mostly

displaying weak ubiquitous expression, certain cytokines were highly expressed in certain clusters

(Tab. S6), but not as significant DEGs (Tab. S6). As an exception, *TGF-β* displayed differential expression

under LP in thrombocytes and monocytes.

MHC-I components were upregulated under HP in thrombocytes, erythrocytes, T cells and eosinophils

(Tab. S6). In contrast, these genes were downregulated under HP in some B cells, T cells and in

monocytes (Tab. S6). Interestingly, microgametocytes displayed upregulation of *B2M* (MHC-I

component) under HP, while developing gametocytes exhibited the opposite pattern (Tab. S6). MHC-

II components were downregulated in a subset of B cells and monocytes under HP (Tab. S6).

Among the detectable immunoglobulin mRNAs only *IgL* (*Immunoglobulin light chain λ*) expressed in B

cells was significantly diminished under HP (Tab. S6).

Opposing patterns of host gene expression in gametocyte subtypes

PPI-network analysis of host DEGs was conducted to identify pathways responding to parasitaemia.

Focusing on developing gametocytes and microgametocytes showing the highest number of DEGs (Fig.

3), we retrieved networks with genes partially reoccurring in both clusters, but displaying opposite

expression patterns (Fig. 4). The largest group of interactors were components of the ribosome which

were downregulated in developing gametocytes, but upregulated in microgametocytes under HP (Fig.

4).

Discussion

This study is the first to perform scRNA-seq on a free-living avian host and its unculturable

haemosporidian parasite, demonstrating both the feasibility and opportunities of the method in

evolutionary ecology. We focused on changes of host responses to different levels of parasitaemia

which are informative about gene expression linked to the severity of infection. Which general

patterns can be observed and how do these responses differ between cell types?

The annotation of the clusters comprised of *L. toddi* gametocytes based on marker genes led us to

subdivide them into putative developing gametocytes, micro- and macrogametocytes. This division is

also suggested by the bifurcation of macro- and microgametocyte clusters from the progenitor cell

cluster, much like the pattern observed in *P. falciparum*[36]. It is striking that gametocyte subtypes

displayed strong differences with regard to basic cellular properties. While general transcript

abundance and the ratio of parasite versus host transcripts was highest in macrogametocytes, this

cluster simultaneously exhibited the lowest proportion of mitochondrial gene transcripts. The

functional differences between micro- and macrogametocytes connected to these alterations in

transcript abundance might be linked to differing mitochondrial-to-nuclear genome ratios between

Leucocytozoon gametocyte types[37]. On the other hand, they are also reminiscent of a mechanism

described in *Plasmodium* where maternally derived mRNA-pools drive zygote development[38].

Considering the estimated phylogenetic distance of 46-74 million years between *Leucocytozoon* and

other haemosporidians the overall weak transferability of *Plasmodium* gametocyte markers is not

surprising but complicated the annotation[17]. Conversely, the synergy between our study and diverse

scRNA-seq studies on *Plasmodium* can inform about cellular markers and processes which are either

common or specific to different groups of blood parasites. For instance, a certain level of conservation

between mechanisms controlling macro- versus microgametocyte differentiation in *Plasmodium* and

Leucocytozoon appears to exist, since we identified an orthologue of *DOZI*, which functions as a

translational repressor in macrogametocytes of *Plasmodium*, as a marker of macrogametocytes[38].

With the help of such markers, gametocyte ratios might become estimable based on gross blood

transcriptomic data.

Classically, gametocytes of *Leucocytozoon* are thought to develop within erythroblasts, erythrocytes,

and mononuclear leukocytes[18]. On the other hand, it has more recently been suggested that some

Leucocytozoon species solely infect thrombocytes, while other species seem to differ in their host cell

choice[39–41]. Likewise, the overall similarity of transcriptional profiles between gametocytes and

thrombocytes also points to thrombocytes as the preferred host cell type in buzzards. Thrombocytes

were clustered into four cellular subtypes. Whether these subtypes also display different functions and

host-parasite interactions remains to be investigated. Meanwhile, parasite transcripts were also

notably expressed at low levels in several host cell types indicating a probable widescale infection of

various non-preferred cell types. This global expression of parasite genes across host cell types under

HP might be due to a promiscuous invasion of host cells by merozoites under HP. The upregulation of

genes required for invasion of host cells by merozoites in *P. falciparum* such as *PfIMC1i* and *G2*

supports this interpretation[42]. We also observed an expression of different host cell-type markers in

different gametocyte subtypes, which might represent residual expression of host genes after invasion

or parasite-driven ectopic expression. Hence, parasite micro- and macrogametocytes might each

preferentially develop from different host peripheral blood cell types. In conclusion, our data suggests

thrombocytes as preferred host cell types of gametocytes, while low level expression of parasite

transcripts indicates a widescale invasion of host cell types under HP.

We found that host transcriptional profiles differed strongly between parasitaemia levels and in part

even displayed opposing trends comparing cells harbouring developing gametocytes, micro- and

macrogametocytes. Our findings indicate that developing versus differentiated gametocytes face and

in turn shape very different regulatory environments within their host cells. Firstly, the bulk of DEGs

occurred in developing gametocytes which indicates that transcriptional host-parasite antagonism

might peak during the onset of parasite development, when interactions are first calibrated and the

intracellular niche is established[43]. In fact, the reprogramming and restructuring of the host cell is well

known to require and elicit profound transcriptional changes by intracellular protozoa[44,45].

Secondly, we detected opposing patterns of differential expression in the different gametocyte

subtypes. This pattern was mainly observed for genes involved in host ribosome biogenesis, a process

which is also known to enhance growth and proliferation during carcinogenesis if deregulated[46]. While

these genes were downregulated under HP in developing gametocytes, they were upregulated in

microgametocytes. Meanwhile, no such pattern was observed in macrogametocytes. This could be

explained by an unknown density-dependent mechanism favouring host ribosome biogenesis in

developing gametocytes under low parasitaemia and in microgametocytes under high parasitaemia,

which would be absent in macrogametocytes. Beside downregulation of host genes encoding

ribosomal proteins in microgametocytes, we also observed downregulation of host RNA metabolism

possibly involved in re-allocation of resources towards parasitic gene expression along with a

deregulation of genes linked to the host's actin cytoskeleton also known to occur during the early

development of other intracellular protists[47–49].

A first important notion supporting the idea of immunosuppression during *L. toddi* infection was the

absence of pronounced differences in immune cell populations depending on the level of parasitaemia.

Many other intracellular parasites are known to prevent activation and clonal expansion of T cells and

other immune cells required for parasite clearance[12–14]. Second, the majority of differentially

expressed host genes were downregulated under HP. Concomitantly, we also found several genes

involved in the immune response to be downregulated under HP across multiple cell types. This

included downregulation of calgranulin- and avidin-encoding genes in CD4+ T cells or *NOS2* in

microgametocytes. In contrast to our observations, these genes have been shown to be

transcriptionally upregulated in response to LPS-injection in wild passerines and upon *Salmonella*

enterica-infection in chicken[50–52]. Another indication of immunosuppression was provided by the

downregulation of MHC-I and -II expression, which has been characterised as a mechanism of immune

evasion in *Leishmania donovani* and *Toxoplasma gondii* infection[53–56]. While a similar mechanism might

drive the downregulation of *B2M* in developing *L. toddi* gametocytes under HP, it remains puzzling that

we found the opposite effect in microgametocytes. On the other hand, a wide range of host cell types

also displayed upregulation of MHC-I components under HP. However, MHC-I upregulation did not

seem to evoke a strong CD8+ T cell response. This is suggested by a lack of a pronounced cytokine

response and downregulation of genes involved in CD8+ T cell activation. The weak and promiscuous

expression patterns of various cytokines indicate an overall mild inflammatory response regardless of

infection intensity. Additionally, this response is downregulated under HP in the case of *IL-8 like* and

TGF-β in thrombocytes. While the upregulation of *IL-8 like* under HP in eosinophils might contribute to

the inflammatory response, this effect might be neutralised by downregulation in thrombocytes. The

lack of differential expression of *IL-2, IL-16, IL-18, IL-7, IL-15, TNF11*, *TNF13B* and *TNF8 like* comparing

between LP and HP does not indicate a correlation with parasitaemia. The expression of these genes

might either be constitutive or induced during the onset of infection without further increase during

the course of infection. Finally, the expression of immunoglobulins remained stable or was even

downregulated under HP, which is also in agreement with the finding that antibody titres of buzzard

nestlings could not be linked to *Leucocytozoon* infection intensity in an earlier study by our group[57].

The widescale downregulation of several immune genes could indicate the presence of

immunosuppressive effects under high *Leucocytozoon* infection intensity. As this pattern can result

from the inter-individual variation of host immunity and infection trajectories it would need to be

addressed by performing experimental infections. However, such experiments are both logistically and

ethically unfeasible with *Leucocytozoon* in protected free-living raptor hosts. For this purpose, other

comparable models would need to be developed. Thus, while we cannot be secure that widescale

downregulation of immune genes is the outcome of manipulation by the parasite, this interpretation

seems likely.

Within the various peripheral blood cell-types we observed highly cell-type specific transcriptional

responses to parasitaemia, some of which might be reactions to manipulation by the parasite. A vast

body of literature on comparable host-parasite systems suggests the functions of genes which exhibit

parasitaemia-related expression changes. Although these connections remain speculative, they can

help to understand how and which mechanisms utilised by other intracellular protozoa for host

manipulation might be at play in *Leucocytozoon*.

Overall, we observed a repetitive pattern of cell-type specific downregulation of immune genes in

various host cell types. On the other hand, certain genes could confer tolerance to infection. Other

genes were implicated in promoting parasite growth.

An example of cell-type specific downregulation of immune genes could be provided by the

downregulation of *DTNA* and of *CCDC155* in CD4+ T cells potentially affecting T cell activation. DTNA is

known as a component of the DGC (dystrophin-glycoprotein complex) found in striated muscle cells[58].

CCDC155 has been characterised as a component of the LINC (linkers of the nucleoskeleton to the

cytoskeleton)-complex coupling chromosomes to the cytoskeleton during meiosis[59]. Interestingly,

immunological synapse formation during T cell activation involves both the LINC-complex and the

DGC[60,61].

T cell activation might also be suppressed in CD8+ T cells, since some genes known to be involved in

this process were downregulated under HP. For instance, *TXNRD1* is upregulated during activation and

clonal expansion of T cells in response to *Leishmania major* infection[62]. Consequently, *TXNRD1*-

deficiency leads to increased parasitaemia[62].

In microgametocytes the downregulation of *NOS2* under HP might play a role in increasing host

tolerance to infection. Intracellular protists are known to downregulate iNOS as a mechanism of

immune evasion as exemplified by *T. gondii* and *L. amazonensis* infections[63,64]. Inducible nitric oxide

synthase (iNOS) encoded by *NOS2* catalyses the production of anti-parasitic nitric oxide (NO), which at

excess causes cytotoxic damage to the host[65–67]. Therefore, a downregulation of *NOS2* might be

beneficial, especially under high parasite burden and highlights the possibility of a mechanism enabling

tolerance to infection.

As an example of a gene potentially promoting parasite growth, *FTH1,* encoding a subunit of ferritin

required for iron homeostasis was upregulated under HP in thrombocytes. Ferritin confers tolerance

to malaria in humans[68]. However, this is unlikely to be the case during *Leucocytozoon*-infections since

they do not cause haemolysis and excessive release of iron as seen in *Plasmodium*-infections[18]. A

mechanism as observed in *Leishmania amazonensis*-infected macrophages is more likely, where FTH1

promotes parasite growth through iron scavenging[69]. This interpretation is further supported by the

notion that *FTH1* was also upregulated in microgametocytes.

Our findings indicate that the low virulence of *L. toddi* is associated with widescale downregulation of

immune genes in peripheral blood. At the same time, the sampled individuals might differ in their

susceptibility to infection or represent different time points of infection, which may also correspond

to different immunological responses. Immunosuppression can prevent excessive immune responses,

which can be damaging to the host (e. g. excessive cytokine production[45]). Therefore, the inhibition of

such pathways during parasitic infections can be beneficial to the host under certain circumstances

such as low parasite virulence. For instance, costs of infection may be resource-dependent and thus

could be compensated by additional food provisioning as long as buzzard nestlings are provided with

parental care[22]. Accordingly, current work by our group shows that *Leucocytozoon* infection at the

nestling stage is not predictive of survival (Ottensmann et al., in press). Immune evasion can have a

mitigating effect on virulence and in certain contexts assist the evolution of host tolerance[3]. In this

light, our study provides a rare example of reduced immunopathology in an apparently highly co-

adapted host-parasite-system elucidated down to the cellular and molecular mechanisms. It therefore

contributes a rarely detailed reference within a spectrum of strategies and levels of host-parasite

antagonism that still remains to be understood in the wild nature.

Methods

Sample acquisition and preparation

Samples were collected in June 2021 in a population of Common Buzzards in eastern Westphalia, Germany (8°25'E and 52°06'N). Occupied nests had previously been located in March and April. In a first sampling event, blood samples were taken from the ulnar vein of nestlings. Air-dried blood smears were prepared in the field, fixed in absolute ethanol and stained with Giemsa[18]. Parasitaemia of *L. toddi* was estimated microscopically based on gametocyte counts. From this pool of sampled 345 buzzard nestlings from 164 territories, six individuals were chosen for further sampling based on their infection status ("low" versus "high" parasitaemia; Tab. S1). Uninfected nestlings were not available due to the extremely high prevalence of the parasite in the study population. Selected individuals originated from six different breeding territories, which were evenly distributed throughout the study area. In a second sampling event (maximum ten days after first sampling), three individuals with "low parasitaemia" (LP: 0.017%, 0.025% & 0.133% of total blood cells) and three individuals with "high parasitaemia" (HP: 0.956%, 1.384% & 1.699% of total blood cells) were brought to the Genomics & Transcriptomics Laboratory of the Heinrich-Heine-University in Düsseldorf, Germany. A blood sample with a volume of 1 mL was taken from the ulnar vein, transferred to a 15 mL centrifuge tube for Percoll (Sigma-Aldrich) density gradient centrifugation with discontinuous layers of 55%, 45%, 35%, and 25% solutions of stock isotonic Percoll (SIP) diluted with PBS at 7.4 pH. The density gradient centrifugation was performed to deplete erythrocytes (swinging-bucket centrifuge rotor, 500 x g, 15min at 20°C). The easily visible upper non-erythrocytic phases, containing larger and less dense cells compared to avian erythrocytes, were extracted, and immediately subjected to single cell processing. Variation in the extraction of leukocytes may cause differences in cell type proportions between samples. For comparison of cell type proportions with scRNA-seq all peripheral blood cell types were counted via microscopy of blood smears. Per sample approximately 30000 cells were counted within 20 random fields of view (Tab. S2). The nestlings were immediately returned to their nests after sampling and transport. Sampling and transport of nestlings were permitted by the ethics commission of the Animal

Care and Use Committee of the German North Rhine-Westphalia State Office for Nature, Environment

and Consumer Protection (Landesamt für Natur, Umwelt und Verbraucherschutz Nordrhein-

Westfalen) under the reference number 84-02.04.2017.A147.

Single Cell Library Generation

A total of ~40,000 single cells were generated on the 10X Chromium Controller system for single-cell

droplet library generation utilizing the Chromium Single Cell 3' NextGEM Reagent Kit v3.1 (10X

Genomics, Pleasanton, CA, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was carried out

on a NextSeq550 system (Illumina Inc. San Diego, USA) with a mean sequencing depth of ~50,000

reads/cell.

Processing of 10X Genomics single cell data

Raw sequencing data were processed using the 10X Genomics CellRanger software (v7.0). Raw BCL-

files were demultipexed and processed to Fastq-files using the CellRanger *mkfastq* pipeline. A custom

reference was created by combining draft *B. buteo* and *L. toddi* genomes using the cellranger *mkref*

pipeline. Alignment of reads to the genome and UMI (unique molecular identifier) counting was

performed via the CellRanger *count* pipeline to generate a gene-barcode matrix. All samples were

aggregated and normalised for sequencing depth using the cellranger *aggr* pipeline.

Further analyses were carried out with the Seurat v4.1.1 R package[70–72]. Initial quality control consisted

of removal of cells with fewer than 200 detected genes as well as removal of genes expressed in less

than three cells. Furthermore, cells with a mapping rate of > 10% to the mitochondrial genome were

removed, as they most likely represent dead or damaged cells. Cell doublets were removed from the

dataset using DoubletFinder v2.0[73]. Normalization was carried out utilizing SCTransform. Dimensional

reduction of the data set was achieved by Principal Component analysis (PCA), based on identified

variable genes and subsequent UMAP (Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection) embedding.

The number of meaningful Principal Components (PC) was selected by ranking them according to the

percentage of variance explained by each PC, plotting them in an "Elbow Plot" and manually

determining the number of PCs that represent the majority of variance in the data set. Cells were

clustered using the graph-based clustering approach implemented in Seurat. Marker genes defining

each cluster (MGs) as well as differentially expressed genes (DEGs) comparing LP and HP nestlings were

calculated using a Wilcoxon Rank Sum test implemented in Seurat. Additionally, correlated gene

expression with parasitaemia determined by blood smear cell counts (see methods above) were

performed. Linear models were fitted to the averaged expression of each gene per cluster versus the

gradient of parasitaemia values to identify correlated genes (CGs). The false discovery rate was

calculated to account for multiple testing.

Cluster annotation and downstream analyses

Literature-curated markers were used to annotate Seurat clusters with avian peripheral blood cell

types and haemosporidian gametocyte stages (Fig. 1, S3 & S4). Blood cell markers from *Gallus gallus*

and *Plasmodium falciparum* (lineage 3D7) gametocyte markers were utilised[33,74–79]. The annotation of

cell types was further aided by the overall similarity of transcriptional profiles (Fig. 1A), marker genes

with indicative functions (MGs; Tab. S3) and parasite transcript abundance (Fig. S1D, Fig. S2). Multiple

clusters could be grouped into one cell type based on the expression of marker genes, while the

identity of subtypes remained elusive. Downstream analyses were performed using R version 4.1.2 for

data processing and exploration[80].

Network analysis of DEGs

Protein-protein-interaction (PPI) network analysis of host DEGs was conducted with Cytoscape

stringApp v2 using *Aquila chrysaetos chrysaetos* as reference species to generate networks based on

DEGs with cut-off values $p \geq 0.05$ (Bonferroni adjusted) and log_2FC (averaged per cluster) ≥ 1[81–83]. Only

interactions with a confidence score of ≥ 0.9 were retrieved. Pathway enrichment analysis was

performed via the enrichment tool implemented in stringApp v2 using the referenced genome as a

background. For comparability, only enriched KEGG (Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes)

pathways were highlighted.

Data and resource availability

The .fastq-files containing scRNA-seq sequences are deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) at EMBL-EBI under accession number PRJEB73843. R-code for downstream analyses is accessible via Dryad (DOI: 10.5061/dryad.sbcc2frf2).

Acknowledgments

Sampling and processing of the samples was supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG), as part of the SFB TRR 212 (NC³) – project numbers 316099922 and 396780709 and DFG project number 398434413 to OK and NC. This work was supported by the DFG Research Infrastructure West German Genome Center, project 407493903, as part of the Next Generation Sequencing Competence Network, project 423957469. Next Generation Sequencingand/or analyses was carried out at the West German Genome Center Düsseldorf. NC and OK received the DFG grant #DJ 154/4-4 as part of the DFG Sequencing call #2. Computational infrastructure and support were provided by the Centre for Information and Media Technology at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf and from the Center for Biotechnology, CeBiTec, Bielefeld University.

Author contributions

NC and OK designed the research. NC was involved in sample acquisition. NC and TL performed laboratory procedures. TL and TMR analysed the data. TMR wrote the paper. RP and JK provided critical feedback to the analyses and the manuscript.

383 **Tables and Figures**

384 Table 1: Strongest DEGs across clusters (ordered by cell type). References indicate potential gene

385 functions. Immune genes upregulated under HP are indicated by "↑", whereas immunosuppression

386 (downregulation of immune genes under HP) is indicated by "↓" (see column "Function"). Reference

387 (Ref.) species: Ac = *Aquila chrysaetos chrysaetos*, Cm = *Corvus moneduloides*, Gg = *Gallus gallus*, Pm =

388 *Parus major*, Pf = *Plasmodium falciparum 3D7*, Bb = *Babesia bigemina*.

Cluster	Para-sitemia	NCBI accession number	Gene	Ref. species	log$_2$-FC (avg.)	p-value (adj.)	Function	References
			Host					
9	HP	XP_031958267.1	*PHF10*	*Cm*	-1.36	1.65E-09	chromatin remodelling	[84]
	LP	XP_031970858.1	*TSSK6*	*Cm*	1.00	6.51E-06	chromatin remodelling	[85]
	LP	XP_040977087.1	*LOC121232750*	*Ac*	1.23	6.40E-06	-	-
	LP	XP_015480400.1	*MXD4*	*Pm*	1.86	5.18E-07	↓ blood progenitor proliferation, T cell survival	[86,87]
	LP	XP_029888348.1	*CDC42BPB*	*Ac*	2.14	6.93E-05	regulator of the cytoskeleton	[88]
0	HP	XP_029862693.1	*LOC115338306*	*Ac*	-1.11	4.05E-71	↑ MHC-I	[89]
	LP	XP_029877109.1	*ESAM*	*Ac*	1.49	1.16E-130	↓ neutrophil extravasation	[90,91]
1	HP	XP_029851964.1	*SYN2*	*Ac*	-2.03	2.68E-108	exocytosis	[92–94]
	LP	XP_040547522.1	*EYA1*	*Gg*	1.12	1.21E-68	DNA repair	[95–97]
	LP	XP_029877109.1	*ESAM*	*Ac*	1.41	1.11E-129	↓ neutrophil extravasation	[90,91]
3	HP	XP_029851964.1	*SYN2*	*Ac*	-2.14	5.52E-84	exocytosis	[92–94]
	HP	XP_029895765.1	*FTH1*	*Ac*	-1.01	1.17E-02	iron scavenging	[68,69]
	LP	XP_029859213.1	*MTMR12*	*Ac*	1.05	2.72E-21	endocytosis	[98–100]
	LP	XP_029852971.2	*ARHGAP36*	*Ac*	1.11	1.50E-51	neural development	[101–103]
	LP	XP_040547522.1	*EYA1*	*Gg*	1.16	2.01E-26	DNA repair	[95–97]
7	HP	XP_029851964.1	*SYN2*	*Ac*	-2.13	2.19E-81	exocytosis	[92–94]
	HP	XP_029866592.1	*PPDPF*	*Ac*	-1.29	5.16E-23	implicated in cancer progression	[104,105]
	LP	XP_029852971.2	*ARHGAP36*	*Ac*	1.10	1.28E-44	neural development	[101–103]
2	LP	XP_031953757.1	*CCDC155*	*Cm*	1.23	2.88E-89	↓ T cell activation	[59,60]
	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.68	6.03E-162	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
5	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.04	8.17E-69	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
8	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.29	9.21E-67	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
11	LP	XP_031953757.1	*CCDC155*	*Cm*	1.23	3.95E-17	↓ T cell activation	[59,60]
	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.93	1.84E-40	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
16	LP	XP_029861532.1	*LOC115337405*	*Ac*	1.32	7.03E-06	↓ anti-pathogenic	[106]
	LP	XP_029879656.2	*LOC115345306*	*Ac*	1.45	1.68E-04	↓ pro-inflammatory	[107]
18	LP	XP_029896238.1	*TXNRD1*	*Ac*	1.62	2.97E-11	↓ T cell activation	[62]
	LP	XP_029886923.1	*ALOX5*	*Ac*	1.63	3.08E-10	↓ T cell activation, inflammation & cell death	[108–110]
	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.68	1.79E-08	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
19	LP	XP_040977722.1	*LOC115337909*	*Ac*	1.01	1.04E-07	↓ T cell activation (putative)	[111]
	LP	XP_018861179.1	*DTNA*	*Pm*	1.02	1.57E-05	↓ T cell activation	[58,61]
	LP	XP_031953757.1	*CCDC155*	*Cm*	1.11	3.28E-05	↓ T cell activation	[59,60]
10	LP	XP_029881352.1	*S100A10*	*Ac*	1.44	1.25E-16	↓ pro-inflammatory	[112]
12	HP	XP_040977087.1	*LOC121232750*	*Ac*	-1.05	2.52E-44	-	-
	LP	XP_029862549.1	*LOC115338209*	*Ac*	1.19	6.80E-65	↓ MHC-II	[55,56]
4	HP	XP_029897790.1	*F13A1*	*Ac*	-1.19	3.19E-25	coagulation factor	[113]
	LP	XP_029861378.1	*ANXA1*	*Ac*	1.11	2.52E-27	↓ neutrophil extravasation	[114]
	LP	XP_029879656.2	*LOC115345306*	*Ac*	2.90	3.09E-48	↓ pro-inflammatory	[107]
13	HP	XP_040978593.1	*CSRNP1*	*Ac*	-1.05	7.52E-16	↑ immune cell growth & development	[115]
	LP	XP_029897792.1	*LY86*	*Ac*	1.03	1.33E-10	↓ regulator of Toll-Signalling	[116]
15	LP	XP_029871069.1	*LOC115341780*	*Ac*	2.71	9.06E-07	↓ anti-pathogenic (putative)	[117]
20	HP	XP_029893214.2	*FAM184A*	*Ac*	-1.39	6.19E-03	associated with human malignancies	[118–120]
6	HP	XP_029895765.1	*FTH1*	*Ac*	-2.67	1.90E-13	iron scavenging	[68,69]
	HP	XP_029893758.1	*LOC115351288*	*Ac*	-2.30	6.81E-08	antioxidant	[121]
	HP	XP_040977744.1	*LOC121233102*	*Ac*	-2.02	3.62E-09	carcinogenesis (putative)	[122]
	HP	XP_031957654.1	*RPS12*	*Cm*	-1.98	2.18E-09	ribosome	[46]
	HP	XP_029860305.1	*EMC4*	*Ac*	-1.89	6.82E-08	protein folding	[123,124]
	LP	XP_029890446.1	*LOC115349840*	*Ac*	3.88	3.78E-04	↓ anti-pathogenic (putative)	[125–127]
	LP	XP_029863942.1	*XRCC2*	*Ac*	4.01	1.84E-22	DNA repair	[128,129]
	LP	XP_029856482.1	*BTG2*	*Ac*	4.28	1.15E-13	↓ pleiotropic tumour suppressor gene	[130,131]
	LP	XP_029883424.1	*NOS2*	*Ac*	5.76	6.91E-26	↓ anti-pathogenic	[65–67]
	LP	XP_029871871.1	*PRKCQ*	*Ac*	6.47	8.03E-178	↓ T cell & platelet activation	[132]
17	LP	XP_029854753.1	*PRKD1*	*Ac*	3.95	5.47E-29	↓ T cell activation, pro-inflammatory	[133,134]
	LP	XP_040980327.1	*ARHGAP15*	*Ac*	4.09	1.57E-06	regulator of the cytoskeleton	[135]
	LP	XP_029883957.1	*VEZF1*	*Ac*	4.25	3.79E-21	↓ septic shock	[136,137]
	LP	XP_029863942.1	*XRCC2*	*Ac*	4.62	1.63E-19	DNA repair	[128,129]
	LP	XP_029888348.1	*CDC42BPB*	*Ac*	4.84	1.63E-24	regulator of the cytoskeleton	[88]
			Parasite					
9	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-3.20	1.34E-51	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-2.93	1.04E-32	-	-
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-2.81	2.06E-33	-	-
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-2.75	2.72E-36	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_001350486.1	*Pf41-3 precursor (PF3D7_1207700)*	*Pf*	-2.58	1.53E-23	enriched in gametocytes	[138]

Cluster	Para-sitemia	NCBI accession number	Gene	Ref. species	log₂-FC (avg.)	p-value (adj.)	Function	References
0	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-4.02	0.00E+00	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-3.94	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.47	0.00E+00	-	-
	HP	XP_001348354.1	*PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)*	*Pf*	-3.36	0.00E+00	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-3.28	0.00E+00	-	-
1	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-4.09	0.00E+00	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-3.90	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.39	0.00E+00	-	-
	HP	XP_001348354.1	*PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)*	*Pf*	-3.29	0.00E+00	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
	HP	XP_002808700.1	*PfPSOP13 (PF3D7_0518800)*	*Pf*	-3.21	0.00E+00	host-to-vector transmission	[141]
3	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-4.19	0.00E+00	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-4.10	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.53	0.00E+00	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-3.52	3.41E-294	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
	HP	XP_001348354.1	*PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)*	*Pf*	-3.51	1.17E-281	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
7	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-3.93	1.33E-231	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-3.77	1.32E-260	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-3.12	5.77E-159	-	-
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.10	1.10E-170	-	-
	HP	XP_001348354.1	*PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)*	*Pf*	-3.06	2.76E-145	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
2	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.73	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-2.22	0.00E+00	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-2.08	0.00E+00	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-1.90	1.09E-296	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-1.89	3.03E-265	-	-
5	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.39	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-1.81	1.88E-269	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-1.71	1.89E-255	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-1.67	2.83E-243	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-1.62	9.67E-253	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
8	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.21	1.52E-263	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-1.71	2.97E-189	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-1.51	1.26E-191	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-1.46	8.34E-183	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-1.34	1.26E-170	-	-
11	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-4.12	2.69E-164	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-3.63	1.19E-131	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.28	3.98E-107	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-3.20	1.04E-105	-	-
	HP	XP_002808700.1	*PfPSOP13 (PF3D7_0518800)*	*Pf*	-2.98	7.70E-101	host-to-vector transmission	[141]
16	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-1.90	8.40E-78	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-1.38	6.61E-50	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_001348354.1	*PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)*	*Pf*	-1.28	8.83E-66	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-1.24	1.64E-63	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-1.15	1.11E-54	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
18	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-3.09	1.80E-50	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-2.55	3.51E-36	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-2.18	4.20E-37	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-2.16	3.52E-31	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-2.13	1.44E-37	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
19	HP	XP_001350425.1	*PfNEK3 (PF3D7_1201600)*	*Pf*	-2.11	5.74E-07	cell cycle regulation	[142]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.09	9.48E-36	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	*PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)*	*Pf*	-1.54	4.42E-20	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-1.50	1.24E-27	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-1.36	2.19E-22	-	-
10	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.90	8.26E-171	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-2.68	4.27E-128	-	-
	HP	XP_001350486.1	*Pf41-3 precursor (PF3D7_1207700)*	*Pf*	-2.60	1.04E-90	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001350425.1	*PfNEK3 (PF3D7_1201600)*	*Pf*	-2.54	1.50E-48	cell cycle regulation	[142]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-2.43	6.87E-96	-	-
12	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-2.05	8.31E-127	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001350486.1	*Pf41-3 precursor (PF3D7_1207700)*	*Pf*	-1.96	7.91E-58	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	*PF3D7_1466500*	*Pf*	-1.82	3.86E-71	-	-
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-1.80	1.66E-79	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	*PF3D7_0929600*	*Pf*	-1.69	1.99E-96	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
21	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-1.27	2.40E-11	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
4	HP	XP_024329154.1	*PF3D7_1214500*	*Pf*	-4.05	0.00E+00	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	*PF3D7_1316300*	*Pf*	-3.45	0.00E+00	-	-

Cluster	Para-sitemia	NCBI accession number	Gene	Ref. species	log$_2$-FC (avg.)	p-value (adj.)	Function	References
	HP	XP_001349289.1	PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)	Pf	-3.43	0.00E+00	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002585477.1	PF3D7_1466500	Pf	-3.25	0.00E+00	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	PF3D7_0929600	Pf	-3.24	0.00E+00	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
13	HP	XP_024329154.1	PF3D7_1214500	Pf	-2.63	1.65E-133	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001349289.1	PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)	Pf	-2.26	3.82E-111	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	PF3D7_1316300	Pf	-2.18	1.17E-95	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	PF3D7_1466500	Pf	-2.07	1.95E-88	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	PF3D7_0929600	Pf	-2.04	4.98E-88	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
15	HP	XP_024329154.1	PF3D7_1214500	Pf	-3.15	5.74E-64	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001350486.1	Pf41-3 precursor (PF3D7_1207700)	Pf	-2.94	1.84E-35	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_002809016.1	PF3D7_1316300	Pf	-2.85	1.00E-41	-	-
	HP	XP_002585477.1	PF3D7_1466500	Pf	-2.67	6.10E-41	-	-
	HP	XP_001352166.1	PF3D7_0929600	Pf	-2.53	2.39E-56	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
20	HP	XP_002809016.1	PF3D7_1316300	Pf	-3.04	5.49E-18	-	-
	HP	XP_001349289.1	PfIMC1i (PF3D7_0823500)	Pf	-3.01	8.78E-19	morphological changes	[42]
	HP	XP_024329154.1	PF3D7_1214500	Pf	-2.94	1.38E-19	enriched in gametocytes	[138]
	HP	XP_001352166.1	PF3D7_0929600	Pf	-2.80	1.01E-17	morphological changes (putative)	[42]
	HP	XP_001348354.1	PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)	Pf	-2.67	6.73E-17	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
6	HP	XP_012769598.1	BBBOND_0313150	Bb	-2.91	5.00E-13	ABC-transporter	[143]
	HP	XP_001348212.1	PF3D7_1404200	Pf	-2.75	1.11E-12	-	-
	HP	XP_001349319.1	PF3D7_0820600	Pf	-2.63	2.72E-12	apicoplast (putative)	[144]
	HP	XP_001348354.1	PfPLC6 (PF3D7_1418300)	Pf	-2.56	7.22E-10	host cell invasion (putative)	[139,140]
	HP	XP_001348121.1	PfRPS21 (PF3D7_1144000)	Pf	-2.46	1.03E-09	ribosome	[145]
	LP	XP_001349989.1	PfLDH (PF3D7_1324900)	Pf	2.46	5.45E-10	lactic acid fermentation	[146,147]
	LP	XP_001347965.1	PfPFK11 (PF3D7_1128300)	Pf	2.88	2.15E-44	enriched in gametocytes	[148]
	LP	XP_001351121.1	PfGLP1 (PF3D7_0304500)	Pf	3.31	3.56E-12	glutaredoxin	[149]
14	LP	XP_002585462.1	PfRPA3 (PF3D7_1442100)	Pf	1.70	6.96E-03	DNA repair	[150]
	LP	XP_001350447.1	PfUBC (PF3D7_1203900)	Pf	2.30	1.02E-03	protein degradation	[151]
17	HP	XP_001350425.1	PfNEK3 (PF3D7_1201600)	Pf	-6.49	1.25E-29	cell cycle regulation	[142]
	HP	XP_001349778.1	PfApiAP2 (PF3D7_1305200)	Pf	-5.43	2.50E-23	repressed in macrogametocytes	[38]
	HP	XP_001349787.1	PF3D7_1306000	Pf	-5.00	2.24E-14	-	-
	HP	XP_966163.1	PfH2A (PF3D7_0617800)	Pf	-4.76	9.46E-34	histone protein	[152]
	HP	XP_002808835.1	PfPPM5 (PF3D7_0810300)	Pf	-4.71	5.84E-27	oocyst development	[153]

391 Figure 1: Overview of scRNA-seq clusters (Seurat clusters) and their proportions. (A) UMAP of 22

392 identified clusters. (B) Comparison of 6 samples categorised into LP versus HP based on their

393 parasitaemia quantified via microscopy of blood smears. (C) Relative abundance of cell types

394 comparing samples ordered by parasitaemia as quantified via microscopy of blood smears. Abundance

395 is colour-coded by a red colour scale (normalisation per row).

Figure 2: (A) Differentially expressed genes comparing LP versus HP per cluster (cut-off: p-value

(adjusted) ≤ 0.05). (B) Strongly differentially expressed host genes (cut-off: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05;

$\log_2$-FC (averaged) ≥ 1) comparing LP versus HP per cluster. Unannotated genes were omitted (C):

Strongly differentially expressed parasite genes (cut-off: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05; $\log_2$-FC (averaged)

≥ 1) comparing LP versus HP per cluster. Unannotated genes were omitted. Clusters are ordered by

cell type.

Figure 3: Differential gene expression across gametocyte clusters (top five host and parasite DEGs of

LP versus HP are labelled). Dotted lines delimit cut-off-values (p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05; $\log_2$-FC

(averaged) ≥ 1). Negative and positive values for $\log_2$-FC represent differential expression under HP

versus LP respectively.

Figure 4: String PPI-networks of host genes (p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05; $\log_2$-FC (averaged) ≥ 1) of developing gametocytes microgametocytes. Node colour intensity encodes differential expression comparing LP (blue) versus HP (red). Colouring outside nodes refers to enriched KEGG pathways

413   (yellow: "ribosome" (False discovery rate (FDR) = 4.99E-36); red: "mRNA surveillance pathway" (FDR =

414   0.0451); green: "spliceosome" (FDR = 0.0047); blue: "regulation of actin cytoskeleton" (FDR = 0.0013)).

415   Node size corresponds to number of connections.

Extended Data Figures

Figure S1: UMAPs displaying (A) number of genes expressed per cell, (B) number of UMIs detected per cell, (C) proportion of mitochondrial genes per cell and (D) proportion of parasite genes per cell (genes mapped to reference genomes of parasite species).

Figure S2: Proportion of parasite transcripts per cluster comparing low versus high parasitaemia.

Figure S3: Dotplot of host marker genes curated from literature[33,74–78]. Clusters were *o*rdered by

qualitative examination of marker gene expression under consideration of cluster distribution in Fig.

1A. Final annotations are indicated at the top of the plot. Annotations in superscript list clusters in

which a gene is differentially expressed in comparison to all clusters. Gene names in grey font

represent indirectly inferred markers (non-transcriptomic markers). Duplicates are based on two

transcript variants mapped to the same gene.

Figure S4: Dotplot of parasite marker genes from curated literature[79]. Clusters were ordered by qualitative examination of marker gene expression under consideration of cluster distribution in Fig. 1A. Final annotations are indicated at the top of the plot. Annotations in superscript list clusters in which a gene is differentially expressed in comparison to all clusters. Categories include commitment to gametocytogenesis ("commitment") versus gametocytic development ("development"), early

gametocyte development and micro- and macrogametocyte specific markers. Duplicates are based on

two transcript variants mapped to the same gene.

441   Figure S5: Differential gene expression across host clusters (top five host and parasite DEGs of LP versus

442   HP are labelled). Dotted lines delimit cut-off-values (p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05; $\log_2$-FC (averaged) ≥ 1).

443   Negative and positive values for $\log_2$-FC represent differential expression under HP versus LP

444   respectively. Clusters are ordered by cell type.

Figure S6: Redundancy of differentially expressed genes (cut-off: p-value (adjusted) ≤ 0.05). DEG counts

are plotted against the number of clusters a gene is differentially expressed in. Unannotated genes

were omitted.

References

1. Thompson JN. The coevolutionary process. Chicago: Univ. of Chicago Press 1994.

2. Windsor DA. Most of the species on Earth are parasites. Int J Parasitol 1998; 28: 1939–1941.

3. Schmid-Hempel P. Immune defence, parasite evasion strategies and their relevance for 'macroscopic phenomena' such as virulence. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 2009; 364: 85–98.

4. Ewald PW. Transmission modes and evolution of the parasitism-mutualism continuum. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1987; 503: 295–306.

5. Anderson RM and May RM. Coevolution of hosts and parasites. Parasitology 1982; 85 (Pt 2): 411–426.

6. Ewald PW. Host-Parasite Relations, Vectors, and the Evolution of Disease Severity. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 1983; 14: 465–485.

7. Day T. Parasite transmission modes and the evolution of virulence. Evolution 2001; 55: 2389–2400.

8. Day T. The evolution of virulence in vector-borne and directly transmitted parasites. Theor Popul Biol 2002; 62: 199–213.

9. Acevedo MA, Dillemuth FP, Flick AJ, et al. Virulence-driven trade-offs in disease transmission: A meta-analysis. Evolution 2019; 73: 636–647.

10. Schmid-Hempel P. Variation in immune defence as a question of evolutionary ecology. Proc Biol Sci 2003; 270: 357–366.

11. Deroost K, Pham T-T, Opdenakker G, et al. The immunological balance between host and parasite in malaria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2016; 40: 208–257.

12. Zambrano-Villa S, Rosales-Borjas D, Carrero JC, et al. How protozoan parasites evade the immune response. Trends Parasitol 2002; 18: 272–278.

13. Gomes PS, Bhardwaj J, Rivera-Correa J, et al. Immune Escape Strategies of Malaria Parasites. Front Microbiol 2016; 7: 1617.

14. Rénia L and Goh YS. Malaria Parasites: The Great Escape. Front Immunol 2016; 7: 463.

15. Cowman AF, Tonkin CJ, Tham W-H, et al. The Molecular Basis of Erythrocyte Invasion by Malaria Parasites. Cell Host Microbe 2017; 22: 232–245.

16. Rivero A and Gandon S. Evolutionary Ecology of Avian Malaria: Past to Present. Trends Parasitol 2018; 34: 712–726.

17. Pacheco MA, Matta NE, Valkiunas G, et al. Mode and Rate of Evolution of Haemosporidian Mitochondrial Genomes: Timing the Radiation of Avian Parasites. Mol Biol Evol 2018; 35: 383–403.

18. Valkiūnas G. Avian malaria parasites and other haemosporidia. CRC Press 2005.

19. Harl J, Himmel T, Valkiūnas G, et al. Avian haemosporidian parasites of accipitriform raptors. Malar J 2022; 21: 14.

20. Shutler D, Ankney CD and Mullie A. Effects of the blood parasite *Leucocytozoon simondi* on growth rates of anatid ducklings. Can. J. Zool. 1999; 77: 1573–1578.

21. Wiegmann A, Springer A, Rinaud T, et al. The prevalence of *Leucocytozoon* spp. in nestlings of three
wild raptor species including implications on haematological and blood chemistry values. Int J Parasitol
Parasites Wildl 2021; 16: 236–243.

22. Rinaud T, Ottensmann M, Krüger O, et al. Acute blood parasite infections induce moderate
physiological costs in juvenile raptor hosts. EcoEvoRxiv 2022.

23. Ottensmann M, Wiegmann A, Krüger O, et al. Survival in a changing world: early life and weather
matter in a long-lived avian predator. in prep.

24. Ashford RW, Wyllie I and Newton I. *Leucocytozoon toddi* in British sparrowhawks *Accipiter nisus*
observations on the dynamics of infection. Journal of Natural History 1990; 24: 1101–1107.

25. Chakarov N, Linke B, Boerner M, et al. Apparent vector-mediated parent-to-offspring transmission
in an avian malaria-like parasite. Mol Ecol 2015; 24: 1355–1363.

26. Kaiser MI, Gadau J, Kaiser S, et al. Individualized social niches in animals: Theoretical clarifications
and processes of niche change. BioScience 2024.

27. Videvall E, Cornwallis CK, Palinauskas V, et al. The avian transcriptome response to malaria
infection. Mol Biol Evol 2015; 32: 1255–1267.

28. Outlaw DC, Walstrom VW, Bodden HN, et al. Molecular evolution in immune genes across the avian
tree of life. Parasitol Open 2019; 5.

29. Videvall E, Palinauskas V, Valkiūnas G, et al. Host Transcriptional Responses to High- and Low-
Virulent Avian Malaria Parasites. Am Nat 2020; 195: 1070–1084.

30. Kalbskopf V, Ahrén D, Valkiūnas G, et al. Shifts in gene expression variability in the blood-stage of
Plasmodium relictum. Gene 2021; 792: 145723.

31. Dai M, Zhao L, Li Z, et al. The Transcriptional Differences of Avian CD4(+)CD8(+) Double-Positive T
Cells and CD8(+) T Cells From Peripheral Blood of ALV-J Infected Chickens Revealed by Smart-Seq2.
Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2021; 11: 747094.

32. Liang Y, Ma Y, Zhang Y, et al. Single-Cell Analysis of the In Vivo Dynamics of Host Circulating Immune
Cells Highlights the Importance of Myeloid Cells in Avian Flaviviral Infection. J Immunol 2021; 207:
2878–2891.

33. Qu X, Li X, Li Z, et al. Chicken Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells Response to Avian Leukosis Virus
Subgroup J Infection Assessed by Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. Front Microbiol 2022; 13: 800618.

34. Bean AG and Lowenthal JW. Avian cytokines and their receptors. In: Avian Immunology (Third
Edition): Academic Press, 2022, pp. 249–276.

35. Popa GL and Popa MI. Recent Advances in Understanding the Inflammatory Response in Malaria:
A Review of the Dual Role of Cytokines. J Immunol Res 2021; 2021: 7785180.

36. Howick VM, Russell AJC, Andrews T, et al. The Malaria Cell Atlas: Single parasite transcriptomes
across the complete Plasmodium life cycle. Science 2019; 365.

37. Brandler T and Chakarov N. Mitochondrial and apicoplast genome copy abundances of
haemosporidian parasites are explained by host species and parasitic lineage. Front. Ecol. Evol. 2024;
12.

38. Mair GR, Braks JAM, Garver LS, et al. Regulation of sexual development of *Plasmodium* by
translational repression. Science 2006; 313: 667–669.

39. Groff TC, Lorenz TJ, Iezhova TA, et al. Description and molecular characterization of novel
Leucocytozoon parasite (Apicomplexa: Haemosporida: Leucocytozoidae), *Leucocytozoon polynuclearis*
n. sp. found in North American woodpeckers. Syst Parasitol 2022; 99: 103–114.

40. Chagas CRF, Duc M, Gutiérrez-Liberato GA, et al. Host Cells of *Leucocytozoon* (Haemosporida,
Leucocytozoidae) Gametocytes, with Remarks on the Phylogenetic Importance of This Character.
Pathogens 2023; 12.

41. Zhao W, Liu J, Xu R, et al. The Gametocytes of *Leucocytozoon sabrazesi* Infect Chicken
Thrombocytes, Not Other Blood Cells. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0133478.

42. Ferreira JL, Heincke D, Wichers JS, et al. The Dynamic Roles of the Inner Membrane Complex in the
Multiple Stages of the Malaria Parasite. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2020; 10: 611801.

43. McGovern KE and Wilson EH. Role of Chemokines and Trafficking of Immune Cells in Parasitic
Infections. Curr Immunol Rev 2013; 9: 157–168.

44. Hakimi M-A and Bougdour A. *Toxoplasma*'s ways of manipulating the host transcriptome via
secreted effectors. Curr Opin Microbiol 2015; 26: 24–31.

45. Mahanta A, Ganguli P, Barah P, et al. Integrative Approaches to Understand the Mastery in
Manipulation of Host Cytokine Networks by Protozoan Parasites with Emphasis on *Plasmodium* and
Leishmania Species. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 296.

46. Takada H and Kurisaki A. Emerging roles of nucleolar and ribosomal proteins in cancer,
development, and aging. Cell Mol Life Sci 2015; 72: 4015–4025.

47. Warncke JD and Beck H-P. Host Cytoskeleton Remodeling throughout the Blood Stages of
Plasmodium falciparum. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev 2019; 83.

48. Villares M, Berthelet J and Weitzman JB. The clever strategies used by intracellular parasites to
hijack host gene expression. Semin Immunopathol 2020; 42: 215–226.

49. Nájera CA, Batista MF, Meneghelli I, et al. Mixed signals - how *Trypanosoma cruzi* exploits host-cell
communication and signaling to establish infection. J Cell Sci 2021; 134.

50. Matulova M, Rajova J, Vlasatikova L, et al. Characterization of chicken spleen transcriptome after
infection with *Salmonella enterica* serovar Enteritidis. PLoS One 2012; 7: e48101.

51. Matulova M, Varmuzova K, Sisak F, et al. Chicken innate immune response to oral infection with
Salmonella enterica serovar Enteritidis. Vet Res 2013; 44: 37.

52. Meitern R, Andreson R and Hõrak P. Profile of whole blood gene expression following immune
stimulation in a wild passerine. BMC Genomics 2014; 15: 533.

53. Lüder CG, Lang T, Beuerle B, et al. Down-regulation of MHC class II molecules and inability to up-
regulate class I molecules in murine macrophages after infection with *Toxoplasma gondii*. Clin Exp
Immunol 1998; 112: 308–316.

54. Reiner NE, Ng W and McMaster WR. Parasite-accessory cell interactions in murine leishmaniasis.
II. *Leishmania donovani* suppresses macrophage expression of class I and class II major
histocompatibility complex gene products. J Immunol 1987; 138: 1926–1932.

55. Reiner NE, Ng W, Ma T, et al. Kinetics of gamma interferon binding and induction of major
histocompatibility complex class II mRNA in *Leishmania*-infected macrophages. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S
A 1988; 85: 4330–4334.

56. Lüder CGK, Walter W, Beuerle B, et al. *Toxoplasma gondii* down-regulates MHC class II gene
expression and antigen presentation by murine macrophages via interference with nuclear
translocation of STAT1α. Eur. J. Immunol. 2001; 31: 1475–1484.

57. Chakarov N, Pauli M and Krüger O. Immune responses link parasite genetic diversity, prevalence
and plumage morphs in common buzzards. Evol Ecol 2017; 31: 51–62.

58. Nakamori M and Takahashi MP. The role of α-dystrobrevin in striated muscle. Int J Mol Sci 2011;
12: 1660–1671.

59. Morimoto A, Shibuya H, Zhu X, et al. A conserved KASH domain protein associates with telomeres,
SUN1, and dynactin during mammalian meiosis. J Cell Biol 2012; 198: 165–172.

60. González-Granado JM, Silvestre-Roig C, Rocha-Perugini V, et al. Nuclear envelope lamin-A couples
actin dynamics with immunological synapse architecture and T cell activation. Sci Signal 2014; 7: ra37.

61. Zhang J, Wang Y, Chu Y, et al. Agrin is involved in lymphocytes activation that is mediated by alpha-
dystroglycan. FASEB J 2006; 20: 50–58.

62. Muri J, Heer S, Matsushita M, et al. The thioredoxin-1 system is essential for fueling DNA synthesis
during T-cell metabolic reprogramming and proliferation. Nat Commun 2018; 9: 1851.

63. Lüder CGK, Algner M, Lang C, et al. Reduced expression of the inducible nitric oxide synthase after
infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* facilitates parasite replication in activated murine macrophages. Int
J Parasitol 2003; 33: 833–844.

64. Calegari-Silva TC, Vivarini ÁC, Pereira RdMS, et al. *Leishmania amazonensis* downregulates
macrophage iNOS expression via Histone Deacetylase 1 (HDAC1): a novel parasite evasion mechanism.
Eur. J. Immunol. 2018; 48: 1188–1198.

65. Brunet LR. Nitric oxide in parasitic infections. Int Immunopharmacol 2001; 1: 1457–1467.

66. Ascenzi P, Bocedi A and Gradoni L. The anti-parasitic effects of nitric oxide. IUBMB Life 2003; 55:
573–578.

67. Sobolewski P, Gramaglia I, Frangos J, et al. Nitric oxide bioavailability in malaria. Trends Parasitol
2005; 21: 415–422.

68. Gozzelino R, Andrade BB, Larsen R, et al. Metabolic adaptation to tissue iron overload confers
tolerance to malaria. Cell Host Microbe 2012; 12: 693–704.

69. Ben-Othman R, Flannery AR, Miguel DC, et al. *Leishmania*-mediated inhibition of iron export
promotes parasite replication in macrophages. PLoS Pathog 2014; 10: e1003901.

70. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, et al. Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different
conditions, technologies, and species. Nat Biotechnol 2018; 36: 411–420.

71. Stuart T, Butler A, Hoffman P, et al. Comprehensive Integration of Single-Cell Data. Cell 2019; 177:
1888-1902.e21.

72. Hao Y, Hao S, Andersen-Nissen E, et al. Integrated analysis of multimodal single-cell data. Cell 2021;
184: 3573-3587.e29.

73. McGinnis CS, Murrow LM and Gartner ZJ. DoubletFinder: Doublet Detection in Single-Cell RNA
Sequencing Data Using Artificial Nearest Neighbors. Cell Syst 2019; 8: 329-337.e4.

74. Scanes CG. Sturkie's Avian Physiology - Sixth Edition - Chapter 10 - Blood 2015: 167–191.

75. Schmaier AA, Stalker TJ, Runge JJ, et al. Occlusive thrombi arise in mammals but not birds in
response to arterial injury: evolutionary insight into human cardiovascular disease. Blood 2011; 118:
3661–3669.

76. van Dijk A, Veldhuizen EJA and Haagsman HP. Avian defensins. Vet Immunol Immunopathol 2008;
124: 1–18.

77. Swaggerty CL, Kogut MH, Ferro PJ, et al. Differential cytokine mRNA expression in heterophils
isolated from *Salmonella*-resistant and -susceptible chickens. Immunology 2004; 113: 139–148.

78. Harmon BG. Avian heterophils in inflammation and disease resistance. Poult Sci 1998; 77: 972–977.

79. van Biljon R, van Wyk R, Painter HJ, et al. Hierarchical transcriptional control regulates *Plasmodium*
falciparum sexual differentiation. BMC Genomics 2019; 20: 920.

80. R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing 2021, https://www.R-
project.org/ (2021).

81. Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, et al. Cytoscape: a software environment for integrated models of
biomolecular interaction networks. Genome Research 2003; 2498–2504.

82. Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, et al. STRING v11: protein-protein association networks with
increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic
Acids Res 2019; 47: D607-D613.

83. Doncheva NT, Morris JH, Holze H, et al. Cytoscape stringApp 2.0: Analysis and Visualization of
Heterogeneous Biological Networks. J Proteome Res 2022.

84. Lessard J, Wu JI, Ranish JA, et al. An essential switch in subunit composition of a chromatin
remodeling complex during neural development. Neuron 2007; 55: 201–215.

85. Spiridonov NA, Wong L, Zerfas PM, et al. Identification and characterization of SSTK, a
serine/threonine protein kinase essential for male fertility. Mol Cell Biol 2005; 25: 4250–4261.

86. Boros K, Lacaud G and Kouskoff V. The transcription factor Mxd4 controls the proliferation of the
first blood precursors at the onset of hematopoietic development in vitro. Exp Hematol 2011; 39:
1090–1100.

87. Vasilevsky NA, Ruby CE, Hurlin PJ, et al. OX40 engagement stabilizes Mxd4 and Mnt protein levels
in antigen-stimulated T cells leading to an increase in cell survival. Eur J Immunol 2011; 41: 1024–1034.

88. Leung T, Chen XQ, Tan I, et al. Myotonic dystrophy kinase-related Cdc42-binding kinase acts as a
Cdc42 effector in promoting cytoskeletal reorganization. Mol Cell Biol 1998; 18: 130–140.

89. Neefjes J, Jongsma MLM, Paul P, et al. Towards a systems understanding of MHC class I and MHC
class II antigen presentation. Nat Rev Immunol 2011; 11: 823–836.

90. Nasdala I, Wolburg-Buchholz K, Wolburg H, et al. A transmembrane tight junction protein
selectively expressed on endothelial cells and platelets. J Biol Chem 2002; 277: 16294–16303.

91. Wegmann F, Petri B, Khandoga AG, et al. ESAM supports neutrophil extravasation, activation of
Rho, and VEGF-induced vascular permeability. J Exp Med 2006; 203: 1671–1677.

92. Greengard P, Valtorta F, Czernik AJ, et al. Synaptic vesicle phosphoproteins and regulation of
synaptic function. Science 1993; 259: 780–785.

93. Bhangu PS, Genever PG, Spencer GJ, et al. Evidence for targeted vesicular glutamate exocytosis in
osteoblasts. Bone 2001; 29: 16–23.

94. Maiole F, Tedeschi G, Candiani S, et al. Synapsins are expressed at neuronal and non-neuronal
locations in Octopus vulgaris. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 15430.

95. Cook PJ, Ju BG, Telese F, et al. Tyrosine dephosphorylation of H2AX modulates apoptosis and
survival decisions. Nature 2009; 458: 591–596.

96. Krishnan N, Jeong DG, Jung S-K, et al. Dephosphorylation of the C-terminal tyrosyl residue of the
DNA damage-related histone H2A.X is mediated by the protein phosphatase eyes absent. J Biol Chem
2009; 284: 16066–16070.

97. Hegde RS, Roychoudhury K and Pandey RN. The multi-functional eyes absent proteins. Crit Rev
Biochem Mol Biol 2020; 55: 372–385.

98. Nandurkar HH, Caldwell KK, Whisstock JC, et al. Characterization of an adapter subunit to a
phosphatidylinositol (3)P 3-phosphatase: identification of a myotubularin-related protein lacking
catalytic activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001; 98: 9499–9504.

99. Nandurkar HH, Layton M, Laporte J, et al. Identification of myotubularin as the lipid phosphatase
catalytic subunit associated with the 3-phosphatase adapter protein, 3-PAP. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
2003; 100: 8660–8665.

100. Hnia K, Vaccari I, Bolino A, et al. Myotubularin phosphoinositide phosphatases: cellular functions
and disease pathophysiology. Trends Mol Med 2012; 18: 317–327.

101. Fagerberg L, Hallström BM, Oksvold P, et al. Analysis of the human tissue-specific expression by
genome-wide integration of transcriptomics and antibody-based proteomics. Mol Cell Proteomics
2014; 13: 397–406.

102. Nam H, Jeon S, An H, et al. Critical roles of ARHGAP36 as a signal transduction mediator of Shh
pathway in lateral motor columnar specification. eLife 2019; 8.

103. Comer SP. Turning Platelets Off and On: Role of RhoGAPs and RhoGEFs in Platelet Activity. Front
Cardiovasc Med 2021; 8: 820945.

104. Jiang Z, Song J, Qi F, et al. Exdpf is a key regulator of exocrine pancreas development controlled
by retinoic acid and ptf1a in zebrafish. PLoS Biol 2008; 6: e293.

105. Yun M, Yingzi L, Jie G, et al. PPDPF Promotes the Progression and acts as an Antiapoptotic Protein
in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. Int J Biol Sci 2022; 18: 214–228.

106. Tuohimaa P, Joensuu T, Isola J, et al. Development of progestin-specific response in the chicken
oviduct. Int J Dev Biol 1989; 33: 125–134.

107. Loes AN, Bridgham JT and Harms MJ. Coevolution of the Toll-Like Receptor 4 Complex with
Calgranulins and Lipopolysaccharide. Front Immunol 2018; 9: 304.

108. Cook-Moreau JM, El-Makhour Hojeij Y, Barrière G, et al. Expression of 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX) in
T lymphocytes. Immunology 2007; 122: 157–166.

109. Lone AM and Taskén K. Proinflammatory and immunoregulatory roles of eicosanoids in T cells.
Front Immunol 2013; 4: 130.

110. Sun Q-Y, Zhou H-H and Mao X-Y. Emerging Roles of 5-Lipoxygenase Phosphorylation in
Inflammation and Cell Death. Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity 2019; 2019: 2749173.

111. Lanier LL. NKG2D Receptor and Its Ligands in Host Defense. Cancer Immunol Res 2015; 3: 575–
582.

112. Lou Y, Han M, Liu H, et al. Essential roles of S100A10 in Toll-like receptor signaling and immunity
to infection. Cell Mol Immunol 2020; 17: 1053–1062.

113. Francischetti IMB, Seydel KB and Monteiro RQ. Blood coagulation, inflammation, and malaria.
Microcirculation 2008; 15: 81–107.

114. Sheikh MH and Solito E. Annexin A1: Uncovering the Many Talents of an Old Protein. Int J Mol Sci
2018; 19.

115. Pang J, Yu Q, Chen Y, et al. Integrating Single-cell RNA-seq to construct a Neutrophil prognostic
model for predicting immune responses in non-small cell lung cancer. J Transl Med 2022; 20: 531.

116. Schultz TE and Blumenthal A. The RP105/MD-1 complex: molecular signaling mechanisms and
pathophysiological implications. J Leukoc Biol 2017; 101: 183–192.

117. Sancho D and Reis e Sousa C. Signaling by myeloid C-type lectin receptors in immunity and
homeostasis. Annu Rev Immunol 2012; 30: 491–529.

118. Liu L, Lin J and He H. Identification of Potential Crucial Genes Associated With the Pathogenesis
and Prognosis of Endometrial Cancer. Front Genet 2019; 10: 373.

119. Huang W, Li G, Wang Z, et al. A Ten-N6-Methyladenosine (m6A)-Modified Gene Signature Based
on a Risk Score System Predicts Patient Prognosis in Rectum Adenocarcinoma. Front Oncol 2020; 10:
567931.

120. Xing J, Shen S, Liu X, et al. A hypoxia-related prognostic model predicts overall survival and
treatment response in hepatocellular carcinoma. Biosci Rep 2022; 42.

121. Meister A and Anderson ME. Glutathione. Annu Rev Biochem 1983; 52: 711–760.

122. Brown GD, Willment JA and Whitehead L. C-type lectins in immunity and homeostasis. Nat Rev
Immunol 2018; 18: 374–389.

123. Jonikas MC, Collins SR, Denic V, et al. Comprehensive characterization of genes required for
protein folding in the endoplasmic reticulum. Science 2009; 323: 1693–1697.

124. Shurtleff MJ, Itzhak DN, Hussmann JA, et al. The ER membrane protein complex interacts
cotranslationally to enable biogenesis of multipass membrane proteins. eLife 2018; 7.

125. Verdot L, Lalmanach G, Vercruysse V, et al. Cystatins up-regulate nitric oxide release from
interferon-gamma-activated mouse peritoneal macrophages. J Biol Chem 1996; 271: 28077–28081.

126. Das L, Datta N, Bandyopadhyay S, et al. Successful therapy of lethal murine visceral leishmaniasis
with cystatin involves up-regulation of nitric oxide and a favorable T cell response. J Immunol 2001;
166: 4020–4028.

127. Hartmann S, Schönemeyer A, Sonnenburg B, et al. Cystatins of filarial nematodes up-regulate the nitric oxide production of interferon-gamma-activated murine macrophages. Parasite immunology 2002; 24: 253–262.

128. Johnson RD, Liu N and Jasin M. Mammalian XRCC2 promotes the repair of DNA double-strand breaks by homologous recombination. Nature 1999; 401: 397–399.

129. Deans B, Griffin CS, Maconochie M, et al. Xrcc2 is required for genetic stability, embryonic neurogenesis and viability in mice. EMBO J 2000; 19: 6675–6685.

130. Yuniati L, Scheijen B, van der Meer LT, et al. Tumor suppressors BTG1 and BTG2: Beyond growth control. J Cell Physiol 2019; 234: 5379–5389.

131. Damena D, Agamah FE, Kimathi PO, et al. Insilico Functional Analysis of Genome-Wide Dataset From 17,000 Individuals Identifies Candidate Malaria Resistance Genes Enriched in Malaria Pathogenic Pathways. Front Genet 2021; 12: 676960.

132. Cohen S, Braiman A, Shubinsky G, et al. Protein kinase C-theta in platelet activation. FEBS Lett 2011; 585: 3208–3215.

133. Ivison SM, Graham NR, Bernales CQ, et al. Protein kinase D interaction with TLR5 is required for inflammatory signaling in response to bacterial flagellin. J Immunol 2007; 178: 5735–5743.

134. Zhang X, Connelly J, Chao Y, et al. Multifaceted Functions of Protein Kinase D in Pathological Processes and Human Diseases. Biomolecules 2021; 11.

135. Bosco EE, Mulloy JC and Zheng Y. Rac1 GTPase: a "Rac" of all trades. Cell Mol Life Sci 2009; 66: 370–374.

136. Xiong JW, Leahy A, Lee HH, et al. Vezf1: A Zn finger transcription factor restricted to endothelial cells and their precursors. Dev Biol 1999; 206: 123–141.

137. Niu J, Qin B, Wang C, et al. Identification of Key Immune-Related Genes in the Progression of Septic Shock. Front Genet 2021; 12: 668527.

138. Ravel DB. Mechanisms of Cellular Remodeling during *Plasmodium falciparum* Sequestration and Transmission 2017; Doctoral dissertation, Harvard University, Graduate School of.

139. Vaid A and Sharma P. PfPKB, a protein kinase B-like enzyme from *Plasmodium falciparum*: II. Identification of calcium/calmodulin as its upstream activator and dissection of a novel signaling pathway. J Biol Chem 2006; 281: 27126–27133.

140. Vaid A, Thomas DC and Sharma P. Role of Ca2+/calmodulin-PfPKB signaling pathway in erythrocyte invasion by *Plasmodium falciparum*. J Biol Chem 2008; 283: 5589–5597.

141. Ecker A, Bushell ESC, Tewari R, et al. Reverse genetics screen identifies six proteins important for malaria development in the mosquito. Mol Microbiol 2008; 70: 209–220.

142. Lye YM, Chan M and Sim T-S. Pfnek3: an atypical activator of a MAP kinase in *Plasmodium falciparum*. FEBS Lett 2006; 580: 6083–6092.

143. Capelli-Peixoto J, Saelao P, Johnson WC, et al. Comparison of high throughput RNA sequences between *Babesia bigemina* and *Babesia bovis* revealed consistent differential gene expression that is required for the *Babesia* life cycle in the vertebrate and invertebrate hosts. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2022; 12: 1093338.

144. Boucher MJ, Ghosh S, Zhang L, et al. Integrative proteomics and bioinformatic prediction enable
a high-confidence apicoplast proteome in malaria parasites. PLoS Biol 2018; 16: e2005895.

145. Wong W, Bai X, Brown A, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the *Plasmodium falciparum* 80S ribosome
bound to the anti-protozoan drug emetine. eLife 2014; 3.

146. Bzik DJ, Fox BA and Gonyer K. Expression of *Plasmodium falciparum* lactate dehydrogenase in
Escherichia coli. Mol Biochem Parasitol 1993; 59: 155–166.

147. Makler MT and Hinrichs DJ. Measurement of the lactate dehydrogenase activity of *Plasmodium*
falciparum as an assessment of parasitemia. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1993; 48: 205–210.

148. Mony BM, Mehta M, Jarori GK, et al. Plant-like phosphofructokinase from *Plasmodium falciparum*
belongs to a novel class of ATP-dependent enzymes. Int J Parasitol 2009; 39: 1441–1453.

149. Rahlfs S, Fischer M and Becker K. *Plasmodium falciparum* possesses a classical glutaredoxin and a
second, glutaredoxin-like protein with a PICOT homology domain. J Biol Chem 2001; 276: 37133–
37140.

150. Tajedin L, Anwar M, Gupta D, et al. Comparative insight into nucleotide excision repair
components of *Plasmodium falciparum*. DNA Repair (Amst) 2015; 28: 60–72.

151. Chung D-WD, Ponts N, Prudhomme J, et al. Characterization of the ubiquitylating components of
the human malaria parasite's protein degradation pathway. PLoS One 2012; 7: e43477.

152. Goyal M, Heinberg A, Mitesser V, et al. Phosphorylation of the Canonical Histone H2A Marks Foci
of Damaged DNA in Malaria Parasites. mSphere 2021; 6.

153. Guttery DS, Poulin B, Ramaprasad A, et al. Genome-wide functional analysis of *Plasmodium*
protein phosphatases reveals key regulators of parasite development and differentiation. Cell Host
Microbe 2014; 16: 128–140.