The 1000[+] mouse project: large–scale spatiotemporal parametrization and modeling of preclinical cancer immunotherapies

Adam L. Kenet[1†]**, Sooraj Achar**[1,2†]**, Alka Dwivedi**[3]**, John Buckley**[3]**, Marie Pouzolles**[3]**, Haiying Qin**[3‡]**, Christopher Chien**[3]**, Naomi Taylor**[3,4,*]**, Grégoire Altan-Bonnet**[1,*]

*For correspondence:
taylorn4@nih.gov (NT);
gbonnet@nih.gov (GAB)

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work

Present address: [‡]Cellular Therapy, R&D, Astrazeneca, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

[1]Immunodynamics Group, Laboratory of Integrative Cancer Immunology, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA; [2]Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; [3]Pediatric Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA; [4]Université de Montpellier, Institut de Génétique Moléculaire de Montpellier, Montpellier, France

Abstract   Preclinical studies of chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell immunotherapies are often based on monitoring bioluminescent tumors implanted in mice to assess anti-tumor cytotoxicity. Here, we introduce maRQup (**m**urine **a**utomatic **R**adiance **Qu**antification and **p**arametrization), an easy-to-use method that automatically processes bioluminescent tumor images for quantitative analysis. We demonstrate the ability of maRQup to analyze CAR-T cell treatments over >1,000 tumor-bearing mice. We compare CD19-targeting CAR-T cells comprising either a CD28 or a 4-1BB costimulatory domain, and found the former controlled the tumor burden better initially, while the latter reduced the frequency of tumor relapse. We also applied maRQup to demonstrate faster tumor growth during the initial growth phase as compared to the relapse phase and to spatiotemporally analyze the high variability in immunotherapeutic control of tumors, based on their anatomical location. maRQup provides quantitative and statistically-robust insights on preclinical experiments that will contribute to the optimization of immunotherapies.

Introduction

Over the past decade, chimeric antigen receptor (CAR)-T cell immunotherapy has emerged as a highly effective treatment for hematological malignancies *McClory and Maude* (*2023*) *Cappell and Kochenderfer* (*2023*). The field has seen the completion of numerous clinical trials — over 500 in the United States and nearly 1,000 in Asia and Europe as of early 2025 — exploring innovative constructs with therapeutic applications against cancer as well as in autoimmunity *Kyverna Thera-peutics* (*2023*), *Juno Therapeutics, Inc., a Bristol-Myers Squibb Company* (*2024*), *Müller et al.* (*2024*) and viral infections (eg HIV *Deeks* (*2023*), *University of Pennsylvania* (*2023*). However, CAR-T cell therapies still face shortcomings. CAR-T cell toxicity is often limiting, and a lack of persistence of functional CAR-T cells is associated with tumor relapse *Cappell and Kochenderfer* (*2023*). Given the rapid and diverse developments in this field, it is essential to be able to quantify and standardize

the preclinical data for testing the design of CAR-T cell constructs and for engineering anti-tumor T cell and non-T cell immune subsets, thereby optimizing clinical trials.

The present standard for the preclinical *in-vivo* evaluation of human CAR-T cells relies on the kinetic assessment of human tumor cells engineered to express the luciferase gene in immunodeficient mice, generally NOD.Cg-*Prkdc*^{scid}*Il2rg*^{tm1Wjl}/SzJ (NSG) mice **Carceles-Cordon et al.** (**2016**). The growth and eradication of the tumor upon CAR-T cell adoptive transfer is then assessed by luminescence imaging **Carceles-Cordon et al.** (**2016**) **Bhaumik and Gambhir** (**2002**) **Weissleder and Ntziachristos** (**2003**). This *in-vivo* assay, which assesses tumor growth *vs.* tumor eradication and mouse survival, is generally required by the FDA for any investigational new drug (IND) application of a novel CAR-T cell design. However, it is concerning that there is significant variability in how these data are reported, highlighting the urgent need for better quantification, spatiotemporal resolution, and statistical analyses.

A limiting step for many experimentalists and clinicians is the lack of a framework to automatically process large *in-vivo* experiments: visualization, quantitation, and analysis of these *in-vivo* assays are often handled by manual copying and pasting of images followed by visual inspection. Here, we introduce a Python pipeline (https://github.com/soorajachar/radianceQuantifier) named maRQup (for **m**urine **a**utomatic **R**adiance **Qu**antification and **p**arametrization) that automates the processing, spatiotemporal quantification, and modeling of *in-vivo* bioluminescent tumor image data.

We applied this toolkit to assess the tumor dynamics of *in-vivo* CAR-T cell-based experiments performed on >1,000 NSG mice. This systematic endeavor afforded the opportunity to carry out advanced statistical analyses and mathematical modeling to spatiotemporally resolve the responsiveness of leukemia-bearing NSG mice to CAR-T cell immunotherapies. Using our quantitative framework, we have built a repository with over 7,500 images, allowing for the large-scale and longitudinal evaluation of CAR-T cell therapy. Analysis and modeling of this database has revealed novel characteristics of CAR-T cell designs as well as novel dynamics of tumor responses that would not have been feasible from a manual evaluation of the data. Our quantitative method and repository will promote the identification of key differences in immune-based treatments in murine models, thereby leading to improved cancer immunotherapy trials for patients.

Results

maRQup pipeline

All IVIS images were processed, annotated, and analyzed using a custom-built Python pipeline named maRQup (for **m**urine **a**utomatic **R**adiance **Qu**antification and **p**arametrization) (*Figure 1*). Each IVIS image contains 1 to 5 mice, as mice in the same experimental group are typically imaged at the same time (*Figure 1*a). Thus, we developed an algorithm that automatically identifies and isolates each mouse from the group image to allow for further image processing and analysis (*Figure 1*b). In order to analyze tumor burden within different regions of each mouse (e.g., liver, lungs, bone marrow, and snout), all images were processed so that anatomical regions were aligned across mice: first, the tail of each mouse was automatically removed from each image as the positioning of the tail resulted in large variability in the length and width of each image; next, the images were scaled vertically to the same height to normalize mouse length and to ensure anatomical regions were horizontally aligned. Additionally, maRQup removes from analysis any mice that were slanted due to misplacement during image acquisition (<1.2% (91/7633) of the images in our experience). After image processing and alignment of anatomical regions, the average radiance per pixel for each mouse in units of photons per second per cm^2 per steradian per pixel was calculated and used as a quantification of tumor burden. maRQup also allows the user to select a custom region of interest to calculate tumor burden across all mice. Further details about these steps can be found in the Supplemental Methods.

Dataset generation

Using our maRQup pipeline, we accrued a dataset consisting of 1,060 mice from 37 separate experiments conducted by nine different researchers. Information on the CAR-T cell constructs (extracellular binding domain and intracellular costimulatory domain), CAR-T cell doses, target tumors, and tumor doses for each experiment were appended to our dataset along with the measurements of tumor dynamics.

Of the 1,060 mice, almost all (n=908) were injected with an initial load of 0.8-1.0 x 10^6 tumor cells. NALM6, an acute lymphoblastic B cell leukemia (B-ALL) cell line, was the most common tumor studied (n=872). Tumors were treated with CAR-T cells targeting either CD19 and/or CD22 cell surface receptors (n=715). CD19-targeting CARs with either a 4-1BB (KYMRIAH-like, tisa-cel) or CD28 costimulatory domain (YESCARTA-like, axi-cel) were used in 382 and 209 mice, respectively. A CD22-targeting CAR with a 4-1BB costimulatory domain, granted FDA breakthrough therapy designation based on its efficacy in children and young adults with relapsed/refractory B-ALL *Fry et al.* (*2018*), was used in 74 mice. An additional 55 mice were treated with a bicistronic CD19/CD22-targeting CAR containing CD28 and 4-1BB costimulatory domains, respectively, that are currently being assessed in a phase 1/2 clinical trial *Shalabi et al.* (*2022*). Mock transduced T cells (n=143) and no T cells (n=91) were used as controls. CAR-T cells were also evaluated against other B-ALL cell lines in 106 mice, and these mice were only included in the dataset to aid in the development of maRQup and to ensure its generalizability.

The dose of adoptively-transferred CAR-T cell was another variable: 126 mice were treated with <1 x 10^6 CAR-T cells, 148 mice were treated with 1 x 10^6 CAR-T cells, 42 mice were treated with 1.5 x 10^6 CAR-T cells, 411 mice were treated with 2 x 10^6 CAR-T cells, and 99 mice were treated with >2 x 10^6 CAR-T cells. The distribution of these experimental conditions are summarized in Supplemental Figure S2.

Classification of tumor responses to CAR-T cells

From our processed experiments, we identified three phases of tumor dynamics: tumor growth, tumor decay, and tumor relapse. However, not all tumors progressed through all three of these phases. Thus, we used our simple automatic classification of tumor response to CAR-T cell therapy (see Methods *section*) with five different categories: (G) growth only; (G,D) growth and decay; (G,D,R) growth, decay, and relapse; (D) decay only; and (D,R) decay and relapse (Supplemental Figure S2).

Of the 1,060 mice, 602 mice had tumors that initially grew from Day 0. Of these mice with initial tumor growth, the tumors then decayed in 228 mice and did not decay in 374 mice. 218 of the 374 mice with no tumor decay (58.3%) represented control mice that were not treated with CAR-T cells. 167 mice had tumors that grew and decayed but eventually relapsed. Of the mice with tumors that decayed initially following CAR-T treatment (n=458, 43.2% of all mice), 355 mice had tumors that relapsed (77.5%). Only 103 mice had tumor that only decayed and never exhibited a growth (or relapse) phase. Hence, despite the tight range of immunotherapeutic parameters, we observed large variability in both tumor growth and eradication (Supplemental Figure S2d-e).

Comparison of 4-1BB and CD28 costimulatory domains in CD19-targeting CAR-T cells

We first focused our analysis to assess the effect of costimulatory domains in CD19 CAR-T cells harboring the same CD19-targeting FMC63 scFv: anti-CD19.4-1BB (KYMRIAH-like, tisa-cel) and anti-CD19.CD28 (YESCARTA-like, axi-cel) CAR-T cells (*Figure 2*a). These two CAR-T cell constructs have been approved by the FDA as treatments for B-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia and lymphoma *U.S. Food and Drug Administration* (*2017b*) *U.S. Food and Drug Administration* (*2017a*) *U.S. Food and Drug Administration* (*2020*) *U.S. Food and Drug Administration* (*2021*). These CAR-T cells feature different costimulatory domains and while they are both efficacious, previous publications have highlighted their variable cytotoxic activities and persistence, both in preclinical studies *Zhao*

et al. (*2015*) *Kawalekar et al.* (*2016*) and in patients *Bachy et al.* (*2022*) *Jacobson et al.* (*2024*) *Gagelmann et al.* (*2024*).

In the preclinical settings evaluated here, we found the overall tumor behavior for all mice treated with either CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells or CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells to be indeed statistically different (*Figure 2*b-f). Of NSG mice with NALM6 tumors that were treated with CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells (n=377), 43.2% of tumors continued to grow initially even in the presence of CAR-T cells. However, only 29.7% of mice with NALM6 tumors treated with the CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells (n=209) continued to grow in the presence of CAR-T cells. Thus, once the CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells were injected into the mice, they were more likely than the CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells to immediately initiate tumor killing and prevent tumor growth. NALM6 tumors treated with CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells were still able to continue growing, suggesting that CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells are either slower to home to tumor and/or slower to induce cytotoxicity against NALM6 leukemia. (*Figure 2*c)

Although CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells were initially better at killing NALM6 leukemia, 79.9% of mice eventually relapsed. While there was still significant relapse in mice treated with CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells, only 58.6% of mice relapsed (*Figure 2*c). These observations, which were not previously reported in previous preclinical mouse experiments, were made statistically significant due to the very large size of the cohorts of mice processed through our maRQup pipeline. This is critical as our preclinical mouse data – demonstrating a more rapid cytotoxicity of CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells and a sustained persistence of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells – is in accord with clinical trial outcomes showing higher efficacy of the former in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma and prolonged persistence of the latter *Maude et al.* (*2014*) *Maude et al.* (*2018*) *Cheng et al.* (*2018*) *Aamir et al.* (*2021*).

Effects of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cell dose on *in-vivo* cytotoxicity against NALM6 leukemia

We next investigated the impact of different doses of CAR-T cells on tumor eradication. We focused on the largest subset of mice with the same initial tumor burden (1×10^6 NALM6) treated with the same CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells (n=349 mice). As expected, increasing the dose of CAR-T cells from $<1 \times 10^6$ cells to $>2 \times 10^6$ cells resulted in an increased initial killing of the tumor and limited tumor growth (*Figure 2*d-e).

When the dose of CAR-T cells was $<1 \times 10^6$ CAR-T cells, leukemia growth initially continued in 90.3% (28/31) of mice. In 18 of these mice (64.3%), the leukemia was never controlled by the CAR-T cells and the mice rapidly succumbed. Increasing the dose to 1×10^6 CAR-T cells resulted in a higher initial tumor control, with 54.2% (39/72) of tumors regressing immediately following treatment. Doubling the dose to 2×10^6 CAR-T cells further increased efficacy to 68.4% (147/215), and at doses of $>2 \times 10^6$ CAR-T cells, 100% (10/10) of mice exhibited tumor regression (*Figure 2*d-e).

Although higher doses of CAR-T cells led to a more significant initial tumor killing, it is interesting to note that the frequency of tumor relapse also increased. At doses of $<1 \times 10^6$ and 1×10^6 CAR-T cells, 22.6% (7/31) and 50.0% (36/72) of mice eventually relapsed with leukemia, respectively, but at a dose of 2×10^6 CAR-T cells, there was a significantly higher frequency of relapse with 74.4% (160/215) of mice succumbing to leukemia (p<0.001). In contrast, at higher doses of $>2 \times 10^6$ CAR-T cells, leukemic growth was better controlled with only 30% (3/10) of mice relapsing (*Figure 2*d-f, p<0.05).

These results suggest an ideal dosing regimen that optimizes the trade-off between the highest level of initial tumor killing and the lowest level of tumor relapse. Our analysis also highlights the potential for a maximal immediate tumor killing to be associated with a higher level of relapse, at least in the context of an NSG mouse model. The observations from our maRQup pipeline reveal trade-offs in short-term and long-term CAR-T cell cytotoxicities that will be important to investigate further.

Parametrizing tumor dynamics following the adoptive transfer of CAR-T cells

We sought to parametrize the dynamics of tumor growth in the NSG mouse model (*Figure 3*c). The data from each phase (growth, decay, and relapse) for all mice were fitted using our piecewise

dynamical model as described in the Methods (*section*). We found that our model could fit all three phases with residuals within the experimental noise (*Figure 3*a-b). For the growth phase, 99.9% of the predicted data were within one order of magnitude of the experimental data (which has a range of five orders of magnitude). Similarly, 99.5% and 97.7% of data points for the decay and relapse phases, respectively, were within one order of magnitude of the experimental data (*Figure 3*b).

Tumor growth is slower during the relapse phase than the initial phase

In order to compare the rate of tumor growth during the relapse phase to the rate of tumor growth during the initial growth phase, we examined only mice with tumors that grew from the start, exhibited some control by CAR-T cells, and eventually relapsed (G,D,R). Control mice that did not receive CAR-T cells were excluded. A total of 335 mice were engrafted with NALM6 leukemia that initially grew after CAR-T cell administration. 156 of these mice exhibited leukemic relapse (46.6%). The rate of tumor growth during relapse was significantly slower than the rate of tumor growth during the initial phase for 81.4% of these mice (n=127) (*Figure 3*d), highlighting the selective pressure that CAR-T cell cytotoxicity applies to the leukemia. Future studies will be needed to characterize these selective pressures at both the molecular and cellular levels.

Rates of initial leukemia growth predict the long-term behavior

We report the distribution of the initial growth rates for all 335 mice with NALM6 leukemia that continue to grow upon CAR-T cell treatment to be bimodal. Two classes of responses to CAR-T cells could be distinguished from the initial tumor growth phase: 170 mice (50.7%) had slow growing tumors ($k_{Growth} \leq 0.85$ days^{-1}), while 165 mice (49.3%) had fast growing tumors ($k_{Growth} > 0.85$ days^{-1}) (*Figure 3*e).

Such dynamic differences in the initial phase of the preclinical experiments resulted in long-term differences. Slower growing leukemia were more likely to escape complete killing by CAR-T cells with 65.9% (112/170) of these leukemia never regressing. In contrast, only 18.2% (30/165) of fast growing leukemia escaped killing. Indeed, we detected regression in 81.8% (135/165) of fast growing tumors *vs.* 34.1% (58/170) of slow growing tumors. However, the faster growing tumors resulted in significantly more relapse than the slower growing tumors, with 66.1% (109/165) of tumors eventually relapsing. Interestingly though, the likelihood of relapse after regression was similar for the fast and slow growing tumors (80.7% (109/135) and 81.0% (47/58), respectively). These results suggest that slow growing leukemia may avoid being killed by CAR-T cells. While this may limit short-term expansion, it can lead to continued long-term tumor growth. In contrast, faster growing tumors are initially killed by CAR-T cells, but following this phase, the likelihood of relapse is similar (*Figure 3*f-g). Hence our maRQup analysis reveals a quantitative paradox that leukemia that are initially better controlled by CAR-T cells may evade CAR-T cell killing in the long run.

Effects of CAR-T:Tumor cell numbers on *in-vivo* growth and killing

We also explored the effects of different ratios of CAR-T to tumor cells on *in-vivo* growth and killing rates. We split our dataset into two categories depending on whether mice were treated with more (CAR-T:Tumor ≥ 1) or fewer (CAR-T:Tumor < 1) CAR-T cells than the original number of injected tumor cells. The distributions of tumor growth rates (initial and relapse) and CAR-T cell killing rates for these two groups are shown in *Figure 3*h. The initial growth rate of tumors was significantly faster when fewer CAR-T cells were injected (*Figure 3*h, left, p<0.001), suggesting that the effects of the CAR-T cell dose contributes to the bimodal distribution of initial tumor growth rates seen in *Figure 3*e. Consequently, the rate of killing of the tumors by the CAR-T cells, as calculated as the difference in tumor growth rate in the presence and absence of CAR-T cells, was significantly greater when a higher number of CAR-T cells were adoptively transferred into tumor-bearing mice (*Figure 3*h, middle, p<0.0001).

²³¹ Although the rate of initial tumor growth was modulated by the ratio of CAR-T cells to tumor
²³² cells, there was no significant difference in the growth rate of tumors during the relapse phase
²³³ (*Figure 3*h, right) suggesting that tumor growth during the relapse phase is independent of the
²³⁴ CAR-T cell dose. The distribution of tumor growth rates during the relapse phase was dependent
²³⁵ on the classification of overall tumor behavior - growth, decay, and relapse ($k_{Growth} > 0$); and decay
²³⁶ and relapse ($k_{Growth} = 0$). The "growth, decay, and relapse" population could further be stratified by
²³⁷ the rate of initial tumor growth (ie, fast *vs.* slow, as shown in *Figure 3*e). We found that there was no
²³⁸ difference in the rate of tumor growth during the relapse phase among tumors that were initially
²³⁹ fast or slow growing. However, the rate of tumor growth during the relapse phase was significantly
²⁴⁰ faster in tumors that regressed immediately following CAR-T cell administration as compared to
²⁴¹ tumors that continued growing upon adoptive transfer of CAR-T (*Figure 3*i, p<0.05).

²⁴² **Tracking of tumor burden across anatomical regions following adoptive transfer**
²⁴³ **of CAR-T cells**

²⁴⁴ Each pixel of the mouse tumor radiance image consists of three different layers of data: the tumor
²⁴⁵ radiance value, a boolean value to distinguish the mouse from the background, and a brightfield
²⁴⁶ image value (Supplemental Figure S4a). As described in *subsection* , all images were processed and
²⁴⁷ aligned so that anatomical regions were located in the same area across all images. Supplemental
²⁴⁸ Figure S4g shows the standard deviation of the boolean values of the merged images as well as
²⁴⁹ the average radiance, mouse, and brightfield values across all 7,534 images. From the average
²⁵⁰ radiance image, all pixel values within the same row were averaged, and a Principal Component
²⁵¹ Analysis was performed. The first four principal components accounted for greater than 90% of
²⁵² the overall variability (Supplemental Figure S5a, left). The same process was performed with the
²⁵³ column pixels (Supplemental Figure S5a, right). By using the x-intercepts of the loading curves
²⁵⁴ for row principal components 2 and 3, and column principal components 4 and 5, we were able
²⁵⁵ to reliably demarcate nine distinct anatomical regions (*Figure 4*a; Supplemental Figure S5a, mid-
²⁵⁶ dle/left). After quantifying tumor radiance within different anatomical regions, the tumor burden
²⁵⁷ within these regions could be monitored separately over time. Intriguingly, the dynamics of tumor
²⁵⁸ growth appeared to vary per region (representative example shown in *Figure 4*b). We therefore
²⁵⁹ systematically characterized the tumor growth patterns per region across all 872 NALM6 leukemia-
²⁶⁰ bearing mice in our dataset (*Figure 4*c, left) and found a remarkable level of divergence in the
²⁶¹ growth patterns of leukemic cells in individual regions compared to the overall mouse tumor bur-
²⁶² den (*Figure 4*c, right and Supplemental Figure S5b-d): up to 40% of analyzed mice showed different
²⁶³ growth patterns in an individual anatomical region compared to their overall tumor burden. Char-
²⁶⁴ acterizing this divergence per anatomical region and growth pattern revealed that the snout and
²⁶⁵ bone marrow regions show significantly different tumor growth dynamics than the overall tumor
²⁶⁶ growth across the whole mouse (*Figure 4*d). Leukemia in the snout was much more likely to only
²⁶⁷ grow over time (and never decay) in mice exhibiting bulk leukemia regression prior to relapse, in-
²⁶⁸ dicating that snout-localized leukemic cells were more resistant to CAR-T cell immunotherapy. In
²⁶⁹ direct contrast, leukemia in the bone marrow was more likely to regress and relapse even when
²⁷⁰ the overall tumor burden in the mice would only grow or grow, decay, and relapse, suggesting that
²⁷¹ bone marrow-localized tumors can be more easily killed by CAR-T cells. This sharp deviation be-
²⁷² tween the two regions could also be observed by the extremely low correlation of growth dynamics
²⁷³ between snout and bone marrow tumors (*Figure 4*e).

²⁷⁴ Thus, our spatiotemporal analysis of tumor growth dynamics derived from maRQup indicates
²⁷⁵ that in many mice, the tumor in the bone marrow is eradicated by CAR-T cells and that a few tumor
²⁷⁶ cells in the snout are resistant to killing by CAR-T cells. These tumor cells then circulate, resulting in
²⁷⁷ global tumor relapse. These results suggest that CAR-T cells are either unable to access leukemia
²⁷⁸ in the snout or that a subset of CAR-T cell resistant-leukemia inherently localize to the snout region.
²⁷⁹ We presented these observations to illustrate the power of our maRQup pipeline to derive novel
²⁸⁰ quantitative observations that call for further molecular and cellular analyses.

Discussion

Here we have used maRQup to assemble a large dataset of preclinical experiments yielding important insights about the response dynamics of B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia tumor cells to different CAR-T cell doses and constructs. From our data, we quantified differences between CD19 CAR-T cells harboring a 4-1BB as compared to a CD28 costimulatory signaling domain in *in-vivo* murine experiments. CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells initially were more effective at killing the tumor and preventing initial tumor growth. However, these CAR-T cells were associated with a high frequency of tumor relapse, consistent with limited long-term activation and/or exhaustion. Conversely, adoptive transfer of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells was associated with a significantly greater frequency of initial tumor growth, but of note, there were fewer relapses as compared to mice treated with CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells. These results reveal that CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells require longer kinetics for initial homing and/or cytotoxic function, but they retain killing potential for more sustained periods of time. Importantly, while these types of conclusions were not able to be drawn from preclinical mice experiments prior to the maRQup analyses presented here, they are in accord with clinical findings in patients: CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells exhibit high efficacy in the context of lymphoma, but CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells persist for significantly longer periods of time *Maude et al.* (*2014*) *Maude et al.* (*2018*) *Cheng et al.* (*2018*) *Aamir et al.* (*2021*) *Long et al.* (*2015*) *Lee et al.* (*2015*) *Shah and Fry* (*2019*).

The potential for a time-controlled administration, resulting in a relay from exhausted CAR-T cells to CAR-T cells that function at a later time point can be of much interest *Salazar-Cavazos and Altan-Bonnet* (*2022*). However, future experiments investigating the impact of such a strategy on severe adverse effects such as cytokine release syndrome are needed. Our maRQup pipeline will aid in the optimization of this type of CAR-T cell regimen, facilitating preclinical testing and analysis.

Using maRQup, we have also documented the impact of CAR-T cell dose on tumor dynamics in a preclinical setting. As expected, administration of a higher number of CAR-T cells is associated with an increase in initial tumor killing. However, it was of interest to note that higher doses of CAR-T cells did not prevent relapse. We conjecture, that at higher doses, CAR-T cells do eradicate tumors too efficiently and rapidly, limiting the release of cytokines (eg IL-2) necessary to prolong and sustain CAR-T cell cytotoxicity. However, these data were observed in the context of NSG mice wherein homeostatic murine cytokines do not cross-react with human cytokine receptors; it will therefore be of interest to determine whether the data reported here are model-specific or whether relapse might be lower in humanized mice models engineered to express human cytokines such as MISTRG mice *Strowig et al.* (*2011*) *Ivic et al.* (*2017*). It is, however, notable that at very high doses of CAR-T cells, a complete eradication of tumor at early time points prevents relapse. In patients, the trade off between efficacy and severe adverse effects such as cytokine release syndrome and on-target/off-tumor effects needs to be carefully evaluated. This trade-off can be assessed as a "Pareto Front" *Shoval et al.* (*2012*) - balancing the need for tumor killing, cytokine secretion by CAR-T cells activated by tumor antigens, persistence, and lack of exhaustion to prevent long-term tumor relapse. Further detailed modeling of such Pareto optimization can be performed using the quantitative parameters derived from maRQup analysis.

Additionally, the image processing from maRQup enables for the spatiotemporal evaluation of tumor dynamics following treatment with CAR-T cells. Applying this pipeline to our dataset, we identified the snout as an anatomical region that has different tumor behavior as compared to the overall response of the tumor. Tumor in the snout appears to be more resistant to CAR-T cell therapy, either due to the snout being an immune-privileged site or a region where resistant tumor cells (ie, inherently resistant or resistant by down-regulating the target antigen) localize. Multiple case reports have been published describing similar observations in patients who have had B cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia tumor relapse in the mandibles *Bakathir and Al-Hamdani* (*2009*) *Benson et al.* (*2007*) *Penafort et al.* (*2024*).

We anticipate that systematic application of maRQup will allow investigators and clinicians to

331 rigorously, comparatively, and rapidly optimize CAR-T cell design and dose regimens, leading to
332 improved cancer immunotherapies for patients.

Methods and Materials

In-vivo evaluation of CAR-T cell immunotherapeutic potential

We followed standard protocols to assess the *in-vivo* efficacy of CAR-T cells against cancer cells
(eg patient-derived cell lines *Fåne et al.* (*2022*) or xenografts of cancer cell lines *Liu et al.* (*2023*)).
In this study, we analyzed data from a large collection of experiments (*Figure 1*a) where NALM-6
cells (ATCC CRL-3273: a patient-derived acute lymphoblastic leukemia cell line), engineered to ex-
press luciferase, were adoptively-transferred into humanized immunodeficient NSG mice (NOD.Cg-
Prkdc^{scid}Il2rg^{tm1Wjl}/SzJ; Jackson Laboratories). After a three day engraftment period of the tumor
cells, the human CAR-T cells of interest were adoptively transferred by intravenous (IV) injection.
All mouse injections were performed by one expert, thus reducing inter-researcher variability. Tu-
mor dynamics were monitored via luciferase-based imaging of each mouse as indicated, using the
Xenogen IVIS Lumina machine (Caliper Life Sciences). Mice received an intraperitoneal injection of
3 mg of D-luciferin (Caliper Life Sciences) followed by a 4 min incubation time prior to imaging using
fixed settings (eg all exposure times were set to 30 s for all mice). Brightfield images of mice were
also collected for image registration. All animal experiments were carried out under the protocols
approved by the National Cancer Institute's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC
protocol PB-027-4-G).

Classifying mouse outcomes to CAR-T cell therapies as a function of quantitative tumor dynamics

For each mouse, tumor radiance was calculated at all timepoints as a measure of responsiveness
to CAR-T cells. We observed three qualitatively distinct phases of tumor dynamics upon reviewing
our datasets: tumor growth, tumor decay, and tumor relapse. To automatically identify these
three phases, consecutive timepoints were compared in a stepwise fashion until a threshold was
met to signal the end of one phase and the start of the next phase (Supplemental Figure S2a): 1)
The phase of "tumor growth" ended at the timepoint immediately prior to when tumor radiance
was <75% of the tumor radiance detected at the previous timepoint; 2) "Tumor decay" ended at
the timepoint immediately prior to a tumor radiance increase of three-fold; 3) "Tumor relapse"
was defined as all remaining timepoints (prior to sacrifice or death). Equations were then derived
to automatically fit and delineate each phase (Supplemental Figure S2b). The tumor dynamics in
the three aforementioned phases for each individual mouse in each experiment were manually
inspected to confirm that the automated classification of tumor dynamics, based on the defined
thresholds, was satisfactory.

Modeling each dynamic phase

We modeled the dynamics of each of these three phases using simple differential equations to
examine the kinetic rates of tumors in the growth, decay, and relapse phases (*Figure 1*c). Hence,
we performed our parametrization of the tumor dynamics using a piecewise approach. These ki-
netic rates were then compared across mice that were treated with different CAR-T cell therapeutic
parameters (eg dose or construct).
The tumor growth and relapse phases were modeled as logistic growth:

$$\frac{dT(t)}{dt} = kT(t)\left(1 - \frac{T(t)}{T_\infty}\right) \tag{1}$$

372 where $T(t)$ is the tumor radiance at time t. The tumor growth rates k [day^{-1}] are k_{Growth} for the initial
373 tumor growth rate and $k_{Relapse}$ for the tumor growth rate during the relapse phase. T_∞ is the value
374 of tumor at the end of the initial growth or relapse phase (eg carrying capacity for the tumor cells
375 in the recipient mice in terms of measured radiance).

The tumor decay phase was modeled as an exponential decay with a baseline tumor burden, T_B, and tumor decay rate, k_{Decay} [day^{-1}]:

$$\frac{dT(t)}{dt} = -k_{Decay}T(t) \tag{2}$$

Assuming that tumor dynamics consist of all three phases, the equations for each phase can be written as follows:

Growth Phase:

$$T\left(t_{i=1\ldots D}\right) = \frac{T_0}{1 + \frac{T_0}{T_\infty}\left(e^{+k_{Growth}(t_i)} - 1\right)}e^{+k_{Growth}(t_i)} \tag{3}$$

Decay Phase:

$$T\left(t_{i=D\ldots R}\right) = T_B + \left(\frac{T_0 e^{+k_{Growth}(t_{Decay})}}{1 + \frac{T_0}{T_\infty}\left(e^{+k_{Growth}(t_{Decay})} - 1\right)} - T_B\right) * e^{-k_{Decay}(t_i - t_{Decay})} \tag{4}$$

Relapse Phase:

$$T\left(t_{i=R\ldots N}\right) = \frac{T_{1,Relapse}e^{+k_{Relapse}(t_i - t_{Relapse})}}{1 + \frac{T_{1,Relapse}}{T_\infty}\left(e^{+k_{Relapse}(t_i - t_{Relapse})} - 1\right)} \tag{5}$$

where

$$T_{1,Relapse} = T_B + \left(\frac{T_0 e^{+k_{Growth}(t_{Decay})}}{1 + \frac{T_0}{T_\infty}\left(e^{+k_{Growth}(t_{Decay})} - 1\right)} - T_B\right) * e^{-k_{Decay}(t_{Relapse} - t_{Decay})} \tag{6}$$

Hence the entire dynamics of tumor growth, decay, and relapse can be parametrized with eight parameters:

1. T_0, the tumor radiance at t=0
2. k_{Growth}, the rate of tumor growth in the first phase
3. t_{Decay}, the time when the tumor mass starts shrinking (D^{th} timepoint)
4. k_{Decay}, the rate of tumor disappearance in the decay phase
5. T_B, the baseline tumor radiance during the decay phase
6. $t_{Relapse}$, the time when the tumor mass starts growing again (R^{th} timepoint)
7. $k_{Relapse}$, the rate of tumor growth in the relapse phase
8. T_∞, the tumor radiance at the carrying capacity (or the end of the growth or relapse phase if the carrying capacity is not yet reached)

The dynamics of tumor responses to CAR-T cell immunotherapies can also consist of one or two phases (eg immediate obliteration of tumor growth and/or the absence of tumor relapse). In these cases, tumor dynamics can be modeled with equations *Equation 4* or combined equations *Equation 3* and *Equation 4*. The derivation of the equations for each phase, which include the equations for simpler configurations of tumor response, can be found in Supplemental Information.

Bayesian inference for dynamic parameters

We fit the experimental tumor radiances using the above equations and obtained values for k_{Growth}, k_{Decay}, and $k_{Relapse}$. However, we found that there were parameter outliers that most frequently occurred when there were only two data points in the phase used for fitting (Supplemental Figure S3b). To correct for these, we implemented a fitting routine based on Bayesian inference in order to optimize the fit while constraining the values of these parameters to a realistic range *Gelman et al.* (*2017*) *Gelman et al.* (*2020*).

For the initial growth rate, k_{Growth}, the prior distribution of the fitting parameter was determined by removing outliers where $k_{Growth} > 3$ days^{-1}. After removal of the outliers, the prior population used had a mean $k_{Growth} = 0.84$ days^{-1} and standard deviation of 0.43 days^{-1}.

For the growth rate during relapse, $k_{Relapse}$, the prior distribution of the fitting parameter was determined by removing outliers where $k_{Relapse} > 3$ days^{-1} as well. After removal of the outliers, the prior population used had a mean $k_{Relapse} = 0.60$ days^{-1} and standard deviation of 0.32 days^{-1}.

Note that the fit for the decay rate, k_{Decay}, yielded a bimodal population. Thus, we could not derive reliable Bayesian priors for the tumor decay phase. Such simple fitting procedure reduced the complex dynamics of tumor response to CAR-T cell treatment to a small set of quantitative parameters, allowing for additional statistical analysis.

Supplemental Figure S3 shows the Bayesian Priors method of removing and refitting outliers.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Taylor lab for their help in sharing data and designing and test-running the processing pipeline. We thank members of the Altan-Bonnet lab for comments and discussions.

Funding

This work was partially supported by the intramural research program of the NCI and by a NCI Flex Synergy Award to N.T. and G.A.-B.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Data availability

All data are available in the following repository https://github.com/soorajachar/radianceQuantifier/tree/main/radianceQuantifier/data_for_paper.

Materials availability

All materials and reagents will be available by contacting the corresponding authors.

Code availability

An updated version of maRQup is available in the following Github repository https://github.com/soorajachar/radianceQuantifier.

References

Aamir, S., Anwar, M. Y., Khalid, F., Khan, S. I., Ali, M. A., and Khattak, Z. E. (2021). Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of CD19-Specific CAR-T Cell Therapy in Relapsed/Refractory Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia in the Pediatric and Young Adult Population: Safety and Efficacy Outcomes. *Clinical Lymphoma Myeloma and Leukemia*, 21(4):e334–e347.

Bachy, E., Le Gouill, S., Di Blasi, R., Sesques, P., Manson, G., Cartron, G., Beauvais, D., Roulin, L., Gros, F. X., Rubio, M. T., Bories, P., Bay, J. O., Llorente, C. C., Choquet, S., Casasnovas, R.-O., Mohty, M., Guidez, S., Joris, M., Loschi, M., Carras, S., Abraham, J., Chauchet, A., Drieu La Rochelle, L., Deau-Fischer, B., Hermine, O., Gastinne, T., Tudesq, J. J., Gat, E., Broussais, F., Thieblemont, C., Houot, R., and Morschhauser, F. (2022). A real-world comparison of tisagenlecleucel and axicabtagene ciloleucel CAR T cells in relapsed or refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma. *Nature Medicine*, 28(10):2145–2154.

Bakathir, A. A. and Al-Hamdani, A. S. (2009). Relapse of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in the jaw. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*, 107(5):e14–e16.

Benson, R. E., Rodd, H. D., North, S., Loescher, A. R., Farthing, P. M., and Payne, M. (2007). Leukaemic infiltration of the mandible in a young girl. *International Journal of Paediatric Dentistry*, 17(2):145–150.

Bhaumik, S. and Gambhir, S. S. (2002). Optical imaging of Renilla luciferase reporter gene expression in living mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 99(1):377–382.

Cappell, K. M. and Kochenderfer, J. N. (2023). Long-term outcomes following CAR T cell therapy: what we know so far. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*, 20(6):359–371.

Carceles-Cordon, M., Rodriguez-Fernandez, I., Rodriguez-Bravo, V., Cordon-Cardo, C., and Domingo-Domenech, J. (2016). In vivo Bioluminescence Imaging of Luciferase-labeled Cancer Cells. *BIO-PROTOCOL*, 6(6).

Cheng, Z., Wei, R., Ma, Q., Shi, L., He, F., Shi, Z., Jin, T., Xie, R., Wei, B., Chen, J., Fang, H., Han, X., Rohrs, J. A., Bryson, P., Liu, Y., Li, Q.-J., Zhu, B., and Wang, P. (2018). In Vivo Expansion and Antitumor Activity of Coinfused CD28- and 4-1BB-Engineered CAR-T Cells in Patients with B Cell Leukemia. *Molecular Therapy*, 26(4):976–985.

Deeks, S. (2023). Safety and Anti-HIV Activity of Autologous CD4+ and CD8+ T Cells Transduced With a Lentiviral Vector Encoding Bi-specific Anti-gp120 CAR Molecules (LVgp120duoCAR-T) in Anti-retroviral Drug-treated HIV-1 Infection. Clinical trial registration NCT04648046, clinicaltrials.gov. submitted: November 23, 2020.

Fry, T. J., Shah, N. N., Orentas, R. J., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Yuan, C. M., Ramakrishna, S., Wolters, P., Martin, S., Delbrook, C., Yates, B., Shalabi, H., Fountaine, T. J., Shern, J. F., Majzner, R. G., Stroncek, D. F., Sabatino, M., Feng, Y., Dimitrov, D. S., Zhang, L., Nguyen, S., Qin, H., Dropulic, B., Lee, D. W., and Mackall, C. L. (2018). CD22-targeted CAR T cells induce remission in B-ALL that is naive or resistant to CD19-targeted CAR im-munotherapy. *Nature Medicine*, 24(1):20–28.

Fåne, A., Myhre, M. R., Inderberg, E. M., and Wälchli, S. (2022). In vivo experimental mouse model to test CD19CAR T cells generated with different methods. *Methods in Cell Biology*, 167:149–161.

Gagelmann, N., Bishop, M., Ayuk, F., Bethge, W., Glass, B., Sureda, A., Pasquini, M. C., and Kröger, N. (2024). Axicabtagene Ciloleucel versus Tisagenlecleucel for Relapsed or Refractory Large B Cell Lymphoma: A Sys-tematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Transplantation and Cellular Therapy*, 30(6):584.e1–584.e13.

Gelman, A., Simpson, D., and Betancourt, M. (2017). The Prior Can Often Only Be Understood in the Context of the Likelihood. *Entropy*, 19(10).

Gelman, A., Vehtari, A., Simpson, D., Margossian, C. C., Carpenter, B., Yao, Y., Kennedy, L., Gabry, J., Bürkner, P.-C., and Modrák, M. (2020). Bayesian Workflow. *arXiv*.

Ivic, S., Rochat, M.-A., Li, D., Audigé, A., Schlaepfer, E., Münz, C., Manz, M. G., and Speck, R. F. (2017). Differential Dynamics of HIV Infection in Humanized MISTRG versus MITRG Mice. *ImmunoHorizons*, 1(8):162–175.

Jacobson, C. A., Munoz, J., Sun, F., Kanters, S., Limbrick-Oldfield, E. H., Spooner, C., Mignone, K., Ayuk, F., Sander-son, R., Whitmore, J., Wang, Y., Xu, H., and Dickinson, M. (2024). Real-world outcomes with chimeric antigen receptor t cell therapies in large b cell lymphoma: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Transplantation and Cellular Therapy*, 30(1):77.e1–77.e15.

Juno Therapeutics, Inc., a Bristol-Myers Squibb Company (2024). A Phase 1, Multicenter, Open-Label Study Of CC-97540 (BMS-986353), CD19-Targeted Nex-T Chimeric Antigen Receptor (CAR) T Cells, in Participants With Severe, Refractory Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE). Clinical trial registration NCT05869955, clinicaltri-als.gov. submitted: May 11, 2023.

Kawalekar, O. U., O'Connor, R. S., Fraietta, J. A., Guo, L., McGettigan, S. E., Posey, A. D., Patel, P. R., Guedan, S., Scholler, J., Keith, B., Snyder, N. W., Blair, I. A., Milone, M. C., and June, C. H. (2016). Distinct Signaling of Coreceptors Regulates Specific Metabolism Pathways and Impacts Memory Development in CAR T Cells. *Immunity*, 44(2):380–390.

Kyverna Therapeutics (2023). A Phase 1, Open-Label, Multicenter Study of KYV-101, an Autologous Fully-Human Anti-CD19 Chimeric Antigen Receptor T-Cell (CD19 CAR T) Therapy, in Subjects With Refractory Lupus Nephritis. Clinical trial registration NCT05938725, clinicaltrials.gov. submitted: October 11, 2022.

Lee, D. W., Kochenderfer, J. N., Stetler-Stevenson, M., Cui, Y. K., Delbrook, C., Feldman, S. A., Fry, T. J., Orentas, R., Sabatino, M., Shah, N. N., Steinberg, S. M., Stroncek, D., Tschernia, N., Yuan, C., Zhang, H., Zhang, L., Rosenberg, S. A., Wayne, A. S., and Mackall, C. L. (2015). T cells expressing CD19 chimeric antigen receptors for acute lymphoblastic leukaemia in children and young adults: a phase 1 dose-escalation trial. *The Lancet*, 385(9967):517–528.

Liu, Y., Wu, W., Cai, C., Zhang, H., Shen, H., and Han, Y. (2023). Patient-derived xenograft models in cancer therapy: technologies and applications. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy*, 8(1):160.

Long, A. H., Haso, W. M., Shern, J. F., Wanhainen, K. M., Murgai, M., Ingaramo, M., Smith, J. P., Walker, A. J., Kohler, M. E., Venkateshwara, V. R., Kaplan, R. N., Patterson, G. H., Fry, T. J., Orentas, R. J., and Mackall, C. L. (2015). 4-1BB costimulation ameliorates T cell exhaustion induced by tonic signaling of chimeric antigen receptors. *Nature Medicine*, 21(6):581–590.

Maude, S. L., Frey, N., Shaw, P. A., Aplenc, R., Barrett, D. M., Bunin, N. J., Chew, A., Gonzalez, V. E., Zheng, Z., Lacey, S. F., Mahnke, Y. D., Melenhorst, J. J., Rheingold, S. R., Shen, A., Teachey, D. T., Levine, B. L., June, C. H., Porter, D. L., and Grupp, S. A. (2014). Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells for Sustained Remissions in Leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 371(16):1507–1517.

Maude, S. L., Laetsch, T. W., Buechner, J., Rives, S., Boyer, M., Bittencourt, H., Bader, P., Verneris, M. R., Stefanski, H. E., Myers, G. D., Qayed, M., De Moerloose, B., Hiramatsu, H., Schlis, K., Davis, K. L., Martin, P. L., Nemecek, E. R., Yanik, G. A., Peters, C., Baruchel, A., Boissel, N., Mechinaud, F., Balduzzi, A., Krueger, J., June, C. H., Levine, B. L., Wood, P., Taran, T., Leung, M., Mueller, K. T., Zhang, Y., Sen, K., Lebwohl, D., Pulsipher, M. A., and Grupp, S. A. (2018). Tisagenlecleucel in Children and Young Adults with B-Cell Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 378(5):439–448.

McClory, S. E. and Maude, S. L. (2023). The Current State of Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cell Therapy for B Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Hematology/Oncology Clinics of North America*, 37(6):1041–1052.

Müller, F., Taubmann, J., Bucci, L., Wilhelm, A., Bergmann, C., Völkl, S., Aigner, M., Rothe, T., Minopoulou, I., Tur, C., Knitza, J., Kharboutli, S., Kretschmann, S., Vasova, I., Spoerl, S., Reimann, H., Munoz, L., Gerlach, R. G., Schäfer, S., Grieshaber-Bouyer, R., Korganow, A.-S., Farge-Bancel, D., Mougiakakos, D., Bozec, A., Winkler, T., Krönke, G., Mackensen, A., and Schett, G. (2024). CD19 CAR T-Cell therapy in autoimmune disease — a case series with follow-up. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 390(8):687–700.

Penafort, P. V. M., Arboleda, L. P. A., Kussaba, S. T., Cardinalli, I. A., Mendonça, R. M. H. D., Lopes, M. A., Vargas, P. A., and Santos-Silva, A. R. (2024). Mandible infiltration as a clinical signal of extramedullary B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia/lymphoma in a child: Report of a rare case. *Oral Oncology Reports*, 9:100193.

Salazar-Cavazos, E. and Altan-Bonnet, G. (2022). Engineering time-controlled immunotherapy. *Science*, 378(6625):1175–1176.

Shah, N. N. and Fry, T. J. (2019). Mechanisms of resistance to CAR T cell therapy. *Nature Reviews Clinical Oncology*.

Shalabi, H., Qin, H., Su, A., Yates, B., Wolters, P. L., Steinberg, S. M., Ligon, J. A., Silbert, S., DéDé, K., Benzaoui, M., Goldberg, S., Achar, S., Schneider, D., Shahani, S. A., Little, L., Foley, T., Molina, J. C., Panch, S., Mackall, C. L., Lee, D. W., Chien, C. D., Pouzolles, M., Ahlman, M., Yuan, C. M., Wang, H.-W., Wang, Y., Inglefield, J., Toledo-Tamula, M. A., Martin, S., Highfill, S. L., Altan-Bonnet, G., Stroncek, D., Fry, T. J., Taylor, N., and Shah, N. N. (2022). CD19/22 CAR T cells in children and young adults with B-ALL: phase 1 results and development of a novel bicistronic CAR. *Blood*, 140(5):451–463.

Shoval, O., Sheftel, H., Shinar, G., Hart, Y., Ramote, O., Mayo, A., Dekel, E., Kavanagh, K., and Alon, U. (2012). Evolutionary Trade-Offs, Pareto Optimality, and the Geometry of Phenotype Space. *Science*, 336(6085):1157–1160.

Strowig, T., Rongvaux, A., Rathinam, C., Takizawa, H., Borsotti, C., Philbrick, W., Eynon, E. E., Manz, M. G., and Flavell, R. A. (2011). Transgenic expression of human signal regulatory protein alpha in Rag2-/- gamma(c)-/- mice improves engraftment of human hematopoietic cells in humanized mice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(32):13218–13223.

University of Pennsylvania (2023). A Pilot Study of T Cells Genetically Modified by Zinc Finger Nucleases SB-728mR and CD4 Chimeric Antigen Receptor in HIV-infected Subjects. Clinical trial registration NCT03617198, clinicaltrials.gov. submitted: July 9, 2018.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2017a). FDA approval brings first gene therapy to the United States.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2017b). FDA approves CAR-T cell therapy to treat adults with certain types of large B-cell lymphoma.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2020). FDA Approves First Cell-Based Gene Therapy For Adult Patients with Relapsed or Refractory MCL.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration (2021). FDA Approves New Treatment For Adults With Relapsed Or Refractory Large-B-Cell Lymphoma.

Weissleder, R. and Ntziachristos, V. (2003). Shedding light onto live molecular targets. *Nature Medicine*, 9(1):123–128.

Zhao, Z., Condomines, M., van der Stegen, S. J. C., Perna, F., Kloss, C. C., Gunset, G., Plotkin, J., and Sadelain, M. (2015). Structural Design of Engineered Costimulation Determines Tumor Rejection Kinetics and Persistence of CAR T Cells. *Cancer Cell*, 28(4):415–428.

Figures

maRQup Workflow

Figure 1. | **Pipeline detailing the workflow of maRQup a,** A schematic of the *in-vivo* experimental setup used to evaluate the efficacy of CAR-T cell immunotherapy experiments. NALM-6 leukemia cells expressing luciferase were injected via the tail vein of mice followed by the adoptive transfer of CAR-T cells (IV) and the evaluation of tumor growth using a Xenogen IVIS Lumina, generating the output raw images for the maRQup pipeline. **b,** Processing steps to quantify tumor radiance at each timepoint for all mice in an experiment. IVIS output images are grouped by experimental condition (columns) and across multiple timepoints (rows) as indicated. Each output image contains a Brightfield (top) and Luminescent (bottom) image. Individual mice are then separated from the group image, and all mice images are aligned via image processing. Tumor burdens in different anatomical regions are quantified, and the tumor burden over time is calculated. **c,** Modeling, parametrization, and analysis of tumor behavior. Different phases of the tumor response dynamics — growth, decay, and relapse — are identified for each mouse. Each phase is modeled using differential equations, allowing for the rates of tumor growth in each phase to be compared across mice and experimental conditions.

Figure 2. | Impact of CAR-T cell constructs and doses on *in-vivo* dynamics. a, Schematic showing the extracellular and intracellular components of T cells harboring the CD19.4-1BB (left, blue) and the CD19.CD28 (right, orange) CAR constructs. **b,** Overall tumor response curves for all mice treated with CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells (top) and CD19.CD28 CAR-T cells (bottom). **c,** The percentages of mice treated with CD19.4-1BB (blue) or CD19.CD28 (orange) CAR-T cells that exhibit an initial tumor growth (left) *vs.* those that relapse (right). **d,** Tree diagrams showing tumor behavior in mice treated with increasing doses of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells. **e,** The percentages of mice demonstrating an initial growth (top, green) as compared to a decay (bottom, blue) of NALM6 leukemia cells presented as a function of the dose of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells (<1, 1, 2, or >2 million). **f,** The percentages of leukemia-bearing mice that exhibit a final phase of relapse (top, red) as compared to decay (bottom, blue) presented as a function of the dose of CD19.4-1BB CAR-T cells.

Figure 3. | Parametrization of tumor response to CAR-T cell therapy. a, Tumor dynamics for all 1,060 mice in the dataset. Model calculations are shown as curves for all mice. A representative example is highlighted, with the experimental data shown as points. The growth, decay, and relapse phases are labeled in green, blue, and red, respectively. **b,** Residuals in log_{10}-scale are shown for each timepoint in each phase. The percent of modeled points within one order of magnitude in either the positive or negative direction of the experimental points (dotted grey lines; 10^{-1} to 10^{1}) are labeled for each phase. **c,** Diagram displaying the parameters used to model the tumor dynamics. **d,** Comparison of the growth rate of NALM6 leukemia in the growth phase (k_{Growth}) and in the relapse phase ($k_{Relapse}$) in mice that exhibited tumor growth, decay, and relapse. **e,** Tumor growth rates can be divided into slow growing (gold) and fast growing populations (purple). The vertical dotted line at 0.85 days^{-1} represents the division of the two populations. **f,** Tree diagram for the slow growing (left) and fast growing tumor populations (right). **g,** (left) Percentage of mice harboring slow or fast growing tumor populations as a function of their last phase being growth (NR), decay (CR/PR), or relapse (PD). (right) The percentages of mice that exhibit tumor decay and then relapse under conditions where the tumors exhibit slow (gold) or fast (purple) initial growth. **h,** Kernel density estimate plots of the distribution of the growth rate of tumors in the growth phase (k_{Growth}) (left), the rate of tumor killing by CAR-T cells ($k - k_{Growth}$) (middle), and the growth rate of tumors in the relapse phase ($k_{Relapse}$) (right). Mice were classified into two groups of Effector to Target Ratios (E:T) depending on if the mice were treated with more (red) or fewer/equal (blue) CAR-T cells than tumor cells. The division of the slow (gold) and fast (purple) growing tumor populations, as determined from **e** is shown. **i,** Violin plots showing the growth rate of tumors during the relapse phase ($k_{Relapse}$) as stratified by the initial behavior of the tumor: initial decay ($k_{Growth} = 0$), slow initial growth ($0 < k_{Growth} \leq 0.85$), or rapid initial growth ($k_{Growth} > 0.85$)). All rates have units of days^{-1}.

Figure 4. | **Characterizing spatial differences in tumor progression dynamics. a,** Zeros of four principal components measured across row-averaged and column-averaged mouse image data were used to demarcate nine distinct spatial regions. **b,** Images (top) and quantified radiance dynamics (bottom) both globally (all) and per region are shown for a representative mouse. **c,** Characterization of tumor growth dynamics in all mice engrafted with NALM6 leukemia (left) and the percentages of mice where tumor dynamics in a given region diverge from the dynamics evaluated from the overall total body radiance (right). The 'bmR' region is defined as the region containing the bone marrow of the mouse's right leg; the 'bmL' region is defined as the region containing the bone marrow of the mouse's left leg. **d,** Scatter plots of mice with a given overall tumor behavior as compared to the classified tumor behavior within each specific region. Residuals from the identity line (dashed diagonal line) with magnitudes outside the 95% confidence intervals (grey bands) indicate a significant divergence of a region specific growth pattern as compared to the overall growth pattern. **e,** Correlation coefficients for all pairwise combinations of overall tumor growth and region specific tumor growth.