Restoration of tumor suppressor protein with enhanced activity using super-tRNAs to induce tumor regression

Bangyan Kong[1,2#], Zhetao Zheng[1,2#], Zeyuan Mi[1,2], Zhiyang Dou[1,2],

Yuelin Yang[1], Yuxuan Shen[1], Liangzhen Dong[1,2], Jingru Lv[1,2], Ying Li[1,2],

Qing Liang[1,2], Songlin Tian[2], Jiayu Wang[1,2], Hua Qiao[1,2], Qing Xia[1,2✉]

1. State Key Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University, Beijing, 100191, China.

2. Department of Molecular and Cellular Pharmacology, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100191, China.

\# These authors contributed equally to this work.

✉ Correspondence to: xqing@hsc.pku.edu.cn.

SUMMARY

Cancer develops through a gradual accumulation of mutations including nonsense mutations in tumor suppressor genes (TSGs), which drive tumor proliferation and progression due to the impairment or complete loss of tumor suppressor protein function. The ability to restore functional tumor suppressor protein from mutant driver TSGs is a longstanding aspiration in cancer therapy, however, current strategies face formidable challenges in recovering proteins harboring heterogeneous mutations and circumventing dominant-negative effects exerted by endogenous mutant proteins. Therefore, the development of therapeutic interventions that are effective and generalizable across diverse nonsense mutations in cancer, to rescue endogenous mutant tumor suppressor proteins remains an urgent yet unmet need. Here we find that engineered suppressor transfer RNA (sup-tRNA) could overcome nonsense mutations in key driver tumor suppressor genes, such as *TP53*, thereby avoiding the dominant-negative effects through the restoration of endogenous mutant p53 with its original functions. Optimized sup-tRNA that we named super tRNA, enabling high-fidelity incorporation of desired amino acids, can further enhanced the transcriptional activity of p53 to suppress tumor cell proliferation. Moreover, we also establish a computational model to guide super tRNAs design, and demonstrate that super tRNA could effectively inhibit tumor growth by targeted readthrough of nonsense mutations in different tumor suppressor genes. In two xenograft models harboring nonsense mutant *TP53*, we observed up to a 70% reduction in tumor volume after lipid nanoparticle (LNP)-based sup-tRNA treatment, along with robust recovery of p53 expression and function, and no hematological or histological abnormalities detected. Our findings demonstrate the potential of sup-tRNA as a feasible and effective strategy to rescue and enhance tumor suppressor protein function, thereby preventing cancer development. As a novel therapeutic RNA, sup-tRNA provides a flexible strategy for targeting driver mutations in cancer, thereby expanding the

potential of tRNA-based therapy in precision oncology.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer is a disease of uncontrolled proliferation by transformed cells harboring

mutations in driver genes including tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes[1-3].

Notably, over half of the high frequency mutations occur in tumor suppressor

genes[4,5], like *TP53*, mutations of which are found in approximately 42% of

patients worldwide and their pivotal role in cancer development has been well

established[6,7]. According to global cancer statistics reported by WHO,

approximately 1 million new cancer cases annually harbor *TP53* nonsense

mutations, leading to truncated inactive p53 proteins or complete loss of

expression due to nonsense-mediated mRNA decay[8,9] (NMD). However,

rescuing these mutations remains a significant challenge in current therapies[10].

Unlike targeting mutations in oncogenes by inhibiting or degrading aberrant

oncoproteins directly, rescuing tumor suppressor gene mutations requires the

restoration of functional tumor suppressor proteins, which poses a formidable

challenge to bind and recover mutant proteins of heterogeneous inactivating

mechanisms with a single agent[11-13]. Large-scale studies have identified

potential drug molecules, such as Rezatapopt and arsenic trioxide (ATO), which

could stabilize p53 mutants and restore their functional conformation, through

inserting into the Y220C crevice[14] or filling the arsenic-binding pocket in p53

proteins, respectively[15-17]. However, their efficacy is clearly limited to p53

mutants with these specific binding sites and is not applicable for rescuing other

TP53 mutations, particularly nonsense mutations[11,18].

As nonsense mutations result in premature termination of p53 translation, a

facile and promising strategy is to restore endogenous full-length p53 by

translational readthrough of nonsense codons during protein synthesis,

avoiding undesirable adverse effects associated with exogenous p53

overexpression and the dominant-negative effects of truncated mutant proteins

often observed in conventional gene therapy. In this context, developing

therapeutic agents capable of recognizing and overriding only three nonsense

codons (UAG, UAA, UGA) could address diverse *TP53* nonsense mutants,

sparking renewed enthusiasm in recent years. To date, a few lead compounds,

including aminoglycoside antibiotics and nucleoside analogs, have shown

potential in overcoming cancer-derived *TP53* nonsense mutations and

inhibiting tumor growth[19-22]. However, the reported readthrough approaches

have yet to meet clinical needs, primarily due to their low efficiency (<10%) in

most cases and the non-specific insertion of random amino acids in response

to nonsense mutations, which may lead to the restoration of deleterious

missense p53 mutants[23].

Suppressor tRNA (sup-tRNA), derived from natural transfer RNAs, could

recognize and pair with the nonsense codon during translation elongation, thus

restoring the production and function of the full-length protein[24-26]. Given the

high efficiency, low toxicity, and minimal safety concerns associated with sup-

tRNA in treating inherited diseases caused by nonsense mutations, we

anticipate that it also holds great promise for addressing nonsense mutations

in human cancer. With this inspiration, we hypothesized that sup-tRNA can be

used to restore p53 from nonsense mutations and induce tumor regression.

Drawing from prior knowledge of p53 mutations, we further set out to

established the framework to optimize sup-tRNA for rescuing diverse nonsense

mutant p53 variants.

RESULTS

95 **Figure 1. The efficacy of sup-tRNA in overcoming nonsense mutations within tumor suppressor**

96 **genes**

(A) Scatter plots of the proportion of mutation types （nonsense/ truncating mutation, missense mutation, synonymous mutation）and the number of cancer types and cohorts which specific tumor suppressor genes act as driver genes in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer (COSMIC) and Integrative OncoGenomics (IntOGen) for mutational cancer driver tumor suppressor genes.

(B)The hypothesis explaining the correlation between the proportion of driver tumor suppressor genes mutation types and the cancer driver ability (In how many types of cancer/cohorts it serves as a driver gene). Based on the existing theories, nonsense mutation most leads to the complete loss of function thus missense mutation may cause complete loss of function or partial loss of function. The synonymous mutation leads to a partial loss of function or basic function. The variability in different mutation fates can rationally explain the correlation described above.

(C) Classification of nonsense mutations according to their original codons and corresponding amino acids, and statistics of the mutation rates in tumor suppressor genes including *TP53*, *APC*, *RB1*, and *PTEN*, based on data adapted from COSMIC.

(D) Schematics of engineering natural transfer RNA (tRNA) to suppressor tRNA (sup-tRNA) for nonsense mutations readthrough in tumor suppressor transcripts.

(E) Quantification of readthrough efficiency of sup-tRNA encoding highly mutated amino acid defined in (C) using the dual-luciferase reporter assay.

(F and G) Microscopy images (F) and quantification (G) of GFP positive cells showing differential readthrough efficiencies of above three approaches. Consistent results were obtained independently for at least three biological replicates. In (F and G), all data shown from HEK 293T cell experiments are mean of three biological replicates. Scale bar=100 μm.

Engineering sup-tRNAs to target nonsense mutations in *TP53*

Tumor suppressor genes often harbor nonsense mutations, which cause abnormal termination of the translation of tumor suppressor proteins, thereby driving the development of tumors. For different tumor suppressor genes, the proportion of nonsense mutations in the total mutations varies greatly. We found that certain tumor suppressor genes (such as *TP53*, *RB1*, *PTEN*, and *APC*) often have a relatively high proportion of nonsense mutations. Furthermore, we quantify the "driver ability" of tumor suppressor genes according to the number of cancer types/cohorts in which this gene functions as a cancer driver gene. *TP53* is regarded as the gene with the strongest driver ability, as it exists as a mutational cancer driver gene in more than 50 types of cancer. Interestingly, our analysis of 315 driver tumor suppressor genes[2] revealed a striking correlation: genes with higher driver ability exhibit a significantly higher proportion of nonsense/truncating mutations ($p < 0.001$), whereas synonymous mutations show an inverse trend (Figures 1A and S1A)**.** This suggests that

nonsense mutations, causing disastrous protein function loss, are evolutionarily

selected in key tumor suppressors to promote tumor initiation (Figures 1B and

S1-S2).

We then analyzed the types of amino acids affected by nonsense mutations in

the four vital tumor suppressor genes *TP53*, *APC*, *RB1*, and *PTEN*. The amino

acids involved in these mutations were similar across these genes, primarily

consisting of arginine (Arg), glutamic acid (Glu), and glutamine (Gln) (Figure

1C). With the exception of glutamic acid, all frequently mutated amino acids had

corresponding suppressor tRNAs capable of inducing nonsense readthrough

by up to 55%, offering potential for the restoration of tumor suppressor proteins

(Figures 1D-1E). Next, we constructed a series of surrogate reporters harboring

nonsense mutant tumor suppressor genes and EGFP genes linked by a 2A

peptide sequence, and employed sup-tRNAs to induce targeted readthrough of

nonsense codons (UAG, UGA) to permit the downstream enhanced green

fluorescent protein (EGFP) expression, allowing us to measure the readthrough

efficiency through monitoring EGFP level. Though readthrough efficiency varies

among different genes, we found that sup-tRNA consistently outperforms the

documented readthrough-promoting agent G418 (geneticin), achieving up to a

276-folds increase in efficiency (Figures 1F-1G). These results indicate that

sup-tRNA can efficiently overcome nonsense mutations in tumor suppressor

genes, inducing nearly 70% readthrough in nonsense mutant *TP53*. The actual

amino acids incorporated by these sup-tRNAs have been verified by mass

spectrometry. These results suggested that sup-tRNA enabled the efficient and

precise incorporation of specific amino acids at nonsense mutations.

To further investigate the capability of sup-tRNAs for the functional restoration

of p53, we co-transfected NCI-H1299 cells (*TP53*-null) with sup-tRNAs, the p53

reporter, and a genetically encoded sensor, which allowed us to characterize

full-length p53 protein expression via green fluorescence and assess its

transcriptional activity restoration through red fluorescence (Figure 2A). We

found that sup-tRNAs carrying glutamine or arginine were able to rescue the

expression and function of the frequent p53 mutants, albeit with varying

efficiencies (Figures 2B-2C and S3-S5). Furthermore, functional restoration

exhibited a significant correlation with readthrough efficiency. However, when

applying the high-efficiency sup-tRNAs to address other COSMIC-reported p53

mutants harboring UAG or UGA nonsense mutations, we observed that the

function of two mutants (G266* and Y234*) was not restored, despite the

recovery of full-length protein expression (Figures 2B and S4). By analyzing

Y234* and G266* variants based on p53 crystal structure with molecular

dynamics simulation, we speculated that mischarging of Y234Q and G266R in

p53, induced by sup-tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ and sup-tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$, may be deleterious

(Figure 2E). This is primarily attributed to the disruption of a hydrophobic core

around Y234 and the formation of new hydrogen bonds between G266 and

adjacent residues, potentially compromising the p53-DNA binding interface

(Figure 2E). Therefore, a reliable approach for restoring p53 activity

necessitates the simultaneous consideration of readthrough efficiency and the

functional impact of the incorporated amino acid.

Figure 2. Characterization of sup-tRNA in recovering p53 expression and function

(A) Schematic of a genetically encoded reporter system to measure the expression and function of p53 via dual-fluorescence detection, which is designed for sup-tRNA efficiency characterization.

(B) Representative microscopy images (left) and quantification of GFP and mCherry positive cells (right) show p53 expression and function in NCI-H1299 cells with 12 kinds of nonsense mutations after tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ and tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ readthrough. Data are mean $\pm$ s.d. of three biological replicates. Scale bars = 100 μm.

(C) Representative microscopy images (left) and quantification of GFP and mCherry positive cells (right) show p53 expression and function in NCI-H1299 cells with *TP53* R196*& *TP53* Q192* nonsense mutations after 8 kinds of arginine (R) and 8 kinds of glutamine (Q) sup-tRNAs readthrough. Data are mean $\pm$ s.d. of three biological replicates. Scale bars = 100 μm.

(D) Representative microscopy images (left) and quantification of GFP and mCherry positive cells (right) show p53 expression and function in NCI-H1299 cells with *TP53* W146* nonsense mutations after 7 amino

acids while 12 kinds of high-efficiency sup-tRNAs readthrough. Data are mean of three biological
replicates. Scale bars = 100 μm.

(E) Verified deleterious p53 variants mutant site amino acid residues and intermolecular forces. The first
and third panels show the 234Y, and 266G structures of wild-type (WT) p53 DBD (2AC0), respectively.
The other panels are drawn by mutating 234Y, 266G residue in wild-type DBD (2AC0) into the indicated
residues in PyMOL and Schröder. The panels below show the close-up view of the wild-type and verified
deleterious p53 DNA binding peptides and DNA. The side chains of the six classic DNA binding residues
are shown as sticks.

(F) Predictive and functional profile of p53 previous variants. The heat map corresponds to the predictive
impact of each p53 variant ranging from -1 (red) to 1 (blue), with the lowest score being the most
deleterious. Scores from the 9 algorithms in the panels including AlphaMissense, MAPP, PANTHER, PhD-
SNP, PolyPhen-1, PolyPhen-2, SIFT, and SNAP. Red arrows indicate previous verified deleterious p53
variants.

Computationally optimized screening of sup-tRNAs for enhanced p53 activity restoration

To this end, we developed a computational tool[27,28] to predict the functional
impact of incorporated amino acids, facilitating the selection of optimal sup-
tRNAs (Figure 2F). Using the p53-E204* mutant cancer cell line containing a
TAG codon, we assessed its expression and functional restoration following
readthrough induced by sup-tRNAs carrying different amino acids (Figure S7A).
Consistent with the prediction, we found that tRNA$^{Tyr}_{UGA}$, tRNA$^{Phe}_{UGA}$, and
tRNA$^{Trp}_{UGA}$ failed to restore p53 transactivation function due to the insertion of
undesired amino acids, despite its high readthrough efficiencies (Figure S7B).
Moreover, even when the original amino acid could not be restored due to the
low readthrough efficiency of the corresponding tRNA$^{Glu}_{UGA}$, high-efficiency
sup-tRNAs, such as tRNA$^{Gln}_{UGA}$ and tRNA$^{Ser}_{UGA}$, served as effective
alternatives to rescue p53 function, provided that the incorporated amino acid,
as predicted, does not disrupt the protein structure (Figure S8-S9). Further
investigation also confirmed the robustness of this alternative strategy in
restoring other functional p53 mutants, however, we noticed that some
recovered p53 variants showed superior transcriptional activity compared to
wild-type p53 proteins, for instance, tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ outperformed tRNA$^{Trp}_{UGA}$ in
functional restoration of p53-W146*$_{TGA}$ mutant (Figure 2D), even though the

latter enabled recovery of wild-type p53 proteins.

These observations can be mechanistically explained by two critical

determinants governing p53 functional restoration. First, when the incorporated

amino acid induces minimal structural perturbation to native conformation of

p53, sup-tRNAs with higher readthrough efficiency, such as tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$, sup-

tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$, can achieve superior functional restoration (Figures 2B-2D and S4-

S6). Second, in cases where readthrough efficiencies are comparable across

different sup-tRNAs, the identity of the restored amino acid becomes

particularly crucial.

Figure 3. Sup-tRNA rescues endogenous full-length p53 expression and restores its transcriptional activity

(A) Western blot analysis of full-length p53 recovery and protein expression from its target gene *CDKN1A* in Calu-6 (above) & Caco-2 cells (below) treated with sup-tRNA, corresponding tRNA, vector, and G418 for 48 hours, respectively.

(B) Representative microscopy images of immunofluorescence for full-length p53 and CDKN1A in Calu-6 (above) & Caco-2 cells (below) treated with sup-tRNA or vector for 48h. Scale bars= 20 µm. Data shown

here were representative examples from n=3 independent biological replicates.

(C) Representative microscopy images showing the transcriptional activity of endogenous p53 in Calu-6

(above) & Caco-2 cells (below). treated with sup-tRNA, corresponding tRNA, vector, and G418 for 48&72

hours Data shown here were representative examples from n=3 independent biological replicates.

(D) Quantification of mRNA levels of *TP53* and its target genes *CDKN1A*, *BBC3*, *GADD45A*, *BAX*, *ZMAT3*,

FAS, and *PMAIP1* after sup-tRNA treatment in Calu-6 (above) & Caco-2 cells (below) for 48h by qRT-

PCR. All the mRNA expression levels are normalized to *GAPDH*. Data are represented as mean for n = 3

independent biological replicates.

(E and F) Significant differential expressed of p53-relatived transcripts are determined by p53 PCR array

on Calu-6 (E) & Caco-2 (F) treated with sup-tRNA, or vector control, n = 3.

(G and H) Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (left) and gene expression analysis (right) of p53 signaling

pathways on Calu-6 (G) & Caco-2 cells (H) treated with sup-tRNA, or vector control, n = 3.

258 Harnessing sup-tRNAs to overcome endogenous *TP53* nonsense
259 mutations

Genomic analyses from COSMIC and intOGen databases have consistently

identified *TP53* nonsense mutations as one of the most prevalent genetic

alterations in non-small cell lung carcinoma (NSCLC) and colorectal cancer

(CRC) (Figures S10A-S10B). TCGA data further highlight *TP53* as the most

critical driver gene in both NSCLC and CRC (Figures S10C-S10D). Therefore,

we further investigated the efficacy of sup-tRNAs using NSCLC cell line Calu-6

(*TP53* R196*$_{TGA}$) and CRC cell line Caco-2 (*TP53* E204*$_{TAG}$), whose nonsense

mutant *TP53* genes have been verified by whole-genome sequencing (Figures

S10E-S10F). In Calu-6 cells, tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$, engineered from an arginine-carrying

tRNA with a UCU anticodon, effectively restored high levels of full-length p53

expression (Figure 3A). In contrast, G418 treatment resulted in only minimal

p53 recovery, comparable to the tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ control and even significantly lower

than that achieved with the low-efficiency (~6%) tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$-7 (Figure 3A). In

Caco-2 cells, tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ also yielded a robust restoration of full-length p53

protein expression compared to other groups, as determined by western blot

analysis (Figure 3A). The recovery of p53 transactivation function was

confirmed by red fluorescent encoded by the sensor (Figures 3C and S11) and

increased expression of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1A (CDKN1A), a

major downstream target of p53 activity, and the recovery of p53 expression

further validated through immunostaining (Figures 3A-3B).

Classically, p53 functions mainly as a sequence-specific transcription factor that

can bind to p53 response element and activate the transcription of target genes.

To evaluate the transcriptional activity of restored p53, we examined the

expression levels of its target genes using RT-qPCR. Our results showed that

the RNA expression levels of p53 and its downstream targets were upregulated

to varying degrees in both Calu-6 and Caco-2 cell lines (Figure 3D). Notably,

the extent of upregulation increased over time, suggesting that sup-tRNA may

prevent *TP53* mRNA with nonsense mutations from degradation by nonsense-

mediated mRNA decay[9] (NMD), thereby promoting full-length p53 production

for transactivation. To further confirm the efficacy of sup-tRNA in reactivating

p53-related genes, we performed a PCR array analysis. In Calu-6 cells, RNA

expression levels of p53 target genes, including *CDKN1A* and *BBC3*, were

differentially upregulated following readthrough restoration of p53 (Figure 3E).

Similarly, in Caco-2 cells, RNA expression levels of *TP53* and its downstream

targets were differentially upregulated, which consistent with RT-qPCR results

(Figure 3F).

Moreover, RNA-seq analysis enabled us to assess the global influence of sup-

tRNA on the transcriptomes of Caco-2 and Calu-6 cells. Gene Set Enrichment

Analysis (GSEA) revealed that, compared with the control group, sup-tRNA

treatment significantly upregulated the p53 signaling pathway in tumor cells

from both Calu-6 (NES = 1.48, p = 0.0316) and Caco-2 (NES = 1.58, p = 0.0262)

(Figures 3G-3H). The mRNA expression of p53-target genes also showed a

significant increase compared to the control group (Figures 3G-3H).

Figure 4. Sup-tRNA elicits antiproliferation activity through p53 restoration

(A) Schematic workflow for measure antiproliferative effects mediated by p53 restoration via sup-tRNA

readthrough.

(B) Sup-tRNAs in the presence of *TP53* exogenous nonsense mutation reduces the colony formation in

human NCI-H1299 cells. Colonies are stained with crystal violet staining solution and counted after 10-

12 days of culture. Colony images are acquired by Incucyte SX5 and processed and analyzed by Incucyte

2022B Rev2. Data are represented as mean ± SEM, n = 3.

(C) Sup-tRNA treatment reduce the colony formation in human Calu-6 (above) & Caco-2 (below) cell which

have genomic *TP53* nonsense mutation. Colonies are stained with crystal violet staining solution and
counted after 10-12 days of culture. Colony images are acquired by Incucyte SX5 and processed and
analyzed by Incucyte 2022B Rev2. Data are represented as mean ± SEM, n = 3.
(D) Cell proliferation curve was measured by CCK-8 (left) and cell count assay (right). After transfecting
human Calu-6 (above) & Caco-2 (below) cell for 8 hours, the cells are digested, spread in plates and
further measured. Data are represented as mean ± SEM, n = 3.
(E) The superb-tRNA strategy compared to the strategy restore as wild-type p53 reduces the colony
formation in human NCI-H1299 cell which introduce exogenous *TP53* nonsense mutation. Colony images
are acquired by Incucyte SX5 and processed and analyzed by Incucyte 2022B Rev2. Data are
represented as mean ± SEM. N = 3.
(F) CCK8 shows the superb-tRNA strategy compared to the strategy restore as wild-type p53 had a
stronger growth inhibitory effect on the human NCI-H1299 cell which introduces exogenous *TP53*
nonsense mutation.

Suppressing tumor cell proliferation by restoring p53 function

To verify that sup-tRNA could inhibit tumor cell growth by suppressing nonsense

mutations in the *TP53* gene, we transfected *TP53*-null NCI-H1299 cells with

both a nonsense mutant *TP53* and sup-tRNA, or with either component

individually (Figure 4A). The colony formation assay demonstrated that sup-

tRNA exerted tumor-suppressive effects only in the presence of *TP53* nonsense

mutations readthrough, leading to approximately a 60% reduction in colony

number, a 70% decrease in total colony area, and a 30% reduction in the

average area of individual clones (Figure 4B). In contrast, sup-tRNA alone did

not exhibit significant proliferation-inhibitory effects in tumor cell lines lacking

nonsense mutant *TP53* (Figure 4B).

Next, we sought to evaluate the efficacy of sup-tRNA in suppressing the

proliferation of tumor cells harboring endogenous *TP53* nonsense mutations.

Treatment with high-efficiency sup-tRNAs resulted in significant inhibition of cell

growth and reduced cell viability. In Calu-6 cells, restoration of p53 using

$tRNA^{Arg}_{UGA}$ resulted in a notable reduction in colony number, total colony area,

and individual clone size, outperforming both G418 treatment and the low-

efficiency control, consistent with previous findings (Figures 4C-4D). Similar

results were also observed in Caco-2 cells, further highlighting the robustness

of sup-tRNA across different cell lines (Figures 4C-4D). Given that nonsense

mutant *TP53* could produce missense p53 variants with enhanced tumor

suppressor function[29] via sup-tRNA-mediated readthrough (Figure 4A), we

further demonstrated the augmented efficacy of restored p53 variants S121F,

Q144R, H214Q in inhibiting tumor cell growth (Figures 4E-F and S12-S13). As

conventional gene therapy could only introduce wild-type p53 that may be

neutralized by endogenous truncated p53 in a dominant-negative effect[30], high-

efficiency sup-tRNAs could directly convert truncated p53 into functional p53

variants[29](Figure S14) in suppressing tumor cell proliferation, providing a

plausible strategy for cancer therapy.

Super- and superb-tRNA strategies for tRNA therapy

By applying first principles thinking, we have delineated tRNA therapy into two

determinants: (1) Efficient restoration of full-length protein expression, which is

governed by the readthrough efficiency of the specific sup-tRNA. (2) Functional

recovery of restored protein, influenced by properties of the incorporated amino

acid at nonsense mutations. Therefore, the most straightforward strategy for

tRNA therapy is to restore original amino acids at nonsense mutations, which is

particularly applicable to arginine and glutamine mutations, due to the high

efficiency of tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ and tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ (Figure 1E). However, this strategy

cannot facilitate the readthrough of approximately 43% nonsense mutations in

TP53, since the low efficiency of corresponding sup-tRNAs. Thus, we reasoned

that an alternative is using high efficiency sup-tRNA to convert the nonsense

mutation to a missense but neutral mutation in proteins, based on

computational analysis, which is named as super-tRNA therapy (Figure S15A).

Meanwhile, we defined the strategy of converting nonsense mutations into wild-

type proteins through high efficiency sup-tRNAs, which was previously used in

treating inherited diseases, as primary-sup-tRNA therapy. Conversely, utilizing

sup-tRNAs to restore deficient protein expression, or to cause missense and

deleterious mutations, called lower-sup-tRNA therapy, ought to be avoided in

future applications (Figure S15A).

Aforementioned results suggested the feasibility of super-tRNA in multiple

aspects. For *TP53* W146*$_{TGA,}$ although both tRNA$^{Trp}_{UGA}$ and tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ could

suppress this nonsense mutation, tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$, increased the restored protein

expression level by 5.7 folds and the transcriptional function by 27 folds,

compared with tRNA$^{Trp}_{UGA}$. Similarly, for *TP53* W146*$_{TAG}$, tRNA$^{Ser}_{UAG}$

outperformed tRNA$^{Trp}_{UAG}$, in increasing the restored protein expression level by

3.2 folds and the transcriptional function by 1.8 folds (Figure 2D). It is worth

noting that super-tRNA is engineered for restoring nonsense mutations to

neutral mutations, but not for restoring them to deleterious missense mutations.

For example, we attempted to restore *TP53* G266*$_{TGA}$ and Y234*$_{TAG}$ using

tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ and tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ respectively. The efficient restoration of protein

expression was achieved by both sup-tRNAs, but neither could rescue protein

functions. We attribute this to the restoration of the *TP53* G266R and Y234Q

mutations, which are considered deleterious mutations (Figures 2B and S4).

Surprisingly, all these deleterious mutations can be accurately identified by the

computational tools, which indicates that super-tRNA therapy can be safely

applied with the assistance of the computational tools. These results suggest

that a single super-tRNA could be employed to treat 10 different amino acid

types of nonsense mutations, which would significantly reduce the cost in

developing drug development (Figures 2F and S15B).

In addition to recovery of wild-type or neutral p53 variants, we anticipated that

sup-tRNA could produce p53 with enhanced functions, via high-fidelity specific

amino acid incorporation at nonsense mutations (Figure S15A). Some p53

variants, including S121F, H214Q, and Q144R, have been shown to exhibit

enhanced apoptotic induction compared to wild-type p53, suggesting that

enhancing p53 function would contribute to tumor inhibition [29]. Here we termed

this sup-tRNA based strategy as superb-tRNA strategy (Figure 15A) and

attempted to restore *TP53* S121*, H214*, and Q144* to produce advantageous

p53 variants with enhanced anti-cancer function (Figure 4A). The superb-tRNA

strategy achieved more efficient tumor suppression effects at all the three

aforementioned nonsense mutations. Compared with restoration of wild-type

p53, all advantageous p53 variants achieved more   pronounced tumor

suppression,   resulting in up to 80% reduction in colony number and 90%

reduction in total colony area (Figures 4E-4F), which is consistent with previous

reports[29].

Therefore, by leveraging the capacity of tRNAs to precisely incorporate desired

amino acids during protein translation, we could restore p53 variants with

retained (super-tRNA therapy) or even enhanced functions (superb-tRNA

strategy), supported by computational guided sup-tRNA selection (Figures 2F

and S15). We also noted that a recent investigation employed CRISPR-

mediated homology-directed repair to engineer 9,225 p53 variants in cancer

cells, covering over 94% of cancer-associated missense mutations, and

mapped their effects on p53 function[31]. These precious data are also helpful for

choosing specific sup-tRNA for super-tRNA and superb-tRNA therapy .

Figure 5. Therapeutic efficacy of sup-tRNA therapy *in vivo*

(A) Schematic of therapeutic evaluation of sup-tRNA therapy.

(B) Images of Calu-6 xenograft excised tumors.

(C and D) Tumor growth curves (C), volumes (above) and weights(below) of tumor collected on Day 22

(D) in Calu-6 xenograft model after tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ treatment. *P*-values were calculated by one-sided t test.

(E) Images of Caco-2 xenograft excised tumors.

(F and G) Tumor growth curves (F), volumes (above) and weights(below) of tumor collected on Day 50

(G) in Caco-2 xenograft model after tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ treatment. *P*-values were calculated by one-sided t test.

(H and I) Western blot analysis of full-length p53 recovery and protein expression of p53 target gene

CDKN1A in Calu-6 xenograft treated with sup-tRNA (H) and quantification of the expression of each

indicated protein relative to GAPDH expression, and normalized to the control mean (I). The abbreviation

for tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ is R, and the abbreviation for VECTOR is V. *P*-values were calculated by one-sided t test.

(J and K) Western blot analysis of full-length p53 recovery and protein expression of p53 target gene

CDKN1A in Caco-2 xenograft treated with sup-tRNA (J) and quantification of the expression of each

indicated protein relative to GAPDH expression, and normalized to the control mean (K). The abbreviation

for tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ is Q, and the abbreviation for VECTOR is V. *P*-values were calculated by one-sided t test.

(L and M) Quantification of mRNA levels of p53 target genes *CDKN1A* in Calu-6 (L) and Caco-2 (M)

xenograft by RT-PCR. All the mRNA expression levels are normalized to *GAPDH*. Data are represented

as mean ± SEM and individual data points shown for n = 3 independent biological replicates. *P*-values

were calculated by one-sided t test.

(N and O) Immunohistochemistry analysis of Calu-6 (O) and Caco-2 (P) xenograft including p53,

proliferation and apoptosis markers after corresponding treatments. Scale bar=50 μm. Data shown here

were representative examples from n=3 independent biological replicates.

***In vivo* efficacy of sup-tRNA in *TP53* nonsense mutant xenograft**

Lipid nanoparticle (LNP)-based RNA therapy has emerged as a pioneering

platform in modern drug development. Its success in the COVID-19 pandemic

has validated its potential for rapid, scalable, and adaptable medical solutions.

As a novel therapeutic RNA, sup-tRNA can in theory be delivered to tumors via

LNP. Here we utilized classical FDA-approved LNP formulation in which SM-

102 serving as the ionizable lipid. All LNP-tRNAs (including tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ &

tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$) formulations were monodisperse spherical nanoparticles with a

size of approximately 150 nm and possessed a slightly negative zeta potential

(Figure S16A-S16B), indicating that LNP-tRNA have a generally uniform

particle size distribution suitable for cellular uptake and endosomal escape,

thus facilitating cancer treatment *in vivo*.

We next utilized cell-line-derived xenograft (CDX) mouse models to investigate

whether sup-tRNA could restore p53 protein expression from *TP53* nonsense

mutations *in vivo* and inhibit tumor growth. Six-week-old immunodeficient nude

mice were implanted with either Calu-6 or Caco-2 cells to generate xenograft

models harboring *TP53* nonsense mutations, and were treated with LNP-tRNA

via intra-tumoral delivery once the tumor volume reached approximately 200

mm³ (Figure 5A). For the NSCLC Calu-6 xenograft model with p53-R196*, we

treated the mice with LNP-tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ and G418 as a positive control. The

tumor growth curve of the LNP-tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ group showed a significantly slower

growth rate compared to the control groups after the first treatment (Day 8),

resulting in a tumor volume reduction of approximately 70% and no mortality by

the study endpoint (Day 22) (Figures 5C-5D and S17). In terms of functional

p53 recovery, sup-tRNA treatment resulted in a 3.7-fold increase in full-length

p53 expression and a 1.9-fold increase in downstream CDKN1A expression

compared to vector treatment (Figures 5I and S18A). RT-qPCR analysis also

revealed a 4.3-fold upregulation of *CDKN1A* transcripts in the sup-tRNA group

relative to vector (Figures 5L and S18A). Consistently, LNP-tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$

treatment also significantly attenuated tumor progression in the CRC Caco-2

xenograft harboring p53-E204*, with no treatment-related mortality observed by

the endpoint (Day 50) (Figures 5E-5G and S17). Moreover, both protein levels

of p53 and the mRNA levels of *CDKN1A* were markedly elevated following sup-

tRNA treatment, whereas vector treatment showed minimal efficacy (Figures

5J-5M and S18B).

The tumor regression induced by p53 restoration is primarily attributed to p53-

mediated apoptosis and growth inhibition[32,33], as evidenced by a reduction in

Ki-67 and PCNA-positive proliferating cells, along with an increase in activated

caspase-3 and TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells in both xenograft models

following sup-tRNA treatment (Figures 5N-5O). Overall, these findings highlight

the robust efficacy and excellent safety profile of p53 restoration through sup-

tRNA-mediated translational readthrough, warranting further investigation into

its broader application in cancer therapy.

In vivo potential toxicity of sup-tRNA

Although aforementioned results showed that sup-tRNA alone did not inhibit

cell growth in the NCI-H1299 (*TP53*-null) cell line, only when co-transfection of

both sup-tRNA and *TP53* nonsense mutation gene led to growth inhibition

(Figure 4B). However, further investigations are needed to determine whether

the observed tumor regression is attributable to p53 restoration rather than

potential sup-tRNA toxicity.

Next, the potential toxicity of sup-tRNA *in vivo* was assessed in a mouse

xenograft model. Seven-week-old BALB/c mice were injected with an identical

number of *TP53*-null 4T1 cells (Figure S21A). According to previous reports[19-

22], 4T1 and Calu-6 cells showed similar *in vivo* growth rates. Treatment of

TP53-null xenografts with LNP-tRNA, $tRNA^{Arg}_{UGA}$ or $tRNA^{Gln}_{UAG}$, could not

reduce tumor weight or volume by the study endpoint (Figures S21B-S21C),

and the tumor growth curves showed no significant difference compared to the

control group (Figure S21D). Moreover, no significant changes were observed

in p53, Ki-67 and PCNA-positive proliferating cells, along with activated

caspase-3 and TUNEL-positive apoptotic cells in each group (Figure S21F).

Consistently, we could not find significant perturbations in the p53 signaling

pathway after LNP-tRNA treatment (Figure S22). These results suggested that

sup-tRNA could induce tumor regression by restoring tumor suppressor

proteins, instead of its potential toxicity.

Furthermore, both in BALB/c nude mice and BALB/c mice, we did not observe

any toxicity induced by LNP-tRNA. Safety assessments demonstrated no

significant abnormalities in histopathological evidence of tissue damage

(Figures S19 and S23A) or hematological parameters (Figures S20 and S23B)

in sup-tRNA-treated mice, supporting the favorable therapeutic index of this

approach.

DISCUSSION

The distinct biological characteristics of oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes pose fundamentally different challenges for therapeutic intervention. The oncogene addiction in malignant tumors has a compelling therapeutic rationale for the development of targeted therapies[34,35], such as kinase inhibitors[36] and PROTACs[37], which aim to inhibit or degrade hyperactive proteins. In contrast, tumor suppressor genes that often harbor loss-of-function mutations, typically require functional protein restoration, posing a significant pharmacological challenge[4,5]. Furthermore, mutant tumor suppressor proteins not only lose tumor-suppressive functions, but can also actively antagonize reintroduced wild-type proteins through dominant negative effect, limiting the therapeutic efficacy of gene therapy[11,12,38]. In this work, we showed that sup-tRNA could address these challenges by simultaneously restoring full-length p53 expression while converting dominant-negative truncations into functional variants. Notably, the generation of enhanced suppressor p53 mutants (Figures S14-S15) through specific amino acid substitution suggests a promising strategy to overcome the therapeutic limit imposed by the transcriptional activity of wild-type p53.

Moreover, we have developed a tailored framework for sup-tRNA in addressing 'undruggable' tumor suppressor mutations[4,5,10,39]. The computational pipeline, which incorporates analysis of readthrough efficiency and structural perturbation, could be adapted to other frequently mutated tumor suppressors such as RB1, PTEN, APC and STK-11 (Figures 1F-1G and S11). Unlike development of traditional small molecules targeting specific mutant proteins, the sup-tRNA strategy is not restricted by protein type and mutation type, as we presented that designed sup-tRNAs could overcome all three types of nonsense mutations across various tumor suppressor genes.

Here we report that the super-tRNA and superb-tRNA strategy could overcome

nonsense mutations and restore neutral or advantageous p53 variants with

enhanced anti-cancer function (Figure S15). An immense collection of genomic

research has demonstrated that proteins can harbor rare advantageous

mutations. However, neutral and advantageous mutations in *TP53* have

received far less attention compared to extensively investigated deleterious

mutations, despite it reports that approximately half of all p53 variants are

neutral mutations[31]. This provides ample space for the application of the super-

tRNA and superb-tRNA strategy. As the super-tRNA therapy for *TP53* nonsense

mutations, we particularly recommend using tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ to suppress TAG

nonsense codon where the original amino acid is glutamate or tryptophan, and

using tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ to suppress TGA nonsense codon where the original amino

acid is serine or tryptophan, with the assistance of computational tools.

Significantly, we also remind that these strategies should be used with caution

for the nonsense mutations at evolutionarily conserved residues.

Recent research have demonstrated that sup-tRNA exhibited extremely low or

even no readthrough in normal termination codons, demonstrating the high

safety profile of sup-tRNA[25,26]. Consistently, our study showed that sup-

tRNA$^{Arg}_{UGA}$ and sup-tRNA$^{Gln}_{UAG}$ induced efficient readthrough in nonsense

mutations with no detectable toxicity *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Figures S19-S23).

However, in addition to the classical role of tRNAs as mRNA decoders, certain

tRNAs could respond to external perturbations and regulate gene expression[40]

which either promotes or suppresses tumor progression[41,42]. As engineered

from natural tRNAs, certain sup-tRNAs are in theory capable of driving or

inhibiting cancer development beyond the readthrough of nonsense

mutations[41,42], suggesting excluding tumor proliferation-related tRNAs in sup-

tRNA engineering for cancer therapy.

Overall, this study opens a new avenue for precision oncology that goes beyond

the oncogene-centric paradigm. By translating the concept of tumor suppressor

restoration into a viable therapeutic strategy, tRNA-based therapy could

ultimately redefine treatment strategies for the majority of human cancers

driven by suppressor protein inactivation.

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Requests for further information and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the lead contact, Qing Xia (xqing@hsc.pku.edu.cn).

Materials availability

Requests for materials will be made available by the lead contact with a materials transfer agreement.

Data and code availability

This paper does not report original code. Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to Y. Zhao and S. Shu for comments and feedbacks on this article. This work was financially supported by the National Key R&D Program of China, Frontier Biotechnology, (Grant No. 2023YFC3403300) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. U23A20106).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Q.X. and B.K. conceived the idea and designed the experiments. B.K. and Z.Z. performed the experiments and offered the original data. B.K., Z.D., Y.Y., and Y.S. provided materials for plasmid cloning. B.K., Z.M., Y.L. and J.L. conducted the animal experiments. B.K., Z.Z. and Q.X. organized the results and wrote the manuscript. All authors participated in data discussion and interpretation. Q.X. supervised the study.

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

Q.X. and B.K. are inventors on patents regarding the suppressor tRNA for tumor suppressor protein recovery. Q.X. is the founder of QiXia Decode Biotechnology Co., Ltd. and holds equity in this company. The other authors declare no competing interests.

STAR METHODS

The tumor suppressor gene mutations

The tumor suppressor gene mutation data was downloaded from the COSMIC database (https://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic) and IntOGen database (https://www.intogen.org/). Data of amino acid types of nonsense mutations in tumor suppressor genes collected from the COSMIC database, including *TP53* (COSMIC gene: COSG501), *RB1* (COSMIC gene: COSG16), *PTEN* (COSMIC gene: COSG15) and *APC* (COSMIC gene: COSG257430).

Plasmid construction

Tumor suppressor genes (*TP53, RB1, PTEN, APC*) were constructed in the pCAGGS-FLAG-GUSB-myc-T2A-GFP plasmid. pCAGGS vector has a unique promoter combination of chicken β-actin promoter and CMV enhancer (CAG promoter), enabling high-level expression in a wide range of cell types. Subsequently, targeted mutations were achieved by introducing primers containing mismatched bases during PCR to obtain plasmids with site-specific nonsense mutations. The tRNAs were constructed in pcDNA3.1(+) vectors and their expression was initiated by the 7SK promoter. tRNA gene sequences were compiled from GtRNA database (http://gtrnadb.ucsc.edu/). PG13-luc (wt p53 binding sites) plasmid (Addgene ID: 16442) were purchased from Addgene. We next changed the luciferase reporter to the mCherry reporter to obtain the plasmid PG13-mCherry (Addgene ID: 195291). The lactic acid probe pcDNA-FiLa-Cyt and pcDNA-FiLa-C-Cyt were gifts from Prof Yi Yang of East China

University of Science and Technology.

Luciferase assay

The dual luciferase reporter expresses the Firefly luciferase and Renilla

luciferase (as transfection control), we inserted the TAG, TAA and TGA stop

codons between the Firefly and Renilla reporter genes to obtain a dual-

fluorescent reporter system with nonsense mutations. The nonsense mutation

dual luciferase reporter plasmid was co-transfected with sup-tRNA plasmid into

HEK293T cells. The luciferase was detected using the dual luciferase reporter

assay kit (Promega, USA) after 48 hours of transfection.

Cell culture and transfection

Human HEK293T cells (ATCC CRL-11268) were cultured in DMEM medium

(Gibco) as suggested by the repository, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine

serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, 100 mg/mL streptomycin.

Human Calu-6 cells (ATCC HTB-56) were cultured MEM medium (Gibco) as

suggested by the repository, supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin,

and 100 mg/mL streptomycin.

Human Caco-2 cells (ATCC HTB-37) were cultured MEM medium (Gibco) as

suggested by the repository, supplemented with 20% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin,

and 100 mg/mL streptomycin.

4T1 cells (ATCC CRL-2539) and human NCI-H460 cells (ATCC HTB-177) were

cultured RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) as suggested by the repository,

supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin.

Human A549 cells (ATCC CCL-185) were cultured Ham's F-12K medium (Gibco)

as suggested by the repository, supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 U/mL

penicillin, and 100 mg/mL streptomycin. The concentration of G418 Sulfate

used in the *in vitro* experiments was 100 ug/ml, which is supplied as a solution

in water was obtained from Gibco (USA).

All cell lines were tested to be mycoplasma-free and validated by STR profiling.

Plasmids were transfected using Lipo3000 and P3000 (Invitrogen). Cell
transfections were performed with the supplier's recommendations.

Western blot analysis

The equivalent of 3×10^5 cells was subjected to 10% SDS-PAGE before
transferring the proteins to a 0.22um PVDF membrane through eBlot® L1 Fast
Wet Transfer System (Genscript, USA). Membranes were incubated overnight
at 4 °C in the presence of a 1/200 dilution of anti-p53 antibody (DO1) (Santa
Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA; catalog number: sc-126), recognizing
human p53. A 1/2000 dilution of anti-P21 antibody (Proteintech, China; catalog
number: 10355-1-AP), a 1/100000 dilution of anti-GAPDH antibody (Proteintect,
China; catalog number: 1E6D9). After three washes of the membrane in TBS-
Tween, the membranes were exposed to a solution of horseradish peroxidase-
conjugated secondary antibody for detection of mouse-raised or rabbit-raised
antibody (Proteintect, China) at a dilution of 1/2000 (catalog number: SA00001-
1, SA00001-2), respectively. Antibodies were then detected with BeyoECL
Moon (P0018FS, Beyotime, China). Photographs were performed with 5200
(Tanon, Shanghai, China). The original unprocessed gel pictures are provided
in the source data file. Western blot results were semi-quantitatively analyzed
by ImageJ software. Western blot experiments were performed at least third in
parallel and representative results were reported. The original western blot
images are shown in Supplementary Figures.

qRT-PCR analysis

1.5×10^5 cells per well were seeded in 6-well plates in a final volume of 2 ml. On
the following day, cells were transfected with different plasmids of the indicated
drugs for 48 h. The RNA extraction was performed using the FastPure
Cell/Tissue Total RNA Isolation Kit V2 (Vazyme, China) according to the
manufacturer's recommendations. RNA was quantified using a NanoDrop
Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA). cDNA was synthesized from RNA

using Hifair® AdvanceFast One-step RT-gDNA Digestion SuperMix for qPCR

(Yeasen, China). Real-time quantitative PCR was performed in the Hieff

UNICON® Universal Blue qPCR SYBR Green Master Mix (Yeasen, China)

using Roche LightCycler® 480 II (Roche, Switzerland) with the following

primers:

GAPDH mRNA (sense: 5′- GGAGCGAGATCCCTCCAAAAT-3′; antisense: 5′-

GGCTGTTGTCATACTTCTCATGG-3′).

TP53 mRNA (sense: 5′- CAGCACATGACGGAGGTTGT-3′; antisense: 5′-

TCATCCAAATACTCCACACGC -3′).

CDKN1A mRNA (sense: 5′- TGTCCGTCAGAACCCATGC -3′; antisense: 5′-

AAAGTCGAAGTTCCATCGCTC -3′).

BBC3 mRNA (sense: 5′- GCCAGATTTGTGAGACAAGAGG -3′; antisense: 5′-

CAGGCACCTAATTGGGCTC -3′).

GADD45A mRNA (sense: 5′- GAGAGCAGAAGACCGAAAGGA -3′; antisense:

5′- CACAACACCACGTTATCGGG -3′).

BAX mRNA (sense: 5′- CCCGAGAGGTCTTTTTCCGAG -3′; antisense: 5′-

CCAGCCCATGATGGTTCTGAT -3′).

ZMAT3 mRNA (sense: 5′- AGAAGCCTTTTGGGCAGGAG -3′; antisense: 5′-

TGCTGCATAGTAATTTCGGAGTT -3′).

FAS mRNA (sense: 5′- TCTGGTTCTTACGTCTGTTGC -3′; antisense: 5′-

CTGTGCAGTCCCTAGCTTTCC -3′).

PMAIP1 mRNA (sense: 5′- ACCAAGCCGGATTTGCGATT -3′; antisense: 5′-

ACTTGCACTTGTTCCTCGTGG -3′).

The relative expression fold was calculated by the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ equation.

PCR array

Cell transfected, total RNA extracted and cDNA synthesized as described

above. cDNA mixed with qPCR SYBR, added into the p53 PCR Array 96- well

plate (WcGENE Biotech, WC-MRNA0117-H) to detect the expression of a set

of p53-related genes.

Colony formation assay

After 8 hours of transfection, we digested and resuspended the cells. We planted cancer cells into the six-well plate at a density of 1000 cells/well and then cultured them in a complete culture medium at 37°C for 7-10 days. After being gently washed in PBS twice, the cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde fix solution for 30 min. Crystal Violet Staining Solution (Beyotime, China; catalog number: C0121) was applied for staining the fixed cells for 30 min. IncuCyte SX5 imaging system (Sartorius AG, Goettingen, Germany) was used to count the number of colonies, total colonies area, and individual clone area.

Cell growth curve analysis

Cell transfected, digested, and resuspended as described above. For the cell counting, cells were inoculated into a 24-well plate with an appropriate number of cells, digested at 24h, 48h, 72h, and 96h after inoculation, and then counted by cell counter (Countstar BioTech, China). For the CCK-8 assays, cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at 2000 cells per well. According to the protocol of CCK-8 solution (Beyotime, China; catalog number: C0040), 10 μl of CCK-8 solution diluted in 100 μl of complete culture medium replaced the original medium of each group at indicated points. After the cells were incubated in the dark at 37 °C for an additional 1 h, we detected viable cells by using absorbance at a 450nm wavelength through Microplate Reader AMR-100 (Allsheng, China).

The landscape of gene mutation

The STAR-counts data and mutation maf data of tumors were downloaded from the TCGA database (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov). The data in TPM format was subsequently extracted from the STAR-counts data and normalized using log2(TPM+1). The samples that had RNAseq data, maf mutation data, and clinical information were then retained. The maftools package in R software was utilized to download and visualize the somatic mutations of patients. Statistical

748 analysis was conducted using R software, version v4.0.3.

Molecular dynamics simulation

The molecular dynamics simulations of all studied protein/DNA complexes were
performed with the AMBER 22 molecular simulation package. The crystal
structure of tumor-suppressor protein p53/DNA complex (PDB code: 2AC0)
was used for the calculation. The starting structures of all molecular systems
were solvated in TIP3P water using an octahedral box, which extended 8 Å
away from any solute atom. To neutralize the negative charges of simulated
molecules, Na+ counter-ions were placed next to each negative group. MD
simulations were carried out by using the PMEMD module of AMBER 22. The
calculation began with 500 steps of steepest descent followed by 500 steps of
conjugate gradient minimization with a large constraint of 500 kcal mol-1 Å-2
on the atoms of the protein and DNA. Then 1000 steps of steepest descent
followed by 4000 steps of conjugate gradient minimization with no restraint on
the complex atoms were performed. Subsequently, after 200 ps of MD, during
which the temperature was slowly raised from 0 to 300 K with weak (5 kcal mol-
1Å-2) restraint on the protein/DNA, the final unrestrained production simulations
of 200.0 ns were carried out at constant pressure (1 atm) and temperature (300
K). In the entire simulation, SHAKE was applied to all hydrogen atoms. Periodic
boundary conditions with minimum image conventions were applied to calculate
the non-bonded interactions. A cutoff of 10 Å was used for the Lennard–Jones
interactions. The final respective conformations of all the protein/DNA
complexes used for discussion were produced from the last 50.0 ns of MD.

Whole-transcriptome analysis

Total RNA was extracted from tumor xenograft of different mice (three replicates
for each group) using TRIzol reagent. RNA libraries were constructed using the
VAHTS Universal V6 RNA-seq Library Prep Kit for Illumina (Vazyme, NR604-
02) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The concentration of the

extracted RNA was detected using the Nanodrop2000 (Thermo Fisher,
Nanodrop2000), and its integrity was tested using the Agilent 2100 (Vazyme,
G2939BA). The quality and quantity of the constructed libraries were assessed
using the BioAnalyzer 2100 system (Agilent Technologies).

Subsequently, the libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq X Plus
platform with 150-bp paired-end reads. The sequencing data were quality-
controlled using the Q30 metric. After adapter trimming at the 3' end and
removing low-quality reads with the fastp(https://github.com/OpenGene/fastp,
fastp-V0.20.1), high-quality clean reads were aligned to the reference genome
using the HISAT2(https://daehwankimlab.github.io/hisat2/, hisat2-2.0.4).

Guided by the gffcompare, the ballgown software package was used to obtain
gene-level FPKM (Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped
reads) values as the expression profiles of mRNA. The total number of
expressed genes and the log2FPKM values of mRNA in different tumor
xenograft groups were plotted and compared. Significant differences between
samples were analyzed using DEseq2, and genes with a multiplicity of
difference FoldChange>2 times and P-value<0.01 were defined as differential
genes and subsequently analyzed.

Preparation and characterization of LNP-tRNAs

The ionizable lipid SM-102 was purchased from Guanshan Nano-Tech (China).
The 1,2-distearoyl-sn- glycero-3-phosphocholine (DSPC) was purchased from
Avanti Polar Lipids (USA); 1,2-Dimyristoyl-rac- glycero-3-methylpolyoxyethy
lene-2000 (DMG-PEG2000) was purchased from NOF Corporation (Japan);
and cholesterol (Chol) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (USA).

The molar ratio of SM102 LNPs was SM-102: DSPC: Cholesterol: DMG-
PEG=50:10:38.5:1.5. Nanoassemblr (Precision Nanosystems, Canada) and
Hamilton liquid handling system (Microlab STAR, USA) were used to prepare
LNPs at three volumetric portions of tRNA to 1 volumetric portion of lipid
solutions to obtain a lipid concentration of 2.81 mg/mL. The clean-up of the

crude formulations was achieved by dialysis in PBS using Slide- A-Lyzer G2

dialysis cassettes (Thermo Scientifc, USA).

Transmission Electron Microscope (TEM) image of LNPs was obtained by

HT7800 (HITACHI, Japan). The hydrodynamic size and zeta potential of LNPs

were measured by Zetasizer (Malvern, USA).

Xenograft tumor model

Animal experiments were proven by the Institutional Animal Care and Use

Committee of Peking University Health Science Center (Animal Protocol ID:

DLASBD0468). Female BALB/c nude mice or BALB/c mice were housed in an

SPF facility in a 12-h light-dark cycle and allowed free access to water and food.

At 7 weeks of age, BALB/c nude mice were subcutaneously inoculated with $5 \times$

10^6 calu-6 cells or 1×10^7 caco-2 cells into the left flank, both cell lines in 50%

BD Matrigel™. BALB/c mice were subcutaneously inoculated with 1×10^6 4T1

cells into the left flank.

Tumor volumes and mice weight were calculated every 2 days, tumor volumes

using the following standard formula: volume = 0.5 × length × width^2.

When the tumor volume reached about 200 mm^3, treatment was initiated using

either LNP-tRNA (10ug tRNA/injection), LNP VECTOR, G418 (20 mg/kg/

injection) or D-PBS. Treatments were made by intratumor injection once every

4 days. When the tumor volume reaches 800mm^3, the animal is considered

dead and *Kapplan-Mayer* survival curves were plotted for survival analysis.

Maximum allowed weight loss was 10% or maximum tumor volume 1000 mm^3.

Mice were sacrificed after treatment. Tumors were dissected, weighed, and cut

in thirds: one-third was frozen in liquid nitrogen for protein analysis, one-third

was kept in the RNAlater (Beyotime, China; catalog number: R0118) for RT-

qPCR analysis and one-third was fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) for

histological analysis and immunostaining.

Immunofluorescence staining

Collected tumors were fixed in 4% PFA at room temperature and prepared as 10-µm tumor sections. For p53 and CDKN1A, antigens were repaired by Tris-EDTA antigen repair solution G1206 (Servicebio, Wuhan, China). For ki67, PCNA and caspase-3, the antigen was repaired by Citric Acid Antigen Repair Solution G1202 (Servicebio, Wuhan, China). For quenching of endogenous peroxidase, the sections were incubated in 3% hydrogen peroxide solution for 25 min at room temperature, protected from light, and the slides were placed in PBS (pH 7.4) and washed three times for 5 min each time by shaking on a shaker. 3% BSA was then added to cover the tissue evenly and closed for 30 min at room temperature. The blocking solution was removed and sections were incubated with diluted primary antibody at 4°C overnight in a wet box, 1/50 dilution of anti-p53 antibody (DO1) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Dallas, TX, USA; catalog number: sc-126), recognizing human p53. 1/200 dilution of anti-P21 antibody (Proteintect, China; catalog number: 10355-1-AP). 1/200 dilution of anti-ki67 antibody (Servicebio, China; catalog number: GB121141). 1/200 dilution of anti-PCNA antibody (Servicebio, China; catalog number: GB12010). A 1/200 dilution of anti-cas3 antibody (Servicebio, China; catalog number: GB11532). After washed in PBS on a decolorizing shaker with shaking three times, 5 min each time, sections were shaken dry and the tissue was covered with a drop of secondary antibody (HRP-labelled) of the corresponding species to the primary antibody and incubated for 50 min at room temperature. The slides were subsequently shaken dry after washing and freshly prepared DAB color development solution G1212 (Servicebio, Wuhan, China) was added dropwise, while the time of color development was controlled under the microscope. The sections were counterstained in hematoxylin followed by dehydration with graded ethanol, n-butanol, and xylene, then the sections were taken out of xylene to dry and seal. The slides were photographed under pathology slide scanner ws-10 (Wisleap, China). NDPView2 software

(Hamamatsu, Japan) was used to analyze and record these images.

Histological analysis

Blood samples from mice were collected from the orbital vein, and stored in the

tube with K2-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) at 4°C. The hematological

parameters were analyzed using a BC-2800Vet® Auto Hematology Analyzer

(Shenzhen Mindray Bio-Medical Electronics Co., Ltd, Hamburg, Germany). The

heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney tissues from different groups of mice were

isolated and fixed in 4% PFA. They were then sequentially dehydrated in 70%,

95%, and 100% ethanol, followed by defatting with xylene for 2 hours before

being embedded in paraffin. The 10-μm-thick sections were obtained and

subjected to H&E staining. The slices were examined using a pathology slide

scanner (WS-10, Wisleap, China). Image analysis and recording were

performed using NDPView2 software (Hamamatsu, Japan).

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the GraphPad Prism v5 statistical software package

(GraphPad Software, La Jolla, CA). Data were expressed as means ± SD or

SEM. The one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Newman-Keuls multiple

comparisons test with statistical significance set at $P < 0.001$ was performed.

Appropriate statistical tests have been mentioned in Figure legends.

REFERENCES

1   Stratton, M. R., Campbell, P. J. & Futreal, P. A. (2009). The cancer genome. Nature *458*,
719-724, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature07943.
2   Martinez-Jimenez, F. et al. (2020). A compendium of mutational cancer driver genes. Nat
Rev Cancer *20*, 555-572, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-020-0290-x.
3   Stratton, M. R. Exploring the genomes of cancer cells: progress and promise. (2011).
Science *331*, 1553-1558, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1204040 (2011).
4   Prasad, V. Perspective: The precision-oncology illusion.(2016). Nature *537*, S63,
https://doi.org/10.1038/537S63a.
5   Tannock, I. F. & Hickman, J. A. Limits to Personalized Cancer Medicine. (2016). N Engl J
Med *375*, 1289-1294, https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsb1607705.

6   Mendiratta, G. et al. Cancer gene mutation frequencies for the U.S. population. (2021).
Nat Commun *12*, 5961, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26213-y.
7   Mendiratta, G., Jones, M. K. & Stites, E. C. How often is each gene mutated within the
cancer patient population? (2022). Mol Cell Oncol *9*, 2065176,
https://doi.org/10.1080/23723556.2022.2065176.
8   Tuval, A., Strandgren, C., Heldin, A., Palomar-Siles, M. & Wiman, K. G. Pharmacological
reactivation of p53 in the era of precision anticancer medicine. (2024). Nat Rev Clin Oncol
21, 106-120, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-023-00842-2.
9   Brogna, S. & Wen, J. Nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) mechanisms. (2009). Nat
Struct Mol Biol *16*, 107-113, https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1550.
10   Dang, C. V., Reddy, E. P., Shokat, K. M. & Soucek, L. Drugging the 'undruggable' cancer
targets. (2017). Nat Rev Cancer *17*, 502-508, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2017.36.
11   Peuget, S., Zhou, X. & Selivanova, G. Translating p53-based therapies for cancer into the
clinic. (2024). Nat Rev Cancer *24*, 192-215, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-023-00658-3.
12   Song, H., Xiao, S., Wu, J. & Lu, M. Drugging p53: Barriers, Criteria, and Prospects. (2024).
Cancer Discov *14*, 2055-2060, https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-24-0837.
13   Levine, A. J. & Oren, M. The first 30 years of p53: growing ever more complex. (2009). Nat
Rev Cancer *9*, 749-758, https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2723.
14   Glimmers of Hope for Targeting p53. (2022). Cancer Discov *12*, OF5,
https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-ND2022-0009.
15   Chen, S. et al. Arsenic Trioxide Rescues Structural p53 Mutations through a Cryptic
Allosteric Site. (2021). Cancer Cell *39*, 225-239 e228,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.11.013.
16   Song, H. et al. Diverse rescue potencies of p53 mutations to ATO are predetermined by
intrinsic mutational properties. (2023). Sci Transl Med *15*, eabn9155,
https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.abn9155.
17   Li, J. et al. Pharmacological rescue of mutant p53 triggers spontaneous tumor regression
via immune responses. (2025). Cell Rep Med, *101976*,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2025.101976.
18   Oren, M. & Prives, C. p53: A tale of complexity and context. (2024). Cell *187*, 1569-1573,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2024.02.043.
19   Keeling, K. M. & Bedwell, D. M. Clinically relevant aminoglycosides can suppress disease-
associated premature stop mutations in the IDUA and P53 cDNAs in a mammalian
translation system. (2002). J Mol Med (Berl) *80*, 367-376, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00109-
001-0317-z.
20   Floquet, C., Deforges, J., Rousset, J. P. & Bidou, L. Rescue of non-sense mutated p53 tumor
suppressor gene by aminoglycosides. (2011). Nucleic Acids Res *39*, 3350-3362,
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkq1277.
21   Trzaska, C. et al. 2,6-Diaminopurine as a highly potent corrector of UGA nonsense
mutations. (2020). Nat Commun *11*, 1509, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-15140-z.
22   Palomar-Siles, M. et al. Translational readthrough of nonsense mutant TP53 by mRNA
incorporation of 5-Fluorouridine. (2022). Cell Death Dis *13*, 997,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-022-05431-2.
23   Strandgren, C. & Wiman, K. G. Therapeutic targeting of TP53 nonsense mutations in

cancer. (2024).   Ups J Med Sci *129,* https://doi.org/10.48101/ujms.v129.10719.

24   Lueck, J. D. et al. Engineered transfer RNAs for suppression of premature termination
codons. (2019). Nat Commun *10,* 822, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-08329-4.

25   Wang, J. et al. AAV-delivered suppressor tRNA overcomes a nonsense mutation in mice.
(2022). Nature *604,* 343-348, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04533-3.

26   Albers, S. et al. Engineered tRNAs suppress nonsense mutations in cells and in vivo. (2023).
Nature *618,* 842-848, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-06133-1.

27   Cheng, J. et al. Accurate proteome-wide missense variant effect prediction with
AlphaMissense. (2023). Science *381,* eadg7492, https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adg7492.

28   Bendl, J. et al. PredictSNP: robust and accurate consensus classifier for prediction of
disease-related mutations. (2014). PLoS Comput Biol *10,* e1003440,
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1003440.

29   Kakudo, Y., Shibata, H., Otsuka, K., Kato, S. & Ishioka, C. Lack of correlation between p53-
dependent transcriptional activity and the ability to induce apoptosis among 179 mutant
p53s. (2005) Cancer Res *65,* 2108-2114, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-04-
2935.

30   Boettcher, S. et al. A dominant-negative effect drives selection of TP53 missense
mutations in myeloid malignancies. (2019). Science *365,* 599-604,
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax3649.

31   Funk, J. S. et al. Deep CRISPR mutagenesis characterizes the functional diversity of TP53
mutations. (2025). Nat Genet *57,* 140-153, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-024-02039-4.

32   Ventura, A. et al. Restoration of p53 function leads to tumour regression in vivo. (2007).
Nature *445,* 661-665, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05541.

33   Xue, W. et al. Senescence and tumour clearance is triggered by p53 restoration in murine
liver carcinomas. (2007). Nature *445,* 656-660, https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05529.

34   Weinstein, I. B. & Joe, A. K. Mechanisms of disease: Oncogene addiction--a rationale for
molecular targeting in cancer therapy. (2006). Nat Clin Pract Oncol *3,* 448-457,
https://doi.org/10.1038/ncponc0558.

35   Weinstein, I. B. & Joe, A. Oncogene addiction. (2008). Cancer Res *68,* 3077-3080;
discussion 3080, https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-3293.

36   Attwood, M. M., Fabbro, D., Sokolov, A. V., Knapp, S. & Schioth, H. B. Trends in kinase
drug discovery: targets, indications and inhibitor design. (2021). Nat Rev Drug Discov *20,*
839-861, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41573-021-00252-y.

37   Tsai, J. M., Nowak, R. P., Ebert, B. L. & Fischer, E. S. Targeted protein degradation: from
mechanisms to clinic. (2024). Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol *25,* 740-757,
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-024-00729-9.

38   Liu, Y., Su, Z., Tavana, O. & Gu, W. Understanding the complexity of p53 in a new era of
tumor suppression. (2024). Cancer Cell *42,* 946-967,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2024.04.009.

39   Sabapathy, K. & Lane, D. P. Therapeutic targeting of p53: all mutants are equal, but some
mutants are more equal than others. (2018). Nat Rev Clin Oncol *15,* 13-30,
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrclinonc.2017.151.

40   Torrent, M., Chalancon, G., de Groot, N. S., Wuster, A. & Madan Babu, M. Cells alter their
tRNA abundance to selectively regulate protein synthesis during stress conditions. (2018).

Sci Signal *11*, https://doi.org/10.1126/scisignal.aat6409.

41   Pinzaru, A. M. & Tavazoie, S. F. Transfer RNAs as dynamic and critical regulators of cancer
progression. (2023). Nat Rev Cancer *23*, 746-761, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-023-
00611-4.

42   Goodarzi, H. et al. Modulated Expression of Specific tRNAs Drives Gene Expression and
Cancer   Progression.   (2016).   Cell   *165*,   1416-1427,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.05.046.

43   Kon, E., Ad-El, N., Hazan-Halevy, I., Stotsky-Oterin, L. & Peer, D. Targeting cancer with
mRNA-lipid nanoparticles: key considerations and future prospects. (2023). Nat Rev Clin
Oncol *20*, 739-754, https://doi.org/10.1038/s41571-023-00811-9.

44   Yang, M. et al. Transfer RNA-derived small RNAs in tumor microenvironment. (2023). Mol
Cancer *22*, 32, https://doi.org/10.1186/s12943-023-01742-w.

991