Population-scale inheritance analysis of 858,635 individuals reveals North Sea migration from the Middle Ages to the Industrial Revolution

Xiaolei Zhang[1], Poul Holm[2], Aylwyn Scally[3], Karina Banasik[4,5,9], Laust Hvas Mortensen[6,7,8], Tomas Fitzgerald[1], David Westergaard[4,5,6,9], Piotr Jaroslaw Chmura[4], Sisse Rye Ostrowski[10,11], Erik Sørensen[11], Ole B.V. Pedersen[10,12], Michael Schwinn[11], Christian Erikstrup[13,14], Mie T Bruun[15], Bitten A Jensen[16], Henrik Ullum[17], Christina Mikkelsen[11,18], Thomas Folkmann Hansen[4], DBDS Genetic Consortium, Kari Stefansson[19,20], Søren Brunak[4, 7,*], Ewan Birney[1,*]

1. European Molecular Biology Laboratory, European Bioinformatics Institute (EMBL-EBI), Hinxton, UK

2. Trinity Centre for Environmental Humanities, School of Histories and Humanities, Trinity College, Dublin, Ireland

3. Department of Genetics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

4. Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Protein Research, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

5. Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Copenhagen University Hospital Hvidovre, Hvidovre, Denmark

6. Statistics Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

7. Department of Public Health, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

8. ROCKWOOL Foundation, Copenhagen, Denmark

9. Department of Health Technology, Technical University of Denmark, Lyngby, Denmark

10. Department of Clinical Medicine, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

11. Department of Clinical Immunology, Copenhagen University Hospital, Rigshospitalet,

Copenhagen, Denmark

12. Department of Clinical Immunology, Zealand University Hospital, Køge, Denmark

13. Department of Clinical Immunology, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

14. Department of Clinical Medicine, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

15. Clinical Immunology Research Unit, Department of Clinical Immunology, Odense University

Hospital, Odense, Denmark

16. Department of Clinical Immunology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

17. Statens Serum Institut, Copenhagen, Denmark

18. Novo Nordisk Foundation Center for Basic Metabolic Research, Faculty of Health and

Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

19. deCODE genetics/Amgen, Inc, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

20. Faculty of Medicine, School of Health Sciences, University of Iceland, Reykjavik, Iceland

*Corresponding authors: Søren Brunak (soren.brunak@cpr.ku.dk) and Ewan

Birney(birney@ebi.ac.uk).

Abstract

The North Sea's historical migrations have impacted the genetic structure of its neighbouring populations. We analysed haplotype sharing among 858,635 modern individuals from Denmark and Britain to infer migration history from the Middle Ages to the modern day. We estimated the genetic relationship among 370,259 Danes using Danish healthcare registries and validated those with retrievable pedigree relationships from a national family registry. We also compared their haplotype sharing with 488,376 British individuals from the UK Biobank.

Our analysis revealed the fine-grained population genetic history of Denmark and identified distinct coastal and island communities with a history of genetic isolation and bottlenecks. We observed a significant population decline in Jutland compared to Zealand from the late medieval period to the start of early modern period, accompanied by migration from Jutland to Zealand, corresponding to historical evidence.

We identified two major haplotype sharing patterns between Denmark and Great Britain: (1) early coast-to-coast connections between South Jutland and eastern England, likely driven by migration, settlement, continuous trade and the movement of people across the North Sea from the Middle Ages through early modern times, and (2) later city-to-city connections such as those between London and København, likely shaped by the reorientation of economic and trading centres in Britain and Denmark, and the increased shipping from early modern times towards the Industrial Revolution. Further comparisons using other North Sea countries showed both shared and unique histories of genetic exchange with Denmark and Britain.

61 Our study provides novel genetic evidence of migration across the North Sea from the Middle

62 Ages to the Industrial Revolution. It highlights the power of nationwide biobanks in

63 reconstructing fine-scale historical population movements among closely related populations.

Introduction

The North Sea area has a fascinating history due to its location and historical interactions. Its

rich maritime heritage, including fishing, trade, and wars, have shaped the populations living

around and adjacent to its coastline. In this study, we focus on the demographic and ancestral

connections between Britain and Denmark, two countries with extensive genetic resources

available for responsible research, including historical studies.

Human genetics studies have been previously used to discover and explore historical

migrations in the genetic makeup of modern-day populations. The sources of genetic material

can either be ancient DNA combined with present-day samples, or just present-day samples

alone. Previous studies using present-day samples have investigated the genetic affinity

between British and Danish populations (Athanasiadis et al. 2016; Leslie et al. 2015), but

being based on only a few thousand samples, had limited spatial and temporal resolution.

From ancient human DNA samples, there is strong genetic evidence supporting the historical

migration between Northern Europe, Scandinavia and Britain during the Late Roman and

early Medieval periods (Margaryan et al. 2020; Speidel et al. 2025; Gretzinger et al. 2022;

Rodríguez-Varela et al. 2023).

However, studies based on autosomal data suggest a limited genetic impact of the Danish

Viking settlement (i.e., the 'Danelaw') in modern Britain (Leslie et al. 2015), in contrast to

studies based on Y-chromosome markers, as well as archaeological and linguistic evidence

(Lall et al. 2021; Kershaw and Røyrvik 2016). There are also limited studies of the post-

Viking age genetic exchange across the North Sea. It has not been routine to use the

extensive genetic resources from modern-day samples to inform the movement and links of

groups of people at scale across these timescales. Additionally, Danish populations were

previously considered "remarkably homogeneous" (Athanasiadis et al. 2016), and there has

not been a large-scale genetic study examining the fine-scale population structure and history

of Denmark.

In this study, we assembled 858,635 individuals' genetic data from two biobanks located in

the UK and Denmark, which is at least 100-fold higher than the sample sizes in previous

studies. Due to their scale, these data have the potential to provide an unprecedented

geographical and time-based view of shared ancestry. At the finest scale that we considered

in the study, all NUTS3 (Nomenclature of Territorial Units for Statistics) levels of regions in

Britain, at the sub-county level, have more than 40 individuals (median=1,256) born at these

locations. Similarly, in Denmark, we can use the municipality level for the Danish sub-

structure, with each municipality having at least 73 individuals (median=1,827) (Figure S1).

From these data, we identified all shared genomic segments larger than 2cM between all pairs

of individuals, each segment inherited from its most recent common ancestor unbroken by

recombinations (i.e. haplotype or identity-by-descent (IBD) sharing). Due to recombinations,

the length of shared segments reflects the probable age of their common ancestor, with

shorter segments more likely to be inherited from older ancestors and longer segments from

more recent ones. Thus, these data allow us to identify signals of shared ancestry across

different periods.

In total, we analysed a little over 100 billion IBD segments in the 368 billion pairs of

individuals to (1) characterise population structure and infer demographic history within

Denmark; (2) identify hotspots of IBD sharing between Britain and Denmark across time,

stratified by lengths of IBD segments, and (3) analyse the IBD sharing of Britain and

Denmark with other neighbouring countries to identify other potential migration patterns. For

the non-British or non-Danish European countries, we used proxy populations, comprising

people born in and inferred to be from other European countries within our assembled UK

and Denmark cohorts. We interpreted our genetic findings in comparison with existing

historical evidence, where we both support and challenge aspects of the historical record.

Results

Generation of 368.6 billion individual pairs of IBD sharing

We used the large-scale UK BioBank (UKBB) (Bycroft et al. 2018) resource with 488,376

individuals as a sampling of the British population (approximately 0.7% of the total

population), and the Danish Blood Donor Study and the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank with a

total of 370,259 individuals for a sampling of the Danish population (approximately 6.2% of

the total population) (Figure 1 and Methods). We also include individuals from the Faroe

Islands in the Danish cohorts to compare their distinct genetic history with that of Denmark

("continental Denmark"), as shown in previous genetic studies (Gislason 2023; Als et al.

2006). For the population of Britain, we include 410,266 samples with self-reported "White

British" ethnicity. As there is no ethnicity information available in the Danish administrative

datasets, we inferred ancestry using a genetic clustering approach (Methods), and refer to the

inferred European samples in the Danish cohorts as "Danes of European ancestries"

(n=316,512), representing the population with mainly Danish ancestry (see Methods).

We estimated IBD sharing independently across the samples on three datasets, each defined

by a specific set of SNP markers: (1) within Britain, using all SNP markers in the UKBB; (2)

within Denmark, using all SNP markers in the Danish samples (DNK); (3) between Britain

and Denmark, using the intersecting set of SNP markers shared after combining the UKBB

and Danish genotype datasets (UKBB+DNK).

We used FastSMC (Nait Saada et al. 2020) to estimate IBD segments longer than 2cM for all

possible pairs of individuals. The total number of pairwise comparisons is substantial, with

368.6 billion pairs of samples evaluated. Across the three datasets, we discovered and

processed 100.8 billion IBD segments in total, requiring us to develop a high-performance

solution utilising bespoke data structures, parallel computing and indexing to analyse the IBD

data.

Here we use the Danish spelling of city place names in Denmark instead of the anglicised

names, with the most obvious difference being København (Copenhagen), or the spelling

preferred by the local authorities (e.g Aabenraa and Aarhus). For other geographical terms,

such as the regional names (e.g. Jutland and Zealand), we use the anglicised spelling for ease

of comprehension.

(a) Ascertainment of genetic samples

(b) Generation of 860K individuals' pairwise identity-by-descent (IBD) data

Figure 1. Overview of data collection and study design. (a) Geographic distribution of sample birthplaces and corresponding sample sizes across Britain (including regions of England, Wales and Scotland), Denmark and the Faroe Islands. (b) Diagram for estimating the IBD sharing within the Britain (GB vs GB), within Denmark (DK vs DK), and between the Britain and Denmark (included in the All vs All analysis using the intersecting genotype data), along with a summary of the total pairwise comparisons evaluated and the IBD data generated.

Overall properties of IBD sharing via 369 billion pairwise comparisons

We focus on IBD segments greater than 2 cM where we are confident that the IBD estimation is sensitive and accurate (see Methods). Figure 2 shows the distribution of IBD sharing among the 860K samples using the intersection SNP marker set. We first considered pairs segregated by their birthplace from the island of Great Britain in the UK (England, Scotland and Wales; Northern Ireland is not considered here due to its low sample representation in the UKBB), Denmark, and the Faroe Islands. We see intra-population IBD sharing is consistently higher than inter-population, with geographic distinct comparisons such as IBD sharing between Britain and Denmark being the lowest (Figure 2 and Table S1).

Most of the pairs within each of the populations from Britain and Denmark share at most one short IBD segment longer than 2 cM, indicating sharing at most one relatively distant common genetic ancestor. The distributions of the pairwise total IBD sharing and the number of IBD segments are close to exponentially decayed (Figure 2), indicating that the number of detected distant relatives (lower IBD sharing and less IBD segments) increases far more rapidly than that of closer relatives (higher IBD sharing and more IBD segments) in a population. This is consistent with theoretical expectations and with other examples of smaller-scale IBD studies in largely outbred human populations (Huff et al. 2011; Palamara 2014; Henn et al. 2012; Nait Saada et al. 2020; Shemirani et al. 2021).

The situation is different in the Faroe Islands, however, where most pairs of individuals share multiple IBD segments in our length range, with an average of 10.4 segments and 10.7 cM total IBD sharing per pair. These values are 4 times and 41 times higher, respectively, than

within Denmark (Table S1). This high level of intra-population sharing likely reflects the fact

that this population has been genetically isolated and has gone through genetic bottlenecks,

consistent with previous studies on the Faroe Islands (Gislason 2023).

We used the uniquely comprehensive and digitalised Multi-Generation Register Lite (MGR-

lite) (Due et al. 2024; Westergaard et al. 2024), a genealogical database of all Danes

(n=7,771,981) to reconstruct pedigree relationships among the 370K Danish genetic samples

in our cohorts. This family registry documents the relationships between parents (registered

as legal guardians) and their children who were alive in 1968 and born from the year 1953,

and is partially complete for people born before 1953, after filtering out both reported and

likely cases of adoption (Methods). Based on this, we inferred 56,329 pairs of direct ancestor-

offspring relatives and 54,089 pairs of relatives with shared common ancestors (excluding

direct ancestor-offspring relatives), including both close and distant cousin relationships up to

fifth-degree relatives (Table S2 and Table S3). For these 110,418 pairs of individuals, we can

infer the total number of meioses separating the two individuals from their pedigree

relationship. In Figure 2, we show the distance in separating meiosis compared to the total

IBD sharing, which follows closely the expectation from theoretical models (Huff et al.

2011). For parent-child and grandparent-grandchild relatives, we observe long tails on the

lower end, suggesting possible unbiological related pairs due to civil registration errors or

unrecorded adoptions in the pedigree record, also leading to skewed distributions among

shared ancestor pairs. We also observe minor modes in the distributions of IBD in shared-

ancestor pairs, indicating cases with single shared ancestors, such as half-siblings or half-

cousins. Overall, IBD sharing is exponentially decayed as expected with the increasing

number of separating meioses among genetic relatives, thus helping to infer the age of

common ancestors in subsequent analyses. For ease of presentation, we assume here an

 average human historical generation time estimate of 28 years (Moorjani et al. 2016) in

 subsequent analysis.

Figure 2. Properties of IBD sharing at population-scale. (a) Frequency distribution of

computed pairwise total IBD sharing (>2cM) and (b) total number of IBD segments (>2cM)

within Denmark, within Britain, and between Denmark and Britain. (c-d) Relationship

between observed and expected pairwise total IBD sharing: (c) among relatives with direct

214 ancestor-offspring relationship, (d) among relatives sharing a common pedigree ancestor,

215 excluding those with direct ancestor-offspring relationship.

Time-resolved fine-scale population structure in Denmark

There have been several systematic reconstructions of the population structure and ancestry

profile of UK populations (Leslie et al. 2015; Nait Saada et al. 2020; Hu et al. 2025), and our

datasets of IBD sharing within the UK are consistent with previous work (Figure S6-S7).

However, our study is the first to use the large-scale dataset to look at Danish population

history from a genetic perspective. As well as using the entire population sample of

individuals with European ancestries, we additionally inferred population structure using a

refined subset of 28,135 individuals, whom we can identify as having been born in the same

provinces as both of their parents using the MGR-lite registry, which resulted in no

qualitative difference (Figure S19).

We first considered population sharing across the eleven provinces in Denmark. The

geographic division of regions, provinces, and municipalities follows the classification

system of Statistics Denmark("Statistics Denmark" 2007). We find substantial intra-

population IBD sharing, and this is most prominent in the rural areas and islands, e.g.

Bornholm and Læsø (Figure 3a and Figure S8), with a gradient of decreasing intra-population

sharing from Jutland to Zealand (Figure 3a). Within Jutland, North and West Jutland

demonstrate higher levels of intra-population IBD sharing compared to South and East

Jutland (Figure 3a and Figure S8).

We also inferred runs of homozygosity (ROHs) within individuals, defined as segments

where both parental haplotypes of an individual are identical by descent (>=2cM), thus

measuring parental relatedness. Several islands, including Sejerø, Fur, Læsø, and Fanø, have an average amount of total ROHs and number of ROH segments similar to or higher than the Finnish samples (estimated based on Finnish-born individuals from the DNK cohorts) (Figure S10-S11).

We also assessed the ROHs of individuals with other ancestries (predicted European continental component <90%) in the DNK cohorts. We identified municipalities in the Capital Region, especially from Københavns omegn (Copenhagen surroundings), with high levels of mean total ROHs, such as Ishøj, Albertslund, Brøndby, Høje-Taastrup, Vallensbæk and Hvidovre (Table S4). These municipalities are geographically close to each other and are home to large immigrant communities from countries such as Pakistan, Nepal, India, Iraq and Turkey, where some populations have a high rate of consanguinity ("Statistikbank" 2024; Sahoo et al. 2021). Using data from the 1000 Genomes and Human Genome Diversity Project as reference(Bergström et al. 2020; "A Global Reference for Human Genetic Variation, The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium" 2015), we found that individuals with high levels of total ROH (>10cM) in these areas have on average 30%-45% ancestry from Central and South Asia (Figure S13). This is similar to findings in South Asian communities in the UK and elsewhere with high levels of consanguinity (Arciero et al. 2021).

When we consider inter-population IBD sharing, populations from the Jutland Peninsula cluster together and are differentiated from the Islands of Zealand, which is also indicated by the multiple-dimensional scaling analysis using regional pairwise mean total IBD sharing (Figure 3a-b). Focusing on Jutland (i.e., assessing Jutland vs all regions), we find that IBD sharing with other areas of Denmark decreases approximately exponentially with geographic distance (Figure 3c highlights North Jutland and West Jutland; a full figure with other focal

provinces is shown in Figure S16). However, Byen København and Københavns Omegn

show higher IBD sharing with geographically distant Jutland than with each other or with the

neighbouring region of Zealand (i.e. København vs all; shown in Figure 3c). This violates the

expectation of an isolation-by-distance model under limited migration (Ralph and Coop

2013), and suggests a history of substantial or extensive migration from Jutland to

København, as discussed below.

The relationship between the length of an IBD segment and the time of its most recent

common ancestor (TMRCA) is not one-to-one, and is affected by demographic factors such

as population size and migration (see Methods). Under certain assumptions the demographic

history of a population can therefore be inferred from a distribution of shared segment

lengths. We estimated effective population size over time in eleven provinces of Denmark

(Figure 3d) using IBDNe (Browning and Browning 2015). Across Jutland, effective

population size shows a consistent decline compared to Zealand during the medieval period,

particularly in North Jutland and West Jutland, which reached their lowest effective

population size 15-20 generations ago (from the end of the 14th century to the mid-16th

century). This population decline can also be observed in samples from Aalborg and Aarhus,

two of the major cities in Jutland since the Middle Ages (Figure S14). The Black Death in

Denmark (1348-1350 CE) occurred within the duration of this estimated population decline

or just before the lowest point in inferred population size ("Urban and Rural Survivorship in

Pre- and Post-Black Death Denmark" 2021) (21.6 generations ago). Both the Black Death

and the emigration associated with it may thus have contributed to the reduction in population

in Jutland and Zealand, which we discuss below in relation to historical evidence. This

analysis also revealed a distinct demographic history in Bornholm compared to Zealand and

Jutland, with a stronger population bottleneck through the medieval and early modern

periods, reaching its lowest at 10 generations ago (late 17th century).

To further explore the time dependence of shared ancestry in these data, we stratified IBD

sharing by segment length to highlight inter-population sharing across different periods, as

visualised by multidimensional scaling (Figure 3e; full time series for each IBD length is

shown in Figure S15). Longer shared segments tend to have more recent TMRCAs than

shorter ones; however, the uncertainty in these distributions is not well captured by a point

estimate such as the mean or mode of the posterior distribution (i.e. maximum a posteriori).

Therefore in Figure 3e we illustrate both the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimate (under a

simple demographic model with constant population size, detailed in Methods), and the

estimated 50% highest density interval (HDI, i.e. the most credible age range with 50%

posterior probability) for a range of IBD lengths, to better illustrate the uncertainty of these

estimates. We note also that changes in demographic modelling assumptions can shift these

distributions in either direction (see the Discussion on uncertainty in modelling, supported by

Supplementary Note).

We observed elevated sharing between København and Jutland over time, compared to

København's sharing with the nearby Region Zealand (Figure 3e). Using København as a

focal province, we found a consistent positive correlation between its IBD sharing with other

regions and their geographical distance, driven by its high sharing with Jutland (Figure S17).

Other areas of Zealand also exhibit higher IBD sharing with Jutland relative to their

geographical distance. This is most notable for segments of length 2-9cM (Figure S17-S18),

which show a positive correlation between the geographic distance of a focal province to

other provinces and their mean total IBD sharing, and have an estimated MAP age ranging

from the medieval period to the beginning of early modern period (MAP age = 555AD -

1644AD, 50% credible interval = 257BC - 1773AD). This pattern suggests substantial and

continuous migration from Jutland to Zealand Island, mostly evident in København, aligning

with the significant population decline in Jutland compared to Zealand we have observed in

our effective population size analysis. Meanwhile, North Zealand stands out as an outlier in

the Capital Region, showing relatively lower genetic exchange with Jutland (visualised in

IBD-length stratified multidimensional scaling plots in Figure 3e) and slower population

growth over the last 20 generations (Figure 3c).

Ideally, if we had substantial samples from other European countries, it would help resolve

the different possible histories of genetic exchange across Europe with Denmark. In the

absence of such data, we constructed proxies of these populations from other European

countries by using individuals in the UK and Danish datasets who were born in and inferred

to have substantial ancestry from these countries. This inference was made by using a Leiden

community detection approach (Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019) based on individual

pairwise IBD sharing in our combined UKBB and DNK datasets (UKBB+DNK) (see

Methods).

Using these data, we estimated the IBD sharing between the Danish populations and the other

neighbouring countries (excluding sharing with Britain, which we explore in more detail

below). We find the pattern of IBD sharing is strongly associated with geographic proximity

and historical evidence of migration (Figure 4). Norway and Sweden have the overall highest

IBD sharing with Denmark (Figure 4). Norway is most closely related to the North Jutlandic

population, while Sweden and Finland are most related to the eastern side of Zealand,

especially North Zealand, and Bornholm. This is in contrast with Germany and the

Netherlands, which are most related to the southern border of Denmark at the Jutland

Peninsula. Poland shows the highest IBD sharing with the Lolland-Falster islands in the south

of Zealand. For Bornholm, compared to Jutland, it has substantially higher sharing with other

Baltic countries, including Sweden, Finland and Poland (Figure S21). The results are largely

qualitatively the same if we only use individuals born in other countries from either of the

cohorts (Figure S22).

Figure 3. Haplotype-sharing within the Danish population. (a) Pairwise total IBD sharing between provinces of Denmark. (b) Multidimensional scaling on the dissimilarity between provinces of Denmark (except Bornholm) transformed from pairwise total IBD sharing. (c) The relationship between geographic distance (X-axis) from a focal province to all the other provinces (each dot represents one) and their mean total IBD sharing (Y-axis) (except Bornholm). The linear line indicates the fitted linear regression model. We selected Byen København, Københavns omegn, West Jutland and North Jutland as focal provinces here. A full plot including all provinces is shown Figure S16. (d) Effective population size estimate in regions of Denmark across time (up to 50 generations ago) inferred by IBDNe. The dotted line indicates the date of the Black Death (21.6 generations ago) based on a generation time of 28 years. (e) Multidimensional scaling on the total IBD dissimilarity between provinces of Denmark (except Bornholm) stratified by different ranges of IBD lengths. Above, for a range of IBD lengths, we show the age estimate with the maximum posterior probability (dot) and its 50% credible interval (HDI), under a constant population size model.

Figure 4. Mean total IBD sharing between Denmark and neighbouring European

countries. To capture heterogeneity among samples, we calculated the mean total IBD

sharing between each sample from neighbouring European populations and all samples from

Denmark (i.e. one vs all comparisons). The violin plot displays the distribution of mean total

IBD sharing across individuals (one vs all) in each compared population. The embedded box

plot indicates the median, lower (25%), and upper (75%) quartiles, while the dot represents

the mean total IBD sharing for each European population with Denmark. Norway, Sweden,

Finland, the Netherlands, Germany, and Poland are highlighted to show their sharing with

different regions in Denmark. The colour scale of each highlighted panel is normalised

independently.

Haplotype-sharing across the North Sea

A unique aspect of our study is the ability to look at two substantial regions of Europe, which have documented trading and historical links and have accessible genetic resources for research. We analysed 180.8 billion pairs of individuals between Britain and Denmark using the intersection of the two genotyping arrays (as outlined above and in the Methods). Between Britain and Denmark, 9.5% pairs (17.2 billion) share at least one IBD segment larger than 2cM, likely corresponding to shared genetic ancestry within the last two millennia. We find that the high IBD sharing with Britain is significantly clustered at the west coast of Jutland populations (Figure 5, Figure S24, local Morans' test P-value <0.05; for hotspots/statistically significant clusters, where high values are surrounded by other high values, defined with P-value <0.05), in particular the southernmost part of South Jutland - Tønder and Aabenraa municipalities (Figure 5b, local Moran's test P-value=0.004). The geographic distribution of total IBD sharing in Denmark is similar when compared separately with the three British populations at the national level: English, Welsh and Scottish (Figure S23). Within Britain, the East Midlands (particularly Derbyshire, Nottinghamshire, Leicestershire, Rutland and Lincolnshire) and South Yorkshire have the most IBD sharing with Denmark (Figure 5, Figure S25, local Moran's test P-value<0.05), in particular South and West Derbyshire with the strongest positive spatial autocorrelation (local Moran's test P-value=0.003).

Similarly to the intra-Danish analysis, we then stratified the analysis into different IBD length intervals, to discern patterns of shared ancestry from different time periods (full series is available in Figure S26-S27; Note that the three length intervals in Figure 5 are to chosen illustrate the patterns we see in this analysis, and are different those used to explore shared

ancestry within Denmark.). We see striking differences in IBD sharing between Britain and

Denmark across these three length intervals. Short IBD segments (defined as 2-4cM, MAP

age = 555 AD - 1255AD, 50% credible interval =257 BC - 1549AD) show the strongest

sharing between the East Midlands and Yorkshire in the England, and South Jutland and

West Jutland in Denmark (Figure 5c, Figure S24-S25, local Moran's test P-value<0.05).

Notably, increased sharing with East Midlands and Yorkshire is also observed in other

regions of Denmark. For IBD between 4-7cM (MAP age = 1255AD - 1555AD, 50% credible

interval = 849AD - 1717AD), we see a similar pattern, with elevated sharing between South

Jutland and the east coastal area of England including East of England and South East, in

particular Norfolk (Figure S25 and S28, local Moran's test P-value<0.05).

By contrast, the longer IBD segments, corresponding to more recent ancestry (7-15cM, MAP

age=1555AD-1768AD, 50% credible interval = 1325AD-1843AD), show the highest sharing

between London and København (Figure 5c and S25, local Moran's test P-value<0.05).

Using a fine-grained geographic resolution, we find the two capital cities also both show

elevated long IBD sharing relative to their short IBD sharing patterns with other cities across

the North Sea, i.e. higher sharing between London and København, Aabenraa, Odense,

Aarhus compared to Derbyshire with those Danish cities, and higher sharing between

København and London, Greater Manchester, Leeds and Glasgow compared to South Jutland

to those British cities (Figure 5d and Figure 5e). For the cities in Jutland Aabenraa, Odense

and Aarhus, apart from the link with London, we also observe elevated mid to long IBD

sharing between the east coastline of England including Norfolk (East Anglia) and South East

(Figure S30).

We also estimated the IBD sharing between Britain and other neighbouring European

countries, similar to the Danish analysis (Figure 6). At the country level, we find Ireland has

the overall highest sharing with Britain, which is unsurprising given the proximity and

entangled history of Ireland and Britain. Western Scotland, particularly Glasgow and the

Highlands and Islands, and Liverpool from the North West of England have the most mean

total IBD sharing with Ireland in our data. Glasgow and Liverpool have a marked increase in

mid and long shared IBD segments (Figure S42-S43). Norway, Iceland and the Faroe Islands

all show a similar geographical distribution of IBD sharing with Britain, in which the

Western Isles, Orkney and Shetland in Scotland show the highest overall IBD sharing across

all IBD lengths (Figure S35-S37). This likely reflects substantial Norwegian influence in

these regions during the medieval period (Margaryan et al. 2020; Als et al. 2006; Jorgensen et

al. 2004; Helgason et al. 2000; Ebenesersdóttir et al. 2018).

After Ireland, and particularly on the eastern and southern side of the North Sea, the highest

IBD sharing is with the Netherlands (Figure 6). Derbyshire shows high IBD sharing with the

Netherlands, Belgium and Germany for 2-4cM IBD (Figure S38-S40), as well as with

Norway across England (Figure S35, showing Derbyshire and the nearby areas have higher

IBD sharing with Norway compared to other parts of England), following a geographical

dispersal pattern at this IBD length stratification similar to that with Denmark (Figure S28).

However, in contrast with Denmark, the Netherlands also has a comparatively high IBD

sharing with Kent in the South East of England consistently across the IBD lengths analysed.

At longer IBD lengths, sharing with the Netherlands and Germany shifts towards London and

the surrounding areas along the South East coast of England (Figure S43). This aligns with

what we have observed in the mid to long IBD sharing with Denmark. There are surprisingly

low levels of mean total IBD sharing with France relative to other countries given its

geographic proximity. The distribution of the mean total IBD sharing between each French

sample and all British samples (one vs all), has a long tail on the higher end, so within the

French samples there could be one or more subsets with higher sharing (Figure 6). Overall,

samples from France predominantly show the high IBD sharing with London and other parts

of South East England (Figure S41), with an increasing degree of sharing with London in

recent generations (Figure S43). This signal is robust to whether the proxy individuals for

France come from either UKBB or the Danish cohorts, and whether we use Leiden clustering

of ancestry or not (Figure S33).

Figure 5. IBD sharing across British and Danish populations. (a).The geographic

distribution of mean total IBD sharing between Denmark and regions of Britain (at NUTS3

level). (b) The geographic distribution of mean total IBD sharing between Britain and the regions of Denmark (at the municipality level). (c) The IBD sharing across British and Danish regions across time periods through stratification using the length of IBD segments. For each IBD length, we show the age estimate with maximum posterior probability and its 50% credible interval (HDI). Each heatmap is normalised independently (scaled the range to be between 0 and 1). (d) The contrast, expressed as a ratio, in the geographic distribution of IBD sharing between the Danish population and Derbyshire versus the Danish population and London, stratified by IBD length. (e) The contrast of the geographical distribution of IBD sharing between the British population and South Jutland versus the British population and København, stratified by IBD length.

Figure 6. Mean total IBD sharing between British and neighbouring European

populations. Here we calculated the mean total IBD sharing between each sample from

neighbouring European populations and all samples from Britain (i.e. one vs all

comparisons). The violin plot displays the distribution of mean total IBD sharing across

individuals (one vs all) in each compared population. The embedded box plot indicates the

median, lower, and upper quartiles, while the dot represents the mean total IBD sharing for

470   each European population with Britain. Ireland, Iceland, the Faroe Islands, Norway, France,

471   Belgium, the Netherlands, and Germany are highlighted to show their sharing with different

472   regions in Britain. Each highlighted panel is independently normalised.

Comparison to historical evidence and synthesis

The fundamental phenomenon underlying our IBD analysis is shared ancestry between the

individuals in our cohorts. When two individuals born nearby share IBD segments, the

simplest hypothesis in many cases is that their common ancestors were also likely distributed

in the same locale. However, alternative hypotheses, such as mass migration from another

location, are also plausible (for example in the case of IBD within an immigrant community).

Note that here and in what follows we use 'migration' to refer to the movement of individuals

and their associated genetic ancestry from one location to another. However it is important to

consider that many economic, cultural and political factors may have been involved, and in

different historical circumstances such movements may have been either voluntary or forced,

or a complex mixture of the two. Moreover, when two individuals born in geographically

distant locations share IBD segments, multiple hypotheses can explain this sharing. These

include direct migration between the two locations, the migration of a related group from a

third location to both distant locations, or temporary cohabitation in shared locations where

offspring were produced, without long-term settlement. All these scenarios and their

combinations over time likely contributed to the patterns we observe, and the IBD data reflect

the sum of all these genetic exchanges. Here, we compare IBD data with relevant historical

evidence (archival and archaeological, abbreviated as HIS below, derived from a diversity of

sources ranging from census to proxy data), bearing in mind that comparisons to known or

suspected historical events are complex, particularly demographic events, as these often rely

on information about a limited set of high-profile individuals or proxy data of disputed

relevance.

Our IBD analysis suggests a significant demographic event occurred in medieval Denmark,

altering both population size and migration patterns within the country. IBD-based effective

population size estimates indicate a greater population decline in Jutland compared to

Zealand during the medieval period, reaching its lowest point 15-20 generations ago. This

coincides with the aftermath of the Black Death (1349-50) and subsequent outbreaks of

pestilence which continued into the next century (Hybel and Poulsen 2007). While birth and

death records from this period are largely absent, other historical evidence points to a

population decline in this period. For example, historians have identified a late medieval

decline in the number and density of churches in Jutland, with at least 80 churches abandoned

in the two centuries before the Protestant Reformation in the 1530s, 75 in Jutland and 5 on

the islands of Zealand, Funen, Lolland and Møn (Christensen 1938). In 1200, 63.3% of all

Danish churches were located in Jutland, and by 1500, the Jutland share was down to 50.0%

(H. Nielsen 2010). Thus, a possible hypothesis is that Jutland was hit much harder by plague

and its demographic consequences (discussed below) than the rest of Denmark. While HIS

indicates continued migration from Jutland to Zealand after the 15th century, there is limited

HIS indication regarding such migration before the Black Death, making earlier migration

harder to assess.

The genetic findings indicate potential migration flows within Denmark during the medieval

and early modern periods. Modern Zealanders share ancestry with individuals across

Denmark, whereas the Jutland population is relatively more homogeneous, with IBD (and thus

perhaps most ancestors) being more locally dispersed. Historical evidence shows that degrees

of servitude, enforced as a result of the loss of labour after the Black Death, varied

considerably. In 1446 at the latest, servitude (vorned) was enforced on adult males in rural

areas of Zealand, which tied males to the parish (women could move freely). In Jutland, there

was no similar restriction of male mobility, and males are known to have migrated to Zealand

in search of better opportunities and better farms (Olsen 1950-2, 203). Farmhands from

Jutland ('jydekarle'), for example, were employed on manors all over Zealand. This may

explain why København has higher IBD sharing with Jutland than the nearby regions in

Zealand. In the 1699-1705 register of citizens, 19.9% of people in København stated their

birthplace as Jutland, and 13.5% originated from Zealand (Olsen 1932, 95).

The IBD and HIS data reveal what appears to be a puzzle: it would seem logical to expect that

Jutland, having suffered a severe population decline in the late medieval age, would then have

experienced immigration to repopulate deserted farmlands. However there seems little

indication of this hypoththesis in the historical or genetic evidence. One alternative hypothesis

is that the population decline may have been followed by a shift to agricultural systems that

required less labour. For instance, the rise of the north and west Jutland cattle trade, which

became a major export trade in the fifteenth century (Enemark, 2003), led to the abandonment

of large areas of intensive agriculture in favour of a more extensive pastoral system (Gissel

1981). Pastures yielded at most a third of the food of grain fields (Porsmose 1988), and as

many of the cattle were exported, Jutland may have experienced food shortages that drove

continued emigration. Another possibility is environmental change. For example, the coastal

areas of western and northern Jutland, where we have observed the strongest population

decline, have long been characterised by infertile, sandy soils and have periodically suffered

from sand drifts between the 6th and 19th centuries, making traditional farming challenging

and less productive (Gravesen 2001; Strunge et al., n.d.; Binderup, n.d.; N. K. Nielsen 2023).

Another contributing factor may have been repeated military marching and ravaging along the

Army Road (Hærvej) in central Jutland (major events are known between the 12th to 17th

centuries), which became severely depopulated (Teitsson 1981). Finally, we emphasise that

IBD-based effective population size estimates do not account for migration, and thus

potentially underestimate Jutland's historical population size.

The islands around Denmark have varied histories, reflecting different degrees of dependence

on trade, agriculture, fishing and international shipping. For Bornholm, the IBD analysis

estimates a striking decline from the medieval period until the late 17th century. In Viking

times, the island was very wealthy, as evidenced by the archaeological discovery of over 100

silver hoards across its small area (T. Ingvardson 2024). This is much more than nearby

regions like Scania and Zealand, despite their larger size. Bornholm's wealth came from its

strategic position on the trade routes between western Scandinavia and the Baltic/Slavic

region. Around 900 AD it was an independent kingdom, but it likely came under Danish rule

by 1100 AD and may have lost its influence to Gotland. Bornholm's population decline likely

started early and may have been worse than in other Danish regions, due to the loss of its

trading role and the effects of the Black Death. However, the population began to grow again

in the late 1600s when the island gained strategic value for Denmark after it lost both Gotland

and Scania to Sweden. In the 18th century, Bornholm developed a considerable international

commercial fleet. This demographic trajectory is largely consistent with the patterns observed

in the IBD data.

The islands of Sejerø and Fur relied on a mix of agriculture and local fishing, while the

islands of Læsø and Fanø have a strong maritime past. It might therefore be assumed that the

strong international orientation of shipping in these islands would show up in present-day

genetic ancestry there. In our IBD analyses the majority of the island communities have high

amounts of intra-population IBD sharing and ROHs, consistent with a small historical

effective population size. Common to all four islands is the fact that the mean total ROH per

individual is five or more times higher than the Danish national mean. Thus the cultural and

trading differences between these islands appear to have had little impact on the diversity of

ancestry on these islands. Pontoppidan (1768, 768) and Kyrre (1912, 30) report the aversion

of people on Fur to immigrants from the mainland. On the island of Læsø, there is historical

evidence that the surplus of females caused by high male mortality at sea was compensated

by migrants from Jutland between the 18th to 19th centuries who were held in low esteem

(Stoklund 2018, 241-245) but if so this does not seem to have substantially changed the ROH

levels compared to the more agricultural Sejerø or Fur islands.

North Zealand is a consistent outlier with relatively low genetic exchange with other regions

of Denmark in our multidimensional scaling analysis of IBD sharing, which otherwise

recapitulates much of Danish geography (except København, the capital city and its

surrounding area, where immigration seems to be from all other regions, as discussed above).

North Zealand's history is unique in several ways: it was densely forested and depopulated to

keep large forests and pastures for the king's hunt, and soil productivity was at the low

midwest Jutland standard (<10 barrels of hartkorn per km^2) (Dam & Jakobsen 2008, 106).

Helsingør (Elsinore) had a large immigrant population, as this was the entry point to the

Baltic and the place all ships called to pay the Sound Toll (the Sound or Øresund, the main

trade route between the North Sea and the Baltic sea, bordering Denmark and Sweden).

Between 1556 and 1575, 50-60 (10-13%) of male citizens of Elsinore were of Scottish or

English descent (Tønnesen 1985, 77). In later years, Dutch and German immigrants became

more prominent. But Elsinore retained a strong English-Scottish minority, which was

reinforced by a new influx of English merchants around 1700. In 1801, the British colony in

the city amounted to 100 people, out of a total population of 5,063 inhabitants. The British

component stood out with their wealth, and the city was known as 'Little England' (Appel

2012). We looked for genetic evidence of this connection with Britain, but did not identify

particular signals driven by exchange between Britain and North Zealand. Helsingør also did

not show increased IBD sharing with British samples, compared to other municipalities in

North Zealand, for long and mid-range IBD segments.

IBD sharing between Denmark and neighbouring countries (Figure 4) follows relatively

predictable patterns, at least for longer segments corresponding to periods with more

historical evidence for migration and trade. North Zealand has a higher IBD sharing with

Swedish and Finnish relative to other parts of Zealand, which may also contribute to it being

an outlier in Zealand in IBD sharing patterns. North Jutland has a distinct history of

Norwegian immigration, which is well-documented by eighteenth-century records of

individual movements and the 1850 census and was strongly related to a grain-for-timber

trade, which was a major regional activity between c.1600 and 1870 (Holm 1991, 155-157).

Conversely, cultural flows and potential migration from Jutland to southern Norway during

the 17th century are also documented (Engelstad 1946). Dutch and German immigration

appears to have been more or less continuous from medieval to modern times, originally

related to shipping and whaling, and in the 20th century to agricultural investment (Guldberg

2020). Until 1920, South Jutland was part of the wider Schleswig-Holstein region of mixed

Danish-German populations. Lübeck, located in this region, and its nearby city Hamburg,

have been important trading centres in Northern Europe connecting the North Sea and the

Baltic Sea since the 13th century. We would therefore expect people from Northern Germany

to share a substantial component of ancestry with Danes from South Jutland; however we

lack exact birthplace location for individuals born in other European countries to corroborate this. Poland has the highest IBD sharing with the Lolland and Falster island populations in Denmark, consistent with the history of Polish seasonal workers who settled there to work in sugar beet fields ("Migration Museum of Denmark" 2024). Bornholm shows higher ancestry sharing with other Baltic countries, including Sweden, Finland, and Poland, in comparison with Jutland. IBD with Sweden in particular is consistent with the fact that until 1660, Bornholm was part of the province of Scania, which also includes the southernmost part of Sweden. As well as these documented connections via migration and trade, there is likely a set of earlier migrations from closely related countries, giving rise to shorter IBD segments.

The historical circumstances of migration into and from Denmark changed in 1660, after which Denmark became a protectionist economy under an absolute monarch, with considerable control of migration. In a review of the evidence of international migration after 1645, Johansen (Johansen 2002) concluded: "The total number of such immigrants was probably only a few thousand over a long period, and by 1775 the foreign element in the Danish population was, no doubt, very modest." Migration in the 18th century involved mostly Norway, Germany, and the Netherlands. For the medieval period, when there were looser controls on migration and the economy was essentially open, lists of burghers (urban residents in towns) document a substantial component of German and Dutch citizens in Danish cities, while Scottish and English immigrants are documented mainly in the town of Helsingør/Elsinore as mentioned above. In the early medieval and Viking period, flows between Denmark and England in both directions are well-attested in the historical record, but there is no consensus on quantitative estimates (McLeod 2014).

The genetic data suggest changes in migratory flows across the North Sea. We observe a

striking shift in Danes-British IBD sharing over different ranges of IBD length. The highest

level of short IBD sharing (2-4cM) is between South Jutland in Denmark and Derbyshire,

Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire, Lincolnshire, Rutland, and South Yorkshire in Britain.

Other regions in Denmark show higher sharing with the East Midlands and Yorkshire.

Although our analyses of the Dutch, Belgian and German proxy populations are less well-

powered, they similarly show higher levels of short IBD sharing with the same British

regions as well as Norwegian with English, suggesting a potentially ancient shared

population structure connecting these North Sea coastal populations.

Both the temporal and geographical distribution of short IBD across the North Sea point to

population movements from continental North Europe into Britain between the fifth to

eleventh centuries. The posterior age distribution of short IBD segments (2-4cM; MAP age =

555AD - 1255AD; 50% credible = 257BC - 1549AD) spans both the early medieval 'post-

Roman' centuries, traditionally regarded as the period of English (Anglo-Saxon) settlement in

Britain, and also the era of Danish Viking occupation in England (i.e. the "Danelaw"). Anglo-

Saxon control eventually extended across southern and eastern England, whereas the

Danelaw covered all of eastern England between the River Tees and the Thames, with York

as its Capital (Leslie et al. 2015; Hadley 2006). South Jutland in Denmark and

northern Germany lie largely within or near the homelands and centres of activity of the

Angles, Saxons and Danish Vikings, as evidenced by archaeological records (Kershaw and

Røyrvik 2016; Gretzinger et al. 2022). While our short IBD sharing signal is consistent with

previous genetic evidence supporting the migrations from continental northern Europe to

eastern England from the later Roman to the middle Anglo-Saxon period (Gretzinger et al.

2022), it could also reflect genetic contributions from the later Danish Viking migration and

settlement. The Viking Age Danish towns of Hedeby (in present-day Schleswig-Holstein, northern Germany) and Ribe (in South West Jutland, Denmark) were among the most important trading centres of the period. Both have shown substantial archaeological links with the Danelaw region in England (J. Kershaw and Røyrvik 2016; J. F. Kershaw 2020), supported by linguistic evidence (Fellows-Jensen 2008) and Y-chromosome markers studies (Lall et al. 2021), which align with the spatial distribution of our short IBD sharing data. Given the overlapping origins of Anglo-Saxons and Danish Vikings, as well as the continuous genetic exchange with neighbouring populations, it's likely that the modern South Jutland population carries ancestry from both groups. Hence, both migration events are likely to have contributed to the genetic signals we see.

Although Derbyshire and the surrounding area lie within the regions that were historically under Saxon and Danelaw control, it is nonetheless intriguing that this specific area is a significant hotspot of short IBD sharing with Denmark. Several explanations may account for this. Firstly, the East Midlands and nearby Yorkshire might have been settled more extensively during these medieval migrations. Secondly, these regions might have had continuing or new demographic events such as trade and population movement lasting beyond the period of Anglo-Saxon and Danish Viking migration. Anglo-Danish trade continued despite the political reorientation of England after the Norman conquest (Sawyer 1986). It is known that Danish merchants were allowed to stay for a year and visit inland fairs, and grants of safe-conduct are known from Newcastle (North East, England), Lynn (East Anglia, England), Yarmouth (East Anglia, England), and London through the 12th and 13th centuries (Childs 1998). The toll records of shipping through the Øresund document that the Baltic trade had strong participation of skippers originating in Norfolk (East Anglia, England), the Firth of Forth (Edinburgh, Scotland), Hull (Yorkshire, England) and London

(Veluwenkamp, Scheltjens, and Van der Woude 2021). Finally, other population movements

in Britain afterwards may have diluted the signal in other regions more extensively,

especially in the urban areas compared to the largely rural western and southern

Derbyshire("Rural Urban District" 2019). Thus, the IBD sharing between hotspots could be a

residual signal after subsequent demographic events elsewhere. These explanations are not

mutually exclusive - some mixture of all of these might have occurred.

Continued genetic exchange between Britain and Denmark after the early medieval period is

also supported by the increased sharing between Norfolk and South Jutland through mid-

length IBD (the figure panel of 4-7cM in Figure S28 and Figure S30; MAP age=1255AD-

1555AD; 50% credible interval = 849AD - 1717AD), aligning with the medieval history of

trade between King's Lynn (Norfolk), Ribe (South Jutland, Denmark) and the nearby

Hanseatic towns Lübeck and Hamburg in Northern Germany(Lloyd 2011; Holm 2002).

The transition towards long-IBD sharing between the cities of Britain and Denmark likely

reflects a shift in the economic and trading centres of both countries, alongside the well-

documented increased shipping between them from the mid-16th century onwards (Møller

1988). Potentially, the increased ease of transport and corresponding movement of workers,

as well as trade-associated movement, lead to a greater incidence of genetic exchange

between cities. However, it is notable that some other cities with strong maritime or industrial

histories such as Bristol, Newcastle, Brimington and Southampton, whose populations are

well ascertained in the UK Biobank, do not have the same level of sharing with København

as London, Manchester, Glasgow, or Leeds (Figure S31), suggesting heterogeneity in the

shift of genetic exchange. The genetic links between London and the eastern island towns of

København, Aabenraa and Odense are consistent with strong migratory links between

London and the urban region of Southern Denmark around 1800 (Brock and van Lottum

2019). Again however, it is important to stress that such patterns are also consistent with

migration from a third location to both the British and the Danish cities.

We also observe that the Dutch, German and French samples have increased IBD sharing

with London in longer IBD segments (corresponding to early modern times and more recent

centuries, including the Industrial Revolution) (Figure S42-S43). Although we are unable to

consider geographical heterogeneity within these countries and to pinpoint the particular

regions driving these links, based on our findings in Denmark, trade involving the coastal and

urban populations, particularly from regions with trading links to London, could be one of the

contributing factors. For example, Hamburg, Lübeck, Bruges and port cities in the

Netherlands (e.g. Dordrecht) were major trading hubs of the Hanseatic League with

connections to London. Compared with elsewhere in continental Europe, the comparative

peace and economic development of England in the late medieval period attracted immigrants

for trade and work. The historical tax records of 64,000 foreign residents in England between

the 14th and 16th centuries ("England's Immigrants 1330 – 1550," n.d.) show that these

immigrants were mainly servants, merchants, and craft people. While such immigration may

have occurred continuously at a small scale until the industrial revolution, pulses of migration

could have contributed to the observed IBD sharing signals. For example, Norman-French

immigrants replaced most of the previous English landowners following the Norman

Conquest in 11th century(*Domesday Book* 2003), settling mainly in the south and east of

England, and more recently the migration of of 40,000–50,000 French protestants

("Huguenots"), mostly to London, around 1670 CE(Gwynn 1985).

Discussion

In this study, we performed an extensive identity by descent (IBD) inference between two modern populations, Denmark and Britain, that have experienced complex patterns of genetic exchange, both internally, between each other and with other countries. To achieve this, we analysed shared genomic segments in 369 billion individual pairwise comparisons, and developed space- and time-efficient methods to store and analyse the results. Using a large and accurate database of Danish pedigree information, we showed that the amount of IBD sharing we observe closely aligns with theoretical expectations. The large-scale patterns of intra- and inter-country genetic sharing observed in Britain and Denmark revealed important aspects of Denmark's demographic history, and episodes of gene flow between the two countries from the Middle Ages through to the Industrial Revolution. In particular, we identified significant migration from Jutland to Zealand, coupled with a population decline in Jutland during medieval period. Our findings also reveal the fine-scaled geographical distribution of the genetic origins and legacy of medieval migration across the North Sea, potentially associated with Anglo-Saxon and Viking migration. We also detected distinct genetic evidence of post-Viking Age migration connecting maritime centres in Britain and Denmark, likely driven by ongoing trade and population movements. Analysis of IBD sharing between Denmark and Britain and other neighbouring countries revealed further shared and population-specific migration patterns.

There are limitations to our study. Our analyses rely on the sampling of people in the current era. The ascertainment of the cohort will often have geographic and volunteer bias, both of which have been shown in UK Biobank (van Alten et al. 2024; Fry et al. 2017). However, the recruitment centres used for UK Biobank are spread across the country, and many places that

might have had a strong exchange, e.g, Newcastle, are well sampled. The Danish cohort is a

combination of a hospital-centred in- and outpatient cohort in København, with a natural bias

towards old-age populations in the region and the Danish Blood Donor Cohort, which is

across Denmark, but biased towards healthy volunteers (Hansen et al. 2019). Although we

would have preferred a more even ascertainment in Britain and Denmark, we do not believe

that the analysis of IBD sharing patterns presented here is significantly skewed by these

factors.

A significant challenge in relating genetic data and historical records is the uncertainty of

genetic age estimates. This arises partly from inherent variance in the underlying statistical

distribution of posterior segment age given segment length (particularly for short IBD

sharing) , and partly from methodological uncertainty, such as the accuracy to estimate IBD

segment length, which is limited by the density of SNP markers. Additionally, there is the

fact that our posterior estimates do not account for complex demographic histories in the

populations involved. By way of illustration, we have explored the impact of different

demographic scenarios in the Supplementary Note, showing that different assumptions can

lead to both earlier and later estimated dates. A full model incorporating both time and

migration history is complex and the subject of ongoing research. Additionally, individual

variation in intergenerational time introduces further uncertainty. It is also unclear whether

the average intergenerational time has changed over the centuries, as historical records show

variation in average age at marriage ("What Age Did People Marry in the British Past?,"

n.d.). These uncertainties limit our current ability to assign precise historical dates or

generational resolution given segment lengths, with uncertainty increasing for earlier time

estimates.

As discussed earlier, our analyses are also limited to separate the genetic legacy of Anglo-Saxon and Danish Viking migrations. In our studies, we have not used ancient DNA sources, partly due to their low and sparse representation, and partly because it is unclear how extensive IBD mapping at this scale could be biased by the phasing of ancient DNA with modern Northern and Western European panels. An interesting modelling question in the future is how to integrate substantial IBD data from modern populations with the sparser ancient DNA data that have specific known dates, and whether we could identify distinct genetic markers to distinguish the contribution of these migration events.

Inferring the migration direction or origin of any given IBD sharing pattern based on genetic data is another challenge we have not addressed. The pairwise IBD sharing data we generated in this study cannot resolve this directly. However, future research using genealogical tree reconstruction methods (Gunnarsson et al. 2024; Zhang et al. 2023; Kelleher et al. 2019; Speidel et al. 2025) might offer opportunities to estimate the direction of gene flow from the tree structure.

In this paper, we brought together two of the currently largest genetic cohorts, the UK Biobank, and the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank & Danish Blood Donor Study in Denmark. It is conceptually feasible, though both technically and legally complex, to imagine extending this approach across even more cohorts, such as FinnGen (Kurki et al. 2023), All of Us (All of Us Research Program Genomics Investigators 2024), the Estonian BioBank (Leitsalu et al. 2015), the China Kadoorie Biobank (Chen et al. 2011) and the BioBank Japan (Nagai et al. 2017). To achieve this, we would need further innovation on the computational methods to discover and store IBD regions as well as substantial computing resources. Alongside providing a baseline understanding of these cohorts for basic and medical research, this

would provide an unprecedented view of all substantial components of genetic exchange

between the studied populations, allowing a comprehensive map of genetic exchange from

medieval times to the modern day. This would serve as a highly complementary resource to

the documented histories, and most likely, as we show here, both support and challenge

aspects of these histories. Indeed, it is feasible to consider generating a comprehensive map

of all European migrations from the medieval era onward by performing similar analyses

across a pan-European modern cohort, and similarly for other continents and cross-

continental migrations. From our study, we estimate that an even sampling of around 0.1% of

the population (i.e. median sample size/median population size) would provide a resolution at

the NUTS3 level of regionality in Europe. In addition, methodological improvements in

integrating ancient genomes with modern ones would not only provide more support for

specific migration patterns but also probably deepen the time resolution. We call for more

interdisciplinary research between geneticists and historians, and the resources to be able to

unlock this natural historical record inherent in our DNA.

Methods

Data Collection and Processing

Genetics data in the UK Biobank

We used 488,376 participants in the UK Biobank (UKBB) with SNP array data available,

which are genotyped using the UK Biobank Axiom Array as a sampling of the UK

population. There are 783,966 genotype markers in total after lifting over to the GRCh38

genome build. We define individuals with British ancestry as those ones self-reported as

"White British" (UKBB Data Field: 21000) and born in the UK (UKBB Data Field: 1647).

In total, we have 410,266 individual samples with 354,847, 35,912 and 19,507 from England,

Scotland and Wales, respectively. While we might have included individuals with recent

family immigration history into the UK based on this definition, we assume the proportion is

relatively small, given we have a very large sample size.

To map individuals' birthplaces into geographic areas, we transformed the birthplace

coordinates (UKBB Data field: 129 and 130) into geographical areas including UK nations,

UK NUTS level 2 (Regions; 2016 version), UK NUTS level 3 (2016 version) and councils.

Genetics data in Danish biobanks

For the Danish population, we included 370,259 individuals from Denmark with genotype

data available from previous studies conducted under the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank

(CHB) (Sørensen et al. 2021) and the Danish Blood Donor Study (DBDS) (Hansen et al.

2019; Erikstrup et al. 2023) including *Genetics of healthy ageing and specific diseases among*

blood donors – a GWA study under the Danish Blood Donor Study (NVK-1700407, P-2019-

99), *Genetics of cardiovascular disease - a genome-wide association study on repository*

samples from Copenhagen Hospital Biobank (NVK-1708829, P-2019-93), and *Genetics of*

pain and degenerative musculoskeletal diseases - a genome-wide association study on

repository samples from Copenhagen Hospital Biobank (NVK-1803812, P-2019-51). All the

samples were genotyped using the Illumina Global Screening Array with a total of 627,546

genotype markers. Reusing these genetic datasets for demographic and genealogy studies

does not require specific scientific ethical approval.

We retrieved individuals' birthplaces through the Danish civil registration record, i.e. Det

Centrale Personregister (CPR register). There are 316,512 and 985 individuals born in

Denmark ("continental Denmark") and the Faroe Islands respectively. As we were aware of

the evolving discussion about the use of genetic data from Greenland during this analysis we

chose not to include samples from Greenland in this study.

Since the Danish administrative data does not contain individual ethnicity information, we

adopted genomic approaches and inferred individuals' global ancestry proportions (Method -

Global ancestry inference using ADMIXTURE below). We projected the best-fitted

unsupervised clustering via ADMIXTURE trained on a reference panel representing diverse

world populations across continents onto Danish samples to infer their ancestral proportions

at continental levels. There are 93.1% (294,782 out of 316,512) and 94.6% (932 out of 985)

individuals born in mainland Denmark and the Faroe Islands, respectively, inferred to have

more than 90% estimated European ancestral component. Unless otherwise stated, throughout

the manuscript, we refer to the inferred European samples as European ancestry Danes

("Danes"). These samples might include individuals with recent immigration history in the

last few generations from other European countries, given that we have a large sample size

and the resulting IBD sharing has mirrored the geography of Denmark, we think most of the

samples have major family ancestry from Denmark.

We adopted the nomenclature of geographic divisions, including regions, provinces and

municipalities, provided by Statistics Denmark (URL:

https://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/nomenklaturer/nuts).

To validate the observed population structure in Denmark, we also curated a subset of

individuals who have both of their parents in the DNK cohorts and were born in the same

province as both their parents. As the dataset is much smaller, we also took a rigorous

approach to remove one individual in close relative pairs (up to third-degree) to avoid family-

specific patterns. This results in a refined subset of 28,135 individuals.

Danish Multi-Generation Family Registry

For the Danish Multi-Generation Family registry (MGR-lite registry), there are a total of

7,771,981 individuals recorded. MGR-Lite is a registry that documents the complete parental

relationship for children (i.e. legal guardian) born in 1953 or later and still alive in 1968 to

their parents based on both the CPR register and family relationships recorded in church

books (https://www.registerforskning.dk/projekter/mgr-lite/) and partially includes parental

relationships for children born before 1953. Additionally, we excluded relationships where

parental ages were unreasonable or where the relationship was recorded as an adoption built

on our previous work (Westergaard et al. 2024). In data preprocessing, we removed 866,638

individuals with no first-degree relatives' records (who have neither parents nor children).

We also removed five individuals recorded with multiple pairs of parents. In total, 6,845,337

individuals are analysed.

Given that the raw record in the MGR-lite registry only includes the parent-child relationship,

we infer other familial relationships according to the following steps: (1) extract ancestor-

offspring relationships. We use an adjacency matrix to record whether individual i is an

ancestor to individual j and the value of the element indicates the number of separating

meioses; (2) extract cousin relationships; To reduce the amount of computation, we only

extracted the cousin relationships for individuals with both familial record and genetic data

available. We iterate over each individual as a potential ancestor extracted from step (1) and

construct the cousinship among all his/her descendants. For each pedigree relative, we infer

the number of separating meioses. In total, we extracted 56,329 pairs of ancestor-offspring

relatives and 54,089 pairs of cousins (Table S2 and Table S3), which we are going to use to

validate the genetic data.

We are limited to extract pedigree relationships for relatives of distant degrees larger than

five due to the incomplete record of parental relationships for individuals born before 1953

thus the relatives sharing ancestors born before 1953 are only partially identified in our

familial registry data.

Note that we only assume individuals are related through a single genealogical path, given

that we are assessing close relatives. While it's likely that individuals can be related through

multiple genealogical paths, especially for individuals relatively distantly related, we are

unable to identify them comprehensively given our limited information about distant pedigree

relatives in the data; thus, we ignore this scenario in our analysis.

Genotype data processing

To process the UKBB SNP array data, we conducted sample-level quality control (QC) and

SNP-level quality control. For sample-level quality control, we removed individual outliers

due to poor genotyping quality based on heterozygosity and missing rates based on standard

QC output provided by UKBB (resource 531 URL:

https://biobank.ndph.ox.ac.uk/ukb/refer.cgi?id=531).

For the SNP array data in Danish biobanks, we conducted the SNP-level QC through the

following steps: (1)Filter SNPs with >0.1 missing, (2)remove duplicate SNPs.

Haplotype Phasing

We used Eagle v2.4.1 (https://alkesgroup.broadinstitute.org/Eagle/) (Loh et al. 2016) to estimate the haplotype phases. The genetic map was downloaded from HapMap (ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/hapmap//recombination/2011-01_phaseII_B37/genetic_map_HapMapII_GRCh37.tar.gz).

Estimating IBD sharing

We called IBD using FastSMC(Nait Saada et al. 2020) to detect IBD shared within the past 50 generations with a minimum IBD segment length of 1cM using parameters "--time 50 – min_m 1.0". We conducted independent IBD calling based on three different SNP marker sets: (1) within the UK, using all SNP markers from UKBB; (2) within Denmark, using all SNP markers from Danish samples (DNK); (3) between the UK and Denmark, using shared SNP markers from the combined UKBB and Danish datasets (UKBB+DNK). All computations were performed within the secure computing infrastructure of the Danish National Genome Center and EMBL's European Bioinformatics Institute.

While imputation could potentially improve the IBD mapping between the UK and Danish samples, we considered and then rejected adopting this approach. On one hand, since British and Danish populations are two closely related populations (Hudson Fst = 0.00075), we were concerned about the biases introduced, for example, the need to use predominantly Northern and Western European, with substantial British and Scandinavian haplotypes included in the imputation panels. On the other hand, our sensitivity analyses of intra-Danish comparisons confirm that using intersecting markers, despite underestimating IBD segment counts, show distributions highly aligned with the full marker set (Spearman correlation coefficient *rho* is

between 0.99 and 1) and have no qualitative differences (Figures S3-S4), supporting their use

in downstream analyses.

We computed the joint IBD calling between the UKBB and DNK (UKBB+DNK) by using

the following procedures:

(1)Phase each biobank independently

(2) Lift over the UKB phased genotype data from Human reference genome build GRCh37 to

GRCh38. We discarded the positions that could not be lifted over.

(3)Extract the subsets of SNP markers in both biobanks

(4)Use the above subsets to call IBD

We conducted additional filtering after IBD calling to reduce the false positives caused by

low SNP density in the IBD calling in the joint SNP data. We removed IBDs across areas

with SNP density lower than 50 SNPs/cM, which would likely lower the true positive rates

but increase the positive predictive value.

In the downstream analysis, we focus on IBD segments larger than 2cM given that the IBD 1-

2cM shows lower sharing rate compared to 2-3cM using the DNK IBD call set (Figure S5),

reflecting the reduced sensitivity of IBD estimation at 1-2cM. Given we have lower SNP

density in the joint IBD calling, IBD 1-2cM might be underestimated to a stronger degree.

To summarise the level of IBD sharing among pairs of individuals at the population level, we

calculate the total IBD sharing (>2cM) and number of IBD segments (>2cM) by summing

over all the identity-by-descent segments across autosomes between the two individuals. To

handle the computing efficiently, we developed bespoke analysis code in C based on a

previously published software IBDkin (Zhou, Browning, and Browning 2020). Unless

specified, we removed pairs of close relatives up to third-degree relatedness (equivalent to

first cousins, great-grandparents andgreat-grandchildren) using the lower bound of the

expected total IBD sharing threshold of 634cM for analysing the total IBD

sharing(Manichaikul et al. 2010). This shall reduce the impact of ascertainment bias due to

close genetic relatedness.

Similarly, the total length of ROHs and a number of ROH segments were calculated per

individual by summing the total IBD sharing and the number of IBD segments between two

chromosome copies across autosomes. We first evaluated the ROHs based on all the available

samples. Given that we want to reduce the effects of family-specific patterns especially in

communities of small size (autozygosity is correlated between siblings though the correlation

is highly variable(Kirin et al. 2010; Thompson 2013)), we also took a stringent manner to

only include 160,957 unrelated samples in the DNK cohorts after randomly removing one

individual in each pair of close relatives (up to third-degree relatedness). We find the results

are highly comparable in the two evaluations (Figure S10), suggesting the high ROHs are

likely driven by a small effective population size instead of specific family patterns.

To study IBD sharing for a specific length, IBD segments were grouped into bins of different

lengths defined as half-open intervals, where each bin includes the lower bound but excludes

the upper bound, denoted as [a,b). For example, an IBD bin between 2-4cM indicates all IBD

segments x such that $2 \leq x < 4$ cM.

Validation of pedigree relationship

In the validation, we compared the mean total IBD sharing observed from IBD estimation with the expected given the number of separating meioses inferred from the pedigree relationship in the MGR-lite. The results are shown in Figure 2. The expectation of IBD sharing is computed based on Huff et.al 2011(Huff et al. 2011). We find that the distribution of observed total IBD sharing given the number of separating meiosis largely follows the theoretical expectation. For parent-child and grandparent-grandchild relatives, we also observe long tails on the lower end, suggesting that there could be unbiological related pairs or possibly unrecorded adoptions by relatives. Consequently, we also observe that the respective distributions among pairs of shared ancestors are skewed towards the lower end. For pairs of shared ancestors, a second mode with less density is also observed, indicating that there are pairs sharing only one ancestor, e.g. half-siblings or half-cousins.

We also compared the number of IBD segments observed with the expected. The results are shown in Figure S2. For ancestor-offspring pedigree relatives and cousins up to first-degree cousins, we find that the observed number of IBD segments is higher than expected. Given that the total genomic sharing observed for these relatives aligns with expectations, we believe this is likely explained by statistical phasing error. The switch error of the statistical phasing method we applied to the Danish cohorts is likely similar to that in UKBB, occurring at a rate of approximately one error per 20 cM (Loh, Palamara, and Price 2016; Naseri et al. 2019). Thus, we expect phasing errors to more significantly affect close relatives (those less than third-degree) since they are expected to share long IBD segments ($\geq$20 cM). Given this threshold for phasing error, we consider it less of a concern for IBD sharing estimation in distant relatives, which we used in the migration analysis.

Estimate the effective population size

We applied the IBDNe(Browning and Browning 2015) to estimate the trajectories of effective population size over time. The following options were used: "filtersamples=TRUE gmax=50 mincm=2" to remove close relatives and only use the minimal IBD segment length at 2cM up to 50 generations ago. 95% confidence intervals of Ne were estimated by using the default options.

Dimension reduction of pairwise IBD sharing via multidimensional scaling

We use the pairwise matrix of mean total IBD sharing (cM) as a similarity matrix S. We then convert S into a distance matrix D by subtracting each element of S from its maximum element value, i.e. $D_{ij} = max(S) - S_{ij}$. Multidimensional scaling was then computed with the input distance matrix D using the function "cmdscale" in the "stats" R library.

Global ancestry inference using ADMIXTURE

We applied unsupervised clustering implemented in ADMIXTURE(Alexander, Novembre, and Lange 2009) to infer the continental ancestry proportions of individuals in the Danish cohorts. To train the clustering model, we used the pre-curated harmonised dataset (1kGP+HGDP) with 3,262 individuals(Koenig et al. 2024), which combines both the 1000 Genomes Project (1kGP) and Human Genome Diversity Project (HGDP) as training data. It includes African (AFR), Admixed American (AMR), European (EUR), Middle Eastern (MID), CSA (Central/South Asia), and East Asian (EAS). In the training, the genotype data for each sample individual is modelled as a mixture of K ancestral components. We first removed the SNP markers with strong LD in the DNK cohorts by applying PLINK with

option "--indep-pairwise 50 10 0.5" (pruning variants within 50kb with a squared correlation

greater than 0.5). We used the subset of autosomal genotype markers (n=385,272) from the

1kGP+HGDP dataset that overlap with the LD-pruned DNK cohorts. To estimate the best

number of ancestral components K in the data, we applied a cross-validation to compare the

predictive accuracy on hold-out test data using a range of values from K=2 to K=8. K=5 is

chosen given that the slope of the cross-validation error curve is not changing drastically with

the increasing K (Figure S12). Each ancestral component is annotated based on its

proportions in each continental sample. The ancestral proportions of the Danish samples were

predicted by projecting them onto the learned allele frequencies from the training samples.

Identify populations of other major European ancestry in the UKBB+DNK dataset

1058 To investigate the influence of other European countries on Danish and British ancestry, we

1059 assembled a reference panel for European populations using the combined samples

1060 (UKBB+DNK) from the UK Biobank (UKBB) and Danish cohorts (DNK). Here, we outline

1061 the steps involved in our methods:

Sample Inclusion

1063 To include samples with non-British and non-Danish European ancestry in the UKBB, we

1064 selected samples born in Europe (excluding the UK and Denmark) (UKBB Data Field:

1065 20115) who self-reported their ethnicity as "White" or "Any other white background"

1066 (UKBB Data Field: 21000). For individuals with Irish ancestry, we specifically selected those

1067 born in Ireland and self-reported with "Irish" ethnicity.

1068

In the Danish cohorts, we included individuals with predominantly predicted European (>90%) ancestry who were born outside Denmark and the UK. We exclude any country with fewer than 100 samples to avoid the bias of small sample sizes, which might have excluded samples from some East European and Southern European countries due to their low ascertainment in our datasets.

To make sure the clustering results would not be driven by the fine-structure of populations of British and Danes, given their large sample size in total, we downsampled these groups. For the British, we randomly selected 9,000 British individuals from the UKBB, with 3,000 from each of England, Scotland, and Wales. For the Danish cohorts, we also randomly selected 3,000 individuals from a subset where both the child and parents were born in the same municipality.

In total, we included 34,555 individuals with 22,555 ones born in 26 European countries (excluding the UK and Denmark) and 12,000 ones born in the UK and Denmark to construct a reference panel of European populations.

Community detection using individuals' pairwise IBD sharing network

Given that we only have information on individuals' countries of birth, it's important to note that these may not fully indicate their ancestral backgrounds. They could be British or Danes born overseas. To identify other European populations, we assume individuals in the same population would highly share their ancestry compared with those in other populations. To identify groups of individuals with shared ancestry, we used an unsupervised community detection approach, assuming that individuals with similar ancestry background would be

closely clustered in the IBD-sharing network. Thus, the resulting detected clusters could allow us to identify different populations.

We constructed an IBD-sharing network based on pairwise total IBD sharing among the selected 35K samples. To reduce the impact of recent migration, we applied a more stringent criterion by removing pairs with total IBD sharing greater than 56 cM (the expected total sharing of third-degree cousins), thus removing relatives up to third-degree cousins.

To identify population clusters, we applied the Leiden community detection algorithm(Traag, Waltman, and van Eck 2019), which optimises the modularity to partition the network into distinct clusters. We adopted a multi-level hierarchical approach. We detect sub-clusters from the clusters of one level up by varying the resolution parameter from 1 to 2, increasing by 0.1 at each step until sub-clusters are identified.

Using four levels of clustering, we identified 45 clusters in total, with 30 mainly composed of individuals with non-Danish or non-British ancestry. The clustering results captured broadly the ancestry diversity within our samples at the country level (Figure S20). We annotated each cluster based on the proportions of members' country of birth. Thus, individuals in the same cluster were considered to share a major ancestral background from their country of birth. We also made sure there are comparable proportions of samples from both the UKBB and DNK cohorts to form the same population cluster. For example, German clusters were identified only if German-born samples from both the UKBB and DNK cohorts clustered together. We only considered the clusters with a sample size larger than 200 to ensure well-supported results. We did not identify further sub-clusters within each country as it's outside the scope of our study, and we don't have detailed birthplace data to validate.

1117

1118 Reassuringly, the majority of Danish samples (97.1%) and British samples (91.2%) are

1119 grouped within their clusters. The community detection approach also helps us to remove

1120 individuals born in one country but placed in a different cluster, suggesting they are likely to

1121 have mixed ancestry or recent immigrant history.

1122

1123 As our clustering results depend on both the sample size and underlying ancestry diversity in

1124 our samples, the clusters should be interpreted as groups of individuals with shared ancestry

1125 rather than distinct populations.

1126

1127 The resulting clusters are used to identify proxy populations with major ancestry from the

1128 neighbouring countries of Britain/Denmark used in Figure 4 and Figure 6. The sample size

1129 for each proxy population is shown in Table S5.

Estimate the Age of Most Recent Common Ancestors (TMRCA)

1130

using IBD segment length

Given two individuals with a shared IBD of length l, we are interested in inferring the time to

their most recent common ancestor g (TMRCA) or segment age. In the manuscript, we

applied the coalescent theory and Bayesian approach to estimate the posterior distribution of

TMRCA g given an observed segment length.

Maximum a posteriori (MAP)

We first derived the posterior probability of g given a random segment of length l following

previous literature(Palamara 2014; Fournier et al. 2023).The posterior probability of g given l

taking into account the prior distribution $f(g)$, which defines the coalescent probability

between individuals and further depends on the underlying demographic history, the

likelihood function $f(l|g)$ which describes the distribution of IBD lengths if TMRCA is

known, and the marginal probability of observing a segment of length l:

1143

1144
$$f(g|l) = \frac{f(g)\,f(l|g)}{\int_0^\infty f(l|g)f(g)dg}. \tag{1}$$

1145

1146   Under a simple demographic model with constant population size N (number of haploids), the

1147   coalescent probability $f(g)$ at a random site between two haploids follows an exponential

1148   distribution with parameter $1/N$ (or geometrical distribution for time measured in discrete

1149   units),

1150
$$f_{site}(g) = \frac{1}{N}\,exp\left(-\frac{g}{N}\right). \tag{2}$$

1151

Given that the occurrence of recombination breakpoints along the genome follows a Poisson

process (ignoring crossover interference and chromosome ends) under the Haldane's

model(Haldane 1919), the genetic distance from a random site to the recombination

breakpoint over g meioses separating an offspring and its ancestors (i.e. segment length

inherited from ancestors g generations ago), either to the left or right follows an exponential

distribution with parameter g (the number of breakpoints per Morgan). For two haploids

sharing the same ancestor from g generations ago (separated by $2g$ meioses), the distance

from a chosen site to the closest recombination breakpoints from the left or right corresponds

to an exponential distribution with rate $2g$ (Palamara 2014; Temple and Thompson 2024).

Thus, the length of shared IBD segments overlapping the chosen site, which is the sum of two

independent exponential variables (one from each side), follows an Erlang-2 distribution with

rate parameter $2g$:

1164

1165
$$f_{site}(l|g) = (2g)^2 l \cdot exp(-2gl). \tag{3}$$

1166

1167 Thus, the posterior probability $f(g|l)$ could be derived by substituting Equations 2 and 3 into

1168 Equation 1:

1169
$$f(g|l) = \frac{g^2}{2}(2l + 1/N)^3 exp[-g(2l + 1/N)]. \tag{4}$$

1170

1171 Note that the above derivation of the posterior distribution is conditional on a random site,

1172 but it's equivalent to the distribution derived using a random segment. For a random segment,

1173 following previous literature considering the IBD process under the SMC model, the prior

1174 distribution of segment age g is distributed as (note that g here in unscaled generation time)

1175 (Carmi et al. 2014)(Li and Durbin 2011):

1176

1177
$$f_{seg}(g) = \frac{g}{N^2} exp(-\frac{g}{N}). \tag{5}$$

1178

1179 Given a TMRCA=$2g$ separating two extant haploids, their shared segment length l follows

1180 an exponential distribution with parameter $2g$. Thus, we can derive the same posterior

1181 density as Expression 4.

1182

We visualised the above posterior density distribution $f(g|l)$ for different IBD lengths in

Figure S45. For a given IBD length, the possible age of the segment spans a wide range.

Shorter IBD segments are associated with a wider range of possible ages, and longer

segments with less. We use the age with the highest posterior probability for a given IBD

length as the most probable generation time, i.e., the Maximum a Posteriori (MAP) estimate

$\hat{g}_{MAP} = \frac{2}{\frac{1}{N} + 2l} \approx \frac{1}{l}$ (the human populations in our studies have a large N with the scale of $10^5 -$

10^8 thus $\frac{1}{N}$ could be ignored).

1190

To quantify the uncertainty of the posterior age, we calculated the 50% highest density

interval (HDI), which is the most credible age range that covers 50% of the posterior

distribution, i.e., $P(g_1 < g < g_2 \mid l) = 50\%$. Age values within the 50% HDI are more credible

(i.e., have higher probability density) than values outside the HDI, and the values inside the

HDI have a total probability of 50%. We derived the HDIs numerically by grid

approximation following the implementation of Kruschke (John 2015). The calculated MAP

age and 50% HDI for each IBD length are displayed in Table S6.

1198

In the manuscript, for IBD sharing with a length bin, we define the bin by grouping lengths

with similar geographic distribution patterns of IBD while also maximising the differences

between different distinct bins. Accordingly, for each length bin, we define the MAP age

range by taking the minimum and maximum MAP ages across the lengths in the bin.

Similarly, we define the combined 50% HDI for the bin by taking the minimum and

maximum of the 50% HDI across those lengths.

1205

Since the prior depends on underlying demographic scenarios, we evaluated the impact of

complex demographic histories on the posterior distribution of segment age with ancestry

simulations as detailed in the Supplementary Note. Different scenarios, such as exponential

growth, population bottleneck and mass migrations, can shift both the mode and the credible

interval of the posterior distribution.

Converting Generations to Calendar Time

We assume a generation time of 28 years (Moorjani et al. 2016). For UKBB samples, the

median year of birth is 1950 CE. For Danish samples, the median year of birth is 1960 CE.

Across the two cohorts, we use the median birth year of 1955. We use this point in time as

the reference for the "present" relative to our samples.

1216

In summary, there are various sources of uncertainty in our estimate of TMRCA: (1) the

population demographic history; (2) statistical property of the posterior distribution, i.e. its

credible interval is quite wide; (3)the estimation of IBD segment endpoints, which depends

on the density of SNP markers and thus affects the accuracy of IBD length estimates; and (4)

uncertainty in the generation time, with Moorjani et.al (Moorjani et al. 2016) estimating the

mean generation interval to be between 26-30 years based on recombination clock, and Wang

et.al estimating 24-30 years based on mutation clock (Wang et al. 2023; Moorjani et al.

2016).

Statistical test of spatial autocorrelation

1225

We used the Moran test to assess the spatial association of IBD sharing. Global Moran' test is

first conducted to assess whether the IBD sharing is randomly distributed. The local Moran's

test is used to assess whether the degree of IBD sharing of a given area is associated with its

neighbouring area. We define neighbours as the five areas with the shortest geographic

distance to the centroid of a given area. For IBD sharing between Britain and Denmark, the

local Moran's test was conducted for each area, and the results are categorised as the

following groups (shown in Figure S24-S25):

Significant spatial associations between the tested area and its neighbours are identified with

a *P*-value threshold of 0.05, which include High-High(hotspots, high IBD sharing with high

IBD-sharing neighbours), Low-Low (coldspots, low IBD sharing with low IBD-sharing

neighbours), High-Low (outliers, high IBD sharing with low IBD-sharing neighbours) and

Low-High (outliers, low IBD sharing with high IBD-sharing neighbours); No significant

spatial association (annotated as ">0.05") or missing data.

Code Availability

1240 The programs to compute the IBD sharing statistics are available at
1241 https://github.com/XiaoleiZ/UK_DNK_IBD.
1242

Ethical Approval

The UK Biobank has received approval from the National Information Governance Board for

Health and Social Care and the National Health Service North West Centre for Research

Ethics Committee (Ref: 11/NW/0382). This research was conducted using the UK Biobank

Resource under project 49978.

All investigations were conducted in accordance with the tenets of the Declaration of

Helsinki. The genotype datasets from the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank (CHB) and Danish

Blood Donor Study (DBDS) were collected from previous studies (NVK-1700407, P-2019-

99; NVK-1708829, P-2019-93; NVK-1803812, P-2019-51). Ethical approval was not

required for reusing these datasets in demographic and genealogical research. The use of data

from the MultiGeneration Registry-Lite was approved by the Danish National Archives (Case

number 22/33918; Reference number KAMB-MOEL). Informed consent or evaluation by a

research ethics committee is not required for registry-based research in Denmark.

Conflict of interest

1256

1257 SB has ownerships in Intomics A/S, Hoba Therapeutics Aps, Novo Nordisk A/S, Lundbeck

1258 A/S, ALK abello A/S, Eli Lilly and Co. The other authors declare no competing interests.

1259

Contributions

1260

1261 S.B. and E.B. conceived the study. X.Z., S.B., and E.B. designed and developed the study.

1262 X.Z. performed the data analysis. X.Z., P.H., A.S., S.B. E.B. interpreted the data with the

1263 other co-authors' inputs. P.H. contributed to analyzing historical evidence. X.Z., P.H. and

1264 E.B. drafted the manuscript with input from all co-authors.

Acknowledgements

1265

1266 We thank all the volunteers for their participation in our study cohorts, including the UK

1267 Biobank, the Copenhagen Hospital Biobank and the Danish Blood Donor Study. This study

1268 has also received valuable suggestions and support from the members of the Birney and

1269 Brunak groups, particularly Kumar Gaurav, Maria Herrero-Zazo, Troels Siggaard and Lisa

1270 Cantwell. The authors also thank the experimental analyses conducted by Casper Siu.

1271

1272 This study was supported by the Novo Nordisk Foundation (grants NNF170C0027594,

1273 NNF14CC0001). XZ has also been funded by the Borysiewicz Interdisciplinary Fellowship

1274 from the University of Cambridge during the study.

1275

1276 The funding bodies had no role in the design and conduct of the study.

References

"A Global Reference for Human Genetic Variation, The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium." 2015. Nature 526:68–74.

"England's Immigrants 1330 – 1550." n.d. Accessed March 19, 2025. http://www.englandsimmigrants.com/.

"Migration Museum of Denmark." 2024. 2024. https://immigrantmuseet.dk/migrationshistorien/roepolakkerne-paa-lolland/tusindvis-af-polakker.

"Rural Urban District." 2019. Derbyshire Observatory -. Derbyshire Observatory. June 24, 2019. https://observatory.derbyshire.gov.uk/rural-urban-district/.

"Statistics Denmark." 2007. 2007. https://www.dst.dk/en/Statistik/dokumentation/nomenklaturer/nuts.

"Statistikbank." 2024. 2024. https://www.statbank.dk/20024.

"Urban and Rural Survivorship in Pre- and Post-Black Death Denmark." 2021. Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports 38 (August):103089.

"What Age Did People Marry in the British Past?" n.d. The Cambridge Group for the History of Population and Social Structure (blog). Accessed December 13, 2024. https://www.campop.geog.cam.ac.uk/blog/2024/07/11/what-age-did-people-marry/#more-68.

Alexander, David H., John Novembre, and Kenneth Lange. 2009. "Fast Model-Based Estimation of Ancestry in Unrelated Individuals." Genome Research 19 (9): 1655–64.

All of Us Research Program Genomics Investigators. 2024. "Genomic Data in the All of Us Research Program." Nature 627 (8003): 340–46.

Als, Thomas D., Tove H. Jorgensen, Anders D. Børglum, Peter A. Petersen, Ole Mors, and August G. Wang. 2006. "Highly Discrepant Proportions of Female and Male Scandinavian and British Isles Ancestry within the Isolated Population of the Faroe Islands." European Journal of Human Genetics : EJHG 14 (4): 497–504.

Alten, Sjoerd van, Benjamin W. Domingue, Jessica Faul, Titus Galama, and Andries T. Marees. 2024. "Reweighting UK Biobank Corrects for Pervasive Selection Bias due to Volunteering." International Journal of Epidemiology 53 (3). https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyae054.

Appel, Liv. "The Scottish and English Citizens in Elsinore from the 16th to the 18th Century - an Archaeological Approach." Chap. 14 In Across the North Sea: Later Historical Archaeology in Britain and Denmark, C. 1500-2000 Ad, edited by Paul Belford, David Cranstone, Henrik Harnow, Madsen-Host Lene and Myrtue Anders, 187-94. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark, 2012.

Arciero, Elena, Sufyan A. Dogra, Daniel S. Malawsky, Massimo Mezzavilla, Theofanis Tsismentzoglou, Qin Qin Huang, Karen A. Hunt, et al. 2021. "Fine-Scale Population Structure and Demographic History of British Pakistanis." Nature Communications 12 (1): 7189.

Athanasiadis, Georgios, Jade Y. Cheng, Bjarni J. Vilhjálmsson, Frank G. Jørgensen, Thomas D. Als, Stephanie Le Hellard, Thomas Espeseth, et al. 2016. "Nationwide Genomic Study in Denmark Reveals Remarkable Population Homogeneity." Genetics 204 (2): 711–22.

Bergström, Anders, Shane A. McCarthy, Ruoyun Hui, Mohamed A. Almarri, Qasim Ayub, Petr Danecek, Yuan Chen, et al. 2020. "Insights into Human Genetic Variation and Population History from 929 Diverse Genomes." Science (New York, N.Y.) 367 (6484): eaay5012.

Binderup, Foto: M. n.d. "Sandflugt." Naturen i Danmark | Lex. Accessed February 17, 2025. https://naturenidanmark.lex.dk/Sandflugt.

Brock, Aske Laursen, and Jelle van Lottum. 2019. "Rural Maritime Labour Migration to Copenhagen and Stockholm (1700–1800)." Continuity and Change 34 (2): 231–52.

Browning, Sharon R., and Brian L. Browning. 2015. "Accurate Non-Parametric Estimation of Recent Effective Population Size from Segments of Identity by Descent." American Journal of Human Genetics 97 (3): 404–18.

Bycroft, Clare, Colin Freeman, Desislava Petkova, Gavin Band, Lloyd T. Elliott, Kevin Sharp, Allan Motyer, et al. 2018. "The UK Biobank Resource with Deep Phenotyping and Genomic Data." Nature 562 (7726): 203–9.

Carmi, Shai, Peter R. Wilton, John Wakeley, and Itsik Pe'er. 2014. "A Renewal Theory Approach to IBD Sharing." Theoretical Population Biology 97 (November):35–48.

Chen, Zhengming, Junshi Chen, Rory Collins, Yu Guo, Richard Peto, Fan Wu, Liming Li, and China Kadoorie Biobank (CKB) collaborative group. 2011. "China Kadoorie Biobank of 0.5 Million People: Survey Methods, Baseline Characteristics and Long-Term Follow-Up." International Journal of Epidemiology 40 (6): 1652–66.

Childs, W. R. 1998. "Medieval English Trade in the North Sea: Denmark and Jutland, c 1300-1500." In A North Sea Region, s. 47–74. Fiskeri- Og Søfartsmuseets Studieserie, Nr. 11 (1998).

Dam, Peder, and Johnny Grandjean Gøgsig Jakobsen. Atlas over Danmark Serie Ii Bind 7. Copenhagen: Geografforlaget, 2008.

Domesday Book. 2003. Penguin Classics. London, England: Penguin Classics.

Due, Jeppe Klok, Marianne Giørtz Pedersen, Sussie Antonsen, Joen Rommedahl, Esben Agerbo, Preben Bo Mortensen, Henrik Toft Sørensen, et al. 2024. "Towards More Comprehensive Nationwide Familial Aggregation Studies in Denmark: The Danish Civil Registration System versus the Lite Danish Multi-Generation Register." Scandinavian Journal of Public Health 52 (4): 528–38.

Ebenesersdóttir, S. Sunna, Marcela Sandoval-Velasco, Ellen D. Gunnarsdóttir, Anuradha
    Jagadeesan, Valdís B. Guðmundsdóttir, Elísabet L. Thordardóttir, Margrét S.
    Einarsdóttir, et al. 2018. "Ancient Genomes from Iceland Reveal the Making of a
    Human Population." Science (New York, N.Y.) 360 (6392): 1028–32.

Enemark, Poul. Dansk oksehandel 1450-1550. Gylling: Aarhus Universitetsforlag, 2003

Engelstad, Eivind S. 1946. "Hollendere, Friser og Jyder." In: Vestlandske
    Kunstindustrimuseum Årbok 1943-45, 29-55; Bergen: A.S. John Griegs

Erikstrup, Christian, Erik Sørensen, Kaspar R. Nielsen, Mie T. Bruun, Mikkel S. Petersen,
    Klaus Rostgaard, Lise W. Thørner, et al. 2023. "Cohort Profile: The Danish Blood
    Donor Study." International Journal of Epidemiology 52 (3): e162–71.

Fellows-Jensen, G. 2008. The Viking World. Edited by S. Brink and N. Price. Routledge.

Fournier, Romain, Zoi Tsangalidou, David Reich, and Pier Francesco Palamara. 2023.
    "Haplotype-Based Inference of Recent Effective Population Size in Modern and Ancient
    DNA Samples." Nature Communications 14 (1): 7945.

Fry, Anna, Thomas J. Littlejohns, Cathie Sudlow, Nicola Doherty, Ligia Adamska, Tim
    Sprosen, Rory Collins, and Naomi E. Allen. 2017. "Comparison of Sociodemographic
    and Health-Related Characteristics of UK Biobank Participants with Those of the
    General Population." American Journal of Epidemiology 186 (9): 1026–34.

Gislason, Hannes. 2023. "SNP Heterozygosity, Relatedness and Inbreeding of Whole
    Genomes from the Isolated Population of the Faroe Islands." BMC Genomics 24 (1):
    707.

Gissel, Svend. 1981. Trade and Supply. In: Svend Gissel et al. (eds), Desertion and Land
    Colonization in the Nordic Countris c. 1300-1600. Stockholm (Almqvist & Wiksell),
    188-204.

Gravesen, Palle. 2001. Palle Gravesen: Den Geologiske Udforskning Af Fakse Kalkbrud Fra
    Midten Af 1700-Tallet Til Nu. Geologisk Tidsskrift.

Gretzinger, Joscha, Duncan Sayer, Pierre Justeau, Eveline Altena, Maria Pala, Katharina
    Dulias, Ceiridwen J. Edwards, et al. 2022. "The Anglo-Saxon Migration and the
    Formation of the Early English Gene Pool." Nature 610 (7930): 112–19.

Guldberg, Mette, Asger Nørlund Christensen, Max Pedersen, Christina Folke Ax, Martin
    Rheinheimer, and Elsemarie Dam-Jensen. Handel Og Vandel: Dansk-Hollandske
    Kontakter I 1600-1700-Tallet. In: Temp - Tidsskrift For Historie 10 (2020): 36-60.

Gunnarsson, Árni Freyr, Jiazheng Zhu, Brian C. Zhang, Zoi Tsangalidou, Alex Allmont, and
    Pier Francesco Palamara. 2024. "A Scalable Approach for Genome-Wide Inference of
    Ancestral Recombination Graphs." bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.31.610248.

Gwynn, Robin D. 1985. Huguenot Heritage: The History and Contribution of the Huguenots
    in Britain. London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul PLC.

Haldane, J. B. S. 1919. "The Combination of Linkage Values, and the Calculation of Distances Between the Loci of Linked Factors." Journal of Genetics 8(29):299–309.

Hansen, Thomas Folkmann, Karina Banasik, Christian Erikstrup, Ole Birger Pedersen, David Westergaard, Piotr Jaroslaw Chmura, Kaspar Nielsen, et al. 2019. "DBDS Genomic Cohort, a Prospective and Comprehensive Resource for Integrative and Temporal Analysis of Genetic, Environmental and Lifestyle Factors Affecting Health of Blood Donors." BMJ Open 9 (6): e028401.

Helgason, A., S. Sigureth ardóttir, J. Nicholson, B. Sykes, E. W. Hill, D. G. Bradley, V. Bosnes, J. R. Gulcher, R. Ward, and K. Stefánsson. 2000. "Estimating Scandinavian and Gaelic Ancestry in the Male Settlers of Iceland." The American Journal of Human Genetics 67 (3): 697–717.

Henn, Brenna M., Lawrence Hon, J. Michael Macpherson, Nick Eriksson, Serge Saxonov, Itsik Pe'er, and Joanna L. Mountain. 2012. "Cryptic Distant Relatives Are Common in Both Isolated and Cosmopolitan Genetic Samples." PloS One 7 (4): e34267.

Holm, Poul. 2002. "Maritime Dependency in Ribe and Aalborg, 1450-1800." In Concentration and Dependency: The Role of Maritime Activities in North Sea Communities, 1299- 1999, 47–68. Fiskerimuseet.

Holm, Poul. Kystfolk. Kontakter og sammenhænge over Kattegat og Skagerrak 1550-1914. Esbjerg: Fiskeri- og Søfartsmuseet, 1991.

Hu, Sile, Lino A. F. Ferreira, Sinan Shi, Garrett Hellenthal, Jonathan Marchini, Daniel J. Lawson, and Simon R. Myers. 2025. "Fine-Scale Population Structure and Widespread Conservation of Genetic Effect Sizes between Human Groups across Traits." Nature Genetics, February. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-024-02035-8.

Huff, Chad D., David J. Witherspoon, Tatum S. Simonson, Jinchuan Xing, W. Scott Watkins, Yuhua Zhang, Therese M. Tuohy, et al. 2011. "Maximum-Likelihood Estimation of Recent Shared Ancestry (ERSA)." Genome Research 21 (5): 768–74.

Hybel, Nils, and Bjørn Poulsen. 2007. The Danish Resources C. 1000-1550: Growth and Recession. BRILL.

Johansen, Hans Chr. 2002. Danish Population History, 1600-1939.

John, Kruschke. 2015. Doing Bayesian Data Analysis. San Diego, CA: Academic Press.

Jorgensen, Tove H., Henriette N. Buttenschön, August G. Wang, Thomas D. Als, Anders D. Børglum, and Henrik Ewald. 2004. "The Origin of the Isolated Population of the Faroe Islands Investigated Using Y Chromosomal Markers." Human Genetics 115 (1): 19–28.

Kelleher, Jerome, Yan Wong, Anthony W. Wohns, Chaimaa Fadil, Patrick K. Albers, and Gil McVean. 2019. "Inferring Whole-Genome Histories in Large Population Datasets." Nature Genetics 51 (9): 1330–38.

Kershaw, Jane, and Ellen C. Røyrvik. 2016. "The 'People of the British Isles' Project and Viking Settlement in England." Antiquity 90 (354): 1670–80.

Kirin, Mirna, Ruth McQuillan, Christopher S. Franklin, Harry Campbell, Paul M. McKeigue, and James F. Wilson. 2010. "Genomic Runs of Homozygosity Record Population History and Consanguinity." PloS One 5 (11): e13996.

Koenig, Zan, Mary T. Yohannes, Lethukuthula L. Nkambule, Xuefang Zhao, Julia K. Goodrich, Heesu Ally Kim, Michael W. Wilson, et al. 2024. "A Harmonized Public Resource of Deeply Sequenced Diverse Human Genomes." Genome Research 34 (5): 796–809.

Kurki, Mitja I., Juha Karjalainen, Priit Palta, Timo P. Sipilä, Kati Kristiansson, Kati M. Donner, Mary P. Reeve, et al. 2023. "FinnGen Provides Genetic Insights from a Well-Phenotyped Isolated Population." Nature 613 (7944): 508–18.

Kyrre, Hans. 1912. Furboerne : Dansk fiskerliv I. Copenhagen: Schønbergske Forlag.

Lall, Gurdeep Matharu, Maarten H. D. Larmuseau, Jon H. Wetton, Chiara Batini, Pille Hallast, Tunde I. Huszar, Daniel Zadik, et al. 2021. "Subdividing Y-Chromosome Haplogroup R1a1 Reveals Norse Viking Dispersal Lineages in Britain." European Journal of Human Genetics: EJHG 29 (3): 512–23.

Leitsalu, Liis, Toomas Haller, Tõnu Esko, Mari-Liis Tammesoo, Helene Alavere, Harold Snieder, Markus Perola, et al. 2015. "Cohort Profile: Estonian Biobank of the Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu." International Journal of Epidemiology 44 (4): 1137–47.

Leslie, Stephen, Bruce Winney, Garrett Hellenthal, Dan Davison, Abdelhamid Boumertit, Tammy Day, Katarzyna Hutnik, et al. 2015. "The Fine-Scale Genetic Structure of the British Population." Nature 519 (7543): 309–14.

Li, Heng, and Richard Durbin. 2011. "Inference of Human Population History from Individual Whole-Genome Sequences." Nature 475 (7357): 493–96.

Lloyd, T. H. 2011. England and the German Hanse, 1157-1611. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.

Loh, Po-Ru, Petr Danecek, Pier Francesco Palamara, Christian Fuchsberger, Yakir A. Reshef, Hilary K. Finucane, Sebastian Schoenherr, et al. 2016. "Reference-Based Phasing Using the Haplotype Reference Consortium Panel." bioRxiv. bioRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/052308.

Loh, Po-Ru, Pier Francesco Palamara, and Alkes L. Price. 2016. "Fast and Accurate Long-Range Phasing in a UK Biobank Cohort." Nature Genetics 48 (7): 811–16.

Manichaikul, Ani, Josyf C. Mychaleckyj, Stephen S. Rich, Kathy Daly, Michèle Sale, and Wei-Min Chen. 2010. "Robust Relationship Inference in Genome-Wide Association Studies." Bioinformatics (Oxford, England) 26 (22): 2867–73.

Margaryan, Ashot, Daniel J. Lawson, Martin Sikora, Fernando Racimo, Simon Rasmussen, Ida Moltke, Lara M. Cassidy, et al. 2020. "Population Genomics of the Viking World." Nature 585 (7825): 390–96.

McLeod, Shane. 2014. The Beginning of Scandinavian Settlement in England: The Viking "Great Army" and Early Settlers, C. 865-900. Turnhout, Belgium: Brepols.

Møller, Anders Monrad. 1988 Jagt og skonnert. Studier i den danske provinssøfart i tiden fra 1814 til 1864. Copenhagen: Falcon.

Moorjani, Priya, Sriram Sankararaman, Qiaomei Fu, Molly Przeworski, Nick Patterson, and David Reich. 2016. "A Genetic Method for Dating Ancient Genomes Provides a Direct Estimate of Human Generation Interval in the Last 45,000 Years." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 113 (20): 5652–57.

Nagai, Akiko, Makoto Hirata, Yoichiro Kamatani, Kaori Muto, Koichi Matsuda, Yutaka Kiyohara, Toshiharu Ninomiya, et al. 2017. "Overview of the BioBank Japan Project: Study Design and Profile." Journal of Epidemiology 27 (3S): S2–8.

Nait Saada, Juba, Georgios Kalantzis, Derek Shyr, Fergus Cooper, Martin Robinson, Alexander Gusev, and Pier Francesco Palamara. 2020. "Identity-by-Descent Detection across 487,409 British Samples Reveals Fine Scale Population Structure and Ultra-Rare Variant Associations." Nature Communications 11 (1): 6130.

Naseri, Ardalan, Xiaoming Liu, Kecong Tang, Shaojie Zhang, and Degui Zhi. 2019. "RaPID: Ultra-Fast, Powerful, and Accurate Detection of Segments Identical by Descent (IBD) in Biobank-Scale Cohorts." Genome Biology 20 (1): 143.

Nielsen, Helge. 2010. Middelalderen Bag Tallene. Nukøbing Sj.: Bogværket.

Nielsen, Niels Kayser. 2023. "Hedeopdyrkning, ca. 1750-1950," November. https://danmarkshistorien.dk/vis/materiale/hedeopdyrkning.

Olsen, Albert. Bybefolkningen I Danmark paa Merkantilismens Tid. Copenhagen: C. A. Reitzel, 1932.

Olsen, Gunnar. Stavnsbåndet og tjenestekarlene. Historie / Jyske Samlinger, Ny række, 1, 1950-2, 197-218

P.H. Sawyer, 'Anglo-Scandinavian trade in the Viking age and after' in Anglo-Saxon Monetary History, ed. M.S. Blackburn (Leicester, 1986)

Palamara, Pier Francesco. 2014. "Population Genetics of Identity by Descent." arXiv [q-bio.PE]. arXiv. http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.4987.

Pontoppidan, Erich. 1768. Den Danske Atlas. Vol. IV, Copenhagen.

Porsmose, E. 1988. "Det Danske Landbrugs Historie I. 4000 F Kr.-1536. Odense: Landbohistorisk Selskab." Edited by C. Bjørn, 205–416.

Ralph, Peter, and Graham Coop. 2013. "The Geography of Recent Genetic Ancestry across Europe." PLoS Biology 11 (5): e1001555.

Rodríguez-Varela, Ricardo, Kristjan H. S. Moore, S. Sunna Ebenesersdóttir, Gulsah Merve Kilinc, Anna Kjellström, Ludvig Papmehl-Dufay, Clara Alfsdotter, et al. 2023. "The

Genetic History of Scandinavia from the Roman Iron Age to the Present." Cell 186 (1): 32–46.e19.

Sahoo, Samali Anova, Rslan A. Zaidi, Santosh Anagol, and Iain Mathieson. 2021. "Long Runs of Homozygosity Are Correlated with Marriage Preferences across Global Population Samples." Human Biology 93 (3): 201–16.

Shemirani, Ruhollah, Gillian M. Belbin, Christy L. Avery, Eimear E. Kenny, Christopher R. Gignoux, and José Luis Ambite. 2021. "Rapid Detection of Identity-by-Descent Tracts for Mega-Scale Datasets." Nature Communications 12 (1): 3546.

Sørensen, Erik, Lene Christiansen, Bartlomiej Wilkowski, Margit H. Larsen, Kristoffer S. Burgdorf, Lise W. Thørner, Janna Nissen, et al. 2021. "Data Resource Profile: The Copenhagen Hospital Biobank (CHB)." International Journal of Epidemiology 50 (3): 719–20e.

Speidel, Leo, Marina Silva, Thomas Booth, Ben Raffield, Kyriaki Anastasiadou, Christopher Barrington, Anders Götherström, Peter Heather, and Pontus Skoglund. 2025. "High-Resolution Genomic History of Early Medieval Europe." Nature 637 (8044): 118–26.

Stoklund, Bjarne. Læsø Land. Økologi og kultur i et øsamfund 1550-1900. Copenhagen: Museum Tusculanum, 2018

Strunge, Jørgen, Jørgen Strunge Efter Emsholm, Foto: Torben Riis-Nielsen, and Foto: Inger Kappel (b). n.d. "Lynghederne – naturtype – Naturen i Danmark." Naturen i Danmark | Lex. Accessed February 17, 2025. https://naturenidanmark.lex.dk/Lynghederne_-_naturtype.

T. Ingvardson, Gitte. 2024. The Hoarding Vikings. London: Routledge.

Teitsson, Björn. 1981. Geographical Variables. In: Svend Gissel et al. (eds), Desertion and Land Colonization in the Nordic Countries c. 1300-1600. Stockholm (Almqvist & Wiksell), 172-187.

Temple, Seth D., and Elizabeth A. Thompson. 2024. "Identity-by-Descent Segments in Large Samples." bioRxivorg. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.06.05.597656.

Thompson, Elizabeth A. 2013. "Identity by Descent: Variation in Meiosis, across Genomes, and in Populations." Genetics 194 (2): 301–26.

Tønnesen, Allan. Helsingørs udenlandske borgere og indbyggere ca. 1550-1600. Ringe: Misteltenen, 1985

Traag, V. A., L. Waltman, and N. J. van Eck. 2019. "From Louvain to Leiden: Guaranteeing Well-Connected Communities." Scientific Reports 9 (1): 5233.

Ulsig, Erik. Pest og befolkningsnedgang i Danmark i det 14. Århundrede. Historisk Tidsskrift 91, 1991, 21-43

Veluwenkamp, Jan Willem, Werner Scheltjens, and Siem Van der Woude. 2021. "Sound Toll Registers Online." Tijdschrift Voor Sociale En Economische Geschiedenis/ The Low

Countries Journal of Social and Economic History 18 (1): 147–60.

Wang, Richard J., Samer I. Al-Saffar, Jeffrey Rogers, and Matthew W. Hahn. 2023. "Human
Generation Times across the Past 250,000 Years." Science Advances 9 (1): eabm7047.

Westergaard, David, Frederik Hytting Jørgensen, Jens Waaben, Alexander Wolfgang Jung,
Mette Lademann, Thomas Folkmann Hansen, Jolien Cremers, et al. 2024. "Uncovering
the Heritable Components of Multimorbidities and Disease Trajectories Using a
Nationwide Cohort." Nature Communications 15 (1): 7457.

Zhang, Brian C., Arjun Biddanda, Árni Freyr Gunnarsson, Fergus Cooper, and Pier
Francesco Palamara. 2023. "Biobank-Scale Inference of Ancestral Recombination
Graphs Enables Genealogical Analysis of Complex Traits." Nature Genetics 55 (5):
768–76.

Zhou, Ying, Sharon R. Browning, and Brian L. Browning. 2020. "IBDkin: Fast Estimation of
Kinship Coefficients from Identity by Descent Segments." Bioinformatics (Oxford,
England) 36 (16): 4519–20.