The Dynamics of *Cryptococcus neoformans* infection in *Galleria mellonella*

Daniel F. Q. Smith[a], Aviv Bergman[b,c], and Arturo Casadevall[a]#

[a] Department of Molecular Microbiology and Immunology, Johns Hopkins School of Public

Health, Baltimore, Maryland, USA

[b] Department of Systems and Computational Biology, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, New

York City, New York, USA

[c] Santa Fe Institute, Santa Fe, New Mexico, USA

Running Head: Timelapse recording of fungal infections in *G. mellonella*

#Address Correspondence to Arturo Casadevall, acasade1@jh.edu

Abstract Word Count: 189

Text Word Count: 3,502

Abstract

Galleria mellonella has emerged as an important host for the study of fungal virulence, insect

immune responses, and the evaluation of antifungal agents. In this study we investigated the

dynamics of fungal infections in *G. mellonella* using *Cryptococcus neoformans*, a human

pathogenic fungus. Since the analysis of infection dynamics requires a fine temporal resolution

of larval death, we employed a photographic timelapse technique that allowed us to

simultaneously measure death by proxy of larval melanization and absence of movement.

Larval mortality occurred in two phases, early and late, which differed in their timing of

melanization. Early phase deaths occurred with rapid whole-body onset of melanization,

followed by sudden cessation of movement several hours later. Contrastingly, late phase

deaths occurred with a gradual cessation of movement, followed by melanization, typically

radiating from one location on the larva. The differences in mortality kinetics suggests

differences in fungal pathogenesis with one population succumbing early while the rest linger

for later death. Subsequent analysis of mortality data using the inversion method revealed

predictable deterministic dynamics without evidence for chaotic signatures, indicating that this

C. neoformans-G. mellonella infection model behaves differently than bacterial-insect models.

Importance

The ability to predict the course of an infection is critical to anticipating disease progression and

effectively treating patients. Similarly, the ability to make predictions about pathogenesis in

laboratory infection models could further our understanding of pathogenesis and lead to new

treatments. As fungal diseases are expected to rise, understanding the dynamics of fungal

infections will be important to anticipate and mitigate future threats. Here, we developed a

timelapse method to visualize infections of *Galleria mellonella* larvae with the fungal

pathogen *Cryptococcus neoformans*. This method provided insight into infection progression

that are not apparent from standard survival measurement protocols, including the relationship

between melanization and death. Further, it enabled us to explore the dynamics of disease

progression in this system, which revealed deterministic dynamics without evidence of chaos,

implying predictability in the outcome of cryptococcal infection in this moth.

Introduction

Interactions between hosts and microbes vary greatly between host species, microbial

species, and specific circumstances of the interaction. Even when comparing the outcome of

interactions of the same host and microbial species in different experiments or situations,

changes in experimental conditions (i.e. microbial inoculum, temperature, or state of the hosts'

immune systems) can have major effects on pathogenic potential, symptoms of disease, and

the outcome of infection (1, 2). A host infected with a microbe at a certain inoculum might be

able to clear the infection readily, while a host exposed to the same inoculum but with a slightly

different immune response might launch a host-damaging inflammatory response to the

microbe, or might fail to clear the infection, resulting in microbial growth and host death.

Hence, damage can come from both the host immune response and microbial action (1).

Understanding the sources of variability in the outcome of host-microbe interactions is

important for improving reproducibility, anticipating future threats and developing improved

therapies for infectious diseases.

A fundamental question in the field of infectious diseases is the predictability of host-

microbe interactions. To know whether the host-pathogen interactions variables are

predictable, one must first determine whether the dynamics of the system, which can be either

random (stochastic) or non-random (deterministic). The outcome in random dynamics is not

predictable. For non-random or deterministic dynamical systems, one must further

differentiate whether it is chaotic (non-predictable) or non-chaotic (predictable). Predictability

would facilitate understanding and treatment of infections, because given certain parameters,

clinicians could effectively predict the trajectory of pathogenesis and mitigate it. If the host-

microbe interactions are chaotic, it means that while there are non-random variables at play,

the system is too dependent on the initial conditions, which implies non-predictability.

Mathematical chaos is typified in popular culture by the metaphor of the "butterfly effect,"

when seemingly insignificant actions or variables (i.e. a butterfly flying), can lead to

unpredictable and significant changes in larger and distant systems (I.e. altered weather

patterns). While chaotic systems, such as weather, are amenable to short term predication, the

countless minuscule, yet inevitably significant variables, cause downstream effects that long

term prediction impossible. If a host-microbial system is chaotic in a manner like weather

systems, understanding the important inputs of to the chaotic host-microbe interactions can

allow the creation of prediction models in a manner similar to modern meteorology. Chaos also

affects the reproducibility of experiments, a timely topic given concerns about the

reproducibility of results in the biomedical sciences (3).

A prior study of host-microbe dynamics used bacterial infection of invertebrate model

hosts (4). That study found evidence of chaos in the lifespan of insects and helminths infected

with *Pseudomonas* spp., but not in the lifespan of control uninfected organisms. While bacteria

are important pathogens that affect hosts across kingdoms of life, that study did not include

fungal infections. Using different methodology and low sample size, *C. neoformans* infection in

Galleria mellonella wax moth larvae was found to be deterministic without evidence for chaos

(5). Comparing bacterial and fungal infections is important because fungi exhibit different

strategies for pathogenesis and differ from bacteria in their dependence between their

pathogenic potential and inoculum. Bacteria, which largely cause disease through the release of

toxins into the host, kill hosts in a direct inoculum-dependent manner according to measures of

pathogenic potential (6). Contrastingly, fungi, which cause disease largely through survival and

growth in the host, do not kill in a directly dose-dependent manner; rather, there is a

logarithmic relationship where the order of magnitude of inoculum leads to higher death and

measures of pathogenic potential (6). This implies different strategies for bacterial and fungal

pathogenesis that might be reflected in the dynamics of their interaction

A widely used system for the study fungal pathogenesis is the *Galleria mellonella* wax

moth model (7–12). *G. mellonella* allow for easy screening of virulence of different fungal

isolates or mutants, in a high-throughput and relatively rapid, easy, and affordable manner due

to fast infection timelines and easy availability of the organism. Here we used *C. neoformans*

infection in *G. mellonella* to explore the dynamics of animal fungal infection. We developed a

timelapse imaging protocol to track *G. mellonella* larval survival at a much higher resolution of

time intervals than is traditionally used (15 minutes versus 24 h). Using this system we found no

evidence for chaotic signatures in this model.

Results/Discussion

There are three current limitations with the collection of survival data in the *G.*

mellonella model for the purpose of studying infection dynamics. The first is the lack of

temporal resolution for the death event, since this is usually measured when the experimenter

checks the larvae. and it is not logistically feasible to achieve near continuous monitoring. The

second is that death is determined by manually checking the movement of the larvae at each

time interval, which usually involves physical stimulation of the larvae with a pipette tip, which

stresses the animal and could affect the results. Third is the need to collect hundreds of survival

data points for sufficient statistical power needed for the chaos calculations. To help overcome

these limitations, we developed a survival monitoring model that allowed frequent survival

measurements and removed the necessity of manual probing to ascertain whether the animal

was alive or dead. Specifically, we assembled timelapse cameras to record the movement of *G.*

mellonella larvae following infection within 24-well plates, with one larva per well. This allowed

each larva to be individually monitored at increments of 15 minutes. We analyzed the

timelapse movie frames and recorded the time at which movements of the larvae ceased as

well as the time in which melanization began to spread through the larvae's bodies. Larval

melanization results from the production of melanin pigment, which is part of the insect

immune response, and is associated with imminent or recent larval death (13–15).

The time lapse photography setup allowed us to study the kinetics of infected larva

melanization relative to the cessation of movement (Supplementary Video 1). We first

compared cessation of movement as measured by time lapse photography to the accepted

method of manual larval poking for establishing death (Figure 1A). The photography and

manual poking survival curves closely paralleled one another but there was an approximate 1-

day lag in mortality as measured by cessation of movement, implying that some of immobile

larvae could be roused to move if poked. The fact that cessation of movement was highly

correlated with eventual demise we accepted this difference in mortality timing because it

eliminated mechanical poking, which could potentially introduce a set of new variables ranging

from stress to operator variability and renders high temporal resolution almost impossible. We

experimented with placing larvae in 12- and 24-well plates. The attraction of the 24-well plate

was that it would allow us to image a larger number of larvae per experiment. However, larvae

placed in 24-well plates died before those in 12-well plates (Figure 1B). Although this

phenomenon was not further investigated it could reflect additional stress from confinement in

a small space. Alternatively, reducing space would reduce their space for movement, which is

necessary for hemolymph circulation. regardless, we settled on using 24-well plates even

though this reduced our experimental survival time.

Next, we used time lapse photography to evaluate the dynamics of cryptococcal

infection in this host. *G. mellonella* survival after a high inoculum infection with *C. neoformans*

manifested two distinct phases, one concluding by 48 h (Supplementary Video 2) and the other

starting gradually at about 96 h (Supplementary Video 3) and continuing till the end of the

experiment when all the larvae died (Figure 2A). Melanization preceded the cessation of

movement during the first phase generally followed the cessation of movement during the

second later phase (Figure 2A). With control larvae that died following PBS injection, which

presumably reflect trauma, death in these controls occurred later than the deaths from *C.*

neoformans infection, and the time between melanization and cessation of movement was

closely linked. When the same survival data were rounded to the nearest 24-h increment, as

would be the case with the standard daily manual survival measurements, we see that this two-

phase curve is lost due to the reduced temporal resolution (Figure 2B). This indicates that some

patterns of host survival and clues to underlying pathogenesis are usually lost due to standard

survival assay methodology based on recording events at discrete time intervals.

Melanization in *G. mellonella* larvae was measured by quantifying the mean gray value

of the individual wells containing the larvae. Melanization causes the larvae to become darker

and thus the mean gray value of the well becomes lower since lower gray values denote darker

pixels. When looking at the melanization response we noticed that early phase larvae

melanized rapidly and uniformly throughout their bodies, approximately 5-10 h prior to the

cessation of movement (Figure 3A, B, C, E). Larval melanin reached a brief plateau immediately

prior to the cessation of movement (Figure 3C, red arrows), followed by more melanization.

Conversely, the larvae that died later had melanization start in one spot of their body and then

spread (Figure 3A, D, E). This spot was usually either at the head or the posterior end, in a

location in the body cavity not necessarily associated with the site of injection. The

melanization reaction then spread slowly from these spots and/or from the head of the larvae

for several hours after the larvae stopped moving (Figure 3E). The white arrow indicates where

melanization began and radiated from, in addition to the head of the organism (Figure 3E). This

again suggests two mechanisms of pathogenesis during infection. The rapid and complete onset

of melanization in the early death phase indicates a systemic melanization response in the

hemolymph of the larvae. Since melanin synthesis is the result of a polymerization reaction that

yields highly reactive and toxic intermediates, melanization prior to death suggests the

possibility that this immune mechanism is contributing to the demise of the larvae. In contrast,

the focal melanization in the later phase could reflect melanization within a large immune

nodule (16) – a complex of immune cells, clotting factors, melanization factors, and other

immune components aimed at restricting and killing microbes. One interpretation of these

results is that early deaths occur when the fungus is dispersed throughout the hemolymph

triggering widespread melanization, while later deaths reflect following dissemination from an

infected tissue or an immune nodule that is no longer able to contain the fungal infection.

To examine the dynamics of the *C. neoformans-G. mellonella* interaction, we applied the

inversion measure to the observed time distributions. This approach allowed us to assess

deviations from expected stochastic patterns by comparing our empirical data to a null

hypothesis of non-chaotic behavior. Our analysis did not yield statistically significant evidence

to reject the null hypothesis ($p \geq 0.2$) (Figure 4A), suggesting that, within the resolution of our

dataset and analytical framework, there is no strong indication of chaos governing the observed

host-pathogen interactions. Given that our observations were taken at 15 min, deaths recorded

at a given time point must have reflected events occurring within that time interval. To account

for this impreciseness in knowing the timing of the event, we randomly assigned observed

deaths across smaller subintervals within that interval, introducing randomness into the

analysis. While this approximates the likely times of death, it could also obscure any chaotic

signature. Consequently, rather than calculating a single inversion measure for the histogram,

we generated a distribution of inversion measures and compared it to the null bootstrap

distribution. No statistically significant difference was found between the sample and the

bootstrap null distributions ($p = 0.5$) (Figure 4B). This results are consistent with a prior

analysis of the dynamics of *C. neoformans-G. mellonella* interactions using different analytic

methods that also found no chaos in this system (5).

The absence of chaotic signatures in this system differs from evidence of chaos in

Pseudomonas spp. infections of flies and worms (4). We do not know whether this reflects a

fundamental difference between bacterial and fungal infections, is a consequence of using a

different host, a different method of infection or another aspect of the experimental

inoculation. Since bacteria differ from fungi in mostly kill their host in a linear and logarithmic

inoculum-dependent manner, respectively (6), it is possible that the difference in dynamics

reflects an intrinsic difference between these microbial types. . An additional difference

between this study and the prior bacterial studies is that those infections were acquired

through natural inoculation through ingestion by the host. In contrast, in this study we injected

larvae with the inoculum directly since there is no practical means of inducing a natural

cryptococcal infection in *G. mellonella*. Hence, our approach involved piercing the larval surface

with a needed and delivering the inoculum to deeper tissues, which could produce a non-

chaotic deterministic outcome by causing a fulminant infection that abrogates chaotic

signatures. Future studies will have to dissect these possibilities to determine whether fungal

infections show signs of mathematical chaos or whether the reason for the lack of chaos in

these findings is a result of experimental design and infection procedures. Those studies will

need to be done with other fungal pathogens, such as those entomopathogenic fungi that have

co-evolved with insects and are thus able to naturally infect the larvae without the need for

injection or other forced traumatic inoculation.

Another possibility is that a chaotic signature does exist in this system, but the results

are a false negative and its detection was hindered by limitations in the available data and the

method used. Chaotic dynamics can be highly sensitive to initial conditions and may require a

sufficiently large and high-resolution dataset to capture subtle fluctuations that signify chaotic

behavior. If the dataset is too sparse, contains significant observational gaps, or lacks a

sufficiently long time series, any underlying chaotic patterns may remain undetected or appear

as weak signals obscured by noise. Further data collection with higher temporal resolution and

greater replication may help clarify whether chaotic signatures are present but undetectable

under current conditions. Additionally, the inverse method employed for chaotic detection may

require refinement or revision to improve its accuracy in this specific biological context.

Different methods for identifying chaos, such as Lyapunov exponent analysis, recurrence

quantification analysis, or state-space reconstruction, may vary in their sensitivity to noise and

sampling limitations. Hence, it is possible that the analytic approach used here does not

adequately capture the nonlinear dynamics characteristic of fungal infections, particularly if

fungal-host interactions involve more complex regulatory feedback mechanisms than bacterial

infections. Future studies could explore alternative or complementary analytical techniques,

refining the methodological framework to better discern chaotic signatures in host-fungal

interactions.

An additional consideration when judging the implications of these findings for other

systems is our use of *G. mellonella*. Virulence in *G. mellonella* models of mutants or different

clinical isolates of the fungus *C. neoformans* corresponds to virulence in standard murine

models (8). While the *G. mellonella* model is good for assessing virulence through survival and

fungal burden assays, it lacks an adaptive immune response, which may lead to differences with

mammalian infection where the adaptive immune response is important. As of now, there are

no readily available inbred strains for this insect. While this reflects the situation for infection in

outbred populations it can also lead to variability between infected larvae depending on the

genetic differences between individuals. Phenotypic and genetic variability in hosts may lead to

noise in outcome measurements, resulting in chaotic signatures signal loss.

In summary, we developed a new method to study cryptococcal infection in *G.*

mellonella based on timelapse recording of the movement and pigmentation dynamics of

individual larvae, which provides a way to assess infection dynamics and disease progression at

high temporal resolution and in a high-throughput environment. Analysis of the mortality

outcomes from cryptococcal infection yielded deterministic non-chaotic dynamics with the

caveat that we cannot rule out the existence of non-apparent chaotic dynamics.

Methods

Galleria mellonella Infections

Final instar *Galleria mellonella* larvae were obtained from Vanderhoorst Wholesale Inc.

(St. Mary's, OH, USA). Larvae were left to acclimate overnight in weighing boats. Larvae were

then injected with 10^6 cells of *C. neoformans* strain H99 suspended in PBS into the left rear

proleg using a 1 mL insulin needle 28 ½ gauge and Stepper Injector. The average volume

delivered to each larvae was 10 µl. Larvae were then placed into 24-well plates and kept at

room temperature.

Survival Recordings

Timelapse imaging of the infected larvae was performed at room temperature over 10

days. Pairs of 12- or 24-well plates were imaged with a Brinno TLC130 Time Lapse Camera,

positioned from above using a clamp stand with the capture rate set to once every 15 minutes

(Supplementary Figure 1). Following the completion of the experiment, the timelapse images

were transferred and viewed using FIJI (ImageJ) (17). Control survival determinations, where

survival was determined by movement following physical stimulus with a pipette tip, were

performed concurrently.

Movement Analysis

Timelapse movies were viewed on FIJI (ImageJ) so that each frame could be analyzed

individually (17). We manually scanned through the movement of each larva and recorded

frame after which no further movement was observed. This was recorded as the time at which

movement stopped. To obtain hour of death, the frame number was divided by four.

Melanization Analysis and Quantification

Timelapse movies were processed on FIJI (ImageJ) (17) with each frame analyzed

individually. We scanned through each frame of the timelapse and recorded the frame in which

melanization was first seen occurring in the larvae. This was recorded as the time that

melanization occurred.

To further quantify the dynamics of melanization within the larvae, each of the wells in

the 24-well plate were selected using the circular selection tool in FIJI and added as different

Regions of Interest (ROIs) using the ROI Manager tool. The multi measure tool was selected,

which then measured the mean gray value of each well during each of the timelapse frames.

From this, we can see a sharp drop in mean gray value associated with the onset and

acceleration of melanization within the larvae.

The inversion measure on a time distribution

Given a distribution of time points, we first construct a histogram. For naturally discrete

time points, as in our simulated waiting times derived from chaotic or stochastic processes,

each unique time point serves as a bin. For continuous processes, we partition the distribution

into n bins based on a chosen parameter n.

Given a histogram with n bins, each containing an integer count, we first introduce a

small uniform random noise between 0 and ϵ ($\epsilon < 1$) to break ties while preserving the relative

order of distinct counts. Next, we partition the bins into consecutive, non-overlapping groups of

four, discarding any remainder. For each sequence x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, we define

a **countertrend** (or **inversion**) as occurring when $(x_4 - x_1)$ and $(x_3 - x_2)$ share the same sign

(both positive or both negative). We then compute the proportion of sequences exhibiting

inversions. Since this frequency is influenced by the randomness of the tie-breaking step, we

repeat the process 1000 times with different randomizations and report the average.

To compute a p-value for the inversion measure, we performed a bootstrap test against the null

hypothesis that the histogram is smooth. We considered two types of null densities: **kernel-**

smoothed and **locally linear**.

For kernel smoothing, we applied MATLAB's built-in ksdensity function to the sample

histogram. For the locally linear null density, we linearized the histogram as follows: for each

consecutive sequence of four bins, let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 represent the corresponding whole-number

values. We fit a line of best fit for x_i as a function of ii and use this line as the null density for

that sequence. If any fitted values fall below zero, we reset them to zero. Repeating this

process for all sequences of four bins results in a piecewise linear null density, which is then

normalized to sum to one.

To generate a bootstrap sample from a null density (either kernel-smoothed or locally

linear), we draw the same number of samples as in the original histogram and recalculate the

inversion measure. This procedure is repeated 1,000 times to create a bootstrapped null

distribution of the inversion measure. We then computed a t-statistic and its corresponding p-

value by comparing the observed inversion measure to this null distribution.

For the *Galleria* datasets, where some observations were not recorded at regular intervals, we

addressed gaps by redistributing events as follows: whenever one or more observations were

missing, we uniformly distributed the next observed count of events across the interval

between the most recent and prior observations. A histogram was then constructed from this

redistributed data, and the inversion measure was computed as before.

Since the redistribution process introduces randomness, we repeated it 1,000 times,

generating a sample distribution of inversion measures. For each of these 1,000 histograms, we

conducted a bootstrap procedure with a sample size of 1,000, ultimately producing a null

distribution composed of 1,000,000 inversion measures. Using these distributions, we

estimated the p-value by calculating the probability that the sample inversion measure was

greater than or equal to a value drawn from the null distribution.

Data availability

Survival data used in this analysis and mean gray value measurements are deposited on

FigShare under the DOI:10.6084/m9.figshare.28616303

Acknowledgements

D.F.Q.S. is supported by NIH T32 AI 0074170-29. A.C. and D.F.Q.S are supported in part by R01

AI152078, R01 HL059842, and AI052733. A.B. was supported in part by NIH R01-CA164468 and

R01-DA033788. Biorender was used to produce Supplementary Figure 1.

References:

1. Casadevall A, Pirofski L. 2009. Virulence factors and their mechanisms of action: the view from a damage–response framework. Journal of Water and Health 7:S2–S18.

2. Casadevall A. 2017. The Pathogenic Potential of a Microbe. mSphere 2:e00015-17.

3. Cobey KD, Ebrahimzadeh S, Page MJ, Thibault RT, Nguyen P-Y, Abu-Dalfa F, Moher D. 2024. Biomedical researchers' perspectives on the reproducibility of research. PLOS Biology 22:e3002870.

4. Sella Y, Broderick NA, Stouffer KM, McEwan DL, Ausubel FM, Casadevall A, Bergman A. 2024. Preliminary evidence for chaotic signatures in host-microbe interactions. mSystems 9:e01110-23.

5. Garcia-Solache MA, Izquierdo-Garcia D, Smith C, Bergman A, Casadevall A. 2013. Fungal Virulence in a Lepidopteran Model Is an Emergent Property with Deterministic Features. mBio 4:10.1128/mbio.00100-13.

6. Smith DFQ, Casadevall A. 2022. On the relationship between Pathogenic Potential and Infective Inoculum. PLOS Pathogens 18:e1010484.

7. F. Q. Smith D, Casadevall A. 2021. Fungal immunity and pathogenesis in mammals versus the invertebrate model organism Galleria mellonella. Pathogens and Disease 79.

8. Mylonakis E, Moreno R, Khoury JBE, Idnurm A, Heitman J, Calderwood SB, Ausubel FM, Diener A. 2005. Galleria mellonella as a Model System To Study Cryptococcus neoformans Pathogenesis. Infection and Immunity 73:3842–3850.

9.   Garcia-Bustos V, Ruiz-Saurí A, Ruiz-Gaitán A, Sigona-Giangreco IA, Cabañero-Navalon MD,

Sabalza-Baztán O, Salavert-Lletí M, Tormo MÁ, Pemán J. 2021. Characterization of the

Differential Pathogenicity of Candida auris in a Galleria mellonella Infection Model.

Microbiology Spectrum 9:e00013-21.

10.   Kavanagh K, Fallon JP. 2010. Galleria mellonella larvae as models for studying fungal

virulence. Fungal Biology Reviews 24:79–83.

11.   Firacative C, Khan A, Duan S, Ferreira-Paim K, Leemon D, Meyer W. 2020. Rearing and

Maintenance of Galleria mellonella and Its Application to Study Fungal Virulence. J Fungi

(Basel) 6:130.

12.   Firacative C, Duan S, Meyer W. 2014. Galleria mellonella Model Identifies Highly Virulent

Strains among All Major Molecular Types of Cryptococcus gattii. PLOS ONE 9:e105076.

13.   Camilotti E, Furian ,Thales Quedi, Borges ,Karen Apellanis, Ortiz Granados ,Oscar

Fernando, Zottis Chitolina ,Gabriela, de Brites Weber ,Thaína, Tonini da Rocha ,Daniela,

Nascimento ,Vladimir Pinheiro do, Souza Moraes ,Hamilton Luiz de, and Salle CTP. 2024.

Galleria mellonella larvae as an alternative model to determine the pathogenicity of avian

pathogenic Escherichia coli. Avian Pathology 53:507–519.

14.   García-Carnero LC, Clavijo-Giraldo DM, Gómez-Gaviria M, Lozoya-Pérez NE, Tamez-

Castrellón AK, López-Ramírez LA, Mora-Montes HM. 2020. Early Virulence Predictors

during the Candida Species–Galleria mellonella Interaction. 3. Journal of Fungi 6:152.

15.   Stempinski PR, Smith DFQ, Casadevall A. 2022. Cryptococcus neoformans Virulence Assay

Using a Galleria mellonella Larvae Model System. Bio Protoc 12:e4480.

16.   Smith DFQ, Dragotakes Q, Kulkarni M, Hardwick JM, Casadevall A. 2022. Galleria

mellonella immune melanization is fungicidal during infection. 1. Commun Biol 5:1–13.

17.   Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S,

Rueden C, Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak

P, Cardona A. 2012. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. 7. Nature

Methods 9:676–682.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Timelapse imaging can be used to record G. mellonella movement as a proxy for

***survival.* A.** The use of cessation of movement and time of melanization as a proxy for larval

death is consistent with the gold standard of manually checking the larvae every 24 h with a

physical stimulus. Camera movement survival data was rounded to nearest 24 h increment.

Manual survival group has an n = 24, while the group with survival quantified from the camera

timelapse movement has n = 168. **B.** Survival of larvae in a 12-well plate is improved compared

to those kept in a 24-well plate. All groups in Panel B have n = 24. P-values represent log-rank

Mantel-Cox comparisons

***Figure 2. C. neoformans* infection of *G. mellonella* at high temporal resolution shows two**

phases of infection. A. Using timelapse photography, *G. mellonella* larval survival following

infection with *C. neoformans* was monitored in increments of 15 minutes, and time cessation of

movement and onset of melanization was recorded for each larva. This showed a two-phase

survival curve with one large phase of death occurring at 48 h and another slower phase after

96 h. **B.** The appearance of these two phases is lost when the temporal resolution is lowered to

every 24 h. For H99 infections, n = 864, while the PBS-injected n = 216.

***Figure 3. G. mellonella* larvae show different characteristic melanization responses upon**

infection. A. Larvae that die during the first phase of death show onset of the melanization

response approximately 5 h prior to the larvae stop moving, while those at later time points

have more mixed patterns of melanization, often with pigmentation occurring hours after the

larvae stop moving. **B.** The difference between melanization and cessation of movement in the

first 48 h (n = 459) is different than the value for deaths occurring after 48 h (n=405). Statistical

significance was determined through an unpaired t-test, **** represents p<0.0001. **C.** Larvae

from the first phase of death show a sharp decline in mean gray value, which indicates rapid

production of black melanin pigment, **(D)** while larvae from the second phase of death show a

later and more gradual reduction in the mean gray value. **Panels C and D** are representative

quantifications of mean gray value for 3 separate larvae. Red arrows indicate time at which the

respective larvae stopped moving. **E.** Representative images of a larva that died in the first

phase of death compared to a larva from the second phase of death. Note that for early phase

there is continued larval movement following the onset of melanization, while the larva in the

second death phase does not move following melanization onset. White arrow indicates spot in

which melanization began from.

Figure 4. Death of G. mellonella larvae following C. neoformans infection does not

demonstrate chaotic signatures. **(A).** The inversion method using bootstrap for locally linear

approximation of the distributions (histograms) was applied. The red line represents the actual

inversion measure of the distribution. No statistical significance was found, indicating no clear

evidence of chaotic behavior. **(B).** Histogram comparing the null (blue) and sample (orange-red)

distributions of the inversion measure. Inversion measure was performed through analysis of

842 larval death events.

Supplementary Figure 1. Timelapse photography set up. Timelapse camera is suspended

above two 24-well plates containing *G. mellonella* larvae using a 3-pronged clamp attached to a

support base.

Figure 1. Timelapse imaging can be used to record G. mellonella movement as a proxy for survival. **A.** The use of cessation of movement and time of melanization as a proxy for larval death is consistent with the gold standard of manually checking the larvae every 24 h with a physical stimulus. Camera movement survival data was rounded to nearest 24 h increment. Manual survival group has an n = 24, while the group with survival quantified from the camera timelapse movement has n = 168. **B.** Survival of larvae in a 12-well plate is improved compared to those kept in a 24-well plate. All groups in Panel B have n = 24. P-values represent log-rank Mantel-Cox comparisons

Figure 2. C. neoformans **infection of *G. mellonella* at high temporal resolution shows**

two phases of infection. A. Using timelapse photography*, G. mellonella* larval survival

following infection with *C. neoformans* was monitored in increments of 15 minutes, and

time cessation of movement and onset of melanization was recorded for each larva. This

showed a two-phase survival curve with one large phase of death occurring at 48 h and

another slower phase after 96 h. **B.** The appearance of these two phases is lost when the

temporal resolution is lowered to every 24 h. For H99 infections, n = 864, while the PBS-

injected n = 216.

***Figure 3. G. mellonella* larvae show different characteristic melanization responses**

upon infection. A. Larvae that die during the first phase of death show onset of the

melanization response approximately 5 h prior to the larvae stop moving, while those at later time points have more mixed patterns of melanization, often with pigmentation occurring hours after the larvae stop moving. **B.** The difference between melanization and cessation of movement in the first 48 h (n = 459) is different than the value for deaths occurring after 48 h (n=405). Statistical significance was determined through an unpaired t-test, **** represents $p<0.0001$. **C.** Larvae from the first phase of death show a sharp decline in mean gray value, which indicates rapid production of black melanin pigment, **(D)** while larvae from the second phase of death show a later and more gradual reduction in the mean gray value. **Panels C and D** are representative quantifications of mean gray value for 3 separate larvae. Red arrows indicate time at which the respective larvae stopped moving. **E.** Representative images of a larva that died in the first phase of death compared to a larva from the second phase of death. Note that for early phase there is continued larval movement following the onset of melanization, while the larva in the second death phase does not move following melanization onset. White arrow indicates spot in which melanization began from.

Figure 4. Death of G. mellonella larvae following C. neoformans infection does not demonstrate chaotic signatures. **(A).** The inversion method using bootstrap for locally linear approximation of the distributions (histograms) was applied. The red line represents the actual inversion measure of the distribution. No statistical significance was found, indicating no clear evidence of chaotic behavior. **(B).** Histogram comparing the null (blue) and sample (orange-red) distributions of the inversion measure. Inversion measure was performed through analysis of 842 larval death events.

Supplementary Figure 1. Timelapse photography set up. Timelapse camera is

suspended above two 24-well plates containing *G. mellonella* larvae using a 3-pronged

clamp attached to a support base.