Influence of Copper Dose on *Mycobacterium avium* and *Legionella*

pneumophila Growth in Premise Plumbing

Rania E. Smeltz[‡1,2], Fernando A. Roman Jr*[‡1], Thomas Byrne[‡3], Rachel Finkelstein[‡1,4], Yang

Song[1,5], Amy Pruden[1], Marc A. Edwards[1]

[1]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia

24061, United States

[2]Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama

35294, United States

[3]Department of Genetics, Bioinformatics, and Computational Biology, Virginia Tech,

Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States

[4]AECOM, 3101 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22201, United States

[5]Utilities Department, Town of Cary, 316 N. Academy St., Cary, North Carolina 27512, United

States

[‡]These authors are designated as co-first authors and contributed equally to this work

*Corresponding Author froman@vt.edu

ABSTRACT

Effects of copper at 0, 4, 30, 250, or 2000 µg/L on microbial communities were examined in an

11-month study using triplicate 120-mL water heater microcosms with PEX-b pipes containing

mature biofilms to simulate premise plumbing. Effluent total cell counts (TCCs) and

Mycobacterium avium peaked at 250 µg/L, reflecting the dual role of copper as a nutrient and

antimicrobial. TCCs and *M. avium* were relatively consistent among replicate microcosms at

each dose, but *Legionella pneumophila (Lp)* diverged among biological triplicates at 250 µg/L,

consistently producing high culturable *Lp* (average 2.5 log MPN/mL) in one microcosm and

low/non-detectable levels in the other two. Repeated cross-inoculations and a reinoculation failed

to normalize the microbial community composition across 250 µg/L and other triplicate

microcosms. 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing revealed that the 250 µg/L replicate with

high *Lp* was characterized by a distinct microbial community composition relative to the two

replicates. At 2,000 µg/L copper, microbial diversity and TCCs initially decreased, but then

TCCs subsequently increased and ultimately were not statistically different from the 250 µg/L

microcosms. This study provides insight into mechanisms underlying non-linear effects of

copper dosing when applied as a disinfectant to premise plumbing for opportunistic pathogen

control.

KEYWORDS *Legionella,* mycobacteria, opportunistic pathogens, copper, drinking water, cross-

linked polyethylene pipes

SYNOPSIS

Non-linear effects of copper on *Legionella pneumophila* and microbial communities are

identified, providing deeper understanding to the efficacy of its use as a premise plumbing

disinfectant.

INTRODUCTION
40
Copper is of interest as a disinfectant for control of opportunistic pathogen growth in

premise (i.e., building) plumbing. Copper can be released into drinking water from corrosion of

copper alloy pipe or intentionally dosed for this purpose.[1] Broadly, efficacy of copper as an

antimicrobial can be dependent on water chemistry, physiology of the target microbes, biofilm

characteristics, water flow patterns, levels of other disinfectants (e.g., chlorine), and other

factors.[2,3] Further, copper has been found to sometimes act as a nutrient to drinking water

microbes at low concentrations and an antimicrobial at high concentrations,[2] suggesting that sub-

optimal doses could stimulate growth, rather than death, of pathogens. Thus, there is an array of

factors to consider in applying copper as a premise plumbing disinfectant.

Mycobacterium avium and *Legionella pneumophila* (*Lp*) are two key opportunistic

pathogens of concern that are prone to growth in premise plumbing.[2] Prior field surveys of

Legionella and *Lp* occurrence in drinking water suggest antimicrobial thresholds for copper of >

50 µg/L,[4] > 400 µg/L,[5] or > 1055 µg/L.[6] However, other studies have reported contradictory

results, suggesting positive effects on *Legionella* and *Lp* growth at concentrations > 500 µg/L[7],

or *Lp* persistence at concentrations > 2000 µg/L[8]. *M. avium* has been shown to be inactivated by

high levels of copper in warm premise plumbing environments,[9,10] but *M. avium* and other

nontuberculous mycobacteria (NTM) are generally considered more resilient to copper than

Legionella.[10,11] The prior studies suggest that copper, if present at sufficiently high

concentrations, could sometimes effectively control both NTM and *Lp,* which is the ideal case.

Nonetheless, many existing strategies for controlling opportunistic pathogens in drinking water

have limitations and, in some instances, may inadvertently promote pathogen proliferation.[11,12]

Controlled studies are needed to resolve discrepancies in the efficacy of copper as a disinfectant

and to gain deeper mechanistic understanding needed to improve its application for simultaneous

reduction of multiple opportunistic pathogens.

65
To gain insight into the effects of copper on *Lp* and NTM control in hot water plumbing

systems, specifically at temperatures that support opportunistic pathogen growth in premise

plumbing, we previously conducted a series of studies at both pilot- (spanning 3 years) and

microcosm- (spanning 198 days) scale using the same local Blacksburg water supply.[9,13,14] These

studies demonstrated how a complex array of phenomena; including anode rod corrosion,

associated hydrogen evolution, and pH shifts, can confound the antimicrobial action of copper.

Similar confounding effects were likely at play, but not considered in the interpretation of results

derived from prior field-scale research.[2] Comparatively, another relatively long-term study (12

months) investigating opportunistic pathogens in water heaters was only able to detect NTM, and

not *Legionella* spp., *Lp*, or *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at any point in the experiment,[15]

highlighting challenges in simultaneous study of *Lp* and NTM at pilot scale, especially over

extended time periods. In another prior microcosm-scale study, the effect of a 0.8 – 5 mg/L total

Cu dose on *Lp* culturability was examined over a 4-week period using a synthetic water at

36°C.[16] That work found that different *Lp* strains reacted differently to copper. Furthermore,

environmental isolates derived from biofilm in a hot water system exhibited greater resistance to

copper compared to the clinical strains tested, suggesting that the environmental isolates (which

had a significantly higher expression of the copper resistance gene copA) were better adapted to

copper.[16] Finally, a 76 week microcosm-scale study examined the impact of different materials

(including pipe coupons) on *Lp* and NTM, finding that high concentrations of copper (≈10

mg/L) suppressed established NTM and *Lp* and lowered their concentrations in the

microcosms.[17]

The objective of this study was to assess the effects of a wide range of copper

concentrations on *M. avium* and *Lp* in premise plumbing systems colonized by both organisms.

Fifteen 120-mL water heater microcosms equipped with PEX-b pipes containing mature biofilms

(>3.5 years old) and colonized with both *Lp* and *M. avium*[9] were acclimated to Blacksburg tap

water over a 6-month period and subsequently divided into biological triplicate microcosms

subject to copper dosing at 0, 4, 30, 250, or 2,000 µg/L (as total copper) for 11-months.

Microbial total cell counts (TCC) were monitored via flow cytometry, *M. avium* was measured

via droplet digital polymerase chain reaction (ddPCR), and *Lp* was measured both via ddPCR

and Legiolert™. 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing was applied to profile microbial

communities and provide insight into microbial ecological factors mediating the effects of

copper. The goal was to assess doses at which copper acted as a nutrient versus as an

antimicrobial for opportunistic pathogens in warm water premise plumbing.

99
100 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**
101
102 *Premise Plumbing Microcosm Design and Establishment*
103
Fifteen new 120-mL glass microcosms (referred to as "simulated glass water heaters" in

previous works)[18] provided replicable simulation of premise plumbing with mature drinking

water biofilm in triplicate. Each replicate microcosm contained two new and two conditioned

PEX-b pipe coupons, with conditioned coupons cut from the middle of ~4.6-meter recirculating

lines of pilot-scale hot water plumbing systems, one that was previously dosed with phosphate

and one that received no added phosphate during a >3.5-year-old experiment.[9,13] All coupons

were 2.5 cm long with a ~ 1.7 cm inner diameter. The pilot-scale hot water plumbing systems

had been inoculated with two strains of *Lp* serogroup-1 that were isolated from the Quincy,

Illinois Veterans Home during a Legionnaires' Disease outbreak.[19] Prior to this experiment, the

recirculation line biofilms were confirmed to be colonized by both *M. avium* and *Lp*,[9] serving as

an inoculum to the microcosms.

115
116 *Microcosm Influent & Water Changes: Acclimation Phase*
117
The water heater microcosms were filled with 110 mL of local tap water (Blacksburg, VA)

treated by the granular activated carbon (GAC) filter feeding the recirculating pipe rig from

which the pipe coupons were extracted. The influent water was collected in batches at 5-week

intervals and stored at 4°C. On the day before a water change, 2L of the water was collected and

heated to 37°C overnight then adjusted to pH 6.70 ± 0.05 using H_2SO_4, before addition to the

microcosms. The microcosm bulk water was changed 2× weekly, by replacing 75% of the bulk

water volume (~82 mL) in each microcosm with new influent, to match the 3.2-day hydraulic

retention time of the pilot-scale plumbing system. The 3.2-day hydraulic retention time was

selected to simulate common residential hot water usage in the United States.[13] The microcosms

were maintained at 37°C, representing the low end of a water heater set point, and gently mixed

on a shaker table at 100 rpm. The microcosms were acclimated for 6 months under these

conditions prior to commencement of copper dosing.

Cross-Inoculation of the Microcosms

A month into the acclimation phase, the effluent from each microcosm was cross

inoculated with the aim of establishing baseline *Lp* and microbial populations across the 15

replicates. This was achieved by collecting the effluent (75% bulk water volume) from the

microcosms into a common reservoir (an autoclaved 2-L polypropylene bottle), vigorously

shaking this mixture, returning 25% of the combined effluent to the microcosms, and replacing

the remaining 50% with the GAC-treated water. This procedure was repeated over the course of

ten sequential water changes, starting a month into the acclimation phase, for five weeks

thereafter.

Copper Dosing and Reinoculation

Nearing the end of the 6-month acclimation phase, a week before the copper dosing

commenced, the microcosms were sampled for culturable *Lp* levels measured by Legiolert[TM]

tests (IDEXX Laboratories, Westbrook, ME) and TCCs. Based on these measurements, the

microcosms were grouped into five sets of triplicate microcosms, so that there was no statistical

difference in mean values of TCC or *Lp* among experimental conditions (ANOVA, $p > 0.05$) (SI

Figure 1). Triplicate microcosms subsequently received one of five copper dosages of 0, 4, 30,

250, or 2000 µg/L copper over an 11-month period. Copper was dosed directly to the influent

using stock solutions prepared with $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$. After ~ 3.5 months of copper dosing, random

testing among the microcosms indicated that *Lp* still had not established in some replicates and,

therefore, all microcosms were reinoculated once more. This was achieved using water from the

same pilot-scale water heater from which the microcosm pipe coupons were originally derived.

The inoculation water was mixed with the normal influent water to target ~50 MPN/mL

culturable *Lp* in each microcosm.

The influent water preparation protocol was changed throughout the 6-month acclimation

(experimental months 0-6) and 11-month copper dosing (experimental months 6-17) phases of

the experiment in an attempt to establish a replicable response of *Lp* to copper. Modifications

included: 1) passing GAC-filtered water through a ferric oxide filter to decrease phosphate levels

to < 0.05 mg/L followed by filter-sterilizing the water using a 0.22-µm pore size mixed

nitrocellulose ester membrane (Whatman, Maidstone, United Kingdom) during the experimental

months 0-8; 2) dosing GAC-treated influent with phosphate throughout the copper dosing phase

to achieve 5 µg/L as P during experimental months 6-17; 3) no longer sterilizing the influent or

treating it with a ferric oxide filter during experimental months 8-17; and 4) dosing ferric

pyrophosphate (100 µg as Fe) after week 35 of the copper dosing phase to provide bioavailable

iron experimental months 14-17.

164
165   *Microbial Analysis*
166
Effluent collected from the microcosms during bi-weekly water changes was subject to

microbial analysis. TCC was measured on a BD Accuri C6 (BD Bioscience, Franklin Lakes, NJ)

using SYBR Green I dye to stain total (intact + damaged) cells.[20,21,22] Gating used the Eawag

FL1-A (emission filter 533/30) vs FL3-A (emission filter 670 LP) template for drinking water,

with 50 μL of each sample analyzed at medium speed (35 μL/min) and with an acquisition

threshold set to 800.[22] Legiolert™ was used to enumerate culturable *Lp*, subjecting 1.0 mL of

sample bulk water to the nonpotable procedure per the manufacturer's instructions.

174
During regular water changes, ~82 mL of influent or effluent water from each microcosm

was filtered through a 0.22-μm mixed-cellulose ester membrane filter (MilliporeSigma,

Burlington, MA) and the filter was subject to DNA extraction using a FastDNA SPIN kit (MP

Biomedicals Inc., Solon, OH).

Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR) Analysis

DNA extracts were analyzed for opportunistic pathogens using a QX200 ddPCR

instrument (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) (SI Table 1). These assays, targeting the *Lp* specific *mip*

gene and *M. avium* 16S rRNA gene, had previously been optimized for qPCR[23] and were adapted

for ddPCR in this study. Thermal gradients, ranging from 50-60°C, were conducted on each

assay to determine the optimal annealing temperature (SI Table 1) to separate negative and

positive droplets. Each sample was analyzed in technical triplicate for *Lp* and *M. avium.*

Reactions were prepared using a total volume of 22 μL per well, with 20 μL in each well used for

analysis. PCR amplification was carried out on a C100 Touch Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad)

(thermocycling conditions listed in SI Table 1). Each 96-well plate included three no-template

controls (NTCs) using molecular-grade water, as well as three positive controls containing

synthetic gene fragments (gBlocks™, Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA)

corresponding to the targeted gene regions. A sample was classified as positive if a minimum of

three positive droplets were detected in at least two of the three of the technical replicates.[24] Only

data from wells generating > 10,000 accepted droplets were included in the analysis.

16S rRNA Gene Amplicon Sequencing and Data Analysis

To analyze the microbial composition of each microcosm, 16S rRNA gene amplicon

sequencing was carried out on the DNA extracts. Amplicon sequence reads targeted the V4-V5

hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene using the 515f/926r primer set. Samples were

sequenced on a MiSeq V3 600 cycle run, yielding an average of 119,910 reads per sample (SD =

69,156). Reads were imported and subsequent analysis were carried out using DADA2

(v1.18.0)[25] in R (v 4.0.3).[26] Reads were filtered, trimmed, and paired before exact amplicon

sequence variants (ASVs) were generated and chimeras removed. Taxonomy of ASVs was

assigned using RDP Train Set 18.[27] ASVs were rarefied to the minimum sequencing depth of

10,544.[28] Multivariate homogeneity was checked with the betadisper R function (p > 0.05)

before conducting permutational multivariate analysis of variance (PERMANOVA) with Bray-

Curtis distance matrices using the vegan R package (v 2.6.4).[29] Linear mixed-effect models were

created on *M. avium* and *Lp* ddPCR measurements, controlling for the three consecutive

samplings of each microcosm in the final month of the experiment using the lme4 R package (v

1.1-36).[30] ANOVA was run on the linear mixed-effect models to assess the impact of copper

dosage and on the Shannon and Simpson diversity (calculated with vegan) using the R stats

package (v 4.4.2).[26] Tukey's HSD was used as a post-hoc test to ANOVA to assess the impact of

each copper dosage using the multcomp R package (v 1.4-28).[31] Wald's test was used for the

log2 fold change between the 250 µg/L Cu condition microcosms using the DESeq2 R package

(v 1.46.0).[32]A paired t-test controlling for repeated measures was used to assess differences in

TCC before and after copper dosing using the R stats package (v 4.4.2).[26]

Data Availability

The 16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing gathered from the microcosms in this

experiment has been uploaded to the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence

Read Archive database under Accession number PRJNA1229645.

220
221   **RESULTS**
222
223
224   *Total Cell Counts*
225
The effluent mean TCC for all the microcosms during the end of the acclimation phase

(month 6), before copper addition, was 3.18×10^5 cells/mL (SD = 4.16×10^3) (Figure 1, SI Figure

1b). In the short-term after copper dosing began (1-3.5 months post copper dosing), the TCC

indicated growth at 250 µg/L. As the experiment progressed, the three replicates dosed with 250

µg/L copper consistently produced the highest cell counts. Replicates dosed with 2000 µg/L

copper initially experienced a 0.66 log reduction (a 78% decrease from its final pre-dosing

concentration), resulting in the lowest TCCs observed in the study. But by the end of the 11-

month experiment, the mean TCC at 2000 µg/L copper gradually recovered and eventually

surpassed those at doses ≤ 30 µg/L and were not significantly different than at 250 µg/L

(Tukey's HSD p<.05) (Figure 1, SI Table 2). In fact, the TCC steadily increased with time in the

2000 µg/L microcosms, over a time period spanning both before and after the re-inoculation

attempt that occurred 3.5 months into the copper dosing phase (Figure 1, SI Figure 2).

Figure 1. Mean Total Cell Counts (cells/mL) in microcosm bulk water effluent over the course of the experiment. Error bars are the standard deviation of biological triplicate microcosms. Lines connect the data points to guide comparison and are colored by copper concentration. The first measurement (time -0.25) occurred at the end of the acclimation phase, a week before copper dosing began (time = 0 represented by the red dashed vertical line). The black dashed vertical line at ~ 3.5 months represents the point at which all reactors were reinoculated with *Lp*. Time points have a set jitter to avoid overlapping datapoints.

Mycobacterium avium response to copper in the microcosms

When tested over three sequential samplings in the final (11th) month of the experiment, significant differences in *M. avium* gene copies/mL were found in the microcosm bulk water as a function of copper concentration (Linear Mixed-Effect Model ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) (Figure 2). The 250 µg/L condition contained higher concentrations of *M. avium* compared to other copper dosages (0.55 – 0.85 logs higher) (Tukey's HSD, $p < 0.05$, SI Table 3). *M. avium* was not detectable in the influent water using ddPCR, indicating that the increase in the effluent was the result of growth in the microcosms.

259
Figure 2. Mean ddPCR technical triplicate gene copies/mL counts of (A) *M. avium* detected
through ddPCR and (B) *Lp* detected via ddPCR (mean technical triplicate) and Legiolert™
across the fifteen microcosms over three consecutive samplings in the final (11th) month of the
experiment. For (A), the data consists of 5 copper levels × 3 microcosms × 3 sampling events =
45 *M. avium* data points). For (B) the data consists of 5 copper levels × 3 microcosms × 3
sampling events = 45 culturable (MPN/mL) or 45 ddPCR (gc/mL) *Lp* data points. *M. avium* was
detectable in all samples. For *Lp*, points plotted at zero (gc/mL or MPN/mL) indicate non-detects
for ddPCR (estimated ~detection limit of 1.5 gc/mL) and Legiolert™ (detection limit of 1
MPN/mL for the protocol used).

L. pneumophila response to copper in the microcosms
270
Lp response to copper dosing was confirmed both by ddPCR and Legiolert™. On dates

when both Legiolert™ and ddPCR tests were conducted on aliquots of the same sample (n=45),

measurements were strongly correlated ($R^2 = 0.85$) (t-test, $p<0.05$), but the log ddPCR gc/mL

values were typically 0.51 times the log of Legiolert™ MPN/mL (SI Figure 3). *Lp*

concentrations did not significantly differ across the microcosms as a function of copper

condition (Linear Mixed-Effect Model ANOVA, $p > 0.05$), but both Legiolert™ and ddPCR

measurements indicated a consistent ranking of average concentration across microcosms as

follows: 2000 µg/L ≤ 4 µg/L < 30 µg/L < 0 µg/L < 250 µg/L. *Lp* was never detectable in the

influent used for the microcosm water changes using ddPCR or Legiolert[TM], indicating that the

increase in the effluent was the result of growth in the microcosms. It was noted that there was a

much wider variance in *Lp* numbers among replicates than there were for *M. avium* (Figure 2).

This was most evident for microcosms dosed with 250 µg/L copper, for which one microcosm

consistently displayed the highest concentrations of culturable *Lp*, while the second and third

replicates produced non-detectable levels of culturable *Lp* over the majority of the sampling

events (87% and 73% of events) over the course of the copper-dosing phase of the experiment

(Figure 3). *Lp* levels quantified via Legiolert[TM] ranged from 8 MPN/mL to 1,460 MPN/mL for

the first replicate microcosm in the 250 µg/L Cu condition (Figure 3). The stark differences

between these microcosms were maintained even though they had been cross-inoculated ten

times during acclimation to establish the same baseline microbial community prior to copper

dosing, reinoculated ~ 3.5 months into the copper dosing phase, and subject to four adjustments

to the water chemistry.

292
Figure 3. *Lp* MPN/mL, determined via Legiolert™, among the three replicate microcosms
dosed with 250 µg/L copper that displayed divergent behavior over the 11-month experimental
period. The vertical, dashed line at ~3.5 months represents the point at which all microcosms
were reinoculated with *Lp*. Time 0, shown with the red dashed vertical line represents when the
copper dosing began. Points before 0 months represent culturable *Lp* in the microcosms prior to
copper dosing, during the acclimation phase. Culturable *Lp* concentration measured with time for
the microcosms receiving other copper concentrations can be found in SI Figure 4. Legiolert™
detection limit was 1 MPN/mL. Points plotted at an MPN/mL of zero indicate non-detects for
culturable *Lp*.

302
303
304   Consistent with the Legiolert[TM] results, replicates 2 and 3 of the 250 µg/L copper

305 condition consistently yielded undetectable gene copies/mL of *Lp*, while up to 22 gene

306 copies/mL were measured in replicate 1 (Figure 2).

307
308 *16S rRNA gene amplicon Sequencing*
309
16S rRNA gene amplicon sequencing allowed comparison of the microbial community

profiles across the microcosms and influent water (Figure 4). The microbial communities were

significantly different from each other as a function of copper concentration (PERMANOVA, p

< 0.05) (Figure 5). Copper doses of 0, 4, and 30 µg/L produced a similar microbial profile in

terms of the ten most abundant phyla from each replicate microcosm, with a marked shift in

composition in the 250 µg/L and 2,000 µg/L conditions (Figure 4). Of note, the microcosms

dosed with 0, 4, and 30 µg/L copper were mostly comprised of *Proteobacteria*, while the

reactors dosed with 250 µg/L copper contained relatively equal proportions of *Proteobacteria*

and *Gemmatimonadetes.* Microcosms dosed with 2000 µg/L were primarily dominated by

Bacteriodetes and *Proteobacteria* (Figure 4). Within each condition from 0-250 µg/L, the

microbial communities appeared relatively consistent among the three replicates. However,

there was marked variation in the microbial community among the 2,000 µg/L condition

replicates (Figure 5). Copper concentration had a significant effect on Shannon diversity and

Simpson diversity (ANOVA, $p < 0.05$) (Figure 6).

The microbial community profile of the 250 µg/L microcosm that consistently had the

highest level of *Lp* was found to be dominated by the same top ten phyla, but differences in

relative abundance stood out (Figure 4, highlighted in orange boxes). Specifically, this

microcosm was significantly enriched in *Ignavibacteriae* and depleted in *Chlamydiae* and

Bacteroidetes (Wald's test, p<0.05) (Figure 4, highlighted in orange boxes). Beta diversity

analysis, however, did not reveal an obvious difference in the microbial community composition

of this replicate beyond the variation that was seen for replicates of other copper concentrations

(Figure 5).

Figure 4. Relative abundances of each of the top ten most abundant phyla over all ASVs in each replicate microcosm grouped by copper concentration and sampling date. The orange boxes indicate the 250 µg/L copper replicate that consistently displayed the highest concentrations of *Lp*. The influent [collected at the start of month 10 for water changes (n=1)] represents the water used throughout three sequential sampling events during water changes carried out in the final (11th) month of the experiment. 11-A/B/C, represent the first (A), second (B), and third (C) sequential water changes.

342
343 **Figure 5.** Bray-Curtis-based nonmetric multidimensional scaling of taxa from effluent water of
344 microcosms dosed with 0, 4, 30, 250, and 2000 µg/L of copper over an 11-month period (Stress
345 = 0.08836). The data consists of 5 copper levels × 3 microcosms (per copper level) × 3 sampling
346 events = 45 data points.

351
352 **Figure 6.** Shannon and Simpson diversity index of replicate microcosms on the final three
353 sampling dates grouped by copper condition. The data consists of 5 copper levels × 3
354 microcosms (per copper level) × 3 sampling events = 45 data points.
355

Relative abundances of individual classes of bacteria were further compared across

microcosm conditions, revealing some notable differences as a function of copper concentration

(Figure 7). For example, *Proteobacteria* was higher in the 2000 µg/L than in the 250 µg/L

condition, while *Firmicutes* indicated little change across copper dosages (Figure 7).

Gemmatimonadetes were enriched from 0 to 250 µg/L, but dramatically decreased at 2000 µg/L

(Figure 7). *Deinococcus-Thermus* exhibited a slight decrease in relative abundance from 250

µg/L to 2000 µg/L (Figure 7). *Chloroflexi*, *Acidobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* markedly

decreased with increasing copper (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Relative abundance of select phyla across copper dosages in each replicate over the three sequential sampling events carried out in the final (11th) month of the experiment.

DISCUSSION

This study reveals apparent hormetic effects of copper on premise plumbing microbiomes, i.e., acting as a nutrient at low concentrations and as an antimicrobial at higher concentrations. TCCs provided an indicator of the effects on bacterial communities at-large, revealing some surprising patterns over the course of this near year-long experiment. While TCCs initially decreased markedly when the highest dose of 2000 µg/L was commenced, as one would expect, over the course of subsequent months, the microbial community adapted such that TCCs were as high as the 250 µg/L by the end of the experiment. Further, TCC levels in the 2000 µg/L condition had not yet plateaued by the end of the experiment, suggesting that levels could have eventually surpassed those of the 250 µg/L condition.

Interestingly, TCCs initially increased in the 250 µg/L condition and remained elevated throughout the experiment relative to the un-dosed control, suggesting that copper acted largely

as a nutrient at this concentration. Doses of 4 and 30 µg/L Cu were initially associated with a

significant decrease in TCCs (paired t-test, $p < 0.05$), but remained comparable to the control for

the majority of the experiment.

M. avium concentrations, which were only measured in the 11th month, were also highest in

the 250 µg/L condition, but were lowest in the 2000 µg/L condition. This suggests that very high

doses of copper are likely needed to effectively control *M. avium*, and lower doses serve as a

nutrient or selector for the organism. Overall, the findings for TCC and *M. avium* were consistent

with expectations that copper has a dual role of nutrient and antimicrobial.[2] Further, a level of

copper that is antimicrobial in the short-term can behave as a nutrient over a period of months to

years as microbial communities adapt to the copper.[16]

Support for this hypothesis was provided in the microbial community analysis. Even

though TCCs were elevated in the 250 µg/L and 2000 µg/L conditions, microbial diversity was

diminished. As richness and evenness declined, a subset of the remaining species were enriched

and became dominant in the community (Figures 4 and 6). With the significant decrease in

diversity, a few taxa prevailed, making the community vulnerable to stochasticity. This was

apparent in terms of the wide variance in microbial community composition among the 2000

µg/L replicates (Figure 5).

Prior research by Song and colleagues using the same municipal tap water supply also

assessed the effect of dosed copper on the microbial community in a full-scale water system, but

the experimental design differed from the present study in that the dose was incrementally

increased from 0, 50, 100, 300, 600, 1200, to 2000 µg/L, while maintaining each concentration

for only periods of 4 to 16 weeks.[9] Overall Phyla-level trends in *Proteobacteria*, *Deinococcus-*

Thermus, *Chloroflexi*, *Acidobacteria*, and *Actinobacteria* were similar to those observed in this

work. However, Song and colleagues also observed enrichment over 6 weeks at 1200 µg/L in

Firmicutes, and enrichment of *Gemmatimonadetes* up through 2000 µg/L. The differences in

Firmicutes and *Gemmatimonadetes* behavior when comparing the results of this study could

potentially be attributed to differences in duration of copper dosing, as Song and colleagues

maintained the 2000 µg/L copper dose for 12 weeks,[9] whereas the microcosms in this study were

acclimated at this dose for 11 months.

At 250 µg/L, stochasticity was observed in the concentrations of culturable *Lp*,

measurements of which maintained relatively consistent among all replicates at lower copper

doses (0, 4, and 30 µg/L total Cu), while almost always being undetectable at 2000 µg/L (SI

Figure 4). In a prior study investigating the effect of copper pipe in warm premise plumbing

systems, *Lp* numbers were initially lowest in the biofilms on copper pipes, but eventually

increased to the same concentrations as PEX and stainless-steel pipes after two years.[33] In such

studies, it is important to note that copper pipe aging leads to decreasing release of copper into

solution, and thus it is difficult to know if the observed increase in *Lp* was due to lower levels of

copper or microbial adaptation.

A synthesis of our results over the last decade using Blacksburg tap water reveals two

general responses of experimental plumbing systems with respect to *Lp* inoculation. As one

would expect, there are many circumstances in which the system response to inoculated *Lp* is

deterministic, in which replicate microcosms behave as true replicates. But here, we report a

situation in which stochastic behavior clearly occurred, because in some replicate microcosms *Lp*

consistently died off and in other reactors it thrived. With benefit of hindsight, we now recognize

similar stochastic behavior for *Lp* amongst replicate microcosms during at least two prior

experiments in our lab.[34,35] We were reluctant to accept the conclusion that these systems were

stochastic, preferring to believe that there were subtle uncontrolled differences between

replicates, but we consider the evidence gathered herein to be conclusive. This study

demonstrates that even in the most simplistic simulation of premise plumbing, i.e., a glass

microcosm containing pipe sections, that there are complexities at play that can determine if

effluent *Lp* is extremely high or very low.

Interestingly, the replicate microcosms described herein were deterministic and replicable

for measured dimensions of cell counts, mycobacteria and community analysis, even as they

were stochastic for *Lp*. We speculate that the sensitive predator, prey and parasitic relationships

that drive the *Lp* life cycle make it particularly vulnerable to random events that can dictate the

trajectory of its ecology in the premise plumbing environment. In a companion paper we report

a discovery that *Neochlamydia* was consistently abundant in the replicate microcosms where *Lp*

failed to establish.[36] Previous research in pure culture settings has shown that amoeba carrying

Neochlamydia are resistant to *L. pneumophila* infection,[37] potentially explaining the observed

stochastic behavior. If such stochastic behavior for *Lp* is commonplace, as it is in certain other

areas of microbial ecology,[38,39] this discovery has profound implications for experimental design

and the interpretation of past data from laboratory and field sampling.[40] Overall, the findings of

this study reveal both hormesis and stochasticity in microbial ecological succession as key

mechanisms underlying the observed non-linear response of *Lp* to copper as a disinfectant in

premise plumbing.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Information regarding ddPCR assays, statistical analysis, initial *Lp* and TCC of the microcosm

groups at the end of the acclimation phase, additional TCC information for the 2000 µg/L Cu

condition, a comparison between Legiolert™ and ddPCR *Lp* measurements, and the culturable

Lp for all the copper conditions throughout the study, can be found in the Supporting Information

document (PDF).

AUTHOR INFORMATION
Corresponding Author
Fernando A. Roman Jr − Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech,
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-6108-7937; Email:
froman@vt.edu

Authors
Rania E. Smeltz − Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech,
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; Department of Microbiology, University of Alabama
at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama 35294, United States; https://orcid.org/0009-0007-1939-
7289

Thomas Bryne - Department of Genetics, Bioinformatics, and Computational Biology, Virginia
Tech, Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; orcid.org/0009-0004-4232-9784

Rachel Finkelstein - Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech,
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; AECOM, 3101 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington,
Virginia 22201, United States;

Yang Song - Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg,
Virginia 24061, United States; Utilities Department, Town of Cary, 316 N. Academy St., Cary,
North Carolina 27512, United States https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3040-0414

Amy Pruden − Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg,
Virginia 24061, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-3191-6244

Marc A. Edwards − Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Virginia Tech,
Blacksburg, Virginia 24061, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1889-1193

Author Contributions

R.E.S, F.A.R., T.B., and R.F. are designated as co-first authors and contributed equally to this work.

NOTES

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was primarily funded using Dr. Edwards' and Dr. Pruden's discretionary funding. In addition, this work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation Award 2125798. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. LeChevallier, M. Examining the Efficacy of Copper-Silver Ionization for Management of Legionella: Recommendations for Optimal Use. *AWWA Water Science* **2023**, *5* (2). DOI:10.1002/aws2.1327.

2. Cullom, A. C.; Martin, R. L.; Song, Y.; Williams, K.; Williams, A.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Critical Review: Propensity of Premise Plumbing Pipe Materials to Enhance or Diminish Growth of Legionella and Other Opportunistic Pathogens. Pathogens **2020**, 9 (11), 957. DOI:10.3390/pathogens9110957.

3. Zhang, Y. Nitrification in Premise Plumbing and Its Effect on Corrosion and Water Quality Degradation; Ph.D. Dissertation, Virginia Tech, **2008**. https://vtechworks.lib.vt.edu/items/d57ec849-d055-4852-b34e-744a636f46ce (accessed 2025-08-01).

4. Bargellini, A.; Marchesi, I.; Righi, E.; Ferrari, A.; Cencetti, S.; Borella, P.; Rovesti, S. Parameters Predictive of Legionella Contamination in Hot Water Systems: Association with Trace Elements and Heterotrophic Plate Counts. Water Research **2011**, 45 (6), 2315–2321. DOI:10.1016/j.watres.2011.01.009.

5. Liu, Z.; Stout, J. E.; Tedesco, L.; Boldin, M.; Hwang, C.; Diven, W. F.; Yu, V. L. Controlled Evaluation of Copper-Silver Ionization in Eradicating Legionella Pneumophila from a Hospital Water Distribution System. Journal of Infectious Diseases **1994**, 169 (4), 919–922. DOI:10.1093/infdis/169.4.919.

6. Miuetzner, S.; Schwille, R. C.; Farley, A.; Wald, E. R.; Ge, J. H.; States, S. J.; Libert, T.; Wadowsky, R. M. Efficacy of Thermal Treatment and Copper-Silver Ionization for Controlling Legionella Pneumophila in High-Volume Hot Water Plumbing Systems in Hospitals. American Journal of Infection Control **1997**, 25 (6), 452–457. DOI:10.1016/s0196-6553(97)90066-3.

535 **7.** Mathys, W.; Stanke, J.; Harmuth, M.; Junge-Mathys, E. Occurrence of Legionella in Hot
536 Water Systems of Single-Family Residences in Suburbs of Two German Cities with
537 Special Reference to Solar and District Heating. International Journal of Hygiene and
538 Environmental Health **2008**, 211 (1–2), 179–185. DOI:10.1016/j.ijheh.2007.02.004.
539

540 **8.** Mathys, W.; Palma Hohmann, C.; Junge-Mathys, E. Efficacy of Copper-Silver Ionization
541 in Controlling Legionella in a Hospital Hot Water Distribution System: A German
542 Experience. Wiley Online Library **2001**. DOI:10.1128/9781555817985.ch84.
543

544 **9.** Song, Y.; Finkelstein, R.; Rhoads, W.; Edwards, M. A.; Pruden, A. Shotgun Metagenomics
545 Reveals Impacts of Copper and Water Heater Anodes on Pathogens and Microbiomes in
546 Hot Water Plumbing Systems. Environmental Science & Technology **2023**, 57 (36),
547 13612–13624. DOI:10.1021/acs.est.3c03568.
548

549 **10.** Lin, Y. E.; Vidic, R. D.; Stout, J. E.; McCartney, C. A.; Yu, V. L. Inactivation of
550 Mycobacterium Avium by Copper and Silver Ions. Water Research **1998**, 32 (7), 1997–
551 2000. DOI:10.1016/s0043-1354(97)00460-0.
552

553 **11.** Kusnetsov, J.; Iivanainen, E.; Elomaa, N.; Zacheus, O.; Martikainen, P. J. Copper and
554 Silver Ions More Effective against Legionellae than against Mycobacteria in a Hospital
555 Warm Water System. Water Research **2001**, 35 (17), 4217–4225. DOI:10.1016/s0043-
556 1354(01)00124-5.
557

558 **12.** Donohue, M. J.; Vesper, S.; Mistry, J.; Donohue, J. M. Impact of Chlorine and
559 Chloramine on the Detection and Quantification of Legionella Pneumophila and
560 Mycobacterium Species. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **2019**, 85 (24).
561 DOI:10.1128/aem.01942-19.
562

563 **13.** Song, Y.; Pruden, A.; Rhoads, W. J.; Edwards, M. A. Pilot-Scale Assessment Reveals
564 Effects of Anode Type and Orthophosphate in Governing Antimicrobial Capacity of
565 Copper for Legionella Pneumophila Control. Water Research **2023**, 242, 120178.
566 DOI:10.1016/j.watres.2023.120178.
567

568 **14.** Rhoads, W. J.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Interactive Effects of Corrosion, Copper, and
569 Chloramines on Legionella and Mycobacteria in Hot Water Plumbing. Environmental
570 Science & Technology **2017**, 51 (12), 7065–7075. DOI:10.1021/acs.est.6b05616.
571

572 **15.** Tolofari, D. L.; Bartrand, T.; Masters, S. V.; Duarte Batista, M.; Haas, C. N.; Olson, M.;
573 Gurian, P. L. Influence of Hot Water Temperature and Use Patterns on Microbial Water
574 Quality in Building Plumbing Systems. Environmental Engineering Science **2022**, 39 (4),
575 309–319. DOI:10.1089/ees.2021.0272.
576

577 **16.** Bédard, E.; Trigui, H.; Liang, J.; Doberva, M.; Paranjape, K.; Lalancette, C.; Allegra, S.;
578 Faucher, S. P.; Prévost, M. Local Adaptation of Legionella Pneumophila within a

Hospital Hot Water System Increases Tolerance to Copper. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **2021**, 87 (10). DOI:10.1128/aem.00242-21.

17. Cazals, M.; Bédard, E.; Faucher, S. P.; Prévost, M. Factors Affecting the Dynamics of Legionella Pneumophila, Nontuberculous Mycobacteria, and Their Host Vermamoeba Vermiformis in Premise Plumbing. ACS ES&T Water **2023**, 3 (12), 3874–3883. DOI:10.1021/acsestwater.3c00288.

18. Martin, R. L.; Strom, O.; Song, Y.; Mena-Aguilar, D.; Rhoads, W. J.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Copper Pipe, Lack of Corrosion Control, and Very Low pH May Have Influenced the Trajectory of the Flint Legionnaires' Disease Outbreak. ACS ES&T Water **2022**, 2 (8), 1440–1450. DOI:10.1021/acsestwater.2c00182.

19. Rhoads, W. J.; Keane, T.; Spencer, M. S.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Did Municipal Water Distribution System Deficiencies Contribute to a Legionnaires' Disease Outbreak in Quincy, IL? Environmental Science & Technology Letters **2020**, 7 (12), 896–902. DOI:10.1021/acs.estlett.0c00637.

20. Cazals, M.; Bédard, E.; Doberva, M.; Faucher, S.; Prévost, M. Compromised Effectiveness of Thermal Inactivation of Legionella Pneumophila in Water Heater Sediments and Water, and Influence of the Presence of Vermamoeba Vermiformis. Microorganisms **2022**, 10 (2), 443. DOI:10.3390/microorganisms10020443.

21. Nescerecka, A.; Hammes, F.; Juhna, T. A Pipeline for Developing and Testing Staining Protocols for Flow Cytometry, Demonstrated with SYBR Green I and Propidium Iodide Viability Staining. Journal of Microbiological Methods **2016**, 131, 172–180. DOI:10.1016/j.mimet.2016.10.022.

22. Singh, A.; Yelverton, C. J.; Barnard, T. G. Rapid Quantification of the Total Viable Bacterial Population on Human Hands Using Flow Cytometry with SYBR® Green I. Cytometry Part B: Clinical Cytometry **2019**, 96 (5), 397–403. DOI:10.1002/cyto.b.21776.

23. Wang, H.; Edwards, M.; Falkinham, J. O.; Pruden, A. Molecular Survey of the Occurrence of Legionella Spp., Mycobacterium Spp., Pseudomonas Aeruginosa, and Amoeba Hosts in Two Chloraminated Drinking Water Distribution Systems. Applied and Environmental Microbiology **2012**, 78 (17). DOI:10.1128/aem.01492-12.

24. Rački, N.; Morisset, D.; Gutierrez-Aguirre, I.; Ravnikar, M. One-Step RT-Droplet Digital PCR: A Breakthrough in the Quantification of Waterborne RNA Viruses. Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry **2013**, 406 (3), 661–667. DOI:10.1007/s00216-013-7476-y.

25. Callahan, B. J.; McMurdie, P. J.; Rosen, M. J.; Han, A. W.; Johnson, A. J.; Holmes, S. P. DADA2: High-Resolution Sample Inference from Illumina Amplicon Data. *Nature Methods* **2016**, *13* (7), 581–583. DOI:10.1038/nmeth.3869.

26. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing; R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria, **2021**. https://www.R-project.org/. (accessed 2025-03-10).

27. Callahan, B. RDP Taxonomic Training Data Formatted for DADA2 (RDP Trainset 18/Release 11.5). Zenodo (CERN European Organization for Nuclear Research) **2020**. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.4310151.

28. Schloss, P. D. Rarefaction Is Currently the Best Approach to Control for Uneven Sequencing Effort in Amplicon Sequence Analyses. mSphere **2024**, 9 (2). DOI:10.1128/msphere.00354-23.

29. Oksanen, J.; Guillaume Blanchet, F.; Friendly, M.; Kindt, R.; Legendre, P.; McGlinn, D.; Minchin, P. R.; O'Hara, R. B.; Simpson, G. L.; Solymos, P.; Stevens, M. H. H.; Szoecs, E.; Wagner, H. vegan: Community Ecology Package; R package version 2.6-4, **2022**. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=vegan (accessed 2025-03-01).

30. Bates, D.; Maechler, M.; Bolker, B.; Walker, S. Fitting Linear Mixed-Effects Models Using lme4. J. Stat. Softw. 2015, 67 (1), 1–48. DOI: 10.18637/jss.v067.i01

31. Hothorn, T.; Bretz, F.; Westfall, P. Simultaneous Inference in General Parametric Models. Biometrical J. 2008, 50 (3), 346–363. DOI: 10.1002/bimj.200810425.

32. Love, M. I.; Huber, W.; Anders, S. Moderated Estimation of Fold Change and Dispersion for RNA-Seq Data with DESeq2. Genome Biol. 2014, 15 (12), 550. DOI: 10.1186/s13059-014-0550-8

33. van der Kooij, D.; Veenendaal, H. R.; Scheffer, W. J. H. Biofilm Formation and Multiplication of Legionella in a Model Warm Water System with Pipes of Copper, Stainless Steel and Cross-Linked Polyethylene. Water Research **2005**, 39 (13), 2789–2798. DOI:10.1016/j.watres.2005.04.075.

34. Edwards, M.; Pruden, A.; Falkinham, J. O., III; Brazeau, R.; Williams, K.; Wang, H.; Martin, A.; Rhoads, W. Relationship Between Biodegradable Organic Matter and Pathogen Concentrations in Premise Plumbing. The Water Research Foundation, **2013**. https://www.waterrf.org/research/projects/relationship-between-biodegradable-organic-matter-and-pathogen-concentrations

35. Martin, R. L.; Harrison, K.; Proctor, C. R.; Martin, A.; Williams, K.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Chlorine Disinfection of Legionella Spp., L. Pneumophila, and Acanthamoeba under Warm Water Premise Plumbing Conditions. Microorganisms **2020**, 8 (9), 1452. DOI:10.3390/microorganisms8091452.

36. Roman, F. A., Jr.; Byrne, T.; Martin, R. L.; Mena-Aguilar, D.; Smeltz, R. E.; Finkelstein, R.; Pruden, A.; Edwards, M. A. Retrospective Analysis of Drinking Water Microcosm Microbiomes Reveals an Apparent Antagonistic Relationship between *Neochlamydia* and

Legionella. Environmental Science & Technology Letters. 2025, DOI: 10.1021/acs.estlett.5c00590

37. Ishida, K.; Sekizuka, T.; Hayashida, K.; Matsuo, J.; Takeuchi, F.; Kuroda, M.; Nakamura, S.; Yamazaki, T.; Yoshida, M.; Takahashi, K.; Nagai, H.; Sugimoto, C.; Yamaguchi, H. Amoebal Endosymbiont Neochlamydia Genome Sequence Illuminates the Bacterial Role in the Defense of the Host Amoebae against Legionella Pneumophila. PLOS ONE 2014. DOI:10.1371/journal.pone.0095166.

38. Goss, P. J.; Peccoud, J. Quantitative Modeling of Stochastic Systems in Molecular Biology by Using Stochastic Petri Nets. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 1998, 95 (12), 6750–6755. DOI:10.1073/pnas.95.12.6750.

39. De Vrieze, J.; De Mulder, T.; Matassa, S.; Zhou, J.; Angenent, L. T.; Boon, N.; Verstraete, W. Stochasticity in Microbiology: Managing Unpredictability to Reach the Sustainable Development Goals. Microbial Biotechnology **2020**, 13 (4), 829–843. DOI:10.1111/1751-7915.13575.

40. Zhou, J.; Ning, D. Stochastic Community Assembly: Does It Matter in Microbial Ecology? Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews 2017, 81 (4). DOI:10.1128/mmbr.00002-17.