Grapevine holobiome metatranscriptomics provides a glimpse into the wood mycovirome

Humberto Debat[1,2], Marcos Paolinelli[3], Georgina Escoriaza[3], Sandra Garcia-Lampasona[4], Sebastián

Gomez-Talquenca[3], Nicolás Bejerman[1,2]

[1] Instituto de Patología Vegetal – Centro de Investigaciones Agropecuarias – Instituto Nacional de

Tecnología Agropecuaria (IPAVE-CIAP-INTA), Camino 60 Cuadras Km 5,5 (X5020ICA), Córdoba,

Argentina

[2] Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas. Unidad de Fitopatología y Modelización

Agrícola, Camino 60 Cuadras Km 5,5 (X5020ICA), Córdoba, Argentina

[3] Estación Experimental Agropecuaria de Mendoza, Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria

(INTA), Luján de Cuyo, Mendoza, Argentina

[4] Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Instituto de Biología Agrícola de Mendoza (IBAM), CONICET-

Universidad Nacional de Cuyo, Chacras de Coria, Mendoza, Argentina

Corresponding authors: Debat Humberto, debat.humberto@inta.gob.ar; Nicolás Bejerman,

bejerman.nicolas@inta.gob.ar

Abstract

Given the agronomic and economic importance of viticulture, grapevine has been shown to host the

largest number of viruses among plants to date. Nevertheless, studies assessing the grapevine-associated

holobiont remain scarce. In this context, the viral component of this ecological niche is understudied. In

this work, through metatranscriptomics of wood samples from individual grapevines that were either

healthy or exhibited symptoms of grapevine trunk disease from Argentina, we provide a glimpse into the

wood linked virome. Virus discovery from high-throughput sequencing data resulted in the identification

and reconstruction of 123 novel virus sequences. Genetic and phylogenetic insights suggest that these

sequences correspond to 78 novel virus species. Structural and functional annotation of the viruses

showed a great diversity of genomic organizations, with the presence of dsRNA, ssRNA(-) and ssRNA(+)

viruses belonging to more than 15 virus families. A significant number of viruses (66%) were linked to

the recently accepted families *Botourmiaviridae, Narnaviridae* and *Mitoviridae*. Some highly divergent

viruses resembling narnaviruses, ophioviruses, deltaflexiviruses and bunyaviruses could be

accommodated within new genera or even new virus families. The differential detection and variable

RNA levels across samples suggest complex dynamics and prevalence patterns of those novel viruses.

The viral profile described here provides a first insight into the multifaceted South American grapevine

wood holobiont mycovirome.

Keywords: grapevine; mycovirome; holobiont; metatranscriptomics; virus diversity; viral taxonomy

1. Introduction

Grapevine trunk diseases caused by trunk pathogens are becoming one of the main constraints on viticulture (Fontaine et al., 2016). These diseases are complex and often involve the infection of multiple fungal pathogens (Nerva et al., 2019A). Grapevine is gaining increasing significance on a global scale, prompting extensive exploration of its genetic variability and complexity (Grassi and de Lorenzis, 2021). A deeper understanding of this biodiversity emerges when viewing grapevine as a holobiont, an ecological unit comprising closely associated distinct species (Bettenfeld et al., 2022). This additional layer of biodiversity introduces a novel perspective on the etiology of diseases caused by microorganisms: the pathobiome, which encapsulates not only the pathogenic agent responsible for the disease but also other interacting microorganisms (Bass et al., 2019; Vayssier-Taussat et al., 2014), with grapevine trunk diseases serving as a clear example of this concept. The holobiome concept holds significance not only for providing a theoretical framework for pathobiome systems but also for emphasizing the role of symbionts in contributing to the phenotypic characteristics to an organism (Theis et al., 2016). Viruses, for instance, are often considered part of their host's "enhanced genome" due to the diverse features they may confer (Bass et al., 2019).

Mycoviruses are viruses that can infect and replicate within fungi (Kondo et al., 2022) and have been found in a wide range of fungi (Chiapello et al., 2020; Garcia-Pedrajas et al., 2019; Ghabrial et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2025; Xie and Jiang, 2024; Lu et al., 2024). Most of them are cryptic, causing negligible detectable symptoms in the host (Jia et al., 2021). Despite their cryptic properties, viruses can potentially offer benefits beyond their asymptomatic nature. Certain pathogenic (acute) viruses exhibit intriguing biotechnological potential, particularly when their hosts are pests or pathogens. Mycoviruses, for instance, can be valuable in mitigating diseases caused by the phytopathogenic fungi they infect (Garcia-Pedrajas et al., 2019; Villain Larios et al., 2023; Xie and Jiang, 2024).

Numerous mycoviruses have been identified and characterized in the obligate biotrophic oomycete *Plasmopara viticola*, which colonizes grapevine (Chiapello et al., 2020), as well as in fungal pathogens of grapevine, such as *Neofusicoccum parvum* (Marais et al., 2022), *Botryosphaeriaceae* (Comont et al., 2024) and *Botrytis cinerea* (Ruiz-Padilla et al., 2021). Additionally, some mycoviruses have been found in endophytes within vine wood tissues (Nerva et al., 2019B). These findings illustrate the richness and diversity of the mycovirome in microorganisms associated with grapevine.

Here, through metatranscriptomics of wood samples from individual grapevines that were either healthy or exhibited symptoms of grapevine trunk disease in Argentina, we provide a glimpse into the wood mycovirome, expanding the knowledge of mycoviruses linked to grapevine fungal-associated diseases. We identified 78 new species, with the goal of enlightening the complexity of this pathosystem, favoring the integration of this information to foster the development of biotechnological platforms oriented to novel approaches for the biocontrol of this disease.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Sample collection

Samples were collected as described by Paolinelli et al., (2022). Briefly, in Luján de Cuyo, Argentina, at

the Estación Experimental Agropecuaria Mendoza INTA (−33.005445, −68.864788), a vineyard

housing ungrafted *Vitis vinifera* cv. Malbec plants, aged 23 years and trained in a vertical trellis system,

underwent sampling at the onset of winter (09/11/2017). The plants belonged to clone 2 of the Malbec

cultivar based on a previously characterized germplasm collection. Six plants were selected for analysis:

three symptomatic for Hoja de malvón (HDM) disease (m11, m16, and m26) and three asymptomatic

plants (c22, c23, and c25). These plants were uprooted and promptly transported to the laboratory, where

they underwent surface sterilization with 70% ethanol. Subsequently, transversal cuts were made at the

base and upper section of the trunk, as well as at both branch extremities. Woodchips obtained from bark,

sapwood, and heartwood were collected and stored in sterile plastic bags and immediately frozen at −80

°C for later RNA extraction. Each plant sample comprised six subsamples, with the saw being sterilized

using 70% ethanol (v/v) and 3% hydrogen peroxide (v/v) between each sampling event.

2.2. RNA extraction and Next generation sequencing

RNA was extracted and sequenced as described by Paolinelli et al., (2022). Briefly, the woodchip samples

stored at −80 °C were subjected to pulverization using liquid nitrogen in a mortar. Approximately 400

mg of the resulting pulverized plant tissues were employed for RNA extraction within 15-mL centrifuge

tubes. The plant tissue underwent incubation with cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) extraction

buffer at 65 °C for 10 minutes. Subsequently, a chloroform-isopropyl alcohol mixture (24:1) was added,

and the aqueous phase was collected post-centrifugation. Afterward, a precipitation step was carried out

employing 3 M sodium acetate (pH = 8). RNA was precipitated with 10 M lithium chloride, followed

by two washing steps with 75% ethanol. To eliminate any DNA contamination, all obtained RNA

underwent treatment with RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega®, USA), and RNA was cleaned using the

R1015 Zymo® kit as per the manufacturer's instructions. Total RNA quantification was carried out using

a Thermo Fisher® Nanodrop 2000, with quality assessment performed on a 1.5% agarose gel. Library

preparation was performed using a TruSeq® Stranded Total RNA library preparation kit with Ribo-

Zero™, and subsequent sequencing was conducted on an Illumina HiSeq4000® platform with 2 × 100

bp reads by Macrogen, Inc. (South Korea).

2.3 Identification of viruses

The trimming of TruSeq3-PE-2 adapters and the removal of reads with a Phred quality score below 30

was carried out using Trimmomatic v0.38 (Bolger et al., 2014), with the following parameters: "TruSeq3-

PE-2.fa:2:30:10 SLIDINGWINDOW:4:15 LEADING:5 TRAILING:5 MINLEN:25". Athough the

libraries were constructed using Plant Ribo-Zero, remaining plant rRNA reads were identified through

SortMeRNA v2.0 (Kopylova et al., 2012). To eliminate reads originating from the transcription of plastid

and mitochondrial genomes, organelle sequences from the RefSeq database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/organelle/), as well as DNA and RNA databases from plastids

(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/release/plastid/) and mitochondria

(ftp://ftp.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/refseq/release/mitochondrion/), were downloaded and used as a reference in

Bowtie2 v2.3.4.3 (Langmed and Salzberg, 2012) mapping. The resultant filtered reads were then mapped

onto the *Vitis vinifera* Pinot Noir genome (Jaillon et al., 2007) using STAR v2.6.1 (Dobin and Gingeras,

2015). Unmapped grapevine reads were extracted and *de novo* assembled using SPAdes version 3.11.1

(Bankevich et al., 2012). The assembled contigs were compared against the non-redundant protein

database using the DIAMOND BLASTX program (version 0.9.10) with an E-value cutoff of 1×10^{-5}

(Buchfink et al., 2015). Virus-like contigs were subsequently polished by re-mapping the filtered reads

using Bowtie 2. The refined nucleotide viral sequences were imported into ORFinder, as implemented in

https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/orffinder/, with a minimal 150 nt ORF length, and genetic code 4

parameters. Conserved domains of the predicted translated products were searched using the NCBI

Conserved Domain Database v3.18 tool (CDD; www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Structure/cdd/wrpsb.cgi).

2.4 Phylogenetic analysis

Multiple sequence alignments of RdRp sequences were carried out with the optimized automatic

adjustment using MAFTT version 7 (Katoh et al., 2013) available

at http://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/ (iterative refinement methods: L-INS-i strategy). The maximum-

likelihood phylogenetic trees were then constructed using the MEGA11 (Tamura et al., 2021) with the

WAG+G+I model.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Metatranscriptomic identification of mycoviruses from grapevine wood samples

Metatransciptomics analysis has greatly expanded our knowledge of the virosphere and has led to a

dramatic increase in the number of mycoviruses discovered (Kotta-Loizou and Coutts 2017; Nerva et al.

2019; Chiapello et al. 2020; Nerva et al., 2019; Ruiz Padilla et al., 2021; Forgia et al., 2024; Lu et al.,

2024; Wu et al., 2025; Liu et al., 2023A; Botella et al., 2022; Urzo et al., 2024; Marzano et al., 201). In

our research, we analyzed six libraries from wood samples of individual grapevine plants, either healthy

or showing symptoms of grapevine trunk disease from Argentina, which provides a glimpse of the wood

virome. Virus discovery from the high-throughput sequencing data resulted in the assembly of 123

contigs, representing partial or coding-complete genome segments, which were assigned to 78 putative

novel mycoviruses (Table 1). Most of the mycoviruses identified to date have RNA genomes, including

positive single-stranded (+ss) RNA, negative ss (−ss) RNA, and double-stranded (ds) RNA (dsRNA)

(Ayllon and Vainio, 2023; Kondo et al., 2022) and they have been classified into more than 23 families,

although many remain unclassified (Hough et al., 2023). Structural and functional annotations of the 78

viruses identified in our study showed a great diversity of genomic organizations, with 65 putative

mycoviruses harboring ssRNA (+) genomes, four containing dsRNA genomes, and the remaining nine

having ssRNA (-) genomes (Table 1). The viruses discovered were assigned to more than 15 virus

families, while some remain unclassified. Botourmia, narna-like, and mitoviruses were by far the most

prevalent viruses identified (52/78), supporting other fungal virome studies that have identified

botourmiaviruses, mitoviruses and narna-like viruses as the most abundant ones (Chiapello et al., 2020;

Liu et al., 2023A; Jia et al., 2021; Jo et al., 2022; Ruiz-Padilla et al., 2021). Based on evolutionary

clustering, some highly divergent viruses could be accommodated into new genera or even new virus

families.

3.2 Molecular and phylogenetic characterization of the new identified viruses

3.2A. Positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses

Ambiguiviruses

Three contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the proposed family "Ambiguiviridae",

which is a family of capsidless viruses with positive-sense ssRNA genomes that contains two ORFs in the

same reading frame (Gilbert et al 2019). The three identified ambiguiviruses were named grapevine wood

holobiome associated ambiguivirus 1 (GWHaAV1), grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus

2 (GWHaAV2), and grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 3 (GWHaAV3). The coding-

complete sequence of these viruses was obtained and deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers

PQ474301, PQ474302, and PQ590144, respectively.

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaAV1 is 3214 nucleotides (nt) in length, while GWHaAV2 is

composed of 3201 nt and GWHaAV3 sequence is 4096 (Table 1). All three viruses contain two open

reading frames (ORF1 and ORF2) (Fig. 1A), which are in the same reading frame, thus they are likely

expressed as a fusion protein by the readthrough of the UAG stop codon. ORF1 of GWHaAV1,

GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3 encodes a hypothetical protein (HP) of 247 amino acids (aa), 234 aa, and

320 aa, respectively. Notably, GWHaAV2 HP contains a highly conserved arginine-rich motif (RxRRR),

which, in the tombusviruses, is critical for efficient RNA binding (Jia et al., 2023). BLASTp search

against the nr protein database revealed that the best matches for the HP of GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2, and

GWHaAV3 were proteins coded by rice tombus-like virus 3 (coverage, 74%; E-value, 6e-30; identity,

44.27%), Erysiphe necator associated ambiguivirus 2 (coverage, 70%; E-value, 8e-26; identity, 40.61%),

and soybean leaf associated ssRNA virus 1 (coverage, 61%; E-value, 4e-33; identity, 42.08%),

respectively. ORF2 of GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3 encodes an RNA-dependent RNA

polymerase (RdRp) RdRp of 498, 481 aa, and 526 aa, respectively, sharing less than 50% identity

between them. The RdRp sequences exhibit similarity to those of members of the proposed

"*Ambiguiviridae*" family; whose name reflects the unusual GDN triad within the RdRp motif and the

uncertainty regarding the host of Diaporthe RNA Virus, the first virus described in this group (Gilbert et

al., 2019). The seven core RdRp motifs present in viral RdRps (Jia et al., 2023) were also identified in the

GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3 RdRp´s. Like other reported ambiguiviruses (Gilbert et al.,

2019; Zhong et al., 2022; Jia et al., 2023; Buivydaite et al., 2024), a GDN tripeptide was identified in

motif C of GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2, and GWHaAV3, replacing the highly conserved GDD motif

generally found in (+) ssRNA viruses. It has been demonstrated that mutating GDD to GDN reduces the

catalytic activity of RdRp in (+) ssRNA viruses (Vasquez et al., 2000). A BLASTp search of the nr

protein database showed that the closest matches for the RdRp of GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and

GWHaAV3 were the RdRp of Alternaria dianthicola umbra-like virus 1 (coverage, 99%; E-value, 5e-173;

identity, 55.82%, and coverage, 97%; E-value, 4e-162; identity, 54.97%, respectively) and grapevine

associated tombus-like virus 4 (coverage, 3%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 54.77%).

A fusion protein is expressed by GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3 ORF´s 1 and 2. Similar to

most of the ambiguiviruses reported so far (Gilbert et al., 2019; Jia et al., 2023; Buivydaite et al., 2024),

the translation termination codon of GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3 is a UAG (amber). A

similar P1-P2 readthrough protein is also encoded by members of the plant virus family *Tombusviridae*,

which is closely related to the proposed "*Ambiguivirdae*" family, which is composed of fungi-associated

members. Nevertheless, unlike the tombusviruses, ambiguiviruses do not encode a movement protein
(MP) or a capsid protein (CP). It has been hypothesized that, considering the close relationship between
plants and fungi and the host adaptation of plant-infecting viruses, ambiguiviruses and tombusviruses
may share a common ancestral origin but diverged during adaptation to their respective hosts (Jia et al.,
2023).

A phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and
GWHaAV3 within distinct clusters of ambiguiviruses (Fig. 2B). GWHaAV1 formed a monophyletic
clade clustering with other proposed ambiguiviruses, while GWHaAV2 clustered separately with
Erysiphe necator associated ambiguivirus 2 (Fig. 2B), and GWHaAV3 clustered with rice tombus-like
virus 2 (Fig. 2B). Thus, based on their RdRp identity values, GWHaAV1, GWHaAV2 and GWHaAV3
represent three novel members of the proposed "*Ambiguiviridae*" family. GWHaAV1and GWHaAV2
should be classified into one genus, while GWHaAV3 belongs to a separate genus, both of which require
formal taxonomic designation. Moreover, the demarcation criteria based on RdRp protein identity still
need to be established to define species boundaries within each proposed genus of the proposed set to
accommodate species between each proposed genus within the "*Ambiguivirdae*" family.

Botourmiaviruses

Twenty-four contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Botourmiaviridae*, a
family of positive-sense ssRNA viruses that infect plants and fungi. Plant-associated members have a
tripartite genome, while fungi-associated botourmiaviruses are non-encapsidated, with a monopartite and
monocistronic genome (Ayllon et al., 2020). The *Botourmiaviridae* family comprises twelve genera, of
which one (*Ourmiavirus*) consists of plant-associated members, while the other eleven contain fungi-
associated members (Ayllon et al., 2020; https://ictv.global/taxonomy). Among fungi-associated
boutormiavirids, genome length ranges from 2100 to 5185 nt, and all contain a single ORF encoding an
RdRp (Wang et al., 2022). The identified botourmiaviruses were named grapevine wood holobiome-
associated ourmia-like virus 1-24 (GWHaOLV1-24). The full-length sequence of fourteen of these
twenty-four viruses were assembled and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PQ773447,
PQ773448, PQ773449¸ PQ773450, PQ773451, PQ773452, PQ773455, PQ773457, PQ773458,
PQ773460, PQ773466, PQ773467, PQ773469 and PQ773470 (Table 1). The remaining ten viruses
GWHaOLV7, GWHaOLV8, GWHaOLV10, GWHaOLV13, GWHaOLV15, GWHaOLV16,
GWHaOLV17, GWHaOLV18, GWHaOLV19, and GWHaOLV22 were partially assembled and
deposited under accession numbers PQ773453, PQ773454, PQ773456, PQ773459, PQ773461,
PQ773462, PQ773463, PQ773464, PQ773465 and PQ773468 (Table 1). Among the fourteen viruses
where the full-length coding region was assembled, genome size ranged between 2351 nt and 3011 nt
(Table 1). Like all fungi-associated botourmiaviruses, all twenty-four viruses contain a single ORF
encoding a putative RdRp (Fig. 2A). BLASTp searches using the deduced RdRP aa sequence revealed
that the closest matches for GWHaOLV1-24 were Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus
62, Lwood associated botourmia-like virus 25, Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 5, Guiyang botourmia-like
virus 1, Hulunbuir Botou tick virus 8, Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 62, Phomopsis
vexans ourmia-like virus 1, Yellow silver pine associated botourmia-like virus 66, Sopagat virus,
Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 82, Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 3,

Botourmiviridae sp, Sanya botourmia-like virus 4, Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 5, Streptophyte
associated botourmia-like virus 17, Pestalotiopsis botourmiavirus 3, Streptophyte associated botourmia-
like virus 17, Sopafab virus, Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 62, Sonacir virus,
Colletotrichum camelliae botourmiavirus 1, Botourmiviridae sp, Plasmopara viticola lesion associated
ourmia-like virus 62, Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 22 and Botrytis cinerea
ourmia-like virus 14 RdRp´s, respectively (Table 1), with identities values below 90%. Moreover, the aa
identities among those viruses assembled in this study ranged between 22.95% to 83.2%.

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaOLV 1-24 clustered
into five well-supported clades (Fig. 2B). Specifically, ten viruses (GWHaOLV2-5, GWHaOLV8-9,
GWHaOLV11, GWHaOLV14, GWHaOLV19 and GWHaOLV23) clustered with members of the genus
Magoulivirus, three viruses (GWHaOLV1, GWHaOLV18 and GWHaOLV22) clustered with members of
the genus *Scleroulivirus*, four viruses (GWHaOLV10, GWHaOLV12 and GWHaOLV20-21) clustered
with members of the genus *Penoulivirus*, three viruses (GWHaOLV6-7, and GWHaOLV13) clustered
with members of the genus *Betabotoulivirus*, and four viruses (GWHaOLV15-17 and GWHaOLV24)
clustered with members of the genus *Botoulivirus* (Fig. 9B). According to the ICTV species demarcation
criteria, classification is based on sequence identity in the complete RdRp (less than 90 % aa sequence
identity) (Ayllon et al., 2020). Therefore, the fourteen botourmiavirids whose complete RdRp sequences
were assembled represent novel members of the family *Botourmiaviridae*. Within the family,
GWHaOLV2-5, GWHaOLV9, GWHaOLV11, GWHaOLV14 and GWHaOLV23 are novel
magouliviruses, GWHaOLV1 is a novel scleroulivirus, GWHaOLV12 and GWHaOLV20-21 are novel
penouliviruses, GWHaOLV6 is a novel betabotoulivirus and GWHaOLV24 is a novel botoulivirus.
Therefore, this study revealed significant botourmiavirus diversity, as the newly discovered viruses can be
classified into five distinct genera

Many ourmia-like viruses were identified in plant-pathogenic fungi in recent years (Chiapello et al., 2020;
Li et al., 2021; Xie and Jiang, 2024). In-depth studies of some of these viruses have demonstrated that
they can replicate and move between fungal strains via hyphal anastomosis, suggesting that the RdRp
alone is sufficient for replication, infection, and transmission of ourmi-like viruses in their fungal hosts
(Wang et al., 2020). These findings support a hypothesis of ourmia-like virus evolution, where these
viruses may have evolved from plant-associated ourmiaviruses trough gene-loss events during their
adaptation to fungal hosts (Marzano et al., 2016; Donaire et al., 2016). Thus, the identification of the
twenty-four ourmia-like viruses in this study contributes to a deeper understanding of the taxonomy and
evolution of mycoviruses, highlighting their potential host shifts and genomic adaptations over time.

Deltaflexiviruses

Three contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Deltaflexiviridae*, which
includes a single genus, *Deltaflexivirus* (Wu et al., 2024). Its members have a positive-sense ssRNA
genomes that can be segmented (Mu et al., 2021) or unsegmented (Xiao et al., 2023, Li et al., 2016; Chen
et al., 2016; Hamid et al., 2018), with the gene encoding the replicase being the only one conserved
among all deltaflexiviruses (Xiao et al., 2023). The three identified deltaflexiviruses were named
grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus 1-3 (GWHaDFV1-3). The coding-complete
sequence of GWHaDFV1, as well as the partial sequences of GWHaDFV2 and GWHaDFV3, were

obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PQ567243, PQ567244 and PQ567245,

respectively.

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaDFV1 is 8592 nt in length and contains one large ORF (ORF1)

and four small downstream ORFs (ORF2-5) (Table 1, Fig. 3A). This genomic organization is similar to

that of Fusarium graminearum deltaflexivirus 1 (FgDFV1) (Chen et al., 2016), Fusarium deltaflexivirus 2

(FDFV2) (Wu et al., 2024), and Calypogeia fissa associated deltaflexivirus (CafADV) (Mifsud et al.,

2022). In contrast, four ORFs were predicted in GWHaDFV2, while only one ORF was identified in

GWHaDFV3. ORF1 encodes a putative replication-associated polyprotein containing three conserved

domains: Mtr (methytransferase), Hel (helicase), and RdRp, which are present in all members of the

family *Deltaflexiviridae* (Xiao et al., 2023). The other ORFs encode hypothetical proteins without

conserved domains. Moreover, deltaflexiviruses are thought to be capsidless, suggesting an intracellular

mode of transmission (Xiao et al., 2023). BLASTp searches using the deduced aa sequence showed that

the best hit for GWHaDFV1 complete replication-associated polyprotein (1988 aa) and GWHaDFV2 and

GWHaDFV3 partial replication-associated polyproteins, was FDFV2 (coverage, 97%; E-value, 0.0;

identity 58.51% for GWHaDFV1), Erysiphe necator associated deltaflexivirus 3 and Pestalotiopsis

deltaflexivirus 1 replication-associated polyprotein, respectively (Table 1). According to ICTV species

demarcation criteria, classification is based on host range, number of minor ORFs, and sequence identity

in the replication-associated polyprotein (less than 70% aa sequence identity) (Xiao et al., 2023).

Therefore, GWHaDFV1should be classified as novel species within the *Deltaflexiviridae* family.

The recent discovery of the novel virus Fusarium oxysporum icosahedral virus 1 (FoIV1), referred as

Fusarium deltaflexivirus 2 (FDFV2) in the NCBI nucleotide core database, suggests that deltaflexivirus

particles are likely icosahedral, similar to tymoviruses, rather than filamentous, like the Alpha-, Beta- and

Gammaflexiviruses (Wu et al., 2024). FoIV1 ORF4 encodes a single jelly-roll (SJR)-like coat protein

(CP), and clustering analysis suggested that FoIV1-related viruses have virions composed of SJR-like

CPs, rather than being capsidless, as observed in FoIV1 (Wu et al., 2024). When the protein encoded by

GWHaDFV1 ORF4 was analyzed using HHpred, significant hits to the CP of tymoviruses were obtained,

supporting the hypothesis that GWHaDFV1 ORF4 also encodes a putative CP. It has been hypothesized

that the ancestral virus of the deltaflexivirids used plants as its primordial host, and some of its members,

such as Sclerotinia sclerotorium deltaflexivirus 2 and 3 and Lentinula edodes deltaflexivirus 1, lost their

CP during evolution (Wu et al., 2024).

Phylogenetic analysis based on the replication-associated polyprotein aa sequence alignment revealed that

GWHaDFV1, GWHaDFV2 and GWHaDFV3 formed a well-supported clade with other deltaflexiviruses,

where two groups, previously named "group A" and "group B" (Wu et al., 2024), were observed (Fig.

3B). Most members of "group A" have genomes composed of four ORFs, whereas most members of

"group B" have genomes composed of five ORFs (Wu et al., 2024). GWHaDFV1 and GWHaDFV3 were

placed within "group B" (Fig. 3B), while GWHaDFV2 was placed within "group A" clustering with

soybean leaf associated mycoflexivirus 1 (Fig. 3B), which has a similar genomic organization (Marzano

et al., 2016). Multiple alignment of the deltaflexivirids RdRp domain showed that GWHaDFV1 and other

"Group B" viruses share canonical RdRp motifs A-C (Urayama et al., 2024), retaining acidic residues

similar to those reported for FoIV1 and other "Group B" members (Wu et al., 2024). In contrast,

GWHaDFV2 and members of "Group A" exhibit divergent RdRp motifs (Wu et al., 2024). Moreover,

GWHaDFV1 has five ORFs, confirming its placement with "Group B", while GWHaDFV2 has four

ORFs, confirming its phylogenetic placement with "Group A" (Wu et al., 2024). These results suggest

that viruses grouped within "Group A" and "Group B" should be classified into two new genera within

the family *Tymoviridae*, without the term "flexiviridae", or possibly within a new family in the order

Tymovirales, as suggested by Wu et al., (2024). Accordingly, GWHaDFV1 represents a novel member of

the newly assigned genus/family along with other "Group B" members, whereas GWHaDFV2 represents

a novel member of the newly assigned genus/family with "Group A" members.

Hypovirus

One contig showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Hypoviridae*, which is a family of

capsidless viruses comprising eight genera. Its members have mono- or bicistronic positive sense

genomes, with one long ORF or two ORFs (Chiba et al., 2023). The putative hypovirus was named

grapevine wood holobiome associated hypovirus (GWHaHV). The coding-complete sequence of this

virus was obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession number PQ673554.

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaHV is 13686 nt in length (Table 1) and contains one ORF,

which encodes a putative replication-associated polyprotein (Fig. 4A). This genomic organization is

similar to that of Alternaria alternata hypovirus 1 (AaHV1) (Li et al., 2019), Fusarium sacchari hypovirus

1 (FsHV1) (Yao et al., 2020) and Beticola hypovirus 1 (BHV1) (Li et al., 2021), among other hypoviruses.

The encoded replication-associated protein is 4141 aa in length, and three conserved domains were

identified in its sequence: DUF3525, RNA_pol_sf, and HEL, located at aa positions 2264-2608, 2743-

3198 and 3643-3788, respectively. Moreover, GWHaHV replication-associated protein has a conserved

SDD tripeptide in the RdRP motif, which was also found in the replication-associated polyproteins of

AaHV1 and FsHV1 (Yao et al., 2020). Like AaHV1 (Li et al., 2019), a papain-like cysteine protease

motif was not detected in the GWHaHV replication-associated protein. Interestingly, alignment of the N-

terminal region of the GWHaHV protein with the papain-like cysteine protease domain regions of other

hypo- and hypo-like viruses failed to reveal the presence of the three conserved cysteine protease core

residues (cysteine, histidine, and glycine) (Koonin et al., 1991), which have been identified in other hypo-

and hypo-like viruses (Li et al., 2019). Thus, it remains unknown whether GWHaHV-encoded

polyprotein is processed by cysteine proteases. The results of BLASTp searches of the nr protein database

showed that the best hit was the replication-associated polyprotein encoded by BHV1 (coverage, 84%; E-

value, 0.0; identity, 56.26%).

A phylogenetic tree based on replication-associated polyprotein aa sequence alignment placed GWHaHV

in a well-supported clade with other alphahypoviruses (Fig. 4B), where it clustered with BHV1.

According to ICTV species demarcation criteria, classification is based on sequence identity in the

complete polyprotein (<80% aa identity). Therefore, GWHaHV1 is a novel member of the

Alphahypovirus genus within the family *Hypoviridae*.

Several hypoviruses have been reported to induce hypovirulence to their fungal host, making them

potential biocontrol tools for sustainable plant disease management (Garcia-Pedrajas et al., 2019; Li et al.,

2019). Therefore, further studies on the molecular characterization and the pathogenicity of GWHaHV

should be conducted to evaluate whether this virus could be used as a biocontrol agent for fungal crop

disease management.

Mitoviruses

Six contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Mitoviridae*. which is

characterized by capsidless viruses with positive-sense ssRNA genomes containing a single ORF (Sadiq

et al., 2022; Wolf et al., 2018), and is composed of four genera (Jacquat et al., 2023). The six identified

mitoviruses were named grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 1-6 (GWHaMV1-6). The

partial sequence of these six viruses were obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers

PQ729981, PQ729982, PQ729983, PQ729984, PQ729985 and PQ729986, respectively (Table 1).

All six viruses contain a single ORF encoding a putative RdRp (Fig. 5A), and the Mitovir_RNA_pol

(Mitovirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; pfam05919) conserved motif was identified in all encoded

RdRp´s. Moreover, the RdRp catalytic motif GDD (Charon et al., 2022) was also found in the RdRp of all

six identified mitoviruses. BLASTp searches using the deduced aa sequences showed that the best hit for

GWHaMV1, GWHaMV2, GWHaMV3, GWHaMV4, GWHaMV5 and GWHaMV6 was Rhizoctonia

solani mitovirus 15, Hainan mito-like virus 26, Rhizoctonia cerealis duamitovirus, grapevine-associated

mitovirus 2, Sichuan mountain mitovirus 12 and Guangxi riberbank mitovirus 3, respectively (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaMV1, GWHaMV2,

GWHaMV3, GWHaMV4, GWHaMV5 and GWHaMV6 were placed in a well-supported clade with other

mitoviruses classified in the genus *Duamitovirus* (Fig. 5B). Specifically, GWHaMV1 clustered with

Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 47, 88 and 114, and grapevine-associated mitovirus 10 (Fig. 5B),

GWHaMV2 clustered with Hainan mito-like virus 26 (Fig. 5B), GWHaMV3 clustered with Rhizoctonia

solani mitovirus 11, 58 and 96 (Fig. 5B), GWHaMV4 with grapevine-associated mitovirus 2 (Fig. 5B),

GWHaMV5 clustered with Sichuan mountain mitovirus 12 (Fig. 5B), while GWHaMV6 clustered

separately with Guangxi riberbank mitovirus 3 (Fig. 5B).

Narna-like viruses

Monosegmented

Five contigs showed similarity to monosegmented narna-like virus sequences. *Narnaviridae* is a family of

capsidless viruses with positive-sense ssRNA genomes containing a single ORF (Hillman and Cai, 2013)

that belong to the order *Wolframvirales*. Currently, only two species have been accepted by the ICTV and

classified within the genus *Narnavirus* (https://ictv.global/taxonomy). Therefore, most of the narna-like

viruses reported to date, which exceed a thousand, remain unclassified. Moreover, narna-like viruses

assembled in metagenomic studies may contain additional genes and multiple ORFS, including a capsid

protein (Sadiq et al., 2022). Some of these more complex narna-like viruses have been proposed for

classification within the family "*Narliviridae*" in the order *Ourlivirales*, which also includes the family

Botourmiaviridae (Sadiq et al., 2022). The five identified monosegmented narna-like viruses were named

as grapevine wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 1-5 (GWHaNLV1-5). The full length-sequences

of GWHaNLV1-4 were assembled and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PV021966,

PV021967, PV021968 and PV021969 (Table 1). For GWHaNLV5, only a partial sequence was

assembled and deposited in GenBank under accession number PV021970 (Table 1). The four viruses with

fully assembled coding- sequences contain a single ORF encoding an RdRp (Fig. 6A), with their genome

lengths ranging between 2488 nt and 3383 nt (Table 1).

BLASTp searches using the deduced aa sequences showed that the best hits for GWHaNLV1-5 were

Mbeech associated narna-like virus 4, Yellow silver pine associated narna-like virus 48, Erysiphe necator

associated narnavirus 16, Qianjiang botourmia-like virus 41 and Sopafab virus RdRp´s respectively, with

identity values below 82% (Table 1). Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment

revealed that GWHaNLV1-3 grouped with narna-like viruses likely belonging to the *Narnaviridae* family

(Fig. 6D). Two major clades were observed within the monocistronic narnavirids, with GWHaNLV1 and

GWHaNLV2 belonging to one clade, where GWHaNLV1 clustered with Mbeech associated narna-like

virus 4, Alternaria dianthicola narnavirus 1 and Erysiphe necator associated narnavirus 48 (Fig. 6D),

while GWHaNLV2 clustered with Yellow silver pine associated narna-like virus 48 (Fig. 6D).

GWHaNLV3 belongs to the other clade (Fig. 6D) and grouped with Erysiphe necator associated

narnavirus 16, Erysiphe necator associated narnavirus 19 and Plasmopara viticola lesion associated

narnavirus 40 (Fig. 6D). Interestingly, the motifs MGD(MM/LF/LM) and GDD, previously described for

Chiapello et al., (2020) as characteristic of a specific narnavirus group (e.g., Plasmopara viticola lesion

associated narnavirus 13, 29 and 30), were also found in the RdRp of GWHaNLV1 and GWHaNLV2. In

contrast, the motifs MGLG/P and GDD were present in the RdRp of GWHaNLV3 and other

monosegmented narnaviruses belonging to the second major clade. Therefore, based on phylogenetic

relationships and the presence of the MGD(MM/LF/LM) or MGLG/P motif, two genera could be

proposed within the *Narnaviridae* family to accommodate monosegmented narnavirids. However, the

demarcation criteria based on RdRp identity still need to be established. On the other hand, GWHaNLV4

and GWHaNLV5 grouped with narna-like viruses belonging to the clade of the proposed family

"*Narliviridae*" (Sadiq et al., 2022) (Fig. 6D). GWHaNLV4 clustered with Qianjiang botourmia-like virus

41 within a clade of monocistronic narliviruses, while GWHaNLV5 clustered with Sanya botourmia-like

virus 12 in another monocistronic narlivirus clade (Fig. 6D). Therefore, GWHaNLV4 and GWHaNLV5

could be classified within the same genus that accommodates monocistronic viruses in the proposed

family "*Narliviridae*". However, the demarcation criteria based on RdRp identity need to be established.

Multisegmented

Nineteen contigs showed similarity to two recently described multisegmented narna-like viruses. These

multisegmented viruses were identified in *Aspergillus creber*, and four RNA segments were found (Chiba

et al., 2021A). RNA 1 encodes the RdRp, while the other segments encode proteins of hypothetical

function (Chiba et al., 2021A). The six identified multisegmented narna-like viruses were named as

grapevine wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 6-11 (GWHaNLV6-11). The full-length coding

sequence of four segments were assembled for GWHaNLV6 (Fig. 6C), and deposited in GenBank under

accession numbers PV021971, PV021972, PV021973 and PV021974 (Table 1), while the full-length

coding sequence of three segments were assembled for GWHaNLV7-11 (Fig. 6B) and deposited in

GenBank under accession numbers PV021975- PV021989 (Table 1).

RNA 1 of the six viruses encodes an RdRp (Fig. 6B and 6C), and the aa identities among these six viruses

ranged between 34.5.% to 74.2%. BLASTp searches of the RdRp showed that the best hits for

GWHaNLV6-11 were Plasmopara viticola lesion associated narnavirus 32; Diaphorte gulyae narnavirus

2, Ophiocordyceps sinensis narnavirus 1, Erysiphe necator associated narnavirus 1, Erysiphe necator

associated narnavirus 1 and Erysiphe necator associated narnavirus 1 (Table 1). RNA 2 of the six viruses

encodes two hypothetical proteins (HP1 and HP2) (Fig. 6B and 6C), while RNA 3 of GWHaNLV6

encodes two HPs (Fig. 6C), whereas RNA 3 of GWHaNLV7-11 encode a single HP (Fig. 6B). RNA 4 of

GWHaNLV6 encodes one HP (Fig. 6C) BLASTp searches of those HPs showed that the best hit was

Aspergillus creber narnavirus 1 (AcreNV1) HPs (Table 1).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaNLV6-11 formed a

clade distinct from the monosegmented narnaviriuses clade (Fig. 6D). Two major clusters were observed

within this clade: GWHaNLV6, GWHaNLV9-11 belonged to one mayor cluster, where GWHaNLV6

grouped with Plasmopara viticola lesion associated narnavirus 33 and Tonghua Narna tick virus (Fig.

6D), while GWHaNLV9-11 formed a subcluster with Aspergillus flavus narnavirus 2 (Fig. 6D). On other

hand, GWHaNLV7-8 formed the other major cluster, where GWHaNLV7 grouped with Diaphorte gulyae

narnavirus 2 and Erysiphe necator associated narnavirus 27 (Fig. 6D), while GWHaNLV8 grouped with

Ophiocordyceps sinensis narnavirus 1 and Guiyang Paspalum thunbergii narna-like virus 1 (Fig 6D).

The RdRp of AcreNV1 and related plasmopara viticola lesion associated narnaviruses contain the MGDP

motif (Chiapelo et al., 2020; Chiba et al., 2021), rather than the MGD(MM/LF/LM) or MGLG/P motifs

found in the RdRp of monosegmented narnaviruses. The RdRp of GWHaNLV6-11 also contains the

MGDP motif, further supporting their multisegmented genomic organization. Interestingly, only a single

segment has been described for plasmopara viticola lesion associated narnaviruses and other viruses

phylogenetically related to AcreNV1 and GWHaNLV6-11. Therefore, further analysis of the raw

sequencing data should be conducted to identify potential missing segments of these viruses. A new viral

family distinct from *Narnaviridae* could be proposed to accommodate these multisegmented narna-like

viruses. The number of genera, likely two, and the demarcation criteria based on RdRp identity still need

to be established.

462   Spliplamiviruses

Thirty-three contigs showed similarity to splipalmiviruses. These viruses were recently classified and

accommodated within a new family *Splipalmiviridae*, including three genera (*Jakapalmivirus*,

Divipalmivirus, and *Delepalmivirus*) (https://ictv.global/files/proposals/pending

(2024.003F.A.v1.Splipalmiviridae_newfam.docx) (https://ictv.global/taxonomy) . Splipalmiviruses are

phylogenetically related to narnavirids but have multi-segmented (+) RNA genomes that encode divided

RdRps in two independent genomic segments (Chiba et al., 2021A-B; Sato et al., 2022; Kondo et al.,

2022; Daghino et al., 2024). In these viruses, the MGDP motif is present in one RdRp segment, while the

GDD motif is present in the other RdRp segment (Chiba et al., 2021A-B). The genome segments of the

splipalmiviruses that do not encode the RdRp, i.e. RNA3 and RNA4, can be either mono- or polycistronic

(Daghino et al., 2024). Moreover, splipalmiviruses assigned to the same tentative clade based on

alignment of their RNA1- and RNA2-encoded proteins also exhibit the highest similarity in their RNA3-

encoded proteins, with a few exceptions (Daghino et al., 2024).

The full-length coding sequence of three segments were assembled for GWHaNLV12-22 (Fig. 7A and B)

and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PV021990-PV022025 (Table 1). The RNA 1 and

RNA2 segments of GWHaNLV12-22 encode the RdRp (Fig. 7A and B), while RNA 3 encodes a

hypothetical protein. The RNA3 segment is polycistronic in GWHaNLV 12-16 and GWHaNLV18-19

(Fig. 7A) and monocistronic in GWHaNLV17 and GWHaNLV20-22 (Fig. 7B).

The aa identities of the RdRps encoded by segments 1 and 2 of the eleven viruses ranged from 23.3.% to

86.5%, while the highest aa identities between GWHaNLV12-22 and other spliplalmiviruses ranged from

47.9 to 89.39 (Table 1). BLASTp searches of the RdRp and HP showed that the best hits for

GWHaNLV12-22 were their counterparts encoded by other splipalmiviruses (Table 1). As described by

Daghino et al., (2024), the RNA 3-encoded proteins exhibited the highest similarity with those encoded

by viruses belonging to the same clade.

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaNLV12-22 grouped

into different clades within the family *Splipalmiviridae* (Fig. 7C). Seven viruses (GWHaOLV12-16 and

GWHaOLV18-19) clustered with members of the genus *Divipalmivirus*, while the remaining four viruses

(GWHaOLV17 and GWHaOLV20-22) clustered with members of the genus *Jakapalmivirus* (Fig 7C).

Given the 90% aa RdRp identity threshold of demarcation criteria within the family all the

splipalmiviruses reported here should be considered as members of new species within the *Divipalmivirus*

and *Jakapalmivirus* genera.

Picorna-like viruses

Five contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the order *Picornavirales,* which contain

viruses with a monopartitite or bipartite positive-strand ssRNA genome that infect a wide range of hosts

(Le Gall et al., 2008). This order comprises more than 323 species, classified into nine families and over

100 genera (Zell et al., 2022) (https://ictv.global/taxonomy). The five identified viruses were named

grapevine wood holobiome associated picorna-like virus 1-5 (GWHaPLV1-5). The coding-complete

sequences of GWHaPLV1, GWHaPLV2 and GWHaPLV4, as well as the partial sequences of

GWHaPLV3 and GWHaPLV5, were obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers

PQ762193, PQ762194, PQ762196, PQ762195, and PQ762197, respectively (Table 1).

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaPLV1 is 9896nt in length and contains one ORF encoding a

polyprotein of 3131aa (Fig. 8A). The Hel, Peptidase_C3, RdRp, rhv_like and CRPV_capsid domains

were identified in its sequence. BLASTp searches of the nr protein database showed that the best hit was

the polyprotein encoded by a Picornavirales sp. (coverage, 51%; E-value, 5e-154; identity, 43.07%). The

coding-complete sequence of GWHaPLV2 is 9344 nt in length and has two ORFs (Fig. 8B). ORF1

encodes a putative CP of 823aa, containing the rhv_like and CRPV_capsid domains,while ORF2 encodes

a putative non-structural polyprotein of 1956 aa, where the Hel and RdRp domains were identified.

BLASTp searches showed that the best hit for both proteins were the CP encoded by a Riboviria sp

(coverage, 98%; E-value, 2e-122; identity, 64,34%) and the RdRp protein encoded by Suncus murinus

picorna-like virus 2 (coverage, 44%; E-value, 2e-73; identity, 44.79%), respectively. One ORF was

predicted in the partially assembled sequence of GWHaPLV3 (Fig. 8A). BLASTp searches of the nr

protein database showed that the best hit was the replicase encoded by a Riboviria sp. The coding-

complete sequence of GWHaPLV4 is 9158 nt in length, and two ORFs were predicted (Fig. 8B). ORF1 encodes a putative CP of 879aa, where the domain rhv_like was identified, while ORF2 encodes a putative non-structural polyprotein of 1805aa, where the Hel and RdRp domains were identified. BLASTp searches showed that the best hit for both proteins were the CP encoded by a Riboviria sp (coverage, 97%; E-value, 4e-78; identity, 62.84%) and RdRp protein encoded by a Riboviria sp. (coverage, 49%; E-value, 1e-131; identity, 54.93%), respectively. Two ORFs were predicted in the partially assembled sequence of GWHaPLV5 (Fig. 8B). ORF1 encodes a putative CP of 825aa, containing the rhv_like and CRPV_capsid domains,while ORF2 encodes a putative non-structural polyprotein, which was partially assembled, where the Hel and RdRp domains were identified. BLASTp searches showed that the best hit for both proteins were the CP and RdRp protein encoded by a Dicistroviridae sp. (coverage, 98%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 51.34% for the CP). The Hel and RdRp domains form the replicative module, which is a typical feature of picornavirids (Zell et al., 2022). In GWHaPLV1 and GWHaPLV3, the CP is located in the C-terminal region, while in GWHaPLV2, GWHaPLV4 and GWHaPLV5 the CP is located in the N-terminal region of the virus. This arrangement is characteristic of picornavirids, where the CPs are either encoded together with the nonstructural proteins as part of a large polyprotein or by a second ORF (Zell et al., 2022).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaPLV1, GWHaPLV2, GWHaPLV3, GWHaPLV4 and GWHaPLV5 formed a well-supported clade with other picornavirids (Fig. 8C). GWHaPLV1, GWHaPLV3 and GWHaPLV5 clustered with members of the *Dicistroviridae* family, while GWHaPLV2 and GWHaPLV4 clustered with members of the *Secoviridae* family (Fig. 8C). Therefore, GWHaPLV1, GWHaPLV2, GWHaPLV3, GWHaPLV4 and GWHaPLV5 are five novel putative picornavirids. GWHaPLV1 and GWHaPLV3 are monocistronic disctrovirids and should be classified into a genus of this family composed of monocistronic members, while GWHaPLV5 is a bi-cistronic dicistrovirid that should be accommodated into a genus of this family composed of bi-cistronic members. On the other hand, GWHaPLV2 and GWHaPLV4 are secovirids that belong to a clade of insect-associated secovirids (Fig 8C). It is tempting to speculate that GWHaPLV1, GWHaPLV2, GWHaPLV3, GWHaPLV4 and GWHaPLV5 are insect-associated viruses rather than fungal-associated ones, possibly originating from insect RNA co-purified with fungal samples.

Tombusvirus

One contig showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Tombusviridae*, which includes viruses with non-segmented, positive-sense ssRNA genomes that typically contain 4-7 ORFs (Lozier et al., 2024). This family includes over 100 species classified into eighteen genera within three subfamilies (Lozier et al., 2024). The identified virus was named grapevine wood holobiome associated tombus-like virus (GWHaTLV). Its partial sequence was obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession number PV036960.

The partial coding-complete sequence of GWHaTLV is 4518 nt in length (Table 1), with five predicted ORFs (Fig 9A), where the first ORF is incompletely assembled, lacking coverage at its 5´ region. The genomic organization of GWHaTLV is similar to that of Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 2 (MN627442), Nanning tombu tick virus 1 (ON746539), among other tombusvirids (Lozier et al., 2024). The presumed ORF1 is immediately followed by ORF2, which encodes the putative RdRp. The best hit in

BLASTp searches of the nr protein database was the Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 2

RdRp (coverage, 100%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 86.65%). ORF2 is translated via in-frame readthrough of

the stop codon of the preceding ORF, beginning immediately after the stop codon rather than at the first

AUG codon of the ORF. This arrangement is characteristic of tombusvirids (Lozier et al., 2024). ORF3

encodes a putative CP with a size of 214 aa, whose best hit in BLASTp searches was the Zizania latifolia

tombusvirus CP (coverage, 99%; E-value, 1e-140; identity, 90.1%). ORF5 is located within the same

reading frame and appears to be translated via readthrough of the ORF3 stop codon, generating a 376-aa

protein that serves as a terminal extension of the CP. The organization of ORFs 3, 4 and 5 is similar to

that reported for maize-associated tombusvirus, and related viruses, which have been proposed for

classification within the genus "*Rimosavirus*". This organization also resembles that of reported for

luteoviruses and poleroviruses (Lozier et al., 2024). The ORF4, which overlaps with ORF3, encodes a

putative HP of 236 aa, whose best hit in the BLASTp search was the Nanning tombu tick virus 1 HP

(coverage, 78%; E-value, 3e-12; identity, 33.5%).

Phylogenetic analysis based on RdRp aa sequence alignment revealed that GWHaTLV clustered within a

well-supported clade of tombus-like viruses proposed to be assigned within the "*Rimosavirus*" genus,

which includes plant-, fungal-, invertebrate- and vertebrate-associated viruses (Lozier et al., 2024) (Fig.

9B). Specifically, GWHaTLV grouped with Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 2, Chrocowerd

virus and Chrocotusk virus, (Fig. 9B). Therefore, GWHaTLV is a novel member of the *Tombusviridae*

family and could be classified within the "*Rimosavirus*" genus. However, demarcation criteria based on

the identity of the RdRp and/or CP proteins need to be established for this genus. Rimosaviruses have a

wide host range, but it has been hypothesized that they are plant pathogens (Lozier et al., 2024). Thus, it

is likely that GWHaTLV1 is a grapevine-associated virus rather than a mycovirus.

3.2B. Negative-sense single-stranded RNA viruses

Bunya-like viruses

Three contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the order *Hareavirales*, class

Bunyaviricetes, which includes viruses that infect a wide range of hosts, including human, mammals,

invertebrates, and plants. Most of these viruses share a similar tri-segmented, negative-sense ssRNA

genomic organization (Leventhal et al., 2021; Kuhn et al., 2024). The two identified bunyavirids were

named as grapevine wood holobiome associated bunya-like virus 1-2 (GWHaBLV1-2). Two segments

were obtained for GWHaBLV1 and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PQ683342 and

PQ683343, while for GWHaBLV2, only one segment was assembled and deposited under accession

number PQ683344.

For GWHaBLV1, RNA1 was nearly completely assembled, with a sequence length of 6440 nt, while the

complete-coding region of RNA2 was fully assembled, consisting of 1016 nt. On the other hand, for

GWHaBLV2, only RNA 1 was partially assembled (Table 1).

RNA1 of both viruses contains a single ORF encoding an RdRp (Fig. 10A). BLASTp searches of the nr

protein database using the GWHaBLV1 RdRp showed that the best hits were Sclerotinia sclerotiorum

bunyavirus 5, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum bunyavirus 6 and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum bunyavirus 7 (SsBYB5-

7), with identities values ranging from 63.47 to 64.68%. These viruses have been proposed for

classification within the family "Mycophenuiviridae" (Jia et al., 2021). Moreover, the eight conserved

motifs that represent highly conserved central regions of RdRps from members of the order Bunyavirales

and were found in the SsBYB5-7 RdRp´s (Jia et al., 2021), were also identified in the GWHaBLV1

RdRp. Additionally, the conserved endonuclease domain and motif G, previously identified in the

SsBYB5-7 RdRps (Jia et al., 2021), were also present in the GWHaBLV1 RdRp. On the other hand,

BLASTp searches using the GWHaBLV2 RdRp showed that the best hit was the bunyavirid Plasmopara

viticola lesion associated mycobunyavirales-like virus 3 RdRp. RNA 2 of GWHaBLV1 contains a single

ORF encoding a nucleocapsid protein (NC) of 211aa (Fig. 10A), in which the Tenui_N conserved domain

was identified. Interestingly, BLASTp searches showed that the best hit for this NC was the plant-

associated Tulip streak virus NC (coverage, 89%; E-value, 4e-25; identity, 34.25%). Only one segment

has been identified in SsBYB5-7 (Jia et al., 2021), thus, it is likely that additional segments of these

viruses could be identified if sequencing data is reanalyzed.

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaBLV1 and GWHaBLV2 with

other bunyavirids in different clusters (Fig. 10B). GWHaBLV1 clustered with SsBYB5-7, forming a

clade related to the unassigned Phytopthora palustris bunya-like virus 11, which also has two RNA

segments (Botella et al., 2022). This clade is further related to the cluster containing members of the

family *Konkoviridae*, which was recently created to accommodate plant-associated viruses (Neriya and

Nishigawa, 2024). Members of *Konkoviridae* have four RNA segments, where the third segment encodes

a putative movement protein, while the fourth encodes a protein of unknown function. No additional

segments were identified in GWHaBLV1, suggesting that these two additional segments are not essential

for its genome and that this virus is exclusively fungi-associated. Supporting this assumption, recent

studies have identified phenuiviruses related to plant-associated members of the genus *Laulavirus*, that

were isolated from fungi, with three segments, including one encoding a putative movement protein.

These viruses can infect both plant and fungal hosts, redefining the conventional distinction between

fungal and plant viruses (Dai et al., 2024). On the other hand, GWHaBLV2 clustered separately with the

unassigned viruses Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycobunyavirales-like virus 3 and Heihe tick

virus 1 (Fig. 10B). Interestingly, all viruses in this cluster, including GWHaBLV2, have only one

identified segment. Thus, it is highly likely that the genome descriptions of GWHaBLV2 and related

viruses are incomplete. Both GWHaBLVV1 and GWHaBLV2 represent novel bunyavirid members that

should be assigned to two newly proposed families to be created within the order *Hareavirales*, class

Bunyaviricetes.

Discoviruses

Five contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the recently established family

Discoviridae, order *Hareavirales*, which comprises negative-sense ssRNA fungi-associated viruses with

genomes consisting of three monocistronic RNA segments (Kuhn et al., 2023). The five identified

segments correspond to two putative viruses, which were named as grapevine wood holobiome associated

discovirus 1-2 (GWHaDV1-2). For GWHaDV1, all three segments were obtained and deposited in

GenBank under accession numbers PQ508371, PQ508372 and PQ508373 while for GWHaDV2, only

two segments were assembled (RNA 2 and RNA3) and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers

PQ508374 and PQ508375, leaving its genome incomplete (Table 1).

The RNA1, RNA2, and RNA3 segments of GWHaDV1 have 6502, 1821, and 1195 nt in length,

respectively (Fig. 11A), while the RNA 2 and RNA3 segments of GWHaDV2 have 1563 and 1135 nt in

length (Fig. 11B). RNA1 of GWHaDV1 encodes the large protein (L), which is 2138 aa in lenght, and

contains the RDRP_SSRNA_NEG_SEG conserved domain. BLASTp searches of the nr protein database

showed that the best hit was the L protein encoded by Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded

RNA virus 3 (coverage, 99%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 65.19%). RNA 2 of both GWHaDV1 and

GWHaDV2 encodes a non-structural protein (NS) of 557 aa and 482 aa, respectively. BLASTp searches

showed that the best hits were the NS encoded by Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA

virus 3 (coverage, 94%; E-value, 2e-92; identity, 33.70%) and Plasmopara viticola lesion associated

mycobunyavirales-like virus 4 (coverage, 95%; E-value, 2e-54; identity, 30.68%), respectively. RNA 3 of

both GWHaDV1 and GWHaDV2 encodes a nucleocapsid protein (NC) of 305 aa and 285 aa,

respectively. BLASTp searches showed that the best hits were the NC encoded by Leptosphaeria

biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 9 (coverage, 90%; E-value, 4e-133; identity, 66.55%), and

Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycobunyavirales-like virus 4 (coverage, 100%; E-value, 2e-175;

identity, 79.65%), respectively (Table 1).

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaDV1 within a cluster of

discoviruses, alongside Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 3 and Leptosphaeria

biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 6 (Fig. 11C). Meanwhile, the phylogenetic tree based on

NC aa sequence alignment placed GWHaDV1 and GWHaDV2 in different clusters within the

Discoviridae family (Fig. 11D). GWHaDV1 grouped with Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-

stranded RNA virus 9 and Penicillum roseopurpureum negative ssRNA virus 1, while GWHaDV2

clustered separately with Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycobunyavirales-like virus 4 (Fig. 11D).

According to the ICTV species demarcation criteria, classification should be based on sequence identity

in the complete RdRp, with a threshold of less than 80% amino acid sequence identity (Kuhn et al.,

2023). Therefore, GWHaDV1 represents a novel member of the *Orthodiscovirus* genus within the

Discoviridae family.

Mononegaambiviruses

Four contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the recently created family

Mymonaviridae, order *Mononegavirales*, which comprises viruses with negative-sense ssRNA genomes

(Jiang et al 2022). The family includes nine genera, where viruses from eight genera have monopartite

genomes, while those belonging to the genus *Penicillimonavirus* are bipartite (Moran et al., 2023). The

two identified mymonaviruses were named as grapevine wood holobiome associated mononegaambi

virus 1-2 (GWHaMV1-2). The coding-complete sequence of the two segments of both viruses were

obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PQ643870 and PQ643871 and PQ643872

and PQ643873, respectively (Table 1).

Both viruses have two RNA segments (Fig. 12A), and their organization is similar to that reported for the

penicillimonaviruses Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 2, Plasmopara viticola

lesion associated mononegaambi virus 4 (Chiapello et al., 2020), Plasmopara viticola lesion associated

mononegaambi virus 3 (Moran et al., 2023) and Exserohilum turcicum mymonavirus 1 (PP926276),

among others. The coding-complete sequence of GWHaMV1 RNA1 is 6700 nt in length, while that of

GWHaMV2 RNA1 is 6777 nt, and hey share 68.7% identity. Both viruses showed the highest sequence

identity of 60.9% and 61.4%, respectively, with Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi

virus 2. The ORF1 of GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV2, located on the positive-sense strand, encodes a

nucleocapsid protein (NC) (Fig. 12A) of 189 aa and 186 aa, respectively, sharing a 48.1% identity. The

results of BLASTp searches of the nr protein database showed that the best hit was the NC encoded by

Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 2 (coverage, 79%; E-value, 5e-25; identity,

38.67%, and coverage, 82%; E-value, 9e-21; identity, 34.64%, respectively). The ORF2 of GWHaMV1

and GWHaMV2, located on the negative-sense strand, encodes an RdRp (Fig. 12A) of 1944 aa, sharing

84.3% identity. BLASTp searches showed that the best hit was the RdRp encoded by Plasmopara viticola

lesion associated mononegaambi virus 2 (coverage, 99%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 69.65%, and coverage,

99%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 69.26%, respectively) (Table 1). The conserved domains Mononeg_RNA_pol

and Mononeg_mRNAcap were identified in the RdRps of GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV2.

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaMV1 RNA2 is 3648 nt in length, while that of GWHaMV2

RNA2 is 3664 nt (Table 1). Both GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV2 RNA2 contain four open reading frames

(ORF1, ORF2, ORF3 and ORF4) (Fig. 12A). ORF1 encodes an hypothetical protein (HP) of 260 and 259

aa, respectively, which the best hit being the HP encoded by Exserohilum turcicum mymonavirus 1.

ORF2 encodes an HP of 391 aa, which the best hit being the HP encoded by Plasmopara viticola lesion

associated mononegaambi virus 2. ORF3 encodes an HP of 205 aa but did not return any significant hits

in NCBI database. Similarly, ORF 4 encodes an HP of 165, also with no hits in the NCBI database.

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV2 within

a well-supported clade of penicillimonaviruses (Fig. 12B). Both viruses clustered with Beuaveria bassiana

negative transcribed RNA virus 1, Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 2,

Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 4, and Exserohilum turcicum mymonavirus 1

(Fig. 12B). According to ICTV classification criteria, members of different species within the genus

Penicillimonavirus must differ by more than 30% in nucleoprotein amino acid sequence and by more than

30% in their coding-complete or complete genome nucleotide sequence (Jiang et al., 2022). Therefore,

both GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV2 represent novel members of the genus *Penicillimonavirus* within the

family *Mymonaviridae*.

Mycoophioviruses

Four contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the recently proposed *Mycoaspiviridae*

family, order *Nadrevirales*, which consist of viruses with negative-sense ssRNA genomes that are fungi-

associated (Chiapello et al., 2020). In contrast to their plant-associated counterparts, most of the identified

mycoophiovirus to date have been found to possess a single genome segment (Chiapello et al., 2020; Liu

et al., 2023B). However, a second genome segment has been identified in mycoophioviruses associated to

Colletotrichum (Hamim et al., 2022) and Fusarium (Buivydaite et al., 2024). Therefore, it is tempting to

speculate that all mycoophioviruses may possess more than one genomic segment, similar to plant-

associated ophioviruses (Liu et al., 2023B). The two identified mycoophioviruses were named as

grapevine wood holobiome associated mycoophiovirus 1-2 (GWHaMOV1-2). The coding-complete

sequence of both segments for each virus were obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession

numbers PQ638356 and PQ638357 for GWHaMOV1, PQ638358 and PQ638359 for GWHaMOV2
(Table 1).
Both GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2 genomes consist of two segments (Fig. 13A), similarly to those
mycoophioviruses associated to Colletotrichum (Hamim et al., 2022) and Fusarium (Buivydaite et al.,
2024), which is consistent with the suggestion by Chiapello et al., (2020) that mycoophioviruses might
have additional genomic segments. The coding-complete sequence of the first segment of GWHaMOV1
is 7696 nt in length, while that of GWHaMOV 2 is 7651 nt. This segment contains a single open reading
frame encoding a putative RdRp of 2359 aa (Fig. 13A), which are 69% identical. BLASTp searches of
the nr protein database showed that the best hits for the RdRp of GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2´s were
the RdRp encoded by Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 7 (coverage, 100%;
E-value, 0.0; identity, 83.38%), and Cladosporium cladosporioides negative-stranded RNA virus 1
(coverage, 99%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 69.19%), respectively (Table 1). Moreover, the
Mononeg_RNA_pol conserved domain was identified in the RdRps of GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2,
and all five conserved motifs shared by mycoophioviruses (Hamim et al., 2022; Liu et al., 2023B) were
also identified in the RdRps of GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2. The coding-complete sequence of the
second segment of GWHaMOV1 is 2911 nt in length, while that of GWHaMOV 2 is 2872 nt. The second
segment of GWHaMOV1 contains three OFRs encoding three hypothetical proteins (HP) of 108 aa, 400
aa and 328 aa (Fig. 13A). BLASTp searches of the nr protein database showed no hits for the first HP,
while the best hit for HP2 was an HP encoded by Colletotrichum associated negative-sense single-
stranded RNA virus 2 (coverage, 85%; E-value, 8e-102; identity, 47.55%), and the best hit for the HP3
was an HP encoded by Colletotrichum associated negative-sense single-stranded RNA virus 2 (coverage,
97%; E-value, 7e-169; identity, 69.69%) (Table 1). The second segment of GWHaMOV2 contains three
OFRs encoding three HP of 108 aa, 393 aa and 328 aa (Fig. 13A). The results of a BLASTp search
showed no hits for the first HP, while the best hit for HP2 was an HP coded by Colletotrichum associated
negative-sense single-stranded RNA virus 2 (coverage, 83%; E-value, 7e-99; identity, 47.79%); and the
best hit for the HP3 was an HP coded by Colletotrichum associated negative-sense single-stranded RNA
virus 2 (coverage, 96%; E-value, 3e-167; identity, 69.81%) (Table 1). The HP3 encoded by both viruses
showed identity to the protein encoded by tORF4 of the second RNA segment of Fusarium culmorum
mycoophiovirus 1. Like that protein (Buivydaite et al., 2024), the HP3 encoded by GWHaMOV1 and
GWHaMOV2 exhibited strong similarity to the nucleocapsid proteins of *Aspiviridae* members in HHpred
searches. Thus, the HP3 encoded by GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2 is likely a nucleocapsid protein.
A phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2
within a well-supported clade of mycoaspivirids (Fig. 13B). Both viruses clustered with Leptosphaeria
biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 7 and Cladosporium cladosporioides negative-stranded
RNA virus 1. Therefore, GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMOV2 represent novel members of the recently
proposed family *Mycoaspiviridae* (Chiapello et al., 2020). The demarcation criteria based on RdRp
protein identity still need to be established, along with the genera to be created within this proposed
family.
Yue-like viruses

One contig showed similarity to yue-like virus sequences. The identified virus was named grapevine

wood holobiome associated yue-like virus (GWHaYLV). The partial sequence of this virus was obtained

and deposited in GenBank under the accession number PQ720401 (Table 1).

The partial sequence of GWHaYLV contains a single ORF encoding a putative RdRp (Fig. 14A). The

results of a BLASTp search of the nr protein database showed that the best hit was the RdRp encoded by

Goldenrod fern yue-like virus (coverage, 96%; E-value, 2E-60; identity, 29.04%).

A phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaYLV within a well-supported

clade with Goldenrod fern yue-like virus and other recently described yue-like viruses associated with

fungal hosts (Fig. 14B). These viruses form a distinct clade separate from other previously classified

viruses related to members of the *Qinviridae* family (Chiapello et al., 2020; Buivydaite et al., 2024;

Forgia et al., 2024). All these viruses share a conserved IDD tripled in the Motif C of catalytic core of the

RdRp (Buivydaite et al., 2024), and the same IDD motif was also identified in the RdRp of GWHaYKV1.

Phylogenetic analysis and the presence of the IDD motif suggest that this group of viruses is linked to the

Qinviridae family, which is a family of negative-sense RNA viruses with two-segmented genomes that

have been associated with crustaceans, insects, gastropods, and nematodes (Wolf et al., 2023). Therefore,

GWHaYLV represents a novel member of an unassigned virus family that belongs to the same order as

Qinviridae. Finally, it is important to note that the GWHaYLV genome is likely incomplete, as no

additional genome segments were identified, in contrast to what has been reported for Bremia lactucae

associated Yue-like virus 2 and Plasmopara viticola associate Yuevirus1 (Chiapello et al., 2020; Forgia et

al., 2024).

3.2C. Double-stranded RNA viruses

Botybirnaviruses

Two contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of the genus *Botybirnavirus*, whose members

have a genome composed of two double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) segments encapsidated in isometric

virions (Wu et al., 2012; Ye et al., 2023). This genus is the only one classified within the recently created

family *Botybirnaviridae* (Simmonds et al., 2024). The putative botybirnavirus was named as grapevine

wood holobiome associated botybirnavirus (GWHaBbV). The partial sequences of both RNA segments of

this virus were obtained and deposited in GenBank under accession numbers PQ630621 and PQ630622

(Table 1). Each dsRNA contains a single ORF (Fig. 15A). The ORF of dsRNA1 encodes the CAP-POL

fusion protein (Fig. 15A), with the RdRp domain identified in its C-terminal region, as reported in all

botybirnaviruses to date (Ye et al., 2023). The N-terminal region likely contains a coat protein gene as

previously described for botybirnaviruses (Ye et al., 2023). On the other hand, the ORF in dsRNA2

encodes a putative HP (Fig. 15A). This genomic organization is consistent with that reported for other

botybirnaviruses (Cottet et al., 2019; Wu et al., 2012; Zhai et al., 2019; Ran et al., 2016; Xiang et al.,

2017; Wang et al., 2018). BLASTp searches of the nr protein database using the CAP-POL protein and

HP of GWHaBbV1 showed that the best hit were the RdRp and HP encoded by Leptosphaeria biglobosa

botybirnavirus 2 (Table 1).

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaBbV1 with other

botyvirnaviruses, clustering with Leptosphaeria biglobosa botybirnavirus 2 and Sclerotinia sclerotiorum

botybirnavirus 2 (Fig. 15C). Therefore, GWHaBbV represents a novel member of the genus

Botybirnavirus.

Some botybirnaviruses have been reported to induce hypovirulence in their fungal hosts (Wu et al., 2012;

Ran et al., 2016; Zhai et al., 2019; Liang et al., 2022). Therefore, further studies on the molecular

characterization and the pathogenicity of GWHaBbV should be conducted to evaluate its potential as a

biocontrol agent for fungal crop disease management.

Partitiviruses

Three contigs showed similarity to sequences from members of family *Partitiviridae,* whose members

have a genome composed of two dsRNA segments (Vainio et al., 2018). The two identified segments

correspond to two putative viruses, which were named grapevine wood holobiome associated partitivirus

1-2 (GWHaPV1-2). For GWHaPV1, the partial sequence of one segment was obtained and deposited in

GenBank under accession number PV022023, while for GWHaPV2, two segments were assembled, one

including the full-length coding region, while the other partially assembled, and deposited in GenBank

under accession numbers PV0220234 and PV022025 (Table 1).

For GWHaPV1 the RNA segment encoding a putative RdRp was partially assembled (Fig. 16A).

BLASTp searches of the nr protein database showed that the best hit was the RdRp protein encoded by

Phomopsis vexans partitivirus 1 (Table 1). The full-length coding region of GWHaPV2 RNA1 is 1678 nt

and encodes a putative RdRp (Fig. 16B) of 539 aa. BLASTp searches showed that the best hit was the

RdRp protein encoded by Dothistroma septosporum partitivirus 1 (coverage, 99%; E-value, 0.0; identity,

75.46%). The partially assembled RNA2 encodes a putative CP (Fig. 16B), and the results of a BLASTp

search showed that the best hit was the CP encoded by Plasmopara viticola lesion associated Partitivirus 3

(Table 1).

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaPV1 with other

deltapartitiviruses, clustering with Phomopsis vexans partitivirus 1 and Pestalotiopsis partititvirus 1 (Fig.

16C), while GWHaPV2 was placed in another cluster with other gammapartitiviruses (Fig. 16C).

Totiviruses

One contig showed similarity to sequences from members of the family *Orthototiviridae,* consisting of

viruses with single linear dsRNA genomes that contain two, usually overlapping, ORFs encoding a

putative CP and RdRp (Wickner et al., 2012). Only one genus, *Totivirus*, has been assigned within this

family to accommodate fungal viruses (Simmonds et al., 2024). The threshold to demarcate species in this

genus is an aa identity of 50% of their encoded proteins (Wickner et al., 2012). However, a great number

of totiviruses have been identified in recent years (Xu et al., 2024; Chiapello et al., 2020); thus a 90% aa

identity threshold may be more appropriate. The identified virus was named grapevine wood holobiome

associated totivirus (GWHaTV). The coding-complete sequence of this virus was obtained and deposited

in GenBank under accession number PQ630623 (Table 1).

The coding-complete sequence of GWHaTV is 4586 nt in length and contains two open reading frames

(ORF1 and ORF2) (Fig. 15B). ORF1 encodes a CP of 683 aa, and a major capsid protein domain was

identified in its sequence. The results of a BLASTp search of the nr protein database showed that the best

hit was the CP encoded by Plasmopara viticola lesion associated totivirus 1 (coverage, 99%; E-value, 0.0;

identity, 87.08%). ORF2 of GWHaTV encodes an RdRp of 806 aa, with the RdRp domain identified in

its central region. The results of a BLASTp search showed that the best hit was the RdRp encoded by

Plasmopara viticola lesion associated totivirus 1 (coverage, 100%; E-value, 0.0; identity, 79.53%). A

putative slippery heptamer signal GGGUUUU, similar to that reported for Puccinia striiformis totiviruses

(PsTVs) and red clover powdery mildew-associated totiviruses (Kondo et al., 2016; Zheng et al., 2017),

was located 118 nt upstream of the ORF1 stop codon. This suggest that a -1 translational frameshifting

strategy is involved in the expression of ORF2, as seen in other totiviruses, likely leading to the

production of an ORF1–ORF2 (CP-RdRp) fusion protein (Khalifa and MacDiarmid, 2019) (Fig. 15B).

The phylogenetic tree based on RdRp aa sequence alignment placed GWHaATV with other totiviruses in

a cluster with Plasmopara viticola lesion associated toti 1, Plasmopara viticola lesion associated toti 2 and

red clover powdery mildew-associated totivirus 4 (Fig. 15C). Therefore, if the threshold of 90% is

updated to demarcate species, GWHaTV is a novel member of the *Totiviridae* genus within the

Orthototiviridae family.

3.3 Virus prevalence among samples

The prevalence of viruses among the analyzed grapevine samples exhibited substantial variability, with

marked differences in detection levels and RNA read abundance across individual plants. A shifted

detection and variable RNA levels among samples was observed (Table 2A-C), suggesting a complex

dynamic in the persistence and expression of identified viruses. These differences likely reflect a

combination of host-virus interactions, tissue tropism, and environmental influences affecting viral

replication and accumulation.

Detection patterns of dsRNA and ss(-)RNA viruses

Analysis of dsRNA and ss(-)RNA viruses (Table 2A) revealed highly uneven distribution patterns among

samples. For instance, GWHaBbV, GWHaBLV1, GWHaMOV1 and GWHaMNV1 were detected across

all samples, albeit with significant variation in read counts, indicating their widespread presence in the

grapevine holobiont. Notably, GWHaBLV1 exhibited particularly high read counts in symptomatic

samples M16 (670/784) and M26 (393/506), suggesting a potential effect association with trunk disease.

A striking case of selective presence was observed for instance with GWHaDV1, which was exclusively

found in sample C25, where it reached exceptionally high read levels (1386/2144/2354). Similarly,

GWHaMOV2 was abundant only in M11 (2485/2386), while being virtually undetectable in other

samples (Table 2A). These findings highlight virus-host specificity, where certain viruses may be favored

by particular physiological or pathological states of the plant. Moreover, despite low abundance in most

samples, viruses such as GWHaTV and GWHaYLV displayed sporadic yet notable peaks, particularly in

symptomatic plants M16 and M26, suggesting a potential effect of infection dynamics or secondary

fungal associations.

Dominance of Ourmia-like, Mitoviruses, and Narna-like viruses

Ourmia-like, mitoviruses, and narna-like viruses were among the most prevalent virus groups detected

(Table 2B). The exceptionally high read counts of GWHaOLV3, particularly in C22 (529,290) and M16

(77,599), indicate a dominant presence of this virus in these samples. Other notable cases include

GWHaOLV2, which reached substantial levels in symptomatic M11 (2256) and M16 (1179), and

GWHaOLV9, which showed consistently high levels across all samples. Mitoviruses were less uniformly distributed, with GWHaMV1 and GWHaMV5 detected in asymptomatic C22 and symptomatic M11, while GWHaMV3 was found exclusively in M26, reinforcing the idea of host-specific effect in its titters. Similarly, GWHaNLV1, a narna-like virus, exhibited high variability, ranging from undetectable levels in M11 to peak expression in M16 (3219). The high abundance of ourmia-like viruses in certain samples (e.g., GWHaOLV3 in C22) contrasts with their near absence in others, suggesting possible interactions with fungal hosts. This pattern aligns with previous reports that have identified these virus groups as the most abundant in fungal viromes associated with grapevine (Chiapello et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2023A).

Variable distribution of other ss(+)RNA viruses

The distribution of ss(+)RNA viruses (Table 2C) further supports the notion of dynamic virus-host interactions. GWHaAV1 and GWHaAV2 exhibited strong fluctuations in abundance, with GWHaAV1 reaching high levels in C25 (856) and M11 (514) but being absent in M26. Similarly, GWHaPLV2 showed an uneven pattern, being particularly abundant in C23 (3594) and C25 (2104), but with significantly reduced levels in M16 (77). Interestingly, GWHaDFV1, an ss(+)RNA virus, was almost exclusively found in C23 (9404), reinforcing the idea that certain viruses may be more compatible with specific plant physiological conditions or fungal endophytes. The absence of GWHaPLV1 in most samples except M26 (2260) suggests another case of localized viral dominance.

Implications for grapevine holobiont virome dynamics

The observed heterogeneity in virus prevalence suggests that the virome of grapevine wood is highly dynamic and influenced by multiple factors, including plant health status, microbial community interactions, and potential vector transmission. The significant presence of mitoviruses and narna-like viruses, which are typically associated with fungal hosts, further supports the hypothesis that many of these viruses persist within the grapevine mycobiome rather than in the plant itself. Moreover, the selective presence of certain viruses in symptomatic vs. asymptomatic plants raises important questions about their possible roles in disease progression or resistance. While some viruses, such as GWHaDV1, appear to be strongly associated with individual plants holobiomes, others, like GWHaOLV3, exhibit widespread prevalence, suggesting distinct ecological niches within the plant-fungi-virus interaction network. These findings highlight the complexity of viral prevalence patterns in the grapevine holobiont and underscore the need for further research to determine the functional implications of these viruses in plant health and disease. The high variability in RNA levels among samples (Table 2) suggests a non-uniform distribution of viral populations, likely shaped by host-virus compatibility, competition among fungal hosts, and environmental factors influencing viral replication.

4. Conclusions

The viral profile described here provides a first glimpse into the multifaceted South American grapevine wood holobiont virome. Through a comprehensive metatranscriptomic analysis of grapevine wood samples from both healthy plants and those exhibiting symptoms of grapevine trunk disease (GTD), we identified a striking diversity of viruses spanning multiple viral families and genomic architectures. Our findings reveal that mycoviruses associated with grapevine wood samples are abundant and taxonomically diverse, with representatives from established viral families such as *Botourmiaviridae*,

Mitoviridae, *Narnaviridae*, *Hypoviridae*, *Partitiviridae*, and *Discoviridae*, as well as from recently proposed families such as *Mycoaspiviridae* and *Splipalmiviridae*. Additionally, we report novel viruses with phylogenetic affinities to *Ambiguiviridae*, *Deltaflexiviridae*, *Bunyavirales*, *Picornavirales*, *Orthototiviridae*, and *Botybirnaviridae*, further expanding the known virosphere associated with grapevine fungal communities. Our findings hold important implications for understanding viral diversity, evolution, and ecological roles within the grapevine wood holobiont. The identification of highly divergent viruses suggests that novel genera—and in some cases, even new viral families—may need to be established to accommodate these newly discovered sequences. The classification of these viruses, particularly within the proposed *Mycoaspiviridae*, *Splipalmiviridae*, and novel clades of *Narnaviridae* and *Bunyavirales*, underscores the dynamic nature of virus evolution and the intricate host-virus interactions shaping fungal viromes in woody plant associated tissues. Beyond their taxonomic significance, some of the identified mycoviruses hold promise as potential biocontrol agents against GTD-associated fungal pathogens. Members of the families *Hypoviridae*, *Botourmiaviridae*, and *Botybirnaviridae* have been previously reported to induce hypovirulence in their fungal hosts, and our results suggest that similar mechanisms may be at play in certain newly identified viruses. Further functional characterization and host-range studies are required to determine whether these mycoviruses can be harnessed for sustainable disease management strategies in viticulture. Moreover, our study provides insights into the evolutionary trajectories of fungal-associated viruses and their potential interkingdom transmission events. The presence of tombus-like, picorna-like, and bunya-like viruses—which are typically associated with plant and insect hosts—raises intriguing questions regarding viral movement across different biological compartments within the grapevine holobiont. This supports the growing body of evidence suggesting that viruses may transition between fungi, plants, and insect vectors more frequently than previously recognized. Taken together, these results significantly deepen our understanding of the diversity, taxonomy, and ecological roles of mycoviruses in the grapevine wood ecosystem. This work lays the foundation for future studies aimed at elucidating the functional impact of these viruses on fungal host dynamics, their potential interactions with other members of the grapevine microbiome, and their practical applications in disease management. The continued exploration of mycovirus diversity in perennial crops like grapevine may ultimately contribute to the development of innovative, virus-based biocontrol strategies to mitigate economically important plant diseases.

Author contributions
Humberto Debat: data curation, formal analysis, writing-original draft, software, methodology, funding
Marcos Paolinelli: biological data collection, data analysis, writing- review. **Georgina Escoriaza**: biological data collection, writing- review. **Sandra Garcia-Lampasona**: biological data collection, writing- review. **Sebastian Gomez-Talquenca**: biological data collection, writing- review, funding.
Nicolas Bejerman: data curation, formal analysis, writing-review and editing, software, methodology, funding

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria through the project INTA-PD-2023-I085.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest

Data availability Statement

The raw data used to assembly the viruses described in the study is available in the ENA-EMBL repository [PRJEB31098 https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ena/data/view/PRJEB31098].

Viral sequences were deposited in the GenBank under accession numbers mentioned in Table 1 and the Manuscript.

References

— Ayllón, M.A.; Turina, M.; Xie, J.; Nerva, L.; Marzano, S.-Y.L.; Donaire, L.; Jiang, D.; Consortium, I.R. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: *Botourmiaviridae*. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2020**, *101*, 454–455.

— Ayllón, M.A.; Vainio, E.J. Chapter One—Mycoviruses as a Part of the Global Virome: Diversity, Evolutionary Links and Lifestyle. In *Advances in Virus Research*; Kielian, M., Roossinck, M.J., Eds.; Academic Press: Cambridge, MA, USA, 2023; Volume 115, pp. 1–86.

— Bass, D.; Stentiford, G.; Wang, H.-C.; Koskella, B.; Tyler, C. The Pathobiome in Animal and Plant Diseases. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2019**, *34*, 996–1008.

— Bankevich, A.; Nurk, S.; Antipov, D.; Gurevich, A.A.; Dvorkin, M.; Kulikov, A.S.; Lesin, V.M.; Nikolenko, S.I.; Pham, S.; Prjibelski, A.D.; et al. SPAdes: A new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol.* **2012**, *19*, 455–477.

— Bettenfeld, P.; Cadena I Canals, J.; Jacquens, L.; Fernandez, O.; Fontaine, F.; van Schaik, E.; Courty, P.-E.; Trouvelot, S. The microbiota of the grapevine holobiont: A key component of plant health. *J. Adv. Res.* **2022,** *40*, 1-15.

— Bolger, A.M.; Lohse, M.; Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, *30*, 2114–2120.

— Botella, L.; Jung, M.H.; Rost, M.; Jung, T. Natural Populations from the Phytophthora Palustris Complex Show a High Diversity and Abundance of SsRNA and DsRNA Viruses. *J. Fungi* **2022**, *8*, 1118.

— Buchfink, B.; Xie, C.; Huson, D.H. Fast and sensitive protein alignment using DIAMOND. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 59–60.

— Buivydaitė, Ž., Winding, A., Jørgensen, L. N., Zervas, A., Sapkota, R. New insights into RNA mycoviruses of fungal pathogens causing Fusarium head blight. *Virus Res.* **2024**, 349, 199462.

— Charon, J.; Buchmann, J.P.; Sadiq, S.; Holmes, E.C. RdRp-scan: A bioinformatic resource to identify and annotate divergent RNA viruses in metagenomic sequence data. *Virus Evol.* **2022**, *8*, veac082.

— Chen, X.; He, H.; Yang, X.; Zeng, H.; Qiu, D.; Guo, L. The complete genome sequence of a
novel *Fusarium graminearum* RNA virus in a new proposed family within the order
Tymovirales. *Arch. Virol.* **2016**, *161*, 2899–2903.

— Chiapello, M.; Rodríguez-Romero, J.; Ayllón, M.A.; Turina, M. Analysis of the Virome
Associated to Grapevine Downy Mildew Lesions Reveals New Mycovirus Lineages. *Virus*
Evol. **2020**, *6*, veaa058.

— Chiba, Y.; Oiki, S.; Zhao, Y.; Nagano, Y.; Urayama, S.; Hagiwara, D. Splitting of RNA-
Dependent RNA Polymerase Is Common in *Narnaviridae*: Identification of a Type II Divided
RdRp from Deep-Sea Fungal Isolates. *Virus Evol.* **2021A**, *7*, veab095.

— Chiba, Y.; Oiki, S.; Yaguchi, T.; Urayama, S.; Hagiwara, D. Discovery of divided RdRp
sequences and a hitherto unknown genomic complexity in fungal viruses. *Virus Evol.* **2021B**, *7*,
veaa101.

— Chiba, S.; Velasco, L.; Ayllon, M.A.; Suzuki, N.; Lee-Marzano, S.Y.; Sun, L.; Sabanadzovic, S.;
Turina, M. ICTV Virus Taxonomy Profile: *Hypoviridae* 2023. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2023**, *104*, 001848.

— Comont, G., Faure, C., Candresse, T., Laurens, M., Valière, S., Lluch, J., et al. Characterization
of the RNA mycovirome associated with grapevine fungal pathogens: Analysis of mycovirus
distribution and their genetic variability within a collection of Botryosphaeriaceae isolates. **2024**,
Viruses, *16*, 392.

— Cottet, L., Potgieter, C. A., Castro, M. E., Castillo, A. Molecular characterization of a new
botybirnavirus that infects Botrytis cinerea. *Arch Virol*, **2019**, *164*, 1479-1483.

— Dai, R., Yang, S., Pang, T., Tian, M., Wang, H., Zhang, D., et al. Identification of a negative-
strand RNA virus with natural plant and fungal hosts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, **2024**, *121*(12),
e2319582121.

— Daghino, S., Forgia, M., & Turina, M. Completion of the genome sequence of Oidiodendron
maius splipalmivirus 1. *Arch Virol*, **2024**, *169*, 199.

— Dobin, A.; Gingeras, T.R. Mapping RNA-seq Reads with STAR. *Curr. Protoc.*
Bioinform. **2015**, *51*, 11.14.1–11.14.19.

— Donaire, L.; Rozas, J.; Ayllón, M.A. Molecular characterization of Botrytis ourmia-like virus, a
mycovirus close to the plant pathogenic genus Ourmiavirus. *Virology* **2016**, *489*, 158–164.

— Fontaine, F.; Pinto, C.; Vallet, J.; Clément, C.; Gomes, A.C.; Spagnolo, A. The effects of
grapevine trunk diseases (GTDs) on vine physiology. *Eur. J. Plant Pathol.* **2016**, *144*, 707–721.

— Forgia, M.; Daghino, S.; Chiapello, M.; Ciuffo, M.; Turina, M. New Clades of Viruses Infecting
the Obligatory Biotroph Bremia Lactucae Representing Distinct Evolutionary Trajectory for
Viruses Infecting Oomycetes. *Virus Evol.* **2024**, *10*, veae003.

— García-Pedrajas, M.D.; Cañizares, M.C.; Sarmiento-Villamil, J.L.; Jacquat, A.G.; Dambolena,
J.S. Mycoviruses in Biological Control: From Basic Research to Field
Implementation. *Phytopathology* **2019**, *109*, 1828–1839.

— Ghabrial, S.A.; Castón, J.R.; Jiang, D.; Nibert, M.L.; Suzuki, N. 50-plus Years of Fungal
Viruses. *Virology* **2015**, *479–480*, 356–368.

— Gilbert, K.B.; Holcomb, E.E.; Allscheid, R.L.; Carrington, J.C. Hiding in plain sight: New virus
genomes discovered via a systematic analysis of fungal public transcriptomes. *PLoS*
ONE **2019**, *14*, e0219207.

— Grassi, F.; De Lorenzis, G. Back to the origins: Background and perspectives of grapevine
domestication. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2021**, *22*, 4518.

— Hamid, M.R.; Xie, J.; Wu, S.; Maria, S.K.; Zheng, D.; Assane Hamidou, A.; Wang, Q.; Cheng,
J.; Fu, Y.; Jiang, D. A Novel Deltaflexivirus That Infects the Plant Fungal Pathogen, *Sclerotinia*
sclerotiorum, Can Be Transmitted Among Host Vegetative Incompatible
Strains. *Viruses* **2018**, *10*, 295.

— Hamim, I.; Urayama, S.i.; Netsu, O.; Tanaka, A.; Arie, T.; Moriyama, H.; Komatsu, K.
Discovery, genomic sequence characterization and phylogenetic analysis of novel RNA viruses
in the turfgrass pathogenic *Colletotrichum* spp. in Japan. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 2572.

— Hough, B.; Steenkamp, E.; Wingfield, B.; Read, D. Fungal viruses unveiled: A comprehensive
review of mycoviruses. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 1202.

— Jacquat, A.G.; Theumer, M.G.; Dambolena, J.S. Putative Mitoviruses without In-Frame
UGA(W) Codons: Evolutionary Implications. *Viruses* **2023**, *15*, 340.

— Jaillon, O.; Aury, J.M.; Noel, B.; Policriti, A.; Clepet, C.; Casagrande, A.; Choisne, N.;
Aubourg, S.; Vitulo, N.; Vezzi, J.C.; et al. The grapevine genome sequence suggests ancestral
hexaploidization in major angiosperm phyla. *Nature* **2007**, *449*, 463–467.

— Jia, J.; Fu, Y.; Jiang, D.; Mu, F.; Cheng, J.; Lin, Y.; Li, B.; Marzano, S.-Y.L.; Xie, J. Interannual
Dynamics, Diversity and Evolution of the Virome in *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* from a Single
Crop Field. *Virus Evol.* **2021**, *7*, veab032.

— Jiāng, D.; Ayllón, M.A.; Marzano, S.-Y.L.; Kondō, H.; Turina, M. ICTV Virus Taxonomy
Profile: *Mymonaviridae* 2022. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2022**, *103*, 001787.

— Jo, Y.; Choi, H.; Chu, H.; Cho, W.K. Unveiling Mycoviromes Using Fungal Transcriptomes. *Int.*
J. Mol. Sci. **2022**, *23*, 10926.

— Katoh, K.; Standley, D.M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7:
Improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2013**, *30*, 772–780.

— Kondo, H.; Hisano, S.; Chiba, S.; Maruyama, K.; Andika, I.B.; Toyoda, K.; Fujimori, F.; Suzuki,
N. Sequence and phylogenetic analyses of novel totivirus-like double-stranded RNAs from field-
collected powdery mildew fungi. *Virus Res.* **2016**, *219*, 353–364.

— Kondo, H.; Botella, L.; Suzuki, N. Mycovirus Diversity and Evolution Revealed/Inferred from
Recent Studies. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* **2022**, *60*, 307–336.

— Koonin, E.V. The Phylogeny of RNA-Dependent RNA Polymerases of Positive-Strand RNA
Viruses. *J. Gen. Virol.* **1991**, *72*, 2197–2206.

— Kopylova, E.; Noé, L.; Touzet, H. SortMeRNA: Fast and accurate filtering of ribosomal RNAs
in metatranscriptomic data. *Bioinformatics* **2012**, *28*, 3211–3217.

— Kotta-Loizou, I. Mycoviruses and Their Role in Fungal Pathogenesis. *Curr. Opin.*
Microbiol. **2021**, *63*, 10–18.

— Kuhn, J., Adkins, S., Brown, K., De la Torre, J.C., Digiaro, M., et al. ICTV Virus Taxonomy
Profile: Discoviridae 2023. *J Gen Virol,* **2023,** *104,* 001926.

— Kuhn, J.H.; Brown, K.; Adkins, S.; de la Torre, J.C.; Digiaro, M.; Ergunay, K.; Firth, A.E.;
Hughes, H.R.; Junglen, S.; Lambert, A.J.; et al. Promotion of order Bunyavirales to class
Bunyaviricetes to accommodate a rapidly increasing number of related polyploviricotine
viruses. *J. Virol.* **2024,** *98,* e0106924.

— Le Gall, O.; Christian, P.; Fauquet, C.M.; King, A.M.; Knowles, N.J.; Nakashima, N.; Stanway,
G.; Gorbalenya, A.E. Picornavirales, a proposed order of positive-sense single-stranded RNA
viruses with a pseudo-T = 3 virion architecture. *Arch. Virol.* **2008,** *153,* 715–727.

— Leventhal, S.S.; Wilson, D.; Feldmann, H.; Hawman, D.W. A Look into Bunyavirales Genomes:
Functions of Non-Structural (NS) Proteins. *Viruses* **2021,** *13,* 314.

— Li, K.; Zheng, D.; Cheng, J.; Chen, T.; Fu, Y.; Jiang, D.; Xie, J. Characterization of a
novel *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* RNA virus as the prototype of a new proposed family within the
order Tymovirales. *Virus Res.* **2016,** *219,* 92–99.

— Li, H.; Bian, R.; Liu, Q.; Yang, L.; Pang, T.; Salaipeth, L.; Andika, I.B.; Kondo, H.; Sun, L.
Identification of a novel hypovirulence-inducing hypovirus from *Alternaria alternata. Front.*
Microbiol. **2019,** *10,* 1076.

— Li, Y.; Zhou, M.; Yang, Y.; Liu, Q.; Zhang, Z.; Han, C.; Wang, Y. Characterization of the
mycovirome from the plant-pathogenic fungus Cercospora beticola. *Viruses* **2021,** *13,* 1915.

— Liang, Z.J.; Hua, H.H.; Wu, C.Y.; Zhou, T.; Wu, X.H. A botybirnavirus isolated from *Alternaria*
tenuissima confers hypervirulence and decreased sensitivity of its host fungus to
difenoconazole. *Viruses* **2022,** *14,* 2093.

— Liu, C., Jiang, X., Tan, Z., Wang, R., Shang, Q. Li, H. et al. An Outstandingly Rare Occurrence
of Mycoviruses in Soil Strains of the Plant-Beneficial Fungi from the Genus Trichoderma and a
Novel Polymycoviridae Isolate. **2023A,** *Microbiol Spec, 11*(3), e05228-22.

— Liu, H.Z.; Zhang, Y.F.; Liu, Y.Y.; Xiao, J.B.; Huang, Z.J.; Li, Y.F.; Li, H.P.; Li, P.F. Virome
analysis of an ectomycorrhizal fungus *Suillus luteus* revealing potential evolutionary
implications. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2023B,** *13,* 1229859.

— Lozier, Z., Hill, L., Semmann, E., Miller, W. A. A proposed new Tombusviridae genus featuring
extremely long 5'untranslated regions and a luteo/polerovirus-like gene block. *Front*
Virol, **2024,** *4,* 1422934.

— Lu, X.; Dai, Z.; Xue, J.; Li, W.; Ni, P.; Xu, J.; Zhou, C.; Zhang, W. Discovery of Novel RNA
Viruses through Analysis of Fungi-Associated next-Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC*
Genom. **2024,** *25,* 517.

— Marais, A.; Faure, C.; Comont, G.; Candresse, T.; Stempien, E.; Corio-Costet, M.F.
Characterization of the mycovirome of the phytopathogenic fungus, *Neofusicoccum*
parvum. Viruses **2021,** *13,* 375.

— Marzano, S.-Y.L.; Domier, L.L. Novel mycoviruses discovered from metatranscriptomics survey
of soybean phyllosphere phytobiomes. *Virus Res.* **2016,** *213,* 332–342.

—   Mifsud, J.C.; Gallagher, R.V.; Holmes, E.C.; Geoghegan, J.L. Transcriptome Mining Expands Knowledge of RNA Viruses across the Plant Kingdom. *J. Virol.* **2022**, *96*, e00260-22.

—   Morán, F., Olmos, A., Candresse, T., Ruiz-García, A. B. Complete Genome Characterization of Penicillimonavirus gammaplasmoparae, a Bipartite Member of the Family Mymonaviridae. *Plants*, **2023** *12*, 3300.

—   Mu, F.; Li, B.; Cheng, S.; Jia, J.; Jiang, D.; Fu, Y.; Cheng, J.; Lin, Y.; Chen, T.; Xie, J. Nine viruses from eight lineages exhibiting new evolutionary modes that co-infect a hypovirulent phytopathogenic fungus. *PLOS Pathog.* **2021**, *17*, e1009823.

—   Nerva, L.; Zanzotto, A.; Gardiman, M.; Gaiotti, F.; Chitarra, W. Soil microbiome analysis in an ESCA diseased vineyard. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **2019A**, *135*, 60–70.

—   Nerva, L.; Turina, M.; Zanzotto, A.; Gardiman, M.; Gaiotti, F.; Gambino, G.; Chitarra, W. Isolation, molecular characterization and virome analysis of culturable wood fungal endophytes in esca symptomatic and asymptomatic grapevine plants. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2019B**, *21*, 2886–2904.

—   Paolinelli, M.; Escoriaza, G.; Cesari, C.; Garcia-Lampasona, S.; Hernandez-Martinez, R. Characterization of Grapevine Wood Microbiome through a Metatranscriptomic Approach. *Microb. Ecol.* **2022**, *83*, 658-668.

—   Ran, H.; Liu, L.; Li, B.; Cheng, J.; Fu, Y.; Jiang, D.; Xie, J. Co-infection of a hypovirulent isolate of *Sclerotinia sclerotiorum* with a new botybirnavirus and a strain of a mitovirus. *Virol. J.* **2016**, *13*, 92.

—   Ruiz-Padilla, A.; Rodríguez-Romero, J.; Gómez-Cid, I.; Pacifico, D.; Ayllón, M.A. Novel Mycoviruses Discovered in the Mycovirome of a Necrotrophic Fungus. *MBio* **2021**, *12*, e03705-20.

—   Sadiq, S.; Chen, Y.M.; Zhang, Y.Z.; Holmes, E.C. Resolving deep evolutionary relationships within the RNA virus phylum *Lenarviricota*. *Virus Evol.* **2022**, *8*, veac055.

—   Sato, Y.; Shahi, S.; Telengech, P.; Hisano, S.; Cornejo, C.; Rigling, D.; Kondo, H.; Suzuki, N. A New Tetra-Segmented Splipalmivirus with Divided RdRP Domains from *Cryphonectria naterciae*, a Fungus Found on Chestnut and Cork Oak Trees in Europe. *Virus Res.* **2022**, *307*, 198606.

—   Simmonds, P.; Adriaenssens, E.M.; Lefkowitz, E.J.; Oksanen, H.M.; Siddell, S.G.; Zerbini, F.M.; Alfenas-Zerbini, P.; Aylward, F.O.; Dempsey, D.M.; Dutilh, B.E.; et al. Changes to virus taxonomy and the ICTV Statutes ratified by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses. *Arch. Virol.* **2024**, *169*, 236.

—   Sutela, S.; Forgia, M.; Vainio, E.J.; Chiapello, M.; Daghino, S.; Vallino, M.; Martino, E.; Girlanda, M.; Perotto, S.; Turina, M. The Virome from a Collection of Endomycorrhizal Fungi Reveals New Viral Taxa with Unprecedented Genome Organization. *Virus Evol.* **2020**, *6*, veaa076.

—   Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Kumar, S. MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 11. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2021**, *38*, 3022–3027.

— Theis, K.R.; Dheilly, N.M.; Klassen, J.L.; Brucker, R.M.; Baines, J.F.; Bosch, T.C.; Cryan, J.F.;
Gilbert, S.F.; Goodnight, C.J.; Lloyd, E.A.; et al. Getting the Hologenome Concept Right: An
Eco-Evolutionary Framework for Hosts and Their Microbiomes. *mSystems* **2016**, *1*, e00028-16.

— Urzo, M. L. R., Guinto, T. D., Eusebio-Cope, A., Budot, B. O., Yanoria, M. J. T., Jonson, G. B.,
et al. Metatranscriptomic sequencing of sheath blight-associated isolates of rhizoctonia solani
revealed multi-infection by diverse groups of RNA viruses. **2024**, *Viruses*, *16*, 1152.

— Vainio, E.J.; Chiba, S.; Ghabrial, S.A.; Maiss, E.; Roossinck, M.; Sabanadzovic, S.; Suzuki, N.;
Xie, J.; Nibert, M. ICTV virus taxonomy profile: Partitiviridae. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2018**, *99*, 17.

— Vayssier-Taussat, M.; Albina, E.; Citti, C.; Cosson, J.-F.; Jacques, M.-A.; Lebrun, M.; Le Loir,
Y.; Ogliastro, M.; Petit, M.-A.; Roumagnac, P.; et al. Shifting the paradigm from pathogens to
pathobiome: New concepts in the light of meta-omics. *Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol.* **2014**, *4*,
29.

— Vazquez, A.L.; Alonso, J.M.M.; Parra, F. Mutation analysis of the GDD sequence motif of a
calicivirus RNA-dependent RNA polymerase. *J. Virol.* **2000**, *74*, 3888–3891.

— Villan Larios, D.C.; Diaz Reyes, B.M.; Pirovani, C.P.; Loguercio, L.L.; Santos, V.C.; Góes-
Neto, A.; Fonseca, P.L.C.; Aguiar, E.R.G.R. Exploring the mycovirus universe: Identification,
diversity, and biotechnological applications. *J. Fungi* **2023**, *9*, 361.

— Wang, H.; Li, C.; Cai, L.; Fang, S.; Zheng, L.; Yan, F.; Zhang, S.; Liu, Y. The complete
genomic sequence of a novel botybirnavirus isolated from a phytopathogenic *Bipolaris*
maydis. *Virus Genes* **2018**, *54*, 733–736.

— Wang, Q.; Mu, F.; Xie, J.; Cheng, J.; Fu, Y.; Jiang, D. A single ssRNA segment encoding RdRp
is sufficient for replication, infection, and transmission of ourmia-like virus in fungi. *Front.*
Microbiol. **2020**, *11*, 379.

— Wang, Y.; Xu, Z.; Hai, D.; Huang, H.; Cheng, J.; Fu, Y.; Lin, Y.; Jiang, D.; Xie, J. Mycoviromic
analysis unveils complex virus composition in a hypovirulent strain of *Sclerotinia*
sclerotiorum. *J. Fungi* **2022**, *8*, 649.

— Wickner R. B.; Ghabrial S. A.; Nibert M. L.; Patterson J. L.; Wang C. C. **2012**. "Family
Totiviridae," in *Virus Taxonomy: Classification and Nomenclature of Viruses: Ninth Report of*
the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses, eds King A. M. Q., Adams M. J., Carstens
E. B., Lefkowits E. J. (London: Elsevier Academic Press), 639–650.

— Wolf, Y.I.; Kazlauskas, D.; Iranzo, J.; Lucía-Sanz, A.; Kuhn, J.H.; Krupovic, M.; Dolja, V.V.;
Koonin, E.V. Origins and Evolution of the Global RNA Virome. *Mbio* **2018**, *9*, e02329-18.

— Wolf, Y.I.; Koonin, E.V.; Krupovic, M.; Kuhn, J.H. ICTV Virus Taxonomy
Profile: *Qinviridae* 2023. *J. Gen. Virol.* **2023**, *104*, 001905.

— Wu, M.; Jin, F.; Zhang, J.; Yang, L.; Jiang, D.; Li, G. Characterization of a Novel Bipartite
Double-Stranded RNA Mycovirus Conferring Hypovirulence in the Phytopathogenic
Fungus *Botrytis porri*. *Virol. J* **2012**, *86*, 6605–6619.

— Wu, C. F., Okada, R., Neri, U., Chang, Y. C., Ogawara, T., Kitaura, K., et al. Identification of a
novel mycovirus belonging to the "flexivirus"-related family with icosahedral virion. **2024**,
Virus Evol, *10*, veae093.

— Wu, C., Regedanz, E., Mathew, F., Kashyap, R., Mohan, K., Marzano, S-Y. L. Mycovirome of
Diaporthe helianthi and D. gulyae, causal agents of Phomopsis stem canker of sunflower
(Helianthus annuus L.). Virus Res. **2025**, *351*, 199521.

— Xiang, J.; Fu, M.; Hong, N.; Zhai, L.; Xiao, F.; Wang, G. Characterization of a novel
botybirnavirus isolated from a phytopathogenic *Alternaria* fungus. *Arch. Virol.* **2017**, *162*, 3907–
3911.

— Xiao, J.B.; Wang, X.; Zheng, Z.R.; Wu, Y.G.; Wang, Z.; Li, H.P.; Li, P.F. Molecular
characterization of a novel deltaflexivirus infecting the edible fungus *Pleurotus ostreatus*. *Arch.*
Virol. **2023**, *168*, 162.

— Xie J., Jiang D. Understanding the diversity, evolution, ecology, and applications of
mycoviruses. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **2024**, *78*, 595–620.

— Xu, Z., Gao, Y. N., Teng, K., Ge, H., Zhang, X., Wu, M., et al. Identification and Genome
Characterization of a Novel Virus within the Genus Totivirus from Chinese Bayberry (Myrica
rubra). *Viruses*, **2024**, *16*, 283.

— Yao, Z.; Zou, C.; Peng, N.; Zhu, Y.; Bao, Y.; Zhou, Q.; Wu, Q.; Chen, B.; Zhang, M. Virome
Identification and Characterization of Fusarium sacchari and F. andiyazi: Causative Agents of
Pokkah Boeng Disease in Sugarcane. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 240.

— Ye, L., Shi, X., He, Y., Chen, J., Xu, Q., Shafik, K., et al. A novel botybirnavirus with a unique
satellite dsRNA causes latent infection in Didymella theifolia isolated from tea plants. *Microbiol*
Spec, **2023**, *11*, e00033-23.

— Zell, R.; Groth, M.; Selinka, L.; Selinka, H.C. Picorna-like viruses of the Havel River,
Germany. *Front. Microbiol.* **2022**, *13*, 865287.

— Zhai, L.; Yang, M.; Zhang, M.; Hong, N.; Wang, G. Characterization of a Botybirnavirus
Conferring Hypovirulence in the Phytopathogenic Fungus *Botryosphaeria*
dothidea. Viruses **2019**, *11*, 266.

— Zheng, L.; Lu, X.; Liang, X.; Jiang, S.; Zhao, J.; Zhan, G.; Liu, P.; Wu, J.; Kang, Z. Molecular
characterization of novel Totivirus-like double-stranded RNAs from *Puccinia striiformis* f.
sp.tritici, the causal agent of wheat stripe rust. *Front. Microbiol.* **2017**, *8*, 1960.

— Zhong, J.; Li, P.; Gao, B.D.; Zhong, S.Y.; Li, X.G.; Hu, Z.; Zhu, J.Z. Novel and diverse
mycoviruses co-infecting a single strain of the phytopathogenic fungus *Alternaria*
dianthicola. Front. Cell. Infect. Microbiol. **2022**, *12*, 980970.

1218

Figure legends

Figure 1 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
associated ambiguivirus 1-3. **(B)** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp
protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 1-3 and of representative
ambiguiviruses. Tombusvirids sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown
(1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 1-3 are indicated with a green
square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1226

Figure 2 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated ourmia-like virus 1-24. **(B)** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the

RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 1-24 and of

representative botourmiaviruses. Mitovirus sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above

50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 1-24 are

indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1233

Figure 3 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated deltaflexivirus 1. **(B)** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the

replication-associated polyprotein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus 1-3

and of representative deltaflexiviruses. Gammaflexivirus sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap

values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus

1-3 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1240

1241 **Figure 4 (A)** Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

1242 associated hypovirus. **(B)** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the replication-

1243 associated polyprotein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated hypovirus and of representative

1244 hypoviruses. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome

1245 associated hypovirus is indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1246

Figure 5 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated mitovirus 1-6. **(B)** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp

protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 1-6 and of representative

mitoviruses. Narnavirus sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000

replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 1-6 are indicated with a green square.

The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1253

Figure 6 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated narna-like virus 1-5. **(B)** Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine

wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 7-11. **(C)** Schematic representation of the genome

organization of grapevine wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 6. **(D)** Maximum likelihood

phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome

associated narna-like virus 1-11 and of representative narnavirids. Botourmiavirus sequences were used

as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome

associated ambiguivirus narna-like virus 1-11 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the

substitution per site.

1263

Figure 7 (A) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated narna-like virus 12-16 and 18-19. **(B)** Schematic representation of the genome organization of

grapevine wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 17 and 20-22. **(C)** Maximum likelihood

phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome

associated narna-like virus 11-22 and of representative splipalmiviruses. Narnavirus and Botourmiavirus

sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The

grapevine wood holobiome associated narna-like virus 11-22 are indicated with a green square. The scale

bar shows the substitution per site.

1272

Figure 8 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated picorna-like virus 1 and 3 (**B**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of

grapevine wood holobiome associated picorna-like virus 2 and 4-5. (**C**) Maximum likelihood

phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome

associated picorna-like virus 1-5 and of representative picornavirids. Bootstrap values above 50% are

shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome associated picorna-like virus 1-5 are indicated

with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1280

Figure 9 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated tombus-like virus. (**B**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp

protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated tombus-like virus and of representative

tombusvirids. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome

associated tombus-like virus is indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per

site.

1287

Figure 10 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated bunya-like virus 1. (**B**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine

wood holobiome associated bunya-like virus 2. (**C**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed

using the RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated bunya-like virus 1-2 and of

representative bunyavirids. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine

wood holobiome associated bunya-like virus 1-2 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows

the substitution per site.

1295

Figure 11 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome

associated discovirus 1. (**B**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood

holobiome associated discovirus 2. (**C**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the

RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated discovirus 1 and of representative

discoviruses. (**D**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the NC protein sequence of

grapevine wood holobiome associated discovirus 1-2 and of representative discoviruses. Phenuivirids

sequences were used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The

grapevine wood holobiome associated discovirus 1-2 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar

shows the substitution per site.

1305

Figure 12 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
associated mononegaambi virus 1-2. (**B**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the
RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated mononegaambi virus 1-2 and of
representative mymonaviruses. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine
wood holobiome associated mononegaambi virus 1-2 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar
shows the substitution per site.

1312

1313 **Figure 13** (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
1314 associated mycoophiovirus 1-2. (**B**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the
1315 RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated mycoophiovirus 1-2 and of
1316 representative fungi and plant-associated aspivirids. The grapevine wood holobiome associated
1317 mycoophiovirus 1-2 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1318

Figure 14 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
associated yue-like virus. (**B**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp
protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated yue-like virus and of representative yue-like
and qin-like viruses. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood
holobiome associated yue-like virus is indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution
per site.

1325

Figure 15 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
associated botybirnavirus. (**B**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood
holobiome associated totivirus. (**C**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the RdRp
protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated botybirnavirus, grapevine wood holobiome
associated totivirus, and of representative botybirnaviruses and totiviruses. Chrysovirids sequences were
used as outgroup. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood
holobiome associated botybirnavirus and grapevine wood holobiome associated totivirus are indicated
with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

1334

Figure 16 (**A**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood holobiome
associated partitivirus 1. (**B**) Schematic representation of the genome organization of grapevine wood
holobiome associated partitivirus 2. (**C**) Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees reconstructed using the
RdRp protein sequence of grapevine wood holobiome associated partitivirus 1-2 and of representative
partitiviruses. Bootstrap values above 50% are shown (1000 replicates). The grapevine wood holobiome
associated partitivirus 1-2 are indicated with a green square. The scale bar shows the substitution per site.

A

ORF1   ORF2

5´ ☐ **HP** UAG ☐ **RdRp** ☐ 3´

B

87 ┌ Phoma matteucciicola RNA virus 1 MT590656
62 └ Periconia macrospinosa ambiguivirus 1 MK279507
98 ┌ Diplodia seriata ambiguivirus 1 OM837806
100 └ Erysiphe necator associated ambiguivirus 1 MN627441
100 ┌ Sanya tombus-like virus 8 OM514410
100 └ Colletotrichum higginsianum ssRNA virus 1 MW218984
┌ Erysiphe necator associated umbra-like virus 4 MN627470
100 ├ Soybean leaf-associated ssRNA virus 2 KT598234
99 └ Soybean leaf-associated ssRNA virus 3 KT598243
┌ Setosphaeria turcica ambiguivirus 1 MK279508
86 65 └ Magnaporthe oryzae RNA virus MW752186
Penicillium brevicompactum ssRNA virus 1 MG887748
100 ┌ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum umbra-like virus 3 OK573453
100 ├ Botrytis cinerea umbra-like virus 2 OP289104
└ Monilinia umbra-like virus 1 MT681745
63 65 ┌ Ripopyrd virus PP173348
├ Trichoderma harzianum ambiguivirus 1 MK279509
99 ├ Fusarium culmorum ambiguivirus 1 PP971521
88 └ Diaphorte ambigua RNA virus 1 AF142094
Verticillium dahliae RNA virus KY563742
70 ┌ Setosphaeria turcica ambiguivirus 2 OQ589843
76 └ Verticillium longisporum ambiguivirus 1 MK279510
96 Macrophomina phaseolina single-stranded RNA virus 3 KP900898
97 92 ┌ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ambiguivirus 1 MN551110
100 └ Erysiphe necator associated ambiguivirus 3 MN627481
🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 1
┌ Erysiphales associated ambiguivirus 1 MN609869
├ Guiyang tombus-like virus 3 OM514409
95 🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 2
└ Erysiphe necator associated ambiguivirus 2 MN627469
Alternaria dianthicola umbra-like virus 1 ON843758
75 rice tombus-like virus 3 MT317175
100 ┌ Diaporthe helianthi umbravirus 1 OR224981
└ Soybean leaf-associated ssRNA virus 1 KT598231
🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated ambiguivirus 3
99 rice tombus-like virus 2 MT317173
69 Nigrospora oryzae umbra-like virus 1 PQ014736
Grapevine-associated tombus-like virus 4 MW648535
Botrytis cinerea umbra-like virus 1 MN625251
┌ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum umbra-like virus 1 MW454908
100 ├ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum umbra-like virus 2 MT230951
100 └ Botrytis cinerea umbra-like virus 3 OR180510
Macrophomina phaseolina single-stranded RNA virus 1 MT062435
100 ┌ Alternaria dianthicola umbra-like virus 2 ON843759
100 └ Phoma matteucciicola RNA virus 2 MW970051

Ambiguiviridae

61 ┌ carrot mottle mimic virus MW848525
├ Patrinia mild mottle virus MH922775
└ carrot mottle virus OR762542
100 ┌ pea enation mosaic virus 2 MW961148
├ Opium poppy mosaic virus MG182693
81 ├ groundnut rosette virus MG646922
100 └ Ethiopian tobacco bushy top virus MT225089

Tombusviridae

├─┤
0.20

A

5′ [RdRp] 3′

B

100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 30 MN532617	
100 — Cladosporium cladosporioides ourmia-like virus 1 OP594487	
Alternaria alternata magoulivirus 1 MW492539	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 3	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 14	
98 — Changdu Botou tick virus 1 ON746371	
Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 5 OM514633	
99 — Lwood associated botourmia-like virus 28 OQ987129	
100 — Sonafoz virus PP173860	
Aspergillus fumigatus botourmiavirus 1 LC553699	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 19	
89 — Sonacir virus PP173851	
62 — Sonazyx virus PP173846	
99 — Suillus luteus botourmiavirus 3 OQ862555	
97 — Chronabys virus PP172196	
85 — Sopagat virus PP174088	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 9	
Chrocadyst virus PP171832	
59 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 2	
100 — Lwood associated botourmia-like virus 25 OQ987127	
74 — Lwood associated botourmia-like virus 3 OQ987112	
54 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 14 MN532601	
100 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 86 MN611614	
Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 73 MN611601	*Magoulivirus*
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 5	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 11	
100 — Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 3 OM514622	
Hulunbuir Botou tick virus 8 ON746359	
Cladosporium cladosporioides ourmia-like virus 2 MK584847	
100 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 66 MN611594	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 8 ON812894	
Aspergillus flavus magoulivirus 1 MZ600058	
54 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 4	
70 — Guiyang botourmia-like virus 1 OM514634	
Mansourli virus 1 BK059756	
100 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 117 MN611644	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 22 MN532609	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 23	
60 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 93 MN611621	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 8	
74 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 51 MN611579	
90 — Patollo virus MW434077	
99 — Pikulas virus MW434081	
99 — Ustilaginoidea virens botourmiavirus 1 ON791656	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 80 MN532667	
Botrytis cinerea ourmia-like virus 5 MN605471	*Deltascleroulivirus*
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 64 MN532651	
Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 45 MN532632	*Epsilonscleroulivirus*
99 — Magnaporthe oryzae botourmiavirus 7 MN971592	
99 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 34 MN532621	
15 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 38 MN532625	
86 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 35 MN532622	*Betascleroulivirus*
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 39 MN532626	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 49 MN532636	
97 — Magnaporthe oryzae botourmiavirus 6 MN971591	*Gammascleroulivirus*
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 50 MN532637	
87 — Cladosporium uredinicola ourmiavirus 1 MK584840	
93 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 47 MN532634	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 74 MN532661	
100 — Sonazoj virus PP173844	
89 — Suillus luteus botourmiavirus 1 OQ862553	*Scleroulivirus*
76 — Hulunbuir Botou tick virus 3 ON746352	
93 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 22	
97 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 18	
66 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 1	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 62 MN532649	
93 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 115 MN611642	
65 — Aspergillus creber ourmiavirus 1 LC648942	
65 — Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. cubense ourmia-like virus 4 OQ685969	
100 — Dracophyllum associated botourmia-like virus 108 OQ987085	
82 — Dracophyllum associated botourmia-like virus 123 OQ987079	
Dracophyllum associated botourmia-like virus 74 OQ987054	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 21	
Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 91 MN611619	
Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 111 MN611638	
96 — moss associated botourmia-like virus 95 OQ987224	*Penoulivirus*
81 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 10	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 82 MN532669	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 12	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 84 ON812876	
Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 85 ON812880	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 20	
Magnaporthe oryzae botourmiavirus 5 MW752189	
Monilinia fructicola botourmiavirus 11 ON038398	
88 — Colletotrichum camelliae botourmiavirus 1 MZ367377	
100 — Pyricularia oryzae ourmia-like virus 1 LC413501	
100 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 62 ON813013	
64 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 52 MN532639	
92 — Sanya botourmia-like virus 2 OM514615	
Sanya botourmia-like virus 4 OM514617	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 55 MN532642	
Sanya botourmia-like virus 5 OM514618	*Betabotoulivirus*
72 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 6	
98 — 99 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 13	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 53 MN532640	
Hangzhou botourmia-like virus 1 OM514610	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 54 MN532641	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 7	
Phomopsis vexans ourmia-like virus 1 MZ044287	
▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 16	
91 — Pestalotiopsis botourmiavirus 3 MW017457	
100 — Leptosphaeria biglobosa botourmiavirus 2 OP441680	
100 — Chrocasusst virus PP172053	
100 — Tianjin Botou tick virus 2 ON746360	
Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 81 MN532668	
100 — Plasmopara viticola lesion associated ourmia-like virus 3 MN532590	
76 — Botrytis cinerea ourmia-like virus 14 MN605480	
92 — grapevine associated botourmia-like virus 7 MW648434	*Botoulivirus*
78 — 100 — Erysiphe necator associated ourmia-like virus 102 MN611630	
Apis mellifera associated ourmia-like virus 1 PQ408677	
94 — 100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 24	
100 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 15	
35 ▪ Grapevine wood holobiome associated ourmia-like virus 17	
100 — Lwood associated botourmia-like virus 35 OQ987136	
57 — moss associated botourmia-like virus 44 OQ987180	
75 — Streptophyte associated botourmia-like virus 17 OQ987371	
100 — Streptophyte associated botourmia-like virus 3 OQ987070	
100 — Epirus cherry virus EU770620	
cassava virus C FJ157981	*Ourmiavirus*
95 — Ourmia melon virus EU770621	
Rhizoctonia solani ourmia-like virus 5 MK372909	→ *Betarhizoulivirus*
86 — Rhizoctonia solani ourmia-like virus 4 MK372908	
100 — Rhizoctonia solani ourmia-like virus 3 MK372907	
100 — Rhizoctonia solani ourmia-like virus 1 KP900922	*Rhizoulivirus*
81 — Rhizoctonia solani ourmia-like virus 2 MK372906	
100 — Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 114 OM984437	
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 47 MZ043931	
100 — Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 110 OM984433	
97 — Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 15 KP900910	*Mitoviridae*
100 — Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 37 MK372904	
100 — Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 70 MZ043955	

0.10

A

ORF1

5´ — Mtr — Hel — RdRp — ORF2 — ORF4 — 3´
ORF3 — ORF5

B

```
       67   🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus 2
        90  soybean leaf-associated mycoflexivirus 1 KT598226
            Sclerotinia sclerotiorum deltaflexivirus 1 OK001448
     100    Qingyuan delta tick virus 1 ON746386
            Leptosphaeria biglobosa deltaflexivirus 1 OP441686
  100  100  Alternaria alternata deltaflexivirus 1 ON811608
       100  Agrostis stolonifera deltaflexivirus 1 ON811605
            Sichuan deltaflexi-like virus 2 MW897317
        88  Sichuan deltaflexi-like virus 1 MW897316
       100
            Hainan deltaflexi-like virus MW897319
     100    sesame deltaflexivirus 1 MW328747
            Chronofand virus PP172248
     100    Fusarium graminearum deltaflexivirus 1 KX015962
            Agave tequilana deltaflexivirus 1 MW328745
        58  Diaphorte gulyae deltaflexivirus 1 OR224977
    100  90 Xinjiang deltaflexi-like virus 1 MW897320
       100
  100       Botrytis cinerea deltaflexivirus 1 OL602089
       100  Sclerotinia sclerotiorum deltaflexivirus 3 OK573454
            Sichuan deltaflexi-like virus 3 MW897318
            Leptosphaeria biglobosa deltaflexivirus 2 OP441687
   78       Botrytis cinerea deltaflexivirus 2 OL602088
   68       Botrytis cinerea deltaflexivirus 4 OR195696
   87  100
100    95   Sclerotinia sclerotiorum deltaflexivirus 2 MH299810
            Agaricus bisporus virus 9 KY357494
            Trametes hirsuta deltaflexivirus 2 PP105129
            Pleurotus ostreatus deltaflexivirus 1 OQ295989
   51       Lentinula edodes deltaflexivirus 2 MN744724
   100
            Suillus luteus deltaflexivirus 1 OQ862559
       85   Lentinula edodes deltaflexivirus 1 MN744723
            Trametes hirsuta deltaflexivirus 1 PP105128
            🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus 3
   100      Aspergillus flavus deltaflexivirus 1 LC763238
       10   Erysiphe necator associated flexivirus 2 MN627443
   90  67   Riposlop virus PP173552
       91   Erysiphe necator associated flexivirus 3 MN627459
        99  Fusarium deltaflexivirus 2 LC722819
        85  Calypogeia fissa associated deltaflexivirus OW524920
        63
            🟩 Grapevine wood holobiome associated deltaflexivirus 1
            grapevine-associated RNA virus 7 MW648528
            Erysiphe necator associated flexivirus 1 MN627466
        58  Pestalotiopsis deltaflexivirus 1 MW017460
       100  Neopestalotiopsis nebuloides deltaflexivirus 1 PP882846
   100      Entoleuca gammaflexivirus 1 MF375883
            Entoleuca gammflexivirus 2 MK605686
            Botrytis virus F OM928008
100    100  Sclerotinia sclerotiorum gammaflexivirus 1 MT646392
```

Deltaflexiviridae — Group A / Group B

Gammaflexiviridae

├──┤
0.50

A

5′ ┤ [Duf   RdRp   Hel   ] ├ 3′

B

91
  ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated hypovirus
  Beticola hypovirus 1 MZ546195
93
  Nigrospora oryzae hypovirus 1 LC637866
98
  Fusarium sacchari hypovirus 1 PP464955
98
89
  Pestalotiopsis fici hypovirus 1 OP441373
  Alternaria alternata hypovirus 1 MK189193
59
  Erysiphe necator associated hypovirus 2 MN558694
75
100
  Trichoderma asperellum hypovirus 1 MK279475
  Sclerotinia sclerotiorum hypovirus 9 MW454884
100
  Fusarium sambucinum hypovirus 1 LC596823
100
  Fusarium poae hypovirus 2 OK524196
100
  Fusarium graminearum hypovirus 1 MK279472
100
  Fusarium incarnatum hypovirus 1 ON861828
  Trichoderma harzianum hypovirus 2 MW863664
  Bipolaris oryzae hypovirus 1 MH316122
65
100
  Cryphonectria hypovirus 1 MF431593
  Cryphonectria hypovirus 2 L29010
94
  Botrytis cinerea hypovirus 2 MN617169
100
  Macrophomina phaseolina hypovirus 2 MT062425
91
100
  Macrophomina phaseolina hypovirus 1 KP900893
  Rhizoctonia solani hypovirus 5 MW574440

Alphahypovirus

55
  Suillus luteus hypovirus 2 OQ862557
  Sclerotium rolfsii hypovirus 8 MH766503

Etahypovirus

70
100
100
  Rosellinia necratix hypovirus 2 LC333733
  Entoleuca hypovirus 1 MF536691
  Sclerotinia homeocarpa hypovirus 1 MK279473
100
  Agaricus bisporus virus 2 KY357506
95
  Rosellinia necratix hypovirus 1 LC318482
100
  Fusarium langsethiae hypovirus 1 KY120321
100
  Fusarium graminearum hypovirus 2 MZ969064

Epsilonhypovirus

100
  Botrytis cinerea hypovirus 4 MN617171
  Rhizoctonia solani hypovirus 1 MK558259
100
  Sclerotinia sclerotiorum hypovirus 2 MH347276
100
  Sclerotium rolfsii hypovirus 1 MH037014

Thetahypovirus

100
  Sclerotium rolfsii hypovirus 2 MH766497
  Sclerotium rolfsii hypovirus 3 MH766498

Gammahypovirus

100
  Beihai hypo-like virus 1 KX883006
94
100
  Beihai sipunculid worm virus 6 KX883005

Deltahypovirus

  Rhizoctonia cereales zetahypovirus OQ999720 → *Zetahypovirus*

99
94
  Cryphonectria hypovirus 4 AY307099
  Fusarium oxysporum dianthi hypovirus 2 MN176979
100
  Trichoderma harzianum hypovirus 1 MN172262
100
  Sclerotinia sclerotiorum hypovirus 1 JF781304
95
  Botrytis cinerea hypovirus 1 MG554632
100
  Valsa ceratosperma hypovirus 1 AB690372
100
  Valsa pyri hypovirus 1 PP999648
97
  Cryphonectria hypovirus 3 AF188515

Betahypovirus

├──┤
0.10

A

5′ ▭ **RdRp** ▭ 3′

B

Plasmopara vitcola lesion associated mitovirus 49 MN539810
Sichuan mountain mitovirus 5 ON001671
Exserohilum turcicum mitovirus 4 PP926284
Fusarium boothii mitovirus 1 LC425113
Plasmopara vitcola lesion associated mitovirus 50 MN539811
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 2
Hainan mito-like virus 26 ON001561
Lagenaria siceraria associated mitovirus MZ032029
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 5
Sichuan mountain mitovirus 12 ON001630
Guangxi mito-like virus 19 ON001564
Sclerotinia sclerotiorum mitovirus 29 MF444259
Erysiphe necator associated mitovirus 24 MN611670
Thrips tabaci associated mitovirus 3 MN714686
Leptosphaeria biglobosa mitovirus 6 OP441675
Alternaria alternata mitovirus 2 PP965308
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 114 OM984437
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 47 MZ043931
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 88 OM984461
grapevine associated mitovirus 10 MW648457
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 1
Yunnan mito-like virus 55 ON001484
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 110 OM984433
Yunnan mito-like virus 46 ON001662
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 15 KP900910
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 37 MK372904
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 70 MZ043955
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 3
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 96 MZ043994
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 11 KP900906
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 58 MZ043942
Botryosphaeria dothidea mitovirus 1 MT676452
Suillus luteus mitovirus 5 OQ862549
Inner Mongolia grassland mitovirus 2 ON001666
Inner Mongolia farmland mitovirus 4 ON001505
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 4
grapevine associated mitovirus 2 MW648471
Erysiphe necator associated mitovirus 33 MN611679
Diaporthe gulyae mitovirus 3 OR224968
Henan forest mitovirus 3 ON001493
Ripafric virus PP172584
Fusarium sambucinum mitovirus 4 LC596828
■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mitovirus 6
Guangxi riverbank mitovirus 3 ON001590
Sichuan mito-like virus 37 ON001503
Henan forest mitovirus 8 ON001587
Inner Mongolia mito-like virus 23 ON001656
Henan mito-like virus 29 ON001459
Inner Mongolia mito-like virus 16 ON001557
Guangdong mito-like virus 8 ON001472

Duamitovirus

Fusarium poae mitovirus 2 LC150565
Ophiostoma mitovirus 5 AJ132755
Fusarium poae mitovirus 1 LC150564
Fusarium verticillioides mitovirus 1 MT506024
Ophistoma mitovirus 4 AJ132754

Unuamitovirus

Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 30 MK372899
Ceratobasidium mitovirus A KU291923
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 23 MK375261
Rhizoctonia solani mitovirus 39 MN448505

Triamitovirus

Alternaria dianthicola narnavirus 2 ON843757
Botrytis cinerea binarnavirus 1 MN619795
Sclerotinia sclerotiorum narnavirus 1 MW442877
Botrytis cinerea binarnavirus 3 MN619797

Narnaviridae

0.10

A

5′ — ORF1 | ORF2 RdRp | ORF3 CP | ORF5 — 3′

ORF4

B

```
    65 ┌ Chrocotusk virus PP171965
    69 ├ Chrocowerd virus PP172000
    72 ├ Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 2 MN627442
    99 ├ ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated tombus-like virus 1
    78 ├ Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 3 MN627448
       ├ Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 4 MN627461
    98 ├ Zizania latifolia tombusvirus MN728813
   100 ├ Brassica caulorapa tombusvirus MN728812
    54 ├ Hubei tombus-like virus 2 PQ054103
    95 ├ Qianjiang tombus-like virus 52 PQ054168
   100 ├ Nanning tombu tick virus 1 ON746539
    74 ├ grapevine associated tombus-like virus 1 MW648532
    72 ├ Qianjiang tombus-like virus 54 PQ054171
    98 ├ Tuatara cloaca associated tombusvirus 1 OP080581
       ├ Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 5 MN627458
    97 ├ Hubei tombus-like virus 1 KX883149
    96 ├ Tuatara cloaca associated tombusvirus 2 OP080584
   100 ├ Echinacea associated tombusvirus 1 OR808006
    99 100 ┤ Erysiphe necator associated tombus-like virus 10 MN630186
    71 ├ Taian tombu tick virus 1 ON746540
    76 ├ Qianjiang tombus-like virus 40 PQ054155
    89 ├ apple virus E MT892660
    98 ├ Qianjiang tombus-like virus 39 PQ054154
       ├ cowpea tombusvirid 1 KX599174
    73 100 ┤ apple tombus-like virus 2 MN386969
    88 ├ Fumine tombus-like virus 34 OM954066
   100 ├ Echinacea associated tombusvirus 2 OR808007
    69 ├ maize-associated tombusvirus OK018182
   100 ├ Citrullus lanatus tombusvirus MN723594
       ├ Erysiphales associated tombus-like virus 1 MN609867
    99 └ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated tombus-like virus 1 MT311687
```
                                                                          Rimosavirus

oak chlorotic stunt virus X83964 ——→ *Avenavirus*

```
    96 ┌ cucumber leaf spot virus DQ227315
    92 ├ Yam spherical virus KF482072
   100 ├ Pothos latent virus AB602348
   100 ├ Elderberry auresvirus 1 MG967280
       └ maize white line mosaic virus EF589670
```
                                                              Aureusvirus

```
   100 ┌ cucumber Bulgarian latent virus MW359100
       ├ grapevine Algerian latent virus AY830918
   100 ├ cucumber necrosis virus MZ405645
    81 ├ carnation Italian ringspot virus MT663312
   100 └ artichoke mottled crinkle virus X62493
    94
```
                                                              Tombusvirus

```
   100 ┌ sweet clover necrotic mosaic virus L07884
   100 ├ red clover necrotic mosaic virus AB034916
       └ carnation ringspot virus LC461178
```
                                                              Dianthovirus

```
   100 ┌ Nectarine stem pitting associated virus ON408233
   100 ├ soybean dwarf virus MT526793
   100 ├ bean leafroll virus OL521667
    57 ├ apple luteovirus 1 OP271662
    96 └ cherry associated luteovirus KX159470
```
                                                              Luteovirus

├─┤
0.20

A

RNA 1   3´   [NC]   [RdRp]   5´

RNA 2   3´   [HP][HP][HP][HP]   5´

B

```
100 ┌ Erysiphe necator associated negative-stranded RNA virus 6 MN617052
100 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 6 MN557001
100 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 5 MN557000
 84 ├ Cercospora beticola negative-stranded virus 3 MZ599588
100 └ Dothistroma septosporum mononegavirus 1 OR827030
    ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 9 MN557005
 98 ├ Magnaporthe oryzae mononegaambi virus 1 MW752165
 65 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 7 MN557003
100 ├ Erysiphe necator associated negative-stranded RNA virus 5 MN617042
100 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 1 MN556995
100 ├ Erysiphe necator associated negative-stranded RNA virus 2 MN617061
100 └ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 3 MN556998
100 ┌ Penicillium adametzioides negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MK584858
 78 ├ Penicillium glabrum negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MK584853
    ├ Kiln barn virus MF893248
 77 ├ Beauveria bassiana negative transcribed RNA virus 1 OR737625
100 ┌ ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mononegaambi virus 1
 71 ├ ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mononegaambi virus 2
    └ Exserohilum turcicum mymonavirus 1 PP926277
 75 ┌ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 2 MN556997
100 └ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 4 MN556999
```
Penicillimonavirus

```
100 ┌ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononegaambi virus 8 MN557004
100 ├ Erysiphe necator associated negative-stranded RNA virus 23 MN617053
    ├ Trichoderma harzianum mononegavirus 1 OQ463847
100 └ Trichoderma harzianum mononegavirus 2 OQ463848
```
Plasmopamonavirus

```
99 ┌ Armillaria mellea negative strand RNA virus 1 MW423801
86 └ Lentinula edodes negative-stranded RNA virus 1 LC466007
```
Lentimonavirus

```
100 ┌ Auricularia heimuer negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MT259204
100 ├ Bondarzewia berkeleyi negative-strand RNA virus 1 MT447187
 93 └ Armillaria mellea negative strand RNA virus 2 BK014417
```
Auricularimonavirus

```
92 ┌ Golovinomyces cichoracearum negative-stranded RNA virus MCBQ01018032
100 ├ Fusarium oxysporum mymonavirus 1 OM049502
 72 ├ Hubei rhabdo-like virus 4 KX884403
100 ├ H2BulkLitter1223 virus MN034260
 91 └ grapevine-associated mononega-like virus 3 MW648481
```
Hubramonavirus

```
    ├ apple virus B MN386971 ──→ Rhizomonavirus
100 ┌ XiangYun mono-chu-like virus OL700132
    ├ Gysinge virus MK440629
 99 └ soybean leaf-associated negative-stranded RNA virus 4 KT598229
```
Phyllomonavirus

```
100 ┌ Botrytis cinerea negative-stranded RNA virus 5 MN617152
 62 ├ Botrytis cinerea negative-stranded RNA virus 7 MT157413
 68 ├ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum negative-stranded RNA virus 2 KP900931
100 └ soybean leaf-associated negative-stranded RNA virus 3 KT598228
```
Botrytimonavirus

```
100 ┌ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mymonavirus 1 MN557002
 62 ├ Botrytis cinerea mymonavirus 1 MH648611
    ├ Botrytis cinerea negative-stranded RNA virus 4 MN617151
100 ├ Cryphonectria parasitica sclerotimonavirus 1 MT354565
100 98 ├ Fusarium asiaticum negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MZ969066
 91 ├ Fusarium proliferatum mymonavirus 1 OK524200
 99 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mononega virus 2 MN557006
    ├ Alternaria tenuissima negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MK584852
    ├ Botrytis cinerea negative-stranded RNA virus 3 MN617150
    ├ Trichoderma harzianum negative-stranded virus 2 OK463851
 68 ├ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum negative-stranded RNA virus 1 KJ186782
    ├ Alternaria dianthicola negative-stranded RNA virus 1 ON843764
100 └ Alternaria tenuissima negative-stranded RNA virus 2 OP566533
```
Sclerotimonavirus

⊢⊣
0.20

A

RNA 1   3´ ┤ RdRp ├ 5´

RNA 2   3´ ┤ HP ├ HP ├ NC ├ 5´

B

```
100 ┌ ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mycoophiovirus 1
100 ├ Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 7 OP441700
100 ├ Cladosporium cladosporioides negative-stranded RNA virus 1 MK584856
    └ ■ Grapevine wood holobiome associated mycoophiovirus 2
      ─ Colletotrichum associated negative-sense single-stranded RNA virus 2 OP471406
96 ┌ Fusarium pseudograminearum ophiovirus 2 PP866568
97 ├ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycoophiovirus 5 MN585281
100 └ Fusarium poae negative-stranded virus 1 LC150618
    ─ Grapevine-associated negative single-stranded RNA virus 4 MW648506
100 ─ Actinidia fungus negative-stranded RNA virus 1 OL581738
    ─ Leptosphaeria biglobosa negative single-stranded RNA virus 7 OP441695
100 ┌ Erysiphe necator associated negative-stranded RNA virus 12 MN617049
68 100 └ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycoophiovirus 4 MN585280
    ─ Diaporthe gulyae ophiovirus 1 OR224970
100 ┌ Fusarium pseudograminearum ophiovirus 1 PP866563
    └ Fusarium culmorum mycoophiovirus 1 PQ000880
100 ┌ Trichoderma hamatum mycoophiovirus 1 OQ463841
78 100 └ Suillus luteus mycoophiovirus 1 OQ862570
    ─ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum ophiovirus like virus 1 MT646390
100 ─ Sclerotinia sclerotiorum ophiovirus like virus 1 MG365888
    ─ Plasmopara viticola lesion associated mycoophiovirus 4 MN585277
    ─ Grapevine-associated serpento-like virus 1 MW648529
    ─ Phlebiopsis gigantea negative-strand virus 1 MZ448626
99 ─ Rhizoctonia solani negative-stranded virus 1 KP900919
100 ┌ Rhizoctonia solani negative-stranded virus 2 KP900920
100 └ Rhizoctonia solani negative-stranded virus 3 KP900903
99 ┌ Citrus psorosis virus AY654892
    ├ blueberry mosaic associated virus KJ704366
    ┌ lettuce ring necrosis virus AY535016
100 ├ Mirafiori lettuce big-vein virus AF525933
100 ├ Freesia sneak virus OR539241
76 ┌ Ranunculus white mottle virus OR879093
95 └ pepper chlorosis associated virus LC719619
```

Mycoaspiviridae

Aspiviridae

0.50