The *Legionella pneumophila* peptidoglycan recycling kinase, AmgK, is essential for survival and

replication inside host alveolar macrophages.

Sushanta Ratna[1], Lina Pradhan[2], Marina P. Vasconcelos[1], Aastha Acharya[1], Bella Carnahan[1],

Alex Wang[2], Arit Ghosh[3], Abigail Bolt[4], Jacob Ellis[4], Stephen N. Hyland[1], Ashlyn S. Hillman[1],

Joseph M. Fox[1], April Kloxin[2,5], M. Ramona Neunuebel[4]*, and Catherine Leimkuhler Grimes[1,4]*

[1]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716,

United States

[2]Department of Chemical & Biomolecular Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware

19716, United States

[3]Delaware Biotechnology Institute, UD Flow Cytometry & Single Cell Core, University of

Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United States

[4]Department of Biological Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716, United

States

[5]Department of Materials Science & Engineering, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware

19716, United States

*= corresponding author

Short tile: The Role of Peptidoglycan recycling in *Legionella pneumophila*'s pathogenicity

Abstract

21 Bacterial cells are surrounded by a dynamic cell wall which in part is made up of a mesh-

22 like peptidoglycan (PG) layer that provides the cell with structural integrity and resilience. In Gram-

23 positive bacteria, this layer is thick and robust, whereas in Gram-negative bacteria, it is thinner

24 and flexible as the cell is supported by an additional outer membrane. PG undergoes continuous

turnover, with degradation products being recycled to maintain cell wall homeostasis. Some Gram-negative species can bypass *de novo* PG biosynthesis, relying instead on PG recycling to sustain growth and division. *Legionella pneumophila* (hereafter *Legionella*), the causative agent of Legionnaires' disease, encodes such recycling machinery within its genome. This study investigates the biochemical, genetic, and pathogenic roles of PG recycling in *Legionella*. Previously, we have shown that PG can be visualized in both model and native systems using a combination of *N*-acetylmuramic acid (NAM) probes and PG recycling programs. Here, two PG recycling gene homologs in the *Legionella* genome *lpg0296* (*amgK*) and *lpg0295* (*murU)* were identified and characterized; chemical biology strategies were used to rigorously track the incorporation of "click"-PG-probes. Deletion of *amgK* abolished PG labeling, while genetic complementation restored labeling. Additionally, copper-free click chemistry with ultra-fast tetrazine-NAM probes enabled live-cell PG labeling. The data suggest that *amgK* contributes to the pathogenicity of the organism, as *amgK* deletion increased *Legionella*'s susceptibility to antibiotics and significantly reduced *Legionella's* ability to replicate in host alveolar macrophages. An intracellular replication assay demonstrated that while PG recycling is not essential for internalization, successful replication of *Legionella* within MH-S murine alveolar macrophages requires functional *amgK*. These findings underscore the essential role of AmgK in *Legionella*'s intracellular survival, emphasizing the importance of PG recycling in pathogenicity, and establish a foundation for developing novel *Legionella*-specific antibiotic strategies.

Author summary

In this work, we evaluated the functionality of the peptidoglycan kinase, AmgK, from *Legionella*. AmgK homologs from other organisms have been shown to play a role in peptidoglycan (PG) recycling. Here we performed a series of chemical biology, genetic and

biochemical experiments to show that *Legionella* carries a functional AmgK. The data show that

without AmgK *Legionella* becomes hypersensitive to Fosfomycin, an antibiotic that targets

bacterial cell wall biosynthesis. Moreover, PG recycling is required for *Legionella* to successfully

replicate within a host macrophage. Collectively, the data point to a role of PG recycling in

pathogenicity and suggest that inhibiting AmgK could be a powerful strategy in treating

Legionnaires' disease.

Introduction

Bacterial cells are surrounded by a dynamic cell wall that is essential for maintaining

structural integrity. Peptidoglycan, a major component of the cell wall, consists of a repeating

glycan backbone composed of *N*-acetylglucosamine (NAG) and *N*-acetylmuramic acid (NAM)

linked by short peptide chains. This mesh-like network provides mechanical strength, enabling

bacterial cells to withstand internal turgor pressure while supporting growth and division. In Gram-

positive bacteria, the peptidoglycan layer is thick and highly cross-linked, while in Gram-negative

bacteria, it is thinner, less cross-linked, and more flexible, positioned between the inner and outer

membranes (1).

Despite subtle yet important differences in structure and regulation(1-3), the core

biosynthetic steps are nearly identical across bacteria, including precursor synthesis in the

cytoplasm, membrane-associated cytoplasmic-facing assembly, and membrane-associated

periplasmic polymerization (1, 2, 4, 5) (Fig 1A).

Fig 1. *Legionella* PG recycling pathway enables metabolic labeling of PG using

bioorthogonal NAM probes. (A) *Structures* of NAM and NAM metabolic probes (Az-NAM) and

(HTz-NAM) used to label *Legionella* PG*; Schematic* of peptidoglycan biosynthesis and recycling

in *Legionella*. PG biosynthesis commences with MurA and MurB converting UDP- NAG in the

cytoplasm to UDP-NAM. Recycling enzymes AmgK and MurU provide an alternative pathway,

converting (NAM) into UDP-NAM. MurC-F extends UDP-NAM to Park's nucleotide, which MraY

transforms into Lipid I and MurG glycosylates forming Lipid II. MurJ transports Lipid II to the

periplasm, where TGases and TPases cross-link the monomeric unit into mature peptidoglycan.

Fosfomycin inhibits MurA, while exogenous probes such as Az-NAM and HTz-NAM enable the

tracking of peptidoglycan synthesis. (B) *Representative* confocal micrographs showing *Legionella*

cells grown with 6 mM AzNAM (top) or 6 mM NAM (bottom) for 3 hr, fixed, and conjugated with

Alk-488. Data are representative of experiments performed in technical triplicate and biological

triplicate.

The process of building PG begins with the enzymes, MurA and MurB, converting UDP-

NAG to UDP-NAM, followed by the sequential addition of a pentapeptide chain by ligases MurC-

MurF. MraY tethers the precursor to the membrane, MurG glycosylates this precursor with an

NAG residue (forming Lipid II) and MurJ translocates the monomeric unit across the membrane

(Fig 1A). The final PG structure is assembled as transglycosylases polymerize Lipid II, and

transpeptidases cross-link adjacent peptide chains, ensuring cell wall integrity (6). Different

bacterial species use a variety of linkage strategies in the peptide stem (3-3 vs 3-4), unusual

amino acid side chains in the pentapeptide, including diaminopimelic acid (DAP), ornithine and

iso-glutamine (iE). For iE, it should be noted that the pentapeptide chain is grown through the side

chain and not the amino acid backbone. In addition, the percentage of cross-linking between

different bacterial species can vary (7). Elegant studies in the early 1980s demonstrated that

Legionella's cell wall is highly crosslinked (8), permitting the cell to survive in high concentrations

of lysozyme, an enzyme which cleaves the glycosidic linkages between NAG-NAM. Thus, these

bacterial jackets have evolved to protect the organisms from the environments in which they live

(9-11).

It should be noted that PG is not a static polymer. PG must undergo continuous remodeling

during various cellular processes including growth, division, germination, and sporulation.

Bacteria have developed mechanisms to recover and reuse its components rather than relying

solely on *de novo* synthesis. PG biosynthesis uses ATP and NADH (Fig 1A); the process is

anabolic and requires a significant amount of resources from the cell (12). Using *E.coli* model

systems, PG recycling programs have been revealed (12, 13) and the community is beginning to

learn the lengths that bacteria will go to recover these precious building blocks (14). Elegant work

from the Mayer's lab has shown, that *Pseudomonas spp.* can salvage NAM from degraded NAM-

peptides using the recycling enzymes AmgK (*N*-acetylmuramate/N-acetylglucosamine kinase)

and MurU (*N*-acetylmuramate α-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase) (13) (Fig 1A). This recycling

pathway allows bacteria to bypass the conventional UDP-NAM synthesis via MurA and MurB (Fig.

1A), providing an alternative route for PG precursor generation and a resistance mechanism to

the antibiotic, Fosfoymcin (Fig 1A). By recycling PG, bacteria can conserve energy and resources,

supporting survival and adaptation in nutrient-limited environments.

Importantly, released PG fragments are well known to cause an immune response to the

bacteria's host (15). These small immunostimulatory fragments, generated by a variety of

bacterial PG remodeling enzymes and host enzymes including lysozymes and muramidases (12)

are recognized by innate immune receptors and part of the hosts innate immune defense systems

(15-18). Thus, by restricting the release of immunostimulatory PG components, recycling provides

bacterial pathogens with an added advantage in immune evasion.

The Gram-negative *Legionella pneumophila* (hereafter *Legionella*) is a facultative

intracellular pathogen found in both fresh and potable water systems, where it parasitizes free-

living amoebae. Upon inhalation of contaminated water droplets, *Legionella* replicates in alveolar

macrophages, causing a severe pneumonia known as Legionnaires' disease (19, 20). Following

phagocytosis-mediated uptake, the bacteria remain enclosed in a replication-permissive

membrane-bound compartment, known as the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), which avoids

degradation by preventing fusion of the LCV with lysosomes (21, 22). To support its intracellular

lifestyle, *Legionella* exploits host cellular processes by delivering bacterial effector proteins via

the Dot/Icm Type IVb secretion system (23, 24). This specialized protein complex, spanning the

inner and outer membranes, facilitates translocation of over 300 bacterial proteins, known as

effector proteins, directly into the host cell. Dot/Icm-deficient bacteria fail to modify the phagosome

for replication and are subsequently trafficked to the lysosome. The proper positioning of the

Dot/Icm system at the bacterial poles is likely influenced by peptidoglycan dynamics. Consistent

with this idea, studies have revealed that peptidoglycan editing, namely deacetylation of the

glycan backbone, plays a crucial role in correctly positioning the Dot/Icm machinery at the

bacterial pole (25, 26). Thus, localized PG turnover at the bacterial poles may facilitate the

structural remodeling necessary for proper assembly and stabilization of the secretion machinery.

136   However, the extent to which *Legionella* can recycle PG components has remained

understudied. Homologs of key recycling proteins such as MupG, MurQ, and NamZ appear to be

absent in *Legionella*, raising questions about its ability to recycle NAM (27). Interestingly,

Legionella species do possess AmgK and MurU, leading us to hypothesize that these enzymes

could allow *Legionella* to bypass *de novo* PG biosynthesis and facilitate PG recycling. We propose

that this capability would enable *Legionella* to thrive in nutrient-limited environments, where NAG,

NAM, and other essential PG biosynthesis cofactors are scarce.

143   In this study, we provide strong evidence that *Legionella* has the ability to recycle PG

components. We investigated the role of the two key enzymes, AmgK and MurU, in *Legionella*

PG recycling using chemical biology, genetic analysis, biochemical characterization, and infection

assays. To assess PG biosynthesis, we employed NAM chemical biology probes with distinct

biorthogonal features, permitting the tagging of specific cell wall features in complex cellular

environments. Our lab has previously developed rigorous workflows to incorporate NAM probes

directly into the PG (Fig 1A) (28). The ability to incorporate a chemical probe biochemically has

been shown in a variety of bacterial settings, including *E. coli*, *B. subtilis*, *P. aeruginosa* and *S.*

aureus, (28-31). In the work presented here, the metabolic uptake of the bioorthogonal PG probe

2-azido-N-acetyl-muramic acid (AzNAM) by *Legionella* cells was first verified using mass

spectrometry and flow cytometry. Our findings demonstrate that AmgK and MurU are sufficient to

drive PG recycling in *Legionella*, and deletion of *amgK* significantly reduces labeling and recycling

efficiency. Although *amgK* and *murU* were not required for growth on charcoal-yeast-extract

(CYE) medium, susceptibility to Fosfomycin increased ten-times in the absence of AmgK,

compared to wild-type. Enzyme kinetics revealed that AmgK from *Legionella* exhibits activity ten-

times than that of *P. putida*. Further analysis showed that AmgK is essential for intracellular

survival and replication, indicating the critical role of PG recycling in bacterial persistence within

host cells. Ultimately, this work provides key insights into how AmgK and MurU contribute to

bacterial persistence within the host. Our findings suggest that targeting this recycling pathway

could lead to novel therapeutic strategies for treating Legionnaires' disease.

Results

Metabolic labeling with bioorthogonal NAM probes reveals

peptidoglycan recycling in Legionella pneumophila

Facultative intracellular bacteria, capable of surviving both inside and outside host cells,

encounter distinct metabolic and environmental challenges (32, 33). We hypothesized that PG

recycling would be advantageous for these bacteria, supplying essential building blocks for cell

wall repair and remodeling, thereby supporting their intracellular persistence. This may be

particularly important for intravacuolar bacteria, such as *Legionella*, which are confined within a

membrane-bound vacuole where nutrient availability may be tightly controlled by the host.

Phylogenetic analyses have revealed the presence of AmgK and MurU homologs in the

Legionella pneumophila genome (13, 27). Based on sequence similarity, *lpg0296* and *lpg0295*

were identified as homologs of *P. putida amgK* and *murU*, sharing 39% and 46% identity,

respectively (S1 Fig). Consequently, we set out to determine whether *Legionella* indeed utilizes

the AmgK-MurU recycling pathway to funnel intermediates into the PG biosynthetic pathway.

To this end, a bioorthogonal labeling approach using azide-functionalized NAM (AzNAM)

(Fig 1A), previously developed by our lab (28), was employed. Incorporation of AzNAM into the

PG of growing cells were visualized by confocal micrscopy after fixation and conjugation of a

fluorescent alkyne probe by copper (I)-catalyzed azide-alkyne click chemistry (CuAAC) (34, 35)

(Fig 1B). The antibiotic, Fosfomycin, was added alongside NAM or AzNAM during labeling

experiments. This antibiotic inhibits MurA, blocking *de novo* peptidoglycan biosynthesis, thereby

forcing the bacteria to rely on the PG recycling pathways to maintain cell wall integrity (Figs 1A

and 2A). Cells grown with the AzNAM analog exhibited a strong fluorescence signal, while control

cells cultured with unmodified NAM showed no detectable fluorescence.

To further quantify the extent of labeling, flow cytometry was performed, which revealed a

five-fold increase in AzNAM-labeled cells relative to NAM-labeled cells. In addition, mass

spectrometry data were used to confirm the incorporation of the exogenous AzNAM probe into

the *Legionella* PG (Fig 2C). Briefly, bacterial cells were grown in liquid media until they reached

exponential growth phase. At this time, cultures were supplemented with PG building blocks,

either NAM or AzNAM, and incubated for an additional 3 hours. To confirm that the probe was

directly incorporated into *Legionella*'s PG, remodeled cells were treated with lysozyme, which

digests the PG into distinct NAG/NAM disaccharide subunits. The resulting fragments were

analyzed via mass spectrometry. The data confirm the presence of a disaccharide containing the

azide moiety, providing clear evidence that AzNAM probes were successfully integrated into the

mature PG polymer, suggesting *Legionella* is capable of recycling PG using the NAM recycling

pathway (Figs 1A and 2).

Fig 2. Validation of Peptidoglycan Labeling by Flow Cytometry and Mass Spectrometry. (A) Schematic workflow for both Flow Cytometry and Mass Spectrometry analysis of PG incorporation. (B) Flow cytometry analysis of cell wall labeling with Alk-488 in the presence of AzNAM or NAM. Histogram plot (left panel) and mean fluorescence intensity plot (right) show increased fluorescence signal intensity of cells labeled with AzNAM (red) compared to NAM control (blue). Statistical analysis was done by one way ANOVA with Tukey's test using JMP pro 17 software (****$p < 0.0001$). (C) Mass spectrometry analysis to verify AzNAM incorporation on lysozyme digested disaccharide fragments following remodeling with AzNAM. Data are representative of experiments performed in technical triplicate and biological triplicate.

To determine the minimum effective concentration of AzNAM for labeling, varying concentrations of AzNAM were assayed, and a dose-dependent increase in fluorescence was observed; 0.75 mM AzNAM probe is sufficient for detectable incorporation into PG (S2 Fig). Notably, under these conditions, labeled cells retained their normal morphology and exhibited higher signal along the length of the bacterium as well as mid-cell at the division site where peptidoglycan synthesis is actively occurring during exponential growth (Fig 1B).

Collectively, these data (Figs 1 and 2) provide strong evidence that *Legionella* utilizes the AmgK and MurU recycling program (Fig 1A), during axenic growth. These finding demonstrate the utility of bioorthogonal NAM probes as a powerful tool for unraveling cell wall metabolism and remodeling in *Legionella*.

AmgK is required for PG recycling in *Legionella*

Legionella's ability to take up NAM and incorporate it into its PG, implicates AmgK as the kinase to facilitate this process (Fig 1). To test if AmgK is indeed responsible for channeling NAM into the PG biosynthesis, the dependency of PG labeling on AmgK was determined. An *amgK* deletion mutant was generated as well as a complemented strain carrying a plasmid encoded

amgK. Two bioorthogonal labeling strategies were applied to determine NAM incorporation during

growth of wild-type, Δ*amgK*, or the complemented Δ*amgK* strains. First, as described above, cells

were cultured in media supplemented with AzNAM or NAM in the presence of Fosfomycin, fixed,

and then labeled with Alk-488 to be visualized by fluorescence microscopy (Fig 3A). A robust

fluorescence signal was detected for wild-type and complemented strains, but no fluorescence

signal was observed for the Δ*amgK* mutant or in NAM-supplemented samples (Fig 3B).

Fig 3. Metabolic labeling of *Legionella* requires the presence of AmgK. (A) Schematic

representation of the two metabolic labeling techniques used to visualize peptidoglycan in

Legionella strains. (B) Representative confocal micrographs of PG labeling in three *Legionella*

strains: wild-type (Lp) (left), Δ*amgK* mutant (middle), and Δ*amgK* carrying the pMMB207c-*amgK*

plasmid (right). For fixed-cell labeling, NAM was used as a negative control, while AzNAM served

as the metabolic probe, reacting with Alk-488 to enable PG visualization. For live-cell labeling (C),

NAM was again used as a negative control, while HTz-NAM was the metabolic probe, reacting

with aTCO-TAMRA to label PG. *Each experiment was performed in at least three biological*

replicates.

To further validate the role of AmgK in NAM incorporation, a second bioorthogonal labeling

strategy using tetrazine ligation was employed (36). Tetrazine is a "click reaction", which enables

live cell labeling without the need for fixation (Fig 3C). For this approach, cells were cultured in

media supplemented with HTz-NAM, a tetrazine-functionalized NAM analog (30), in the presence

of Fosfomycin. Following incubation, cells were treated with aTCO-TAMRA, a transcyclooctene

(TCO)-conjugated fluorescent probe, which reacts specifically with the tetrazine moiety via a rapid

and highly selective tetrazine-TCO ligation reaction (37). The tetrazine-TCO ligation has been

shown to have kinetics similar to enzymes and offers a key advantage over the traditional CuAAC

click chemistry, which requires copper(I) catalysts that can be cytotoxic to bacterial cells (30, 38).

By using a copper-free approach, NAM incorporation was monitored in live *Legionella* cells,

preserving their physiological state. Consistent with our previous findings using AzNAM (Fig 1B),

a strong fluorescence signal was detected in wild-type and complemented strains, while no

detectable labeling was observed in the *ΔamgK* mutant or in NAM-supplemented samples (Fig

3C), reinforcing the conclusion that AmgK is essential for funneling NAM back into the PG

biosynthetic pathway.

The ability to monitor NAM incorporation in both fixed and live cells using distinct

bioorthogonal labeling strategies underscores the robustness and versatility of the labeling

approach. These results establish AmgK as a key enzyme responsible for incorporating NAM into

Legionella's PG. The data demonstrate that PG recycling in *Legionella* relies on the AmgK-

dependent salvage pathway.

Loss of AmgK increases susceptibility to Fosfomycin

As previously discussed, Fosfomycin (Fig 1A) inhibits the first committed step of

peptidoglycan biosynthesis. To determine whether cell wall recycling contributes to Fosfomycin

resistance in *Legionella*, the growth of wild-type was compared to a *ΔamgK* mutant strain using

a viability assay. Cells were grown in liquid media for 6 hours and samples were then plated to

enumerate the colony forming units (CFUs). In the absence of Fosfomycin, both wild-type and

ΔamgK mutant strains exhibited comparable CFUs when plated on CYE media, indicating that

the loss of *amgK* does not impact general growth under standard conditions (Fig 4).

Fig 4. Effect of AmgK on cell growth and antibiotic resistance. (A) Schematic

representation of the experimental approach for assessing cell growth. (B) Growth dynamics

of *Legionella* in CYE medium with and without AmgK. (C) Methodology for evaluating the effect

of Fosfomycin on *Legionella* growth. (D) CFU counts after 6 hours of incubation without or with

Fosfomycin in CYE medium. All experiments were performed in three biological replicates; Error

bars show +/- SD.

In contrast, when Fosfomycin was added to the media, both strains showed a significant

reduction in CFUs compared to untreated cells, confirming the expected inhibitory effect of the

antibiotic. However, the *ΔamgK* mutant displayed a markedly greater susceptibility, with a drastic

decrease in CFUs after 6 hours of growth (Fig 4). The data indicate that AmgK plays a critical role

in protecting *Legionella* from Fosfomycin-induced stress. These observations support the notion

that PG recycling through AmgK is important for maintaining cell wall integrity when *de novo* PG

biosynthesis is compromised.

Legionella AmgK-MurU are unable to rescue growth in an

E. coli NAM-dependent PG recycling model

We next sought to determine whether the *Legionella*-encoded AmgK and MurU are

sufficient for NAM recycling. To assess this, we utilized an *E. coli* Δ*murQ* deletion mutant

developed by Mayers and coworkers (13). Briefly, MurQ converts MurNAc-6P into GlcNAc-6P

and is essential for the canonical PG recycling pathway in *E. coli*. Without MurQ, *E. coli* can no

longer process MurNAc-6P into GlcNAc-6P, making it dependent on alternative pathways for PG

recycling. This allows functional assessment of PG recycling enzymes from other bacteria, as has

been shown previously (13, 28). To assess the functionality of the AmgK-MurU recycling pathway,

the *amgK* and *murU* genes from *L. pneumophila* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* were introduced

into *E. coli* Δ*murQ* using an expression vector; Two strains were generated: 1) *E. coli* Δ*murQ*

expressing *Legionella* AmgK-MurU (EQ-LpKU) and 2) *E. coli* Δ*murQ* expressing *P. aeruginosa*

AmgK-MurU (EQ-PaKU). Protein expression of AmgK and MurU in both EQ-PAaKU and EQ-

LpKU lysates was confirmed by Western blot analysis (S6 Fig). Bacterial cultures were incubated

for 2 hours and in the presence of absence of Fosfomycin. Cell density measurements show that

without Fosfomycin, both strains exhibited normal growth, comparable to wild-type *E. coli* (Fig

5B). Conversely, in the presence of 200 µg/mL Fosfomycin, which blocks UDP-NAM synthesis,

both EQ-LpKU and EQ-PaKU were unable to grow. However, growth was successfully restored

when EQ-PaKU cells were supplemented with 6 mM NAM, demonstrating that UDP-NAM

biosynthesis was rescued through the *P. aeruginosa* AmgK-MurU pathway. In contrast, growth of

EQ-LpKU cells failed to recover despite NAM supplementation. This observation could be

attributed to a number of factors including existence of unidentified components required for NAM

salvage in *Legionella*, insufficient stability of the enzyme in *E. coli*, or lower catalytic efficiency of

Legionella AmgK.

Fig 5. AmgK and MurU expression in *E. coli ΔmurQ* and survival assay. (A) Schematic

representation of the viability assay. (B) Bar graph displaying cell density after two hours of growth

under different conditions shown in the figure. Statistical analysis was performed on data from

one experiment with three technical replicates, with the experiment conducted at least three times

independently (****$p < 0.0001$).

Legionella AmgK exhibits reduced catalytic efficiency

Both *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa* have short doubling times (20–45 minutes), whereas

Legionella grows significantly slower, with a doubling time of approximately 2–3 hours, even under

optimal laboratory conditions (39, 40). Given this slower growth rate, it is reasonable to expect

that *Legionella's* AmgK may have adapted to function at a reduced catalytic pace, aligning with

the organism's extended doubling time. As a result, the dynamics of PG recycling

in *Legionella* likely operate at a different tempo, with a reduced demand for rapid peptidoglycan

turnover compared to fast-growing bacteria like *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*. To further explore this

notion, we set out determine the catalytic efficiency of the *Legionella* AmgK.

First, we noted that the AlphaFold-predicted structures of AmgK from both species were

highly similar and nearly superimposable (Fig 6A). To characterize the enzymatic activity,

Legionella AmgK was expressed in *E. coli* as GST-AmgK and purified to 99% purity using a GST-

resin column in the presence of PreScission Protease, yielding the expected 38 kDa band (Fig

6B). Kinetic analysis, using an ATP-coupled assay, revealed a K_m of $(4.90 \pm 0.0716) \times 10^{-5}$ M,

$V_{max} = 4.757$, $k_{cat} = (2.370 \pm 0.0717)$ s^{-1}, and a catalytic efficiency of $(4.84 \pm 0.854) \times 10^4$ M^{-1}s^{-1}

(Fig 6C). When compared to the previously published *P. putida* AmgK, the catalytic efficiency of

Legionella AmgK is approximately tenfold lower (41). The diminished catalytic efficiency

of *Legionella* AmgK lends support to the idea that *Legionella* may rely on a slower, yet sufficient,

enzymatic turnover to meet its peptidoglycan recycling demands. This suggests that AmgK

activity is tuned to the metabolic and replicative needs of bacterial species, reflecting broader

evolutionary adaptations in PG recycling.

Fig 6. Structural and kinetic analyses show that *Legionella* AmgK is a peptidoglycan

recycling kinase. A) Structural alignment of *Legionella* and *P. putida* AmgK, predicted using

AlphaFold, shows high structural similarity between the two homologs. (B) Purification of

Legionella AmgK using a GST-resin column, followed by cleavage with Prescission protease to

remove the GST tag. SDS-PAGE confirms successful purification of untagged AmgK (~38 kDa).

(C) Kinetic parameters of *Legionella* AmgK determined using an ATP-coupled enzymatic assay,

measuring substrate turnover and enzymatic efficiency.

Bioorthogonally Labeled *Legionella* are Readily Phagocytosed by MH-S Macrophages

With the NAM labeling method rigorously validated in *Legionella*, we next sought to confirm that PG labeling preserves *Legionella* uptake efficiency into host cells. To this end, we assessed phagocytosis of *Legionella* by MH-S murine alveolar macrophages, a cell line regarded as a useful model for intracellular bacterial pathogens linked to respiratory diseases, including *Legionella pneumophila*, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, and *Chlamydia pneumoniae* (42-44). MH-S cells were challenged with AzNAM- or control unmodified NAM-labeled *Legionella*. At 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes post-infection, cells were fixed, incubated with the Alk-488 probe in the presence of copper, and then visualized by confocal microscopy (Fig 7). Across all time points, *Legionella* remained robustly labeled and clearly visible within host macrophages. By 30 minutes, both labeled and control bacteria were internalized. Actin remodeling is a crucial step in *Legionella's* infection, as the pathogen manipulates the host cytoskeleton to establish its replicative niche (45). By staining with phalloidin, which specifically binds F-actin, we observed that actin was indeed present at the LCVs at 2 hours post-infection (Fig 7). These findings support the notion that bioorthogonal PG labeling can be applied for tracking the early stages of *Legionella* infection within host cells and the probes developed in this study will be a useful resource for the field.

Fig 7. Infection study of MH-S invasion with *Legionella*. (A) Schematic depicting workflow for macrophage infection with labeled *Legionella*. (B) Representative confocal micrographs of MH-S cells infected with *Legionella* labeled with either AzNAM or NAM. Infected macrophages were fixed at 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes post-infection, followed by CuAAC click labeling (*Legionella*,

363   Alk-488, green), and subsequent staining with Phalloidin-TRITC (F-actin, red) and DAPI (nucleus,

364   blue). Single-channel images of AzNAM-labeled *Legionella* inside MH-S and NAM-labeled

365   *Legionella* inside MH-S are shown in Figs S8 and S9, respectively. The time course was

366   conducted over at least three biological replicates; representative images from one time course

367   are shown.

AmgK is required for *Legionella* survival and replication within MH-S alveolar macrophages

AmgK is required for *Legionella* survival and replication

within MH-S alveolar macrophages

To investigate the role of AmgK in *Legionella* intracellular survival, MH-S murine alveolar

macrophages were challenged with a wild-type or a Δ*amgK* mutant. Both strains constitutively

expressed *thyA* to circumvent thymidine limitation during intracellular replication. MH-S murine

alveolar macrophages were challenged with the two strains at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of

1, as previously described (46). At 2 hours post-infection, CFUs indicated that a similar number

of intracellular bacteria was present in cells infected with either wild-type or Δ*amgK*. Thus, at this

stage, wild-type and Δ*amgK Legionella* are comparable in their ability to infect macrophages,

indicating that AmgK is not required for host cell entry or early survival (Fig 8B).

Fig 8. Impact of AmgK on *Legionella* Replication in MH-S Murine Alveolar Macrophages (A)

Schematic depicting workflow for *Legionella* infection and intracellular replication in MH-S alveolar

macrophages, comparing strains with or without *amgK*. (B, C, D and E) Colony-forming unit (CFU)

counts at four time points (2, 24, 48, and 72 hours post-infection), assessing bacterial survival

and replication. Statistical analysis was performed on data from one experiment with three

technical replicates, with the experiment conducted at least three times independently. (****$p <$

0.0001, *$p < 0.001$)

At all subsequent time-points sampled (24-72 hours), the wild-type continued to exhibit

robust replication in macrophages, whereas the Δ*amgK* mutant showed no further growth and

failed to persist, showing no detectable CFUs at later time points (Fig 8). Under normal

circumstances, *Legionella* begins dividing within the LCV at 4-6 hours following infection. Thus, it

appears that beyond the initial uptake into the LCV, *Legionella* requires AmgK to support

intracellular growth. These findings suggest that while AmgK is not essential for initial infection, it

is critical for *Legionella* intracellular survival and replication. The loss of AmgK likely disrupts PG

recycling (Fig 1), which *Legionella* may rely on to maintain cell wall integrity under nutrient-limited

conditions inside the host.

Discussion

In Gram-negative bacteria, PG fragments released during cell wall remodeling can be

salvaged through recycling. NAM recycling occurs via two distinct pathways: a catabolic

route dependent on MurQ and an anabolic pathway mediated by AmgK and MurU (Fig 1). While

some bacterial species encode both, others depend on a single route for PG recycling. First

identified in *Pseudomonas putida*, the AmgK-MurU pathway bypasses the *de novo* synthesis of

UDP-NAM, which is the first committed precursor of canonical PG biosynthesis (13, 47, 48). As a

result, bacteria utilizing this pathway exhibit intrinsic resistance to Fosfomycin, an antibiotic that

inhibits UDP-NAM biosynthesis (Fig 1A).

Here the identification and functional characterization of AmgK as a bonafide member of

*Legionella'*s PG recycling machinery is reported through the use of chemical biology probes and

genetics. These methods are then used to investigate the role of recycling enzymes in the

pathogenicity of *Legionella*. The data clearly show that *Legionella* cells are able to incorporate a

bioorthogonal probe such as AzNAM, into the PG. These data were rigorously validated using

flow cytometry and mass spectroscopy analysis (Fig 2). This labeling strategy is important, as it

is done in the context of the cell's native environment. Previous work to

metabolically/biochemically label PG on the muramic acid residues relied on genetic engineering

to insert the recycling enzymes, *amgK* and *murU,* into the host genome such as *E. coli* (28, 30,

49). As *Legionella* already has the recycling enzymes, this step is unnecessary and opens the

door to potentially use this methodology to detect *Legionella* in the environment.

Rigorous biochemical kinetic analysis showed that *Legionella's* AmgK was capable of accepting

a variety of probes, including alkyne and tetrazine derivatives (Fig 1) (29, 41). The alkyne and the

tetrazine represent different types of "click" reactions. The azide is used with an alkyne

counterpart in CuAAC, and in order to achieve fast rate constants, relies on a copper catalyst.

Non-copper variations of CuACC exist, namely strain promoted alkyne azide click (SPAAC); this

reaction takes advantage of ring strain in the alkyne system to negate the use of the copper

catalyst, but reaction rates are orders of magnitude slower than CuACC. Tetrazines, which were

used here, do not use copper to "click" to the transcycloocytne (TCO) counterpart and instead

rely entirely on ring strain (30, 34-36, 50-61). Previously, tetrazine-TCO "click" reactions were not

capable of labeling the PG using metabolic programs, as the tetrazine, traditionally a biphenyl

tetrazine, was too large in size (41). However, recent developments of a minimal tetrazine NAM

(HTzNAM, Fig 1A) enabled excellent labeling of PG (30). We were able to make use of this

minimal tetrazine probe here to label *Legionella* PG. The flexibility in cell wall labeling of *Legionella*

opens the door for future investigations of cell wall architecture and growth in this pathogen.

To complement the chemical biology approaches, we further investigated the function of

amgK in the growth of *Legionella*. In order to study loss of function and gain of function of *amgK*

gene, two strains were constructed: 1) *Legionella ΔamgK* and 2) complemented *amgK* gene in

pMMB207c vector under lac promoter (S2 and S3 Figs). Importantly, both wild-type and

complemented strains were capable of metabolic incorporation of NAM probes; whereas the

deletion was not (Fig 3). These experiments confirmed the requirement of the *amgK* gene in PG

recycling in *Legionella*, and indicate that this is the sole *amgK* homolog, as deletion of *amgK*

obliterates labeling (Fig 3). We found that *Legionella*'s need for *amgK* changes in the context of

the media used. In rich media, the deletion of *amgK* does not affect *Legionella* cells growth (Fig

4), as both wild-type and *ΔamgK* cells grow to similar CFUs .

Previous studies suggested that the presence of PG recycling machinery enzymes can

affect the antibiotic resistance properties of cells (13, 48). Therefore, we investigated the effect of

the presence or absence of *amgK* on the antibiotic Fosfomycin. Fosfomycin is a mimic of

phosphoenolpyruvic acid, which is used by MurA in the PG biosynthetic pathway (Fig 1). MurA

initiates the PG biosynthesis and as the data show, inhibition is deadly to the cell (Fig 4). AmgK

enzymatic function is to phosphorylate NAM, negating the use of MurA. The results show that in

the absence of Fosfomycin, both wild-type and *Legionella ΔamgK* cells grow normally. However,

when Fosfomycin is present, only wild-type cells are capable of growing. These data suggest that

amgK gives *Legionella* a fitness advantage.

AmgK was also investigated from a biochemical lens. Briefly, AmgK was overexpressed

and purified in an *E. coli* system. With the purified enzyme in hand, kinetic assays were performed

using the classic ATP coupled enzyme assay (41, 62). The data show that AmgK from *Legionella*

exhibits kinetic properties suited to its growth needs (41). Given that *Legionella* is a slow-growing

bacterium, particularly within the *Legionella*-containing vacuole (LCV), where nutrients are scarce

(40), it is likely advantageous for the enzyme to operate at a more conservative pace, optimizing

resource utilization in its intracellular environment.

In addition to the kinetic profile, the lack of other "traditional" recycling enzymes in

Legionella's genome warranted further investigation. To do this, we made use of a genetically

encoded *E. coli* model system. When *amgK* and *murU* were initially characterized, Mayer and

coworkers used a vector based strategy to introduce the genes into *E. coli ΔmurQ* (13). Their

work showed that *amgK* and *murU* were sufficient to cause Fosfomycin resistance in a normally

sensitive organism. To this end, *amgK* and *murU* from both *P. aeruginosa* and *Legionella,* were

cloned into vectors and introduced into *E. coli ΔmurQ.* The data suggest that *P. aeruginosa amgK*

and *murU* are sufficient to rescue when supplemented with NAM. Curiously, the same was not

observed with *Legionella*, suggesting that in addition to kinetic limitations there may be other

proteins working in concert with AmgK and MurU in the native environment to effectively recycle

PG. The chemical probes presented here, computational analysis and genetics can be used in

the future to unveil the complete PG recycling machinery in *Legionella*.

Finally, the importance of *amgK* in the pathogenesis of *Legionella* was investigated. It is

well accepted that "nature does nothing uselessly" and here the question was raised regarding

the importance of this PG recycling machinery in *Legionella*. Previous studies with known lung

pathogens, including *M. tuberculosis,* showed different growth patterns and metabolic activity

including exogenous fatty acid uptake and energy management if cells were grown in a similar

condition as they would encounter inside alveolar macrophages (63, 64). These studies supported

the hypothesis that *Legionella* might use a PG recycling machinery during intracellular replication

as there would be a scarcity of available precursors to make *de novo* PG biosynthesis. Elegant

work by Tamara and colleagues investigated the extent to which individual genes contribute to

the fitness of *Legionella* during host infection (46, 65). Intriguingly, the absence of *amgK*

decreased fitness of *Legionella* in the context of amoebae infection, but it seemed to increase

fitness in the context of U937 macrophage infection (46). Remarkably, we found that *Legionella*'s

ability to survive and replicate inside murine alveolar macrophages (MH-S cells) was dependent

on *amgK.* Upon entry into the host cell, the internalized bacterium likely encounters nutrient-

limiting conditions within the LCV, depriving it of essential building blocks for PG biosynthesis.

Under these constraints, *de novo* PG synthesis may be downregulated to conserve resources,

while PG recycling remains active, supporting critical processes such as PG remodeling. This

study provides the first glimpse of PG biosynthesis in the LCV and highlights vulnerabilities that

could be exploited for targeted drug development. Moreover, future work could reveal specific PG

fragments that are important for stimulating the host-immune response (16, 66).

Materials and Methods:

Cell Lines, Bacterial Strains, and Plasmids

The MH-S murine alveolar macrophage cells (ATCC CRL-2019™) were cultured using

RPMI 1640 Medium (Corning) with 10% heat-inactivated FBS (Gibco) and 1%

penicillin/streptomycin (Corning) at 37°C with 5% CO_2 under sterile conditions.

A list of all bacterial strains, plasmids and cell lines used in this study is available in Table

S1. *Legionella* strains in this study are derived from *L. pneumophila Philadelphia-1* Lp02

(*thyA*, *hsdR*, and *rpsL*). Lp02Δ*amgK* was generated by replacing the chromosomal *lpg0296*

with *thyA*$^+$ through allelic exchange as described below. *L. pneumophila* was grown in ACES (N-

(2-acetamido)-2-aminoethanesulfonic acid) buffered yeast extract (AYE) liquid medium or on solid

charcoal buffered yeast extract agar (CYE) medium. Both media were supplemented with 0.4 g/L

iron(III) nitrate, 0.135 g/L cysteine, and 0.1 mg/mL thymidine for *thy*− auxotrophic strains.

E. coli strains were cultured in LB medium supplemented with 250 μg

ml^{-1} chloramphenicol 250 μg/mL when appropriate. DH5α was grown in LB media. A summary of

all plasmids and cells used in this work is available in Table S1.

Construction of *Legionella ΔamgK* and *amgK* complementary strains

The pJB908 was used to PCR amplify the *thyA* gene and the pDG1662 (Bacillus Genetic

Stock Center, BGSCID # ECE113) plasmid was used to amplify up and down stream nucleotide

fragments for Allelic Exchange Substrate (AES). An in-frame deletion of *amgK* was obtained by

allelic exchange removing all of the coding region except the sequences encoding residues 301-

325. To generate the allelic exchange substrate (AES) DNA fragments were PCR-amplified with

primers listed in S2 Table. To enhance AES transformation efficiency, DNA fragments from the

pDG1662 vector were incorporated into the DNA construct, as described by Wu et al. (2019) (67).

The pDG62 up (Adaptor_Up) and pDG62 down (Adaptor_Down) fragments were amplified with

primers SR_F/pDG62_R and pDG62_F/SR_R, respectively, under conditions detailed in S3

Table. The *Legionella_amgK* upstream and downstream regions were amplified from genomic

DNA, while the *thyA* gene was PCR-amplified from the pJB908 vector to allow for selection on

CYE agar without thymidine. DNA fragments were gel-extracted (S3B Fig) and assembled using

Gibson assembly as described in S3 Table. The assembled AES was then PCR-amplified with

SR-F/SR-R primers (S3C Fig).

For transformation, *Legionella* cells were grown on CYE plates supplemented with

thymidine (100 µg/mL), cysteine (400 µg/mL), and ferric nitrate (0.135 µg/mL) at 37°C for four

days. A single colony was then streaked on a new plate and incubated at 30°C for 24 hours to

form a patch. Then, 500 ng of AES in 10 µL was spread using a sterile glass rod and incubated

for another 24 hours at 30 °C. Cells were harvested and streaked on a thymidine-free CYE plate

and incubated at 37°C for four days. Colony PCR using Lp03-thyA Scr_Fwd and Lp03-thyA

Scr_Rev primers was used to confirm the *amgK* gene knockout (S3D, S3E Figs). Replacement

of *lpg0296* by *thyA* was verified via Sanger sequencing.

532   The pMMB207c-*amgK* complementation plasmid was generated by cloning *lpg0296* into

533 pMMB207c-4xHA using Gibson assembly. Primers listed in S4 Table were used to amplify

534 the *amgK* gene from genomic DNA. The pMMB207c-4xHA vector was linearized

535 with *BamHI* and *HindIII* (S4A Fig). The gene and vector were assembled using HiFi 2x master

536 mix under conditions specified in S5 Table and transformed into *E. coli* DH5α chemically

537 competent cells. Transformants were selected on LB agar with chloramphenicol (250 µg/mL) (S4B

538 Fig). Colony PCR confirmed the presence of *amgK*, followed by whole-plasmid sequencing for

539 verification (S4C Fig). The *pMMB207c-amgK* plasmid was then introduced into *Legionella*

540 *ΔamgK* as described earlier and selected on CYE plates with chloramphenicol (5 µg/mL) (S4D

541 Fig). Colony PCR confirmed plasmid presence, which was further verified via whole-plasmid

542 sequencing (S4E Fig).

543   Wizard Genomic DNA Purification Kit (Promega, Part # A1120) was used to isolate

544 genomic DNA from *Legionella* and Qiagen plasmid miniprep kit for plasmid isolation from DH5α.

545 PCR was done with Q5 high fidelity DNA polymerase (NEB, Cat #M0491S) and Phusion

546 polymerase. PCR product was cleaned up using Qiagen kit or Zymeoclean Gel Recovery Kit

547 (Zymo Research, Cat # D4001). Homologous genes were found using the Basic Local Alignment

548 Search Tool (BLAST). NEBuilder® HiFi DNA Assembly Master Mix (NEB, Cat # E2621S) was

549 used to do the Gibson Assembly. Reagents including Fosfomycin disodium salt (Sigma-Aldrich

550 CAS # 26016-99-9), Isopropyl β- d-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (GOLDBIO, CAS # 367-93-

551 1), N-acetyl Muramic Acid (NAM) (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS # 10597-89-4), Phosphate buffered saline

552 (PBS) (Corning, REF # 46-013-CM), Paraformaldehyde solution 4% in PBS (Santa Cruz

553 Biotechnology, SC281692), $CuSO_4$ (Fischer Scientific, CAS # 7758-99-8), BTTAA (7 mM stock)

554 (Click Chemistry Tools), Sodium ascorbate (ACROS ORGANIC, CAS # 134-03-2) ,Fluorophore (

555 Alk488, 1 mM stock) (Sigma-Aldrich), poly-l-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS # 25988-63-0),

microscope slides (Fisher Scientific, Cat # 22037077) and ProLong Glass Antifade Mounting

medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, REF P36980) were used for bacterial cell wall labeling along

with Az-NAM, which was synthesized in the Grimes lab. Tris (Hydroxymethyl) aminomethane

(J&K, CAS # 77-86-1), Sodium Chloride (Thermo Fisher, CAS # 7647-14-5), EDTA (Sigma-

Aldrich, CAS # 60-00-4) and Lysozyme (Sigma-Aldrich, CAS # 12650-88-3) were used to digest

PG for mass spectrometry analysis.

562   Metabolic labeling of *Legionella* with AzNAM probe

A fresh plate was prepared from 40% glycerol stock of *Legionella* in ACES-agar plate with

supplemental nutrients by incubating at 37°C for four days to get a single colony. *Legionella* cells

were grown in ACES broth (supplemented Thymidine (100 µg/mL), Cysteine (400 µg/mL) and

Ferric Nitrate (0.135 µg/mL) with a serial dilution ranging OD_{600} 0.1 to 0.3 from a patch of a single

colony that was grown for two days at 37°C in ACES-agar plate. Cells were incubated at 37°C

with shaking for 12 h to 14 h to reach OD_{600} 0.9 to 1.4. The labeling protocol was adapted from

our previous publications (28, 29, 31). In summary, 600 µL of overnight grown culture with OD_{600}

0.9 to 1.4 was collected by centrifugation at 8000 rpm (Eppendorf tabletop centrifuge, 6000g) for

five minutes. Cells were washed with 600 µL ACES growth medium without Ferric Nitrate on it.

We note that careful consideration of media components is essential, as the ferric nitrate

supplement in AYE medium can reduce the AzNAM probe, diminishing labeling efficiency (S10

fig). Cells were resuspended in 190 µL ACES medium (without Ferric Nitrate). Then 6 mM NAM

or AzNAM and 200 µg/mL Fosfomycin were added. Cells were incubated at 37 °C for 3 hours

while shaking in the incubator. Cells were then collected and washed twice with 600 µL sterile 1x

PBS at 10,000 rpm for two minutes and resuspended in 200 µL 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for

20 minutes at room temperature to fix the cells. Cells were then resuspended on 190 µL PBS

after washing twice at 300 µL PBS (10,000 rpm, 2 min). For click reaction sequentially added 1

mM CuSO$_4$ solution, 140 µM BTTAA, 1.2 mM freshly prepared sodium-L-ascorbate in water and

0.8 µL of 1mg/mL stock of Alk-488. Then the mixture was incubated at room temperature for 30

minutes in a shaker at dark. Cells were washed 3 times with PBS and resuspended on 200 µL of

PBS and incubated at room temperature for 60 minutes. Cells were washed once more and then

resuspended on 100 µL PBS. 20 µL of cells were spread on a poly-l-lysine coated slide and

incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes at dark. Then the cells were mounted with an

antifade mounting medium and incubated overnight at room temperature before sealing it with

clear nail polish. Cells were then visualized using Zeiss LSM800 microscope.

Labeling efficiency based on probe concentration

To determine the optimal concentration of the NAM probe, cells were metabolically labeled

with AzNAM across a concentration range (0.75 to 6 mM) (S2 Fig). The results demonstrate

efficient labeling of *Legionella* PG, with 6 mM being most optimal for visualization of the PG using

confocal microscopy. However, lower probe concentrations were still effective, indicating that the

PG biosynthetic machinery readily incorporates and tolerates the AzNAM probe, allowing for

efficient labeling of the cell wall (S2 Fig).

Flow cytometry

Cells were labeled with AzNAM or NAM as described earlier (31). Cells were then diluted

in PBS and flow cytometry was performed on BD FACS Aria Fusion High Speed Cell Sorter.

Samples were briefly vortexed before each run. 50,000 cell counts were collected for each sample

and were analyzed in triplicate, and fluorescence intensities (FITC-H, PMTV 434) for each sample

were collected. Any potential autofluorescence from samples was collected and gated out via

APC channel (PMTV 465). Histograms for control (*Legionella* NAM) vs *Legionella* AzNAM were

overlaid (normalized to mode) on FlowJo™.

Mass spectrometry AzNAM probe incorporation assay

Probe incorporation assay was adapted from a previously published method (31). In short, 3 mL of *Legionella* cells were grown to OD_{600} 3.2-3.4 and washed with 1 mL of AYE medium supplemented only with thymidine (100 µg/mL), and cysteine (400 µg/mL). Cells were resuspended on 1 mL of the same medium supplementing with 50 µL of NAM/AzNAM (0.1 M stock) and 20 µL of Fosfomycin (10 mg/mL) and grew for 3 hours at 37°C. Cells were washed twice with PBS and then resuspended in 2.5 mL of digestion buffer (250 µL of 1 M Tris pH 7.9, 25 µL of 5 M NaCl, 20 µL of EDTA and 4.75 mL of sterile DI water). Freshly prepared 20 µL of lysozyme (50 mg/mL) were added in approximately a 12-hour interval for three days. Samples were then filtered with Amicon Ultra 3K filter device and lyophilized sample for overnight. Lyophilized samples were then resuspended on 5 times the volume of water by weight and submitted samples to orbitrap mass spec for analysis.

Effect of AmgK protein on cell growth and antibiotic resistance

Wild-type and *ΔamgK* cells were grown by serial dilution as described earlier. For overnight preparation, initial dilution was maintained the same for both cells by taking OD_{600} 0.2-0.3 which is considered 0 hours. Then both cultures were incubated at 37°C for 14 hours. Both cells of 0 hours and 14 hours were diluted and plated to CYE-agar medium with appropriate supplements to get colony count. Fosfomycin concentration was determined based on the previous study (68). To check the effect of AmgK protein in term of Fosfomycin resistance, both wild-type and *ΔamgK* strains grown overnight were diluted in fresh AYE medium and incubated with 16 µg/ mL of Fosfomycin for 6 hours. After 6 hours of incubation, cells were diluted in sterile PBS and plated in CYE-agar medium to get the colony count.

AmgK protein expression vector construction, protein expression and purification

AmgK was inserted into pGEX vector with GST tag at N-terminal under lac operon with HiFi assembly (NEB, NEBuilder Hifi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit, E2621S). Protein was then expressed and purified based on the method described previously (69) (S7 Fig). Primers were adapted from previous publications as mentioned in S8 table (62, 69, 70). pGEX-6p-1 vector was linearized with primers (S8 Table). *amgK* was amplified with appropriate overhang and HiFi assembly (S9 Table) was performed to insert gene under IPTG inducible lac operator with GST tag at the N-term of the protein with a precision protease cleavage site in between GST and respective genes (S7 Fig). Gibson assembled vectors and genes were transformed in chemically competent BL21 cells and selected in the presence of ampicillin/ carbenicillin (NEB, NEBuilder Hifi DNA Assembly Cloning Kit, E2621S). Whole plasmid sequencing was performed to verify the vector constructs.

A 10 mL overnight of BL21 cell culture was inoculated into 1 L of fresh LB medium supplemented with 100 ug/mL of carbenicillin antibiotic and incubated at 37°C until OD_{600} of 0.6 for both AmgK and MurU. The expression of GST-tagged protein was induced with 1 mM isopropyl-1-thio-β-D-galactoside (IPTG) at 16°C for 18-20 hours. Induced cells were harvested by centrifugation (8000 rpm, 15 minutes). A 1 L pellet protein was resuspended in 20 mL lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM DTT, 10% glycerol, 0.5% Triton X-100, and one complete mini EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail tablets from Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were sonicated (Total 2:59 min, 9.9 second pulse on, 39.9 second pulse off with 41% amplitude) and then centrifuged at 17000 rpm for 30 minutes. The supernatant was loaded onto a protein purification column with Glutathione Sepharose 4 B Fastflow beads (Cytiva) and incubated at 4°C for 3 h. The flow through was released and the column was washed twice with 10 mL of TBS

Buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH = 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% glycerol), 4

times with 10 ml GST wash buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH =7.0,(200, 300, 400 and 500 mM) NaCl,

1 mM DTT, 1 mM EDTA, and 10% glycerol), and then another 2 times wash of 10 mL of TBS

buffer. 10 mL GST elution buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH = 7.0, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 1 mM

EDTA, 10% glycerol, and 600 µL of Prescission protease) was added to the column and

incubated for 16 hours at 4°C. AmgK elutions were collected with 10 mL of elution buffer and three

subsequent elutions were performed with 5 mL elution buffer by shaking for 5 minutes each time.

Protein samples were then concentrated using a 10,000 Da Amicon MWCO column. For long-

term storage both protein samples were flash-frozen in glycerol with liquid nitrogen and stored at

−80°C.

Labeling *Legionella*, *Legionella ΔamgK* and *Legionella*

ΔamgK-pMMB207c-*amgK*

Both *Legionella* and *Legionella ΔamgK* cells were labeled with AzNAM as described in

the earlier section. *Legionella ΔamgK*-pMMB207c-*amgK* were grown in ACES-medium

supplemented with ferric nitrate and cysteine without any antibiotic on it. Cells were grown with a

serial dilution with the initial OD_{600} of 0.1 to 0.3 in ACES medium with 0.5 mM IPTG for 14 to 16

hours to reach OD_{600} of 0.9-1.3. Cells were washed with ACES medium and incubated with fresh

ACES supplemented with 0.5 mM IPTG and cysteine without ferric nitrate on it. All the remaining

steps are the same as previously described.

Live labeling of *Legionella, Legionella ΔamgK* and *Legionella ΔamgK*-pMMB207c-*amgK* with HTz-NAM probe

Live labeling of *Legionella*-02 was performed according to the published protocol(30). Briefly, both wild-type and *ΔamgK* cells were grown with HTz-NAM as described in the earlier section. *Legionella ΔamgK*-pMMB207c-*amgK* were grown in ACES-medium supplemented with ferric nitrate and cysteine without any antibiotic on it. Cells were grown with a serial dilution with the initial OD_{600} of 0.1 to 0.3 in ACES medium with 0.5 mM IPTG for 14 to 16 hours to reach OD_{600} of 0.9-1.3. Cells were washed with ACES medium and incubated with fresh ACES supplemented with 0.5 mM IPTG and cysteine without ferric nitrate on it. All three cells were incubated for three hours with 6 mM of HTz-NAM. After three hours of incubation, 0.8 µl of aTCO-TAMRA (1mg/mL) were added to each tube and incubated for another 15 minutes at 37°C. Then washed and fixed cells with 4% PFA in PBS for 15 minutes. Then cells were washed 4 times and prepared slides with poly-L-Lysine coated glass. Images were taken with LSM 800 with 63x lens.

Application of *Legionella* AmgK and MurU in PG recycling in *E. coli* Model system

The *amgK* and *murU* genes were cloned in pBBR MCS1 vector with HiFi assembly following protocol described in the earlier section. In short, FLAG tag was inserted to make recombinant *amgK* and *murU* for *Legionella* and HIS tag was added *P. aeruginosa* with primers and conditions described (S5 and S6 Tables). HIS tag inserted *amgK* and *murU* of *P. aeruginosa* were inserted in spectinomycin selectable antibiotic gene under IPTG inducible lac promoter (S6A Fig) while FLAG tag added *Legionella*'s *amgK* and *murU* were inserted in chloramphenicol (CamR) selectable antibiotic resistance gene (S6C Fig). Vectors were then inserted in the E. coli

ΔmurQ model system. A subculture from overnight was diluted to OD_{600} 0.2 and incubated at 37

°C until it reached OD_{600} 0.6. Then 1 mM IPTG was added and incubated for another 4 hours.

Cells were then resuspended and lysed with 1.2 mL of B-PER bacterial protein extraction

reagents (Thermo scientific, REF 78248). Cells were then sonicated using a bath sonicator for 3

minutes with one minute on and one minute off (on ice). Lysate was then centrifuged at 8000 rpm

for 5 minutes and protein concentration was measured with Bradford reagent. 10- 20 µg of protein

was loaded in the SDS page and transferred into nitrocellulose paper. Then it was incubated by

shaking with 10% skim milk in a transfer buffer (TBST) for one hour. The paper was washed 3

times with TBST buffer and resuspended in 1:1000 anti-FLAG/ 6x-HIS (Monoclonal ANTI-FLAG

M2 (SIGMA) and HIS (H8 Invitrogen) both were produced on Mouse) in 1% skim milk in TBST

buffer and incubated at 4°C on a rocker overnight. Then the paper was washed 3x with TBST

buffer and added 1: 3000 IgG (produced in mouse) HRP in 1% skim milk in TBST and shaken at

room temperature for one hour. Samples were washed 3x with TBST and then used Super Signal

reagents for gel imaging. PA-KU and Lp-KU expression with HIS were verified with protein specific

size in the gel (S6B and S6D Figs). Then live-dead assay was performed according to previously

published methods (28, 29, 31). In short, overnight cultures were diluted to OD_{600} 0.2 and

incubated until it reached OD_{600} 0.6. 1 mM IPTG was added when the cell reached OD_{600} 0.6.

Cells were then grown for two hours by dividing three experimental categories where cells were

grown in just LB medium, with 200 µg/mL Fosfomycin and 200 µg/mL Fosfomycin supplemented

with 6 mM NAM. Each experimental category had at least three technical replicates. Experiment

was done at least three times.

Enzyme Kinetic by ATP Couple Assay

The kinetic studies for AmgK were performed with an ATP-coupled assay according to

previously published protocol (62). Each reaction was made to a final volume of 100 μL, which

contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5), 2 mM $MgCl_2$, 1.0 mM PEP, 0.2 mM

NADH, 4 U PK, and 3 U of LDH. In this assay, ATP was saturated at 2.5 mM, and the

concentration of sugar substrates ranged from 0.01 mM to 2.5 mM. The reaction began with the

addition of 1 ug of AmgK at 25 °C and recorded for 3 minutes. The absorbance was measured

every 5 seconds at 340 nm using a spectrophotometer (Eppendorf 6136). The linear region of the

absorbance curve was determined to perform kinetic calculations. Collected data were processed

and displayed to the Michaelis-Menten equation using GraphPad Prism 7.

725 Time dependent MH-S invasion study with AzNAM labeled

726 *Legionella*

The *Legionella* invasion protocol was adapted from previous publications (31, 49). Briefly,

a 24-well plate with sterile round cover glasses was treated with 500 μL of 0.1 mg/ mL poly-L-

ornithine at 4 °C overnight. MH-S cells were washed twice with 500 μL of sterile PBS, seeded

onto poly-L-ornithine coated round coverslips at a density of 100,000 cells per well in RPMI growth

medium, and incubated overnight at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. The next day, MH-S cells were washed

with RPMI medium without antibiotics and resuspended on 500 μL RPMI medium without

antibiotics. *Legionella* cells were remodeled with the AzNAM probe as described earlier. After

three hours of incubation with AzNAM, *Legionella* cells were washed twice with sterile PBS,

resuspended to an OD_{600} of ~2.0, and 20 μL was added to each well containing MH-S cells,

followed by centrifugation at 500 × g for 2 minutes and incubation at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 for 30,

60, 90, and 120 minutes. After incubation, the medium was replaced with 50 μg/ mL gentamicin

in RPMI and incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO_2 for 30 minutes to remove extracellular *Legionella*.

Cells were washed with 500 µL sterile PBS and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 10

min at room temperature. Cells were washed three times with 400 µL PBS for five minutes on a

rocker. To give access to intercellular *Legionella* of click reagents for reacting with Az handle,

MH-S cells were permeabilized with 400 µL freshly prepared 1% Triton-X in PBS for 10 min. Then

the cells were washed three times with 400 µL washing medium (0.2% tween-20 and 1.5% BSA

in PBS) and resuspended on 400 µL of 0.1% BSA and 0.1% Triton-X solution in PBS for click

reaction. 2 µL of 50mM $CuSO_4$, 2 µL of 7 mM BTTAA, 2 µL of freshly prepared 12mg/ mL NaSc

and 0.8 µL of 1 mg/mL Alk-488 were added sequentially and incubated at RT for 30 min in dark

while shaking. Cells were stained with Phalloidin-TRITC (1:200) in 1.5% BSA in PBS for one hour

after washing three times with the washing medium. After one hour of incubation, cells were

washed three times and mounted on a glass slide with 4,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI)

(Invitrogen) and incubated overnight at RT in the dark. Next day, glass slides were sealed with

clear nail polish to use for imaging with a confocal microscope (LSM800).

Intracellular replication assay

Intracellular replication assay was adapted from previously published protocol (46, 71). In

short, 10^5 MH-S cells were seeded in 24 well plates maintaining 500 µL volume of RPMI medium

and incubated overnight at 37 °C. Each well was washed three times with pre-warmed RPMI

medium and resuspended in 450 µL RMPI. An overnight grown *Legionella*-pJB908 and *Legionella*

ΔamgK cells were diluted to 2 x 10^6 cells / mL in RPMI medium. From the diluted cell suspension,

50 µL of bacterial cells were added to get 10^5 bacterial cells to maintain multiplicity of infection

(MOI) 1. To enhance contact of bacterial cells to MH-S cells, the 24 well plates were centrifuged

at 500g for 3 minutes. Plates were incubated for 2 hours, 24 hours, 48 hours and 72 hours at 37

°C with 5% CO_2. After the incubation, each well was washed 3 times with RPMI medium and then

replaced with 500 μL of 0.05% digitonin in sterile PBS. MH-S cells were lysed with pipetting 20

times in 10 minutes. 100 μL of lysate was taken to make serial dilution in sterile PBS. The two

hours of incubation period marks the infection time point, during which bacterial cells are expected

to invade MH-S cells without sufficient time for replication. Therefore, this time point gave

infectivity information while 24 hours to 72 hours incubation provided intracellular replication

information. For 2 hours incubation cells were diluted to 10^0 and 10^1, for 24 hours incubation cells

were diluted to 10^1-10^2, for 48 - 72 hours incubation cells were diluted to 10^3 and 10^4. Cells were

then spread on CYE- agar medium and incubated at 37 °C for four days to get colony count.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful for support from the Delaware COBRE program, supported by a grant

from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS 1 P30 GM110758 and 1 P20

GM104316-01A1). This work was supported by the NIH (U01 CA221230, R21 AI163949, R01

R01GM138599 (to CLG) and R01 GM132460 (to JMF)). We would like to thank Jeff Caplan and

the Delaware Biotechnology Institute Bioimaging Center, where microscopy access was

supported by grants from the NIH-NIGMS (P20 GM103446), the NIGMS (P20 GM139760) and

the State of Delaware. Andor Dragonfly acquired with NIH-NIGMS (S10 OD030321). We would

also like to thank William Trout, Shi Bai and the UD NMR facility, as well as PapaNii Asare-Okai,

from the UD Mass Spectrometry Core Facility. C.L.G. thanks the Dreyfus foundation for support.

S.N.H. would like to thank the NIH for support through the Chemistry-Biology Interface (CBI)

training grant, T32GM133395. Figures were created using BioRender.

References

1. Schleifer KH, Kandler O. Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic

implications. Bacteriol Rev. 1972;36(4):407-77.

2. Vollmer W, Blanot D, de Pedro MA. Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. FEMS

Microbiol Rev. 2008;32(2):149-67.

3. Vollmer W. Structural variation in the glycan strands of bacterial peptidoglycan. FEMS

Microbiology Reviews. 2008;32(2):287-306.

4. El-Araby AM, Fisher JF, Mobashery S. Bacterial peptidoglycan as a living polymer.

Current Opinion in Chemical Biology. 2025;84:102562.

5. Lovering AL, Safadi SS, Strynadka NC. Structural perspective of peptidoglycan

biosynthesis and assembly. Annu Rev Biochem. 2012;81:451-78.

6. Silhavy TJ, Kahne D, Walker S. The bacterial cell envelope. Cold Spring Harbor

perspectives in biology. 2010;2(5):a000414.

7. Vollmer W, Seligman SJ. Architecture of peptidoglycan: more data and more models.

Trends in microbiology. 2010;18(2):59-66.

8. Amano K, Williams JC. Peptidoglycan of Legionella pneumophila: apparent resistance to

lysozyme hydrolysis correlates with a high degree of peptide cross-linking. Journal of

Bacteriology. 1983;153(1):520-6.

9. So EC, Mattheis C, Tate EW, Frankel G, Schroeder GN. Creating a customized

intracellular niche: subversion of host cell signaling by Legionella type IV secretion system

effectors. Canadian journal of microbiology. 2015;61(9):617-35.

10. Segal G, Shuman HA. Legionella pneumophila utilizes the same genes to multiply within

Acanthamoeba castellanii and human macrophages. Infection and immunity. 1999;67(5):2117-

24.

11. Berger KH, Isberg RR. Two distinct defects in intracellular growth complemented by a

single genetic locus in Legionella pneumophila. Molecular microbiology. 1993;7(1):7-19.

12. Gilmore MC, Cava F. Bacterial peptidoglycan recycling. Trends in Microbiology. 2024.

13.   Gisin J, Schneider A, Nägele B, Borisova M, Mayer C. A cell wall recycling shortcut that

bypasses peptidoglycan de novo biosynthesis. Nat Chem Biol. 2013;9(8):491-3.

14.   Moynihan PJ, Cadby IT, Veerapen N, Jankute M, Crosatti M, Mukamolova GV, et al. The

hydrolase LpqI primes mycobacterial peptidoglycan recycling. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2647.

15.   Inohara N, Ogura Y, Fontalba A, Gutierrez O, Pons F, Crespo J, et al. Host Recognition

of Bacterial Muramyl Dipeptide Mediated through NOD2: IMPLICATIONS FOR

CROHN′S DISEASE *. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2003;278(8):5509-12.

16.   Bersch KL, DeMeester KE, Zagani R, Chen S, Wodzanowski KA, Liu S, et al. Bacterial

peptidoglycan fragments differentially regulate innate immune signaling. ACS Central Science.

2021;7(4):688-96.

17.   Kumar S, Roychowdhury A, Ember B, Wang Q, Guan R, Mariuzza RA, et al. Selective

recognition of synthetic lysine and meso-diaminopimelic acid-type peptidoglycan fragments by

human peptidoglycan recognition proteins Iα and S. Journal of Biological Chemistry.

2005;280(44):37005-12.

18.   Janeway Jr CA, Medzhitov R. Innate immune recognition. Annual review of immunology.

2002;20(1):197-216.

19.   Lauri A. Hicks D, Laurel E. Garrison, MPH, Respiratory Diseases Br, Div of Bacterial

Diseases, National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases;, George E. Nelson M, Lee

M. Hampton, MD, EIS officers,. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report. CDC. 2011:1083-6.

20.   Molmeret M, Bitar DM, Han L, Kwaik YA. Cell biology of the intracellular infection by

Legionella pneumophila. Microbes and Infection. 2004;6(1):129-39.

21.   Coers J, Monahan C, Roy CR. Modulation of phagosome biogenesis by Legionella

pneumophila creates an organelle permissive for intracellular growth. Nature cell biology.

1999;1(7):451-3.

22.   Horwitz MA. Formation of a novel phagosome by the Legionnaires' disease bacterium

(Legionella pneumophila) in human monocytes. The Journal of experimental medicine.

1983;158(4):1319-31.

23.   Segal G. Identification of legionella effectors using bioinformatic approaches. Legionella:

Methods and Protocols. 2012:595-602.

24.   Luo Z-Q, Isberg RR. Multiple substrates of the Legionella pneumophila Dot/Icm system

identified by interbacterial protein transfer. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2004;101(3):841-6.

25.   Boamah D, Gilmore MC, Bourget S, Ghosh A, Hossain MJ, Vogel JP, et al. Peptidoglycan

deacetylation controls type IV secretion and the intracellular survival of the bacterial pathogen

Legionella pneumophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2023;120(23):e2119658120.

26.   Boneca IG, Dussurget O, Cabanes D, Nahori M-A, Sousa S, Lecuit M, et al. A critical role

for peptidoglycan N-deacetylation in Listeria evasion from the host innate immune system.

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. 2007;104(3):997-1002.

27.   Müller M, Calvert M, Hottmann I, Kluj RM, Teufel T, Balbuchta K, et al. The exo-β-N-

acetylmuramidase NamZ from Bacillus subtilis is the founding member of a family of exo-lytic

peptidoglycan hexosaminidases. Journal of Biological Chemistry. 2021;296:100519.

28.   Liang H, DeMeester KE, Hou C-W, Parent MA, Caplan JL, Grimes CL. Metabolic labelling

of the carbohydrate core in bacterial peptidoglycan and its applications. Nat Commun.

2017;8:15015-.

29.   Hyland SN, Chinthamani S, Ratna S, Wodzanowski KA, Sandles L-MD, Honma K, et al.

Bioorthogonal Labeling and Click-Chemistry-Based Visualization of the Tannerella forsythia Cell

Wall. In: Ton-That H, editor. The Bacterial Cell Wall: Methods and Protocols. New York, NY:

Springer US; 2024. p. 1-16.

30.   Hillman AS, Hyland SN, Wodzanowski KA, Moore DL, Ratna S, Jemas A, et al. Minimalist

Tetrazine N-Acetyl Muramic Acid Probes for Rapid and Efficient Labeling of Commensal and

Pathogenic Peptidoglycans in Living Bacterial Culture and During Macrophage Invasion. Journal

of the American Chemical Society. 2024.

31.   Wodzanowski KA, Hyland SN, Chinthamani S, Sandles LD, Honma K, Sharma A, et al.

Investigating Peptidoglycan Recycling Pathways in Tannerella forsythia with N-Acetylmuramic

Acid Bioorthogonal Probes. ACS Infect Dis. 2022;8(9):1831-8.

32.   Kathayat D, Huang Y, Denis J, Rudoy B, Schwarz H, Szlechter J. LD-transpeptidase-

mediated cell envelope remodeling enables developmental transitions and survival in Coxiella

burnetii and Legionella pneumophila. Journal of Bacteriology. 2025:e00247-24.

33.   Aurass P, Kim S, Pinedo V, Cava F, Isberg RR. Identification of genes required for long-

term survival of Legionella pneumophila in water. Msphere. 2023;8(2):e00454-22.

34.   Baskin JM, Prescher JA, Laughlin ST, Agard NJ, Chang PV, Miller IA, et al. Copper-free

click chemistry for dynamic in vivo imaging. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2007;104(43):16793-7.

35.   Mahal LK, Yarema KJ, Bertozzi CR. Engineering chemical reactivity on cell surfaces

through oligosaccharide biosynthesis. Science. 1997;276(5315):1125-8.

36.   Blackman ML, Royzen M, Fox JM. Tetrazine Ligation: Fast Bioconjugation Based on

Inverse-Electron-Demand Diels−Alder Reactivity. Journal of the American Chemical Society.

2008;130(41):13518-9.

37.   Selvaraj R, Fox JM. trans-Cyclooctene—a stable, voracious dienophile for bioorthogonal

labeling. Current opinion in chemical biology. 2013;17(5):753-60.

38.   Scinto SL, Bilodeau DA, Hincapie R, Lee W, Nguyen SS, Xu M, et al. Bioorthogonal

chemistry. Nature Reviews Methods Primers. 2021;1(1):30.

39.   Fonseca P, Moreno R, Rojo F. Growth of Pseudomonas putida at low temperature: global

transcriptomic and proteomic analyses. Environmental Microbiology Reports. 2011;3(3):329-39.

40.   Solomon JM, Rupper A, Cardelli JA, Isberg RR. Intracellular growth of Legionella

pneumophila in Dictyostelium discoideum, a system for genetic analysis of host-pathogen

interactions. Infect Immun. 2000;68(5):2939-47.

41.   DeMeester KE, Liang H, Jensen MR, Jones ZS, D'Ambrosio EA, Scinto SL, et al.

Synthesis of Functionalized N-Acetyl Muramic Acids To Probe Bacterial Cell Wall Recycling and

Biosynthesis. J Am Chem Soc. 2018;140(30):9458-65.

42.   Yan L, Cirillo JD. Infection of murine macrophage cell lines by Legionella pneumophila.

FEMS microbiology letters. 2004;230(1):147-52.

43.   Haranaga S, Yamaguchi H, Ikejima H, Friedman H, Yamamoto Y. Chlamydia pneumoniae

infection of alveolar macrophages: a model. The Journal of infectious diseases.

2003;187(7):1107-15.

44.   Melo M, Stokes R. Interaction of Mycobacterium tuberculosis with MH-S, an immortalized

murine alveolar macrophage cell line: a comparision with primary murine macrophages. Tubercle

and Lung Disease. 2000;80(1):35-46.

45.   Zhang Q, Wan M, Kudryashova E, Kudryashov DS, Mao Y. Membrane-dependent actin

polymerization mediated by the Legionella pneumophila effector protein MavH. PLoS Pathog.

2023;19(7):e1011512.

46.   Park JM, Ghosh S, O'Connor TJ. Combinatorial selection in amoebal hosts drives the

evolution of the human pathogen Legionella pneumophila. Nature Microbiology. 2020;5(4):599-

609.

47.   Hottmann I, Borisova M, Schäffer C, Mayer C. Peptidoglycan Salvage Enables the

Periodontal Pathogen Tannerella forsythia to Survive within the Oral Microbial Community. Microb

Physiol. 2021;31(2):123-34.

48.   Borisova M, Gisin J, Mayer C. Blocking peptidoglycan recycling in Pseudomonas

aeruginosa attenuates intrinsic resistance to fosfomycin. Microb Drug Resist. 2014;20(3):231-7.

49.   Wodzanowski KA, Caplan JL, Kloxin AM, Grimes CL. Multiscale Invasion Assay for

Probing Macrophage Response to Gram-Negative Bacteria. Front Chem. 2022;10:842602.

50.   Ramil CP, Dong M, An P, Lewandowski TM, Yu Z, Miller LJ, et al. Spirohexene-tetrazine

ligation enables bioorthogonal labeling of class BG protein-coupled receptors in live cells. Journal

of the American Chemical Society. 2017;139(38):13376-86.

51.   Rossin R, van Duijnhoven SM, Ten Hoeve W, Janssen HM, Kleijn LH, Hoeben FJ, et al.

Triggered drug release from an antibody–drug conjugate using fast "click-to-release" chemistry in

mice. Bioconjugate Chemistry. 2016;27(7):1697-706.

52.   Murrey HE, Judkins JC, Am Ende CW, Ballard TE, Fang Y, Riccardi K, et al. Systematic

evaluation of bioorthogonal reactions in live cells with clickable HaloTag ligands: implications for

intracellular imaging. Journal of the American Chemical Society. 2015;137(35):11461-75.

53.   Shieh P, Siegrist MS, Cullen AJ, Bertozzi CR. Imaging bacterial peptidoglycan with near-

infrared fluorogenic azide probes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2014;111(15):5456-61.

54.   Yang J, Šečkutė J, Cole CM, Devaraj NK. Live-cell imaging of cyclopropene tags with

fluorogenic tetrazine cycloadditions. Angewandte Chemie (International ed in English).

2012;51(30):7476.

55.   Patterson DM, Nazarova LA, Xie B, Kamber DN, Prescher JA. Functionalized

cyclopropenes as bioorthogonal chemical reporters. Journal of the American Chemical Society.

2012;134(45):18638-43.

56.   Chang PV, Prescher JA, Sletten EM, Baskin JM, Miller IA, Agard NJ, et al. Copper-free

click chemistry in living animals. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2010;107(5):1821-6.

57.   Sletten EM, Bertozzi CR. Bioorthogonal chemistry: fishing for selectivity in a sea of

functionality. Angewandte Chemie International Edition. 2009;48(38):6974-98.

58.   Prescher JA, Dube DH, Bertozzi CR. Chemical remodelling of cell surfaces in living

animals. Nature. 2004;430(7002):873-7.

59.   Hang HC, Yu C, Kato DL, Bertozzi CR. A metabolic labeling approach toward proteomic

analysis of mucin-type O-linked glycosylation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.

2003;100(25):14846-51.

60.   Bertozzi CR, Kiessling, L L. Chemical glycobiology. Science. 2001;291(5512):2357-64.

61.   Saxon E, Bertozzi CR. Cell surface engineering by a modified Staudinger reaction.

Science. 2000;287(5460):2007-10.

62.   Liu M, Williams C, Hyland SN, Vasconcelos MP, Carnahan BR, Putnik R, et al. Evaluation

and Comparison of Candida albicans vs Mammalian 6-O-Phospho-Kinases: Substrate Specificity

and Applications. Biochemistry. 2024;64(1):26-31.

63.   Ratna S, Daniel J. Stress-induced non-replicating Mycobacterium smegmatis incorporates

exogenous fatty acids into glycopeptidolipids. Microbial Pathogenesis. 2023;174:105943.

64.   Deb C, Lee C-M, Dubey VS, Daniel J, Abomoelak B, Sirakova TD, et al. A novel in vitro

multiple-stress dormancy model for Mycobacterium tuberculosis generates a lipid-loaded, drug-

tolerant, dormant pathogen. PloS one. 2009;4(6):e6077.

65.   Isberg RR, O'connor TJ, Heidtman M. The Legionella pneumophila replication vacuole:

making a cosy niche inside host cells. Nature Reviews Microbiology. 2009;7(1):13-24.

66.   Chen S, Putnik R, Li X, Diwaker A, Vasconcelos M, Liu S, et al. PGLYRP1-mediated

intracellular peptidoglycan detection promotes intestinal mucosal protection. Nat Commun.

2025;16(1):1864.

67.   Wu G, Drufva E, Wu K. Fast genome editing in Bacillus subtilis. Eng Life Sci.

2019;19(6):471-7.

68.   Traub WH, Spohr M. In vitro Antibiotic Susceptibility of Legionellaceae: Search for

Alternative Antimicrobial Drugs. Chemotherapy. 1984;30(3):182-7.

69.   Ukaegbu OI, DeMeester KE, Liang H, Brown AR, Jones ZS, Grimes CL. Utility of bacterial

peptidoglycan recycling enzymes in the chemoenzymatic synthesis of valuable UDP sugar

substrates. Methods Enzymol. 2020;638:1-26.

70.   Yamada-Okabe T, Sakamori Y, Mio T, Yamada-Okabe H. Identification and

characterization of the genes for N-acetylglucosamine kinase and N-acetylglucosamine-

phosphate deacetylase in the pathogenic fungus Candida albicans. Eur J Biochem.

2001;268(8):2498-505.

71.   O'Connor TJ, Adepoju Y, Boyd D, Isberg RR. Minimization of the Legionella pneumophila

genome reveals chromosomal regions involved in host range expansion. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S

A. 2011;108(36):14733-40.

Supporting information

S1 Data: AmgK and MurU sequences of *P. putida* and *L. pneumophila*

S1 Table. List of Strain and Plasmid Used

S1 Fig. Bioinformatic analysis of *L. pneumophila* proteins sequences. (A) Protein

homology of AmgK and MurU of *P. putida* with *L. pneumophila*. (B) AmgK and MurU of *P. putida*

proteins distance tree with homolog of *L. pneumophila.*

S3 Fig. Construction of *Legionella ΔamgK* using NEBuilder HiFI assembly. (A) Schematic

of the Allelic Exchange Substrate (AES) construction. (B) Five fragments were PCR-amplified for

AES construction. Apapter_up, *lpg0295, thyA, lpg0297 and* Adapter_Down was 2054 bp, 676 bp,

972 bp, 1154 bp and 1029 bp respectively. (C) Shows PCR amplified HiFi assembled product

from five fragments at 5876 bp. (D) Colony of *Legionella ΔamgK* grown after transformation with

AES on the CYE-agar plate without thymidine. (E) Verification of *amgK* knockout with colony PCR

with 1195 bp band indicative as positive colonies that replace *amgK* gene with *thyA* gene on the

Legionella genome. *Legionella* genomic DNA was used as template for negative control and AES

was used as template for positive control for colony PCR verification.

S2 Table. List of primers used for *amgK* knockout

S3 Table. HiFi cloning conditions for *amgK* gene knockout

S4 Fig. Construction of *amgK* complement on *Legionella* Δ*amgK* in pMMB207c vector. (A)

Design of pMMB207c with *amgK* gene under *lac* operator. (B) Target gene *amgK* PCR

amplification from genomic DNA of *Legionella*. (C) Colony PCR to verify the presence of *amgK*

gene on HiFi Assembled pMMB207c vector in DH5α cells transformed with the plasmid on LB-

Cm plate. (D) *Legionella* Δ*amgK*-pMMBc207c-*amgK* on CYE-agar plate with chloramphenicol.

(E) Colony PCR for *Legionella* Δ*amgK*-pMMBc207c-*amgK* to verify the presence of *amgK* on

Legionella Δ*amgK*.

S4 Table. List of primers used for pMMB207C-*amgK* complement

S5 Table. HiFi cloning conditions for *amgK* complement

S5 Fig. Structural alignment of AmgK and MurU of *Legionella* and *P. aeruginosa*. Both

AmgK PDB files were from predicted AlphaFold structure. While MurU of *Legionella* PDB file

was from AlphaFold predicted structure, *P. aeruginosa* MurU (PDB ID: 8HHD) structure was

available from PDB file from crystal structure study.

S6 Fig. Construction of expression vectors for *E. coli* model system. (A) pBBR-MCS-1-PA-

KU HI vector with IPTG inducible *lac* promoter with SpcR gene. (B) Western blot expression test

with 6xHis tag for 39 kDa and 25 kDa PA AmgK and MurU respectively. (C) Shows pBBR-MCS-

1-Lp-KU-FLAG vector with IPTG inducible *lac* promoter with CamR gene. (D) Western blot

expression test with FLAG tag for 42 kDa and 25 kDa *Legionella* AmgK and MurU respectively.

S6 Table. List of primers for E. coli Δ*murQ* model system

S7 Table. HiFi Cloning Conditions for Expression vector construction for *E. coli* model system

S7 Fig. AmgK expression vector construction and purification. (A) AmgK expression vector pGEX-AmgK. (B) Coomassie stained SDS-PAGE gel image of purified AmgK (~38 kDa).

S8 Table. List of primers used for AmgK protein expression on pGEX vector

S9 Table. HiFi cloning conditions for expression vector carrying *amgK*

S8 Fig. Time-point study of MH-S invasion with AzNAM Labeled *Legionella*. The figure shows images of MH-S cells co-cultured with AzNAM labeled *Legionella*. Az-NAM labeled *Legionella* cells were co-culture with MH-S cells for 30 min, 60 min, 90 min and 120 min and fixed prior to the CuAAC click labeling (*Legionella*, Alk-488, Green) and subsequent labeling with Phalloidin-TRITC (F-actin, Red) and DAPI (nucleus, blue). Images were taken on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope with Z-stack of multiple planes and combined with orthogonal projection with scale bars =10 μm. Images are representative of a minimum of three fields viewed per replicate with at least three technical replicates, and experiments were conducted in at least three biological replicates.

S9 Fig. Time-course of MH-S invasion with NAM labeled *Legionella*. The figure shows images of MH-S cells co-cultured with NAM labeled *Legionella* as negative control for labeling. NAM labeled *Legionella* cells were co-culture with MH-S cells for 30 min, 60 min, 90 min and 120 min and fixed prior to the CuAAC click labeling (*Legionella*, Alk-488, Green) and subsequent labeling with Phalloidin-TRITC (F-actin, Red) and DAPI (nucleus, blue). Images were taken on a Zeiss LSM800 confocal microscope with Z-stack of multiple planes and combined with orthogonal projection with scale bars =10 μm. Images are representative of a minimum of three fields viewed per replicate with at least three technical replicates, and experiments were conducted in at least three biological replicates.

S10 Fig. Mass spec analysis of reduced AzNAM incorporation in the presence of Ferric Nitrate in the AYE medium. Mass spectrometry analysis to verify NH_2NAM incorporation on

1036   lysozyme digested disaccharide fragments following remodeling with AzNAM. In the bottom it

1037   shows the simulation of three isotopes with mass and the top panel shows the relative abundance

1038   of each isotope in the sample.

1039

Fig 1

Fig 2

Fig 3

Fig 4

A

B

| Fosfomycin | - | - | + | + | + | + |
| NAM | - | - | - | - | + | + |

☐ *E. coli ΔmurQ P. aeruginosa_*AmgK-MurU (EQPAKU)

▨ *E. coli ΔmurQ L. pneumophila_*AmgK-MurU (EQLpKU)

Fig 5

A

L. pneumophila   P. putida

AmgK

Alignment

Cartoon View   Surface View

B

kDa

250 —
150 —
100 —
75 —
50 —
37 —
25 —
20 —
15 —

AmgK$_{Lp}$

C

K$_m$ (M) = (4.90 $\pm$ 0.0716) x 10^{-5}
k_{cat} (sec^{-1})= 2.370 $\pm$ 0.0717
k_{cat}/K$_m$ (M^{-1} sec^{-1})= 4.84 $\pm$ 0.854) x 10^4

V(μmol min^{-1} mg^{-1} protein)

NAM [mM]

Fig 6

Fig 7

Fig 8