Cryo-EM structures of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel in liposomes

Vikram Dalal[1], Brandon T. Tan[1], Hanrui Xu[1], Wayland W. L. Cheng[1*]

[1]Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, Saint Louis, MO, USA

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Professor Wayland W. L. Cheng, Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, MSC 8054-0043-12, Saint Louis, MO 63110. Telephone: (314)273-7958; E-mail: wayland.cheng@wustl.edu

Abstract

Detergents and lipid nanodiscs affect the cryo-EM structures of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGICs) including ELIC. To determine the structure of a pLGIC in a membrane environment that supports ion channel function, we performed single particle cryo-EM of ELIC in liposomes. ELIC activation and desensitization were confirmed in liposomes with a stopped-flow thallium flux assay. Using WT ELIC and a non-desensitizing mutant (ELIC5), we captured resting, activated and desensitized structures at high resolution. In the desensitized structure, the ion conduction pore has a constriction at the 9' leucine of the pore-lining M2 helix, indicating that 9' is the desensitization gate in ELIC. The liganded structures of ELIC in liposomes are distinct from those determined in nanodiscs; generally, there is an outward translation of the membrane-facing M4 helix in liposomes compared to nanodiscs. It has been suggested that large nanodiscs are superior for supporting membrane protein function. However, ELIC localizes to the rim of large circularized nanodiscs, and structures of ELIC in large nanodiscs deviate from the liposome structures more than those in small nanodiscs. Using liposomes for cryo-EM structure determination of a pLGIC increases our confidence that the structures are snapshots of functional states.

Introduction

There are many cryo-EM structures of pentameric ligand-gated ion channels (pLGICs) that have provided insight into the architecture of these ion channels and the determinants of activation and desensitization. Ideally, structure determination should be performed under conditions in which ion channel function (i.e. ion flux or conduction) can also be measured. However, all available high-resolution structures of pLGICs have used proteins solubilized in detergent or reconstituted in one of a variety of lipid nanoparticles or nanodiscs. In many cases, the structures vary depending on the detergent or nanodiscs used. In the $\alpha 1$ glycine receptor (GlyR), the proportion of particles contributing to open and desensitized conformations differed when using detergent, MSP (membrane scaffold protein) nanodiscs, or SMA (styrene maleic acid) extracted nanoparticles [1]. In other pLGICs, different structures were obtained in detergent or various nanodiscs for the GABA$_A$ receptor (GABA$_A$R) [2, 3], serotonin 3A receptor (5-HT3aR) [4-6], $\alpha 7$ nicotinic acetylcholine receptor ($\alpha 7$ nAChR) [7-9], and muscle-type nAChR [10, 11]. In a systematic study of the prokaryotic pLGIC, ELIC, in which various lipid nanoparticles or nanodiscs were tested, it was shown that the choice of nanodisc affects the liganded structure of ELIC [12]. Taken together, these studies indicate that detergent or nanodisc conditions impact the structure of pLGICs. Without knowledge of ion channel function under these conditions, the functional annotation of these structures is less certain.

It has been suggested that large nanodiscs, which have proportionally more lipid at the core than rim (the 1-2 nm shell adjacent to the scaffold), may be superior for structural studies of pLGICs. This is because molecular dynamics simulations of ELIC in small nanodiscs show frequent contact of the scaffold with the membrane-facing M4 helix, altering the conformation and dynamics of this helix [12]. The MSP also perturbs the structure of the lipid bilayer (i.e. thickness and order) at the rim [13], and this too may be undesirable. Therefore, it is possible that larger nanodiscs are better membrane mimetics, reducing interactions of the membrane

protein with the scaffold or having more homogeneous lipid bilayer properties. In support of this notion, the function of the ABC transporter was found to be sensitive to nanodisc size with larger nanodiscs yielding functional properties that are more similar to the ABC transporter in liposomes [14].

Here, we report cryo-EM structures of ELIC in liposomes where the ion channel is confirmed to be in resting, activated, and desensitized states. By performing structural and functional characterization under the same reconstitution conditions, we increase our confidence in the functional annotation of these structures. Comparison of structures in liposomes and nanodiscs show differences in the M4 transmembrane helix. Contrary to what was suggested previously [12], structures of ELIC in large nanodiscs deviate the most from those in liposomes.

Results

Resting, activated, and desensitized structures of ELIC in liposomes

Optimal reconstitution of ELIC in liposomes to facilitate single particle cryo-EM was achieved by dialysis. Proteoliposomes were formed using a 2:1:1 molar ratio of POPC (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylcholine): POPE (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylethanolamine): POPG (1-palmitoyl-2-oleoyl-phosphatidylglycerol); these lipids are known to support ELIC activation [15]. Using a stopped-flow thallium flux assay, we confirmed that WT ELIC activates and then mostly desensitizes in response to the agonist, propylamine (Fig. 1A). In contrast, the non-desensitizing mutant, ELIC5 [15], activates and shows no evidence of desensitization for at least 20 minutes (Fig. 1A). The open probability of ELIC5 when activated by 10 mM propylamine in 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG membranes is estimated to be close to 1 [15]. Therefore, proteoliposome preparations of WT ELIC in the absence and presence of propylamine is expected to yield resting and desensitized channels, respectively, and ELIC5 in the presence of propylamine is expected to yield activated channels.

Using single particle cryo-EM of these proteoliposomes, we determined structures of WT ELIC with and without agonist, and ELIC5 with agonist. Side views from the 2D class averages showed that ELIC is oriented in both directions in the liposomes with a preference for the extracellular domain (ECD) facing the extra-liposomal solution (i.e. outward-facing orientation) (Fig. 1B, Supplementary Figure 1, 2 and 3). Heterogeneous refinement in CryoSPARC separated the particles into two classes that generated outward-facing and inward-facing 3D reconstructions (Fig. 1C). One caveat to this analysis is that top/down and tilted views of inward- and outward-facing channels may not be distinguishable unless large structural differences exist. Therefore, it is unlikely that we were able to rigorously classify inward- and outward-facing ELIC particles using heterogeneous refinement or 3D classification in CryoSPARC or Relion. Similarly, the proportion of particles assigned to inward- or outward-facing classes may not accurately reflect the relative orientation of ELIC in the liposomes. Nevertheless, final maps were obtained for apo WT ELIC at a resolution of 3.4 Å for outward-facing and 3.6 Å for inward-facing channels, agonist-bound WT ELIC at a resolution of 3.8 Å for outward-facing and 4.2 Å for inward-facing channels, and agonist-bound ELIC5 at a resolution of 2.8 Å for outward-facing and 4.2 Å for inward-facing channels (Fig. 2A, Supplementary Figure 1, 2, 3 and 4, and Supplementary Table 1). In all samples, the inward- and outward-facing structures of ELIC were not significantly different. We will focus our analysis on the outward-facing structures since these are consistently better quality and resolution.

The structures of ELIC in liposomes have the same overall architecture as structures of ELIC or other pLGICs in nanodiscs, consisting of a homopentameric assembly around a central ion conduction pore (Fig. 2). Each subunit consists of an ECD and transmembrane domain (TMD). In the agonist-bound structures, propylamine binds in a conserved site between β-sheets from two adjacent subunits. Comparison of the apo WT ELIC and agonist-bound ELIC5 structures shows the conformational changes for activation, and comparison of agonist-bound ELIC5 and agonist-bound WT ELIC structures shows the conformation changes for

desensitization. ELIC activation consists of a contraction of the agonist binding site, counter-clockwise twisting of the ECD, and outward translation of M2-M3 linker and pre-M1 linker in the TMD (Supplementary Figure 5). These changes are also associated with an outward translation and counter-clockwise twisting of the transmembrane helices (M1-M4) that leads to opening of the ion channel pore lined by the M2 helix (Supplementary Figure 5). ELIC desensitization consists of a partial return of the transmembrane helices (clockwise twisting and contraction), but without significant change in the ECD or the M2-M3 and pre-M1 linkers in the TMD (Supplementary Figure 5).

The structure of the pore also reveals the conformational state of ELIC: the presence or absence of a continuous and sufficiently wide water-accessible pathway indicates whether the ion channel is open or closed, respectively. Apo WT ELIC shows a pore with two constrictions at F247 and L240 (also known as 16' and 9' positions of M2), where the ion conduction pathway is dehydrated and therefore, closed (Fig. 2B). In contrast, the agonist-bound ELIC5 pore is hydrated and open because M2 rotates and F247 and L240 orient away from the pore axis towards the adjacent subunit (Fig. 2B). Agonist-bound WT ELIC shows conformational heterogeneity at F247, and two states were modeled with different conformations for F247 and Y248 (Supplementary Fig. 6). In state 1, F247 orients towards the pore axis, while in state 2, F247 orients towards M2 of the adjacent subunit. The latter has a wider pore at 16' (F247), but still 9' (L240) forms a constriction like Apo WT ELIC (Fig. 2B). In summary, apo and agonist-bound WT ELIC are closed conformations with constrictions at 9' consistent with resting and desensitized states, and agonist-bound ELIC5 is an open conformation consistent with an activated state. It has been proposed that the activation and desensitization gates in the GABA$_A$R and GlyR are distinct with 9' being the activation gate and -2' (a constriction at the intracellular end of the pore) the desensitization gate [1, 16-19]. However, in agonist-bound structures of the α7 nAChR and 5-HT3aR, which are expected to be desensitized conformations, 9' is the only constriction in the pore that poses an energetic barrier to ion and

water permeation [4, 7, 20, 21]. It was previously suggested that the agonist-bound structures of ELIC and 5-HT3aR may be pre-active states [15, 22], and that the channel may somehow be limited from entering a desensitized state due to detergent or nanodisc conditions [12]. Based on the liposome structures, we conclude that 9' is the desensitization gate for ELIC and possibly other cation-selective pLGICs.

Lipid-like densities are also resolved in the agonist-bound ELIC5 structure (Supplementary Figure 7). The most prominent lipid densities are in the outer TMD consisting of four lipid-like tails. Two of these tails fill intersubunit (M1-M3) and intrasubunit (M3-M4) grooves, and meet to form a putative phospholipid headgroup near R117 of the β6-β7 interfacial loops. A very similar phospholipid density was observed previously in agonist-bound ELIC5 in nanodiscs (e.g. MSP1E3D1) (Supplementary Figure 7), and streamlined free energy perturbation calculations determined that this is likely POPG and not POPE or POPC [15]. Another lipid-like tail adjacent to M1 joins with the density in the intersubunit site making a three-pronged lipid-like density. The ELIC5 structure in MSP1E3D1 also showed a similar but weaker density. Therefore, the lipid densities observed in the liposome structure of the activated state of ELIC are very similar to those observed in a nanodisc structure, suggesting that ELIC and not the nanodisc are primarily determining the interaction of these phospholipids. Lipid-like densities are not well appreciated in the apo WT ELIC or agonist-bound WT ELIC structures, possibly due to the lower resolution of these structures or differences in the nature of the lipid interactions [15].

ELIC is associated with the MSP even in large nanodiscs

Nanodiscs affect the structure of ELIC possibly through interactions of ELIC with the membrane scaffold protein (MSP) [12, 14]. Larger nanodiscs may decrease the probability of interactions with the MSP and therefore be superior membrane mimetics [14]. To test this possibility, we reconstituted WT ELIC in spNW25, a circularized nanodisc with an average diameter of 25 nm [23], for single particle cryo-EM analysis, and determined a structure of ELIC

in the presence of agonist (propylamine). spNW25 nanodiscs are significantly larger than the 11

nm (spMSP1D1) and 15 nm (spNW15) nanodiscs previously used for agonist-bound WT ELIC

structures [12]. Initial inspection of the micrographs showed multiple ELIC particles in most

nanodiscs, and localization of the particles to the rim of the nanodisc (Fig. 3A). Consistent with

this observation, side views of the 2D class averages (Fig. 3B) and the resulting 3D

reconstruction using C1 symmetry (Fig. 3C, Supplementary Figure 8) showed significant MSP

density on one side of the TMD. A recent study showed that MSP densities can be resolved in

the structures of many membrane proteins [24]. We therefore re-analyzed the dataset of

agonist-bound WT ELIC in spMSP1D1 with C1 symmetry; the resulting map showed strong

MSP densities associated with ELIC (Fig. 3E). Taken together, the structures indicate that ELIC

has a strong affinity for the MSP or nanodisc rim irrespective of nanodisc size.

We next examined whether the C1 reconstructions of agonist-bound WT ELIC in

spMSP1D1 or spNW25 nanodiscs exhibit any asymmetric features associated with contact with

the MSP. In the spMSP1D1, the cryo-EM map showed stronger densities for M4 in subunits

adjacent to the MSP, and the local resolutions of those subunits are higher in both the TMD and

ECD (Fig. 3E and 3F). This agrees with the finding in other nanodisc-reconstituted membrane

proteins that interactions with the MSP stabilize the local conformation of the protein [24]. In

contrast, the C1 reconstruction of agonist-bound ELIC in spNW25 showed better local resolution

in subunits facing the lipid bilayer (Fig. 3D). In addition, M4 was only resolved in one of the lipid

bilayer-facing subunits (Fig. 3C). A C5 reconstruction of agonist-bound WT ELIC in spNW25

also did not show densities for M4 (Supplementary Figure 8). The C1 maps of agonist-bound

ELIC in nanodiscs suggest that the MSP can influence the local dynamics of the protein.

The micrographs and 2D class averages of ELIC in spNW25 showed multiple ELIC

particles in each nanodisc. ~10% of particles from the 2D class averages showed two adjacent

pentamers (Supplementary Figure 8), but these dimers of pentamers did not yield high

resolution reconstructions. 2D class averages of dimers of pentamers were never observed in

the liposome datasets. This observation suggests that reconstitution of membrane proteins in large nanodiscs may be a strategy to promote the association of multiple membrane proteins.

Comparison of ELIC structures in liposomes and nanodiscs

The structures of ELIC in nanodiscs differ from the structures in liposomes to varying degrees. From this and previous studies [12, 15], the following structures of ELIC in nanodiscs have been determined: apo WT ELIC in MSP1E3D1 (PDB 8D65) and spMSP1D1 (PDB 8F35), agonist-bound ELIC5 in MSP1E3D1 (PDB 8VUW) and spNW15 (PDB 8TWV), and agonist-bound WT ELIC in MSP1E3D1 (PDB 8D66), spMSP1D1 (PDB 8F34), spNW15 (PDB 8TWZ), and spNW25. To examine the effect of nanodisc size on ELIC structure, we compared agonist-bound WT ELIC in liposomes with spMSP1D1 (11 nm), spNW15 (15 nm) and spNW25 (25 nm). Unexpectedly, the structure in the largest nanodisc, spNW25, deviates most from the liposome structure. There is a shortening of M1 and M2, and tilting of M3 towards the membrane that decreases the height of these transmembrane helices along the pore axis by ~1-1.5 Å compared to the liposome and spMSP1D1 structures (Fig. 4A, Supplementary Table 2). Along with this, M2 is tilted towards the pore axis, narrowing the pore even further at L240 (9') and Q233 (2') (Fig. 4B). In spNW15, M2 tilts towards the pore axis at the intracellular end slightly narrowing the pore, as was reported previously [12]. The spMSP1D1 structure most closely matches the liposome structure, except there is ~1 Å outward (away from the pore axis) translation of M4 in the liposome structure compared to the spMSP1D1 structure (Fig. 4C). There is no M4 density in the C5 maps of agonist-bound WT ELIC in spNW15 and spNW25, suggesting that larger nanodiscs increase the structural heterogeneity of this helix.

In apo WT ELIC, the structures in MSP1E3D1 or spMS1PD1 are not significantly different from the structure in liposomes. In contrast, in agonist-bound ELIC5, M4 is translated (2 Å) and tilted (~5 degrees) outward (away from the pore axis) in the liposome structure compared to the MSP1E3D1 or spNW15 structures (Fig. 4D). Along with this, there is a slight

outward expansion of the M1, M2 and M3 transmembrane helices away from the pore axis, indicating that the nanodisc is producing a more compact activated structure of ELIC.

In summary, the agonist-bound structures of ELIC or ELIC5 in nanodiscs deviate from the liposome structures, particularly in M4. This is consistent with MD simulations of ELIC in nanodiscs showing that the outward tilting of M4 is limited by interactions with the MSP [12]. Contrary to what was suggested, the agonist-bound WT ELIC structure in a large nanodisc (spNW25) deviates most from the liposome structure indicating that such large nanodiscs do not recapitulate the membrane environment of a liposome.

Discussion

There has been uncertainty as to whether agonist-bound structures of ELIC represent a desensitized state because these structures varied when using different nanodiscs [12]. Using liposomes that support ELIC function, we have greater confidence that the structures presented in this study capture resting, activated and desensitized conformations. The desensitized conformation shows that the 9' leucine is likely the desensitization gate in ELIC. The ability to obtain structures of ELIC in liposomes sets the stage for time-resolved cryo-EM structural analysis of a pLGIC where the rates of activation and desensitization can be monitored.

The analysis of ELIC in spNW25 nanodiscs highlights an important issue for the reconstitution of membrane proteins in nanodiscs. Strikingly, ELIC localizes only to the rim of this large nanodisc. There is evidence that many membrane proteins in nanodiscs have an affinity for the MSP [24, 25]. This is anticipated to produce a non-uniform environment (i.e. MSP on one side and a lipid bilayer on the other), and differences in the local resolution of C1 reconstructions of ELIC in nanodiscs may be a consequence of direct interactions with the MSP. It is also likely that the spNW25 nanodisc alters lipid bilayer properties particularly at the rim of the nanodisc, and that this contributes to the observed changes in the TMD structure. It remains

to be seen whether the structures of ELIC observed in nanodiscs could be induced by modifications in the lipid bilayer properties of a liposome membrane.

In pLGICs, M4 is in the periphery of the TMD, and is thought to be a lipid sensor due to its contact with the membrane [26]. In ELIC, the structure and dynamics of M4 influence agonist responses [27]. Based on the liposome structures, activation of ELIC involves an outward translation and tilting of M4 towards the membrane; this movement of M4 is greatest in the activated state and intermediate in the desensitized state. In both activated and desensitized structures, nanodiscs limit this agonist-induced conformational change in M4, and in desensitized structures, some nanodiscs (i.e. spNW15 and spNW25) appear to increase the conformational heterogeneity of M4. Therefore, we infer from the structural data that nanodiscs affect agonist responses in ELIC, suggesting that structure determination in liposomes is necessary to capture snapshots of activated and desensitized states of this pLGIC.

Methods

Expression, purification and liposome reconstitution of ELIC

ELIC was expressed from pET-26-MBP-ELIC provided by Raimund Dutzler (Addgene plasmid #39239) in OverExpress C43 (DE3) *E. coli* (Lucigen 60446-1) as previously described [28]. The ELIC5 mutant (P254G/C300S/V251Y/G319F/I320F) was made using Quikchange and confirmed by Sanger sequencing (Genewiz). Cultures were grown with Terrific Broth (Sigma T0918) using 0.1 mM IPTG (Sigma I6758) for induction. The cells were lysed with an Avestin C5 emulsifier, and membranes solubilized with 1% DDM (Anatrace D310S). The extracted protein was purified with amylose resin (New England Biolabs E8022L), and eluted with 40 mM maltose in buffer A (10 MM Tris pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 0.02% DDM). The maltose binding protein was removed by overnight digestion with HRV-3c protease (ThermoFisher Scientific 88947). Lastly, the protein was purified over a Sephadex 200 Increase 10/300 size exclusion column (Cytiva 28-9909-44) in Buffer A.

Reconstitution of WT ELIC or ELIC5 in liposomes was performed using dialysis. 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG was dried overnight in a vacuum desiccator to remove chloroform, resuspended in 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 150 mM NaCl, 2% cholate at 10 mg/ml, and sonicated to clarity. The lipid solution was diluted to 5 mg/ml to 1% cholate. For each sample, 0.5 mg of lipid (100 μl) was mixed with 250 μg of WT ELIC or ELIC5 at ~7 mg/ml, producing a lipid:ELIC(pentamer) molar ratio of ~500:1. For samples with agonist, propylamine was added at this step to a final concentration of 10 mM such that the proteoliposomes will have propylamine in both the extraliposomal and intraliposomal space. The sample was incubated on ice for 1h, and then dialyzed (ThermoScientific 88402- 20K MWCO) against 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 150 mM NaCl over 5-7 days with 6x solution changes of 14 ml each. After dialysis, the sample was ~150 μl. The sample was centrifuged at 10,000xg for 5 min to remove large aggregates, and concentrated to ~50-75 μl using a Amicon Ultra-0.5 100 kDa MWCO centrifugal filter (Millipore Sigma UFC910024). The final lipid concentration was ~5 mg/ml, and this was used directly for freezing for cryo-EM analysis.

Expression and purification of spNW25, and nanodisc reconstitution

spNW25 was expressed and purified from Addgene plasmid #173484 provided by Huan Bao exactly as previously described using Ni-NTA affinity purification, but without size exclusion chromatography [23]. Reconstitution of WT ELIC in spNW25 was performed using a liposome destabilization technique as described previously [15]. 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG in 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 150 mM NaCl at 7.5 mg/ml was freeze-thawed 3x and extruded with a 400 nm filter (Avanti Polar Lipids). These lipids were destabilized by adding 0.4% DDM and incubating at RT for 3 h. ELIC and spNW25 was added to the sample with a molar ratio of 1:2:600 of ELIC:spNW25:lipid and incubated at RT for 1.5 h. DDM was removed to form nanodiscs with Biobeads SM-2 Resin (Bio-Rad 1528920) over night at 4 °C. Nanodiscs were further purified

over a Sephadex 200 Increase 10/300 column in 50 mM HEPES pH 7 with 150 mM NaCl. The nanodisc fractions were concentrated to ~0.5 mg/ml, and propylamine was added to a final concentration of 50 mM at least 30 min prior to freezing for cryo-EM analysis.

Cryo-EM sample preparation and imaging

Cryo-EM samples for agonist-bound WT ELIC in spNW25 were prepared as previously described [12]. 3 μl of sample was applied to Quantifoil R2/2 copper grids, previously negatively glow discharged using a GloQube (Quorum Technologies) for 60 s. The grids were blotted for 2 s in a 95% humidity environment and vitrified in liquid ethane with a Vitrobot Mark IV (ThermoFisher Scientific). Images were collected on a Glacios 200 kV Cryo-EM equipped with a Falcon 4 Direct Electron Detector (ThermoFisher Scientific). The single particle cryo-EM data was acquired by counting mode on the Falcon 4 with EPU software (version 3.5.1). The movies were collected with a pixel size of 1.184, and defocus range of -0.8 to -2.4 μm. Each movie consisted of 45 individual frames with a total dose of 46.6 electrons per Å^2.

For the proteoliposome samples, 3 μl of sample was applied to a Quantifoil R2/2 gold grid and incubated for 3 min in a 95% humidity environment. The grid was then blotted for 2 s, followed by a second sample application. After the second sample application, the grid was incubated at 95% humidity for 30 s, blotted for 2 s, and vitrified in liquid ethane. Images were collected on a Krios 300 kV Cryo-EM equipped with a Falcon 4 Direct Electron Detector. Single particle cryo-EM data was acquired using counting mode on the Falcon 4 with the EPU software 3.8.1. For apo WT ELIC and agonist-bound ELIC5, movies were collected with a pixel size of 0.868, and for agonist-bound WT ELIC, movies were collected with a pixel size of 0.865. A total dose of 55.4 electrons per Å^2 was used for apo WT ELIC, 54.4 electrons per Å^2 for agonist-bound WT ELIC, and 56.9 electrons per Å^2 for agonist-bound ELIC5.

<u>Single particle analysis and model building</u>

Single particle cryo-EM analysis of WT ELIC in spNW25 with 50 mM propylamine was performed using CryoSPARC v4.6.0 [29] and Relion5.0. Initial processing was performed with CryoSPARC including Patch Motion Correction, Patch CTF and particle picking using templates from a previously determined ELIC map. Extracted particles were cleaned up with multiple rounds of 2D classification. To increase the number of picked particles, Topaz particle picking was performed by separately training with top/down, tilted and side views of ELIC from the last 2D classification job, and these particles underwent multiple rounds of 2D classification. The resulting ~787,500 particles underwent multiple heterogeneous refinement jobs using C1 symmetry. For the C1 reconstruction, the best class from the final heterogeneous refinement job was further analyzed in Relion5.0 using multiple rounds of 3D classification with blush regularization and C1 symmetry. The final post-processed map was generated in Relion5.0. For the C5 reconstruction, the ~787,500 particles underwent multiple heterogeneous refinement jobs in CryoSPARC using C5 symmetry, followed by multiple 3D classifications in Relion5.0 with blush regularization and C5 symmetry. The final post-processed map was generated in Relion5.0.

Each of the three proteoliposome samples were analyzed in a similar fashion as the approach used for the ELIC spNW25 structure, and the workflow is highlighted in Supplementary Figures 1-3. Movies were motion corrected and CTF estimation was performed in cryoSPARC. Particle picking was performed with blob picker, which yielded poor results, but many rounds of 2D classification eventually yielded a small number of particles (several thousand) producing class averages that resembled top/down, tilted and membrane-embedded side views. These particles were used for Topaz training and the extracted particles were further processed by 2D classification. The resulting 2D class averages were improved and, again, used for Topaz training separating top/down and tilted from side views. This was performed 2-3 times for each dataset. When there was no significant increase in the number of good particles

from Topaz picking, an ab-initio reconstruction was performed to generate initial volumes for heterogeneous refinement. It was apparent from the side views of the 2D class averages that ELIC was oriented inward and outward in the liposomes. Accordingly, ab-initio reconstructions produced volumes with opposite membrane curvature indicative of inward- and outward-facing channels. Multiple rounds of heterogeneous refinement were performed with the inward- and outward-facing volumes as well as 2-3 junk volumes. The resulting particles were used for non-uniform refinement and local refinement with a mask for the entire ELIC or ELIC5 protein. The local refinement job generally produced better maps probably because it masked out the liposome membrane from the refinement procedure. In general, better maps could be obtained with further processing in Relion5.0, except for agonist-bound ELIC5 which yielded a final outward-facing map of 2.8 Å resolution. Particles from inward- and outward-facing classes underwent multiple rounds of 3D classification in Relion5.0 without and with a mask for the entire ELIC protein. The masked 3D classification jobs yielded the best final classes for post-process refinement.

For the apo WT ELIC structures in liposomes, an initial model of ELIC was obtained from PDB 8F35. For all agonist-bound WT structures, an initial model was obtained from PDB 8F34. For the agonist-bound ELIC5 structures in liposomes, an initial model was obtained from PDB 8VUW. These were used to perform real space refinement in PHENIX 1.19.2 [30]. The structure of ELIC was manually built into the cryo-EM density map using COOT 0.9.6 [31], refined in PHENIX, and this process was repeated iteratively. Propylamine was fit into the density in the agonist binding site based on the orientation predicted from MD simulations [32]. For all C5 models, a single subunit was built, refined and propagated to the other subunits. 3D volume visualization, analysis and image preparation were performed using PyMOL 2.5.2 and ChimeraX 1.6.1.

Fluorescence stopped-flow liposomal flux assay

The fluorescence stopped-flow liposomal flux measurements were performed on the SX20 stopped-flow spectrofluorometer (Applied Photophysics) as previously described [15], but with some modifications to assay ELIC activity in liposomes formed by dialysis—the same liposome reconstitution method that was used for cryo-EM. 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG lipids were dried and reconstituted in 10 mM HEPES pH 7, 100 mM $NaNO_3$, 1% cholate at 5 mg/ml and sonicated to clarity. For each sample, 2.5 mg of lipid (0.5 ml) was used and mixed with 12.5 μg of WT ELIC or 2.5 μg of ELIC5, incubated for 1 h at 4 ^{o}C, and dialyzed for 3-5 days against 14 ml of buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7, 100 mM $NaNO_3$) with 6 solution changes at 4°C. Next, the proteoliposome samples were mixed with 1.5 ml of 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 100 mM $NaNO_3$, and 75 mM 8-Aminonaphthalene-1,3,6,-Trisulfonic Acid (ANTS, ThermoFisher Scientific). The samples underwent a single freeze-thaw to introduce ANTS into the intra-liposomal space. To remove the extra-liposomal ANTS, the samples were diluted to 2.5 ml and run through a PD-10 desalting column (Cytiva) pre-equilibrated with 50 mM HEPES pH 7, 140 mM $NaNO_3$ (Stopped-flow Buffer A), and eluted with 3 ml of this buffer. Lastly, the samples were diluted 3-fold with Stopped-flow Buffer A.

Two experiments were performed to assess activation and desensitization of WT ELIC, and activation of ELIC5. For WT ELIC, activation and desensitization proceed in the millisecond and second time scales, respectively, so a rapid sequential-mixing experiment was performed with delay times from 10 ms to 60 s. WT ELIC proteoliposomes were mixed 1:1 with Stopped-flow Buffer A containing 2x concentration of propylamine (20 mM). After a variable delay time, the sample was mixed 1:1 with quenching buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7, 90 mM $NaNO_3$, 50 mM $TlNO_3$), and ANTS fluorescence was recorded for 1 s. Multiple repeat measurements were obtained for each delay time and averaged. For ELIC5, activation proceeds in the seconds time scale and the channel is anticipated to not desensitize. To test whether ELIC5 exhibits any desensitization over a long time scale (up to 20 minutes) we modified the assay to add

propylamine manually. At predetermined times (1-20 minutes), a single mixing experiment was performed that involved 1:1 mixing with quenching buffer followed by measurement of ANTS fluorescence for 1 s. The data were analyzed using the Pro-Data SX software from Applied Photophysics. The fluorescence quenching traces were fit to a stretched exponential and the rate of Tl^+ influx was determined at 2 ms [33].

Data Availability

The data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and supplementary information files. The cryo-EM maps have been deposited in the Electron Microscopy Data Bank (EMDB) under accession codes EMD-49382, EMD-49383, EMD-49384, EMD-49385, EMD-49390, EMD-49391, EMD-49392, and EMD-49400. The structural coordinates have been deposited in the RCSB Protein Data Bank (PDB) under the accession codes 9NGC, 9NGF, 9NGG, 9NGI, 9NGQ, 9NGR, 9NGS, and 9NH4.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants R35GM137957 to WWC, AHA postdoctoral fellowship and the Owen's Anesthesiology Research Fellowship to VD, and the Foundation for Anesthesia Education and Research Mentored Research Training Grant to BKT.

Authors Contributions Statement

W.W.C. and V.D. conceived the project and designed the experimental procedures. W.W.C. and V.D. carried out the protein expression and purification, nanodisc and liposome reconstitution and single particle analysis. B.K.T. and H.X. performed the fluorescence stopped-flow liposomal flux assay. All authors reviewed the paper.

Competing Interests Statement

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Yu J, Zhu H, Lape R, Greiner T, Du J, Lu W, et al. Mechanism of gating and partial agonist action in the glycine receptor. Cell. 2021. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.01.026.

2. Zhu S, Noviello CM, Teng J, Walsh RM, Jr., Kim JJ, Hibbs RE. Structure of a human synaptic GABAA receptor. Nature. 2018;559(7712):67-72. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0255-3.

3. Kim JJ, Gharpure A, Teng J, Zhuang Y, Howard RJ, Zhu S, et al. Shared structural mechanisms of general anaesthetics and benzodiazepines. Nature. 2020;585(7824):303-8. doi: 10.1038/s41586-020-2654-5.

4. Basak S, Gicheru Y, Rao S, Sansom MSP, Chakrapani S. Cryo-EM reveals two distinct serotonin-bound conformations of full-length 5-HT3A receptor. Nature. 2018;563(7730):270-4. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0660-7.

5. Basak S, Gicheru Y, Samanta A, Molugu SK, Huang W, Fuente M, et al. Cryo-EM structure of 5-HT3A receptor in its resting conformation. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):514. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-02997-4.

6. Zhang Y, Dijkman PM, Zou R, Zandl-Lang M, Sanchez RM, Eckhardt-Strelau L, et al. Asymmetric opening of the homopentameric 5-HT3A serotonin receptor in lipid bilayers. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1074. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-21016-7.

7. Noviello CM, Gharpure A, Mukhtasimova N, Cabuco R, Baxter L, Borek D, et al. Structure and gating mechanism of the alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Cell. 2021;184(8):2121-34 e13. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.02.049.

8. Burke SM, Avstrikova M, Noviello CM, Mukhtasimova N, Changeux JP, Thakur GA, et al. Structural mechanisms of alpha7 nicotinic receptor allosteric modulation and activation. Cell. 2024;187(5):1160-76 e21. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2024.01.032.

9. Zhao Y, Liu S, Zhou Y, Zhang M, Chen H, Eric Xu H, et al. Structural basis of human alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor activation. Cell Res. 2021;31(6):713-6. doi: 10.1038/s41422-021-00509-6.

10. Rahman MM, Teng J, Worrell BT, Noviello CM, Lee M, Karlin A, et al. Structure of the Native Muscle-type Nicotinic Receptor and Inhibition by Snake Venom Toxins. Neuron. 2020;106(6):952-62 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2020.03.012.

11. Zarkadas E, Pebay-Peyroula E, Thompson MJ, Schoehn G, Uchanski T, Steyaert J, et al. Conformational transitions and ligand-binding to a muscle-type nicotinic acetylcholine receptor. Neuron. 2022;110(8):1358-70 e5. doi: 10.1016/j.neuron.2022.01.013.

12. Dalal V, Arcario MJ, Petroff JT, 2nd, Tan BK, Dietzen NM, Rau MJ, et al. Lipid nanodisc scaffold and size alter the structure of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. Nat Commun. 2024;15(1):25. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-44366-w.

13. Bengtsen T, Holm VL, Kjolbye LR, Midtgaard SR, Johansen NT, Tesei G, et al. Structure and dynamics of a nanodisc by integrating NMR, SAXS and SANS experiments with molecular dynamics simulations. Elife. 2020;9. doi: 10.7554/eLife.56518.

14. Nouel Barreto A, Cuello LG, Zoghbi ME. ABC transporter activity is affected by the size of lipid nanodiscs. FEBS Lett. 2025. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.15096.

15. Petroff JT, 2nd, Dietzen NM, Santiago-McRae E, Deng B, Washington MS, Chen LJ, et al. Open-channel structure of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel reveals a mechanism of leaflet-specific phospholipid modulation. Nat Commun. 2022;13(1):7017. doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-34813-5.

16. Masiulis S, Desai R, Uchanski T, Serna Martin I, Laverty D, Karia D, et al. GABAA receptor signalling mechanisms revealed by structural pharmacology. Nature. 2019;565(7740):454-9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0832-5.

17. Kumar A, Basak S, Rao S, Gicheru Y, Mayer ML, Sansom MSP, et al. Mechanisms of activation and desensitization of full-length glycine receptor in lipid nanodiscs. Nat Commun. 2020;11(1):3752. doi: 10.1038/s41467-020-17364-5.

18. Gielen M, Corringer PJ. The dual-gate model for pentameric ligand-gated ion channels activation and desensitization. J Physiol. 2018;596(10):1873-902. doi: 10.1113/JP275100.

19. Gielen M, Thomas P, Smart TG. The desensitization gate of inhibitory Cys-loop receptors. Nat Commun. 2015;6:6829. doi: 10.1038/ncomms7829.

20. Polovinkin L, Hassaine G, Perot J, Neumann E, Jensen AA, Lefebvre SN, et al. Conformational transitions of the serotonin 5-HT3 receptor. Nature. 2018;563(7730):275-9. doi: 10.1038/s41586-018-0672-3.

21. Zhuang Y, Noviello CM, Hibbs RE, Howard RJ, Lindahl E. Differential interactions of resting, activated, and desensitized states of the alpha7 nicotinic acetylcholine receptor with lipidic modulators. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2022;119(43):e2208081119. doi: 10.1073/pnas.2208081119.

22. Felt K, Stauffer M, Salas-Estrada L, Guzzo PR, Xie D, Huang J, et al. Structural basis for partial agonism in 5-HT(3A) receptors. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2024;31(4):598-609. doi: 10.1038/s41594-023-01140-2.

23. Zhang S, Ren Q, Novick SJ, Strutzenberg TS, Griffin PR, Bao H. One-step construction of circularized nanodiscs using SpyCatcher-SpyTag. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):5451. doi: 10.1038/s41467-021-25737-7.

24. Koh YH, Kim SJ, Roh SH. Unraveling membrane protein localization and interactions in nanodiscs. FEBS Lett. 2024. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.15059.

25. Kern DM, Sorum B, Mali SS, Hoel CM, Sridharan S, Remis JP, et al. Cryo-EM structure of SARS-CoV-2 ORF3a in lipid nanodiscs. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2021;28(7):573-82. doi: 10.1038/s41594-021-00619-0.

26. Henault CM, Sun J, Therien JP, daCosta CJ, Carswell CL, Labriola JM, et al. The role of the M4 lipid-sensor in the folding, trafficking, and allosteric modulation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. Neuropharmacology. 2015;96(Pt B):157-68. doi: 10.1016/j.neuropharm.2014.11.011.

27. Henault CM, Govaerts C, Spurny R, Brams M, Estrada-Mondragon A, Lynch J, et al. A lipid site shapes the agonist response of a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel. Nat Chem Biol. 2019;15(12):1156-64. doi: 10.1038/s41589-019-0369-4.

28. Dietzen NM, Arcario MJ, Chen LJ, Petroff JT, 2nd, Moreland KT, Krishnan K, et al. Polyunsaturated fatty acids inhibit a pentameric ligand-gated ion channel through one of two binding sites. Elife. 2022;11. doi: 10.7554/eLife.74306.

29. Punjani A, Rubinstein JL, Fleet DJ, Brubaker MA. cryoSPARC: algorithms for rapid unsupervised cryo-EM structure determination. Nat Methods. 2017;14(3):290-6. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.4169.

30. Adams PD, Afonine PV, Bunkoczi G, Chen VB, Davis IW, Echols N, et al. PHENIX: a comprehensive Python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010;66(Pt 2):213-21. doi: 10.1107/S0907444909052925.

31. Emsley P, Lohkamp B, Scott WG, Cowtan K. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr. 2010;66(Pt 4):486-501. doi: 10.1107/S0907444910007493.

32. Kumar P, Wang Y, Zhang Z, Zhao Z, Cymes GD, Tajkhorshid E, et al. Cryo-EM structures of a lipid-sensitive pentameric ligand-gated ion channel embedded in a phosphatidylcholine-only bilayer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(3):1788-98. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1906823117.

33. Posson DJ, Rusinova R, Andersen OS, Nimigean CM. Stopped-Flow Fluorometric Ion Flux Assay for Ligand-Gated Ion Channel Studies. Methods Mol Biol. 2018;1684:223-35. doi: 10.1007/978-1-4939-7362-0_17.

34. Smart OS, Neduvelil JG, Wang X, Wallace BA, Sansom MS. HOLE: a program for the analysis of the pore dimensions of ion channel structural models. J Mol Graph. 1996;14(6):354-60, 76. doi: 10.1016/s0263-7855(97)00009-x.

Figure 1

Figure 1: Single particle cryo-EM of ELIC in liposomes. (A) Schematic of the fluorescence stopped-flow liposomal flux assay: a sequential mixing experiment is performed. ELIC proteoliposomes are mixed with agonist followed by thallium (Tl⁺) after a variable delay time. ELIC activity at each delay time is measured as the rate of fluorescence quenching by the influx of Tl⁺. Shown is WT ELIC and ELIC5 activity after mixing with 10 mM propylamine over varying delay times (n = 3-6, ± SD). (B) Representative cryo-EM micrograph of WT ELIC in 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG liposomes and 2D class averages. (C) Cryo-EM maps of WT ELIC without agonist in liposomes showing inward- and outward-facing orientations. The lipid bilayer density is shown at a lower contour level for illustration.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Structure and pore conformation of resting, activated, and desensitized states. (A) Cryo-EM maps of WT ELIC without agonist (resting state), ELIC5 with 10 mM propylamine (activated state), and WT ELIC with 10 mM propylamine (desensitized state) in liposomes. In the ELIC5 + Agonist map, red shows non-protein densities. (B) Two opposing subunits from models of the structures shown in (A) along with a dot plot of the pore dimensions generated using HOLE [34]. Shown are the side chains for F247 (16'), L240 (9') and Q233 (2').

Figure 3:

Figure 3: Single particle cryo-EM of ELIC in spNW25 nanodiscs. (A) Cryo-EM micrograph of WT ELIC with 10 mM propylamine in spNW25 nanodiscs. The circles indicate top-down views of spNW25 nanodiscs with multiple ELIC ion channels at the rim of the nanodisc. (B) 2D class averages of WT ELIC in spNW25. (C) C1 cryo-EM map of agonist-bound WT ELIC in spNW25 at low contour showing the nanodisc scaffold density (gray). M4 is resolved in one of the ELIC subunits colored yellow. (D) Displays of the local resolution for the map in (C). Shown are the aspects of ELIC facing the lipid bilayer and the nanodisc scaffold. (E) C1 cryo-EM map of agonist-bound WT ELIC in spMSP1D1 at low contour showing the nanodisc scaffold density (gray). M4 densities can be appreciated in all subunits except one of the lipid bilayer-facing subunits (star). (F) Displays of the local resolution for the map in (E). Shown are the aspects of ELIC facing the lipid bilayer and the nanodisc scaffold.

Figure 4

Figure 4: Comparison of ELIC structures in liposomes and nanodiscs. (A) Comparison of the

M1, M2, and M3 helices in structures of WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes, spMSP1D1 and

spNW25. Shown in M2 is the side chain of L240 and the approximate location of the ion

conduction pore relative to M2. Images are from a global superposition of the structures. (B)

Two opposing subunits from structures of WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes and spNW25.

Shown are dot plots of the pore dimensions generated using HOLE [34], and the side chains for

F247 (16'), L240 (9') and Q233 (2'). (C) Comparison of M4 in WT ELIC in liposomes, WT ELIC

with agonist in liposomes, and WT ELIC with agonist in spMSP1D1 showing a side view and top

view (from extracellular side) of the helix. The approximate location of the lipid bilayer and ion conduction pore are indicated relative to M4. Images are from a global superposition of the structures. (D) Same representation as (C) comparing M4 in WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes, ELIC5 with agonist in liposomes, and ELIC5 with agonist in MSP1E3D1.

Supplementary Figure 1

6723 micrographs

WT Apo

2D classification-
570,264 particles

Heterogeneous Refinement-
253,900 particles

Outward
129,649 particles

Inward
113,394 particles

Outward

3D classification

Refine3D- 26,273 particles

Postprocess- 3.4 A

Inward

3D classification

Refine3D- 13,614 particles

Postprocess- 3.6 A

Supplementary Figure 1: Summary of single particle cryo-EM analysis of WT ELIC in liposomes. After multiple rounds of heterogeneous refinement in CryoSPARC to separate particles into inward- and outward-facing populations, multiple rounds of 3D classification were performed in Relion-5 to yield the final maps. The results from the final heterogeneous refinement and 3D classification jobs are shown.

Supplementary Figure 2

Supplementary Figure 2: Summary of single particle cryo-EM analysis of ELIC5 with agonist in liposomes, similar to Supplementary Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure 3

Supplementary Figure 3: Summary of single particle cryo-EM analysis of WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes, similar to Supplementary Figure 1.

Supplementary Figure 4

Supplementary Figure 4: Display of local resolution on sharpened maps and FSC curves from outward-facing and inward-facing ELIC structures in liposomes. The final map of outward-facing ELIC5 with agonist in liposomes was obtained from CryoSPARC. The remaining maps were obtained from Relion-5.

Supplementary Figure 5: Comparison of resting (WT apo), activated (ELIC5 + agonist) and desensitized (WT + agonist) structures of ELIC in liposomes. All images are from a global superposition of the structures. (A) View of the agonist/orthosteric binding site with bound propylamine. Loop C and three aromatic residues that interact with propylamine are labeled. The activated structure shows a contraction of the agonist binding site compared to the resting structure. (B) View of the extracellular domain (ECD) from the extracellular side along the pore axis. The activated structure shows a counter-clockwise twisting and slight contraction of the ECD. (C) View of the ECD-TMD interface. The β1-β2, β6-β7, and β8-β9 loops are labeled along with M4, and M3 and M1 from adjacent subunits. The ECD interfacial loops are similar between the activated and desensitized structures, but the transmembrane helices show a tilting and translation away from the pore axis that is greater in the activated compared to the desensitized structure. (D) View of the TMD from the extracellular side along the pore axis. (E) View of the pore-lining M2 showing the rotation of the F247 and L240 in the activated structure compared to the resting and desensitized structures.

State 1

State 2

Supplementary Figure 6: View of the cryo-EM map of WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes

showing the fit for State 1 and State 2 models, which differ primarily in the side chain orientation

of F247 and Y248. In State 1, F247 orients towards the pore axis, while in State 2, F247 orients

towards the adjacent subunit.

Supplementary Figure 7

ELIC5 + Agonist (liposome) map

ELIC5 + Agonist (liposome) map
Lipid model from PDB 8VUW

Supplementary Figure 7: Cryo-EM map of ELIC5 with agonist in liposomes with non-protein lipid-like densities colored in red. The lipid-like densities in the green box are shown on the right along with the phospholipid from PDB 8VUW (structure of agonist-bound ELIC5 in MSP1E3D1 nanodiscs). This image was produced by fitting ELIC5 from 8VUW in the ELIC5 map from liposomes, and displaying the phospholipid from 8VUW with the non-protein densities from the map of ELIC5 in liposomes.

Supplementary Figure 8: Summary of single particle cryo-EM analysis of WT ELIC with

agonist in spNW25 nanodiscs. 2D class averages were separated into single pentamers or

dimers of pentamers. The particles consisting of single pentamers were processed with C1

symmetry in CryoSPARC only, or with C5 symmetry in CryoSPARC and Relion-5. Shown are

the FSC curves for both C1 and C5 reconstructions, and the local resolution of the C5 map. The

C1 map did not show significant asymmetry in the protein structure except for differences in the

local resolution. Therefore, a model was only produced based on the C5 reconstruction, which

had better resolution. The dimers of pentamers were separately processed in CryoSPARC with

C2 symmetry, and this did not yield a high-resolution reconstruction.

Supplementary Table 1: Summary of cryo-EM data collection and refinement parameters

	ELIC state 1 with propylamine facing ECD outwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE: POPG EMD-49390 PDB 9NGQ	ELIC state 2 with propylamine facing ECD outwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE: POPG EMD-49391 PDB 9NGR	ELIC with propylamine facing ECD inwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE: POPG EMD-49392 PDB 9NGS	ELIC facing ECD outwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE: POPG EMD-49383 PDB 9NGF	ELIC facing ECD inwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE: POPG EMD-49384 PDB 9NGG
Data collection and processing					
Magnification	75000	75000	75000	75000	75000
Voltage (kV)	300	300	300	300	300
Electron exposure (e-/Å^2)	54.4	54.4	54.4	55.4	55.4
Defocus range (μm)	-1 to -2.4	-1 to -2.4	-1 to -2.4	-1 to -2.4	-1 to -2.4
Pixel size (Å)	0.865	0.865	0.865	0.868	0.868
Symmetry imposed	C5	C5	C5	C5	C5
Initial particle images (no)	635,388	635,388	635,388	570,264	570,264
Final particle images (no)	15,718	15,718	8,145	26,273	13,614
Map resolution (Å)	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.4	3.6
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143	0.143
Refinement					
Initial model used	PDB 8F34	PDB 8F34	PDB 8F34	PDB 8F35	PDB 8F35
Model resolution (Å)	3.8	3.8	4.2	3.5	3.7
FSC threshold	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5

Map sharpening B factor (Å^2)	-130.301	-130.301	-138.788	-117.585	-130.345
Model composition					
Non-hydrogen atoms	12551	12551	12549	12585	12617
Protein Residues	1535	1535	1535	1535	1535
Ligands	5	5	5	0	0
B factors (Å^2) (0.5)					
Protein	13.55	13.55	29.12	27.02	25.93
Ligand	9.82	9.82	9.57	---------	---------
R.m.s. deviations					
Bond lengths (Å)	0.006	0.007	0.006	0.005	0.004
Bond angles (°)	1.099	1.132	1.167	1.083	1.012
Validation					
MolProbity Score	1.77	1.77	1.53	1.75	1.61
Clashscore	8.20	7.92	4	7	4.54
Poor rotamers (%)	0	0	0	0	0
Ramachandran plot					
Favored (%)	95.34	95.08	95.08	94.43	94.43
Allowed (%)	4.66	4.92	4.92	5.57	5.57
Disallowed (%)	0	0	0	0	0

	ELIC5 with propylamine facing ECD outwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG EMD-49382 PDB 9NGC	ELIC5 with propylamine facing ECD inwards in liposomes with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG EMD-49385 PDB 9NGI	ELIC with propylamine in spNW25 nanodiscs with 2:1:1 POPC:POPE:POPG EMD-49400 PDB 9NH4
Data collection and processing			
Magnification	75000	75000	120000
Voltage (kV)	300	300	200
Electron exposure (e-/Å^2)	56.9	56.9	46.6
Defocus range (μm)	-1 to -2.4	-1 to -2.4	-0.8 to -2.4
Pixel size (Å)	0.868	0.868	1.184
Symmetry imposed	C5	C5	C5
Initial particle images (no)	422,344	422,344	1,031,340
Final particle images (no)	120,578	7,244	111,244
Map resolution (Å)	2.8	4.3	3.9
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143	0.143
Refinement			
Initial model used	PDB 8VUW	PDB 8VUW	PDB 8F34
Model resolution (Å)	3.1	4.1	4.2
FSC threshold	0.5	0.5	0.5
Map sharpening B factor (Å^2)	-121.1	-156.539	-258.138
Model composition			

Non-hydrogen atoms	12722	12729	11320
Protein Residues	1550	1550	1375
Ligands	5	5	5
B factors (Å²) (0.5)			
Protein	78.30	139.9	32.33
Ligand	59.82	93.71	16.82
R.m.s. deviations			
Bond lengths (Å)	0.005	0.006	0.006
Bond angles (°)	1.083	1.189	1.169
Validation			
MolProbity Score	1.22	1.94	2.29
Clashscore	2.33	11.19	20.80
Poor rotamers (%)	0	0	0
Ramachandran plot			
Favored (%)	96.75	94.48	92.31
Allowed (%)	3.25	5.52	7.69
Disallowed (%)	0	0	0

Supplementary Table 2:

	M1 (W204 to L225)	M2 (F228 to T249)	M3 (V261 to F282)
WT ELIC with agonist in liposomes	32.3 Å	32.5 Å	31.0 Å
WT ELIC with agonist in spNW25	30.5 Å	31.9 Å	30.2 Å
WT ELIC with agonist in spMSP1D1 (PDB 8F34)	32.0 Å	32.2 Å	30.6 Å

Supplementary Table 2: Distance along the pore axis (i.e. perpendicular to the lipid membrane) between the indicated residues (Cα atoms) for each structure. The measurements show changes in the height of each transmembrane helix along the pore axis.