The Deubiquitinase USP36 Regulates Growth and Spermatogenesis Through Catalytic-

Dependent and -Independent Mechanisms in *Drosophila*

Carmen Coirry[1], Julie Manessier[1], Charlène Clot[1, #a], Magda Mortier[1], Marie-Odile Fauvarque[1]

and Emmanuel Taillebourg[1, *]

1 Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CEA, INSERM, BGE U1038, F-38000 Grenoble, France

#a Current Address: Institut de Génétique Moléculaire de Montpellier, Univ Montpellier,

CNRS, Montpellier, France

* Corresponding author

E-mail: emmanuel.taillebourg@cea.fr

Running title: Catalytic-Dependent and -Independent functions of USP36

Keywords: DUB, MYC, CRISPR/Cas9, cell growth, spermatogenesis

ABSTRACT

Deubiquitinases (DUBs) form a specific class of proteases removing ubiquitin from target

proteins. They are involved in the regulation of many cellular processes including cell growth

and proliferation. Among them, USP36 is a key regulator of the oncogenic transcription

factor c-Myc, preventing its degradation by the proteasome. These two proteins form an

evolutionary conserved complex providing the opportunity to investigate USP36

mechanisms of action *in vivo* in a genetically tractable model such as *Drosophila*

melanogaster. Null mutants of *dUsp36* die early during larval development and exhibit

severe growth defects. Strikingly, we report here that flies carrying a CRISPR/Cas9-induced

catalytic mutation of *dUsp36* survive to adulthood with only minor growth defects, yet males

are infertile. This finding indicates that dUSP36 deubiquitinating activity is dispensable for

cell growth but essential for spermatogenesis. Our results thus reveal that dUSP36 functions

through both catalytic-dependent and catalytic-independent mechanisms, highlighting a

dual mode of action with implications for the understanding of DUBs mechanism of action.

INTRODUCTION

Deubiquitinases (DUBs) are proteases that specifically remove ubiquitin moieties from

ubiquitinated proteins. They regulate numerous cellular processes (Komander et al. 2009;

Clague et al. 2013; Leznicki and Kulathu 2017) and their dysregulation has been linked to

numerous human cancers (Sacco et al. 2010; Fraile et al. 2018; Dewson et al. 2023). In

particular, USP36, a DUB primarily localized in the nucleolus, supports ribosomal biogenesis

and maintains nucleolar integrity by deubiquitinating and stabilizing multiple nucleolar

proteins, such as c-MYC, DHX33, SNAIL1, and RNA Pol I (Endo, Kitamura, et al. 2009; Endo,

Matsumoto, et al. 2009; Sun, He, et al. 2015; Fraile et al. 2018; van den Heuvel et al. 2021;

Qin et al. 2023; Yang et al. 2024). The role of USP36 in c-MYC regulation has been extensively

studied (Sun, He, et al. 2015; Sun, Sears, et al. 2015; Liu et al. 2019; Thevenon et al. 2020; Hu

and Luo 2024), as precise control of c-MYC levels is critical for normal cell growth and

proliferation, while its dysregulation contributes to tumorigenesis (van Riggelen et al. 2010;

Miller et al. 2012; Sabo and Amati 2014; Grifoni and Bellosta 2015).

We previously showed that in *Drosophila melanogaster*, dUSP36 is essential for cell growth,

and stabilizes dMYC (Thevenon et al. 2020). To further investigate the *in vivo* mechanisms of

action of dUSP36 and assess the specific role of its DUB activity, we generated a catalytically

inactive version of the endogenous dUSP36 protein using CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis. Here,

we demonstrate that unlike *dUsp36* null mutants, which completely lack dUSP36 protein and

exhibit severe growth defects leading to larval lethality, *dUsp36* catalytic mutants display

only minor growth defects and develop to adulthood. Interestingly, *dUsp36* catalytic mutant

males exhibit severely reduced fertility.

Altogether, these findings reveal that while the presence of the dUSP36 protein is essential

for cellular growth, its catalytic activity is dispensable for this process but critically required

for spermatogenesis. These results demonstrate that, *in vivo*, dUSP36 functions through

both catalytic-dependent and catalytic-independent mechanisms, revealing a dual mode of

action.

RESULTS

Generation of *dUsp36* catalytic mutants by CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis

To generate a catalytically inactive version of the dUSP36 protein, we mutated the cysteine

residue (C181) within a conserved domain previously shown to be essential for DUB catalytic

activity (Hu et al. 2005; Komander et al. 2009; Faesen et al. 2011; Ye et al. 2011) to a serine

residue (Fig. S1A; see Materials and Methods for details). This C181S mutation has been

previously demonstrated to completely abolish dUSP36 catalytic activity (Buszczak et al.

2009). The CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis yielded two independent *dUsp36*^{C181S} mutant strains,

as well as a control strain, *dUsp36*^{CTL}, which contains the same silent mutations introduced

for screening purposes as the mutant alleles but lacks the G-to-C transversion encoding the

C181S mutation (Fig. S1C).

Western blot analysis of dUSP36 expression revealed that the dUSP36^{C181S} protein is

detected at lower levels than the wild-type dUSP36 protein, whereas the *dUsp36*^{CTL} allele

produces normal dUSP36 protein levels (Fig. 1A, B). This observation aligns with previous

findings indicating that dUSP36 undergoes auto-deubiquitination to regulate its stability

(Thevenon et al. 2020). To ensure comparable dUSP36 protein levels across genotypes, we

compared $dUsp36^{C181S}$ mutants with $dUsp36^{CTL}/dUsp36^{\Delta43}$ heterozygous controls (Fig. 1B).

Importantly, the subcellular localization of the dUSP36^{C181S} protein remains indistinguishable

from that of the wild-type dUSP36 protein (Fig. 1C). These data confirm the successful

generation of the catalytically inactive dUsp36^{C181S} mutant allele, providing a valuable tool

for investigating the specific role of dUSP36 catalytic activity *in vivo*.

dUsp36 catalytic mutants are viable and exhibit a subtle growth phenotype

We previously reported that $dUsp36^{\Delta43}$ null mutants, which completely lack dUSP36 protein,

exhibit severe cell growth defects and early larval lethality (Taillebourg et al. 2012) indicating

that the presence of dUSP36 protein is required for cellular and organismal growth. In sharp

contrast with these previous findings, we found that $dUsp36^{C181S}$ mutants develop to

adulthood without lethality (Fig 2A) and exhibit only a minor reduction in body weight (Fig.

2B), along with a slight decrease in wing size (Fig. 2C, D).

The enzymatic activity of USPs relies on a catalytic triad—a conserved set of three amino

acids consisting of a cysteine, a histidine, and an aspartate or asparagine. Histidine-to-

aspartate substitutions have also been reported to render the enzyme catalytically inactive

(Kovalenko et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2005; Komander et al. 2009). We mutated both the cysteine

and histidine residues and generated transgenic flies expressing the dUSP36$^{C181S, H439D}$

protein. When ubiquitously expressed in a *dUsp36* null mutant background, this double

mutant protein rescues the larval lethality of *dUsp36* null mutants and allows viable adults to

emerge (Fig. S2). These results confirm that the catalytic activity of dUSP36 is not essential

for cellular growth and organismal viability.

To determine whether the observed phenotypes result from cell-autonomous factors, we

generated clones of cells homozygous for either the control allele $dUsp36^{CTL}$, the catalytically

inactive allele $dUsp36^{C181S}$, or the null allele $dUsp36^{\Delta43}$ (Fig. 2E). This analysis revealed that

$dUsp36^{C181S}$ mutant cells are significantly smaller than neighboring wild-type cells, although

their growth is much less affected than that of null *dUsp36* mutant cells (Fig. 2F). This

observation indicates that the subtle growth defect of *dUsp36* catalytic mutants is cell-

autonomous.

Altogether, these results demonstrate that while the presence of dUSP36 protein is essential

for cellular and organismal growth, its catalytic activity plays only a minor, cell-autonomous

role in this process.

Altering dMYC protein levels in *dUsp36* catalytic mutants

Experimental data obtained in human cellular models (Kovalenko et al. 2003; Hu et al. 2005;

Komander et al. 2009) provide compelling evidence that USP36 depletion affects the stability

of nucleolar c-MYC and inhibits cell proliferation. To test whether the minor growth defects

of *dUsp36* catalytic mutants depend on dMYC function, we evaluated the phenotypic

consequences of altered dMYC protein levels in *dUsp36* wild-type or mutant contexts.

To increase dMYC levels, we introduced a transgene expressing a GFP-tagged version of

dMYC within its genomic environment, providing additional *dMyc* copies alongside the wild-

type *dMyc* locus (Greer et al. 2013). This transgene fully rescues the lethality of *dMyc*[4] null

mutants, demonstrating that it expresses physiological levels of functional dMYC protein

(data not shown). Remarkably, this transgene significantly enhances the body weight of

control flies but not that of *dUsp36* catalytic mutants (Fig. 3A). These results indicate that

while control flies respond to dMYC overexpression, *dUsp36* catalytic mutants do not.

To further explore the genetic interaction between *dMyc* and *dUsp36*, we used the *dMyc*[P0]

hypomorphic mutation that decreases dMYC protein levels and leads to reduced body

weight (Johnston et al. 1999). *dUsp36* catalytic mutants and *dMyc*[P0] mutants develop to

adulthood without lethality (Fig. 3B). In contrast, in a *dMyc*[P0] genetic background, the

frequency of *dUsp36*[C181S] mutants is significantly reduced (Fig. 3B), whereas no such

reduction of viability is observed in *dUsp36* control flies. This implies that while dUSP36

catalytic activity is dispensable under normal conditions, it becomes critical when *dMyc*

function is compromised.

To determine whether these findings hold true at the organ level, we compared the effects

of altered dMYC protein levels in the developing wing between control flies and *dUsp36*

catalytic mutants (Fig. 3C). In this setup, wing-specific increases of dMYC protein levels were

achieved by reducing AGO protein levels using a specific RNAi transgene targeting *Ago*.

Indeed, AGO is the F-box component of the SCF E3 ligase complex, which ubiquitinates

dMYC and negatively regulates its protein levels *in vivo*. Knocking down *Ago* leads to

elevated dMYC protein levels and increased tissue growth (Moberg et al. 2004). As expected,

in control flies, reducing AGO protein levels results in increased wing area (Fig. 3C). In

contrast, in the developing wing of *dUsp36* catalytic mutants, it does not significantly

increase wing size (Fig. 3C). These results indicate that increased dMYC levels promote wing

growth in control flies but not in *dUsp36* catalytic mutant flies.

Conversely, dMYC protein levels were specifically reduced by expressing a *dMyc* targeting

RNAi transgene in the developing wing. In that context, both control flies and *dUsp36*

catalytic mutants displayed reduced wing size (Fig. 3C). A two-way ANOVA analysis reveals

that *dUsp36* catalytic mutants are more affected by dMYC depletion than control flies (Fig.

3D).

Altogether these results show that increased dMYC levels fail to increase body weight or

wing area in *dUsp36* catalytic mutants, whereas they do in control flies. This suggests that

reduced dMYC protein levels are not the primary cause of the growth defect of *dUsp36*

catalytic mutants. In contrast, *dUsp36* catalytic mutants exhibit a strong sensitivity to dMYC

depletion, suggesting that, *in vivo*, dUSP36 catalytic activity acts in the same pathway as

dMYC to promote growth.

***dUsp36* catalytic mutation affects male fertility**

Specific combinations of viable *dUsp36* loss-of-function alleles have been shown to affect

male and female fertility (Buszczak et al. 2009). Here, we observed here that *dUsp36*^{C181S}

females are slightly, but significantly, less fertile than control females (Fig. 4A). It remains

unclear however whether this decreased fertility is due to a general effect related to their

reduced body weight or a specific role of dUSP36 catalytic activity in female gametogenesis.

Strikingly, *dUsp36* catalytic mutant males produce significantly fewer offspring than control

males, with most being completely sterile (Fig. 4B). Consistent with this observation,

dUsp36^{C181S} males exhibit reduced seminal vesicle size, indicating lower sperm production

compared to controls. Moreover, we show here that dUSP36 protein is widely expressed

during spermatogenesis (Fig. 4C, D). These findings reveal that dUSP36 catalytic activity plays

a critical role in spermatogenesis.

DISCUSSION

The data presented here demonstrate that inactivating the catalytic activity of the DUB

USP36 in *Drosophila* is not equivalent to its complete or partial depletion. In particular, the

catalytic mutant does not display the cellular and organismal growth defects observed in null

dUsp36 mutant. This indicates that dUSP36 functions primarily through non-catalytic

mechanisms in these processes. In contrast we report that USP36 DUB activity is essential

for male fertility, making it the only process identified so far that strictly depends on USP36

enzymatic function.

It is unlikely that the *dUsp36^{C181S}* mutation fails to fully inhibit the DUB activity *in vivo*.

Indeed, the lower protein levels of dUSP36^{C181S} compared to wild-type dUSP36 (Fig. 1A, B)

indicate that it fails to stabilize itself, unlike the wild-type form which undergoes auto-

deubiquitination, strongly suggesting that this protein is indeed catalytically inactive

(Thevenon et al. 2020). Furthermore, a double-mutant dUSP36 protein, in which both the

catalytic cysteine and the histidine residue of the conserved catalytic triad are mutated, is

still able to sustain cell and organismal growth in a null mutant background *in vivo*. These

results strongly argue against the hypothesis that the minor growth defect observed in

dUsp36^{C181S} mutants is due to residual DUB activity.

We further demonstrate that *dUsp36* catalytic mutants do not respond to increased dMYC

levels. This suggests that reduced dMYC levels do not contribute to the minor growth defects

observed in *dUsp36^{C181S}* mutants. However, these mutants are highly sensitive to dMYC

depletion, indicating that dUSP36 catalytic activity likely plays a role in the same pathway as

dMYC. Taken together, our results indicate that dUSP36 primarily functions independently of

its enzymatic activity in promoting cell growth and that – in contrast with previous reports -

its catalytic activity is not required for dMYC *stabilization in vivo*.

These results should be considered in the context of existing literature, including our own

work, showing that in *Drosophila* and human cultured cells, USP36 deubiquitinates and

stabilizes MYC (Sun, Sears, et al. 2015; Sun, He, et al. 2015; Thevenon et al. 2020). Several

hypotheses could explain this discrepancy: (1) The deubiquitination and stabilization of MYC

by USP36 observed in cultured cells may also occur *in vivo* but could be compensated for by

redundant regulatory mechanisms. If this is the case, USP36 would be expected to regulate

another dMYC-independent growth process through a non-catalytic mechanism. Along these

lines, recent studies in human cells indicate that USP36 functions as a SUMO ligase to

promote the SUMOylation of specific nucleolar proteins involved in ribosome biogenesis, a

process that is crucial for cell and organism growth (Ryu et al. 2021; Chen et al. 2023; Li et al.

2023; Li et al. 2024; Yang et al. 2024). In human cells, the catalytic cysteine of USP36 is

essential for both its DUB and SUMO ligase activities (Ryu et al. 2021). If *Drosophila* dUSP36

functions similarly as its human orthologue, *dUsp36^{C181S}* mutants should also lack SUMO

ligase activity, which would impair ribosome biogenesis and induce severe growth defects

similar to those observed in *Minute* mutants (Marygold et al. 2007). However, the mild growth

phenotype of *dUsp36^{C181S}* mutants does not support this hypothesis. Additionally, we failed

to detect SUMO ligase activity for dUSP36 in *Drosophila* cells (data not shown). (2) Contrary

to previous conclusions based on knock-down experiments, USP36 may control MYC stability

independently of its catalytic activity: it may not directly deubiquitinate MYC but instead

regulate its ubiquitination level indirectly. This could occur through inhibition of an E3 ligase

targeting MYC or recruitment of another DUB within the regulatory complex. In this model,

USP36 knock-down via RNAi or loss-of-function mutations would impair these functions,

leading to MYC destabilization. Moreover, overexpressing wild-type USP36 may result in

non-specific deubiquitination of MYC, whereas a catalytically inactive USP36 mutant would

not act ectopically, falsely leading to the conclusion that USP36 directly deubiquitinates MYC

(Sun, He, et al. 2015; Thevenon et al. 2020). Additional experiments will be needed to clarify

the exact mechanisms by which USP36 regulates MYC and contributes to cell growth.

While dUSP36 catalytic activity plays a minor role in cell and organismal growth, our results

clearly demonstrate that it is essential for spermatogenesis. This is consistent with previous

findings showing that dUSP36 is required for germline stem cell maintenance by

deubiquitinating histone H2B and repressing premature expression of differentiation genes

(Buszczak et al. 2009).

In conclusion, our findings indicate that, in *Drosophila*, dUSP36 functions through a dual

mechanism: in cell growth, dUSP36 acts independently of its catalytic activity, similar to

other DUBs or pseudo-DUBs (Campos Alonso and Knobeloch 2024) whereas in

spermatogenesis, its DUB activity is crucial, highlighting a specialized catalytic function in

germline development. Our results therefore reshape our understanding of how DUBs

functions during cellular responses and development, extending beyond their enzymatic

activity. Determining whether this dual mechanism is unique to dUSP36 or extends to its

human counterpart and other DUBs will be of great interest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Drosophila strains

The Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center provided the following Drosophila strains: *Tg dMyc* (#81274), *dMyc^PO* (#11298), *UAS-dMyc-IR* (# 25783), *UAS-Ago-IR* (#34802), *nubGal4* (#25754), *hsFLP* (#8862), *FRT2A Gal80* (#5190), *FRT2A* (#1997) and *CgGal4* (#7011).

CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis

The gRNA sequence was selected using the E-CRISP website and cloned into the pCFD3 plasmid (Port et al. 2014). A 169-nucleotide long single stranded oligonucleotide donor (ssODN) repair template was synthesised (https://eu.idtdna.com/page). It contains the mutation of interest, a silent mutation creatin g a Pst1 restriction site as well as silent mutations in the PAM and the gRNA to avoid re-cleaving of an edited chromosome (Sup. Fig. 1A). The ssODN was injected along with the sgRNA expression vector (ssODN: 100 ng/uL and sgRNA: 250 ng/uL) into the *nos-Cas9* (Port et al. 2014) recipient strain (BestGene Inc.). Sixty-six F0 founders were crossed individually and up to 10 F1 males were crossed individually for each F0 founder; each F1 male was then retrieved for single fly PCR. After amplification, PCR products were digested by Pst1, leading to the identification of six independent Pst1 positive strains (Sup. Fig. 1B). Sequencing revealed that three of them contained the desired C181S mutation (two, *dUsp36^C181S-1* and *dUsp36^C181S-2*, were kept for further analysis) whereas three others did not include the C181S mutation but contains all the silent mutations (Sup. Fig. 1C). One of them, *dUsp36^CTL*, was used as control.

To ensure that the mutagenesis process did not add any off-target mutations, the genomic region was sequenced and these alleles were outcrossed for six generations on a wild-type chromosome. Moreover, all the phenotypic analyses were performed on heterozygotes (*dUsp36^CTL*/*dUsp36^Δ43* and *dUsp36^C181S-1*/*dUsp36^C181S-2*).

Western blots

Protein lysates were separated on SDS-PAGE gels (TGX Stain Free, BioRad). Proteins were transferred to PVDF membranes (BioRad) which were treated as already described (Thevenon et al. 2020). Image acquisitions were performed with the Chemidoc imaging system (BioRad) and quantifications using the Image Lab software (BioRad). The anti-dUSP36 antibody, a kind gift from Dr. M. Buszczak, was used at a 1/2500 dilution

Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry

Lateral lobes of third instar larval fat bodies or adult testes were dissected in PBS, fixed for

30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde and rinsed twice in PBS. The samples were then blocked for

1 h in PBS, 0.1% Triton X-100, 5% normal goat serum and incubated overnight at 4°C with the

anti-dUSP36 (1/200) and anti-Fibrillarin 38F3 (1/200, abcam) antibodies. Secondary

antibodies were coupled to Alexa594 or Alexa488 (1/500, Invitrogen). After mounting in

DAPI containing Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, H-1200), the samples were imaged using a

Zeiss LSM 880 confocal laser scanning microscope.

Phenotypic analysis

For weight measurements, the larval density was carefully controlled. Three-day-old females

were weighted by groups of ten on a Mettler Toledo Classic precision balance. Adults and

dissected wings were imaged using a Keyence VHX-5000 numerical microscope. Wing areas

were blindly measured manually using the Fiji/ImageJ software (National Institute of Health).

For clonal analysis of cell size in the fat body, 0–6 h embryos were subjected to heat-shock

for 1 h at 37°C and resulting 4.5 days old L3 larvae were dissected. Fat body's lateral lobes

were fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, rinsed twice in PBS, and incubated overnight

at 4°C in 2 U/ml Alexa Fluor 546 Phalloidin (Invitrogen, A22283). After mounting in DAPI

containing Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, H-1200), the samples were imaged using a Zeiss

LSM 880 confocal laser scanning microscope. Cell size was quantified using the Fiji/ImageJ

software (National Institute of Health) as the ratio between the area of a GFP+ cell and the

mean area of neighbouring GFP- cells.

For fertility tests, female and male flies of the indicated genotype were collected, aged for

3 days, and individually mated to wild-type flies. The vials were emptied after a three-day

period and the progeny was counted.

Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism6 software.

AKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Dr. Buszczak for the anti-dUSP36 antibody, the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock

Center for providing *Drosophila* strains, and the IRIG µLife facility for confocal microscopy.

This work was supported by the French National Research Agency in the framework of the

"Investissements d'avenir" program (ANR-17-EURE-0003) through a funding from GRAL.

Thesis operating costs are supported by IDEX Université Grenoble Alpes and GRAL PhD

Operating Costs (CC).

REFERENCES

Buszczak M, Paterno S, Spradling AC. 2009. Drosophila stem cells share a common
requirement for the histone H2B ubiquitin protease scrawny. Science. 323(5911):248–51.
doi:10.1126/science.1165678.

Campos Alonso M, Knobeloch KP. 2024. In the moonlight: non-catalytic functions of
ubiquitin and ubiquitin-like proteases. Front Mol Biosci. 11:1349509.
doi:10.3389/fmolb.2024.1349509.

Chen Y, Li Y, Dai RS, Savage JC, Shinde U, Klimek J, David LL, Young EA, Hafner M, Sears RC, et
al. 2023. The ubiquitin-specific protease USP36 SUMOylates EXOSC10 and promotes the
nucleolar RNA exosome function in rRNA processing. Nucleic Acids Res. 51(8):3934–3949.
doi:10.1093/nar/gkad140.

Clague MJ, Barsukov I, Coulson JM, Liu H, Rigden DJ, Urbe S. 2013. Deubiquitylases from
genes to organism. Physiol Rev. 93(3):1289–315. doi:10.1152/physrev.00002.2013.

Dewson G, Eichhorn PJA, Komander D. 2023. Deubiquitinases in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer.
23(12):842–862. doi:10.1038/s41568-023-00633-y.

Endo A, Kitamura N, Komada M. 2009. Nucleophosmin/B23 regulates ubiquitin dynamics in
nucleoli by recruiting deubiquitylating enzyme USP36. J Biol Chem. 284(41):27918–27923.
doi:10.1074/jbc.M109.037218.

Endo A, Matsumoto M, Inada T, Yamamoto A, Nakayama KI, Kitamura N, Komada M. 2009.
Nucleolar structure and function are regulated by the deubiquitylating enzyme USP36. J Cell
Sci. 122(Pt 5):678–86. doi:10.1242/jcs.044461.

Faesen AC, Luna-Vargas MP, Geurink PP, Clerici M, Merkx R, van Dijk WJ, Hameed DS, El
Oualid F, Ovaa H, Sixma TK. 2011. The differential modulation of USP activity by internal
regulatory domains, interactors and eight ubiquitin chain types. Chem Biol. 18(12):1550–61.
doi:10.1016/j.chembiol.2011.10.017.

Fraile JM, Campos-Iglesias D, Rodriguez F, Astudillo A, Vilarrasa-Blasi R, Verdaguer-Dot N,
Prado MA, Paulo JA, Gygi SP, Martin-Subero JI, et al. 2018. Loss of the deubiquitinase USP36

destabilizes the RNA helicase DHX33 and causes preimplantation lethality in mice. J Biol
Chem. 293(6):2183–2194. doi:10.1074/jbc.M117.788430.

Greer C, Lee M, Westerhof M, Milholland B, Spokony R, Vijg J, Secombe J. 2013. Myc-
dependent genome instability and lifespan in Drosophila. PLoS One. 8(9):e74641.
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0074641.

Grifoni D, Bellosta P. 2015. Drosophila Myc: A master regulator of cellular performance.
Biochim Biophys Acta. 1849(5):570–81. doi:10.1016/j.bbagrm.2014.06.021.

van den Heuvel J, Ashiono C, Gillet LC, Dorner K, Wyler E, Zemp I, Kutay U. 2021. Processing
of the ribosomal ubiquitin-like fusion protein FUBI-eS30/FAU is required for 40S maturation
and depends on USP36. Elife. 10. doi:10.7554/eLife.70560.

Hu M, Li P, Song L, Jeffrey PD, Chenova TA, Wilkinson KD, Cohen RE, Shi Y. 2005. Structure
and mechanisms of the proteasome-associated deubiquitinating enzyme USP14. EMBO J.
24(21):3747–56. doi:10.1038/sj.emboj.7600832.

Hu Y, Luo M. 2024. Cinobufotalin regulates the USP36/c-Myc axis to suppress malignant
phenotypes of colon cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. Aging (Albany NY). 16(6):5526–5544.
doi:10.18632/aging.205661.

Johnston LA, Prober DA, Edgar BA, Eisenman RN, Gallant P. 1999. Drosophila myc regulates
cellular growth during development. Cell. 98(6):779–90.

Komander D, Clague MJ, Urbe S. 2009. Breaking the chains: structure and function of the
deubiquitinases. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 10(8):550–63. doi:10.1038/nrm2731.

Kovalenko A, Chable-Bessia C, Cantarella G, Israel A, Wallach D, Courtois G. 2003. The
tumour suppressor CYLD negatively regulates NF-kappaB signalling by deubiquitination.
Nature. 424(6950):801–5. doi:10.1038/nature01802.

Leznicki P, Kulathu Y. 2017. Mechanisms of regulation and diversification of deubiquitylating
enzyme function. J Cell Sci. 130(12):1997–2006. doi:10.1242/jcs.201855.

Li J, Olson LM, Zhang Z, Li L, Bidder M, Nguyen L, Pfeifer J, Rader JS. 2008. Differential display
identifies overexpression of the USP36 gene, encoding a deubiquitinating enzyme, in ovarian
cancer. Int J Med Sci. 5(3):133–42. doi:10.7150/ijms.5.133.

Li Y, Carey TS, Feng CH, Zhu HM, Sun XX, Dai MS. 2023. The Ubiquitin-specific Protease
USP36 Associates with the Microprocessor Complex and Regulates miRNA Biogenesis by
SUMOylating DGCR8. Cancer Res Commun. 3(3):459–470. doi:10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-22-
0344.

Li Y, Yang Y, Sears RC, Dai MS, Sun XX. 2024. USP36 SUMOylates Las1L and Promotes Its
Function in Pre-Ribosomal RNA ITS2 Processing. Cancer Res Commun. 4(10):2835–2845.
doi:10.1158/2767-9764.CRC-24-0312.

Liu Q, Sheng W, Ma Y, Zhen J, Roy S, Alvira Jafar C, Xin W, Wan Q. 2019. USP36 protects
proximal tubule cells from ischemic injury by stabilizing c-Myc and SOD2. Biochem Biophys
Res Commun. 513(2):502–508. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.03.043.

Luo L, Li Y, Huang R, Li L, Wu C, Zeng Q. 2024. USP36 plays an oncogenic role in colorectal
cancer cells. Neoplasma. 71(1):13–21. doi:10.4149/neo_2023_230629N339.

Marygold SJ, Roote J, Reuter G, Lambertsson A, Ashburner M, Millburn GH, Harrison PM, Yu
Z, Kenmochi N, Kaufman TC, et al. 2007. The ribosomal protein genes and Minute loci of
Drosophila melanogaster. Genome Biol. 8(10):R216. doi:10.1186/gb-2007-8-10-r216.

Miller DM, Thomas SD, Islam A, Muench D, Sedoris K. 2012. c-Myc and cancer metabolism.
Clin Cancer Res. 18(20):5546–53. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-0977.

Moberg KH, Mukherjee A, Veraksa A, Artavanis-Tsakonas S, Hariharan IK. 2004. The
Drosophila F box protein archipelago regulates dMyc protein levels in vivo. Curr Biol.
14(11):965–74. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2004.04.040.

Niu MY, Liu YJ, Shi JJ, Chen RY, Zhang S, Li CY, Cao JF, Yang GJ, Chen J. 2024. The Emerging
Role of Ubiquitin-Specific Protease 36 (USP36) in Cancer and Beyond. Biomolecules. 14(5).
doi:10.3390/biom14050572.

Port F, Chen HM, Lee T, Bullock SL. 2014. Optimized CRISPR/Cas tools for efficient germline
and somatic genome engineering in Drosophila. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 111(29):E2967-76.
doi:10.1073/pnas.1405500111.

Qin K, Yu S, Liu Y, Guo R, Guo S, Fei J, Wang Y, Jia K, Xu Z, Chen H, et al. 2023. USP36
stabilizes nucleolar Snail1 to promote ribosome biogenesis and cancer cell survival upon
ribotoxic stress. Nat Commun. 14(1):6473. doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42257-8.

van Riggelen J, Yetil A, Felsher DW. 2010. MYC as a regulator of ribosome biogenesis and
protein synthesis. Nat Rev Cancer. 10(4):301–9. doi:10.1038/nrc2819.

Ryu H, Sun XX, Chen Y, Li Y, Wang X, Dai RS, Zhu HM, Klimek J, David L, Fedorov LM, et al.
2021. The deubiquitinase USP36 promotes snoRNP group SUMOylation and is essential for
ribosome biogenesis. EMBO Rep. 22(6):e50684. doi:10.15252/embr.202050684.

Sabo A, Amati B. 2014. Genome recognition by MYC. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 4(2).
doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a014191.

Sacco JJ, Coulson JM, Clague MJ, Urbe S. 2010. Emerging roles of deubiquitinases in cancer-
associated pathways. IUBMB Life. 62(2):140–57. doi:10.1002/iub.300.

Sun XX, He X, Yin L, Komada M, Sears RC, Dai MS. 2015. The nucleolar ubiquitin-specific
protease USP36 deubiquitinates and stabilizes c-Myc. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
112(12):3734–9. doi:10.1073/pnas.1411713112.

Sun XX, Sears RC, Dai MS. 2015. Deubiquitinating c-Myc: USP36 steps up in the nucleolus.
Cell Cycle. 14(24):3786–93. doi:10.1080/15384101.2015.1093713.

Taillebourg E, Gregoire I, Viargues P, Jacomin AC, Thevenon D, Faure M, Fauvarque MO.
2012. The deubiquitinating enzyme USP36 controls selective autophagy activation by
ubiquitinated proteins. Autophagy. 8(5):767–79. doi:10.4161/auto.19381.

Thevenon D, Seffouh I, Pillet C, Crespo-Yanez X, Fauvarque MO, Taillebourg E. 2020. A
Nucleolar Isoform of the Drosophila Ubiquitin Specific Protease dUSP36 Regulates MYC-
Dependent Cell Growth. Front Cell Dev Biol. 8:506. doi:10.3389/fcell.2020.00506.

Xu H, Wang T, Nie H, Sun Q, Jin C, Yang S, Chen Z, Wang X, Tang J, Feng Y, et al. 2024. USP36
promotes colorectal cancer progression through inhibition of p53 signaling pathway via
stabilizing RBM28. Oncogene. 43(47):3442–3455. doi:10.1038/s41388-024-03178-y.

Yang Y, Li Y, Sears RC, Sun XX, Dai MS. 2024. SUMOylation regulation of ribosome biogenesis:
Emerging roles for USP36. Front RNA Res. 2. doi:10.3389/frnar.2024.1389104.

Ye Y, Akutsu M, Reyes-Turcu F, Enchev RI, Wilkinson KD, Komander D. 2011. Polyubiquitin
binding and cross-reactivity in the USP domain deubiquitinase USP21. EMBO Rep. 12(4):350–
7. doi:10.1038/embor.2011.17.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1

Expression of the dUSP36^{C181S} protein. (A) Total protein extracts from *Drosophila* males of

the indicated genotypes were analysed by Western blot using a specific anti-dUSP36

antibody. (B) Quantification of dUSP36 relative levels, expressed as the ratio of dUSP36

signal intensity to Actin signal intensity, normalized to the wild-type Canton-S strain. N = 4.

** indicates a statistically significant difference (P < 0.01) as determined by one-way ANOVA.

(C) Fat bodies of third-instar larvae stained with anti-dUSP36 and anti-Fibrillarin antibodies.

Images are shown as separate grayscale channels and as merged images. Blue: DAPI. Green:

anti-dUSP36. Red: anti-Fibrillarin.

Figure 2

Phenotype of *dUsp36^{C181S}* mutants. (A) Histograms showing the observed frequency of the

indicated genotypes in the progeny resulting from the following crosses: *dUsp36^{WT}* x

dUsp36$^{\Delta 43}$/TM6b ,*dUsp36^{CTL}* x *dUsp36$^{\Delta 43}$/TM6b*, and *dUsp36$^{C181S-1}$* x *dUsp36$^{C181S-2}$/TM6b*

respectively. (B) Weight of groups of ten *Drosophila* females of the indicated genotype. (C)

Dissected wings and (D) wing area quantifications. (E) GFP-positive clones of larval fat body

cells homozygous for the indicated *dUsp36* allele. (F) Quantifications of the relative cell size,

expressed as the ratio between the area of a GFP+ cell and the mean area of neighbouring

GFP- cells (F). Genotype: *hsFLP/+; CgGal4, UAS-GFP/+; FRT2A dUsp36^{x}/FRT2A TubGal80*.

**** and *** indicate statistical significance with P < 0.001 and P < 0.01, respectively, as

determined by chi-square test (A) and one-way ANOVA (B, D, F).

Figure 3

Phenotypic effects of dMYC levels increase and decrease in *dUsp36* catalytic mutants. (A)

Quantifications and statistical analyses of female fly weight for the indicated genotypes. "*Tg*

dMyc" indicates the presence at the homozygous state of a transgene expressing a GFP-

tagged version of dMYC within its genomic environment. (B) Histograms showing the

observed frequency of males of the indicated genotypes in the progeny resulting from the

following crosses: *dUsp36^{CTL}/TM6b* x *dUsp36$^{\Delta 43}$/TM6b*, *dUsp36$^{C181S-1}$/TM6b* x *dUsp36^{C181S-}*

^{2}/TM6b, dMyc^{P0}/FM7c; dUsp36^{CTL}/TM6b x *dUsp36$^{\Delta 43}$/TM6b*, and *dMyc^{P0}/FM7c; dUsp36^{C181S-}*

^{1}/TM6b x dUsp36$^{C181S-2}$/TM6b, respectively. (C, D) Quantifications and statistical analyses of

wing areas of control and catalytic mutant flies expressing the wing-specific Gal4 driver

nubGal4, either alone or in combination with RNAi transgenes targeting dMyc or ago. ****

and *** indicate statistical significance with P < 0.001 and P < 0.01, respectively, as

determined by one-way ANOVA (A, C), chi-square test (B), and two-way ANOVA (D).

Figure 4

Fertility defects in dUsp36 catalytic mutants. Quantifications and statistical analyses of the

number of offspring produced by individual females (A) and males (B) of the indicated

genotypes. (C) Testis stained with anti-dUSP36. The seminal vesicle is outlined. Blue: DAPI.

Green: anti-dUSP36 antibody. (D) Quantifications and statistical analyses of the area of the

seminal vesicle (S.V.). **** and *** indicate statistical significance with P < 0.001 and P <

0.01, respectively, as determined by one-way ANOVA."**

Figure S1

Generation of dUsp36 catalytic mutants by CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis. (A) Schematic

representation of the dUsp36 locus with the three known isoforms. The position of the

codon specifying the cysteine residue at position 181 is indicated as well as the gRNA (red

triangle). WT: wild-type dUsp36 sequence showing the targeted cysteine (in cyan), the

sequence targeted by the gRNA (underlined in black) and the PAM (underlined in red).

ssDNA: part of the ssODN sequence with the G-to-C transversion responsible for the C181S

mutation and the silent mutations introduced for screening purposes (creation of a Pst1

restriction site and mutation of the PAM and of the gRNA to avoid re-cleaving of an edited

chromosome). (B) Agarose gel showing that PCR products amplified from the dUsp36^{CTL},

dUsp36$^{C181S-1}$ and dUsp36$^{C181S-2}$ alleles display a Pst1 restriction site which is absent of the

wild-type allele. (D) Chromatograms showing the sequences obtained from the two

independent dUsp36^{C181S} alleles, as well as from the control allele, dUsp36^{CTL}, which contains

all the silent mutations introduced for screening purposes but not the G-to-C transversion

responsible for the C181S mutation.

Figure S2

489 Rescue of the lethality of *dUsp36* null mutants by the ubiquitous expression of the double

490 mutant dUSP36$^{C181S, H439D}$ protein.

A

dUsp36^{C181S-1/C181S-2}   dUsp36^{C181S-1/+}   (257) ns

dUsp36^{CTL/Δ43}   dUsp36^{CTL/+}   (348) ns

dUsp36^{WT/Δ43}   dUsp36^{WT/+}   (317) ns

Percentage of the progeny

B

Weight of ten flies (mg)

****
****

dUsp36^{WT/Δ43}   dUsp36^{CTL/Δ43}   dUsp36^{C181S-1/C181S-2}

C

dUsp36^{C181S-1/C181S-2}
dUsp36^{CTL/Δ43}

D

Wing area (10³ px)

****
****

dUsp36^{WT/Δ43}   dUsp36^{CTL/Δ43}   dUsp36^{C181S-1/C181S-2}

E

dUsp36^{CTL}   dUsp36^{C181S-1}   dUsp36^{Δ43}

F

Relative cell area

****
****
****

dUsp36^{CTL}   dUsp36^{C181S-1}   dUsp36^{Δ43}

	WT	*usp36^{Δ43/Δ43}*	*usp36^{Δ43/Δ43},* *daGal>dUsp36-D^{C181S, H439D}*
2nd instar			
3rd instar			
pupa			
adult			