Title

Autism spectrum disorder risk genes have convergent effects on transcription and neuronal

firing patterns in primary neurons

Running Title

ASD genes effect transcription and neuron firing

Authors

Alekh Paranjapye[1,#], RIli Ahmad[1,#], Steven Su[1], Abraham J. Waldman[3], Jennifer Philips-

Cremins[1,2,3], Shuo Zhang[2], Erica Korb[1,2,*]

Affiliations

[1]Department of Genetics, Perelman School of Medicine, [2]Epigenetics Institute, Perelman School

of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, [3]Department of

Engineering, School of Engineering and Applied Science, University of Pennsylvania,

Philadelphia, PA, USA

# indicates equal contribution

*corresponding author: Erica Korb, ekorb@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Abstract

Autism spectrum disorder (ASD) is a highly heterogenous neurodevelopmental disorder with numerous genetic risk factors. Notably, a disproportionate number of risk genes encode transcription regulators including transcription factors and proteins that regulate chromatin. Here, we tested the function of nine such ASD-linked transcription regulators by depleting them in primary cultured neurons. We then defined the resulting gene expression disruptions using RNA-sequencing and tested effects on neuronal firing using multielectrode array recordings. We identified shared gene expression signatures across many ASD risk genes that converged on disruption of critical synaptic genes. Fitting with this, we detected drastic disruptions to neuronal firing throughout neuronal maturation. Together, these findings provide evidence that multiple ASD-linked transcriptional regulators disrupt transcription of synaptic genes and have convergent effects on neuronal firing that may contribute to enhanced ASD risk.

Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a highly prevalent neurodevelopmental disorder with numerous risk genes (De Rubeis et al. 2014; Iossifov et al. 2014; Sullivan and Geschwind 2019; de la Torre-Ubieta et al. 2016). Major functional groups of ASD risk genes have emerged including a large portion that encode proteins that regulate transcription (Iossifov et al. 2014; O'Roak et al. 2012; Parikshak et al. 2013; De Rubeis et al. 2014). Of these ASD-linked transcriptional regulators, many encode proteins that regulate chromatin, the complex of DNA and histone proteins that helps to regulate transcription. Others encode transcription factors while still others directly modify DNA itself. Thus, distinct groups of proteins with disparate functions in transcriptional regulation can lead to overlapping phenotypic outcomes.

We previously tested the effects of disrupting a set of ASD risk genes that function as chromatin regulators and found that they affected expression of a common set of genes that

encode synaptic proteins (Thudium et al. 2022). This gene expression signature was detected in

multiple systems and, together with the broader literature (Satterstrom et al. 2020; Zhao et al.

2018), suggest that neurons have gene sets that are highly susceptible to chromatin disruptions

regardless of the specific manipulation with similar signatures found across other psychiatric

disorders (Rajarajan et al. 2018; Schrode et al. 2019). Further, numerous studies have identified

sets of synaptic genes that have unique chromatin features and that are disrupted in ASD, either

directly as ASD risk genes or indirectly following disruption of ASD-linked transcriptional

regulators (Satterstrom et al. 2020; Zhao et al. 2018). Both our work and others (Thudium et al.

2022; Satterstrom et al. 2020) found that the targets of ASD-linked transcriptional regulators do

not directly regulate other ASD-linked gene more than is expected by chance and instead target

synaptic genes more broadly. However, prior analysis was limited to a handful of chromatin

regulators. Whether similar transcriptional signatures are detected in response to disruption of

transcriptional regulators more broadly beyond just chromatin-modifying enzymes, and whether

such changes result in shared functional outcomes remain unclear.

To address these outstanding questions, we used a primary neuronal culture model to

allow for comparisons within a highly controlled, genetically identical population of neurons. We

partially depleted chromatin regulators, DNA modifying enzymes, and transcription factors that

are all strongly linked to ASD. Using RNA-sequencing, we defined gene expression signatures

common between these ASD-linked transcriptional regulators. We identified shared gene

expression signatures that encoded critical neuronal proteins including numerous synaptic

proteins. We next used multielectrode array (MEA) recording to test the functional implications

of these transcriptomic changes on neuronal firing patterns. Every transcriptional regulator

tested affecting spiking and bursting patterns throughout neuronal maturation. These data

demonstrate that disruption of multiple types of ASD-linked transcriptional regulators results in

shared gene expression signatures and neuronal firing patterns despite having divergent effects

on chromatin and gene regulation. Our findings define shared functional outcomes of distinct

ASD-linked genes during neuronal maturation, improving our understanding of the molecular

basis for ASD and related neurodevelopmental disorders.

Results

Depletion of ASD-linked transcriptional regulators affects gene expression

Here, we sought to define shared gene expression signatures amongst multiple ASD-

linked transcriptional regulators including *ASH1L, CHD8, DNMT3A, KDM6B, KMT2C, MBD5,*

MED13L, SETD5, and *TBR1*. We selected these targets to jointly test the roles of chromatin

regulators, DNA modifying enzymes, and transcription factors, expanding upon prior work that

focused on single classes of these proteins. Importantly, we chose chromatin modifying

enzymes that target different both distinct (*KDM6B, KMT2C)* and overlapping (*ASH1L, SETD5)*

histone sites*,* histone remodelers from 2 different complexes (*CHD8, MED13L),* a DNA

modifying enzyme *(DNMT3A*), a transcription factor (*TBR1),* and a non-catalytic chromatin-

complex protein (*MBD5*) (**Table 1**). Additional criteria included that each are high confidence

ASD genes (score as a '1' within the SFARI gene module and are significant TADA genes), lead

to well-defined syndromes or associated phenotypes caused by loss-of-function mutations or

deletions, and have been successfully modeled in mice (Fu et al. 2022; Gao et al. 2021; Shen et

al. 2019; Brauer et al. 2023; Lavery et al. 2020; Nakamura et al. 2024; Moore et al. 2019;

Beighley et al. 2020; Bernier et al. 2014; Chatterjee et al. 2021; Hurley et al. 2021; Adegbola et

al. 2015; Christian et al. 2020; Li et al.; Huang et al. 2019; Mullegama and Elsea 2016;

Camarena et al. 2014).

Protein	Function	Target	SFARI Score	EAGLE Score	Associated Disorders	Mouse Phenotype?
ASH1L	Histone lysine methyltransferase	H3 Lysine 36	1	14.15	Emerging MCA/ID disorder	X
CHD8	Chromodomain helicase	Chromatin remodling	1	97.65	CHD8-NDD	X
DNMT3A	DNA methyltransferase	DNA	1	15.9	DNMT3A overgrowth syndrome	X
KDM6B	Histone demethylase	H3 Lysine 27	1	13.75	Stolerman neurodevelopmental syndrome	X
KMT2C	Histone lysine methyltransferase	H3 Lysine 4	1	-	Kleefstra syndrome	X
MBD5	Transcription regulator	Nonenzymatic histone regulator	1	46.6	MAND, 2q23.1 microdeletion syndrome	X
MED13L	Part of Mediator complex	RNA polymerase II transcribed genes	1	35	MRFACD syndrome	X
SETD5	Histone lysine methyltransferase	H3 Lysine 36	1	28.05		X
TBR1	Transcription factor	Developmental gene programs	1	-		X

Table 1: Function and association data for the nine transcriptional regulators chosen for analysis. SFARI gene score of 1 indicates high confidence for implication in autism spectrum disorder. Evaluation of Autism Gene Link Evidence (EAGLE) score ranges from 6 (limited) to 12+ (definitive) roles in autism for validated targets.

To test these genes of interest, we used primary neuronal cultures derived from E16.5

embryonic mouse cortical tissue to generate a highly pure neuron population (**Fig. 1A**)

(Thudium et al. 2022). This allows for all targets to be tested within the same cell population

without the complexity and heterogeneity of brain tissue. Further, this system provides a

genetically identical background which avoids confounding variables from the mixed

backgrounds from patient samples. This system is also sufficiently tractable to test multiple

candidate genes in parallel within neurons derived from the same embryo, thus providing a

highly controlled system for comparisons and true biological replicates. Lastly, this system

allows for targeting of transcriptional regulators in neurons following isolation from tissue and

establishment of neuronal identity. This ensures that the same cell types are measured across

conditions by avoiding disruptions to neuronal precursor cells that ultimately might affect cell

identity, or the ratio of inhibitory and excitatory neurons present during testing. While disruptions

during early neurogenesis are almost certainly highly relevant to the biology underpinning ASD,

here we specifically sought to focus on effects within post-mitotic neurons to allow for direct

comparisons in equivalent cell types without disrupted developmental trajectories. Together, this

system therefore allows for the parallel testing of multiple genes across multiple biological

replicates on a genetically identical background during a key period of neuronal maturation

without changes in cell identity.

119   We cultured neurons derived from 6 wildtype E16.5 cortices (3 male and 3 female) and

infected neurons with lentivirus containing shRNA at 5 days in vitro (DIV) to achieve partial

depletion of targets and model a partial loss of function variant. Depletion of each target

transcript was confirmed at DIV 10 (**Fig. S1A**) and, where antibodies were available, similarly

confirmed depletion at the protein level here (**Fig. S1B**) or in prior work (Thudium et al. 2022).

We then performed RNA-sequencing at DIV 10, the timepoint when we previously identified

robust transcriptional effects of multiple ASD-risk genes (Thudium et al. 2022). We again

confirmed knockdown of each target, and, similar to prior findings (Thudium et al. 2022),

determined that these transcriptional regulators do not directly affect gene expression of the

other ASD-linked transcriptional regulators examined here (**Fig. 1B**). This suggests that any

shared downstream effects are not simply due to one target causing disruption of another and

thus also disrupting their target genes indirectly.

131   Next, we defined differentially expressed genes (DEGs) following depletion for all targets

(**Fig. 1C, Supplemental Data Table 1**). Importantly, we did not detect any DEGs comparing

non-targeting shRNA viral infection controls to non-infected neurons indicating that the viral

infection alone did not perturb gene expression in neurons (**Fig. S1C-D**). All targeted shRNAs

caused gene expression changes, although to markedly differing degrees. Notably, the degree

of knockdown was not strongly correlated with the number of DEGs identified or the basal

expression level of the target itself (**Fig. S1E-F**). This suggests that some ASD-linked

transcriptional regulators have more robust effects on neurons during early maturation stages

than others due their functional relevance to transcription in this culture system rather than due

to technical factors. We performed gene ontology analysis on each group of significantly altered

genes and found a wide range of enriched molecular and biological pathways across DEGs by

depletion (Supplemental Data Table 2). These included dysregulations of genes associated with

immune functioning such as with *Tbr1, Ash1l*, and *Kmt2c* (Fig. 1D) as well as extracellular

components in *Kdm6b, Chd8*, and *Mbd5*. *Med13l* and *Chd8* loss resulted in the greatest total

number of differential expressed genes at this neuronal time point. *Med13l* depletion showed

strong enrichment in metabolism for upregulated genes and neuron functioning and

transmembrane transport genes for downregulated genes (Fig. 1E). These findings were

recapitulated using the synapse-specific gene ontology analysis SynGO (Fig. 1F). To determine

the extent to which each depletion affected synaptic functioning beyond the most significant

DEGs, we performed gene set enrichment analysis for each dataset examining expression

change trends for postsynaptic membrane potential and synapse adhesion (Fig. 1G-H). Nearly

every target showed significant downward trends for these key neuronal pathways. Notably,

while prior work found that these gene sets are highly sensitive to chromatin disruptions, these

analyses extend these findings to other forms of transcriptional regulation beyond histone

modifying enzymes. Together, this work demonstrates that a broad range of ASD-linked

transcriptional regulators serve to control expression of synaptic genes in immature neurons.

Figure 1: Gene expression analysis of nine independent chromatin modifier depletions in primary mouse neurons. A. Schematic of the experimental timeline for the comparison of transcriptomes between chromatin modifier depletions. B. Counts per million (CPM) for the nine chromatin modifiers following lentivirus-mediated shRNA depletion of each target, relative to the average of nontargeting (N.T.) treated neurons (n = 6). C. Total up or downregulated differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the pairwise comparison of each depletion versus N.T. treated neurons. Full DEG lists shown in Supplemental Table 1. D-E. Gene ontology analysis of significantly up and downregulated genes in the depletion of Tbr1 or Kdm6b (D) and Med13l (E). Recall is the proportion of functionally annotated genes in the query over the number of genes in the GO term. Precision is the number of genes found in the GO term over the total number of genes in the query. Results for other targets shown in Supplemental Table 2. F. SynGO for Med13l significantly downregulated DEGs. G-H. GSEA of genes in the SynGO postsynaptic membrane potential (G) or synaptic adhesion (H) terms for each depletion transcriptional signature. NES indicates the directional normalized enrichment score.

Depletion of ASD-linked transcriptional regulators cause shared gene expression changes.

Notably, each individual shRNAs can have off-target effects that may contribute to transcriptional changes. Therefore, we sought to compare the effects of 9 different targets on gene expression and focus on their shared transcriptomic outcomes. We anticipate that through this approach, we are more likely to detect gene sets that are most sensitive to loss of ASD linked genes rather than an off-target effect of a single shRNA. To define overlapping transcriptional changes, we first directly compared DEGs from each target and found significant overlap among many (**Fig. 2A-D, Supplemental Data Table 3**). This fits with prior results that suggest that ASD-linked transcriptional regulators share multiple gene targets despite acting through disparate mechanisms in chromatin (Thudium et al. 2022). Interestingly, we found little overlap with high-confidence ASD risk genes (**Fig. S2A**). This supports prior findings (Thudium et al. 2022) and suggests that ASD-linked transcriptional regulators cause neuronal disruption through mechanisms distinct from simply directly dysregulating other ASD-linked synaptic genes.

We next sought to identify functional enrichment in shared gene sets. We found that genes that were differentially expressed in at least two or three gene sets encoded proteins relevant to neuronal function such as transmembrane transporters and trans-synaptic signaling components (**Fig. 2E**). Further, these genes shared several chromatin signatures (**Fig. S2B**). Gene sets detected in at least 2 or 3 conditions were enriched for genomic regions that contained bivalent domains similar to prior findings (Thudium et al. 2022). Interestingly, we also found that down regulated genes typically having longer gene lengths (**Fig. 2F**). These findings suggest that instances of specific genomic features (Zhao et al. 2018) and disrupted expression of long genes (King et al. 2013) are common features of transcriptional disruptions underlying ASD. Together, these findings indicate that ASD-linked transcriptional regulators share gene targets, particularly long genes that may sensitize them to disruption, and have common functional outcomes in regulating expression of genes that function at neuronal synapses.

Figure 2: Identifying transcripts that co-dysregulated across depletions. A. Upset plot of up or downregulated DEG found in at least two depletions. Gene placement prioritizes the largest intersection possible, only showing overlaps with 3 or more DEGs. B. Significance of overlap for up or downregulated DEGs after each depletion using hypergeometric tests. C. Heatmap of DEGs that appear in 4+ (left, downregulated, right, upregulated) comparisons between depletion and N.T. control. D. Gene tracks of aligned transcripts over genes that were either upregulated (Myd88) or downregulated (Thbs1) in multiple depletions. E. Gene ontology analysis of all significantly downregulated genes found in over 2 or over 3 depletion conditions. F. Boxplots of the transcript lengths for all genes, each DEG found up or downregulated in at least 2 pairwise depletions, or 3 pairwise depletions. T-test p values for each pairwise comparison are noted on the side.

Defining common transcriptional signatures ASD-linked transcriptional regulators.

We next used limma-voom analysis (Law et al. 2014a; Ritchie et al. 2015) to generate a

differential gene expression model factoring all transcriptional regulators together to define

common transcriptional signatures that diverge from control infected neurons. We found that

this multi-condition modeling of the depletions together resulted in significant up and down

regulated gene sets with a greater number of downregulated genes identified (**Fig. 3A,**

Supplemental Data Table 4). To understand what combinations of transcriptional regulators

contributed to the transcriptional signature identified by limma, we examined top hits from this

analysis and found genes with similar changes in gene expression across multiple conditions

(**Fig. 3B-C**). As expected, this signature includes many of the DEGs found in at least 2 or 3

direct comparisons (**Fig. 3D**). Pairwise comparisons of the trends in gene expression of the

shared limma signature model showed variable but strong correlations and overlaps between

nearly every pair of targets indicating that this approach was successful in identifying

convergent gene sets that link all 9 targets (**Fig. 3E**).

We used gene ontology to examine the function of these gene sets and found that

upregulated genes were enriched for those with proteasomal and endopeptidase activity while

those that were downregulated were primarily trans-synaptic signaling similar to the previous

overlap analysis. (**Fig. 3F**). We observed a small but significant overlap between the

downregulated signature and ASD risk genes (**Fig. S3A**) and again found that downregulated

genes are longer than nondifferential expressed genes (**Fig. S3B**). Together, these findings

define a transcriptional signature that is shared across the target genes of multiple ASD-linked

transcriptional regulators. This signature expands upon prior work (Thudium et al. 2022)

demonstrating that long synaptic genes are highly sensitive to chromatin disruptions.

Importantly, these findings demonstrate such features are true of ASD-linked transcriptional

regulators more broadly than only ASD-linked chromatin modifying enzymes.

Figure 3: Multiple factor linear modeling to determine commonly disrupted transcripts across all nine depletions. A. Volcano plot of DEGs from the limma/voom model factoring all nine depletion conditions against N.T. control. B. Gene tracks of transcripts of the top 2 DEGs Tmem230 and Ankrd29. C. Relative expression of the top DEGs identified in the multi-factor model in each depletion versus the average of nontargeting (N.T.) treated neurons. D. Overlap of genes down or upregulated in at least 2 (i) or 3 (ii) pairwise comparisons and those from the multi-factor model. Hypergeometric test results for each overlap as shown in red. E. Cross-correlation plots comparing the overall expression profiles of each depletion against N.T. control. Heatmap number and colors indicate correlation coefficient. Topography plots show the distribution of expression changes for all genes between any two depletions within their relative contribution to the model. F. Gene ontology analysis of significantly upregulated and downregulated DEGs.

Gene expression modules influenced by ASD-linked transcriptional regulators

Next, we sought to expand these comparisons using more sensitive measures. We therefore used weighted gene co-expression network analysis (WGCNA) (Zhang and Horvath 2005) to capture system-level changes associated with depletion of ASD-linked transcriptional regulators. We found multiple gene expression modules that were significantly associated with individual ASD-linked transcriptional regulators, as well as modules shared amongst multiple regulators (**Fig. 4A**, **S4A, supplemental data table 5**). In particular, we detected multiple significant modules enriched for genes involved in synapse function and neuronal development, similar to our other analyses (**Fig 4B-C**). Interesting, we also detected gene modules linked to metabolism (**Fig 4D, S4B**), another major cellular function previously linked to ASD (Rossignol and Frye 2012a, 2012b). This suggests that this analysis may reveal more subtle gene expression changes that also contribute to cellular changes associated with ASD. These findings support the broader conclusion that ASD-linked genes have similar functional outcomes rooted in transcriptional signatures that are share between conditions. Further, these different analyses reveal common trends observed in ASD research more broadly including disruption of neuronal synapses, neuronal development and metabolism.

Figure 4: Shared and unique gene modules between ASD linked genes. A. WGCNA modules identified after the co-expression network construction. Numbers are the Benjamini-Hochberg corrected p values for each depletion on module expression compared to the non-targeting control with a linear model. B-D. Gene ontology analysis of shared modules. Counts indicates the number of genes in the GO term.

ASD-risk transcriptional regulators disrupt neuronal firing patterns

We consistently observe considerable disruptions to synaptic gene expression following

depletion of both chromatin and transcriptional regulators linked to ASD including in studies that

focused on other ASD-linked proteins (Thudium et al. 2022). We therefore asked whether these

disruptions resulted in functional changes in neuronal firing patterns. To test this, we used MEA

to record spontaneous firing activity of neurons depleted for each transcriptional regulator

individually (**Fig. 5A, supplemental data tables 6-9**). We began testing at 12 days in culture

when spiking activity low but detectable and continued through 22 days in culture. During this

period, we detected no changes in covered electrodes or resistance suggesting neurons

remained healthy throughout the assay (**Fig. S5A-B**).

We found that disruption of every ASD-linked transcriptional regulator tested caused

robust changes in firing patterns with decreased spike number over multiple developmental time

points (**Fig. 5B**). Notably, while control neurons show anticipated increases in spiking with

maturity, depletion of nearly every transcriptional regulator dampened or fully blocked this

increase with maturation. In addition, we observed decreased bursting and burst duration for

multiple transcriptional regulators (**Fig. 5C-D**). Even where bursting was observed, regularity of

bursting was also severely disrupted (**Fig. S5C**). These data provide evidence that multiple

transcriptional regulators linked to ASD are capable of severely disrupting neuronal firing and

that the disruptions to synaptic gene expression detected via RNA-sequencing (**Figs. 2, 3**)

result in robust functional changes. Further, we observe these effects regardless of the target

examined and its specific function in regulating chromatin or transcription. These data therefore

provide evidence of convergent functional effects, even with differences in target gene number

and the extent of transcriptional disruptions measured.

Figure 5: Changes in spontaneous firing activity due to transcriptional regulator depletion. A. Experimental timeline and schematic for the culturing and measurements of primary neurons using the multielectrode array (MEA). The recording diagram illustrates the different metrics collected by the MEA instrument. B. Weighted firing rate of each condition based only on electrodes with activity greater than the minimum spike rate from day in vitro (DIV) 12 to 22. C. Evoked metrics for each condition at DIV 18. Significance based on one-way ANOVA followed by dunnet post-test. *** ≤ 0.001, ** ≤ 0.01, * ≤ 0.05, NS = not significant. D. Example spiking patterns over the 5 minute (300 second) recording period. Two well replicates are shown for each condition.

Discussion

Here, we examined the effects of multiple ASD-linked transcriptional regulators that work

through distinct mechanisms in regulating DNA, chromatin, and transcriptional output. We found

that despite divergent functions, they converge on similar gene sets and their loss results in a

common transcriptional signature identified through multiple analyses. This signature encodes

synaptic proteins suggesting similar functional outcomes of these transcriptional disruptions.

Fitting with this, we found that all tested ASD-linked genes disrupt neuronal firing and bursting

patterns during neuronal maturation.

This work expanded upon prior findings by testing transcriptional regulators broadly

rather than just focusing on single ASD-linked genes or only on proteins that directly modify

chromatin. Instead, we selected proteins with highly divergent effects on chromatin and

transcription. These included chromatin modifying enzymes that target different histone sites,

chromatin remodelers from different complexes, a DNA modifying enzyme, a transcription

factor, and a non-catalytic chromatin-complex protein. Even with this expanded group, we

successfully identified both shared gene signature and common neuronal spiking and bursting

patterns. This suggests that specific neuronal gene sets are particularly sensitive to multiple

types of epigenetic manipulations and that disruption of these genes causes robust functional

changes in neuronal firing.

Both the analyses overlapping individual depletion DEGs and the limma model identified

several significantly downregulated genes that may be important points of convergence in the

shared roles of the modifiers in affecting neuronal functioning. Topoisomerase IIα (Top2a) was

downregulated in 7 of the 9 depletions in addition to being identified in the limma model. Loss of

Top2a inhibits was found to inhibit the self-renewal of human neural stem cells (Qin et al. 2022)

and cause social deficits in mice and zebrafish (Geng et al. 2022). Additionally, both analyses

identified numerous genes important in brain development including Insulin-like growth factor 1

and 2 (Igf1/2) and Neuronal PAS Domain Protein 1 (Npas1). Interestingly, we also observed

consistent reduction in two aquaporins (Aqp4 and Aqp11) that are less well studied but also

linked to social and anxiety-like behavior in animal models (Davoudi et al. 2023). Together with

the overall reductions found in the expression data by GSEA and the functional results of the

MEA, these findings support the convergent roles of these chromatin modifiers on neuronal

biology that may contribute to neurodevelopmental disorders. WGCNA analysis similarly

identified several relevant modules including those enriched for genes involved in cellular

respiration and metabolism. Notably, metabolic dysfunction is also linked with ASD (Rossignol

and Frye 2012a, 2012b) and may contribute to neuronal aberrations in the disorder due to the

high energy demands of neurons.

While we identified numerous genes of interest, the system used here also has several

limitations. The signatures we defined are likely to be somewhat specific to the developmental

time selected and the model chosen. We expect that if these assays were performed at different

developmental time points, we would detect different gene expression signatures that may have

very distinct effects on functional outcomes. We thus view these results as primarily indicative of

sensitive genes during this stage of neuronal maturation rather than an absolute list of

transcriptional targets for any given gene studied here. In addition, it is likely that results will at

least partly diverge from human systems such as iPSC derived neurons that may more closely

match human developmental trajectories. Nonetheless, we view this system as offering unique

insights that emerge from the ability to test multiple ASD risk gene in parallel in a highly

controlled system with genetically identical biological replicates.

Together our findings demonstrate shared gene expression signatures and functional

outcomes of disruption of multiple ASD-linked transcriptional regulators. This work provides

insights into underlying cellular mechanisms that link ASD-risk genes to neuronal function and

highlight common pathways that are controlled by ASD-linked transcriptional regulators.

Declaration of Interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

Research and E.K were supported by NIH NIMH (1DP2MH129985) and NIH NINDS

(R01NS134755), Autism Spectrum Program of Excellence at the University of Pennsylvania

(ASPE), and the Eagles Autism Foundation. A.P. was supported by NIH grant T32-ES019851.

JEPC was supported by NIH NIMH (1R01MH120269; 1DP1MH129957); NIH NINDS (5-R01-

NS114226). S.S. was supported by University of Pennsylvania CURF grants. S.Z. was

supported by the University of Pennsylvania Epigenetics Institute. We acknowledge the use of

micro-electrode array equipment purchased through use of a NIH Shared

Equipment Grant (1S10OD032363 to J.E.P-C and E.K.).

Methods

Mice:

All mice used were on the C57BL/6J background, housed in a 12 hour light–dark cycle, and fed

a standard diet. All experiments were conducted in accordance with and approval of the IUCAC.

Primary Neuronal Culture:

Cortices were dissected from E16.5 C57BL/6J embryos and cultured in supplemented

neurobasal medium (Neurobasal [Gibco 21103-049], B27 [Gibco 17504044], GlutaMAX [Gibco

35050- 061], Pen-Strep [Gibco 15140-122]) in TC-treated 12 or 24 well plates coated with 0.05

mg/mL Poly-D-lysine (Sigma-Aldrich A-003-E). At 3-4 DIV, neurons were treated with 0.5 mM

AraC. For all experiments using cultured cortical neurons, neurons were treated with lentivirus

containing shRNA on DIV 5. For RNA and Protein analysis cells were collected at DIV 10.

Virus Generation and validation:

HEK293T cells were cultured in high-glucose DMEM growth medium (Corning 10-013-CV), 10%

FBS (Sigma-Aldrich F2442-500ML), and 1% Pen-Strep (Gibco 15140-122). Calcium phosphate

transfection was performed with Pax2 and VSVG packaging plasmids in serum-free media.

shRNAs in a pLKO.1-puro backbone were purchased from the Sigma-Aldrich Mission shRNA

library (SHCLNG) and shown in **Supplemental Table 10**. Media was changed 2h after

transfection and viruses were collected 24 and 48hr later. Viral media was passed through a

0.45-µm filter and precipitated overnight with PEG-it solution (40% PEG-8000 [Sigma-Aldrich

P2139-1KG], 1.2 M NaCl [Fisher Chemical S271-1]). Viral particles were pelleted at 1500g,

washed, and resuspended in 200 µL PBS. Virus Validation was done on E16.5 WT cortical

neurons. Neurons were infected with virus on DIV 5. Depletion efficiency was measured using

RT-qPCR with shRNA targeting luciferase as a non-targeting control.

Western:

After DIV 10, neurons were lysed in RIPA (25 mM Tris at pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1%

sodium deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS) supplemented by protease inhibitor (Roche 04693124001),

phosphatase inhibitor (Roche 04906837001), 1mM DTT, 1mM PMSF. Lysates were mixed with

5X Loading Buffer (5% SDS, 0.3 M Tris pH 6.8, 1.1 mM Bromophenol blue, 37.5% glycerol),

boiled for 10 minutes, sonicated for 10 minutes, and cooled on ice. Protein was resolved by 4–

20% Tris-glycine or 3–8% Tris-acetate SDS-PAGE (invitrogen novex gels XP04205, EA03785)

followed by transfer to a 0.45-µm PVDF membrane (Sigma-Aldrich IPVH00010) for

immunoblotting. Membranes were blocked for 1 hour in 1-5% BSA or 5% milk in TBST and

probed with primary antibody overnight at 4C. Antibodies are shown in **Supplemental Table 11**.

RNA Isolation:

Total RNA was collected from all cultures at DIV 10 using the Zymo Quick-RNA microprep kit

(R1050).

RT-qPCR:

250ng of RNA per sample was used to prepare cDNA using the high-capacity cDNA reverse

transcription kit (Applied Biosystems 4368813), and quantitative PCR was performed with

Power SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems 4367659). Primers are shown in

Supplemental Table 12.

RNA-seq Library Preparation:

Sequencing libraries were prepared using the TruSeq Stranded mRNA kit (Illumina 20040534).

Prior to sequencing, library size distribution was confirmed by capillary electrophoresis using an

Agilent 4200 TapeStation with high sensitivity D1000 reagents (5067-5585), and libraries were

quantified by qPCR using a KAPA Library Quantification Kit (Roche 07960140001). Libraries

were sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq1000 instrument (100-bp read length, paired end).

Data Processing and Differential Gene Expression:

Reads were mapped to Mus musculus genome build mm10 with STAR(Dobin et al. 2013)

(v2.7.1a) and assigned to exonic features using the featureCounts function of subRead (v2.0.3).

DESeq2(Love et al. 2014) (v1.38.0) was used for pairwise differential gene expression analysis

using a negative binomial model with default model fitting parameters. 6 WT replicates were

used for downstream analysis for each condition. Batch effects introduced by differences in the

sexes were regressed using a negative binomial model via ComBat-ref (Zhang 2024).

Subsetting filters were applied to determine significant DEGs as follows: baseMean of

normalized counts > 20, adjusted p value ≤ 0.05, and |fold change| ≥ 1.5 ($|\log_2(FC)| ≥ 0.58$).

Integrated Genomics Viewer(Robinson et al. 2011) (v2.16.0.01) was used to visualize RNA-seq

read track that were normalized to the same total read number across all samples using

Samtools. Multifactor gene expression analysis was performed using limma (v3.52.4) via

edgeR(Robinson et al. 2010) (v3.38.4). Normalization factors were calculated, and expression

values were transformed to logCPM using voom(Law et al. 2014b). An empirical Bayesian

distribution was calculated with weighting from all 9 depletion conditions across all samples.

Downstream Analysis:

Hypergeometric testing of significant overlaps between gene lists was performed using the

dhyper density distribution without replacement using a background size of 13713 and

Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. gProfiler2(Kolberg et al. 2020) (v0.2.3) was used for

gene ontology analysis with g:SCS correction of multiple testing, a background list of all genes

expressed in the neuronal culture (base mean of normalized counts > 20), and a term size limit

of 2000 genes. SynGO was performed using the web client(Koopmans et al. 2019) (v1.2). Gene

set enrichment analysis was performed using fGSEA(Korotkevich et al. 2016) (v1.22.0). This

utilized a multilevel Monte Carlo approach with default parameters. Gene lists were from

REACTOME or SynGO synapse umbrella terms. ChromHMM(Ernst and Kellis 2017) analysis

used validated ChIP-seq data from E12.5 mouse forebrain tissue against 500bp windows

directly upstream of query genes. Transcription factor motif analysis on 5kb windows directly

upstream of query genes were analyzed using sequence enrichment analysis in the MEME

suite(Bailey and Grant 2021) (v5.5.7). Motifs were scanned using the JASPAR 2020 Core

Vertebrates database using the windows 5kb upstream of 1000 randomly selected genes

expressed in neurons. WGCNA was used to construct a signed co-expression

network(Langfelder and Horvath 2008) (v1.73). Batch-corrected inputs were filtered for those

with read count ≥ 10 and normalized using variance stabilizing transformation of DESeq2 with

design = ~ depletion. Network construction used a soft threshold blcokSize = 20000,

networkType = "Signed", power of 20, scale free topology fit of 0.83, and minimum module size

of 40. For each module, we calculated its expression (ME, module eigengene) as the first

principal component of normalized expression. We used a linear model to test the effect of each

depletion on module expression compared to non-targeting control. P values were corrected

using Benjamini-Hochberg correction. Gene ontology for WGCNA was performed using the

enrichGO function from clusterProfiler(Yu et al. 2012) (v4.10.1) with the BP ontology database,

Benjamini-Hochberg correction, p value cutoff of 0.05, and q value cutoff of 0.05. The top 15

terms per analysis were selected for figures.

MEA Analysis:

Primary neuronal culturing was performed as described above using E16.5 embryos derived

from mating of Female mice (C57BL/6N). Cerebral cortices were isolated from two pups from

two separate litters and dissociated as described above, then plated in poly-D-lysine/laminin-

coated multielectrode array plates (M768-tMEA-48W, Axion Biosystems) using the dot plate

method, at 70K cells/well. For viral infections, each well was uniquely infected with one of the 9

ASD targets or non-targeting shRNA at DIV 5. Viral media was removed after 20 hr of exposure

and replaced with enriched neurobasal media. After 11 days, the synaptic activity of cultured

neurons was recorded daily using the Maestro MEA system (Axion Biosystems, Atlanta, GA,

USA). Recordings were done 5 and 30 minutes after placing in MEA machine at 5% CO_2 and at

37C. Metrics were further processed using Prism with a one-way ANOVA followed by dunnet

post-test and R.

Data availability

All sequencing data are available at **GSE286067**

Reviewer token: opelqgcahrafpoz

Figure legends

Table 1: Function and association data for the nine transcriptional regulators chosen for

analysis. SFARI gene score of 1 indicates high confidence for implication in autism spectrum

disorder. Evaluation of Autism Gene Link Evidence (EAGLE) score ranges from 6 (limited) to

12+ (definitive) roles in autism for validated targets.

Figure 1: Gene expression analysis of nine independent chromatin modifier depletions in

primary mouse neurons. A. Schematic of the experimental timeline for the comparison of

transcriptomes between chromatin modifier depletions. **B.** Counts per million (CPM) for the nine

chromatin modifiers following lentivirus-mediated shRNA depletion of each target, relative to the

average of nontargeting (N.T.) treated neurons (n = 6). **C.** Total up or downregulated

differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in the pairwise comparison of each depletion versus N.T.

treated neurons. Full DEG lists shown in Supplemental Table 1. D-E. Gene ontology analysis of

significantly up and downregulated genes in the depletion of Tbr1 or Kdm6b (**D**) and Med13l (**E**).

Recall is the proportion of functionally annotated genes in the query over the number of genes

in the GO term. Precision is the number of genes found in the GO term over the total number of

genes in the query. Results for other targets shown in Supplemental Table 2. **F.** SynGO for

Med13l significantly downregulated DEGs. **G-H.** GSEA of genes in the SynGO postsynaptic

membrane potential (**G**) or synaptic adhesion (**H**) terms for each depletion transcriptional

signature. NES indicates the directional normalized enrichment score.

Figure 2: Identifying transcripts that co-dysregulated across depletions. A. Upset plot of

up or downregulated DEG found in at least two depletions. Gene placement prioritizes the

largest intersection possible, only showing overlaps with 3 or more DEGs. **B.** Significance of

overlap for up or downregulated DEGs after each depletion using hypergeometric tests. **C.**

Heatmap of DEGs that appear in 4+ (left, downregulated, right, upregulated) comparisons

between depletion and N.T. control. **D.** Gene tracks of aligned transcripts over genes that were

either upregulated (Myd88) or downregulated (Thbs1) in multiple depletions. **E.** Gene ontology

analysis of all significantly downregulated genes found in over 2 or over 3 depletion conditions.

F. Boxplots of the transcript lengths for all genes, each DEG found up or downregulated in at

least 2 pairwise depletions, or 3 pairwise depletions. T-test p values for each pairwise

comparison are noted on the side.

Figure 3: Multiple factor linear modeling to determine commonly disrupted transcripts

across all nine depletions. A. Volcano plot of DEGs from the limma/voom model factoring all

nine depletion conditions against N.T. control. **B.** Gene tracks of transcripts of the top 2 DEGs

Tmem230 and Ankrd29. **C.** Relative expression of the top DEGs identified in the multi-factor

model in each depletion versus the average of nontargeting (N.T.) treated neurons. **D.** Overlap

of genes down or upregulated in at least 2 (i) or 3 (ii) pairwise comparisons and those from the

multi-factor model. Hypergeometric test results for each overlap as shown in red. **E.** Cross-

correlation plots comparing the overall expression profiles of each depletion against N.T. control.

Heatmap number and colors indicate correlation coefficient. Topography plots show the

distribution of expression changes for all genes between any two depletions within their relative

contribution to the model. **F.** Gene ontology analysis of significantly upregulated and

downregulated DEGs.

Figure 4: Shared and unique gene modules between ASD linked genes. A. WGCNA

modules identified after the co-expression network construction. Numbers are the Benjamini-

Hochberg corrected p values for each depletion on module expression compared to the non-

targeting control with a linear model. **B-D.** Gene ontology analysis of shared modules. Counts

indicates the number of genes in the GO term.

Figure 5: Changes in spontaneous firing activity due to transcriptional regulator

depletion. A. Experimental timeline and schematic for the culturing and measurements of

primary neurons using the multielectrode array (MEA). The recording diagram illustrates the

different metrics collected by the MEA instrument. **B.** Weighted firing rate of each condition

based only on electrodes with activity greater than the minimum spike rate from day in vitro

(DIV) 12 to 22. **C.** Evoked metrics for each condition at DIV 18. Significance based on one-way

ANOVA followed by dunnet post-test. *** ≤ 0.001, ** ≤ 0.01, * ≤ 0.05, NS = not significant. **D.**

Example spiking patterns over the 5 minute (300 second) recording period. Two well replicates

are shown for each condition.

Supplemental Figure 1: Validation of depletions. A. Relative transcript expression level of

each chromatin modifier following depletion versus non-targeting control virus (N.T.) treated

neurons as measured by RT-qPCR. **B.** Western blots showing protein level of four of the

chromatin modifiers in control-treated and shRNA-treated neurons. **C.** Principal component

analysis of the pairwise comparison between neurons with no virus (N.V.) and and those treated

with N.T. control (n = 6, 3 female and 3 male for each condition). **D.** Volcano plot of differential

gene expression between no N.V. and N.T. neurons. **E.** Scatterplot of the number of DEGs

identified in the comparison between a depletion of a modifier and control against the average

expression of that modifier following depletion. **F.** Scatterplot of the number of DEGs identified in

the comparison between a depletion of a modifier and control against the counts per million

(CPM) normalized expression of that modifier.

Supplemental Figure 2: Differential gene expression overlaps and genomic features. A.

Overlap of significantly up (red) or down (blue) regulated genes in each of the individual modifier

depletions against the SFARI database for autism-associated genes with at least one report

(1141 genes). **B.** ChromHMM analysis of promoters (500bp upstream of transcription start sites,

TSS) of significantly up or downregulated genes found in at least one depletion, over two, or

over three.

Supplemental Figure 3: Multi-factor limma model overlaps and genomic features. A.

Overlap of significantly up (red) or down (blue) regulated genes in the multi-factor limma model

against the SFARI database for autism-associated genes with at least one report (1141 genes).

Hypergeometric test results for each overlap are shown in red. **B.** Boxplots of the transcript

lengths from the multi-factor limma model. T-test p values for each pairwise comparison are

noted on the side.

Supplemental Figure 4: WGCNA analysis module gene ontology. A. Cluster dendrogram of

the classification of genes to each model. **B-C.** Gene ontology analysis of additional top shared

modules. Note that the Grey module expression explains less than 10% of the module so was

not analyzed by GO while other modules expression explain > ~50% of module variation.

Supplemental Figure 5: Multi-electrode array control metrics. A. Number of covered

electrodes per well per condition as defined by those with resistance greater than the threshold

of 18 kΩ. **B.** Average resistance in each well across conditions from day in vitro (DIV) 12 to 22.

C. Synchrony measures for each well of each condition at DIV 18 as defined the inter-electrode

cross correlation across all spikes.

Supplemental Data Table 1. Differential gene expression by depletion.

Supplemental Data Table 2. Gene ontology results by depletion.

Supplemental Data Table 3. Overlap of DEGs.

Supplemental Data Table 4. Limma voom analysis of depletions.

Supplemental Data Table 5. WGCNA modules.

Supplemental Data Table 6. MEA resistances.

Supplemental Data Table 7. MEA mean firing rates.

Supplemental Data Table 8. MEA weighted mean firing rates.

Supplemental Data Table 9. MEA bursting.

Supplemental Data Table 10. shRNA oligo sequences.

Supplemental Data Table 11. Antibodies and dilutions used.

Supplemental Data Table 12. Primer sequences.

References

Adegbola A, Musante L, Callewaert B, Maciel P, Hu H, Isidor B, Picker-Minh S, Le Caignec C, Delle Chiaie B, Vanakker O, et al. 2015. Redefining the MED13L syndrome. *Eur J Hum Genet* **23**: 1308–1317.

Bailey TL, Grant CE. 2021. SEA: Simple Enrichment Analysis of motifs. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.08.23.457422 (Accessed January 6, 2025).

Beighley JS, Hudac CM, Arnett AB, Peterson JL, Gerdts J, Wallace AS, Mefford HC, Hoekzema K, Turner TN, O'Roak BJ, et al. 2020. Clinical Phenotypes of Carriers of Mutations in CHD8 or Its Conserved Target Genes. *Biol Psychiatry* **87**: 123–131.

Bernier R, Golzio C, Xiong B, Stessman HA, Coe BP, Penn O, Witherspoon K, Gerdts J, Baker C, Vulto-van Silfhout AT, et al. 2014. Disruptive CHD8 mutations define a subtype of autism early in development. *Cell* **158**: 263–276.

Brauer B, Merino-Veliz N, Ahumada-Marchant C, Arriagada G, Bustos FJ. 2023. KMT2C knockout generates ASD-like behaviors in mice. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **11**: 1227723.

Camarena V, Cao L, Abad C, Abrams A, Toledo Y, Araki K, Araki M, Walz K, Young JI. 2014. Disruption of Mbd5 in mice causes neuronal functional deficits and neurobehavioral abnormalities consistent with 2q23.1 microdeletion syndrome. *EMBO Mol Med* **6**: 1003–1015.

Chatterjee I, Getselter D, Ghaneem N, Bel S, Elliott E. 2021. *CHD8 regulates gut epithelial cell function and affects autism-related behaviours through the gut-brain axis*. Neuroscience http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.10.02.462735 (Accessed June 30, 2023).

Christian DL, Wu DY, Martin JR, Moore JR, Liu YR, Clemens AW, Nettles SA, Kirkland NM, Papouin T, Hill CA, et al. 2020. DNMT3A Haploinsufficiency Results in Behavioral Deficits and Global Epigenomic Dysregulation Shared across Neurodevelopmental Disorders. *Cell Reports* **33**: 108416.

Davoudi S, Rahdar M, Hosseinmardi N, Behzadi G, Janahmadi M. 2023. Chronic inhibition of astrocytic aquaporin-4 induces autistic-like behavior in control rat offspring similar to maternal exposure to valproic acid. *Physiol Behav* **269**: 114286.

de la Torre-Ubieta L, Won H, Stein JL, Geschwind DH. 2016. Advancing the understanding of autism disease mechanisms through genetics. *Nat Med* **22**: 345–361.

De Rubeis S, He X, Goldberg AP, Poultney CS, Samocha K, Cicek AE, Kou Y, Liu L, Fromer M, Walker S, et al. 2014. Synaptic, transcriptional and chromatin genes disrupted in autism. *Nature* **515**: 209–215.

Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, Batut P, Chaisson M, Gingeras TR. 2013. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15–21.

Ernst J, Kellis M. 2017. Chromatin-state discovery and genome annotation with ChromHMM.
Nat Protoc **12**: 2478–2492.

Fu JM, Satterstrom FK, Peng M, Brand H, Collins RL, Dong S, Wamsley B, Klei L, Wang L, Hao
SP, et al. 2022. Rare coding variation provides insight into the genetic architecture and
phenotypic context of autism. *Nat Genet* **54**: 1320–1331.

Gao Y, Duque-Wilckens N, Aljazi MB, Wu Y, Moeser AJ, Mias GI, Robison AJ, He J. 2021. Loss
of histone methyltransferase ASH1L in the developing mouse brain causes autistic-like
behaviors. *Commun Biol* **4**: 1–10.

Geng Y, Zhang T, Alonzo IG, Godar SC, Yates C, Pluimer BR, Harrison DL, Nath AK, Yeh J-RJ,
Drummond IA, et al. 2022. Top2a promotes the development of social behavior via
PRC2 and H3K27me3. *Science Advances* **8**: eabm7069.

Huang T-N, Yen T-L, Qiu LR, Chuang H-C, Lerch JP, Hsueh Y-P. 2019. Haploinsufficiency of
autism causative gene Tbr1 impairs olfactory discrimination and neuronal activation of
the olfactory system in mice. *Molecular Autism* **10**: 5.

Hurley S, Mohan C, Suetterlin P, Ellingford R, Riegman KLH, Ellegood J, Caruso A, Michetti C,
Brock O, Evans R, et al. 2021. Distinct, dosage-sensitive requirements for the autism-
associated factor CHD8 during cortical development. *Mol Autism* **12**: 16.

Iossifov I, O'Roak BJ, Sanders SJ, Ronemus M, Krumm N, Levy D, Stessman HA, Witherspoon
KT, Vives L, Patterson KE, et al. 2014. The contribution of de novo coding mutations to
autism spectrum disorder. *Nature* **515**: 216–21.

King IF, Yandava CN, Mabb AM, Hsiao JS, Huang H-S, Pearson BL, Calabrese JM, Starmer J,
Parker JS, Magnuson T, et al. 2013. Topoisomerases facilitate transcription of long
genes linked to autism. *Nature* **501**: 58–62.

Kolberg L, Raudvere U, Kuzmin I, Vilo J, Peterson H. 2020. gprofiler2 -- an R package for gene
list functional enrichment analysis and namespace conversion toolset g:Profiler.
F1000Res **9**: ELIXIR-709.

Koopmans F, van Nierop P, Andres-Alonso M, Byrnes A, Cijsouw T, Coba MP, Cornelisse LN,
Farrell RJ, Goldschmidt HL, Howrigan DP, et al. 2019. SynGO: An Evidence-Based,
Expert-Curated Knowledge Base for the Synapse. *Neuron* **103**: 217-234.e4.

Korotkevich G, Sukhov V, Budin N, Shpak B, Artyomov MN, Sergushichev A. 2016. Fast gene
set enrichment analysis. http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/060012 (Accessed January
6, 2025).

Langfelder P, Horvath S. 2008. WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network
analysis. *BMC Bioinformatics* **9**: 559.

Lavery WJ, Barski A, Wiley S, Schorry EK, Lindsley AW. 2020. KMT2C/D COMPASS complex-
associated diseases [KCDCOM-ADs]: an emerging class of congenital regulopathies.
Clin Epigenetics **12**: 10.

Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2014a. voom: precision weights unlock linear model
analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biology* **15**: R29.

Law CW, Chen Y, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2014b. voom: Precision weights unlock linear model
analysis tools for RNA-seq read counts. *Genome Biol* **15**: R29.

Li J, Pinto-Duarte A, Zander M, Cuoco MS, Lai C-Y, Osteen J, Fang L, Luo C, Lucero JD,
Gomez-Castanon R, et al. Dnmt3a knockout in excitatory neurons impairs postnatal
synapse maturation and increases the repressive histone modification H3K27me3. *eLife*
11: e66909.

Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. 2014. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for
RNA-seq data with DESeq2. *Genome Biol* **15**: 550.

Moore SM, Seidman JS, Ellegood J, Gao R, Savchenko A, Troutman TD, Abe Y, Stender J, Lee
D, Wang S, et al. 2019. Setd5 haploinsufficiency alters neuronal network connectivity
and leads to autistic-like behaviors in mice. *Transl Psychiatry* **9**: 24.

Mullegama SV, Elsea SH. 2016. Clinical and Molecular Aspects of MBD5-Associated
Neurodevelopmental Disorder (MAND). *Eur J Hum Genet* **24**: 1376.

Nakamura T, Yoshihara T, Tanegashima C, Kadota M, Kobayashi Y, Honda K, Ishiwata M, Ueda
J, Hara T, Nakanishi M, et al. 2024. Transcriptomic dysregulation and autistic-like
behaviors in Kmt2c haploinsufficient mice rescued by an LSD1 inhibitor. *Mol Psychiatry*
1–17.

O'Roak BJ, Vives L, Girirajan S, Karakoc E, Krumm N, Coe BP, Levy R, Ko A, Lee C, Smith JD,
et al. 2012. Sporadic autism exomes reveal a highly interconnected protein network of
de novo mutations. *Nature* **485**: 246–250.

Parikshak NN, Luo R, Zhang A, Won H, Lowe JK, Chandran V, Horvath S, Geschwind DH.
2013. Integrative functional genomic analyses implicate specific molecular pathways and
circuits in autism. *Cell* **155**: 1008–1021.

Qin S, Yuan Y, Huang X, Tan Z, Hu X, Liu H, Pu Y, Ding Y, Su Z, He C. 2022. Topoisomerase IIA
in adult NSCs regulates SVZ neurogenesis by transcriptional activation of Usp37.
Nucleic Acids Research **50**: 9319–9338.

Rajarajan P, Borrman T, Liao W, Schrode N, Flaherty E, Casiño C, Powell S, Yashaswini C,
LaMarca EA, Kassim B, et al. 2018. Neuron-specific signatures in the chromosomal
connectome associated with schizophrenia risk. *Science* **362**: eaat4311.

Ritchie ME, Phipson B, Wu D, Hu Y, Law CW, Shi W, Smyth GK. 2015. limma powers
differential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucleic*
Acids Research **43**: e47.

Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP. 2011.
Integrative genomics viewer. *Nat Biotechnol* **29**: 24–26.

Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139–140.

Rossignol DA, Frye RE. 2012a. A review of research trends in physiological abnormalities in
autism spectrum disorders: immune dysregulation, inflammation, oxidative stress,
mitochondrial dysfunction and environmental toxicant exposures. *Mol Psychiatry* **17**:
389–401.

Rossignol DA, Frye RE. 2012b. Mitochondrial dysfunction in autism spectrum disorders: a
systematic review and meta-analysis. *Mol Psychiatry* **17**: 290–314.

Satterstrom FK, Kosmicki JA, Wang J, Breen MS, Rubeis SD, An J-Y, Peng M, Collins R, Grove
J, Klei L, et al. 2020. Large-Scale Exome Sequencing Study Implicates Both
Developmental and Functional Changes in the Neurobiology of Autism. *Cell* **180**: 568-
584.e23.

Schrode N, Ho S-M, Yamamuro K, Dobbyn A, Huckins L, Matos MR, Cheng E, Deans PJM,
Flaherty E, Barretto N, et al. 2019. Synergistic effects of common schizophrenia risk
variants. *Nat Genet* **51**: 1475–1485.

Shen W, Krautscheid P, Rutz AM, Bayrak-Toydemir P, Dugan SL. 2019. De novo loss-of-function
variants of ASH1L are associated with an emergent neurodevelopmental disorder. *Eur J*
Med Genet **62**: 55–60.

Sullivan PF, Geschwind DH. 2019. Defining the Genetic, Genomic, Cellular, and Diagnostic
Architectures of Psychiatric Disorders. *Cell* **177**: 162–183.

Thudium S, Palozola K, L'Her É, Korb E. 2022. Identification of a transcriptional signature found
in multiple models of ASD and related disorders. *Genome Res*.

Yu G, Wang L-G, Han Y, He Q-Y. 2012. clusterProfiler: an R package for comparing biological
themes among gene clusters. *OMICS* **16**: 284–287.

Zhang B, Horvath S. 2005. A general framework for weighted gene co-expression network
analysis. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol* **4**: Article17.

Zhang X. 2024. Highly effective batch effect correction method for RNA-seq count data. *Comput*
Struct Biotechnol J **27**: 58–64.

Zhao Y-T, Kwon DY, Johnson BS, Fasolino M, Lamonica JM, Kim YJ, Zhao BS, He C, Vahedi G,
Kim TH, et al. 2018. Long genes linked to autism spectrum disorders harbor broad
enhancer-like chromatin domains. *Genome Res* **28**: 933–942.

694