Interplay between T3SS effectors, ExoY activation, and cGMP signaling in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* infection

Vincent Deruelle[1,*], Gabrielle Dupuis[1,3], Dorothée Raoux-Barbot[1], Elysa Lim[1,4], Roberto Ponce-López[1], Daniel Ladant[1], Louis Renault[2] and Undine Mechold[1,5,**]

[1]Institut Pasteur, Université Paris Cité, CNRS UMR3528, Biochemistry of Macromolecular Interactions Unit, Paris, France

[2]Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, CNRS, Institute for Integrative Biology of the Cell (I2BC), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

[3]Centre de Recherche Saint-Antoine (CRSA), Sorbonne Université, INSERM UMR S 938, 5PMed : Pulmonary diseases, Pathogens, Physiopathology, Phenogenomics and Personalized Medecine, Paris, France

[4]Present address: School of Biomolecular and Biomedical Science and Michael Smurfit Graduate Business School, University College Dublin, Ireland

[5]Lead contact

* Correspondence: vincent.deruelle@pasteur.fr

** Correspondence: undine.mechold@pasteur.fr

ABSTRACT

ExoY is a nucleotidyl cyclase, secreted by the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type 3 secretion system (T3SS), which generates various cyclic nucleotides within host cells, predominantly cGMP. How ExoY-catalyzed cNMPs production contributes to the infection process remains unclear. Using recombinant *P. aeruginosa* strains expressing ExoY variants with different substrate specificities, we identified that ExoY-derived cGMP modulates the cytotoxicity of ExoT, a co-injected T3SS exotoxin. Our results demonstrated that dephosphorylation of the host CrkII adaptor protein, a downstream effect of the ADP-ribosyltransferase activity of ExoT, is limited by ExoY-derived cGMP, therefore antagonizing ExoT-induced cell retraction. We also revealed a reciprocal interaction between T3SS effectors, showing that ExoT and ExoS, can in turn, suppress ExoY activity and its production of cGMP in certain cell types, thereby limiting their regulation by ExoY-synthesized cGMP. These findings highlight the intricate interplay between T3SS effectors and uncover a novel host cell-dependent regulation within *P. aeruginosa* infections.

INTRODUCTION:

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a highly adaptable Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen that infects a wide range of hosts. In humans, *P. aeruginosa* is a major cause of nosocomial infections, leading to acute or chronic life-threatening infections[1,2]. Lung infections caused by *P. aeruginosa* are particularly common in hospitalized patients, with clinical outcomes ranging from rapidly fatal pneumonia in immunocompromised patients to long-term bronchitis in cystic fibrosis (CF) patients[3–6]. The type 3 secretion system (T3SS) plays a key role in *P. aeruginosa* pathogenicity and its expression is associated with severe clinical outcomes and increased mortality[7–9]. This system delivers four effectors, ExoS, ExoT, ExoU and ExoY, directly into the host cell's cytosol[10], where they are activated by specific host factors[11–14]. Most strains inject up to three exotoxins simultaneously. ExoS and ExoU were previously thought to be mutually exclusive[15,16], but recent findings have shown that some clinical isolates carry both genes and express them jointly, resulting in significantly enhanced virulence[17–19]. Initially identified as a T3SS effector with adenylate cyclase activity[20], ExoY was later characterized as a nucleotidyl cyclase (NC) with broad substrate specificity[21,22]. In host cells, ExoY is activated by F-actin[14,23–26] and promotes the accumulation of various cyclic nucleotide monophosphates (cNMPs), favoring cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) and cUMP rather than cAMP and cCMP[27]. *In vitro*, the substrate's preference of ExoY follows: GTP > ATP ≥ UTP > CTP[22], distinguishing it from ExoY-like orthologs and the structurally-related NC toxins EF from *Bacillus anthracis* and CyaA from *Bordetella pertussis*, which prefer ATP[22,27,28].

The high prevalence of the *exoY* gene (89–100% of strains) and secretion of the protein across diverse isolates[15,17,29–31] suggest that ExoY is a key component of *P. aeruginosa* virulence. However, compared to ExoS, ExoT and ExoU that are known to directly induce cell death and facilitate infection, ExoY's role in *P. aeruginosa* pathogenicity remains controversial, according to different studies using distinct experimental conditions [21,32–40]. ExoY has been either studied using bacteria with a native expression level or with bacteria that overexpress ExoY from a multi-copy plasmid, therefore modifying the levels of injected ExoY[41]. Co-injected effectors can also act synergistically[42] thus adding to the complexity, and ExoY has for instance been suggested to play a protective role under some conditions by attenuating the cytotoxicity of ExoS and ExoT [30,43].

The aim of this study is to investigate the contribution of ExoY-catalyzed cNMPs in the

infection process, with a particular focus on cGMP, the predominant cNMP produced by ExoY.

We therefore constructed genetically modified *P. aeruginosa* strains expressing ExoY variants

with different substrate specificities. This modification allowed us to identify a specific role for

cGMP, and to uncover the mechanism underlying ExoY's antagonistic effect on ExoT

cytotoxicity. Additionally, we found that ExoS or ExoT activities can counteract ExoY activation

depending on the infected cell type, further emphasizing the intricate interplay among T3SS

effectors in infected cells.

RESULTS

Modification of the ExoY nucleotide-binding pocket to change substrate specificity

To investigate the role of ExoY-catalyzed cGMP production in infected cells, we engineered

ExoY variants with altered nucleotide triphosphate (NTP) specificities, in order to shift

substrate preference from GTP to ATP. Based on the recently solved structure of ExoY, we

identified residues in the nucleotide-binding pocket potentially involved in GTP specificity[23]

(Figure 1A). These residues were replaced with those from structurally-related nucleotidyl

cyclases (NCs) with narrower substrate specificities, such as the adenylate cyclase toxins from

Bordetella pertussis (CyaA) and *Bacillus anthracis* (EF), or the ExoY-like modules from *Vibrio*

vulnificus and *V. nigripulchritudo*[14,22,23] (Figure S1). Consequently, three ExoY variants were

designed: ExoY[mut1] (N264V, F289V, S292G and D293A, one letter code for amino acids),

ExoY[mut2] (F83L and S292G) and ExoY[mut3] (F83L, E258D and S292G). The recombinant proteins

were expressed in *E. coli* and purified *via* an added C-terminal His-tag. The catalytic activities

of each variant were assessed *in vitro*, using the purified proteins in the presence of the F-

actin activator and radioactive GTP, ATP, UTP or CTP as substrate, and then compared to WT

ExoY to illustrate the change in substrate specificity (Figures 1B-1E). A catalytically inactive

mutant, ExoY[mut0] (K81M and K88I)[22] served as a negative control. As shown in Figure 1,

ExoY[mut1] exhibited a drastic reduction in cGMP and cUMP synthesis compared to WT ExoY but

maintained cAMP and cCMP production. Conversely, ExoY[mut2] and ExoY[mut3], while retaining

wild type cUMP and cCMP production, produced significantly more cAMP than WT ExoY (38-

and 23-fold increases, respectively). In addition, ExoY[mut3], which contains the additional E258D

substitution compared to ExoY[mut2], showed a markedly reduced cGMP-synthetizing activity.

To confirm these biochemical data, we tested the substrate preferences of the mutants

when expressed in NCI-H292 cells, a human bronchial epithelial cell line previously used to

study *P. aeruginosa* infection[30]. For this, we cloned the WT and mutant *exoY* alleles into a Tet-

On 3G inducible expression plasmid, which enables a precise control of *exoY* transcription in

cells by doxycycline. In parallel, we also created stable reporter cell lines that allow

measurement of cGMP or cAMP accumulation by recording luminescence with the GloSensor

technology (Promega) (Figure S2A). Plasmids (encoding the Tet-On 3G transactivator and the

various *exoY* alleles) were therefore transfected into the cGMP/cAMP reporter cell lines to

measure the production of cGMP or cAMP. Luminescence in doxycycline-induced cells was

monitored and maximum values for each construct were plotted (Figures S2B–S2D). In the cGMP reporter cell line, only ExoY and ExoY^{mut2} induced strong luminescence, while the luminescence in cells expressing the ExoY^{mut1} and ExoY^{mut3} was comparable to that of cells expressing the catalytically inactive ExoY mutant (ExoY^{mut0}) (Figures 1F). ExoY^{mut2} and ExoY^{mut3} produced significantly higher luminescence in the cAMP reporter cell line as compared to ExoY and ExoY^{mut1} (Figures 1G). Therefore, the cGMP/cAMP levels measured for the different ExoY alleles in transfected cells appeared to be in excellent agreement with the *in vitro* activities of the purified proteins.

Finally, we examined the cGMP/cAMP levels in cells infected with recombinant *P. aeruginosa* strains expressing the different ExoY variants. To maintain native expression level, we performed allelic exchange to replace the WT *exoY* allele and introduce mutations corresponding to each ExoY variant into the genome of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1FΔExoS (PAO1F strain secreting only ExoT and ExoY effectors). NCI-H292 cells were infected with these recombinant strains and the intracellular production of cGMP and cAMP was measured using ELISA kits (cUMP and cCMP could not be measured due to the lack of specific kits). Injection of WT ExoY or ExoY^{mut2} resulted in a large increase in cGMP levels (Figure 1H). However, in line with the experiments described above, injection of ExoY^{mut0}, ExoY^{mut1} and ExoY^{mut3} effectors did not result in a detectable increase in cellular cGMP (i.e. similar to the uninfected control). Regarding cAMP production in infected cells, only the injection of the ExoY^{mut2} or ExoY^{mut3} variants, which have a higher adenylyl cyclase catalytic efficiency, produced detectable cAMP in infected cells. Injection by bacteria of WT ExoY, ExoY^{mut0} and ExoY^{mut1} effectors, did not yield measurable increases in cAMP as compared to uninfected cells (Figure 1I). The levels of cAMP produced by the injection of one of these three ExoY variants should therefore be close to the detection limit of the cAMP ELISA kit.

In summary, these results demonstrate that the amino-acid substitutions introduced into the ExoY nucleotide-binding pocket successfully altered substrate specificity, leading to differential cNMP accumulation in cells. The substrate specificity of the WT and ExoY mutant alleles, as derived from these results and summarized in Figure 1J, allowed us to further investigate the physiological role of these different cyclic nucleotides in infected cells.

In NCH-H292 cells, ExoY-derived cGMP dampens the cytotoxicity of ExoT but not that of ExoS

ExoY is never injected alone into cells by the T3SS, but always co-injected with ExoT and/or
ExoS effectors. In contrast to ExoT and ExoS, ExoY does not exhibit detectable cytotoxicity *per*
se, when injected alone at physiological levels by bacteria harboring a single chromosomal
copy of the *exoY* gene[29,30,44]. ExoY was even suggested to exert a protective role by attenuating
the cytotoxicity of ExoT and ExoS[30,43]. Since ExoY produces diverse cNMPs in cells, we
wondered which of these cNMPs could be implicated in the modulation of cytotoxicity
induced by ExoT or ExoS. We therefore introduced the various *exoY* alleles into the genome
of the *P. aeruginosa* PAO1FΔS (secreting only ExoT and ExoY effectors) and PAO1FΔT
(secreting only ExoS and ExoY effectors) strains. The resulting strains thus produced the
different ExoY variants (or WT) and either ExoT (PAO1FΔS derivatives) or ExoS (PAO1FΔT
derivatives). These strains were then compared in cytotoxicity assays. As ExoT and ExoS are
known to specifically induce cell shrinkage and disruption of the actin cytoskeleton, we
quantified cell rounding of infected cells over time[10]. For this purpose, NCI-H292 cells were
incubated prior to infection with a red fluorescent probe that labeled the cell cytoplasm, and
fluorescence representing the total surface area was monitored using automated time-lapse
microscopy. As the ExoY variants were co-injected with a single T3SS effector, either ExoT or
ExoS, the cytotoxicity observed could be directly linked to these effectors and potential
synergistic effects with the co-injected ExoY variant. Indeed, a strain deleted for ExoS and ExoT
but injecting only ExoY did not induce rapid cell retraction as compared to a strain injecting
both ExoT and ExoY (Figures 2A and 2B).

With PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoT with WT ExoY or ExoY^{mut2}, we observed similar
kinetic profiles of cell rounding (Figures 2C and 2D). In contrast, ExoT-induced cell rounding
was faster when the toxin was co-injected with the ExoY^{mut0}, ExoY^{mut1} or ExoY^{mut3}, variants
with deficient or significantly diminished cGMP-synthetizing activity (Figure 1J). Hence, strains
expressing an ExoY variant deficient in cGMP production exhibited exacerbated ExoT-
mediated cytotoxicity. Notably, this effect was not due to increased injection of ExoT, as
comparable protein levels were detected in infected cells (Figures S3A and S3B).

Similar experiments were carried out with the PAO1FΔT derivatives to analyze
potential synergistic effects between ExoS and the ExoY variants. Interestingly, retraction
curves for all strains examined were similar, indicating that ExoY did not alter ExoS-mediated
cell rounding (Figures 2E and 2F). However, the PAO1FΔT derived strains failed to trigger a
significant cGMP increase in infected cells as compared to the PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting

ExoT with WT ExoY or ExoY[mut2] (Figures 2G and S3C). We considered two hypotheses to explain the absence of detectable cGMP (the main cNMP produced by WT ExoY) levels in cells infected with PAO1FΔT strains: i) Feedback inhibition of T3SS effectors injection by ExoS[45,46] that could have prevented ExoY from being efficiently injected, or ii) ExoS interference with the activation of ExoY by F-actin. Both scenarios would indeed result in a lack of cNMP accumulation in infected cells. Western blot (WB) analysis showed that both ExoS and ExoY were well secreted and injected into cells by the different strains (Figures S3A and S3B), ruling out the first hypothesis. ExoS therefore appears to interfere with the activity/activation of ExoY, which therefore cannot produce cNMPs and thus cannot influence ExoS-induced cytotoxicity. This point is further examined below.

ExoY-synthesized cGMP specifically alters the downstream effects of ExoT ADPRT activity

ExoT and ExoS are bifunctional toxins with an N-terminal GTPase-activating protein (GAP) domain and a C-terminal ADP-ribosyltransferase (ADPRT) domain, both of which contribute to cell shrinkage. However, the domain responsible for cell rounding varies across cell types[32,47–51]. To elucidate how ExoT and ExoS mediate cell rounding in our cell model, we investigated the contributions of their GAP and ADPRT activities. Point mutations were introduced into the genomes of PAO1FΔS or PAO1FΔT strains to selectively inactivate each activity[48–50,52–58] (Figure 3A). Cell rounding was then evaluated in cells infected with the mutant strains. Our results showed that the ADPRT activity of both toxins primarily drives cell retraction in NCI-H292 cells (Figures 3B, 3C, S4A and S4B). The data further indicated that the GAP activity of ExoT partly counteracted the cell rounding induced by the ADPRT domain of ExoT, as the total cell surface area was significantly lower after 8 hours of infection with ExoT[GAP-] mutant as compared to WT ExoT. Given that ExoY-derived cGMP attenuates ExoT cytotoxicity, we therefore hypothesized that in NCI-H292 cells, ExoY-synthesized cGMP specifically interferes with ExoT ADPRT activity and/or its downstream effects, thereby protecting cells from rounding.

To test this hypothesis, we generated a chimeric ExoT variant by replacing the ExoT ADPRT domain with that of ExoS (Figure 3D). The variant was introduced into PAO1FΔS strains via allelic exchange, and cytotoxicity assays were performed on cells infected with *P. aeruginosa* strains injecting either WT or chimeric ExoT variants. As shown above, the ExoT-induced cell rounding was dampened by the co-injection of the WT ExoY as compared to that

observed upon co-injection of the ExoY variants unable to produce cGMP (ExoY^{mut0} and ExoY^{mut1}) (Figure 3E and 3F). In contrast, the cell retraction induced by the chimeric ExoT$^{ADPRT\_ExoS}$ was not affected by the ability of co-injected ExoY variants to generate cGMP. Furthermore, the cell retraction caused by the chimeric ExoT$^{ADPRT-ExoS}$ was comparable to that induced by ExoS, confirming that the chimera is functional in cells. These results demonstrate that cGMP synthesized by ExoY specifically interferes with the signaling pathway targeted by ExoT's ADPRT domain, thereby attenuating ExoT's disruptive impact on the host cell cytoskeleton. These results also highlight that the ExoS ADPRT activity is either insensitive to ExoY cGMP production or, more likely, that ExoS ADPRT activity counteracts ExoY activity as illustrated by the absence of detectable cGMP (Figures 2G and S3C).

In NCH-H292 cells, ExoS ADPRT activity restricts ExoY activation

We infected the luminescent cGMP reporter cell line, representing intracellular cGMP production, with PAO1FΔS or PAO1FΔT strains to test the hypothesis that, compared with ExoT, ExoS activity interferes with ExoY activation and thus with its production of cGMP. Luminescence intensities after infection of the reporter cell line with recombinant PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoY with ExoT or ExoT variants were all similar to those obtained when ExoY was injected alone, indicating that, as expected, ExoT activities do not prevent ExoY from being active (Figure 3G). In contrast, co-injection of active ExoS toxin with ExoY decreased the intensity of luminescence corresponding to cGMP production compared with injection of ExoY alone (Figure 3H). Only co-injection of an ExoS variant with inactive ADPRT activity completely restored cGMP production by ExoY in NCI-H292 cells, validating the above proposition that ExoS ADPRT activity impedes ExoY activity. The lack of ExoY activity could result from a local inhibition of actin polymerization by the ADPRT activity of ExoS, thus disrupting the activation of ExoY. As a result, compared to ExoT, ExoS activity prevents the toxin from a possible feedback inhibition by ExoY-synthesized cGMP.

CrkII is the downstream target of ExoT's ADPRT activity modulated by ExoY-induced cGMP

The GAP domains of ExoS and ExoT target the same Rho family GTPases, including Rho, Rac1 and Cdc42[47,49,52,59], while their ADPRT domains target distinct cellular proteins. ExoS ADP-ribosylates various proteins, such as Ras, Rac1 and the ERM family[48,60–65], whereas ExoT ADP-ribosylates Crk family proteins and actin[66–69]. Since cGMP production by ExoY only altered

ExoT's ADPRT activity, we reasoned that this effect might depend primarily on the eukaryotic targets of ExoT's ADPRT, namely the Crk proteins.

We therefore evaluated the possibility that Crk family proteins are involved in the cGMP-antagonized ExoT cytotoxicity, by generating Crk^{-/-} NCI-H292 cells using CRISPR-Cas9 technology. For this purpose, a gRNA was designed to specifically target the *crk* gene and not the *crkL* gene (Figure S5A). The *crk* gene encodes two spliced products, CrkI and CrkII, which differ in size. CrkII has an additional SH3 domain at its C-terminus, resulting in a 40 kDa protein, whereas CrkI is a 28 kDa protein (Figure 4A). The *crkL* gene encodes a paralogous Crk-like protein (CrkL) that shares high sequence homology with CrkII. All three proteins are considered adaptor proteins and play an essential role in integrating signals from a wide variety of cellular processes[70,71]. However, since ExoT ADP-ribosylation has been described to modify CrkI/II proteins and not CrkL, we focused our analysis on CrkI/II proteins.

A clonal population was selected, in which four bases were deleted from the beginning of the coding sequence of the *crk* alleles, theoretically ablating the expression of WT CrkI/II proteins (Figure S5A). Both CrkI and CrkII production were indeed knocked-out in deficient cells compared to WT cells (Figure S5B). Low-intensity bands of the same size as CrkI and CrkII were nevertheless detected in deficient cells. This suggests that the obtained clones were not fully depleted of CrkI/CrkII, possibly because complete depletion could be detrimental to cell physiology. WT and Crk-deficient cells were then infected with PAO1FΔS strains and cell rounding was recorded as previously described. In contrast to WT cells, Crk^{-/-} cells showed a reduced ExoT-induced cell rounding, represented by an increase in the area under the curve (AUC), with no significant difference between strains regardless of the co-injected ExoY effector variant (Figure 4B and S6A-S6D). These data indicate that CrkI and/or CrkII are the downstream targets of the ExoT/ADPRT activity blunted by ExoY-synthesized cGMP. To identify the Crk isoform involved, Crk-deficient cells were complemented with a lentiviral plasmid encoding either CrkI or CrkII. Rescuing CrkII expression in Crk deficient cells resulted in infection profiles with PAO1FΔS strains similar to those observed in wild-type cells, whereas CrkI expression did not restore similar profiles (Figure 4B, 4C and S6E-S6H). Crk^{−/−}::CrkII cells were indeed as sensitive as WT cells to cGMP-dependent ExoT cytotoxicity. These results confirmed that CrkII is the main target of the cytotoxicity of ExoT modulated by ExoY during the infection of the cells.

ExoY-synthesized cGMP dampens CrkII dephosphorylation induced by ExoT's ADPRT activity

CrkII is a part of focal adhesion (FA) complexes composed of kinases (Src and FAK), docking molecules (p130cas, paxillin) and downstream proteins such as DOCK180 and C3G. Upon stimulation, CrkII is tyrosine-phosphorylated at Y221 by activated Abelson's tyrosine-protein kinase (Abl)[72–75], and translocated to the membrane[73,76–78] where the adaptor protein CrkII is dephosphorylated to interact with tyrosine-phosphorylated p130cas[77,79–85] and other partners, leading to actin cytoskeleton reorganization, cell migration and cell spreading[86]. However, Crk signal transduction pathways are disrupted after injection of ExoT by *P. aeruginosa*. Abl/CrkII interaction and CrkII phosphorylation[73,87] are inhibited by ExoT through ADP-ribosylation of cytoplasmic CrkII at Arg20[66], thus preventing CrkII membrane localization. In addition, CrkII interaction with upstream signaling molecules such as activated p130cas is also inhibited by ExoT ADP-ribosylation[69]. Therefore, ExoT's ADPRT activity induces loss of cell adhesion and cell-matrix interaction, leading to cell rounding and eventually to a programmed apoptotic cell death called anoikis[69,88,89].

We therefore explored whether the ExoY-synthesized cGMP could limit the ExoT-induced CrkII dephosphorylation at Y221. CrkI, CrkII and phospho-CrkII (at Y221) levels were analyzed by WB after infection of cells with the different PAO1FΔS strains (Figure 4D). CrkI and CrkII levels remained constant after four hours of infection, while phospho-CrkII levels were drastically reduced in infected cells as compared to the uninfected condition but were similar regardless of the PAO1FΔS strain used for infection. Furthermore, the level of phospho-CrkII was similar between the uninfected condition and the conditions in which cells were infected for four hours with the strains PAO1FΔST (ExoY injection only) and PAO1FΔSTY (injecting none of the T3SS effectors) (Figure 4D). These results indicate that: (i) ExoT cytotoxicity leads to CrkII dephosphorylation, as previously described[73,87], and (ii) injection of active WT ExoY alone does not alter phospho-CrkII level *per se*. It should be noted that in NCI-H292 cells, prior to infection, CrkII is highly phosphorylated. Infection was therefore repeated at different times to follow kinetics of CrkII dephosphorylation by ExoT activity in order to visualize potential differences between the strains related to the distinct NC activities of the injected ExoY variants. As shown in Figure 4E, phospho-CrkII levels were not altered over time when cells were infected with the PAO1FΔST strain, injecting only active ExoY, demonstrating that cGMP production by ExoY is not directly involved in CrkII phosphorylation nor in altering phospho-

CrkII levels. However, as expected, CrkII is dephosphorylated over time due to the ExoT activity
co-injected with ExoY. Interestingly, when ExoT is co-injected with ExoY variants defective in
cGMP production (ExoY^{mut0}, ExoY^{mut1} or ExoY^{mut3}), the rate of CrkII dephosphorylation by ExoT
activity is increased, particularly after 2h of infection (Figures 4E and 4F). These data
demonstrated that the cGMP produced by ExoY attenuated the ExoT-dependent CrkII
dephosphorylation at Y221 in infected NCI-H292 cells, thereby decreasing ExoT's ADPRT-
mediated cytotoxicity (Figures 2C and 2D).

To confirm that cGMP is directly involved in the modulation of the ExoT-induced CrkII
dephosphorylation, the infection medium was supplemented with compounds that stimulate
cGMP production in cells and phospho-CrkII levels were analyzed by WB during infection.
Spermine NONOate is indeed a nitric oxide donor that activates soluble guanylate cyclases
(GCs) and thus intracellular cGMP production[90] while 8-Br-cGMP is a cell-permeable cGMP
analog that is more resistant to hydrolysis by phosphodiesterases than cGMP. When infected
with the strain co-injecting ExoT with ExoY^{mut0} (unable to produce all cNMPs), the addition of
either compound limits CrkII dephosphorylation over time by ExoT activity, compared with the
condition without these compounds (Figure 4G and 4H).

These results, together with the above data, highlight the complex interaction
between ExoY and ExoT effectors in infected cells as illustrated in Figure 5. Whereas CrkII is
dephosphorylated as a downstream effect of ADPRT activity of ExoT, leading to cell rounding,
cGMP produced by ExoY delays this dephosphorylation and thus limits the ExoT-induced cell
rounding.

Inhibition of ExoT cytotoxicity by ExoY depends on cell model

To reinforce and generalize our discovery on the interaction between ExoT and ExoY, we
examined their effects on other epithelial cell lines commonly used in studies of *P. aeruginosa*
infection, HeLa cells[49,51] and A549[13,18,46] alveolar epithelial cells.

Co-injection of ExoT with ExoY^{mut0} or ExoY^{mut1} resulted in an increase in ExoT-induced
cell retraction in HeLa cells compared to ExoY WT (Figures 6A and 6B), similarly to what was
observed with NCI-H292 cells (Figures 2C and 2D), although the overall effect was less
pronounced. As similar levels of ExoT were injected by these strains (Figure 6C) we concluded
that, as in NCI-H292 cells, the guanylate cyclase negative variants ExoY^{mut0} and ExoY^{mut1} could
not inhibit ExoT cytotoxicity. However, in contrast to NCI-H292 cells where only the ADPRT

activity of ExoT is involved in cell retraction, we found that in HeLa cells, the GAP activity of ExoT also plays an important role in cell retraction (Figure 6D). So, since ExoY-synthesized cGMP only dampens ExoT's ADPRT activity, its effect on ExoT cytotoxicity is weaker in HeLa cells, in contrast to NCI-H292 cells, where ExoT cytotoxicity is entirely ADPRT-dependent. Another interesting observation is that the HeLa cell rounding was drastically increased upon infection with strains co-injecting ExoT with ExoY^{mut2} or ExoY^{mut3} (Figures 6A and 6B). This increase in strain virulence is likely due to the significant over-injection (up to three-fold) of ExoT into cells when co-injected with these two ExoY mutants, as revealed by WB (Figure 6C). Whether this hypertranslocation is linked to the higher AC activity of ExoY^{mut2} and ExoY^{mut3}, and the putative mechanisms involved, remain to be clarified.

Similar *P. aeruginosa* infection experiments were carried out on A549 alveolar epithelial cells. In contrast to NCI-H292 and HeLa cells, the co-injection of ExoY did not counteract ExoT cytotoxicity in A549 cells, as the ExoT-induced cell retraction curve was indistinguishable from those obtained with the defective GC variants, ExoY^{mut0} and ExoY^{mut1} (Figures 7A and 7B). WB confirmed that similar levels of ExoT were injected by all three strains (Figure 7C). However, only very low levels of cGMP (and cAMP) were measured in A549 cells infected with the PAO1FΔS strain, indicating a severe defect in ExoY activation in these cells at variance with what was seen in NCI-H292 cells (Figure 7D). Additionally, the A549 cell rounding seems to depend solely on the GAP activity of ExoT and not on its ADPRT activity, in contrast to NCI-H292 cells (Figure 7E). Furthermore, given that CrkII was the key component mediating the synergy between ExoT and ExoY effectors in NCI-H292 cells, we examined CrkI and CrkII expression levels in A549 cells by WB. While CrkI levels were similar between cell lines (Figure 7F), CrkII levels were extremely low in A549 cells, comparable to those found in Crk-deficient NCI-H292 cells (Figure S5B). This lack of CrkII expression likely explains why ExoT-induced cell rounding in A549 cells is entirely driven by the GAP activity of ExoT rather than its ADPRT activity. Moreover, as with HeLa cells, ExoT-induced cell rounding was markedly enhanced when A549 cells were infected with strains co-injecting ExoT with ExoY^{mut2} or ExoY^{mut3} (Figures 7A and 7B). This increase in ExoT cytotoxicity was again likely due to the higher amounts of ExoT injected into A549 cells by these two PAO1FΔS strain derivatives (Figure 7C).

Overall, the comparison of *P. aeruginosa* infection outcome in the three different cell lines showed that ExoY cNMP production affects ExoT cytotoxicity in a complex, cell-

348   dependent manner, influenced by ExoT's activity involved in cell rounding and by CrkII

349   expression. The downstream effects of ExoT's ADPRT activity are tempered by ExoY's

350   production of cGMP, while the downstream effects of ExoT's GAP activity are unaffected

351   possibly because it may prevent ExoY activation.

Discussion:

ExoY is a member of the bacterial nucleotidyl cyclase (NC) family, alongside CyaA from *Bordetella pertussis* and Edema Factor (EF) from *Bacillus anthracis*, which manipulate host cNMP signaling pathways to enhance pathogen survival and proliferation. However, instead of producing supraphysiological levels of cAMP like CyaA and EF, ExoY generates large amounts of cGMP. To our knowledge, no specific function has been ascribed to the cGMP produced by ExoY during infection. Our results demonstrate that in NCI-H292 cells, cGMP production by ExoY attenuates the cytotoxicity of ExoT, the only T3SS effector conserved in all T3SS-expressing *P. aeruginosa* strains. When ExoY variants defective in cGMP production were co-injected with ExoT, ExoT-induced cell retraction was exacerbated. On the contrary, ExoS cytotoxicity, was not inhibited by ExoY in this cell line. The reduced cGMP generation observed under these conditions likely explains the absence of an ExoY-mediated effect. We hypothetise that ExoS, via its ADPRT activity, can locally disrupt the actin polymerization thereby suppressing the F-actin-dependent ExoY activation and consequently cGMP synthesis. We therefore further examined how ExoY modulates ExoT cytotoxicity in NCI-H292 cells. We focused on the ADPRT domain of ExoT and its targets, the Crk family proteins, as ExoT-mediated cell retraction is driven by ExoT's ADPRT activity. In the NCI-H292 cell model, we found that when ExoY and ExoT are co-injected, ExoY-derived cGMP limits the ExoT-mediated dephosphorylation of CrkII at Y221, which occurs as a result of ADP-ribosylation. As a consequence, by limiting CrkII-Y221 dephosphorylation, ExoY-synthetized cGMP directly attenuates ExoT cytotoxicity. Conversely, ExoY variants unable to produce cGMP had no effect on ExoT-mediated CrkII dephosphorylation and thus on ExoT cytotoxicity, leading to rapid cell retraction by disruption of focal adhesion sites.

The molecular mechanisms that link the ADP-ribosylation of CrkII by ExoT and its Y221 dephosphorylation remains to be precisely elucidated, as well as how the cGMP produced by ExoY can interfere with this process. It has been described that (i) CrkII is specifically dephosphorylated by PTP1B[85] and that (ii) PTP1B phosphatase activity can be indirectly regulated by cGMP. Indeed, PTP1B is regulated by EGFR[91,92] whose activation is controlled by cGMP-dependent protein kinases (PKGI and PKGII)[93–96]. Activation of these kinases by cGMP may thus inhibit EGFR activation and, consequently, reduce PTP1B activity. We therefore propose that during infection of NCI-H292 cells by *P. aeruginosa*, two mechanisms occur:

Firstly the ADPRT activity of ExoT limits the production of phosphorylated CrkII by preventing

the interaction between CrkII and Abl[73,87], and secondly, the phosphatase activity of PTP1B

further reduces the level of previously phosphorylated CrkII. Together, these mechanisms lead

to rapid dephosphorylation of CrkII at Y221 and consequently induce cell rounding through

loss of cell adhesion and cell-matrix interaction. However, high cGMP levels generated by

activated ExoY could inhibit PTP1B phosphatase activity, via the activation of cGMP-

dependent protein kinases and the mechanism suggested above, reducing CrkII

dephosphorylation by PTP1B and thus limiting the downstream effects of ExoT's ADPRT

activity.

The antagonistic effect of ExoY-derived cGMP on the cytotoxicity of ExoT is however

complex and depends on the type of host cells infected and on the predominant mechanism

used by ExoT to induce its toxic effects (GAP vs ADPRT). For instance, in A549 cells, where the

cytotoxicity of ExoT relies on its GAP activity, we found that the injected ExoY did not produce

cGMP. Likely, as with the ADPRT activity of ExoS in NCI-H292 cells, the GAP activity of ExoT in

A549 cells may have disrupted the ExoY's activator and consequently cGMP production. As a

result, ExoY had no protective effect against ExoT cytotoxicity in these cells. In HeLa cells, as

the cytotoxicity of ExoT depends on both its GAP and ADPRT activities, ExoY has only a limited

protective effect. The conditions determining whether ExoT cytotoxicity depends primarily on

its GAP or ADPRT activity remain to be elucidated. One determinant could be the expression

of CrkII in the cells. When CrkII is expressed, ExoT can induce cell retraction by either or both

of its activities, as seen in the infection of NCI-H292 and HeLa cells. Conversely, when CrkII is

not expressed, ExoT can induce cell rounding solely by its GAP activity, as seen in the infection

of A549 cells.

We previously showed that the presence of a T3SS effector gene does not guarantee

that it will be injected and activated in the host target cells[30]. Our present study now

demonstrates that the type of host cells targeted, the combination of T3SS effectors injected

and their mechanism used to induce cytotoxicity can also affect effector activation. Indeed,

ExoY is inactive when co-injected with ExoS and also potentially when the cytotoxicity of ExoT

depended specifically on its GAP activity. Our findings reveal that ExoS and ExoT not only exert

their own cytotoxic effects, but also play a critical role in ExoY activation and, consequently,

in their own feedback regulation by ExoY-synthesized cGMP.

The study of interplay between multiple effectors is challenging, particularly when two effectors induce similar cytotoxic effects. In this case, both ExoT and ExoS trigger cell retraction. To address this, we focused on examining two effectors at a time: ExoY with ExoT and ExoY with ExoS. We believe that what happens when the three effectors are delivered together may involve even more complex regulatory mechanisms than those described here. The interaction between co-delivered effectors could represent a dynamic interplay, with outcomes influenced by effector ratios, the specific cell line, or the stage of infection. Furthermore, the overall effect of these interactions may be modulated by the infection environment, including host cell responses, which can ultimately alter the final outcome.

To conclude, this work reveals a critical role for ExoY-synthetized cGMP in modulating T3SS effector cytotoxicity, particularly that of ExoT, and highlights how *P. aeruginosa* might fine-tune its virulence to potentially optimize host colonization or establish and/or maintain chronic host infection. The ability of ExoY to modulate ExoT cytotoxicity in a context dependent manner may explain the widespread presence of ExoY among *P. aeruginosa* strains. Indeed, *P. aeruginosa* may benefit from a regulatory factor such as ExoY, which can modulate the bacterium's pathogenicity linked to ExoT cytotoxicity. The cNMPs produced by ExoY could adjust *P. aeruginosa* infection strategies to the cellular context, expanding the repertoire of host cell manipulation by the bacterium throughout the progression of infection. Additional unexplored effects of ExoY-produced cNMPs likely exist and remain to be discovered.

Materials & Methods

Antibodies and reagents

The mouse recombinant monoclonal Crk p38 antibody, targeting CrkI and CrkII was purchased from Abcam (#ab300630, dilution 1:1000). The rabbit Phospho-CrkII (Tyr221) antibody, detecting endogenous levels of CrkII only when phosphorylated at Tyrosine 221, was purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (#3491S, dilution 1:500). The mouse monoclonal antibody against β-actin was from Sigma Aldrich (#A2228, dilution 1:10000). The HRP-labeled detection antibody, IgG Detector Solution V2, was from the TAKARA kit named Western BLoT Rapid Detect v2.0 (# T7122A) and was used at a dilution of 1:3000. Rabbit polyclonal antibodies specific to ExoS and ExoT were obtained from Arne Rietsch and used at a dilution of 1:5000 (Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine). The specific antiserum for ExoY was obtained from Covalab and created by injecting rabbits with recombinant, truncated ExoY (aa26-223) with a His-tag at its C-terminus. This ExoY antibody was used at a dilution of 1:2000. The Cytotrace Red CMTPX was purchased from Cayman (#20698) and diluted to 1mM with DMSO. Puromycin (#UP9200-A) and geneticin (#10131027) antibiotics were purchased from EUROMEDEX and Gibco, respectively. The Polybrene was from MERCK MILLIPORE (#TR-1003). The cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail was from Roche (#11873580001) and the Bradford reagent was from BioRad (Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate, #5000006). Spermine NONOate was purchased from ENZO LIFE SCIENCES (#ALX-430-013-M005) and diluted in water to obtain a 50 mM stock solution. 8-Bromoguanosine 3',5'-cyclic monophosphate (8-Br-cGMP) was purchased from SIGMA ALDRICH CHIMIE (#B1381-10MG) and diluted in water to obtain a 100 mM stock solution.

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

The bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. Bacterial strains were grown in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37 °C with shaking (180 rpm). Antibiotics were added at the following concentrations: Ampicillin at 100 µg mL−1, gentamycin at 15 or 30 µg mL−1 for *E. coli* and *P. aeruginosa*, respectively. For infection assays, overnight cultures were diluted to optical density (OD_{600}) of 0.1 and grown under agitation to reach OD_{600} of 1.

Eukaryotic cell lines and growth conditions

The human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 T (ATCC CRL-3216), A549 (ATCC CCL-185) and HeLa

(ATCC CCL-2) cells were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM)

supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The NCI-H292 cells and their derivatives

were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with GlutaMAX™ supplemented with 10% FBS. Cells

transfected with pLVX-IRES-neo vector encoding cAMP or cGMP GloSensor or encoding CrkI

or CrkII, were maintained by addition of 200 µg mL−1 Geneticin to the supplemented medium.

Cells were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 and routinely passaged.

Plasmid construction

Primers used for PCR amplification, mutations or sequencing are listed in Supplementary Table

2.

pB26 expressing ExoY$^{K81M-K88I}$-FH (ExoY^{mut0}-FH) was created by exchanging the PaeI/Bsp119I

fragment from pUM460[14] by that of p1682[22].

pUM717 expressing ExoY$^{N264V-F289V-S292G-D293A}$-FH (ExoY^{mut1}-FH), was created by exchanging the

SphI/NdeI fragment from pUM460 by that of pUM700 (see below).

For pUM721, site-directed mutagenesis to introduce the mutation specifying the F83L

exchange into *exoY* was performed by PCR amplification with primers VD5-F83L_F and VD5-

F83L_R on template pUM460. Nonmutated plasmid (template) was digested by FastDigest

DpnI (ThermoFisher, #FD1703) and the reaction mixture was transformed into DH5α. Plasmid

DNA of a verified clone was digested with Bsp119I and SphI to subclone the fragment coding

for the F83L mutation into an unmodified pUM460 vector digested with Bsp119I and SphI.

Subcloning was performed to exclude potential PCR-introduced mutations in the vector

sequence.

For pUM727 (expressing ExoY^{mut2}-FH), primers VD11-S292G_F and VD11-S292G_R were used

to introduce the mutation coding for the S292G exchange into pUM460 as described above.

The Bsp119I/NdeI fragment specifying the S292G mutation, was then inserted into the

pUM721, already containing the F83L mutation.

For pUM733 (expressing ExoY^{mut3}-FH), the E258D mutation was added to pUM727 as

described above, using the oligonucleotide primers VD13-E258D_F and VD13-E258D_R. The

Bsp119I/NdeI fragment, containing the S292G and E258D mutations, was then inserted into

the pUM721.

The pVR2 expressing AcGFP under the $P_{TRE3G-BI}$ promoter was created by inserting the

BamHI/Not1 fragment of pAcGFP-N1, coding for AcGFP, into MCS1 of pTRE3G-BI. Next, the

exoY gene of pUM445[14] was amplified by PCR with primers UM245 and UM374 and cloned

into EcoRI/MluI-digested MCS2 of pVR2, yielding pUM542.

The expression plasmid pUM546 was constructed by replacing the SmaI/RsrII fragment of

p1682[22] (containing the K81M and K88I mutations in ExoY, ExoY^{mut0}) into pUM542.

The plasmid pUM700 encoding ExoY with the N264V, F289V, S292G and D293A mutations

(ExoY^{mut1}) was created in two steps. First, site-directed mutagenesis was used on pUM460, as

described previously, with primers VD-N264V_F and VD-N264V_R to introduce the N264V

mutation. This was followed by another site-directed mutagenesis using primers VD-F298V-

S292G-D293A_F and VD-F298V-S292G-D293A_R to introduce the F289V, S292G and D3A29

mutations. After digestion of the non-mutated plasmid with DpnI, the sequence verified

mutated plasmid was digested with Bsp119I and NcoI and the extracted fragment was cloned

into pUM542 digested with the same restriction enzymes to replace the WT fragment of the

exoY gene.

Transfection plasmid pUM731, encoding *exoY$^{F83L-S292G}$* (*exoY^{mut2}*), was created by exchanging

the NcoI/ SphI fragment from pUM542 by that of pUM727.

Similarly, pUM734, encoding *exoY$^{F83L-E258D-S292G}$* (*exoY^{mut3}*), was created by exchanging the

NcoI/ SphI fragment from pUM542 by that of pUM733.

pUM517 was created by PCR-amplification of pUM445[14] with UM345 and UM246 and cloning

of the fragment into NheI/XhoI-digested pAcGFP-N1.

The construction of pUM549, to introduce by allelic exchange the K81M and K88I

mutations (ExoY^{mut0}) into the *exoY* of PAO1F strains as well as the region coding for a C-

terminal Flag-His tag, was carried out in several steps. First, an intermediate plasmid named

pB93Gm was created, containing the *exoY* sequence including the genomic sequences located

upstream and downstream of the gene. To this end, a PCR reaction amplifying the genomic

sequence upstream of *exoY* was performed on PAO1 genomic DNA (gDNA) using primers #124

and #125 and incorporated into plasmid pEX18Gm as HindIII/PstI fragment. Subsequently, a

fragment containing the genomic sequence downstream of *exoY* was PCR-amplified from

gDNA of PAO1 using primers #126 and #127 and introduced into this plasmid as XbaI/EcoRI

fragment. A fragment coding for *exoY* and a C-terminal Flag-His tag was then PCR amplified

from pUM460 using primers #128 and #129 and inserted as PstI/XbaI fragment into the

previous plasmid yielding pB93Gm. Finally, the sequence coding for the K81M and K88I

mutations (mut0) was introduced into pB93Gm by replacing the BamHI/SphI fragment with

that of p1682[22] to yield pUM549.

pUM707 was created to introduce *exoY* alleles coding for variants ExoY^{mut1}, ExoY^{mut2} and

ExoY^{mut3} into PAO1F by allelic exchange. To construct pUM707, a 2032-bp fragment was PCR

amplified from chromosomal DNA of PAO1FΔS using primers PAO1-Up_F and PAO1-Down_R

and inserted into pEX18Gm between the EcoRI and HindIII sites.

NcoI/SphI fragments specifying the mutations in ExoY^{mut1}, ExoY^{mut2} and ExoY^{mut3} were then

isolated from plasmids pUM700, pUM727 and pUM734 to replace the corresponding

fragment in pUM707 yielding pUM712, 728 and 736 respectively.

For the construction of the allelic exchange plasmid used to inactivate the Rho-GAP

domain of ExoS (R146K mutation), two PCR fragments were amplified from PAO1F

chromosomal DNA: PCR1 was performed with primers ExoSR146K-Up_F and ExoSR146K-

Up_R, and PCR2 was performed with primers ExoSR146K-Down_F and ExoSR146K-Down_R.

Next, a splicing by overlap extension PCR (SOE-PCR) was performed to combine the two PCR

fragments using equimolar amounts of PCR1 and PCR2 and the external primers (ExoSR146K-

Up_F and ExoSR146K-Down_R). The SOE-PCR fragment obtained was digested with EcoRI and

HindIII to replace the EcoRI/HindIII fragment of pUM707, yielding pUM737.

To generate the plasmid for inactivation of the ADPRT domain of ExoS (E379D and E381D

mutations), the same protocol was applied but with primers ExoSE379/381D-Up_F and

ExoSE379/381D-Up_R for the PCR1, and the primers ExoSE379/381D-Down_F and

ExoSE379/381D-Down_R for the PCR2, resulting in pUM738.

The mutation coding for R149K in *exoT* inactivating its Rho-GAP was introduced into the allelic

exchange vector using primer pairs ExoTR149K-Up_F/ExoTR149K-Up_R and ExoTR149K-

Down_F/ExoTR149K-Down_R for SOE-PCR and replacing the EcoRI/HindIII fragment in

pUM707, yielding pUM739.

The mutations coding for E383D and E385D in *exoT* inactivating its ADPRT domain were

introduced into the allelic exchange vector using primer pairs ExoTE383/385D-Up_F plus

ExoTE383/385D-Up_R and ExoTE383/385D-Down_F plus ExoTE383/385D-Down_R for SOE-

PCR and inserted as BamHI/HindIII fragment into pEX18Gm, yielding pUM740.

pUM752 was created to replace the ADPRT domain of ExoT by that of ExoS. To this end, three

PCR fragments were amplified from PAO1F chromosomal DNA: PCR1 was performed with

primers VD17_F and VD17_R (amplification of *exoS* ADPRT domain), PCR2 was performed with primers VD18_F and VD18_R (amplification of *exoT* GAP domain) and PCR3 was performed with primers VD19_F and VD19_R (amplification of the downstream *exoT* sequence). The fusion of the three PCR fragments was performed in two steps. First equimolar amounts of PCR fragments 1 and 2 were used for amplification with the outside primers VD18_F and VD17_R (SOE-PCR1). Then, a second overlapping PCR (SOE-PCR2) was performed, containing SOE-PCR1 and PCR3 at equimolar amounts and primers VD18_F and VD19_R. The obtained fragment was digested with BamHI and HindIII and cloned into pEX18Gm.

Purification of ExoY toxins

ExoY-FH effectors encoded on pUM460, pB26, pUM717, pUM727 and pUM733 were expressed from the λP_L promoter controlled by the temperature-sensitive cI repressor (cI857) in *E. coli* BLR. 400 mL cultures in LB were started at an OD of 0.05 and incubated for 2h30 at 30°C before shifting the temperature to 37°C for 3h to express the proteins. Proteins were purified from the insoluble protein fraction through their C-terminal His-tag using Ni-NTA chromatography under denaturing conditions (in the presence of 8 M urea). Proteins were renatured by dialysis into 20 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0, 500 mM NaCl, 10% glycerol, 1 mM 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT) and 0.4 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF).

Quantification of cNMPs synthesis *in vitro* by ExoY proteins

ExoY-catalyzed synthesis of cNMP was measured as described previously[14]. Reactions were carried out for 10, 30 or 60 min at 30 °C in the presence of 3 µM of F-actin containing between 5 ng to 1 µg of ExoY proteins, and 2 mM NTP spiked with 0.1 µCi of [α-^{33}P] GTP, 0.1 µCi of [α-^{33}P] ATP, 0.1 µCi of [α-^{32}P] CTP or 0.1 µCi of [α-^{32}P] UTP. ^{33}P or ^{32}P were measured in a TriCarb scintillation counter (Perkin Elmer). All reactions were performed in triplicates.

Allelic exchange on *P. aeruginosa* strains

Mutations on *exoS, exoT,* and *exoY* genes, on chromosomal DNA of PAO1F strains, were incorporated using a two-step allelic exchange protocol as described before[97]. Sucrose resistant allelic exchange mutants obtained after the second cross-over event were screened using colony PCRs with Amplif-PAO1 primers for amplification of the fragment and Seq-PAO1 primers for sequencing, as specified in Supplementary Table 2. It should be noted that the generation of GAP⁻/ADPRT⁻ double mutants on the *exoS* and *exoT* genes were carried out in

two steps. First, clones containing the GAP⁻ mutation were selected, then pUM738 or pUM740

allelic exchange vectors were used on these clones to generate the inactivation of the second

domain. For the allelic exchange replacing the ADPRT domain of ExoT with that of ExoS, the

primers Amplif-PAO1-ExoS-ADPRT_F and Amplif_PAO1-ExoT_R were used to verify the

presence of the modified allele. Then PCR amplification from the selected colonies was

performed using Amplif-PAO1-ExoT_F and Amplif-PAO1-ExoT_R, followed by sequencing

using Seq-ExoT_F and Seq3-ExoS_F.

cAMP and cGMP quantification in cells after infection by ELISA

cAMP or cGMP were measured in cells using Direct cAMP/cGMP ELISA kits from Enzo Life

Sciences (#ADI-900-066A and #ADI-900-014, respectively). Cells were seeded at $1,5.10^5$ cells

per well in a 24-well plate and incubated for 2 days. After infection (see time indicated and

MOI used in figure legend), the cell medium was removed from each well and the cells were

washed with sterile PBS and frozen. Cells in each well were lysed with 54 µL of 0.1 M HCl

solution (supplied with the kit) and incubated for 30 min on ice, then scraped with a pipette

tip. The cell lysate was transferred to a 1.5 ml tube and centrifuged for 15 minutes at 12,000

rpm to remove cell debris. The protein concentration of each supernatant was then analyzed

by the Bradford assay and diluted to obtain the desired concentration specified by the

manufacturer. The non-acetylated protocol was followed for preparation of the cAMP and

cGMP standards as well as the samples. All samples were measured in duplicate. The yellow

color was read at 405nm.

Lentiviral particles production

Plasmids pGloSensor™-22F and pGloSensor™-40F were digested with NheI and XbaI and the

corresponding genes encoding Promega live-cell biosensors for cAMP and cGMP respectively,

were cloned into the pLVX-IRES-neo vector digested with SpeI and XbaI. Sequencing was

performed to select clones with inserts in the desired orientation. Next, lentiviral particles

containing the pLVX-neo-cAMP or pLVX-neo-cGMP plasmid were produced. For this, HEK 293T

cells were seeded in two 60 mm Petri dishes, each containing 3.10^6 cells and 5 mL of cell

medium and incubated for 24 h. The cells were then transfected using Lipofectamine 3000

transfection kit (Invitrogen, # L3000008) according to manufacturer's recommendations.

Briefly, 14 µL Lipofectamine 3000 and 12.8 µL P3000 Reagent were diluted separately in two

different tubes containing each 500 µL Opti-MEM (Gibco, #31985062). An appropriate mixture

of plasmids was added with the diluted P3000 Reagent in a 2:1 ratio of Transfection Reagent:

DNA complex. This mixture of plasmids was composed of the pLVX-neo-cAMP or pLVX-neo-

cGMP plasmid with the lentiviral packaging and envelope plasmids (psPAX2 and pMD2.G) at a

1:1:1 molar ratio (2.5 µg:2.5 µg:1.4 µg, respectively). The tubes were then mixed and

incubated 20 min at room temperature. The transfection mix was added to HEK293T cells in a

drop-wise manner and cells were incubated for 6 h. Following this incubation time, the

medium of each 60 mm Petri dish was replaced by 5 mL DMEM, containing 1,28% bovine

serum albumin (BSA) and 1x Pen/Strep. Transfected cells were incubated again for 72 h and

the lentiviruses containing media were finally harvested and centrifuged to pellet any

packaging cells that were collected during harvesting. Lentiviral particles were stored at −80

°C.

Creation of stable cell lines with luminescent GloSensors

NCI-H292 cells were seeded at 3.10^5 per well in a 6-well plate containing cell medium with

10% FBS and supplemented with 8 µg mL-1 polybrene to promote lentiviral infection. One mL

of lentiviral solution, containing the plasmid pLVX-neo-cAMP or pLVX-neo-cGMP was added

to the corresponding well. After 24 h incubation, the media was replaced with RPMI

containing 10% FBS, supplemented with 800 µg mL-1 Geneticin and cells were regularly

passaged until the uninfected cells were dead. The antibiotic concentration was then reduced

to 200 µg mL-1. Finally, clones were isolated by limiting dilution and clonal stable populations

were selected according to their luminescence emission upon intoxication with CyaA for

cAMP-GloSensor cells or transfection (see below with Xfect transfection kit) of plasmids

expressing ExoY (pUM517) or ExoY^{K81M} (pUM518) for cGMP-GloSensor cells.

Luminescence assay

All plasmids for transfection were purified using the NucleoBond Xtra-Midi EF kit (Macherey-

Nagel, #740420).

Stable monoclonal cAMP-GloSensor and GMP-GloSensor cells were seeded at $1,5.10^4$ cells per

well in a 96-well plate (Corning, #3610), containing RPMI medium supplemented with 200 µg

mL-1 Geneticin. Cells were incubated for 2 days before co-transfection with the pEF1α plasmid

and the pUM542, pUM546, pUM700, pUM731 or pUM734 plasmids. The co-transfection was

performed using the Xfect™ Transfection Reagent (Takara, #631317) and according to

manufacturer's recommendations. Briefly, the media of each well was removed and replaced

by 100 µL per well of phenol red-free RPMI medium. Next, a mixture was prepared for each condition containing 10 µL of Xfect reaction buffer, 0.15 µg of each plasmid and 0.09 µL of Xfect polymer DNA (0.3 µL / µg DNA). The mixtures were incubated for 10 min at room temperature to allow the nanoparticle complexes to form, and 10 µL of transfection solution was added dropwise to the corresponding well. The plate was incubated at 37°C 5% CO_2 for 6 h before the cell medium was removed and replaced with RPMI supplemented with 200 µg mL^{-1} Geneticin. The plate was incubated for 2 days at 37°C 5% CO_2 before removing the cell medium and to replace it with RPMI containing 1 µg.mL^{-1} Doxycycline. After 3 h incubation, the medium in each well was again removed and replaced with 100 µL / well of phenol red-free RPMI containing 5% GloSensor cAMP reagent (Promega, #E1291). Luminescence was monitored every 5 min for 4h at 24°C with an automated plate reader (Spark 10M by TECAN) using an integration time of 1000 ms and the luminescence maximum (see representation on Sup.Fig.2) was extracted.

For the infection experiments, 2.10^4 cGMP-GloSensor cells were seeded per well in a 96-well plate for two days. The cell medium was removed, and the cells were infected at an MOI of 20 for 2 hours by adding 100 µL of resuspended bacteria in RPMI medium (Gibco, #32404014) containing GlutaMAX (Gibco, #35050038). Then, 40 µL of resuspended GloSensor cAMP reagent was added to each well to a final concentration of 3.6% and luminescence was recorded every 3 min for 3.5 hours at 24°C.

For CyaA experiments, 2.10^4 cAMP-GloSensor cells were seeded per well in a 96-well plate for two days. One hour before intoxication, the cell medium was removed and 90 µL of phenol red-free RPMI medium containing GlutaMAX was added per well. This medium was also supplemented with 2mM $CaCl_2$, 0,5% BSA and 5% GloSensor cAMP reagent. Cells were finally intoxicated with 1nM final CyaA or CyaA[R12E] (mutant not translocated, negative control[98]) per well and luminescence was recorded as previously described.

Knock-out of *Crk* gene

A pair of oligonucleotides corresponding to a gRNA targeting the *Crk* gene (Supplementary Table 2) was phosphorylated and annealed before being cloned into the Esp3I-digested pLentiCRISPRv2 vector. Then, as previously described, lentiviral particles containing the recombinant plasmid pLentiCRISPR_Crk were created using the HEK293T cells and the psPAX2 and pMD2.G plasmids. NCI-H292 cells were afterwards infected with these lentiviruses using

1 mL of lentiviral particles in a well of a 6-well plate. Transfected cells were selected with 2

µg.mL−1 puromycin for 48 h and clones were isolated by limiting dilution. Clones were

selected for their absence of Crk expression by Western blot. One clone was selected for

further experiments.

crk sequence analysis in Crk-deficient cells

Genomic DNA was isolated from 4.10^6 NCI-H292 and Crk-deficient cells using the DNeasy®

Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen, #69504) and following the manufacturer's protocol. The _crk_ gene

was amplified by PCR using 100 ng of gDNA from each cell line with primers PCR-Crk_F and

PCR-Crk_R (Supplementary Table 2). PCR fragments were then purified and sent for Sanger

sequencing with Seq-Crk_F and Seq-Crk_R and TIDE software was used to analyze indels in the

crk gene from Crk-deficient cells.

Complementation of Crk-deficient cells

The _CrkI_ and _CrkII_ genes were synthetized by Twist Bioscience and cloned into the pTwist Amp

High Copy plasmid (2221 bp). Some modifications have been introduced, that did not result in

changes of the amino acid sequence, to prevent the Cas9 endonuclease from cleaving the

gene after its insertion into the genome of deficient cells, and to reduce the %GC content for

gene synthesis. Moreover, XbaI and BamHI restriction sites were added at 5' and 3' ends of

each gene, respectively (Supplementary Table 3). Each gene was next cloned into XbaI/BamHI-

digested pLVX-IRES-neo vector. Lentiviral particles containing the pLVX-neo_CrkI or pLVX-

neo_CrkII plasmid were then produced as previously described. Thereafter, Crk-deficient cells

were incubated with lentiviral particles under the same conditions as described above with

geneticin selection, and stable cell lines derived from Crk-deficient cells but expressing CrkI or

CrkII were selected by limiting dilution. Genomic DNA from each selected clone was extracted

using the DNeasy® Blood & Tissue kit (Qiagen, #69504) and primers VD20_F and VD20_R were

used for PCR amplification of the modified _crk_ gene and sequencing. Selected clones were also

tested by WB for CrkI and CrkII expression.

Cell retraction assay

$1,3.10^4$ NCI-H292 cells or their derivatives were seeded per well in a 96-well plate (Greiner,

#655090) 48h before infection ($1,3.10^4$ HeLa cells or $1,5.10^4$ A549 cells). For the cell retraction

assay, cells were first labeled with Cytotrace Red CMTPX (1µM) for one hour in their FBS-

containing culture medium, to label cell cytoplasm after which the medium was removed and replaced with phenol red-free RPMI medium (Gibco, #32404014) supplemented with GlutaMAX (Gibco, #35050038). Cells were subsequently infected at MOI 20 with bacteria (unless otherwise indicated). The total cell area was monitored using an IncuCyte live-Cell microscope (SX5, Sartorius) containing the Green/Red module. Images from bright field (phase) and red channel (acquisition time 400 ms) were collected every 15 min for 12 h using a x10 objective and treated using the IncuCyte software.

For the quantification of cell retraction induced by the GAP and ADPRT domains of ExoS and ExoT toxins, the same protocol was used with the exception that the analysis was performed using images acquired with Incucyte (images with the red channel) at a given point and using the Analyze Particles module from FIJI.

Western Blot (WB) analysis

Cells were seeded at 4.10^5 cells per well in a 6-well plate and incubated for 2 days (2.10^4 A549 or HeLa cells). Next, cells were quantified and infected at the indicated MOI and time, then washed twice with cold PBS before freezing. Cells were lysed with lysis buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 1mM EDTA, and Roche protease inhibitor cocktail. The lysates were incubated 15 min on ice and centrifuged at 12,000 g for 15 min at 4°C and protein concentration in the supernatant was measured using the Bradford assay. Thirty micrograms of protein were then denatured with a buffer containing LDS (Invitrogen, #NP0007) and a reducing agent (Bio-Rad, #1610792) at 95 °C for 5 min. For gel electrophoresis, proteins were run using NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (Invitrogen, #NP0321BOX) and the MES SDS Running buffer (Invitrogen, #NP0002). Proteins were then transferred onto a PVDF membrane, using wet transfer in a transfer cell for 1h at 4°C and 350 mA. Finally, the blots were saturated with detergent-containing Tris-buffered saline (TBST) supplemented with 5% BSA. The TBST wash buffer consists of 10 mM Tris pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 0.05% Tween® 20 detergent. The saturation step was carried out for 90 min at room temperature, and the blots were washed three times for 5 minutes each with the TBST buffer before being incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies. After three washes, primary antibodies were probed using an HRP-labeled detection antibody for 90 min at room temperature. Then blots were washed three times and signals were detected using the SuperSignal West Pico PLUS

Chemiluminescent Substrate (ThermoScientific, #34577) and the ChemiDoc MP Imaging System.

For the visualization of phospho-CrkII at different time-point, similar experiment was performed but NCI-H292 cells were seeded at $2,5.10^5$ cells per well in a 12-well plate. The cells were then infected at the corresponding times and lysed with a lysis buffer containing 1% Triton X-100, 50mM Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 150mM NaCl, 5mM EDTA, and 1X Halt Protease & Phosphatase Inhibitor Cocktail (Thermo Fisher Scientific, #1861281). When indicated, Spermine NONOate was added to each infected well at 50 µM at the time of infection. Wells infected for 2h or more received a further 50 µM Spermine NONOate for 2h. 8-Br-cGMP was added for 5h at 500 µM to dedicated infected wells (i.e., 1h30 before the start of infection) and wells with 2h30 or more of infection received a further 500 µM 8-Br-cGMP for 2h30.

For secretion of T3SS effectors, overnight cultures of the PAO1FΔS and PAO1FΔT strains were diluted to 0.05 and grown for 3 h in LB supplemented with 5 mM EGTA and 20 mM MgCl$_2$ to reach an OD of 1. Then, 1 ml of each culture was centrifuged to pellet the bacteria and the supernatant was harvested and mixed with 10 µl of 10% Triton X-100 (0.1% final concentration) before being flash frozen. Finally, 14 µL of each of the supernatants (containing T3SS effectors) were denatured with buffer containing LDS and reducing agent and ran using NuPAGE 4-12% Bis-Tris gels for gel electrophoresis.

Statistics

GraphPad software (version 9.5.1) was used for statistical analyses. For cytotoxicity assays, AUC was extracted from each retraction curve and plotted on a bar chart. A one-way ANOVA was employed, followed by Tukey's post-hoc test for data comparison. The same statistical method was used to analyze the maximum luminescence of transfected or infected cells expressing cGMP or cAMP GloSensor, the production of cGMP and cAMP in infected cells by ELISA, and the cell retraction induced by ExoS and ExoT domains. For the statistical analysis of guanylate, adenylate, uridylyl and cytidylyl cyclase activities of purified proteins, the same method was applied except that a Dunnett's post-hoc test was performed using the sample with ExoY WT as control. Statistical differences in CrkII phosphorylation levels between 2 and 3h were established using a Fisher's LSD test. Finally, statistical analysis of Fig2B was performed using an unpaired two-tailed t-test.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS:

V.D., U.M. and L.R. contributed to the conception and design of the study. V.D. performed all the experiments and analyzed data. G.D. participated in the ELISA experiments and D.R.B. in the *in vitro* measurements of cNMPs. E.L. was involved in generating deficient cells and modifying the ADPRT domain of ExoT. V. D. and R.P.L. infected the cGMP reporter cell line. V.D. wrote the manuscript. V.D., U.M., D.L. and L.R. edited the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

FUNDING:

This project was funded by the ANR under #ANR-18-CE44-0004 (activExoY) and under #ANR-23-CE44-0047 (toxi-cUMPsignal) and by CNRS UMR 3528. V.D. was supported by Pasteur-Roux-Cantarini program and E.L. by a stipend from Amgen Scholars Program. G.D. received a doctoral fellowship from the French Ministry of Higher Education, Research, and Innovation. R.P.L. was supported by a stipend from the Pasteur—Paris University (PPU) International PhD Program.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

We thank Arne Rietsch (Case Western Reserve University, Ohio), for providing the indicated *P. aeruginosa* strains used in this study and the ExoS and ExoT antibodies. We also thank Éric Faudry and Ina Attrée (IBS, Grenoble) for access to the PAO1F mutant strains. We thank Stefan Dove for his advice on modifying the substrate specificity of ExoY, Alexander Belyy for his preliminary work on ExoY substrate specificity and Magda Teixeira-Nunes and Martine Comisso for their technical assistance in designing the ExoY variants. We would also like to thank Alexandre Chenal for the CyaA proteins.

REFERENCES:

1.   Reynolds, D. & Kollef, M. The Epidemiology and Pathogenesis and Treatment of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections: An Update. *Drugs* **81**, 2117–2131 (2021).

2.   Qin, S. *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa: pathogenesis, virulence factors, antibiotic resistance, interaction with host, technology advances and emerging therapeutics. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* **7**, 1–27 (2022).

3.   Franzetti, F., Cernuschi, M., Esposito, R. & Moroni, M. Pseudomonas infections in patients with AIDS and AIDS-related complex. *J. Intern. Med.* **231**, 437–443 (1992).

4.   Bhagirath, A. Y. *et al.* Cystic fibrosis lung environment and Pseudomonas aeruginosa infection. *BMC Pulm. Med.* **16**, 174 (2016).

5.   Davies, J. C. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in cystic fibrosis: pathogenesis and persistence. *Paediatr. Respir. Rev.* **3**, 128–134 (2002).

6.   Zhang, Y. *et al.* Risk Factors for Mortality of Inpatients with Pseudomonas aeruginosa Bacteremia in China: Impact of Resistance Profile in the Mortality. *Infect. Drug Resist.* **13**, 4115–4123 (2020).

7.   Anantharajah, A., Mingeot-Leclercq, M.-P. & Van Bambeke, F. Targeting the Type Three Secretion System in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* **37**, 734–749 (2016).

8.   Juan, C., Peña, C. & Oliver, A. Host and Pathogen Biomarkers for Severe Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infections. *J. Infect. Dis.* **215**, S44–S51 (2017).

9.   Engel, J. & Balachandran, P. Role of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type III effectors in disease. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **12**, 61–66 (2009).

10.   Hauser, A. R. The type III secretion system of Pseudomonas aeruginosa: infection by injection. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **7**, 654–665 (2009).

11.   Karlberg, T. *et al.* 14-3-3 proteins activate Pseudomonas exotoxins-S and -T by chaperoning a hydrophobic surface. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 3785 (2018).

12.   Zhang, A., Veesenmeyer, J. L. & Hauser, A. R. Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-Bisphosphate-Dependent Oligomerization of the Pseudomonas aeruginosa Cytotoxin ExoU. *Infect. Immun.* **86**, e00402-17 (2017).

13.   Deruelle, V. *et al.* The bacterial toxin ExoU requires a host trafficking chaperone for transportation and to induce necrosis. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 4024 (2021).

14.   Belyy, A. *et al.* Actin activates Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoY nucleotidyl cyclase toxin and ExoY-like effector domains from MARTX toxins. *Nat. Commun.* **7**, 13582 (2016).

15.   Feltman, H. *et al.* Prevalence of type III secretion genes in clinical and environmental isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Microbiology* **147**, 2659–2669 (2001).

16.   Ozer, E. A., Nnah, E., Didelot, X., Whitaker, R. J. & Hauser, A. R. The Population Structure of Pseudomonas aeruginosa Is Characterized by Genetic Isolation of exoU+ and exoS+ Lineages. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **11**, 1780–1796 (2019).

17.   Horna, G., Amaro, C., Palacios, A., Guerra, H. & Ruiz, J. High frequency of the exoU+/exoS+ genotype associated with multidrug-resistant "high-risk clones" of Pseudomonas aeruginosa clinical isolates from Peruvian hospitals. *Sci. Rep.* **9**, 10874 (2019).

18.   Song, Y. *et al.* Emergence of hypervirulent *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* pathotypically armed with co-expressed T3SS effectors ExoS and ExoU. *hLife* **1**, 44–56 (2023).

19.   Morales-Espinosa, R. *et al.* Fingerprint Analysis and Identification of Strains ST309 as a Potential High Risk Clone in a Pseudomonas aeruginosa Population Isolated from Children with Bacteremia in Mexico City. *Front. Microbiol.* **8**, (2017).

20.   Yahr, T. L., Vallis, A. J., Hancock, M. K., Barbieri, J. T. & Frank, D. W. ExoY, an

adenylate cyclase secreted by the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III system. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. **95**, 13899–13904 (1998).

21. Ochoa, C. D., Alexeyev, M., Pastukh, V., Balczon, R. & Stevens, T. Pseudomonas
aeruginosa Exotoxin Y Is a Promiscuous Cyclase That Increases Endothelial Tau
Phosphorylation and Permeability. *J. Biol. Chem.* **287**, 25407–25418 (2012).

22. Raoux-Barbot, D. *et al.* Differential regulation of actin-activated nucleotidyl cyclase
virulence factors by filamentous and globular actin. *PLoS One* **13**, e0206133 (2018).

23. Belyy, A., Merino, F., Mechold, U. & Raunser, S. Mechanism of actin-dependent
activation of nucleotidyl cyclase toxins from bacterial human pathogens. *Nat. Commun.* **12**,
6628 (2021).

24. Teixeira Nunes, M. *et al.* Functional and structural insights into the multi-step
activation and catalytic mechanism of bacterial ExoY nucleotidyl cyclase toxins bound to
actin-profilin. *PLoS Pathog.* **19**, e1011654 (2023).

25. Teixeira-Nunes, M. *et al.* Bacterial Nucleotidyl Cyclases Activated by Calmodulin or
Actin in Host Cells: Enzyme Specificities and Cytotoxicity Mechanisms Identified to Date. *Int.*
J. Mol. Sci. **23**, 6743 (2022).

26. Khanppnavar, B. & Datta, S. Crystal structure and substrate specificity of ExoY, a
unique T3SS mediated secreted nucleotidyl cyclase toxin from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*.
Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Gen. Subj. **1862**, 2090–2103 (2018).

27. Beckert, U. *et al.* ExoY from Pseudomonas aeruginosa is a nucleotidyl cyclase with
preference for cGMP and cUMP formation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **450**, 870–874
(2014).

28. Beste, K. Y. *et al.* Nucleotidyl Cyclase Activity of Particulate Guanylyl Cyclase A:
Comparison with Particulate Guanylyl Cyclases E and F, Soluble Guanylyl Cyclase and
Bacterial Adenylyl Cyclases Cyaa and Edema Factor. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e70223 (2013).

29. Schulert, G. S. *et al.* Secretion of the Toxin ExoU Is a Marker for Highly Virulent
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Isolates Obtained from Patients with Hospital-Acquired
Pneumonia. *J. Infect. Dis.* **188**, 1695–1706 (2003).

30. Silistre, H. *et al.* Prevalence of ExoY Activity in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Reference
Panel Strains and Impact on Cytotoxicity in Epithelial Cells. *Front. Microbiol.* **12**, 666097
(2021).

31. Wolfgang, M. C. *et al.* Conservation of genome content and virulence determinants
among clinical and environmental isolates of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
U. S. A. **100**, 8484–8489 (2003).

32. Vallis, A. J., Finck-Barbançon, V., Yahr, T. L. & Frank, D. W. Biological Effects of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa Type III-Secreted Proteins on CHO Cells. *Infect. Immun.* **67**, 2040–
2044 (1999).

33. Balczon, R. *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exotoxin Y-Mediated Tau
Hyperphosphorylation Impairs Microtubule Assembly in Pulmonary Microvascular
Endothelial Cells. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e74343 (2013).

34. Stevens, T. C. *et al.* The Pseudomonas aeruginosa exoenzyme Y impairs endothelial
cell proliferation and vascular repair following lung injury. *Am. J. Physiol. - Lung Cell. Mol.*
Physiol. **306**, L915–L924 (2014).

35. Morrow, K. A. *et al.* Heterogeneity of pulmonary endothelial cyclic nucleotide
response to Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoY infection. *Am. J. Physiol. - Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.*
309, L1199–L1207 (2015).

36. Morrow, K. A. *et al.* Pseudomonas aeruginosa exoenzymes U and Y induce a

transmissible endothelial proteinopathy. *Am. J. Physiol. - Lung Cell. Mol. Physiol.* **310**, L337–
L353 (2016).

37.   Sayner, S. L. *et al.* Paradoxical cAMP-Induced Lung Endothelial Hyperpermeability
Revealed by Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoY. *Circ. Res.* **95**, 196–203 (2004).

38.   Lee, V. T., Smith, R. S., Tümmler, B. & Lory, S. Activities of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Effectors Secreted by the Type III Secretion System In Vitro and during Infection. *Infect.*
Immun. **73**, 1695–1705 (2005).

39.   Ganter, M. T. *et al.* Role of Small GTPases and αvβ5 Integrin in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa–Induced Increase in Lung Endothelial Permeability. *Am. J. Respir. Cell Mol. Biol.*
40, 108–118 (2009).

40.   Huber, P., Bouillot, S., Elsen, S. & Attrée, I. Sequential inactivation of Rho GTPases
and Lim kinase by Pseudomonas aeruginosa toxins ExoS and ExoT leads to endothelial
monolayer breakdown. *Cell. Mol. Life Sci. CMLS* **71**, 1927–1941 (2013).

41.   Munder, A. *et al.* The Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoY phenotype of high-copy-number
recombinants is not detectable in natural isolates. *Open Biol.* **8**, 170250 (2018).

42.   Ichikawa, J. K. *et al.* Genome-wide analysis of host responses to the Pseudomonas
aeruginosa type III secretion system yields synergistic effects. *Cell. Microbiol.* **7**, 1635–1646
(2005).

43.   Bouillot, S., Attrée, I. & Huber, P. Pharmacological Activation of Rap1 Antagonizes the
Endothelial Barrier Disruption Induced by Exotoxins ExoS and ExoT of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. *Infect. Immun.* **83**, 1820–1829 (2015).

44.   Vance, R. E., Rietsch, A. & Mekalanos, J. J. Role of the Type III Secreted Exoenzymes S,
T, and Y in Systemic Spread of Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 In Vivo. *Infect. Immun.* **73**,
1706–1713 (2005).

45.   Armentrout, E. I., Kundracik, E. C. & Rietsch, A. Cell-type-specific hypertranslocation
of effectors by the Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III secretion system. *Mol. Microbiol.* **115**,
305–319 (2021).

46.   Cisz, M., Lee, P.-C. & Rietsch, A. ExoS Controls the Cell Contact-Mediated Switch to
Effector Secretion in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *J. Bacteriol.* **190**, 2726–2738 (2008).

47.   Pederson, K. J., Vallis, A. J., Aktories, K., Frank, D. W. & Barbieri, J. T. The amino-
terminal domain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoS disrupts actin filaments via small-
molecular-weight GTP-binding proteins. *Mol. Microbiol.* **32**, 393–401 (1999).

48.   Maresso, A. W., Baldwin, M. R. & Barbieri, J. T. Ezrin/Radixin/Moesin Proteins Are
High Affinity Targets for ADP-ribosylation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ExoS*. *J. Biol. Chem.*
279, 38402–38408 (2004).

49.   Kazmierczak, B. I. & Engel, J. N. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoT Acts In Vivo as a
GTPase-Activating Protein for RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42. *Infect. Immun.* **70**, 2198–2205 (2002).

50.   Garrity-Ryan, L. *et al.* The ADP Ribosyltransferase Domain of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa ExoT Contributes to Its Biological Activities. *Infect. Immun.* **72**, 546–558 (2004).

51.   Garrity-Ryan, L. *et al.* The Arginine Finger Domain of ExoT Contributes to Actin
Cytoskeleton Disruption and Inhibition of Internalization of Pseudomonas aeruginosa by
Epithelial Cells and Macrophages. *Infect. Immun.* **68**, 7100–7113 (2000).

52.   Goehring, U. M., Schmidt, G., Pederson, K. J., Aktories, K. & Barbieri, J. T. The N-
terminal domain of Pseudomonas aeruginosa exoenzyme S is a GTPase-activating protein for
Rho GTPases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 36369–36372 (1999).

53.   Deng, Q. & Barbieri, J. T. Modulation of host cell endocytosis by the type-III cytotoxin,
Pseudomonas ExoS. *Traffic Cph. Den.* **9**, 1948–1957 (2008).

54.   Würtele, M., Renault, L., Barbieri, J. T., Wittinghofer, A. & Wolf, E. Structure of the
ExoS GTPase activating domain. *FEBS Lett.* **491**, 26–29 (2001).
55.   Radke, J., Pederson, K. J. & Barbieri, J. T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa Exoenzyme S Is a
Biglutamic Acid ADP-Ribosyltransferase. *Infect. Immun.* **67**, 1508–1510 (1999).
56.   Wood, S. J., Goldufsky, J. W., Bello, D., Masood, S. & Shafikhani, S. H. Pseudomonas
aeruginosa ExoT Induces Mitochondrial Apoptosis in Target Host Cells in a Manner That
Depends on Its GTPase-activating Protein (GAP) Domain Activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **290**, 29063–
29073 (2015).
57.   Sundin, C., Hallberg, B. & Forsberg, A. ADP-ribosylation by exoenzyme T of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa induces an irreversible effect on the host cell cytoskeleton in vivo.
FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **234**, 87–91 (2004).
58.   Minns, M. S. *et al.* NLRP3 selectively drives IL-1β secretion by Pseudomonas
aeruginosa infected neutrophils and regulates corneal disease severity. *Nat. Commun.* **14**,
5832 (2023).
59.   Krall, R., Schmidt, G., Aktories, K. & Barbieri, J. T. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoT Is a
Rho GTPase-Activating Protein. *Infect. Immun.* **68**, 6066–6068 (2000).
60.   Ganesan, A. K., Frank, D. W., Misra, R. P., Schmidt, G. & Barbieri, J. T. *Pseudomonas*
aeruginosa Exoenzyme S ADP-ribosylates Ras at Multiple Sites*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 7332–
7337 (1998).
61.   Ganesan, A. K., Vincent, T. S., Olson, J. C. & Barbieri, J. T. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*
Exoenzyme S Disrupts Ras-mediated Signal Transduction by Inhibiting Guanine Nucleotide
Exchange Factor-catalyzed Nucleotide Exchange*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 21823–21829 (1999).
62.   Fraylick, J. E., Riese, M. J., Vincent, T. S., Barbieri, J. T. & Olson, J. C. ADP-Ribosylation
and Functional Effects of Pseudomonas Exoenzyme S on Cellular RalA. *Biochemistry* **41**,
9680–9687 (2002).
63.   Henriksson, M. L. *et al.* Exoenzyme S shows selective ADP-ribosylation and GTPase-
activating protein (GAP) activities towards small GTPases in vivo. *Biochem. J.* **367**, 617–628
(2002).
64.   Fraylick, J. E., Rucks, E. A., Greene, D. M., Vincent, T. S. & Olson, J. C. Eukaryotic Cell
Determination of ExoS ADP-Ribosyltransferase Substrate Specificity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res.*
Commun. **291**, 91–100 (2002).
65.   Riese, M. J., Wittinghofer, A. & Barbieri, J. T. ADP Ribosylation of Arg41 of Rap by
ExoS Inhibits the Ability of Rap To Interact with Its Guanine Nucleotide Exchange Factor,
C3G. *Biochemistry* **40**, 3289–3294 (2001).
66.   Sun, J. & Barbieri, J. T. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ExoT ADP-ribosylates CT10 Regulator
of Kinase (Crk) Proteins*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **278**, 32794–32800 (2003).
67.   Barbieri, J. T. & Sun, J. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoS and ExoT. in *Reviews of*
Physiology, Biochemistry and Pharmacology 79–92 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005).
doi:10.1007/s10254-004-0031-7.
68.   Ebenwaldner, C. *et al.* 14-3-3 Activated Bacterial Exotoxins AexT and ExoT Share Actin
and the SH2 Domains of CRK Proteins as Targets for ADP-Ribosylation. *Pathogens* **11**, 1497
(2022).
69.   Deng, Q., Sun, J. & Barbieri, J. T. Uncoupling Crk signal transduction by Pseudomonas
exoenzyme T. *J. Biol. Chem.* **280**, 35953–35960 (2005).
70.   Birge, R. B., Kalodimos, C., Inagaki, F. & Tanaka, S. Crk and CrkL adaptor proteins:
networks for physiological and pathological signaling. *Cell Commun. Signal. CCS* **7**, 13 (2009).
71.   Martinez-Quiles, N., Feuerbacher, L. A., Benito-León, M. & Hardwidge, P. R.

Contribution of Crk Adaptor Proteins to Host Cell and Bacteria Interactions. *BioMed Res. Int.*
2014, 372901 (2014).
72.   Feller, S. M., Knudsen, B. & Hanafusa, H. c-Abl kinase regulates the protein binding
activity of c-Crk. *EMBO J.* **13**, 2341–2351 (1994).
73.   Pielage, J. F., Powell, K. R., Kalman, D. & Engel, J. N. RNAi screen reveals an Abl
kinase-dependent host cell pathway involved in Pseudomonas aeruginosa internalization.
PLoS Pathog. **4**, e1000031 (2008).
74.   Shishido, T. *et al.* Crk family adaptor proteins trans-activate c-Abl kinase. *Genes Cells*
6, 431–440 (2001).
75.   Ren, R., Ye, Z. S. & Baltimore, D. Abl protein-tyrosine kinase selects the Crk adapter as
a substrate using SH3-binding sites. *Genes Dev.* **8**, 783–795 (1994).
76.   Abassi, Y. A. & Vuori, K. Tyrosine 221 in Crk regulates adhesion-dependent membrane
localization of Crk and Rac and activation of Rac signaling. *EMBO J.* **21**, 4571 (2002).
77.   Klemke, R. L. *et al.* CAS/Crk Coupling Serves as a "Molecular Switch" for Induction of
Cell Migration. *J. Cell Biol.* **140**, 961–972 (1998).
78.   Albert, M. L., Kim, J.-I. & Birge, R. B. αvβ5 integrin recruits the CrkII–Dock180–Rac1
complex for phagocytosis of apoptotic cells. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2**, 899–905 (2000).
79.   Nakashima, N. *et al.* The Functional Role of CrkII in Actin Cytoskeleton Organization
and Mitogenesis*. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**, 3001–3008 (1999).
80.   Matsuda, M. & Kurata, T. Emerging components of the Crk oncogene product: The
first identified adaptor protein. *Cell. Signal.* **8**, 335–340 (1996).
81.   Kiyokawa, E., Hashimoto, Y., Kurata, T., Sugimura, H. & Matsuda, M. Evidence That
DOCK180 Up-regulates Signals from the CrkII-p130Cas Complex *. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**, 24479–
24484 (1998).
82.   Birge, R. B., Fajardo, J. E., Mayer, B. J. & Hanafusa, H. Tyrosine-phosphorylated
epidermal growth factor receptor and cellular p130 provide high affinity binding substrates
to analyze Crk-phosphotyrosine-dependent interactions in vitro. *J. Biol. Chem.* **267**, 10588–
10595 (1992).
83.   Kain, K. H., Gooch, S. & Klemke, R. L. Cytoplasmic c-Abl provides a molecular
'Rheostat' controlling carcinoma cell survival and invasion. *Oncogene* **22**, 6071–6080 (2003).
84.   Holcomb, M., Rufini, A., Barilà, D. & Klemke, R. L. Deregulation of proteasome
function induces Abl-mediated cell death by uncoupling p130CAS and c-CrkII. *J. Biol. Chem.*
281, 2430–2440 (2006).
85.   Takino, T. *et al.* Tyrosine phosphorylation of the CrkII adaptor protein modulates cell
migration. *J. Cell Sci.* **116**, 3145–3155 (2003).
86.   Chodniewicz, D. & Klemke, R. L. Regulation of integrin-mediated cellular responses
through assembly of a CAS/Crk scaffold. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta BBA - Mol. Cell Res.* **1692**,
63–76 (2004).
87.   Mohamed, M. F. *et al.* CrkII/Abl phosphorylation cascade is critical for NLRC4
inflammasome activity and is blocked by Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoT. *Nat. Commun.* **13**,
1295 (2022).
88.   Wood, S., Goldufsky, J. & Shafikhani, S. H. Pseudomonas aeruginosa ExoT Induces
Atypical Anoikis Apoptosis in Target Host Cells by Transforming Crk Adaptor Protein into a
Cytotoxin. *PLoS Pathog.* **11**, e1004934 (2015).
89.   Shafikhani, S. H., Morales, C. & Engel, J. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa type III
secreted toxin ExoT is necessary and sufficient to induce apoptosis in epithelial cells. *Cell.*
Microbiol. **10**, 994–1007 (2008).

90. Ros, O. *et al.* SponGee: A Genetic Tool for Subcellular and Cell-Specific cGMP
Manipulation. *Cell Rep.* **27**, 4003-4012.e6 (2019).
91. Liu, F. & Chernoff, J. Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B interacts with and is tyrosine
phosphorylated by the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Biochem. J.* **327 (Pt 1)**, 139–145
(1997).
92. Hernández-Sotomayor, S. M., Arteaga, C. L., Soler, C. & Carpenter, G. Epidermal
growth factor stimulates substrate-selective protein-tyrosine-phosphatase activity. *Proc.*
Natl. Acad. Sci. **90**, 7691–7695 (1993).
93. Lan, T. *et al.* Increased endogenous PKG I activity attenuates EGF-induced
proliferation and migration of epithelial ovarian cancer via the MAPK/ERK pathway. *Cell*
Death Dis. **14**, 1–12 (2023).
94. Lan, T. *et al.* Type II cGMP-dependent protein kinase phosphorylates EGFR at
threonine 669 and thereby inhibits its activation. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **518**, 14–
18 (2019).
95. Jiang, L. *et al.* PKG II Inhibits EGF/EGFR-Induced Migration of Gastric Cancer Cells.
PLOS ONE **8**, e61674 (2013).
96. Wu, Y. *et al.* PKG II secreted via the classical endoplasmic reticulum–Golgi apparatus
secretory pathway blocks the activation of EGFR through phosporalting its threonine 406
and has an anti-tumor effect. *Front. Biosci.-Landmark* **27**, 53 (2022).
97. Hmelo, L. R. *et al.* Precision-engineering the Pseudomonas aeruginosa genome with
two-step allelic exchange. *Nat. Protoc.* **10**, 1820–1841 (2015).
98. Voegele, A. *et al.* A High-Affinity Calmodulin-Binding Site in the CyaA Toxin
Translocation Domain is Essential for Invasion of Eukaryotic Cells. *Adv. Sci.* **8**, 2003630
(2021).

J

Variants	Mutations	cNMP activity of ExoY variants			
		cGMP	cAMP	cUMP	cCMP
ExoY		WT	WT	WT	WT
ExoY^mut0	K81M, K88I	- - - -	- - -	- - -	- -
ExoY^mut1	N264V, F289V, S292G, D293A	- - -	WT	-	WT
ExoY^mut2	F83L, S292G	WT	+	WT	WT
ExoY^mut3	F83L, E258D, S292G	- -	+	WT	WT

Figure 1: Modification of ExoY effector substrate specificity.

A. Structure of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* ExoY bound to F-actin and 3'-deoxy GTP (3'-dGTP) as solved by *Belyy* et *al.,*[1] with a detailed view of its nucleotide-binding pocket. Modified

residues to change ExoY's substrate specificity are highlighted. **B.** Analysis of guanylate cyclase (GC) activity, **C.** adenylate cyclase (AC), **D.** uridylyl cyclase and **E.** cytidylyl cyclase activities of purified ExoY proteins. Statistical differences were established by one way ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test with ExoY as a control group (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant). **F.** Luminescence activity of the cGMP- or **G.** cAMP-GloSensor reporter cell line. Stable cell lines were co-transfected with an expression plasmid encoding an ExoY variant and the Tet-On 3G transactivator expression plasmid. Cells were incubated with 1 μM of Doxycycline for 3 h prior recording luminescence intensity. The luminescence maximum was extracted and plotted. **H.** Quantification of cGMP or **I.** cAMP production in NCI-H292 cells by ELISA after 5h or 3h of infection respectively, at MOI 20 with PAO1FΔS strains. Statistical differences were established by one way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant). **J.** Summary table of the activity of each ExoY variant to produce different cNMPs. A scale corresponding to the magnitude of the fold change (FC) obtained with purified ExoY proteins (cNMP production by ExoY variants compared to ExoY WT) is represented as follows: WT indicates that cNMP production is similar to that of ExoY WT ($10^{-1}<FC<10^{1}$). -/+ means that NC activity is decreased/increased compared to ExoY WT with "-" for a FC magnitude between 10-100, "- - " for a FC magnitude between 100-1,000; " - - -" between 1,000-10,000, " - - - -" if greater than 10,000, and the same with + for increased NC activity. The results of plasmid expressions in luminescent reporter cells and ELISA assays after infection agree with the summary table.

Figure 2: Production of cGMP by ExoY alters ExoT cytotoxicity but not ExoS cytotoxicity in NCI-H292 cells.

A. Cell retraction over time in infected NCI-H292 cells. Cells were infected with PAO1FΔS strains injecting ExoY with or without ExoT. Intoxication was quantified every 15 min by time-lapse microscopy. Error bars indicate SD. **B.** Cytotoxicity level of PAO1FΔS strains. The area under the curve (AUC) for each infection curve was plotted. Lower AUCs correspond to higher cytotoxicity. Each dot represents a separate cell retraction assay. The statistical difference was calculated with an unpaired two-tailed t-test *p < 0.05. **C.** ExoT-induced cell retraction over time in NCI-H292 cells. Cytotoxicity assay was similar to **A.**, but cells were infected with PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoT with an ExoY variant. **D.** Cytotoxicity level of ExoT-expressing

strains. Representation is similar to **B.** but using the infection assays with PAO1FΔS strains. **E.** ExoS-induced cell retraction over time on NCI-H292 cells. Cytotoxicity assay was similar to **A.** and **C.**, but cells were infected with PAO1FΔT strains co-injecting ExoS with an ExoY variant. **F.** Cytotoxicity level of ExoS-expressing strains. Representation is similar to **B.** and **D.** but using the infection assays with PAO1FΔT strains. Statistical differences were established by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test (***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant). **G.** Comparative table of intracellular cGMP production after infection of NCI-H292 cells with PAO1FΔS or PAO1FΔT strains. For both conditions, cells were infected for 5h at MOI 20. Intracellular cGMP production was quantified using ELISA kit. Values obtained for PAO1FΔS strains have been extracted from Figure 1H. The mean cGMP accumulation of each sample is shown, together with the SD.

Figure 3: The antagonistic effect of ExoY cGMP production on ExoT cytotoxicity depends on ExoT's ADPRT activity in NCI-H292 cells.

A. Substitutions performed on *exoT* and *exoS* genes to inactivate their GAP and/or ADPRT activity. **B.** Quantification of cell retraction induced by ExoT toxin or its derivatives. Cells were infected at MOI 50 for 8h. Values indicate the percentage of total cell surface after infection

compared with the surface area before infection (T 0h set to 100%). Each data point represents the average value of 16 pictures. **C.** Quantification of cell retraction induced by ExoS toxin or its derivatives. Representation is similar to **B.**, but cells were infected at MOI 20. **D.** Representation of ExoT, ExoS and modified ExoT toxins with their respective GAP and ADPRT domains. In the modified ExoT toxin (chimeric toxin), the ADPRT domain of ExoT has been replaced by that of ExoS to form the ExoT$^{\text{ADPRT-ExoS}}$ toxin. **E.** Infection assay of NCI-H292 cells with PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoT WT or ExoT$^{\text{ADPRT-ExoS}}$ with different ExoY variants (ExoY WT, ExoY$^{\text{mut0}}$ and ExoY$^{\text{mut1}}$). Error bars indicate SD. **F.** Cytotoxicity level of strains expressing ExoT WT or modified toxin. The area under the curve (AUC) for each infection curve was plotted on a bar graph. Each dot represents a separate cell retraction assay. **G.** Luminescence activity of the cGMP-GloSensor reporter cell line. Cells were infected for 2h at MOI 20 with PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoY with ExoT WT or its inactive mutants prior recording luminescence intensity. The luminescence maximum was extracted and plotted. **H.** Similar to **G.** but cells were infected with PAO1FΔT strains co-injecting ExoY with ExoS WT or its inactive mutants. Statistical differences were established by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant).

Figure 4: ExoY cGMP production limits ExoT-induced CrkII dephosphorylation in NCI-H292 cells.

A. Representation of CrkI, CrkII and CrkL proteins with their respective Src homology 2 and 3 domains (SH2 and SH3 respectively). The phosphorylation site on CrkII (Y221) is indicated. **B.** Infection profile of NCI-H292 cells and Crk-deficient or complemented cells. Cell retractions were recorded after 12 hours of infection and the AUC of each cell retraction curve was plotted

on the bar chart. For each cell line, the dots were connected to represent the infection profile. Values correspond to averages of five experiments. Error bars indicate SD. **C.** Person correlation between infection profiles of each cell lines. **D.** Western blot (WB) analysis showing levels of CrkI, CrkII and phosphorylated CrkII (Y221) in infected NCI-H292 cells. Cells were infected with the strains for 4h. PAO1FΔST and PAO1FΔSTY strains, injecting only ExoY or no T3SS effector respectively, were also used as controls. **E.** WB analysis of phosphorylated CrkII protein (at Y221) over time in infected cells. NCI-H292 cells were infected for the indicated time with strains injecting or not ExoT together with an ExoY variant. **F.** CrkII dephosphorylation observed between 2- and 3-hours post-infection. Phospho-CrkII (Y221, p-CrkII) levels were normalized to actin levels (loading control) and p-CrkII before infection (Time 0h) was set to 100% to determine the percentage of p-CrkII remaining at 2 and 3h post infection. Statistical differences were established by one way ANOVA, followed by Fisher's LSD test (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant). **G.** WB analysis of phosphorylated CrkII protein over time in infected NCI-H292 cells supplemented or not with compounds that stimulate cGMP production. SpermineNONOate (50 µM) or 8-Br-cGMP (500 µM) were added in infection medium. **H.** p-CrkII levels over time after infection with PAO1FΔS-ExoY^{mut0} strain. The pCrkII level for each infection time was normalized by actin and compared to the uninfected condition (set at 100%). Statistical differences were established by two ways ANOVA, followed by Dunnett's multiple comparison test with no treatment as the control group (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, n.s., non-significant)

Figure 5: Proposed model of the interplay between ExoY cGMP production and ExoT ADPRT activity in NCI-H292 cells.

Prior to infection, CrkII is phosphorylated by Abl to localize to focal adhesion sites where the adaptor protein is dephosphorylated by a still unknown phosphatase, potentially PTP1B, to interact with tyrosine-phosphorylated p130cas and other partners.

Upon delivery of ExoT and ExoY to the cell cytoplasm by T3SS, ExoY binds to F-actin to become activated and produce cNMPs, primarily cGMP. At the same time, the ADPRT domain of ExoT is activated by the interaction of ExoT with cytoplasmic 14-3-3 proteins. Active ExoT ADP-ribosylates CrkII, which prevents its interaction with Abl (red line) and thus the phosphorylation of CrkII by Abl. This in turn prevents the localization of CrkII to the membrane. Whether the prevention of CrkII phosphorylation by ExoT is sufficient to explain the rapid dephosphorylation of the protein is currently unknown. In addition, the ADPRT domain of ExoT could indirectly lead to the dephosphorylation of CrkII by a mechanism involving the activation of PTPB1 or another phosphatase (dotted red arrow). In either case, dephosphorylation and ADP-ribosylation of CrkII prevent the interaction between CrkII and downstream signaling molecules, thereby disrupting focal adhesion sites, and eventually leading to a programmed apoptotic cell death called anoikis, which involves the loss of interaction with the ECM. However, the production of cGMP by ExoY limits the dephosphorylation of CrkII observed in the presence of ExoT, as suggested by the WB analysis of p-CrkII. This limitation could be due to inhibition of ADPRT activity on the Abl/CrkII interaction and/or on the dephosphorylation by the unknown enzyme (dotted green arrows). Therefore, cGMP production by ExoY attenuates ExoT cytotoxicity and thus regulates *P. aeruginosa* virulence.

Abl: Abelson's tyrosine-protein kinase, PTP1B: protein-tyrosine phosphatase 1B, T3SS: Type 3 Secretion System, cNMPs: cyclic nucleotide monophosphates, ADPRT: ADP-ribosyltransferase domain, p-CrkII: phosphorylated CrkII, ECM: extracellular matrix.

Figure 6: Infection of HeLa cells with PAO1FΔS strains.
A. ExoT-induced cell retraction over time in HeLa cells. The results are presented as the mean percentage (±SD) of the total cell area in comparison to the area before infection. **B.** Cytotoxicity level of ExoT-expressing strains on HeLa cells. The area under the curve (AUC) for each infection curve was plotted and each dot represents a separate cell retraction assay. **C.** Western blot of injected T3SS effectors after infection of HeLa cells with PAO1FΔS strains. Cells were infected for 4h. The ExoY^{mut0} variant in the PAO1FΔS strain has a higher molecular weight because it contains a Flag-His tag at its C-terminus. **D.** Quantification of total cell area of infected HeLa cells. Cells were infected at MOI 50 with PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoY WT with ExoT WT or its inactive mutants. Values indicate the percentage of total cell surface after 10h of infection as compared to the surface before infection (T 0h set to 100%). Each point represents the average value of 17 pictures. Statistical differences were established by one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant).

Figure 7: Infection of A549 cells with PAO1FΔS strains.

A. ExoT-induced cell retraction over time on A549 cells. Cells were infected at MOI of 10 with PAO1FΔS strains. The results are presented as the mean percentage (±SD) of the total cell area in comparison to the area before infection. **B.** Cytotoxicity level of ExoT-expressing strains on A549 cells. The area under the curve (AUC) for each infection curve shown in **A.** was calculated and plotted. Each dot represents a separate cell retraction assay. **C.** Western blot (WB) showing injected T3SS effectors in A549 cells. Cells were infected with PAO1FΔS strains at MOI 10 for 4h. The ExoY^{mut0} variant in the PAO1FΔS strain has a higher molecular weight because it

contains a Flag-His tag at its C-terminus. (*) represents a non-specific band corresponding to a cellular protein that reacts with our ExoY antibody. **D.** Comparative table of intracellular cGMP and cAMP production after infection of NCI-H292 and A549 cells with PAO1FΔS strains. NCI-H292 and A549 cells were infected for 5h at MOI 20 and for 4h at MOI 10, respectively. Intracellular cGMP and cAMP productions were quantified using ELISA kits. Values obtained for NCH-H292 cells have been extracted from Figure 1H and 1I. The mean cGMP and cAMP accumulation of each sample is shown, together with the standard deviation. **E.** Quantification of the total cell area of infected A549 cell. Cells were infected at MOI 10 with PAO1FΔS strains co-injecting ExoY WT with ExoT WT or its inactive mutants. Values indicate the percentage of total cell surface after 8h of infection as compared to the surface before infection (T 0h set to 100%). Each point represents the average value of 7 pictures. Statistical differences were established by one way ANOVA, followed by Tukey's test (*p = 0.033, **p = 0.002, ***p < 0.001, n.s., non-significant). **F.** WB analysis comparing the levels of Crk proteins in NCI-H292 cells, A549 cells and HeLa cells.