LuxS/AI-2 signaling in *Salmonella* Typhimurium regulates *phoP/phoQ* to

protect against acidic pH for enhanced survival in macrophages

Anmol Singh[a], Abhilash Vijay Nair[a#], Shashanka Aroli[a#], Suman Das[b],

Subhrajit Karmakar[b], Santanu Mukherjee[b], Umesh Varshney[a], Dipshikha

Chakravortty[a,c]*

[a]Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Division of Biological

Sciences, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru, India

[b]Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bengaluru,

India

[c]Adjunct Faculty, School of Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education

and Research, Thiruvananthapuram, India

*Corresponding author: dipa@iisc.ac.in,

[#]These authors contributed equally.

Tel: 0091 80 2293 2842,

Fax: 0091 80 2360 2697

Abstract

Salmonella quorum sensing involves producing and detecting Autoinducer-2 (AI-2) signaling molecules. Our research reveals that *Salmonella* Typhimurium enhances AI-2 biosynthesis and transport under acidic conditions, aiding in environmental adaptation and facilitating pathogenesis in macrophages. AI-2 signaling regulates the pH-sensing two-component system genes, *phoP/phoQ*, ensuring cytosolic pH homeostasis, survival, and acid tolerance. It also involves regulating the Lysine/Cadaverine-mediated acid tolerance response and maintaining bacterial cytosolic pH. In this study, we show that LsrR protein, via its Y25 and R43 amino acids, apart from the *lsr* promoter, binds to the *phoP* promoter to negatively modulate the expression of *phoP*. This signaling ameliorates intracellular survival by modulating *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island-2 (SPI-2) regulators (*ssrA/ssrB*) and SPI-2 effector expression via PhoP. Mouse models demonstrate that AI-2 signaling is essential for colonizing primary and secondary infection sites, showcasing its critical role in pathogenesis and low pH survival mechanisms in *Salmonella*.

Keywords: Autoinducer-2, acidic pH, macrophage, PhoP/PhoQ, *Salmonella* pathogenicity island-2

Introduction

Salmonella is considered a major food-borne pathogen worldwide (1) which gains access to the host through contaminated food and water. The bacteria stealthily survive the acidic pH of the stomach and the hostile environment of the host macrophages. Within the host cells, *Salmonella* resides in the *Salmonella*-containing vacuole (SCV), a membrane-bound compartment that bestows with an acidic niche and utilizes the SPI-2 encoded genes for its intracellular proliferation (2-4).

The community behaviour of bacteria, known as quorum sensing, protects them from various stress factors such as exposure to ultraviolet light, acids, detergents, or antimicrobial agents (5, 6). Also, AI-2 signaling is linked to bacterial survival and pathogenesis, as in *Lactobacillus sp.,* where AI-2 helps in survival under acidic conditions (7) (8). While, *Salmonella* recognizes a chemically distinct quorum sensing signal called autoinducer 2 (AI-2), synthesized by the enzyme LuxS (9) which it maximally produces during its exponential growth phase(10). As bacterial quorum increases, extracellular AI-2 accumulates and, upon reaching a threshold, is sensed by LsrB protein in *Salmonella*. Then AI-2 is transported into the cytosol by an ABC transporter (LsrA, LsrB, LsrC, and LsrD) encoded by the *lsr* operon and is phosphorylated by the LsrK kinase. This phosphorylated AI-2 binds to the

LsrR repressor protein, relieving LsrR's repression on the *lsr* operon and enabling further AI-2 import (11) (12).

The LuxS/AI-2 signaling regulates the expression of genes related to oxidative stress responses such as *sodA*, *sodCI*, and *sodCII* , which play an essential role in survival of *Salmonella* within macrophages (13). Further, the inactivation of LsrR by AI-2 is essential for SPI-1 transcription and flagella expression in *Salmonella* (14) (15). However, the molecular basis of this remains unclear, not only because of the diverse and distinct survival strategies of *Salmonella* but also due to the limited understanding of the AI-2 regulatory mechanism and its role in enhancing pathogenesis, beyond the *lsr* operon.

Counteracting and conquering the acidic pH of the stomach and acidic intravacuolar environment is an indispensable survival strategy for *Salmonella*. We contemplated that, the pH sensing and tolerance responses might be controlled by the interbacterial communication and signaling systems. Here, we show that LuxS/AI-2 signaling regulates a two-component system *phoP/phoQ* to activate the acid tolerance system in *Salmonella* and tune the SPI-2 encoded genes, enhancing its survival in *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. We found that LsrR, the regulator of LuxS/AI-2

signaling, binds to the *phoP/phoQ* promoter via its Y25 and R43 amino acid

residues, apart from its known regulation of the *lsr* operon.

Results

LuxS/AI-2 quorum sensing is required by *Salmonella* Typhimurium to

survive in the macrophages.

We first investigated the AI-2 levels at different growth phases from STM WT

grown at 37℃ in LB media. Consistent with previous studies, AI-2 dependent

light production by *V. harveyi* BB-170 (which produces luminescence

responses to AI-2) is maximum at the mid-log phase and gradually reduces

till the late stationary phase **(Fig.1A, Extended Data Fig.1A)** (15). This

gradual reduction of AI-2 may be due to the intracellular accumulation of AI-

2 in *Salmonella*. Since the LuxS/AI-2 system is a well-researched class of

quorum sensing, we were curious to know how *Salmonella* Typhimurium

tunes the expression of the *lsr* operon and AI-2 production genes and

observed that the *lsr* operon genes(*lsrB, lsrK, lsrR*) are upregulated during

the mid-log phase to the early stationary phase, while the *luxS* expression

was unchanged throughout the growth phases *in vitro* **(Fig.1 B, C)**.

The deletion of *luxS* and *lsr* operon genes (*lsrK, lsrB, lsrR*) in STM did not

affect the *in vitro* growth in LB broth and minimal media. **(Extended Data**

Fig.1B,C). Next, we observed that in comparison to the STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK* displayed increased phagocytosis in RAW 264.7 macrophages **(Fig.1D)**. At the same time, STM *ΔlsrR* behaved as STM WT. Interestingly, quorum-sensing mutants STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* showed a decreased ability to proliferate in RAW264.7 cells **(Fig .1E)**. Immunofluorescence study validated the compromised proliferation of the mutants **(Extended Data Fig. 1E,F)**.

Further, we noted that all the *lsr* and the *luxS* genes showed a 1.5–2 fold upregulation post 6 h of infection into RAW 264.7 macrophages till 16 h **(Fig.1F,G**). These results indicate that *Salmonella* Typhimurium uses LuxS/AI-2 signaling for intracellular survival in the macrophages. We corroborated our results in primary macrophages derived from the peritoneal lavage of C57BL/6J mice. Similarly, the mutants *luxS, lsrB,* and *lsrK* exhibited an increased uptake by macrophages, while their proliferation was reduced in primary macrophages **(Fig.1H,I)**. Furthermore, we checked AI-2 activity upon STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, and STM *ΔlsrB* infection into RAW 264.7 cells and observed the AI-2 activity till 16h post-infection **(Extended Data Fig.1D)**. These findings imply that *Salmonella* Typhimurium requires LuxS/AI-2 signaling to survive in the hostile environment of macrophages.

STM Δ*luxS* acquires AI-2 from STM WT during its *in vitro* growth but may

not within its intravacuolar niche

To find out whether STM Δ*luxS* can use AI-2 molecules from STM WT; we co-infected RAW 264.7 macrophages with STM WT and STM Δ*luxS* (1:1 ratio). We observed that STM WT outcompetes STM Δ*luxS* when both strains infect together **(Fig.2A)**. However, when STM WT and STM Δ*luxS* were cocultured in LB media prior to infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages, STM WT and STM Δ*luxS* similarly proliferated in the macrophages **(Fig.2B)**. To figure out whether STM Δ*luxS* can utilize AI-2 molecule produced by STM WT during *in vitro* conditions, we treated STM Δ*luxS* with the STM WT spent media and exogenous DPD molecule. Upon infection into RAW 264.7 macrophages with these treated strains, we found that STM Δ*luxS* can use AI-2 and synthetic DPD molecules under *in vitro* conditions, and STM Δ*luxS* survival in the intracellular environment of RAW 264.7 macrophages is recovered similarly to STM WT **(Fig. 2C,D, Extended Data Fig. 2A,B,C,D).** To further validate, we used a commercially available inhibitor (Z)-4- bromo-5-(bromomethyllene)-3-methylfran-2(5H) (BF-8) of LuxS/AI-2 signaling(16) (17)at different concentrations with STM WT and observed that BF-8 did not affect STM WT growth *in vitro*, while it attenuated its survival in RAW 264.7 macrophages **(Extended Data Fig.2E, Fig. 2E, F)**. We also confirmed the

light production and inhibition upon synthetic DPD and the inhibitor BF-8

treatments, respectively, by autoinducer assay **(Extended Data Fig. 2F,G).**

Also, results upon infection into peritoneal macrophages suggested that

exogenous DPD enhanced STM Δ*luxS* survival while BF-8 attenuated STM

WT proliferation **(Extended Data Fig.2H,I).** These findings imply that

Salmonella Typhimurium requires LuxS/AI-2 signaling to survive in

macrophages, and signaling inhibition attenuates the infection.

The loss of a functional AI-2 or its receptor-mediated sensing attenuates

***Salmonella* survival in acidic pH.**

Quorum sensing pathways monitor changes in the density of the bacterial

population and control the expression of genes involved in critical cellular

processes, such as survival and pathogenicity(18). The mechanisms

underlying bacterial quorum and pH sensing have been thoroughly

investigated, primarily as two distinct and unconnected gene regulatory

systems. Our study shows that environmental acidification is the critical

physiological signal that upregulates AI-2 production by *Salmonella*

(Fig.2G). Hence, we hypothesize that LuxS/AI-2 signaling coordinates with

the pH sensing system of *Salmonella* to regulate survival in an acidic

environment. First, we assessed the *luxS* and *lsr* operon gene expression in

F-media (pH 5 mimics the acidic vacuolar condition) and found that the

mRNA expression of *luxS* is 1.5-fold increased at the mid-log phase to the late-log phase **(Extended Data Fig.3A),** and *lsrB, lsrK* and *lsrR* mRNA expression were similarly upregulated at the mid-log phase during their *in vitro* growth in the acidic F-media **(Extended Data Fig.3B)**. Moreover, we observed that STM stably produces AI-2 even during its growth in F-media at pH 5 **(Fig.2G).** Our result showed that the light production was higher at the mid-log phase to the late-log phase in the case of STM WT spent media, while STM *ΔluxS* showed no light production. As expected, in the case of STM *ΔlsrB* spent media, luminescence production continued because there was no accumulation of AI-2 inside the STM *ΔlsrB*.

To determine whether communication between *Salmonella* by AI-2 regulates their survival in acidic environments, we performed an acid survival assay with a pH range of 3 to 8 and noted that STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK*, and STM *ΔlsrR* survive similarly at pH 6 to pH 8 **(Extended Data Fig. 3C)**. However, at pH 3, pH 4, and pH 5, STM WT survives significantly better than STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB* and STM *ΔlsrK*. **(Fig. 2 H-J, Extended Data Fig.3 D-G)**. Here, we can conclude that LuxS/AI-2 signaling helps *Salmonella* to communicate and regulate survival strategies in acidic environments under *in vitro* conditions.

LuxS/AI-2 system tunes *phoP/phoQ* expression, thereby assisting in pH sensing and adaptation in *Salmonella*

Jesudhasan et al.,2010 determined that there is a differential gene expression between the STM WT and STM Δ*luxS* (19) pertaining to virulence genes, flagellar genes, and motility genes. A well-known two-component system (TCS) known as Phop/PhoQ is essential in mediating the acid tolerance response in several Gram-negative bacteria, including *Salmonella* (20) (21). Thus, we conjectured that LuxS/AI-2 signaling modulates the *phoP/phoQ* gene expression and thereby aids in the survival of *Salmonella* under an acidic environment. The LuxS/AI-2 signaling cascade involves the accumulation of the exogenous AI-2(DPD molecules) within the bacterial cytosol and also phosphorylation by the LsrK kinase enzyme **(Fig.3 A)**. The intriguing question is whether this phosphorylation of AI-2 is essential to regulate the expression of the downstream gene targets apart from the *lsr* operon. To answer this, we assessed the mRNA expression of the *phoP* gene in STM WT, STM Δ*luxS*, STM Δ*lsrB*, and STM Δ*lsrK* during the growth in *in vitro* LB media. We observed that *phoP* expression was downregulated in STM Δ*luxS*, STM Δ*lsrB*, and STM Δ*lsrK* compared to STM WT **(Fig. 3 B, Extended Data Fig. 4 A)**. Interestingly, this was rescued when *STM Δluxs* was grown in STM WT spent media**(Fig. 3C)**. We further validated the LuxS/AI-2 mediated

phoP gene regulation in F- media and found similar observation **(Extended**

Data Fig. 4 B, D). Next, upon infection of RAW 264.7 macrophages with STM

ΔluxS, STM *ΔlsrB,* and STM *ΔlsrK,* the mRNA expression of *phoP* and *phoQ*

genes were down-regulated compared to STM WT **(Fig. 4 D, E, Extended**

Data Fig. 4 C). Considering these findings, we conclude that AI-2 sensing

and the signaling cascade orchestrate the *phoP/phoQ* expression in

Salmonella Typhimurium within macrophages.

We further hypothesized that this AI-2 signaling might also control the acid

tolerance system in *Salmonella*. In comparison to STM *ΔluxS,* STM *ΔlsrB,*

and STM *ΔlsrK,* pH 5 adapted cultures of STM WT and STM *ΔlsrR* were more

resilient and showed better survival upon exposure to pH 3 **(Fig. 3 F)**. Hence,

prior adaptation to pH 5 potentiates the acid tolerance response only in STM

WT and STM *ΔlsrR.* As the STM *ΔluxS,* STM *ΔlsrB,* and STM *ΔlsrK* exhibit

diminished ability to mount an acid-tolerance response, we determined the

intracellular pH of all the strains. Upon determination of the intracellular pH

of *Salmonella* by using a pH-sensitive pHuji plasmid, we observed that all

the *Salmonella* strains maintained their cytosolic pH in the neutral LB media

(pH 7). However, when grown in acidic F-media (pH 5), STM WT and STM

ΔlsrR retain their cytosolic pH within the near-neutral range with a pH of 6.2

and 6.1, respectively while STM *ΔluxS,* STM *ΔlsrB,* and STM *ΔlsrK* are unable

to sustain their intracellular pH in the neutral range and drops to pH 5.1, 5.2,

and 5.0, respectively **(Fig. 3G, Extended Data Fig. 4 E-I)**. Simultaneously,

upon infection of all these mutant strains in RAW 264.7 macrophages, STM

WT and STM *ΔlsrR* maintained their cytosolic pH near 6.4 (±0.2). At the same

time, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* maintained cytosolic pH around

5.6, 5.4, and 5.2 (±0.2) **(Fig.3 G)**.

The cadC/BA system regulated by the PhoP/PhoQ two-component system

functions to increase the pH both inside and outside, thereby promoting

bacterial survival in mildly acidic environments and aiding in pH

homeostasis (22). CadB encodes a lysine/cadaverine antiporter, CadC

encodes a transcriptional regulator, and CadA encodes a lysine

decarboxylase(23). Our mRNA expression study indicates that *cadC*, *cadB*,

and *cadA* expression in STM Δ*phoP* is diminished upon infection in RAW

264.7 macrophages compared to STM WT **(Extended Data Fig.5A-C)**. Thus,

we have quantified the cadaverine/ lysine ratio in response to acidic pH, and

noted that the cadaverine/lysine ratio was low in acidic pH 5 in STM *ΔluxS*,

STM *ΔlsrB,* and STM *ΔlsrK* compared to STM WT **(Fig.3H).** CadA, lysine

decarboxylase uses H$^+$ and lysine to synthesize cadaverine and export

cadaverine out of cells to maintain the bacterial cytosolic pH(22). Moreover,

we observed that *cadA, cadB,* and *cadC* gene expression was

downregulated at 2h, 6h, and 16h post-infection into RAW 264.7 cells in the

case of the mutants compared to STM WT **(Fig.3J,K,L).** Together, these

results conclude that LuxS/AI-2 signaling regulates ATR and maintains the

cytosolic pH of *Salmonella* via regulating *cadC/AB* operon.

LuxS/AI-2 signaling regulates *phoP/phoQ* expression through LsrR

interaction with the *phoP* promoter in *Salmonella*

We explored whether LsrR, the downstream regulator of LuxS/AI-2 signaling

pathway, could directly interact with the *phoP* promoter to influence its

activity. AlphaFold3 structural models revealed that LsrR interacts with

both the *phoP* and *lsr* promoters (24). The interactions were mediated by

residues Y25, T31, Q32, S33, R43, L44, K45, S47, and R48, all within a

distance of <5 Å and a predicted aligned error (PAE) of <14 across multiple

replicates **(Fig.4A,B Extended Data Fig.6A,B)**. These residues are located in

the N-terminal domain, spanning positions 25-48, between alpha helices 1,

2, and 3. Notably, Y25, T31, and R43 contributed the most significant

interactions, with R43 from helix 3 displaying the highest number of contact

points(25). A covariance analysis of the multiple sequence alignment

revealed that R43 most frequently co-occurs with Y25, an interacting

residue located in helix 1, with the highest probability among all analyzed

residues (26). Given the strong interactions of R43 and Y25 with the *lsr* and

phoP promoters, we generated Y25A, R43A, and Y25A/R43A mutants and used AlphaFold3 to predict structural impacts. The AlphaFold structures indicated that the mutations severely disrupted promoter interactions, with PAE values exceeding 18 and pLDDT scores for all the DNA-contacting residues dropping below 30. Additionally, we performed NPT ensemble molecular dynamics simulations for the mutant protein-DNA complex, which revealed a significant weakening of interactions. We validated our findings *in vitro* through electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) using purified wild-type LsrR and its Y25A, R43A, and Y25A/R43A mutants to assess their binding to HPLC-purified *lsr* and *phoP* promoters **(Fig.4D,4E, Extended Data Fig.6C,D)**. WT LsrR bound both promoters, Y25A showed reduced binding, R43A further reduced it, and the double mutant lost nearly all binding at 200 mM NaCl **(Extended Data Fig.7A,B)**. A similar result was observed for the interaction of LsrR with a single-stranded promoter sequence **(Extended Data Fig.7D,E,F,G),** and random DNA sequence did not show any interaction (**Extended Data Fig.7E**). To assess regulatory effects during infection, we generate a luxS/lsrR double knockout STM and complemented with *lsrR* and mutant *lsrR*. While the double knockout strain proliferated similarly to WT STM, *lsrR* complementation reduced proliferation. The Y25A/R43A mutant restored survival to knockout levels

(Fig.4F,G), indicating LsrR's binding to *lsr* and *phoP* promoters acts as a

negative regulator of *Salmonella* pathogenicity.

AI-2 orchestrates the *Salmonella* Pathogenicity Island-2 gene

expression by sensing low pH

PhoP has been shown to control the two-component system SsrA/SsrB at

the transcription level, which are the master regulators of the SPI-2 genes

(27). We also validated that *ssrA* and *ssrB* gene expression were

downregulated in STM Δ*phoP* upon infection into RAW 264.7 cells **(Extended**

Data Fig.8A,B). Thus, we hypothesized that LuxS/AI-2 signaling might

control the two-component SsrB/SsrA system via PhoP/PhoQ. Upon

infection into RAW 264.7 macrophages, the mRNA expression of *ssrB* was

downregulated from initial stage post-infection to late stage post-infection

in macrophages **(Fig.5A)**. On the other hand, *ssrA* was downregulated at a

late stage post-infection **(Fig.5B)**. Furthermore, we observed expression of

ssaV a Type 3 secretion system (T3SS) needle complex protein, encoded by

SPI-2 and *spiC* an SPI-2 encoded effector molecule were downregulated in

the absence of *luxS, lsrB,* and *lsrK* genes in STM upon infection into RAW

264.7 macrophages **(Fig.5 C, D).**

So far, our findings convey that the LuxS/AI-2 signaling regulates *phoP/phoQ*

genes and, thereby, the SPI-2 cluster in *Salmonella*. However, whether AI-2

directly or the AI-2-PhoP/PhoQ axis controls the SPI-2 genes remains

unclear. Thus, we cloned the *phoP/phoQ* operon under a non-native

promoter in the pQE60 plasmid and transformed it into STM WT and

knockout strains. We confirmed the *phoP* mRNA overexpression level in

STM WT:pQE60-*phoP/phoQ* and STM *ΔluxS*:pQE60-*phoP/phoQ* upon

infection in RAW 264.7 **(Extended Data Fig.8C,D)**. Using these transformed

Salmonella strains, we performed an acid survival assay at pH 3, pH 4, and

pH 5 and observed STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* with a *phoP/phoQ*

cloned under non-native promoter survived similarly to STM WT **(Fig.5.E-G)**.

Furthermore, the STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* with cloned

phoP/phoQ showed enhanced ATR response **(Fig.5H)**. Thus, when

phoP/phoQ is not under their native promoter, the regulation by LuxS/AI-2 is

undetected. These results further validated the binding of LsrR protein to the

promoter of *phoP*. Also, *ssrB* and *ssrA* expression are significantly higher in

phoP/phoQ complemented knockout strains compared to STM *ΔluxS*, STM

ΔlsrB, and STM *ΔlsrK* strain **(Fig.5I-K, Extended Data Fig.7E-G)**.

Cumulatively, these results suggest that indeed, the LuxS/AI-2 signalling

controls ATR by regulating the *phoP/phoQ* genes.

The absence of AI-2 mediated signaling compromises the *in vivo*

colonization of *Salmonella* in mice

AI-2, being a universal quorum sensing molecule, is an excellent choice to mediate interactions between different bacterial species in the mammalian gut, where hundreds of bacterial species live and interact. It has already been determined that several gut-associated bacteria encode LuxS (the AI-2 synthase) or generate AI-2 (28). Thus, we aimed to understand the role of LuxS/AI-2 signaling in regulating *Salmonella* pathogenesis in *in vivo* mice models.

We infected C57BL/6J mice by orally gavaging with STM WT and knockout strains at a CFU of 10^7 per mouse **(Fig. 6A)**. We observed that STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* showed a significantly lower organ burden in the intestine, MLN, spleen, and liver and lower bacteremia upon oral gavage **(Fig.6B–F)**. Oral gavage mimics the physiological route of *Salmonella* infection into its host and *Salmonella* is required to be able to breach the intestinal barrier successfully. The lower organ colonization in STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* can be explained by their inability to cross the gut epithelial barrier. Next, we bypassed the gut epithelial barrier by infecting C57BL/6 mice intraperitoneally and found that STM *ΔluxS,* STM *ΔlsrB, and* STM *ΔlsrK* exhibited reduced colonization in the spleen and liver and less dissemination in blood than STM WT **(Extended Data Fig.8A-D).** Furthermore, upon infection of mice by oral gavaging at a CFU of 10^8 per

mouse, we noted that the mice infected with STM WT and STM *ΔlsrR* succumbed to death as early as the 6th day of post-infection, while STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* infected mice survived longer **(Fig.6G-H** with less weight reduction**(Extended Data Fig.8E)**. We further observed that the BF-8 inhibitor at 4 mg/kg reduced the STM WT colonization to different sites of infection in C57BL/6 mice **(Fig.6I-N**) and improved the survival of mice with delayed weight reduction compared to untreated mice **(Fig.6O,P Extended Data Fig.9F).** Further, the histopathological sections show significantly less liver tissue damage with BF-8 inhibitor treatment **(Extended Data Fig.9G)**. Our results show that LuxS/AI-2 signaling is critical for the *in vivo* pathogenesis and virulence of *Salmonella* Typhimurium.

Discussion

Every aspect of the bacterial lifestyle and pathogenesis relies on the bacterial quorum and community attributes, like for *Salmonella*, the infectious dose to cause the illness in humans is more than 10,000 CFU(29). Autoinducers are chemical signal molecules that underpins bacterial communication and differ amongst species, just like human languages exist. Here, we report that AI-2 synthesis and *lsr* operon are concurrently regulated under neutral and acidic conditions in *Salmonella,* aiding in its survival. The phosphorylation of AI-2 by LsrK is crucial for its function (30)

and is validated by our study, where we observe that deletion of even *lsrK* renders *Salmonella* incapable of surviving in macrophages and does not upregulate *phoP* expression.

The gastrointestinal tract is a dynamic environment where microbes compete for space and nutrients through various mechanisms. Many gut-associated bacteria encode LuxS or produce AI-2, including a significant proportion of Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, and some species of Bacteroidetes and Actinobacteria(31). *Salmonella* might benefit from the AI-2 produced by the gut commensal in the intestinal lumen. Our *in vivo* studies justify it to some extent with compromised colonization of the primary and secondary sites of infection upon abrogation of LuxS/AI-2 signaling in *Salmonella*. Although the neighboring bacteria might produce AI-2 in the intestinal niche, STM Δ*lsrB* and STM Δ*lsrK* cannot utilize AI-2 to mediate the downstream signaling cascades. Thus, we underscore the critical function of individual members of this cascade, the AI-2 synthesis enzyme, receptor, and kinase enzyme. During intestinal colonization, a prime strategy of this pathogen, is to activate the ATR systems to endure this harsh environment. The four major regulators that control relevant stress responses in *Salmonella* are RpoS, PhoPQ, Fur, and OmpR/EnvZ (32). We describe a mechanism that AI-2 signaling tunes the expression of the two-component

system *phoP/Q*, which helps in ATR. Relating to intravacuolar survival, studies show that the acidic pH of the vacuole is necessary for the assembly of T3SS encoded by SPI-2, but not for the effector molecule's secretion (33). Yet, it is essential to maintain the neutral pH of the bacterial cytoplasm to secrete the effector molecule, which we found to be lost upon abrogation of AI-2 signaling (34). Thus, *Salmonella* regulates its cytosolic pH in acidic environments via the AI-2 signalling and tries to sustain its intracellular pH near neutral to maintain the regular cellular functions and secretion of SPI-2 effectors for its survival and proliferation within the macrophages. Moreover, *Salmonella* AI-2 phosphorylation by LsrK is a key process to control the downstream targets. While our study elucidates the mechanism of acid tolerance and macrophage survival via AI-2 signaling in *Salmonella*, it has some limitations. We cannot conclusively determine STM Δ*luxS* utilizes AI-2 from STM WT in the coinfection study in RAW 264.7 cells **(Fig. 2A)**. Furthermore, the study does not confirm whether both bacterial strains infect the same cell, as observations reflect a global phenotype. But, it might be possible that STM *ΔluxS* cannot utilize AI-2 even when infecting the same cell due to an inactive *lsr* operon.

We broaden the horizon of LsrR regulation of gene expression beyond the *lsr* operon by unraveling the bind of LsrR to the *phoP* promoter via its Y25 and

R43 amino acid residues. Overall, we identify a novel regulatory network via AI-2 and PhoP/PhoQ TCS, leading to an astonishing survival strategy for *Salmonella* under acidic pH. Our findings highlight and open avenues to target the AI-2 signaling, thereby abrogating PhoP/PhoQ mediated signaling to limit *Salmonella* infection.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and Growth conditions-

The wild-type *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium strain 14028S (STM WT) used in all experiments of this study was a kind gift from Professor Michael Hensel of the Max Von Pettenkofer-Institute for Hygiene und Medizinische Mikrobiologie in Germany. The bacterial strains were revived on LB agar with or without antibiotics. The LB broth culture of wild-type, knockout, and complemented strains was cultured at 37˚C (170 rpm) in an orbital shaker incubator. Antibiotics Kanamycin (50µg/ml), Chloramphenicol (25µg/ml) and Ampicillin(50µg/ml) were used wherever required. *Vibrio campbellii* ATTC BAA-1117 strain was used for autoinducer Bioassay. This strain was grown in ATCC Medium: 2746 Autoinducer Bioassay (AB) Medium.

A growth kinetics study was conducted in LB and M9 minimal media (35) (36) (**Table S2**). Briefly, STM WT and mutants were inoculated in fresh LB broth

(1:100 ratio from overnight primary culture). The cultures were incubated at

37˚C (170 rpm) in an orbital shaker incubator. The OD at 600nm was

measured at regular intervals until 24 hours post-inoculation.

Bacterial gene knockout and strain generation

Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium strain 14028S and isogenic

mutants were used for all assays. *luxS, lsrB, lsrK,* and *lsrR* gene knockout

strains were made by λ-Red recombination system as described previously

in one gene step chromosomal gene inactivation method demonstrated by

Datsenko and Wanner (37). Briefly, STM WT strains were transformed with

pKD46 plasmid expressing λ-red recombinase system under Arabinose

inducible plasmid. The pKD3 and pKD4 plasmid were used as the template

to amplify the chloramphenicol (Chl) and kanamycin (Kan) resistance

cassette with Knockout primers **(Table S3).** The amplified reaction products

were purified using chloroform isopropanol precipitation. The purified PCR

product was transformed into pKD46- containing STM WT expressing λ-Red

recombinase system, which is required for homologous recombination. The

transformed cells were plated on LB agar with chloramphenicol and

kanamycin accordingly. The confirmation for knockout strains has been

done by using PCR with primers **(Table S3)** designed corresponding to the

~100bp upstream and downstream of the genes for the knockout strains to

observe a difference in exchange gene size upon STM Δ*luxS,* STM Δ*lsrB,* STM

Δ*lsrK,* and STM Δ*lsrR* knockout generation.

For complement strain, the *luxS* gene was amplified with gene-specific

primers (containing BamH1 and HindIII restriction site sequence) **(Table S3)**

by colony PCR to generate complement strain. Empty pQE60 vector

backbone was subjected to BamH1(NEB) and HindIII (NEB) digestion in cut-

smart buffer (NEB) at 37°C for 1 hour. The double-digested insert and vector

were subjected to ligation by T4DNA ligase in ligation buffer (NEB) overnight

at 16°C. The respective ligated vector was transformed into STM Δ*luxS*

strains to generate the complemented strain. Complement strain was

initially confirmed by colony PCR with cloning primers and internal primers

of the genes and finally by restriction digestion of recombinant plasmid

isolated from complement strains to insert release.

Cell culture and maintenance

Murine macrophage cell line RAW 264.7 was maintained in Dulbecco's

Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Lonza), supplemented with 10% FBS

(Gibco) and 1% Penicillin-streptomycin (Sigma-Aldrich) in a humidified

incubator with 5% CO_2 at 37 °C. The cells were seeded into the respective

cell culture plate for each experiment to conduct intracellular survival

assays and intracellular gene expression experiments.

Peritoneal macrophages isolation

The primary macrophage isolation was performed as previously described (38). Briefly, C57BL/6J mice (5-6 weeks old) were injected with Brewer's thio-glycolate medium (8% w/v, HIMEDIA). At four days of treatment, 5ml of cold PBS was injected into the peritoneal cavity, followed by aspiration of the fluid from the cavity and dispensed into the 15 ml centrifuge tube. The centrifuge was done at 300g for 10 minutes, and the resuspended cell pellet in Roswell Park Memorial Institute 1640 (RPMI 1640) was supplemented with 10% FBS and an antibiotic cocktail (Penicillin-Streptomycin). Cells were counted by hemocytometer and seeded onto 24 well cell culture plates.

AI-2 bioassay

The culture of *Salmonella* Typhimurium WT and mutants was obtained at 0h, 1h, 3h, 6h, 9h, and 12h, and centrifuged at 6000rpm for 10 minutes. After being filtered using a 0.22 μm filter, the supernatant was stored at -20 °C until needed again. Using the sensor strain *Vibrio campbellii* ATTC BAA-1117 (Strain designation *V. harveyi* BB-170, which only responds to AI-2), the AI-2 activity was determined. With minor modifications, the AI-2 bioassay was performed as previously described (39) (5). A single colony of *V. harveyi* BB-170 was incubated in 5 ml of Autoinducer Bioassay medium (ATCC Medium: 2746 AB Medium) at 30°C and 200 rpm. A 1: 1000 dilution was obtained by

transferring a 10 µl sensor strain culture to 10 mL of fresh AB media.

Salmonella cell-free culture supernatant (20 ul) was incubated with a 180

ml diluted sensor strain. The mixture was added to a white, flat-bottomed

96-well microtiter plate (Corning, USA), followed by shaking in a rotary

shaker at 200 rpm, at 30°C. Luminescence was measured post 3 h in a

fluorescent microtiter plate reader. Autoinducer signal calculated as –

(Luminescence produced by *Vibrio* in the presence of *Salmonella*

Typhimurium free supernatant -Luminescence in media control)/

Luminescence produced by *Vibrio* with sterile media. To validate the activity

of exogenous DPD molecule and BF-8 inhibitor, an autoinducer bioassay

was performed in the presence of DPD and BF-8 inhibitors(Cat# 53796,

purchased from Sigma).

Gentamicin protection assay

RAW 264.7 macrophages and peritoneal macrophages isolated from

C57BL/6J mice were seeded in tissue culture plates for infection. Cells were

infected with STM WT, STM Δ*luxS,* STM Δ*lsrB,* STM Δ*lsrK,* STM Δ*lsrR,* and

STMΔluxS:luxS (stationary phase culture growing overnight in LB broth). The

Multiplicity of Infection (MOI) of 10 was used for the intracellular survival

assay. MOI of 20 was used for the *Salmonella* Typhimurium gene expression

study (qRT-PCR). Following the infection of STM strains into the cell line, the

plate was spun for 5 minutes at 600 rpm using a Rota-Superspin R–NV swing

bucket centrifuge. After that, the plate was incubated for 25 minutes at 37

°C with 5% CO_2 in a humidified incubator. Then, the infected cells were

washed twice with 1X PBS and treated with a 100 µg/ mL concentration of

gentamycin. After 1 hour, the media were changed with a reduced

concentration of gentamycin (25 µg/ mL) and incubated until the desired

time point. The time points 2h, 6h, and 16h were taken for the qRT-PCR

samples and 2h and 16h for the intracellular survival assay.

Also, for studying the effect of exogenous DPD in the recovery of the

phenotype of mutant *luxS,* were grown *in vitro* with supplementation of 25

µM, 50 µM,100 µM, and 200µM DPD molecule and 10%, 20% , 30% and 50%

spent media of STM WT collected at 3h in LB growing. For inhibition, the

inhibitor (Z)-4- bromo-5-(bromomethyllene)-3-methylfran-2(5H) known as

BF-8 treatment, was given to STM WT in LB media. DPD or spent media

supplemented and BF-8 treated culture was used for gentamycin protection

assay.

Intracellular survival assay and phagocytosis assay

The cells were lysed with 0.1% triton-X 100 in PBS at specific time points

post-infection. For the intracellular survival assay, 2h and 16h post-infection

samples were collected and plated, and the corresponding CFU at 2h and

16h was determined. Fold proliferation was determined using the formula [

CFU at 16 hours]/ [CFU at 2 hours]. For phagocytosis, the bacterial number

was determined in the inoculum and 2h time point post-infection. Percent

phagocytosis was calculated by using the formula- [(CFU at 2 hours)/ (CFU

of pre-inoculum)]×100.

Confocal microscopy

To study the *Salmonella* proliferation and intracellular vacuolar status of

STM strains, all strains STM WT, STM Δ*luxS,* STM Δ*lsrB,* STM Δ*lsrK,* and STM

Δ*lsrR* were transformed with pFPV 25.1-mCherry-Amp^R plasmid. Briefly,

1×10^5 RAW264.7 cells were seeded on the coverslip and infected with

different strains of bacteria at MOI 20. After appropriate hours post-

infection, cells were washed twice with PBS and, fixed with 3.5%

paraformaldehyde (PFA), and incubated with a specific antibody (anti-

mouse LAMP-1) in a blocking buffer containing 2% BSA and 0.01% saponin

for 2 hours at RT or overnight at 4℃. The cells were washed twice with PBS

and incubated with appropriate secondary antibody tagged with

fluorochrome for 1 hour at RT. The coverslips were then mounted onto a

clean glass slide and imaged under a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM-710)

using a 63X objective.

In vitro **Cell culture competition Assay**

RAW 264.7 macrophages were infected at a 1:1 ratio with STM WT and STM

Δ*luxS*. At 16h, post-infection cells were lysed, and the sample was collected

as described above. The competition was also done with the coculture of

STM WT and STM Δ*luxS*. This involved the co-cultivation of STM WT and STM

Δ*luxS* together, followed by infection in RAW264.7 macrophages. The

competitive index was calculated for a WT strain and Δ*luxS* by dividing the

ratio between CFU (STM Δ*luxS*) and CFU (STM WT) by the ratio of both strains

in the inoculum.

RNA isolation and RT-qPCR

For gene expression study in Luria Bertani (LB) media and F-media (Acidic

media mimics the cell vacuole environment) (40) (36), overnight-grown

culture in LB media was subjected to subcultured (at 1:100) in LB or F-media

(**Table S1**). At 3h, 6h,9h, and 12h, bacterial cell pellets were resuspended in

TRIzol (from TaKaRa, RNA isoPlus- 9109) and kept at -80˚C. Total RNA was

isolated by chloroform extraction followed by isopropanol precipitation. To

evaluate the quality, the amount of RNA was quantified in nanodrop(

ThermoFischer) and examined on a 2% agarose gel.

To produce cDNA, 2 µg of RNA sample was treated with DNase I at 37˚C for

1 hour (TaKaRa) followed by heating for 10 minutes at 65˚C. Then, DNA-free

RNA samples were used to make cDNA by using the PrimeScript RT reagent

Kit provided by TaKaRa (Cat# RR037A).

For gene expression of *Salmonella* Typhimurium upon infection in RAW

264.7 macrophages, infection was given at MOI 20. At 2h, 6h, and 16h post-

infection, the cells were lysed using TRIzol (from TaKaRa, RNA isoPlus- 9109)

and kept at -80˚C. Total RNA isolation and cDNA were synthesized as a

similar protocol described by the manufacturer, TRIzol (from TaKaRa, RNA

isoPlus-9109) and TaKaRa (Cat# RR037A) reagent according to the

manufacturer's protocol. The list of expressions Primer is provided in **Table**

S3.

Acid survival assay

Overnight culture of STM WT, STM Δ*luxS,* STM Δ*lsrB,* STM Δ*lsrK,* and STM

Δ*lsrR* was adjusted to OD$_{600}$ 0.3. For survival in 1XPBS, pH was adjusted to

3,4,5,6,7,8 using concentrated HCl, 10^7 bacteria/ml of each strain of STM

was exposed to different pH as mentioned above in 1X PBS and incubated

for 2h. At 2 hours post-treatment, CFU was enumerated by plating on LB agar

media. The same protocol was followed for survival in LB media with the

similar pH ranges. For the strain of STM harboring plasmids pQE60

complemented with *phoP/phoQ*, a survival assay was done at pH of 3, 4, and

5 in 1X PBS.

Acid tolerance Assay

A standard Acid tolerance assay was performed as previously described with slight modification (20). Briefly, Acid tolerance assay (ATR) was conducted with strains grown overnight at 37°C in LB broth containing the appropriate antibiotic. The culture corresponding to 0.3 OD_{600} of the overnight culture (unadapted) was centrifuged and the pellet was resuspended into 2 ml of LB broth (pH 5) and incubated at 37°C with shaking for 2 h (known as adapted culture). The acid challenge of unadapted and adapted cultures (0.3 OD_{600}) involved readjusting the pH to 3. CFU was determined for adapted and unadapted cultures after exposure to pH 3 (2 h of pH 3 treatment). ATR tolerance assay was also done with the strain of *Salmonella* containing plasmid pQE60 complemented with *phoP/phoQ* gene.

***Salmonella* intracellular pH measurement by pHuji plasmid**

The plasmid pBAD:pHuji (Plasmid #61555) was used to transform STM WT, STM Δ*luxS*, STM Δ*lsrB*, STM Δ*lsrK*, and STM Δ*lsrR*. pHuji is a pH-sensitive red fluorescent protein demonstrating a more than 20-fold fluorescent intensity change from pH 5.5 to 7.5 (41). Overnight-grown bacterial strains were subjected to a pH range of 3.0 to 8.0 in phosphate buffer(PBS) in 40µM of sodium benzoate to ensure equilibration to the desired pH. The resulting

fluorescence intensity ratio, obtained from flow cytometric analysis, was

plotted as a function of pH and fitted to get a standard curve. The standard

curve was used to interpolate ratios measured within the intracellular pH of

bacteria in LB media, F-media, and RAW 264.7 macrophages.

Mass-spectrometry for determination of Lysine and cadaverine

Overnight grown STM WT, STM Δ*luxS*, STM Δ*lsrK,* STM Δ*lsrB,* and STM Δ*lsrR*

were sub-cultured in acidic pH 5 Lb media and incubated at 37℃ until mid-

log phase. Thereafter, bacteria were spun down, and the spent media was

collected. Spent media were kept with an equal volume of acetone at -20℃

overnight. Next, samples were collected after centrifugation at 13k rpm for

10 minutes. Samples were analysed using the Orbitrap fusion instrument

connected to UHPLC Vanquish (Thermo Scientific). Water and acetonitrile

with 0.1% formic acid were used as a mobile phase. The flow rate was kept

at 0.3ml/min linear gradient was started with 5% to 95% using Hypersil Gold

C18 column (2.1X100mm & particle size 1.9µ).

Plasmid construction and site-directed mutagenesis

The vector pET28a(+) was used to construct plasmids p*lsrR* for the

overexpression of LsrR as a C-terminal 6xHis-tagged fusion protein. The

DNA fragment containing *the lsrR* coding region was amplified by

polymerase chain reaction (PCR) from the chromosome of *Salmonella*

Typhimurium 14028s using primers (F-lsrR and R-lsrR containing restriction

site NcoI and XhoI, respectively). The PCR products were digested with NcoI

and XhoI and ligated with NcoI and XhoI digested pET28a(+). The inserts were

checked by DNA sequencing.

For Y25A and R43A site-directed mutagenesis in LsrR protein, PCR for SDM

was set up with template DNA from pETa(+)- *lsrR* and amplified with Q5

polymerase (NEB). The PCR products were digested with DpnI and

transformed into *E. coli* DH5-alpha. Y25A and R43A double mutants were

generated using pET28a(+) *lsrR* R43A plasmid as a template for SDM using

primers specific to the second set of mutations. All plasmids were

confirmed by DNA sequencing.

Overexpression and purification of LsrR

pET28a(+)-*lsrR* and its mutants containing C-terminal 6xHis tag were

transformed into *E. coli* Rosetta (DE3). The transformed colonies were

inoculated into 5 ml LB containing Kana and Chl and grown overnight.

Inoculum (1%) was added to 1.2 L LB containing Kana and Chl, grown at

37°C to an OD600 of 0.4 under shaking, and thereafter supplemented with

0.1 mM IPTG, and grown at 16℃ overnight. Cells were harvested by

centrifugation at 4℃, resuspended in 10 ml buffer A [20 mM Tris–HCl pH 8,

M NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v), 1.5 mM -mercaptoethanol, 1 mM PMSF) lysed by

sonication, and centrifuged at 4℃ in a pre-cooled centrifuge at 10000 rpm

for 10 minutes. The supernatant was loaded onto a 1 ml Ni-NTA column

equilibrated with buffer A, washed with 20 ml buffer A, and eluted with a

gradient of imidazole (20–500 mM) in the same buffer. The fractions were

analysed on 12% SDS-PAGE. Fractions enriched for LsrR were pooled,

loaded onto a Superdex75 gel filtration column, and eluted in buffer B [20

mM Tris–HCl pH 8, 1M NaCl, 10% glycerol (v/v) and 1.5 mM 2-

mercaptoethanol]. The purity of LsrR was checked on 12% SDS-PAGE.

Fractions with apparent homogeneity were pooled, concentrated using a 10

kDa cut-off Centricon (Millipore), and estimated by Bradford's method using

bovine serum albumin (BSA) as standard. The proteins were dialyzed against

buffer A containing 50% glycerol (v/v) and stored at –20˚C.

Gel Shift Assay

HPLC-purified 90bp double or single-stranded DNA oligos of the *lsrR* and

phoP operon promoters were used as substrates for the EMSA

(Supplementary Table S3). Binding reactions involved incubation of

promoter DNA with varying concentrations of WT LsrR or the mutants. The

incubation buffer contained 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 3 mM

magnesium acetate, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.1 mM DTT. The reaction mix were

incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes, added native loading buffer

to a final concentration of 1x (60% 0.25× TBE, 40% glycerol, 0.2%

bromophenol blue) and resolved on 6% native PAGE. The gel was stained for

DNA with SYBR™ Gold Nucleic Acid Gel Stain (Invitrogen) and imaged for

analysis.

In vivo animal experiment

Oral gavavging of 10^7 CFU of STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK*, STM

ΔlsrR, and STM *ΔluxS:luxS* was used to infect 5- to 6-week-old C57BL/6J

mice. Post five days of infection, the intestine (Peyer's patches), MLN(

mesenteric lymph node), spleen, liver, and blood were aseptically extracted

(in a Biosafety level 2 cabinet) to examine the colonization in the organs. The

CFU was enumerated on differential and selective *Salmonella-Shigella* (SS)

agar.

Mice survival assay

Male C57BL/6, aged 5 to 6 weeks, were obtained from the Central Animal

Facility, IISc. The mice were given 10^8 CFU of each strain (overnight grown

cultures) orally to compare the survival and weight alterations of mice post-

infection. Following infection, the mice were observed every day to

determine their survival and weight, and the results were expressed as a

percentage of survival (Kaplan-meier curve) and weight reduction.

All experiments comply with the rules set forth by the Indian Institute of

Science, Bangalore's IEAC. The approved protocol number is CAF/

Ethics/852/2021. The Institutional Animal Ethics Committee approved every animal experiment, and the National Animal Care Guidelines were scrupulously followed.

Statistical analysis

As mentioned in figure legends, each experiment has been independently repeated two to five times. GraphPad Prism 8.4.3 was utilized for all statistical analyses. As the figure legends state, the statistical analyses included an unpaired, two-tailed Student's t-test, One-way ANOVA with Dunnet's post hoc test, and Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test. A non-parametric one-way ANOVA (Kruskal Wallis) test with Dunn's post-hoc test was performed for the animal experiment. P-values less than 0.05 were regarded as significant. The analysis is presented as mean ±SD or mean ±SEM with information on group sizes and p values mentioned in the respective figure legends.

Funding

This work was funded by the Department of Biotechnology (DBT), Ministry of Science and Technology, the Department of Science and Technology (DST), Ministry of Science and Technology. DC acknowledges DAE-SRC (DAE00195) outstanding investigator award and funds and ASTRA Chair Professorship funds. The authors jointly acknowledge the DBT-IISc

partnership program. Infrastructure support from ICMR (Center for Advanced Study in Molecular Medicine), DST (FIST), UGC-CAS (special assistance), and TATA fellowship is acknowledged. AS duly acknowledges UGC-SRF for the financial assistance. AVN duly acknowledges the IISc-MHRD for the financial assistance. SA duly acknowledges the CSIR for the financial assistance. SD and SK duly acknowledge IISc-MHRD for their financial assistance.

Ethics statement

All experiments comply with the rules set forth by the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore's IEAC. The approved protocol number is CAF/Ethics/852/2021. The Committee for Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA), a statutory committee established under Chapter 4, Section 15 (1) of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960, and National Animal Care provided guidelines that were meticulously adhered to during all animal experiments, all of which were approved by the Institutional Animal Ethics Committee. (Registration No. 435 48/1999/CPCSEA). The Institutional Animal Ethics Committee approved every animal experiment, and the National Animal Care Guidelines were meticulously followed.

Author contribution

AS and DC conceived the study. AS and DC designed experiments. AS and AVN performed experiments. UV supervised, and SA performed bioinformatics and simulation work. SM supervised the synthetic DPD molecule synthesis. SD and SK synthesized the synthetic DPD molecule. AS analyzed the data, prepared the figures, and wrote the manuscript draft. AS, AVN, SA, and DC reviewed and edited the manuscript. DC supervised the work. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment

Dr. Shashank Tripathi (CIDR, IISc Bangalore) and Dr. Sandeep M Eswarappa (Dept. of Biochemistry, IISc Bangalore)are duly acknowledged for the Luminometer. Divisional Mass Spectrometry facility IISc and Mrs. Sunita Joshi for the MS analysis. The Departmental Confocal Facility, Departmental Real-Time PCR Facility, Divisional Flowcytometry Facility, and Central Animal Facility at IISc are duly acknowledged. Mr Sumith and Ms Navya are acknowledged for their help in image acquisition. Dr. Ritika Chatterjee and Ms. Sagrika are acknowledged for technical help.

Figure1: LuxS/AI-2 quorum sensing is required by *Salmonella* Typhimurium to survive in the macrophages.

Fig.1. **LuxS/AI-2 quorum sensing is required by *Salmonella* Typhimurium to survive in the macrophages. (A)** *Salmonella* growth with AI-2 production in LB media. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=4, **(B)** The mRNA expression of *lsr* operon genes *lsrB*, *lsrk*, and *lsrR* in STM WT *in vitro* growth in LB. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3, **(C)** *luxS* gene expression in STM WT *in vitro* growth in LB. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3, **(D)** Percentage phagocytosis, and **(E)** Fold proliferation upon infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-SEM of N=3, n=3, **(F)** *luxS* gene expression **(G)** *lsr* operon gene *lsrB*, *lsrk*, and *lsrR* expression in STM WT upon infection in RAW264.7 macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3 **(H)** Percentage phagocytosis and **(I)** Fold proliferation, upon infection in peritoneal macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-SEM of N=3, n=3. One-way ANOVA with Dunnet's post-hoc test was used to analyze the data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$. Two-way Anova was used to analyze the grouped data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$

Figure 2: STM Δ*luxS* acquires AI-2 from STM WT *in vitro* but may not

intravacuolarly, and AI-2 signaling loss compromises *Salmonella*

survival in acidic pH

Fig. 2 STM Δ*luxS* acquires AI-2 from STM WT *in vitro* but may not

intravacuolarly, and AI-2 signaling loss compromises *Salmonella*

survival in acidic pH (A) Co-infection assay between STMWT and STM Δ*luxS*

upon infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages at the ratio 1:1. Represented as

Mean+/-SEM of N=3, n=3, **(B)** Coculture grown STM WT and STM Δ*luxS*

followed by infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-

SEM of N=3, n=3, **(C)**Percentage phagocytosis, **(D)** Fold proliferation of STM

in RAW 264.7 macrophages upon 50% spent media treatment of STM WT

and STM Δ*luxS*. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3, **(E)**Percentage

phagocytosis, **(F)** Fold proliferation of STM in RAW 264.7 macrophages upon

treatment of BF-8 inhibitor. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. **(G)** AI-

2 production by STM WT, STM Δ*luxS*, and STM Δ*lsrB* in F-media (pH 5).

Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. STM WT, STM Δ*luxS*, STM Δ*lsrB*,

STM Δ*lsrK,* and STM Δ*lsrR* survival in different range of pH(3-8) of PBS **(H)** pH

3 survival, **(I)** pH 4 survival, **(K)** pH 5 survival. Unpaired Student's t-test was

used to analyze the data; **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.05$. One-way

ANOVA with Dunnet's post-hoc test was used to analyze the data; p values

**** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$

Figure 3: LuxS/AI-2 system tunes *phoP* expression, thereby assisting in

pH sensing and adaptation in *Salmonella*

Fig. 3 LuxS/AI-2 system tunes *phoP* expression, thereby assisting in pH

sensing and adaptation in *Salmonella*. (A) Schematic of AI-2 synthesis and

processing in *Salmonella* Typhimurium. **(B)** *phoP* gene expression in STM

WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK in vitro* condition LB media.

Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. **(C)** mRNA expression of *phoP in*

STM *ΔluxS* upon treatment of STM WT spent media. Represented as Mean+/-

SD of N=3, n=3. **(D)** *phoP* **(E)** *phoQ* expression in STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM

ΔlsrB, and STM *ΔlsrK* upon infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages.

Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. **(F)** STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*,

STM *ΔlsrK*, and STM *ΔlsrR* acid adaptation and tolerance. Represented as

Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=2. **(G)** Cytosolic pH determination of STM WT, STM

ΔluxS, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK*, and STM *ΔlsrR* by phuji plasmid in LB Media, in

F-media (pH 5) and upon infection RAW 264.7 macrophages. Represented

as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=4. **(H)** Ratio of cadaverine and lysine under acidic

conditions (pH 5), N=2. **(J)** *cadA*, **(K)** *cadB,* and **(L)** *cadC*, mRNA expression

upon infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-SD of

N=3, n=3. One-way ANOVA with Dunnet's post-hoc test was used to analyze

the data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.01$. Two-

way ANOVA was used to analyze the grouped data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$,

*** $p < 0.001$, ** $p < 0.01$, * $p < 0.01$

Figure 4:LuxS/AI-2 System regulates *phoP/phoQ* expression through

LsrR Interaction with the *phoP* Promoter in *Salmonella*

Fig. 4 LuxS/AI-2 System regulates *phoP/phoQ* expression through LsrR Interaction with the *phoP* Promoter in *Salmonella*. AlphaFold3 structural models visualised using pymol depicting LsrR (green) interactions with the *phoP* and *lsr* promoters (yellow). The N-terminal domain residues, spanning helices 1, 2, and 3, are highlighted in blue, and the key interacting residues of LsrR are represented with a ball and stick model **(Fig. A,B)**. Electrophoretic mobility shift assays (EMSA) assessing DNA binding of wild-type LsrR and its Y25A/R43A mutant to *lsr* and *phoP* promoters (**Figs. C,D**). Intracellular survival assay (ICSA) with mutated Y25A, R43A, and Y25A/R43A *lsrR* cloned in pQE-60 complemented strains **(E)** phagocytosis and **(F)** Fold proliferation. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. One-way ANOVA with Dunnet's post-hoc test was used to analyze the data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$.

 Figure 5: AI-2 orchestrates the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island-2 gene

 expression by sensing low pH

 Fig.5 AI-2 orchestrates the *Salmonella* pathogenicity island-2 gene

 expression by sensing low pH. (A)*ssrB* **(B)** *ssrA* **(C)** *ssaV* **(D)** *spiC,* mRNA

expression in STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, and STM *ΔlsrK* upon infection in RAW 264.7 macrophages. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3. STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK,* STM WT: *phoP*, STM *ΔluxS*: *phoP*, STM *ΔlsrB*: *phoP*, STM *ΔlsrK*: *phoP* survival in PBS at **(E)** pH3, **(F)** pH4, **(G)** pH5. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=2. **(H)** STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM *ΔlsrK,* STM WT: *phoP*, STM *ΔluxS*: *phoP*, STM *ΔlsrB*: *phoP*, STM *ΔlsrK*: *phoP* acid adaptation and tolerance. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=2. *ssrB* mRNA expression in *phoP/phoQ* cloned strain of **(I)** STM *ΔluxS,* **(J)** STM *ΔlsrB* and **(K)** STM *ΔlsrK*. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=3, n=3.

 One-way ANOVA with Dunnet's post-hoc test was used to analyze the data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$. Two-way ANOVA was used to analyze the grouped data; p values **** $p < 0.0001$, *** $p < 0.001$, ** $p<0.01$, * $p<0.01$

Figure 6: The absence of AI-2 mediated signaling compromises the *in*

vivo* colonization of *Salmonella

Fig. 6 The absence of AI-2 mediated signaling compromises the *in vivo*

colonization of *Salmonella*. (A) The experimental protocol for organ burden

in C57BL/6J mice by orally gavaging 10^7 CFU per mouse. The organ burden

post 5 days of oral gavage in **(B)** Intestine, **(C)**Mesenteric lymph node (MLN),

(D) Spleen, **(E)** Liver, and dissemination in **(F)** Blood. Represented as

Mean+/-SD of N=2, n=5 **(G)** The experimental protocol for C57BL/6J mice

survival by orally gavaging 10^8 CFU of STM per mouse. **(H)** Percentage

survival of Mice upon infection with STM WT, STM *ΔluxS*, STM *ΔlsrB*, STM

ΔlsrK, STM *ΔlsrR* and STM *ΔluxS: luxS*. **(I)** The experimental protocol for organ

burden in C57BL/6J mice by orally gavaging 10^7 CFU per mouse upon

treatment of BF-8 inhibitor (2mg/kg and 4mg/kg through the Intraperitoneal

route). The organ burden post 5 days of oral gavage in **(J)** Intestine,

(K) Mesenteric lymph node (MLN), **(L)** Spleen, **(M)** Liver, and blood

dissemination in **(N)** Blood. Represented as Mean+/-SD of N=2, n=5. **(O)** The

experimental protocol of mice survival in C57BL/6J mice by orally gavaging

10^8 CFU per mouse upon treatment of BF-8 inhibitor (2mg/kg and 4mg/kg

through the Intraperitoneal route). **(P)** Percentage survival of Mice upon

infection with STM WT, STM WT upon treatment, and STM *ΔluxS*. Non-

parametric One-way ANOVA (Kruskal Wallis) with Dunn's posthoc test was

used to analyze organ burden in mice.

References-

1.   Ehuwa O, Jaiswal AK, Jaiswal S. Salmonella, Food Safety and Food Handling Practices.
Foods. 2021;10(5).
2.   Eswarappa SM, Negi VD, Chakraborty S, Chandrasekhar Sagar B, Chakravortty D. Division
of the Salmonella-containing vacuole and depletion of acidic lysosomes in Salmonella-infected
host cells are novel strategies of Salmonella enterica to avoid lysosomes. Infection and immunity.
2010;78(1):68-79.
3.   Drecktrah D, Knodler LA, Ireland R, Steele-Mortimer O. The mechanism of Salmonella
entry determines the vacuolar environment and intracellular gene expression. Traffic.
2006;7(1):39-51.
4.   Holden DW. Trafficking of the Salmonella vacuole in macrophages. Traffic. 2002;3(3):161-
9.
5.   Deng Z, Hou K, Valencak TG, Luo XM, Liu J, Wang H. AI-2/LuxS Quorum Sensing System
Promotes Biofilm Formation of Lactobacillus rhamnosus GG and Enhances the Resistance to
Enterotoxigenic Escherichia coli in Germ-Free Zebrafish. Microbiol Spectr. 2022;10(4):e0061022.
6.   Do H, Makthal N, VanderWal AR, Saavedra MO, Olsen RJ, Musser JM, et al. Environmental
pH and peptide signaling control virulence of Streptococcus pyogenes via a quorum-sensing
pathway. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):2586.
7.   Moslehi-Jenabian S, Gori K, Jespersen L. AI-2 signalling is induced by acidic shock in
probiotic strains of Lactobacillus spp. International journal of food microbiology.
2009;135(3):295-302.
8.   Gu Y, Wu J, Tian J, Li L, Zhang B, Zhang Y, et al. Effects of Exogenous Synthetic Autoinducer-
2 on Physiological Behaviors and Proteome of Lactic Acid Bacteria. ACS omega. 2020;5(3):1326-
35.
9.   Miller ST, Xavier KB, Campagna SR, Taga ME, Semmelhack MF, Bassler BL, et al.
Salmonella typhimurium recognizes a chemically distinct form of the bacterial quorum-sensing
signal AI-2. Mol Cell. 2004;15(5):677-87.
10.   Xavier KB, Bassler BL. Regulation of uptake and processing of the quorum-sensing
autoinducer AI-2 in Escherichia coli. Journal of bacteriology. 2005;187(1):238-48.
11.   Vendeville A, Winzer K, Heurlier K, Tang CM, Hardie KR. Making 'sense' of metabolism:
autoinducer-2, LuxS and pathogenic bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2005;3(5):383-96.
12.   Chatterjee R, Shreenivas MM, Sunil R, Chakravortty D. Enteropathogens: Tuning Their
Gene Expression for Hassle-Free Survival. Frontiers in microbiology. 2018;9:3303.
13.   Choi J, Park J, Ryu S. Repressed Quorum sensing by overexpressing LsrR Hampers
Salmonella evasion from oxidative killing within macrophages. J Microbiol Biotechnol.
2010;20(12):1624-9.
14.   Choi J, Shin D, Kim M, Park J, Lim S, Ryu S. LsrR-mediated quorum sensing controls
invasiveness of Salmonella typhimurium by regulating SPI-1 and flagella genes. PloS one.
2012;7(5):e37059.
15.   Choi J, Shin D, Ryu S. Implication of quorum sensing in Salmonella enterica serovar
typhimurium virulence: the luxS gene is necessary for expression of genes in pathogenicity island
1. Infect Immun. 2007;75(10):4885-90.
16.   Janssens JC, Steenackers H, Robijns S, Gellens E, Levin J, Zhao H, et al. Brominated
furanones inhibit biofilm formation by Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Appl Environ
Microbiol. 2008;74(21):6639-48.
17.   Pan J, Bahar AA, Syed H, Ren D. Reverting antibiotic tolerance of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa PAO1 persister cells by (Z)-4-bromo-5-(bromomethylene)-3-methylfuran-2(5H)-one.
PloS one. 2012;7(9):e45778.
18.   Rutherford ST, Bassler BL. Bacterial quorum sensing: its role in virulence and possibilities
for its control. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2012;2(11).

19.   Jesudhasan PR, Cepeda ML, Widmer K, Dowd SE, Soni KA, Hume ME, et al. Transcriptome
analysis of genes controlled by luxS/autoinducer-2 in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium.
Foodborne Pathog Dis. 2010;7(4):399-410.

20.   Bearson BL, Wilson L, Foster JW. A low pH-inducible, PhoPQ-dependent acid tolerance
response protects Salmonella typhimurium against inorganic acid stress. Journal of bacteriology.
1998;180(9):2409-17.

21.   Lang C, Zhang Y, Mao Y, Yang X, Wang X, Luo X, et al. Acid tolerance response of
Salmonella during simulated chilled beef storage and its regulatory mechanism based on the
PhoP/Q system. Food Microbiol. 2021;95:103716.

22.   Jung K, Fabiani F, Hoyer E, Lassak J. Bacterial transmembrane signalling systems and their
engineering for biosensing. Open Biol. 2018;8(4).

23.   Chakraborty S, Winardhi RS, Morgan LK, Yan J, Kenney LJ. Non-canonical activation of
OmpR drives acid and osmotic stress responses in single bacterial cells. Nat Commun.
2017;8(1):1587.

24.   Abramson J, Adler J, Dunger J, Evans R, Green T, Pritzel A, et al. Addendum: Accurate
structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3. Nature. 2024;636(8042):E4.

25.   Schmid EW, Walter JC. Predictomes: A classifier-curated database of AlphaFold-
modeled protein-protein interactions. bioRxiv. 2024.

26.   Baker FN, Porollo A. CoeViz: a web-based tool for coevolution analysis of protein
residues. BMC Bioinformatics. 2016;17:119.

27.   Liew ATF, Foo YH, Gao Y, Zangoui P, Singh MK, Gulvady R, et al. Single cell, super-
resolution imaging reveals an acid pH-dependent conformational switch in SsrB regulates SPI-2.
Elife. 2019;8.

28.   Oliveira RA, Cabral V, Torcato I, Xavier KB. Deciphering the quorum-sensing lexicon of the
gut microbiota. Cell Host Microbe. 2023;31(4):500-12.

29.   Li H, Wang H, D'Aoust JY, Maurer J. Salmonella species. Food microbiology: fundamentals
and frontiers. 2012:223-61.

30.   Ha JH, Hauk P, Cho K, Eo Y, Ma X, Stephens K, et al. Evidence of link between quorum
sensing and sugar metabolism in Escherichia coli revealed via cocrystal structures of LsrK and
HPr. Science advances. 2018;4(6):eaar7063.

31.   Thompson JA, Oliveira RA, Djukovic A, Ubeda C, Xavier KB. Manipulation of the quorum
sensing signal AI-2 affects the antibiotic-treated gut microbiota. Cell Rep. 2015;10(11):1861-71.

32.   Rychlik I, Barrow PA. Salmonella stress management and its relevance to behaviour
during intestinal colonisation and infection. FEMS Microbiol Rev. 2005;29(5):1021-40.

33.   Chakravortty D, Rohde M, Jager L, Deiwick J, Hensel M. Formation of a novel surface
structure encoded by Salmonella Pathogenicity Island 2. The EMBO journal. 2005;24(11):2043-
52.

34.   Yu XJ, McGourty K, Liu M, Unsworth KE, Holden DW. pH sensing by intracellular
Salmonella induces effector translocation. Science. 2010;328(5981):1040-3.

35.   Sridhar S, Steele-Mortimer O. Inherent Variability of Growth Media Impacts the Ability of
Salmonella Typhimurium to Interact with Host Cells. PloS one. 2016;11(6):e0157043.

36.   Nair AV, Singh A, Devasurmutt Y, Rahman SA, Tatu US, Chakravortty D. Spermidine
constitutes a key determinant of motility and attachment of Salmonella Typhimurium through a
novel regulatory mechanism. Microbiol Res. 2024;281:127605.

37.   Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in Escherichia
coli K-12 using PCR products. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
States of America. 2000;97(12):6640-5.

38.   Nair AV, Singh A, Rajmani R, Chakravortty D. Salmonella Typhimurium employs
spermidine to exert protection against ROS-mediated cytotoxicity and rewires host polyamine
metabolism to ameliorate its survival in macrophages. Redox Biology. 2024;72:103151.

39.   Surette MG, Miller MB, Bassler BL. Quorum sensing in Escherichia coli, Salmonella
typhimurium, and Vibrio harveyi: a new family of genes responsible for autoinducer production.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.
1999;96(4):1639-44.
40.   Allam US, Krishna MG, Sen M, Thomas R, Lahiri A, Gnanadhas DP, et al. Acidic pH induced
STM1485 gene is essential for intracellular replication of Salmonella. Virulence. 2012;3(2):122-
35.
41.   Shen Y, Rosendale M, Campbell RE, Perrais D. pHuji, a pH-sensitive red fluorescent
protein for imaging of exo- and endocytosis. J Cell Biol. 2014;207(3):419-32.

996