Antagonistic Regulation of LINE-1/Alu Elements and Their Repressor APOBEC3B in Cellular Senescence

Daksha Munot[1], Gregoire Najjar[2], Manvendra Singh[3], Cagatay Günes[2], Daniel Sauter[1]*, Ankit Arora[4]*

*Correspondence:

Ankit Arora

aankit@instem.res.in

[1] Institute for Medical Virology and Epidemiology of Viral Diseases, University Hospital Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

[2] Department of Urology, Ulm University Hospital, Ulm, Germany

[3] Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association (MDC), Berlin, Germany

[4] Institute for Stem Cell Science and Regenerative Medicine, Bengaluru, India

Abstract

Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements-1 (LINE-1 or L1) make up approximately 21% of the human genome, with some L1 loci containing intact open reading frames (ORFs) that facilitate retrotransposition. Because retrotransposition can have deleterious effects leading to mutations and genomic instability, L1 activity is typically suppressed in somatic cells through transcriptional and post-transcriptional mechanisms. However, L1 elements are derepressed in senescent cells causing age-associated inflammation. Despite the recognition of L1 activity as a hallmark of aging, the underlying molecular mechanisms governing L1 derepression in these cells are not fully understood. In this study, we employed high throughput sequencing datasets and validated our findings through independent experiments to investigate the regulation of L1 elements in senescent cells. Our results reveal that both replicative and oncogene-induced senescence are associated with reduced expression of the cytidine deaminase *APOBEC3B*, a known suppressor of L1 retrotransposition. Consequently, senescent cells exhibited diminished levels of C-to-U editing of full-length L1 elements. Moreover, Ribo-seq profiling indicated that progression to senescence is not only associated with increased L1 transcription, but also translation of L1 ORFs. In summary, our results suggest that the depletion of APOBEC3B contributes to enhanced activity of L1 in senescent cells and promotion of L1-induced DNA damage and aging.

Keywords

LINE-1, Alu, senescence, aging, APOBEC3B

Background

Almost half of the human genome consists of sequences derived from transposable elements (TEs) [1]). The majority of TE sequences belong to non-LTR retrotransposon families such as L1 (21% of the genome with ~ 500,000 copies) and Alu elements (~ 10% of the genome with ~ 1 million copies) [2,3]. While most L1 elements are inactive, a few full-length loci are still capable of retrotransposition [1]. Moreover, retrotransposon families that do not encode their own retrotransposition machinery (e.g. Alu and SVA elements) also exploit L1-encoded reverse transcriptase to integrate into new genomic loci [4,5].

Due to the potential harmful effects of active TEs, such as insertional mutagenesis, the human genome has evolved independent mechanisms to suppress TEs at multiple steps of their retrotransposition cycle. For instance, specific KRAB-ZNFs recruit TRIM28 (Tripartite motif-containing protein 28) that silences L1 transcription elements by further recruiting chromatin-modifying enzymes such as the histone methyltransferase SETDB1 [6]. SETDB1 is also known to interact with the HUSH complex (TASOR, Periphilin, MPP8), causing long-term repression by perpetuating heterochromatin [7]. However, even if L1 elements are transcriptionally active, their retrotransposition can still be curbed at later steps. For instance, nucleases such as three prime repair exonuclease 1 (TREX1) prevent the accumulation of L1 transcripts [8,9], while MOV10 decaps L1 RNA [10], thereby sequestering L1 ribonucleoprotein complexes in cytoplasmic aggregates [11]. A critical inhibition of retrotransposition is mediated by the members of the APOBEC (Apolipoprotein B mRNA Editing Catalytic Polypeptide-like) family of deaminases [12–20]. While APOBEC3 proteins are known to induce C-to-U mutations, leading to hypermutation, their role in suppressing L1 retrotransposition appears to extend beyond this deaminase activity [4]. Notably,

overexpression of APOBEC3A, B, C, and D inhibits L1 activity without corresponding

increases in L1 point mutations, indicating a deaminase-independent mechanism

[14]. Further supporting this, catalytically inactive mutants of APOBEC3B and C

retain their ability to suppress L1 retrotransposition underscoring the multifaceted

roles these proteins play in maintaining genomic stability [15,20].

Despite these multilayered suppression mechanisms, L1 elements can be activated

under certain conditions. For example, external stimuli such as ionizing radiation

trigger L1 transcription [21]. Similarly, certain developmental stages are associated

with increased L1 activity. These include early developmental stages such as

embryonic cells that undergo epigenetic reprogramming and global demethylation

[22]. Notably, DNA methylation of L1 repeats decreases with advancing age, and L1

activity has been proposed as a predictor of chronological age [23,24]. In this case,

L1 activity was shown to drive organismal aging and cellular senescence [25,26].

Senescence describes a state of permanent cell-cycle arrest and resistance to

apoptosis that can be induced by multiple intrinsic and extrinsic stimuli, including

genotoxic stress or oncogene activation [27]. It is typically associated with the

release of inflammatory cytokines and other immune modulators, referred to as the

senescence-associated secretory phenotype (SASP) [28]. These secreted factors

can trigger the progression of proliferating, non-senescent cells to senescence [28].

The activation of L1 repeats in pre-senescent cells results in the production of nucleic

acids that can activate cellular sensing pathways such as cGAS-STING or RIG-I,

which mediate the production of type I IFN and ultimately SASP [25,26,29]. Hence,

L1 activity in pre-senescent cells not only contributes to their transition to

senescence, but can also induce a senescent state in bystander cells [30]. In addition

to sensing of L1-derived nucleic acids, the retrotransposition and *de-novo* integration

of L1 elements may promote senescence by triggering the vicious cycle of DNA

damage, cell-cycle arrest and inflammation [26]. Overall, there is more than one way

how uncontrolled activity of L1 can trigger cellular senescence.

While SASP is heterogenous, and numerous triggers of senescence have been

described, L1 transcription has been observed in different types of senescence,

including replicative senescence, oncogene-induced senescence and stress-induced

premature senescence [25,31]. L1 activation in senescent cells is associated with

higher levels of accessible chromatin around the 5' UTR of L1 repeats [31].

Furthermore, the transcription factor PAX5 binds to the 5'-UTR of L1 and is proposed

to contribute to their activation in cells entering senescence [32]. However, precise

mechanism of enhanced L1 activity in (pre)senescent cells remains elusive, and the

mechanisms underlying post-transcriptional L1 regulation are still unclear.

We hypothesized that the loss of various L1 controllers may result in L1 derepression

at the transcriptional and/or post-transcriptional level. To investigate the expression

dynamics of L1 and its repressors, we reanalyzed publicly available RNA-seq and

Ribo-seq datasets from cells progressing to replicative or oncogene-induced

senescence.

Our RNA-seq data analyses, together with validation experiments in fibroblasts,

revealed that several L1 inhibitors, including the deaminase APOBEC3B, are down-

regulated in presenescent cells. In line with reduced APOBEC3B levels, we found

evidence for decreased C-to-U editing and higher levels of L1 translation. In

summary, our findings support a model in which reduced expression of restriction

factors such as APOBEC3B contribute to increased L1 activity, ultimately leading to

enhanced L1 cDNA sensing and potentially L1-mediated DNA damage in cells

transitioning to senescence.

Materials and Methods

Analysis of RNA-seq datasets from senescent cells

Raw RNA-seq reads from immortalized human primary BJ fibroblasts and ES-derived lung fibroblasts were obtained from [33] [GSE42509] and [25] [GSE109700], respectively. RNA-seq reads were mapped to the human (hg19) reference genome using STAR (v2.4.2a) [34]. We used STAR for its ability to detect novel splice junctions and as a non-canonical splice aligner for the detection of chimeric transcripts and circular RNA. Mapped reads were processed to obtain raw counts for gene expression estimation using featureCounts [35] from the subread package (v1.4.6). DESeq2 (v1.30.1) was used to process counts per million (CPM) and differential expression of genes in the R environment (v4.0.3). To determine the expression of cellular factors affecting L1 retrotransposition, we took advantage of a list of activators and suppressors of L1 retrotransposition previously identified in a genome-wide CRISPR/Cas9 screening [36], as well as experimentally validated and published L1 suppressors (Additional files 1 and 2).

Analysis of repetitive elements

Mapping of RNA-seq reads to the human reference genome (hg19) was performed using bowtie (v1.0.1) [37] short read aligner with use of -N 1 and - -local parameters for effective alignment. FPKM values for expression were computed using cufflinks (v2.0.2) [38] with the usage of GTF file format for specific repetitive elements (L1 & Alu) obtained from the UCSC genome browser [39]. The annotation file of repetitive elements (L1 & Alu) was categorized into young, middle-aged and ancient sub-families.

Detection of APOBEC- and ADAR-edited RNA sites

A data analysis pipeline was designed, involving multiple rigorous iterations focused on the identification of APOBEC- and ADAR-edited RNA sites within full-length L1 elements. Mapped RNA-seq reads were incorporated to perform local realignment, base-score recalibration, and candidate variant calling using the IndelRealigner, TableRecalibration and UnifiedGenotyper tools with the parameters stand call conf to 0 and stand emit conf to 0 and the output mode set to EMIT ALL CONFIRMED SITES from the Genome Analysis Toolkit (GATK) (v3.5-0) [36,40]. In addition, some iteration steps of the SNPiR pipeline [41] were adapted, and obtained variants were subjected to it. The intended variants were incorporated into different filtering steps to obtain true variants by removing false-positive variant calls. First, variants with quality up to 20 were filtered. Then, the mismatches at the 5' ends of the reads were removed in this step. Furthermore, the obtained variants were directed to filter in L1 elements. Shell scripting and bedtools [42] were used to retrieve the edited sites for each sample across full-length L1 elements. The obtained editing sites for each sample were further filtered for C to U mutations for APOBEC editing sites and A to I mutations for ADAR editing sites.

Estimation of L1 encoded proteins

The repeat masker track for L1 elements was downloaded from the UCSC genome browser. More specifically, only full-length L1 elements were extracted from this track, and a BED file was prepared. Ribosomal profiling (Ribo-seq) samples for immortalized human primary BJ fibroblasts were obtained from [33] [GSE42509]. Adapter sequences were removed from the raw FASTQ reads, and ribosomal sequences were eliminated using quick alignment with TopHat2 [43]. Unmapped reads from this alignment were converted into FASTQ format using bam2fastx [43].

The consensus sequence for full-length L1 elements was constructed by converting

the BED file into FASTA format with fastaFromBed [42]. These consensus

sequences were aligned to Ribo-seq reads (single-end, 50 bp) using Bowtie (v1.0.1)

using the best parameter, across conditions of proliferation and senescence.

Uniqueness for 35-mers and alignability for 36-mers with respect to full-length L1

elements in the Ribo-seq data were computed. Normalized coverage for uniquely

mapped reads was obtained using bamCoverage for each condition. Further,

computation of matrix was performed on the bigwig file of each condition by using

computeMatrix [44].

Cell culture, transfection and transduction

BJ cells were purchased from ATCC (ATCC LGC Standards GmbH, Manassa,

Virginia, USA; cat# CRL-2522). BJ-hTERT cells were generated by transduction with

the pWZL-Blast-Flag-HA-hTERT retroviral vector (addgene; cat.# 22396). All cells

were cultured in DMEM high glucose, penicillin (10.000 unit/mL), streptomycin (10

mg/mL), and 10% FCS at 37 °C and 5% CO_2. HEK293T cells were transfected with

PWZL-Hygro-H-RAS^{G12V} using PEI. On the following day, the cell culture medium

was changed and 24 hours later a supernatant containing the viral particles was

filtered through a 0.45 μm filter. Viral supernatant and 8 μg/μL polybrene were then

added to the target cells (primary BJ and immortalized BJ-hTERT) for 24 hours.

Lastly, cells were washed three times with DPBS and appropriate selection antibiotic

was added.

qRT-PCR

At 7 days post-transduction (d.p.t.), total RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit

(Qiagen, cat# 74106) following the manufacturer's instructions. RNA quality and

quantity were assessed using a spectrophotometer. Reverse transcription was

performed using the PrimeScript RT Reagent Kit (Perfect Real Time) (TAKARA, cat#

RR037A) with oligo dT primers and random hexamers. Quantitative real-time PCR

(qPCR) was conducted using specific primer/probe sets for *APOBEC3B* (Thermo

Fisher, cat# Hs00358981_m1), with *GAPDH* (Thermo Fisher, cat# Hs02786624_g1)

serving as the internal control. Each sample was analyzed in technical triplicates.

Statistical analysis

Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test, paired t-test and Ordinary one-way ANOVA test were

used for analysis at the transcriptional and translational level. p-values <0.05 were

considered statistically significant.

211 Results

Replicative and oncogene-induced senescence are associated with decreased

expression L1 repressors such as *APOBEC3B*

While L1 activity was shown to be upregulated in senescence [25,31], the

mechanisms underlying L1 activation remained poorly understood. We therefore

commenced this study by determining the expression levels of known L1

suppressors in primary human lung fibroblasts transitioning from a proliferative state

to early and ultimately late replicative senescence. By leveraging a previously

published RNA-seq dataset [25] [GSE109700], we compared the expression of L1

suppressors in proliferative cells relative to both early and late senescent cells taken

together. We found 13 L1 repressors to be significantly downregulated in senescent

cells compared to proliferating cells (Fig. 1A, Additional file 1). The five most strongly

reduced transcripts encode for the deaminase APOBEC3B (log2fc -5.79 & adjusted

p-value 1.28e-04) and the DNA repair proteins BRCA2 (log2fc -4.75 & adjusted p-

value 3.56e-36), FANCD2 (log2fc -4.58 & adjusted p-value 1.51e-38), FANCA (log2fc

-4.57 & adjusted p-value 3.27e-83) and BRCA1 (log2fc -4.51 & adjusted p-value

2.98e-127) (Additional file 1). In contrast, only a single L1 suppressor, SLFN5, was

significantly upregulated during progression to senescence (log2fc 1.45 & adjusted p-

value 2.17e-15) (Additional file 1). The data suggest that progression to senescence

is marked by a significant downregulation of multiple L1 suppressors, particularly

APOBEC3B, BRCA2, FANCD2, FANCA, and BRCA1, while SLFN5 is the only L1

repressor significantly upregulated.

To determine whether a similar expression pattern of L1 inhibitors can also be

observed in other models of senescence, we determined their expression in cells

undergoing oncogene-induced senescence [25]. To this end, we re-analyzed RNA-

seq data obtained from immortalized human BJ primary fibroblasts [GSE42509], in

which senescence was induced by tamoxifen-inducible expression of the oncogenic

RAS^{G12V} gene [33]. Since this study did not monitor the activity of transposable

elements, we first analyzed the transcription of L1 elements in this data set. As

observed for replicative senescence, L1 expression in BJ fibroblasts was significantly

elevated upon RAS^{G12V}-mediated induction of oncogenic senescence (Fig. 1B).

Furthermore, oncogene-induced senescence was also associated with a significant

decrease in the expression of L1 inhibitors (Fig. 1C, Additional file 2). Intriguingly,

eleven L1 repressors were significantly depleted in both data sets (Additional files 1

and 2, Fig. 1D). In oncogene-induced senescence, the five most strongly depleted

transcripts were *APOBEC3B* (log2FC -6.75 & adjusted p-value 4.08e-11), *FANCD2*

(log2FC -4.84 & adjusted p-value 1.51e-38), *FANCA* (log2FC -4.68 & adjusted p-

value 6.35e-21), *BRCA2* (log2FC -4.02 & adjusted p-value 4.09e-18) and

RNASEH2A (log2FC -3.62 & adjusted p-value 8.21e-12) (Fig. 1C, Additional file 2).

Again, expression of *SLFN5* was modestly increased in senescent vs. proliferating

cells (log2FC 1.20 & adjusted p-value 0.43). These findings indicate that both

replicative and oncogene-induced senescence are marked by a significant

downregulation of multiple L1 inhibitors.

Next, we expanded our analyses to a list of potential L1 regulators identified by Liu

and colleagues [36]. While the activity of many of the identified activators and

inhibitors remains to be experimentally validated, the candidate L1 regulators are the

results of unbiased genome-wide CRISPR screens [36]. Several L1 suppressors

were down-regulated in cells progressing from proliferation to senescence (Additional

files 3 and 4). This was particularly evident for cells undergoing replicative

senescence (Additional file 3B). Surprisingly, several L1 activators also showed

reduced expression in cells transitioning to (replicative) senescence (Additional file

3C, D).

Since *APOBEC3B* was the most strongly down-regulated repressor in both

replicative and oncogene-induced senescence (Fig. 1A, C, Additional files 1, and 2),

we validated its differential expression via qRT-PCR. To this end, we took advantage

of the RAS^{G12V} fibroblast model, including telomerase reverse transcriptase (hTERT)

positive and negative cells. As previously described [94,95], hTERT-negative BJ cells

show signatures of premature senescence 7 days post transduction with RAS^{G12V}. In

contrast, hTERT-immortalized BJ fibroblasts do not enter senescence, but stay in a

growth-delayed state between 7-14 days after Ras^{G12V} expression that is ultimately

overcome [94,95] (Fig. 1E, top). 7 days after Ras^{G12V} expression, *APOBEC3B* levels

decreased 1.8-fold in presenescent, telomerase-negative cells. In contrast, a 1.4-fold

reduction of *APOBEC3B* expression was observed in hTERT-expressing cells (Fig.

1E, bottom). Together, these findings demonstrate that transition of proliferating cells

to oncogene-induced and replicative (pre)senescence is associated with reduced

expression of the restriction factors *APOBEC3B* and a variety of other L1 inhibitors.

Expression of Alu element subfamilies is increased in senescent cells.

Many L1 repressors also restrict other transposable elements. For example,

APOBEC3 family members, including APOBEC3B [16,19,27,45–47], also suppress

Alu retrotransposition, and a subset of Alu repeats is bound by TRIM28 [27,45]. We

therefore expanded our analyses to different Alu elements, which belong to the group

of short interspersed nuclear elements (SINEs). These include the two major Alu

subfamilies AluJ and AluS [48], as well as AluY, a sub-subfamily of AluS [49].

Expression of all three Alu subfamilies was higher in oncogene-induced senescent

cells compared to proliferating cells (p-values < 2.2e-16) (Additional file 4). These

results demonstrate that reduced expression of TE suppressors is not only

associated with increased L1 activity, but also with increased expression of the major

Alu subfamilies.

Senescent cells show reduced signatures of APOBEC-induced L1 RNA editing

APOBEC3B, the most strongly downregulated repressor in senescent vs.

proliferating cells (Fig. 1A, C, Additional files 1 and 2), is able to deaminate both RNA

and DNA [50]. Although APOBEC3 proteins are able to restrict L1 independently of

their deaminase activity [14,15,20], we therefore hypothesized that the reduction of

APOBEC3B expression may coincide with decreased RNA editing in cells

transitioning to senescence. To test this hypothesis, we examined APOBEC3-

mediated RNA editing profiles in cells progressing to oncogene-induced senescence

[33]. We employed RNA-seq datasets for identification of RNA editing profiles. We

incorporated mapped RNA-seq reads to execute local realignment, base-score

recalibaration and candidate variant calling by using GATK toolkit. The obtained

variants were incorporated to into various filtering steps to obtain true variants by

removal of false-positive variant calls. Furthermore, the obtained variants were

directed to filter in L1 elements. Using an in-house pipeline, we retrieved edited sites

for each sample across full-length L1 elements (see Methods). When investigating

the loci of ancient (e.g. L1PA6, L1PA7) and middle-aged L1 subfamilies (e.g. L1PA2,

L1PA3, L1PA4, L1PA5), which are not capable of retrotransposition, we observed no

significant difference in the editing frequency between proliferating and senescent

cells (Fig. 2A). In contrast, the evolutionary youngest and most active L1 subfamilies

(e.g. L1Hs, L1PA1) showed an ~8 fold reduced editing frequency in senescent vs.

non-senescent cells (Fig. 2B) (p-value = 0.024). As additional control, we also

monitored editing signatures induced by ADAR (Adenosine Deaminase Acting on

RNA) proteins, which convert adenosine into inosine [51]. ADAR1 and ADAR2

(=ADARB1) both restrict L1 [52,53], and ADARB1 was significantly downregulated in

senescent vs. non-senescent cells (Additional files 1 and 2). However, we found no

significant changes in ADAR-mediated editing signatures within L1 elements (Fig.

2C, D). In summary, our RNA editing analyses point towards a reduced mutagenic

activity of at least one APOBEC3 protein in senescent cells.

Translation of L1 ORFs is increased in senescent cells

Increased L1 transcription and decreased L1 RNA editing in senescent cells are

predicted to result in increased translation of L1 ORFs. We therefore examined

publicly available Ribo-seq datasets of immortalized human primary fibroblasts in

proliferative and senescent (oncogene-induced) states [33]. Due to the short

sequencing reads (29-35 bps), the analysis of Ribo-seq datasets for TEs, especially

the youngest ones, poses major alignability and mappability challenges [54]. To

overcome these challenges, we aligned the Ribo-seq datasets with the full length L1

consensus sequence using an in-house pipeline and compared it to the genome-

wide 35 bps unique mappability/alignability of full-length L1 elements. After

calculating Ribo-seq coverage (see Methods), we were able to identify a few hundred

mappable reads over the full-length L1 consensus sequence comprising both ORFs

with a similar pattern of 35 bps mappability over different L1 elements (Fig. 2E). As

expected, stronger signals were observed around the translation start sites (TSS)

and translation end sites (TES), where ribosomes briefly pause at the start and stop

codons, respectively (Fig. 2F). Taken together, the results demonstrate that

progression to senescence is not only associated with increased transcription, but

also increased translation of L1 elements.

Figures and figure legends

Fig. 1: Decreased expression of L1 repressors in senescent cells. (A) Expression of

known L1 suppressors in human lung fibroblasts transitioning from a proliferative state to

early and late replicative senescence (see also Additional file 1). Expression relative to the

mean of all three conditions is shown. A paired t-test was performed to determine statistically

significant differences between proliferating and senescent (early+late) cells (***p<0.001).

(B) Expression of full-length L1 elements is significantly increased in senescent vs.

proliferating cells (p-value < 2.2e-16). (**C**) Expression of known L1 suppressors in BJ

fibroblasts before and after RAS^{G12V}-mediated induction senescence. The analyses in (A, B,

and C) are based on publicly available RNA-seq datasets (GSE109700 and GSE42509,

respectively). **(D)** Venn diagram illustrating the overlap of known L1 suppressors with genes

that are down-modulated during transition to replicative and/or oncogene-induced

senescence. **(E)** Cartoon illustrating the transduction process of hTERT-negative and

hTERT-immortalized BJ fibroblast cells is shown on top. *APOBEC3B* mRNA levels upon

transduction with lentiviruses encoding the oncogene RAS^{G12V} or the respective control (EV)

are shown at the bottom. Mean values of four independent experiments ± SEM are shown

(*p<0.05; NS not significant, d.p.t. days post-transduction)

Fig. 2: Editing and translation of L1 RNA in senescent vs. proliferating cells. (A)

Frequency of APOBEC3-mediated editing of ancient (e.g. L1PA6, L1PA7) and middle-aged

(e.g. L1PA2, L1PA3, L1PA4, L1PA5) L1 subfamilies. **(B)** Frequency of APOBEC3-mediated

editing of the youngest L1 loci (e.g. L1HS), which are still capable of retrotransposition (p-

value=0.02403). **(C)** Frequency of ADAR-mediated editing of ancient (e.g. L1PA6, L1PA7)

and middle-aged (e.g. L1PA2, L1PA3, L1PA4, L1PA5) L1 subfamilies. **(D)** Frequency of

ADAR-mediated editing of the youngest L1 loci (e.g. L1HS). Statistically significant

differences in editing frequency were calculated using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test. The

analyses in (A-D) are based on publicly available RNA-seq datasets (GSE42509. **(E) & (F)**

Coverage-plot demonstrating the enrichment of Ribo-seq reads within L1 in senescence vs.

proliferation. While (E) describes the enrichment for alignability, (F) describes the enrichment

for uniqueness. Analyses in (E) and (F) are based on Ribo-seq datasets obtained from [33].

Discussion

Our study provides insights into the expression dynamics of TE regulators in presenescent and senescent cells that may enable the derepression of L1 and/or Alu elements and ultimately contribute to an irreversible cell cycle arrest and inflammaging. By analyzing publicly available RNA-seq datasets, we reveal a consistent decrease in the expression of various TE inhibitors in two major subtypes of senescence, i.e. replicative and oncogene-induced senescence. Vice versa, several TE activators are upregulated in (pre)senescent cells. These expression changes of TE modulators are tightly coupled with increased transcription and/or translation of L1 and Alu elements. Thus, our findings suggest that a major dysregulation of TE-regulating factors contributes to L1 and Alu derepression in cells transitioning from a proliferating to a senescent state.

Among the commonly down-regulated inhibitors were transcriptional repressors such as TRIM28/KAP1, DNA replication regulators such as MCM2, but also several RNAses (e.g. RNASEH2A) and DNA repair proteins (e.g. FANCA, FANCD2, FANCC, FANCI, BRCA1, BRCA2) (Fig. 1A, C, Additional files 1 and 2). The most strongly down-regulated L1 repressor was APOBEC3B. This deaminase is well known for its ability to restrict exogenous retroviruses such as HIV by inducing lethal hypermutations in the viral genome [55]. While it also restricts L1 elements, this inhibitory activity is independent of RNA editing [56]. Still, we observed signatures of APOBEC3-mediated editing of L1 transcripts. APOBEC3B was the only APOBEC3 family member significantly downregulated in oncogene-induced and replicative senescence (Additional files 1 and 2), strongly suggesting that it was responsible for the observed L1 editing and suggesting a shared regulatory mechanism which in turn driving L1 activation in senescence. One interesting aspect in this context is that in

contrast to other *APOBEC3* genes, *APOBEC3B* is not IFN-inducible [57]. Thus, its

expression is not expected to be increased upon L1-mediated IFN production.

Our analysis of RNA editing profiles in cells progressing to oncogene-induced

senescence revealed distinct patterns among L1 subfamilies. Specifically, the

evolutionarily youngest and most active L1 subfamilies, such as L1HS and L1PA1,

exhibited approximately a ~8 fold reduction in editing frequency in senescent cells

compared to non-senescent cells (Fig. 2B). In contrast, no significant differences in

editing frequency were observed at loci corresponding to ancient (e.g., L1PA6,

L1PA7) and middle-aged L1 subfamilies (e.g., L1PA2, L1PA3, L1PA4, L1PA5), which

are no longer capable of retrotransposition. These findings suggest that the reduced

RNA editing activity in senescent cells primarily affects the youngest and most active

L1 elements, potentially limiting their mutagenic potential during senescence. This

observation aligns with our hypothesis that the activity of APOBEC3 proteins, which

are key mediators of L1 RNA editing, is diminished in (pre)senescent cells, thereby

contributing to a reduced mutagenic burden in this state.

The reduction of various host factors that inhibit different steps of L1

retrotransposition suggests that L1 elements are not only transcribed, but also

translated when cells progress to a senescent state [58]. Indeed, ribosomal profiling

analyses demonstrate that L1 ORFs are translated in senescent cells. As we only

analyzed retrotransposition-competent copies of full-length L1 elements, the above

results suggest that senescent cells are characterized by increased 'jumping' of L1.

Since Alu elements depend on L1 for their transposition and since L1 inhibitors

frequently also restrict Alu repeats [59,60,61,62], it is not surprising that expression of

the latter is also increased in senescent vs. proliferating cells. Notably, this was not

only the case for the youngest and most active sub-subfamily AluY, but also the

oldest subfamily AluJ.

Since TEs of (pre)senescent cells are derepressed at both the transcriptional and

post-transcriptional level, it is tempting to speculate that they promote senescence

via two independent mechanisms. First, TE-derived nucleic acids can trigger innate

sensing cascades that induce inflammation, IFN secretion and ultimately

inflammaging. This proinflammatory cascade has been rigorously documented in the

context of aging in murine and human cells [25,63,64]. Second, the retrotransposition

of L1 and other mobile genetic elements directly induces DNA damage. Since

several factors involved in DNA damage repair are downregulated in (pre)senescent

cells (e.g. BRCA1, BRCA2, FANCA, FANCD2, etc.), these cells may not be able to

repair the damage in a timely manner, which might ultimately lead to a permanent

cell-cycle arrest.

Conclusions

Our findings not only shed light on the differential expression of L1 modulators during

the transition of cells to senescence, but also highlight the dual role that TE activation

may play in senescence. On the one hand, our study supports a model, in which

increased transcription of transposable elements, including L1 and Alu elements, is

sensed and triggers IFN-mediated inflammaging. On the other hand, our analysis of

Ribo-seq data revealed an increased translation of L1 elements that may enable L1

retrotransposition and ultimately induce genotoxic stress that further promotes

transition to senescence. It will be important to decipher the relative contribution of

these two mechanisms to senescence. Furthermore, future studies should aim at

alleviating the detrimental effects of TEs on cellular senescence, organismal aging

and aging-associated diseases.

List of abbreviations

ADAR: Adenosine Deaminase Acting on RNA

Alu: *Arthrobacter luteus*

APOBEC3:   Apolipoprotein B mRNA Editing Catalytic Polypeptide-like

bps: Base pairs

BRCA: Breast cancer gene

CPM: Counts per million

ES: Embryonic stem fibroblasts

EV: Empty vector

FANC: Fanconi anemia complementation group (FANC)

FPKM: Fragments Per Kilobase of transcript per Million mapped

463   reads

GAPDH: Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase

GATK: Genome Analysis Toolkit

IFN: Interferon

L1:   Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements-1

KAP1:   KRAB-Associated Protein 1

KRAB-ZNF: Krüppel-Associated Box Zinc Finger Protein

log2fc: Log2 fold change

MCM2:   Minichromosome Maintenance Complex Component 2

MOV10:   Moloney leukemia virus 10

MPP8:   M-Phase Phosphoprotein 8

474	***ORF:***	Open reading frame
475	***qRT-PCR:***	Quantitative Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction
476	***RAS^{G12V}:***	Rat sarcoma mutant G12V
477	***Ribo-seq:***	Ribosome Profiling Sequencing
478	***RNA-seq:***	RNA sequencing
479	***RNASEH2A:***	Ribonuclease H2 Subunit A
480	***SASP:***	Senescence-associated secretory phenotype
481	***SETDB1:***	Su(var)3-9, Enhancer-of-zeste, and Trithorax Domain Bifurcated
482		Histone Lysine Methyltransferase 1
483	***SINE:***	Short interspersed nuclear element
484	***TASOR:***	Transcription Activation Suppressor
485	***TE:***	Transposable element
486	***TREX1:***	Three prime repair exonuclease 1
487	***TRIM28:***	Tripartite motif-containing protein 28
488	***UCSC:***	University of California, Santa Cruz
489	***UHRF1:***	Ubiquitin like with PHD and ring finger domains 1

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

493   Not Applicable.

Consent for publication

495   Not Applicable.

Availability of data and materials

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article and its supplementary information files. No new large datasets were generated or uploaded to repositories. The sources of publicly available datasets that were analyzed in the present study are provided in this article.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Funding

DS was supported by the Heisenberg Program (grant ID: SA 2676/3-1) and CRC1506 ("Aging at Interfaces") of the German Research Foundation (DFG).

Authors' contributions

A.A. conceptualized the study and performed most of the computational analyses. D.M. performed the qRT-PCR experiments. G.N. modified and provided BJ cells. D.S. and C.G. provided resources and acquired funding. A.A., D.S., M.S. and D.M. prepared the figures, and A.A. & M.S. wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors reviewed and edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Isabell Haußmann for excellent technical assistance.

Additional files

Additional file 1. List of previously described L1 suppressors and their differential expression in replicative senescence

Symbol	BaseMean	log2FoldChange (Senescent vs. non-senescent)	lfcSE	stat	pvalue	padj	PMIDs
ADAR	13593.70544	-0.1767522525	0.1036717693	-1.704921732	0.08820902262	0.2020137145	[52,53]
ADARB1	7923.720891	-1.135034549	0.230490103	-4.924439418	8.46E-07	5.84E-06	[52]
APOBEC3A	0.1771823279	-1.285752751	3.470979875	-0.3704293306	0.7110626231	0.8275012857	[17,65–67]
APOBEC3B	207.4065383	-5.79319621	1.367403086	-4.23664117	2.27E-05	1.28E-04	[12–16]
APOBEC3C	1361.025833	0.2410312535	0.2173211663	1.109101601	0.2673863542	0.4647505347	[20]
APOBEC3F	51.2280652	0.4755750593	0.3508502683	1.355492933	0.1752605586	0.3414929439	[15,20]
APOBEC3G	129.3980821	0.2909960237	0.6001933883	0.4848371032	6.28E-01	7.79E-01	[19], [47]
BRCA1	1407.430926	-4.512747793	0.1861865152	-24.23778	8.90E-130	2.98E-127	[68]
BRCA2	739.0177882	-4.747624492	0.3692232744	-12.85841067	7.71E-38	3.56E-36	[68,69]
CDK12	2729.584381	-0.3958855794	0.07516753684	-5.266709487	1.39E-07	1.05E-06	[70]
ERCC1	2590.195369	-0.5402738228	0.1691851287	-3.193388373	0.001406136906	0.005646594775	[71–73]
FANCA	859.0160672	-4.567728483	0.2331840498	-19.58851168	1.94E-85	3.27E-83	[29,71]
FANCC	576.3773425	-1.160195003	0.1955136277	-5.934087647	2.95E-09	2.69E-08	[68,70,74]
FANCD2	1704.582755	-4.578016147	0.3447290095	-13.28004322	3.02E-40	1.51E-38	[29,71]
FANCI	2634.783325	-3.87242164	0.1598756147	-24.22146521	1.32E-129	4.39E-127	[68–70,74]
MCM2	3296.152558	-3.886187297	0.1677845159	-23.16177555	1.11E-118	3.17E-116	[75]
MEN1	630.8288085	-0.4623118186	0.1703850649	-2.713335344	6.66E-03	2.26E-02	[68–70,74,76]
MORC2	1785.005624	-0.4624012188	0.1333309595	-3.468070886	5.24E-04	2.32E-03	[36,77]
MOV10	2327.601271	-0.4614617449	0.1198897	-3.849052463	1.19E-04	5.92E-04	[10,78]
PPHLN1	2641.473683	-0.1631561449	0.1763207885	-0.9253369741	3.55E-01	5.62E-01	[79,80]
RNASEH2A	1237.108977	-3.591983858	0.133416489	-26.92308789	1.18E-159	6.70E-157	[81,82]
RNASEH2C	684.8608255	-0.699231256	0.2099357848	-3.330691129	0.000866306685	0.003662943363	[75,82–86]
SAFB	2054.418039	-1.028261863	0.1528576456	-6.726924643	1.73E-11	1.95E-10	[75,83–86]
SETDB1	1429.963425	-0.3200143374	0.15809441	-2.024197677	4.29E-02	1.13E-01	[87–91]
SLFN5	5495.230925	1.451515478	0.1754247483	8.274291354	1.29E-16	2.17E-15	[75,83–85]
SLX4	588.5899453	-1.274654754	0.1839240204	-6.930333247	4.20E-12	5.03E-11	[29,71]
TASOR	4660.656721	0.1989861321	0.12541377	1.586637034	1.13E-01	0.2441933186	[36,77,79,92,93]
TRIM5	1484.227229	-0.4452832903	0.1658357538	-2.685086178	0.007251110699	0.02432820151	[75,83,84]
TRIM28	10838.28195	-1.505814595	0.2386464441	-6.309813672	2.79E-10	2.82E-09	[75,83]

519 **Additional file 2. List of previously described L1 suppressors and their differential**

520 **expression in oncogene-induced senescence**

Symbol	BaseMean	log2FoldChange (Senescent vs. non-senescent)	lfcSE	stat	pvalue	padj	PMID
ADAR	8368.720992	-0.0952368314	0.3484200601	-0.2733391165	0.7845925491	0.9379457021	[52,53]
ADARB1	784.1280316	-1.794027878	0.4824583062	-3.718513818	0.0002003983319	0.004907446132	[52]
APOBEC3B	310.1567351	-6.752480232	0.9267465089	-7.286221386	3.19E-13	4.08E-11	[12–16]
APOBEC3C	1932.589211	1.042916509	0.3744387161	2.785279577	5.35E-03	6.49E-02	[20]
APOBEC3F	96.05824889	-1.044669274	0.5519057116	-1.892840121	5.84E-02	0.3284414554	[15]
APOBEC3G	58.77648727	-1.272260731	0.7374265801	-1.725271051	0.08447863646	0.4083211076	[15,19,47]
BRCA1	1153.869341	-3.293584005	0.390952605	-8.42450968	3.62E-17	7.06E-15	[68]
BRCA2	638.2982856	-4.015285616	0.4324966275	-9.283969772	1.63E-20	4.09E-18	[68,69]
CDK12	3317.522914	0.04627908005	0.3399569552	0.136132176	0.8917167915	0.9751049779	[70]
ERCC1	2786.928375	1.293056276	0.3783880289	3.417275856	0.0006325115405	0.0126031845	[71–73]
FANCA	1279.213077	-4.675477182	0.4690577733	-9.967806629	2.11E-23	6.35E-21	[29,71]
FANCC	277.9076235	-1.782393688	0.5618803281	-3.172194504	0.001512916418	0.02486001012	[68,70,74]
FANCD2	829.1968194	-4.839139327	0.4218704277	-11.4706768	1.85E-30	9.82E-28	[29,71]
FANCI	2143.908685	-3.427379534	0.3631840345	-9.43703249	3.83E-21	9.78E-19	[68–70,74]
MCM2	3052.158556	-3.440282643	0.4890269477	-7.034955148	1.99E-12	2.42E-10	[75]
MEN1	1266.918142	-0.1952086275	0.3569306757	-0.54690908	5.84E-01	9.14E-01	[68–70,74,76]
MORC2	1392.51223	-0.09762543581	0.34667252	-0.2816070792	0.7782448114	0.9368147665	[36,77]
MOV10	1887.955436	0.0634066136	0.3514920582	0.1803927347	0.8568442577	0.9660164808	[10,78]
PPHLN1	1367.840596	0.4616055898	0.4073374611	1.133226462	2.57E-01	7.20E-01	[79,80]
RNASEH2A	1406.277496	-3.624748877	0.4825712269	-7.51132408	5.85E-14	8.21E-12	[81,82]
RNASEH2C	793.4435244	0.2589269923	0.3757228108	0.6891436583	4.91E-01	8.96E-01	[75,82–86]
SAFB	2466.688488	-1.186810605	0.4269607716	-2.779671303	0.005441394536	0.06559601113	[75,83–86]
SETDB1	724.7476742	-0.3170419275	0.371907219	-0.8524758633	0.3939500299	0.8434003343	[87–91]
SLFN5	4231.544048	1.199170999	0.71235 1448	1.683397994	0.09229807134	0.4295928367	[75,83–85]
SLX4	896.9424992	-0.3033578976	0.420107135	-0.7220965137	0.4702351415	0.8843501764	[29,71]
TASOR	2524.530699	-0.3932232306	0.4047780991	-0.97145382	3.31E-01	7.98E-01	[36,77,79,92,93]

TRIM5	759.3398736	-1.336946212	0.3767997659	-3.54816094	0.0003879311041	0.008439967853	[75,83,84]
TRIM28	11634.48129	-1.256029245	0.3900891791	-3.219851542	0.001282569985	0.02184652445	[75,83]

523 **Additional file 3: Differential expression of L1 regulators in senescent vs. proliferating**

524 **cells. (A-D)** Heatmaps illustrate the differential expression of (A, B) L1 suppressors and (C,

525   D) L1 activators identified in a CRISPR/Cas screen by Liu and colleagues [36] in senescent

526   vs. proliferating cells. Data were obtained from cells undergoing (A, C) oncogene-induced

527   senescence [33] or (B, D) replicative senescence [25].

A

B

C

Additional file 4: Expression of Alu elements is significantly increased in senescent

vs. proliferating cells. (A-C) Expression of (A) AluY, (B) AluS and (C) AluJ elements in

human BJ primary fibroblasts transitioning from a proliferating state to RAS^{G12V}-induced

senescence [33]. p-values were calculated using the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test.

References

1. Mills RE, Bennett EA, Iskow RC, Devine SE. Which transposable elements are active in

the human genome? Trends Genet. 2007;23:183–91.

2. Kazazian HH Jr, Moran JV. Mobile DNA in Health and Disease. N Engl J Med.

2017;377:361–70.

3. Lander ES, Linton LM, Birren B, Nusbaum C, Zody MC, Baldwin J, et al. Initial sequencing

and analysis of the human genome. Nature. 2001;409:860–921.

4. Salter JD, Bennett RP, Smith HC. The APOBEC Protein Family: United by Structure,

Divergent in Function. Trends Biochem Sci. 2016;41:578–94.

5. Dewannieux M, Esnault C, Heidmann T. LINE-mediated retrotransposition of marked Alu

sequences. Nat Genet. 2003;35:41–8.

6. Rowe HM, Jakobsson J, Mesnard D, Rougemont J, Reynard S, Aktas T, et al. KAP1

controls endogenous retroviruses in embryonic stem cells. Nature. 2010;463:237–40.

7. Seczynska M, Bloor S, Cuesta SM, Lehner PJ. Genome surveillance by HUSH-mediated

silencing of intronless mobile elements. Nature. 2022;601:440–5.

8. Mathavarajah S, Dellaire G. LINE-1: an emerging initiator of cGAS-STING signalling and

inflammation that is dysregulated in disease. Biochem Cell Biol. 2024;102:38–46.

9. Stetson DB, Ko JS, Heidmann T, Medzhitov R. Trex1 prevents cell-intrinsic initiation of

autoimmunity. Cell. 2008;134:587–98.

10. Liu Q, Yi D, Ding J, Mao Y, Wang S, Ma L, et al. MOV10 recruits DCP2 to decap human

LINE-1 RNA by forming large cytoplasmic granules with phase separation properties. EMBO

Rep. 2023;24:e56512.

11. Arora R, Bodak M, Penouty L, Hackman C, Ciaudo C. Sequestration of LINE-1 in

cytosolic aggregates by MOV10 restricts retrotransposition. EMBO Rep. 2022;23:e54458.

12. Wissing S, Montano M, Garcia-Perez JL, Moran JV, Greene WC. Endogenous

APOBEC3B restricts LINE-1 retrotransposition in transformed cells and human embryonic

stem cells. J Biol Chem. 2011;286:36427–37.

13. Lovsin N, Peterlin BM. APOBEC3 proteins inhibit LINE-1 retrotransposition in the

absence of ORF1p binding. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2009;1178:268–75.

14. Muckenfuss H, Hamdorf M, Held U, Perković M, Löwer J, Cichutek K, et al. APOBEC3

proteins inhibit human LINE-1 retrotransposition. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:22161–72.

15. Stenglein MD, Harris RS. APOBEC3B and APOBEC3F inhibit L1 retrotransposition by a

DNA deamination-independent mechanism. J Biol Chem. 2006;281:16837–41.

16. Bogerd HP, Wiegand HL, Hulme AE, Garcia-Perez JL, O'Shea KS, Moran JV, et al.

Cellular inhibitors of long interspersed element 1 and Alu retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad

Sci U S A. 2006;103:8780–5.

17. Richardson SR, Narvaiza I, Planegger RA, Weitzman MD, Moran JV. APOBEC3A

deaminates transiently exposed single-strand DNA during LINE-1 retrotransposition. Elife.

2014;3:e02008.

18. Liang W, Xu J, Yuan W, Song X, Zhang J, Wei W, et al. APOBEC3DE Inhibits LINE-1

Retrotransposition by Interacting with ORF1p and Influencing LINE Reverse Transcriptase

Activity. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0157220.

19. Koyama T, Arias JF, Iwabu Y, Yokoyama M, Fujita H, Sato H, et al. APOBEC3G

oligomerization is associated with the inhibition of both Alu and LINE-1 retrotransposition.

PLoS One. 2013;8:e84228.

20. Horn AV, Klawitter S, Held U, Berger A, Vasudevan AAJ, Bock A, et al. Human LINE-1

restriction by APOBEC3C is deaminase independent and mediated by an ORF1p interaction

that affects LINE reverse transcriptase activity. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:396–416.

21. Prior S, Miousse IR, Nzabarushimana E, Pathak R, Skinner C, Kutanzi KR, et al. Densely

ionizing radiation affects DNA methylation of selective LINE-1 elements. Environ Res.

2016;150:470–81.

22. Singh A, Rappolee DA, Ruden DM. Epigenetic Reprogramming in and : From

Fertilization to Primordial Germ Cell Development. Cells [Internet]. 2023;12. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells12141874

23. Min B, Jeon K, Park JS, Kang Y-K. Demethylation and derepression of genomic

retroelements in the skeletal muscles of aged mice. Aging Cell. 2019;18:e13042.

24. Ndhlovu LC, Bendall ML, Dwaraka V, Pang APS, Dopkins N, Carreras N, et al. Retro-

age: A unique epigenetic biomarker of aging captured by DNA methylation states of

retroelements. Aging Cell. 2024;23:e14288.

25. De Cecco M, Ito T, Petrashen AP, Elias AE, Skvir NJ, Criscione SW, et al. L1 drives IFN

in senescent cells and promotes age-associated inflammation. Nature. 2019;566:73–8.

26. Simon M, Van Meter M, Ablaeva J, Ke Z, Gonzalez RS, Taguchi T, et al. LINE1

Derepression in Aged Wild-Type and SIRT6-Deficient Mice Drives Inflammation. Cell Metab.

2019;29:871–85.e5.

27. Zarneshan SN, Fakhri S, Bachtel G, Bishayee A. Exploiting pivotal mechanisms behind

the senescence-like cell cycle arrest in cancer. Adv Protein Chem Struct Biol. 2023;135:1–

19.

28. Kumari R, Jat P. Mechanisms of Cellular Senescence: Cell Cycle Arrest and Senescence

Associated Secretory Phenotype. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;9:645593.

29. Brégnard C, Guerra J, Déjardin S, Passalacqua F, Benkirane M, Laguette N.

Upregulated LINE-1 Activity in the Fanconi Anemia Cancer Susceptibility Syndrome Leads to

Spontaneous Pro-inflammatory Cytokine Production. EBioMedicine. 2016;8:184–94.

30. da Silva PFL, Ogrodnik M, Kucheryavenko O, Glibert J, Miwa S, Cameron K, et al. The

bystander effect contributes to the accumulation of senescent cells in vivo. Aging Cell.

2019;18:e12848.

31. Ramini D, Latini S, Giuliani A, Matacchione G, Sabbatinelli J, Mensà E, et al. Replicative

Senescence-Associated LINE1 Methylation and LINE1-Alu Expression Levels in Human

Endothelial Cells. Cells [Internet]. 2022;11. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells11233799

32. Tang H, Yang J, Xu J, Zhang W, Geng A, Jiang Y, et al. The transcription factor PAX5

activates human LINE1 retrotransposons to induce cellular senescence. EMBO Rep.

2024;25:3263–75.

33. Loayza-Puch F, Drost J, Rooijers K, Lopes R, Elkon R, Agami R. p53 induces

transcriptional and translational programs to suppress cell proliferation and growth. Genome

Biol. 2013;14:R32.

34. Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast

universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics. 2013;29:15–21.

35. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. featureCounts: an efficient general purpose program for

assigning sequence reads to genomic features. Bioinformatics. 2014;30:923–30.

36. Liu N, Lee CH, Swigut T, Grow E, Gu B, Bassik MC, et al. Selective silencing of

euchromatic L1s revealed by genome-wide screens for L1 regulators. Nature. 2018;553:228–

32.

37. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods.

2012;9:357–9.

38. Trapnell C, Williams BA, Pertea G, Mortazavi A, Kwan G, van Baren MJ, et al. Transcript

assembly and quantification by RNA-Seq reveals unannotated transcripts and isoform

switching during cell differentiation. Nat Biotechnol. 2010;28:511–5.

39. Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, Roskin KM, Pringle TH, Zahler AM, et al. The human

genome browser at UCSC. Genome Res. 2002;12:996–1006.

40. McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, Sivachenko A, Cibulskis K, Kernytsky A, et al. The

Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA

sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20:1297–303.

41. Piskol R, Ramaswami G, Li JB. Reliable identification of genomic variants from RNA-seq

data. Am J Hum Genet. 2013;93:641–51.

42. Quinlan AR, Hall IM. BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic

features. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:841–2.

43. Kim D, Pertea G, Trapnell C, Pimentel H, Kelley R, Salzberg SL. TopHat2: accurate

alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions.

Genome Biol. 2013;14:R36.

44. Ramírez F, Dündar F, Diehl S, Grüning BA, Manke T. deepTools: a flexible platform for

exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42:W187–91.

45. Turelli P, Castro-Diaz N, Marzetta F, Kapopoulou A, Raclot C, Duc J, et al. Interplay of

TRIM28 and DNA methylation in controlling human endogenous retroelements. Genome

Res. 2014;24:1260–70.

46. Orecchini E, Frassinelli L, Galardi S, Ciafrè SA, Michienzi A. Post-transcriptional

regulation of LINE-1 retrotransposition by AID/APOBEC and ADAR deaminases.

Chromosome Res. 2018;26:45–59.

47. Khatua AK, Taylor HE, Hildreth JEK, Popik W. Inhibition of LINE-1 and Alu

retrotransposition by exosomes encapsidating APOBEC3G and APOBEC3F. Virology.

2010;400:68–75.

48. Jurka J, Smith T. A fundamental division in the Alu family of repeated sequences. Proc

Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1988;85:4775–8.

49. Batzer MA, Deininger PL, Hellmann-Blumberg U, Jurka J, Labuda D, Rubin CM, et al.

Standardized nomenclature for Alu repeats. J Mol Evol. 1996;42:3–6.

50. Sanchez A, Ortega P, Sakhtemani R, Manjunath L, Oh S, Bournique E, et al. Mesoscale

DNA features impact APOBEC3A and APOBEC3B deaminase activity and shape tumor

mutational landscapes. Nat Commun. 2024;15:2370.

51. Savva YA, Rieder LE, Reenan RA. The ADAR protein family. Genome Biol. 2012;13:252.

52. Frassinelli L, Orecchini E, Al-Wardat S, Tripodi M, Mancone C, Doria M, et al. The RNA

editing enzyme ADAR2 restricts L1 mobility. RNA Biol. 2021;18:75–87.

53. Orecchini E, Doria M, Antonioni A, Galardi S, Ciafrè SA, Frassinelli L, et al. ADAR1

restricts LINE-1 retrotransposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2017;45:155–68.

54. Choudhary S, Li W, D Smith A. Accurate detection of short and long active ORFs using

Ribo-seq data. Bioinformatics. 2020;36:2053–9.

55. Doehle BP, Schäfer A, Cullen BR. Human APOBEC3B is a potent inhibitor of HIV-1

infectivity and is resistant to HIV-1 Vif. Virology. 2005;339:281–8.

56. Kinomoto M, Kanno T, Shimura M, Ishizaka Y, Kojima A, Kurata T, et al. All APOBEC3

family proteins differentially inhibit LINE-1 retrotransposition. Nucleic Acids Res.

2007;35:2955–64.

57. Covino DA, Gauzzi MC, Fantuzzi L. Understanding the regulation of APOBEC3

expression: Current evidence and much to learn. J Leukoc Biol. 2018;103:433–44.

58. Rosser JM, An W. L1 expression and regulation in humans and rodents. Front Biosci

(Elite Ed). 2012;4:2203–25.

59. Stenz L. The L1-dependant and Pol III transcribed Alu retrotransposon, from its discovery

to innate immunity. Mol Biol Rep. 2021;48:2775–89.

60. Callinan PA, Wang J, Herke SW, Garber RK, Liang P, Batzer MA. Alu retrotransposition-

mediated deletion. J Mol Biol. 2005;348:791–800.

61. Wang K, Li Y, Dai C, Wang K, Yu J, Tan Y, et al. Characterization of the relationship

between APOBEC3B deletion and ACE Alu insertion. PLoS One. 2013;8:e64809.

62. Unger MA, Nathanson KL, Calzone K, Antin-Ozerkis D, Shih HA, Martin AM, et al.

Screening for genomic rearrangements in families with breast and ovarian cancer identifies

BRCA1 mutations previously missed by conformation-sensitive gel electrophoresis or

sequencing. Am J Hum Genet. 2000;67:841–50.

63. Reid Cahn A, Bhardwaj N, Vabret N. Dark genome, bright ideas: Recent approaches to

harness transposable elements in immunotherapies. Cancer Cell. 2022;40:792–7.

64. Gazquez-Gutierrez A, Witteveldt J, R Heras S, Macias S. Sensing of transposable

elements by the antiviral innate immune system. RNA. 2021;27:735–52.

65. Bulliard Y, Narvaiza I, Bertero A, Peddi S, Röhrig UF, Ortiz M, et al. Structure-function

analyses point to a polynucleotide-accommodating groove essential for APOBEC3A

restriction activities. J Virol. 2011;85:1765–76.

66. Miyoshi T, Makino T, Moran JV. Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase 2 Recruits Replication

Protein A to Sites of LINE-1 Integration to Facilitate Retrotransposition. Mol Cell.

2019;75:1286–98.e12.

67. Mitra M, Hercík K, Byeon I-JL, Ahn J, Hill S, Hinchee-Rodriguez K, et al. Structural

determinants of human APOBEC3A enzymatic and nucleic acid binding properties. Nucleic

Acids Res. 2014;42:1095–110.

68. Carugno M, Maggioni C, Crespi E, Bonzini M, Cuocina S, Dioni L, et al. Night Shift Work,

DNA Methylation and Telomere Length: An Investigation on Hospital Female Nurses. Int J

Environ Res Public Health [Internet]. 2019;16. Available from:

http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16132292

69. Ketola K, Kaljunen H, Taavitsainen S, Kaarijärvi R, Järvelä E, Rodríguez-Martín B, et al.

Subclone Eradication Analysis Identifies Targets for Enhanced Cancer Therapy and Reveals

L1 Retrotransposition as a Dynamic Source of Cancer Heterogeneity. Cancer Res.

2021;81:4901–9.

70. Bamberger C, Pankow S, Yates JR 3rd. SMG1 and CDK12 Link ΔNp63α

Phosphorylation to RNA Surveillance in Keratinocytes. J Proteome Res. 2021;20:5347–58.

71. Bona N, Crossan GP. Fanconi anemia DNA crosslink repair factors protect against LINE-

1 retrotransposition during mouse development. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2023;30:1434–45.

72. Servant G, Streva VA, Derbes RS, Wijetunge MI, Neeland M, White TB, et al. The

Nucleotide Excision Repair Pathway Limits L1 Retrotransposition. Genetics. 2017;205:139–

53.

73. Gasior SL, Roy-Engel AM, Deininger PL. ERCC1/XPF limits L1 retrotransposition. DNA

Repair (Amst). 2008;7:983–9.

74. Tristan-Ramos P, Morell S, Sanchez L, Toledo B, Garcia-Perez JL, Heras SR. sRNA/L1

retrotransposition: using siRNAs and miRNAs to expand the applications of the cell culture-

based LINE-1 retrotransposition assay. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.

2020;375:20190346.

75. Xu B, Li X, Zhang S, Lian M, Huang W, Zhang Y, et al. Pan cancer characterization of

genes whose expression has been associated with LINE-1 antisense promoter activity. Mob

DNA. 2023;14:13.

76. Simbolo M, Bilotta M, Mafficini A, Luchini C, Furlan D, Inzani F, et al. Gene Expression

Profiling of Pancreas Neuroendocrine Tumors with Different Ki67-Based Grades. Cancers

(Basel) [Internet]. 2021;13. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092054

77. Pandiloski N, Horváth V, Karlsson O, Koutounidou S, Dorazehi F, Christoforidou G, et al.

DNA methylation governs the sensitivity of repeats to restriction by the HUSH-MORC2

corepressor. Nat Commun. 2024;15:7534.

78. Goodier JL, Wan H, Soares AO, Sanchez L, Selser JM, Pereira GC, et al. ZCCHC3 is a

stress granule zinc knuckle protein that strongly suppresses LINE-1 retrotransposition. PLoS

Genet. 2023;19:e1010795.

79. Jensvold ZD, Christenson AE, Flood JR, Lewis PW. Interplay between Two Paralogous

Human Silencing Hub (HuSH) Complexes in Regulating LINE-1 Element Silencing [Internet].

bioRxiv. 2024. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/2023.12.28.573526

80. Douse CH, Tchasovnikarova IA, Timms RT, Protasio AV, Seczynska M, Prigozhin DM, et

al. TASOR is a pseudo-PARP that directs HUSH complex assembly and epigenetic

transposon control. Nat Commun. 2020;11:4940.

81. Choi J, Hwang S-Y, Ahn K. Interplay between RNASEH2 and MOV10 controls LINE-1

retrotransposition. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46:1912–26.

82. Pokatayev V, Hasin N, Chon H, Cerritelli SM, Sakhuja K, Ward JM, et al. RNase H2

catalytic core Aicardi-Goutières syndrome-related mutant invokes cGAS-STING innate

immune-sensing pathway in mice. J Exp Med. 2016;213:329–36.

83. Robbez-Masson L, Tie CHC, Conde L, Tunbak H, Husovsky C, Tchasovnikarova IA, et

al. The HUSH complex cooperates with TRIM28 to repress young retrotransposons and new

genes. Genome Res. 2018;28:836–45.

84. Volkmann B, Wittmann S, Lagisquet J, Deutschmann J, Eissmann K, Ross JJ, et al.

Human TRIM5α senses and restricts LINE-1 elements. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.

2020;117:17965–76.

85. Ding J, Wang S, Liu Q, Duan Y, Cheng T, Ye Z, et al. Schlafen-5 inhibits LINE-1

retrotransposition. iScience. 2023;26:107968.

86. Xiong F, Wang R, Lee J-H, Li S, Chen S-F, Liao Z, et al. RNA mA modification

orchestrates a LINE-1-host interaction that facilitates retrotransposition and contributes to

long gene vulnerability. Cell Res. 2021;31:861–85.

87. Fernandes LP, Enriquez-Gasca R, Gould PA, Holt JH, Conde L, Ecco G, et al. A satellite

DNA array barcodes chromosome 7 and regulates totipotency via ZFP819. Sci Adv.

2022;8:eabp8085.

88. Müller I, Moroni AS, Shlyueva D, Sahadevan S, Schoof EM, Radzisheuskaya A, et al.

MPP8 is essential for sustaining self-renewal of ground-state pluripotent stem cells. Nat

Commun. 2021;12:3034.

89. Healton SE, Pinto HD, Mishra LN, Hamilton GA, Wheat JC, Swist-Rosowska K, et al. H1

linker histones silence repetitive elements by promoting both histone H3K9 methylation and

chromatin compaction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117:14251–8.

90. Fukuda K, Shinkai Y. SETDB1-Mediated Silencing of Retroelements. Viruses [Internet].

2020;12. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/v12060596

91. Jurkowska RZ, Qin S, Kungulovski G, Tempel W, Liu Y, Bashtrykov P, et al. H3K14ac is

linked to methylation of H3K9 by the triple Tudor domain of SETDB1. Nat Commun.

2017;8:2057.

92. Danac JMC, Matthews RE, Gungi A, Qin C, Parsons H, Antrobus R, et al. Competition

between two HUSH complexes orchestrates the immune response to retroelement invasion.

Mol Cell. 2024;84:2870–81.e5.

93. Li Z, Duan S, Hua X, Xu X, Li Y, Menolfi D, et al. Asymmetric distribution of parental

H3K9me3 in S phase silences L1 elements. Nature. 2023;623:643–51.

94. Meena, Jitendra, K Lenhard Rudolph, and Cagatay Günes. 2015. "Telomere Dysfunction,

Chromosomal Instability and Cancer." Recent Results in Cancer Research. Fortschritte Der

Krebsforschung. Progres Dans Les Recherches Sur Le Cancer 200: 61–79.

95. Meessen, Sabine, Gregoire Najjar, Anca Azoitei, Sebastian Iben, Christian Bolenz, and

Cagatay Günes. 2022. "A Comparative Assessment of Replication Stress Markers in the

Context of Telomerase." *Cancers* 14 (9). https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers14092205.