Bivariate genome-wide association study reveals polygenic contributions to covariance between total carotenoid and dry matter contents in yellow-fleshed cassava *(Manihot esculenta)*

Seren S. Villwock[1*], Elizabeth Y. Parkes[2], Edwige Gaby Nkouaya Mbanjo[3], Ismail Y. Rabbi[3], Jean-Luc Jannink[1,4]

Author affiliations:

[1] Cornell University, College of Agriculture and Life Sciences, School of Integrative Plant Science, Section of Plant Breeding and Genetics, Emerson Hall, 222 Tower Rd, Ithaca, New York 14853, USA

[2] International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Southern Africa Research & Administration Hub, Plot 1458B, Ngwerere Road, Chongwe District, Lusaka Province, Zambia

[3] International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, Headquarters & West Africa Hub, PMB 5320, Oyo Road, Ibadan 200001, Oyo State, Nigeria

[4] US Department of Agriculture-Agricultural Research Service, Plant, Soil and Nutrition Laboratory, Robert W. Holley Center for Agriculture and Health, 538 Tower Rd, Ithaca, New York 14850, USA

*Author for correspondence:

Seren S Villwock

Tel: +1 512 820 6362

Email: ssv42@cornell.edu

Running title (<50 characters):

Polygenic covariance between carotenoids and dry matter in cassava

Keywords:

Genetic linkage, pleiotropy, GWAS, biofortification

Abstract (< 250 words)

Cassava breeders aim to increase the provitamin A carotenoid content of storage roots to help combat vitamin A deficiency in sub-Saharan Africa, but a negative genetic correlation between total carotenoid (TC) and dry matter (DM) contents hinders breeding efforts. Genetic linkage between a major-effect variant in the *phytoene synthase 2 (PSY2)* gene and nearby candidate gene(s) has been thought to drive this correlation. Evidence from molecular experiments, however, suggest there may be a metabolic relationship between TC and DM, which we predicted would create genome-wide mediated pleiotropy. Bivariate genome-wide associations were used to test the hypothesis of pleiotropy and examine the genetic architecture of the negative covariance between TC and DM. A population of 378 accessions in the yellow-fleshed cassava breeding program at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Ibadan, Nigeria was genotyped with DArTseqLD. TC measured by iCheck™ spectrometer and DM data were available from field trials over ten years across three locations in Nigeria. Mixed linear models controlling for the previously-identified *PSY2* causal variant were used to identify multiple new quantitative trait loci (QTL) jointly associated with both traits. A binomial test with 13 jointly-associated loci identified at a relaxed significance threshold indicated that loci are significantly more likely to affect TC and DM in opposite directions. Even after accounting for the effects of these 13 loci, there was significantly negative polygenic covariance between TC and DM remaining. These findings support the hypothesis that mediated pleiotropy rather than genetic linkage drives the negative genetic correlation between TC and DM in cassava and demonstrate a new application of multivariate GWAS for interrogating the genetic architecture of correlated traits.

Plain language summary (<100 words)

Increasing provitamin A in cassava roots has reduced their dry matter content, making vitamin-enriched cassava varieties less desirable. This study used multi-trait models to identify shared genetic factors, most of which had opposing effects on the two traits. The negative relationship was distributed across the genome, suggesting an inherent physiological trade-off. These findings will guide breeders in developing selection strategies for vitamin-enriched cassava and other starchy crops. More broadly, this study demonstrates the use of multi-trait associations to help distinguish whether traits are associated due to separate, nearby genes (genetic linkage) or if the same genes affect multiple traits (pleiotropy).

Introduction

Vitamin A deficiency is a global public health burden impacting around half of all children in sub-Saharan Africa despite supplementation initiatives (Stevens et al. 2015). Humans require dietary consumption of provitamin A carotenoids to support vision and immune health (Wiseman et al. 2017). Carotenoids are isoprenoid metabolites that are responsible for the yellow, orange, and red pigments in many fruits and vegetables. Certain carotenoids, including β-carotene, α-carotene, and β-cryptoxanthin, are cleaved to form vitamin A in the human body (Perveen et al. 2021). Significant efforts in the last twenty years have focused on biofortifying staple crops with increased carotenoid content to help provide nutritional security for populations at risk for vitamin A deficiencies (Asare-Marfo et al. 2013; Giuliano 2017).

Cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) has been a particular focus of biofortification efforts as a major food security crop in sub-Saharan Africa, where around 100kg is consumed annually per capita (FAO 2021). Cassava is a woody, tropical plant with starchy storage roots that are a staple food consumed by millions of people globally. Though white-fleshed cassava is generally low in micronutrients, yellow-fleshed varieties accumulate low amounts of provitamin A carotenoids, predominantly β-carotene, which increases both its nutritional value and post-harvest storability (Sánchez et al. 2006; Afolami et al. 2021; Esuma et al. 2021). There are now biofortified varieties of cassava with substantial carotenoid content that have been generated through both conventional selection and genetic engineering (Atser 2014; Beyene et al. 2018; Parkes et al. 2020; Abincha et al. 2024).

In several starchy crops, including cassava and sweetpotato, negative correlations between total carotenoid (TC) content and dry matter (DM) content have been widely reported in breeding populations (Akinwale et al. 2010; Cervantes-Flores et al. 2011; Njoku et al. 2015; Esuma, Kawuki, et al. 2016; Rabbi et al. 2017; Gemenet et al. 2020; Parkes et al. 2020; Esuma et al. 2021). Reductions in DM, which is mainly comprised of starch, negatively impact dry yield, texture, and consumer acceptance of new cassava varieties (Tahirou et al. 2015). It is unclear whether the trade-off between TC and DM is caused by genetic linkage or pleiotropy, with evidence supporting both hypotheses (Ceballos et al. 2013; Rabbi et al. 2017; Beyene et al. 2018; Gutschker et al. 2024; Villwock et al. 2024).

Previous genome-wide association studies (GWAS) in cassava have identified a locus at 24.15 Mbp on chromosome 1 that is associated with both TC and DM, contributing 70% and 37% of the variance for those traits, respectively (Rabbi et al. 2017; Rabbi et al. 2022). This locus contains *phytoene synthase 2 (PSY2)*, the rate-limiting enzyme in carotenoid synthesis, harboring a

functionally-validated variant ($C_{572}A$) that increases the catalytic efficiency of PSY2 and promotes

carotenoid accumulation (Welsch et al. 2010). There are also two candidate genes for DM at this

locus, *sucrose synthase* (SUS) and *ADP-glucose pyrophosphorylase (ADP-glc PPase),* although causal

variants in these genes have not been investigated, and the genetic resolution is not high enough to

distinguish whether these quantitative trait loci (QTL) are separate from *PSY2*. Similarly, a locus

near *PSY2* and *SUS* associated with both TC and DM has also been reported in sweetpotato breeding

populations (Gemenet et al. 2020). This evidence strongly supports the hypothesis that an

unfavorable genetic linkage at the chromosome 1 locus drives the trade-off between TC and DM. A

Latin American cassava population that has overcome the negative correlation through recurrent

selection further supports the hypothesis of a breakable genetic linkage (Ceballos et al. 2013).

African cassava breeding programs, however, have not been able to replicate these results despite

continued selection for both traits.

Other evidence points to a pleiotropic effect of *PSY2* on both TC and DM due to a

physiological relationship between the traits. Cassava lines accumulating carotenoids due to

expression of *PSY* and *DXS* transgenes exhibited a 50-60% reduction in starch content, along with

broad metabolic shifts including increases in sucrose, fatty acid content, and abscisic acid (ABA)

content (Beyene et al. 2018). In an African cassava breeding population, yellow-fleshed cassava

genotypes exhibited increased biosynthesis of cell wall components, increased sucrose, and

decreased starch compared to white-fleshed genotypes (Gutschker et al. 2024). Similar metabolic

perturbations have been observed in other crop species engineered to accumulate higher

carotenoid content, including potato, maize, and citrus (Diretto et al. 2010; Li et al. 2012; Cao et al.

2015; Decourcelle et al. 2015).

These data suggest the presence of an inherent metabolic interaction between TC and DM,

for which several possible biological mechanisms have been proposed, including apocarotenoid

signaling and competition for carbon precursors (Olayide et al. 2023; Gutschker et al. 2024;

Villwock et al. 2024). If such a metabolic interaction exists, we would expect multiple genomic

regions associated with TC to exhibit pleiotropic effects on DM, or *vice versa,* depending on the

underlying mechanism*.* Additionally, we would expect a significant majority of associated genetic

variants to affect the traits in opposite directions. Solovieff et al. (2013) define this type of

pleiotropy, based on a causal relationship between traits, as "mediated pleiotropy."

To statistically test the hypothesis of mediated pleiotropy, the identification of more small-

effect QTL is needed. Previous GWAS for TC have primarily detected only the *PSY2* locus on

chromosome 1 that is responsible for the qualitative difference in carotenoid accumulation

between white- and yellow-fleshed cassava, with a few other minor loci were reported on

chromosomes 2, 5, 13, and 15 (Esuma, Herselman, et al. 2016; Rabbi et al. 2017; Ikeogu et al. 2019;

Rabbi et al. 2022). The yellow-fleshed cassava breeding population at the International Institute of

Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Ibadan, Nigeria presents the opportunity to discover new alleles

contributing to quantitative variation in TC and determine if they are jointly associated with DM.

Since yellow-fleshed cassava is part of a separate breeding pipeline, there may be different genetic

variation within the yellow-fleshed population as opposed to between the white and yellow

populations that is overshadowed by the *PSY2* major effect. In addition, the yellow population has

been routinely phenotyped using a spectrophotometric device that measures TC more precisely

than the indirect estimates of TC in previous GWAS studies, which have used either visual color

chart, chromameter measurements, or near-infrared spectroscopy predictions of TC (Jaramillo et al.

2018). Therefore, we genotyped the yellow-fleshed cassava breeding population at IITA and

employed a multivariate GWAS approach to test associations between SNPs and the bivariate

phenotype of TC and DM.

Multivariate associations have increased statistical power compared to univariate

associations when traits are correlated, even if only one phenotype is associated with a given

genetic variant (Stephens 2013; Galesloot et al. 2014). This is a counterintuitive but potentially

helpful property of multivariate analysis when dealing with an unfavorable correlation between

traits because it could facilitate the identification of useful loci that only affect one trait without

negatively impacting the other. In some cases, QTL that are not detectable in univariate associations

can be identified in multivariate associations due to this increased power (Stephens 2013).

A major limitation of most multivariate GWAS methods is the difficulty in interpreting a

significant association with multiple traits, since it is not clear which traits are associated and

whether the associations are direct or indirect (Solovieff et al. 2013; Stephens 2013). A common

approach is to follow multivariate tests with multiple univariate tests to help interpret which of the

traits are associated (Stephens 2013; Taraszka et al. 2022). This approach has limitations because it

loses the statistical power benefit of the multivariate test and fails to account for the trait

correlations. As a result, the univariate tests may result in false-positive associations with traits that

are only indirectly associated with the variant via their correlation with a directly associated trait

(Stephens 2013). Our approach overcomes these challenges of traditional multivariate GWAS by

explicitly estimating the effect of the SNP on each trait and conducting a bivariate test for joint

associations, which facilitates interpretation of how effects on each trait contribute to a

multivariate association.

We further expand on a traditional multivariate GWAS. Rather than focusing on single

associations, we examine the pattern of associations across the genome to help infer the biological

relationship between correlated traits. Specifically, we assess the distribution of jointly-associated

SNPs across the genome to test the hypothesis that TC and DM are genetically correlated only

because of the *PSY2* major effect locus. If this major locus is the sole or primary cause, we expect

that after controlling for the *PSY2* locus and the traits' overall genetic correlation, other loci would

only be associated with a single trait and that their effect directionality would be random.

Alternatively, if TC and DM are genetically correlated due to an inherent metabolic or physiological

relationship, we expect that most QTL associated with one trait would also be associated with the

other trait and would affect the traits in opposite directions. Multivariate models further present a

framework for characterizing the genome-wide contributions to the genetic covariance between

traits.

To distinguish between mediated pleiotropy versus "biological" or direct pleiotropy,

statistical mediation analyses have been used to infer causal relationships between traits (Mackay

and Anholt 2024). With mediation analysis, a regression for one trait is fit conditional on an

associated trait to test for conditional independence and to partition causal effect into direct and

mediated effects (Rockman 2008). Stephens (2013) presented a Bayesian model comparison

framework for determining whether multiple correlated traits that are jointly associated with a

genetic variant are individually associated directly, indirectly, or unassociated. By using Bayesian

model averaging rather than directly fitting each linear model for comparison, the computational

time is greatly reduced, but the proposed algorithm did not allow for the correction of population

structure and genomic relatedness through covariates. To overcome this challenge, we

implemented a frequentist version of the model comparison method described in Stephens (2013)

to distinguish between indirect and direct trait associations while properly accounting for

population structure.

While these statistical association models may not necessarily reflect biological

mechanisms, examining the pattern of associations across the genome provides a framework to

evaluate evidence for biological or mediated pleiotropy as contrasted with genetic linkage.

Determining whether genetic linkage or pleiotropy is involved will inform breeding strategies for

increasing or maintaining both TC and DM, which is critical for the adoption of PVA biofortified

staple crops and shed light on potential metabolic interactions between carotenoids and

carbohydrates.

Methods

Germplasm and genotypic data

A sample of 380 cassava accessions were selected to represent the yellow cassava breeding population at the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA) in Ibadan, Nigeria, including the HarvestPlus (https://www.harvestplus.org/) and the NextGen Cassava project (https://www.nextgencassava.org/) breeding pipelines. The population included recent ancestry from Latin America, with 26 Latin American clones and 34 F1 or F2 hybrids between Latin American and African clones. 78% of the clones were early-stage selections, while the remainder were more advanced selections. 92% of the clones were classified as "cream" or "yellow" flesh types while the remainder were "white" based on visual color rating.

Leaf tissues from the clones were sampled and sent to Intertek (Parkside, SA, Australia) for DNA extraction. DNA was sequenced, aligned to cassava reference genome v6.1, and 12,981 SNPs were called using the DArTSeqLD platform (Diversity Arrays Technology, Bruce, ACT, Australia). These data were combined with existing genotype data for 50 accessions downloaded from Cassavabase (https://cassavabase.org/). The identities of 9 pairs of duplicate genotype records for the same accession were checked with 'bcftools gtcheck' (*bcftools* version 1.18) and highly similar duplicates were removed. Dissimilar duplicates with a high number of mismatching genotypes were both removed, excluding two accessions from further analysis.

Additional and missing SNPs were imputed with Beagle5.0 (Browning et al. 2021) using the R package genomicMateSelectR version 0.2.0 (Wolfe 2022) with a reference panel of 21,856 accessions available on Cassavabase (https://cassavabase.org/ftp/marnin_datasets/nextgenImputation2019/). Then, 7,096 accessions available on Cassavabase with both DArTSeqLD genotypes and KASP marker genotypes for the *PSY2* causal allele at S1_24155522 were joined and phased with Beagle5.0 to create a reference panel for imputing the *PSY2* marker. Following imputation, SNPs were filtered with the following parameters: dosage R^2 (DR2) > 0.75 (with the exception of the *PSY2* marker with DR2 = 0.74), Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium p-value (P_HWE) > 1e-20, and minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.05 for a total of 55,436 biallelic SNPs for 378 unique accessions. Imputed genotypes were rounded to {0, 1, 2}.

Population structure was visualized with principal component (PC) analysis in R using *prcomp()* on the genetic data matrix and *ggplot()* for plotting. The first five PCs were used to account for population structure in the genome-wide associations. An additive genomic relationship matrix calculated using the rrBLUP package (Endelman 2011) was used to account for

relatedness among the genotypes. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) patterns in the data were evaluated

by calculating R^2, the squared Pearson correlation coefficient, between each pair of SNPs with *cor()*

and *ggplot()*.

Phenotypic data

Phenotypic data from field trials containing three or more of the genotyped accessions were

downloaded from Cassavabase (https://cassavabase.org/). 51 trials that contained carotenoid data

for at least three genotyped accessions and/or dry matter data for at least 10 genotyped accessions

were selected for analysis (Table S1). Of these, 6 were at the Clonal Evaluation Trial (CET) stage, 17

were at the Preliminary Yield Trial (PYT) stage, 12 were at the Advanced Yield Trial (AYT) stage, 12

were at the Uniform Yield Trial (UYT) stage, and 4 were genetic diversity collection trials.

Dry matter (DM) content percentage was measured as the ratio of the weight before and

after oven drying about 100g of shredded cassava mixed from 8 peeled roots as previously

described (Rabbi et al. 2017). Total carotenoids were measured by spectrophotometry using the

iCheck™ Carotene device (TCICHK) from BioAnalyt (Teltow, Germany) as previously described

(Rodriguez-Amaya and Kimura 2004; Udoh et al. 2017; Jaramillo et al. 2018). Briefly, a blended

cassava root sample was mixed with an alcohol-based extraction reagent and placed into the

iCheck™ spectrophotometer to estimate the concentration of total carotenoids (in μg/g fresh

weight (FW)) based on light absorbance. This method showed a high correlation (r^2 = 0.98, *p* <

0.001) with HPLC measurement in a previous study (Jaramillo et al. 2018). Carotenoid content was

also rated by visual color evaluation using a color chart (TCHART) on an ordinal scale from 1

(white) to 7 (deep yellow) (Rabbi et al. 2017). Additional agronomic traits measured for at least

300 accessions were selected for association analyses (Table 1).

The phenotypic data were analyzed in a two-step approach to account for environmental

effects before running the GWAS. Phenotypic values were transformed using the Box-Cox

transformation for normality using the R function *boxcox,* using the optimum λ value for each trait

(Box and Cox 1964). The data were then centered and scaled by dividing by the traits' standard

deviations. Best linear unbiased predictions (BLUPs) of the effect of genotype on each trait and

variance components were then calculated using the following mixed model fit with ASReml-R

version 4.1.0.110 (The VSNi Team 2023).

Eqn. 1). $\boldsymbol{y} \sim \mu + X_{l:y}\boldsymbol{\beta_1} + Z_g\boldsymbol{U_1} + Z_{g:l:y}\boldsymbol{U_2} + Z_{t:b}\boldsymbol{U_3} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$,

where y is a vector (n x 1) of Box-Cox normalized trait values for n observations; μ is the overall

population mean; $X_{l:y}$ is a design matrix (n x n_{ly}) indicating the year within location for each

observation; $\boldsymbol{\beta_1}$ is a vector (n_{ly} x 1) of fixed effect estimates for year nested within location; Z_g is a

design matrix (n x n_g) indicating the genotype for each observation; $\boldsymbol{U_1}$ is a vector (n_g x 1) of

predicted random effects of genotype for n_g genotypes, assumed to be normally distributed

$\boldsymbol{U_1} \sim N(0, \sigma_g{}^2)$ with genetic variance $\sigma_g{}^2$; $Z_{g:l:y}$ is a design matrix (n x n_{gly}) indicating the

genotype, location, and year combination for each observation; $\boldsymbol{U_2}$ is a vector (n_{gly} x 1) of predicted

random effects of the interaction of genotype with year/location combination, assumed to be

normally distributed $\boldsymbol{U_2} \sim N(0, \sigma_{gly}{}^2)$ with genotype by environment variance $\sigma_{gly}{}^2$; $Z_{t:b}$ is a

design matrix (n x n_{tb}) indicating the block number within trial for each observation; $\boldsymbol{U_3}$ is a vector

(n_{tb} x 1) of predicted random effects for block within trial, assumed to be normally

distributed $\boldsymbol{U_3} \sim N(0, \sigma_{tb}{}^2)$ with block variance $\sigma_{tb}{}^2$; and ϵ is the vector (n x 1) of residual effects,

assumed to be normally distributed $\epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma_\epsilon{}^2)$ with residual variance $\sigma_\epsilon{}^2$.

Outliers were identified and removed based on studentized deleted residuals with a

Bonferroni corrected α = 0.05 (Kutner et al. 2005). Then, Eqn. 1 was re-fitted without the outliers (4

observations excluded for TCICHK; 3 for TCHART; and 6 for DM). Finally, BLUPs were de-regressed

by dividing by their prediction reliability ($1 - \frac{PEV}{\sigma_g^2}$) as described in Garrick et al., 2009. The broad-

sense heritability (H²) of each trait was calculated as follows: $H^2 = \dfrac{\widehat{\sigma}_g^2}{\widehat{\sigma}_g^2 + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_{gly}^2}{\bar{n}_{ly}} + \frac{\widehat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2}{\bar{n}_r}}$, where $\widehat{\sigma}_g^2$ is the

estimated genetic variance, $\widehat{\sigma}_{gly}^2$ is the estimated genetic by environment variance, $\widehat{\sigma}_\epsilon^2$ is the

estimated residual variance, $\bar{n}_{ly}$ is the harmonic mean number of location/year combinations per

accession, and $\bar{n}_r$ is the harmonic mean number of plots per accession across locations, years, and

replications (Holland et al. 2002).

Statistical methods for genome-wide associations

Genome-wide associations for the bivariate phenotype were tested using the following

mixed linear model fit for each SNP*:*

Eqn. 2). $(\boldsymbol{y_1}, \boldsymbol{y_2}) \sim \boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{X_i \beta_1} + \boldsymbol{P\beta_2} + \boldsymbol{Q\beta_3} + \boldsymbol{ZU} + \epsilon,$

where $(\boldsymbol{y_1}, \boldsymbol{y_2})$ is a matrix (n x 2) of normalized, scaled, and de-regressed TCICHK and DM BLUP

values for n genotypes; $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a vector (2 x 1) of overall means for each trait; $\boldsymbol{X_i}$ is a vector (n x 1) of

marker dosages (0, 1, 2) for SNP i for n genotypes; $\boldsymbol{\beta_1}$ is a vector (1 x 2) of estimates for the additive

fixed effect of SNP i on each trait; $\boldsymbol{P}$ is a vector (n x 1) indicating the imputed genotype at the *PSY2*

causal SNP, S1_24155522; $\boldsymbol{\beta_2}$ is a vector (1 x 2) of estimates for the additive fixed effect of the *PSY2*

SNP on each trait; Q is a matrix (n x 5) of the first 5 PCs for the n genotypes; $\boldsymbol{\beta_3}$ is a matrix (5 x 2) of

fixed effect estimates for the first 5 PCs; Z is an identity matrix (n x n) identifying the genotype, U is

a matrix (n x 2) of the genotype random effect on each trait with matrix normal distribution

$U \sim N\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}, \ G, F\right)$, with covariance across rows specified by G, the relationship matrix (n x n) for the

genotypes calculated with genome-wide SNPs excluding the *PSY2* SNP, and covariance across

columns specified by F, the additive genetic variance-covariance matrix (2 x 2) for the two traits

after accounting for the SNP fixed effects; ϵ is a matrix (n x 2) of residual effects for each trait, with

matrix normal distribution $\epsilon \sim N\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}, \ I, E\right)$ with covariance across rows I, the identity matrix (n x

n), and covariance across columns E, the variance-covariance matrix (2 x 2) of the residual effects

for the two traits. This equation was fit for each SNP in *ASRemlR* with the following statements:

fixed = cbind(TCICHK, DM) ~ trait + trait:SNP + trait:PSY2 + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5,

random = ~ corgh(trait):vm(genotype, Ginverse),

residual = ~ corgh(units).

Genome-wide associations for univariate phenotypes were tested using the following mixed

linear model fit for each SNP:

Eqn. 3). $\boldsymbol{y_j} \sim \mu + \boldsymbol{X_i}\beta_1 + \boldsymbol{P}\beta_2 + Q\boldsymbol{\beta_3} + ZU + \boldsymbol{\epsilon,}$

where $\boldsymbol{y_j}$ is a vector (n x 1) of normalized, scaled, and de-regressed BLUP values for trait j for n

genotypes, μ is the overall trait mean; β_1 is the estimated additive fixed effect of SNP i; β_2 is the

estimated additive fixed effect of the *PSY2* SNP on trait j (only included for traits TCICHK, TCHART,

and DM); $\boldsymbol{\beta_3}$ is a vector (5 x 1) of fixed effect estimates for the first 5 PCs; $\boldsymbol{U}$ is a vector (n x 1) of

predictions for the genotype random effect, assumed normally distributed $\boldsymbol{U} \sim N(0, G)$; $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}$ is a vector

(n x 1) of residual effects, assumed normally distributed $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim N(0, \sigma_e^2)$; σ_e^2 is the residual variance; and

all other variables are as specified above. These models were fit for each SNP and each trait in

ASRemlR with the following statements:

fixed = trait ~ 1 + SNP + PSY2 + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5,

random = ~ vm(genotype, Ginverse),

residual = ~ units.

The statistical significance of SNP effects was tested with Wald tests using the R function

aod::wald.test. SNP effect estimates, and their variance-covariance matrix in the case of the

bivariate associations, were extracted from *asreml.predict.* A modified Bonferroni cut-off for α =

0.05 was calculated based on the estimated number of independent tests as described in Gao et al.,

(2008). The number of eigenvalues explaining 99.5% of the variation in the SNP correlation matrix

per chromosome totaled to 4661, which was used as the denominator in the Bonferroni calculation

to establish a significance threshold at $p < 1.07 \times 10^{-5}$.

A relaxed threshold at $p < 0.001$ was used to minimize type II error when selecting SNPs for

downstream analyses on effect direction. This relaxed threshold enables the examination of

patterns in small-effect loci that could not be identified among the small set of significantly-

associated SNPs. While there are likely some false-positive SNPs in this set, we assume they add

neutral noise around biological patterns. SNPs with the lowest p-value within a region of high LD

($R^2 > 0.75$) were selected to represent independent peaks passing the relaxed threshold. A binomial

test was used to determine whether these suggestively-associated SNPs were more likely to affect

TC and DM in opposite directions than would be expected by chance. The proportion variance

explained by each associated SNP *i* was calculated as follows: $PEV_i = \frac{\beta_i^2 \, * \, 2p \, * \, (1-p)}{\hat{\sigma}_y^2}$, where *p* is the

allele frequency of the alternate allele and $\hat{\sigma}_y^2$ is the estimated total phenotypic variance.

Model comparison

Models specified in Eqn. 2 and 3 were compared with the following mixed linear models

using one trait as a covariate for the other:

Eqn. 4). $\boldsymbol{y_1} \sim \mu + \boldsymbol{X_i}\beta_1 + P\boldsymbol{\beta_2} + Q\boldsymbol{\beta_3} + \boldsymbol{y_2}\beta_4 + Z\boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

Eqn. 5). $\boldsymbol{y_2} \sim \mu + \boldsymbol{X_i}\beta_1 + P\boldsymbol{\beta_2} + Q\boldsymbol{\beta_3} + \boldsymbol{y_1}\beta_4 + Z\boldsymbol{U} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}$

These models were fit for each of the putatively associated SNPs in *ASRemlR* with the following

statements:

fixed = $trait_1 \sim 1$ + SNP + PSY2 + $trait_2$ + SNP:$trait_2$ + PC1 + PC2 + PC3 + PC4 + PC5,

random = ~ vm(genotype, Ginverse),

residual = ~ units.

Applying a frequentist version of the framework outlined by Stephens (2013), we used

model comparison to determine whether SNPs are directly or indirectly associated with the two

traits. Models using trait 2 as a covariate for trait 1 (Eqn. 4) test whether trait 1 is independent of a

SNP ($\beta_1 = 0$) conditional on trait 2. If a SNP is significantly associated with the bivariate phenotype

(Eqn. 2) but is conditionally independent of trait 1 given trait 2 as a covariate, it suggests that the

bivariate association is mainly driven by the overall trait correlation, representing a direct

relationship with trait 2 and an indirect relationship trait 1 (Fig. 1). Conversely, a SNP that is still

associated with trait 1 after accounting for trait 2 as a covariate suggests that the SNP has a direct

relationship with both traits. The reasoning is the same using trait 1 as a covariate for trait 2 (Eqn.

5). These possible pleiotropic relationships are summarized in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Illustration of direct and mediated pleiotropic relationships and their expected statistical

associations, where g ⊥ A | B denotes conditional independence of a genetic variant *g* with trait A

given trait B. Hypothesized biological mechanisms are described in detail in Villwock et al. (2024).

Created with Biorender.com.

Estimates of polygenic contribution to negative genetic covariance between TCICHK and DM

The residual genetic covariance of TCICHK and DM after accounting for major SNP effects

and the *PSY2* causal variant was examined to assess the contribution of causal loci too small to

detect by GWAS. The variance-covariance matrix *F* was extracted from a bivariate model including

all *z* peak SNPs identified at the relaxed significance threshold, as described in Eqn. 2 except

with $\sum_{i=1}^{z} X_i \beta_i$ in place of $X_i \beta_1$. The variance components in *F* therefore represent the polygenic

variance-covariance remaining after accounting for the identified QTL. If these major SNPs

completely accounted for the negative genetic covariance between traits, then we would expect the

residual genetic covariance to be near zero. A likelihood ratio test comparing models with the

residual genetic covariance between traits set to zero or unconstrained was used to test whether

there was significant residual genetic covariance after accounting for associated SNPs.

Candidate gene analysis

M. esculenta reference genome v6.1 gene annotation data were downloaded from the JGI

data portal (https://data.jgi.doe.gov/). A list of *a priori* candidate genes involved in the carotenoid

synthesis (MetaCyc pathway ontology ID PWY-6475), apocarotenoid synthesis (PWY-6806), starch

synthesis (PWY-622), and starch degradation (PWY-6724) pathways annotated in *Manihot*

esculenta v6.1 on Phytozome was assembled (Table S2) (Goodstein et al. 2012; Bredeson et al.

2016; Caspi et al. 2020). *A priori* candidate genes were screened for LD and physical distance to

significantly associated SNPs. Then, all annotated genes within 100kb of the peak SNPs were

extracted from the genome annotation file. Genes with missing ontology annotations were

supplemented with annotations from the UniProt Knowledgebase where possible (Bateman et al.

2023).

Results

Trait relationships

In this dataset, TCICHK and DM had moderately high broad-sense heritability of 0.49 and

0.50, respectively. The two traits were negatively genetically correlated with r = -0.34 and p = 1.84

x 10^{-11} (Fig. 2) and phenotypically correlated with r = -0.15 and p = 4.48 x 10^{-22}. TCICHK was also

negatively genetically correlated with several other agronomic traits: dry yield (DYLD; r = -0.17, p =

1.66 x 10^{-3}), root weight (r = -0.18, p = 5.98 x 10^{-4}), fresh root yield (FRYLD; r = -0.15, p = 8.43 x 10^{-3}

$^{-3}$), and sprouting percentage (SPRTPERC; r = -0.25, p = 1.26 x 10^{-6}) (Fig. 3). The broad-sense

heritabilities of all traits are listed in Table 1.

Figure 2. Negative genetic relationship between total carotenoid content as measured by iCheck™
Carotene (TCICHK) and dry matter content (DM) BLUPs among the 378 genotyped accessions after
normalization of phenotypic values with Box-Cox transformation. Pearson correlation coefficient
(r) and p-value are displayed at the top right.

Genetic correlations between traits

381 **Figure 3.** Pairwise genetic correlations between all analyzed traits. Each cell is labeled with the

382 Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between the traits listed on the x and y axis. Significant

383 correlations (p < 0.05) are bolded.

385 **Table 1.** Description, sample size, and broad-sense Heritability (H^2) of traits analyzed.

Trait name	Abbreviation	Trait ontology ID	No. of genotypes	No. of observations	H^2
Total carotenoids by iCheck™ method	TCICHK	CO_334.0000162	365	4663	0.49
Total carotenoids by color chart (1-9) method	TCHART	CO_334.0000161	374	8353	0.73
Dry matter content percentage	DM	CO_334.0000092	370	7575	0.50
Dry yield	DYLD	CO_334.0000014	332	4373	0.21
Fresh storage root weight per plot	RTWT	CO_334.0000012	373	8372	0.26
Harvest index	HI	CO_334.0000015	368	6481	0.43
Sprouting proportion	SPRTPERC	CO_334.0000008	375	7877	0.24
Fresh root yield	FRYLD	CO_334.0000013	334	5188	0.23

Cassava mosaic disease severity 3-month evaluation	CMD3S	CO_334.0000192	376	8703	0.60
Cassava mosaic disease incidence 3-month evaluation	CMD3I	CO_334.0000196	376	2904	0.49

Bivariate genome-wide associations for TCICHK and DM

The Manhattan plot from the bivariate associations reveals 13 peaks that pass the relaxed

threshold (p < 0.001), including two QTL peaks that pass the modified Bonferroni significance

threshold for joint association with both TCICHK and DM after controlling for the effect of *PSY2*

(Fig. 4). The significant joint associations are located on chromosomes 1 and 10. The top SNP on

chromosome 1 is located at 26.700297 Mbp, which is 2.5 Mbp away from the *PSY2* causal variant at

24.155522 Mbp. The two SNPs are in low LD with each other (R^2 = 0.015). Fig. 5 illustrates the

results from bivariate and univariate associations in this QTL region in higher resolution. The top

jointly-associated SNP on chromosome 1 in the bivariate associations is significantly associated

with DM but not with TCICHK in univariate analyses. The percent variance explained (PVE) by the

peak SNPs were relatively small (PEV_{TCICHK} = 0.1% and PEV_{DM} = 8% for the chromosome 1 peak;

PEV_{TCICHK} = 6% and PEV_{DM} = 0.01% for the chromosome 10 peak). Summary statistics for all

associated SNPs are listed in Table 2.

Of the 13 QTL identified at the relaxed significance threshold, 11 peak SNPs had opposite

effects on TC and DM, while two SNPs had effects on TC and DM in the same direction. A binomial

test with these counts resulted in a p-value of 0.011, rejecting the null hypothesis that SNPs are

equally likely to affect TC and DM in same or opposite directions. A likelihood ratio test indicated

there was significant negative genetic covariance between TCICHK and DM remaining after

accounting for all 13 of these SNPs and *PSY2* as covariates in a linear model (LR-statistic = 3.80, p =

0.026). Across all SNP association models fit in the bivariate GWAS, the residual genetic covariance

was consistently negative, ranging from -0.51 to -0.19.

Since the phenotypes were normalized with a Box-Cox transformation before analysis,

bivariate association models (Eqn. 2) were re-fit with untransformed, de-regressed BLUPs for the

13 peak SNPs to translate their effect size estimates to the traits' original units. For both TCICHK

and DM, effect estimates from untransformed data were linearly related to effect estimates from

Box-Cox-transformed data with slopes of 5.20 and 6.66, respectively (Fig. S3). SNP effect estimates

from the untransformed BLUPs ranged from -1.82 to 2.63 μg/g FW for TCICHK and -2.58 to 2.08%

for DM.

Table 2. Summary statistics of SNPs that are jointly-associated with TCICHK and DM. The SNP with

the lowest p-value was selected from each group of associated SNPs. P-values are annotated with

two asterisks if they pass the modified Bonferroni significance threshold and a single asterisk if

they pass the relaxed threshold. SNP effect estimates (additive effect of the ALT allele) and standard

errors are with respect to the transformed, de-regressed BLUP values.

Peak SNP (chr#_ position)	Bivariate association p-value	TCICHK effect estimate	TCICHK effect SE	DM effect estimate	DM effect SE	Effect direction (TCICHK/ DM)	REF allele	ALT allele	Allele frequency (ALT)
S10_2262535	7.74E-07**	0.506	0.097	-0.022	0.100	+/-	A	C	0.94
S1_26700297	1.46E-06**	0.053	0.078	-0.389	0.075	+/-	C	T	0.88
S1_23484417	2.99E-05*	0.324	0.091	-0.307	0.091	+/-	C	G	0.92
S11_25505603	6.02E-05*	-0.284	0.070	0.178	0.073	-/+	A	G	0.11
S15_15230957	8.00E-05*	-0.356	0.096	0.272	0.096	-/+	C	T	0.05
S15_1902620	8.44E-05*	0.045	0.071	0.281	0.069	+/+	G	A	0.16
S4_22936714	1.95E-04*	-0.135	0.047	0.153	0.045	-/+	G	T	0.26
S13_23695071	1.99E-04*	-0.216	0.074	0.241	0.072	-/+	A	T	0.10
S13_5292787	2.35E-04*	0.089	0.082	0.294	0.081	+/+	A	G	0.09
S3_3963505	2.66E-04*	0.283	0.073	-0.135	0.074	+/-	G	A	0.90
S14_3992190	3.23E-04*	-0.274	0.081	0.224	0.086	-/+	A	T	0.11
S5_17845586	4.79E-04*	0.130	0.054	-0.188	0.055	+/-	G	A	0.65
S9_4599621	8.61E-04*	-0.208	0.075	0.225	0.076	-/+	G	C	0.89

Figure 4. Manhattan plot showing p-values from bivariate Wald tests of SNP effects on TCICHK and
DM, accounting for genomic relatedness and the *PSY2* causal SNP. The vertical orange dashed line
indicates the position of the *PSY2* causal SNP. The black dashed line indicates the modified
Bonferroni significance cut-off at $\alpha = 0.05$. The blue dashed line indicates a relaxed threshold of $p <$
0.001 used for downstream analyses. At top right, the Q-Q plot of expected versus observed p-
values shows acceptable control of $\log_{10}$ p-value inflation.

429　　A. Bivariate GWAS for TCICHK and DM

431　　B. Univariate GWAS for DM

Figure 5. Manhattan plots for A) bivariate TCICHK and DM, B) univariate DM, and C) univariate
TCICHK associations on chromosome 1 near the *PSY2* QTL region from 20–35 Mbp. The vertical
orange line indicates the position of the *PSY2* causal SNP. Points are colored by their LD (pairwise
R^2) with the top SNP, marked with an asterisk, which differs across association models. The black
dashed line indicates the modified Bonferroni significance cut-off at α = 0.05.

Model comparison

The bivariate association model was compared with univariate models with one trait as the

response variable and the other as a covariate to determine whether the associations were direct or

indirect. For each of the top SNPs from 13 peaks that passed the relaxed significance threshold, the

bivariate model had the lowest AIC compared to the univariate models (Table 3). For 8 of the 13

SNPs, the SNP effect retained its significance at the $p < 0.001$ threshold in a univariate model for

one trait with the other as a covariate. For five of these SNPs, the TCICHK univariate model retained

its significance, indicating support for a direct association with TC and indirect association with DM.

The remaining three SNPs retained their significance in the DM univariate model, suggesting a

direct association with DM and an indirect association with TC. In no cases did the SNP retain

significance in both univariate models with the other trait as a covariate.

Table 3. Comparison of bivariate and reciprocal univariate association models for the 13 peak SNPs

identified as jointly associated at the relaxed threshold ($p < 0.001$). "TCICHK model" refers to an

association between a SNP and TCICHK with DM as a covariate (Eqn. 4), while the "DM model" is

the reverse (Eqn. 5). P-values are from univariate or bivariate Wald tests of SNP effects. P-values

are annotated with two asterisks if they pass the modified Bonferroni significance threshold and a

single asterisk if they pass the relaxed threshold.

Peak SNP (chr#_ position)	Bivariate model AIC	TCICHK model AIC	DM model AIC	Bivariate model p-value	TCICHK model p-value	DM model p-value	Supported model
10_2262535	-39.95	-7.70	4.20	7.74E-07**	1.95E-08**	4.63E-01	Direct TC, indirect DM
1_26700297	-38.24	23.05	-14.16	1.46E-06**	9.34E-01	3.42E-07**	Direct DM, indirect TC
1_23484417	-31.46	14.28	-0.79	2.99E-05*	3.02E-04*	5.92E-03	Direct TC, indirect DM
11_25505603	-31.28	5.92	-0.25	6.02E-05*	6.89E-06**	5.83E-02	Direct TC, indirect DM
15_15230957	-30.26	7.14	1.96	8.00E-05*	3.70E-04*	4.14E-02	Direct TC, indirect DM
15_1902620	-29.86	14.73	-9.00	8.44E-05*	2.40E-01	3.08E-05*	Direct DM, indirect TC

4_22936714	-25.10	20.14	3.04	1.95E-04*	3.02E-02	5.63E-03	NA
13_23695071	-27.19	17.92	1.95	1.99E-04*	1.37E-02	6.96E-03	NA
13_5292787	-28.55	19.23	-6.99	2.35E-04*	1.04E-01	1.06E-04*	Direct DM, indirect TC
3_3963505	-26.00	6.17	3.35	2.66E-04*	2.52E-05	2.62E-01	NA
14_3992190	-25.37	16.24	0.43	3.23E-04*	5.53E-04*	5.75E-02	Direct TC, indirect DM
5_17845586	-24.36	16.93	-5.56	4.79E-04*	1.52E-02	3.61E-03	NA
9_4599621	-23.88	17.01	2.90	8.61E-04*	3.68E-02	1.47E-02	NA

Univariate associations for all traits

Several peaks passing the modified Bonferroni significance threshold were identified in

univariate analyses: TCICHK (4), DM (1), TCHART (6) (Fig. 6). TCICHK and TCHART QTL

overlapped on chromosomes 3, 10, and 11. There were no overlapping univariate QTL between

TCICHK or TCHART and DM. The peak SNP positions and summary statistics for univariate QTL are

reported in Table S3. Among other agronomic traits analyzed (DYLD, FRYLD, RTWT, HI, SPRTPERC,

CMD3I, and CMD3S), 5 other QTL were identified at the Bonferroni significance level (Fig. S4).

A. TCICHK

B. DM

C. TCHART

Figure 6. Manhattan plots for univariate GWAS for TCICHK (A), DM (B), and TCHART (C). The

dashed black line indicates the modified Bonferroni significance threshold at α = 0.05. The vertical

dashed orange line indicates the position of the *PSY2* causal locus used as a covariate. Q-Q plots for

each analysis are at right.

Candidate gene analysis

Annotated genes within a window of 100kb from the bivariate peak were identified as

candidates based on the rate of LD decay. On most chromosomes, LD decayed to an average R^2 of

0.25 at approximately 100kb physical distance, although chromosomes 1 and 4 had more extensive

LD, likely due to the presence of large *M. glaziovii* introgressions on these chromosomes (Bredeson

et al. 2016; Wolfe et al. 2019; Chan et al. 2022) (Figure S2).

Candidate genes within 100kb of bivariate peak SNPs are listed in Table S4. None of the *a*

priori candidate genes were identified in this list, although two genes were related to carbohydrate

metabolism more broadly. *Rubisco activase*, central to carbon metabolism via its key role in

photosynthesis, was identified 17kb away from the peak SNP for joint TC and DM on chromosome

10. *Alpha-galactosidase,* involved in stachyose degradation, was identified 52kb away from the

bivariate peak SNP on chromosome 1. Candidate genes for other agronomic traits (DYLD, FRYLD,

RTWT, HI, SPRTPERC, CMD3I, and CMD3S) from univariate analyses are listed in Table S5.

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to test the hypothesis of mediated pleiotropy between

TC and DM in cassava by examining genome-wide contributions to the negative covariance between

traits. Bivariate mixed linear models were used to estimate the additive effects of each SNP on TC

and DM while controlling for the effects of *PSY2* and genome-wide population structure. Four main

points of evidence for mediated pleiotropy were considered: whether there are multiple QTL jointly

associated with both traits, whether QTL tend to affect the traits in opposite directions, whether

QTL affect the traits in a direct or indirect manner, and the extent of residual genetic covariance

between traits after accounting for identified QTL.

The bivariate genome-wide associations identified two QTL passing the modified

Bonferroni significance threshold at α = 0.05 on chromosomes 1 (S1_26700297) and 10

(S10_2262535) for joint association with TCICHK and DM. To our knowledge, the QTL on

chromosome 10 jointly associated with TC and DM has not previously been reported. Rabbi et al.

(2017) show a single significant SNP associated only with TCHART on chromosome 10, but it does

not appear to be in the same location as the QTL peak identified here. These associations therefore
represent new observations of apparently pleiotropic QTL.

The incorporation of more diverse, early-stage selections within the yellow cassava
breeding population likely facilitated the identification of these new loci. None of the TCICHK or
TCHART univariate association peak SNPs overlapped with the DM univariate association peaks,
despite the presence of two significant bivariate TCICHK and DM peaks. This observation suggests
that the increased power of the bivariate association model facilitated the identification of these
joint associations which would have been missed if the two univariate associations were simply
compared.

The estimated effect sizes of the chromosome 1 and 10 loci correspond to +0.13 μg/g FW
TC / -2.58 % DM and +0.51 μg/g FW TC / -0.016 % DM, respectively (Fig. S3). While these effect
sizes are large enough to potentially warrant marker-assisted selection strategies for individual
traits, since these loci affect the traits in opposite directions, a genomics-assisted breeding method
incorporating information from many markers would likely be more useful. In addition, the allele
frequencies for both loci are already quite high (Table 2).

Eleven additional loci passed the relaxed significance threshold of p < 0.001 for joint
association with TCICHK and DM. After accounting for all 13 of these SNPs and *PSY2* as covariates in
a linear model, there was still statistically significant negative genetic covariance remaining
between TCICHK and DM. Therefore, we conclude that there are significant polygenic contributions
to the genetic correlation between TCICHK and DM, disrupting the hypothesis that *PSY2* is the main
driver of the relationship.

To infer whether these joint associations were direct or mediated, we used model
comparisons to test whether jointly-associated SNPs retained their associations with each
individual trait after accounting for the other trait as a covariate. For the chromosome 10 QTL, the
top SNP (S10_2262535) retained significance in the univariate model for TCICHK after accounting
for DM, but not in the univariate model for DM accounting for TCICHK. This result suggests that the
chromosome 10 QTL is more likely to be directly associated with TCICHK and indirectly associated
with DM. On chromosome 1, S1_26700297 retained significance with DM after accounting for
TCICHK but not vice versa, suggesting a direct association with DM and indirect association with
TCICHK. Among all the suggestively-associated SNPs, the model comparison analysis supported a
direct relationship with TCICHK for 5 SNPs and a direct relationship with DM for 3 SNPs, while no
SNPs seemed to be directly associated with both traits simultaneously. These results support the

hypothesis of mediated rather than direct pleiotropy (Fig. 1), though there is not clear evidence for

the directionality of the mediating mechanism.

Since there is similarly strong evidence for TCICHK and DM as the mediating trait, these

results suggest that the relationship between traits can go both ways. Such bidirectional

mechanisms have been proposed, including the hypothesis of a trade-off for carbon precursors

between starch and carotenoid synthesis, in which any variants upregulating metabolic flux

towards one pathway would take away from the other pathway (Villwock et al. 2024). In addition,

these data would support the hypothesis of a direct pleiotropy mechanism mediated by a latent

variable such as a phytohormone signal, in which case it would be difficult to distinguish between

direct/indirect and indirect/direct statistical associations (Fig. 1) (Stephens 2013).

Similarly, the lack of *a priori* candidate genes identified among the significant bivariate GWAS hits

supports that the QTL identified here play a regulatory role rather than direct involvement in

carotenoid or starch synthesis/catabolism pathways. This finding supports the hypothesis that the

interaction between carotenoids and starch is mediated indirectly through regulatory pathways or

competition for precursors rather than direct pleiotropic action of genes in the biosynthetic

pathways (Villwock et al. 2024). The two previously identified candidate genes for DM, *sucrose*

synthase and *ADP-glc PPase*, did not show up as GWAS hits in this study, either because they were

not causally polymorphic or because their effects were statistically eliminated by the *PSY2*

covariate used in the association models.

Of the identified candidate genes (Table S3), several have potential biological relevance to

the TCICHK and DM relationship. The three nearest genes to the chromosome 1 peak SNP

(*WDSAM1/*Manes01.G160500, *SELENOPROTEIN T*/Manes01G160400, and *PHF5-like*/

Manes01G160200) have not been well-characterized but all have putative regulatory roles via

protein ubiquitination, redox function, and mRNA splicing, respectively. Among other candidate

genes, Manes.01G159700, 56.7kb away from the peak 1 SNP, is a tetratricopeptide repeat protein

(TPR), which mediate protein-protein interactions. A TPR protein was identified in regulating

chromoplast development in *Mimulus* flowers (Stanley et al. 2020). In addition, AQUAPORIN *TIP3-*

1-RELATED (Manes01.G160000)*,* 36kb from the chromosome 1 peak SNP, is involved in water

uptake. Water content is an indirect component of both TC and DM measured per unit fresh weight.

In *Arabidopsis, TIP3* expression is induced by an ABA-responsive transcription factor (Mao and Sun

2015). If the same is true in cassava, *AQUAPORIN TIP3* could hypothetically be involved in

increasing water uptake in response to higher ABA synthesis downstream of the carotenoid

pathway, resulting in lower dry matter percentage.

The peak SNP on chromosome 10 identified in both the bivariate associations and the

TCICHK/TCHART univariate associations falls within the *pumilio homolog 1-related* (Manes

10.G027800) coding region. Pumilio is a family of RNA-binding proteins that can mediate sequence-

specific post-transcriptional regulation (Huh 2021). The *Arabidopsis pumilio 1* (*APUM-1)* and its

homologs were shown to bind with mRNA transcripts containing certain binding sequences,

including in the 3'UTR region of a chlorophyll α/β-binding protein (Francischini and Quaggio

2009). In the cassava genome, the *PUM* binding sequence is found in the *ADP-glc PPase* gene

(BLAST), suggesting that *PUM-1* may regulate starch synthesis. Upstream 23kb of the chromosome

10 peak SNP is *LOB DOMAIN-CONTAINING PROTEIN 33* (Manes.10G027400)*.* Lateral Organ

Boundaries domain-containing (LBD) proteins are involved in regulating plant organ development,

and LBD33 specifically is involved in lateral root initiation in *Arabidopsis* (Xu et al. 2016). Variants

in this gene could therefore impact cassava root development and metabolite accumulation.

Candidate gene validation is needed to test these hypotheses.

Finally, we tested whether QTL are more likely to contribute negatively to the covariance

between traits than would be expected by chance. A binomial test of effect directions on the two

traits for SNPs passing the relaxed significance threshold indicated that QTL are significantly more

likely to affect the two traits in opposite directions. Though the 13 peak SNPs used in the binomial

test are not exactly independent (all SNPs were identified in only one dataset), we treated them as

such given that they are mostly on different chromosomes and had low R^2 with each other ($R^2 \leq$

0.022). In setting a more relaxed threshold for analyses on effect direction, we assume that any

false-positive peaks would add neutral noise around a biological pattern. In this case, we assume

that false positives would be equally likely to show traits being affected in the same or in opposite

directions, whereas the biological pattern is for opposite direction effects.

The *PSY2* causal SNP (1_24155522) had smaller effect sizes than expected (X for TCICHK

and X for DM) . Since the *PSY2* causal SNP (1_24155522) was imputed with a DR2 value of 0.74, it is

possible there were imputation errors such that this covariate does not fully account for the *PSY2*

effect. Other methods of accounting for the *PSY2* effect in the association models were tested,

including by fitting the closest non-imputed SNP to 1_24155522 as a fixed effect covariate, using the

PSY2 region (within 20kb of the coding region) haplotype as a random effect factor covariate, and

using the first three PCs from a PCA of the *PSY2* region as fixed effects. None of these methods

significantly affected the results (data not shown). While the chromosome 1 QTL at 1_26700297 is

relatively near to the *PSY2* causal variant at 1_24155522, it appears to be a distinct QTL. If the

1_26700297 QTL was driven by residual effects of the *PSY2* variant, we would expect it to present

strongly in the univariate analysis for TCICHK and TCHART as well, but it does not. Therefore, we
assume that the *PSY2* effect was effectively captured in the model.

Q-Q plots from bivariate genome-wide associations with TCICHK and DM showed
acceptable control of inflation from the genomic relationship matrix and the *PSY2* covariate. In the
univariate analyses, there was considerable Q-Q plot inflation for TCICHK and TCHART. Although
population structure and the *PSY2* were accounted for in these models, strong selection pressure
for root yellowness as the primary trait in the yellow cassava breeding population likely created
additional structure around causal loci. In practice, white-fleshed and yellow-fleshed cassava roots
are easily distinguished, but quantitative variation among cream-colored roots blurs the line
between them. After subsetting the data to retain only the yellow subpopulation, the positions of
Manhattan plot peaks were similar, although with generally higher p-values reflecting lower power
(data not shown).

The precision of TCICHK phenotypes did not appear to outweigh the advantage of having
many more TCHART observations, since TCHART had a higher H^2 (0.73) compared to that of
TCICHK (0.49). (Table 1). However, negative genetic relationships with yield traits (DRYLD, FRYLD,
RTWT) were evident with TCICHK but not with TCHART. While TCICHK and colorimetric
measurements are both highly correlated with HPLC measurements of TC (Jaramillo et al. 2018),
TCHART may be influenced by other factors that affect visual perception of root color, while
TCICHK may be more specific to carotenoids. The negative correlation between TCICHK and
SPRTPERC (sprouting percentage; $r = -0.25$, $p < 0.05$) in these data is notable. This relationship has
been previously observed in the field, though not formally described to our knowledge (P. Kulakow,
personal communication, July 2021). We hypothesize that this negative correlation could be driven
by the influence of carotenoid synthesis on downstream ABA, which regulates bud dormancy (Chen
et al. 2020).

This study represents a new application for multivariate GWAS that goes beyond identifying
individual variants to test hypotheses about trait genetic relationships and identify mediated
pleiotropy. It builds on previous multivariate GWAS methods that explicitly estimate SNP effects on
each trait, which has a built-in interpretation framework, as opposed to the difficult-to-interpret
single p-values given from an overall multivariate association. A downside to our method is that the
computational time is high, and it may not be feasible to extend to more than two traits. More
efficient but less flexible implementations similar to our multivariate GWAS method are available in
the MTMM and GEMMA softwares (Korte et al. 2012; Zhou and Stephens 2014). Multivariate
GEMMA output very similar GWAS results with the data used here and runs much faster, but

GEMMA does not offer the same flexibility in specifying and analyzing covariance structures as

ASReml-based models do. Future studies could improve on these methods by improving the

computational time while retaining the modeling flexibility.

Overall, the identification of polygenic mediated pleiotropy in this study demonstrates a

multivariate GWAS framework for investigating the genetic architecture of correlated traits,

providing insights to guide both applied breeding strategies and future research into the underlying

biological mechanisms.

Data availability

All datasets and R code used for these analyses are available on GitHub

(http://www.github.com/serenvillwock/mvGWAS). Raw field trial data and imputed genotype files

are additionally available on http://www.cassavabase.org/ under the public list

'yellowGWAS23_trials_plusCASS'. The reference panel data used for genotype imputation is

available at

https://www.cassavabase.org/ftp/marnin_datasets/nextgenImputation2019/ImputationStageIII_7

2619/. *Manihot esculenta* v6.1 reference genome and gene annotations are available on Phytozome

at https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/info/Mesculenta_v6_1.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the Cassava Breeding Unit team at the International Institute of Tropical

Agriculture, Ibadan, Nigeria, especially including Lynda Adaobi Nnadi, Adenike Damilola Ige, Peter

Iluebby, Patrick Akpotuzor, Victor Monday Chukwuyem, Toye Ayankanmi, and Kehinde Nafiu for

their assistance with tissue sampling and field trials; Prasad Peteti, Ekanem Ukoabasi, and Mahmud

Kehinde Dhikrullah for their assistance with data curation; and Peter Kulakow, Peace Ikeanyi, and

Richard Ofei for their administrative support. The development of the breeding populations used in

this study was sponsored by HarvestPlus and the NextGen Cassava project. We are grateful to Kelly

Robbins and to the Cornell University BRC Bioinformatics Core Facility (RRID:SCR_021757) for

providing access to computational resources, Michael Gore for insightful discussions, and Salvador

Gezan at VSN International for providing ASReml-R technical support. We thank Andrew Siefert for

statistical consultation. The authors thank the UK's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office

(FCDO) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation (Grant INV 007637; www.gatesfoundation.org) for

their financial support. SSV is supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture

AFRI Predoctoral Fellowship project accession no. 1030847.

References

Abincha W, Dzidzienyo DK, Wesonga LN, Mwimali M, Ozimati A, Kayondo IS, Ofori K, Tongoona P, Kivuva
BM. 2024. Enhancing Nutrition: A Review of Provitamin A Carotenoid Cassava Breeding Initiatives in East
Africa. XIAO X, editor. Advances in Agriculture. 2024(1). doi:10.1155/aia/9937876.
https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1155/aia/9937876.

Afolami I, Mwangi MN, Samuel F, Boy E, Ilona P, Talsma EF, Feskens E, Melse-Boonstra A. 2021. Daily
consumption of pro-vitamin A biofortified (yellow) cassava improves serum retinol concentrations in
preschool children in Nigeria: A randomized controlled trial. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition.
113(1):221–231. doi:10.1093/ajcn/nqaa290.

Akinwale MG, Aladesanwa RD, Akinyele BO, Dixon AGO, Odiyi AC. 2010. Inheritance of β-carotene in
cassava (Manihot esculenta crantza). Int J Genet Mol Biol. 2(10):198–201.

Asare-Marfo D, Birol E, Gonzalez C, Moursi M, Perez S, Schwarz J, Zeller M. 2013. Prioritizing Countries
for Biofortification Interventions Using Country-Level Data. [accessed 2023 Nov 14].
http://ebrary.ifpri.org/cdm/ref/collection/p15738coll2/id/127837.

Atser G. 2014. Nigeria releases more cassava with higher pro-vitamin A to fight micronutrient deficiency.
[accessed 2021 Dec 9]. International Institute of Tropical Agriculture, www.reliefweb.int.

Bateman A, Martin MJ, Orchard S, Magrane M, Ahmad S, Alpi E, Bowler-Barnett EH, Britto R, Bye-A-Jee
H, Cukura A, et al. 2023. UniProt: the Universal Protein Knowledgebase in 2023. Nucleic Acids Res.
51(D1):D523–D531. doi:10.1093/nar/gkac1052.

Beyene G, Solomon FR, Chauhan RD, Gaitán-Solis E, Narayanan N, Gehan J, Siritunga D, Stevens RL, Jifon
J, Van Eck J, et al. 2018. Provitamin A biofortification of cassava enhances shelf life but reduces dry
matter content of storage roots due to altered carbon partitioning into starch. Plant Biotechnol J.
16(6):1186–1200. doi:10.1111/pbi.12862.

Box GEP, Cox DR. 1964. An Analysis of Transformations Author (s): G . E . P . Box and D . R . Cox
Published by : Wiley for the Royal Statistical Society Stable URL : http://www.jstor.org/stable/2984418
Accessed : 08-03-2016 06 : 53 UTC Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates. Journal, Source Statistical,
Royal Series, Society. 26(2):211–252.

Bredeson J V., Lyons JB, Prochnik SE, Wu GA, Ha CM, Edsinger-Gonzales E, Grimwood J, Schmutz J, Rabbi
IY, Egesi C, et al. 2016. Sequencing wild and cultivated cassava and related species reveals extensive
interspecific hybridization and genetic diversity. Nat Biotechnol. 34(5):562–570. doi:10.1038/nbt.3535.

Browning BL, Tian X, Zhou Y, Browning SR. 2021. Fast two-stage phasing of large-scale sequence data.
Am J Hum Genet. 108(10):1880–1890.

Cao H, Wang J, Dong X, Han Y, Ma Q, Ding Y, Zhao F, Zhang J, Chen H, Xu Q, et al. 2015. Carotenoid
accumulation affects redox status, starch metabolism, and flavonoid/anthocyanin accumulation in
citrus. BMC Plant Biol. 15(1):1–16. doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0426-4.

Caspi R, Billington R, Keseler I, Kothari A, Krummenacker M, Midford P, Ong W, Paley S, Subhraveti P,
Karp P. 2020. The MetaCyc database of metabolic pathways and enzymes - a 2019 update. Nucleic Acids
Res. 48(D1):D445–D453. doi:10.1093/nar/gkz862.

Ceballos H, Morante N, Sánchez T, Ortiz D, Aragón I, Chávez AL, Pizarro M, Calle F, Dufour D. 2013. Rapid
cycling recurrent selection for increased carotenoids content in cassava roots. Crop Sci. 53(6):2342–
2351. doi:10.2135/cropsci2013.02.0123.

Cervantes-Flores JC, Sosinski B, Pecota K V., Mwanga ROM, Catignani GL, Truong VD, Watkins RH, Ulmer
MR, Yencho GC. 2011. Identification of quantitative trait loci for dry-matter, starch, and β-carotene
content in sweetpotato. Molecular Breeding. 28(2):201–216. doi:10.1007/s11032-010-9474-5.

Chan AW, Villwock SS, Williams AL, Jannink J-L. 2022. Sexual dimorphism and the effect of wild
introgressions on recombination in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz) breeding germplasm . G3
Genes|Genomes|Genetics. 12(1). doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkab372.

Chen K, Li GJ, Bressan RA, Song CP, Zhu JK, Zhao Y. 2020. Abscisic acid dynamics, signaling, and functions
in plants. J Integr Plant Biol. 62(1):25–54. doi:10.1111/jipb.12899.

Decourcelle M, Perez-Fons L, Baulande S, Steiger S, Couvelard L, Hem S, Zhu C, Capell T, Christou P,
Fraser P, et al. 2015. Combined transcript, proteome, and metabolite analysis of transgenic maize seeds
engineered for enhanced carotenoid synthesis reveals pleotropic effects in core metabolism. J Exp Bot.
66(11):3141–3150. doi:10.1093/jxb/erv120.

Diretto G, Al-Babili S, Tavazza R, Scossa F, Papacchioli V, Migliore M, Beyer P, Giuliano G. 2010.
Transcriptional-metabolic networks in β-carotene-enriched potato tubers: The long and winding road to
the golden phenotype. Plant Physiol. 154(2):899–912. doi:10.1104/pp.110.159368.

Endelman JB. 2011. Ridge Regression and Other Kernels for Genomic Selection with R Package rrBLUP.
Plant Genome. 4(3):250–255. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2011.08.0024.

Esuma W, Herselman L, Labuschagne MT, Ramu P, Lu F, Baguma Y, Buckler ES, Kawuki RS. 2016.
Genome-wide association mapping of provitamin A carotenoid content in cassava. Euphytica. 212(1):97–
110. doi:10.1007/s10681-016-1772-5.

Esuma W, Kawuki RS, Herselman L, Labuschagne MT. 2016. Diallel analysis of provitamin A carotenoid
and dry matter content in cassava (Manihot esculenta Crantz). Breed Sci. 66(4):627–635.
doi:10.1270/jsbbs.15159.

Esuma W, Ozimati A, Kulakow P, Gore MA, Wolfe MD, Nuwamanya E, Egesi C, Kawuki RS. 2021.
Effectiveness of genomic selection for improving provitamin A carotenoid content and associated traits
in cassava. G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics. 11(9). doi:10.1093/g3journal/jkab160.

FAO. 2021. FAOSTAT. License: CC BY-NC-SA 30 IGO. [accessed 2024 Apr 3].
https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data/SCL.

Francischini CW, Quaggio RB. 2009. Molecular characterization of Arabidopsis thaliana PUF proteins -
Binding specificity and target candidates. FEBS Journal. 276(19):5456–5470. doi:10.1111/j.1742-
4658.2009.07230.x.

Galesloot TE, Van Steen K, Kiemeney LALM, Janss LL, Vermeulen SH. 2014. A comparison of multivariate
genome-wide association methods. PLoS One. 9(4):1–8. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0095923.

Gao X, Starmer J, Martin ER. 2008. A multiple testing correction method for genetic association studies using correlated single nucleotide polymorphisms. Genet Epidemiol. 32(4):361–369. doi:10.1002/gepi.20310.

Garrick DJ, Taylor JF, Fernando RL. 2009. Deregressing estimated breeding values and weighting information for genomic regression analyses. Genetics Selection Evolution. 41(1):1–8. doi:10.1186/1297-9686-41-55.

Gemenet DC, da Silva Pereira G, De Boeck B, Wood JC, Mollinari M, Olukolu BA, Diaz F, Mosquera V, Ssali RT, David M, et al. 2020. Quantitative trait loci and differential gene expression analyses reveal the genetic basis for negatively associated β-carotene and starch content in hexaploid sweetpotato [Ipomoea batatas (L.) Lam.]. Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 133(1):23–36. doi:10.1007/s00122-019-03437-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-019-03437-7.

Giuliano G. 2017. Provitamin A biofortification of crop plants: a gold rush with many miners. Curr Opin Biotechnol. 44(Figure 1):169–180. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2017.02.001. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2017.02.001.

Goodstein DM, Shu S, Howson R, Neupane R, Hayes RD, Fazo J, Mitros T, Dirks W, Hellsten U, Putnam N, et al. 2012. Phytozome: a comparative platform for green plant genomics. Nucleic Acids Res. 40(D1):D1178–D1186.

Gutschker S, Ruescher D, Rabbi IY, Rosado-Souza L, Pommerrenig B, Pauly M, Robertz S, van Doorn AM, Schlereth A, Neuhaus HE, et al. 2024. Carbon usage in yellow-fleshed Manihot esculenta storage roots shifts from starch biosynthesis to cell wall and raffinose biosynthesis via the myo-inositol pathway. Plant Journal.:1–18. doi:10.1111/tpj.16909.

Holland JB, Nyquist WE, Cervantes-Martínez CT. 2002. Estimating and Interpreting Heritability for Plant Breeding: An Update. In: Janick J, editor. Plant Breeding Reviews. Vol. 22. John Wiley & Sons, Inc. p. 9–112.

Huh SU. 2021. The role of pumilio rna binding protein in plants. Biomolecules. 11(12). doi:10.3390/biom11121851.

Ikeogu UN, Akdemir D, Wolfe MD, Okeke UG, Chinedozi A, Jannink JL, Egesi CN. 2019. Genetic Correlation, Genome-Wide Association and Genomic Prediction of Portable NIRS Predicted Carotenoids in Cassava Roots. Front Plant Sci. 10(December):1–11. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.01570.

Jaramillo AM, Londoño LF, Orozco JC, Patiño G, Belalcazar J, Davrieux F, Talsma EF. 2018. A comparison study of five different methods to measure carotenoids in biofortified yellow cassava (Manihot esculenta). PLoS One. 13(12):1–14. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209702.

Korte A, Vilhjálmsson BJ, Segura V, Platt A, Long Q, Nordborg M. 2012. A mixed-model approach for genome-wide association studies of correlated traits in structured populations. Nat Genet. 44(9):1066–1071. doi:10.1038/ng.2376.

Kutner MH, Nachtsheim CJ, Neter J, Li W. 2005. Identifying Outlying Y Observations - Studentized Deleted Residuals. In: Gordon B, Hercher Jr. RT, Stone L, editors. Applied linear statistical models. 5th ed. McGraw-Hill/Irwin. p. 390–398.

Li L, Yang Y, Xu Q, Owsiany K, Welsch R, Chitchumroonchokchai C, Lu S, Van Eck J, Deng XX, Failla M, et
al. 2012. The Or gene enhances carotenoid accumulation and stability during post-harvest storage of
potato tubers. Mol Plant. 5(2):339–352. doi:10.1093/mp/ssr099.

Mackay TFC, Anholt RRH. 2024. Pleiotropy, epistasis and the genetic architecture of quantitative traits.
Nat Rev Genet. 25(September 2024):639–657. doi:10.1038/s41576-024-00711-3.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41576-024-00711-3.

Mao Z, Sun W. 2015. Arabidopsis seed-specific vacuolar aquaporins are involved in maintaining seed
longevity under the control of ABSCISIC ACID INSENSITIVE 3. J Exp Bot. 66(15):4781–4794.
doi:10.1093/jxb/erv244.

Njoku DN, Gracen VE, Offei SK, Asante IK, Egesi CN, Kulakow P, Ceballos H. 2015. Parent-offspring
regression analysis for total carotenoids and some agronomic traits in cassava. Euphytica. 206(3):657–
666. doi:10.1007/s10681-015-1482-4.

Olayide P, Alexandersson E, Tzfadia O, Lenman M, Gisel A, Stavolone L. 2023. Transcriptome and
metabolome profiling identify factors potentially involved in pro-vitamin A accumulation in cassava
landraces. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry. 199. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2023.107713.

Parkes E, Aina O, Kingsley A, Iluebbey P, Bakare M, Agbona A, Akpotuzor P, Labuschagne M, Kulakow P.
2020. Combining ability and genetic components of yield characteristics, dry matter content, and total
carotenoids in provitamin a cassava f1 cross-progeny. Agronomy. 10(12).
doi:10.3390/agronomy10121850.

Perveen S, Zafar S, Iqbal N, Riaz M. 2021. 26. Provitamin A Carotenoids. In: Zia-Ul-Haq M, Dewanjee S,
Riaz M, editors. Carotenoids: Structure and Function in the Human Body. Springer Nature Switzerland
AG. p. 775–797.

Rabbi IY, Kayondo SI, Bauchet G, Yusuf M, Aghogho CI, Ogunpaimo K, Uwugiaren R, Smith IA, Peteti P,
Agbona A, et al. 2022. Genome-wide association analysis reveals new insights into the genetic
architecture of defensive, agro-morphological and quality-related traits in cassava. Plant Mol Biol.
109:195–213. doi:10.1007/s11103-020-01038-3. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-020-01038-3.

Rabbi IY, Udoh LI, Wolfe M, Parkes EY, Gedil MA, Dixon A, Ramu P, Jannink J, Kulakow P. 2017. Genome-
Wide Association Mapping of Correlated Traits in Cassava: Dry Matter and Total Carotenoid Content.
Plant Genome. 10(3):1–14. doi:10.3835/plantgenome2016.09.0094.

Rockman M V. 2008. Reverse engineering the genotype-phenotype map with natural genetic variation.
Nature. 456(7223):738–744. doi:10.1038/nature07633.

Rodriguez-Amaya DB, Kimura M. 2004. HarvestPlus Handbook for Carotenoid Analysis. HarvestPlus
Technical Monographs.:59.

Sánchez T, Chávez AL, Ceballos H, Rodriguez-Amaya DB, Nestel P, Ishitani M. 2006. Reduction or delay of
post-harvest physiological deterioration in cassava roots with higher carotenoid content. J Sci Food
Agric. 86(4):634–639. doi:10.1002/jsfa.2371.

Solovieff N, Cotsapas C, Lee PH, Purcell SM, Smoller JW. 2013. Pleiotropy in complex traits : challenges
and strategies. Nature Publishing Group. 14(July). doi:10.1038/nrg3461.

Stanley LE, Ding B, Sun W, Mou F, Hill C, Chen S, Yuan YW. 2020. A tetratricopeptide repeat protein
regulates carotenoid biosynthesis and chromoplast development in monkeyflowers (Mimulus). Plant
Cell. 32(5):1536–1555. doi:10.1105/tpc.19.00755.

Stephens M. 2013. A Unified Framework for Association Analysis with Multiple Related Phenotypes.
PLoS One. 8(7). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0065245.

Stevens GA, Bennett JE, Hennocq Q, Lu Y, De-Regil LM, Rogers L, Danaei G, Li G, White RA, Flaxman SR,
et al. 2015. Trends and mortality effects of vitamin A deficiency in children in 138 low-income and
middle-income countries between 1991 and 2013: A pooled analysis of population-based surveys.
Lancet Glob Health. 3(9):e528–e536. doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00039-X.

Tahirou A, Bamire AS, Oparinde A, Akinola AA. 2015. Determinants of Adoption of Improved Cassava
Varieties among Farming Households in Oyo, Benue, and Akwa Ibom States of Nigeria. HarverstPlus
Working Paper. 22(December):21.

Taraszka K, Zaitlen N, Eskin E. 2022. Leveraging pleiotropy for joint analysis of genome-wide association
studies with per trait interpretations. PLoS Genet. 18(11):1–24. doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1010447.
http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1010447.

The VSNi Team. 2023. asreml: Fits Linear Mixed Models using REML.

Udoh LI, Gedil M, Parkes EY, Kulakow P, Adesoye A, Nwuba C, Rabbi IY. 2017. Candidate gene
sequencing and validation of SNP markers linked to carotenoid content in cassava (Manihot esculenta
Crantz). Molecular Breeding. 37(10). doi:10.1007/s11032-017-0718-5.

Villwock SS, Li L, Jannink J. 2024. Carotenoid-carbohydrate crosstalk: evidence for genetic and
physiological interactions in storage tissues across crop species. New Phytologist. In Press.

Welsch R, Arango J, Bär C, Salazar B, Al-Babili S, Beltrán J, Chavarriaga P, Ceballos H, Tohme J, Beyera P.
2010. Provitamin A accumulation in cassava (Manihot esculenta) roots driven by a single nucleotide
polymorphism in a phytoene synthase gene. Plant Cell. 22(10):3348–3356. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.077560.

Wiseman EM, Bar-El Dadon S, Reifen R. 2017. The vicious cycle of vitamin A deficiency: A review. Crit
Rev Food Sci Nutr. 57(17):3703–3714. doi:10.1080/10408398.2016.1160362.
https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2016.1160362.

Wolfe M. 2022. genomicMateSelectR: Genomic Mate Selection. R package version 0.2.0.
https://github.com/wolfemd/genomicMateSelectR.

Wolfe MD, Bauchet GJ, Chan AW, Lozano R, Ramu P, Egesi C, Kawuk R, Kulakow P, Rabbi I, Jannink JL.
2019. Historical introgressions from a wild relative of modern cassava improved important traits and
may be under balancing selection. Genetics. 213(4):1237–1253. doi:10.1534/genetics.119.302757.

Xu C, Luo F, Hochholdinger F. 2016. LOB Domain Proteins: Beyond Lateral Organ Boundaries. Trends
Plant Sci. 21(2):159–167. doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2015.10.010.

Zhou X, Stephens M. 2014. Efficient multivariate linear mixed model algorithms for genome-wide
association studies. Nat Methods. 11(4):407–409. doi:10.1038/nmeth.2848.

Supplementary Information

Figure S1. Principal component analysis of genotyped accessions shows that the first principal

component (PC1) separates a cluster of accessions with recent Latin American ancestry. Color

represents the number of Latin American parents listed in an accession's pedigree.

Figure S2. Linkage disequilibrium (LD) decay measured pairwise r² between SNPs. Points

represent average pairwise r² per chromosome within 200 physical distance intervals.

Figure S3. Comparison of peak SNP effect estimates from Box-Cox normalized data and from untransformed data show a linear relationship. SNP effect estimates from the untransformed data correspond to the traits' original units.

A. DRYLD

B. FRYLD

C. RTWT

D. HI

E. SPRTPERC

F. CMD3I

G. CMD3S

Figure S4. Manhattan plots for univariate GWAS for analyzed agronomic traits: DRYLD (A), FRYLD

(B), RTWT (C), HI (D), SPRTPERC (E), CMD3I (F), and CMD3S (G). The dashed black line indicates

the modified Bonferroni significance threshold at α = 0.05. Q-Q plots for each analysis are at right.

Supplementary Tables

Attached file.

Table S1. Metadata for field trials included in this analysis.

Table S2. *A priori* candidate genes with annotations relating to carotenoid, apocarotenoid, and

starch synthesis and degradation pathways.

Table S3. Summary statistics for peak SNPs identified in univariate associations with agronomic

traits at the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold.

Table S4. Candidate genes within 100kb of peak SNPs significantly associated with TCICHK and DM

at the modified Bonferroni significance threshold from the bivariate GWAS.

Table S5. Candidate genes within 100kb of peak SNPs identified in univariate associations with

agronomic traits at the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold.