Genetic basis of cuticular hydrocarbon variation in the desert ant

Shani Inbar, Besan Saied, Pnina Cohen, Zeev Frenkel, Yoann Pellen, Abraham B. Korol, Eyal Privman[#]
Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
[#] Corresponding author: eprivman@univ.haifa.ac.il

Abstract

Cticluar hydrocarbons (CHCs) are a ubiquitous component of insect cuticles that are used for a wide range of chemical signaling functions, especially recognition. Recognition and other signals are vital for the maintainance of insularity and cooperation in social insect colonies. Therefore, we expect natural selection on the composition and variabitlity of social insect CHC profiles. Selection on these signals may result in the evolution of genetic polymorphism affecting variations in CHC profiles. Here we tested for a genetic basis of CHC variation in the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*. We applied a genomic mapping appraoch to a cohort of brothers from the same nest to reduce noise from environmental effects and achieve a clear statistical signal for association between CHCs and quantitative trait loci (QTL). This analyses identified 19 QTLs associated with 8 our of 31 tested CHCs, and one QTL associated with total CHC quantity. These QTLs are located on 11 different chromosomes, including some where QTLs of different CHCs overlap. Each QTL explains between 13-25% of the CHC variation. We highlight several candidate genes in the QTLs identified, including fatty acid elongase and reductase genes. Our results reveal a polygenic genomic architecture underlying CHC variation in ants and open new research avenues into the genetic basis and evolution of chemical signaling in social insects.

Introduction

Nestmate recognition is a fundamental trait of social insects. The ability to discriminate nestmates from foreign intruders is essential for colony integrity and protection of colony resources. Long-chain cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) are the main chemical cues underlying nestmate recognition (Holldobler and Michener 1980; Singer 1998). In this study, we test whether CHC variability has a genetic basis and identified candidate genes that may affect this important phenotype. We used the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger* as our study organism, in which nestmate recognition was extensively studied using chemical-behavioral approaches.

C. niger is one of the first species where CHCs were empirically demonstrated to be major

components of the nestmate recognition cues (Lahav, et al. 1999).

CHCs are the main lipid constituents of the insect cuticle (reviewed in Blomquist and

Bagneres 2010; Blomquist, et al. 2020). The ancestral role of CHCs was probably to provide

a waterproof layer preventing desiccation. Subsequently, they evolved a secondary role as

chemical cues for signaling, communication, and recognition. Insect CHCs have variable

chain lengths (20 to 50 carbons) and variable number and position of double bonds and

methyl branches, thus allowing a great diversity that affords high information content as

chemical cues. CHCs function as sex pheromones in many insects, as attractants, as fertility

signals, and as chemical cues for species and sub-species recognition that facilitates mate

choice (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010). Different social insect castes generally display

distinct CHC profiles, including distinction between fertile individuals (queens, gamergates,

or egg laying workers) and their less fertile or non-reproductive nestmates (Howard, et al.

1982; Nunes, et al. 2009; Kleeberg, et al. 2017; Derstine, et al. 2018; Sprenger and Menzel

2020). Signaling by CHCs have been extensively studied in social insects, where they make

up an important component of the elaborate communication system necessary for

coordinating complex collective behaviors (Hefetz 2007).

The enzymatic pathways responsible for the biosynthesis of CHCs were not characterized in

social insects, however, a large body of literature describes such enzymes in model insect

species, most notably *Drosophila melanogaster* (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010; Holze, et al.

2021). CHCs are *de novo* synthesized from two- or three-carbon units. Short saturated fatty

acids (up to 18 carbons) are first synthesized by fatty acid synthases. These precursors are

then elaborated by a series of enzymes that elongate the carbon chain (elongases), introduce

double bonds (desaturases), and the final enzymatic steps that convert the fatty acid to a

hydrocarbon, including reductases and decarboxylases. Homologs of these enzymes are

found in ant genomes, and are the natural candidates for the genetic basis of ant CHCs.

Beyond the genes involved in synthesis of CHCs, other genes may be involved in regulation

of the quantitates of CHCs produced and secreted through the cuticle. These genes may

function in any stage of the long road from the site of CHC production to the external surface

of the cuticle. CHCs can be either synthesized de novo or from diet-derived fatty acids (Liang

and Silverman 2000; Blomquist and Bagneres 2010). They are thought to be produced

primarily in the fat body, in cells known as oenocytes (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010).

Following their initial synthesis, CHCs are transported through the hemolymph and

eventually secreted and deposited on the cuticle.

One of the best understood social functions of CHCs is in nestmate recognition, a

fundamental and vital function in social insect colonies. Nestmate recognition is primarily

based on chemical recognition, which was suggested to involve matching an olfactory

perception of chemical cues to an experience-derived neural template (Ozaki and Hefetz

2014). Different species display different mixtures of CHCs (Martin and Drijfhout 2009a;

d'Ettorre and Lenoir 2010), which suggests rapid evolution, possibly driven by strong

selection. Intra-specific quantitative variation generates colony-specific CHC profiles, which

are used for nestmate recognition. Experimental manipulation demonstrated the role of CHCs

in nestmate recognition in multiple ant species (Bonavita-Cougourdan, et al. 1987;

Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Akino, et al. 2004; Ozaki, et al. 2005; Martin, et al. 2008), including

in our study species *Cataglyphis niger* (Lahav, et al. 1999). These studies transferred the

hydrocarbon fraction of extracts from one ant to another, which caused ants to attack

nestmates or to reduce aggression towards non-nestmates. Some studies went a step further:

they synthesized specific hydrocarbons and tested their effect on nestmate recognition

(Akino, et al. 2004; Martin, et al. 2008).

Recognition cues may be heritable and/or environmentally derived. Heritability of CHC

profiles would imply that quantitative variations in CHCs are partially explained by genetic

polymorphism. Both genetic and environmental effects were documented in *Drosophila*, and

some of the underlying genes were identified (reviewed in Ferveur 2005). Multiple studies

suggest that quantitative variation in CHCs is heritable in diverse insect species including

crickets (Thomas and Simmons 2008), termites (Dronnet, et al. 2006), and parasitoid wasps

(Niehuis, et al. 2011). Empirical studies in social insects suggest that CHC variation is

affected by both environmental and genetic factors. For example, a strong effect of the diet

was reported in the Argentine ant (Liang and Silverman 2000). Pathogens may also have an

effect on nestmate recognition in ants (Tranter, et al. 2015; Leclerc and Detrain 2016). A

study in honeybees reported a pathogen's effect on CHCs and nestmate recognition (Cappa,

et al. 2019). Other potential factors may include abiotic effects such as temperature and

humidity (Silva, et al. 2024). Nevertheless, multiple studies suggested that ant CHCs are

heritable to a certain degree. Several studies demonstrated under controlled conditions and

uniform diet that intercolonial variation in CHC profiles is retained as well as the ability for

nestmate recognition (Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Lahav, et al. 1999; Akino, et al. 2004; Ozaki,

et al. 2005; van Zweden, et al. 2009). Behavioral experiments on different populations of the

ant *Leptothorax longispinosus* revealed an association between aggression and genetic

dissimilarity (Stuart and Herbers 2000). Isolation of *Formica exsecta* workers revealed a

weak patriline effect on CHCs, indicating that different alleles inherited from different fathers

had an effect on the CHC profiles of their daughters (Martin, et al. 2012). A study in the ant

Monomorium pharaonis tested for heritability of CHCs by systematic intercrossing eight

colonies over nine generations (Walsh, et al. 2020), resulting in low to moderate estimated

heritability: narrow-sense heritability h^2 between 0 and 0.36. These studies provide evidence

for heritability of CHCs in diverse ant species.

The vital function of nestmate recognition is expected to have large fitness consequences,

including "red queen" dynamics due to selection against social parasites (Blomquist and

Bagneres 2010). This hypothesis is supported by the great interspecific diversity of CHCs and

their rapid evolution (Martin and Drijfhout 2009a; d'Ettorre and Lenoir 2010). Some studies

found stronger differentiation between colonies by methyl-branched vs. straight alkanes (e.g.,

Dahbi, et al. 1996). The abundance of branched alkanes and unsaturated alkenes in ant CHCs,

which provide greater information content as cues while compromising protection from

desiccation, was suggested to be the result of selection for signaling functions (d'Ettorre and

Lenoir 2010; Sturgis and Gordon 2012). The exceptional complexity of ant CHC profiles

suggests selection for recognition accuracy. If genetic polymorphism arises such that it

affects nestmate recognition cues, selection for distinctness of colony profiles is expected to

increase the frequency of novel alleles. The requirement for distinctness of colony CHC

profiles should lead to selection in favor of rare alleles, i.e. frequency-dependent selection

resulting in balancing selection (Ayala and Campbell 1974; Jongepier and Foitzik 2016).

Such processes result in elevated polymorphism and the maintenance of highly diverged

alleles in the population over long evolutionary time, as observed for example in mammalian

Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) genes (Hughes and Nei 1988) and the honeybee

Complementary Sex Determination (CSD) locus (Cho, et al. 2006). Such evolutionary

dynamics are expected to result in the accumulation of polymorphic loci that affect the

display of ant CHCs quantitatively, i.e., quantitative trait loci (QTLs).

Here, we conducted a QTL mapping study to test whether quantitative variation of CHCs is

associated with genetic polymorphism in the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*. We produce the

genomic infrastructures necessary for mapping associated genomic loci – a chromosome-
level reference genome sequence. We conduct reduced representation genomic sequencing of
a cohort of brothers to identify polymorphic loci associated with CHC variation (QTLs) and
characterize the genomic architecture of these traits. The use of haploid males affords
valuable advantages in terms of the simplicity of the underlying genetics and minimal
environmental variation (see Methods). These QTLs identified in males are likely to also
have effects on CHCs in workers and queens because many of the same CHCs are observed
in all castes of the species (Hefetz 2007). We highlight promising candidate genes in these
loci. The discovery of genetic loci associated with CHC variation serves as a first step in the
study of the molecular mechanisms underlying nestmate recognition and their evolution in a
social insect species.
150

Methods

Samples

Our analysis was based on a sample of 117 brothers, collected on 3/5/2016 from a single *C.*
niger nest in Betzet Beach in the northern Israeli coastline (colony BZT50, 33°03'32N
35°06'15E) and frozen in -80°C. This colony belongs to a population that was previously
referred to as *Cataglyphis drusus*[39], but our species delimitation analysis showed that it is part
of the species described as *C. niger* that is widespread across Israel. Our population structure
analysis showed that all populations across Israel are not differentiated by their nuclear
genomic DNA[40]. We note that in some areas in Israel *C. niger* colonies have multiple queens
(polygyne)[40] and that our recent study revealed that this social polymorphism is associated
with a supergene on chromosome 2 (Lajmi, et al. 2024). A supergene is a large non-
recombining chromosomal region, analogous to sex chromosomes. However, the study
population used here is purely monogyne (each colony is headed by a single queen)[39,40]. The
supergene is always homozygous (MM) in monogyne colonies (the P haplotypes is only
found in polygyne colonies), so we do not expect it to affect the QTL mapping analysis in
this study.
167

Using a cohort of brothers for QTL mapping affords several advantages. Ants have
haplodiploid sex determination, where haploid males develop from unfertilized eggs, and
diploid females derive from fertilized eggs. Thus, males have no fathers. They only receive
one of their mother's alleles in each locus. And so, a cohort of brothers resents the products

of maternal meiosis. Such a cohort servses as a simple mapping population similar to a back-

cross experiment that is traditionally used for QTL mapping studies. Moreover, recessive

alleles are more readily revealed in haploid progeny because samples are hemizygous at all

loci. For the same reason, similar studies using haploid males were conducted in another

hymenopteran species – the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Niehuis, et al. 2011). This

approach successfully identified a large number of QTLs associated with many of the CHCs

analyzed. Another advantage is that CHC variation among brothers sampled from the same

nest is likely to be minimally affected by environmental factors because they all experienced

a similar environment in the nest. For example, we can safely assume they were fed the same

diet and exposed to the same microbes by the workers that cared for them. Males are also

expected to be more uniform than workers because they do not have distinct task groups that

are exposed to different environments (e.g. nurses vs. foragers).

Chemical analysis

Samples were extracted in 100 μl hexane, containing 200 ng tetracosane (C24) as internal

standard. Compound identification was done by combined GC/MS and was based on their

fragmentation patterns, as compared to authentic standards. Sample quantification was done

by gas chromatography, using a VF-5ms capillary column that was temperature-programmed

from 150°C (with 1 min initial hold) to 300°C at a rate of 5°C per min, with a final hold of 15

min. Peak integration was performed using the program Galaxie Varian 1.9. Absolute

quantities were calculated relative to the internal standard.

Reference genome sequencing, assembly and annotation

Identification of the genes inside QTLs requires a genome assembly of sufficient contiguity,

that is, genomic scaffolds should be large enough so that the markers of the linkage map can

be linked to the genes within the confidence interval for the position of a QTL. We already

had a reference genome assembly for *C. niger* that was assembled from Illumina short-read

sequencing of a single haploid male (version Cnig_gn1; Yahav and Privman 2019). However,

this assembly was rather fragmented, with a scaffold N50 size of only 17.9 Kb. Therefore, we

conducted Pacbio long-read sequencing to improve the assembly contiguity. High-molecular

weight DNA was extracted from a pool of ~30 pupae from a *C. niger* colony also collected

from the Betzet Beach population. A library was constructed and sequenced (CLR

sequencing) on a Pacbio Sequel II machine at the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center,

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The sequencing yielded 7,031,744 reads, with

169 Gbp in total, and an average subread length of 7,227 bp.

The Pacbio data was assembled into two versions of the genome, Cnig_gn3.1 and

Cnig_gn3a.1. Version Cnig_gn3.1 was built more stringently, aiming to include only

confidently assembled contigs, while version Cnig_gn3a.1 aimed to map as much of the

genome sequence to chromosomes. To avoid technical artifacts in Pacbio sequencing process

short (<5 kbp) and long (>50 kbp) reads were excluded from assembly Cnig_gn3.1. Reads

were assembled onto contigs using *Canu* version 2.1.1 (Koren, et al. 2017). Contigs of

Cnig_gn3a.1 were based on all of PacBio reads. Contigs of both assembly versions were

polished by *Pilon* version 1.24 (Walker, et al. 2014) using the short Illumina reads from

assembly Cnig_gn1. Polished contigs were anchored to the linkage map (see below) based on

markers with a unique *blastn* hits to contigs. Contigs with no map markers were fitted into

chromosomes based on the local similarity between the genomes of *C. niger* and *Formica*

selysi (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_009859135.1/) if the synteny of the two

genomes was colinear in the relevant chromosomal region based on *blast* hits of *C. niger*

genetic markers to *F. selysi* chromosomes and *blast* of *F. selysi* genes to *C. niger* contigs.

Cnig_gn3.1 assembly chromosomes contain only contigs with unique position and defined

orientation. Cnig_gn3a.1 also includes contigs with non-unique mapping and/or unknown

orientation. Contigs with several (up to 5) possible positions were included in Cnig_gn3a.1

several times. Therefore, the Cnig_gn3a.1 had greater total length (265 vs. 236 Mbp) and

N50 contig size (3.8 vs. 1.1 Mbp) relative to Cnig_gn3.1. The Cnig_gn3a.1 assembly

includes 83% of the total assembly length in chromosomes, whereas the Cnig_gn3.1

assembly includes 74%.

Gene annotation was conducted using the *GeMoMa* pipeline (Keilwagen, et al. 2016;

Keilwagen, et al. 2018) that incorporates three types of evidence: (1) RNA sequencing of

samples from all life stages and castes (NCBI accession PRJNA494690; Yahav and Privman

2019) aligned to the genome using *HISAT2* (Kim, et al. 2019); (2) homology to genes

annotated in other insect genomes (*Camponotus floridanus, Harpegnathos saltator,*

Linepithema humile, Solenopsis invicta, Pogonomyrmex barbatus, Formica exsecta, Apis

mellifera, Nasonia vitripennis, Anopheles gambiae, Drosophila melanogaster, Tribolium

castaneum); and (3) *ab initio* gene prediction using *Augustus* (Stanke, et al. 2006). The

annotation resulted in 14,889 and 16,260 putative protein-coding genes for Cnig_gn3.1 and

Cnig_gn3a.1, respectively. Functional annotation of genes was assigned based on homology

using the *Trinotate* tool (version 3.0.2; https://github.com/Trinotate/Trinotate.github.io/wiki)

from the *Trinity* pipeline (Grabherr, et al. 2011), which uses *blast* against the Swissprot

protein database and assigns genes to functional categories of the Gene Ontology (GO)

database (Harris, et al. 2004). Out of the 16,260 genes in Cnig_gn3a.1, 10,268 genes had

Swissprot hits, and GO terms were assigned 10,186 genes. To identify candidate genes in

QTLs, we searched both Swissprot gene description and GO terms for keywords related to

molecular functions and biological processes implicated in CHC synthesis, including fatty

acid metabolism and development and function of the cuticle.

RAD sequencing

DNA was extracted individually from each sample using TRIzol® (Invitrogen Life

Technologies) and kept at -20°C. Quantity and quality of extracts were determined by

NanoDrop™ 2000 Spectrophotometer and run on gel to verify consistency in DNA yield,

degradation, and phenol/salts residues. Reduced representation genomic libraries were

constructed according to a modified double-digest Restriction-site Associated DNA

sequencing (ddRAD-seq) protocol based on Brelsford, et al. (2016). Briefly, DNA was

digested by two different restriction enzymes (EcoR1 rare-cutter; Mse1 frequent-cutter) and

ligated to barcoded adaptors for multiplexing. Amplified products were pooled and purified

(Beckman Coulter, Agencourt® AMPure® XP) so that 200-700bp fragments would be

selected. The library was sequenced in one lane of a paired-end, 150bp reads, on a

HiSeq4000 Illumina sequencer.

The number of raw reads totaled in 693,969,414 of which 662,096,312 were associated with a

sample (barcoded). The reads were quality checked and those in which sequencing score

drops below an average phred score of 10 (i.e. 1 in 10 chance for sequencing error) in a

sliding window of 22 bases were removed using *process_radtags* from the *STACKS* pipeline

(Catchen, et al. 2011). After this initial filtering a total of 630,112,110 reads remain, which is

expected to give an average coverage of ~20X (based on 67,687 positions of the EcoRI

restriction sites in the reference genome).

Reads were aligned to the reference genome using *Bowtie2* (Langmead and Salzberg 2012).

Aligned reads that have more than 2 mismatches to the reference genome and reads that had

multiple mappings were removed. The number of aligned reads retain totaled 431,321,204,

62% of the original number of reads.

The aligned reads were analyzed together to create a catalogue of 88,439 SNPs using the

STACKS pipeline, with an average of 14 reads covering a SNP per sample. These SNPs were

filtered using custom perl scripts to remove loci with more than three heterozygous genotypes

(not expected for haploid samples) or less than four samples with the rare allele (the brothers

are expected to have roughly 50% of each of the maternal alleles) or more than 50% missing

data. 7,769 SNPs passed this filtering.

Linkage map

The program *MultiPoint ultra-dense* (Ronin, et al. 2017) was used to construct a linkage map,

based on the genotypes of 7769 SNPs in the 117 samples. These loci were further filtered by

MultiPoint for segregation distortion (based on Chi^2 test to reject the null hypothesis of 1:1

segregation with $p < 0.005$) and for missing data (no more than 23 missing genotypes),

leaving 5466 SNPs. Clustering of linkage groups resulted in 27 clusters of SNP markers

using a recombination frequency threshold of 0.28. Skeleton markers were selected in every

linkage group (giving priority to "twin markers") and ordered by an "evolutionary strategy"

heuristic optimization, as implemented in *MultiPoint*. Ordering was assessed by jackknife

resampling. We manually inspected the linkage groups and applied methods implemented in

MultiPoint to merge linkage groups, exclude markers violating local map monotonicity, and

complement the map by adding suitable singleton markers, resulting in a final map consisting

of 26 linkage groups. To verify that the map length is not inflated by marker ordering errors,

we checked the order by jackknifing and observed a very nearly perfect support across the

map (i.e., 100% jackknife repeats gave identical order among each marker neighborhood

along the linkage group length).

QTL mapping

The program *MultiQTL* (Korol, et al. 1995) was used to map 32 quantitative traits of 81

samples, including 31 specific CHC traits and the total CHC amount. We defined the 31

specific CHC traits as relative amounts, while total CHC is an absolute amount in ng. Many

of the traits had skewed, non-normal distributions, so we removed outliers and applied log-

transformation where necessary. We first identified QTLs using the SIM (Single Interval

Mapping) model in *MultiQTL*, which calculates a LOD score for every trait on each

chromosome. For every putative QTL with LOD score greater than 1.8, we conducted a
permutation test to obtain a *p*-value for the significance of association between genotypes and
phenotypes. QTLs with *p*-value < 0.1 were carried on to the next step. For traits with two
peaks of the LOD scores on a chromosome, we used the linked-QTL model to test the
hypothesis of having two QTLs on the same chromosome (likelihood ratio test). For traits
that had candidate QTLs on more than one chromosome, we applied the MIM (multi-interval
mapping) model to all chromosomes identified in the SIM analysis, which generally results in
higher LOD scores. The permutation test was repeated for every QTL using the MIM model.
The SIM, linked-QTL, or MIM models also provided an estimate of the PEV (percent
explained variation) for each QTL. We identified the location of each QTL by the peak of the
LOD score, and its 95% confidence interval was defined as the region around the maximum,
where the LOD score is greater than the maximum score minus 1.5 points. We applied the
false discovery rate (FDR) method implemented in R (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995; Team
2021) to correct for multiple testing (32 traits by 26 chromosomes = 832 tests). We mapped
the genetic position of the confidence interval of each QTL location to the genome assembly
relative to the physical positions of genetic map markers. We then listed the annotated genes
that reside within the scaffold regions contained in each QTL interval in assembly
Cnig_gn3a.1.

Results

Reference genome and linkage map

As a basis for QTL mapping, we generated a new genome assembly for *C. niger* using high-
coverage Pacbio sequencing that yielded improved contiguity compared to the first draft
(N50=1.08Mb). We then constructed a linkage map using the genotypes of a cohort of 117
haploid brothers. We used RAD sequencing to genotype 7769 SNP loci that clustered into 26
linkage groups, which is in agreement with the chromosome number in *Cataglyphis*
hispanica, where one lineage has 26 chromosomes while the other has 27 (Darras, et al.
2022). We anchored the assembly contigs to the linkage map, yielding 26 chromosomal
sequences that together encompass 83% of the total assembly length. The total map length
was 5041cM, which is exceptional for animal species. Some of the chromosomes have a very
long genetic map length: chromosome 1 is 402cM long and five additional chromosomes are
longer than 280cM (Fig. 1). Given an estimated physical genome size of 236Mb (the total
assembly length), we estimated the genome-wide average recombination rate at 21.3 cM/Mb.

This rate is similar to the record for animals that is held by honey bees, with estimates

ranging between 19-22 cM/Mb (Beye, et al. 2006; Solignac, et al. 2007; Meznar, et al. 2010;

Korol and Rybnikov 2024). The total physical and genetic lengths of the *C. niger* genome are

both greater than those of *Apis mellifera*: 236Mb and 5041cM vs. 187Mb and 4,114cM

(Solignac, et al. 2007).

Figure 1: Distribution of genetic map lengths among the 26 chromosomes of *C. niger*.

Chemical analysis of CHCs

We analyzed the cuticular extracts of the same brothers used for linkage map construction,

which were treated as quantitative traits for QTL mapping. Chemical analysis by GC/MS was

successful for 81 out of the 117 samples, identifying 32 differentiated peaks in the

chromatogram. The raw data from the chemical analysis are provided in Supp. File S1. We

were able to identify 36 CHCs in 32 peaks (four peaks consisted of two CHCs that failed to

separate). These were saturated alkanes with carbon chain length ranging from C25 to C31,

many of them having a methyl group, and some with two and even three methyl branches.

We did not observe any unsaturated alkenes. Note that this means that our list of candidate

genes for CHC synthesis will not include fatty acid desaturases, which are known from the

biosynthetic pathway of alkenes in other insects. The quantitative traits for QTL analysis

were defined as the proportion of each CHC out of the total CHC mixture. We also included

the total CHC amount as an additional trait, which varied from 228 to 4825ng. There was

extensive variation both among and within traits. The most abundant peak contained 11Me-

and 13Me-C29, and the second most abundant was C29. These two peaks had averages and

standard deviations of 226±122ng and 168±121ng, respectively. Conversely, the least

abundant CHC, 5Me-C27, was only 1.1±1.8ng, and undetectable in 34 samples. Generally,

the distributions of all CHCs were asymmetrical, with many low values and a long right-hand

tail (Supp. Fig. S1), which required transformation and removal of outliers before QTL

analysis (see Methods). One of these peaks (7,11,15TriMe-C31) was excluded from the

analysis because its distribution was extremely non-normal, even after log transformation.

Finally, 31 CHC traits were carried forward to the QTL mapping analysis.

Figure 2: A chromatogram (uV absortion vs. retention time; RT) of the non-polar fraction (RT 20.5-

26.5) of cuticular extracts from a *C. niger* male. Marked peaks were annotated by mass spectrometry.

QTLs associated with variation in CHCs

Each CHC (a peak in the GC chromatograms) was treated as a quantitative trait and tested for

association with the map markers (SNPs) in a QTL mapping analysis. The analysis included

the relative amounts of 31 CHCs, and the absolute total amount of CHCs as an additional

trait. A preliminary analysis identified 62 candidate genomic intervals with LOD scores

greater than 1.8. Each candidate was evaluated by permutation analysis, which yielded *p*-

values that were then corrected for multiple testing to control the false discovery rate (FDR).

This analysis resulted in 20 QTLs (FDR < 10%) for nine traits, including one QTL for total

CHC quantity (Table 1). The location and 95% confidence interval of each QTL was

estimated based on the LOD function (Figure 3).

Table 1: QTLs underlying variation in CHCs

CHC	LOD	Location (cM)	PEV (%)	p-value	q-value	MIM PEV(%)
C25	6.59*	**Chr6:** 0-43.2 **Chr6:** 76.9-88.8	19.4*	0.001*	0.069*	29.7
C26	4.215	**Chr6:** 56.4-67.4	16.2	0.001	0.069	46.1
	4.168	**Chr17:** 61.0-75.5	16.0	0.001	0.023	
	3.590	**Chr18:** 0-36.5	13.8	0.001	0.062	
C27	3.899	**Chr17:** 87.0-111.9	17.5	0.002	0.078	35.4
	3.981	**Chr18:** 102.1-113.5	18.0	0.001	0.023	
7,11,15TriMe-C27	4.139	**Chr15:** 122.9-154.7	15.0	0.001	0.064	49.4
	4.810	**Chr3:** 207.6-228.1	13.7	0.001	0.023	
	4.120	**Chr6:** 191.7-240.7	12.8	0.001	0.023	
C28	4.761	**Chr10:** 97.9-108.1	14.4	0.001	0.023	57.2%
	6.134	**Chr4:** 8.9-33.0	21.9	0.001	0.023	
	3.910	**Chr5:** 283.0-325.2	13.8	0.002	0.092	
4,12DiMe-C28	4.31*	**Chr20:** 5.0-28.5 **Chr20:** 57.9-84.4	24.9*	0.001*	0.023*	N/A
2Me-C30	3.823	**Chr21:** 10.9-34.2	14.1	0.001	0.064	46.1%
	4.083	**Chr13:** 17.3-31.7	15.6	0.001	0.023	
	3.838	**Chr4:** 0-17.2	16.4	0.002	0.092	
13+15Me-C31	4.837	**Chr18:** 105.9-120.3	25.4	0.001	0.023	N/A
Total CHC	3.886	**Chr15:** 89.3-102.5	15.1	0.001	0.064	44.0%

Results from the MIM model, showing only QTLs that passed the 10% FDR cutoff. The reported MIM PEV is based on the analysis of all chromosomes for each trait. The full results for all tested candidate QTLs are reported in Table S1, which includes results that did not pass the significance threshold in the permutation test. LOD = log-of-odds score; Location = confidence interval of QTL location; q-value = FDR corrected p-value; PEV = percent explained variation; MIM = multi-interval model summarizing all QTLs for a given CHC over different chromosomes.
* Two QTLs for the same CHC on the same chromosome evaluated using the linked-QTL model of *MultiQTL*, which gives the LOD, PEV, p-value, and q-value are for both QTLs together.

Figure 3: Location of CHC QTLs on six of the *C. niger* chromosomes. Map markers are listed with distances in cM along each linkage group. LOD scores are plotted for each trait, and 95% confidence intervals of QTL locations are indicated.

Interestingly, we did not detect statistically significant QTLs for most CHCs, but when we did find QTLs for a certain CHC we found more than one (Supp. Fig. S2A). Out of the eight CHCs with QTLs, four had three QTLs, five had two QTLs, on only one CHC had a single QTL, which would be unlikely if all CHCs had equal probability to have QTLs (Poisson dispersion test $p = 0.003$). These results indicate that the variation in some CHCs has a greater genetic component than others. QTLs with large effect size are more likely to be discovered with the limited statistical power given our sample size. Thus, other CHCs may still be affected by QTLs with smaller effect sizes, which are below our detection threshold. The portion of the phenotypic variation explained by QTLs (percent explained variation;

PEV) for each CHC ranged from 29.7% to 57.2% (Table 1). The PEV for single QTLs varied

between 12.8% and 25.4%. The most genetically determined CHC is C28, for which three

QTLs had PEV of 14.4%, 13.8%, and 21.9%, and together accounted for 57.2% PEV, which

implies that this trait is affected by genetic factors more than by environmental factors.

The 20 QTLs are located in 11 of the 26 chromosomes of *C. niger*, and the number of QTLs

per chromosome was not evenly distributed (Supp. Fig. S2B; Poisson dispersion test *p* =

0.06). In some chromosomes the QTLs for different CHCs appear to be clustered and even

overlapping (Fig. 3). For example, QTLs for C28 and 2Me-C30 were found together in the

tellomeric region of chromosome 4. Chromosome 18 harbors one locus associated with C26

and another associated with C27, 13Me- and 15Me-C31 (the LOD plots are virtually

overlapping). Each of the QTLs for C26 and C27 on chromosome 17 are also weakly

associated with the other CHC. These results suggest that multiple CHCs may be regulated

by the same gene or by different genes in a small genomic region, which could harbor a

cluster of co-regulated CHC genes.

Candidate genes

Our sample size (*n*=81) limited the resolution of QTL mapping, resulting in 95% confidence

intervals of genetic map length ranging between 10 and 49cM, and physical sizes ranging

between 345 and 3,255 Kb. Each interval contained between 21 and 156 putative protein

coding genes. Using our data, it is impossible to narrow down these gene lists and identify the

loci functionally responsible for the variation in the phenotype. Nevertheless, we noted

several candidate genes in these intervals that were implicated in molecular functions and

biological processes related to the synthesis of CHCs or to the biology and development of

the cuticle (Table 2).

As discussed in the Introduction, the most obvious candidate genes are ant homologs of

enzymes that were extensively studied in model insects and implicated in the biosynthetic

pathway of long-chain fatty acids, which are the precursors of CHCs. These include fatty acid

synthases, fatty acid elongases, and fatty acid reductases. One such candidate,

Cnig_gene3669 in the QTL for C27 on chromosome 17, is a homolog of *Drosophila* fatty

acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) genes. The top blast hit in *Drosophila* is the gene *waterproof*

(*wat*; see a gene tree of ant and fly FAR genes in Supp. Fig. S3), which is involved in tracheal

development. Knocking out *wat* interfered with gas filling of the tracheal tubes during

Drosophila embryogenesis (Jaspers, et al. 2014) and *wat* homologs in planthoppers affect

CHC quantities (Li, et al. 2019; Li, et al. 2020). Generally, FAR genes are responsible for one

of the last steps in CHC biosynthetic pathways, where fatty-acyl CoA precursors are

converted to hydrocarbons. The FAR gene family was implicated in CHC synthesis in

Drosophila based on their expression in oenocytes and their role in regulation of oenocyte

development (Finet, et al. 2019), association with intraspecific CHC variation, and

knockdown experiments that affected CHC length (Dembeck, et al. 2015).

Table 2: Candidate genes in QTLs underlying variation in CHCs

QTL	Gene	Ortholog	Description
2Me-C30 chr13	Cnig_gene5601	FABP9_CAEEL	Fatty acid-binding protein homolog 9
2Me-C30_chr21	Cnig_gene2019	LIPI_HUMAN	Lipase member I
4,12DiMe-C28 chr09	Cnig_gene12502	ECH1_RAT	Delta(3,5)-Delta(2,4)-dienoyl-CoA isomerase, mitochondrial (ECO:0000305)
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr06	Cnig_gene13278	PEX2_RAT	Peroxisome biogenesis factor 2
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr15	Cnig_gene4696	ACADV_HUMAN	Very long-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial (ECO:0000305|PubMed:7668252)
C26 chr18	Cnig_gene6578	ELO3_CAEEL	Putative fatty acid elongation protein 3
C27 chr17	Cnig_gene3669	WAT_DROME	Fatty acyl-CoA reductase wat (ECO:0000305)
4,12DiMe-C28 chr09	Cnig_gene12805	DHGL_DROME	Glucose dehydrogenase [FAD, quinone]
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr15	Cnig_gene4695	KAPR1_DROME	cAMP-dependent protein kinase type I regulatory subunit
Total chr15	Cnig_gene4381	FABD_DROME	Probable malonyl-CoA-acyl carrier protein transacylase, mitochondrial

Another candidate, Cnig_gene6578 in the QTL for C26 on chromosome 18, is a homolog of

Drosophila long-chain fatty acid elongase genes. This gene family takes part in the CHC

synthesis pathway, in elongating the chain length of fatty acids initially synthesized by fatty

acid synthases beyond 20 carbons (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010). Knockdown of such

elongases in *Drosophila* and in the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* affected

abundances of different CHCs (Holze, et al. 2021). The QTL for 2Me-C30 on chromosome

21 contains a homolog of human lipase member I, which hydrolyzes phosphatidic acid (PA)

to 2-acyl lysophosphatidic acid (LPA; a potent bioactive lipid mediator) and a fatty acid

(Hiramatsu, et al. 2003). While the function of the insect homolog is unknown, it is a

promising candidate in lipid metabolism, which may be involved in the synthesis of CHC

precursors.

Additional candidate genes of interest may be implicated in the biology and development of

the cuticle. For example, the QTL for 4,12DiMe-C28 on chromosome 9 contains

Cnig_gene12805, a homolog of the *Drosophila* glucose dehydrogenase gene *Gld*, which was

implicated in cuticle development and suggested to be involved in modification of the

puparium cuticle to facilitate eclosion (Cavener, et al. 1986).

Discussion

Here we applied a genomic mapping approach to test for genetic effects on CHCs, which

serve as major chemical cues for recognition and other functions in social insects. We

analyzed CHC profiles and genomic polymorphism in a sample of 81 haploid brothers from

the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*. We report associations between 19 QTLs and 8 out of 31

CHCs tested, and one locus that is associated with the total amount of CHCs. We identified

rather large effect sizes that each explain between 13% and 25% of the variation in a given

CHC. Between 30% and 57% of the variation of the eight affected CHCs was explained by

the combined genetic effects. Our study opens a window to the genomic architecture

underlying CHC variation in a social insect species.

Our results adds to a large volume of literature on determinants of CHC profiles. Previous

studies demonstrated large environmental effects by various factors, including diet (Liang

and Silverman 2000), pathogens (Tranter, et al. 2015; Leclerc and Detrain 2016), and abiotic

environmental factors such as temperature and humidity (Silva, et al. 2024). Incorporating

our results allows a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms shaping CHC profiles

by a combination of genetic and environmental effects. Our results reveal large variation in

the genetic effects on different CHCs, with up to 57% of the variation of some CHCs

explained by the QTLs we identified. CHCs with QTLs are expected to be heritable as

suggested by previous studies in multiple ant species (Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Lahav, et al.

1999; Akino, et al. 2004; Ozaki, et al. 2005; van Zweden, et al. 2009; Walsh, et al. 2020).

Our results are in line with estimates of narrow-sense heritability (h^2) of individual CHCs in

the ant *Monomorium pharaonis* that ranged between 0 and 0.36 (Walsh, et al. 2020). We

could not detect any QTLs associated with 23 out of the 31 CHCs in our data. This may be

because some CHCs are only affected by small effect size QTLs that could not be detected

with our sample size. Generally, our estimates of the total genetic component for any of the

studied CHCs should be considered as a lower bound, because they may be affected by

additional small effect size QTLs that we could not detect. Nevertheless, our results indicate

substantial variation among CHCs in the magnitude of their genetic basis and that for most

CHCs the genetic component is smaller than the environmental component. Environmental

effects may dominate the cues for nestmate recognition because nestmates share the same

abiotic environment of the nest, the same diet, and other biotic effects such as pathogens.

The eight CHCs with associated QTLs include four straight-chain and four methyl-branched

alkanes. This may be surprising considering that methyl-branched CHCs have greater

information content, and thus are expected to be under greater selection as recognition cues,

and more likely to evolve a genetic basis. This hypothesis was supported by some studies that

reported stronger differentiation between colonies by methyl-branched vs. straight alkanes in

some species (e.g., in *Cataglyphis iberica*; Dahbi, et al. 1996) and stronger direct and indirect

genetic effects (e.g., in *Formica rufibarbis*; Van Zweden, et al. 2010). There may be other

reasons for the evolution of a genetic basis for the less informative straight-chain alkanes,

other than for nestmate recognition purposes. For example, these alkanes may be used for

simple, conserved signals that do not require high information content, such as differentiating

between foragers and nurses (Greene and Gordon 2003; Martin and Drijfhout 2009b) or to

identify a mated queen (Hora, et al. 2008). If alkanes are used for such a conserved function

they may evolve a genetic basis to better regulate their expression.

In this study we used a cohort of male brothers as the mapping population. The motivation

for this choice was that males are haploid, which is advantageous for genomic mapping, and

are raised in the nest in uniform environmental conditions. Male CHCs are likely to have

functional roles for recognition and discrimination in mate choice during mating flights.

CHCs were extensively investigated in such roles in other, non-social insects. For example,

male offspring from a cross between the wasps *Nasonia vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* were used

for a QTL mapping study of CHCs (Niehuis, et al. 2011). CHCs were demonstrated to

function as cues for mate choice in this system, which allows females to discriminate

between males from different species (Buellesbach, et al. 2013). Nestmate recognition in the

worker caste serves its most consequential role for identifying intruders from other colonies.

The genetic effects we uncovered in males are likely to also affect CHC profiles of queens

and workers, because most CHCs are observed in more than one caste of the same species

(Hefetz 2007). Genes involved in the synthesis of these CHCs likely evolved in response to

selection pressures on a range of functional roles in all castes. Natural selection on the ability

to discriminate against foreign workers may increase genetic polymorphism that contributes

to CHC variation, and this polymorphism may also affect variation of the same CHC in males

even if this CHC is not under selection in males. Therefore, some of the QTLs we detected in

males may actually be functionally important in one of the other castes. Further studies in

other castes will provide a more comprehensive understanding of this genetic system and its

functional significance.

Acknowledgements

541 We thank Abraham Hefetz for advice and access to equipment for chemical analysis of

542 CHCs. We thank Jessica Purcell and Alan Brelsford for advice on RAD sequencing. This

543 study was funded by Israel Science Foundation grant number 646/15.

Data availability

547 All sequence data was deposited to NCBI: the new genome assembly Cnig_gn3.1 is under

548 NCBI BioProject accession PRJNA1168153 and the raw Pacbio sequencing reads are under

549 SRA accession SRR10302333. The RAD-seq data is under Bioproject accession

550 PRJNA1000554. Chemical data is provided as supplementary materials to this paper.

References

Akino T, Yamamura K, Wakamura S, Yamaoka R. 2004. Direct behavioral evidence for
hydrocarbons as nestmate recognition cues in Formica japonica (Hymenoptera : Formicidae).
Applied Entomology and Zoology 39:381-387.
Ayala FJ, Campbell CA. 1974. Frequency-dependent selection. Annual Review of Ecology and
Systematics 5:115-138.
Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B Met 57:289-300.
Beye M, Gattermeier I, Hasselmann M, Gempe T, Schioett M, Baines JF, Schlipalius D, Mougel F,
Emore C, Rueppell O, et al. 2006. Exceptionally high levels of recombination across the honey
bee genome. Genome Res 16:1339-1344.
Blomquist GJ, Bagneres A-G. 2010. Insect hydrocarbons: biology, biochemistry, and chemical
ecology: Cambridge University Press.

Blomquist GJ, Tittiger C, Jurenka R. 2020. Cuticular hydrocarbons and pheromones of arthropods. In:
Wilkes H, editor. Hydrocarbons, oils and lipids: diversity, origin, chemistry and fate. Cham:
Springer. p. 213-244.
Bonavita-Cougourdan A, Clément J, Lange C. 1987. Nestmate recognition: the role of cuticular
hydrocarbons in the ant Camponotus vagus Scop.
Brelsford A, Dufresnes C, Perrin N. 2016. High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree
frog (Hyla arborea) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex.
Heredity (Edinb) 116:177-181.
Buellesbach J, Gadau J, Beukeboom LW, Echinger F, Raychoudhury R, Werren JH, Schmitt T. 2013.
Cuticular hydrocarbon divergence in the jewel wasp Nasonia: evolutionary shifts in chemical
communication channels? Journal of evolutionary biology 26:2467-2478.
Cappa F, Petrocelli I, Dani FR, Dapporto L, Giovannini M, Silva-Castellari J, Turillazzi S, Cervo R.
2019. Natural biocide disrupts nestmate recognition in honeybees. Scientific reports 9:3171.
Catchen JM, Amores A, Hohenlohe P, Cresko W, Postlethwait JH. 2011. Stacks: building and
genotyping Loci de novo from short-read sequences. G3 1:171-182.
Cavener D, Corbett G, Cox D, Whetten R. 1986. Isolation of the eclosion gene cluster and the
developmental expression of the Gld gene in Drosophila melanogaster. The EMBO journal
5:2939-2948.
Cho S, Huang ZY, Green DR, Smith DR, Zhang J. 2006. Evolution of the complementary sex-
determination gene of honey bees: Balancing selection and trans-species polymorphisms.
Genome Research 16:1366-1375.
d'Ettorre P, Lenoir A. 2010. Nestmate recognition. Ant ecology:194-209.
Dahbi A, Cerdá X, Hefetz A, Lenoir A. 1996. Social closure, aggressive behavior, and cuticular
hydrocarbon profiles in the polydomous ant Cataglyphis iberica (Hymenoptera, Formicidae).
Journal of Chemical Ecology 22:2173-2186.
Darras H, de Souza Araujo N, Baudry L, Guiglielmoni N, Lorite P, Marbouty M, Rodriguez F,
Arkhipov I, Koszul R, Flot J-F. 2022. Chromosome-level genome assembly and annotation of
two lineages of the ant Cataglyphis hispanica: steppingstones towards genomic studies of
hybridogenesis and thermal adaptation in desert ants. bioRxiv.
Dembeck LM, Böröczky K, Huang W, Schal C, Anholt RR, Mackay TF. 2015. Genetic architecture
of natural variation in cuticular hydrocarbon composition in Drosophila melanogaster. Elife
4:e09861.
Derstine N, Gries R, Zhai H, Jimenez S, Gries G. 2018. Cuticular hydrocarbons determine sex, caste,
and nest membership in each of four species of yellowjackets (Hymenoptera: Vespidae).
Insectes Sociaux 65:581-591.
Dronnet S, Lohou C, Christides J-P, Bagnères A-G. 2006. Cuticular hydrocarbon composition reflects
genetic relationship among colonies of the introduced termite Reticulitermes santonensis
Feytaud. Journal of Chemical Ecology 32:1027-1042.
Ferveur J-F. 2005. Cuticular hydrocarbons: their evolution and roles in Drosophila pheromonal
communication. Behavior genetics 35:279-295.
Finet C, Slavik K, Pu J, Carroll SB, Chung H. 2019. Birth-and-death evolution of the fatty acyl-CoA
reductase (FAR) gene family and diversification of cuticular hydrocarbon synthesis in
Drosophila. Genome biology and evolution 11:1541-1551.
Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L,
Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome without a
genome from RNA-Seq data. Nature biotechnology 29:644.
Greene MJ, Gordon DM. 2003. Cuticular hydrocarbons inform task decisions. Nature 423:32-32.
Harris MA, Clark J, Ireland A, Lomax J, Ashburner M, Foulger R, Eilbeck K, Lewis S, Marshall B,
Mungall C, et al. 2004. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics resource. Nucleic
acids research 32:D258-261.
Hefetz A. 2007. The evolution of hydrocarbon pheromone parsimony in ants (Hymenoptera:
Formicidae)—interplay of colony odor uniformity and odor idiosyncrasy. Myrmecological
News 10:59-68.
Hiramatsu T, Sonoda H, Takanezawa Y, Morikawa R, Ishida M, Kasahara K, Sanai Y, Taguchi R,
Aoki J, Arai H. 2003. Biochemical and molecular characterization of two phosphatidic acid-

selective phospholipase A1s, mPA-PLA1α and mPA-PLA1β. Journal of Biological Chemistry
278:49438-49447.
Holldobler B, Michener CD. 1980. Mechanisms of identification and discrimination in social
Hymenoptera. In: Markl H, editor. Evolution of social behaviour: hypotheses and empirical
tests. p. 35-58.
Holze H, Schrader L, Buellesbach J. 2021. Advances in deciphering the genetic basis of insect
cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis and variation. Heredity 126:219-234.
Hora RR, Ionescu-Hirsh A, Simon T, Delabie J, Robert J, Fresneau D, Hefetz A. 2008. Postmating
changes in cuticular chemistry and visual appearance in Ectatomma tuberculatum queens
(Formicidae: Ectatomminae). Naturwissenschaften 95:55-60.
Howard RW, McDaniel C, Nelson DR, Blomquist GJ, Gelbaum LT, Zalkow LH. 1982. Cuticular
hydrocarbons of Reticulitermes virginicus (Banks) and their role as potential species-and caste-
recognition cues. Journal of Chemical Ecology 8:1227-1239.
Hughes AL, Nei M. 1988. Pattern of nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class
I loci reveals overdominant selection. Nature 335:167-170.
Jaspers MH, Pflanz R, Riedel D, Kawelke S, Feussner I, Schuh R. 2014. The fatty acyl-CoA reductase
Waterproof mediates airway clearance in Drosophila. Developmental biology 385:23-31.
Jongepier E, Foitzik S. 2016. Ant recognition cue diversity is higher in the presence of slavemaker
ants. Behavioral Ecology 27:304-311.
Keilwagen J, Hartung F, Paulini M, Twardziok SO, Grau J. 2018. Combining RNA-seq data and
homology-based gene prediction for plants, animals and fungi. BMC bioinformatics 19:1-12.
Keilwagen J, Wenk M, Erickson JL, Schattat MH, Grau J, Hartung F. 2016. Using intron position
conservation for homology-based gene prediction. Nucleic acids research 44:e89-e89.
Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. 2019. Graph-based genome alignment and
genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nature biotechnology 37:907-915.
Kleeberg I, Menzel F, Foitzik S. 2017. The influence of slavemaking lifestyle, caste and sex on
chemical profiles in Temnothorax ants: insights into the evolution of cuticular hydrocarbons.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284:20162249.
Korol A, Rybnikov S. 2024. Recombination. In. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity: Elsevier. p. 375-396.
Korol AB, Ronin YI, Kirzhner VM. 1995. Interval mapping of quantitative trait loci employing
correlated trait complexes. Genetics 140:1137-1147.
Lahav S, Soroker V, Hefetz A, Vander Meer RK. 1999. Direct behavioral evidence for hydrocarbons
as ant recognition discriminators. Naturwissenschaften 86:246-249.
Lajmi A, Cohen P, Lee C-C, Frenkel Z, Pellen Y, Privman E. 2024. Repeated evolution of supergenes
on an ancient social chromosome. bioRxiv:2024.2012. 2001.626239.
Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods 9:357-
359.
Leclerc J-B, Detrain C. 2016. Ants detect but do not discriminate diseased workers within their nest.
The Science of Nature 103:1-12.
Li D-T, Chen X, Wang X-Q, Zhang C-X. 2019. FAR gene enables the brown planthopper to walk and
jump on water in paddy field. Science China Life Sciences 62:1521-1531.
Li DT, Dai YT, Chen X, Wang XQ, Li ZD, Moussian B, Zhang CX. 2020. Ten fatty acyl-CoA
reductase family genes were essential for the survival of the destructive rice pest, Nilaparvata
lugens. Pest management science 76:2304-2315.
Liang D, Silverman J. 2000. "You are what you eat": diet modifies cuticular hydrocarbons and
nestmate recognition in the Argentine ant, Linepithema humile. Naturwissenschaften 87:412-
416.
Martin S, Drijfhout F. 2009a. A review of ant cuticular hydrocarbons. Journal of Chemical Ecology
35:1151-1161.
Martin S, Trontti K, Shemilt S, Drijfhout F, Butlin R, Jackson D. 2012. Weak patriline effects are
present in the cuticular hydrocarbon profiles of isolated Formica exsecta ants but they disappear
in the colony environment. Ecology and evolution 2:2333-2346.
Martin SJ, Drijfhout FP. 2009b. Nestmate and task cues are influenced and encoded differently within
ant cuticular hydrocarbon profiles. Journal of Chemical Ecology 35:368-374.

Martin SJ, Vitikainen E, Helantera H, Drijfhout FP. 2008. Chemical basis of nest-mate discrimination
in the ant Formica exsecta. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences 275:1271-
1278.
Meznar ER, Gadau J, Koeniger N, Rueppell O. 2010. Comparative linkage mapping suggests a high
recombination rate in all honeybees. Journal of Heredity 101:S118-S126.
Niehuis O, Bullesbach J, Judson AK, Schmitt T, Gadau J. 2011. Genetics of cuticular hydrocarbon
differences between males of the parasitoid wasps Nasonia giraulti and Nasonia vitripennis.
Heredity (Edinb) 107:61-70.
Nowbahari E, Lenoir A, Clément J, Lange C, Bagneres A, Joulie C. 1990. Individual, geographical
and experimental variation of cuticular hydrocarbons of the ant Cataglyphis cursor
(Hymenoptera: Formicidae): their use in nest and subspecies recognition. Biochemical
Systematics and Ecology 18:63-73.
Nunes T, Turatti I, Mateus S, Nascimento F, Lopes N, Zucchi R. 2009. Cuticular hydrocarbons in the
stingless bee Schwarziana quadripunctata (Hymenoptera, Apidae, Meliponini): differences
between colonies, castes and age. Genetics and Molecular Research 8:589-595.
Ozaki M, Hefetz A. 2014. Neural Mechanisms and Information Processing in Recognition Systems.
Insects 5:722-741.
Ozaki M, Wada-Katsumata A, Fujikawa K, Iwasaki M, Yokohari F, Satoji Y, Nisimura T, Yamaoka
R. 2005. Ant nestmate and non-nestmate discrimination by a chemosensory sensillum. Science
309:311-314.
Ronin YI, Mester DI, Minkov DG, Akhunov E, Korol AB. 2017. Building ultra-high-density linkage
maps based on efficient filtering of trustable markers. Genetics 206:1285-1295.
Silva KL, Batista NR, Antonialli-Junior WF. 2024. Effect of Temperature on Nestmate Recognition in
the Ant Odontomachus chelifer. Sociobiology 71:e9944-e9944.
Singer TL. 1998. Roles of hydrocarbons in the recognition systems of insects. American Zoologist
38:394-405.
Solignac M, Mougel F, Vautrin D, Monnerot M, Cornuet J-M. 2007. A third-generation
microsatellite-based linkage map of the honey bee, Apis mellifera, and its comparison with the
sequence-based physical map. Genome Biol 8:1-14.
Sprenger PP, Menzel F. 2020. Cuticular hydrocarbons in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) and other
insects: how and why they differ among individuals, colonies, and species. Myrmecological
News 30.
Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. 2006. AUGUSTUS: ab initio
prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic acids research 34:W435-W439.
Stuart RJ, Herbers JM. 2000. Nest mate recognition in ants with complex colonies: within-and
between-population variation. Behavioral Ecology 11:676-685.
Sturgis SJ, Gordon DM. 2012. Nestmate recognition in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): a review.
Myrmecological News 16:101-110.
Team RC. 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R
Foundation for Statistical Computing.
Thomas M, Simmons L. 2008. Cuticular hydrocarbons are heritable in the cricket Teleogryllus
oceanicus. Journal of evolutionary biology 21:801-806.
Tranter C, LeFevre L, Evison SE, Hughes WO. 2015. Threat detection: contextual recognition and
response to parasites by ants. Behavioral Ecology 26:396-405.
Van Zweden J, Brask JB, Christensen JH, Boomsma JJ, Linksvayer TA, D'ettorre P. 2010. Blending
of heritable recognition cues among ant nestmates creates distinct colony gestalt odours but
prevents within-colony nepotism. Journal of evolutionary biology 23:1498-1508.
van Zweden JS, Dreier S, d'Ettorre P. 2009. Disentangling environmental and heritable nestmate
recognition cues in a carpenter ant. Journal of insect physiology 55:159-164.
Walsh J, Pontieri L, d'Ettorre P, Linksvayer TA. 2020. Ant cuticular hydrocarbons are heritable and
associated with variation in colony productivity. Proc Biol Sci 287:20201029.
Yahav T, Privman E. 2019. A comparative analysis of methods for de novo assembly of
hymenopteran genomes using either haploid or diploid samples. Sci Rep 9:6480.
728

730 Supplementary

731 Supplementary Figure S1: CHC distributions

Supplementary Figure S2: Distribution of the number of QTLs per CHC (A) and QTLs per

chromosome (B).

Supplementary Figure S3: Fatty acyl-CoA reductase gene tree. Including fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) and ant (*Cataglyphis niger* and *Camponotus floridanus*) genes. The *Drosophila waterproof* gene and other fly paralogs are the closest homologs in *Drosophila* to *C. niger* gene 3669 in the QTL for C27.

Supplementary Table S1: QTLs underlying variation in CHCs (full table of tested candidate QTLs, including non-significant results)

CHC	LOD	Location (map intervals)	Location (cM)	P.E.V	*p*-value	*q*-value	MIM P.E.V
C25	6.589	Chr6: 1-3-5	0-21.06-43.20	19.41%	0.001	0.069	29.70%
	linked*	Chr6: 19-22-25	76.86-81.62-88.75	linked*	linked*	linked*	
	2.710	Chr8: 15-17-22	58.38-81.91-101.32	10.6%	0.013	0.318	
3Me-C25	2.834	Chr5: 4-8-16	30.89-46.11-66.91	16.8%	0.006	0.207	30.1%
	1.859	Chr1: 50-57-60	386.10-406.87-431.58	13.0%	0.091	1.000	
5Me-C25	2.523	Chr8: 49-50-50	221.43-244.92-244.92	14.9%	0.010	0.301	N/A
C26	4.215	Chr6: 13-16-18	56.40-65.36-67.36	16.2%	0.001	0.069	46.1%
	4.168	Chr17: 17-19-21	60.99-72.57-75.45	16.0%	0.000	0.023	
	3.590	Chr18: 1-1-5	0-0.0-36.50	13.8%	0.001	0.062	
4,12DiMe-C26	2.710	Chr18: 36-39-43	111.63-125.97-130.72	8.7%	0.012	0.318	48.6%
	7.069	Chr3: 1-2-4	0-12.84-37.91	39.9%	0.003	0.125	
	linked*	Chr3: 50-52-56	294.10-313.03-333.81	linked*	linked*	linked*	
4Me-C26	3.349	Chr11: 44-45-48	155.99-172.36-183.23	14.5%	0.003	0.109	35.5%
	2.402	Chr10: 38-43-49	108.13-127.65-154.33	10.4%	0.028	0.503	
	2.507	Chr18: 40-47-52	125.97-143.39-164.19	10.6%	0.018	0.398	
10Me-C26	3.691	Chr9: 45-50-54	190.30-213.93-225.26	16.0%	0.014	0.333	N/A
C27	3.899	Chr17: 25-29-32	87.01-97.50-111.93	17.5%	0.002	0.078	35.4%
	3.981	Chr18: 31-33-37	102.15-108.7-113.54	18.0%	0.000	0.023	
7,11DiMe-C27	3.160	Chr22: 1-1-7	0-0.0-11.61	18.2%	0.004	0.158	N/A
11,15DiMe-C27	2.453	Chr7: 3-4-7	20.58-32.56-44.27	12.4%	0.018	0.398	24.3%
	2.283	Chr22: 1-1-8	0-0.0-13/74	11.8%	0.022	0.447	
3Me-C27	2.250	Chr25: 20-23-28	81.78-106.66-141.64	12.8%	0.018	0.398	N/A
5Me-C27	2.341	Chr14: 1-1-6	0-2.709-19.28	13.6%	0.024	0.484	N/A
11+13Me-C27	2.966	Chr12: 41-43-47	123.83-137.66-145.54	14.8%	0.009	0.283	49.4%
	1.952	Chr10: 5-9-15	27.08-52.66-60.07	10.5%	0.064	0.951	
	1.922	Chr19: 44-46-49	124.68-139.08-143.78	10.5%	0.064	0.951	
	2.760	Chr22: 3-6-10	3.906-11.61-18.61	13.6%	0.011	0.301	
7,11,15TriMe-C27	4.139	Chr15: 43-47-48	122.95-147.06-154.68	15.0%	0.001	0.064	49.4%
	4.810	Chr3: 38-39-42	207.63-216.96-228.07	13.7%	0.000	0.023	
	4.120	Chr6: 41-44-46	191.72-227.46-240.65	12.8%	0.000	0.023	
	4.725	Chr4: 4-8-12	19.14-35.30-45.95	15.9%	0.011	0.301	
	linked*	Chr4: 34-37-46	195.36-209.88-268.45	linked*	linked*	linked*	

CHC	LOD		Location	PEV		q-value	MIM
C28	2.512	Chr15: 11-15-20	45.45-66.39-71.96	7.1%	0.019	0.405	57.2%
	4.761	Chr10: 34-35-38	97.87-102.49-108.13	14.4%	0.000	0.023	
	6.134	Chr4: 3-7-8	8.909-25.69-33.02	21.9%	0.000	0.023	
	3.910	Chr5: 59-62-64	283.00-300.42-325.22	13.8%	0.002	0.092	
4,12DiMe-C28	4.310	Chr20: 1-4-9	4.967-12.71-28.54	24.9%	0.000	0.023	N/A
	linked*	Chr20: 20-24-30	57.93-73.72-84.35	linked*	linked*	linked*	
2Me-C28	3.001	Chr6: 5-12-16	43.20-53.65-63.35	17.0%	0.010	0.301	N/A
4Me+8,12DiMe-C28	2.119	Chr10: 40-42-49	112.81-127.09-154.33	11.3%	0.045	0.720	21.5%
	1.852	Chr22: 46-51-55	99.76-120.75-130.05	10.8%	0.050	0.785	
12Me-C28	1.86	Chr11: 14-19-23	64.44-83.04-88.70	9.7%	0.032	0.543	20.9%
	2.122	Chr24: 22-27-32	36.70-50.61-58.70	11.2%	0.013	0.318	
C29	3.279	Chr12: 39-43-48	121.89-135.12-153.78	19.0%	0.006	0.208	N/A
11,15DiMe-C29	1.864	Chr16: 15-21-26	70.20-83.69-90.70	10.7%	0.055	0.847	N/A
3Me-C29	2.013	Chr12: 22-29-35	73.42-103.8-116.09	12.0%	0.067	0.978	N/A
11+13Me-C29	4.667	Chr8: 27-31-37	116.69-124.23-143.22	25.2%	0.025	0.484	N/A
	linked*	Chr8: 38-39-42	152.77-158.45-170.69	linked*	linked*	linked*	
7,11,15TriMe-C29	2.766	Chr8: 38-43-45	143.22-173.42-187.41	15.3%	0.013	0.318	28.7%
	2.602	Chr5: 26-29-36	128.50-149.1-163.91	13.4%	0.026	0.484	
C30	2.095	Chr8: 26-31--38	106.23-124.23-143.22	12.3%	0.025	0.484	N/A
2Me-C30	3.823	Chr21: 6-13-17	10.95-26.48-34.17	14.1%	0.001	0.064	46.1%
	4.083	Chr13: 5-9-12	17.33-28.88-31.73	15.6%	0.000	0.023	
	3.838	Chr4: 1-2-4	0-6.219-17.23	16.4%	0.002	0.092	
4Me-C30	2.116	Chr12: 10-14-19	36.87-55.90-64.78	12.5%	0.043	0.716	N/A
14Me-C30	2.027	Chr6: 25-27-30	88.74-113.07-127.81	12.0%	0.078	1.000	25.5%
	2.398	Chr18: 27-36-39	87.11-113.54-122.12	13.9%	0.026	0.484	
C31	1.813	Chr3: 12-18-21	92.83-142.88-166.87	13.0%	0.150	1.000	26.1%
	2.386	Chr21: 46-52-52	112.22-135.98-139.79	13.6%	0.005	0.189	
11,15DiMe-C31	2.022	Chr23: 7-15-23	14.06-36.32-556.53	13.1%	0.032	0.543	N/A
13+15Me-C31	4.837	Chr18: 32-35-38	105.86-111.63-120.25	25.4%	0.000	0.023	N/A
Total	2.141	Chr14: 26-30-39	77.33-97.10-115.14	8.0%	0.045	0.720	44.0%
	3.886	Chr15: 26-29-31	89.34-98.91-102.52	15.1%	0.001	0.064	
	3.065	Chr3: 29-32-36	187.59-194.33-205.79	11.8%	0.011	0.305	
	2.413	Chr7: 13-17-21	65.37-76.84-83.48	9.1%	0.031	0.543	

776 CHC = cuticular hydrocarbon; LOD = log-of-odds score; Location = location of confidence interval start and
777 end, and of the maximal LOD score; q-value = FDR corrected p-value; PEV = percent explained variation; MIM
778 = multi-interval model summarizing all QTLs for a given CHC over different chromosomes.

779   *   Two QTLs for the same CHC on the same chromosome evaluated using the linked-QTL model of *MultiQTL*.
780   Therefore, the LOD, P.E.V., p-value, and q-value are for both QTLs together.
781