Genetic basis of cuticular hydrocarbon variation in the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*

Shani Inbar, Besan Saied, Pnina Cohen, Zeev Frenkel, Yoann Pellen, Abraham B. Korol, Eyal Privman[#]
Department of Evolutionary and Environmental Biology, Institute of Evolution, University of Haifa, Haifa, Israel
[#] Corresponding author: eprivman@univ.haifa.ac.il

Abstract

Cuticluar hydrocarbons (CHCs) are a ubiquitous component of insect cuticles that are used for a wide range of chemical signaling functions, especially recognition. Recognition and other signals are vital for the maintenance of insularity and cooperation in social insect colonies. Therefore, we expect natural selection on the composition and variabitlity of social insect CHC profiles. Selection on these signals may result in the evolution of genetic polymorphism affecting variation in CHC profiles. Here we tested for a genetic basis of CHC variation in the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*. We applied a genomic mapping appraoch to a cohort of brothers from the same nest to reduce noise from environmental effects and achieve a clear statistical signal for association between the variation of CHCs and quantitative trait loci (QTL). This analysis identified 19 QTLs associated with 8 out of the 31 CHCs identified, and one QTL associated with total CHC quantity. These QTLs are located on 11 different chromosomes, including two cases where QTLs of different CHCs overlap. Each QTL explains between 13-25% of the variation in a specific CHC. We highlight several candidate genes in the QTLs identified, including fatty acid elongase and reductase genes. Our results reveal a polygenic genomic architecture underlying CHC variation in a population of the desert ant and open new research avenues into the genetic basis and evolution of chemical signaling in social insects.

Introduction

Long-chain cuticular hydrocarbons (CHCs) are a major component of the cuticular lipids of insects, which function as touch pheromones communicating a range of chemical signals (Drijfhout, et al. 2009). For example, males and females display distinct, species-specific CHCs and other cuticular lipids that are used for mate choice in different species, including *Drosophila* fruit flies (Ferveur and Cobb 2010; Jezovit, et al. 2017; Khallaf, et al. 2021) and *Nasonia* parasitoid wasps (Steiner, et al. 2006; Niehuis, et al. 2013; Buellesbach, et al. 2022).

In social insects, CHCs are also used for nestmate recognition. The ability to discriminate nestmates from foreign intruders is essential for colony integrity and protection of colony resources. CHCs are the main chemical cues underlying nestmate recognition (Holldobler and Michener 1980; Singer 1998). In this study, we investigated the genetic basis of CHC variability in terms of the number of loci and their effect sizes and identified candidate genes that may affect this important phenotype.

CHCs are the main lipid constituents of the epicuiticular layer of insects (reviewed in Blomquist and Bagneres 2010; Blomquist, et al. 2020). It has been speculated that the ancestral role of CHCs was probably to provide a waterproof layer preventing desiccation (Hadley 1994; Blomquist and Bagnères 2010 p. 5). Subsequently, they evolved a secondary role as chemical cues for signaling, communication, and recognition. Insect CHCs have variable chain lengths (20 to 50 carbons) and variable number and position of double bonds and methyl branches, thus allowing a great diversity that affords high information content as chemical cues. CHCs function as sex pheromones in many insects, as attractants, as fertility signals, and as chemical cues for species recognition that facilitates mate choice (Pickett, et al. 2009; Ferveur and Cobb 2010). Different social insect castes generally display distinct CHC profiles, including distinction between fertile individuals (queens, gamergates, or egg laying workers) and their less fertile or non-reproductive nestmates (Howard, et al. 1982; Nunes, et al. 2009; Kleeberg, et al. 2017; Derstine, et al. 2018; Sprenger and Menzel 2020). Signaling by CHCs have been extensively studied in social insects, where they make up an important component of the elaborate communication system necessary for coordinating complex collective behaviors (Hefetz 2007).

The enzymatic pathways responsible for the biosynthesis of CHCs were not characterized in social insects, however, a large body of literature describes such enzymes in model insect species, most notably *Drosophila melanogaster* (Blomquist 2010; Holze, et al. 2021). CHCs are *de novo* synthesized from two- or three-carbon units. Short saturated fatty acids (up to 18 carbons) are first synthesized by fatty acid synthases. These precursors are then elaborated by a series of enzymes that elongate the carbon chain (elongases), introduce double bonds (desaturases), and the final enzymatic steps that convert the fatty acid to a hydrocarbon, including reductases and decarboxylases. Hymenopteran homologs of these enzymes have been identified and studied for their role in CHC biosynthesis in bees and wasps (e.g., Falcón, et al. 2014; Buellesbach, et al. 2022; Moris, et al. 2023). Homologs are also found in ant

genomes, and are the natural candidates for the genetic basis of ant CHCs (e.g., Sprenger, et

al. 2021; Wen, et al. 2025; and our own observations). Some of these gene families have

significantly expended in ants, indicating the evolution of greater complexity in CHC

synthesis (e.g., Hartke, et al. 2019). Beyond the genes involved in synthesis of CHCs, other

genes may be involved in regulation of the quantitates of CHCs produced and secreted

through the cuticle. These genes may function in any stage of the long road from the site of

CHC production to the external surface of the cuticle. CHCs can be either synthesized de

novo or from diet-derived fatty acids (Piek 1964; Blomquist and Jackson 1973; Liang and

Silverman 2000; Blomquist 2010). They are thought to be produced primarily in cells known

as oenocytes in the fat body, and then transported through the hemolymph, secreted and

deposited on the cuticle (Gu, et al. 1995; Fan, et al. 2003).

One of the best understood social functions of CHCs is in nestmate recognition, a

fundamental and vital function in social insect colonies. Nestmate recognition is primarily

based on chemical recognition, which was suggested to involve matching an olfactory

perception of chemical cues to an experience-derived neural template (Ozaki and Hefetz

2014). Different species display different mixtures of CHCs (Martin and Drijfhout 2009a;

d'Ettorre and Lenoir 2010), which suggests rapid evolution, possibly driven by strong

selection. Intra-specific quantitative variation generates colony-specific CHC profiles that

facilitate nestmate recognition, as demonstrated by experimental manipulation in multiple ant

species (Bonavita-Cougourdan, et al. 1987; Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Akino, et al. 2004; Ozaki,

et al. 2005; Martin, et al. 2008), including in our study species *Cataglyphis niger* (Lahav, et

al. 1999). These studies transferred the hydrocarbon fraction of extracts from one ant to

another, which caused ants to attack nestmates or to reduce aggression towards non-

nestmates. Some studies went a step further: they synthesized specific hydrocarbons and

tested their effect on nestmate recognition (Akino, et al. 2004; Martin, et al. 2008).

Recognition cues may be heritable and/or environmentally derived. Heritability of CHC

profiles would imply that quantitative variations in CHCs are partially explained by genetic

polymorphism. Both genetic and environmental effects were documented in *Drosophila*, and

some of the underlying genes were identified (reviewed in Ferveur 2005). Multiple studies

suggest that quantitative variation in CHCs is heritable in diverse insect species including

crickets (Thomas and Simmons 2008), termites (Dronnet, et al. 2006), and parasitoid wasps

(Niehuis, et al. 2011; Buellesbach, et al. 2022). Empirical studies in social insects suggest

that CHC variation is affected by both environmental and genetic factors: For example, a strong effect of the diet was reported in the Argentine ant (Liang and Silverman 2000). Pathogens may also have an effect on nestmate recognition in ants (Tranter, et al. 2015; Leclerc and Detrain 2016). Studies in ants and bees reported the effect of parasites and pathogens on CHCs and nestmate recognition (Trabalon, et al. 2000; Beros, et al. 2017; Cappa, et al. 2019). Other potential factors may include abiotic effects such as temperature and humidity (Buellesbach, et al. 2018; Menzel, et al. 2018; Sprenger, et al. 2018; Silva, et al. 2024). Nevertheless, genetic effects may also be involved, and multiple studies suggested that ant CHCs are heritable to a certain degree: Several studies demonstrated that intercolonial variation in CHC profiles and the ability for nestmate recognition are retained under controlled conditions and uniform diet (Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Lahav, et al. 1999; Akino, et al. 2004; Ozaki, et al. 2005; van Zweden, et al. 2009). Behavioral experiments on different populations of the ant *Temnothorax longispinosus* revealed an association between aggression and genetic dissimilarity (Stuart and Herbers 2000). Isolation of *Formica exsecta* workers revealed a weak patriline effect on CHCs, indicating that different alleles inherited from different fathers had an effect on the CHC profiles of their daughters (Martin, et al. 2012). A study in the ant *Monomorium pharaonis* tested for heritability of individual CHCs by systematic intercrossing eight colonies over nine generations (Walsh, et al. 2020), resulting in low to moderate estimated heritability: narrow-sense heritability h^2 between 0.006 and 0.36. These studies provide evidence for heritability of CHCs in diverse ant species.

The vital function of nestmate recognition is expected to have large fitness consequences, including "red queen" dynamics due to selection against social parasites (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010). This hypothesis is supported by the great interspecific diversity of CHCs and their rapid evolution (Martin and Drijfhout 2009a; d'Ettorre and Lenoir 2010). Some studies found stronger differentiation between colonies by methyl-branched vs. straight alkanes (e.g., Dahbi, et al. 1996). The abundance of branched alkanes and unsaturated alkenes in ant CHCs, which provide greater information content as cues while compromising protection from desiccation, was suggested to be the result of selection for signaling functions (d'Ettorre and Lenoir 2010; Sturgis and Gordon 2012). The exceptional complexity of ant CHC profiles suggests selection for recognition accuracy. If genetic polymorphism arises such that it affects nestmate recognition cues, selection for distinctness of colony profiles is expected to increase the frequency of novel alleles. The requirement for distinctness of colony CHC

profiles should lead to selection in favor of rare alleles, i.e. negative frequency-dependent

selection resulting in balancing selection (Ayala and Campbell 1974; Jongepier and Foitzik

2016). Such processes result in a large number of alleles per locus and the maintenance of

highly diverged alleles in the population over long evolutionary time, as observed for

example in mammalian Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) genes (Hughes and Nei

1988) and the honeybee Complementary Sex Determination (CSD) locus (Cho, et al. 2006).

We propose that the critical role of CHCs in nestmate recognition is expected to result in such

evolutionary dynamics, which would lead to the accumulation of polymorphic loci that affect

the display of ant CHCs quantitatively, i.e., quantitative trait loci (QTLs).

Here, we conducted a QTL mapping study to test whether quantitative variation of CHCs is

associated with genetic polymorphism in the desert ant *Cataglyphis niger*. We produce the

genomic infrastructures necessary for mapping associated genomic loci – a chromosome-

level reference genome sequence. We conduct reduced representation genomic sequencing of

a cohort of brothers to identify polymorphic loci associated with CHC variation (QTLs) and

characterize the genomic architecture of these traits. The use of haploid males affords

valuable advantages in terms of the simplicity of the underlying genetics and minimal

environmental variation (see Methods). The QTLs we discovered in males are most likely to

have a functional role in shaping their CHC profiles that are used as cues for mate choice,

i.e., as sex pheromones. However, we propose that these QTLs are likely to also have effects

on CHCs in workers and queens because many of the same CHCs are observed in all castes

of the species (Hefetz 2007; Inbar and Privman 2019) and because the biosynthetic pathway

of a given CHC is likely to be similar in all castes. We highlight promising candidate genes in

these loci. The discovery of genetic loci associated with CHC variation serves as a first step

in the study of the molecular mechanisms underlying chemical signaling functions including

sex pheromones and potentially also nestmate recognition, and their evolution in a social

insect species.

Methods

Samples

169   Our analysis was based on a sample of 117 brothers, collected on 3/5/2016 from a single *C.*

170   *niger* nest in Betzet Beach in the northern Israeli coastline (colony BZT50, 33°03'32N

171   35°06'15E) and frozen in -80°C. Each of these samples were used for chemical analysis and

genomic sequencing. This colony belongs to a population that was previously referred to as *Cataglyphis drusus* (Eyer, et al. 2017), but our species delimitation analysis showed that it is part of the species described as *C. niger* that is widespread across Israel (Brodetzki, et al. 2019). Our population structure analysis of nuclear genomic DNA sequence data revealed no sub-structure of genetically distinct population clusters –populations across Israel are not genetically differentiated (Brodetzki, et al. 2019). We note that in some areas in Israel *C. niger* colonies have multiple queens (polygyne) and that our recent study revealed that this social polymorphism is associated with a supergene on chromosome 2 (Lajmi, et al. 2025). However, the study population used here is purely monogyne, i.e., each colony is headed by a single queen (Brodetzki, et al. 2019). The supergene is always homozygous (MM) in monogyne colonies (the P haplotypes is only found in polygyne colonies), so we do not expect it to affect the QTL mapping analysis in this study.

Using a cohort of brothers for QTL mapping affords several advantages. Ants have haplodiploid sex determination, where haploid males develop from unfertilized eggs, and diploid females derive from fertilized eggs. Thus, males have no fathers. They only receive one of their mother's alleles in each locus. And so, a cohort of brothers resents the products of maternal meiosis. Such a cohort servses as a simple mapping population similar to a back-cross experiment that is traditionally used for QTL mapping studies. Moreover, recessive alleles are more readily revealed in haploid progeny because samples are hemizygous at all loci, whereas recessive effects might not be observable in diploid samples (in heterozygous samples). For the same reason, similar studies using haploid males were conducted in another hymenopteran species – the parasitoid wasp *Nasonia vitripennis* (Niehuis, et al. 2011). This approach successfully identified a large number of QTLs associated with many of the CHCs analyzed. Another advantage is that CHC variation among brothers sampled from the same nest is likely to be minimally affected by environmental factors because they all experienced a similar environment in the nest. For example, we can safely assume they were fed the same diet and exposed to the same microbes by the workers that cared for them. Males are also expected to be more uniform than workers because they do not have distinct task groups that are exposed to different environments (e.g. nurses vs. foragers).

Chemical analysis

After being kept in a -80 freezer, a random substet of 81 of the 117 samples were individually extracted in 100 μl hexane, containing 200 ng tetracosane (C24) as internal standard.

Compounds were identified by combined gas chromatography/mass spectrometry (GC/MS) based on their fragmentation patterns, as compared to authentic standards (linear aliphatic hydrocarbons from C10 to C40 supplied by Supelco), following the methods used in previous studies of this and other populations of the species (e.g., Brodetzki, et al. 2019). GC/MS was conducted using an Agilent 19091S-433UI instrument at EI and splitless modes, equipped with an HP-5ms (Ultra Inert) column, temperature-programmed from 60°C to 300°C (with 1 min initial hold) at a rate of 5°C per min, with a final hold of 15 min. Each sample was then quantified by gas chromatography. Peak integration was performed using the program Varian Galaxie version 1.9 to obtain the relative quantities of CHCs. We chose the conservative approach of using a baseline that connects the vallies on either side of a peak in order to avoid overestimation due to the effect of large peaks on neihboring smaller peaks. Conversely, this approach might result in underestimation. Absolute quantities were calculated relative to the internal standard, however the QTL mapping analysis was done using the relative quantities.

Reference genome sequencing, assembly and annotation

Identification of the genes inside QTLs requires a genome assembly of sufficient contiguity, that is, genomic scaffolds should be large enough so that the markers of the linkage map can be linked to the genes within the confidence interval for the position of a QTL. We already had a reference genome assembly for *C. niger* that was assembled from Illumina short-read sequencing of a single haploid male (version Cnig_gn1; Yahav and Privman 2019). However, this assembly was rather fragmented, with a scaffold N50 size of only 17.9 Kb. Therefore, we conducted Pacbio long-read sequencing to improve the assembly contiguity. High-molecular weight DNA was extracted from a pool of ~30 pupae from a *C. niger* colony also collected from the Betzet Beach population. A library was constructed and sequenced (CLR sequencing) on a Pacbio Sequel II machine at the Roy J. Carver Biotechnology Center, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The sequencing yielded 7,031,744 reads, with 169 Gbp in total, and an average subread length of 7,227 bp.

The Pacbio data was assembled into two versions of the genome, Cnig_gn3.1 and Cnig_gn3a.1. Version Cnig_gn3.1 was built more stringently, aiming to include only confidently assembled contigs, while version Cnig_gn3a.1 aimed to map as much of the genome sequence to chromosomes. We note that some artefacts are likely in the latter version, which may be harmful to various types of analyses. We only use this assembly for

identifying candidate genes in QTLs. For this purpose, this practice is unlikely to have

serious detrimental effect. To avoid technical artifacts in Pacbio sequencing process short (<5

kbp) and long (>50 kbp) reads were excluded from assembly Cnig_gn3.1. Reads were

assembled onto contigs using *Canu* version 2.1.1 (Koren, et al. 2017). Contigs of

Cnig_gn3a.1 were based on all of the PacBio reads. Contigs of both assembly versions were

polished by *Pilon* version 1.24 (Walker, et al. 2014) using the short Illumina reads from

assembly Cnig_gn1. Polished contigs were anchored to the linkage map (see below) based on

markers with a unique *blastn* hit to contigs. Contigs with no mapped markers were fitted into

chromosomes based on the local similarity between the genomes of *C. niger* and *Formica*

selysi (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/assembly/GCA_009859135.1/) if the synteny of the two

genomes was colinear in the relevant chromosomal region based on *blast* hits of *C. niger*

genetic markers to *F. selysi* chromosomes and *blast* of *F. selysi* genes to *C. niger* contigs.

Chromosomes in the Cnig_gn3.1 assembly contain only contigs with unique position and

defined orientation. Cnig_gn3a.1 also includes contigs with non-unique mapping and/or

unknown orientation. Contigs with several (up to 5) possible positions were included in

Cnig_gn3a.1 several times. Therefore, the Cnig_gn3a.1 had greater total length (265 vs. 236

Mbp) and N50 contig size (3.8 vs. 1.1 Mbp) relative to Cnig_gn3.1. The Cnig_gn3a.1

assembly includes 83% of the total assembly length in chromosomes (i.e. 17% of the

sequence is in contigs that no mapped markers), whereas the Cnig_gn3.1 assembly includes

74%.

Gene annotation was conducted using the *GeMoMa* pipeline (Keilwagen, et al. 2016;

Keilwagen, et al. 2018) that incorporates three types of evidence: (1) RNA sequencing of

samples from all life stages and castes (NCBI accession PRJNA494690; Yahav and Privman

2019) aligned to the genome using *HISAT2* (Kim, et al. 2019); (2) homology to genes

annotated in other insect genomes (*Camponotus floridanus, Harpegnathos saltator,*

Linepithema humile, Solenopsis invicta, Pogonomyrmex barbatus, Formica exsecta, Apis

mellifera, Nasonia vitripennis, Anopheles gambiae, Drosophila melanogaster, Tribolium

castaneum); and (3) *ab initio* gene prediction using *Augustus* (Stanke, et al. 2006). The

annotation resulted in 14,889 and 16,260 putative protein-coding genes for Cnig_gn3.1 and

Cnig_gn3a.1, respectively. Functional annotation of genes was assigned based on homology

using the *Trinotate* tool (version 3.0.2; https://github.com/Trinotate/Trinotate.github.io/wiki)

from the *Trinity* pipeline (Grabherr, et al. 2011), which uses *blast* against the Swissprot

protein database and assigns genes to functional categories of the Gene Ontology (GO)

database (Harris, et al. 2004). Out of the 16,260 genes in Cnig_gn3a.1, 10,268 genes had

Swissprot hits, and GO terms were assigned 10,186 genes. To identify candidate genes in

QTLs, we searched both Swissprot gene description and GO terms for keywords related to

molecular functions and biological processes implicated in CHC synthesis, including fatty

acid metabolism (keyword "fatty") and development and function of the cuticle (keyword

"cuticle").

RAD sequencing

DNA was extracted individually from each sample using TRIzol® (Invitrogen Life

Technologies) and kept at -20°C. Quantity and quality of extracts were determined by

NanoDrop™ 2000 Spectrophotometer and run on a 1.5% agarose gel to verify consistency in

DNA yield, degradation, and phenol/salts residues. Reduced representation genomic libraries

were constructed according to a modified double-digest Restriction-site Associated DNA

sequencing (ddRAD-seq) protocol based on Brelsford, et al. (2016). Briefly, DNA was

digested by two different restriction enzymes (EcoR1 rare-cutter; Mse1 frequent-cutter; from

New England Biolabs) and ligated to barcoded adaptors for multiplexing (using custom

oligos with 96 barcodes of variable lengths of 4-8bp, paired with two different barcoded PCR

primers to allow multiplexing all 117 samples together). Amplified products were pooled and

purified (Beckman Coulter, Agencourt® AMPure® XP) so that 200-700bp fragments would

be selected. The library was paired-end sequenced on one lane of a HiSeq4000 Illumina

sequencer.

The sequencing resulted in 693,969,414 150b reads (346,984,707 pairs), of which

662,096,312 were associated with a sample (barcode). The reads were quality checked and

those in which the quality score drops below an average phred score of 10 (i.e. 1 in 10 chance

for sequencing error) in a sliding window of 22 bases were removed using *process_radtags*

from the *STACKS* pipeline (Catchen, et al. 2011). After this initial filtering a total of

630,112,110 reads remain, which is expected to give an average sequencing depth of ~20X

(based on 67,687 positions of the EcoRI restriction sites in the reference genome).

Reads were aligned to the reference genome using *Bowtie2* (Langmead and Salzberg 2012).

Aligned reads that have more than 2 mismatches to the reference genome and reads that had

multiple mappings were removed. The number of aligned reads retain totaled 431,321,204,

62% of the original number of reads.

The aligned reads were analyzed together to create a catalogue of 88,439 SNPs using the

STACKS pipeline, with an average sequencing depth of 14X across SNPs and samples. These

SNPs were filtered using custom perl scripts to remove loci that had more than three samples

had a heterozygous genotype call (not expected for haploid samples) or less than four

samples with the rare allele (the brothers are expected to have roughly 50% of each of the

maternal alleles) or more than 50% missing data. 7,769 SNPs passed this filtering.

Linkage map

The program *MultiPoint ultra-dense* (Ronin, et al. 2017) was used to construct a linkage map,

based on the genotypes of 7769 SNPs in the 117 samples. These loci were further filtered by

MultiPoint for segregation distortion (based on Chi^2 test to reject the null hypothesis of 1:1

segregation with $p < 0.005$) and for missing data (no more than 23 missing genotypes),

leaving 5466 SNPs. Clustering of linkage groups resulted in 27 clusters of SNP markers

using a recombination frequency threshold of 0.28. Skeleton markers were selected in every

linkage group (giving priority to "twin markers") and ordered by an "evolutionary strategy"

heuristic optimization, as implemented in *MultiPoint*. Ordering was assessed by jackknife

resampling. We manually inspected the linkage groups and applied methods implemented in

MultiPoint to merge linkage groups, exclude markers violating local map monotonicity, and

complement the map by adding suitable singleton markers, resulting in a final map consisting

of 26 linkage groups. To verify that the map length is not inflated by marker ordering errors,

we checked the order by jackknifing and observed a very nearly perfect support across the

map (i.e., 100% jackknife repeats gave identical order among each marker neighborhood

along the linkage group length).

QTL mapping

The program *MultiQTL* (Korol, et al. 1995) was used to map 32 quantitative traits of 81

samples, including 31 specific CHC traits and the total CHC amount. We defined the 31

specific CHC traits as relative amounts, while total CHC is an absolute amount in ng. Many

of the traits had skewed, non-normal distributions, so we removed outliers and applied log-

transformation where necessary. We first identified QTLs using the SIM (Single Interval

Mapping) model in *MultiQTL*, which calculates a LOD score for every trait on each

chromosome. For every putative QTL with LOD score greater than 1.8, we conducted a

permutation test to obtain a *p*-value for the significance of association between genotypes and

phenotypes. QTLs with *p*-value < 0.1 were carried on to the next step. For traits with two

peaks of the LOD scores on a chromosome, we used the linked-QTL model to test the

hypothesis of having two QTLs on the same chromosome (likelihood ratio test). For traits

that had candidate QTLs on more than one chromosome, we applied the MIM (multi-interval

mapping) model to all chromosomes identified in the SIM analysis, which generally results in

higher LOD scores. The permutation test was repeated for every QTL using the MIM model.

The SIM, linked-QTL, or MIM models also provided an estimate of the PEV (percent

explained variation) for each QTL. We identified the location of each QTL by the peak of the

LOD score, and its 95% confidence interval was defined as the region around the maximum,

where the LOD score is greater than the maximum score minus 1.5 points. We applied the

false discovery rate (FDR) method implemented in R (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995; Team

2021) to correct for multiple testing (32 traits by 26 chromosomes = 832 tests). We mapped

the genetic position of the confidence interval of each QTL location to the genome assembly

relative to the physical positions of genetic map markers. We then listed the annotated genes

that reside within the scaffold regions contained in each QTL interval in assembly

Cnig_gn3a.1.

Results

Reference genome and linkage map

As a basis for QTL mapping, we generated a new genome assembly for *C. niger* using high-

coverage Pacbio sequencing that yielded improved contiguity compared to the first draft

(scaffold N50 size of 1.08Mb compared to 17.9Kb for the first draft). We then constructed a

linkage map using the genotypes of a cohort of 117 haploid brothers (Supp. File S1). We used

RAD sequencing to genotype 7769 SNP loci that clustered into 26 linkage groups. We

anchored the assembly contigs to the linkage map, yielding 26 chromosomal sequences that

together encompass 83% of the total assembly length. The total map length was 5041cM,

which is exceptional for animal species. Some of the chromosomes have a very long genetic

map length: chromosome 1 is 402cM long and five additional chromosomes are longer than

280cM (Fig. 1). Given an estimated physical genome size of 236Mb (the total assembly

length), we estimated the genome-wide average recombination rate at 21.3 cM/Mb.

Figure 1: Distribution of genetic map lengths among the 26 chromosomes of *C. niger*.

Chemical analysis of CHCs

We analyzed the cuticular extracts of the same brothers used for linkage map construction, which were treated as quantitative traits for QTL mapping. Chemical analysis identified 32 differentiated peaks in the chromatogram (Fig. 2; Table 1; raw data are provided in Supp. File S2). We were able to identify 36 CHCs in 32 peaks (four peaks consisted of two CHCs that failed to separate). These were saturated alkanes with carbon chain length ranging from C25 to C31, many of them having a methyl group, and some with two and even three methyl branches. We did not observe any unsaturated alkenes. Note that this means that our list of candidate genes for CHC synthesis will not include fatty acid desaturases, which are known from the biosynthetic pathway of alkenes in other insects. The quantitative traits for QTL analysis were defined as the proportion of each CHC out of the total CHC mixture. We also included the total CHC amount as an additional trait, which varied from 228 to 4825ng; average and standard deviation 1478±762ng. There was extensive variation both among and within traits. The most abundant peak contained 11Me- and 13Me-C29, and the second most abundant was C29. These two peaks had averages and standard deviations of 226±122ng and 168±121ng, respectively. Conversely, the least abundant CHC, 5Me-C27, was only 1.1±1.8ng, and undetectable in 34 samples. Generally, the distributions of all CHCs were asymmetrical, with many low values and a long right-hand tail, which required transformation and removal of outliers before QTL analysis (see Methods and Supp. Fig. S1).

One of these peaks (7,11,15TriMe-C31) was excluded from the analysis because its

distribution was extremely non-normal, even after log transformation. Finally, 31 CHC traits

were carried forward to the QTL mapping analysis.

Figure 2: A chromatogram (uV absorption vs. retention time; RT) of the non-polar fraction (RT 20.5-

26.5) of cuticular extracts from a *C. niger* male. Marked peaks were annotated by mass spectrometry.

Table 1: CHCs detected and their relative quantities in the cuticular extracts of *C. niger* males

CHC	Average	Standard deviation
C25	0.03975	0.01111
3Me-C25	0.04626	0.01486
5Me-C25	0.01874	0.02621
C26	0.01057	0.00592
4,12DiMe-C26	0.00781	0.00660
10Me-C26	0.00103	0.00266
C27	0.03679	0.00911
11+13Me-C27	0.03846	0.00937
3Me-C27	0.04817	0.01595
5Me-C27	0.00132	0.00627
7,11,15TriMe-C27	0.00744	0.02473
13+15Me-C31	0.03752	0.01125
11,15DiMe-C27	0.00659	0.00279
7,11DiMe-C27	0.00234	0.00805
C28	0.08391	0.06570
4,12DiMe-C28	0.00070	0.00075
12Me-C28	0.01390	0.01524
2Me-C28	0.00140	0.00138
4Me+8,12DiMe-C28	0.01622	0.00360
C29	0.10508	0.03258
11,15DiMe-C29	0.08399	0.01314
11+13Me-C29	0.15324	0.02623
3Me-C29	0.07331	0.01762
7,11,15TriMe-C29	0.00098	0.00337
C30	0.03410	0.00748
14Me-C30	0.01734	0.00378

2Me-C30	0.00085	0.00101
4Me-C30	0.01969	0.00521
C31	0.02266	0.00877
11,15DiMe-C31	0.06731	0.01630
7,11,15TriMe-C31	0.00250	0.01576

QTLs associated with variation in CHCs

Each CHC (a peak in the GC chromatograms) was treated as a quantitative trait and tested for
404

association with the map markers (SNPs) in a QTL mapping analysis. The analysis included
405

the relative amounts of 31 CHCs, and the absolute total amount of CHCs as an additional
406

trait. A preliminary analysis identified 62 candidate genomic intervals with LOD scores
407

greater than 1.8. Each candidate was evaluated by permutation analysis, which yielded p-
408

values that were then corrected for multiple testing to control the false discovery rate (FDR).
409

This analysis resulted in 20 QTLs (FDR < 10%) for nine traits, including one QTL for total
410

CHC quantity (Table 2). The location and 95% confidence interval of each QTL was
411

estimated based on the LOD function (Fig. 3).
412

Interestingly, we did not detect statistically significant QTLs for most CHCs, but when we
414

did find QTLs for a certain CHC we found more than one (Supp. Fig. S2A). Out of the eight
415

CHCs with QTLs, four had three QTLs, five had two QTLs, on only one CHC had a single
416

QTL, which would be unlikely if all CHCs had equal probability to have QTLs (Poisson
417

dispersion test $p = 0.003$). These results indicate that the variation in some CHCs has a
418

greater genetic component than others. QTLs with large effect size are more likely to be
419

discovered with the limited statistical power given our sample size. Thus, other CHCs may
420

still be affected by QTLs with smaller effect sizes, which are below our detection threshold.
421

The portion of the phenotypic variation explained by QTLs (percent explained variation;
422

PEV) for each CHC ranged from 29.7% to 57.2% (Table 2). The PEV for single QTLs varied
423

between 12.8% and 25.4%. The most genetically determined CHC is C28, for which three
424

QTLs had PEV of 14.4%, 13.8%, and 21.9%, and together accounted for 57.2% PEV, which
425

implies that this trait is affected by genetic factors more than by environmental factors.
426

The 20 QTLs are located in 11 of the 26 chromosomes of *C. niger*, and the number of QTLs
428

per chromosome was not evenly distributed (Supp. Fig. S2B; between 1-5 QTLs per
429

chromosome; Poisson dispersion test $p = 0.06$). In some chromosomes the QTLs for different
430

CHCs appear to be clustered and even overlapping (Fig. 3). For example, QTLs for C28 and
431

2Me-C30 were found together in the tellomeric region of chromosome 4. Chromosome 18
432

harbors one locus associated with C26 and another associated with C27, 13Me- and 15Me-C31 (the LOD plots are virtually overlapping). Each of the QTLs for C26 and C27 on chromosome 17 are also weakly associated with the other CHC. These results suggest that multiple CHCs may be regulated by the same gene or by different genes in a small genomic region, which could harbor a cluster of co-regulated CHC genes.

Table 2: QTLs underlying variation in CHCs

CHC	LOD	Location (cM)	PEV (%)	*p*-value	*q*-value	MIM PEV(%)
C25	6.59*	**Chr6:** 0-43.2 **Chr6:** 76.9-88.8	19.4*	0.001*	0.069*	29.7
C26	4.215	**Chr6:** 56.4-67.4	16.2	0.001	0.069	46.1
	4.168	**Chr17:** 61.0-75.5	16.0	0.001	0.023	
	3.590	**Chr18:** 0-36.5	13.8	0.001	0.062	
C27	3.899	**Chr17:** 87.0-111.9	17.5	0.002	0.078	35.4
	3.981	**Chr18:** 102.1-113.5	18.0	0.001	0.023	
7,11,15TriMe-C27	4.139	**Chr15:** 122.9-154.7	15.0	0.001	0.064	49.4
	4.810	**Chr3:** 207.6-228.1	13.7	0.001	0.023	
	4.120	**Chr6:** 191.7-240.7	12.8	0.001	0.023	
C28	4.761	**Chr10:** 97.9-108.1	14.4	0.001	0.023	57.2%
	6.134	**Chr4:** 8.9-33.0	21.9	0.001	0.023	
	3.910	**Chr5:** 283.0-325.2	13.8	0.002	0.092	
4,12DiMe-C28	4.31*	**Chr20:** 5.0-28.5 **Chr20:** 57.9-84.4	24.9*	0.001*	0.023*	N/A
2Me-C30	3.823	**Chr21:** 10.9-34.2	14.1	0.001	0.064	46.1%
	4.083	**Chr13:** 17.3-31.7	15.6	0.001	0.023	
	3.838	**Chr4:** 0-17.2	16.4	0.002	0.092	
13+15Me-C31	4.837	**Chr18:** 105.9-120.3	25.4	0.001	0.023	N/A
Total CHC	3.886	**Chr15:** 89.3-102.5	15.1	0.001	0.064	44.0%

Results from the MIM model, showing only QTLs that passed the 10% FDR cutoff. The reported MIM PEV is based on the analysis of all chromosomes for each trait. The full results for all tested candidate QTLs are reported in Table S1, which includes results that did not pass the significance threshold in the permutation test. LOD = log-of-odds score; Location = confidence interval of QTL location; *q*-value = FDR corrected *p*-value; PEV = percent explained variation; MIM = multi-interval model summarizing all QTLs for a given CHC over different chromosomes.
* Two QTLs for the same CHC on the same chromosome evaluated using the linked-QTL model of *MultiQTL*, which gives the LOD, PEV, *p*-value, and *q*-value are for both QTLs together.

Figure 3: Location of CHC QTLs on six of the *C. niger* chromosomes. Map markers are listed with distances in cM along each linkage group. LOD scores are plotted for each trait, and 95% confidence intervals of QTL locations are indicated.

Candidate genes

Our sample size (*n*=81) limited the resolution of QTL mapping, resulting in 95% confidence intervals of genetic map length ranging between 10 and 49cM, and physical sizes ranging between 345 and 3,255 Kb. We note that three of the 20 QTLs included contigs that were mapped based on the conservation of synteny with *Formica* (150 out of 565 kbp of the QTL for C25 on chromosome 6; 167 / 3,571 kbp of 4,12DiMe-C28 on chr. 9; and 101 / 1,287 kbp of C26 on chr. 18). The mapping of these contigs was confirmed by the conservation of microsynteny (gene order) with orthologous genes in *Formica*. Each interval contained between 21 and 156 putative protein coding genes. Using our data, it is impossible to narrow

down these gene lists and identify the loci functionally responsible for the variation in the

phenotype. Nevertheless, we noted several candidate genes in these intervals that were

implicated in molecular functions and biological processes related to the synthesis of CHCs

or to the biology and development of the cuticle (Table 3). For example, these include a fatty

acyl-CoA reductase gene (Cnig_gene3669) in the QTL for C27 on chromosome 17 and a

long-chain fatty acid elongase gene (Cnig_gene6578) in the QTL for C26 on chromosome 18.

Table 3: Candidate genes in QTLs underlying variation in CHCs

QTL	Gene	Ortholog	Description
2Me-C30 chr. 13	Cnig_gene5601	FABP9_CAEEL	Fatty acid-binding protein homolog 9
2Me-C30 chr. 21	Cnig_gene2019	LIPI_HUMAN	Lipase member I
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr. 06	Cnig_gene13278	PEX2_RAT	Peroxisome biogenesis factor 2
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr. 15	Cnig_gene4696	ACADV_HUMAN	Very long-chain specific acyl-CoA dehydrogenase, mitochondrial (ECO:0000305|PubMed:7668252)
7,11,15TriMe-C27 chr. 15	Cnig_gene4695	KAPR1_DROME	cAMP-dependent protein kinase type I regulatory subunit
C26 chr. 18	Cnig_gene6578	ELO3_CAEEL	Putative fatty acid elongation protein 3
C27 chr. 17	Cnig_gene3669	WAT_DROME	Fatty acyl-CoA reductase *wat* (ECO:0000305)
Total chr. 15	Cnig_gene4381	FABD_DROME	Probable malonyl-CoA-acyl carrier protein transacylase, mitochondrial

QTL; gene ID for the *C. niger* candiate gene; gene ID of the best Swissprot blast hit and the

functional description of that gene.

Discussion

Here we applied a genomic mapping approach to test for genetic effects on CHCs, which

serve as major chemical cues for recognition and other functions in social insects. We had to

establish a chromosome-level reference genome assembly for the desert ant *Cataglyphis*

niger as the foundation for this genomic mapping study. The long-read sequencing combined

with the high-resolution linkage map resulted in draft assemblies for each of the 26 linkage

groups, which is in agreement with the chromosome number in *Cataglyphis hispanica*, where

one lineage has 26 chromosomes while the other has 27 (Darras, et al. 2022). The linkage

map and the assembly revealed an exceptionally high estimate of a genome-wide average

recombination rate of 21.3 cM/Mb. This rate is similar to the record for animals that is held

by honey bees, with estimates ranging between 19-22 cM/Mb (Beye, et al. 2006; Solignac, et

al. 2007; Meznar, et al. 2010; Korol and Rybnikov 2024). The total physical and genetic

lengths of the *C. niger* genome are both greater than those of *Apis mellifera*: 236Mb and

5041cM vs. 187Mb and 4,114cM (Solignac, et al. 2007). For the QTL mapping analysis, we

analyzed CHC profiles and genomic polymorphism in a sample of 81 haploid brothers. We

used RAD sequencing to obtain a high-density genetic map for QTL mapping based on 7769

markers. This density of markers is more than needed for this progeny sample. The QTL

detection and the resolution of QTL location is limited by the number of recombination

events in our sample rather than the number of genetic markers. We report associations

between 19 QTLs and 8 out of 31 CHCs tested, and one locus that is associated with the total

amount of CHCs. We identified rather large effect sizes that each explain between 13% and

25% of the variation in a given CHC. Between 30% and 57% of the variation of the eight

affected CHCs was explained by the combined genetic effects. Our study opens a window to

the genomic architecture underlying CHC variation in a social insect species.

Our results add to a large volume of literature on determinants of CHC profiles. Previous

studies demonstrated large environmental effects by various factors, including diet (Liang

and Silverman 2000), pathogens (Tranter, et al. 2015; Leclerc and Detrain 2016), and abiotic

environmental factors such as temperature and humidity (Silva, et al. 2024). Incorporating

our results allows a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms shaping CHC profiles

by a combination of genetic and environmental effects. Our results reveal large variation in

the genetic effects on different CHCs. We discovered QTLs with intermediate effect sizes for

eight CHCs; each locus explaining between 12 and 25 percent of phenotypic variation. Up to

57% of the variation of C28 was explained by the combined effects of the QTLs we

identified, but for the other CHCs most of the phenotypic variation was not explained by the

genetic effects. CHCs with QTLs are expected to be heritable as suggested by previous

studies in multiple ant species (Nowbahari, et al. 1990; Lahav, et al. 1999; Akino, et al. 2004;

Ozaki, et al. 2005; van Zweden, et al. 2009; Walsh, et al. 2020). Our results are in line with

estimates of narrow-sense heritability (h^2) of individual CHCs in the ant *Monomorium*

pharaonis that ranged between 0 and 0.36 (Walsh, et al. 2020). We could not detect any

QTLs associated with 23 out of the 31 CHCs in our data. This may be because some CHCs

are only affected by small effect size QTLs that could not be detected with our sample size.

Generally, our estimates of the total genetic component for any of the studied CHCs should

be considered as a lower bound, because they may be affected by additional small effect size

QTLs that we could not detect. Nevertheless, our results indicate substantial variation among

CHCs in the magnitude of their genetic basis and that for most CHCs the genetic component
is smaller than the environmental component. Environmental effects may dominate the cues
for nestmate recognition because nestmates share the same abiotic environment of the nest,
the same diet, and other biotic effects such as pathogens.
523
The eight CHCs with associated QTLs include four straight-chain and four methyl-branched
alkanes. This may be surprising considering that methyl-branched CHCs have greater
information content, and thus are expected to be under greater selection as recognition cues,
and more likely to evolve a genetic basis. This hypothesis was supported by some studies that
reported stronger differentiation between colonies by methyl-branched vs. straight alkanes in
some species (e.g., in *Cataglyphis iberica*; Dahbi, et al. 1996) and stronger direct and indirect
genetic effects (e.g., in *Formica rufibarbis*; Van Zweden, et al. 2010). There may be other
reasons for the evolution of a genetic basis for the less informative straight-chain alkanes,
other than for nestmate recognition purposes. For example, these alkanes may be used for
simple, conserved signals that do not require high information content, such as differentiating
between foragers and nurses (Greene and Gordon 2003; Martin and Drijfhout 2009b) or to
identify a mated queen (Hora, et al. 2008). If alkanes are used for such a conserved function
they may evolve a genetic basis to better regulate their expression.
537
As discussed in the Introduction, the most obvious candidate genes are ant homologs of
enzymes that were extensively studied in model insects and implicated in the biosynthetic
pathway of long-chain fatty acids, which are the precursors of CHCs. These include fatty acid
synthases, fatty acid elongases, and fatty acid reductases. One such candidate,
Cnig_gene3669 in the QTL for C27 on chromosome 17, is a homolog of *Drosophila* fatty
acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) genes. The top blast hit in *Drosophila* is the gene *waterproof*
(*wat*; see a gene tree of ant and fly FAR genes in Supp. Fig. S3), which is involved in tracheal
development. Knocking out *wat* interfered with gas filling of the tracheal tubes during
Drosophila embryogenesis (Jaspers, et al. 2014) and *wat* homologs in planthoppers affect
CHC quantities (Li, et al. 2019; Li, et al. 2020). Generally, FAR genes are responsible for one
of the last steps in CHC biosynthetic pathways, where fatty-acyl CoA precursors are
converted to hydrocarbons. The FAR gene family was implicated in CHC synthesis in
Drosophila based on their expression in oenocytes and their role in regulation of oenocyte
development (Finet, et al. 2019), association with intraspecific CHC variation, and
knockdown experiments that affected CHC length (Dembeck, et al. 2015). Another

candidate, Cnig_gene6578 in the QTL for C26 on chromosome 18, is a homolog of *Drosophila* long-chain fatty acid elongase genes. This gene family takes part in the CHC synthesis pathway, in elongating the chain length of fatty acids initially synthesized by fatty acid synthases beyond 20 carbons (Blomquist and Bagneres 2010). Knockdown of such elongases in *Drosophila* and in the brown planthopper *Nilaparvata lugens* affected abundances of different CHCs (Holze, et al. 2021). The QTL for 2Me-C30 on chromosome 21 contains a homolog of human lipase member I, which hydrolyzes phosphatidic acid (PA) to 2-acyl lysophosphatidic acid (LPA; a potent bioactive lipid mediator) and a fatty acid (Hiramatsu, et al. 2003). While the function of the insect homolog is unknown, it is a promising candidate in lipid metabolism, which may be involved in the synthesis of CHC precursors.

In this study we used a cohort of male brothers as the mapping population. Since this cohort was collected from a single nest, it represent a sample of a single colony from the population. As such, we cannot see how the genetic architecture of CHCs varies across the population. Nevertheless, given the strong evidence for multiple QTLs for multiple CHCs in this colony sample we expect this to generally characterize the genetic architecture of ant CHCs, even if the specific loci and their effect sizes may vary. Our motivation for using males was that they are haploid, which is advantageous for genomic mapping, and because they developed in the same nest in uniform environmental conditions. Male CHCs are likely to have functional roles for recognition and discrimination in mate choice during mating flights. CHCs were extensively investigated in such roles in non-social insects. For example, male offspring from a cross between the wasps *Nasonia vitripennis* and *N. giraulti* were used for a QTL mapping study of CHCs (Niehuis, et al. 2011). CHCs were demonstrated to function as cues for mate choice in this system, which allows females to discriminate between males from different species (Buellesbach, et al. 2013). Similarly, CHCs of male ants are likely to function as cues for mate choice by queens (Sprenger and Menzel 2020). In the worker caste of social insects, CHCs are most consequential in their role as nestmate recognition cues for identifying intruders from other colonies (van Zweden and d'Ettorre 2010). The genetic effects we uncovered in males are likely to also affect CHC profiles of queens and workers, because most CHCs are observed in more than one caste of the same species (Hefetz 2007). Genes involved in the synthesis of these CHCs likely evolved in response to selection pressures on a range of various functional roles in each of the different castes (e.g. nestmate recognition in workers vs. mate choice in males). Natural selection on the ability to discriminate against

foreign workers may increase genetic polymorphism that contributes to CHC variation, and

this polymorphism may also affect variation of the same CHC in males even if this CHC is

not under selection in males. Therefore, some of the QTLs we detected in males may actually

be functionally important in one or the other caste. Further studies in other castes will provide

a more comprehensive understanding of this genetic system and its functional significance.

Acknowledgements
We thank Abraham Hefetz for advice and access to equipment for chemical analysis of

CHCs. We thank Jessica Purcell and Alan Brelsford for advice on RAD sequencing. This

study was funded by Israel Science Foundation grant number 646/15.

Data availability

599 All sequence data was deposited to NCBI: the new genome assembly Cnig_gn3.1 is under

600 NCBI BioProject accession PRJNA1168153 and the raw Pacbio sequencing reads are under

601 SRA accession SRR10302333. The RAD-seq data is under Bioproject accession

602 PRJNA1000554. Chemical data is provided as supplementary materials to this paper.

References

Akino T, Yamamura K, Wakamura S, Yamaoka R. 2004. Direct behavioral evidence for
hydrocarbons as nestmate recognition cues in Formica japonica (Hymenoptera :
Formicidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology 39:381-387.
Ayala FJ, Campbell CA. 1974. Frequency-dependent selection. Annual Review of Ecology
and Systematics 5:115-138.
Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and
powerful approach to multiple testing. J R Stat Soc B Met 57:289-300.
Beros S, Foitzik S, Menzel F. 2017. What are the mechanisms behind a parasite-induced
decline in nestmate recognition in ants? Journal of Chemical Ecology 43:869-880.
Beye M, Gattermeier I, Hasselmann M, Gempe T, Schioett M, Baines JF, Schlipalius D,
Mougel F, Emore C, Rueppell O, et al. 2006. Exceptionally high levels of
recombination across the honey bee genome. Genome Res 16:1339-1344.
Blomquist GJ. 2010. Biosynthesis of cuticular hydrocarbons. In: Blomquist GJ, Bagnères A-
G, editors. Insect hydrocarbons: biology, biochemistry and chemical ecology. p. 325-
343.
Blomquist GJ, Bagneres A-G. 2010. Insect hydrocarbons: biology, biochemistry, and
chemical ecology: Cambridge University Press.
Blomquist GJ, Bagnères A-G. 2010. Introduction: history and overview of insect
hydrocarbons. In: Blomquist GJ, Bagnères A-G, editors. Insect hydrocarbons: biology,
biochemistry and chemical ecology. p. 325-343.

Blomquist GJ, Jackson LL. 1973. Incorporation of labelled dietary n-alkanes into cuticular lipids of the grasshopper Melanoplus sanguinipes. Journal of insect physiology 19:1639-1647.

Blomquist GJ, Tittiger C, Jurenka R. 2020. Cuticular hydrocarbons and pheromones of arthropods. In: Wilkes H, editor. Hydrocarbons, oils and lipids: diversity, origin, chemistry and fate. Cham: Springer. p. 213-244.

Bonavita-Cougourdan A, Clément J, Lange C. 1987. Nestmate recognition: the role of cuticular hydrocarbons in the ant Camponotus vagus Scop.

Brelsford A, Dufresnes C, Perrin N. 2016. High-density sex-specific linkage maps of a European tree frog (Hyla arborea) identify the sex chromosome without information on offspring sex. Heredity (Edinb) 116:177-181.

Brodetzki TR, Inbar S, Cohen P, Aron S, Privman E, Hefetz A. 2019. the Interplay between Incipient species and social polymorphism in the Desert Ant Cataglyphis. Scientific reports 9:9495.

Buellesbach J, Gadau J, Beukeboom LW, Echinger F, Raychoudhury R, Werren JH, Schmitt T. 2013. Cuticular hydrocarbon divergence in the jewel wasp Nasonia: evolutionary shifts in chemical communication channels? Journal of evolutionary biology 26:2467-2478.

Buellesbach J, Holze H, Schrader L, Liebig J, Schmitt T, Gadau J, Niehuis O. 2022. Genetic and genomic architecture of species-specific cuticular hydrocarbon variation in parasitoid wasps. Proceedings of the Royal Society B 289:20220336.

Buellesbach J, Whyte BA, Cash E, Gibson JD, Scheckel KJ, Sandidge R, Tsutsui ND. 2018. Desiccation resistance and micro-climate adaptation: cuticular hydrocarbon signatures of different Argentine ant supercolonies across California. Journal of Chemical Ecology 44:1101-1114.

Cappa F, Petrocelli I, Dani FR, Dapporto L, Giovannini M, Silva-Castellari J, Turillazzi S, Cervo R. 2019. Natural biocide disrupts nestmate recognition in honeybees. Scientific reports 9:3171.

Catchen JM, Amores A, Hohenlohe P, Cresko W, Postlethwait JH. 2011. Stacks: building and genotyping Loci de novo from short-read sequences. G3 1:171-182.

Cho S, Huang ZY, Green DR, Smith DR, Zhang J. 2006. Evolution of the complementary sex-determination gene of honey bees: Balancing selection and trans-species polymorphisms. Genome Research 16:1366-1375.

d'Ettorre P, Lenoir A. 2010. Nestmate recognition. Ant ecology:194-209.

Dahbi A, Cerdá X, Hefetz A, Lenoir A. 1996. Social closure, aggressive behavior, and cuticular hydrocarbon profiles in the polydomous ant Cataglyphis iberica (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Journal of Chemical Ecology 22:2173-2186.

Darras H, de Souza Araujo N, Baudry L, Guiglielmoni N, Lorite P, Marbouty M, Rodriguez F, Arkhipov I, Koszul R, Flot J-F. 2022. Chromosome-level genome assembly and annotation of two lineages of the ant Cataglyphis hispanica: steppingstones towards genomic studies of hybridogenesis and thermal adaptation in desert ants. bioRxiv.

Dembeck LM, Böröczky K, Huang W, Schal C, Anholt RR, Mackay TF. 2015. Genetic architecture of natural variation in cuticular hydrocarbon composition in Drosophila melanogaster. Elife 4:e09861.

Derstine N, Gries R, Zhai H, Jimenez S, Gries G. 2018. Cuticular hydrocarbons determine sex, caste, and nest membership in each of four species of yellowjackets (Hymenoptera: Vespidae). Insectes Sociaux 65:581-591.

Drijfhout FP, Kather R, Martin SJ. 2009. The role of cuticular hydrocarbons in insects. In: Zhang W, Liu H, editors. Behavioral and chemical ecology: Nova Science Publishers, Inc. p. 91-114.

Dronnet S, Lohou C, Christides J-P, Bagnères A-G. 2006. Cuticular hydrocarbon
composition reflects genetic relationship among colonies of the introduced termite
Reticulitermes santonensis Feytaud. Journal of Chemical Ecology 32:1027-1042.
Eyer PA, Seltzer R, Reiner-Brodetzki T, Hefetz A. 2017. An integrative approach to
untangling species delimitation in the Cataglyphis bicolor desert ant complex in Israel.
Mol Phylogenet Evol 115:128-139.
Falcón T, Ferreira-Caliman MJ, Nunes FMF, Tanaka ÉD, do Nascimento FS, Bitondi MMG.
2014. Exoskeleton formation in Apis mellifera: Cuticular hydrocarbons profiles and
expression of desaturase and elongase genes during pupal and adult development.
Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 50:68-81.
Fan Y, Zurek L, Dykstra MJ, Schal C. 2003. Hydrocarbon synthesis by enzymatically
dissociated oenocytes of the abdominal integument of the German cockroach, Blattella
germanica. Naturwissenschaften 90:121-126.
Ferveur J-F. 2005. Cuticular hydrocarbons: their evolution and roles in Drosophila
pheromonal communication. Behavior genetics 35:279-295.
Ferveur J-F, Cobb M. 2010. Behavioral and evolutionary roles of cuticular hydrocarbons in
Diptera. In: Blomquist GJ, Bagnères A-G, editors. Insect hydrocarbons: biology,
biochemistry and chemical ecology. p. 325-343.
Finet C, Slavik K, Pu J, Carroll SB, Chung H. 2019. Birth-and-death evolution of the fatty
acyl-CoA reductase (FAR) gene family and diversification of cuticular hydrocarbon
synthesis in Drosophila. Genome biology and evolution 11:1541-1551.
Grabherr MG, Haas BJ, Yassour M, Levin JZ, Thompson DA, Amit I, Adiconis X, Fan L,
Raychowdhury R, Zeng Q. 2011. Trinity: reconstructing a full-length transcriptome
without a genome from RNA-Seq data. Nature biotechnology 29:644.
Greene MJ, Gordon DM. 2003. Cuticular hydrocarbons inform task decisions. Nature
423:32-32.
Gu X, Quilici D, Juarez P, Blomquist G, Schal C. 1995. Biosynthesis of hydrocarbons and
contact sex pheromone and their transport by lipophorin in females of the German
cockroach (Blattella germanica). Journal of insect physiology 41:257-267.
Hadley NF. 1994. The water relations of terrestrial arthropods: CUP Archive.
Harris MA, Clark J, Ireland A, Lomax J, Ashburner M, Foulger R, Eilbeck K, Lewis S,
Marshall B, Mungall C, et al. 2004. The Gene Ontology (GO) database and informatics
resource. Nucleic acids research 32:D258-261.
Hartke J, Schell T, Jongepier E, Schmidt H, Sprenger PP, Paule J, Bornberg-Bauer E, Schmitt
T, Menzel F, Pfenninger M. 2019. Hybrid genome assembly of a neotropical
mutualistic ant. Genome biology and evolution 11:2306-2311.
Hefetz A. 2007. The evolution of hydrocarbon pheromone parsimony in ants (Hymenoptera:
Formicidae)—interplay of colony odor uniformity and odor idiosyncrasy.
Myrmecological News 10:59-68.
Hiramatsu T, Sonoda H, Takanezawa Y, Morikawa R, Ishida M, Kasahara K, Sanai Y,
Taguchi R, Aoki J, Arai H. 2003. Biochemical and molecular characterization of two
phosphatidic acid-selective phospholipase A1s, mPA-PLA1α and mPA-PLA1β. Journal
of Biological Chemistry 278:49438-49447.
Holldobler B, Michener CD. 1980. Mechanisms of identification and discrimination in social
Hymenoptera. In: Markl H, editor. Evolution of social behaviour: hypotheses and
empirical tests. p. 35-58.
Holze H, Schrader L, Buellesbach J. 2021. Advances in deciphering the genetic basis of
insect cuticular hydrocarbon biosynthesis and variation. Heredity 126:219-234.

Hora RR, Ionescu-Hirsh A, Simon T, Delabie J, Robert J, Fresneau D, Hefetz A. 2008. Postmating changes in cuticular chemistry and visual appearance in Ectatomma tuberculatum queens (Formicidae: Ectatomminae). Naturwissenschaften 95:55-60.

Howard RW, McDaniel C, Nelson DR, Blomquist GJ, Gelbaum LT, Zalkow LH. 1982. Cuticular hydrocarbons of Reticulitermes virginicus (Banks) and their role as potential species-and caste-recognition cues. Journal of Chemical Ecology 8:1227-1239.

Hughes AL, Nei M. 1988. Pattern of nucleotide substitution at major histocompatibility complex class I loci reveals overdominant selection. Nature 335:167-170.

Inbar S, Privman E. 2019. Differences in Long-Chained Cuticular Hydrocarbons between Males and Gynes in Cataglyphis Desert Ants. bioRxiv:538926.

Jaspers MH, Pflanz R, Riedel D, Kawelke S, Feussner I, Schuh R. 2014. The fatty acyl-CoA reductase Waterproof mediates airway clearance in Drosophila. Developmental biology 385:23-31.

Jezovit JA, Levine JD, Schneider J. 2017. Phylogeny, environment and sexual communication across the Drosophila genus. Journal of Experimental Biology 220:42-52.

Jongepier E, Foitzik S. 2016. Ant recognition cue diversity is higher in the presence of slavemaker ants. Behavioral Ecology 27:304-311.

Keilwagen J, Hartung F, Paulini M, Twardziok SO, Grau J. 2018. Combining RNA-seq data and homology-based gene prediction for plants, animals and fungi. BMC bioinformatics 19:1-12.

Keilwagen J, Wenk M, Erickson JL, Schattat MH, Grau J, Hartung F. 2016. Using intron position conservation for homology-based gene prediction. Nucleic acids research 44:e89-e89.

Khallaf MA, Cui R, Weißflog J, Erdogmus M, Svatoš A, Dweck HK, Valenzano DR, Hansson BS, Knaden M. 2021. Large-scale characterization of sex pheromone communication systems in Drosophila. Nature communications 12:4165.

Kim D, Paggi JM, Park C, Bennett C, Salzberg SL. 2019. Graph-based genome alignment and genotyping with HISAT2 and HISAT-genotype. Nature biotechnology 37:907-915.

Kleeberg I, Menzel F, Foitzik S. 2017. The influence of slavemaking lifestyle, caste and sex on chemical profiles in Temnothorax ants: insights into the evolution of cuticular hydrocarbons. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 284:20162249.

Korol A, Rybnikov S. 2024. Recombination. In. Encyclopedia of Biodiversity: Elsevier. p. 375-396.

Korol AB, Ronin YI, Kirzhner VM. 1995. Interval mapping of quantitative trait loci employing correlated trait complexes. Genetics 140:1137-1147.

Lahav S, Soroker V, Hefetz A, Vander Meer RK. 1999. Direct behavioral evidence for hydrocarbons as ant recognition discriminators. Naturwissenschaften 86:246-249.

Lajmi A, Cohen P, Lee C-C, Frenkel Z, Pellen Y, Privman E. 2025. Repeated evolution of supergenes on an ancient social chromosome. bioRxiv, accepted to Current Biology:2024.2012. 2001.626239.

Langmead B, Salzberg SL. 2012. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nature methods 9:357-359.

Leclerc J-B, Detrain C. 2016. Ants detect but do not discriminate diseased workers within their nest. The Science of Nature 103:1-12.

Li D-T, Chen X, Wang X-Q, Zhang C-X. 2019. FAR gene enables the brown planthopper to walk and jump on water in paddy field. Science China Life Sciences 62:1521-1531.

Li DT, Dai YT, Chen X, Wang XQ, Li ZD, Moussian B, Zhang CX. 2020. Ten fatty acyl-CoA reductase family genes were essential for the survival of the destructive rice pest, Nilaparvata lugens. Pest management science 76:2304-2315.

Liang D, Silverman J. 2000. "You are what you eat": diet modifies cuticular hydrocarbons
and nestmate recognition in the Argentine ant, Linepithema humile.
Naturwissenschaften 87:412-416.
Martin S, Drijfhout F. 2009a. A review of ant cuticular hydrocarbons. Journal of Chemical
Ecology 35:1151-1161.
Martin S, Trontti K, Shemilt S, Drijfhout F, Butlin R, Jackson D. 2012. Weak patriline effects
are present in the cuticular hydrocarbon profiles of isolated Formica exsecta ants but
they disappear in the colony environment. Ecology and evolution 2:2333-2346.
Martin SJ, Drijfhout FP. 2009b. Nestmate and task cues are influenced and encoded
differently within ant cuticular hydrocarbon profiles. Journal of Chemical Ecology
35:368-374.
Martin SJ, Vitikainen E, Helantera H, Drijfhout FP. 2008. Chemical basis of nest-mate
discrimination in the ant Formica exsecta. Proceedings of the Royal Society B-
Biological Sciences 275:1271-1278.
Menzel F, Zumbusch M, Feldmeyer B. 2018. How ants acclimate: impact of climatic
conditions on the cuticular hydrocarbon profile. Functional Ecology 32:657-666.
Meznar ER, Gadau J, Koeniger N, Rueppell O. 2010. Comparative linkage mapping suggests
a high recombination rate in all honeybees. Journal of Heredity 101:S118-S126.
Moris VC, Podsiadlowski L, Martin S, Oeyen JP, Donath A, Petersen M, Wilbrandt J, Misof
B, Liedtke D, Thamm M. 2023. Intrasexual cuticular hydrocarbon dimorphism in a
wasp sheds light on hydrocarbon biosynthesis genes in Hymenoptera. Communications
Biology 6:147.
Niehuis O, Buellesbach J, Gibson JD, Pothmann D, Hanner C, Mutti NS, Judson AK, Gadau
J, Ruther J, Schmitt T. 2013. Behavioural and genetic analyses of Nasonia shed light on
the evolution of sex pheromones. Nature 494:345-348.
Niehuis O, Bullesbach J, Judson AK, Schmitt T, Gadau J. 2011. Genetics of cuticular
hydrocarbon differences between males of the parasitoid wasps Nasonia giraulti and
Nasonia vitripennis. Heredity (Edinb) 107:61-70.
Nowbahari E, Lenoir A, Clément J, Lange C, Bagneres A, Joulie C. 1990. Individual,
geographical and experimental variation of cuticular hydrocarbons of the ant
Cataglyphis cursor (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): their use in nest and subspecies
recognition. Biochemical Systematics and Ecology 18:63-73.
Nunes T, Turatti I, Mateus S, Nascimento F, Lopes N, Zucchi R. 2009. Cuticular
hydrocarbons in the stingless bee Schwarziana quadripunctata (Hymenoptera, Apidae,
Meliponini): differences between colonies, castes and age. Genetics and Molecular
Research 8:589-595.
Ozaki M, Hefetz A. 2014. Neural Mechanisms and Information Processing in Recognition
Systems. Insects 5:722-741.
Ozaki M, Wada-Katsumata A, Fujikawa K, Iwasaki M, Yokohari F, Satoji Y, Nisimura T,
Yamaoka R. 2005. Ant nestmate and non-nestmate discrimination by a chemosensory
sensillum. Science 309:311-314.
Pickett JA, Birkett MA, Woodcock CM, Zhou J-J. 2009. Scents and sex: Insect pheromones.
The Biochemist 31:28-32.
Piek T. 1964. Synthesis of wax in the honeybee (Apis mellifera L.). Journal of insect
physiology 10:563-572.
Ronin YI, Mester DI, Minkov DG, Akhunov E, Korol AB. 2017. Building ultra-high-density
linkage maps based on efficient filtering of trustable markers. Genetics 206:1285-1295.
Silva KL, Batista NR, Antonialli-Junior WF. 2024. Effect of Temperature on Nestmate
Recognition in the Ant Odontomachus chelifer. Sociobiology 71:e9944-e9944.

Singer TL. 1998. Roles of hydrocarbons in the recognition systems of insects. American
Zoologist 38:394-405.
Solignac M, Mougel F, Vautrin D, Monnerot M, Cornuet J-M. 2007. A third-generation
microsatellite-based linkage map of the honey bee, Apis mellifera, and its comparison
with the sequence-based physical map. Genome Biol 8:1-14.
Sprenger PP, Burkert LH, Abou B, Federle W, Menzel F. 2018. Coping with the climate:
cuticular hydrocarbon acclimation of ants under constant and fluctuating conditions.
Journal of Experimental Biology 221:jeb171488.
Sprenger PP, Hartke J, Schmitt T, Menzel F, Feldmeyer B. 2021. Candidate genes involved
in cuticular hydrocarbon differentiation between cryptic, parabiotic ant species. G3
11:jkab078.
Sprenger PP, Menzel F. 2020. Cuticular hydrocarbons in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)
and other insects: how and why they differ among individuals, colonies, and species.
Myrmecological News 30.
Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. 2006. AUGUSTUS: ab
initio prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic acids research 34:W435-W439.
Steiner S, Hermann N, Ruther J. 2006. Characterization of a female-produced courtship
pheromone in the parasitoid Nasonia vitripennis. Journal of Chemical Ecology
32:1687-1702.
Stuart RJ, Herbers JM. 2000. Nest mate recognition in ants with complex colonies: within-
and between-population variation. Behavioral Ecology 11:676-685.
Sturgis SJ, Gordon DM. 2012. Nestmate recognition in ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae): a
review. Myrmecological News 16:101-110.
Team RC. 2021. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria:
R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
Thomas M, Simmons L. 2008. Cuticular hydrocarbons are heritable in the cricket
Teleogryllus oceanicus. Journal of evolutionary biology 21:801-806.
Trabalon M, Plateaux L, Péru L, Bagnères A-G, Hartmann N. 2000. Modification of
morphological characters and cuticular compounds in worker ants Leptothorax
nylanderi induced by endoparasites Anomotaenia brevis. Journal of insect physiology
46:169-178.
Tranter C, LeFevre L, Evison SE, Hughes WO. 2015. Threat detection: contextual
recognition and response to parasites by ants. Behavioral Ecology 26:396-405.
Van Zweden J, Brask JB, Christensen JH, Boomsma JJ, Linksvayer TA, D'ettorre P. 2010.
Blending of heritable recognition cues among ant nestmates creates distinct colony
gestalt odours but prevents within-colony nepotism. Journal of evolutionary biology
23:1498-1508.
van Zweden JS, d'Ettorre P. 2010. estmate recognition in social insects and the role of
hydrocarbons. In: Blomquist GJ, Bagnères A-G, editors. Insect hydrocarbons: biology,
biochemistry and chemical ecology. p. 222-243.
van Zweden JS, Dreier S, d'Ettorre P. 2009. Disentangling environmental and heritable
nestmate recognition cues in a carpenter ant. Journal of insect physiology 55:159-164.
Walsh J, Pontieri L, d'Ettorre P, Linksvayer TA. 2020. Ant cuticular hydrocarbons are
heritable and associated with variation in colony productivity. Proc Biol Sci
287:20201029.
Wen H, Ma X, Zhang W, Wang L, Li Y, Lv D, Sun J, Mang D. 2025. A fructose-sensitive
gustatory receptor links nutrient sensing to lipid metabolism in the red imported fire
ant. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology:104440.
Yahav T, Privman E. 2019. A comparative analysis of methods for de novo assembly of
hymenopteran genomes using either haploid or diploid samples. Sci Rep 9:6480.

873
874

875 **Supplementary**

876 **Supplementary Figure S1: Distributions of the absolute quantity of each CHC in the**

877 **samples. CHCs marked with (T) were log-transformed.**

911 **Supplementary Figure S2:** Distribution of the number of QTLs per CHC (A) and QTLs per

912 chromosome (B).

Supplementary Figure S3: Fatty acyl-CoA reductase gene tree. Including fly (*Drosophila melanogaster*) and ant (*Cataglyphis niger* and *Camponotus floridanus*) genes. The *Drosophila waterproof* gene and other fly paralogs are the closest homologs in *Drosophila* to *C. niger* gene 3669 in the QTL for C27. The gene names are colored by species and subfamilies that existed in the common ancestor of ants and flies are colored in distinct colors.

Supplementary Table S1: QTLs underlying variation in CHCs (full table of tested candidate QTLs, including non-significant results)

CHC	LOD	Location (map intervals)	Location (cM)	P.E.V	*p*-value	*q*-value	MIM P.E.V
C25	6.589	Chr6: 1-3-5	0-21.06-43.20	19.41%	0.001	0.069	29.70%
	linked*	Chr6: 19-22-25	76.86-81.62-88.75	linked*	linked*	linked*	
	2.710	Chr8: 15-17-22	58.38-81.91-101.32	10.6%	0.013	0.318	
3Me-C25	2.834	Chr5: 4-8-16	30.89-46.11-66.91	16.8%	0.006	0.207	30.1%
	1.859	Chr1: 50-57-60	386.10-406.87-431.58	13.0%	0.091	1.000	
5Me-C25	2.523	Chr8: 49-50-50	221.43-244.92-244.92	14.9%	0.010	0.301	N/A
C26	4.215	Chr6: 13-16-18	56.40-65.36-67.36	16.2%	0.001	0.069	46.1%
	4.168	Chr17: 17-19-21	60.99-72.57-75.45	16.0%	0.000	0.023	
	3.590	Chr18: 1-1-5	0-0.0-36.50	13.8%	0.001	0.062	
4,12DiMe-C26	2.710	Chr18: 36-39-43	111.63-125.97-130.72	8.7%	0.012	0.318	48.6%
	7.069	Chr3: 1-2-4	0-12.84-37.91	39.9%	0.003	0.125	
	linked*	Chr3: 50-52-56	294.10-313.03-333.81	linked*	linked*	linked*	
4Me-C26	3.349	Chr11: 44-45-48	155.99-172.36-183.23	14.5%	0.003	0.109	35.5%
	2.402	Chr10: 38-43-49	108.13-127.65-154.33	10.4%	0.028	0.503	
	2.507	Chr18: 40-47-52	125.97-143.39-164.19	10.6%	0.018	0.398	
10Me-C26	3.691	Chr9: 45-50-54	190.30-213.93-225.26	16.0%	0.014	0.333	N/A
C27	3.899	Chr17: 25-29-32	87.01-97.50-111.93	17.5%	0.002	0.078	35.4%
	3.981	Chr18: 31-33-37	102.15-108.7-113.54	18.0%	0.000	0.023	
7,11DiMe-C27	3.160	Chr22: 1-1-7	0-0.0-11.61	18.2%	0.004	0.158	N/A
11,15DiMe-C27	2.453	Chr7: 3-4-7	20.58-32.56-44.27	12.4%	0.018	0.398	24.3%
	2.283	Chr22: 1-1-8	0-0.0-13/74	11.8%	0.022	0.447	
3Me-C27	2.250	Chr25: 20-23-28	81.78-106.66-141.64	12.8%	0.018	0.398	N/A
5Me-C27	2.341	Chr14: 1-1-6	0-2.709-19.28	13.6%	0.024	0.484	N/A
11+13Me-C27	2.966	Chr12: 41-43-47	123.83-137.66-145.54	14.8%	0.009	0.283	49.4%
	1.952	Chr10: 5-9-15	27.08-52.66-60.07	10.5%	0.064	0.951	
	1.922	Chr19: 44-46-49	124.68-139.08-143.78	10.5%	0.064	0.951	
	2.760	Chr22: 3-6-10	3.906-11.61-18.61	13.6%	0.011	0.301	
7,11,15TriMe-C27	4.139	Chr15: 43-47-48	122.95-147.06-154.68	15.0%	0.001	0.064	49.4%
	4.810	Chr3: 38-39-42	207.63-216.96-228.07	13.7%	0.000	0.023	
	4.120	Chr6: 41-44-46	191.72-227.46-240.65	12.8%	0.000	0.023	
	4.725	Chr4: 4-8-12	19.14-35.30-45.95	15.9%	0.011	0.301	
	linked*	Chr4: 34-37-46	195.36-209.88-268.45	linked*	linked*	linked*	

CHC	LOD	Location (Chr)	Location	PEV	p	q-value	MIM PEV
C28	2.512	Chr15: 11-15-20	45.45-66.39-71.96	7.1%	0.019	0.405	57.2%
	4.761	Chr10: 34-35-38	97.87-102.49-108.13	14.4%	0.000	0.023	
	6.134	Chr4: 3-7-8	8.909-25.69-33.02	21.9%	0.000	0.023	
	3.910	Chr5: 59-62-64	283.00-300.42-325.22	13.8%	0.002	0.092	
4,12DiMe-C28	4.310	Chr20: 1-4-9	4.967-12.71-28.54	24.9%	0.000	0.023	N/A
	linked*	Chr20: 20-24-30	57.93-73.72-84.35	linked*	linked*	linked*	
2Me-C28	3.001	Chr6: 5-12-16	43.20-53.65-63.35	17.0%	0.010	0.301	N/A
4Me+8,12DiMe-C28	2.119	Chr10: 40-42-49	112.81-127.09-154.33	11.3%	0.045	0.720	21.5%
	1.852	Chr22: 46-51-55	99.76-120.75-130.05	10.8%	0.050	0.785	
12Me-C28	1.86	Chr11: 14-19-23	64.44-83.04-88.70	9.7%	0.032	0.543	20.9%
	2.122	Chr24: 22-27-32	36.70-50.61-58.70	11.2%	0.013	0.318	
C29	3.279	Chr12: 39-43-48	121.89-135.12-153.78	19.0%	0.006	0.208	N/A
11,15DiMe-C29	1.864	Chr16: 15-21-26	70.20-83.69-90.70	10.7%	0.055	0.847	N/A
3Me-C29	2.013	Chr12: 22-29-35	73.42-103.8-116.09	12.0%	0.067	0.978	N/A
11+13Me-C29	4.667	Chr8: 27-31-37	116.69-124.23-143.22	25.2%	0.025	0.484	N/A
	linked*	Chr8: 38-39-42	152.77-158.45-170.69	linked*	linked*	linked*	
7,11,15TriMe-C29	2.766	Chr8: 38-43-45	143.22-173.42-187.41	15.3%	0.013	0.318	28.7%
	2.602	Chr5: 26-29-36	128.50-149.1-163.91	13.4%	0.026	0.484	
C30	2.095	Chr8: 26-31--38	106.23-124.23-143.22	12.3%	0.025	0.484	N/A
2Me-C30	3.823	Chr21: 6-13-17	10.95-26.48-34.17	14.1%	0.001	0.064	46.1%
	4.083	Chr13: 5-9-12	17.33-28.88-31.73	15.6%	0.000	0.023	
	3.838	Chr4: 1-2-4	0-6.219-17.23	16.4%	0.002	0.092	
4Me-C30	2.116	Chr12: 10-14-19	36.87-55.90-64.78	12.5%	0.043	0.716	N/A
14Me-C30	2.027	Chr6: 25-27-30	88.74-113.07-127.81	12.0%	0.078	1.000	25.5%
	2.398	Chr18: 27-36-39	87.11-113.54-122.12	13.9%	0.026	0.484	
C31	1.813	Chr3: 12-18-21	92.83-142.88-166.87	13.0%	0.150	1.000	26.1%
	2.386	Chr21: 46-52-52	112.22-135.98-139.79	13.6%	0.005	0.189	
11,15DiMe-C31	2.022	Chr23: 7-15-23	14.06-36.32-556.53	13.1%	0.032	0.543	N/A
13+15Me-C31	4.837	Chr18: 32-35-38	105.86-111.63-120.25	25.4%	0.000	0.023	N/A
Total	2.141	Chr14: 26-30-39	77.33-97.10-115.14	8.0%	0.045	0.720	44.0%
	3.886	Chr15: 26-29-31	89.34-98.91-102.52	15.1%	0.001	0.064	
	3.065	Chr3: 29-32-36	187.59-194.33-205.79	11.8%	0.011	0.305	
	2.413	Chr7: 13-17-21	65.37-76.84-83.48	9.1%	0.031	0.543	

CHC = cuticular hydrocarbon; LOD = log-of-odds score; Location = location of confidence interval start and
end, and of the maximal LOD score; *q*-value = FDR corrected *p*-value; PEV = percent explained variation; MIM

= multi-interval model summarizing all QTLs for a given CHC over different chromosomes. Shades of red
highlight statistically significant results.
*   Two QTLs for the same CHC on the same chromosome evaluated using the linked-QTL model of *MultiQTL*.
Therefore, the LOD, P.E.V., *p*-value, and *q*-value are for both QTLs together.
930