Filamentous cheater phages drive bacterial and phage populations to lower fitness

Nanami Kubota[1], Michelle R. Scribner[1], and Vaughn S. Cooper[1,2,3,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, School of Medicine, University of

Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

[2]Center for Evolutionary Biology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,

Pennsylvania, USA

[3]Lead contact

*Correspondence: vaughn.cooper@pitt.edu

<u>**Summary**</u>

Many bacteria carry phage genome(s) in their chromosome (i.e., prophage), and this

intertwines the fitness of the bacterium and the phage. Most *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains

carry filamentous phages called Pf that establish chronic infections and do not require host lysis

to spread. However, spontaneous mutations in the Pf repressor gene (*pf5r*) can allow extreme

phage production that slows bacterial growth and increases cell death, violating an apparent

détente between bacterium and phage. We observed this paradoxical outcome in an evolution

experiment with *P. aeruginosa* in media simulating nutrients from the cystic fibrosis airway.

Bacteria containing *pf5r* mutant phage grow to a lower density but directly outcompete their

ancestor and convert them into *pf5r* mutants via phage superinfection. Reduced fitness

therefore spreads throughout the bacterial population, driven by weaponized Pf. Yet high

intracellular phage replication facilitates another evolutionary conflict: "cheater miniphages"

lacking capsid genes invade populations of full-length phages within cells. Although bacteria

containing both full-length phages and miniphages are most immune to superinfection by

limiting the Pf receptor, this hybrid vigor is extremely unstable, as a classic Tragedy of the

Commons scenario ensues that results in complete prophage loss. The entire cycle – from

phage hyperactivation to miniphage invasion to prophage loss – can occur within 24h,

showcasing rapid coevolution between bacteria and their filamentous phages. This study

demonstrates that *P. aeruginosa*, and potentially many other bacterial species that carry

filamentous prophages, risk being exploited by these phages in a runaway process that reduces

fitness of both host and virus.

<u>Keywords</u>

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, filamentous phage, Inoviridae, cheating, Tragedy of the Commons,

experimental evolution, lysogeny, Pf phage, intracellular competition

<u>Introduction</u>

Many bacteria carry genetic parasites such as lysogenized phages, and a fundamental

question is how the presence of these genetic parasites affects the evolutionary trajectory of

both the bacterial host and phage. Temperate phages that are integrated into their bacterial host

chromosome (prophages) have their fitness tied to their host, and likewise, the host phenotype

and fitness are also influenced by the presence of prophage.[1–4] While prophages may encode

beneficial traits for the bacteria, such as antibiotic resistance genes[5,6] or superinfection

exclusion mechanisms[7], maintenance of an active prophage comes with costs such as the

potential for cell lysis.[6] Filamentous phages belonging to the *Inoviridae* family are unique from

other phages because they do not require host cell lysis to produce progeny.[8,9] This lowers the

risk to the host to maintain an active prophage. Since phage activation and replication do not

necessarily kill the host, filamentous phage can achieve increased population size, experience

more mutations, and develop greater diversity. Consequently, seemingly clonal bacterial cells

may differ in the genetic and phenotypic composition of their phage populations, broadening

heterogeneity and the number of traits that selection may act upon.

Many *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains carry filamentous Pf prophage or Pf phage-like

elements in their chromosome[10], including common laboratory strains like PAO1 with its Pf4

prophage and UCBPP-PA14 (shortened as PA14) with its Pf5 prophage.[11] *P. aeruginosa* is a

Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen that causes many hospital-acquired infections and is the

major infectious threat for persons with cystic fibrosis (CF). As of 2022, approximately 26.0% of

people in the CF Foundation Patient Registry tested positive for *P. aeruginosa* with that

percentage increasing to 59.5% in those who met the criteria for Advanced Lung Disease.[12] As

CF patients age, their infecting *P. aeruginosa* isolates are more likely to carry Pf prophage.[10]

This association may be driven by functions provided by Pf phages to their bacterial host during

infection, as their negatively charged filaments can make biofilms more robust, which delays

wound healing and protects bacteria from antibiotics.[3,10,13–15] Consequently there are both

fundamental and clinical needs to understand ecological and evolutionary interactions between

Pf and their host bacteria.

In a previous evolution experiment with *P. aeruginosa* PA14 grown in media containing

nutrients found in CF lung environments, we observed this nutritional environment was sufficient

to select for clinically relevant bacterial phenotypes.[16] Within one evolved population, we

discovered mutations in the Pf5 phage repressor (*pf5r*) gene within the Pf5 prophage genome

that rose to high frequency, which motivated this study. We learned that the *pf5r* mutation

converts Pf5 phage into a "superinfective" form with increased intracellular phage replication

and Pf5 phage production. However, these cells grew significantly slower than those with

wildtype (WT) Pf5, posing a puzzle of how the *pf5r* mutation rose to high frequency. We show

that PA14 *pf5r* mutants outcompete their ancestor by weaponizing their *pf5r* mutant phage, and

we provide evidence of how this imposes a greater cost on the newly superinfected victim cell

than on the *pf5r* phage donor cell. Paradoxically, this dynamic progressively reduces bacterial

population fitness. Yet these selfish superinfective phage themselves become victimized by

cheater "miniphages" lacking structural genes, resolving rapidly in a phage Tragedy of the

Commons that resets the entire system. The robust conditions of this experimental model

indicate the potential for rapid coevolution between bacteria and filamentous phage in *P.*

aeruginosa, as well as in other bacteria carrying inoviruses, affecting a range of traits essential

for fitness.

<u>Results</u>

<u>Defective Pf phage repressor leads to runaway Pf replication and increased intracellular</u>

<u>phage diversity</u>

Mutations that disrupt the prophage repressor gene can trigger phage activation, leading

to the emergence of hyper-replicative Pf phage.[17–19] In a previous evolution experiment begun

with a clone of *P. aeruginosa* PA14 analyzed by longitudinal whole-population genome

sequencing[16,20], we noticed increased sequencing coverage, or read depth, along the Pf5

prophage region in one evolved population (Supp. Fig 1). Further investigation of sequencing

results showed a 16bp duplication mutation within the Pf5 phage repressor gene (*pf5r*) and

circularization of the Pf5 genome, indicating active Pf5 phage (Supp. Table 1). The *pf5r* gene is

within the prophage region that is integrated into the bacterial chromosome, and so we denote

bacteria and phage genotypes with the *pf5r* mutation using an asterisk (e.g., PA14* and Pf5*,

respectively, see Table 1 for additional nomenclature). To isolate the *pf5r* mutation in a clean

genomic background, we attempted to infect PA14 wildtype (WT) with the Pf5* phage from the

evolution experiment, and were successful (see Methods for more detail). The isolated clone

(PA14*full) also showed higher read depth across the prophage region (Fig 1B) relative to PA14

WT (Fig 1A) and to the surrounding genome, demonstrating that the *pf5r* mutation is

responsible for the phage activation and that this trait can be horizontally transferred.

Sequencing data also supported excision and circularization of the prophage genome, indicating

prophage induction (Supp Table 1). In summary, Pf5* prophage undergo increased replication

and enable phage-encoded traits to be horizontally spread by superinfecting neighboring cells

that have wildtype Pf5 prophage.

Cheater miniphages evolve following increased intracellular phage population from

defective Pf repressor

Defective Pf repressor genes have been associated with increased numbers of

intracellular Pf phage, which increases the potential for the evolution of genetically diverse

phage.[19] Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of the newly isolated PA14*full showed increased

copy numbers of both WT and mutated *pf5r* genes, indicating that both mutant and endogenous

phage replication are upregulated (Supp. Table 1). Notably, in addition to the *pf5r*

polymorphism, the genome lengths of Pf5 varied. Some PA14* isolates only carried full-length

phages (i.e. PA14*full), others contained a mix of both full-length and smaller phage genomes

(i.e. PA14*full/mini), and some bacterial clones only contained smaller phage genomes

(PA14*mini). These smaller phage genomes, termed "miniphage," have large deletions of

multiple structural genes encoding capsid proteins (Fig 1C&D and Supp. Fig. 2). We observed

the emergence of miniphage multiple times among PA14* lineages and even within the same

bacterial clone, where the deletion lengths varied despite affecting the same structural genes

(Supp. Fig. 3). This high degree of parallelism indicates strong selection for these reduced

phage genomes.

Miniphages can be considered cheaters because they do not produce structural phage

proteins like capsid, which are public goods usable by any replicating phage. Under intense

intracellular phage competition, phages with smaller genome sizes can potentially replicate

faster than full-length phages and use such public resources to promote selfish replication.

Taken together, we observed that the emergence of the defective Pf repressor quickly leads to

extreme levels of Pf reproduction, resulting in increased intracellular phage diversity and

genome degradation due to intracellular phage competition.

Pf repressor bacterial mutants release hyper-replicative phage that increase mutant
135

fitness but lower population fitness
136

Filamentous phages uniquely do not require host cell lysis to reproduce and

propagate.[8,9] However, superinfective Pf4 phages have been linked to an autolysis phenotype in

P. aeruginosa and can kill their bacterial host.[21,22] To determine whether bacterial hosts

containing different combinations of Pf5* genotypes were negatively affected by excess phage

production, we compared their growth rates in isolation. The PA14* genotype grew significantly

worse than WT, indicating high phage production was costly (Fig 2A&B and Supp Fig 4). Given

the extreme cost of hyper-replicative Pf5, it seems paradoxical that defective Pf5r would be

favored during experimental evolution of PA14 populations maintained in large populations

(>10^7 cells) with efficient selection. We considered two non-mutually exclusive hypotheses: (1)

the phage benefits from the defective repressor by increasing its replication rate, which enables

horizontal spread in the bacterial population by superinfection, and/or (2) the bacterium benefits

from Pf5r mutant phage by being able to outcompete bacteria lacking them. We were able to

horizontally transfer Pf5* phage into PA14 WT, so the former scenario is possible. However,

PA14* also outcompeted the PA14 WT in direct competition (Fig 2C and Fig 4B), indicating that

bacteria currently infected with Pf5* phage pay a lower cost for this infection than naïve cells.

This demonstrates that PA14* bacteria benefit from producing hyper-replicative Pf5 phage in

direct competition with uninfected cells despite paying costs of reduced growth rates and yield.

We next tested whether the phages themselves, and not other physiological changes to

PA14* induced by the phage, were responsible for suppressing PA14 WT growth. However,

unlike Pf4 phage in PAO1, Pf5 phages do not form plaques on PA14 so we are unable to

quantify phage via plaque assays. Instead, we tested whether phage-containing filtered

supernatant from superinfected strains could inhibit WT growth as seen in direct competition.

Supernatants of both PA14*full and PA14*full/mini suppressed WT growth but WT supernatant

did not (Fig 3A). We repeated these supernatant experiments with PA14Δ*pilA*, which does not

produce the phage receptor type IV pilus (TFP) and should be immune to superinfection, and

found no growth defect (Fig 4A). Finally, we competed PA14Δ*pilA* directly against PA14*full and

PA14*full/mini and found the immune TFP mutant outcompeted both superinfected strains (Fig

4B). These results further support the hypothesis that PA14*full and PA14*full/mini each

suppress PA14 WT growth via Pf5* production. The fitness superiority of the TFP mutant that is

immune to Pf5 superinfection shows that PA14* pays a cost for hyper-producing Pf5* phage,

but the cost of Pf5* infection is greater to naïve PA14 WT than to PA14* (Fig 2C).

Bacteria with both hyper-replicative full-length phage and miniphage survive superinfection by downregulating the phage receptor

How PA14* seemingly tolerates Pf5* phage infection better than newly infected WT is unclear. Further, the PA14*full/mini genotype outcompetes WT better than PA14*full, despite both producing high levels of Pf5. We hypothesized that PA14*full/mini can better survive in the presence of Pf5* phage because this genotype can downregulate TFP function better than PA14*full, thereby becoming more phage-resistant. To measure TFP function, we assayed the extent of bacterial twitching motility, known to be mediated by TFP[23,24], as a proxy. Indeed, both superinfected genotypes show reduced twitching, but PA14*full/mini is even less motile, indicating improved immunity to external Pf5* phage (Fig 3B). This ability to downregulate TFP to a greater extent provides an explanation for the greater fitness of the bacterium containing a mixture of full-length and miniphage relative to WT.

As noted previously, we observed multiple independent origins of miniphages in cells with defective Pf repressor genes, but remarkably the deletion boundaries differed by only a few nucleotides (Supp. Fig. 2). Each miniphage lost not only genes encoding structural proteins but also the *pfsE* gene encoding a superinfection exclusion protein (PA0721 in PAO1 and PA14_48960 in PA14).[7,25] Many temperate phages encode superinfection exclusion mechanisms to protect their host from other phages and to decrease phage intracellular competition.[26,27] PfsE prevents superinfection by binding to PilC in TFP, which prevents pilus extension and stops phages like Pf from binding to TFP.[7] For miniphages lacking structural genes like capsid, losing PfsE allows their host to become superinfected with "cooperative" full-length Pf phage, thereby rescuing the miniphage from being nonfunctional or lost. However, *pfsE* loss generates two new levels of conflict: first, between miniphage and full-length Pf phage by increasing phage intracellular competition via superinfection, and second, between miniphage and the bacterial host by increasing vulnerability of the bacterial host to other phages. Recurrent miniphage evolution clearly destabilizes the balance of fitness between Pf and their bacterial hosts.

Bacteria with defective Pf repressor can rapidly lose Pf prophage due to intracellular phage competition.

Rampant phage replication causes acute competition for host resources and public goods involved in replication. Consequently, cheater miniphages that do not produce capsid proteins experience increased fitness at the expense of cooperative, full-length phages. WGS of PA14* revealed that the intracellular phage population in a single bacterial colony can be

remarkably diverse, with some cells carrying different mixtures of Pf5 WT, Pf5*full, and Pf5*mini

phages including multiple genotypes within each phage class. Miniphage genotypes rose

extremely rapidly within clonal bacterial populations, becoming a significant portion of the phage

population in 18 hours or approximately <7 population-wide bacterial generations. This rapid

miniphage invasion has also been reported for Pf4 infecting PAO1.[28] Eventually, through

subsequent uneven vertical inheritance of phages, we observed that some bacteria only inherit

Pf5*mini (Fig 1D). Once this happens, Pf5*mini can no longer leave the cell, and in following cell

divisions, the bacteria lose the phage completely (PA14ΔPf5; Fig 1E). This process defines a

Tragedy of the Commons for the Pf phages as competition leads to the complete loss of full-

length phage genomes that can produce capsid and thus the phage population goes locally

extinct. Nonetheless, WGS of PA14ΔPf5 shows that the *pf5* attachment sites in PA14ΔPf5

remain intact, indicating the possibility for reinfection. We competed PA14ΔPf5 directly against

PA14 WT and found it lost the competitive advantage of the original PA14* genotype, implying

that the phage-free state is ecologically unstable and prone to reinfection and re-initiation of this

life cycle (Supp. Figure 3).

Discussion

Molecular parasites such as phages can increase their evolutionary potential and fitness

by expanding their population size. In such conditions, multiple genotypes can arise and

cooccur within a host cell, including defective variants that can be rescued and persist through

complementation by complete phage genomes.[29,30] However, large parasite populations

increase the burden on the host, leading to greater conflict between host and parasite and also

greater intracellular conflict between parasites as competition for host resources ramp up. In

addition, genetic and phenotypic diversification of these molecular parasites can affect host

fitness and introduce diversity between infected cells. Many bacteria carry prophages in their

genomes, and *P. aeruginosa* and their widespread Pf prophage are no exception.[10] While some

aspects of bacteria and phage interaction can be mutualistic, such as the provision of antibiotic

resistance genes and superinfection exclusion mechanisms, this pact can quickly shift towards

parasitism. Here, we show that bacteria can be at the mercy of rapid changes in their prophage

population developing in mere hours that slow bacterial reproduction but transiently increase

relative bacterial fitness. Specifically, we report that Pf phages that evolved a defective Pf

repressor provide the bacterial host with the ability to use Pf as a weapon against other bacterial

competitors, but that this state is unstable: the emergence and spread of cheater miniphages

result in a phage Tragedy of the Commons and can lead to subsequent phage extinction.

Viruses can cheat other viruses infecting the same host by not contributing to public

goods production.[31] For example, viruses lacking capsid genes do not contribute to capsid

production but can use capsid proteins produced by co-infecting "cooperative" viruses, thereby

selfishly propagating at the expense of the cooperators. Filamentous phages, including M13 and

f1 that infect *E. coli* and Pf4 in PAO1, have been observed to lose large parts of their genome

creating cheater miniphages.[28,32–35] These miniphages have been reported in *P. aeruginosa* Pf

previously[28,36] and one study created miniphages by deleting structural genes[37], but otherwise,

Pf miniphages have not been actively studied. When phage replication is rampant, miniphages

arising initially at low frequency gain a large fitness advantage as they have many cooperators

to exploit. In Pf4 phages of *P. aeruginosa*, deletion of the Pf4 repressor (*pf4r*) gene was

observed to increase phage production[18] and to produce Pf superinfective variants that increase

intracellular phage diversity.[19,38] These superinfective Pf variants were reported to kill their host

cell and play roles in bacterial biofilm dispersal and in phenotypes such as small colony variants

and bacterial virulence.[17,21,22,38–40] In this study, we show that the emergence of superinfective

Pf5 variants in strain PA14 leads to the subsequent invasion of Pf miniphages and a rapid

sequence of events affecting bacterial fitness. We were able to detect these dynamics by

sequencing whole genomes of bacterial clones and populations over short intervals and

focusing on spikes in read depth as well as reads that support circularization of the Pf5

prophage genome. This study presents both a conceptual model and analytical methods for

interpreting the genomes of bacteria carrying filamentous phage, which may reveal ongoing

interactions in their intracellular virome.

In summary, we demonstrate that intracellular phage competition can favor rapid

expansion of the phage population both within and among neighboring host bacteria, but this

evolution is short-sighted, as short-term gains in phage fitness lead to their eventual local

extinction. From the perspective of the bacterial cell, its fitness is aligned with the hyper-

replicative phage at the outset, because the cost of phage replication is outweighed by

increased relative fitness against other cells becoming superinfected. The emergence of cheater

phages also benefits the host by improving immunity against further superinfection, but it dooms

the phage to a Tragedy of the Commons and extinction. Phage loss eliminates any burdens of

phage replication for the host but it also eliminates the relative fitness advantage of infected

cells, leaving the bacterial population susceptible to invasion.

Superinfective variants of Pf can readily appear and have been documented to be an

important part of *P. aeruginosa* lifestyle relevant for a broad array of clinically important bacterial

phenotypes, especially in biofilm.[14,19,21,36,41] This study shows that the emergence of such hyper

replicative and superinfective variants favors the invasion of miniphages both within and among

host cells. But since Pf phage population structure can shift in a manner of hours and affect

bacterial fitness, antimicrobial resistance, defense against host immunity, and aspects of

pathogenicity[10,11,13,15], our study reveals a potentially common yet overlooked driver of *P.*

aeruginosa evolution and potentially of all bacteria harboring filamentous phages.[42]

Methods

Bacteria strains and culture conditions

Pseudomonas aeruginosa UCBPP-PA14 *pf5r* mutant clones were originally isolated

from an evolution experiment, but these mutants contained secondary mutations.[16,20] To create

a *pf5r* mutant in the PA14 WT background (PA14*full), we co-cultured an unmarked, evolved

PA14 *pf5r* mutant with *lacZ*-marked PA14 WT overnight and screened blue colonies for

mobilized Pf5 *pf5r* phage via qPCR across the attachment sites (attB and attP) for excised and

circularized Pf phage, respectively, with *gyrB* as the housekeeping gene. Colonies with high

copy number for attB and attP were analyzed by whole genome sequencing (WGS) to confirm

presence of the *pf5r* mutation via horizontal gene transfer. PA14*full/mini and PA14*mini were

isolated by streaking PA14*full on 1/2 Tryptic Soy (Tsoy) Agar and screening colonies for capsid

deletion via PCR. PA14ΔPf5 was isolated by streaking PA14*mini on 1/2 Tsoy agar and

screening across two conserved phage genes in Pf5*mini (i.e., PA14_48980-PA14_489900). All

mutants were verified via WGS. PA14Δ*pilA* mutant was generated through two-step allelic

exchange[43] and confirmed via WGS. Bacteria were cultured in glass tubes at 37°C on a roller

drum with M9 minimal media supplemented with nutrients found in cystic fibrosis lungs (i.e. CF

media) as previously described.[16] In short, the media comprised of an M9 salt base (0.1 mM

$CaCl_2$,1.0 mM $MgSO_4$, 42.2 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4, 21.7 mM NaCl, 18.7 mM NH_4Cl),

11.1 mM glucose, 10 mM DL-lactate (Sigma-Aldrich 72-17-3), 20 mL/liter MEM essential amino

acids (ThermoFisher 11130051), 10 mL/liter MEM non-essential amino acids (ThermoFisher

11140050), and 1 mL/liter each of Trace Elements A, B, and C (Corning 99182CL, 99175CL,

99176CL).

Whole genome sequencing and analysis

DNA of all bacteria strains were extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit and WGS using Illumina NextSeq550 with a resolution of 200 Mbp or between 3-14 million reads per sample. Reads were checked in fastQC[44] for quality control. The PA14 WT reads were mapped against the PA14 from the Pseudomonas Genome Database[45,47] (https://www.pseudomonas.com/strain/show/109) using the variant caller breseq v0.39.0[46] with the consensus mode default parameters. Then the gdtools program within breseq was used to create a new PA14 reference genome that contains mutations present in our PA14 lab wildtype strain. Raw reads of all other samples were mapped against this new reference. Read depth was calculated by averaging the reads mapped to a 10bp window across the bacterial genome using bedtools[48] (v2.26.0) and samtools[49] (v1.21). The raw reads have been deposited with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA692838 and PRJNA1226961 in the NCBI BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/). Read depth plots were created using R[52] (v4.4.0), RStudio[53] (v2024.04.2+764), tidyverse[54] (v2.0.0), ggplot2[55] (v3.5.1), and gggenes[56] (v0.5.1).

Growth curves

Growth curves were conducted in 96-well plates with each well seeded with 198µL of CF media and 2µL overnight bacterial culture. Optical density was read at 600nm (OD_{600}) every 15 minutes over the course of 24 hours at 37°C. OD_{600} was graphed in R, and the growth rate, area under the curve (AUC), and the final OD_{600} was calculated using the Growthcurver package.[50]

Competition assays

Overnight cultures of each competitor strain were grown in individual tubes in CF media at 37°C prior to the competition. Competitors were paired such that one competitor was *lacZ*-marked while the other was unmarked. Approximately 1mL of overnight cultures were pelleted and washed with 1xPBS to remove phages that may be present in the supernatant. The paired competitors were mixed at varying ratios so that the total volume of the co-culture was 50 µL and this was seeded into 5 mL of fresh CF media to make a 1:100 dilution. For competitions where CFU/mL were measured multiple times between timepoints 0h and 24h, a 1:1 volume ratio was used to seed competitions where *pf5r* mutants were rare relative to their competitors (either PA14 WT or PA14Δ*pilA*). For competitions where *pf5r* mutants were approximately 1:1 in starting CFU/mL with competitors, a 1:9 volume ratio of competitor-to-*pf5r* culture was used.

The co-cultures were then vortexed and starting CFU/mL (t=0h) was quantified by serial diluting

the co-cultures in 1xPBS and spread plating the dilutions onto 1/2 Tryptic Soy Agar plates

supplemented with Xgal. The co-cultures were then incubated at 37°C on a roller drum and

CFU/mL was measured at various timepoints using plate dilutions up until 24h post-inoculation.

Blue and white colonies were counted, and selection rate constant (r) was calculated by taking

the difference in Malthusian parameter of the two competitors[51]: $r = \ln\left(\frac{M_f}{M_i}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{A_f}{A_i}\right)$, where M_i

and A_i is the mutant or ancestor CFU/mL at 0h post-inoculation, respectively, and M_f and A_f is

their CFU/mL at 24h post-inoculation, respectively.

Pf5* phage treatment on PA14 WT and PA14Δ*pilA*

Overnight cultures of PA14 WT, PA14Δ*pilA*, PA14*full, and PA14*full/mini were grown in

CF media at 37°C. Approximately 1mL of PA14*full and PA14*full/mini overnight cultures were

passed through a 0.20µm filter into separate microcentrifuge tubes. Then into 5mL of fresh CF

media, 50µL of the filtrate and 50µL of the PA14 WT or PA14Δ*pilA* overnight were added and

vortexed. The CFU/mL of the initial culture (0h) was measured by taking 20µL of the seeded

culture and doing ten-fold dilutions in a 96-well plate with 1xPBS. 100µL of the diluted culture

was plated onto 1/2 Tsoy supplemented with Xgal before incubating the plates overnight. The

culture tubes were removed from the incubator at 2h, 4h, 6h, and 24h to measure CFU/mL as

described earlier.

Twitching assay

Twitching motility were measured following an established protocol.[24] Briefly, a single

colony from the competition experiments (either the 0h timepoint or 24h timepoints) were picked

using a pipette tip. The colony color was used to distinguish PA14*full and PA14*full/mini (blue;

lacZ-marked) from PA14 WT (white; unmarked). The center of the 1% LB-Lennox Agar was

stabbed using the pipette tip, making sure that the tip touched the bottom of the petri dish. Then,

the plates were incubated at 37°C overnight in a plastic container with a lid and lined with damp

paper towels to prevent the plates from drying. The following day, plates were flooded with cold

TM developer solution for 30 minutes to make the bacteria opaque. Twitching distance was

measured from the center of the plate where the stabs were made to the edge of the front using

a ruler.

Data Availability

All raw reads from the whole genome sequencing have been deposited with links to BioProject

accession number PRJNA692838 and PRJNA1226961 in the NCBI BioProject database

(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/). Data and scripts used to analyze variant calls, read

depth, growth curves, competition assays, and twitch assays can be found at

https://github.com/NanamiKubota/pf_cheater_phage.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Cooper lab, the Pat Secor lab, and thesis committee members (Drs.

Daria Van Tyne, Will DePas, Graham Hatfull, and Pat Secor) for valuable feedback and support.

Research was supported in part by the Ruth L. Kirschstein Predoctoral Individual National

Research Service Award (1F31AI179118-01 to NK), by NIH U19AI158076 to VSC, and by the

Pennsylvania Department of Health PA CURES Grant #4100085725. The content is solely the

responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National

Institutes of Health or the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, writing, review, and final approval of the manuscript were done by NK, MRS,

and VSC. Experiment and bioinformatics analyses were done by NK and MRS.

1. Schmidt, A.K., Schwartzkopf, C.M., Pourtois, J.D., Burgener, E.B., Faith, D.R., Joyce, A.,
Lamma, T., Kumar, G., Bollyky, P.L., and Secor, P.R. (2024). Targeted deletion of Pf
prophages from diverse Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates has differential impacts on
quorum sensing and virulence traits. Journal of Bacteriology *0*, e00402-23.
https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00402-23.

2. Schwartzkopf, C.M., Robinson, A.J., Ellenbecker, M., Faith, D.R., Schmidt, A.K., Brooks,
D.M., Lewerke, L., Voronina, E., Dandekar, A.A., and Secor, P.R. (2023). Tripartite
interactions between filamentous Pf4 bacteriophage, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and
bacterivorous nematodes. PLOS Pathogens *19*, e1010925.
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010925.

3. Secor, P.R., Michaels, L.A., Smigiel, K.S., Rohani, M.G., Jennings, L.K., Hisert, K.B.,
Arrigoni, A., Braun, K.R., Birkland, T.P., Lai, Y., et al. (2016). Filamentous Bacteriophage
Produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa Alters the Inflammatory Response and Promotes
Noninvasive Infection In Vivo. Infect Immun *85*, e00648-16.
https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00648-16.

4. Thomas, M.J.N., Brockhurst, M.A., and Coyte, K.Z. (2024). What makes a temperate phage
an effective bacterial weapon? mSystems *0*, e01036-23.
https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.01036-23.

5. Wang, X., Kim, Y., Ma, Q., Hong, S.H., Pokusaeva, K., Sturino, J.M., and Wood, T.K.
(2010). Cryptic prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments. Nat Commun *1*,
147. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1146.

6. Wendling, C.C., Refardt, D., and Hall, A.R. (2021). Fitness benefits to bacteria of carrying
prophages and prophage-encoded antibiotic-resistance genes peak in different
environments. Evolution *75*, 515–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14153.

7. Schmidt, A.K., Fitzpatrick, A.D., Schwartzkopf, C.M., Faith, D.R., Jennings, L.K., Coluccio,
A., Hunt, D.J., Michaels, L.A., Hargil, A., Chen, Q., et al. (2022). A Filamentous
Bacteriophage Protein Inhibits Type IV Pili To Prevent Superinfection of Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. mBio *13*. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02441-21.

8. Marvin, D.A., and Hohn, B. (1969). Filamentous Bacterial Viruses. Bacteriological reviews
33, 172–209.

9. Bradley, D.E. (1974). The adsorption of Pseudomonas aeruginosa pilus-dependent
bacteriophages to a host mutant with nonretractile pili. Virology *58*, 149–163.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(74)90150-0.

10. Burgener, E.B., Sweere, J.M., Bach, M.S., Secor, P.R., Haddock, N., Jennings, L.K.,
Marvig, R.L., Johansen, H.K., Rossi, E., Cao, X., et al. (2019). Filamentous bacteriophages
are associated with chronic Pseudomonas lung infections and antibiotic resistance in cystic
fibrosis. Science Translational Medicine *11*, eaau9748.
https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aau9748.

11. Secor, P.R., Burgener, E.B., Kinnersley, M., Jennings, L.K., Roman-Cruz, V., Popescu, M.,
Van Belleghem, J.D., Haddock, N., Copeland, C., Michaels, L.A., et al. (2020). Pf
Bacteriophage and Their Impact on Pseudomonas Virulence, Mammalian Immunity, and

Chronic Infections. Frontiers in Immunology *11*, 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00244.

12. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry 2022 Annual Data Report Bethesda, Maryland
©2023 Cystic Fibrosis Foundation

13. Chen, Q., Cai, P., Chang, T.H.W., Burgener, E., Kratochvil, M.J., Gupta, A., Hargill, A.,
Secor, P.R., Nielsen, J.E., Barron, A.E., et al. (2024). Pf bacteriophages hinder sputum
antibiotic diffusion via electrostatic binding. Science Advances *10*, eadl5576.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adl5576.

14. Secor, P.R., Sweere, J.M., Michaels, L.A., Malkovskiy, A.V., Lazzareschi, D., Katznelson,
E., Rajadas, J., Birnbaum, M.E., Arrigoni, A., Braun, K.R., et al. (2015). Filamentous
bacteriophage promote biofilm assembly and function. Cell Host and Microbe *18*, 549–559.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.013.

15. Bach, M.S., de Vries, C.R., Khosravi, A., Sweere, J.M., Popescu, M.C., Chen, Q.,
Demirdjian, S., Hargil, A., Van Belleghem, J.D., Kaber, G., et al. (2022). Filamentous
bacteriophage delays healing of Pseudomonas-infected wounds. Cell Reports Medicine *3*,
100656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100656.

16. Scribner, M.R., Stephens, A.C., Huong, J.L., Richardson, A.R., and Cooper, V.S. (2022).
The nutritional environment is sufficient to select coexisting biofilm and quorum-sensing
mutants of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Bacteriology, JB-00444.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00444-21.

17. Ismail, M.H., Michie, K.A., Goh, Y.F., Noorian, P., Kjelleberg, S., Duggin, I.G., McDougald,
D., and Rice, S.A. (2021). The Repressor C Protein, Pf4r, Controls Superinfection of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 by the Pf4 Filamentous Phage and Regulates Host Gene
Expression. Viruses *13*, 1614. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081614.

18. Li, Y., Liu, X., Tang, K., Wang, P., Zeng, Z., Guo, Y., and Wang, X. (2019). Excisionase in
Pf filamentous prophage controls lysis-lysogeny decision-making in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. Molecular Microbiology *111*, 495–513. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14170.

19. McElroy, K.E., Hui, J.G.K., Woo, J.K.K., Luk, A.W.S., Webb, J.S., Kjelleberg, S., Rice, S.A.,
and Thomas, T. (2014). Strain-specific parallel evolution drives short-term diversification
during Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America *111*. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314340111.

20. Scribner, M.R., Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C.W., Deitrick, C., and Cooper, V.S. (2020).
Parallel Evolution of Tobramycin Resistance across Species and Environments. mBio *11*,
1–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00932-20.

21. Rice, S.A., Tan, C.H., Mikkelsen, P.J., Kung, V., Woo, J., Tay, M., Hauser, A., McDougald,
D., Webb, J.S., and Kjelleberg, S. (2009). The biofilm life cycle and virulence of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa are dependent on a filamentous prophage. ISME J *3*, 271–282.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.109.

22. Tortuel, D., Tahrioui, A., Rodrigues, S., Cambronel, M., Boukerb, A.M., Maillot, O., Verdon,
J., Bere, E., Nusser, M., Brenner-Weiss, G., et al. (2020). Activation of the Cell Wall Stress

Response in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infected by a Pf4 Phage Variant. Microorganisms *8*,
1700. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111700.

23. Burrows, L.L. (2012). Pseudomonas aeruginosa Twitching Motility: Type IV Pili in Action.
Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *66*, 493–520. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150055.

24. Turnbull, L., and Whitchurch, C.B. (2014). Motility Assay: Twitching Motility. In
Pseudomonas Methods and Protocols, A. Filloux and J.-L. Ramos, eds. (Springer), pp. 73–
86. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0473-0_9.

25. Wang, W., Li, Y., Tang, K., Lin, J., Gao, X., Guo, Y., and Wang, X. (2022). Filamentous
prophage capsid proteins contribute to superinfection exclusion and phage defence in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environmental Microbiology *24*, 4285–4298.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15991.

26. Chung, I.-Y., Jang, H.-J., Bae, H.-W., and Cho, Y.-H. (2014). A phage protein that inhibits
the bacterial ATPase required for type IV pilus assembly. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences *111*, 11503–11508. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1403537111.

27. Bondy-Denomy, J., Qian, J., Westra, E.R., Buckling, A., Guttman, D.S., Davidson, A.R., and
Maxwell, K.L. (2016). Prophages mediate defense against phage infection through diverse
mechanisms. ISME Journal *10*, 2854–2866. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.79.

28. Secor, P.R., and Dandekar, A.A. (2020). More than simple parasites: The sociobiology of
bacteriophages and their bacterial hosts. mBio *11*. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00041-20.

29. Nee, S., and Smith, J.M. (1990). The evolutionary biology of molecular parasites.
Parasitology *100*, S5–S18. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000072978.

30. Stern, A., and Andino, R. (2016). Chapter 17 - Viral Evolution: It Is All About Mutations. In
Viral Pathogenesis (Third Edition), M. G. Katze, M. J. Korth, G. L. Law, and N. Nathanson,
eds. (Academic Press), pp. 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800964-2.00017-3.

31. Leeks, A., West, S.A., and Ghoul, M. (2021). The evolution of cheating in viruses. Nature
Communications *12*, 6928. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27293-6.

32. Griffith, J., and Kornberg, A. (1974). Mini M13 bacteriophage: Circular fragments of M13
DNA are replicated and packaged during normal infections. Virology *59*, 139–152.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(74)90211-6.

33. Enea, V., and Zinder, N.D. (1975). A deletion mutant of bacteriophage f1 containing no
intact cistrons. Virology *68*, 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(75)90152-X.

34. Hewitt, J.A. (1975). Miniphage − a Class of Satellite Phage to M13. Journal of General
Virology *26*, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-26-1-87.

35. Horiuchi, K. (1983). Co-evolution of a filamentous bacteriophage and its defective interfering
particles. Journal of Molecular Biology *169*, 389–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-
2836(83)80057-6.

36. Guo, Y., Lin, S., Chen, R., Gu, J., Tang, K., Nie, Z., Huang, Z., Weng, J., Lin, J., Liu, T., et
al. (2024). A reverse transcriptase controls prophage genome reduction to promote phage
dissemination in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. Cell Reports *43*, 114883.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114883.

37. Pei, T.-T., Luo, H., Wang, Y., Li, H., Wang, X.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., An, Y., Wu, L.-L., Ma, J.,
Liang, X., et al. (2024). Filamentous prophage Pf4 promotes genetic exchange in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The ISME Journal *18*, wrad025.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wrad025.

38. Prokopczuk, F.I., Im, H., Campos-Gomez, J., Orihuela, C.J., and Martínez, E. (2023).
Engineered Superinfective Pf Phage Prevents Dissemination of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
in a Mouse Burn Model. mBio *0*, e00472-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00472-23.

39. Hui, J.G.K., Mai-Prochnow, A., Kjelleberg, S., McDougald, D., and Rice, S.A. (2014).
Environmental cues and genes involved in establishment of the superinfective Pf4 phage of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Frontiers in Microbiology *5*, 1–8.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00654.

40. Tortuel, D., Tahrioui, A., David, A., Cambronel, M., Nilly, F., Clamens, T., Maillot, O.,
Barreau, M., Feuilloley, M.G.J., Lesouhaitier, O., et al. (2022). Pf4 Phage Variant Infection
Reduces Virulence-Associated Traits in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol Spectr,
e01548-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01548-22.

41. Gavric, D., and Knezevic, P. (2022). Filamentous Pseudomonas Phage Pf4 in the Context
of Therapy-Inducibility, Infectivity, Lysogenic Conversion, and Potential Application. Viruses
14, 1261. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14061261.

42. Foxall, R.L., Means, J., Marcinkiewicz, A.L., Schillaci, C., DeRosia-Banick, K., Xu, F., Hall,
J.A., Jones, S.H., Cooper, V.S., and Whistler, C.A. (2023). Inoviridae prophage and
bacterial host dynamics during diversification, succession, and Atlantic invasion of Pacific-
native Vibrio parahaemolyticus. mBio *15*, e02851-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02851-
23.

43. Hmelo, L.R., Borlee, B.R., Almblad, H., Love, M.E., Randall, T.E., Tseng, B.S., Lin, C., Irie,
Y., Storek, K.M., Yang, J.J., et al. (2015). Precision-engineering the Pseudomonas
aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat Protoc *10*, 1820–1841.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.115.

44. Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

45. Winsor, G.L., Griffiths, E.J., Lo, R., Dhillon, B.K., Shay, J.A., and Brinkman, F.S.L. (2016).
Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of Pseudomonas genomes in
the Pseudomonas genome database. Nucleic Acids Research *44*, D646–D653.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1227.

46. Deatherage, D.E., and Barrick, J.E. (2014). Identification of Mutations in Laboratory-Evolved
Microbes from Next-Generation Sequencing Data Using breseq. In, pp. 165–188.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12.

47. Lee, D.G., Urbach, J.M., Wu, G., Liberati, N.T., Feinbaum, R.L., Miyata, S., Diggins, L.T.,
He, J., Saucier, M., Déziel, E., et al. (2006). Genomic analysis reveals that Pseudomonas
aeruginosa virulence is combinatorial. Genome Biol 7, R90. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-
2006-7-10-r90.

48. Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. Bioinformatics 26, 841–842.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.

49. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis,
G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The
Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25, 2078–2079.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

50. Sprouffske, K., and Wagner, A. (2016). Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining
interpretable metrics from microbial growth curves. BMC Bioinformatics 17, 172.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-016-1016-7.

51. Travisano, M., and Lenski, R.E. (1996). Long-Term Experimental Evolution in Escherichia
coli. IV. Targets of Selection and the Specificity of Adaptation. Genetics 143, 15–26.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/143.1.15.

52. R Core Team (2024). R: a language and environment for statistical computing (R
Foundation for Statistical Computing).

53. Posit team (2024). RStudio: Integrated development environment for R (Posit Software,
PBC).

54. Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L.D., François, R., Grolemund,
G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., et al. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open
Source Software 4, 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.

55. Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis (Springer-Verlag New
York).

56. Wilkins, D. (2023). gggenes: Draw gene arrow maps in "ggplot2."

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Emergence of hyper-replicative Pf5 phage (Pf5*full) leads to increased

intracellular phage diversity and eventual genome erosion. The y-axis denotes the read

depth of the Pf5 prophage region relative to the average bacterial chromosome read depth

1000bp upstream and downstream of the prophage region. The yellow star on the gene map

denotes the position of the *pf5r* mutation. The red horizontal bars on the gene map denote the

attachment sites of the Pf5 phage. Read depths of (A) PA14 WT, (B) PA14*full, (C)

PA14*full/mini, (D) PA14*mini, and (E) PA14ΔPf5 are shown. PA14*mini (in D) shows that some

cells have already begun to lose Pf5 prophage completely as the read depth across the Pf5

prophage is lower than the read depth of the bacterial chromosome. (Created with

BioRender.com.)

Figure 2. The *pf5r* mutation slows bacterial growth in monoculture but PA14*full/mini

rapidly invades and outcompetes PA14 WT by suppressing WT growth. (A) Growth curve

of all genotypes over 24 hours (OD_{600}); (B) Final OD_{600} and area under the curve (AUC) of the

growth curves; (C) Top row: direct competitions begun with *pf5r* mutants rare and PA14 WT

common; bottom row: direct competitions begun with *pf5r* mutants and PA14 WT at 1:1 ratio,

with outcomes measured as CFU/ml of each competitor over time.

Figure 3. Pf5*full and Pf5*mini phages suppress PA14 WT growth. (A) Filtered supernatant

from overnight cultures of PA14 WT, PA14Δ*pilA*, PA14*full, PA14*full/mini, or no supernatant

was added to PA14 WT (left) or PA14Δ*pilA* (right) cultures. PA14*full/mini supernatant

(containing both Pf5*full and Pf5*mini phages) suppresses PA14 WT growth but not PA14Δ*pilA*,

which lacks the type 4 pili (T4P) phage receptor. (B) During competition against PA14 WT, both

PA14*full and PA14*full/mini exhibit reduced twitching motility mediated by TFP, with the latter

mutant to a greater extent.

Figure 4. PA14*full and PA14*full/mini outcompete WT due to its greater susceptibility to

superinfection. (A) Cell density (CFU/mL) of each competitor over time, in mixtures when *pf5r*

mutants are rare (top row) relative to PA14 WT, and in mixtures where *pf5r* mutants and PA14

WT begin at 1:1 ratio (bottom row). (B) Fitness of bacteria containing mutant phage (with

neutrality = 0) versus PA14 (top row) or PA14Δ*pilA* (bottom row) during competitions begun at a

range of frequencies (x-axis). PA14*full and especially PA14*full/mini outcompete WT at all

frequencies but are outcompeted by PA14Δ*pilA* due to its immunity to Pf5 superinfection. The

loss of Pf5 (PA14ΔPf5) also eliminates any competitive advantage against PA14 WT.

Supplemental figure 1. Read depths of the phage genome normalized to the bacterial

chromosome show that read depth is higher across the prophage region in the

population from the evolution experiment where the Pf5 pf5r mutant phage was isolated

from. Read depth was measured in 10 bp increments, and then they were normalized before

calculating for the mean and standard deviation for each Pf5 region.

Supplemental figure 2. Read depths of the phage genome normalized to the bacterial

chromosome show that the phage copy number increases when *pf5r* is mutated and

increases again when both Pf5*full and Pf5*mini are present. Read depth was measured in

10 bp increments, and then they were normalized before calculating for the mean and standard

deviation for each Pf5 region.

Supplemental figure 3. Miniphage emergence occurs independently multiple times

following *pf5r* mutation. Five bacterial clones carrying miniphage(s) were isolated, and the

horizontal lines denote the deletion edges of each clone's miniphage population. Some clones

(i.e. PA14*full/mini 3&4) carry multiple miniphage genotypes while others only have one (i.e.

PA14*full/mini 1&2 and PA14*mini). Deletion edges vary in their exact position but are

consistently in the same region, with all indicating loss of structural genes like capsid.

Supplemental figure 4. CFU/mL measurements of the bacteria correlate with the OD$_{600}$

readings from Figure 2. (A) Time course of CFU/mL for each strain and (B) CFU/mL

comparison at 24 hours.

Table 1.

Organism	Strain	Description	Accession	Origin
Bacteria	PA14 WT	*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* UCBPP-PA14 (also known as PA14) wildtype strain with wildtype Pf5 prophage and an intact *pf5r* gene.		(16)
	PA14*full	PA14 wildtype infected with full-length Pf5 phage with defective *pf5r* gene (i.e. Pf5*full phage).	SAMN23928097	(16)
	PA14*full/mini	PA14 infected by both Pf5*full and Pf5*mini phage.	SAMN46967948	This study
	PA14*mini	PA14 only infected by defective *pf5r* miniphage (Pf5*mini).	SAMN46967946	This study
	PA14ΔPf5	PA14 that lacks Pf5 phages/prophages but with an intact attB site.	SAMN46967947	This study
Phage	Pf5 WT	Wildtype Pf5 phage, usually found integrated into PA14 WT chromosome as a prophage and rarely induced.		(16)
	Pf5*full	Full-length Pf5 phage with defective *pf5r* gene isolated from the final evolved population of planktonic no drug cultures grown in CF media from a previous evolution experiment.		(16)
	Pf5*mini	Pf5 miniphage with defective *pf5r* gene that lost several genes, including the Pf5 capsid genes.		This study

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Supplemental Figures and Tables

Bacterial strain	mutated *pf5r*	Pf5 excision	Pf5 circularization
PA14 WT	0%	0%	2.7%
PA14*full	38.1%	16.7%	97.2%
PA14*full/mini	80.6%	5.8%	99.7%
PA14*mini	94.1%	97.1%	0%
PA14ΔPf5	0%	100%	0%

Supplemental table 1. Frequency of reads supporting *pf5r* mutation shows that clonal bacteria carry both mutated and wildtype copy of the *pf5r* gene until Pf5*mini phages drives phage population to a Tragedy of the Commons.

Name	Sequence (5'-3')	Purpose	Reference
PA14*gyrB*-f	cacagcatccagcgatacaag	qPCR housekeeping gene	(18)
PA14*gyrB*-r	cgcgttgctttcgatgaagt	qPCR housekeeping gene	(18)
Pf5-Cf	ttatgaccaacaccccaaacg	qPCR Pf5 circularization	(18)
Pf5-Cr	catttgtcgccggagtacct	qPCR Pf5 circularization	(18)
pf5 capsid F 1	cgcacgcttttcaatcctca	PCR Pf5 capsid gene	This study
pf5 capsid R 1	ctatctctcgctgttcgcgg	PCR Pf5 capsid gene	This study
pf5 capsid del F 1	attcggaatcggggacgatg	PCR Pf5 capsid gene loss	This study
pf5 capsid del R 1	gacgacgttgcgatagggat	PCR Pf5 capsid gene loss	This study
Pf miniphage F 1	ggtaacgatccctatcgcaa	PCR Pf5 miniphage	This study
Pf miniphage R 1	aagtactggcacgttgtgta	PCR Pf5 miniphage	This study
PA14-pilA-Up-R	aatatgcctgccctgactgc	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study
PA14-pilA-Up-F	gccagtgccaagcttgcatgcctgcaggtcgactctagaggcctcgcctgatagacaaca	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study
PA14-pilA-Down-R	agctatgaccatgattacgaattcgagctcggtacccgggtcgccacaacggaactactc	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study
PA14-pilA-Down-F	gcagtcagggcaggcatattgcatcttgcatgccaacctg	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study
M13 fwd	gtaaaacgacggccagt	*pilA* deletion cloning	universal primer
M13 rev	caggaaacagctatgac	*pilA* deletion cloning	universal primer
PA14-pilA-del-seq-F	ggccagcctgcagataagac	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study
PA14-pilA-del-seq-R	tcgatgatgatgccgagctt	*pilA* deletion cloning	This study

Supplemental Table 2. List of primers used in this study.

Supplemental figure 1. Read depths of the phage genome normalized to the bacterial chromosome show that read depth is higher across the prophage region in the population from the evolution experiment where the Pf5 pf5r mutant phage was isolated from. Read depth was measured in 10 bp increments, and then they were normalized before calculating for the mean and standard deviation for each Pf5 region.

Supplemental figure 2. Read depths of the phage genome normalized to the bacterial chromosome show that the phage copy number increases when *pf5r* is mutated and increases again when both Pf5*full and Pf5*mini are present. Read depth was measured in 10 bp increments, and then they were normalized before calculating for the mean and standard deviation for each Pf5 region.

Supplemental figure 3. Miniphage emergence occurs independently multiple times following *pf5r* mutation. Five bacterial clones carrying miniphage(s) were isolated, and the horizontal lines denote the deletion edges of each clone's miniphage population. Some clones (i.e. PA14*full/mini 3&4) carry multiple miniphage genotypes while others only have one (i.e. PA14*full/mini 1&2 and PA14*mini). Deletion edges vary in their exact position but are consistently in the same region, with all indicating loss of structural genes like capsid.

Supplemental figure 4. CFU/mL measurements of the bacteria correlate with the OD$_{600}$ readings from Figure 2. (A) Timecourse CFU/mL of each strain and (B) CFU/mL comparison at 24 hours.