Filamentous cheater phages drive

bacterial and phage populations to lower fitness

Nanami Kubota[1], Michelle R. Scribner[1], and Vaughn S. Cooper[1,2,3,*]

[1]Department of Microbiology and Molecular Genetics, School of Medicine, University of

Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219, USA

[2]Center for Evolutionary Biology and Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh,

Pennsylvania 15219, USA

[3]Lead contact

*Correspondence: vaughn.cooper@pitt.edu

Summary

Many bacteria carry phage genome(s) in their chromosome, which intertwines the fitness

of the bacterium and the phage. Most *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains carry filamentous

phages called Pf that establish chronic infections and do not require host lysis to spread.

However, spontaneous mutations in the Pf repressor gene (*pf5r*) can allow extreme phage

production that slows bacterial growth and increases cell death, violating an apparent détente

between bacterium and phage. We observed this paradoxical outcome in an evolution

experiment with *P. aeruginosa* in media simulating nutrients from the cystic fibrosis airway.

Bacteria containing *pf5r* mutant phage grow to a lower density but directly outcompete their

ancestor and convert them into *pf5r* mutants via phage superinfection. Reduced fitness

therefore spreads throughout the bacterial population, driven by weaponized Pf. Yet high

intracellular phage replication facilitates another evolutionary conflict: "cheater miniphages"

lacking capsid genes and the superinfection exclusion gene (*pfsE*) invade populations of full-

length phages within cells. Although bacteria containing both full-length phages and miniphages

are most immune to superinfection by limiting the Pf receptor, this hybrid vigor is extremely

unstable, as a classic Tragedy of the Commons scenario ensues that causes complete

prophage loss. The entire cycle – from phage hyperactivation to miniphage invasion to

prophage loss – can occur within 24h, showcasing rapid coevolution between bacteria and their

filamentous phages. This study demonstrates that *P. aeruginosa*, and potentially many other

bacterial species that carry filamentous prophages, risk being exploited by these phages in a

runaway process that reduces fitness of both host and virus.

Keywords

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, filamentous phage, Inoviridae, cheating, Tragedy of the Commons, experimental evolution, lysogeny, Pf phage, intracellular competition

Introduction

Many bacteria carry genetic parasites such as lysogenized phages that inevitably influence the evolutionary trajectory of their bacterial host as well as the phage. The fitness of temperate phages that are integrated into their bacterial host chromosome (prophages) is tied to their host, and likewise, the host phenotype and fitness are also influenced by the presence of prophage.[1–4] While prophages may encode beneficial traits for the bacteria, such as antibiotic resistance genes[5,6] or superinfection exclusion mechanisms[7], maintenance of an active prophage encumbers costs such as the potential for cell lysis.[6] Filamentous phages belonging to the *Inoviridae* family are unique from other phages because they do not require host cell lysis to produce progeny.[8,9] This lowers the risk to the host to maintain an active prophage. Since phage activation and replication do not necessarily kill the host, filamentous phage can achieve increased population size, experience more mutations, and develop greater diversity. Consequently, seemingly clonal bacterial cells may differ in the genetic and phenotypic composition of their phage populations, broadening heterogeneity and the number of traits that selection may act upon.

Many *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains carry filamentous Pf prophage or Pf phage-like elements in their chromosome[10], including common laboratory strains like PAO1 with its Pf4 and Pf6 prophages and UCBPP-PA14 (shortened as PA14) with its Pf5 prophage.[11] *P. aeruginosa* is a Gram-negative opportunistic pathogen that causes many hospital-acquired infections and is the major infectious threat for persons with cystic fibrosis (CF). As of 2022, approximately 26.0% of people in the CF Foundation Patient Registry tested positive for *P. aeruginosa* with that percentage increasing to 59.5% in those who met the criteria for Advanced Lung Disease.[12] As CF patients age, their infecting *P. aeruginosa* isolates are more likely to carry Pf prophage.[10] This association may be driven by functions provided by Pf phages to their bacterial host during infection, as their negatively charged filaments and ability to form liquid crystalline structures can make biofilms more robust, delay wound healing, and protect bacteria from antibiotics.[3,10,13–15] Consequently there are both fundamental and clinical needs to understand ecological and evolutionary interactions between Pf and their host bacteria.

In a previous evolution experiment with *P. aeruginosa* PA14 grown in media containing

nutrients found in CF lung environments, we observed that this nutritional environment was

sufficient to select for clinically relevant bacterial phenotypes.[16] Here we identify that within one

of the evolved populations, mutations in the Pf5 phage repressor (*pf5r*) gene within the Pf5

prophage genome rose to high frequency. The Pf phage repressor functions by repressing the

transcription of Pf phage excise element (*xisF5*), preventing Pf prophage from leaving the

bacterial chromosome.[17] Previous studies with a better-studied Pf phage, Pf4, showed that

mutations in the Pf4 phage repressor (*pf4r*) gene or promoter region can lead to emergence of

superinfective, hyper-replicative Pf4.[18–22] Furthermore, overexpression of the Pf4 excise element

(*xisF4*) is correlated with phage virion production.[19] Here we find that the *pf5r* mutation converts

Pf5 phage into a "superinfective" form, capable of infecting wildtype (WT) PA14 already

lysogenized by WT Pf5 prophage and increasing Pf5 phage replication and production.

However, infected cells grew significantly slower than those with WT Pf5, posing a puzzle of

how the *pf5r* mutation rose to high frequency.

We show that PA14 *pf5r* mutants outcompete their ancestor by weaponizing their *pf5r*

mutant phage and demonstrate that this imposes a greater cost on the newly superinfected

victim cell than on the *pf5r* phage donor cell. Paradoxically, this dynamic progressively reduces

bacterial population fitness. Yet these selfish superinfective phage themselves become

victimized by cheater "miniphages" lacking structural genes and the Pf superinfection exclusion

(*pfsE*) gene, rapidly producing a phage Tragedy of the Commons that leads to Pf5 loss as well

as a host susceptible to reinfection. The robust conditions of this experimental model indicate

the potential for rapid coevolution between bacteria and filamentous phage in *P. aeruginosa,* as

well as in other bacteria carrying inoviruses, affecting a range of traits essential for fitness.

Results

Defective Pf phage repressor leads to runaway Pf replication and phage production

Mutations that disrupt the prophage repressor gene can trigger phage activation, leading

to the emergence of hyper-replicative Pf phage.[17,20,22] In a previous evolution experiment with *P.*

aeruginosa PA14 that was analyzed by longitudinal whole-population genome sequencing[16,23],

we noticed increased sequencing coverage, or read depth, along the Pf5 prophage region in

one evolved population (Figure S1). Further investigation of sequencing results showed a 16 bp

duplication mutation within the Pf5 phage repressor gene (*pf5r*) and circularization of the Pf5

genome, indicating active Pf5 phage (Table S1). The *pf5r* gene is within the prophage region

that is integrated into the bacterial chromosome, and so we denote bacteria and phage

genotypes with the *pf5r* mutation using an asterisk (e.g., PA14* and Pf5*, respectively, see

Methods and Table S2 for additional nomenclature). To isolate the *pf5r* mutation in a clean

genomic background, we attempted to infect PA14 wildtype (WT) with the Pf5* phage from the

evolution experiment and were successful (see Methods for more detail). The prophage

genome and flanking bacterial genes are shown in Figure 1A. Compared to the read depth of

PA14 WT (Figure 1B and Figure S2B), the isolated clone (PA14*full) had far greater coverage

across the prophage region (Figure 1C and Figure S2C) much like the evolved population

(Figure S1), demonstrating that the *pf5r* mutation is responsible for the phage activation and

that this trait can be horizontally transferred. Sequencing data also supported excision and

circularization of the prophage genome, indicating prophage induction (Table S1), and qPCR of

supernatants show that the increased read depth correlates with virion production (Figure S3).

In summary, Pf5* prophage undergo increased replication and enable phage-encoded traits to

be horizontally spread by superinfecting neighboring cells that have wildtype Pf5 prophage.

Cheater miniphages evolve within large Pf populations enabled by the defective Pf

repressor

Defective Pf repressor genes have been associated with increased numbers of

intracellular Pf phage, which increases the potential for the evolution of genetically diverse

phage.[20] Whole genome sequencing (WGS) of the newly isolated PA14*full showed increased

copy numbers of both WT and mutated *pf5r* genes, indicating that both mutant and endogenous

phage replication are upregulated (Table S1). Notably, in addition to the *pf5r* polymorphism, the

genome lengths of Pf5 varied. Some PA14* isolates only carried full-length phages (i.e.

PA14*full), others contained a mix of both full-length and smaller phage genomes (i.e.

PA14*full/mini), and some bacterial clones only contained smaller phage genomes (PA14*mini).

These smaller phage genomes, termed "miniphage," have large deletions of multiple structural

genes encoding capsid proteins and also the Pf superinfection exclusion (*pfsE*) gene (Figure

1D&E, Figure S2D&E, and Figure S4). We observed the emergence of miniphage multiple times

among PA14* lineages (Figure 2) and even within the same bacterial clone (Figure 3), where

the deletion lengths varied despite affecting the same structural genes. This high degree of

parallelism indicates strong selection for these reduced phage genomes.

Miniphages can be considered cheaters because they do not produce structural phage

proteins like capsid, which are public goods usable by any replicating phage. Under intense

intracellular phage competition, phages with smaller genome sizes can potentially replicate

faster than full-length phages and use such public resources to promote selfish replication. This

exploitation can reduce phage populations in theory and was observed in independent studies

of *de novo* miniphage invasion (Figure 2). Loss of the superinfection exclusion gene causes

another conflict between full-length phages and miniphages, as superinfection by full-length

phage benefits the miniphages but puts both full-length phages and the bacterial host at risk of

additional phage invasion. Taken together, we observed that the emergence of the defective Pf

repressor quickly leads to extreme levels of Pf reproduction, resulting in increased intracellular

phage diversity, declining total phage numbers, and genome degradation due to intracellular

phage competition.

Pf5 repressor bacterial mutants release hyper-replicative phage that increase mutant

fitness but lower population fitness

Filamentous phages uniquely do not require host cell lysis to reproduce and

propagate.[8,9] However, superinfective Pf4 phages have been linked to an autolysis phenotype in

P. aeruginosa and can kill their bacterial host.[24,25] To determine whether bacterial hosts

containing different combinations of Pf5* genotypes were negatively affected by excess phage

production, we compared their growth rates in isolation. The PA14* genotype grew significantly

worse than WT, indicating that high phage production was costly (Figure 4A&B and Figure S5).

Given the extreme cost of hyper-replicative Pf5, it seems paradoxical that defective *pf5r* would

be favored during experimental evolution of PA14 populations maintained in large populations

($>10^7$ cells) with efficient selection. We considered two non-mutually exclusive hypotheses: (1)

the phage benefits from the defective repressor by increasing its replication rate, which enables

horizontal spread in the bacterial population by superinfection, and/or (2) the bacterium benefits

from *pf5r* mutant phage by being able to outcompete bacteria lacking them. We were able to

horizontally transfer Pf5* phage into PA14 WT, so the former scenario is possible. However,

PA14* also outcompeted the PA14 WT in direct competition and regardless of starting

frequency (Figure 4C and Figure 5A), indicating that bacteria currently infected with Pf5* phage

pay a lower cost for this infection than naïve cells. This demonstrates that PA14* bacteria

somehow benefit from producing hyper-replicative Pf5 phage in direct competition with

uninfected cells despite paying costs of reduced growth rates and yield.

We next tested whether the phages themselves, and not other physiological changes to

PA14* induced by the phage, were responsible for suppressing PA14 WT growth. However,

unlike Pf4 phage in PAO1, Pf5 phages do not form plaques on PA14 so we are unable to

quantify phage via plaque assays. Instead, we competed the PA14*full and PA14*full/mini

against PA14Δ*pilA*, which does not produce the phage receptor type IV pilus (TFP) and should

be immune to Pf phage. PA14Δ*pilA* directly outcompeted both superinfected strains, confirming

immunity (Figure 5A&B). Next, we tested whether phage-containing filtered supernatant from

superinfected strains could inhibit WT growth as seen in direct competition. Supernatants of

both PA14*full and PA14*full/mini suppressed WT growth but WT supernatant did not (Figure

6A). We repeated these supernatant experiments with PA14Δ*pilA* and found no growth defect

(Figure 6A). These results further support the hypothesis that PA14*full and PA14*full/mini each

suppress PA14 WT growth via Pf5* production. The fitness superiority of the TFP mutant that is

immune to Pf5 superinfection shows that PA14* pays a cost for hyper-producing Pf5* phage,

but the cost of Pf5* infection is greater to naïve PA14 WT than to PA14* (Figure 4C and Figure

5A).

Bacteria with both hyper-replicative full-length phage and miniphage survive

superinfection by downregulating the phage receptor

How PA14* seemingly tolerates Pf5* phage infection better than newly infected WT is

unclear. Further, the PA14*full/mini genotype outcompetes WT better than PA14*full, despite

both producing high levels of Pf5. We hypothesized that PA14*full/mini can better survive in the

presence of Pf5* phage because this genotype can downregulate TFP function better than

PA14*full, thereby becoming more phage-resistant. To measure TFP function, we assayed the

extent of bacterial twitching motility, known to be mediated by TFP[26,27], as a proxy. While

PA14*full showed reduced twitching relative to PA14 WT prior to competition, PA14*full/mini

became even less motile, indicating improved immunity to external Pf5* phage (Figure 6B). This

ability to downregulate TFP to a greater extent provides an explanation for the greater fitness of

the bacterium containing a mixture of full-length and miniphage relative to WT.

As noted previously, we observed multiple independent origins of miniphages in cells

with defective Pf repressor genes (Figure 2 and Figure 3), but remarkably the deletion

boundaries differed by only a few nucleotides (Figure 3). Each miniphage lost not only genes

encoding structural proteins but also the *pfsE* gene encoding a superinfection exclusion protein

(PA0721 in PAO1 and PA14_48960 in PA14).[7,21] Many temperate phages encode

superinfection exclusion mechanisms to protect their host from other phages and to decrease

phage intracellular competition.[28,29] PfsE prevents superinfection by binding to PilC in TFP,

which prevents pilus extension and stops phages like Pf from binding to TFP.[7] For miniphages

lacking structural genes like capsid, losing PfsE allows their host to become superinfected with

"cooperative" full-length Pf phage, thereby rescuing the miniphage from being lost by

complementation. However, as suggested above, *pfsE* loss generates two new levels of conflict:

first, between miniphage and full-length Pf phage by increasing phage intracellular competition

via superinfection, and second, between miniphage and the bacterial host by increasing

vulnerability of the bacterial host to other phages. Recurrent miniphage evolution clearly

destabilizes the balance of fitness between Pf and their bacterial hosts.

Bacteria with defective Pf repressor can rapidly lose Pf prophage due to intracellular

phage competition.

Rampant phage replication causes acute competition for host resources and public

goods involved in replication. Consequently, cheater miniphages that do not produce capsid

proteins experience increased fitness at the expense of cooperative, full-length phages. WGS of

PA14* revealed that the intracellular phage population in a single bacterial colony can be

remarkably diverse, with some cells carrying different mixtures of Pf5 WT, Pf5*full, and Pf5*mini

phages including multiple genotypes within each phage class (Table S1). We grew five

independent PA14*full cultures and used qPCR of *pfsE* as a proxy for total phage quantification

and the region spanning the *zot* gene and capsid genes as a proxy for full-length phage

quantification. The miniphage population, inferred as the difference between the two prior

quantities, rose extremely rapidly within clonal bacterial populations, becoming a significant

portion of the phage population within 24 hours or approximately <7 population-wide bacterial

generations (Figure 2). This rapid miniphage invasion has also been reported for Pf4 infecting

PAO1.[30] In each case, the emergence of miniphage led to the decline of the total phage

population over time, with full-length phages declining more rapidly than miniphages (Figure 3).

Eventually, through subsequent uneven vertical inheritance of phages, we observed that some

bacteria only inherit miniphages (Figure 1E and Table S1). Once this happens, miniphages can

no longer leave the cell, and in following cell divisions, the bacteria lose the phage completely

(PA14ΔPf5; Figure 1F). This process defines a Tragedy of the Commons for the Pf phages as

competition leads to the complete loss of full-length phage genomes that can produce capsid

and thus the phage population goes locally extinct. Nonetheless, WGS of PA14ΔPf5 shows that

the *pf5* attachment sites in PA14ΔPf5 remain intact, indicating the possibility for reinfection. We

competed PA14ΔPf5 directly against PA14 WT and found it lost the competitive advantage of

the original PA14* genotype, implying that the phage-free state is ecologically unstable and

prone to reinfection and re-initiation of this life cycle (Figure 5A).

Discussion

Molecular parasites such as phages can increase their evolutionary potential and fitness by expanding their population size. In such conditions, multiple genotypes can arise and cooccur within a host cell, including defective variants that can be rescued and persist through complementation by complete phage genomes.[31,32] However, large parasite populations increase the burden on the host, leading to greater conflict between host and parasite and also greater intracellular conflict between parasites as competition for host resources intensifies. In addition, genetic and phenotypic diversification of these molecular parasites can affect host fitness and introduce diversity between infected cells. Many bacteria carry prophages in their genomes, and *P. aeruginosa* and their widespread Pf prophage are no exception.[10] While some aspects of bacteria and phage interaction can be mutualistic, such as the provision of antibiotic resistance genes and immunity against other parasites, this pact can quickly shift towards parasitism. Here, we show that bacteria can be at the mercy of rapid changes in their prophage population developing in mere hours that slow bacterial reproduction but transiently increase relative bacterial fitness. Specifically, we report that Pf phages that evolved a defective Pf repressor provide the bacterial host with the ability to use Pf as a weapon against other bacterial competitors, but that this state is unstable: the emergence and spread of cheater miniphages result in a phage Tragedy of the Commons leading to subsequent phage extinction.

Viruses can cheat other viruses infecting the same host by not contributing to public goods production.[33] For example, viruses lacking capsid genes do not contribute to capsid production but can use capsid proteins produced by co-infecting "cooperative" viruses, thereby selfishly propagating at the expense of the cooperators. Filamentous phages, including M13 and f1 that infect *E. coli* and Pf4 in PAO1, have been observed to lose large parts of their genome creating cheater miniphages.[30,34–37] These miniphages have been reported in *P. aeruginosa* Pf previously[19,30] and one study created miniphages by deleting structural genes[38], but otherwise, Pf miniphages have not been actively studied. When phage replication is rampant, miniphages arising initially at low frequency gain a large fitness advantage as they have many cooperators to exploit. In Pf4 phages of *P. aeruginosa*, deletion of the Pf4 repressor (*pf4r*) gene was observed to increase phage production[17] and to produce Pf superinfective variants that increase intracellular phage diversity.[18,20] These superinfective Pf variants were reported to kill their host cell and play roles in bacterial biofilm dispersal and in phenotypes such as small colony variants and bacterial virulence.[18,22,24,25,39,40] In this study, we show that the emergence of superinfective Pf5 variants in strain PA14 leads to the subsequent invasion of Pf miniphages and a rapid

sequence of events affecting bacterial fitness. We were able to detect these dynamics by

sequencing whole genomes of bacterial clones and populations over short intervals and

focusing on spikes in read depth as well as reads that support circularization of the Pf5

prophage genome. This study presents both a conceptual model and analytical methods for

interpreting the genomes of bacteria carrying filamentous phage, which may reveal ongoing

interactions in their intracellular virome.

In summary, we demonstrate that intracellular phage competition can favor rapid

expansion of the phage population both within and among neighboring host bacteria, but this

evolution is short-sighted, as short-term gains in phage fitness lead to their eventual local

extinction. From the perspective of the bacterial cell, its fitness is aligned with the hyper-

replicative phage at the outset, because the cost of phage replication is outweighed by

increased relative fitness against other cells becoming superinfected. The emergence of cheater

phages also benefits the host by improving immunity against further superinfection, but it dooms

the phage to a Tragedy of the Commons and extinction. Phage loss eliminates any burdens of

phage replication for the host but it also eliminates the relative fitness advantage of infected

cells, leaving the bacterial population susceptible to invasion.

Superinfective variants of Pf can readily appear and have been documented to be an

important part of *P. aeruginosa* lifestyle relevant for a broad array of clinically important bacterial

phenotypes, especially in biofilm.[14,19,20,24,41] This study shows that the emergence of such hyper-

replicative and superinfective variants favors the invasion of miniphages both within and among

host cells. But since Pf phage population structure can shift in a manner of hours and affect

bacterial fitness, antimicrobial resistance, defense against host immunity, and aspects of

pathogenicity[10,11,13,15], our study reveals a potentially common yet overlooked driver of *P.*

aeruginosa evolution and potentially of all bacteria harboring filamentous phages.[42]

RESOURCE AVAILABILITY

Lead contact

Requests for further information and resources should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the

lead contact, Vaughn S. Cooper (vaughn.cooper@pitt.edu).

Materials availability

All strains present in this study are available upon request.

Data and code availability

- All raw reads from the whole genome sequences have been deposited with links to BioProject accession number PRJNA692838 and PRJNA1226961 in the NCBI BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/).
- All data and code used to analyze variant calls, read depth, growth curves, competition assays, and twitch assays can be found at https://github.com/NanamiKubota/pf_cheater_phage.
- Any additional information required to reanalyze the data reported in this paper is available from the lead contact upon request.

Supplemental information

Document S1. Figures S1-S5 and Table S1-S3.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Cooper lab, the Pat Secor lab, and thesis committee members (Drs. Daria Van Tyne, Will DePas, Graham Hatfull, and Pat Secor) for valuable feedback and support. We also thank the reviewers for their helpful comments in improving this manuscript. Research was supported in part by the Ruth L. Kirschstein Predoctoral Individual National Research Service Award (1F31AI179118-01 to NK), by NIH U19AI158076 to VSC, and by the Pennsylvania Department of Health PA CURES Grant #4100085725. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the Pennsylvania Department of Health.

Author contributions

Conceptualization, writing, review, and final approval of the manuscript were done by NK, MRS, and VSC. Experiment and bioinformatics analyses were done by NK and MRS.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

 Figure legends

Figure 1. Hyper-replicative Pf5 phage (Pf5*full) increases intracellular phage diversity

that enables invasion of incomplete miniphage. The y-axis denotes the read depth of the Pf5

prophage region relative to the average bacterial chromosome read depth 1000 bp upstream

and 1000 bp downstream of the prophage region. The yellow star on the gene map denotes the

position of the *pf5r* mutation. The red horizontal bars on the gene map denote the attachment

sites (*attL* and *attR*) of the Pf5 phage. (A) Locus tags and annotations of the Pf5 prophage and

flanking bacterial genes. Normalized read depths of (B) PA14 WT, (C) PA14*full, (D)

PA14*full/mini, (E) PA14*mini, and (F) PA14ΔPf5 are shown. PA14*mini (in E) shows that some

cells have already begun to lose Pf5 prophage completely as the read depth across the Pf5

prophage is lower than the read depth of the bacterial chromosome. (Created using R and

BioRender.com.) See also Figure S1-4 and Table S1. Created in BioRender. Kubota, N. (2025)

https://BioRender.com/kj3y0ut

Figure 2. Miniphages emerge in PA14*full cultures within 24 hours and outcompete full-

length phages while lowering total phage population. Five biological replicates of PA14*full

cultures were grown in simplified CF media and tracked for 76 hours. Cultures were diluted

1:100 into fresh media every 24 hours. Total phage population was measured by qPCR of the

pflM gene (in red), and the full-length phage population was measured by qPCR of phage

structural genes (in blue). The miniphage population is inferred as the difference between these

two measures. (A) Total phage population and full-length phage population over time. (B)

Comparison of total phage population at 24, 48, and 72 hours. (C) Comparison of full-length

phage frequency at 24, 48, and 72 hours. For (B) and (C), every point is the calculated average

from each replicate and one-way repeated measures ANOVA with pairwise t-tests were

performed with Bonferroni corrections to account for multiple comparisons (ns: p>0.05, *:

p<0.05, **: p<0.01).

Figure 3. Genetically distinct miniphages emerge repeatedly following *pf5r* mutation. Five

bacterial clones carrying miniphage(s) were isolated, and the red horizontal lines denote the

deletion edges of the miniphage population within each clone. Some clones (i.e., PA14*full/mini

2&3) carry multiple miniphage genotypes, while others have only one (i.e., PA14*full/mini 1&4

and PA14*mini). Deletion edges vary in their exact position but are consistently located in the

same region, with all five PA14*full/mini showing loss of the superinfection exclusion gene

(*pfsE*) and structural genes such as capsid.

Figure 4. The *pf5r* mutation slows bacterial growth in monoculture but PA14*full/mini

rapidly invades and outcompetes PA14 WT by suppressing WT growth. (A) Growth curve

of all genotypes over 24 hours (OD_{600}) represented as mean ± SD (*n* = 9 per strain); (B) Final

OD_{600} and area under the curve (AUC) of the growth curves represented as mean ± SD (*n* = 9

per strain); (C) Top row: direct competitions when starting frequency of *pf5r* mutants was rare

and PA14 WT common; bottom row: direct competitions when starting frequency of *pf5r*

mutants and PA14 WT at 1:1 ratio, with outcomes measured as CFU/mL of each competitor

over time. For each competition pairing, the averages of three biological replicates and their

standard deviation are shown (Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn's where ns: p>0.05, *: p<0.05, ****:

p<0.0001). See also Figure S5.

Figure 5. PA14*full and PA14*full/mini outcompete WT due to its greater susceptibility to

superinfection. (A) Relative fitness (y-axis) of different bacterial strains (columns) containing

mutant phage (with neutrality = 0) versus PA14 WT (top row) or PA14Δ*pilA* (bottom row) during

competitions begun at a range of frequencies (x-axis). Every point denotes an independent

competition, and the linear regression is the average net fitness across varying starting

frequencies. PA14*full, and especially PA14*full/mini, outcompete WT at all frequencies but are

outcompeted by PA14Δ*pilA* due to immunity of PA14Δ*pilA* to Pf5 superinfection. The loss of Pf5

(PA14ΔPf5) also eliminates any competitive advantage against PA14 WT. (B) Cell density

(CFU/mL) of each competitor over time, in mixtures when *pf5r* mutants are rare (top row)

relative to PA14 WT, and in mixtures where *pf5r* mutants and PA14 WT begin at 1:1 ratio

(bottom row). For each competition pairing, the averages of three biological replicates and their

standard deviation are shown.

Figure 6. Pf5*full and Pf5*mini phages suppress PA14 WT growth. (A) Filtered supernatant

from overnight cultures of PA14 WT, PA14Δ*pilA*, PA14*full, PA14*full/mini, or no supernatant

was added to PA14 WT (left) or PA14Δ*pilA* (right) cultures. PA14*full/mini supernatant

(containing both Pf5*full and Pf5*mini phages) suppresses PA14 WT growth but not PA14Δ*pilA*,

which lacks the type 4 pili (T4P) phage receptor. Three different biological replicates were

averaged, and the error bars show the standard deviation. (B) During competition against PA14

WT, PA14*full/mini exhibit reduced twitching motility mediated by TFP. Every point denotes the

twitching distance of an individual colony that was isolated either at the beginning (0 h) or at the

end (24 h) of the competition (n ≥ 6 for each strain in each competition; mean ± SD and Mann–

Whitney U test with Bonferroni correction where ns: $p>0.05$, *: $p<0.05$, **: $p<0.01$.

STAR Methods

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL

Pseudomonas aeruginosa UCBPP-PA14 *pf5r* mutant clones were originally isolated

from an evolution experiment, but these mutants contained secondary mutations.[16,23] To create

a *pf5r* mutant in the PA14 WT background (PA14*full), we co-cultured an unmarked, evolved

PA14 *pf5r* mutant with *lacZ*-marked PA14 WT overnight and screened blue colonies for

mobilized Pf5 *pf5r* phage via qPCR across the attachment sites (attB and attP) for excised and

circularized Pf5 phage, respectively, with *gyrB* as the housekeeping gene (see Table S3 for list

of primers). Colonies with high copy number for attB and attP were analyzed by whole genome

sequencing (WGS) to confirm presence of the *pf5r* mutation via horizontal gene transfer.

PA14*full/mini and PA14*mini were isolated by streaking PA14*full on 1/2 Tryptic Soy (Tsoy)

Agar and screening colonies for capsid deletion via PCR. PA14ΔPf5 was isolated by streaking

PA14*mini on 1/2 Tsoy agar and screening across two conserved phage genes in Pf5*mini (i.e.,

PA14_48980-PA14_489900). All mutants were verified via WGS. PA14Δ*pilA* mutant was

generated through two-step allelic exchange[47] and confirmed via WGS. Detailed nomenclature

of bacteria and phage strains can be found in Table S2. Bacteria were cultured in glass tubes at

37˚C on a roller drum with M9 minimal media supplemented with nutrients found in cystic

fibrosis lungs (i.e. CF media) as previously described.[16] In short, the media comprised of an M9

salt base (0.1 mM $CaCl_2$, 1.0 mM $MgSO_4$, 42.2 mM Na_2HPO_4, 22 mM KH_2PO_4, 21.7 mM NaCl,

18.7 mM NH_4Cl), 11.1 mM glucose, 10 mM DL-lactate (Sigma-Aldrich L1375, CAS 72-17-3), 20

mL/liter MEM essential amino acids (ThermoFisher 11130051), 10 mL/liter MEM non-essential

amino acids (ThermoFisher 11140050), and 1 mL/liter each of Trace Elements A, B, and C

(Corning MT99182CI, MT99175CI, MT99176CI).

METHOD DETAILS

Whole genome sequencing and analysis

DNA of all bacteria strains were extracted using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit

and WGS using Illumina NextSeq550 with a resolution of 200 Mbp or between 3-14 million

reads per sample. Reads were checked in fastQC[43] for quality control. The PA14 WT reads

were mapped against the PA14 from the Pseudomonas Genome Database[48,49]

(https://www.pseudomonas.com/strain/show/109) using the variant caller breseq v0.39.0[44] with

the consensus mode default parameters. Then the gdtools program within breseq was used to

create a new PA14 reference genome that contains mutations present in our PA14 lab wildtype

strain. Raw reads of all other samples were mapped against this new reference. Read depth

was calculated by averaging the reads mapped to a 10 bp window across the bacterial genome

using bedtools[45] (v2.26.0) and samtools[46] (v1.21). The raw reads have been deposited with

links to BioProject accession number PRJNA692838 and PRJNA1226961 in the NCBI

BioProject database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/). Read depth plots were created

using R[50] (v4.4.0), RStudio[51] (v2024.04.2+764), tidyverse[52] (v2.0.0), ggplot2[53] (v3.5.1), and

gggenes[54] (v0.5.1).

<u>Growth curves</u>

454   Growth curves were conducted in 96-well plates with each well seeded with 198µL of CF

455   media and 2µL overnight bacterial culture. Optical density was read at 600nm (OD_{600}) every 15

456   minutes over the course of 24 hours at 37°C. OD_{600} was graphed in R, and the growth rate, area

457   under the curve (AUC), and the final OD_{600} was calculated using the Growthcurver package.[55]

459   **<u>Competition assays</u>**

460   Overnight cultures of each competitor strain were grown in individual tubes in CF media

at 37°C prior to the competition. Competitors were paired such that one competitor was *lacZ*-

marked while the other was unmarked. Approximately 1mL of overnight cultures were pelleted

and washed with 1xPBS to remove phages that may be present in the supernatant. The paired

competitors were mixed at varying ratios so that the total volume of the co-culture was 50 µL

and this was seeded into 5 mL of fresh CF media to make a 1:100 dilution. For competitions

where CFU/mL were measured multiple times between timepoints 0 h and 24 h, a 1:1 volume

ratio was used to seed competitions where *pf5r* mutants were rare relative to their competitors

(either PA14 WT or PA14Δ*pilA*). For competitions where *pf5r* mutants were approximately 1:1 in

starting CFU/mL with competitors, a 1:9 volume ratio of competitor-to-*pf5r* culture was used.

The co-cultures were then vortexed and starting CFU/mL (t = 0 h) was quantified by serial

diluting the co-cultures in 1xPBS and spread plating the dilutions onto 1/2 Tryptic Soy Agar

plates supplemented with X-gal. The co-cultures were then incubated at 37°C on a roller drum

and CFU/mL was measured at various timepoints using plate dilutions up until 24 h post-

inoculation. Blue and white colonies were counted, and selection rate constant (r) was

calculated by taking the difference in Malthusian parameter of the two competitors[56]: $r =$

$\ln\left(\frac{M_f}{M_i}\right) - \ln\left(\frac{A_f}{A_i}\right)$, where M_i and A_i is the mutant or ancestor CFU/mL at 0 h post-inoculation,

respectively, and M_f and A_f is their CFU/mL at 24 h post-inoculation, respectively.

Pf5* phage treatment on PA14 WT and PA14Δ*pilA*

Overnight cultures of PA14 WT, PA14Δ*pilA*, PA14*full, and PA14*full/mini were grown in

CF media at 37°C. Approximately 1 mL of PA14*full and PA14*full/mini overnight cultures were

passed through a 0.20 µm filter into separate microcentrifuge tubes. Then into 5 mL of fresh CF

media, 50 µL of the filtrate and 50 µL of the PA14 WT or PA14Δ*pilA* overnight were added and

vortexed. The CFU/mL of the initial culture (0 h) was measured by taking 20 µL of the seeded

culture and doing ten-fold dilutions in a 96-well plate with 1xPBS. 100 µL of the diluted culture

was plated onto 1/2 Tsoy supplemented with X-gal before incubating the plates overnight. The

culture tubes were removed from the incubator at 2 h, 4 h, 6 h, and 24 h to measure CFU/mL as

described earlier.

Twitching assay

Twitching motility were measured following an established protocol.[27] Briefly, a single

colony from the competition experiments (either the 0 h timepoint or 24 h timepoints) were

picked using a pipette tip. The colony color was used to distinguish PA14*full and PA14*full/mini

(blue; *lacZ*-marked) from PA14 WT (white; unmarked). The center of the 1% LB-Lennox Agar

was stabbed using the pipette tip, making sure that the tip touched the bottom of the petri dish.

Then, the plates were incubated at 37°C overnight in a plastic container with a lid and lined with

damp paper towels to prevent the plates from drying. The following day, plates were flooded

with cold TM developer solution for 30 minutes to make the bacteria opaque. Twitching distance

was measured from the center of the plate where the stabs were made to the edge of the front

using a ruler.

Pf5 virion quantification via qPCR

Five independent overnight cultures of PA14*full were grown in 5 mL of CF media at

37°C. From each overnight cultures, 1 mL of the overnight cultures were pelleted in separate

microcentrifuge tubes and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was washed with 1xPBS

to remove any residual phages and pelleted again to discard the supernatant before being

resuspended in 1 mL 1xPBS. Then 65 µL of the resuspended culture was added into 6.5 mL

fresh CF media to make 1:100 dilution culture. 500 µL of the new culture was collected passed

through a 0.20 µm filter into a new microcentrifuge tube. The remaining culture was incubated at

37°C. Supernatants were collected multiple times in a similar manner over the course of 76

hours. Every 24 hours, 65 µL of culture was passaged to 6.5 mL fresh CF media. The filtered

supernatant underwent DNase I treatment following previously published protocols with minor

modifications to align with manufacturer's instructions.[7,19] Briefly, 89 µL of the filtered

supernatant was added to a new microcentrifuge tube with 1 µL DNase I (NEB M0303S) and 10

µL DNase I Reaction Buffer (NEB B0303S) to create a 100 µL total reaction volume. The

supernatant with the DNase I was incubated at 37°C for 1 hour, followed by a DNase

inactivation step at 75°C for 10 minutes. 2 µL of the treated supernatant was qPCR using

primers that amplified the *pflM* gene, zot/capsid gene, and *gyrB* (negative control; see Table S3

for list of primers). No *gyrB* amplification was detected, indicating that DNA not protected by the

phage capsid was digested by the DNase I. For the standard curves, PCR amplicons produced

by the qPCR primers with PA14 WT DNA were used. The PCR amplicons underwent gel

extraction to remove any impurities before standard curves were created. Standard curves were

used to quantify total phage population (via *pflM* amplification) and full-length phage numbers

(via *zot*-capsid amplification). Miniphage numbers are inferred from the difference between full-

length phage and total phage population. Supernatants from overnight cultures of various PA14

strains were also treated using the same filtration, DNase treatment, and qPCR protocol.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

All statistical analyses were conducted in R[50] (v4.4.0) and RStudio[51] (v2024.04.2+764) unless

otherwise noted. Read depth calculations were done using bam output files provided by

breseq[44] (v.0.39.0) and measured at 10 bp windows using bedtools[45] (v2.26.0) and samtools[46]

(v1.21). Detailed information about the statistical test is provided in the figure legend. Data is

presented as mean ± SD unless otherwise noted. All experiments were conducted with at least

three biological replicates unless otherwise noted.

References

1. Schmidt, A.K., Schwartzkopf, C.M., Pourtois, J.D., Burgener, E.B., Faith, D.R., Joyce, A., Lamma, T., Kumar, G., Bollyky, P.L., and Secor, P.R. (2024). Targeted deletion of Pf prophages from diverse Pseudomonas aeruginosa isolates has differential impacts on quorum sensing and virulence traits. Journal of Bacteriology *0*, e00402-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00402-23.

2. Schwartzkopf, C.M., Robinson, A.J., Ellenbecker, M., Faith, D.R., Schmidt, A.K., Brooks, D.M., Lewerke, L., Voronina, E., Dandekar, A.A., and Secor, P.R. (2023). Tripartite interactions between filamentous Pf4 bacteriophage, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and bacterivorous nematodes. PLOS Pathogens *19*, e1010925. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1010925.

3. Secor, P.R., Michaels, L.A., Smigiel, K.S., Rohani, M.G., Jennings, L.K., Hisert, K.B., Arrigoni, A., Braun, K.R., Birkland, T.P., Lai, Y., et al. (2016). Filamentous Bacteriophage Produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa Alters the Inflammatory Response and Promotes Noninvasive Infection In Vivo. Infect Immun *85*, e00648-16. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00648-16.

4. Thomas, M.J.N., Brockhurst, M.A., and Coyte, K.Z. (2024). What makes a temperate phage an effective bacterial weapon? mSystems *0*, e01036-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/msystems.01036-23.

5. Wang, X., Kim, Y., Ma, Q., Hong, S.H., Pokusaeva, K., Sturino, J.M., and Wood, T.K. (2010). Cryptic prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments. Nat Commun *1*, 147. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms1146.

6. Wendling, C.C., Refardt, D., and Hall, A.R. (2021). Fitness benefits to bacteria of carrying prophages and prophage-encoded antibiotic-resistance genes peak in different environments. Evolution *75*, 515–528. https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14153.

7. Schmidt, A.K., Fitzpatrick, A.D., Schwartzkopf, C.M., Faith, D.R., Jennings, L.K., Coluccio, A., Hunt, D.J., Michaels, L.A., Hargil, A., Chen, Q., et al. (2022). A filamentous bacteriophage protein inhibits type IV pili to prevent superinfection of pseudomonas aeruginosa. mBio *13*, e02441-21. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02441-21.

8. Marvin, D.A., and Hohn, B. (1969). Filamentous Bacterial Viruses. Bacteriological reviews *33*, 172–209.

9. Bradley, D.E. (1974). The adsorption of Pseudomonas aeruginosa pilus-dependent bacteriophages to a host mutant with nonretractile pili. Virology *58*, 149–163. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(74)90150-0.

10. Burgener, E.B., Sweere, J.M., Bach, M.S., Secor, P.R., Haddock, N., Jennings, L.K., Marvig, R.L., Johansen, H.K., Rossi, E., Cao, X., et al. (2019). Filamentous bacteriophages are associated with chronic Pseudomonas lung infections and antibiotic resistance in cystic fibrosis. Science Translational Medicine *11*, eaau9748. https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aau9748.

11. Secor, P.R., Burgener, E.B., Kinnersley, M., Jennings, L.K., Roman-Cruz, V., Popescu, M., Van Belleghem, J.D., Haddock, N., Copeland, C., Michaels, L.A., et al. (2020). Pf

Bacteriophage and Their Impact on Pseudomonas Virulence, Mammalian Immunity, and
Chronic Infections. Frontiers in Immunology *11*, 1–18.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2020.00244.

12. Cystic Fibrosis Foundation Patient Registry 2022 Annual Data Report (2023). (Cystic
Fibrosis Foundation).

13. Chen, Q., Cai, P., Chang, T.H.W., Burgener, E., Kratochvil, M.J., Gupta, A., Hargill, A.,
Secor, P.R., Nielsen, J.E., Barron, A.E., et al. (2024). Pf bacteriophages hinder sputum
antibiotic diffusion via electrostatic binding. Science Advances *10*, eadl5576.
https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.adl5576.

14. Secor, P.R., Sweere, J.M., Michaels, L.A., Malkovskiy, A.V., Lazzareschi, D., Katznelson,
E., Rajadas, J., Birnbaum, M.E., Arrigoni, A., Braun, K.R., et al. (2015). Filamentous
bacteriophage promote biofilm assembly and function. Cell Host and Microbe *18*, 549–559.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2015.10.013.

15. Bach, M.S., de Vries, C.R., Khosravi, A., Sweere, J.M., Popescu, M.C., Chen, Q.,
Demirdjian, S., Hargil, A., Van Belleghem, J.D., Kaber, G., et al. (2022). Filamentous
bacteriophage delays healing of Pseudomonas-infected wounds. Cell Reports Medicine *3*,
100656. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xcrm.2022.100656.

16. Scribner, M.R., Stephens, A.C., Huong, J.L., Richardson, A.R., and Cooper, V.S. (2022).
The nutritional environment is sufficient to select coexisting biofilm and quorum-sensing
mutants of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Journal of Bacteriology, JB-00444.
https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00444-21.

17. Li, Y., Liu, X., Tang, K., Wang, P., Zeng, Z., Guo, Y., and Wang, X. (2019). Excisionase in
Pf filamentous prophage controls lysis-lysogeny decision-making in Pseudomonas
aeruginosa. Molecular Microbiology *111*, 495–513. https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.14170.

18. Prokopczuk, F.I., Im, H., Campos-Gomez, J., Orihuela, C.J., and Martínez, E. (2023).
Engineered Superinfective Pf Phage Prevents Dissemination of Pseudomonas aeruginosa
in a Mouse Burn Model. mBio *0*, e00472-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.00472-23.

19. Guo, Y., Lin, S., Chen, R., Gu, J., Tang, K., Nie, Z., Huang, Z., Weng, J., Lin, J., Liu, T., et
al. (2024). A reverse transcriptase controls prophage genome reduction to promote phage
dissemination in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. Cell Reports *43*, 114883.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2024.114883.

20. McElroy, K.E., Hui, J.G.K., Woo, J.K.K., Luk, A.W.S., Webb, J.S., Kjelleberg, S., Rice, S.A.,
and Thomas, T. (2014). Strain-specific parallel evolution drives short-term diversification
during Pseudomonas aeruginosa biofilm formation. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences of the United States of America *111*. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1314340111.

21. Wang, W., Li, Y., Tang, K., Lin, J., Gao, X., Guo, Y., and Wang, X. (2022). Filamentous
prophage capsid proteins contribute to superinfection exclusion and phage defence in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environmental Microbiology *24*, 4285–4298.
https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.15991.

22. Ismail, M.H., Michie, K.A., Goh, Y.F., Noorian, P., Kjelleberg, S., Duggin, I.G., McDougald,
D., and Rice, S.A. (2021). The Repressor C Protein, Pf4r, Controls Superinfection of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa PAO1 by the Pf4 Filamentous Phage and Regulates Host Gene
Expression. Viruses *13*, 1614. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13081614.

23. Scribner, M.R., Santos-Lopez, A., Marshall, C.W., Deitrick, C., and Cooper, V.S. (2020).
Parallel Evolution of Tobramycin Resistance across Species and Environments. mBio *11*,
1–17. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00932-20.

24. Rice, S.A., Tan, C.H., Mikkelsen, P.J., Kung, V., Woo, J., Tay, M., Hauser, A., McDougald,
D., Webb, J.S., and Kjelleberg, S. (2009). The biofilm life cycle and virulence of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa are dependent on a filamentous prophage. ISME J *3*, 271–282.
https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.109.

25. Tortuel, D., Tahrioui, A., Rodrigues, S., Cambronel, M., Boukerb, A.M., Maillot, O., Verdon,
J., Bere, E., Nusser, M., Brenner-Weiss, G., et al. (2020). Activation of the Cell Wall Stress
Response in Pseudomonas aeruginosa Infected by a Pf4 Phage Variant. Microorganisms *8*,
1700. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms8111700.

26. Burrows, L.L. (2012). Pseudomonas aeruginosa Twitching Motility: Type IV Pili in Action.
Annu. Rev. Microbiol. *66*, 493–520. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-micro-092611-150055.

27. Turnbull, L., and Whitchurch, C.B. (2014). Motility Assay: Twitching Motility. In
Pseudomonas Methods and Protocols, A. Filloux and J.-L. Ramos, eds. (Springer), pp. 73–
86. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0473-0_9.

28. Chung, I.-Y., Jang, H.-J., Bae, H.-W., and Cho, Y.-H. (2014). A phage protein that inhibits
the bacterial ATPase required for type IV pilus assembly. Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences *111*, 11503–11508. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1403537111.

29. Bondy-Denomy, J., Qian, J., Westra, E.R., Buckling, A., Guttman, D.S., Davidson, A.R., and
Maxwell, K.L. (2016). Prophages mediate defense against phage infection through diverse
mechanisms. ISME Journal *10*, 2854–2866. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2016.79.

30. Secor, P.R., and Dandekar, A.A. (2020). More than simple parasites: The sociobiology of
bacteriophages and their bacterial hosts. mBio *11*. https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00041-20.

31. Nee, S., and Smith, J.M. (1990). The evolutionary biology of molecular parasites.
Parasitology *100*, S5–S18. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0031182000072978.

32. Stern, A., and Andino, R. (2016). Chapter 17 - Viral Evolution: It Is All About Mutations. In
Viral Pathogenesis (Third Edition), M. G. Katze, M. J. Korth, G. L. Law, and N. Nathanson,
eds. (Academic Press), pp. 233–240. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800964-2.00017-3.

33. Leeks, A., West, S.A., and Ghoul, M. (2021). The evolution of cheating in viruses. Nature
Communications *12*, 6928. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27293-6.

34. Griffith, J., and Kornberg, A. (1974). Mini M13 bacteriophage: Circular fragments of M13
DNA are replicated and packaged during normal infections. Virology *59*, 139–152.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(74)90211-6.

35. Enea, V., and Zinder, N.D. (1975). A deletion mutant of bacteriophage f1 containing no
intact cistrons. Virology *68*, 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1016/0042-6822(75)90152-X.

36. Hewitt, J.A. (1975). Miniphage − a Class of Satellite Phage to M13. Journal of General
Virology *26*, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-26-1-87.

37. Horiuchi, K. (1983). Co-evolution of a filamentous bacteriophage and its defective interfering
particles. Journal of Molecular Biology *169*, 389–407. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-
2836(83)80057-6.

38. Pei, T.-T., Luo, H., Wang, Y., Li, H., Wang, X.-Y., Zhang, Y.-Q., An, Y., Wu, L.-L., Ma, J.,
Liang, X., et al. (2024). Filamentous prophage Pf4 promotes genetic exchange in
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. The ISME Journal *18*, wrad025.
https://doi.org/10.1093/ismejo/wrad025.

39. Hui, J.G.K., Mai-Prochnow, A., Kjelleberg, S., McDougald, D., and Rice, S.A. (2014).
Environmental cues and genes involved in establishment of the superinfective Pf4 phage of
Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Frontiers in Microbiology *5*, 1–8.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2014.00654.

40. Tortuel, D., Tahrioui, A., David, A., Cambronel, M., Nilly, F., Clamens, T., Maillot, O.,
Barreau, M., Feuilloley, M.G.J., Lesouhaitier, O., et al. (2022). Pf4 Phage Variant Infection
Reduces Virulence-Associated Traits in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Microbiol Spectr,
e01548-22. https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.01548-22.

41. Gavric, D., and Knezevic, P. (2022). Filamentous Pseudomonas Phage Pf4 in the Context
of Therapy-Inducibility, Infectivity, Lysogenic Conversion, and Potential Application. Viruses
14, 1261. https://doi.org/10.3390/v14061261.

42. Foxall, R.L., Means, J., Marcinkiewicz, A.L., Schillaci, C., DeRosia-Banick, K., Xu, F., Hall,
J.A., Jones, S.H., Cooper, V.S., and Whistler, C.A. (2023). Inoviridae prophage and
bacterial host dynamics during diversification, succession, and Atlantic invasion of Pacific-
native Vibrio parahaemolyticus. mBio *15*, e02851-23. https://doi.org/10.1128/mbio.02851-
23.

43. Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A Quality Control Tool for High Throughput Sequence Data.
http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/.

44. Deatherage, D.E., and Barrick, J.E. (2014). Identification of Mutations in Laboratory-Evolved
Microbes from Next-Generation Sequencing Data Using breseq. In, pp. 165–188.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0554-6_12.

45. Quinlan, A.R., and Hall, I.M. (2010). BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. Bioinformatics *26*, 841–842.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq033.

46. Li, H., Handsaker, B., Wysoker, A., Fennell, T., Ruan, J., Homer, N., Marth, G., Abecasis,
G., Durbin, R., and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The
Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics *25*, 2078–2079.
https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352.

47. Hmelo, L.R., Borlee, B.R., Almblad, H., Love, M.E., Randall, T.E., Tseng, B.S., Lin, C., Irie,
Y., Storek, K.M., Yang, J.J., et al. (2015). Precision-engineering the Pseudomonas
aeruginosa genome with two-step allelic exchange. Nat Protoc *10*, 1820–1841.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2015.115.

48. Winsor, G.L., Griffiths, E.J., Lo, R., Dhillon, B.K., Shay, J.A., and Brinkman, F.S.L. (2016).
Enhanced annotations and features for comparing thousands of Pseudomonas genomes in
the Pseudomonas genome database. Nucleic Acids Research *44*, D646–D653.
https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv1227.

49. Lee, D.G., Urbach, J.M., Wu, G., Liberati, N.T., Feinbaum, R.L., Miyata, S., Diggins, L.T.,
He, J., Saucier, M., Déziel, E., et al. (2006). Genomic analysis reveals that Pseudomonas
aeruginosa virulence is combinatorial. Genome Biol *7*, R90. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-
2006-7-10-r90.

50. R Core Team (2024). R: a language and environment for statistical computing (R
Foundation for Statistical Computing).

51. Posit team (2024). RStudio: Integrated development environment for R (Posit Software,
PBC).

52. Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L.D., François, R., Grolemund,
G., Hayes, A., Henry, L., Hester, J., et al. (2019). Welcome to the tidyverse. Journal of Open
Source Software *4*, 1686. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.01686.

53. Wickham, H. (2016). ggplot2: Elegant graphics for data analysis (Springer-Verlag New
York).

54. Wilkins, D. (2023). gggenes: Draw gene arrow maps in "ggplot2."

55. Sprouffske, K., and Wagner, A. (2016). Growthcurver: an R package for obtaining
interpretable metrics from microbial growth curves. BMC Bioinformatics *17*, 172.
https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-016-1016-7.

56. Travisano, M., and Lenski, R.E. (1996). Long-Term Experimental Evolution in Escherichia
coli. IV. Targets of Selection and the Specificity of Adaptation. Genetics *143*, 15–26.
https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/143.1.15.