Evolutionary plasticity of bacterial surface layer protein exoskeletons

Anna Barwinska-Sendra*[1] ✉, Paula S. Salgado[1] ✉, Kacper M. Sendra*[1] ✉

[1]Biosciences Institute, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

✉ Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed:

Kacper M. Sendra, email: kacper.sendra@newcastle.ac.uk.

Anna Barwinska-Sendra, email: anna.barwinska-sendra@newcastle.ac.uk.

Paula S. Salgado, email: paula.salgado@newcastle.ac.uk.

Abstract

All cellular life possesses environmental interfaces like cell membranes or cell walls, yet the compositional complexity of these major cell components limits structural and evolutionary studies. Prokaryotic surface layer (S-layer) exoskeletons, with their homopolymer paracrystalline architecture, offer a more tractable evolutionary model of an environmental interface. In this study, we reveal the functional, structural and evolutionary diversity of S-layers in Gram-positive *Peptostreptococcaceae*, including pathogens and cancer-promoting species. We uncover novel S-layer architectures with diverse biochemical and physiological properties, enabled by a modular design co-evolving with other cell envelope components. We elucidate the mechanisms and evolutionary pathways underpinning the emergence of novel S-layers and the diversification of existing ones. Our findings establish the S-layer as a paradigm of cellular and molecular modularity and evolutionary plasticity. The demonstrated adaptability of these biological exoskeletons enables rapid reconfiguration of bacterial cell surface architecture and physiology, facilitating immune evasion in pathogens.

Introduction

The cell wall and cell membrane usually constitute the core components of the bacterial cell envelope, the interface between a bacterial cell and its environment. The layered architecture of the cell envelope can be further augmented by the presence of an outer cell surface-layer (S-layer) exoskeleton. S-layers are found across Archaea, Gram-positive, and Gram-negative Bacteria[1-4], are visible using high-resolution imaging techniques, and their building blocks are some of the most abundant cellular proteins. The S-layers studied so far are generally composed of a major protein that assembles into the paracrystalline lattice, and S-layer-associated proteins that confer additional functionality[5], as observed in pathogens such as *Bacillus anthracis*[6] and *Clostridioides difficile*[7]. Proposed physiological roles of S-layers and S-layer proteins include protection against cell wall degradation and osmotic stress[8-11], biofilm formation[12-14], adhesion[15-17], phage recognition[18,19], and/or function as a molecular sieve[20,21]. Understanding the biology of S-layers is significant within biotechnology, with potential applications as scaffolds in bio-product design[22,23], drug nanocarrier[24] or vaccine delivery system[25], and within healthcare, since they have been implicated in immunomodulation by microbiota important for optimal health[26-29] and in the infection process of different pathogens[30-32], and are a target for the development of novel therapeutics[32,33].

Although the diversity of S-layers across different, distantly related bacterial taxa is well established[2,34,35], general evolutionary mechanisms shaping this diversity across the Tree of Life remain unexplored. S-layer proteins, including those sharing the same structural fold, often lack any identifiable sequence homology[2]. This complicates studies of S-layer origins and evolution and provides a major challenge for the identification of S-layer proteins and prediction of conserved S-layer functions such as protection against the human immune system. Recent accomplishments in structure-based predictive algorithms provide major advancements in our capacity to predict S-layer protein candidates and S-layer architectures[34,35]. However, due to their extreme sequence variation and low number of experimentally verified and studied S-layers, our understanding of functional diversity, ecological significance, and evolution of this important element of prokaryotic cell biology is still limited.

The evolutionary origins of these paracrystalline layers surrounding entire prokaryotic cells, including that of many pathogens, are also not clear. It is commonly hypothesised that S-layers have originated multiple independent times[34,36], mainly based on the sequence and structural diversity of S-layer proteins and lattices[34]. However, the observed variation of surface exoskeletons could, at least partially, also be explained by an alternative hypothesis of a common origin followed by an extreme divergence and lateral transfer of S-layer proteins. In pathogenic *C. difficile*, the S-layer protein (*Cd*SlpA), encoded within the genomic S-layer cassette, is shared across *C. difficile* isolates *via* homologous recombination[37], and its highly

variable sequence enables its use for typing (S-layer cassette type, SLCT) of different clinical isolates[37,38]. A
homologue of *Cd*SlpA, *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* surface protein PCWBR2 was recently implicated in
promoting colorectal carcinogenesis[39]. Despite their medical significance, our understanding of the
structural and functional landscape of S-layers formed by these diverse orthologues from closely related
species is limited and current experimental structural information is available for only two *C. difficile*
sequence types[40].
Here, to address these major gaps in our understanding of S-layer biology and to circumvent the
inherent challenges in studying these cellular structures, we focus on narrower phylogenetic scales, where
S-layer evolution is more tractable. We experimentally characterise novel S-layer types and present
evidence of independent S-layer losses and gains across a bacterial family *Peptostreptococcaceae*. We
reveal the role of modular evolution in shaping S-layer diversity and enabling anchoring to variable cell wall
architectures. We present novel structures of *C. difficile* S-layers and uncover how, at the narrowest
phylogenetic scales, extreme sequence divergence, recombination, and adaptive evolution drive extensive
structural changes of the crystalline lattice surrounding the bacterial cells, without compromising its role in
protection against the human immune system. Physiological tests and CRISPRi experiments demonstrate
that the resistance to the innate immunity effectors emerged specifically in pathogenic lineages. Our
results provide insights into the mechanisms of adaptation of host-exposed cell surfaces of S-layer-
containing pathogens, and the evolution of the environmental interfaces across the bacterial Tree of Life.

                                                    Results

The evolutionary and structural diversity of S-layers across the Tree of Life

It is often hypothesized that S-layers are common in Prokaryotes[1-3]. To test this hypothesis, we
compiled a list of previously studied examples of S-layer-positive species and S-layer proteins with strong
experimental evidence identified in the available literature, including verification using high-resolution
imaging and molecular techniques (Supplementary Data 1). Mapping this evidence onto the Tree of Life
(Fig. 1a) revealed that, although verified S-layer-positive species are distributed across many lineages, they
represent only a small subset of the analysed Bacteria and Archaea. This reflects the disparity between the
number of known species of Prokaryotes and those that have been cultured[41], of which only a small
number have been tested for the presence of S-layers. Our analysis highlights the extensive gaps in the
current understanding of S-layer diversity and distribution.
To address these gaps, we investigated the distribution of homologues of the known full-length S-layer
protein sequences and their constituent domains across the Tree of Life using protein sequence homology
searches (Supplementary Fig. 1), hidden Markov model (HMM) profile searches (Supplementary Fig. 2), and
protein similarity network analyses (Supplementary Fig. 3). The main building block of the paracrystalline S-
layer array, here referred to as Surface Layer Lattice Protein (SLLP), usually displays a bipartite
architecture[2]. It consists of a cell anchoring domain (anchor$_{DOM}$), which often represents a general
anchoring mechanism of cell surface proteins, and an S-layer 'crystallisation' or surface-forming domain
(surface$_{DOM}$), which plays a more specific role in forming the paracrystalline lattice layer exposed to the
extracellular environment (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Fig. 1a). Anchoring domains such as SLH have
additional physiological roles, including outer membrane tethering in diderm Negativicutes[42], and in our
analysis, are found across a broad taxonomic range, often in multiple proteins other than SLLPs from the
same genome (Supplementary Fig. 3g). In addition, multiple different types of anchor$_{DOM}$s are often found
within a single cell-anchored protein family (Supplementary Fig. 3b, d), indicating that they can be swapped
during cell-anchored protein evolution. Therefore, anchor$_{DOM}$s are not specific to SLLPs and constitute
swappable protein modules that enable the binding of surface proteins to alternative cell envelope
architectures. This likely reflects the structural co-evolution of different cell-envelope layers, such as the
cell wall and the S-layer.
In contrast to the anchor$_{DOM}$s, specific surface domain sequence profiles are less common and display
narrower taxonomic distribution, suggesting lineage-specific adaptations and more specific functions in S-
layer formation (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 3c). The majority of the analysed surface$_{DOM}$s, including
those sharing the common immunoglobulin-like domain architecture (Fig. 1b, and Supplementary Fig. 1a),
display extensive sequence diversity. The substantial discontinuity in the structural and sequence landscape
of bacterial S-layers is further apparent in SLLPs sharing similar domain folds (Fig. 1b, and Supplementary
Fig. 1a) but no sequence homology (Supplementary Figs. 1c, 2a, 3b) like bacterial *B. anthracis* EA1 and
Deinococcus radiodurans HPI, or archaeal *Haloferax volcanii* Csg, which assemble into extremely diverse
paracrystalline layers (Fig. 1b) and utilise different cell surface anchoring mechanisms (Fig. 1b, and
Supplementary Fig. 1a).
Combined with the identified gaps in the appreciation of S-layer diversity and distribution, and the
possible existence of SLLPs with distinct surface$_{DOM}$ folds, such as *Tannerella forsythia* TfsA (Supplementary
Fig. 1a), our analyses are consistent with the existence of undiscovered diversity of prokaryotic
exoskeletons in nature. However, the lack of sequence homology often observed between SLLPs belonging
to the same structural family and the utilisation of common structural folds complicate analyses of their
evolution over wide phylogenetic scales. Given the structural and sequence diversity of surface-forming
domains and the multitude of anchoring mechanisms, it is likely that the various S-layers have either

emerged multiple independent times from non-SLLPs and/or are a result of extreme surface_DOM divergence
and anchor_DOM swapping among existing S-layer proteins.
125
126

Fig. 1: Diversity of S-layer protein lattices across the Tree of Life.

a, Species names of representative 47 Bacteria and 21 Archaea with previously experimentally verified S-layers (Supplementary
Data 1) were annotated onto a phylogeny of 2,705 Bacteria, 315 Archaea, and 149 Eukaryota. Coloured circles represent the
available experimental evidence including verification *via* high-resolution imaging techniques (orange), structural biology (green),
and protein sequence verification of SLLP (magenta). The coloured semi-circles represent hmmsearch results using HMM profiles of
domains found in SLLPs with known structures presented in **b**, demonstrating the presence of both common (e.g. Big2 and SLH),
and species- or lineage-specific domain profiles (coloured arrows, e.g. LMW SlpA, SLP_L).
b, Cartoon representations of structural models of SLLPs (monomer) and surface representations of their higher order organisation
(multimeric assembly and crystal lattice) within the S-layer. The sequence regions (dashed outlines) identified with the available
HMM profiles (**a** and Supplementary Fig. 2) can be found within two distinct domains, mainly involved in either cell surface
anchoring (anchor_DOM) or paracrystalline S-layer lattice formation (surface_DOM). The experimental surface_DOM structures
(Supplementary Fig. 1a) belong to at least four types of structural folds identified using Foldseek (CATH: 2.6, 3.3, 2.15, 1.2). Multiple
monomers (shades of grey) within the multimeric assemblies assume diverse higher-order geometries, which constitute a repeating
unit within the crystal lattice with varying degrees of complexity and symmetry types (e.g. hexagonal and oblique). These diverse S-
layer architectures are attached to the cell surfaces using a variety of mechanisms including membrane anchoring through
transmembrane helices, and binding to different secondary cell wall polymers (SLH and CWB2) or lipopolysaccharides (Nterm
RsaA).
Structures of the previously determined representative S-layer lattice proteins (8CKA, 8OPR, 7PTR, 7ACY, 6Z7P, 9GK2) were
visualised using ChimeraX.
147

Novel SLLPs form structurally diverse S-layers within a single family of Bacteria

To experimentally investigate the diversity of the S-layer exoskeletons at narrower phylogenetic scales, we first identified the lineages with a high degree of variation in the known SLLP domains. Our analyses across the Tree of Life (Fig. 1a) revealed an interspersed distribution of different anchoring domains – SLH and CWB2 – in Firmicutes. This bacterial phylum includes two important pathogens, *Bacillus anthracis* and *Clostridioides difficile*, with two distinct S-layer surface domain folds (Fig. 1b). Within Firmicutes, *Peptostreptococcaceae* represent a particular 'hotspot' of SLH/CWB2 anchoring domain repertoire variation. Therefore, this lineage was selected for combinatorial bioinformatic, cell biology, microbiological, and structural biology analyses to characterise their S-layers and SLLPs and unravel evolutionary mechanisms shaping their diversity.

The experimental investigations (Fig. 2a and d, and Supplementary Fig. 4a) revealed four *Peptostreptococcaceae* (29%, 4/14 species) with no apparent S-layer in whole cell electron micrographs, no 'ghosts' in S-layer electron microscopy (EM) preparations, and no abundant protein bands (Supplementary Fig. 4a), typically observed for SLLPs, in surface protein preparations from S-layer-positive species. In three out of four S-layer-negative species, the identified instances of apparent S-layer absence had scattered distribution across the phylogeny, and no identifiable genomic SLLP homologue sequences, consistent with multiple independent losses of S-layer (Fig. 2d). The existence of multiple S-layer-negative lineages of Bacteria could lend some support to the hypothesis that S-layers have evolved multiple independent times[2,34]. However, the presence of life cycle-specific or environmentally induced S-layers, or S-layer loss in laboratory conditions, cannot be fully excluded. This is apparent in previously described difficulties in the detection of S-layers in some bacteria[43] and, in our dataset, in the single case of *Romboutsia hominis* RFIFI, which contains an SLLP homologue but displays an S-layer-negative morphotype (Fig. 2a and d, and Supplementary Fig. 4). Therefore, currently available data suggests the possibility of independent S-layer losses within *Peptostreptococcaceae*, although these represented the minority of the studied species.

In the majority (71%, 10/14 species) of the studied *Peptostreptococcaceae*, we found evidence of S-layers and SLLPs (Fig. 2d; and Supplementary Fig. 4a). AlphaFold2 structural predictions and Foldseek analyses revealed that six of the identified SLLPs (60%, 6/10 SLLPs) contained surface domains homologous to the α/β-sandwich structural fold (Fig. 2b, c), previously only found in *C. difficile* SLLP (*Cd*SlpA). This type of SLLP, with only two or three surface-exposed subdomains (Fig. 2c), features a distinctively shorter surface$_{DOM}$ than other identified SLLP types and can potentially assemble into a more compact S-layer lattice, as observed in *C. difficile*. Another distinction of this SLLP type is the higher proportion of α-helices within its surface$_{DOM}$[40], as opposed to predominantly β-strand surface$_{DOM}$s of S-layers commonly found across the Tree of Life (Fig. 1b). Four of these shorter SLLPs were found in close relatives of *C. difficile*, including *Asaccharospora irregularis* and *Clostridioides mangenotii*, suggesting that this type of S-layer was present in their last common ancestor. Interestingly, the SLLP from *Peptostreptococcus anaerobius* contained the same fold, and our experiments identified it as PCWBR2 (Supplementary Fig. 4a), a protein implicated in promoting colorectal carcinogenesis[39].

Three other identified *Peptostreptococcaceae* SLLPs (30%, 3/10) contained β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$s, with two from the most basal *Peptostreptococcaceae* outgroup lineages (Fig. 2a-d and Supplementary Fig. 4). Interestingly, while α/β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$ shared enough sequence homology to group weakly within a single protein similarity network, the β-sandwich fold SLLPs grouped within two distinct networks (Supplementary Fig. 4b). The more broadly distributed β-sandwich S-layers were found within the outgroup lineages including *Acetoanaerobium sticklandii* and *Peptoanaerobacter stomatis*. Their broader distribution across the species tree suggested more ancient S-layer acquisition, contrasted by the second type of β-sandwich S-layers, found only in a narrow subsample of closely related *Intestinibacter bartlettii* genome assemblies (Fig. 2e). Inspection of all available *I. bartlettii* genomes revealed a recent SLLP acquisition likely

via transposition, indicated by its co-distribution with an adjacent IS256 gene (Fig. 2e) encoding a
transposase homologue. Furthermore, structural and sequence comparisons of the *I. bartelettii* SLLP
revealed that it has evolved *via* multiple tandem duplications of a single common β-sandwich
Immunoglobulin-like (PFAM ID, PF16403) surface subdomain (Fig. 2f). At this stage, we were able to
sequence-verify SLLP from the single *I. bartelettii* isolate available in culture collections. The significance of
subdomain number variation observed across available *I. bartlettii* genome assemblies remains unclear, as
SLLP-coding sequences are often incomplete and found at the end of a contig. Nevertheless, all six
surface$_{DOM}$ subdomains of the verified sequence shared, on average, 96 % of pairwise nucleotide sequence
identity, consistent with the recent emergence of this β-sandwich SLLP type.

The single SLLP from *Peptoclostridium acidaminophilum* contained the third distinct type of S-layer
surface-forming domain, β-barrel (10%, 1/10 SLLPs). Its similarity to the β-sandwich fold suggests that it
may have evolved either from a shared β-sandwich SLLP ancestor or originated independently from a non-
SLLP β-barrel-containing protein. The β-barrel SLLP contained the largest surface$_{DOM}$ among the identified
Peptrostreptococcaece SLLPs, with an extended 10-subdomain architecture, previously also found in the β-
sandwich S-layer of ammonia-oxidizing marine archaea[21]. The available crystal structures or calculated
SymProFold S-layer lattice models indicate that the β-barrel SLLP can assemble into an hexagonal lattice, in
contrast to the oblique lattice determined in *C. difficile* α/β-sandwich S-layer or the predicted square
symmetry of the *A. sticklandii* β-sandwich S-layer model (Fig. 2c).

By combining bioinformatics and experimental investigations, we uncovered novel types of SLLPs and S-
layers, recent SLLP emergence and S-layer acquisition, and multiple independent SLLP losses in
Peptostreptococcaceae. The revealed structural diversity of *Peptostreptococcaceae* SLLPs, including
multiple distinct S-layer surface-forming folds assembled into multiple distinct S-layer architectures, reflects
what we observed in our analyses across the Tree of Life (Fig. 1). Therefore, the presence of diverse S-layer
architectures utilising different surface domain folds does not only represent distinct features of distantly
related bacterial phyla but can be generated within much shorter evolutionary time frames. The emergence
of a novel SLLP-type *via* tandem subdomain duplication in *I. bartelettii* provides an insight into the
mechanism likely involved in the origins of novel S-layer architectures.

Fig. 2: Identification of new types of S-layer and mechanism of emergence of novel S-layer protein.

a, Representative electron micrographs of uranyl acetate and ruthenium red stained vegetative cells (top panel) of S-layer-positive *C. difficile,* S-layer-negative control of *C. difficile* FM2.5, and *R. hominis* with no apparent S-layer. The coloured regions correspond to S-layer (green), cell wall (yellow), and cell membrane (pink). S-layers (bottom panel) can be stripped from the vegetative cells due to the structural stability of their paracrystalline lattice.

b, Determined crystal structure (*C. difficile)* and AlphaFold2 predicted structural models of the S-layer lattice proteins (SLLPs) identified by mass spectrometry. The experimentally verified *Peptostreptococcaceae* SLLPs belonged to one of the four distinct surface domain (surface_DOM) folds.

c, Surface representations of S-layer models, including top-scoring SymProFold predictions (*A. sticklandii, P. acidaminophilum*), demonstrate that different *Peptostreptococcaceae* SLLP surface_DOMs (coloured) can assemble into distinct lattice architectures on the bacterial cell surface.

d, Species tree mapping of the *Peptostreptococcaceae* experimentally tested for the presence of S-layers and SLLPs. Density profiles next to the electron micrographs of the cell envelopes were colour-coded to indicate the location of the S-layer (green), cell wall (yellow), and cell membrane (pink). The scale bars measure distance (in nm) from the bottom of the micrograph. Species names were coloured based on the structural classification (**b**) of the verified SLLP surface_DOM of *Peptostreptococcaceae* with apparent S-layer or those with no evidence of S-layer (black). Coloured semi-circles represent the distribution of the protein homologues of each SLLP-type identified in 453 *Peptostreptococcaceae* genomes.

e, The presence of the β-sandwich SLLP (pink rectangles) was mapped onto the species tree of *I. bartlettii* isolates (left panel). The recent acquisition of the SLLP (pink circle) is apparent in genomic region alignment (right panel), indicating ancestral insertion of the SLLP (pink) and IS256 transposase (blue) coding genes within a broadly conserved nucleotide metabolism locus.

f, Domain architecture of the *I. bartlettii* SLLPs (top panel) demonstrates a possible variation in the number of the β-sandwich surface_DOM subdomains (SD1-6) among different isolates. All six SD1-6 (bottom panel, left) share high levels of pairwise protein and nucleotide sequence identity (bottom panel, right) consistent with SLLP origin *via* multiple tandem subdomain duplications.

Homologous S-layers can impart different resistance to antimicrobial agents

Although the structural and sequence diversity of S-layers at both wider and narrower taxonomic scales is clear, the conservation of their physiological roles remains elusive. Furthermore, the function of S-layers in many organisms has not been experimentally investigated[2]. S-layers of bacteria such as that of gut pathogen *C. difficile* protect cells from natural antimicrobial agents produced by the host immune system such as lysozyme or human cathelicidin peptide LL-37[10]. However, it is not known if this is also true for other S-layers we identified across *Peptostreptococcaceae*.

Our initial experimental investigations demonstrated that *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layers display variable susceptibility to extraction using different chemical methods (Fig. 3a), indicating that at least some of the properties of the outermost cell envelope layer of these bacteria are not conserved. Surprisingly, this variation was not only observed for the S-layers made of different types of SLLPs but also across homologous S-layers formed by the α/β-sandwich SLLPs, including that of *C. difficile* (Fig. 3a). Protein similarity network analyses (Fig. 3b) and manual inspection of multiple sequence alignments revealed extensive sequence divergence within this SLLP-fold. This is particularly apparent in the case of S-layer surface$_{DOM}$s from different isolates of *C. mangenotii* (LM2 and DMS29133), which are not directly connected with each other or with sequences from their closest relatives (*C. difficile* and *A. irregularis*) within the network (Fig. 3b).

Structural comparisons of the experimentally verified α/β-sandwich SLLPs (Fig. 3c) and homologous S-layer-associated proteins Cwp2 and Cwp8, revealed that, despite their extensive sequence variation, the overall fold architecture remains conserved. The general α/β-sandwich SLLP surface$_{DOM}$ consists of three subdomains, equivalent to *C. difficile* SlpA D1, D2 and ID[40] and S-layer associated Cwp8 D1, D2, D3[44], which are connected via flexible linkers, providing further structural variability as rotation of the relative orientation of the subdomains leads to varying surfaces (Fig. 3b,c). The AlphaFold3[45] predictions for the experimentally verified SLLPs fold with three α/β-sandwich subdomains follows the topology seen in *Cdl*SlpA, where D1 leads to the loop-rich D2. Insertion of a β-strand into D1 then links to the third subdomain (ID), which connects to the CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$. The most structurally conserved subdomain in surface$_{DOM}$ is D1, with an average RMSD of 1.7 Å across all SLLPs, while the least conserved is D2 (average RMSD 3.7 Å). The most distinct modification to the general α/β-sandwich architecture was observed in *A. irregularis* DSM2635 where an additional, elongated α-helical region (~80 Å long) has been acquired and inserted within D2 (Fig. 3c). These additional helices likely correspond to the protrusions extending out of the lattice towards the extracellular environment observed in the cryo-electron micrographs of the *A. irregularis* S-layer preparations (Fig. 3b). Importantly, acquisition of novel types of surface$_{DOM}$ subdomains provides another mechanism of S-layer lattice evolution, alongside variation in the number of subdomains as identified in *I. bartlettii* (Fig. 2f).

Next, we tested if S-layer dependent resistance to innate immune effectors observed in *C. difficile* is conserved in other *Peptostreptococcaceae* containing α/β-sandwich S-layers. Physiological growth tests in the presence of lysozyme and LL-37 revealed variable resistance to these natural antimicrobials (Fig. 3d). Consistent with previous investigation[10], S-layer-positive *C. difficile* R20291, but not S-layer-negative *C. difficile* FM2.5, displayed resistance against LL-37 and lysozyme. Interestingly, similar resistance was also observed in cancer-promoting pathogen[39] *P. anaerobius* but not in any of the other α/β-sandwich S-layer *Peptostreptococcaceae*, including closest *C. difficile* relatives *A. irregularis* and *C. mangenotii* (Fig. 3d). The presented data is consistent with resistance to these antimicrobials representing specific and potentially independent adaptations of *C. difficile* and *P. anaerobius* cell envelopes to the gut environment.

To further test the variable resistance of α/β-sandwich S-layers to antimicrobial agents, and to experimentally verify that the identified SLLPs are essential for S-layer formation, we performed CRISPRi gene knock-down experiments in *C. difficile* (Fig. 3e) and *R. lituseburensis* (Fig. 3f), two more distantly

related *Peptostreptococcaceae* species but with SLLPs sharing a close structural relationship, according to Dali analyses (Fig. 3c). Consistent with the experiments in the wild type-strains (Fig. 3d), knock-down of the SLLP gene abolishes *C. difficile* resistance to lysozyme and LL-37 (Fig. 3e), as opposed to *R. lituseburensis*, where the presence of SLLP provided no apparent protection against either (Fig. 3f)*.*

Previous experiments have also demonstrated that the presence of S-layer plays a role in *C. difficile* sporulation[10]. In our experiments, CRISPRi S-layer knock-down strains of *C. difficile* and *R. lituseburensis* exhibited sporulation deficiencies in the tested conditions (Figure 3e, f, Supplementary Table 1), suggesting that S-layer integrity plays a conserved role necessary for sporulation of these two α/β-sandwich S-layer containing *Peptostreptococcaceae*. In addition, both mutants displayed a propensity to aggregation in liquid culture, highlighting the conserved role of their S-layers in its prevention.

In summary, despite their structural conservation, homologous α/β-sandwich S-layers from closely related species display a high degree of biochemical and sequence diversity and differ in their contribution to the protection of the cells they surround against natural antimicrobials lysozyme and LL-37. Despite these differences, they also play conserved roles in cellular processes such as preventing cell aggregation and sporulation, important in bacterial survival and infection. Therefore, not all phenotypic effects associated with the presence of homologous S-layers are conserved. Certain functional features could reflect specific ecological niches, such as the gut environment for *C. difficile* and *P. anaerobius*, while more general life cycle adaptations like sporulation and cell-cell interactions might be under broader selective pressure.

Fig. 3: Variable biochemical and physiological properties within a single structural type of S-layer.

a, SDS-polyacrylamide gels of *Peptostreptococcaceae* low pH glycine, urea, and SDS S-layer preparations. The α/β-sandwich S-layers (orange) displayed contrasting sensitivity to extraction in SDS and glycine. β-sandwich S-layers (pink or purple) varied when extracted in glycine and urea. Trichloroacetic acid (TCA) precipitation determined the SLLP presence in the medium. Molecular weight ladder (L).

b, Cryo-EM micrographs and Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) diffraction patterns of the α/β-sandwich S-layers (top panel). Additional protrusions were apparent at the extracellular face of *A. irregularis* S-layer (black arrowheads). Protein sequence similarity network (bottom panel) of the α/β-sandwich SLLP surface$_{DOM}$s homologues (orange circles) including S-layer-associated Cwp2 and Cwp8 from the available *Peptostreptococcaceae* genomes. Highly divergent sequences of the verified S-layer surface$_{DOM}$s (red circles) formed three distinct groups (dashed outlines).

c, The Alphafold3 structural models of identified *Peptostreptococcaceae* α/β-sandwich SLLPs and Cwp2/8 were mapped onto their Dali surface$_{DOM}$s structural comparison dendrogram. Two additional α-helices of *A. irregularis* (dashed rectangle), likely correspond to the S-layer extracellular protrusions (**b**). Heatmap matrices for RMSD values across subdomains D1, D2, ID and anchor$_{DOM}$ show the highest structural divergence within the D2 (average RMSD 3.7 Å). Conversely, the anchor$_{DOM}$ CWB2 fold is highly conserved (average RMSD 1.2 Å).

d, Broth-based killing assay in the presence of immune effectors (Lysozyme, orange; LL-37, blue) relative to the non-treated control (black). Resistance to growth impairment and cell death was observed only for two pathogenic S-layer-positive species (*C. difficile* and *P. anaerobius*).

e, f, *C. difficile* CRISPRi SlpA knock-down (**e**) resulted in the sensitivity to both antimicrobial agents in broth-based killing assay (as in **d**), as observed in the S-layer-negative strain FM2.5 (**d**), consistent with S-layer protecting against the host's innate immunity. The S-layer knock-down in *R. lituseburensis* (**f**) did not change its natural sensitivity (**d**) to lysozyme and LL-37, yet it impacted sporulation in plate-based assay for both species.

The gene knock-down was induced with xylose (+) relative to negative plasmid controls (control). CRISPRi SLLP gene knock-downs were validated with RT-qPCR (left panel), SDS-polyacrylamide gels (middle panel) and electron microscopy (right panel). All experiments were performed in biological triplicate, with a standard error of the mean and statistical significance (****, P<0.0001) shown.

S-layer anchoring domains belong to a broader system of swappable cell attachment modules

The observed diversity of *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layer surface-forming domains results in multiple S-layer architectures (Fig. 2b-d) with distinct biochemical properties (Fig. 3a) and variable physiological functions (Fig. 3d-f). In addition to surface$_{DOM}$s, SLLPs also contain various anchoring domains that attach the S-layer to the cell. Our analysis across the Tree of Life demonstrated that anchor$_{DOM}$s are not unique to SLLPs, can be found in multiple other proteins encoded in bacterial genomes, and that alternative anchor$_{DOM}$s can be swapped during cell-anchored protein evolution (Supplementary Fig. 3). In pathogenic Firmicutes, S-layers utilise at least two different cell-wall anchoring domains, CWB2 in *C. difficile* and SLH in *B. anthracis*, binding to different secondary cell wall polymers (SCWPs)[6,46,47]. The capacity to utilise swappable cell wall anchors could enable attachment of S-layers to different cell wall components, enabling lateral transfer of S-layers between bacteria utilising different cell wall architectures and facilitating co-evolution of different cell envelope layers. Therefore, we investigated if the *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layers utilise variable cell anchoring mechanisms and if their anchor$_{DOM}$s evolve as part of the wider cell surface proteome.

The four types of SLLPs we identified in *Peptostreptococcaceae* (Fig. 2b,c) utilise four distinct cell-anchoring domains (Fig. 4a and Supplementary Fig. 5a,b). All the SLLPs with α/β-sandwich surface $_{DOM}$s, including *C. difficile* SlpA, contained CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$, while the single β-barrel SLLP contained the SLH anchor$_{DOM}$ (Fig. 3a), also found in β-sandwich surface $_{DOM}$-containing S-layers of *Bacillaceae,* including pathogenic *B. anthracis* (Fig. 1b). However, *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layers with β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$s contained one of two distinct anchors: SH3 or Cu-oxidase-like N-terminal domain[48-50] (Cu-OX, Fig. 3a). This demonstrated that, within the single bacterial family of *Peptostreptococcaceae,* a variety of anchor$_{DOM}$s are utilised by different S-layer lattice-forming surface$_{DOM}$s, reminiscent of the patterns observed across the Tree of Life (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 1a).

The utilisation of the four distinct cell wall anchoring domains in *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layers, including those sharing similar structural folds (Fig. 4a), suggested that these domains may represent swappable modules. Our analyses revealed multiple independent swaps, gains, and/or losses of the entire SLH/CWB2 cell wall anchoring systems within *Peptostreptococcaceae* (Fig. 4c), consistent with the patterns we observed within the broader sampling of Firmicutes (Supplementary Fig. 5). These changes usually affect multiple proteins, as SLH and CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$ are found on average in 12.5 and 9.8 proteins per anchor$_{DOM}$-containing Firmicute genome, respectively (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Based on protein similarity network analyses, the identified anchoring domain swaps were often observed with retention of the attached functional domain homology (Fig. 4e,f, and Supplementary Fig. 3a-d), suggesting that anchoring system swap does not necessarily reflect changes in the surface proteome functionality. Although at least some of the inferred swaps involved rearrangement of entire genomic regions encoding multiple surface proteins (Supplementary Fig. 6), individual surface proteins are often scattered across the chromosome (Supplementary Fig. 5c). Phylogenetic, structural, and sequence homology analyses are consistent with multiple independent anchor replacements among closely related proteins (Supplementary Fig. 6) indicating that, in addition to the swaps of gene cassettes encoding multiple surface proteins, surface proteomes evolve by replacing anchor$_{DOM}$s in individual proteins. Multiple anchor$_{DOM}$ swaps were also found in species with no evidence of S-layer (Supplementary Fig. 6). Therefore, anchoring domain variation is not specific to SLLPs and S-layers, but it rather reflects a more general trend affecting entire cell wall-anchored protein repertoires.

Neither CWB2 nor SLH systems were found in any of the analysed *Terrisporobacter/Intestinibacter* genomes. Instead, they contained an expanded repertoire of SH3 domain-containing proteins compared to other *Peptostreptococcaceae* (Fig. 4c), and other Firmicutes (Supplementary Fig. 5a), suggesting CWB2/SLH-loss and SH3-expansion represents their lineage-specific adaptation. Of the two species we studied

experimentally, *Terrisporobacter glycolicus* and *Intestinibacter bartlettii,* only *I. bartlettii* exhibited evidence of S-layer presence (Fig. 2d, and Supplementary Fig. 4) and its SLLP contained the SH3 anchoring domain (Fig. 4a). Although the presence of SH3 domains is conserved across all *Terrisporobacter/Intestinibacter*, the SH3-anchored β-sandwich SLLP homologues were specific to a distinct group of *I. bartlettii* isolates (Fig. 2e) suggesting that this novel S-layer has evolved subsequently to the major changes to the cell envelope architecture in the *Terrisporobacter/Intestinibacter* ancestor.

For the anchoring domains to perform their function in the attachment of S-layers and other surface proteins to the cell, specific secondary cell wall polymers (SCWP) need to be synthesised and incorporated into the cell wall[51]. In protein similarity network analyses of all proteins encoded in the 117 *Romboutsia*- and *Paeniclostridium*-genomes which have undergone multiple anchoring system swaps/gains/losses, we identified only a single protein without anchor enriched in CWB2-positive or SLH-positive species (Fig. 4d). The CWB2 system-associated protein was a homologue of a polysaccharide synthase (CD2777) involved in the synthesis of SCWP for CWB2 anchoring in *C. difficile*[47]. The SLH system-associated protein was a homologue of TagA, involved in SLH-specific SCWP biosynthesis in Firmicutes, including *B. anthracis*[52] and *Paenibacillus alvei*[51]. Therefore, enzymes required for the biosynthesis of SCWP anchors co-distribute with their cognate anchoring domains. The presence of these secondary cell wall polymer biosynthetic enzymes was also detected in organisms where both SLH and CWB2 proteins were encoded in the same genome (Fig. 4d). However, in those cases, the inferred SCWP type reflected that of the more abundant anchoring domain, while the second domain was found in only a few proteins (Fig. 4d), suggesting residual presence from incomplete anchoring domain swap, an indication that this can be a stepwise process. For S-layers to assemble on the cell surface, in addition to the presence of specific cell surface anchors, a protein secretion system is needed to transport SLLP monomers from the cytosol across the cell membrane. An SLLP-specific SecA2 secretion system is utilised in the assembly of α/β-sandwich *C. difficile* S-layer[53]. However, our analyses revealed that neither of the *Peptostreptococcaceae* genomes encoding SH3- or CuOX-anchored β-sandwich SLLPs encoded any identifiable orthologues of SecA2. This suggests that, in *Peptostreptococcaceae*, SecA2 is associated predominantly with α/β-sandwich CWB2-anchored S-layers and some homologues of β-barrel SLH-anchored SLLPs*,* and that β-sandwich SH3- and CuOX-anchored SLLPs can utilise a more broadly distributed canonical SecA or a not yet identified alternative secretion system. Therefore, S-layers within a single bacterial family are not only assembled from different building blocks but also utilise different mechanisms for their assembly and the evolution of S-layer needs to be coordinated with associated cell wall modification and protein secretion machineries.

Together, our analyses revealed that S-layer anchor$_{DOM}$s are part of broader cell wall anchoring systems shaped by modular evolution. Entire systems and anchor$_{DOM}$ of individual proteins were swapped, acquired, or lost on multiple independent occasions, resulting in a mosaic of different anchors and functional domains often found in the genomes of closely related species. Homologues of enzymes known to be involved in the biosynthesis of CWB2- and SLH-specific secondary cell wall polymer anchors are commonly swapped alongside the SLH/CWB2 anchoring systems. This indicates that, at least in *Peptostreptococcaceae*, evolution of S-layer anchoring mechanisms is coordinated with that of cell wall chemistry. The capacity for utilisation of pre-existing swappable anchor$_{DOM}$ modules can also provide an explanation for the diversity of anchors observed in S-layers sampled from across the Tree of Life, including those utilising the same surface$_{DOM}$-fold (Fig. 1b).

Fig. 4: Modular architecture and evolution of the S-layer and other cell envelope systems.

a, The four experimentally identified *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layer surface forming fold-types (Fig. 2b) are anchored to the cell using four distinct anchoring domains (orange, CWB2; cyan, SLH; magenta, CuOX; pink, SH3).

b, Surface representations of S-layer lattice models (as in Fig. 2c) with anchor$_{DOM}$s coloured as in **a**.

c, Species tree mapping of the total number (yellow-blue heatmap) of cell wall anchored proteins identified in genomes of 453 *Peptostreptococcaceae*. SH3 anchoring is more broadly conserved, while relatively less common CWB2 and SLH systems are interchangeable and were lost (red circles) or swapped (dashed rectangles) multiple independent times. The more general SecA1 (green) secretion system is present in all lineages, whereas SecA2 (red) with an SLLP-specific role in in *C. difficile*, is found primarily with CWB2-anchored S-layers (SLLP type).

d, Numbers of CWB2 and SLH anchor-containing proteins (yellow-blue heatmaps), and the presence of the secondary cell wall polymer (SCWP) biosynthesis enzymes specific to SLH (cyan) or CWB2 (orange) were mapped onto the *Romboutsia/Paraclostridium/Paeniclostridium* species tree. Enzymes involved in the biosynthesis of SLH- and CWB2-specific SCWPs co-distribute with their respective anchor$_{DOM}$s and are missing only in the genomes containing a low number of anchored proteins (dashed arrows). Multiple independent SLH/CWB2 system swaps (red arrows) and possible complete (red circles) and incomplete (purple circles) system losses (dashed arrows) are apparent.

e, AlphaFold2 structure predictions of the *R. lituseburensis* homologue of *B. anthracis* SLH-anchored S-layer protein EA1 contains a CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$ consistent with anchoring domain swap and lateral gene transfer between *Peptostreptococcaceae* and *Bacillaceae*.

f, AlphaFold2 structure prediction of individual non-SLLP cell wall-anchored proteins such calcineurin-like phosphoesterase (CLP) and peptidoglycan hydrolases (endo-β-*N*-acetylglucosamidase, ENGase; and glycosyl hydrolases, GHL), with swapped SLH/CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$s.

Extreme divergence, LGT, and recombination shape diversity of homologous S-layers

The observed diversity of S-layer cell anchoring mechanisms and variation in the number and type of individual subdomains within a single surface$_{DOM}$ structural fold can be explained by modular evolution. However, at this point, it is unclear what mechanisms shape the structural and functional diversity of homologous S-layers utilising the same anchor$_{DOM}$- and surface$_{DOM}$-type. The extremely high level of sequence diversity of homologous S-layer surface-forming domains such as those of α/β-sandwich fold-type (Fig. 3b), complicates evolutionary analyses across the broader taxonomic scales. To circumvent this difficulty, and to better understand the mechanisms of the SLLP-surface$_{DOM}$ evolution, we leveraged the established model of *C. difficile,* an important human pathogen where the S-layer provides a selective advantage within the host during infection[30].

Our bioinformatic analyses of available *C. difficile* SLLP (*Cd*SlpA) sequences (Fig. 5a) using Duplication-Transfer-Loss reconciliation identified signatures of at least 15 unambiguous recent LGT events (Fig. 5b), consistent with an active process, likely involved in immune evasion[54,55]. Of all 18,035 non-atypical *C. difficile* genome assemblies available in NCBI, 99.7% contained *Cd*SlpA coding genes. This suggests selection pressure for retention of a single copy of an *slpA* gene, in contrast to *Bacillus anthracis* with its two SLLPs[6], for example. Recombination analyses provided strong evidence for at least a single recombination event between parental SlpA$_{SLCT4}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT6}$, resulting in a recombinant divergent SlpA$_{SLCT4}$ (Fig. 5c). Thus, recombination not only plays a part in exchanging different SLCT cassettes between different genome types but can also contribute to generating the diversity observed within a single species, such as among *Cd*SlpAs.

To investigate the level of SlpA divergence relative to other protein families encoded within *C. difficile* genomes, we identified 2,950 orthogroups containing a single copy orthologue in at least 90% (SCO90) of 265 non-redundant representative *C. difficile* genome assemblies. Within this dataset, SlpA was the least conserved of all identified SCO90s (48.1% average sequence identity, Fig. 5d). Thus, *C. difficile* SlpA represents the most rapidly evolving of all identifiable single-copy orthologue proteins found across all analysed *C. difficile* genomes. It is therefore likely that the high level of SlpA divergence reflects an adaptation of *C. difficile* to selective pressures inside the mammalian gut[30]. This hypothesis is further supported by the observation that another major cell surface antigen, S-layer-associated protein Cwp66, previously implicated in adhesion[56,57] and survival in the human gut[57], is the second least conserved SCO90 (64.3 % average sequence identity, Fig. 5d). The fourth least conserved SCO90 is a homologue of the cell wall teichoic acid glycosylation protein GtrA[7]. *Listeria monocytogenes* homologue (GtcA) plays a role in cell wall glycosylation[58,59], likely as a flippase[60], and the *B. anthracis* homologue is involved in the biosynthesis of SCWPs essential for S-layer surface attachment[59]. The sixth SCO90 protein is SecA2, the secondary secretion system ATPase required for specific translocation of *Cd*SlpA[53], which co-distributes with CWB2-anchored SLLPs in *Peptostreptococcaceae* (Fig. 4c)*.

It is not clear if both LGT and high levels of sequence divergence can also contribute to the diversity of SLLPs in other bacterial lineages. Our bioinformatic analysis of *Bacillus* genomes revealed patterns consistent with both mechanisms in closely related *B. cereus/anthracis* isolates (Supplementary Fig. 7a-d), suggesting that these mechanisms are not limited to *C. difficile* SlpA and may be more common in other S-layer containing species. Interestingly, SAP/EA1 homologues were identified within the *B. cereus/anthracis*-group and not in their closest relatives from SAP/EA1-negative *B. cereus*-lineage (Supplementary Fig. 7d), suggesting differences in their cell envelope architectures. It is important to note that extreme levels of sequence divergence such as those observed in *Cd*SlpA (Fig. 5d) could mask more ancient LGTs, leading to underestimation of S-layer protein exchange between more distantly related taxa. In our analysis, we identified a single clear example of a β-sandwich EA1 surface-forming domain homologue being shared *via* LGT between *Bacillus* and *Peptostreptococcaceae* (Supplementary Fig. 7f,g). As *Peptostreptococcaceae* EA1 contained CWB2 and not SLH anchoring domains, it provided the first clear example of anchoring domain

swap in SLLP homologues. We were able to experimentally verify the presence of an EA1 homologue in *C.*
mangenotii LM2 surface protein preparations, where it constituted a minor S-layer component, alongside
its dominant CWB2-α/β-sandwich SLLP (Supplementary Fig. 7h,i).
Together, our data demonstrate that the observed diversity of S-layers utilising the same anchor$_{DOM}$-
and surface$_{DOM}$-types can be generated through extreme sequence divergence, homologous recombination,
and LGT between close and more distant relatives. Our analyses also revealed patterns of accelerated
evolution in S-layer-associated proteins and proteins involved in S-layer assembly.
503

Fig. 5: Evolutionary mechanisms shaping the diversity of S-layers across clinical isolates of a pathogen.

a, Phylogeny of SlpA SLLP colour-coded based on the clade-membership of its source *C. difficile* genome assembly (tree tip colours).
Diverse SlpA sequences belong to multiple distinct S-layer cassette types (SlpA$_{SLCT1-11}$).
b, The 14 unambiguous LGT events (coloured lines), identified using Duplication-Transfer-Loss reconciliation analysis, were mapped
onto the core genome species tree of 866 *C. difficile* isolates including all six clades (clades 1-5 and C) and coloured based on the
SlpA-type membership.
c, An unambiguous recombination event (red and blue arrows) between SLCT4 and SLCT6 identified in recombination detection
program RDP4 analyses was mapped onto a SlpA$_{SLCT4}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT6}$ protein phylogeny (left) and onto AlphaFold2 structure models
(right) of the two inferred parent proteins (blue or red) and the recombinant sequence (red/blue).
d, Violin plot represents the distribution of mean pairwise sequence identity within 2,950 orthogroups containing a single copy
orthologue (SCO) in at least 90 % (240 of 267) analysed representative non-redundant *C. difficile* genome assemblies. Scale bars
represent the number of substitutions per site.
e, Representation of the SLLP-formed lattice derived from crystal packing of the three determined SlpA structures. The three SlpAs
arrange in different lattice topologies, represented here at different tilt angles: extracellular (left) and cell wall-facing orientations
(middle). Distances measured between neighbouring anchor$_{DOM}$s (right, white lines) show that anchor$_{DOM}$s are packed more closely
in SlpA$_{SLCT2}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT7}$ lattices and are more separated in the SlpA$_{SLCT11}$ lattice.
f, Mapping of negatively (blue) and positively (red) selected residues onto a side view of the S-layer lattice shown in surface
representation for each SlpA$_{SLCT}$ (surface$_{DOM}$ – white, anchor$_{DOM}$ – orange, left). The three lattices differ in thickness reflecting the
differences in structural organisation of the SlpA monomers. Despite their extreme sequence divergence (**d**) and structural
differences (**e**), all analysed S-layers display conserved patterns of differentially distributed sites under adaptive evolution. While
negatively selected residues are found in surface$_{DOM}$ and anchor$_{DOM}$ and on all SlpA surfaces, the sites under positive selection are
exclusively found on the environment-exposed extracellular surface of the surface$_{DOM}$. Broth-based killing assay (right) of different
SlpA$_{SLCT}$-carrying strains in the presence of lysozyme (orange) and LL-37 (blue) relative to the non-treated control (black) confirms
conserved resistance to these innate immune effectors.

Extensive S-layer rearrangements and positive selection across clinical isolates of a pathogen

Over the broad phylogenetic scales, S-layer building blocks from the same structural family can assemble into diverse cell surface lattice architectures (Fig. 1b). To better understand the structural effects of the extreme SLLP sequence variation at the narrowest phylogenetic scales (Fig. 5d), we determined the crystal structure of *C. difficile* SlpA$_{SLCT11}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT2}$, representing distinct phylogenetic SlpA-types from multiple clinical isolates of a single species (Fig. 5a), and compared them to that of the previously studied SlpA$_{SLCT7}$[40].

All tested SlpA homologues are proteolytically processed following secretion, resulting in two separate peptides: low molecular weight surface layer protein (LMW SLP or SLP$_L$), containing the S-layer surface-forming domain, and high molecular weight (HMW SLP or SLP$_H$), containing the CWB2 anchoring domain. Regardless of SLCT membership, the overall topology of *Cd*SlpA structures (Supplementary Fig. 8a) is maintained and consists of a triangular prism arrangement of the CWB2 anchoring domain, an interacting domain (ID) linking anchor$_{DOM}$ and surface$_{DOM}$, followed by one or two domains (D1-only, or D1/D2) of surface$_{DOM}$, protruding the plane of the array[40]. The structure of the anchor$_{DOM}$ is highly conserved among all determined *Cd*SlpA structures, with core root mean square deviation (RMSD) of ~1 Å (Supplementary Fig. 8a). This is consistent with the higher degree of anchor$_{DOM}$ sequence conservation relative to that of surface$_{DOM}$ in SLLPs from other organisms[2] and apparent in the analyses across the Tree of Life presented here (Supplementary Fig. 1-3). Within the more conserved *C. difficile* CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$, the highest degree of structure variability was observed in loops projecting from the anchor$_{DOM}$ plane towards the extracellular face of the S-layer in SlpA$_{SLCT11}$ or the surface$_{DOM}$/anchor$_{DOM}$ interspace in SlpA$_{SLCT2}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT7}$ (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Consistent with the more general pattern observed across the Bacterial Tree of Life (Supplementary Fig. 1 and 2), the most prominent differences across the SlpA structures were observed within their environment exposed S-layer surface-forming domain (Fig. 5e-f, Supplementary Fig. 8). Unlike the SlpA$_{SLCT7}$ and SlpA$_{SLCT2}$, which share a 2-domain (D1/D2) arrangement, SlpA$_{SLCT11}$ lacks the entire D2 domain, which accounts for over 20% of total solvent accessible area of the S-layer unit, resulting in a smoother (Fig. 5e) and thinner array (Fig. 5f). The stark contrast between the surface$_{DOM}$s of SlpA$_{SLCT2}$, SlpA$_{SLCT7}$, and SlpA$_{SLCT11}$ provided evidence of how the entire fold that determines S-layer architecture can be reshaped within the relatively short phylogenetic timescales of emergence of different isolates of the same species. The *Cd*SlpA surface$_{DOM}$ amino acid sequence regions align poorly with each other, further demonstrating their extreme sequence divergence (Fig. 3b and 5d) and providing a likely explanation for difficulties in identifying homologous SLLPs from more distantly related species. Interestingly, surface$_{DOM}$-anchor$_{DOM}$ interactions form the majority of the lattice interfaces in all studied *Cd*SlpAs (Supplementary Fig. 9), suggesting that the main role of the surface$_{DOM}$ may be as a crystallisation enhancer module which forms an S-layer lattice only in conjunction with the more common CWB2 anchoring domain. This mode of S-layer lattice assembly, involving both the anchoring and surface domains, could explain the shorter surface$_{DOM}$ in *Cd*SlpA relative to those identified in other *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layer-types (Fig. 2c) and possibly the lack of any identifiable anchor$_{DOM}$ swaps involving α/β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$.

Despite the observed differences in their lattice architecture, all the studied *C. difficile* isolates utilising different S-layer variants displayed conserved resistance to natural antimicrobial agents LL-37 and lysozyme produced by the human immune system (Fig. 5f). This demonstrates that considerable structural changes of lattice can evolve without compromising the physiological functions of the S-layer. It also raises important questions about the reasons behind the apparent sensitivity to the antimicrobials observed in other *Peptostreptococcaceae* utilising homologous S-layers (Fig 3d-f).

Finally, it remained unclear if the observed structural variation in *Cd*SlpAs is mainly shaped by genetic drift and recombination or if any of the sites are under positive selection. To test this, we analysed non-redundant nucleotide sequences encoding SlpA identified in all 18,035 analysed *C. difficile* genomes. All

*Cd*SlpA types displayed patterns of positive selection and all identified sites under positive selection were
within the surface$_{DOM}$ and were surface exposed (Fig. 5f and Supplementary Fig. 10). This pattern was
contrasted by the negatively selected residues found within surface$_{DOM}$ and anchor$_{DOM}$, and at all lattice-
forming faces of the S-layer array (Fig. 5f). This is consistent with previous analyses indicating that surface
antigens of pathogenic bacteria and viruses, and especially their exposed epitopes, are often under positive
selection[61,62].

The positive selection patterns detected only at the S-layer face exposed to the extracellular
environment are consistent with its proposed role in phage and immune evasion. Extreme sequence and
structural variation can facilitate the pathogen's arms race with human adaptive immunity without
compromising its conserved role in protection against lysozyme and LL-37, two components of the innate
immune response. This model is consistent with the recently described capacity to generate monoclonal
antibodies selective towards different SlpA variants[63].

In summary, the evolution of a single S-layer-forming protein can have a dramatic impact on the
architecture of the bacterial cell surface at the narrowest phylogenetic scales which, in pathogens such as
C. difficile, contributes to the protection against the human immune system.

591
592

593   **Discussion**

As opposed to the archetypical elements of bacterial cell envelope architecture - lipid cell membrane
and peptidoglycan cell wall - the main building block of a specific S-layer lattice is commonly encoded by a
single gene and therefore can provide a substantial level of evolutionary plasticity to the microbial cell
surface. This plasticity is of particular significance in bacterial pathogens such as *B. anthracis* or *C. difficile*,
where the S-layer plays a role in disease[10,16,30,32].

Our bioinformatic analyses demonstrate that the observed diversity of *C. difficile* S-layers is shaped by
lateral gene transfer, homologous recombination, adaptive evolution, and extreme sequence divergence.
Similar mechanisms can also generate the diversity of SLLP folds, and S-layer crystal lattice architectures
across the bacterial Tree of Life (Fig. 1 and references[34,35]), as we observed in wider sampling of
Peptostreptococcaceae and *Bacillus*. Comparative analyses of the two crystal structures of *Cd*SlpA
homologues experimentally determined in this study show that extreme surface$_{DOM}$ sequence divergence
can result in significant changes to the S-layer architecture including lattice thickness, anchor$_{DOM}$ packing,
and extensive rearrangements of its environment-exposed face. The observed architectural variation of *C.*
difficile S-layers does not perturb its physiological functions in spore formation and resistance against
effectors of the human innate immunity such as lysozyme and LL-37.

In the case of pathogenic bacteria, the extensive plasticity of their environment exposed surfaces may
reflect an arms race with external threats to the pathogen's survival such as bacteriophages[18,19] and human
adaptive immunity[64]. Since the S-layer is an assembly of multiple copies of *Cd*SlpA, a single mutation of an
environment-exposed positively selected residue can correspond to changes in up to an estimated 0.1 - 1%
of the entire solvent accessible outer surface of *C. difficile* SlpA crystal lattice (Supplementary Fig. 9d). The
observed structural plasticity, particularly at the more externally exposed domains (e.g. sites under positive
selection), shows how a single mutation can affect a significant proportion of the host-pathogen interface.
This includes altering potential surface epitopes, and therefore contribute to immune evasion, as *Cd*SlpA
has been directly implicated in interactions with the host and eliciting immune response[64]. Understanding
the evolutionary mechanisms shaping *Cd*SlpA sequence diversity and the resulting structural plasticity of
the S-layer lattice is important if we are to therapeutically target the S-layer[10,19,33]. To avoid mutation
and/or recombination-driven resistance mechanisms arising against any drugs designed to disrupt the S-
layer, we need to consider the short-time scale evolution processes identified here. Furthermore, the

structural landscape of S-layers needs to be considered as recent immunological studies identified that
high-affinity anti-LMW monoclonal antibodies binding distinct epitopes of a single SlpA have different
effects on *C. difficile*'s growth, toxin secretion, and biofilm formation[33].
The high level of S-layer diversity is not limited to clinical isolates of *C. difficile* and was observed in
different strains of *Bacillus stearothermophilus*[65] and on a broader taxonomic scale in divergent SLLP
sequences found across multiple species of *Bacillus* and *Lactobacillus*[66]. At an even broader phylogenetic
scale, among fourteen tested representatives of a single family of Bacteria, *Peptostreptococcaceae*, we
detected and experimentally verified nine new SLLPs with one novel surface$_{DOM}$ fold-type (β-barrel, CATH
2.4) and two anchoring domains (Cu-OX and SH3), not observed in any of the previously characterised
SLLPs. Our results demonstrate that the diversity of S-layers in *Peptostreptococaceae* is shaped by modular
evolution[67,68]. This is apparent when the mosaic of different genes, protein folds, secretion systems, and
surface anchoring systems, consisting of different anchoring domains and different chemical pathways
generating different secondary cell wall polymer modules, are mapped onto their species tree (Fig. 6a).
However, this modularity is not restricted to a single mode of evolution but rather encompasses multiple
'complexity' levels. At the genome level, entire multigene S-layer cassettes can be swapped *via* LGT and
recombination, and alternative multicomponent anchoring systems (e.g. CWB2 and SLH), including the
surface-anchor biosynthesis machinery and its cognate anchoring domains of multiple proteins, can be
replaced. At the individual protein level, alternative anchoring domain types can be swapped or gained,
enabling otherwise homologous proteins to utilise one of the multiple cell-anchoring systems that coexist
within a single bacterial genome (e.g. choline-binding and CWB2). Similar patterns were observed at the
subdomain level in lattice-forming surface$_{DOM}$s, as demonstrated by variation in the number of β-sandwich
(sub)domains within Ig-like arrays[69], the most common fold of known SLLP architectures, acquisition of a
novel α-helical surface subdomain in α/β-sandwich S-layer of *A. irregularis*, or as the capacity to lose the
entire D2 subdomain in the α/β-sandwich fold of *C. difficile* SlpA$_{SLCT11}$. In addition, the reorganisation of
subdomains may also play roles in the incorporation of divergent S-layer proteins into an existing lattice
such as that proposed for the minor S-layer component SlpX in *Lactobacillus*[70] or anchor-swapped EA1
homologue in *C. mangenotii.* At the cell biology level, the entire S-layer can be considered as a cell
envelope module which can be swapped, remodelled, or lost. This multilevel modular architecture provides
a flexible framework for various lineage- and species-specific cell surface adaptations (Fig. 6b). In
Romboutsia, CWB2 and SLH secondary cell wall polymers were swapped, gained, or lost multiple
independent times, often as part of major genome rearrangements leading to multiple inferred S-layer
losses. In *Clostridioides/Asaccharospora*, a single core CWB2-anchored α/β-sandwich S-layer scaffold is
retained, and the variation of the cell envelope is mostly due to the modification to the pre-existing lattice
or addition of S-layer associated proteins. In *Terrisporobacter/Intestinibacter*, major ancestral changes to
the cell wall chemistry have likely caused a loss or swap of the ancestral S-layer and driven the emergence
of a novel SH3-anchored β-sandwich SLLP.

Fig. 6: Multiple evolutionary pathways to S-layer exoskeleton gain, loss and diversification in a single family of Bacteria.

a, An array representing presence (solid shapes) or absence (empty shapes) of cell wall anchoring domains found in at least a single protein per genome (rectangles), enzymes involved in biosynthesis of SLH- or CWB2-specific SCWP cell anchors (triangle), SecA protein secretion systems, including SecA2 involved in S-layer assembly in *C. difficile* (pentagons), experimentally verified presence of S-layer (grey circles), and anchor$_{DOM}$-types (coloured circles) and surface$_{DOM}$ folds (rounded rectangles) of experimentally identified SLLPs mapped onto a species tree of *Peptostreptococcaceae*. The scattered distribution of a mosaic of different cell envelope components including systems involved in S-layer assembly and anchoring to the cell is consistent with their diversity being shaped by modular evolution.

b, Three distinct models of S-layer evolution based on the results presented in this study demonstrate that the observed diversity of S-layers is generated by multiple mechanisms underlying the extreme evolutionary plasticity of this major component of bacterial cell architecture: (i) structural and functional diversification of the conserved α/β-sandwich CWB2-anchored S-layers in *Clostridioides-Asaccharospora* lineage (top panel); (ii) recent strain-specific emergence of a novel SH3-anchored β-sandwich S-layer protein in *Intestinibacter bartlettii* (bottom left panel) and (iii) evidence of a specific loss of S-layer within *Romboutsia*, a lineage displaying a high frequency of cell envelope remodelling (bottom right panel).

Modular domain organisation was recently observed in the structure of the proposed S-layer-
associated protein, extracellular Fe(III) reductase from the Gram-positive bacterium *Carboxydothermus*
ferrireducens, containing a domain homologous to *B. anthracis* SLH[71]. This suggests that modular evolution
can play a role in additional functions provided by S-layer-associated proteins, in this case in the Fe(III)
respiratory process, as well as in shaping S-layer architecture. Furthermore, modular architecture was
observed in many cell wall binding proteins[72], including lytic enzymes containing choline-binding modules[73],
consistent with our detection of cell wall homeostasis proteins being subject to frequent cell wall binding
domain swaps. Therefore, the observed evolutionary mechanisms shaping the S-layer likely represent a
more general phenomenon of bacterial cell envelope modularity that also includes cell wall and cell
membranes. Although the gene clusters encoding proteins involved in peptidoglycan biosynthesis are
highly conserved across Bacteria, rare insertions of outlier genes were observed in Firmicutes and
Candidate Phyla Radiation (CPR)[74] and our results demonstrate that secondary cell wall polymer
biosynthesis machinery was changed on multiple independent occasions, at least in Firmicutes. It was also
hypothesized that another cell envelope module, the outer membrane, was lost in Gram-negative (diderm)
bacteria multiple times, resulting in the independent emergence of Gram-positive (monoderm)
lineages[42,75,76]. However, as opposed to more complex cell membranes and cell walls, the main S-layer
building block SLLP is encoded by a single gene and therefore it can provide an extreme level of
evolutionary plasticity to microbial cell surfaces.
The diversification of S-layer cell surfaces can result not only in diverse architectures, symmetry types,
and biochemical properties but also in variable physiological functions. Probably the most striking example
is that of *Peptostreptococcaceae* S-layer utilising the same α/β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$-fold and the same
CWB2 anchor$_{DOM}$ where only human gut pathogens *P. anaerobius* and *C. difficile* isolates (with their diverse
S-layer variants) are resistant to natural antimicrobials lysozyme and LL-37. In contrast, resistance to these
innate immunity effectors was not observed in α/β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$-containing species unlikely to
cause human disease including *A. irregularis* DSM2635 and *R. lituseburensis* DSM797 (isolated from mud),
and *C. mangenotii* DSM29133 (isolated from intestine of a healthy mouse[77]). These results indicate that the
presence of divergent versions of the same type of S-layer can contribute to the protection against the
innate immunity effectors in pathogens but not in non-pathogenic species of the studied
Peptostreptococcaceae. Therefore, S-layer evolution can play a role in adaptation to the pathogenic
lifestyle. Furthermore, recent data suggests that distinct S-layers of *Lactobacillus* also play an important
role in probiotic bacteria associated with optimal health, where it can act as a mediator of the host
inflammatory response and prevent activation of pro-inflammatory signalling[26,28,29]. It is currently unclear if
these properties are conserved across diverse *Lactobacillus* S-layers[66,70].
Our data also suggests that the adoption of certain S-layer architectures can enforce some structural
and evolutionary constraints. While surface domains of some SLLPs appear to be found mostly with a
certain type of anchoring domain, others have undergone multiple independent anchor$_{DOM}$ swaps. For
example, in our analyses, all the α/β-sandwich SLLPs, including *C. difficile* SlpA, contained only CWB2
anchoring domains. This could be due to structural or functional constraints such as the importance of the
surface$_{DOM}$-anchor$_{DOM}$ interactions within their S-layer lattices, or it may merely represent a limitation of
currently available sampling. One potential explanation for these observations is that the emergence of S-
layers where surface$_{DOM}$-anchor$_{DOM}$ interactions form most of the lattice interfaces results in increased
structural dependence between the specific surface$_{DOM}$ and anchor$_{DOM}$, leading to the entrenchment of this
architecture. Such evolutionary ratchet mechanism[78,79] could lead to a decreased capacity to accept
alternative anchor$_{DOM}$s. No such restrictions were observed in the more common β-sandwich surface$_{DOM}$
homologues, which can function with multiple different anchor$_{DOM}$s and can be transferred *via* LGT
between more distantly related species.

Elucidating the origins and evolution of S-layers is complicated by the major gaps in our knowledge,
including (1) insufficient number of experimentally verified S-layer-positive and -negative species from
across the Tree of Life, (2) limited understanding of the conserved and lineage-specific S-layer functions
outside of a few model organisms, (3) unclear capacity for the *de novo* origins of S-layers from non-S-layer
proteins, (4) limited understanding of the physiological selection pressures and mechanisms underlying
possible S-layer gains and losses. The limited experimental evidence for S-layer loss includes the emergence
of *Cd*slpA mutants in response to the experimental exposure to specific antimicrobials (diffocins)[10], the
absence of S-layer in some strains of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*[36], and interspersed phylogenetic
distribution of S-layer positive *Peptostreptococcaceae* and their relatives with no evidence of S-layer
presented in this study. Interestingly, pathogenic *Corynebacterium* species lack identifiable homologous of
canonical S-layer protein PS2 found in the non-pathogenic representatives of the genus[80] and the presence
of the S-layer in the pathogenic species awaits verification. If absent, this would suggest that, at least in
some lineages, loss of the S-layer cell envelope module could also play a role in the emergence and
evolution of pathogens. More indirect evidence of the possibility of S-layer loss comes from the absence of
S-layers in model bacteria Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* and Gram-positive *Bacillus subtilis*[2]. It is also
unclear if any of the extant Eukaryotes contain cellular outer shell homologous to the prokaryotic S-layer.
Although the presence of S-layers *sensu stricto* to our knowledge has not been demonstrated in
Eukaryotes, paracrystalline glycoprotein cell wall layers can be found in some species such as green algae *C.*
reinhardtii[81,82]. The available evidence suggests that the S-layer is present in most *Archaea*[2], raising
questions about whether it was also present in the Last Common Eukaryotic ancestor and subsequently lost
or whether its loss was an important step in early Eukaryogenesis. Interestingly, the latest computational[34]
and experimental[83] approaches did not identify S-layers and SLLP candidates in Asgardarchaeota,
suggesting that the closest archaeal relatives of eukaryotes can be S-layer negative. However, this may not
be a conserved feature of all Asgardarchaeota[84] and further experimental studies are required to robustly
verify this hypothesis.
To our knowledge, there are no previously verified examples of S-layer gain by an S-layer-negative
ancestor in the natural environment. However, further studies in *Peptostreptococcaceae,* including
Romboutsia, or different species of *Corynebacterium*[36,80] could provide a much-needed model for studying
possible S-layer acquisitions. The presence of multiple bacterial species with extremely diverse S-layers and
species with no evidence of S-layer scattered across *Peptostreptococcaceae* phylogeny presented in this
study could lend credibility to the hypothesis that S-layers have evolved multiple independent times[2].
Perhaps the most striking example is that of *I. bartlettii* where a lineage-specific SLLP has emerged within a
subset of isolates of a single species, likely providing an excellent model for studying S-layer acquisitions. It
remains to be tested if and how a non-S-layer protein can evolve *de novo* to form a paracrystalline cellular
exoskeleton in nature. One captivating example of a possible evolutionary intermediate in the S-layer
evolution is that of a toga, a proteinaceous surface layer with membrane patches, found in *Thermotoga*
maritima[85]. The evolutionary model of S-layer origin from an outer membrane or a toga-like cell envelope
layer could be a reverse of that proposed for outer membrane biogenesis from the S-layer[86], i.e. a decrease
in the proportion of lipids and an increase in the proportion of proteins capable of self-assembly within the
outer cell envelope layer. This hypothesis, however, does not account for the possibility of the independent
S-layer emergence in monoderm Bacteria, where, in the absence of the outer lipid-containing layer, *de*
novo S-layer would have to evolve *via* a different mechanism. An alternative mechanism can be postulated
based on the inferred origin of the S-layer in *I. bartlettii*. Its SLLP, containing six nearly identical β-sandwich
Immunoglobulin-like surface$_{DOM}$ subdomains, has emerged *via* multiple tandem duplications of a single
ancestral subdomain of a type commonly found across bacteria. If this mechanism indeed plays a role in *de*
novo evolution of S-layers, it could provide one explanation for the common use of β-sandwich fold in S-
layers observed across the Tree of Life.

Our findings demonstrate that bacteria can rapidly gain, lose, replace, or modify the S-layer cell surface exoskeleton through the utilisation of a multilevel modular architecture. Thanks to this scalable framework, commonly composed of a single repeating SLLP building block, a single genetic change can significantly alter the entire exoskeletal lattice. The segmented design of the SLLP adds another layer of flexibility, allowing it to attach to various cell surface configurations through swappable cell anchoring domains. The ability to remove, add, or remodel surface domain segments promotes rapid adaptation of the S-layer layout. This versatile framework underlies the diversity of S-layer architectures observed across the prokaryotic Tree of Life and offers opportunities for bioprospecting new natural lattice designs for nanotechnology, protein engineering, and bio-product development. Our study uncovered the molecular, structural, and evolutionary processes behind multiple independent origins, losses, and the remarkable structural and functional divergence of this major component of bacterial cell biology. This extraordinary evolutionary plasticity underscores the frontline role of these biological exoskeletons in adapting to extracellular environments. In the case of pathogenic *Clostridioides difficile*, the S-layer has played a pivotal role in the emergence of ancestral resistance to innate immunity effectors and its evolvability contributes to the ongoing thug-of-war with adaptive immunity at the host-pathogen interface.

Data availability

The authors declare that the main data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and Supplementary information. Data sets generated and analysed in this study are provided as Supplementary Data Files. The atomic coordinate files for X-ray structures have been deposited in the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with the accession codes 9F8E, 9F8F.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Medical Research Council grant [MR/V032151/1] awarded to P.S.S and A.B.-S. and a Research Excellence Development Award [NU-018171] from the Faculty of Medical Sciences, Newcastle University awarded to A.B.-S. We thank Dr Joseph Gray for expert mass spectrometry analysis and protein identification, and Prof Craig Ellermeir for providing plasmids used in CRISPRi. Our thanks to Tracey Davey and Ross Laws from Newcastle University Electron Microscopy Research Services, supported by BB/R013942/1 for TEM sample processing; York Structural Biology Laboratory, University of York, supported by the Wellcome Trust (206161/Z/17/Z) for supporting cryo-EM data collection; Newcastle University Structural Biology Laboratory for facilitating crystallisation and data collection. We thank Diamond Light Source for access to beamline I24 (mx24948-136), through the "Macromolecular Crystallography at Newcastle, Durham, Lincoln and Durham" BAG). The contents of this work are solely the responsibilities of the authors and do not reflect the official views of any of the funders, who had no role in study design, data collection, analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Author contributions

K.M.S., A.B.-S. and P.S.S. are the corresponding authors. K.M.S. and A.B.-S conceptualised and planned the study. K.M.S. wrote the manuscript with contributions from A.B.-S. and P.S.S. K.M.S. performed all bioinformatic analyses. A.B.-S and K.M.S. performed experimental work. A.B.-S crystallized *Cd*SlpA. A.B.-S.

811 and P.S.S. determined and analysed protein structures. P.S.S. and A.B.-S. secured funding. K.M.S. and A.B.-

812 S. managed the project.

814 **Competing financial interests**

815 The authors declare no competing interests.

References

1. Messner, P. & Sleytr, U. B. Crystalline bacterial cell-surface layers. *Adv Microb Physiol* **33**, 213-275, doi:10.1016/s0065-2911(08)60218-0 (1992).

2. Bharat, T. A. M., von Kugelgen, A. & Alva, V. Molecular Logic of Prokaryotic Surface Layer Structures. *Trends Microbiol* **29**, 405-415, doi:10.1016/j.tim.2020.09.009 (2021).

3. Pum, D., Toca-Herrera, J. L. & Sleytr, U. B. S-layer protein self-assembly. *Int J Mol Sci* **14**, 2484-2501, doi:10.3390/ijms14022484 (2013).

4. Messner, P., Schäffer, C., Egelseer, E.-M. & Sleytr, U. B. in *Prokaryotic Cell Wall Compounds: Structure and Biochemistry* (eds Helmut König, Harald Claus, & Ajit Varma) 53-109 (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2010).

5. Fagan, R. P. & Fairweather, N. F. Biogenesis and functions of bacterial S-layers. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **12**, 211-222, doi:10.1038/nrmicro3213 (2014).

6. Missiakas, D. & Schneewind, O. Assembly and Function of the Bacillus anthracis S-Layer. *Annu Rev Microbiol* **71**, 79-98, doi:10.1146/annurev-micro-090816-093512 (2017).

7. Bradshaw, W. J., Roberts, A. K., Shone, C. C. & Acharya, K. R. The structure of the S-layer of Clostridium difficile. *J Cell Commun Signal* **12**, 319-331, doi:10.1007/s12079-017-0429-z (2018).

8. Trautner, C. & Vermaas, W. F. The sll1951 gene encodes the surface layer protein of Synechocystis sp. strain PCC 6803. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 5370-5380, doi:10.1128/JB.00615-13 (2013).

9. Lasa, I., Caston, J. R., Fernandez-Herrero, L. A., de Pedro, M. A. & Berenguer, J. Insertional mutagenesis in the extreme thermophilic eubacteria Thermus thermophilus HB8. *Mol Microbiol* **6**, 1555-1564, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.1992.tb00877.x (1992).

10. Kirk, J. A. *et al.* New class of precision antimicrobials redefines role of Clostridium difficile S-layer in virulence and viability. *Sci Transl Med* **9**, doi:10.1126/scitranslmed.aah6813 (2017).

11. Fioravanti, A., Mathelie-Guinlet, M., Dufrene, Y. F. & Remaut, H. The Bacillus anthracis S-layer is an exoskeleton-like structure that imparts mechanical and osmotic stabilization to the cell wall. *PNAS Nexus* **1**, pgac121, doi:10.1093/pnasnexus/pgac121 (2022).

12. Ali, S. *et al.* Slr4, a newly identified S-layer protein from marine Gammaproteobacteria, is a major biofilm matrix component. *Mol Microbiol* **114**, 979-990, doi:10.1111/mmi.14588 (2020).

13. Wong, L. L. *et al.* Surface-layer protein is a public-good matrix exopolymer for microbial community organisation in environmental anammox biofilms. *ISME J* **17**, 803-812, doi:10.1038/s41396-023-01388-y (2023).

14. Shimotahira, N. *et al.* The surface layer of Tannerella forsythia contributes to serum resistance and oral bacterial coaggregation. *Infect Immun* **81**, 1198-1206, doi:10.1128/IAI.00983-12 (2013).

15. Kern, J. W. & Schneewind, O. BslA, a pXO1-encoded adhesin of Bacillus anthracis. *Mol Microbiol* **68**, 504-515, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06169.x (2008).

16. Merrigan, M. M. *et al.* Surface-layer protein A (SlpA) is a major contributor to host-cell adherence of Clostridium difficile. *PLoS One* **8**, e78404, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0078404 (2013).

17. Sakakibara, J. *et al.* Loss of adherence ability to human gingival epithelial cells in S-layer protein-deficient mutants of Tannerella forsythensis. *Microbiology (Reading)* **153**, 866-876, doi:10.1099/mic.0.29275-0 (2007).

18. Plaut, R. D. *et al.* Genetic evidence for the involvement of the S-layer protein gene sap and the sporulation genes spo0A, spo0B, and spo0F in Phage AP50c infection of Bacillus anthracis. *J Bacteriol* **196**, 1143-1154, doi:10.1128/JB.00739-13 (2014).

19. Royer, A. L. M. *et al.* Clostridioides difficile S-Layer Protein A (SlpA) Serves as a General Phage Receptor. *Microbiol Spectr* **11**, e0389422, doi:10.1128/spectrum.03894-22 (2023).

20. Sara, M. & Sleytr, U. B. Molecular sieving through S layers of Bacillus stearothermophilus strains. *J Bacteriol* **169**, 4092-4098, doi:10.1128/jb.169.9.4092-4098.1987 (1987).

21. von Kugelgen, A. *et al.* Membraneless channels sieve cations in ammonia-oxidizing marine archaea. *Nature*, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07462-5 (2024).

22. Sleytr, U. B., Egelseer, E. M., Ilk, N., Pum, D. & Schuster, B. S-Layers as a basic building block in a molecular construction kit. *FEBS J* **274**, 323-334, doi:10.1111/j.1742-4658.2006.05606.x (2007).

23   An, B. *et al.* Engineered Living Materials For Sustainability. *Chem Rev* **123**, 2349-2419, doi:10.1021/acs.chemrev.2c00512 (2023).

24   Yuliawati, Y. *et al.* Potency of surface layer protein from Lactobacillus sp. as drug nanocarriers. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*, doi:10.7324/JAPS.2024.199203 (2024).

25   Zhang, B. *et al.* Display of porcine epidemic diarrhea virus spike protein B-cell linear epitope on Lactobacillus mucosae G01 S-layer surface induce a robust immunogenicity in mice. *Microb Cell Fact* **23**, 142, doi:10.1186/s12934-024-02409-x (2024).

26   Decout, A. *et al.* Lactobacillus crispatus S-layer proteins modulate innate immune response and inflammation in the lower female reproductive tract. *Nat Commun* **15**, 10879, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-55233-7 (2024).

27   Li, J. *et al.* Exopolysaccharides and Surface-Layer Proteins Expressed by Biofilm-State Lactiplantibacillus plantarum Y42 Play Crucial Role in Preventing Intestinal Barrier and Immunity Dysfunction of Balb/C Mice Infected by Listeria monocytogenes ATCC 19115. *J Agric Food Chem* **72**, 8581-8594, doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.4c00460 (2024).

28   Konstantinov, S. R. *et al.* S layer protein A of Lactobacillus acidophilus NCFM regulates immature dendritic cell and T cell functions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 19474-19479, doi:10.1073/pnas.0810305105 (2008).

29   Wang, H., Zhang, L., Li, Q., Xu, S. & Lu, R. Surface-layer protein produced by Lactobacillus crispatus JCM 2009 ameliorates lipopolysaccharide-induced inflammation through autophagy cross-talk with the NF-kappaB signaling pathway. *Int J Biol Macromol* **166**, 633-640, doi:10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2020.10.221 (2021).

30   Ormsby, M. J. *et al.* An intact S-layer is advantageous to Clostridioides difficile within the host. *PLoS Pathog* **19**, e1011015, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1011015 (2023).

31   Wang, Y. T., Oh, S. Y., Hendrickx, A. P., Lunderberg, J. M. & Schneewind, O. Bacillus cereus G9241 S-layer assembly contributes to the pathogenesis of anthrax-like disease in mice. *J Bacteriol* **195**, 596-605, doi:10.1128/JB.02005-12 (2013).

32   Fioravanti, A. *et al.* Structure of S-layer protein Sap reveals a mechanism for therapeutic intervention in anthrax. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 1805-1814, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0499-1 (2019).

33   Hunault, L. *et al.* Anti-S-layer monoclonal antibodies impact Clostridioides difficile physiology. *Gut Microbes* **16**, 2301147, doi:10.1080/19490976.2023.2301147 (2024).

34   Johnston, E., Isbilir, B., Alva, V., Bharat, T. A. M. & Doye, J. P. K. Punctuated and continuous structural diversity of S-layers across the prokaryotic tree of life. PREPRINT (Version 1) available at. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2005.2028.596244, doi:10.1101/2024.05.28.596244 (2024).

35   Buhlheller, C. *et al.* SymProFold: Structural prediction of symmetrical biological assemblies. *Nat Commun* **15**, 8152, doi:10.1038/s41467-024-52138-3 (2024).

36   Sogues, A. *et al.* Cryo-EM structure and polar assembly of the PS2 S-layer of Corynebacterium glutamicum. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2024.09.05.611363 (2024).

37   Dingle, K. E. *et al.* Recombinational switching of the Clostridium difficile S-layer and a novel glycosylation gene cluster revealed by large-scale whole-genome sequencing. *J Infect Dis* **207**, 675-686, doi:10.1093/infdis/jis734 (2013).

38   Ni Eidhin, D., Ryan, A. W., Doyle, R. M., Walsh, J. B. & Kelleher, D. Sequence and phylogenetic analysis of the gene for surface layer protein, slpA, from 14 PCR ribotypes of Clostridium difficile. *J Med Microbiol* **55**, 69-83, doi:10.1099/jmm.0.46204-0 (2006).

39   Long, X. *et al.* Peptostreptococcus anaerobius promotes colorectal carcinogenesis and modulates tumour immunity. *Nat Microbiol* **4**, 2319-2330, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0541-3 (2019).

40   Lanzoni-Mangutchi, P. *et al.* Structure and assembly of the S-layer in C. difficile. *Nat Commun* **13**, 970, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28196-w (2022).

41   Lewis, W. H., Tahon, G., Geesink, P., Sousa, D. Z. & Ettema, T. J. G. Innovations to culturing the uncultured microbial majority. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **19**, 225-240, doi:10.1038/s41579-020-00458-8 (2021).

42   Silale, A. *et al.* Dual function of OmpM as outer membrane tether and nutrient uptake channel in diderm Firmicutes. *Nat Commun* **14**, 7152, doi:10.1038/s41467-023-42601-y (2023).

43 Messner, P., Hollaus, F. & Sleytr, U. B. Paracrystalline Cell Wall Surface Layers of Different Bacillus
stearothermophilus Strains. *International Journal of Systematic Bacteriology* **34**, 202-210,
doi:10.1099/00207713-34-2-202 (1984).
44 Usenik, A. *et al.* The CWB2 Cell Wall-Anchoring Module Is Revealed by the Crystal Structures of the
Clostridium difficile Cell Wall Proteins Cwp8 and Cwp6. *Structure* **25**, 514-521,
doi:10.1016/j.str.2016.12.018 (2017).
45 Abramson, J. *et al.* Accurate structure prediction of biomolecular interactions with AlphaFold 3.
Nature **630**, 493-500, doi:10.1038/s41586-024-07487-w (2024).
46 Willing, S. E. *et al.* Clostridium difficile surface proteins are anchored to the cell wall using CWB2
motifs that recognise the anionic polymer PSII. *Mol Microbiol* **96**, 596-608, doi:10.1111/mmi.12958
(2015).
47 Chu, M. *et al.* A Clostridium difficile Cell Wall Glycopolymer Locus Influences Bacterial Shape,
Polysaccharide Production and Virulence. *PLoS Pathog* **12**, e1005946,
doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1005946 (2016).
48 Schmidt, A., Muller, N., Schink, B. & Schleheck, D. A proteomic view at the biochemistry of
syntrophic butyrate oxidation in Syntrophomonas wolfei. *PLoS One* **8**, e56905,
doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0056905 (2013).
49 Pinheiro, B. A. *et al.* Functional insights into the role of novel type I cohesin and dockerin domains
from Clostridium thermocellum. *Biochem J* **424**, 375-384, doi:10.1042/BJ20091152 (2009).
50 Artzi, L. *et al.* Cellulosomics of the cellulolytic thermophile Clostridium clariflavum. *Biotechnol*
Biofuels **7**, 100, doi:10.1186/1754-6834-7-100 (2014).
51 Hager, F. F. *et al.* Functional Characterization of Enzymatic Steps Involved in Pyruvylation of
Bacterial Secondary Cell Wall Polymer Fragments. *Front Microbiol* **9**, 1356,
doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01356 (2018).
52 Oh, S. Y., Lunderberg, J. M., Chateau, A., Schneewind, O. & Missiakas, D. Genes Required for
Bacillus anthracis Secondary Cell Wall Polysaccharide Synthesis. *J Bacteriol* **199**,
doi:10.1128/JB.00613-16 (2017).
53 Fagan, R. P. & Fairweather, N. F. Clostridium difficile has two parallel and essential Sec secretion
systems. *J Biol Chem* **286**, 27483-27493, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.263889 (2011).
54 Ausiello, C. M. *et al.* Surface layer proteins from Clostridium difficile induce inflammatory and
regulatory cytokines in human monocytes and dendritic cells. *Microbes Infect* **8**, 2640-2646,
doi:10.1016/j.micinf.2006.07.009 (2006).
55 Ryan, A. *et al.* A role for TLR4 in Clostridium difficile infection and the recognition of surface layer
proteins. *PLoS Pathog* **7**, e1002076, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002076 (2011).
56 Waligora, A. J. *et al.* Characterization of a cell surface protein of Clostridium difficile with adhesive
properties. *Infect Immun* **69**, 2144-2153, doi:10.1128/IAI.69.4.2144-2153.2001 (2001).
57 Zhou, Q. *et al.* The cwp66 Gene Affects Cell Adhesion, Stress Tolerance, and Antibiotic Resistance in
Clostridioides difficile. *Microbiol Spectr* **10**, e0270421, doi:10.1128/spectrum.02704-21 (2022).
58 Promadej, N., Fiedler, F., Cossart, P., Dramsi, S. & Kathariou, S. Cell wall teichoic acid glycosylation
in Listeria monocytogenes serotype 4b requires gtcA, a novel, serogroup-specific gene. *J Bacteriol*
181, 418-425, doi:10.1128/JB.181.2.418-425.1999 (1999).
59 Chateau, A. *et al.* Distinct Pathways Carry Out alpha and beta Galactosylation of Secondary Cell
Wall Polysaccharide in Bacillus anthracis. *J Bacteriol* **202**, doi:10.1128/JB.00191-20 (2020).
60 Rismondo, J., Percy, M. G. & Grundling, A. Discovery of genes required for lipoteichoic acid
glycosylation predicts two distinct mechanisms for wall teichoic acid glycosylation. *J Biol Chem* **293**,
3293-3306, doi:10.1074/jbc.RA117.001614 (2018).
61 Endo, T., Ikeo, K. & Gojobori, T. Large-scale search for genes on which positive selection may
operate. *Mol Biol Evol* **13**, 685-690, doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a025629 (1996).
62 Blanc, G. *et al.* Molecular evolution of rickettsia surface antigens: evidence of positive selection.
Mol Biol Evol **22**, 2073-2083, doi:10.1093/molbev/msi199 (2005).
63 Hunault, L. *et al.* A monoclonal antibody collection for C. difficile typing ? *Gut Pathog* **16**, 4,
doi:10.1186/s13099-023-00592-7 (2024).
64 Chandra, H., Kovall, R. A., Yadav, J. S. & Sun, X. Host Immune Responses to Surface S-Layer Proteins
(SLPs) of Clostridioides difficile. *Microorganisms* **11**, doi:10.3390/microorganisms11020380 (2023).

65   Sara, M. & Sleytr, U. B. Comparative studies of S-layer proteins from Bacillus stearothermophilus
976   strains expressed during growth in continuous culture under oxygen-limited and non-oxygen-
977   limited conditions. *J Bacteriol* **176**, 7182-7189, doi:10.1128/jb.176.23.7182-7189.1994 (1994).

978   66   Avall-Jaaskelainen, S. & Palva, A. Lactobacillus surface layers and their applications. *FEMS Microbiol*
979   *Rev* **29**, 511-529, doi:10.1016/j.femsre.2005.04.003 (2005).

980   67   Moore, A. D., Bjorklund, A. K., Ekman, D., Bornberg-Bauer, E. & Elofsson, A. Arrangements in the
981   modular evolution of proteins. *Trends Biochem Sci* **33**, 444-451, doi:10.1016/j.tibs.2008.05.008
982   (2008).

983   68   Pereira-Leal, J. B., Levy, E. D. & Teichmann, S. A. The origins and evolution of functional modules:
984   lessons from protein complexes. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **361**, 507-517,
985   doi:10.1098/rstb.2005.1807 (2006).

986   69   von Kugelgen, A. *et al.* Interdigitated immunoglobulin arrays form the hyperstable surface layer of
987   the extremophilic bacterium Deinococcus radiodurans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2215808120,
988   doi:10.1073/pnas.2215808120 (2023).

989   70   Sagmeister, T. *et al.* The molecular architecture of Lactobacillus S-layer: Assembly and attachment
990   to teichoic acids. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **121**, e2401686121, doi:10.1073/pnas.2401686121
991   (2024).

992   71   Tikhonova, T. V. *et al.* Extracellular Fe(III) reductase structure reveals a modular organization
993   enabling S-layer insertion and electron transfer to insoluble substrates. *Structure* **31**, 174-184 e173,
994   doi:10.1016/j.str.2022.12.010 (2023).

995   72   Navarre, W. W. & Schneewind, O. Surface proteins of gram-positive bacteria and mechanisms of
996   their targeting to the cell wall envelope. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* **63**, 174-229,
997   doi:10.1128/MMBR.63.1.174-229.1999 (1999).

998   73   Lopez, R., Garcia, E., Garcia, P. & Garcia, J. L. The pneumococcal cell wall degrading enzymes: a
999   modular design to create new lysins? *Microb Drug Resist* **3**, 199-211, doi:10.1089/mdr.1997.3.199
1000   (1997).

1001   74   Megrian, D., Taib, N., Jaffe, A. L., Banfield, J. F. & Gribaldo, S. Ancient origin and constrained
1002   evolution of the division and cell wall gene cluster in Bacteria. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 2114-2127,
1003   doi:10.1038/s41564-022-01257-y (2022).

1004   75   Megrian, D., Taib, N., Witwinowski, J., Beloin, C. & Gribaldo, S. One or two membranes? Diderm
1005   Firmicutes challenge the Gram-positive/Gram-negative divide. *Mol Microbiol* **113**, 659-671,
1006   doi:10.1111/mmi.14469 (2020).

1007   76   Witwinowski, J. *et al.* An ancient divide in outer membrane tethering systems in bacteria suggests a
1008   mechanism for the diderm-to-monoderm transition. *Nat Microbiol* **7**, 411-422, doi:10.1038/s41564-
1009   022-01066-3 (2022).

1010   77   Lagkouvardos, I. *et al.* The Mouse Intestinal Bacterial Collection (miBC) provides host-specific
1011   insight into cultured diversity and functional potential of the gut microbiota. *Nat Microbiol* **1**,
1012   16131, doi:10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.131 (2016).

1013   78   Hochberg, G. K. A. *et al.* A hydrophobic ratchet entrenches molecular complexes. *Nature* **588**, 503-
1014   508, doi:10.1038/s41586-020-3021-2 (2020).

1015   79   Lukes, J., Archibald, J. M., Keeling, P. J., Doolittle, W. F. & Gray, M. W. How a neutral evolutionary
1016   ratchet can build cellular complexity. *IUBMB Life* **63**, 528-537, doi:10.1002/iub.489 (2011).

1017   80   Isbilir, B., Yeates, A., Alva, V. & Bharat, T. A. M. Mapping the ultrastructural topology of the
1018   corynebacterial cell surface. *bioRxiv*, doi:10.1101/2024.09.05.611374 (2024).

1019   81   Roberts, K. Crystalline glycoprotein cell walls of algae: their stucture, composition and assembly.
1020   *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* **268**, 129-146, doi:10.1098/rstb.1974.0021 (1974).

1021   82   Baudelet, P.-H., Ricochon, G., Linder, M. & Muniglia, L. A new insight into cell walls of Chlorophyta.
1022   *Algal Research* **25**, 333-371, doi:10.1016/j.algal.2017.04.008 (2017).

1023   83   Rodrigues-Oliveira, T. *et al.* Actin cytoskeleton and complex cell architecture in an Asgard archaeon.
1024   *Nature* **613**, 332-339, doi:10.1038/s41586-022-05550-y (2023).

1025   84   Imachi, H. *et al.* Isolation of an archaeon at the prokaryote-eukaryote interface. *Nature* **577**, 519-
1026   525, doi:10.1038/s41586-019-1916-6 (2020).

1027   85   Sexton, D. L. *et al.* The cell envelope of Thermotogae suggests a mechanism for outer membrane
1028   biogenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **120**, e2303275120, doi:10.1073/pnas.2303275120 (2023).

1029   86   Hashimi, A. & Tocheva, E. I. Cell envelope diversity and evolution across the bacterial tree of life.
1030   *Nat Microbiol* **9**, 2475-2487, doi:10.1038/s41564-024-01812-9 (2024).

1031