Historic transposon mobilisation waves create distinct pools of adaptive variants in a major crop pathogen

Tobias Baril[1,*], Guido Puccetti[1], Daniel Croll[1,*]

[1] Laboratory of Evolutionary Genetics, Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland

*Correspondence: tobias.baril@unine.ch, daniel.croll@unine.ch

ORCIDs: TB (0000-0002-5936-7531), GP (0000-0002-7747-4306), DC (0000-0002-2072-380X).

Abstract

Transposable elements (TEs) can drive the evolution of host-pathogen interactions and gains in antimicrobial resistance. However, how adaptive TEs arise in populations and historical contingencies affect TE dynamics remains unknown. Fungal pathogens in agriculture provide unique frameworks to address such questions due to the availability of spatially explicit sampling and well-characterized niche conditions. We characterised TE evolutionary dynamics using an extensive intraspecies sampling of 1,953 genomes across the global distribution range of the major fungal wheat pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. Employing a pangenomic approach, we characterise genomic diversity and benchmark methods to robustly infer TE insertion polymorphism, before systematically assessing TEs as a source of adaptive variation. We annotated ~3.2 million TE loci among genomes, finding substantial variation in TE content within and among populations. TE activity surged during the pathogen's expansion from its centre of origin in the Middle East, with unique TE activity profiles arising in derived populations. TE-mediated adaptation emerged from distinct waves of TE mobilization. The highest rates of TE activity were observed over timescales as short as 25 years. 45 TE loci showing local adaptation signatures within 1kb of 49 host genes were identified, with adaptive TE insertions likely related to adaptation to antifungals and the plant host environment. This work highlights the power of vast genomic datasets to unravel intraspecies TE invasion histories and pinpoint factors likely driving recent adaptation. This argues for a shift in focus to incorporate deep population-level TE activity surveys in our pursuit to uncover the molecular drivers of adaptive evolution.

Introduction

Exposure to new environments can shift fitness optima and challenge naïve species to adapt. The ability to rapidly respond to novel stressors can provide a significant evolutionary advantage. Genomic variation arising through mutation can modify an organism's ability to survive and reproduce in changing environments, and much focus is given to the role of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in the emergence of adaptive variation, whilst transposable elements (TEs), DNA sequences capable of moving from one genomic location to another[1], are often ignored [2]. However, there is growing evidence highlighting the importance of TE-derived genomic variation underpinning traits such as xenobiotic resistance[3–5], stress responsiveness[6] and environmental adaptation[7]. Despite this, our understanding of the genome-wide role of TEs and the extent to which TEs are a general source of adaptive genomic variation remains largely unexplored.

TEs can represent significant portions of the genomes of eukaryotes, comprising over two-thirds of the human genome[8] and over 90% of the maize genome[9]. However, other lineages have been more successful in suppressing deleterious TE activity, with TEs contributing only 0.13% of total genome size in *Trichoplax* (currently the lowest content among Animalia)[10] and rarely over 5% of total genome size in yeasts[11,12]. Autonomous TEs encode domains for their transposition activity, rendering them candidate sources of genomic variation for rapid host adaptation by moving protein-coding and regulatory units around host genomes. TEs are also known to be activated under stress[13–15], coupling exposure to novel environments with transposition activity to give rise to adaptive variation.

The effects of transposition events vary depending on TE insertion sites within host genomes. Through their duplication and mobilisation, TEs generate diverse mutations including the generation and modification of gene regulatory networks, chromosomal rearrangements, exon shuffling, and donation of coding sequence through domestication and co-option[16–21]. TEs have facilitated rapid adaptive evolution through single transposition events across the tree of life. For example, TE insertions have led to the evolution of V(D)J recombination for adaptive immunity in jawed vertebrates[22], the transition from a commensal to pathogenic

lifestyle in *Escherichia coli*[23], cold-stress adaptation in blood oranges[24], xenobiotic

resistance in insects[3,4,25,26] and fungi[5,27,28], industrial melanism in the peppered

moth[29], and effector genes essential for host infection in oomycetes and fungi[15,27].

TE activity is also likely a significant source of genomic variation at the population

level, with intra-species TE activity associated with adaptation to cold[7] and urban

environments[30], as well as modifying inter-individual immune responses[31].

Beneficial TE insertions are expected to experience strong positive selection and

rapid fixation in populations, whilst the majority of TE insertions are expected to

range from nearly neutral to deleterious. TEs exerting highly deleterious effects are

efficiently removed via purifying selection[32], whilst the efficiency of selection is

reduced against TEs with less deleterious effects, such as non-autonomous and

fragmented TE insertions[33,34].

Bursts of TE activity in response to environmental change are hypothesised to be

important drivers of micro- and macro-evolutionary events, whilst generating and

maintaining genomic diversity[35,36]. Recent work highlights the power of TEs to

invade and subsequently propagate in host genomes to generate significant

genomic diversity through repeated waves of TE activity over timescales as short

as 50 years[36,37]. Crucially, evidence mechanistically linking such TE waves to

adaptive evolution is currently lacking, and previous studies have been unable to

systematically assess this on a global scale due to challenges associated with

incomplete sampling and analytical limitations. Nonetheless, it is hypothesised

that new alleles generated by waves of TE activity may become adaptive in

response to environmental stress. Identifying adaptive TEs remains challenging, in

part due to a lack of available large-scale population datasets to systematically

characterise TE-host evolutionary dynamics with enough statistical power,

combined with a lack of functional studies to mechanistically link candidates to

adaptive phenotypes. Our understanding of TE-host dynamics has also been

limited by a focus on a few model systems. However, genome dynamics following

TE invasions vary significantly among eukaryotic lineages. For example, transition-

transversion bias was considered universal across metazoans, but counter

examples exist[38]. Further, population-level studies often identify TEs with adaptive

signatures but fail to link these signatures to the underlying historic context of TE-

host dynamics. This leads to questions concerning the mode and tempo of TE-

mediated adaption. Whilst TEs are regarded as sources of variation for rapid

adaptation, it remains challenging to date TE activity and characterise the
timescales during which TEs provide an adaptive benefit.

Here, we focus on one of the best characterized fungal species in terms of TE
content in the genome. The ascomycete *Zymoseptoria tritici* is a major globally-
distributed fungal pathogen of wheat causing significant yield losses[39]. The centre
of origin is located in the Middle East, where its emergence accompanied the
domestication of wheat[40]. *Z. tritici* has shown rapid adaptive responses across all
major wheat-producing areas to strong selective pressures, including host
resistance and fungicide use[41]. Extensive standing genetic variation is observed
within and among distinct global populations of *Z. tritici*[42–46], which provides the
capacity to respond rapidly to novel stressors[6]. Specific examples of TE-mediated
adaptation exist, including multidrug resistance[5], epigenetic regulation of key
genes involved in host plant infection[15], and melanin production as a response to
stressful environments[6]. In parallel to the global expansion of the pathogen, TE
content of the genome has likely expanded as a consequence of less efficient
genomic defences[42,45]. The well-documented co-evolutionary history between *Z.*
tritici and domesticated wheat presents a unique opportunity to explore TE-host
evolutionary dynamics within defined timescales.

Here, we aim to determine the tempo and adaptive roles of intraspecies TE
invasions using one of the largest intraspecies genome sequencing datasets for
eukaryotes. We manually curate 331 distinct TE families and subsequently
identified TE insertion and absence polymorphisms in 1,953 publicly available
genomes sampled across the global range of *Z. tritici*[42,46]. Using this extensive
global panel, we characterise population-specific waves of TE activity throughout
the pathogen's evolutionary history. We assess TE locus frequencies among
populations and identify candidate TEs with signatures of local adaptation
integrating data on fungicide resistance and bioclimatic variables. Overall, we find
repeated instances of TEs exhibiting signatures of positive selection in a
population-specific manner and associated with distinct TE activation waves in the
species history.

Results

Global Reference Genome Panel-Informed TE discovery and benchmarking

To maximise capture of intraspecies TE diversity in our analysis, we used a global panel of reference genomes for TE curation. Earl Grey[47] was used to *de novo* annotate the non-redundant genome assembly comprised of 19 reference-quality genomes representing the global diversity of *Z. tritici*, and four closely related sister species (*Z. pseudotritici, Z. passerinii, Z. ardabiliae,* and *Z. brevis*). We manually-curated *de novo*-generated TE consensi, after which the final TE library contains 331 TE consensus sequences composed of 92 DNA transposons, 22 long-interspersed nuclear elements (LINEs), 65 long terminal repeat retrotransposons (LTRs), 31 miniature inverted terminal repeat elements (MITEs, non-autonomous DNA transposons), 11 rolling circles (also known as *helitrons*), 1 short interspersed nuclear element (SINE), 1 terminal-repeat retrotransposon in miniature (TRIM), and 105 unclassified elements[48]. The high number of unclassified elements reflects limited knowledge of TE diversity across Fungi, hindering confident classification of sequences lacking known diagnostic features or homology to TEs from distant taxa. Since host genes and segmental duplications were removed during manual curation, many unclassified sequences in the final library may represent true TEs. As fungal TE landscapes become better characterized, these elements are likely to be classified with greater confidence. Reference genome annotations reveal a TE content between 17.51% (IR01_26b from Iran) and 24.86% (Aus01 from Australia) of total genome size (Supplementary Figure S1, Supplementary Table S1, GFF annotations are available at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8390461).

Detecting TE insertions in short-read whole genome sequencing data is inherently challenging due to the difficulty of assembling repetitive DNA sequences from short reads. To tackle this problem, we performed extensive benchmarking based on 11 methodologies implemented in the McClintock2 meta-pipeline[49]. Performance was highly variable, consistent with previous findings[49]. Across all benchmarks, the highest-performing methodologies were TEFLoN[50] and PoPoolationTE[51] for reference and non-reference TE insertions, and TEMP2[52] for

165   non-reference insertions. We adopted an approach that combines the best-
166   performing reference and non-reference TE detection tools (see Methods;
167   Supplementary File 1). We maximised TE detection for each isolate whilst
168   minimising false positive rates (see Supplementary File 1 for an in-depth
169   discussion).

A Highly Dynamic Transposable Element Landscape Following Global Expansion

We analysed 1,953 isolates of *Z. tritici* sampled across the globe. Isolates were
assigned to 12 genetic clusters based on genome-wide polymorphism, consistent
with previous analyses[42,46] (Figure 1A). Isolates that could not be clearly assigned to
a single cluster (n = 384) were not included in analyses requiring explicit population
assignment retaining a total of 1,569 isolates. From most ancient to most recent in
terms of colonisation history, populations are named based on the origin of
member isolates: *i.e.* Iran, Israel, Africa & Southern Europe, Europe, USA & Canada,
USA, Chile, Argentina & Uruguay, Australia_1, Australia_2, and New Zealand.

Among all isolates, 3,201,910 TE loci were detected (μ = 2,041; min = 1,451, isolate
ST92ISR_Ar_16h from Israel; max = 2,481, isolate 08STCZ016 from Europe;
Supplementary File 2). There are significant differences in TE abundance among
populations (One-way analysis of variance: $F_{10,1558}$ = 28.25, p < 0.01), illustrating the
dynamic nature of TE landscapes among populations despite the separation by
only short evolutionary timescales, with the Iranian and Israeli populations arising
~10,000 years ago, and the most recent Oceanian populations (Australia_1,
Australia_2, and New Zealand) arising ~1790 AD. TE copy number has increased
significantly as populations spread beyond the Middle East and colonised Africa,
Europe, and the Americas, suggesting an increase in TE activity associated with
colonisation of new environments (Figure 1C; Tukey post-hoc comparisons are
supplied in Supplementary Table S2; Supplementary Figure S2). However,
populations in Australia and New Zealand do not exhibit this pattern, showing a
significant reduction in TE abundance compared to the Iran, Africa & Southern
Europe, Europe, USA & Canada, USA, and Argentina & Uruguay clusters

(Supplementary Table S2). The time of establishment of populations can be used
to approximate the timing of TE activity, where novel TE loci arising in distinct
populations are approximated to have arisen around the time of colonisation.
Therefore, our observations are consistent with increased TE activity as *Z. tritici*
spread out of the Middle East ~8,000 BCE[42], into Africa (~6,000-3,000 BCE[42]),
Europe, and the Americas (~1550-1600 CE[42]), followed by a reduction in TE activity,
and TE degradation or removal as the pathogen colonised Oceania (~1790 CE)
(Figure 1).

In addition to significant variation among populations, inter-individual differences
in TE abundance are also considerable in scale, with standard deviations in TE copy
number ranging from 59 (New Zealand) to 203 (Israel) (Figure 1C). Consistent with
the reduced TE abundance observed in Oceanian populations, we also observe a
decrease in TE abundance variation among Oceanian isolates. This decrease could
be attributed to the reduced level of genetic diversity observed in these
populations as a result of the colonization bottleneck. However, the TE decrease
observed in Oceania may also be explained by a dampening of TE activity following
counterselection against deleterious insertions.

Figure 1. Global dynamics of TE variation among populations of *Z. tritici*. A. A schematic illustrating the global spread of wheat across the globe. **B.** TE copy number for each isolate split by high-level TE classifications, as indicated in the key. Isolates are grouped by country of sampling and genetic cluster membership, ordered from most ancient to most recent using a colonisation timeline based on global wheat domestication. **C.** Population-wide mean TE copy numbers. Top boxes represent total TE copy numbers. Bottom boxes show TE copy numbers split by high-level TE classifications, as indicated in the key.

In all populations, LTR retrotransposons are the most abundant TEs (global μ = 384, min = 319 (Israel), max = 438 (USA)). Most populations share a similar profile in terms of TE abundance, characterised by high numbers of LTR retrotransposons (global μ = 384), followed by MITEs (global μ = 321), DNA TEs (global μ = 313), LINEs (global μ = 254), Rolling Circles (global μ = 50), DIRS elements (global μ = 10), SINEs (global μ = 2), and TRIMs (global μ = 1). However, in North America, DNA TEs have expanded to overtake MITEs as the second-most abundant TE classification (USA: DNA μ = 363, MITE μ = 306; USA & Canada: DNA μ = 347, MITE μ = 328; Australia_1: DNA μ = 307, MITE μ = 296) (Figure 1, Supplementary Table S3).

Variation in observed TE numbers among population could arise from genetic drift
or relaxed purifying selection rather than TE activation. We investigated this
possibility by analyzing TE insertion frequency spectra for the most abundant TE
families (Supplementary Figures S3 & S4). Contrary to expectations of relaxed
purifying selection, we do not observe shifts in TE locus frequency spectra towards
higher frequencies. This is consistent with TE insertion landscapes being shaped
by ongoing TE activity (and purifying selection). In a second step, we considered
the likelihood that all TE insertions detected in derived populations could have
been present at very low frequency in the centre of origin populations and, hence,
were not observable with the current sample size. We identified strong excesses of
low-frequency insertions, inconsistent with under sampling in the centre of origin.
This is supportive of ongoing transposition activity in derived populations. Finally,
we considered genetic structure among isolates using either (largely neutral) SNP
loci or TE presence/absence loci (Supplementary Figure S5). We found strong
incongruence between the genetic structures derived by the two sets of markers,
which supports the idea that TE loci have differentiated in a non-neutral fashion.
This is in accordance with TE activity rather than drift alone impacting TE variation
among populations.

Beyond changes in TE activity during global expansion, we also observe large intra-
population variation in TE copy number. High levels of intra-population variation
are hallmarks of rapid evolution on very short timescales. Within the USA
population, we find individuals falling into two groups characterised by TE
abundances either below or above 2,000 copies. These subpopulations highlight
rapid increases in TE abundance within the USA population, where 96% of the
isolates sampled in the 1990's contain <2,000 TEs and 83% of the isolates sampled
in the 2010's contain >2,000 TEs. When comparing isolates sampled in the 1990's to
those sampled in the 2010's, the mean TE abundance has increased from 1,840 to
2,227 TEs per isolate, driven mostly by LTR retrotransposon activity, consistent with
previous findings (Oggenfuss et al. 2021), and DNA TE activity (LTR
retrotransposons: $\mu_{1990's} = 372$, $\mu_{2010's} = 485$; DNA TEs: $\mu_{1990's} = 315$, $\mu_{2010's} = 398$)(Figure
1B).

Given that TE activity is overall detrimental to host genome integrity, most TE
families are expected to be repressed and maintained at low copy numbers.

Consistent with this, 261 (79%) TE families in *Z. tritici* have a maximum population
mean copy number < 10. Conversely, we also observe large increases in the
abundance of specific TE families as *Z. tritici* expanded from the centre of origin in
the Middle East. 18 TE families show mean TE copy number variation of > 10 among
populations (Figure 2B). The spread of *Z. tritici* into North America ~1550 AD has led
to increases in TE activity, where LTR retrotransposons and rolling circle TEs
increased in copy number to their highest levels in North American populations.
More recently, DNA TEs have expanded in the Oceanian populations representing
the last colonized continent. DIRS elements are found at very low numbers across
all populations except for the Chilean population where these have expanded 3-
fold in copy number (Figure 2B). In contrast, LINEs show a stepwise increase
tracking the colonisation timeline of populations, suggesting low levels of ongoing
activity rather than TE burst dynamics as observed for other TEs.

Beyond population-specific differences in total TE abundance, we observe
substantial levels of population-specific TE activity. There are significant differences
among genomes in their affinity for highly abundant TE families (*i.e.*, defined as
having ≥ 20 copies in at least 1 isolate; Pearson's χ^2_{118889} = 132,250; p < 0.01; Figure 2A).
Clustering genomes based on their affinity for highly abundant TE families largely
recapitulates the species' population history, which is indicative of population-
specific TE-host dynamics as an important source of genomic differentiation
(Figure 2A). The most abundant TE family in the centre of origin populations is a
LINE (*family_1507*; Iran μ = 64; Israel μ = 61). This family largely ceased activity during
the global expansion of *Z. tritici*, persisting in populations at a mean abundance of
between 61 (Israel and USA) and 66 (Africa & Southern Europe). In contrast, the
Copia LTR retrotransposon, *family_71*, shows significant activity in the USA, Europe,
Australia_1, and Australia_2 populations (USA μ = 81, Australia_1 μ = 65, Europe μ =
61, Australia_2 μ = 67) (Figure 2B, Figure 3B). This *Copia* LTR retrotransposon is the
most active TE in *Z. tritici* but reached peak activity only after persisting at low copy
numbers in early colonising populations (Iran μ = 17; Israel μ = 10, Africa & Southern
Europe μ = 7; Figure 2B, Figure 3B). The pattern observed for this *Copia* element is
consistent with the TE burst model, whereby the exposure to abiotic stress in a
novel environment during range expansion results in massive bursts of specific TE
families[35]. Such large increases in TE copy number have the potential to severely
impact host genome integrity, as demonstrated for the *Styx* element (*family_11*)[20],

even whilst proliferating to a lesser extent than *family_71*. *Styx* is absent in the

Iranian population and found at its highest mean copy number in Australia,

expanding throughout the global expansion (Supplementary Table S4).

Figure 2. TE activity gains and losses during global pathogen expansion. **A.** Affinity of individual isolates for the most abundant TE families (≥ 20 copies in at least one isolate) using Pearson's χ^2 residuals. Negative affinities (i.e. repulsions) are illustrated with blue shades, whilst positive affinities (i.e. attractions) are illustrated with red shades, as indicated in the key. TE family classifications are illustrated on the top edge, along with TE family numbers corresponding to the species TE library[48]. Isolate population membership is illustrated on the left edge. Boxes highlight patterns of TE expansion or contraction as labelled. **B.** TE burst profiles showing mean population-wide TE abundance for families with an abundance variation ≥ 10 among populations. Populations are ordered from most ancient to most recent based on the global spread of wheat. F*amily_71*, which shows a large expansion in the USA population, is highlighted by a box in panels A and B.

TE contributions to a highly dynamic genome

The genome of *Z. tritici* is shaped by ongoing TE activity contributing to high levels of genomic diversity. To quantify the exact contributions to genetic variability, we assessed polymorphism created by the presence or absence of TEs across loci. 1,477

insertion sites are found in at least one genome in every population, constituting a pool of persistent TE variants, whilst 214,312 sites are unique to genomes from a single population (Figure 3A). Population-specific waves of TE activity produced 28,740 population-specific polymorphic TE loci arising in populations established in the last ~500 years with the USA contributing 21,120 loci alone (Supplementary Table S4).

The majority of TE loci show high TE insertion frequencies within populations (defined as the TE being at frequencies ranging 10-95%, also termed "common" insertions). The mean number of loci at such high TE frequencies is 1,025 (49.85% of total TE loci; Europe) to 1,370 loci (65.40% of total TE loci; USA). TE mean frequencies at the loci range between 54.64% (Iran) and 68.62% (Australia_1) (Supplementary Table S5). The number of loci carrying rare TEs per isolate is much lower and ranges between 100 (4.95% of total TE loci; Iran) and 407 (19.81% of total TE loci; Europe). Loci with fixed TEs were ranging between 232 (13.15% of total TE loci; Israel) and 893 (45.63% of total TE loci; Australia_2). Large variation around the mean TE frequencies may underpin evolutionary potential for the species. TE activity has occurred over short evolutionary timescales, with most of the TE activation waves occurring in the last ~500 years. The recency of TE activation waves raises the question as to why such activity is tolerated by the genome and whether such activity could have produced adaptive benefits.

Figure 3. TE activity associated with population differentiation across the globe. A. TE loci identified in each population of *Z. tritici*, grouped by populations containing each TE insertion. Bars are in descending order by locus count. Dots indicate populations where at least one isolate contains the TE locus. Black lines connect populations that share TE loci under their respective quantification bars. Coloured connections indicate shared loci among populations indicated on the map. Numbers in brackets show TE loci normalised by sample size for population-specific loci. **B.** Expansion of the highly active LTR, *family_71*

346 and occupancy across genomic loci. Peaks indicate TE insertion frequency across
347 chromosomes. Populations are ordered following global pathogen spread.

Candidate Adaptive TEs Facilitating the Global Pathogen Spread

TEs rising to high frequencies in specific populations are prime candidates
underpinning recent adaptation, driven by positive selection in extant populations
due to a positive effect on host fitness[53]. We identified the strongest candidate TEs
with signatures of local adaptation by detecting TE loci with outlier differentiation
among populations using BayPass[54]. BayPass is designed to identify genetic
markers subject to selection using models that explicitly account for covariance
structure among population allele frequencies that arises from the shared histories
of the populations, whilst making no assumptions about the underlying
demography.

We retained 45 consistently identified TE loci for further inspection (Figure 4). Nine
loci were discarded as the genomic context could not be confidently assessed. The
36 remaining putatively adaptive TE loci were proximal to 49 host genes within a
1,000bp window. We identified conserved functions for 20 genes[55,56] (Figure 4;
Supplementary Table S6; Supplementary Table S7). The most abundant GO terms
included Zinc ion binding (GO:0008270; n=5); ATP binding (GO:0005524; n=4);
Mitochondrion (GO:0005739; n=3); Membrane (GO:0016020; n=3) and ATP
hydrolysis activity (GO:0016997; n=3). Likely adaptive TE loci are found in the
promoter and 5' UTR regions of 30 distinct host genes, where insertions have the
potential to modify host gene expression (Figure 4; Supplementary Table S7). Six
host genes contain adaptive TEs in their coding sequence, whilst four genes
contain candidate TEs in their 3' UTR and 12 genes carry TEs in their downstream
region (Supplementary Table S7).

Figure 4. Candidate adaptive TE loci detected by outlier search across the global pathogen distribution range. Black bars on the outermost track show IPO323 gene annotations, with grey illustrating intergenic regions. Numbers indicate genomic coordinates in megabases (Mb). TE (outer track) and SNP (inner track) annotations mapping to chromosomes of the *Z. tritici* reference genome IPO323. Local adaptation candidates with significant XtX values are found in their respective shaded regions. Significantly differentiated SNPs have XtX values found in the blue shaded region of the inside track, with points coloured as indicated in the key. . Significantly differentiated TEs have XtX values found in the orange shaded region of the outside track, with points coloured as indicated in the key. Genes within 1,000bp of candidate TEs are labelled outside the gene track in orange. SNPs implicated in fungicide resistance[46] and TEs associated with bioclimatic variables within 1,000bp of candidate overdifferentiated TEs are boxed and

labelled, as indicated in the key. The wordcloud in the centre illustrates the GO terms
assigned to genes nearby candidate TEs (highlighted in orange), with size proportional to
the number of genes annotated with each GO term. Inset gene region schematic illustrates
a single candidate TE and the host genome landscape surrounding this insertion on
chromosome 7. Host genes and fungicide-resistance SNPs are labelled, as indicated in the
key. SNPs in bold are found to colocalise with the candidate TE insertion in the Argentina
and Uruguay population.

To assess the adaptive role of TEs in the pathogen's historic expansion, we assigned
candidate adaptive TE loci to individual historic activation waves. Furthermore, we
integrated know-how from a large antifungal resistance mapping study on *Z.*
tritici, as well as climatic data. Two candidate adaptive TEs are found within 1,000bp
of 6 SNPs associated with fungicide resistance (Figure 4). The LTR retrotransposon
(*family_1437*) on chromosome 13 is at common frequencies in Africa & Southern
Europe, Europe, and New Zealand, and co-localises with a SNP associated with
resistance to the succinate dehydrogenase inhibitor (SDHI) pydiflumetofen. This TE
is also located downstream of the gene ZymTr1|54560 of unknown function.
F*amily_1437* showed peak activity in the Iran and Israel populations, showing that
the TE was inserted well before the application of fungicides in agriculture (Figure
5). This supports the role of TEs as a source of standing genetic variation that can
provide a host benefit much later in the species' evolution when novel challenges
arise.

The DNA element (*family_152*) is found on chromosome 7 at common frequency in
Argentina & Uruguay, and co-localises with 5 SNPs associated with resistance to
the demethylase inhibitor (DMI) mefentrifluconazole. This TE is located
downstream of gene ZymTr1|61488 (Proteasome assembly, GO:0043248) and
upstream of gene ZymTr1|61489 (DNA-binding transcription factor activity
GO:0000981 among other encoded functions). In contrast to *family_1437*,
family_152 shows a peak activity wave in the most recent Oceanian populations,
and a smaller more ancient activity wave in the North American populations
(Figure 2B, Figure 5). This is consistent with a narrow time span between the
emergence of adaptive TEs and strong selection pressures by DMIs potentially
favouring the TE (Figure 4).

Figure 5. Conceptualization of adaptive TEs emerging through historic TE activation waves. The map shows the global colonisation timeline of *Z. tritici* following wheat domestication. Bar width reflects the rough window of colonisation for the populations with peak activity as shown in the key. Colours under the timeline correspond to those shown in the map arrows. TE families are shown by their family number corresponding to their names in the curated library. TE family peak activity is estimated based on the populations showing the highest mean copy number for TE families with a population mean TE copy number range > 5. Candidate TE families associated with host functions are shown in bold, with host functions and TE classifications indicated in the key.

Next, we determined whether the resistance-associated SNP allele correlated with the inserted TE. Only 16 isolates, all from the European population, have the resistance allele co-occurring with the presence of *family_1437* on chromosome 13 (Figure 6A), whilst 146 isolates possess the resistance SNP allele without the TE, and 168 isolates possess the TE with the susceptible SNP allele. The majority of isolates, 1,238, contain the susceptible SNP allele and lack the TE insertion. A more nuanced pattern is observed at the locus on chromosome 7, where the TE insertion is only present in isolates from Argentina & Uruguay, and all isolates with this TE (TE locus frequency = 50%, present in 14 isolates) have the resistance allele at the same three

of five loci associated with resistance to mefentrifluconazole (positions: 1,456,821;

1,456,845; 1,464,109). Three isolates (11%) possess resistance alleles at these 3

positions in the absence of the LTR insertion, whilst the remaining 11 isolates (39%)

in Argentina & Uruguay do not contain the LTR insertion or any of the resistance-

associated SNPs (Figure 6). The common frequency of this LTR insertion and a co-

occurrence with 3 SNPs associated with fungicide resistance at this locus provides

evidence to support a putative association between the candidate TE and local

adaptation through modifying a fungicide resistance phenotype in the Argentina

& Uruguay population, however functional characterisation will be required to

confirm a mechanistic role for the TE insertion.

Figure 6. Co-occurrence of fungicide resistance-associated loci and proximal to candidate adaptive TE insertions. Populations are ordered using the colonisation timeline of wheat (Figure 1). Each row is a distinct fungicide resistance-associated loci (SNPs), with bars indicating the presence of susceptible or resistance alleles, as indicated in the key. Left-hand plots show the proportion of isolates within a single population with susceptible or resistance SNPs in the absence of the proximal TE. Right-hand plots show the proportion of isolates within a single population with susceptible or resistance SNPs in the presence of the proximal TE.

Throughout the global expansion of *Z. tritici*, populations have been exposed to
heterogeneous selection driven by climate including changes in temperature,
precipitation, and seasonality. Recently, 21 copy number variants (CNVs) were
found to be significantly associated with climatic factors at 14 independent loci[57].
We performed genome-wide environmental association mapping on TE loci and
10 principal components representing variation among 76 bioclimatic variables.
We identified 2 TE loci significantly associated with variations in climate
(Supplementary Table S7) including the same LINE located 1,516bp upstream of a
putative effector on chromosome 3 (*family_270*) is also located 873bp downstream
of a *PIF-Harbinger* DNA TE (*family_997*) that is significantly associated with
bioclimate PC4, with the top positive loadings associated with precipitation in the
warmest and driest quarters, precipitation in the driest month, annual
precipitation, and the minimum monthly climate moisture index (Supplementary
Table S7; Supplementary Table S8). On chromosome 15, an LTR element,
family_492, is nested at a locus containing an unclassified TE (*family_1037*), which
is significantly associated with bioclimate PC3, with the top positive loadings
associated with precipitation in the wettest and coldest quarters, maximum
monthly climate moisture index, annual precipitation, and net primary
productivity (Supplementary Table S7; Supplementary Table S8). However, in both
cases, there is no co-occurrence of bioclimate-associated TEs with local adaptation
TE candidates.

The closest polymorphic TE locus to an effector (Zt_3_904) is a LINE (*family_270*),
found 1,516bp upstream of the transcription start site at common frequency in the
Chile population (Supplementary Table S7). Zt_3_904 is a putative suppressor of
flg22-induced reactive oxygen species (ROS) burst[58], with potential importance in
successful host colonisation to overcome plant defences and maintain a redox
environment conducive to cell viability[59]. 3 candidate TEs are associated with host
genes within 3 separate biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) (Supplementary Table
S7). A rolling circle element on chromosome 1 is associated with genes involved in
terpene synthase synthesis, whilst a DNA TE insertion on chromosome 11 is
associated with an NRPS-like BGC, and a MITE on chromosome 12 is associated
with a Non-alpha poly-amino acids (NAPAA) BGC. In all three cases the genes have
likely auxiliary BGC functions rather than being the core gene.

Discussion

Despite growing evidence for TE polymorphism facilitating host adaptation, the TE dynamics leading up to the emergence of adaptive variation remain poorly understood. The extensive genomic resources for *Z. tritici*, combined with knowledge on the pathogen colonisation timeline over the last ~10,000 years, provided a unique opportunity to explore population-level TE-host evolutionary dynamics in the largest global sampling dataset to date. This framework enabled us to characterise population-specific waves of TE activity associated with the pathogen's global spread and facilitated the systematic characterisation of the dynamics underlying the emergence of TE-mediated adaptive variation. Curated TE databases are largely deficient in fungal sequences. As such, little is known about the diversity of TEs across the fungal kingdom despite the clinical and agricultural relevance of fungal pathogens and their TE-mediated adaptation.

We characterised TE activity across the colonisation timeline for *Z. tritici*, and found extensive population-specific activity, with accumulation of novel TE insertions coinciding with expansion into novel environments, putatively resulting from TE derepression in line with the longstanding stress-induced TE activation hypothesis[13,15,21,35,60–62]. Importantly, different colonisation stages are characterised by the proliferation of distinct TE families, and at different intensities, demonstrating the highly localised nature of TE activity among distinct populations. For example, the early spread of *Z. tritici* into Africa and Europe led to a wave of peak activity in 10 distinct TE families, whilst expansion into North America led to a wave of peak activity in 19 different TE families, including an intense increase in activity of the LTR retrotransposon *family_71*, which quadrupled in copy number during this colonisation stage. Following increased TE family activity, a species may see the emergence of host benefits mediated by beneficial TE insertions. However, these two stages of TE impacts on the host genome remain poorly connected in nearly all systems studied to date. Among TE insertions with likely beneficial effects, we found the corresponding peak TE family activity to be predominantly in the last 500 years (8/11 TE families). This timeframe matches the pathogen's expansion into North and South America, and Oceania. TE insertions likely impacted host functions such as fungicide resistance, BGCs, adaptation to the climate, and effector genes, suggesting a short time lag between the TE activity

burst and adaptive significance. Conversely, the peak activity of the LTR
retrotransposon, *family_1427*, dates back to the origin of the species in the centre
of origin but the association with fungicide resistance has only been created in
recent decades given the recency of such treatments in agriculture. This suggests
that even older TE activation episodes can act as reservoirs for genomic variation
of current adaptation processes.

Combining our findings, we propose that the expansion of *Z. tritici* into novel
environments has resulted in TE family derepression in an environment-
dependent manner. This localised TE activity, in combination with limited gene
flow and the emergence of bottlenecks in founder populations, has resulted in
significant levels of genomic differentiation among *Z. tritici* populations at the TE
level. This variation can then provide a host benefit through (i) contributing to
extant levels of standing genetic variation facilitating adaptation in derived
populations, or (ii) provide 'pre-adaptation' genetic variation to facilitate rapid
adaptation thousands of generations later. Supporting this, we observe massive
increases in TE activity over timescales as short as 25 years. To our knowledge,
increases in TE copy number of this magnitude (mean TE copy number increase =
387) over such a short timescale have not previously been observed in natural
populations. Assuming a generation time of one year, in the North American
population we observe a mean transposition rate of 15.48 new insertions per
generation, whilst ~0.0040-0.40 SNPs per generation are expected to arise at a
neutral mutation rate of 10^{-8}-10^{-10} substitutions per base pair per generation[63–65].
Therefore, the potential of TEs to generate new variants is magnitudes higher than
SNPs. Furthermore, TE insertion variants may encode regulatory or functional
domains expanding the repertoire of functional consequences for the genome.
Previous work has focused on the role of SNPs in the rapid adaptation of *Z. tritici*
[46,66–69], and the extent of TE activity observed argues for a shift in focus to
incorporate TEs into future investigations to determine the genetic basis of rapid
adaptation in pathogens such as *Z. tritici*.

Connecting adaptive TE insertions to the underlying TE activity in the genome
reveals important features of TE activation lifecycles. Most TE families in *Z. tritici* are
retained at low copy numbers, with a few families dominating the TE landscape.
The LTR retrotransposon, *family_71*, shows a unique expansion of a magnitude not

observed for any other families. This proliferation is consistent with the

derepression of this TE family following the expansion of *Z. tritici* out of the Middle

East, providing support for the role of stress in the induction of transposition

activity[7,13,15]. Most research on TE dynamics in genomes only considers a subset of

actual TE activity, as only TEs that successfully insert into host genomes are

identified. Consequently, the host-TE interactions resulting in the success of this

LTR family whilst others persist at low levels remain elusive, and we require an

improved understanding of TE success rates to characterise the dynamics that

result in either TE families being driven to extinction or TE families expanding in

host genomes and contributing to host evolution. Whilst we cannot exclude the

possibility that at least some of the observed changes in TE copy numbers among

populations are the result of genetic drift and relaxed purifying selection, the most

parsimonious explanation for our findings is ongoing TE activity.

In a gene-dense genome, the likelihood of a successful TE insertion is reduced and

detrimental effects on host genes are more likely. Hence, purifying selection on TEs

should be more intense in gene-dense genomes. Furthermore, fixation of TEs in

gene regions where they can exert regulatory effects on host genes is expected to

provide a benefit to the host and be positively selected. Fixation resulting from

inefficient purifying selection or genetic drift should also be less likely given the

large effective population sizes of the pathogen[33,34]. We identified 45 TEs that have

risen to high population frequencies (≥ 10% and < 95%) with significant signatures

of local adaptation among populations. Because TEs can act as "*plug-and-play*"

genomic units, they can provide host benefits by facilitating complex local

adaptation. Such strong selection pressure to fix adaptive TE insertions might have

been conferred by xenobiotic resistance [70], novel environments such as extreme

cold and human-impacted landscapes [7,30], as well as host-pathogen interactions

[15,71]. Consistent with this, we identified 2 candidate TE insertions associated with

SNPs conferring resistance to fungicides, with a co-occurrence between a DNA TE

and SNPs conferring resistance to mefentrifluconazole in the Argentina & Uruguay

population. TE-mediated xenobiotic resistance mechanisms have been previously

reported and functionally characterised in several insect lineages[72–76], and

resistance to DMIs has been functionally demonstrated in *Penicillium digitatum*[77],

which was conferred by MITE-altered expression of PdCYP51B. In *Z. tritici*,

comprehensive antifungal resistance mapping efforts revealed that resistance loci

were overrepresented in repetitive regions of the genome suggesting a broad involvement of TE-driven adaptive variants[46,66]. Given the strong selection pressure imposed on plant pathogen populations by fungicides, and the ability of TEs to exert regulatory effects on nearby host genes, TE insertion variants should be the primary focus for the detection of emerging resistance in the field.

The advances in population-level sequencing of TE variants and connecting such polymorphism to adaptive trait variation raise major questions how much of the total adaptive variation in species gene pools has been generated by TE activity alone. Quantifying these contributions requires characterisation of TE dynamics beyond traditional model systems and species groups. The approach taken here illustrates the widespread and repeated contributions of TEs to the generation of genomic novelty within a single species in the understudied fungal kingdom. We shed light on the power of TEs to generate extensive genomic variation within and among populations over extremely short timescales, measured in decades rather than millions of years. We find that exposure to novel environments, and the establishment of new populations, underpins distinct waves of TE activity generating adaptive genetic variation and geographic differentiation. These waves of TE activity result in large numbers of new insertions even in the suppressive landscape of a gene-dense genome. The dynamism of TE activity may overcome limitations in species gene pools and drive responses to changing environments more quickly than previously expected. Our findings support tight integration of TE variant monitoring into investigations of rapid adaptation, particularly in systems where TEs might be derepressed. The activation of TEs in response to novel environments also sheds light on the potential impact that climate change could have on host genomes, particularly related to the movement and expansion of pathogens relevant for food security and human health. However, routine implementation of long-read sequencing in population studies will be required to fully resolve TE dynamics. Beyond this, future research needs to broaden sampling of TE diversity beyond traditionally studied species groups.

Materials and Methods

Generation of a Manually-Curated TE Library for *Zymoseptoria tritici*

We annotated 19 reference-quality genome assemblies representing the global diversity of *Z. tritici* and 4 reference-quality genome assemblies for the sister species (*Z. pseudotritici, Z. passerinii, Z. ardabiliae,* and *Z. brevis*)[78–81] with Earl Grey (v3.0; https://github.com/TobyBaril/EarlGrey)[47] to *de novo* detect and generate putative TE consensus sequences. TE consensus sequences were first clustered using CD-Hit-Est (v4.8.1)[82,83] to reduce redundancy in the initial library by grouping sequences with 90% similarity across at least 80% of the total length of the longer sequence to prevent collapsing of chimeric consensus sequences. We manually curated consensus sequences following the protocols described by[84]. Genomic copies of each TE with 1,000bp of flanking sequence at each end were obtained from all 23 genome assemblies using a "BLAST, Extract, Align, Trim" process [85]. We selected the 25 longest hits, along with up to 75 random hits for each TE query sequence, and generated multiple sequence alignments with MAFFT --auto (v7.505)[86] followed by T-COFFEE (v13.45.0.4846264)[87] to remove columns composed of ≥80% gaps. Resultant sequence alignments were manually curated to define boundaries of single-copy DNA sequence (i.e. visible TE boundaries), identify TE subfamilies, and to remove regions of low conservation. New majority-rule consensus sequences were constructed with EMBOSS (v6.6.0) cons[88] after which TE consensi were visually inspected using TE-Aid (https://github.com/clemgoub/TE-Aid/) to identify diagnostic features characteristic of the main TE classifications[89]. We recorded the presence of TIRs , along with their sequence, and homology to curated TEs in Dfam (v3.7)[90,91] using nhmmscan (HMMER v3.3.2)[92]. These sources of information were combined to manually classify each TE consensus sequence using the convention 'ZymTri_2023_family_n#classification/family' for compatibility with RepeatMasker. Consensus sequences classified with low confidence are labelled with both '?' and '_LowConf' appended to the name. Following *de novo* TE extension, curation, and new consensus generation, a second redundancy filter for the final TE library was run in CD-Hit-Est to collapse TEs to family-level using the '80-80-80 rule' (≥80%

identity over ≥80% sequence length over ≥80bp)[84,89]. CD-Hit-Est alignments were
manually inspected to select the representative sequence in each cluster by
choosing the most intact sequence with the highest classification confidence level.
Chimeric sequences erroneously clustered with their individual counterparts were
manually separated to retain sequences for the chimeric TE and their individual
elements.

In total, 331 TE consensus sequences are found in the *Z. tritici* library, of which 199
are confidently classified, 27 are classified with low confidence, and 105 are putative
TEs labelled as '*Unclassified*'. The manually-curated TE library can be freely
accessed at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8379981[48,93].

The non-redundant reference-quality genome assembly was annotated using the
manually-curated TE library using earlGreyAnnotationOnly in the Earl Grey
package, which annotates TEs using RepeatMasker, before post-processing
annotations to resolve fragmented and overlapping annotations, and to identify
full-length LTR elements[47]. Annotations are provided in Supplementary File 2, and
are used for the McClintock2 analysis described below.

686 Sourcing of Short-Read Genomes for the global panel

687 We obtained short-read genomes provided from [42] and [46]. Samples cover the global
688 distribution of *Z. tritici*, from the centre of origin in the Middle East to the most
689 recently derived populations in Oceania. Samples were taken over a span of
690 decades, with the earliest samples isolated in 1981, and the latest in 2019. Genome
691 information is available in supplementary table S9.

693 SNP Calling

We generated a non-redundant genome assembly representing the global
diversity of *Z. tritici* from the reference-quality *Z. tritici* genome assemblies using
REVEAL (REcursive Exact-matching ALigner)
(https://github.com/jasperlinthorst/reveal), starting with the IPO323 assembly and

iteratively aligning subsequent *Z. tritici* genome assemblies. The finished draft
genome was made to conform to the layout of the initial backbone sequence of
IPO323 (finish –order=chains). Unmapped fragments of at least 10kb were
appended to the non-redundant genome assembly fasta with headers informative
of their genomic locations in their respective source genomes.

We performed variant calling following the protocols and using scripts described
in [42]. Briefly, sequence variants were called using short-read mapping to the non-
redundant genome assembly representing the global diversity of *Z. tritici*. Reads
were filtered and trimmed using Trimmomatic (v0.40)[94] to remove adapter
sequences, trimming leading and trailing bases with a quality lower than 15, and
removing sequences shorter than 50bp. Trimmed reads were mapped to the non-
redundant genome assembly using bowtie2 (v2.5.2)[95,96]. GATK4 (v4.4.0.0)[97] was
used to call short variants with the commands HaplotypeCaller, Combine GVCFs,
and GenotypeGVCFs, setting ploidy to 1 and the maximum number of alternative
alleles to 2.

We performed several steps of quality filtering, starting with a standard set of hard
filters using the GATK quality metrics with thresholds set using visual inspection of
the metrics across the called variants. The per-site filters used were: FS>10, MQ<20,
QD<20, ReadPosRankSum, MQRankSum, and BaseQRankSum between -2 and 2.
Genotypes with depth <3 were also removed.

Genotyping errors can occur due to misalignment or the presence of repeated
sequences in the genome. In the case of *Z. tritici*, erroneous variant calls can arise
where near-equal numbers of reads support the reference and alternative allele,
which should be recognised as errors in a haploid organism. To resolve these errors,
genotypes with <90% of reads supporting the called allele were discarded.
Following this, included samples were filtered with vcftools (v0.1.16)[98] –missing-indv
and –depth options to remove samples with >20% missing data and a mean depth
of coverage <6 on core chromosomes of the IPO323 backbone of the non-
redundant genome assembly. We removed clonal, or near-clonal, samples by
creating a network of isolates with an identity-by-state value >0.99 as calculated by
plink (v1.90b6.21)[99]. Subgraphs representing groups of clones were extracted in R
(v4.3.3)[100] using the tidygraph and ggraph packages[101,102] in the RStudio IDE[103,104]. For
each network, the isolate with the lowest amount of missing data was retained,

whilst all other isolates were discarded. Following these filtering steps, the final
isolate count was 1,953 and the total variant count (indels and SNPS) was 11,066,828.

Population Structure Analyses

To estimate the global population structure of *Z. tritici*, the total SNP set was
filtered to sample 1 SNP/kb, with no missing data, and a minor allele frequency of
0.05, resulting in a total of 10,704 SNPs. A clustering analysis was run using the snmf
clustering method in the LEA R package[105]. Clustering was run for K values (number
of clusters) ranging from 1 to 15 with 10 repetitions per K. The best K was calculated
using the entropy method in snmf to determine the best-fit model, in addition to
manual evaluation based on smallest cluster size and the number of isolates
assigned to a cluster with a coefficient of >0.75. For downstream analysis, isolates
were assigned to discrete populations at the coefficient threshold at K=13. We
collapsed two clusters predominantly assigned to isolates of European origin into
a single Europe cluster, in line with previous studies which assign European isolates
to a single dominant cluster[42]. This resulted in a total of 12 distinct genetic clusters,
including one labelled as "Hybrid", containing isolates that could not be assigned
at the coefficient threshold.

Benchmarking Short-Read Transposable Element Annotation
Tools

Several tools exist for annotating TEs from short-read sequencing to facilitate
population-level studies. 12 tools are included in the McClintock2 meta-pipeline
(https://github.com/bergmanlab/mcclintock)[49], namely: ngs_te_mapper[106],
ngs_te_mapper2[107], PoPoolationTE[108], PoPoolationTE2[109], RelocaTE[110], RelocaTE2[111],
RetroSeq[112], TEBreak[113], TEFLoN[50], TE-locate[114], TEMP[115], and TEMP2[52]. We were unable
to successfully run relocaTE2 and therefore we evaluated the performance of 11
methodologies to determine which should be used to detect TE insertions in our
genome panel. A combination of tools is potentially required to detect both
reference and non-reference TE insertions from short-read datasets. In this context,

reference insertions are those present in the reference genome (*i.e.* the assembly
which short reads are mapped to), whilst non-reference insertions are those that
are present in the short reads but missing in the reference genome. To determine
the best tool, or combination of tools, to annotate TEs across 1,953 genomes, we
employed several benchmarking analyses for method selection. We consistently
ran all methods in McClintock2 with default parameters.

To assess tool annotation performance (analysis 1), we used chromosome 1 of the
CRI10 *Z. tritici* reference genome[78] as the "*reference*" assembly, with TEs annotated
by RepeatMasker (-s -norna) (v4.1.4)[116]) using our *de novo* TE library. 100x depth
short reads were generated using ART (version MountRainier-2016-06-05)[117] (-ss
HS25 --noALN -l 100 --paired --mflen 375 --sdev 110 --fcov 100) and a modified
version of chromosome 1 of CRI10 with 36 extra random TE sequences inserted at
known positions. The aim of analysis 1 is for each tool to successfully annotate all
"*reference*" TEs (i.e. those present in the unmodified chromosome 1 CRI10
reference) along with 36 "*non-reference*" TEs (i.e those present in the short reads
from modified CRI10, but not in the "reference" assembly to which reads are being
mapped).

To assess the ability of tools to avoid false positive annotations (analysis 2), we used
the modified chromosome 1 of CRI10 (i.e. with the extra 36 random TE sequences
added) as the "*reference*" assembly, with TEs annotated by RepeatMasker using
our *de novo* TE library. 100x depth short reads were generated using ART (-ss HS25
--noALN -l 100 --paired --mflen 375 --sdev 110 --fcov 100) and the unmodified version
of chromosome 1 of CRI10. The aim of analysis 2 is for each tool to successfully
annotate only "*reference*" TEs, but missing the 36 artificially added TE insertions,
which are not present in the short-read dataset. Here, any TEs labelled as non-
reference are false-positives or mislabelled if they are indeed reference insertions.

To assess the consistency between TE polymorphism calls from short-read and
long-read data, (analyses 3 and 4), we used the IPO323 genome assembly as the
"*reference*" assembly, with TEs annotated by RepeatMasker using our *de novo* TE
library. For analysis 3, McClintock2 methods were used to map short reads from the
CRI10   isolate[42]   to   annotate   TEs.   For   analysis   4,   pbsv
(v2.9.0)(https://github.com/PacificBiosciences/pbsv)   was   used   to   call   structural
variation (SV) using long reads from the same CRI10 isolate[78]. The aim of analyses 3

and 4 is to use both long and short reads from the same isolate (*i.e.* genetically
identical read sets) to determine whether SV calls using long-read data support TE
absence (*i.e.* deletions in comparison to the reference) and non-reference TE
presence (*i.e.* TEs present in CRI10 but absent in IPO323).

For analyses 1 and 2, reference TE loci were intersected with the TE annotations
GFF3 file produced by each method in McClintock2 using intersectBed in
BEDTools (v2.31.0)[118]. True positives are defined as shared hits between the
reference and method GFF3 files. False negatives are defined as annotations in the
reference GFF3 missing in the method GFF3. False positives are defined as
annotations present in the method GFF3 but missing in the reference GFF3.
Method annotations were labelled based on the TE classification and insertion type
(*i.e.* reference or non-reference TE) to evaluate overall method performance using
R. Pearon's Chi-Squared Test for Independence was performed in R (chisq.test) to
test for significant differences among TE annotation methods.

For analyses 3 and 4, TE loci annotations generated by McClintock2 methods in
analysis 3 were intersected with the SV calls generated by pbsv in analysis 4 using
intersectBed in BEDTools. Shared and unique loci were analysed in R and labels
assigned to evaluate the level of evidence supporting a call from the short-read
analyses. An annotation using a McClintock2 method was labelled as "supported"
by long-read data if the McClintock2 annotation is a non-reference insertion, and
the SV call is an insertion. Additionally, a reference annotation using McClintock2
methods was labelled as "supported" if there was no SV call, as reference insertions
should not generate SV calls, as they are present in both the reference genome (*i.e.*
IPO323) and the long-read dataset (CRI10 reads). McClintock2 method calls were
labelled as "dubious" if: (i) the McClintock2 call is a non-reference insertion, and the
SV call is "imprecise"; (ii) the McClintock2 call is a reference insertion, and the SV
call is "imprecise", "deletion", or "insertion", as TE insertions are likely to have
degraded to different extents between CRI10 and IPO323. McClintock2 method
calls were labelled as "unsupported" if: (i) the McClintock2 call is a non-reference
insertion, and the SV call is "deletion", "translocation", "inversion", or "duplication";
(ii) the McClintock2 call is a reference insertion, and the SV call is "inversion",
"translocation", or "duplication"; (iii) the McClintock2 method call is a non-reference
insertion, and it is missing from the SV calls. Pearson's Chi-Squared Test for

Independence was performed in R (chisq.test) to test for significant differences among TE annotation methods.

Following benchmarking, we decided to combine three methods: TEFLoN[50], PoPoolationTE[51], and TEMP2[52], with the latter used only to annotate non-reference insertions. The non-redundant set of annotations from this combination of methods was benchmarked using analyses 1 to 4 to assess the improvement gained by combining methods. For all downstream analyses, we use this combination of methods, and a non-redundant set of TE annotations is generated using intersectBed in BEDTools and custom scripts to add PoPoolationTE and TEMP2 non-reference annotations to TEFLoN annotations. Full benchmarking results are provided in supplementary file 1.

Before annotating the *Z. tritici* global panel, we wanted to understand the potential impact of variation in sequencing technology and read depth on our ability to detect TEs. We took the isolate with the most reads in each collection and downsampled these to read thresholds calculated based on the isolate from the collection with the lowest read count and shortest reads (Hartmann_FstQst_2015). All test isolate read sets were downsampled to the following read count thresholds using seqtk sample (v1.4-r122)(https://github.com/lh3/seqtk) with randomly specified seeds per paired-end read set: (i) 9,860,000; (ii) 8,410,000; (iii) 7,480,000; (iv) 6,540,000; (v) 5,610,000; (vi) 4,670,000; (vii) 3,740,000; (viii) 2,800,000; (ix) 1, 870,000; (x) 935,000. Models were fitted between read count and TE locus count in R and the minimum threshold for each dataset was determined as the minimum number of reads before the change in gradient was ≥0.1. Each isolate dataset was labelled as "accepted" if the read count was above this threshold, "borderline" if the read count was within 10% of the threshold, and "rejected" if the read count was >10% below the threshold. In total, 418 isolates were accepted, 104 were borderline, and 1,431 were rejected. Given the large number of rejected isolates, we retained all isolates in the global panel for downstream analyses. Consequently, we likely underestimate the TE content in rejected isolates and therefore will only detect the strongest differences in TE locus frequency among populations and miss more subtle and potentially more recent patterns.

Annotating Transposable Element Insertions in the global panel

Following benchmarking, we analysed all 1,953 short-read genomes with
McClintock2 methods TEFLoN, PoPoolationTE, and TEMP2 (non-reference only) to
annotate TEs in each isolate. Here, each set of sequencing reads was mapped to
the non-redundant assembly representing the global diversity of *Z. tritici*
generated for this study (see methods: SNP Calling). McClintock2 was also provided
with the manually-curated TE library for *Z. tritici* in FASTA format, a GFF3 file
containing the TE annotations for the non-redundant assembly, and a taxonomy
file providing mapping between each unique TE in the GFF3 file and its respective
classification. For each run, the resultant TE annotations were combined to make
a non-redundant set comprising positions identified by TEFLoN, PoPoolationTE,
and TEMP2, using BEDTools to add unique positions from PoPoolationTE and
TEMP2 to the TEFLoN annotations.

By default, McClintock2 filters annotations for quality. For a TE annotation to be
called, all three methods require all TE annotations to be supported by ≥10% of the
total reads, whilst TEFLoN also requires a minimum of 3 reads supporting insertion
presence and non-reference hits to be "*supported by both 5' and 3' breakpoints*".
PoPoolationTE requires insertions to be supported by "*reads on both the forward*
and reverse strand", whilst TEMP2 requires insertions to be supported by "*reads*
mapping to both ends of the insertion". We visualised the frequency of reads
supporting each TE annotation using R and ggplot2 (v3.5.0)[119] to determine if
further quality filtering was needed. Given that *Z. tritici* is haploid, we set a further
quality threshold to remove any positions with a read frequency ≤0.5, equivalent to
a TE annotation having a 50% chance of being false. For each isolate, ~90% of total
TE annotations were supported by ≥90% of mapped reads supporting the presence
of a TE insertion.

TE locus information was parsed in R to label every locus from each isolate. Each
locus was given an individual ID, and loci were defined as shared among isolates if
annotations were for the same TE family on the same contig, at overlapping
coordinates and/or within 100bp of each other. Each locus was labelled with
diagnostic information: (i) a unique numeric locus identifier; (ii) as "*reference*" if it
was present in the non-redundant genome assembly, or "*non-reference*" if it was

absent; (iii) the contig where the annotation is found; (iv) the TE family identifier

from the *de novo* TE library; (v) Either "*rare*" if the TE is present in < 10% of the

isolates in the respective population, "*common*" if the TE is present in ≥ 10% and <

95% of the isolates in the respective population, and "*fixed*" if the TE is present in >

95% of the isolates in the respective population, following the recommendations of

[53].

To determine the importance of TEs in driving population differentiation, we

generated a TE locus presence/absence matrix. TE loci were filtered to remove

positions present in <10 isolates, reducing the locus count to 17,129. A principal

component analysis (PCA) was performed using prcomp in R and results were

visualised with ggplot2. All subsequent downstream analyses were performed

using R, in the Rstudio IDE, and the tidyverse (v2.0.0), data.table (v1.14.10), and

magrittr (v2.0.3) packages. Plots were generated with ggplot2[119] and KaryoploteR[120].

Detection of Putatively Adaptive Transposable Element Insertions

We performed genome-wide scans for adaptive differentiation using the core

model in BayPass (v2.4)[54], which accounts for the correlation structure among

allele frequencies and facilitates the detection of putative TEs subject to adaptive

variation based on the XtX statistic[121]. As described by [54], the core model has several

advantages over other methods of detecting adaptive variation as it explicitly

accounts for the demographic history of populations, accounting for co-variation

of allele frequences due to shared history and genetic drift (covariance matrix Ω),

whilst making no assumptions about the underlying demography. BayPass

calculates the XtX statistic, which allows consideration of more complex population

histories (*i.e.* migration and ancestral admixture), and is corrected for the scaled

covariance of population allele frequencies[54]. Significant outlier XtX values are used

to identify variants with strong signatures of adaptive differentiation. BayPass

exhibits a low false discovery rate and high power for strongly associated variants,

but is more limited in its ability to identify more weakly-associated variants[54],

therefore limiting our detection to strongly associated variants.

Only isolates that were confidently assigned to a genetic cluster were included,
resulting in a dataset containing 1,569 individuals. We filtered TE loci to maximise
our ability to detect putatively adaptive loci with high confidence: (i) TE loci unique
to a single isolate were removed; (ii) TE loci were removed if they were not found in
at least 10% of the isolates of at least one population (*i.e.* TE loci rising to common
frequency in at least one population were retained); (iii) TE loci were removed if
they were not polymorphic in at least one population. This reduced our analysis to
11,073 TE loci. The core model was provided with the relatedness matrix used to
assign isolates to their respective genetic clusters (see methods: Determining the
population structure of *Zymoseptoria tritici*), to ensure consistency in the
estimations of population structure. The genotyping input file was generated from
the TE presence/absence matrix used above in R. Briefly, the genotyping file
contained TE presence and absence counts (in lieu of reference and alternative
allele counts if SNPs were used) per site and per population. TE presence counts
were used as the alternative count, whilst TE absence counts were used as the
reference count[122].

The BayPass core model was run with 40 pilot runs of 1,000 iterations, followed by
burn-in of 1,000,000 iterations and 10,000,000 model runs sampled with a thinning
interval of 1,000 to result in a total value count of 10,000. The model was run three
times with different seeds to verify convergence among separate model estimates.
Following model runs, TEs with very low allele frequencies (MAF <0.01) based on
the mean of the posterior distribution of the frequency of the reference allele
across populations for each site were filtered, as recommended in the BayPass
documentation   (https://forgemia.inra.fr/mathieu.gautier/baypass_public/)[54].   To
control for multiple testing, the p-value distributions were manually inspected. As
the p-value distributions were uniform, we applied a false discovery rate correction
using the Benjamini-Hochberg method in R, as recommended in the BayPass
documentation. We focused on XtX statistic scores below an FDR-corrected
significance threshold of 0.05 and define these as significant outliers and putative
candidate TE loci under positive selection within a population. Loci were then
filtered further so that only TEs on the IPO323 chromosomal-level backbone of the
non-redundant genome assembly were considered, as these contained genomic
sufficient context along with gene annotations to facilitate downstream analyses.

We also performed scans using the same parameters and three long model runs
using SNP loci to assess the co-localisation among over-differentiated TE and SNP
loci. Similarly, only isolates assigned to genetic clusters were included (1,569
individuals). Loci with >10% missing data were removed, resulting in 4,189,176 SNPs.
The genotyping input file was generated from the SNP-calling VCF in R, resulting
in a file containing SNP allele calls per site and per population. Consistent with the
TE analysis, SNPs with very low allele frequencies (MAF <0.01) based on the mean
of the posterior distribution of the frequency of the reference allele across
populations for each site were filtered. P-value distributions were manually
inspected and a q-value transformation was applied to control for multiple testing
in R using the qvalue package(v2.36.0)[123], as recommended in the BayPass
documentation. SNPs were defined as significant outliers if their XtX statistics were
in the top 0.05% of values, and their associated q-values were below 0.05.

Integration of bioclimatic, fungicide resistance and gene function datasets

To gain insight into the potential mechanistic impacts of candidate TE loci, we
obtained: (i) Gene annotations for the reference assembly IPO323[124]; (ii) SNP loci
significantly associated with fungicide resistance from a *Z. tritici* fungicide
resistance atlas[46]; (iii) Data for 76 bioclimatic variables from the Climatologies at
high resolution for the earth's land surface areas dataset (CHELSA v2.1)
(https://chelsa-climate.org/bioclim/)[125,126]; (iv) Effector gene amino acid sequences
from [58] (v) Biosynthetic cluster annotations for the reference assembly IPO323[57].

Genes within 1,000bp of candidate TE loci were obtained using BEDTools window.
Candidate gene amino acid sequences were annotated with InterProScan (v5.67-
99.0)[55,56] to assign predicted gene functions, GO terms, and MetaCyc and Reactome
pathway memberships.

SNPs with significant associations to fungicide resistance within 1,000bp of
candidate TE loci were obtained with BEDTools window. Information on the
specific fungicides to which resistance is conferred and SNPeff predicted impacts
were obtained from the fungicide resistance atlas[46].

For each isolate, geographical coordinates were obtained from attached metadata.
In some cases, assigned coordinates were inferred based on the most precise
sampling data available, as previously described[42]. The sampling coordinates for
each isolate were used to approximate the environmental conditions from all 76
bioclimatic variables, which are averaged over the period 1981-2010[125,126]. We
generated a pseudo-VCF containing all TE calls for each isolate, where 0
represented TE absence and 1 represented TE presence (Supplementary File 3). We
identified genotype-to-environment associations among TE loci and bioclimatic
variables using vcf2gwas (https://github.com/frankvogt/vcf2gwas)[127], specifying the
use of univariate linear mixed models (-lmm). Given the high correlation among
distinct bioclimatic variables (Supplementary Figure S6), we also performed PCA-
based dimensionality reduction on the bioclimatic variables within vcf2gwas (--
PCA 10), which were then used as the phenotypes for the genotype-to-
environment association. Following this, TEs with significant genotype-to-
environment associations were filtered to retain those within 1,000bp of candidate
TE loci.

We obtained predicted effector gene amino acid sequences[58] and identified
corresponding loci in the IPO323 reference assembly used in this study using
tblastn[85] followed by manual curation (Supplementary Table S10). A bed file was
generated and BEDTools window was used to identify putative effector genes
within 1,000bp of candidate TE loci.

Biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) are arrays of genes involved in the production of
diverse secondary metabolites[128], and they often exhibit large presence/absence
variation among individuals and populations[57]. We obtained BGC annotations for
the IPO323 reference assembly from [57], and cross-referenced the gene members
with genes located with 1,000bp of candidate TEs to assign predicted BGC
functions to genes at these loci.

Plots to illustrate the association among candidate TEs and aforementioned data
sources were generated using circos (v0.69-8)[129].

1015

1016

Data Availability

The data underlying this article, are available in the article and in its online supplementary material on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15980625). The manually curated TE library is available from Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.8379980) and has been submitted to the Dfam consortium (Dfam Release 3.9).

Code Availability

All custom code used in this study is provided in a public GitHub repository at https://github.com/TobyBaril/Baril2025_ZT_TE_PopGen.

Source Data

Source data for figures are provided in Supplementary File 5 on Zenodo (DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.15980625).

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

DC was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant 201149) and by an Innosuisse grant (32532.1 IP-LS).

References

1. McClintock, B. "Controlling Elements and the Gene." *Cold Spring Harb. Symp. Quant. Biol.* **21**, 197–216 (1956).

2. Merenciano, M. & González, J. The Interplay Between Developmental Stage and Environment Underlies the Adaptive Effect of a Natural Transposable Element Insertion. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **40**, (2023).

3. Schmidt, J. M. *et al.* Copy number variation and transposable elements feature in recent, ongoing adaptation at the Cyp6g1 locus. *PLoS Genet.* **6**, e1000998 (2010).

4. Puinean, A. M. *et al.* Amplification of a cytochrome P450 gene is associated with resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides in the aphid Myzus persicae. *PLoS Genet.* **6**, 1–11 (2010).

5. Omrane, S. *et al.* Plasticity of the MFS1 Promoter Leads to Multidrug Resistance in the Wheat Pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici. *mSphere* **2**, (2017).

6. Krishnan, P. *et al.* Transposable element insertions shape gene regulation and melanin production in a fungal pathogen of wheat. *BMC Biol.* **16**, 78 (2018).

7. Tahami, M. S. *et al.* Transposable elements in a cold-tolerant fly species, Drosophila montana: a link to adaptation to the harsh cold environments. *bioRxiv* 2024.04.17.589934 (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.04.17.589934.

8. de Koning, A. P. J., Gu, W., Castoe, T. A., Batzer, M. A. & Pollock, D. D. Repetitive elements may comprise over Two-Thirds of the human genome. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, (2011).

9. Vicient, C. M. Transcriptional activity of transposable elements in maize. *BMC Genomics* **11**, 601 (2010).

10. Canapa, A., Barucca, M., Biscotti, M. A., Forconi, M. & Olmo, E. Transposons, Genome Size, and Evolutionary Insights in Animals. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* **147**, 217–239 (2015).

11. Bleykasten-Grosshans, C. & Neuvéglise, C. Transposable elements in yeasts. *C. R. Biol.* **334**, 679–686 (2011).

12. Wöstemeyer, J. & Kreibich, A. Repetitive DNA elements in fungi (Mycota): impact on genomic architecture and evolution. *Curr. Genet.* **41**, 189–198 (2002).

13. Chénais, B., Caruso, A., Hiard, S. & Casse, N. The impact of transposable elements on eukaryotic genomes: From genome size increase to genetic adaptation to stressful environments. *Gene* **509**, 7–15 (2012).

14. Horváth, V., Merenciano, M. & González, J. Revisiting the Relationship between
Transposable Elements and the Eukaryotic Stress Response. *Trends Genet.* **33**,
832–841 (2017).

15. Fouché, S. *et al.* Stress-Driven Transposable Element De-repression Dynamics
and Virulence Evolution in a Fungal Pathogen. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **37**, 221–239
(2020).

16. Sundaram, V. *et al.* Widespread contribution of transposable elements to the
innovation of gene regulatory networks. *Genome Res.* **24**, 1963–1976 (2014).

17. Bourque, G. *et al.* Ten things you should know about transposable elements.
Genome Biol. **19**, 199 (2018).

18. Cosby, R. L., Chang, N.-C. & Feschotte, C. Host–transposon interactions: conflict,
cooperation, and cooption. *Genes Dev.* **33**, 1098–1116 (2019).

19. Wells, J. N. & Feschotte, C. A Field Guide to Eukaryotic Transposable Elements.
Annu. Rev. Genet. **54**, 539–561 (2020).

20. Badet, T., Tralamazza, S. M., Feurtey, A. & Croll, D. Recent reactivation of a
pathogenicity-associated transposable element is associated with major
chromosomal rearrangements in a fungal wheat pathogen. *Nucleic Acids Res.*
52, 1226–1242 (2024).

21. Schrader, L. & Schmitz, J. The impact of transposable elements in adaptive
evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* (2018) doi:10.1111/mec.14794.

22. Kapitonov, V. V. & Koonin, E. V. Evolution of the RAG1-RAG2 locus: Both proteins
came from the same transposon. *Biol. Direct* **10**, 1–8 (2015).

23. Proença, J. T., Barral, D. C. & Gordo, I. Commensal-to-pathogen transition: One-
single transposon insertion results in two pathoadaptive traits in Escherichia
coli -macrophage interaction. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 4504 (2017).

24. Butelli, E. *et al.* Retrotransposons Control Fruit-Specific, Cold-Dependent
Accumulation of Anthocyanins in Blood Oranges. *Plant Cell* **24**, 1242–1255
(2012).

25. Daborn, P. J. *et al.* A single p450 allele associated with insecticide resistance in
Drosophila. *Science* **297**, 2253–2256 (2002).

26. de Little, S. C., Edwards, O., van Rooyen, A. R., Weeks, A. & Umina, P. A. Discovery
of metabolic resistance to neonicotinoids in green peach aphids (Myzus
persicae) in Australia. *Pest Manag. Sci.* **73**, 1611–1617 (2017).

27. Möller, M. & Stukenbrock, E. H. Evolution and genome architecture in fungal
plant pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **15**, 771 (2017).

28. Lorrain, C., Oggenfuss, U., Croll, D., Duplessis, S. & Stukenbrock, E. Transposable
elements in fungi: Coevolution with the host genome shapes, genome
architecture, plasticity and adaptation. *Encyclopedia of* (2021) doi:10.1016/B978-
0-12-819990-9.00042-1.

29. Hof, A. E. V. t. *et al.* The industrial melanism mutation in British peppered moths
is a transposable element. *Nature* **534**, 102–105 (2016).

30. Vargas-Chavez, C. *et al.* Transposable element variants and their potential
adaptive impact in urban populations of the malaria vector Anopheles coluzzii.
Genome Res. **32**, 189–202 (2022).

31. Ullastres, A., Merenciano, M. & González, J. Regulatory regions in natural
transposable element insertions drive interindividual differences in response
to immune challenges in Drosophila. *Genome Biol.* **22**, 265 (2021).

32. Rouzic, A. L., Boutin, T. S. & Capy, P. Long-term evolution of transposable
elements. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **104**, 19375–19380
(2007).

33. Charlesworth, B. The Population Genetics of Transposable Elements.
Populaion Genetics and Molecular Evolution 219–232 (1985).

34. Charlesworth, B., Sniegowski, P. & Stephan, W. The evolutionary dynamics of
repetitive DNA in eukaryotes. *Nature* **371**, 215–220 (1994).

35. Belyayev, A. Bursts of transposable elements as an evolutionary driving force.
J. Evol. Biol. **27**, 2573–2584 (2014).

36. Scarpa, A. *et al.* Double trouble: two retrotransposons triggered a cascade of
invasions in Drosophila species within the last 50 years. *Nat. Commun.* **16**, 516
(2025).

37. Pianezza, R., Scarpa, A., Narayanan, P., Signor, S. & Kofler, R. Spoink, a LTR
retrotransposon, invaded D. melanogaster populations in the 1990s. *PLoS
Genet.* **20**, e1011201 (2024).

38. Keller, I., Bensasson, D. & Nichols, R. A. Transition-transversion bias is not
universal: a counter example from grasshopper pseudogenes. *PLoS Genet.* **3**,
e22 (2007).

39. Fones, H. & Gurr, S. The impact of Septoria tritici Blotch disease on wheat: An
EU perspective. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* **79**, 3–7 (2015).

40. Stukenbrock, E. H., Banke, S., Javan-Nikkhah, M. & McDonald, B. A. Origin and
domestication of the fungal wheat pathogen Mycosphaerella graminicola via
sympatric speciation. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **24**, 398–411 (2007).

41. Petit-Houdenot, Y., Lebrun, M.-H. & Scalliet, G. Understanding plant-pathogen
interactions in Septoria tritici blotch infection of cereals. in *Achieving durable*
disease resistance in cereals 263–302 (Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing,
London, 2021).

42. Feurtey, A. *et al.* A thousand-genome panel retraces the global spread and
adaptation of a major fungal crop pathogen. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1059 (2023).

43. Singh, N. K., Karisto, P. & Croll, D. Population-level deep sequencing reveals the
interplay of clonal and sexual reproduction in the fungal wheat pathogen
Zymoseptoria tritici. *Microb. Genom.* **7**, (2021).

44. Abraham, L. N., Oggenfuss, U. & Croll, D. Population-level transposable element
expression dynamics influence trait evolution in a fungal crop pathogen. *MBio*
15, e0284023 (2024).

45. Oggenfuss, U. *et al.* A population-level invasion by transposable elements
triggers genome expansion in a fungal pathogen. *Elife* **10**, (2021).

46. Puccetti, G. *et al.* A large European diversity panel reveals complex azole
fungicide resistance gains of a major wheat pathogen. *bioRxiv*
2025.03.19.644200 (2025) doi:10.1101/2025.03.19.644200.

47. Baril, T., Galbraith, J. & Hayward, A. Earl Grey: A Fully Automated User-Friendly
Transposable Element Annotation and Analysis Pipeline. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **41**,
(2024).

48. Baril, T. & Croll, D. A pangenome-guided manually curated library of
transposable elements for Zymoseptoria tritici. *BMC Res. Notes* **16**, (2023).

49. Chen, J., Basting, P. J., Han, S., Garfinkel, D. J. & Bergman, C. M. Reproducible
evaluation of transposable element detectors with McClintock 2 guides
accurate inference of Ty insertion patterns in yeast. *Mob. DNA* **14**, 8 (2023).

50. Adrion, J. R., Song, M. J., Schrider, D. R., Hahn, M. W. & Schaack, S. Genome-Wide
Estimates of Transposable Element Insertion and Deletion Rates in Drosophila
Melanogaster. *Genome Biol. Evol.* **9**, 1329–1340 (2017).

51. Kofler, R. *et al.* PoPoolation: a toolbox for population genetic analysis of next
generation sequencing data from pooled individuals. *PLoS One* **6**, e15925 (2011).

52. Yu, T. *et al.* A benchmark and an algorithm for detecting germline transposon
insertions and measuring de novo transposon insertion frequencies. *Nucleic*
Acids Res. **49**, e44 (2021).

53. Rech, G. E. *et al.* Population-scale long-read sequencing uncovers transposable
elements associated with gene expression variation and adaptive signatures in
Drosophila. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 1948 (2022).

54. Gautier, M. Genome-Wide Scan for Adaptive Divergence and Association with
Population-Specific Covariates. *Genetics* **201**, 1555–1579 (2015).

55. Jones, P. *et al.* InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification.
Bioinformatics **30**, 1236–1240 (2014).

56. Blum, M. *et al.* The InterPro protein families and domains database: 20 years
on. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **49**, D344–D354 (2021).

57. Tralamazza, S. M., Gluck-Thaler, E., Feurtey, A. & Croll, D. Copy number variation
introduced by a massive mobile element facilitates global thermal adaptation
in a fungal wheat pathogen. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 5728 (2024).

58. Thynne, E. *et al.* An array of *Zymoseptoria tritici* effectors suppress plant
immune responses. *bioRxiv* (2024) doi:10.1101/2024.03.12.584321.

59. Singh, Y., Nair, A. M. & Verma, P. K. Surviving the odds: From perception to
survival of fungal phytopathogens under host-generated oxidative burst. *Plant
Communications* **2**, 100142 (2021).

60. Stapley, J., Santure, A. W. & Dennis, S. R. Transposable elements as agents of
rapid adaptation may explain the genetic paradox of invasive species. *Mol. Ecol.*
24, 2241–2252 (2015).

61. Mourier, T., Nielsen, L. P., Hansen, A. J. & Willerslev, E. Transposable elements in
cancer as a by-product of stress-induced evolvability. *Front. Genet.* **5**, 1–8 (2014).

62. Makarevitch, I. *et al.* Transposable Elements Contribute to Activation of Maize
Genes in Response to Abiotic Stress. *PLoS Genet.* **11**, (2015).

63. Ene, I. V. *et al.* Global analysis of mutations driving microevolution of a
heterozygous diploid fungal pathogen. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **115**, E8688–
E8697 (2018).

64. Zhu, Y. O., Siegal, M. L., Hall, D. W. & Petrov, D. A. Precise estimates of mutation
rate and spectrum in yeast. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **111**, E2310-8 (2014).

65. Edwards, H. M. & Rhodes, J. Accounting for the Biological Complexity of
Pathogenic Fungi in Phylogenetic Dating. *J Fungi (Basel)* **7**, (2021).

66. Dutta, A., McDonald, B. A. & Croll, D. Combined reference-free and multi-
reference based GWAS uncover cryptic variation underlying rapid adaptation
in a fungal plant pathogen. *PLoS Pathog.* **19**, e1011801 (2023).

67. Zhong, Z. *et al.* A small secreted protein in Zymoseptoria tritici is responsible
for avirulence on wheat cultivars carrying the Stb6 resistance gene. *New
Phytol.* **214**, 619–631 (2017).

68. Hartmann, F. E., Sánchez-Vallet, A., McDonald, B. A. & Croll, D. A fungal wheat
pathogen evolved host specialization by extensive chromosomal
rearrangements. *ISME J.* **11**, 1189–1204 (2017).

69. Amezrou, R. *et al.* A secreted protease-like protein in Zymoseptoria tritici is
responsible for avirulence on Stb9 resistance gene in wheat. *PLoS Pathog.* **19**,
e1011376 (2023).

70. Baril, T., Pym, A., Bass, C. & Hayward, A. Transposon accumulation at xenobiotic
gene family loci in aphids. *Genome Res.* **33**, 1718–1733 (2023).

71. Fouché, S., Oggenfuss, U., Chanclud, E. & Croll, D. A devil's bargain with
transposable elements in plant pathogens. *Trends Genet.* **38**, 222–230 (2022).

72. Salces-Ortiz, J., Vargas-Chavez, C., Guio, L., Rech, G. E. & González, J.
Transposable elements contribute to the genomic response to insecticides in
Drosophila melanogaster. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **375**, 20190341
(2020).

73. Gilbert, C., Peccoud, J. & Cordaux, R. Transposable Elements and the Evolution
of Insects. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **66**, 355–372 (2021).

74. Li, X., Schuler, M. A. & Berenbaum, M. R. Molecular mechanisms of metabolic
resistance to synthetic and natural xenobiotics. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **52**, 231–253
(2007).

75. Itokawa, K., Komagata, O., Kasai, S., Masada, M. & Tomita, T. Cis-acting mutation
and duplication: History of molecular evolution in a P450 haplotype
responsible for insecticide resistance in Culex quinquefasciatus. *Insect*
Biochem. Mol. Biol. **41**, 503–512 (2011).

76. Panini, M. *et al.* Transposon-mediated insertional mutagenesis unmasks
recessive insecticide resistance in the aphid Myzus persicae. *Proc. Natl. Acad.*
Sci. U. S. A. **118**, (2021).

77. Sun, X. *et al.* PdMLE1, a specific and active transposon acts as a promoter and
confers Penicillium digitatum with DMI resistance. *Environ. Microbiol. Rep.* **5**,
135–142 (2013).

78. Badet, T., Oggenfuss, U., Abraham, L., McDonald, B. A. & Croll, D. A 19-isolate
reference-quality global pangenome for the fungal wheat pathogen
Zymoseptoria tritici. *BMC Biol.* **18**, 12 (2020).

79. Goodwin, S. B. *et al.* Finished genome of the fungal wheat pathogen
Mycosphaerella graminicola reveals dispensome structure, chromosome
plasticity, and stealth pathogenesis. *PLoS Genet.* **7**, e1002070 (2011).

80. Plissonneau, C., Hartmann, F. E. & Croll, D. Pangenome analyses of the wheat
pathogen Zymoseptoria tritici reveal the structural basis of a highly plastic
eukaryotic genome. *BMC Biol.* **16**, 5 (2018).

81. Feurtey, A. *et al.* Genome compartmentalization predates species divergence
in the plant pathogen genus Zymoseptoria. *BMC Genomics* **21**, 588 (2020).

82. Li, W. & Godzik, A. Cd-hit: a fast program for clustering and comparing large
sets of protein or nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics* **22**, 1658–1659 (2006).

83. Fu, L., Niu, B., Zhu, Z., Wu, S. & Li, W. CD-HIT: accelerated for clustering the next-
generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 3150–3152 (2012).

84. Goubert, C. *et al.* A beginner's guide to manual curation of transposable
elements. *Mob. DNA* 1–98 (2022).

85. Camacho, C. *et al.* BLAST+: Architecture and applications. *BMC Bioinformatics*
10, 1–9 (2009).

86. Katoh, K. & Standley, D. M. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software
version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**, 772–
780 (2013).

87. Notredame, C., Higgins, D. G. & Heringa, J. T-coffee: a novel method for fast and
accurate multiple sequence alignment. *J. Mol. Biol.* **302**, 205–217 (2000).

88. Rice, P., Longden, L. & Bleasby, A. EMBOSS: The European Molecular Biology
Open Software Suite. *Trends Genet.* **16**, 276–277 (2000).

89. Wicker, T. *et al.* A unified classification system for eukaryotic transposable
elements. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **8**, 973–982 (2007).

90. Hubley, R. *et al.* The Dfam database of repetitive DNA families. *Nucleic Acids*
Res. **44**, D81–D89 (2016).

91. Storer, J., Hubley, R., Rosen, J., Wheeler, T. J. & Smit, A. F. The Dfam community
resource of transposable element families, sequence models, and genome
annotations. *Mob. DNA* **12**, 2 (2021).

92. Wheeler, T. J. & Eddy, S. R. nhmmer: DNA homology search with profile HMMs.
Bioinformatics **29**, 2487–2489 (2013).

93. Baril, T. & Croll, D. Zymoseptoria tritici pangenome-guided TE consensus
library. Zenodo https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.8379981 (2023).

94. Bolger, A. M., Lohse, M. & Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina
sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2114–2120 (2014).

95. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat.*
Methods **9**, 357–359 (2012).

96. Langmead, B., Wilks, C., Antonescu, V. & Charles, R. Scaling read aligners to
hundreds of threads on general-purpose processors. *Bioinformatics* **35**, 421–
432 (2019).

97. Van der Auwera, G. A. & O'Connor, B. D. *Genomics in the Cloud: Using Docker,*
GATK, and WDL in Terra. ("O'Reilly Media, Inc.," 2020).

98. Danecek, P. *et al.* The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 2156–
2158 (2011).

99. Purcell, S. *et al.* PLINK: a tool set for whole-genome association and population-
based linkage analyses. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **81**, 559–575 (2007).

100. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.
Preprint at https://www.R-project.org (2023).

101. Pedersen, T. L. tidygraph: A tidy API for graph manipulation. *R package version*
1, (2020).

102. Pedersen, T. L. ggraph: An implementation of grammar of graphics for graphs
and networks. *R package version* **2**, 1 (2020).

103. Rstudio Team. RStudio: Integrated Development Environment for R. *RStudio,*
PBC. Boston, MA. **700**, 879 (2020).

104. Racine, J. S. RSTUDIO: A PLATFORM-INDEPENDENT IDE FOR R AND SWEAVE.
J. Appl. Econometrics **27**, 167–172 (2013).

105. Frichot, E. & François, O. LEA: An R package for landscape and ecological
association studies. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* (2015) doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12382.

106. Linheiro, R. S. & Bergman, C. M. Whole genome resequencing reveals natural
target site preferences of transposable elements in Drosophila melanogaster.
PLoS One **7**, e30008 (2012).

107. Han, S. *et al.* Transposable element profiles reveal cell line identity and loss of
heterozygosity in Drosophila cell culture. *Genetics* **219**, (2021).

108. Kofler, R., Betancourt, A. J. & Schlötterer, C. Sequencing of pooled DNA samples
(Pool-Seq) uncovers complex dynamics of transposable element insertions in
Drosophila melanogaster. *PLoS Genet.* **8**, e1002487 (2012).

109. Kofler, R., Gómez-Sánchez, D. & Schlötterer, C. PoPoolationTE2: Comparative
Population Genomics of Transposable Elements Using Pool-Seq. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*
33, 2759–2764 (2016).

110. Robb, S. M. C. *et al.* The use of RelocaTE and unassembled short reads to
produce high-resolution snapshots of transposable element generated
diversity in rice. *G3* **3**, 949–957 (2013).

111. Chen, J., Wrightsman, T. R., Wessler, S. R. & Stajich, J. E. RelocaTE2: a high
resolution transposable element insertion site mapping tool for population
resequencing. *PeerJ* **5**, e2942 (2017).

112. Keane, T. M., Wong, K. & Adams, D. J. RetroSeq: transposable element discovery
from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 389–390 (2013).

113. Schauer, S. N. *et al.* L1 retrotransposition is a common feature of mammalian
hepatocarcinogenesis. *Genome Res.* **28**, 639–653 (2018).

114. Platzer, A., Nizhynska, V. & Long, Q. TE-Locate: A Tool to Locate and Group
Transposable Element Occurrences Using Paired-End Next-Generation
Sequencing Data. *Biology* **1**, 395–410 (2012).

115. Zhuang, J., Wang, J., Theurkauf, W. & Weng, Z. TEMP: a computational method
for analyzing transposable element polymorphism in populations. *Nucleic
Acids Res.* **42**, 6826–6838 (2014).

116. Smit, A. F. A., Hubley, R. R. & Green, P. R. RepeatMasker Open-4.0.
http://repeatmasker.org Preprint at (2013).

117. Huang, W., Li, L., Myers, J. R. & Marth, G. T. ART: a next-generation sequencing
read simulator. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 593–594 (2012).

118. Quinlan, A. R. & Hall, I. M. BEDTools: A flexible suite of utilities for comparing
genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 841–842 (2010).

119. Wickham, H. *Ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. (Springer, 2016).

120. Gel, B. & Serra, E. karyoploteR: an R/Bioconductor package to plot customizable
genomes displaying arbitrary data. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 3088–3090 (2017).

121. Günther, T. & Coop, G. Robust identification of local adaptation from allele
frequencies. *Genetics* **195**, 205–220 (2013).

122. Bogaerts-Márquez, M., Guirao-Rico, S., Gautier, M. & González, J. Temperature,
rainfall and wind variables underlie environmental adaptation in natural
populations of Drosophila melanogaster. *Mol. Ecol.* **30**, 938–954 (2021).

123. Dabney, A., Storey, J. D. & Warnes, G. Q-value estimation for false discovery rate
control. *Medicine* **344**, 48 (2004).

124. Lapalu, N. *et al.* Improved gene annotation of the fungal wheat pathogen
Zymoseptoria tritici based on combined Iso-Seq and RNA-Seq evidence.
bioRxiv (2023) doi:10.1101/2023.04.26.537486.

125. Karger, D. N. *et al.* Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface
areas. *Sci Data* **4**, 170122 (2017).

126. Karger, D. N. *et al.* Data from: Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's
land surface areas. *Climatologies at high resolution for the earth's land surface*
areas https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.kd1d4 (2018).

127. Vogt, F., Shirsekar, G. & Weigel, D. vcf2gwas: Python API for comprehensive
GWAS analysis using GEMMA. *Bioinformatics* **38**, 839–840 (2022).

128. Keller, N. P. Translating biosynthetic gene clusters into fungal armor and
weaponry. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **11**, 671–677 (2015).

129. Krzywinski, M. *et al.* Circos: an information aesthetic for comparative genomics.
Genome Res. **19**, 1639–1645 (2009).

1355