Relaxin signaling is critical for virgin female reproductive physiology in

Drosophila

Yanel Volonté[a,c], Fabiana Heredia[b,c], Rebeca Zanini[b,c], Juliane Menezes[b,c], María Sol Perez[a], Mafalda

Gualdino[b,c], Lara Lage[b,c], Leonor da Silva Luz[b,c], Andreia P. Casimiro[c], Andrés Garelli[a,b,c,1], Alisson

M. Gontijo[b,c,1]

a Instituto de Investigaciones Bioquímicas de Bahía Blanca, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones

Científicas y Técnicas, and Departamento de Biología, Bioquímica y Farmacia, Universidad

Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca B8000FWB, Argentina.

b cE3c - Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE, Departamento de

Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de Lisboa, 1749-016, Lisbon, Portugal.

c iNOVA4Health, Nova Medical School, NMS, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Lisbon 1150-082,

Portugal

1 Corresponding authors: agarelli@inibibb-conicet.gob.ar and amgontijo@ciencias.ulisboa.pt

Significance statement:

Ovulation enables mature oocytes to exit the ovary for potential fertilization. In *Drosophila*, ovulation is

induced by mating but also occurs spontaneously in virgins, with rates varying widely in natural

populations: short oocyte retention is ancestral, while longer retention is favored in colder climates. The

molecular regulation of virgin ovulation remains unclear. Here, we show that disrupting the *Drosophila*

relaxin/insulin-like peptide Dilp8 or its receptor Lgr3—an orthologue of vertebrate RXFP1/2—in follicle

cells or specific neurons delays ovulation, slows egg transit, and reduces oocyte quality. Mating largely

rescues these defects, suggesting the pathway is dispensable post-mating. Our findings reveal a molecular

mechanism for oocyte retention in *Drosophila* virgins and support an ancient, conserved role for relaxin-

like signaling in ovulation.

ABSTRACT

Ovulation allows mature oocytes to exit the ovary for potential fertilization. In *Drosophila*, ovulation can

be induced by mating or occur spontaneously in lower amounts in virgin females. Virgin ovulation rates

show high populational variation, with short oocyte retention times being ancestral, and long retention

being selected for in colder climates. The molecular mechanisms controlling virgin ovulation rates are

poorly understood. Here, we show that reduced activity of the Drosophila insulin-like peptide 8 (Dilp8), a

relaxin-like peptide secreted from terminal follicle cells of the ovary, slows virgin ovulation and ovulated

egg genital tract transit by a factor of ~5-6. These phenotypes are largely rescued by mating, suggesting

other mechanisms override the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway post-mating. Knockdown of the Dilp8 receptor, Lgr3—

an orthologue of vertebrate relaxin RXFP1/2 receptors—in at least two subsets of reproductive-tract-

innervating neurons, one of which are octopaminergic, produces similar phenotypes. Dilp8-Lgr3 signaling

in virgin females ensures high oocyte quality by promoting the elimination of aging oocytes and by

systemically antagonizing insulin signaling, which is critical for oogenesis progression beyond

previtellogenic stages. We hypothesize this latter Dilp8 activity factors in oocyte stock size in the nutrient-

availability-based decision to invest in producing more oocytes or not, ensuring oocyte overstocking in

virgins only occurs in highly favorable nutritional conditions. Our findings provide a molecular basis for

oocyte retention time regulation in *Drosophila* virgins. Our work brings further support for an ancient,

conserved role for relaxin-like signaling in regulating ovulation and overall female reproductive

physiology.

INTRODUCTION

Ovulation is a fundamental biological process in which a mature oocyte sheds its surrounding follicle cells

and leaves the ovary, enabling the possibility of fertilization (Bloch Qazi, Heifetz, and Wolfner 2003;

Richards 2007). Ovulation can be profoundly influenced by environmental and internal states, such as

seasons, lunar cycles, stress, pheromones, mating status, and pregnancy in ways that are many times

species-specific (Rekwot et al. 2001; Bloch Qazi, Heifetz, and Wolfner 2003; Yamamoto, Kosinski, and

Greenstein 2006; Richards 2007; Mercier and Hamel 2009; Brown 2018). In *Drosophila*, like in other

species with induced ovulation [*e.g.,* cats and camels (Bakker and Baum 2000; Ratto et al. 2012)], ovulation

is largely triggered by mating. This occurs via at least two processes: 1) a seminal protein transferred from

the male, ovulin (Heifetz et al. 2000; Bloch Qazi, Heifetz, and Wolfner 2003), increases ovulation rates in

a process that is dependent on the monoamine hormone Octopamine (Rubinstein and Wolfner 2013), and

2) female spermathecal secretory cell factors secreted via a non-canonical secretory pathway also contribute

to increasing postmating ovulation rate (Schnakenberg, Matias, and Siegal 2011; Sun and Spradling 2013).

During sexual maturation, virgin *Drosophila* females accumulate mature oocytes and ovulate significantly

less than mated females throughout their lives, although the difference becomes smaller in older females

(Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). The timing of the onset of virgin ovulation

(also called oocyte retention or virgin egg retention phenotype) is a life-history trait that varies clinally and

seasonally in natural populations and has a genetic basis (Boulétreau-Merle, Fouillet, and Terrier 1992;

Akhund-Zade et al. 2017; Horváth and Kalinka 2018). Short retention phenotypes (where laying of

unfertilized eggs starts as soon as 2 days after adult emergence) are considered the ancestral state of

Drosophila melanogaster, while long retention phenotypes (where first unfertilized eggs are laid up to 15

days after adult emergence) are considered adaptations to cold environments and overwintering

(Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). In the latter case, at the population level,

both phenotypes are maintained via balancing selection which is thought to contribute to seasonality in the

phenotype (Boulétreau-Merle, Fouillet, and Terrier 1992; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). Whereas

many species, such as murines and primates, also ovulate spontaneously (Bakker and Baum 2000), energy

investment in oogenesis is considered relatively higher for *Drosophila* females, so that early and numerous

virgin ovulations are largely thought to be a residual and unregulated process, and are considered a pointless

loss of reproductive potential (Akhund-Zade et al. 2017; Horváth and Kalinka 2018; Boulétreau-merle and

Fouillet 2002). Genomewide association studies using Drosophila Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP) inbred

lines (Mackay et al. 2012) have pointed out several genes with variation associated with virgin egg

retention, and mutations in four genes that were tested (*GfrI/mun*, *T48*, *mes-4*, and *klp67A*), caused longer

virgin retention times when compared to controls (Akhund-Zade et al. 2017), suggesting that cold-

adaptation could involve loss of traits that are otherwise selected for in warmer environments and seasons.

The genes that promote ovulation in warmer conditions remain to be defined and studied in detail.

Drosophila insulin-like peptide 8 (Dilp8) is a relaxin-like peptide that promotes developmental stability

and pupariation behavior progression during early and late larval stages, respectively (Garelli et al. 2012;

Heredia et al. 2021; Colombani, Andersen, and Léopold 2012; Gontijo and Garelli 2018). In both cases,

Dilp8 acts via a conserved neuronal relaxin-receptor-like receptor, the Leucine rich repeat containing G

protein coupled receptor 3, Lgr3, the orthologue of vertebrate RXFP1/2 receptors (Garelli et al. 2015;

Vallejo et al. 2015; Colombani et al. 2015; Jaszczak et al. 2016). Dilp8 is highly expressed in the adult

ovary (Chintapalli, Wang, and Dow 2007; Garelli et al. 2012), specifically in terminal-stage follicle cells

coating mature oocytes (Liao and Nässel 2020; Hongjie Li et al. 2022; Haomiao Li et al. 2023). Follicle-

cell derived Dilp8 has been proposed to generally regulate fecundity, ovulation, and metabolism in adults

(Liao and Nässel 2020; Haomiao Li et al. 2023). Endogenous *Lgr3* has also been proposed to regulate

fecundity (Haomiao Li et al. 2023). Moreover, a subset of sexually-dimorphic *Lgr3*-positive neurons has

been shown to regulate female receptivity and fecundity (Meissner et al. 2016). Lgr3 GAL4 lines have

also been shown to be expressed in neurons innervating the oviduct and in posterior follicle cells, which

could suggest autocrine and paracrine signalling by Dilp8 could promote fecundity and ovulation in mated

flies (Liao and Nässel 2020).

Here, we use genetic and tissue-specific RNA interference studies coupled with reproductive behavior
monitoring and ovulation assays to show that Dilp8 and Lgr3 are indeed critical for ovulation control, but
mostly in virgin females. In the absence of *dilp8* in follicle cells or *Lgr3* in at least two subpopulations of
neurons that collectively innervate the ovary, oviduct, and uterus, ovulation is significantly slower in virgin
females, so that these animals retain oocytes in the ovary for ~5-6 times longer than controls. Furthermore,
the virgin oocyte retention phenotype is largely rescued by mating, suggesting that other mechanisms
supersede the requirement for the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway for ovulation. Follicle-cell vesicle secretion
inhibition experiments suggest that ovulation promotion is achieved by Dilp8 secretion from follicle cells
into the oviduct. Our work shows that frequent spontaneous ovulation (short oocyte retention times) in
Drosophila virgins is an actively promoted process. We provide evidence that Dilp8 promotes oocyte
quality by at least one mechanism: by eliminating aged oocytes by spontaneous ovulation. By
demonstrating that Dilp8 antagonizes systemic insulin signaling, we propose that it might contribute to
promoting oocyte quality via an additional mechanism, which involves restricting new oocyte production
to optimal nutritional conditions when enough oocytes are already stocked in the ovary. Our results provide
a framework to understand virgin oocyte retention regulation and evolution and demonstrate that relaxin-
like signaling has a very ancient function in reproductive physiology in bilaterian animals, most notably in
promoting ovulation.

RESULTS

Dilp8 is expressed and secreted in mature, stage 14, follicle cells

Previous work has shown that *dilp8* mRNA is highly enriched in the ovary of *D. melanogaster* and other
flies (Garelli et al. 2012; Liao and Nässel 2020; Chintapalli, Wang, and Dow 2007; Haomiao Li et al. 2023).
In the ovaries, this expression is detectable in the follicle cells of stage 14 (choriogenic) follicles in
genomewide expression studies (Tootle et al. 2011; Hongjie Li et al. 2022), using the transcriptional
reporter and loss of function allele *dilp8-enhanced Green Fluorescent Protein (eGFP)[MI00727]* (Garelli et al.
2012; Venken et al. 2011; Liao and Nässel 2020; Haomiao Li et al. 2023), two enhancer traps *dilp8-GAL4*
lines (*dilp8-1-GAL4 and dilp8-2-GAL4*) (Hayashi et al. 2002) (**Figure 1A**), or with an anti-Dilp8 antibody
(**Figure 1B**) (Colombani, Andersen, and Léopold 2012) . The anti-Dilp8 staining is specific as it is lost in
animals carrying two copies of *dilp8–1-GAL4* (**Figure 1B**), which strongly reduces *dilp8* mRNA levels
proportionally to levels found in *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]* homozygotes (**Figure 1C**). Follicle cell Dilp8 is
cytoplasmic and vesicular, as expected from imaginal disc stainings with this antibody (Colombani,
Andersen, and Léopold 2012) and follicle cell stainings with an independent antibody (Liao and Nässel
2020).

Fig 1. Dilp8 is expressed in and secreted from mature, stage 14 follicle cells. **(A)** The *R47A01-GAL4* line is expressed in stage 14 ovarian follicles, which also show eGFP fluorescence from the *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]* transcriptional reporter. The arrow points to the residual body (lower panel). The dorsal appendages and the posterior end of stage 14 follicles are autofluorescent under blue light (arrowheads). Scale bar = 100 μm. **(B)** Dilp8 immunostaining shows a punctate pattern in stage 14 follicle cells that is absent in mature follicles from a female carrying two copies of *dilp8-GAL4-1* (*dilp8-1>mCD8::RFP*). Earlier follicles show unspecific fluorescence, that is also present in animals that lack *dilp8*. Scale bar = 50 μm. **(C)** Ovaries from homozygous *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]* (*dilp8^{MI00727}*) animals have reduced *dilp8* expression that is restored to wild type levels upon precise excision of the *Mi{MIC}* element (resulting in the new wild-type allele, *dilp8^{ag22}*). Homozygous *dilp8-GAL4-1* animals also show reduced *dilp8* mRNA levels. Each dot is an independent ovary sample. Same blue letter, $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. **(D)** Seven-day-old flies that carry a temperature sensitive allele of *shibire* (*>shi^{ts}*) expressed in mature follicle cells with *dilp8-GAL4-1* (*dilp8-1>*) lose the Dilp8 vesicular pattern upon shifting them from 22 ºC to 30 ºC for one day. A genetically encoded secreted GFP (*sGFP*) protein, also driven by *dilp8-1>*, was enriched in the same compartments as Dilp8, both at 22 ºC and 30 ºC, confirming the effective blockage of vesicle recycling and accumulation of Dilp8 and sGFP in the perinuclear region. Dilp8 and sGFP distribution is not affected by temperature in follicle cells of control flies that lack *UAS-shi^{ts}*. Scale bar = 10 μm.

Following ovulation, follicle cells form a transient body, called residual body, which is anatomically and
functionally analogous structure to the vertebrate corpus luteum (Pfeiffer et al. 2008; Deady et al. 2015;
Buning 2012). To test if Dilp8 is secreted from follicle cells or whether it is only secreted at the residual
body stage following ovulation, we inhibited secretory vesicle recycling in stage 14 follicle cells of well-
fed 7 day-old virgins by expressing the temperature-sensitive dynamin, *shibire^ts* (*shi^ts*) (Kitamoto 2001)
under the control of *dilp8-1-GAL4* (*dilp8>shi^ts*) and by exposing the animals to different temperatures for
one day. Shi^ts expression at >29°C is expected to cause secretory vesicle depletion in follicle cells with
preexisting vesicle pools only if vesicles fuse to the plasma membrane to release their cargo during the
temperature-shift period, due to the inability of the mutant dynamin to participate in the proper recycling
plasma-membrane-associated secretory vesicle membranes. New vesicles are also not expected to be
generated since dynamin is likely also required for vesicle budding from the trans-Golgi network (Jones et
al. 1998). Results revealed no observable Dilp8-positive vesicles in follicle cells at >29°C, in contrast to
22°C (**Figure 1D**). Instead, Dilp8 was enriched in a perinuclear compartment, showing that its trafficking
to the membrane was abrogated, as expected.

To confirm that our manipulations interfered with secretion, we expressed sGFP–a genetically encoded
secreted fusion protein composed of GFP and Decapentaplegic (Dpp) sequences including Dpp cleavage
and secretory transport domains (Entchev, Schwabedissen, and González-Gaitán 2000) in follicle cells
using *dilp8-1-GAL4*. At permissive temperatures, follicle-expressed sGFP labels most, but not all, Dilp8-
positive vesicles at different intensity levels, supposedly due to its overexpression using the GAL4-UAS
system. At >29°C, sGFP was found enriched in the same perinuclear compartment as Dilp8 (**Figure 1D**),
confirming the efficient blockage of the secretory pathway. These findings are consistent with secretion of
Dilp8 from the follicle cells in the ovary, while they are still surrounding mature oocytes. In this experiment,
dilp8>shi^ts animals did not lay unfertilized eggs during the temperature shift, so we know that the stage 14
follicles surveyed had been previously generated during the 7 day oocyte retention period. In fact,
dilp8>shi^ts flies or flies expressing *shi^ts* in follicle cells using the *R47A04-GAL4* line (Pfeiffer et al. 2008;
Deady et al. 2015) quantitatively lay drastically fewer unfertilized eggs than controls (**Supplementary
figure 1**), consistent with a model where constitutive Dilp8 secretion from stage 14 follicles is required for
proper virgin ovulation. It is unclear whether Dilp8 is secreted basally towards the oviduct and/or
ensheathing ovariole muscles or apically towards the underlying mature oocyte, or in both directions. While
we did not assay for secretion in residual bodies/corpora lutea, it is conceivable that Dilp8 continues to be
produced and secreted at that stage.

Dilp8 mutant virgins retain oocytes in the ovary

Virgins of the hypomorphic *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]* homozygous mutants backcrossed to the *w[1118]* background

(hereafter, *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]*) have bloated abdomens relative to control *w[1118]* virgins (**Figure 2A**). Bloating

in *dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]* homozygous virgins is due to a large amount of late stage oocytes in the ovary and a

lower number of unfertilized eggs laid (**Figure 2A-B**). To demonstrate that the phenotype was indeed

caused by *dilp8* and not a linked gene, we removed the *eGFP[MI00727]* cassette from the *dilp8* locus by

transposon remobilization, generating the new WT allele *dilp8[ag22]* (see Methods). This restored *dilp8*

mRNA levels to similar levels as *w[1118]* controls (**Figure 1C**), and resulted in an increase in the number of

unfertilized eggs laid as virgins (**Figure 2B**), suggesting that the virgin egg retention phenotype is linked

to *dilp8* levels. Strikingly, the reduced egg-laying phenotype was absent in mated females when comparing

Fig 2. Dilp8 is required in virgin females for ovulation. (**A**) The abdomen of *dilp8* mutant flies was bloated due to the accumulation of eggs in the ovaries. (**B**) Virgin females lacking *dilp8* (*dilp8-eGFP[MI00727]*) laid fewer eggs than *w[1118]* and *dilp8[ag22]* control flies. The effect was lost upon mating. Number of replicates, each with five individuals is indicated between parentheses. Same letter $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. (**C**) Virgin females carrying a null allele of *dilp8* (*dilp8[ag50]*) laid fewer eggs than their background controls (*dilp8[ag52]*). The effect size is reduced upon mating. Number of replicates, each with five individuals is indicated between parentheses. Same letter $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. (**D**) Dilp8 is required in follicle cells surrounding the mature oocyte to facilitate ovulation in virgin females. Knockdown of *dilp8* in follicle cells of the stage 14 specific driver reduces the number of eggs laid by virgin females but not mated females. Same letter, $P > 0.05$, Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA and Dunnett's T3 test. Number of replicates, each with five individuals is indicated between parentheses.

dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727} with the *dilp8^{ag22}* precise excision and partially rescued relative to *w^{1118}* controls (**Figure**

2B). This is in contrast to previous reports suggesting that mated (and non-backcrossed) *dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}*

mutant animals had reduced fecundity (Liao and Nässel 2020; Colombani, Andersen, and Léopold 2012;

Haomiao Li et al. 2023), suggesting that this discrepancy could be an effect of heterogeneous backgrounds.

Using the amount of unfertilized eggs laid as virgins as a proxy, we found similar results as above with an

independent *dilp8* null allele, *dilp8^{ag50}* (Heredia et al. 2021), when compared to its wild-type background

allele, *dilp8^{ag52}* (**Figure 2C**), or with RNA interference (RNAi)-mediated knockdown of *dilp8* [*UAS-dilp8-*

IR (Dietzl et al. 2007; Perkins et al. 2015)] specifically in follicle cells (*R47A04>dilp8-IR*) (**Figure 2D**).

Again, even though a small significant effect on fecundity was detectable in mated *dilp8^{ag50}* null alleles,

the effect size (21% reduction) was visibly smaller than that of virgins (81% reduction), and it was not

detectable in mated *R47A04>dilp8-IR* animals. We concluded that follicle cell-derived Dilp8 positively

influences the amount of unfertilized eggs laid in virgin females, and that mating renders this influence

largely redundant.

Dilp8 could increase unfertilized egg laying in many ways, such as by increasing the rate of oogenesis,

oocyte maturation, or ovulation. The data above likely rule out any critical role for Dilp8 exclusively

modulating the rates of oogenesis or oocyte maturation, as egg laying is largely unaffected in mated

animals, which implies oocytes in *dilp8* mutants are being generated and maturing at rates similar to those

in controls. To confirm this, we first followed unfertilized egg laying in well-fed virgin *dilp8^{ag50}* mutants

and control (*dilp8^{ag52}*) animals for 10 days starting 5 days after eclosion in well-fed conditions. Results

showed that unfertilized egg laying was constantly lower (~3-5-fold) in *dilp8* mutant animals than in control

dilp8^{ag52} animals throughout the experiment (**Figure 3A**). In contrast, when females were mated, no

difference in egg laying (fecundity) was observed between *dilp8* mutant and control females during the

same time-span (**Figure 3B**).

Dissecting the ovaries of virgin females at day 6-7 showed that the number of fully mature stage (14C)

follicles (Deady, Li, and Sun 2017; Beard, Oramas, and Sun 2023) in the ovaries of virgin *dilp8^{ag50}* mutants

was ~3-fold higher than controls **(Figure 3C).** This came at the cost of earlier stage 14 follicles (14A/B),

but less so of follicles of other stages, which represented a similar fraction of the total follicles in *dilp8*

mutants and controls (**Figure 3D**). These results suggested that control animals were laying unfertilized

eggs at a faster rate than *dilp8* mutants, so that the stage 14 follicles did not spend enough time in the ovaries

of control animals to accumulate a sizable population of stage 14C follicles. In other words, ovulation is

slower in *dilp8* mutants.

To quantitatively test this assumption, we estimated ovulation time, oviduct oocyte transit time, and uterus

residency time in *dilp8* mutants and controls in virgin and mated conditions using a previously established

method (Beard, Oramas, and Sun 2023). Our results showed that control virgins ovulated on average once

each ~80 min. Oviduct and uterus residency times lasted together ~15 min on average (~20% of total

ovulation time), so that the ovarian ovulation process took ~65 min (~80% of total ovulation time) (**Figure**

3E and Supplementary table I). In mated animals, there was one ovulation each 50 min (a ~20% reduction

in time compared to virgin animals), but oocytes stayed most of their time (~30 min) in transit in the

oviducts or in the uterus, and only ~20 min in the ovary (**Figure 3E and Supplementary table I)**. These

results showed that the life-history of an ovulated oocyte within the reproductive system is profoundly

affected by mating.

Fig 3. Dilp8 regulates ovulation rate. **(A)** Unfertilized egg laying evaluated for ten days is constantly lower in virgin *dilp8* mutant flies (*dilp8$^{-/-}$ = dilp8^{ag50}*) when compared with background control (*dilp8$^{-/-}$ = dilp8^{ag52}*). The difference was statistically significant for the time course of the evaluation, $P < 0.05$ (*), ANOVA and Bonferroni's multiple comparison test. Shown is the average ± SEM (error bars) of $N = 3$ repeats with five females each. **(B)** The effect of *dilp8* mutation on egg laying in virgin females is lost after mating, $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Bonferroni's multiple comparison test. Shown is the average ± SEM (error bars) of $N = 3$ repeats with five females each. **(C)** The amount of fully mature follicles (stage 14C follicles) is increased in virgin females carrying a null allele of *dilp8* (*dilp8^{ag50}*). Upon mating, the number of mature follicles stored in the ovary is reduced in mutant and control flies. $P < 0.0001$ (****), ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. *ns, $P > 0.05$*. Each dot represents an ovary. Number of ovaries is indicated between parentheses. **(D)** Proportion of fully mature (stage (stg) 14C), early-14 (stage 14A/B) and earlier stages (1 to 13) in the ovaries of control (*dilp8^{ag52}*) and *dilp8* mutant (*dilp8^{ag50}*) flies. The proportion of fully mature follicles is higher in mutant virgin flies. $P = 0.017$, Student's t–test with Bonferroni correction. $N = 8$ and 6 ovaries, for *dilp8^{ag52}* and *dilp8^{ag50}* flies, respectively. **(E)** Total ovulation time is increased in dilp8 mutant virgin flies, but not in mated ones. The analysis of individual stages shows that ovulation time (lightest green) increases from 66.1 ± 10.3 to 221.2 ± 105.7 minutes ($P < 0.0005$, Z-score test) and uterus transit time (dark green) from 3.1 ± 4.2 to 13.0 ± 25.5 minutes ($P < 0.005$, Z-score test) in mutant flies compared to background controls of the depicted genotypes, while oviduct transit time (medium green) it does not show a statistically significant difference. There was no statistically-significant difference between mated mutants and controls regarding the three times evaluated ($P > 0.05$, Z-score tests; see also Supplementary Table I). Number of flies evaluated is indicated between parentheses.

In contrast to control virgins, *dilp8^{ag50}* mutant virgins ovulated once every ~300 min, but their oocytes spent

a similar proportion of time in each compartment of the reproductive apparatus as controls (80% of the

time in the ovary and 20% of the time in the oviduct and uterus) (**Figure 3E and Supplementary Table**

I). Clearly, the whole ovulation process seems to be slower in *dilp8* virgins than in controls, including

ovarian ovulation, oviduct transit, and uterus residency, suggesting that Dilp8 modulates either a broad

process or multiple processes influencing ovulation and genital tract transit rates in virgins. In mated *dilp8*

mutants, however, although ovulation rate was also slightly slower, residency times were not statistically

significantly different from those in control mated females (**Figure 3E and Supplementary Table I**). This

is consistent with the fact that mating largely overrides the requirement for Dilp8 for fast ovulation rates.

Furthermore this is also consistent with the overall lack of correlation between genes associated with

oocyte/egg retention in virgin and mated females in the DGRP GWAS studies (Akhund-Zade et al. 2017;

Horváth and Kalinka 2018), suggesting that the two traits are under different genetic control. These results

strongly suggest that virgin females use Dilp8 signaling for efficient ovulation, transit, and extrusion of

unfertilized eggs from the uterus in virgins. This explains why *dilp8* mutant virgins lay less unfertilized

eggs than control animals and accumulate late stage oocytes in their ovaries.

Lgr3 is required non-autocrinally for ovulation and egg laying in virgins

During larval and prepupal stages, Dilp8 acts via its neuronal receptor Lgr3, an ortholog of vertebrate

relaxin RXFP1/2 receptors (Garelli et al. 2015; Vallejo et al. 2015; Colombani et al. 2015; Jaszczak et al.

2016; Gontijo and Garelli 2018; Heredia et al. 2021). To test if Lgr3 is required for ovulation control in

adult female virgins, we profiled unfertilized egg laying in *Lgr3* null mutants (*Lgr3^{ag1}*) and their respective

background controls (*Lgr3^{ag2}*) (Garelli et al. 2015). Consistent with the results obtained for *dilp8* mutants,

Lgr3 mutants also laid fewer unfertilized eggs as virgins than controls, and the effect was rescued by mating

(**Figure 4A**). Using *Lgr3-GAL4* lines to infer *Lgr3* expression, a previous report had suggested that *Lgr3*

is expressed at the distal posterior tip of the stage 14 follicles (Liao and Nässel 2020), a region which

overlays the aeropyle, a specialized structure of the eggshell involved in gas exchange (Margaritis, Kafatos,

and Petri 1980; Dobens and Raftery 2000). Here, using a translational *Lgr3* reporter (*superfolder GFP*

(*sfGFP*)::*Lgr3*, *sfGFP::Lgr3^{ag5}]*) (Garelli et al. 2015), we found no expression in this region. Instead, we

find similar patterns of endogenous autofluorescence of chorionic layers of the aeropyle in controls lacking

any transgene (*e.g., Lgr3^{ag11}*, a genetic background control) (Garelli et al. 2015) (**Supplementary Figure**

2; see also Figure 1A, arrowheads). We conclude that Lgr3 is not expressed at detectable levels in the

posterior tip follicle cells of mature follicles. Hence, it is likely that Dilp8 acts non-cell-autonomously to

regulate ovulation and ovulated egg transit in the genital tract.

To further test for an autocrine role of Dilp8 in stage 14 oocytes in the ovary, we verified if it regulated other genes associated with oocyte maturation. We compiled a list of genes with enriched expression in stage 14 follicles (**Supplementary Table II**) (Tootle et al. 2011), and performed quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) assays with ovaries from virgins of *dilp8* mutants and controls of a subset of these genes (namely, *Oamb, CG15279, CG1698, CG3759,* and *CG6508*). Our results

Fig 4. Lgr3 is required for virgin ovulation and egg laying in at least two different neuronal populations. **(A)** Virgin females lacking Lgr3 (*Lgr3^{ag1}*), the Dilp8 receptor, laid fewer eggs than their background controls (*Lgr3^{ag2}*). The effect was lost upon mating. Number of replicates, each with five individuals, is indicated between parentheses. (*) $P < 0.05$; *ns, P > 0.05*, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. **(B)** Panneuronal downregulation of *Lgr3* by RNAi reduces the number of eggs laid by virgin females. Number of replicates, each with five individuals, is indicated between parentheses. Same blue letter, $P > 0.0001$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. **(C)** Schematic representation of the *Lgr3* locus depicting the regions spanned by the different *cis* regulatory motifs (CRMs) that drive GAL4 expression in *CRM-Lgr3-GAL4* lines. **(D)** Effect of the knockdown of *Lgr3* expression with individual *CRM-Lgr3-GAL4* lines on the number of eggs laid by virgin females. Silencing *Lgr3* in *R18A01-GAL4* expressing cells reduces the number of eggs laid. Same blue letter, $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. The number of replicates, each with five individuals, is indicated between parentheses. **(E)** Effect of the knockdown of *Lgr3* expression with individual *CRM-Lgr3-GAL4* lines on the number of mature oocytes stored in the ovaries of virgin females. Silencing *Lgr3* in *R19B09-GAL4* cells increases the number of mature follicles stored per ovary. Each dot represents one ovary. Total number of ovaries between parentheses. Same blue letter, $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. **(F)** Lgr3 *RNAi* with *R19B09-GAL4* increases the number of mature follicles in the ovary of virgin females, but not in mated females. The virgin data is the same as in panel E; reproduced for comparison. Same blue letter, $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. Each dot represents one ovary. Total number of ovaries between parentheses. (***) $P > 0.0005$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test. **(G-I)** Confocal Z-stack projections of the reproductive organs of *R18A01-GAL4 UAS-mCD8::GFP* and **(J-K)** *R19B09-GAL4 UAS-myr::tdTomato* female flies. Fluorescent reporter expression is false colored in green. Nuclei are stained with DAPI (blue) and neuronal projections with anti-HRP antibody (magenta). **(H)** *R18A01>mCD8::GFP* marks neurons innervating the proximal basis of the oviduct. **(I)** Expression of *R18A01>mCD8::GFP* in the epithelial cells of the distal portion of the oviduct, and in neurons that project to the lower reproductive tract. **(K)** Expression of *R19B09>myR::tdTomato* in neurons that innervate oviduct and ovarian base. Scale bar = 100 µm.

253 show that expression of none of these mature follicle marker genes was consistently affected by loss of

254 *dilp8* (**Supplementary Figure 3**). These results suggest that *dilp8* does not consistently affect stage 14

255 follicle maturation and are consistent with a non-autocrine role of *dilp8* on ovulation processes via other

256 *Lgr3*-positive cells.

Lgr3 is required in different neuronal populations for ovulation and egg laying in virgins

As mentioned above, Lgr3 is required in neurons in two instances where a clear function for Dilp8 has been

demonstrated in growing larva and pupariating animals (Garelli et al. 2015; Vallejo et al. 2015; Colombani

et al. 2015; Jaszczak et al. 2016; Heredia et al. 2021). To test if *Lgr3* is also required in neurons for ovulation

control, we knocked-down *Lgr3* in neurons using RNAi and the panneuronal driver *R57C10-GAL4* (Pfeiffer

et al. 2008). Results showed that *Lgr3* is required in neurons for proper laying of unfertilized eggs in virgins

(**Figure 4B**). Although no function for endogenous *Lgr3* has been genetically or physiologically defined in

adults, a subset of sexually-dimorphic *Lgr3*-positive neurons labelled by the *Lgr3 cis-regulatory motif*

R17H01-GAL4 (*R17H01>*) affect female receptivity and fecundity (Meissner et al. 2016), while another

subset of brain *Lgr3* neurons labeled by the *Lgr3 cis-regulatory motif R19B09-GAL4* (*R19B09>*) affects

sugar appetite in mated females (Laturney, Sterne, and Scott 2023). Furthermore, some neurons labeled by

an *Lgr3-GAL4* line (Meissner et al. 2016) can innervate the proximal oviduct (Liao and Nässel 2020). Based

on these findings it has been proposed that *Lgr3* has functions controlling female reproductive physiology

and metabolism. It is unclear, however, if the neuronal activities are mediated by or require *Lgr3* at all, and

if the neurons that control fecundity are the same as those innervating the proximal oviduct.

To gain insight into the subpopulation of neurons potentially receiving the follicular Dilp8 signal and thus

supposedly controlling ovulation and egg transit in the reproductive tract, we knocked-down *Lgr3* in

different *Lgr3*-positive populations using a well-defined panel of five *cis-regulatory motifs* from the *Lgr3*

locus (Garelli et al. 2015; Vallejo et al. 2015; Colombani et al. 2015; Jaszczak et al. 2016; Meissner et al.

2016; Heredia et al. 2021; Jenett et al. 2012; Zanini et al. 2024) (**Figure 4C**) and quantified unfertilized

egg laying and the amount of stage 14 follicles in the ovary in virgins. Knockdown of *Lgr3* in *R18A01-*

GAL4 (*R18A01>*) cells (*R18A01>Lgr3-IR*) significantly affected the number of unfertilized eggs laid by

virgins (**Figure 4D**). Knockdown in *R17H01>* and *R19B09>* cells had a marginal or variable effect. *Lgr3*

knockdown in *R19B09>* cells led to a significant increase in the number of mature oocytes in the ovaries

of virgins, while knockdown in *R18A01>* cells had a marginal effect (**Figure 4E**). The *R19B09>Lgr3* effect

on oocyte retention was reduced by mating (**Figure 4F**). Importantly, proper ovulation does not require

Lgr3 in *R17H01>* neurons, which had been shown to regulate fecundity when activated (Meissner et al.

2016), indicating that these neurons either regulate other Lgr3-dependent reproductive processes or do not

require *Lgr3* for that regulation. Collectively, our results show that Dilp8 acts on Lgr3 in at least two

subpopulations of neurons marked by the *R18A01>* the *R19B09>* lines to control ovulation and/or egg

transit in virgins. Why *Lgr3* in *R19B09>* neurons strongly affects oocyte retention, but only marginally

affects unfertilized egg laying in virgins remains to be defined, but it could suggest additional activities of

Lgr3 R19B09> neurons that promote oocyte production, apart from promoting ovulation. Regardless, these

experiments suggest that the effects Dilp8 has on ovulation and genital tract egg transit likely arises from

its collective activity on multiple neuronal populations. The expression patterns of these lines have not been

previously profiled in the female genital tract, although the *R19B09>* line has been previously shown to be

sexually-dimorphic in the central nervous system (Meissner et al. 2016).

Neurons requiring Lgr3 to control ovulation and egg transit innervate the reproductive tract

To verify the neuroanatomy of the Lgr3 neurons in the reproductive system, we drove membrane-tagged

reporters (*UAS-mCD8::GFP* or *UAS-myR::tdTomato*) in virgin and mated females and observed their

reproductive tracts with confocal microscopy. We found reporter expression in neurons with different

branching patterns for each driver in the female reproductive tract. *R18A01>mCD8::GFP* is weakly

expressed in neurons innervating the proximal basis of the oviduct (**Figure 4G, H**) and the lower

reproductive tract (a region that contains the uterus) (**Figure 4G, I**). *R18A01>* is also strongly expressed

in epithelial cells of oviduct, most prominently at its distal portion (**Figure 4G, I**). On the other hand,

R19B09>myR::tdTomato is strongly expressed in neurons innervating the whole oviduct, starting from the

base of the ovary down to the distal oviduct (**Figure 4J, K**). These results lead to the conclusion that *Lgr3*
controls virgin ovulation and unfertilized egg laying from at least two different cell populations.

Although we cannot exclude that *Lgr3* expression in other cells or tissues contribute to ovulation and/or
genital tract egg transit in virgins, *Lgr3* expression is undetectable in oviducts in different single-cell RNA
sequencing data efforts (Hongjie Li et al. 2022; Thayer et al. 2024), whereas it is readily detectable in adult
neurons by sequencing (Hongjie Li et al. 2022) or by *in situ* hybridization (Meissner et al. 2016).
Considering that panneuronal *Lgr3* knockdown phenocopies *Lgr3* mutation (**Figure 4B)** and that both
R18A01> and *R19B09>* are expressed in neurons innervating the genital tract, we conclude that Lgr3 is
required in neurons to control ovulation and genital tract egg transit in virgins in response to follicle-cell
derived Dilp8. Do both neuronal populations control both aspects of virgin egg laying? From the expression
pattern and different activities of each group of cells, we favor the hypothesis that Lgr3 in *R19B09>* neurons
controls ovulation and oviduct transit, whereas Lgr3 in *R18A01>* neurons controls ovulation, oviduct
transit, and uterus extrusion, leading to a stronger unfertilized egg laying phenotype than *R19B09>*.

Dilp8 indirectly promotes oocyte quality by facilitating ovulation of older oocytes

What would be the function of promoting ovulation and unfertilized egg laying in virgins? *Drosophila*
oogenesis is a relatively energy-intensive process and unfertilized egg laying is considered a pointless loss
of reproductive potential (Akhund-Zade et al. 2017; Horváth and Kalinka 2018; Boulétreau-merle and
Fouillet 2002). However, this must be contrasted with the observations that prolific unfertilized egg laying
(*i.e.,* short retention) phenotypes are selected for in warmer climates in wild populations and the potential
for expressing such phenotypes remains present in cold-adapted populations via balancing selection
(Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002; Boulétreau-Merle, Fouillet, and Terrier
1992). Hence, it is likely that short ovulation times are subject to selective pressures, just like longer
retention ones are subject to pressures for overwintering.

Just like human oocytes (te Velde and Pearson 2002) and those of the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*
(Luo et al. 2010), older mature oocytes in *Drosophila* display reduced viability (hatchability), which
degrades proportionally to the time the oocyte spends in retention in the ovary (Greenblatt and Spradling
2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019). This alone could provide a selective force for the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway to
promote ovulation in virgins: to frequently purge the ovary of older, less viable oocytes. However, previous
data suggest that *D. melanogaster* oocytes do not lose viability in the first 5 days of retention, even though
it is unclear if virgin egg laying was factored in these assays (Greenblatt and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et
al. 2019). Regardless, this would suggest that laying unfertilized oocytes before day 4-5–which is
something short retention flies do copiously in the wild (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and
Fouillet 2002; Boulétreau-Merle, Fouillet, and Terrier 1992)–makes very little sense, as it would not

promote any further egg viability upon mating, and instead just waste precious resource investment. Hence,

we asked if Dilp8 has any additional role in promoting oocyte quality by assaying for further reduced egg

viability (hatchability) in *dilp8* mutants when compared to controls in a paradigm of egg retention.

For this, we grew well-fed *dilp8^{ag50}* mutants and their controls for 4 days in yeast-enriched food and then

switched them to hardened agar apple-juice plates for 7 days. This regimen drastically reduces virgin egg-

laying of controls to approximately one egg per week on average from day 4 on, leading to oocyte aging

during the 7-day retention period. At day 11, these flies were mated and we scored the % of eggs that

hatched into larvae (hatchability) as a readout of oocyte quality. We found that, as expected, *dilp8* mutants

that retained oocytes for 7 days as virgins had 18.2 % of the hatchability of *dilp8* mutants that had been

mating and feeding on yeast throughout the 7 days (**Figure 5A**). However, two days after mating, the

difference is no longer observed, showing that the loss of oocyte quality is due to the prolonged retention

of the older oocytes in the ovary. Forcing oocyte retention for 7 days in wild-type animals also led to

reduced hatchability rates in our assay: to 47.5% of that of mated controls (**Figure 5B**), in line with previous

reports (Greenblatt and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019). Although this was more than twice the

hatchability rate of eggs than that of *dilp8* mutants which retained oocytes, the difference was not

statistically significant, probably because of the low statistical power of the experimental design, with a

smaller *N* of repetitions (3-4) relative to the number of conditions. Parallel assays comparing *dilp8-*

eGFP^{MI00727} homozygous mutants with their *w^{1118}* controls gave similar results: a ~50% reduction of

hatchability in *dilp8* mutants relative to controls, which did not reach statistical significance (**Figure 5C**).

We concluded that oocyte quality is lost with time in both *dilp8* and WT mutant animals, and that there is

a tendency for further loss in hatchability rates when *dilp8* is absent. These results could be explained in

two ways: 1) if we posit that mature oocytes lose quality with time at an equal rate in *dilp8* mutant and

control contexts, it is possible that, under our experimental setup, mature oocytes are slightly older in *dilp8*

mutants when we measure their quality at day 11 because *dilp8* mutants start accumulating mature oocytes

from day 0 due to their ovulation defect. On the other hand, controls spontaneously ovulate more oocytes

up to day 4, when oocyte retention starts. Hence, control oocytes could be 3-4 days younger and thus have

a higher quality than those of *dilp8* mutants. This would suggest that Dilp8 has no additional direct role in

promoting oocyte quality than that of facilitating their elimination via virgin ovulation in normal conditions.

Alternatively, 2) Dilp8 could have an independent role promoting oocyte quality, which involves some

other role on ovarian physiology. Regardless, these results showed that Dilp8 promotes oocyte quality

indirectly by facilitating the elimination of older oocytes. Further work is required to determine

unequivocally if Dilp8 has an additional role in promoting oocyte quality.

Fig 5. Egg retention leads to reduced hatchability. **(A)** Schematic representation of the protocol used to induce egg retention. Newly eclosed females of the "No retention" condition were mated and kept on food supplemented with yeast. Hatchability was evaluated on the eggs laid at days 11 and 13 after eclosion. Virgin females of the "Retention" condition were fed yeast for four days, then transferred to hard agar plates without yeast to induce egg retention. Seven days later, they were mated and fed yeast. Hatchability was evaluated on the eggs laid at days 11 and 13 after eclosion. Eggs laid at day 13 after eclosion are expected to be produced after mating and considered non-retained. **(B)** Egg viability is reduced after seven days of oocyte retention in the ovary of *dilp8* mutant flies (*dilp8^{ag50}*) and its background control (*dilp8^{ag52}*). Hatchability of newly generated oocytes is restored to control values two days later. Each dot represents an independent biological replicate with five flies. Asterisk: $P < 0.01$, Student's t-test with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. ns, $P > 0.05$. **(C)** Egg viability after seven days of oocyte retention in the ovary of *dilp8* mutant flies (*dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}*). The size effect in control *w^{1118}* flies is smaller and the difference is not statistically significant from "no retention" controls. Asterisk: $P < 0.025$, Student's t-test with Bonferroni correction. ns, $P > 0.05$. **(D)** Females from an outbred *Drosophila melanogaster* population display two modes of ovulation; spontaneous laying of a small number of unfertilized eggs as virgins (virgin, red dots), and mating-induced egg laying (mated, blue dots). Number of replicates, each with five individuals, is indicated between parentheses. Asterisks $P < 0.0001$, unpaired Student's t-test. **(E)** Virgin females from an outbred population do not accumulate unfertilized oocytes in their ovaries. Depicted is the number of stage 14 follicles per ovary in virgin and mated females. This gives on average less than 2 stage 14 follicles per ovariole, considering ~20 ovarioles per ovary. The number of ovaries assayed are depicted in parentheses. ns, $P > 0.05$, unpaired Student's t-test. **(F)** Phosphorylation levels of Akt at serine 305 (P-Akt), specifically of a fast-migrating band (P-Akt*), are reduced upon 24 h starvation in the body (head and thorax) of wild type animals. The effect of starvation on P-Akt* is less prominent in *dilp8* mutant flies. Complete blots are shown in Supplementary figure 4. **(G)** Quantification of P-Akt* relative to total Akt in two different control and *dilp8* mutant genotypes. **(H)** Female virgins homozygous for different *dilp8* mutant alleles, *dilp8^{ag50}* or *dilp8^{ag54}*, show increased levels of *dilp3* mRNA, as evaluated by qRT-PCR, compared to the background control *dilp8^{ag52}*. Each dot represents a biological replicate (5-day old female virgins, whole body). Same blue letter: $P > 0.05$, ANOVA and Tukey's HSD test.

dilp8 mutants are in a short-retention background: implications for oogenesis and oocyte quality control

Whereas using laboratory stocks, such as *w^{1118}* "controls", allows us to better control for genetic backgrounds, it is not clear how much of their ovulation profiles reflects the reality of wild fly populations in our experimental settings and conditions. To get a better perspective of this, we profiled virgin and mated egg laying behavior in a previously-characterized outbred *D. melanogaster* population (Mel2) from

Portugal (temperate climate) (Martins et al. 2013; Faria and Sucena 2017) (see Methods). Our results

showed that well-fed virgins of the Mel2 population spontaneously laid 4.3 ± 2.0 (mean ± standard

deviation, $N = 5$ groups of 5 animals) unfertilized eggs per day (about 1 ovulation every 5-6 h), which rose

to 45.9 ± 5.7 eggs per day (1 ovulation every 0.5 h) upon mating (**Figure 5D)**. This result has many

implications. First, it confirms that in our settings, *D. melanogaster* has two modes of ovulation:

spontaneous and induced; and that in this representative outbred population, spontaneous ovulation is about

an order of magnitude less frequent than mating-induced ovulation, yet it is still highly robust and

quantifiable. Second, it brings further support to the idea that spontaneous ovulation is neither a negligible

process nor an artifact of laboratory stocks such as the w^{1118} "controls", which have been kept under

laboratory conditions for decades. Instead, our results are in line with previous reports carried out with flies

recently collected from the wild or with their established populations (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-

merle and Fouillet 2002; Boulétreau-Merle, Fouillet, and Terrier 1992) and surveys of DGRP lines ($N =$

90), all of which displayed virgin egg laying (Akhund-Zade et al. 2017; Horváth and Kalinka 2018;

Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). Third, it shows that while Mel2 population virgins align more with

the long-retention phenotype, which is selected for in temperate climates (Boulétreau-Merle 1990;

Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002), the virgins of the various laboratory controls we profiled herein lay

20-30 unfertilized eggs per day (**Figures 2B-D, 3A, 4A-B, D**), which is a rate ~5-6 times higher than that

of Mel2 population virgins. This suggests that our lab stocks are more representative of short retention

types, which start laying unfertilized eggs more quickly and more plentifully than flies with long retention

phenotypes (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). This genetic background

obviously (and serendipitously) facilitated the detection of a role for the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway in promoting

ovulation and egg transit through the genital tract.

Fourth, virgin and mated females of the Mel2 population accumulated stage 14 oocytes in their ovaries to

a similar extent [28.5 ± 10.7 (virgins, $N = 34$ ovaries) vs. 26.7 ± 5.7 (mated, $N = 48$ ovaries), $P > 0.05$,

Student's t-test] (**Figure 5E**). This indicates that despite laying even fewer unfertilized eggs per day than

virgin *dilp8* mutants (which lay ~5-10 unfertilized eggs per day), virgins of the Mel2 population do not

accumulate more than 1-2 stage 14 follicles per ovariole (considering ~20 ovarioles per ovary [as a

reference, the DGRP lines have 19.4 ± 2.84 average ± standard deviation ovarioles per ovary, $N = 200$ lines

(Lobell et al. 2017)]. This is coherent with what has been reported for control backgrounds of other

genotypes and laboratories (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002; King and Sang

1959); Bloch Qazi, Heifetz, and Wolfner 2003; Richards 2007; Greenblatt and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt

et al. 2019). In fact, long retention virgin females, such as Mel2 population females, do not accumulate

stage 14 follicles beyond 2 per ovariole because they abruptly reduce new follicle production and

vitellogenesis via increased resorptions (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). This

suggests the existence of an oogenesis progression checkpoint that is sensitive to the amount of stage 14

follicles per ovariole. On the opposite hand, virgins with short retention phenotypes lay copious amounts

of unfertilized eggs and do not reach the "2 mature oocyte/ovariole" threshold in normal conditions,
showing continuously higher follicle generation and vitellogenesis without oocyte accumulation. In fact,
as the threshold is not clearly reached in short-retention virgins, it is not clear whether or not they have a
competent checkpoint or not to begin with. As the *dilp8* mutant alleles we are studying herein are in an
apparent short-retention background (w^{1118}), this could suggest either that we only detect oocyte
accumulation in *dilp8* mutants in this background because the checkpoint for halting stage 14 follicle
accumulation is defective, or that virgins with short-retention phenotypes *would* arrest oogenesis *if* they did
not ovulate copious amounts of oocytes. In the latter case, short-retention virgins would require Dilp8 to
ensure the checkpoint, since they fail to arrest oogenesis when *dilp8* is absent. This interpretation aligns
with the second alternative presented at the end of the previous section: that Dilp8 could have an
independent role promoting oocyte quality, which involves some other role on ovarian physiology.

Evidence that the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway antagonizes insulin/IGF-like signaling to block vitellogenesis

The negative feedback by which long-retention animals halt new mature stage 14 follicle production kicks
in after they accumulate ~2 stage 14 follicles per ovary (Boulétreau-Merle 1990; Boulétreau-merle and
Fouillet 2002), strongly suggesting that it responds to the amount of stage 14 follicles in the ovary. As
Dilp8 is a secreted factor expressed specifically in this follicle stage in the ovary, we hypothesized it
participates in signaling the negative feedback. This negative feedback pathway is reminiscent of the
nutrition-dependent checkpoint, which prevents development of vitellogenic oocytes beyond stage 8/9 in
the absence of protein-rich food sources (Greenblatt and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019; Richard et
al. 2005; Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001) and the gating mechanism that limits egg production
while conditions are suboptimal in stressful conditions (Meiselman et al. 2017; Meiselman et al. 2018).
These likely correspond to the same checkpoint which is controlled at multiple levels, including by mating
(Soller et al. 1999). Indeed, oogenesis progression is subject to extensive endocrine control by the
insulin/insulin-like growth factor (hereafter, insulin), ecdysone, ecdysis triggering hormone, and juvenile
hormone signaling pathways (Soller et al. 1999; Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001; Richard et al.
2005; Liu et al. 2008; Grutenko et al. 2010; Meiselman et al. 2017; Meiselman et al. 2018; Greenblatt and
Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019). The balance between ecdysone and juvenile hormone, mediated
by ecdysis triggering hormone, dictates whether oogenesis progresses or not, with juvenile hormone likely
sitting at the bottom of the cascade (Liu et al. 2008; Grutenko et al. 2010; Meiselman et al. 2017; Meiselman
et al. 2018). The nutrient-sensitive insulin signaling pathway can modulate ecdysone and juvenile hormone
levels and also play an independent role in controlling the vitellogenic stage checkpoint, placing upstream
and in parallel to the ecdysone-ecdysis triggering hormone-juvenile hormone endocrine axis (g; Greenblatt
and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019; Richard et al. 2005; Drummond-Barbosa and Spradling 2001).
Here, we hypothesized that the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway beyond promoting ovulation and egg transit through

the reproductive tract, also negatively affected insulin signaling, participating in ensuring the checkpoint

when late stage 14 oocytes accumulated in the ovary. This would mean that insulin signaling would be

somehow increased in *dilp8* mutants. To test this, we first assayed for a systemic increase in insulin

signaling in the body of *dilp8* mutants relative to control animals using an antibody against phosphorylated

Akt (pAkt), which increases with insulin signaling (Burgering and Coffer 1995; Franke et al. 1995; Scanga

et al. 2000). Control animals starved in 2% agar-water plates had a visible reduction in pAkt levels relative

to well-fed animals (kept on yeast-food), as expected, showing that our assays were reliably detecting

insulin signaling (**Figure 5F**). While yeast-fed *dilp8* mutants had similar levels of pAkt as controls, two

different *dilp8* mutants showed a clear persistent enrichment of pAkt during starvation relative to control

levels, suggesting that systemic insulin signaling is likely active in *dilp8* mutants (**Figure 5F,G**).

A major source of insulin-like peptides that convey systemic insulin signaling in adults are the *pars*

intercerebralis neurosecretory cells (insulin producing cells), which express different *dilp*s (*e.g., dilp2,*

dilp3, and *dilp5*) (Broughton et al. 2005; Cao and Brown 2001; Belgacem and Martin 2002). A previous

report had shown that ectopic Dilp8 expression in Dimmed-positive secretory neurons led to a reduction in

the level of Drosophila insulin-like peptide 3 (Dilp3) levels in insulin producing cells of the brain of adults

of unspecified sex and mating status (Liao and Nässel 2020). Reduced levels of Dilps and other peptides in

secretory cells could reflect either reduced production or increased secretion of the peptides [*e.g.*,

(Géminard, Rulifson, and Léopold 2009)]. A hint that this might indeed by downregulation comes from

RNA-sequencing experiments done with 3-day old virgins, which revealed a small (2.6-fold), yet

statistically significant, upregulation of *dilp3* mRNA levels in non-backcrossed *dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}* mutants

relative to *w^{1118}* animals (Haomiao Li et al. 2023)). To confirm that endogenous Dilp8 signaling negatively

regulated insulin *dilp3* expression in virgin animals in a more controlled and homogeneous background,

we performed qRT-PCR assays of whole virgin females from our previously published *dilp8* allele series

(Garelli et al. 2015; Vallejo et al. 2015; Colombani et al. 2015; Jaszczak et al. 2016; Heredia et al. 2021;

Fernandez-Acosta et al. 2025) and found that *dilp3* mRNA is upregulated by a factor of 100-200 fold in

two independent *dilp8* mutant alleles (*dilp8^{ag50}*, *dilp8^{ag54}* relative to their wild-type *dilp8^{ag52}* controls

(**Figure 5H)**. These results suggest that the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway could negatively affect systemic insulin

signaling in virgins via *dilp3*. We hypothesize that this ectopic insulin signaling promotes vitellogenesis

checkpoint bypass. This would lead to a model where oocyte quality is also negatively affected in *dilp8*

mutants because late stage 14 follicles could be generated in suboptimal nutritional conditions due to

deregulated (nutrient-independent) insulin signaling.

DISCUSSION

The Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway promotes ovulation and unfertilized egg transit through the genital tract in virgins

A role for Dilp8 in controlling ovulation, fecundity, and metabolism in mated females had been previously

proposed based on RNAi studies and a hypomorphic mutation (Liao and Nässel 2020; Haomiao Li et al.

2023). Here, we show that the Dilp8-Lgr3 relaxin-like pathway indeed modulates ovulation and genital

tract egg transit, but also oocyte quality, and it does so more clearly, or in some contexts exclusively, in

virgin females. We show that these roles are less visible and consistent in mated animals, suggesting that

mating provides redundant inputs that largely override the requirement of the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway. It is

likely that sperm derived molecules, such as ovulin, and/or female spermathecal secretory cell factors

participate in this bypass of Dilp8-Lgr3 (Heifetz et al. 2000; Bloch Qazi, Heifetz, and Wolfner 2003;

Schnakenberg, Matias, and Siegal 2011; Sun and Spradling 2013; Rubinstein and Wolfner 2013).

Dilp8 is strongly upregulated in the very last stage, stage 14, of follicle maturation, which immediately

precedes ovulation. Dilp8 was proposed to act autocrinally on cells at the posterior tip of the stage 14

follicle, which supposedly expressed the Lgr3 receptor, based on the expression of fluorescent reporters

driven by Lgr3 regulatory regions (Liao and Nässel 2020). In contrast, we show that the posterior tip of

stage 14 follicles is strongly autofluorescent due to the underlying chorionic structure, called aeropyle, and

find no additional detectable expression of Lgr3 using an extensively used endogenously-tagged Lgr3

protein reporter construct (Garelli et al. 2015; Heredia et al. 2021; Fernandez-Acosta et al. 2025).

Additional evidence that Dilp8 has no apparent autocrine role on stage 14 follicle maturation *per se*, was

suggested by our expression profiling of *dilp8* mutant ovaries, which showed a lack of any effect on the

expression of oocyte maturation genes, and also by our observation that *dilp8* mutants had no major egg

laying defects when mated, suggesting that ovary dynamics proceeds similarly to wild-type animals in the

absence of *dilp8* in mated animals. These results suggested that Dilp8 must act in a paracrine manner in

other nearby tissues, rather than in an autocrine manner on the follicle cells themselves. Consistently, we

showed that Lgr3 is required in neurons, more specifically in neurons marked by *R18A01* and *R19B09 cis-*

regulatory motifs, which do not seem to coincide in their expression patterns, indicating that Lgr3 is

required in at least two different neuronal populations for proper reproductive physiology. Whereas *R19B09*

is expressed in oviduct-innervating neurons, *R18A01* is additionally expressed in neurons innervating the

lower reproductive tract, including the uterus. This could suggest that Dilp8 acts as an endocrine signal on

such relatively more distant neurons.

A conceptually important finding is that Dilp8 is secreted from stage 14 follicle cells when they still reside

in the ovary. An alternative scenario would have been that it is only secreted upon ovulation. This

observation by itself has major implications on our model of how the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway influences

female reproductive physiology. We still do not know where Dilp8 is secreted to, but we can make informed

assumptions. Dilp8 could be secreted basally into the ovariole/oviduct space and/or apically towards the

oocyte (peri-oocytic space). We can likely exclude the possibility that the latter occurs exclusively, because

in this case peri-oocytic Dilp8 would only reach Lgr3-positive neurons following follicle rupture at

ovulation, making a role for Dilp8 and Lgr3 in promoting ovulation after it occurs more difficult to explain.
Instead, we favor a model where Dilp8 is also or exclusively secreted basally/basolaterally into the
ovariole/oviduct space, after which it could easily reach the various Lgr3-positive neurons that innervate
the reproductive tract, such as those around the base of ovary and oviduct, or even reach the hemolymph
and act systemically.

The neuroanatomy of the female reproductive tract innervation has been previously described (Deshpande
et al. 2022; Demerec 1994; Maria Monastirioti 2003; Rodríguez-Valentín et al. 2006; Pauls et al. 2018;
Middleton et al. 2006; Cole et al. 2005; M. Monastirioti, Linn, and White 1996). These neurons originate
from the abdominal ganglia of the VNC and reach the reproductive tract via the median abdominal nerve,
which emits two bilateral branches towards the oviducts and uterus. The first branch innervates the ovary
and oviduct, while the latter innervates the uterus. A cluster of eight to ten octopaminergic neurons of the
abdominal ganglion innervate the base ovary (the only fibers known to innervate the ovary), oviduct, and
the uterus, forming modulatory Type II synapses (Middleton et al. 2006; Pauls et al. 2018), whereas other
non-octopaminergic neurons innervate the oviduct and uterus, forming Type I neuromuscular boutons,
which are likely glutamatergic. The neuroanatomical properties of the *Lgr3* neurons we describe herein are
consistent with *R19B09>* neurons being of the octopaminergic-type, forming octopaminergic Type II
boutons, whereas *R18A01>* neurons are likely of the glutamatergic type, forming Type I connections. This
is further supported by the fact that both *R19B09>* and *R18A01>* drivers are expressed in the abdominal
ganglia, most notably and strongly *R19B09>* (Pfeiffer et al. 2008; Jenett et al. 2012) (**Supplementary
Figure 5**). The ovary and oviduct octopaminergic neurons (*R19B09>* equivalent) have been proposed to
contract the ovary and relax the oviduct (Deshpande et al. 2022; Demerec 1994; Maria Monastirioti 2003;
Rodríguez-Valentín et al. 2006; Pauls et al. 2018; Middleton et al. 2006), thus promoting ovulation and egg
transit, whereas the non-octopaminergic (likely glutamatergic) neurons (*R18A01>* equivalent), have been
proposed to promote contractions of the oviduct (following relaxation), also promoting egg transit
(Deshpande et al. 2022). Interestingly, these oviduct-innervating non-octopaminergic, glutamatergic
neurons are likely responsive to octopamine, as they express an octopamine receptor (Deshpande et al.
2022). These known activities align well with the functions we defined for Dilp8 and for Lgr3 in these
neurons, which is to facilitate ovulation and egg laying in virgins. Hence Lgr3 is likely to act synergistically
with Octopamine, either modulating its release, stability, or modulating the responsiveness of underlying
octopamine receptor expressing cells.

A similar role has been proposed for ecdysis triggering hormone, which is secreted from trachea-associated
endocrine cells (INKA cells) in optimal growth conditions, acting on octopaminergic neurons to promote
ovulation (Meiselman et al 2017; Meiselman et al 2018). In stressful conditions (starvation, heat stress) its
secretion is inhibited by ecdysone signaling, reducing octopamine-dependent ovulation levels. It will be
interesting to study the interaction between the Dilp8-Lgr3 signaling pathway and the ecdysis triggering

hormone pathway and how these signals, which originate from distinct tissues (ovary and INKA cells,
respectively), might integrate different endocrine readouts (environmentally-favorable conditions: high
insulin and low ecdysone levels) with differentiation signals (mature oocyte-derived Dilp8) to promote
ovulation upstream of octopamine.

The Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway ensures optimal oocyte quality by two mechanisms

The promotion of spontaneous ovulation by the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway in virgin females increases average
oocyte quality by discharging older oocytes which have lower hatchability rates. This could be enough to
justify selection of short-ovulation phenotypes in warmer climates and seasons (Boulétreau-Merle 1990;
Boulétreau-merle and Fouillet 2002). However, the accumulation of extra mature oocytes, beyond the
typical maximum 2 per ovariole of wild-type animals, in animals with reduced *dilp8* or *Lgr3* function
suggested that the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway could regulate an additional aspect of reproductive biology
upstream of ovulation. Specifically, that Dilp8 would participate in the oogenesis checkpoint that
antagonizes further investment in mature oocyte development once 2 mature oocytes per ovariole are
developed. The expression of Dilp8 in the terminal follicle development stage and the fact that it is secreted
from these follicles places it as the perfect quantitative readout of the amount of mature oocytes per
ovariole. This suggests that there is a threshold system quantitatively responding to Dilp8 levels, and that
Dilp8 could help maintain the balance between oogenesis and ovulation rates.

We present evidence that Dilp8 antagonizes Akt phosphorylation in the female body and *dilp3* mRNA
production, both activities which place it upstream of a systemic signaling system, the insulin signaling
pathway, that is known to participate in a nutritionally- and stress-sensitive checkpoint that promotes
vitellogenesis progression only when nutritional conditions are optimal (Drummond-Barbosa and
Spradling 2001; Richard et al. 2005; Grutenko et al. 2010; Meiselman et al. 2017; Meiselman et al. 2018;
Greenblatt and Spradling 2018; Greenblatt et al. 2019). Critically, this provides a second mechanism by
which the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway could ensure optimal oocyte quality: by limiting mature oocyte production
to situations with optimal nutrient availability. In the absence of Dilp8-Lgr3 signaling, vitellogenesis could
be more easily bypassed, leading to the production of lower quality oocytes.

It remains to be defined if this negative effect on insulin signaling is mediated by follicle cell derived Dilp8
or not, and through which population of Lgr3 neurons (or other non-neuornal cells) it is conveyed.
Nevertheless, clues of how this pathway could work come from similarities of the ovarian phenotype of
dilp8 mutants (**Figure 1A**) with those of mutants partially deficient in the Octopamine pathway: a drastic
accumulation of >2 stage 14 oocytes per ovariole that is primarily attributed to ovulation defects
(Deshpande et al. 2022; Demerec 1994; Maria Monastirioti 2003; Rodríguez-Valentín et al. 2006; Pauls et
al. 2018; Middleton et al. 2006; Cole et al. 2005; M. Monastirioti, Linn, and White 1996). Since Dilp8 acts

on Lgr3 neurons, a subset of which are certainly octopaminergic neurons [based on the fact that the single

fibers that innervate the ovary are octopaminergic (Deshpande et al. 2022; Demerec 1994; Maria

Monastirioti 2003; Rodríguez-Valentín et al. 2006; Pauls et al. 2018; Middleton et al. 2006; Cole et al.

2005; M. Monastirioti, Linn, and White 1996)], the simplest model that explains our findings is that the

Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway achieves its adult activities by modulating octopamine signaling (synergistically)

(**Figure 6**). This would imply that octopamine also inhibits the insulin pathway, which is in fact largely

supported by the literature in *Drosophila* and *C. elegans* (Crocker et al. 2010; Damrau et al. 2017; Y. Li et

al. 2016; Tao et al. 2016), and leads to the prediction that if ovulation could be rescued in octopamine

mutants, their oocytes would also be of reduced quality.

Curiously, the dual control of ovulation and oogenesis by the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway draws further parallel

between this and the ecdysis triggering hormone pathway, which also affects both processes (Meiselman

et al. 2018). However, whereas ecdysis triggering hormone controls ovulation via octopamine, as we

propose Dilp8-Lgr3 also does, ecdysis triggering hormone promotes oogenesis progression via the juvenile

hormone, by acting directly on the corpora allata, the juvenile hormone-producing organ. Females with

ablated INKA cells, hence, with no detectable *ecdysis triggering hormone* mRNA production, accumulate

Figure 6. Model for the role of the Dilp8-Lgr3 signaling pathway in reproductive physiology control. Dilp8 peptide (green), produced and continuously secreted from follicle cells surrounding fully mature follicles, acts on two different populations of Lgr3-expressing neurons (orange neurons) that innervate the lateral oviduct and uterus (R18A01), and the base of the ovary and the lateral and common oviducts (R19B09), facilitating ovulation and egg transit through the reproductive tract (large red arrow), and oogenesis progression in virgin females (blue arrow). Dilp8 inhibits *dilp3* transcription in a still uncharacterized way (dashed line), which might involve release of octopamine (orange arrow, orange dots) from octopaminergic Lgr3+ neurons (R19B09, right orange neurons). Dilp3 peptide, likely secreted from insulin producing cells in the brain (magenta neurons), is hypothesized to facilitate oocyte progression. The requirement of Dilp8 for fast ovulation is rendered largely redundant upon mating.

late stage oocytes due to their ovulation defect, but not more than 2 mature oocytes per ovariole, even when

ectopic juvenile hormone is provided (Meiselman et al. 2018). This suggests that part or all of the mature-

oocyte-number-sensitive checkpoint is still active in the absence of ecdysis triggering hormone and that

juvenile hormone alone is not sufficient to bypass the checkpoint. This would be consistent with the fact

that these animals would still have the Dilp8-Lgr3 signaling pathway active and that these pathways might

have distinct and complementary roles.

Virgin egg laying is completely inhibited by increasing the stiffness of the egg laying substrate. Clearly,

this manipulation overrides the cues for spontaneous ovulation in control animals, showing that

spontaneous ovulation in virgins is also under environmental (sensorial) control, likely upstream of the

Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway. It would be interesting to determine at which level this regulation occurs. Determining

the precise nature of the Lgr3 neurons controlling ovulation and genital tract egg transit will surely

contribute to the understanding of these processes. On the other hand, our work suggests that genes and

regulatory regions required for Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway activity in the reproductive system would be good

candidates for loss-of-function variation that promotes long oocyte retention phenotypes, associated with

cold adaptation and overwintering.

In conclusion we have revealed new roles for the Dilp8-Lgr3 relaxin signaling in female reproductive

physiology. Our profiling of a wild population and elegant previous work on virgin egg retention in wild

populations suggests our discoveries were likely only possible because–or were largely facilitated by the

fact that–laboratory stocks show mostly short-retention behavior as virgins. This way we could reveal the

pro-ovulatory activity of the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway. The fact that the Dilp8-Lgr3 pathway is modulatory and

not absolute, like the octopamine pathway, also allowed the determination of downstream activities on

facilitating unfertilized egg transit through the ovulatory tract, which would not have been trivial to

determine if oocytes remained trapped in the ovary.

The relaxin pathway (R. A. D. Bathgate et al. 2013) has been historically associated with female

reproduction since it was first demonstrated to promote relaxation of the interpubic ligament in pregnant

guinea pigs and rabbits (Hisaw 1926) and to be produced by the corpus luteum (Fevold, Hisaw, and Meyer

1930). It has become clear that the relaxin pathway was present in the last bilaterian ancestor (Mita et al.

2009; Gontijo and Garelli 2018; Feng et al. 2025), and that it has evolved multiple functions that go beyond

female reproduction, including functions on tissue remodelling, multi-tissue physiology regulation,

behavior, stress regulation, and development (R. A. D. Bathgate et al. 2013; Gontijo and Garelli 2018;

Imambocus et al. 2022; Heredia et al. 2021), making relaxins potential therapeutic targets for multiple

diseases (Ross A. D. Bathgate et al. 2018). Although relaxins have mostly been associated with late

pregnancy events in vertebrates [reviewed in (Klein 2016)], relaxin is also expressed in follicles and other

ovarian cells in many vertebrates, including during the nonpregnant oestrus cycles, and a direct role in

promoting ovulation is clear in horses and rats, where relaxin induces the secretion of enzymes that

stimulate follicle cell rupture [reviewed in (Klein 2016)]. A role for relaxin signaling in ovulation/spawning

control was also recently demonstrated in starfish (Feng et al. 2025). Our study in *Drosophila*, a protostome,

therefore allows for the conclusion that the modulation of ovulation is an ancestral role of the relaxin

pathway in bilaterians.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

***Drosophila* husbandry and stocks**

The following stocks were used in this study:

w*; P{UAS-shi^{ts}1.K}3 was a gift from Rita Teodoro. The *Lgr3^{ag1}* mutant, the *sfGFP::Lgr3^{ag5}* reporter, and

Lgr3^{ag2} and *Lgr3^{ag11}* background controls were previously described (Heredia et al. 2015). The *dilp8^{ag50}*

and *dilp8^{ag54}* mutant alleles and their background control *dilp8^{ag52}* were previously described (Heredia et

al. 2021). *UAS-dilp8* is *UASt-dilp8::3xFLAG*, and was previously described (Garelli et al. 2012). *P{UAS-*

dpp.sGFP} (UAS-sGFP) was a gift from Pierre Leopold (Entchev, Schwabedissen, and González-Gaitán

2000). *empty-GAL4* is *pBDP[GAL4] attp2 (III)* and was a gift from Carlos Ribeiro. Our *w^{1118}* stock can be

tracked back to the Dominguez lab, Alicante, Spain. *UAS-Lgr3-IR* is *y^1 sc* v^1 sev^{21}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$*

v$^{+t1.8}$=TRiP.GL01056}attP2 (BL36887) and was obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center,

Indiana University Bloomington (BDSC; BL, stock number). *dilp8-1-GAL4* is *y* w*; P{GawB}NP0007*

and *dilp8-2-GAL4* is *w*; P{w^{+mW}.hs=GawB}NP0778* and were obtained from the Kyoto Drosophila Stock

Center. *dilp8-1-GAL4* was recombined with *w*; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=10XUAS-IVS-mCD8::RFP}attP40*

(BL32219) or *UAS-dilp8* and then backcrossed to *w^{1118}* to get *w^{1118}; dilp8-1>mCD8:RFP* and *w^{1118}; dilp8-*

1-GAL4 UAS-dilp8, respectively, as described below. *y^1 w*; Mi{MIC}Ilp8^{MI00727}* (BL33079) was

backcrossed to *w^{1118}* to get *w^{1118}; dilp8-1-GAL4 UAS-dilp8*, as described below. *UAS-dilp8-IR* is a

recombinant of *y^1 v^1; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ v$^{+t1.8}$=TRiP.HMS06016}attP40* (BL36726) with *P{KK112161}VIE-260B*

(v102604), obtained from the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center, respectively.

The following stocks were also obtained from the BDSC:

- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR47A04-GAL4}attP2* (BL50286)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR57C10-GAL4}attP2* (BL39171)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR19B09-GAL4}attP2* (BL48840)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR17G11-GAL4}attP2* (BL49275)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR18C07-GAL4}attP2* (BL48806)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR18A01-GAL4}attP2* (BL48791)
- *w^{1118}; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=GMR17H01-GAL4}attP2* (BL48786)
- *y^1 w*; P{w^{+mC}=UAS-mCD8.mRFP.LG}10b* (BL27399)
- *w*; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=10XUAS-IVS-myr::tdTomato}attP40* (BL32222)
- *w^1; P{y$^{+t7.7}$ w^{+mC}=10XUAS-IVS-myr::GFP}attP40* (BL32198)
- *y^1 w^{67c23}* (BL6599)

Stocks are maintained at low densities at 18 °C in a 12-h light/dark cycle. All experiments were done at 25

ºC in a 12-h light/dark cycle, or at a different temperature when specified.

Backcrossed stocks had their 1st and 2nd chromosomes substituted for those of the *w[1118]* stock using

directional crossing and balancer chromosomes (CyO), while the 3rd chromosome was backcrossed 5x in

total.

An outbred *D. melanogaster* population (Mel2) was obtained from the Sucena lab (Martins et al. 2013;

Faria and Sucena 2017). The population is maintained in laboratory cages at a census of 1500-2000

individuals, under constant temperature (25°C) and humidity (60-70%) with a 12-h light/dark cycle. All

experimental flies were generated from egg lays with controlled density.

Food: Animals are fed with cornmeal-agar medium, consisting of 4.5% molasses, 7.5% sugar, 7% corn-

flower, 2% granulated yeast extract, 1% agar, and 0.25% nipagin, mixed in distilled water.

Precise excision of the *Mi{MIC}* element from *dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}*

The precise excision of the *Mi{MIC}* element from *dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}* (Venken et al. 2011), which we

named *dilp8^{ag22}*, was obtained by crossing virgin *dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727}* females with males carrying the heat

shock-inducible MiET1 transposase on a *Cy* balancer chromosome (*w^{1118}; If/Cy-Minos; MKRS/TM6B*) and

transferred to new vials every day. After 48 h of development and until pupariation, the F1 progeny was

given a 1-h 37 °C heat shock daily to induce the expression of the MiET1 transposase. *Cy-Minos/+; dilp8-*

eGFP^{MI00727}/MKRS or *TM6B* male adults were selected and individually crossed to the balancer strain *w^{1118};*

If/CyO; MKRS/TM6B. Deletion of the *Mi{MIC}* element was confirmed by PCR with primers

dilp8MMIC_F CAATAGATCAGTAGGCACGTCGAT   and   dilp8MMIC_R

CAGCAAGTCCAGCAGCATGT. The restoration of wild type *dilp8* expression level was

confirmed by qRT-PCR (**Figure 1C**).

Egg-laying assay and hatchability assessment

Flies used to evaluate oogenesis and oviposition were reared under controlled conditions. Females laid eggs

overnight on apple juice egg-laying plates (25% apple juice, 2.5% sucrose, 2% agar, 5% nipagin in a 60-

mm diameter petri dish). The next day, L1 larvae were transferred to vials with fly food at low density (~30

larvae per vial) and kept at 25 °C. Virgin females reared under these conditions were placed in groups of

~10-15 per vial with paste yeast supplemented food until they were used for egg-laying assays four-five

days later. At that time, they were transferred to egg-laying plates supplemented with yeast paste, at a rate

of five females per cage. Egg-laying plates were replaced every 24 h for a total of 48 h, except in long-term
oviposition experiments, where flies were evaluated for 10 d.

The number of eggs laid on the plates was counted at the time of plate replacement and used to determine
the average egg-laying time. When oviposition was evaluated in mated females, virgin females were
collected following the indicated procedure, mated at day 1 and transferred to egg laying plates on day 4-
5.

For hatchability assessment, plates with eggs were kept at 25 °C, and L1 larvae were counted daily until no
newly hatched larvae were observed. Hatchability was expressed as the percentage of eggs that successfully
completed embryonic development and hatched as larvae.

Follicle classification

Ovaries were dissected in cold PBS, fixed for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, rinsed with PBS containing
Triton (0.3%) (PBST), stained with DAPI for 30 min, rinsed with PBS, and mounted in 50% PBS glycerol
or Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech). Follicles were staged following published criteria (Deady, Li, and
Sun 2017; Beard, Oramas, and Sun 2023; Jia et al. 2016). Briefly, mature follicles were identified by their
long dorsal appendage and by DAPI staining, as they lack nurse cell nuclei in the anterior region of the
follicle. We classified stage 14 follicles as 'early' if they lacked nurse cells and their nuclei were randomly
distributed, and as 'fully mature' if the nuclei were aligned in a row, as shown in **Supplementary figure 6**.
dilp8-eGFP^{MI00727} is only active in fully mature stage 14 follicles (**Figure 1**).

Egg-laying time assay

The egg-laying process can be divided into three stages: ovulation, transit through the lateral and common
oviduct, and transit through the uterus and oviposition. The total time required to lay a single egg can be
estimated from the number of eggs laid per female per day. The duration of each stage can be inferred based
on the assumption that the probability of finding an egg in a specific region of the reproductive tract at any
given time is proportional to the duration of that stage. The time required for each stage is then calculated
by multiplying the total egg-laying time by the frequency of eggs found within each reproductive tract
region (Beard, Oramas, and Sun 2023). To quantify the distribution of eggs within the reproductive tract,
5-d old virgin females were treated as in the Egg-Laying Assay, mated, and frozen at -80 °C for five minutes
six hours after mating. They were then stored frozen until further analysis. Females were dissected, and the
position of the egg within the reproductive tract was recorded as follows:

●   None (N) – No egg found in the reproductive tract

●   Bilateral (B) – More than 75% of an egg in the lateral oviduct

●   Common (C) – Egg entirely within the common oviduct

●   Uterus (U) – Egg located in the uterus

●   Ejected (E) – Egg expelled from the uterus

To calculate ovulation time, oviduct time, and uterus time, the total egg-laying time was multiplied by the

percentage of eggs in ovulation (%N), oviduct (%B + %C), and uterus (%U + %E), respectively. The egg-

laying time is calculated using the number of eggs/female/day (Beard, Oramas, and Sun 2023).

Induction of egg retention

Egg retention was induced by placing females on hard-agar (5% agar) egg-laying plates without yeast paste.

Briefly, virgin females were collected as described above and placed in vials with yeast-paste-supplemented

food to induce oocyte production for four days. On day four, females were transferred to hard-agar egg-

laying plates for seven days. Under these conditions, females laid very few eggs, regardless of genotype.

On day 11 after eclosion, virgin females were mated and placed on standard egg-laying plates supplemented

with yeast paste. Hatchability of laid eggs was evaluated on the first and third day after retention. Eggs laid

during the first 24-h period corresponded to those retained in the ovary during the experimental retention

period. Eggs laid on the third day were expected to be mostly produced after mating and, therefore,

considered non-retained. In parallel, virgin females were collected in the same way but mated on day 1

after eclosion and continuously housed with males until the end of the experiment served as the "no-

retention" control. They were kept on yeast-supplemented standard food for four days and transferred to

regular egg-laying plates supplemented with yeast on day five. On day 11, they followed the same regime

as retained females.

Blockage of vesicle release in follicle cells

Virgin *dilp8-1-GAL4 UAS-shi^{ts} UAS-sGFP* females, were developed at room temperature (RT) and

maintained at the same temperature after eclosion. On day 7, they were shifted to 30 ºC for 24 h, or kept at

RT as controls. Control flies without *UAS-shi^{ts}* received the same temperature regime. Ovaries were

dissected as indicated below and stained with a-Dilp8 and a-GFP antibodies.

To assess the effect of the blockage of vesicle release on egg laying, flies were developed at 18 ºC. Virgin

females were maintained at 30 ºC on vials with regular food. On day five after eclosion, flies were

transferred to egg-laying plates supplemented with a smear of yeast paste and allowed to lay eggs for 48 h.

Egg-laying plates were replaced every 24 h at 30 °C, depending on the corresponding condition. Flies were
assayed in groups of five individuals.

Immunofluorescence analyses

Ovaries were dissected in Schneider Medium (Biowest – cat. #L0207 or Gibco - cat. #21720-024), fixed
for 30 min in 4% paraformaldehyde, rinsed with PBS with Triton (0.3%) (PBST), incubated with primary
antibody for 24 h and with fluorescently labeled secondary antibody for 24 h in PBST with 1% bovine
serum albumin. Samples were washed 3× for 30 min each in PBST after each antibody incubation. Nuclei
were counterstained with DAPI (Sigma) and tissues were mounted in Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech)
or Dabco mounting medium (Sigma-Aldrich). Primary antibodies used were: Rat anti-Dilp8, 1:500
(Colombani, Andersen, and Léopold 2012), Rabbit Anti-GFP, 1:200 (Life Technologies, A11122), Mouse
Living Colors DsRed, 1:500 (Clontech, 632496). Secondary antibodies: Donkey Anti-Rat Alexa Fluor 647,
1:250 (Jackson 712-605-153), Goat Anti-Rabbit Alexa Fluor 488, 1:250 (Invitrogen A11008), Goat Anti-
Mouse Alexa Fluor 568, 1:250 (Life Technologies, A11036), Goat Anti-Horseradish Peroxidase (HRP)
Alexa Fluor 647, 1:250 (Jackson Immuno Research, 123-605-021).

qRT-PCR.

The material used for the qRT–PCR experiments were obtained from 5 staged animals, depending on the
experiment, or 20 ovaries per biological replica, and was macerated using pellet pestles and homogenized
in 800 µl of TRI Reagent or NZYol and centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 1 min, to lower tissue debris. After
the centrifugation, half volume of absolute ethanol was added to the supernatant and mixed well. Then, the
sample was loaded in a binding column of the RNA extraction kit. An extra DNAse treatment (Turbo DNA-
free kit, Ambion, Life Technologies) was performed to reduce gDNA contamination. cDNA synthesis was
performed using the Maxima First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit for RT–quantitative PCR (Thermo
Scientific) or NZY First-Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit, following manufacturer's instructions.

qRT–PCR experiments were performed as described previously (Heredia et al. 2021). Briefly, the
experiments were performed in a LightCycler® 96 Instrument (Roche) or in a CFX96^{TM} (Bio-Rad) using
the FastStart Essential DNA Green Master dye and polymerase (Roche). The final volume for each reaction
was 10 µl, consisting of 5 µl of dye and polymerase (master mix), 2 µl of cDNA sample (diluted to an
estimated 1-10 ng/µl equivalent of RNA) and 3 µl of the specific primer pairs. The efficiency of the primers
for the qPCR was verified by analyzing a standard curve with 3 serial dilutions of gDNA from w1118
animals and the production of primer dimer was checked by analyzing the melting curve. Only primers
with more than 90% of efficiency and with no primer dimer formation were used. qRT-PCR results were
expressed as mRNA levels relative to *rp49* (*rp49* = 100). Primer pairs used for *D. melanogaster* genes:

*rp49*_F 5´TTGAGAACGCAGGCGACCGT 3´

*rp49*_R 5´CGTCTCCTCCAAGAAGCGCAAG 3´

*dilp8*_F 5´CGACAGAAGGTCCATCGAGT 3´

*dilp8*_R 5´GATGCTTGTTGTGCGTTTTG 3´

*dilp3*_F 5´CCGAAACTCTCTCCAAGCTC 3´

*dilp3*_R 5´ GCCATCGATCTGATTGAAGTT 3´

*CG15279*_F 5' TCGTCTATCCAAATTGGTCTTACTC 3'

*CG15279*_R 5' AATGGCCACGATCATCCA 3'

*CG1698*_F 5' GTCTACGACTTTTCACCCATCA 3'

*CG1698*_R 5' AACGTGGCGTAGTAGGTGGT 3'

*CG3759*_F 5' AAACTGTAGGCGAATCAGCAG 3'

*CG3759*_R 5' CTCCTTTCGATAAGTGGTGTTGT 3'

*CG6508*_F 5' AGGTCCAGGCGGATAACAAT 3'

*CG6508*_R 5' TTACCCTGCAAGTATGTGAAGC 3'

*Oamb*_F 5' GGAGAAAACTGGCCAGAACTAA 3'

*Oamb*_R 5' TTACCCTGCAAGTATGTGAAGC 3'

Western Blot

Female pupae reared at controlled conditions were transferred to a new vial and fed on food supplemented

with yeast paste for four days after eclosion. On day four, flies were separated into two groups. Control

flies were kept in vials with yeasted food while starved flies were transferred to 2% agar in water. One day

later, the thorax and head were dissected in ice-cold PBS and stored in 250 μl of ice-cold modified RIPA

buffer with NaF, NaVO4 and SpI proteases and phosphatases inhibitors. Each sample contains material

from five female flies. Protein concentration was measured with Bradford assay and 30 μg of protein

separated on a 12% SDS-PAGE gel, transferred and analyzed with anti Pospho-Drosophila Akt (Ser505)

and Akt antibodies from Cell Signalling. P-Akt/Akt was calculated as the ratio between the nutrition-
sensitive fast migrating p-Akt band (p-Akt*), over total Akt.

Statistical analysis

For all tests, alpha was set at 0.05 a priori. For quantitative data, comparison between multiple conditions
was done using ANOVA when samples had normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test and equal variance). If
the result of these tests was statistically significant, then Tukey's post-hoc tests were applied for the
assessment of statistical significance of pairwise comparisons. When samples had unequal standard
deviations, the Brown-Forsythe and Welch ANOVA and Dunnett's T3 test was used instead. Comparison
between two conditions were done using one-tailed unpaired (unless noted otherwise) Student's t-test, when
samples had normal distribution and equal variance. Comparison between proportions was performed using
Student's t –test with Bonferroni correction. Z-score test was used for egg-laying time analysis.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Y.V., F.H., R.Z., J.M., M.S.P., M.G., L.L., L.S.C., A.P.C., A. Garelli, and A.M. Gontijo performed genetic,
phenotypic, molecular, and behavioral experiments. Y.V., F.H., A. Garelli and A.M. Gontijo designed
research. F.H., A. Garelli and A.M. Gontijo supervised the work. A. Garelli and A.M. Gontijo contributed
to image and data analyses and wrote the manuscript with the help of all authors.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Élio Sucena for the Mel2 population, Pierre Léopold for the a-Dilp8 antibody, Rita Teodoro and
Carolos Ribeiro for stocks, Maria Dominguez for discussions and support in the initial stages of this work,
and Ana Margarida Moreira and Maria João Cruz for help in initial experiments of this work. We thank lab
members for discussions and the Jianjun Sun and team for discussions and for sharing unpublished results
prior to publication. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center (NIH P40OD018537),
the Kyoto Drosophila Stock Center, and the Vienna Drosophila Resource Center (VDRC) at Vienna
BioCenter Core Facilities (VBCF), member of the Vienna BioCenter (VBC), Austria, were used in this
study. Work in the Integrative Biomedicine Laboratory was supported by the Fundação para Ciência e
Tecnologia   (FCT;   PTDC/BIA-BID/31071/2017;   EXPL/BIA-BID/1524/2021;   EXPL/BIA-
COM/1296/2021; 10.54499/2022.03859.PTDC; 2023.15344.PEX), by the Research Units iNOVA4Health
(10.54499/UIDB/04462/2020) and cE3c - Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes
(10.54499/UIDB/00329/2020), by the The Associate Laboratory Life Sciences for a Healthy and

Sustainable Future (LS4FUTURE) and CHANGE financed by the FCT/MCTES (Ministério da Ciência,

Tecnologia e Ensino Superior), by Congento LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-022170, co-financed by

FCT/Lisboa2020; UID/Multi/04462/2019; and by the Microscopy Facility of the Faculdade de Ciências da

Universidade de Lisboa (PPBI-POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022122). Work in the Garelli lab was supported by

Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (ANPCyT; PICT 2017-0254 and PICT 2020-

01568), Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET; PIP11220150100182CO)

and Universidad Nacional del Sur PGI 24/B288. AMG and FH were individually supported by grants

10.54499/CEECINST/00102/2018/CP1567/CT0031   and   10.54499/DL57/2016/CP1457/CT0016,

respectively. AG and YV are CONICET researchers, MSP holds a CONICET PhD fellowship. All data are

available in the manuscript or the supporting information. All reagents and fly strains generated in this

study are available from the corresponding authors without restriction

DECLARATION OF INTERESTS

868 The authors declare no competing interests.

REFERENCES

Akhund-Zade, Jamilla, Alan O. Bergland, Sarah O. Crowe, and Robert L. Unckless. 2017. "The Genetic

Basis of Natural Variation in Drosophila (Diptera: Drosophilidae) Virgin Egg Retention." *Journal of

Insect Science* 17 (1): 5.

Bakker, J., and M. J. Baum. 2000. "Neuroendocrine Regulation of GnRH Release in Induced Ovulators."

Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology 21 (3): 220–62.

Bathgate, R. A. D., M. L. Halls, E. T. van der Westhuizen, G. E. Callander, M. Kocan, and R. J.

Summers. 2013. "Relaxin Family Peptides and Their Receptors." *Physiological Reviews* 93 (1):

405–80.

Bathgate, Ross A. D., Martina Kocan, Daniel J. Scott, M. Akhter Hossain, Sara V. Good, Sergey

Yegorov, Jan Bogerd, and Paul R. Gooley. 2018. "The Relaxin Receptor as a Therapeutic Target -

Perspectives from Evolution and Drug Targeting." *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* 187 (July):114–

32.

Beard, Andrew, Rebecca Oramas, and Jianjun Sun. 2023. "Assessing Ovulation in Drosophila

Melanogaster." *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)* 2626:253–76.

Belgacem, Yesser Hadj, and Jean-René Martin. 2002. "Neuroendocrine Control of a Sexually Dimorphic

Behavior by a Few Neurons of the Pars Intercerebralis in Drosophila." *Proceedings of the National

Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 99 (23): 15154–58.

Bloch Qazi, Margaret C., Yael Heifetz, and Mariana F. Wolfner. 2003. "The Developments between
Gametogenesis and Fertilization: Ovulation and Female Sperm Storage in Drosophila
Melanogaster." *Developmental Biology* 256 (2): 195–211.

Boulétreau-Merle, Josselyne. 1990. "Genetic Divergence in the Kinetics of Ovarian Activity and Brain
Control in Virgin Drosophila Melanogaster Females." *Journal of Insect Physiology* 36 (2): 119–24.

Boulétreau-merle, Josselyne, and Pierre Fouillet. 2002. "How to Overwinter and Be a Founder: Egg-
Retention Phenotypes and Mating Status in Drosophila Melanogaster." *Evolutionary Ecology* 16 (4):
309–32.

Boulétreau-Merle, Josselyne, Pierre Fouillet, and Odette Terrier. 1992. "Clinal and Seasonal Variations in
Initial Retention Capacity of virginDrosophila Melanogaster Females as a Strategy for Fitness."
Evolutionary Ecology 6 (3): 223–42.

Broughton, Susan J., Matthew D. W. Piper, Tomoatsu Ikeya, Timothy M. Bass, Jake Jacobson, Yasmine
Driege, Pedro Martinez, et al. 2005. "Longer Lifespan, Altered Metabolism, and Stress Resistance in
Drosophila from Ablation of Cells Making Insulin-like Ligands." *Proceedings of the National
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 102 (8): 3105–10.

Brown, Janine L. 2018. "Comparative Ovarian Function and Reproductive Monitoring of Endangered
Mammals." *Theriogenology* 109 (March):2–13.

Buning, Jurgen. 2012. *The Insect Ovary: Ultrastructure, Previtellogenic Growth and Evolution*.
Dordrecht, Netherlands: Springer.

Burgering, B. M., and P. J. Coffer. 1995. "Protein Kinase B (c-Akt) in Phosphatidylinositol-3-OH Kinase
Signal Transduction." *Nature* 376 (6541): 599–602.

Cao, C., and M. R. Brown. 2001. "Localization of an Insulin-like Peptide in Brains of Two Flies." *Cell
and Tissue Research* 304 (2): 317–21.

Chintapalli, Venkateswara R., Jing Wang, and Julian A. T. Dow. 2007. "Using FlyAtlas to Identify Better
Drosophila Melanogaster Models of Human Disease." *Nature Genetics* 39 (6): 715–20.

Cole, Shannon H., Ginger E. Carney, Colleen A. McClung, Stacey S. Willard, Barbara J. Taylor, and Jay
Hirsh. 2005. "Two Functional but Noncomplementing Drosophila Tyrosine Decarboxylase Genes:
Distinct Roles for Neural Tyramine and Octopamine in Female Fertility." *The Journal of Biological
Chemistry* 280 (15): 14948–55.

Colombani, Julien, Ditte S. Andersen, Laura Boulan, Emilie Boone, Nuria Romero, Virginie Virolle,
Michael Texada, and Pierre Léopold. 2015. "Drosophila Lgr3 Couples Organ Growth with
Maturation and Ensures Developmental Stability." *Current Biology: CB* 25 (20): 2723–29.

Colombani, Julien, Ditte S. Andersen, and Pierre Léopold. 2012. "Secreted Peptide Dilp8 Coordinates
Drosophila Tissue Growth with Developmental Timing." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 336 (6081): 582–
85.

Crocker, Amanda, Mohammad Shahidullah, Irwin B. Levitan, and Amita Sehgal. 2010. "Identification of
a Neural Circuit That Underlies the Effects of Octopamine on Sleep:wake Behavior." *Neuron* 65 (5):

670–81.

Damrau, Christine, Naoko Toshima, Teiichi Tanimura, Björn Brembs, and Julien Colomb. 2017.
"Octopamine and Tyramine Contribute Separately to the Counter-Regulatory Response to Sugar
Deficit in Drosophila." *Frontiers in Systems Neuroscience* 11:100.

Deady, Lylah D., Wei Li, and Jianjun Sun. 2017. "The Zinc-Finger Transcription Factor Hindsight
Regulates Ovulation Competency of Drosophila Follicles." *eLife* 6 (December):e29887.

Deady, Lylah D., Wei Shen, Sarah A. Mosure, Allan C. Spradling, and Jianjun Sun. 2015. "Matrix
Metalloproteinase 2 Is Required for Ovulation and Corpus Luteum Formation in Drosophila." *PLoS*
Genetics 11 (2): e1004989.

Demerec, M. 1994. *Biology of Drosophila*. Edited by M. Demerec. New York, NY: Cold Spring Harbor
Laboratory Press.

Deshpande, Sonali A., Ethan W. Rohrbach, James D. Asuncion, Jenna Harrigan, Aditya Eamani, Ellery
H. Schlingmann, Daniel J. Suto, et al. 2022. "Regulation of Drosophila Oviduct Muscle Contractility
by Octopamine." *iScience* 25 (8): 104697.

Dietzl, Georg, Doris Chen, Frank Schnorrer, Kuan-Chung Su, Yulia Barinova, Michaela Fellner, Beate
Gasser, et al. 2007. "A Genome-Wide Transgenic RNAi Library for Conditional Gene Inactivation
in Drosophila." *Nature* 448 (7150): 151–56.

Dobens, L. L., and L. A. Raftery. 2000. "Integration of Epithelial Patterning and Morphogenesis in
Drosophila Ovarian Follicle Cells." *Developmental Dynamics: An Official Publication of the*
American Association of Anatomists 218 (1): 80–93.

Drummond-Barbosa, D., and A. C. Spradling. 2001. "Stem Cells and Their Progeny Respond to
Nutritional Changes during Drosophila Oogenesis." *Developmental Biology* 231 (1): 265–78.

Entchev, E. V., A. Schwabedissen, and M. González-Gaitán. 2000. "Gradient Formation of the TGF-Beta
Homolog Dpp." *Cell* 103 (6): 981–91.

Faria, Vítor G., and Élio Sucena. 2017. "From Nature to the Lab: Establishing Drosophila Resources for
Evolutionary Genetics." *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 5 (June).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2017.00061.

Feng, Yuling, Nayeli Escudero Castelán, Mohammed Akhter Hossain, Hongkang Wu, Hidekazu
Katayama, Stuart J. Smith, Scott F. Cummins, Masatoshi Mita, Ross A. D. Bathgate, and Maurice R.
Elphick. 2025. "Receptor Deorphanization in Starfish Reveals the Evolution of Relaxin Signaling as
a Regulator of Reproduction." *BMC Biology* 23 (1): 59.

Fernandez-Acosta, Magdalena, Rebeca Zanini, Fabiana Heredia, Yanel A. Volonté, Juliane Menezes,
Katja Prüger, Julieta Ibarra, et al. 2025. "Triggering and Modulation of a Complex Behavior by a
Single Peptidergic Command Neuron in *Drosophila*." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America 122 (11). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2420452122.

Fevold, H. L., Frederick L. Hisaw, and R. K. Meyer. 1930. "THE RELAXATIVE HORMONE OF THE
CORPUS LUTEUM. ITS PURIFICATION AND CONCENTRATION[1]." *Journal of the American*

Chemical Society 52 (8): 3340–48.

Franke, T. F., S. I. Yang, T. O. Chan, K. Datta, A. Kazlauskas, D. K. Morrison, D. R. Kaplan, and P. N.

Tsichlis. 1995. "The Protein Kinase Encoded by the Akt Proto-Oncogene Is a Target of the PDGF-

Activated Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase." *Cell* 81 (5): 727–36.

Garelli, Andres, Alisson M. Gontijo, Veronica Miguela, Esther Caparros, and Maria Dominguez. 2012.

"Imaginal Discs Secrete Insulin-like Peptide 8 to Mediate Plasticity of Growth and Maturation."

Science (New York, N.Y.) 336 (6081): 579–82.

Garelli, Andres, Fabiana Heredia, Andreia P. Casimiro, Andre Macedo, Catarina Nunes, Marcia Garcez,

Angela R. Mantas Dias, et al. 2015. "Dilp8 Requires the Neuronal Relaxin Receptor Lgr3 to Couple

Growth to Developmental Timing." *Nature Communications* 6 (1): 8732.

Géminard, Charles, Eric J. Rulifson, and Pierre Léopold. 2009. "Remote Control of Insulin Secretion by

Fat Cells in Drosophila." *Cell Metabolism* 10 (3): 199–207.

Gontijo, Alisson M., and Andres Garelli. 2018. "The Biology and Evolution of the Dilp8-Lgr3 Pathway:

A Relaxin-like Pathway Coupling Tissue Growth and Developmental Timing Control." *Mechanisms*

of Development 154 (December):44–50.

Greenblatt, Ethan J., Rebecca Obniski, Claire Mical, and Allan C. Spradling. 2019. "Prolonged Ovarian

Storage of Mature Drosophila Oocytes Dramatically Increases Meiotic Spindle Instability." *eLife* 8

(November):e49455.

Greenblatt, Ethan J., and Allan C. Spradling. 2018. "Fragile X Mental Retardation 1 Gene Enhances the

Translation of Large Autism-Related Proteins." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 361 (6403): 709–12.

Hayashi, Shigeo, Kei Ito, Yukiko Sado, Misako Taniguchi, Ai Akimoto, Hiroko Takeuchi, Toshiro

Aigaki, et al. 2002. "GETDB, a Database Compiling Expression Patterns and Molecular Locations

of a Collection of Gal4 Enhancer Traps." *Genesis (New York, N.Y.: 2000)* 34 (1-2): 58–61.

Heifetz, Y., O. Lung, E. A. Frongillo Jr, and M. F. Wolfner. 2000. "The Drosophila Seminal Fluid Protein

Acp26Aa Stimulates Release of Oocytes by the Ovary." *Current Biology: CB* 10 (2): 99–102.

Heredia, Fabiana, Yanel Volonté, Joana Pereirinha, Magdalena Fernandez-Acosta, Andreia P. Casimiro,

Cláudia G. Belém, Filipe Viegas, et al. 2021. "The Steroid-Hormone Ecdysone Coordinates Parallel

Pupariation Neuromotor and Morphogenetic Subprograms via Epidermis-to-Neuron Dilp8-Lgr3

Signal Induction." *Nature Communications* 12 (1): 3328.

Hisaw, F. L. 1926. "Experimental Relaxation of the Pubic Ligament of the Guinea Pig." *Experimental*

Biology and Medicine (Maywood, N.J.) 23 (8): 661–63.

Horváth, Barbara, and Alex T. Kalinka. 2018. "The Genetics of Egg Retention and Fertilization Success

in Drosophila: One Step Closer to Understanding the Transition from Facultative to Obligate

Viviparity." *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution* 72 (2): 318–36.

Imambocus, Bibi Nusreen, Fangmin Zhou, Andrey Formozov, Annika Wittich, Federico M. Tenedini,

Chun Hu, Kathrin Sauter, et al. 2022. "A Neuropeptidergic Circuit Gates Selective Escape Behavior

of Drosophila Larvae." *Current Biology: CB* 32 (1): 149–63.e8.

Jaszczak, Jacob S., Jacob B. Wolpe, Rajan Bhandari, Rebecca G. Jaszczak, and Adrian Halme. 2016.
"Growth Coordination during Drosophila Melanogaster Imaginal Disc Regeneration Is Mediated by
Signaling through the Relaxin Receptor Lgr3 in the Prothoracic Gland." *Genetics* 204 (2): 703–9.

Jenett, Arnim, Gerald M. Rubin, Teri-T B. Ngo, David Shepherd, Christine Murphy, Heather Dionne,
Barret D. Pfeiffer, et al. 2012. "A GAL4-Driver Line Resource for Drosophila Neurobiology." *Cell
Reports* 2 (4): 991–1001.

Jia, Dongyu, Qiuping Xu, Qian Xie, Washington Mio, and Wu-Min Deng. 2016. "Automatic Stage
Identification of Drosophila Egg Chamber Based on DAPI Images." *Scientific Reports* 6 (1): 18850.

Jones, S. M., K. E. Howell, J. R. Henley, H. Cao, and M. A. McNiven. 1998. "Role of Dynamin in the
Formation of Transport Vesicles from the Trans-Golgi Network." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 279
(5350): 573–77.

King, R. C., and J. H. Sang. 1959. "Oögenesis in Adult Drosophila Melanogaster. VIII. The Role of Folic
Acid in Oögenesis." *Growth* 23 (1): 37–53.

Kitamoto, T. 2001. "Conditional Modification of Behavior in Drosophila by Targeted Expression of a
Temperature-Sensitive Shibire Allele in Defined Neurons." *Journal of Neurobiology* 47 (2): 81–92.

Klein, Claudia. 2016. "The Role of Relaxin in Mare Reproductive Physiology: A Comparative Review
with Other Species." *Theriogenology* 86 (1): 451–56.

Laturney, Meghan, Gabriella R. Sterne, and Kristin Scott. 2023. "Mating Activates Neuroendocrine
Pathways Signaling Hunger in Drosophila Females." *eLife* 12 (May):e85117.

Liao, Sifang, and Dick R. Nässel. 2020. "Drosophila Insulin-like Peptide 8 (DILP8) in Ovarian Follicle
Cells Regulates Ovulation and Metabolism." *Frontiers in Endocrinology* 11 (July):461.

Li, Haomiao, Xi Luo, Na Li, Tao Liu, and Junzheng Zhang. 2023. "Insulin-like Peptide 8 (Ilp8) Regulates
Female Fecundity in Flies." *Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology* 11 (January):1103923.

Li, Hongjie, Jasper Janssens, Maxime De Waegeneer, Sai Saroja Kolluru, Kristofer Davie, Vincent
Gardeux, Wouter Saelens, et al. 2022. "Fly Cell Atlas: A Single-Nucleus Transcriptomic Atlas of the
Adult Fruit Fly." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 375 (6584): eabk2432.

Li, Yong, Julia Hoffmann, Yang Li, Flora Stephano, Iris Bruchhaus, Christine Fink, and Thomas Roeder.
2016. "Octopamine Controls Starvation Resistance, Life Span and Metabolic Traits in Drosophila."
Scientific Reports 6 (October):35359.

Liu, Z., Li, X., Prasifka, J. R., Jurenka, R., & Bonning, B. C. (2008). Overexpression of Drosophila
juvenile hormone esterase binding protein results in anti-JH effects and reduced pheromone
abundance. General and comparative endocrinology, 156(1), 164–172.

Lobell, Amanda S., Rachel R. Kaspari, Yazmin L. Serrano Negron, and Susan T. Harbison. 2017. "The
Genetic Architecture of Ovariole Number in Drosophila Melanogaster: Genes with Major,
Quantitative, and Pleiotropic Effects." *G3 (Bethesda, Md.)* 7 (7): 2391–2403.

Luo, Shijing, Gunnar A. Kleemann, Jasmine M. Ashraf, Wendy M. Shaw, and Coleen T. Murphy. 2010.
"TGF-β and Insulin Signaling Regulate Reproductive Aging via Oocyte and Germline Quality

Maintenance." *Cell* 143 (2): 299–312.

Mackay, Trudy F. C., Stephen Richards, Eric A. Stone, Antonio Barbadilla, Julien F. Ayroles, Dianhui

Zhu, Sònia Casillas, et al. 2012. "The Drosophila Melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel." *Nature*

482 (7384): 173–78.

Margaritis, L. H., F. C. Kafatos, and W. H. Petri. 1980. "The Eggshell of Drosophila Melanogaster. I.

Fine Structure of the Layers and Regions of the Wild-Type Eggshell." *Journal of Cell Science* 43

(1): 1–35.

Martins, Nelson E., Vitor G. Faria, Luis Teixeira, Sara Magalhães, and Élio Sucena. 2013. "Host

Adaptation Is Contingent upon the Infection Route Taken by Pathogens." *PLoS Pathogens* 9 (9):

e1003601.

Meiselman, M., Lee, S. S., Tran, R. T., Dai, H., Ding, Y., Rivera-Perez, C., Wijesekera, T. P., Dauwalder,

B., Noriega, F. G., & Adams, M. E. (2017). Endocrine network essential for reproductive success in

Drosophila melanogaster. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of

America, 114(19), E3849–E3858.

Meiselman, M. R., Kingan, T. G., & Adams, M. E. (2018). Stress-induced reproductive arrest in

Drosophila occurs through ETH deficiency-mediated suppression of oogenesis and ovulation. BMC

biology, 16(1), 18.

Meissner, Geoffrey W., Shengzhan D. Luo, Brian G. Dias, Michael J. Texada, and Bruce S. Baker. 2016.

"Sex-Specific Regulation of Lgr3 in Drosophila Neurons." *Proceedings of the National Academy of*

Sciences of the United States of America 113 (9): E1256–65.

Mercier, Annie, and Jean-François Hamel. 2009. "Endogenous and Exogenous Control of Gametogenesis

and Spawning in Echinoderms." *Advances in Marine Biology* 55:xi – xii, 1–291.

Middleton, C. Adam, Upendra Nongthomba, Katherine Parry, Sean T. Sweeney, John C. Sparrow, and

Christopher J. H. Elliott. 2006. "Neuromuscular Organization and Aminergic Modulation of

Contractions in the Drosophila Ovary." *BMC Biology* 4 (1): 17.

Mita, Masatoshi, Michiyasu Yoshikuni, Kaoru Ohno, Yasushi Shibata, Bindhu Paul-Prasanth, Suthasinee

Pitchayawasin, Minoru Isobe, and Yoshitaka Nagahama. 2009. "A Relaxin-like Peptide Purified

from Radial Nerves Induces Oocyte Maturation and Ovulation in the Starfish, Asterina Pectinifera."

Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106 (23): 9507–

12.

Monastirioti, Maria. 2003. "Distinct Octopamine Cell Population Residing in the CNS Abdominal

Ganglion Controls Ovulation in Drosophila Melanogaster." *Developmental Biology* 264 (1): 38–49.

Monastirioti, M., C. E. Linn Jr, and K. White. 1996. "Characterization of Drosophila Tyramine Beta-

Hydroxylase Gene and Isolation of Mutant Flies Lacking Octopamine." *The Journal of*

Neuroscience: The Official Journal of the Society for Neuroscience 16 (12): 3900–3911.

Pauls, Dennis, Christine Blechschmidt, Felix Frantzmann, Basil El Jundi, and Mareike Selcho. 2018. "A

Comprehensive Anatomical Map of the Peripheral Octopaminergic/tyraminergic System of

Drosophila Melanogaster." *Scientific Reports* 8 (1): 15314.

Perkins, Lizabeth A., Laura Holderbaum, Rong Tao, Yanhui Hu, Richelle Sopko, Kim McCall, Donghui

Yang-Zhou, et al. 2015. "The Transgenic RNAi Project at Harvard Medical School: Resources and

Validation." *Genetics* 201 (3): 843–52.

Pfeiffer, Barret D., Arnim Jenett, Ann S. Hammonds, Teri-T B. Ngo, Sima Misra, Christine Murphy,

Audra Scully, et al. 2008. "Tools for Neuroanatomy and Neurogenetics in Drosophila." *Proceedings*

of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105 (28): 9715–20.

Ratto, Marcelo H., Yvonne A. Leduc, Ximena P. Valderrama, Karin E. van Straaten, Louis T. J.

Delbaere, Roger A. Pierson, and Gregg P. Adams. 2012. "The Nerve of Ovulation-Inducing Factor

in Semen." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 109

(37): 15042–47.

Rekwot, P. I., D. Ogwu, E. O. Oyedipe, and V. O. Sekoni. 2001. "The Role of Pheromones and

Biostimulation in Animal Reproduction." *Animal Reproduction Science* 65 (3-4): 157–70.

Richard, David S., Robert Rybczynski, Thomas G. Wilson, Yue Wang, Marta L. Wayne, You Zhou,

Linda Partridge, and Lawrence G. Harshman. 2005. "Insulin Signaling Is Necessary for

Vitellogenesis in Drosophila Melanogaster Independent of the Roles of Juvenile Hormone and

Ecdysteroids: Female Sterility of the chico1 Insulin Signaling Mutation Is Autonomous to the

Ovary." *Journal of Insect Physiology* 51 (4): 455–64.

Richards, Joanne S. 2007. "Genetics of Ovulation." *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine* 25 (4): 235–42.

Rodríguez-Valentín, Rocío, Ignacio López-González, Ramón Jorquera, Pedro Labarca, Mario Zurita, and

Enrique Reynaud. 2006. "Oviduct Contraction in Drosophila Is Modulated by a Neural Network

That Is Both, Octopaminergic and Glutamatergic." *Journal of Cellular Physiology* 209 (1): 183–98.

Rubinstein, C. Dustin, and Mariana F. Wolfner. 2013. "Drosophila Seminal Protein Ovulin Mediates

Ovulation through Female Octopamine Neuronal Signaling." *Proceedings of the National Academy*

of Sciences of the United States of America 110 (43): 17420–25.

Scanga, S. E., L. Ruel, R. C. Binari, B. Snow, V. Stambolic, D. Bouchard, M. Peters, et al. 2000. "The

Conserved PI3'K/PTEN/Akt Signaling Pathway Regulates Both Cell Size and Survival in

Drosophila." *Oncogene* 19 (35): 3971–77.

Schnakenberg, Sandra L., Wilfredo R. Matias, and Mark L. Siegal. 2011. "Sperm-Storage Defects and

Live Birth in Drosophila Females Lacking Spermathecal Secretory Cells." *PLoS Biology* 9 (11):

e1001192.

Soller, M., Bownes, M., & Kubli, E. (1999). Control of oocyte maturation in sexually mature Drosophila

females. Developmental biology, 208(2), 337–351.

Sun, Jianjun, and Allan C. Spradling. 2013. "Ovulation in Drosophila Is Controlled by Secretory Cells of

the Female Reproductive Tract." *eLife* 2 (April):e00415.

Tao, Jun, Yi-Cheng Ma, Zhong-Shan Yang, Cheng-Gang Zou, and Ke-Qin Zhang. 2016. "Octopamine

Connects Nutrient Cues to Lipid Metabolism upon Nutrient Deprivation." *Science Advances* 2 (5):

e1501372.

Tu, M. P., Yin, C. M., & Tatar, M. (2005). Mutations in insulin signaling pathway alter juvenile hormone
synthesis in Drosophila melanogaster. General and comparative endocrinology, 142(3), 347–356.

Thayer, Rachel C., Elizabeth S. Polston, Jixiang Xu, and David J. Begun. 2024. "Regional Specialization,
Polyploidy, and Seminal Fluid Transcripts in the Drosophila Female Reproductive Tract."
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 121 (44):
e2409850121.

Tootle, Tina L., Dianne Williams, Alexander Hubb, Rebecca Frederick, and Allan Spradling. 2011.
"Drosophila Eggshell Production: Identification of New Genes and Coordination by Pxt." *PloS One*
6 (5): e19943.

Vallejo, Diana M., Sergio Juarez-Carreño, Jorge Bolivar, Javier Morante, and Maria Dominguez. 2015.
"A Brain Circuit That Synchronizes Growth and Maturation Revealed through Dilp8 Binding to
Lgr3." *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 350 (6262): aac6767.

Velde, Egbert R. te, and Peter L. Pearson. 2002. "The Variability of Female Reproductive Ageing."
Human Reproduction Update 8 (2): 141–54.

Venken, Koen J. T., Karen L. Schulze, Nele A. Haelterman, Hongling Pan, Yuchun He, Martha Evans-
Holm, Joseph W. Carlson, et al. 2011. "MiMIC: A Highly Versatile Transposon Insertion Resource
for Engineering Drosophila Melanogaster Genes." *Nature Methods* 8 (9): 737–43.

Yamamoto, Ikuko, Mary E. Kosinski, and David Greenstein. 2006. "Start Me up: Cell Signaling and the
Journey from Oocyte to Embryo in C. Elegans." *Developmental Dynamics: An Official Publication
of the American Association of Anatomists* 235 (3): 571–85.

Zanini, Rebeca, Joana Pereirinha, Magdalena Fernandez-Acosta, Andreia P. Casimiro, Mariana Pinho,
Andres Garelli, Fabiana Heredia, and Alisson M. Gontijo. 2024. "Neurogenetic Evidence for
Oscillatory Behavior of Lgr3-Positive Growth Control Interneurons in*Drosophila*." *bioRxiv*.
https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.11.26.625404.

1135