Sexual signal reliability in male zebra finches:

food intake explains the impact of immune activation on

carotenoid-based coloration

Alejandro Cantarero[1], Rafael Mateo[2,3], Pablo Camarero[2], Pedro Andrade[4], Miguel Carneiro[4], and Carlos Alonso-Alvarez[5,6*]

[1]Department of Physiology, Veterinary School, Complutense University of Madrid, Avenida Puerta de Hierro s/n, 28040 Madrid, Spain.

[2]Instituto de Investigación en Recursos Cinegéticos, IREC (CSIC - UCLM - JCCM). Ronda de Toledo 12, 13005 Ciudad Real, Spain.

[3]Institute of Environmental Assessment and Water Research, IDAEA (CSIC). Jordi Girona 18-26, 08034 Barcelona, Spain.

[4]CIBIO/InBIO, Centro de Investigação em Biodiversidade e Recursos Genéticos, Universidade do Porto, Campus Agrário de Vairão, 4485-661 Vairão, Portugal

[5]Departamento de Ecología Evolutiva. Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales - CSIC. C/ José Gutiérrez Abascal 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain

[6] Instituto Pirenaico de Ecología (IPE-CSIC), Avda. Nuestra Señora de la Victoria 16, 22700 Jaca, Spain

*Corresponding author: carlos.alonso@csic.es

ABSTRACT

Theory predicts that traits evolving as animal signals must transmit reliable information about the bearer's quality. Two hypotheses are often invoked to explain how carotenoid-based colorations function as reliable individual quality signals. The first hypothesis suggests that carotenoid allocation trade-offs between signaling and survival-related functions maintain signal reliability. Only high-quality individuals could resolve these trade-offs without incurring a fitness loss. Such signals are plastic, and the signaler can strategically manage their expression level to increase fitness returns. The second hypothesis posits that certain carotenoid-based signals are strongly constrained in their expression, not allowing strategic manipulations or cheating. We challenged captive male zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*) with simulated infections by injecting lipopolysaccharides (LPS) derived from *Escherichia coli* and assessed organ masses, body temperature, and carotenoid levels in several tissues. Compared to controls, LPS-treated birds reduced the bill redness, a sexual signal. However, this reduction was largely explained by decreased food intake caused by LPS. Bill redness negatively correlated with body temperature and spleen mass but positively correlated with blood, spleen and subcutaneous fat carotenoid levels. Overall, our findings suggest that carotenoid-based bill coloration predominantly reflects the recent physiological condition of individuals and resource allocation trade-offs rather than directly signaling individual quality.

Keywords: carotenoids, fever, inflammatory response, sexual selection, splenic tissue.

INTRODUCTION

Conspicuous traits in animals often function as signals in social and sexual selection contexts. For these traits to evolve as signals, they must reliably convey information that benefits both the signaler and the receiver (Laidre & Johnstone, 2013; Biernaskie et al., 2014). Typically, the information content of the signal reflects the individual quality of the signal bearer, thereby influencing the receiver's mating and reproductive decisions. Among the traits commonly involved in sexual signaling are colorations, particularly those produced by pigments ranging from yellow to red. In vertebrates, many of these colorations are produced by carotenoids (e.g. Grether, 2004; McGraw, 2006). Carotenoids are pigments that must be obtained through food, and some authors suggested that they may be scarce in the environment, requiring specific foraging efforts to acquire them (Endler, 1980; Kodric-Brown, 1985; Hill, 1990). Moreover, carotenoids have important physiological functions as immune enhancers and antioxidants (Pérez-Rodríguez, 2009; Svensson & Wong, 2011). It has been suggested that carotenoid-based colorations are reliable individual quality signals as their production could incur costs (e.g., Weaver et al. 2017) or involve resource allocation trade-offs that require optimization (Szamado et al., 2022, 2023a). Both investing extra time and energy in foraging carotenoids, and the allocation of the ingested carotenoids to signals instead of homeostasis, may impose fitness costs or give place to suboptimal fitness returns (Møller et al., 2000; Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2008; but see Koch & Hill 2018). High-quality individuals are expected to better cope with these challenges, thereby expressing more intense coloration than low-quality individuals. In this scenario, theory suggests that the signal production mechanism should be enough plastic to allow animals to strategically make allocation decisions that optimize their fitness returns and potentially deceive signal receivers (e.g., Maynard Smith & Harper, 2003; Szamado et al., 2023b).

Nonetheless, according to animal signaling theory, some signals may be tightly constrained in their expression, exhibiting low plasticity and being strongly determined by individual quality (e.g. Maynard Smith and Harper, 2003). Such signals are theoretically unfalsifiable and are commonly referred to as "index signals" (Maynard Smith & Harper,

2003; Laidre & Johnstone, 2013; Biernaskie et al., 2014). It has been proposed that traits
coloured by red ketocarotenoids (e.g. astaxanthin, α-doradexanthin, adonirubin,
echinenone), which are enzymatically synthesized by some animals from dietary yellow
carotenoids (xanthophylls such as lutein, zeaxanthin or β-cryptoxanthin), may serve as
index signals (Hill, 2011; Lopes et al., 2016; Mundy et al., 2016; Weaver et al., 2017;
Toomey et al., 2022). According to this hypothesis, the enzymes responsible for
carotenoid conversion should be placed in the inner mitochondrial membrane and are
tightly linked to cellular respiration processes (Johnson & Hill, 2013; Hill et al., 2019). This
close association is thought to limit the potential for signal dishonesty, as the ability to
produce red ketocarotenoids would inherently reflect the individual's metabolic efficiency
(Weaver et al., 2018; but see also Koch et al., 2025).

In birds, experimental immune activation, such as exposure to antigens, has been
shown to reduce the expression of carotenoid-based signals (e.g., Fitze et al., 2007; Merrill
et al., 2016; George et al., 2017). Among the most commonly used antigens in such
studies are lipopolysaccharides (LPS) of the bacterial cell wall typically derived from gram-
negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* or *Salmonella* spp. (e.g. Buras et al. 2005). LPS
antigens trigger an innate immune response involving the cell and humoral immune
system (Bryant et al., 2010; Rathinam et al., 2019; Scalf et al., 2019). In poultry, LPS
exposure has been shown to induce inflammation and increase the mass of key immune
and metabolic organs, particularly the liver (e.g., Xie et al. 2000; Liebolt et al., 2016; Bai et
al., 2019) and spleen (e.g., Koutsos et al., 2003; Rajput et al., 2013; Liebolt et al., 2016; Bai
et al., 2019; but see also Li et al., 2017). Moreover, experimental studies have consistently
confirmed a negative impact of LPS in the carotenoid-based coloration and circulating
carotenoid levels in avian species (Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004; Torres & Velando, 2007;
Gautier et al., 2008; Cote et al., 2010; Romano et al., 2011; Sild et al., 2011; Rosenthal et
al., 2012; Csernus et al., 2020). Similar declines in carotenoid-based coloration have also
been observed in reptiles (López et al., 2009; Ibañez et al., 2014) and even in humans
(Henderson et al., 2017).

Here, we investigate the hypotheses concerning the reliability of carotenoid-based

signals by analyzing carotenoid concentrations across multiple tissues (the bill, liver,

subcutaneous fat, and spleen) of males of zebra finches (*Taeniopygia guttata*). This

species possesses a sexually selected trait, the red bill, which is involved in mate choice

(Simons & Verhulst, 2011) and is pigmented by endogenously converted ketocarotenoids

(McGraw & Toomey, 2010). We used captive birds exposed to a simulated one-month

infection via repeated LPS injections. Our experimental design was similar to that of a

study conducted twenty years ago (the exact LPS dosage and very similar time-lapse; i.e.,

Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004). In that study, birds were supplemented with carotenoids.

Here, we decided to simplify the design, avoiding pigment supplementation as

carotenoids are usually abundant in commercial diets (see here Supplementary Material;

SM), and pigment addition has been shown to not proportionally increase signal

expression (Koch et al., 2016). Alonso-Alvarez et al. (2004) reported significant declines in

both bill coloration and blood carotenoid levels in LPS-treated zebra finches compared to

controls. The same findings have been replicated two additional independent studies on

this species (i.e., Gautier et al., 2008 and Cote et al., 2010). Here, however, we tested the

influence of resource acquisition on signal expression by quantifying the ingestion of food

(seed mix) containing yellow substrate carotenoids. We should note that LPS often inhibit

food ingestion in different bird species (Baert et al., 2005; Rosenthal et al., 2012; Teemer

& Hawley, 2024; but see Koch et al., 2018), including zebra finches (Burness et al., 2010;

Sköld-Chiriac et al., 2015; Tapper et al., 2021). Therefore, the reduction in blood

carotenoid levels and coloration previously described in LPS-treated birds (above) may be

partly due to LPS-induced hypophagia, leading to decrease carotenoid intake. Here, we

specifically address this point by evaluating the relationship between food consumption

and the signaling outcomes.

We predict that individuals facing an immune challenge should prioritize self-

maintenance by allocating carotenoids to vital organs, such as the liver to protect it

against immune-induced damage (e.g., Kaulmann and Bohn 2014; Elvira-Torales et al.,

2019; Abou Elazab et al., 2022) and spleen for favoring its immune activity (e.g., Milani et

al., 2017; Csernus 2020, 2023), rather than investing them on coloration. Thus, mammalian studies suggest that inflammatory responses sequestrate retinol in the hepatic tissue (Rubin et al., 2017), and we may infer that something similar could occur with carotenoids as closely related compounds (Tang & Rusell, 2009). Alternatively, liver carotenoids might be consumed to counteract the oxidative stress linked to LPS-induced inflammation (e.g. Meriwether et al., 2010; Kaulmann & Bohn, 2014). Concerning the spleen, carotenoids have been shown to enhance spleen antibody production in mammals (reviewed, e.g., Milani et al., 2017) and to reduce LPS-induced spleen inflammation in chickens (Csernus 2020, 2023). Therefore, increasing spleen carotenoid levels should be an optimal strategy for combating an infection. For subcutaneous fat, we may predict that carotenoid levels may decrease in LPS-treated birds as a consequence of oxidative stress in adipose tissues (e.g., Bonet et al., 2015; Mounien & Tourniare, 2019). Finally, we hypothesize that food intake will be positively associated with signal intensity and carotenoid accumulation in ornaments and other tissues. However, the food intake and the immune challenge could also interact. In such a case, we predict that the relationship between food intake and signal intensity may be weaker in LPS-treated birds due to increased carotenoid demands for homeostasis.

Interpreting the results within the framework of the trade-off versus index signal hypotheses is challenging. We must first assume that individuals exhibiting the reddest bills at the start of the experiment represent high-quality individuals. Accordingly, low-quality individuals should invest more in self-maintenance and less in signal expression when enduring the immune challenge. In this case, initial redness should interact with treatment on the response variables. If such an interaction is not observed, it may suggest the occurrence of signal dishonesty or 'cheating', which would align more closely with the plastic resource allocation strategies proposed by the trade-off hypothesis.

Material and Methods

Adult male zebra finches (*n* = 70) were individually housed in cages (0.6 m × 0.4 m × 0.4 m)
placed in an indoor aviary (FIEB Foundation, Casarrubios del Monte, Spain) under
controlled conditions (see SM). All birds received *ad libitum* water, grit, and a commercial
seed mix (KIKI® exotic birds, Spain; composition in SM). After a minimum 14-day
quarantine and acclimation period, body mass and bill redness were measured, and a
blood sample was taken (Chronogram in Figure S1 of SM). Two days later, each feeder was
replenished and then weighed. The feeders (ref. M051, STA Soluzioni, Italy) were
equipped with a shell collector at the bottom, and an additional collector was placed at
the entrance. As no seeds or shells were found on the floor of the aviary or cage, we are
confident that all consumed grain was accurately quantified. The feeders were
replenished and weighed every three days with the content of both collectors included in
each measurement. The difference between two consecutive weights represented the
amount of grain consumed during that period. The first three-day period served to test for
a difference in food intake before the experiment began (i.e., before the first injection;
below). The total grain mass consumed by each bird from the first injection day until two
days before the last injection was considered the individual food intake over the 27-day
experimental period. This value was corrected for individual body mass at the first
injection day (i.e., "initial body mass"; Figure S2). Water expenditure (mL) was estimated
by weighing water dispensers one day after the second injection and again three days
later. Note that this measure may be partially influenced by water loss due to behaviors
other than drinking.

Five days after blood sampling, all birds were assigned to treatments. Thirty-five
birds received intraperitoneal injections of 0.01 mg of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) from the
cell wall of *Escherichia coli* (serotype O55:B5; SIGMA, St. Louis) diluted in 0.1 mL of PBS
(concentration = 0.1 mg/mL; i.e., LPS-treated birds). The remaining birds (n = 35) were
injected with the same volume (0.1 mL) of PBS alone (control birds). The treatments were
randomly distributed across aviary cages (rows and columns). No significant pre-treatment
differences were found in body mass, body size (tarsus length), size-corrected body mass
(body mass controlled for tarsus length, bill color measures (hue, saturation or brightness)

or initial (pre-experimental) food intake (all p-values > 0.38). To maintain the immune

challenge, birds were injected once per week for five consecutive weeks. Bill coloration

and body mass measures were repeated 28 days after the first injection and the birds

were assigned to one of three sampling blocks (n = 23, 23 and 24; treatment balanced

among blocks: χ^2 = 0.09, df = 2, p = 0.957). The blocks were necessary due to time

limitations during tissue extraction. On day 28, birds in the first block were injected (fifth

dose; Figure S1). The following day, a (second) blood sample was collected from these

birds, after which the birds were euthanized via cervical dislocation. This procedure was

repeated for the second and third blocks on subsequent days. Since the duration of the

experiment differed among blocks (24-48h difference; Figure S1), the block was included

as a random effect in the statistical models (see below).

Body temperature was measured immediately after euthanasia using an infrared

thermometer. For the first nine birds, temperature was measured individuals at the

midpoint of the breast after blowing feathers apart. However, these readings yielded

unexpectedly low values (mean ± SD: 37.72 ± 1.46 °C, range: 34.7-39.9 °C). For the

following birds, we directed the thermometer to pectoral muscles after being feather

plucked (41.45 ± 0.94 °C; 39.6-42.9 °C). We standardized the values to obtain mean = 0

and SD = 1 in both groups. Std-values were then used in the statistical analyses. Similar

results were obtained when analyses were restricted to the raw data from the latter

group alone (n = 61; SM). Following euthanasia, tissues including the bill (ramphoteca,

epidermis, and dermis), liver, spleen, intestines and subcutaneous fat (visible adipose

tissue connected to the skin) were collected. An aliquot was obtained from the bill, liver,

spleen, and fat for carotenoid and vitamin quantification using HPLC (see below). The

samples were immediately snap-frozen in dry ice and stored at −80°C.

Color measurements

Digital photography (Nikon® D300) was used to measure bill redness. Each bird was

photographed laterally, taking images from both sides of the head (see SM for details).

Digital photographs were standardized and analyzed using 'SpotEgg' software (Gómez &
Liñán-Cembrano, 2017). This image-processing tool for automatized analysis solves the
need for linearizing the camera's response to subtle light intensity variations (Stevens et
al., 2007). Mean red, green, and blue (RGB) values measured from the lateral area of the
bill (upper and lower mandibles) were used to calculate hue and brightness values
following Foley & van Dam (1982). The exact measured area was recorded. Values from
both sides of the bill were combined to obtain a single global measure. Measured
repeatability (Lessells and Boag 1987), calculated on a subset (n = 30) of digital
photographs measured twice was high (r > 0.90, p < 0.001). As lower hue values
correspond to redder coloration, hue values were reversed by multiplying by -1. This
transformed value was defined as "bill redness" and used in subsequent analyses.

Carotenoid, retinoid, and tocopherol analyses

Carotenoids, retinoids, and tocopherols were extracted following the protocols described
by García-de Blas et al. (2013) and Rodríguez-Estival et al. (2010) (see SM for additional
details on the carotenoid extraction). Identification and quantification were performed
using a high-performance liquid chromatography system (HPLC) (Agilent Technologies
1200 series) equipped with diode array and fluorescence detectors and a C30 column
(ProntoSIL 200-3-C30 3μm 250x4,6mm, Sharlab) (García-de Blas et al. 2011., 2013; Alonso-
Alvarez et al., 2018). Standards of lutein, zeaxanthin, canthaxanthin, astaxanthin, 3HOE, β-
cryptoxanthin, and β-carotene were obtained from CaroteNature (Lupsingen,
Switzerland). Saponification with KOH did not yield additional carotenoid recovery (see
saponification method in García-de Blas et al. 2011). Retinyl acetate was used as an
internal standard (IS) for carotenoid and retinol quantification, whereas tocopheryl
acetate was used as IS for tocopherol quantification. Concentrations were calculated with
standard calibration curves (ranging from 0.5 to 15 nmol/tube) controlling for the peak
area of the respective IS. Compounds were identified by comparing their retention times
and UV-Vis spectra with those of standards and literature-reported spectra. Lutein

derivatives detected in plasma samples were quantified using the lutein calibration curve,
assuming identical response factors. Carotenoid concentrations were expressed in
nmol/mL in the plasma and nmol/g in the liver, fat, spleen, and bill. Total carotenoid levels
were calculated as the sum of all identified carotenoid concentrations within each sample.
Blood carotenoid and vitamin levels (initial and final values) of the same bird were
analyzed in the same laboratory session. Spleen carotenoid and vitamin levels could only
be measured in a subset of samples (30%), corresponding to those that reached the
required minimum mass (> 13 mg; n = 21; 10 controls and 11 LPS-treated birds). We
should note that another aliquot of this tissue was reserved for RNA assays (unpublished
material). The spleen carotenoid analyses were performed in a single laboratory session,
with an even distribution of individuals across sampling blocks (χ^2 = 0.04, df= 2, p = 0.979).
As a result, these factors were not included as random effects in the statistical models.

Statistics

The values of bill redness (reversed hue), carotenoid and vitamin levels, organ mass and
body temperature were tested as dependent variables in separate models to disentangle
resource allocation strategies. ANCOVAs were used for bill redness, organ mass, and body
temperature, while Generalized Linear Mixed Models [GLMM] were applied to most
molecule concentration variables (i.e. PROC MIXED in SAS version 29). The laboratory
session and the sacrifice block were added as random terms in the GLMMs; however,
their influence was consistently non-significant (p > 0.08 for all tests). For fat and spleen
compounds, no influence of the random terms was detected (p > 0.90), and we used
Generalized Linear Models (GLMs).

Dependent variables were log- or square-root transformed when necessary to
meet normality assumptions. When transformed data still violated normality criteria non-
parametric statistical tests were applied. The distribution of subcutaneous fat retinol and
carotenoid levels was zero-inflated (i.e., 24% and 34% zero values, respectively). To
account for this, we applied zero-inflated negative binomial (ZINB) generalized linear

models (GLMs) (PROC GENMOD in SAS v29). The ZINB GLMs separately analyze the normal
and zero-inflated parts of the distribution (Ridout et al., 1998; Cantarero et al., 2020).

In all models, we followed a stepwise approach. First, we tested the effect of the
treatment alone. In the second step, we included treatment, initial bill redness (covariate),
and their interaction. In the third step, we tested treatment, food intake (as a covariate),
and its interaction with treatment. The "initial bill redness" covariate was the
standardized residual of a linear regression testing the initial bill hue (reversed) on two
confounding covariates: initial bill brightness (slope ± SD: = -8.28 ± 0.96, t = 8.59, p <
0.001) and the bill area selected for the measurement (slope ± SD: 1.58 ± 0.499, t = 3.15, p
= 0.002). The "food intake" covariate was the standardized residual of a linear regression
testing total food intake on initial body mass (slope ± SD: 2.29 ± 0.55, R^2 = 0.20, p < 0.001;
Figure S2 in SM). The results remained qualitatively unchanged if food intake values were
uncorrected by body mass when tested as a covariate. The "final bill redness" term was
used to define reversed hue corrected for bill hue and bill measured area at the last
sampling, i.e., not corrected for initial variability.

To account for potential confounding effects, additional covariates were included
in specific models. For the bill redness model, we included bill brightness and bill area
(both measured at the final sampling) along with the initial bill redness covariate. The
model testing plasma carotenoids included the "initial carotenoid values" that were, in
turn, the standardized residuals from a GLMM testing initial values as the dependent
variable and the laboratory session and the block of sacrifice as random factors.

The correlation coefficients for the association between bill redness and total
carotenoid levels in each tissue were calculated by testing the standardized residuals of
each parameter obtained from GLMMs (i.e., excluding the treatment but maintaining
confounding covariates and random factors). For the spleen, where measurements were
available only for a reduced sample (n = 21), simpler statistical tests were applied
depending on data distribution (Student's t-test, ANCOVA, or Mann–Whitney U tests).

Two-tailed statistics were used for most p-value calculations as the direction of the

effect was not well-established by previous studies. However, one-tailed p-values were

reported when assessing the effect of LPS treatment (not its interaction) on bill color and

plasma total carotenoid levels, given the substantial prior evidence supporting a clear

directional prediction (i.e., an LPS-induced decrease in their values; see Introduction; see

also Rice and Gaines 1994; Ruxton & Neuhaüser, 2010; Cho & Abe, 2013). Satterthwaite's

correction was used to calculate degrees of freedom in GLMMs. Effect sizes are reported

as Cohen's d for group comparisons with p-values < 0.12, while Pearson's correlation

coefficients are used to represent effect sizes in correlational analyses (Cohen, 1992 In

instances where carotenoid levels could not be quantified due to extraction issues (see

sample sizes in Table S1), the covariates (food intake or initial bill redness residuals) were

recalculated from the dataset that only included values for the dependent variable.

RESULTS

Water, food intake and body mass

The LPS-treated birds exhibited significantly higher (log-transformed) water expenditure

than controls (Student's t: 2.01, df = 54.7, p = 0.049, n = 69; Figure 1A for raw values). LPS-

treated birds also showed lower food intake ($F_{1,67}$ = 4.49, p = 0.038, n = 70; initial body

mass: $F_{1,67}$ = 18,01, p < 0.001, estimate ± SE: 2.29 ± 0.540). The immune challenge did not

influence final body mass (treatment: $F_{1,67}$ = 0.28, p = 0.597; initial body mass: $F_{1,67}$ = 1088,

p < 0.001; estimate ± SE: 1.04 ± 0.032). Including food intake as an additional covariate did

not significantly explain final body mass variability (p = 0.434). Neither the initial bill

redness (p = 0.433) nor its interaction with treatment (p = 0.802) significantly influenced

food intake.

Bill color

At the end of the experiment, LPS-treated birds exhibited lower bill redness compared to

controls (treatment: $F_{1,65}$ = 3.21, p = 0.039; brightness: $F_{1,65}$ = 28.4, p < 0.001, estimate ±

SE: -4.45 ± 0.835; area measured: $F_{1,65}$ = 15.29, p < 0.001, estimate ± SE: 1.86 ± 0.475;

initial redness: $F_{1,65}$ = 13.81, p < 0.001, estimate ± SE: -1.043 ± 0.281; see Figure 1C). The

interaction between initial bill redness and treatment was non-significant when added to

the same model (Table 1). However, when the food intake covariate was also added, it

showed a significant positive correlation to bill redness (Figure 1D) without interaction

with treatment (Table 1), whereas the latter became non-significant (Table 1; Figure 1E).

The correlation between the treatment and food intake remained significant when the

interaction was removed (i.e., $F_{1,64}$ = 11.35, p = 0.001; estimate ± SE: 0.923 ± 0.274).

Table 1. Models testing the impact of the immune challenge on bill redness (n = 70), and bill (n = 63) and

plasma (n = 67) carotenoid levels. The bill redness models included bill brightness and measured area as

covariates (both p < 0.001). The initial bill redness covariate was the residual of a model testing bill

redness at the start of the experiment corrected by bill brightness and measured area at the same time.

One-tailed p-values for the treatment factor on bill redness and plasma carotenoids (see Statistical

analyses).

Bill redness	Estimate	SE	F	df	P
Treatment (control)	0.989	0.543	3.24	1,64	0.039
Initial bill redness	0.820	0.391	14.04	1,64	<0.001
Treatment x Initial bill redness	0.470	0.575	0.67	1,64	0.416
Treatment (control)	0.536	0.532	1.02	1,63	0.159
Initial bill redness	1.149	0.265	18.77	1,63	<0.001
Food intake	0.938	0.448	10.52	1,63	0.002
Treatment x food intake	-0.024	0.561	0.01	1,63	0.966
Bill carotenoids					
Treatment (control)	34.633	21.504	2.59	57.3	0.112
Initial bill redness	9.665	14.690	0.03	58.4	0.855
Treatment x Initial bill redness	-23.425	22.222	1.11	57.4	0.296
Treatment (control)	35.313	22.166	2.54	57.3	0.117
Food intake	7.470	18.120	0.03	59	0.865
Treatment x food intake	-18.924	23.033	0.67	57.3	0.415
Plasma carotenoids					
Initial value	0.038	0.003	135.42	23.6	<0.001
Treatment (control)	0.581	0.303	3.7	57.5	0.029
Initial bill redness	-0.213	0.219	0.22	57.7	0.637

Treatment x Initial bill redness	0.279	0.329	0.72	59.2	0.400
Initial value	0.038	0.003	132.9	57.5	<0.001
Treatment (control)	0.517	0.307	2.84	59.7	0.097
Food intake	0.353	0.255	0.46	57.1	0.498
Treatment x food intake	-0.474	0.315	2.26	24.7	0.138

Figure 1. LPS impact on water expenditure (A), food intake (B) and bill redness (C; i.e., residuals of a mixed

model controlling for bill brightness, bill measured area, initial bill redness and sacrifice session).

Relationship between bill redness (those residuals) and food intake controlled for initial body mass

(residuals) (D). LPS impact on bill redness after controlling for food intake residuals (E), bill total carotenoid

levels (F), plasma total carotenoid (G) , plasma γ-tocopherol (H) and liver total retinoid levels (I). Difference

in bill redness (Table 1 model's residuals, see Results) in birds with undetectable or detectable carotenoids

in their subcutaneous fat (L). Least squared means ± SE from mixed models (other comparisons: means ±

SE). Cohen's *d* effect sizes are shown.

Bill composition

In the bill, we detected retinol, astaxanthin, α-doradexanthin, adonixanthin, zeaxanthin,

α- and γ- tocopherols, as well as two unidentified carotenoids (Table S1). LPS-treated birds

exhibited lower mean total carotenoid levels than controls (Figure 1F); however, this

treatment effect only showed a weak trend toward significance ($F_{1,59.3}$ = 2.63, *p* = 0.110).

The inclusion of the initial bill redness or food intake covariates and their interactions with

treatment did not yield significant effects (Table 1). Retinol was detected in nine birds,

evenly distributed across treatments (χ^2 = 2.13, df= 1, *p* = 0.144), with no significant

difference between groups (Mann-Whitney *U* = 519, *p* = 0.219). The two tocopherols did

not differ between treatments (*F*'s *p*-values > 0.18). Bill total carotenoid levels (residuals

from the Table 1 model without treatment) were positively correlated to bill redness

when assessed as reversed hue at the end of the experiment (Pearson's *r* = 0.27, *p* =

0.033, *n* = 63; Figure S3).

Blood plasma

In plasma samples, we detected retinol, lutein, zeaxanthin, four lutein derivatives,

echinenone (in only two samples), and α- and γ–tocopherols (Table S1). LPS-treated birds

showed significantly lower total carotenoid levels (square-root transformed) than controls

(treatment: $F_{59.4}$= 3.67, *p* = 0.030; initial value: $F_{1,23.5}$ = 140.12, *p* < 0.001, estimate ± SE: -

0.038 ± 0.003; Figure 1G). Neither initial bill redness, food intake, nor their interaction

with treatment significantly explain variation in plasma total carotenoid levels (Table 1).

Given the rapid turnover of circulating carotenoids, we further tested food intake

recorded exclusively during the week prior to the last blood sampling (mean ± SD: 21.14 ±

3.02 g). In this case, a significant interaction with treatment emerged (treatment: $F_{1.57.2}$ =

7.73, *p* = 0.004; initial value: $F_{1,25.5}$ = 135.28, *p* < 0.001; food intake: $F_{1.57.7}$ = 0.54, *p* = 0.465;

interaction: $F_{1.57.3}$ = 6.48, *p* = 0.014). Specifically, a positive relationship between food

intake and plasma carotenoid levels was found in LPS-treated birds (slope ± SE: 0.164 ±

0.055; $F_{1.24.9}$ = 8.77, p = 0.007; r = 0.49) but not in controls (-0.105 ± 0.085; $F_{1.29.6}$ = 1.54, p =

0.225; r = -0.09).

When each carotenoid was tested individually, all showed at least a statistical

trend towards reduced levels in LPS-treated birds (all p < 0.10), except zeaxanthin (i.e., p =

0.675). Furthermore, total plasma carotenoid levels at the last blood sampling (residuals

from a mixed model only controlling for random terms) were positively correlated with

final bill redness (Pearson's r = 0.33, p = 0.006, n = 70). Retinol or α-tocopherol levels did

not differ significantly between treatments (p-values > 0.66). However, LPS-treated birds

showed a statistical trend toward lower plasma γ-tocopherol levels compared to controls

($F_{1.61.9}$= 3.22. p = 0.077; initial value: $F_{1.28.1}$ = 1975. p < 0.001; estimate ± SE: 0.986 ± 0.022;

Figure 1H). The addition of the food intake covariate to this model did not report a

significant effect (p = 0.461).

Liver

The relative liver mass (i.e., controlled for body mass) did not differ significantly between

treatments (ANCOVA: $F_{1.67}$ = 1.85, p = 0.178, n = 70; body mass at sacrifice: $F_{1.67}$ = 27.57, p <

0.001). The initial bill redness and its interaction with treatment had no significant effect

on relative liver mass (all p-values > 0.60). Similarly, treatment had no significant effect on

total carotenoid levels ($F_{1.63}$ = 0.01, p = 0.996). Neither the initial bill redness nor its

interaction with treatment influenced liver total carotenoid levels (Table 2). The

interaction between food intake and treatment was also non-significant; however, food

intake alone showed a trend toward a positive association with liver carotenoid levels

(Table 2), suggesting that birds consuming more food tended to accumulate higher

carotenoid levels in the liver (Figure S4).

In terms of specific compounds, lutein, three lutein derivatives, zeaxanthin, and β-

cryptoxanthin were detected in the liver. None of them showed significant differences

between treatments when analyzed separately (all $p's$ > 0.30). Retinol, four unidentified

retinoids, α- and γ-tocopherol were also detected (Table S1). Total retinoid levels (log-

transformed) showed a weak trend toward a decrease in LPS-treated birds ($F_{1.62.5}$ =2.76, p = 0.101; Figure 1-I). α- and γ-tocopherol did not differ significantly between treatment (p-values > 0.59). Finally, liver total carotenoids or retinoids (i.e., residuals from their mixed models without treatment) were not significantly correlated with bill redness (i.e., Table 1 model without treatment residuals; r = 0.132, p = 0.286, and r = -0.170, p = 0.169, respectively).

Table 2. Models testing the impact of the immune challenge on the liver, subcutaneous fat, and spleen total carotenoid levels. Alternative models testing the influence of initial bill redness and food intake are shown.

Liver (Normal)	Estimate	SE	F	df	P
Treatment (control)	-0.002	0.282	0.00	61	0.993
Initial bill redness	-0.121	0.209	0.19	61.1	0.669
Treatment x Initial bill redness	0.118	0.290	0.17	61.1	0.684
Treatment (control)	-0.153	0.285	0.29	61.2	0.593
Food intake	0.388	0.250	3.85	61.7	0.054
Treatment x food intake	-0.166	0.303	0.30	61.1	0.586
Subcutaneous fat (ZINB)	Estimate	SE	χ^2	df	P
Treatment (control)	-0.217	0.212	1.04	1	0.307
Initial bill redness	0.105	0.128	0.25	1	0.616
Treatment x Initial bill redness	-0.111	0.199	0.32	1	0.573
Treatment (control)	-0.221	0.210	1.11	1	0.292
Food intake	0.328	0.163	0.49	1	0.482
Treatment x food intake	-0.488	0.238	4.22	1	0.040
Spleen (Normal)	Estimate	SE	F	df	P
Treatment (control)	-2.850	4.578	0.388	1.17	0.542
Initial bill redness	1.664	3.974	0.004	1.17	0.948
Treatment x Initial bill redness	2.995	5.068	0.349	1.17	0.562
Treatment (control)	-3.954	4.330	0.834	1.17	0.374
Food intake	4.913	4.034	1.563	1.17	0.228
Treatment x food intake	-3.727	4.878	0.584	1.17	0.455

Subcutaneous fat

We detected retinol, zeaxanthin, lutein, a lutein derivative, and α- and γ- tocopherols in

fat(Table S1). Total carotenoid levels were not significantly affected by treatment (χ^2 =

1.07, p = 0.301; zero-inflated part of the model: χ^2 = 0.18, p = 0.675). Similarly, the initial

bill redness and its interaction with treatment had no significant effect (Table 2).

However, food intake showed a significant interaction with treatment in the continuous

part of the model (Table 2). When analyzed separately, no significant association between

food intake and fat carotenoids was found in controls (slope ± SE: -0.148 ± 0.141; χ^2 =

1.10, p = 0.294), but LPS-treated birds exhibited a trend toward a significant positive

relationship (slope ± SE: 0.331 ± 0.182; χ^2 = 3.32, p = 0.068). The zero-inflated part of

these models consistently reported non-significant results (p > 0.46). The analyses of each

parameter separately did not show a significant treatment effect (all *p-values* > 0.20).

Additionally, we tested whether the presence or absence of fat carotenoids (as a binary

factor) predicted bill redness in the model from Table 1. Birds with detectable fat

carotenoid levels showed significantly redder bills ($F_{1.64}$ = 5.76, p = 0.019; d = 0.57; Figure

1-J). The inclusion of this binary factor did not alter the treatment effect on bill color (p =

0.027) and showed no significant interaction with treatment (p = 0.980).

Spleen

LPS-treated birds were characterized by significantly heavier spleens (i.e., "relative mass":

log-transformed spleen mass controlled for body mass) compared to controls (ANCOVA:

$F_{1.67}$ = 4.82, p = 0.032, n = 70; body mass at sacrifice: $F_{1.67}$ = 11.04, p = 0.001, d = 0.52; least

squared means ± SE: 1.01 ± 0.06 and 1.19 ± 0.06, respectively; Figure 2A for raw values).

Initial bill redness was negatively associated with relative spleen mass ($F_{1.65}$ = 7.41, p =

0.008, slope ± SD: -0.162 ± 0.059), with no significant interaction with treatment ($F_{1.65}$ =

1.12, p = 0.293). The negative correlation persisted after removing the interaction and

treatment factor ($F_{1.67}$ = 7.30, p = 0.009; r = -0.31, n = 70), indicating that birds with redder

bills at the start of the study exhibited lighter spleens than paler individuals (Figure 2B).

Food intake did not influence spleen mass nor interact with treatment (both *p-values* >

0.55). Additionally, relative spleen mass (residuals) had no significant effect when added

as a covariate to the Table 1 bill redness model ($F_{1.64 =}$ 2.10, p = 0.152).

Carotenoids in spleen tissue could only be analyzed in 10 controls and 11 LPS-

treated birds that provided enough tissue mass (> 13 mg). These birds were significantly

heavier at both the start and end of the experiment compared to the remaining

individuals (Student's *t* both: p < 0.010, d = 0.70 and 0.71, respectively). They also showed

a trend to significantly lower initial bill redness compared to non-analyzed birds ($F_{1.66 =}$

3.65, p = 0.060, d = 0.47; means ±SE: -0.32 ± 0.25 and 0.14 ± 0.13, respectively). Note that

birds with heavier spleens were associated with paler initial bill coloration in the whole of

the sample (Figure 2B), a relationship also present in the subsample (r = -0.46, P = 0.035;

n = 21; Figure S5).

Within this subsample, LPS-treated birds had higher average spleen masses than

controls (mean, median, and rank: 43, 33, 96 mg and 30, 21, 86 mg, respectively),

although the difference was non-significant (Mann-Whitnney's U = 36, p = 0.181). The

treatment did not affect initial bill redness ($F_{1.17}$ = 1.54, p = 0.232) or bill redness

controlled for initial color ($F_{1.16}$ = 0.01, p = 0.936). β-cryptoxanthin, zeaxanthin, lutein,

three lutein derivatives, retinol, three unidentified retinoids, and α- and γ-tocopherol

were detected in spleen tissue (Table S1). Total carotenoid levels were unaffected by

treatment (t = 0.67, df = 19, p = 0.512), initial bill redness, or food intake (Table 2). When

testing individual compounds, only lutein showed a trend towards a treatment effect (t =

1.78, df = 19, p = 0.091, d = 0.77), with LPS-treated birds showing higher lutein values

(means ± SE: 2.06 ± 1.90 and 1.21 ± 0.46 nmol/g, respectively). Interestingly, lutein was

detected in all LPS-treated birds, whereas five control birds showed zero values (χ^2 = 7.22,

df=1, p = 0.007). A similar pattern was observed for total carotenoid levels, with four

control birds lacking detectable carotenoids, compared to none in the LPS-treated group

(χ^2 = 5.44, df =1, p = 0.020).

Lastly, we tested whether spleen carotenoid content could be revealed by the

concurrent bill redness measure. In a model analyzing spleen total carotenoids

(dependent variable), final bill redness showed a significant positive association ($F_{1.17}$ =

5.88, p = 0.027; slope ± SE: 4.12 ± 2.93; r = 0.50; Figure 2C) but no interaction with

treatment (treatment: $F_{1.17}$ = 1.23, p = 0.283; interaction: $F_{1.17}$ = 0.02, p = 0.895). This

positive relationship remained significant (i.e., $F_{1.16}$ = 7.29, p = 0.016, slope ± SE: 4.27 ±

1.51) when adding the spleen mass as a covariate ($F_{1.16}$ = 3.29, p = 0.089, slope ± SE: 0.12

± 0.06) to test the absolute amount of spleen carotenoids.

Figure 2. (A) Treatment effect on spleen mass (raw values; means ± SE). (B) Relationship between
spleen mass controlled for body mass (residuals) and initial bill redness and (C) between spleen total

carotenoid levels and final bill redness. Bill redness were residuals from models with bill brightness
and measured bill area at the first (B) and second (C) sampling. Empty and filled dots for controls and
LPS-treated birds, respectively. The treatment did not interact with bill redness (see Results).

Body temperature

The body temperature was significantly higher in LPS-treated birds compared to controls

(t = 2.18, df = 68, p = 0.032; Figure 3A). When the initial bill redness was included as a

covariate in an ANCOVA model testing body temperature, a trend toward a significant

interaction with treatment was observed ($F_{1.66}$ = 0.03, p = 0.861; interaction: $F_{1.66}$ = 3.47, p

= 0.067). The sign of the slope differed between LPS-treated and control birds (slope ± SE:

0.197 ± 0.158 and -0.238 ± 0.172, respectively), but neither slope significantly deviated

from zero (p > 0.175). When we instead tested the final bill redness, this covariate was

negatively correlated ($F_{1.66}$ = 4.37, p = 0.041; slope ± SE: -0.151 ± 0.178; r = 0.32; Figure 3B;

interaction: $F_{1.66}$ = 0.66, p = 0.419). Food intake did not affect body temperature nor

interact with treatment (both *p-values* > 0.25). No significant associations between body

temperature and carotenoid levels in the bill, plasma, spleen or fat were detected (all *p*-

values > 0.22). Body temperature was also unrelated to relative spleen mass (r = 0.03, p =

0.820, n = 70).

Nonetheless, when testing liver total carotenoid levels (residuals from a mixed

model with only the random terms), we found a trend toward a significant interaction

between body temperature and liver carotenoids (covariate: $F_{1.63}$ = 0.37, p = 0.545;

interaction: $F_{1.63}$ = 3.46, p = 0.067). Control birds showed a negative relationship ($F_{1.33}$ =

4.69, p = 0.038; slope ± SE: -0.276 ± 0.128; r = -0.35), but LPS-treated birds did not ($F_{1.30}$ =

0.57, p = 0.457; slope ± SE: 0.140 ± 0.186, r = 0.14; Figure 3C).

Figure 3. LPS effect on body temperature (A; means ± SE), relationship between body temperature and final bill redness (B) or liver total carotenoids (C). Temperature are standardized values and final bill redness were residuals from a mixed model including bill brightness and measured area at the last sampling event (see Methods). Controls: empty dots and dotted line. LPS-treated birds: filled dots and continuous line.

DISCUSSION

Our experimental immune challenge decreased bill redness and circulating carotenoid levels in male zebra finches, agreeing with results from previously published data in this and other species (see Introduction). However, we detected no significant interaction between initial bill redness and treatment effects, suggesting that the birds' response to the immune challenge was not influenced by their initial signal expression. This implies that the strategy followed by the birds when investing in signaling under pressure (immune challenge) is independent of their previous condition. Thus, the link between signal level and individual quality could be weaker than expected for an index signal. Moreover, the amount of food intake strongly influenced the signal expression level, highlighting the high plasticity of the ketocarotenoid-based ornament.

The high plasticity of the zebra finch red bill can also be deduced from four experimental studies in this species reporting quick changes in the trait. Thus, an increase in bill redness was found in birds exposed to handling stress during four weeks (McGraw et al., 2011). A similar bill color increment was found in males housed with females during three weeks compared to those housed with males (Gautier et al., 2008). Even quicker, testosterone implants increased male bill redness in three days (Ardia et al., 2010) and certain immune activation (complete Freund's adjuvant injection) declined bill color in only 48 hours (George et al., 2017).

Four findings support the presence of systemic inflammation in our LPS-treated birds. First, there was a trend towards a significant decrease in blood γ-tocopherol values. This could be attributed to its consumption when fighting the reactive nitrogen species (e.g., nitric oxide) released by inflammation (e.g., Christen et al., 1997; Jiang et al., 2022). Second, hepatic retinoids also showed a tendency to decline, which could also be due to their use as antioxidants (Tesoriere et al., 1993; Palace et al., 1999) or as a substrate for retinoic acid production, essential to mount the cellular immune response (Cifelli & Rose, 2006; Broadhurst et al., 2012; Rubin et al., 2017). Third, LPS-treated birds exhibited heavier spleens, consistent with previous results in poultry (Koutsos et al., 2003; Rajput et al., 2013; Bai et al., 2019). Fourth, LPS-treated birds showed higher body temperatures

than controls, in agreement with findings in different passerine species, including zebra
finches (Sköld-Chiriac et al., 2015; Tapper et al., 2021; but see Burness et al., 2010).

The LPS-induced reduction in food intake seems to have limited carotenoid
allocation to sexual coloration. The potential inhibitory effect of LPS on food intake is
currently well-known (see Introduction). We should highlight that an LPS-induced decline
in carotenoid-based colorations was interpreted in this and other animal species as
directly due to carotenoid being allocated to immune function stimulation or combat
reactive oxygen species released by inflammation (e.g., Alonso-Alvarez et al., 2004; Torres
& Velando, 2007; López et al., 2009; Cote et al., 2010; Romano et al., 2011; Rosenthal et
al., 2012). However, our result highlights the necessity of controlling birds' behavior when
testing the impact of immune challenges on carotenoid-based ornaments. The possibility
of a food intake influence on carotenoid-based signaling under an immune challenge or
stress was previously argued (McGraw et al., 2011; Rosenthal et al., 2012) but has not
been directly demonstrated until now.

The observed influence of food intake on bill redness challenges the notion that
red ketocarotenoid-based signals depend on intricate production mechanisms (involving
cell respiration) that are supposedly independent of resource allocation strategies
(Johnson & Hill, 2013; Hill et al., 2019, 2023). Instead, our findings suggest that resource
acquisition can deeply influence carotenoid-based colorations, a possibility early
suggested by those trying to explain the proximate mechanisms favoring the evolution of
carotenoid-based ornaments (Endler, 1980; Kodric-Brown, 1985; Hill, 1990; Møller et al.,
2000). Specifically, male zebra finches with redder bills appear to be those better able to
acquire sufficient carotenoid-rich food.

However, food intake itself was not directly correlated with bill carotenoid levels.
Thus, the link between food intake and bill redness (Figure 1D) may not be uniquely
attributed to dietary carotenoids acquired from the food. Perhaps, the overall nutritional
state could have caused changes in the tissue microstructure affecting coloration (e.g.,
Siefferman & Hill 2005; D'Alba et al., 2014). Additionally, limitations in carotenoid

extraction from the bill, which is a highly keratinized tissue, may contribute to the
absence of significant correlations. For instance, in a similar trait (i.e., the bill of red-
legged partridges, *Alectoris rufa*), we found a carotenoid recovery rate of 87% ± 3% (mean
± CV; García de Blas et al., 2013). This means that 10-15% of the bill pigments could not
be extracted, adding error to the measure and complicating the detection of real effects.

Several findings support the reliability of red bill coloration as an indicator of
individual condition in our study. First, intriguingly, the birds with the reddest bills at the
start of the experiment had smaller spleens when sacrificed one month later, regardless
of treatment (Figure 2A). A larger spleen is often interpreted as a sign of better immune
competence (e.g., in birds: Møller & Erritzøe, 2002; Ardia, 2005; in mammals: Corbin et
al., 2008). In that scenario, the red keto-carotenoid-based signal could cheat those
interpreting it as redder bill individuals would have smaller spleens and, hence, poorer
immune competence. Alternatively, the reddest bill birds could be the healthiest
individuals if we consider that the spleen may become augmented during active
infections (e.g., Smith & Hunt, 2004; Figuerola et al., 2005). Our birds previously passed a
quarantine, and their behavior did not suggest health problems. In any case, we cannot
discard the presence of subtle infectious processes.

More intuitive support for red bill signal reliability was that the birds with the
reddest bills also had the lowest body temperatures. Here, we assume that the higher
body temperatures in the reported range (e.g., > 42°C) indicate underlying inflammatory
processes (raw values in Figure S7B, C). Body temperatures were also negatively
correlated to liver total carotenoid levels but only among controls (Figure 3C). Here, we
may deduce that the exposure to LPS forced the birds with high liver carotenoid
concentrations to sustain high body temperatures, flattening the regression slope (Figure
3C). However, this does not explain the lack of significant interaction in other tissues.

Among birds where the spleen carotenoids could be quantified (i.e., those with
heavier spleens and paler bills), LPS-treated individuals had a higher carotenoid detection
rate and a trend toward significantly higher spleen lutein levels. Despite the low sample

size, both results suggest enhanced carotenoid investment in the spleen during immune
activation. This supports the presence of a carotenoid allocation trade-off between
immune-competence and ketocarotenoid-based signaling if we consider the LPS-induced
color decline in the full sample. However, that color decrease was undetected in the
reduced subsample, perhaps due to its lower size. Further evidence for strategic
carotenoid allocation comes from the significant positive correlations between recent
food intake and carotenoid levels in plasma and fat of LPS-treated birds, but not controls.
These immune-challenged individuals may have increased carotenoid absorption and
storage from food, but not the carotenoid allocation to the signal (LPS-treated birds
showed paler signals).

The positive relationship between final bill redness and spleen carotenoid levels
further supports the reliability of bill color as an indicator of condition. Likewise, birds
with measurable carotenoid concentrations in subcutaneous fat displayed redder bills at
the end of the experiment. Carotenoid storage in fat is unlikely to serve as a reservoir for
re-circulation. For example, in the Greylag geese (*Anser anser*), carotenoids stored in
subcutaneous fat do not seem to be released back into circulation (Negro et al., 2001).
Instead, mammalian studies suggest that carotenoids are relevant in lipid reserves,
functioning as antioxidants supporting lipid catabolism pathways (Bonet et al., 2015;
Mounien & Tourniare, 2019). Consequently, birds with redder bills may not only indicate
their ability to invest carotenoids in their immune system but also their capacity to
maintain efficient lipid metabolism.

In summary, in male zebra finches, the red keto-carotenoid-based bill signal and
several parameters related to its production mechanism (blood, liver and fat carotenoid
levels) were associated with food intake. The link to quality is mostly related to final bill
redness, suggesting that the signal informs about the recent physiological condition (see
also Gautier et al., 2008, Ardia et al., 2010, McGraw et al., 2011, George et al., 2017). The
reddest birds at the end of the study were also those with lower body temperatures and
detectable subcutaneous fat carotenoids. Taken together, our findings support the view
that plastic resource allocation trade-offs underlie the reliability of red bill coloration in

male zebra finches, suggesting potential for strategic adjustment and even cheating

strategies. Therefore, they do not seem to support a tight association of bill redness to

individual quality, which should be expected for red keto-carotenoid ornaments more

generally if they are rigidly constrained and unfalsifiable index signals (e.g. Weaver et al.,

2017; Koch & Hill, 2018; Cantarero et al., 2020).

Ethics note: This experiment was approved by the animal ethics government office (i.e., Consejería

de Agricultura, Junta de Castilla La Mancha; approval ref. JCCM 27-2021). All the authors consent

to participate.

Acknowledgements: We thank María de los Santos Fernandez and Marta Garrido for their help in

laboratory analyses.

Funding: Financial support was obtained from the project PID2019-109303GB-I00 from Ministerio

de Ciencia e Innovación (MICINN; Spanish Government). Grant RYC2022-035559-I funded by

MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ESF+" for AC.

Authors contribution: AC and CAA designed and performed the experiment. RA and PC performed

chromatography analyses and datasets on carotenoids and vitamin levels. CAA obtained funds for

the project. CAA performed the stats and wrote the first version of the text. MC and PA

contributed to the experimental design. AC, RM, PC and MC revised the drafts of the text.

REFERENCES

Abou Elazab, M. F., Nasr, N. E., Ahmed, M. S., Alrashdi, B. M., Dahran, N., Alblihed, M. A.,

& Elmahallawy, E. K. (2022). The effects of bacterial lipopolysaccharide (LPS) on

turkey poults: assessment of biochemical parameters and histopathological changes.

Veterinary Sciences, *9*, 240. doi:10.3390/vetsci9050240

Alonso-Alvarez, C., Bertrand, S., Devevey, G., Gaillard, M., Prost, J., Faivre, B., & Sorci, G.

(2004). An experimental test of the dose-dependent effect of carotenoids and

immune activation on sexual signals and antioxidant activity. *American Naturalist*,

164(5), 651–9. doi:10.1086/424971

Alonso-Alvarez, C., Blas, E. G.-D., & Mateo, R. (2018). Dietary canthaxanthin reduces

xanthophyll uptake and red coloration in adult red-legged partridges. *Journal of*

Experimental Biology, *221*(22), jeb185074. doi:10.1242/jeb.185074

Alonso-Alvarez, C., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., Mateo, R., Chastel, O., & Viñuela, J. (2008). The

oxidation handicap hypothesis and the carotenoid allocation trade-off. *Journal of*

Evolutionary Biology, *21*(6), 1789–97. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008.01591.x

Ardia, D. R. (2005). Cross-fostering reveals an effect of spleen size and nest temperatures

on immune responses in nestling European starlings. *Oecologia*, *145*(2), 327–334.

doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0120-6

Ardia, D. R., Broughton, D. R., & Gleicher, M. J. (2010). Short-term exposure to

testosterone propionate leads to rapid bill color and dominance changes in zebra

finches. *Hormones and Behavior*, *58*(3), 526–532. doi:10.1016/j.yhbeh.2010.04.004

Baert, K., De Boever, S., Duchateau, L., & De Backer, P. (2005). Sodium salicylate

attenuates lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced adipsia, but not hypophagia, in broiler

chickens. *British Poultry Science*, *46*(2), 144–148. doi:10.1080/00071660500065243

Bai, W. Q., Zhang, K. Y., Ding, X. M., Bai, S. P., Wang, J. P., Peng, H. W., & Zeng, Q. F.

(2019). High dietary energy content increases inflammatory markers after

lipopolysaccharide challenge in meat ducks. *Poultry Science*, *98*(1), 164–171.

doi:10.3382/ps/pey380

Biernaskie, J. M., Grafen, A., & Perry, J. C. (2014). The evolution of index signals to avoid

the cost of dishonesty. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *281*, 20140876.

doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0876

Bonet, M. L., Canas, J. A., Ribot, J., & Palou, A. (2015). Carotenoids and their conversion

products in the control of adipocyte function, adiposity and obesity. *Archives of*

Biochemistry and Biophysics, *572*, 112–125. doi:10.1016/j.abb.2015.02.022

Broadhurst, M. J., Leung, J. M., Lim, K. C., Girgis, N. M., Gundra, U. M., Fallon, P. G., …

Loke, P. (2012). Upregulation of Retinal Dehydrogenase 2 in Alternatively Activated

Macrophages during Retinoid-dependent Type-2 Immunity to Helminth Infection in

Mice. *PLoS Pathogens*, *8*(8), e1002883. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1002883

Bryant, C. E., Spring, D. R., Gangloff, M., & Gay, N. J. (2010). The molecular basis of the

host response to lipopolysaccharide. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, *8*(1), 8–14.

doi:10.1038/nrmicro2266

Buras, J. A., Holzmann, B., & Sitkovsky, M. (2005). Model organisms: Animal models of

sepsis: Setting the stage. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, *4*(10), 854–865.

doi:10.1038/nrd1854

Butler, M. W., & McGraw, K. J. (2010). Relationships between dietary carotenoids, body

tissue carotenoids, parasite burden, and health state in wild mallard (Anas

platyrhynchos) ducklings. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, *504*(1), 154–60.

doi:10.1016/j.abb.2010.07.003

Cantarero, A., Fernandez-eslava, B., Mateo, R., Camarero, P. R., Alonso, D., & Alonso-

alvarez, C. (2020). Testing the shared-pathway hypothesis in the carotenoid-based

coloration of red crossbills. *Evolution*, *74*, 2348–2364. doi:10.1111/evo.14073

Cho, H. C., & Abe, S. (2013). Is two-tailed testing for directional research hypotheses tests
legitimate? *Journal of Business Research*, *66*(9), 1261–1266.
doi:10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.02.023

Christen, S., Woodall, A. A., Shigenaga, M. K., Southwell-Keely, P. T., Duncan, M. W., &
Ames, B. N. (1997). γ-Tocopherol traps mutagenic electrophiles such as NOx and
complements α-tocopherol: Physiological implications. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences USA, *94*(7), 3217–3222. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.7.3217

Cifelli, C. J., & Ross, A. C. (2006). All-trans-retinoic acid distribution and metabolism in
vitamin A-marginal rats. *American Journal of Physiology - Gastrointestinal and Liver*
Physiology, *291*, G195–G202. doi:10.1152/ajpgi.00011.2006

Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychological Bulletin*, *112*(1), 155–159.

Corbin, E., Vicente, J., Martin-Hernando, M. P., Acevedo, P., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., &
Gortazar, C. (2008). Spleen mass as a measure of immune strength in mammals.
Mammal Review, *38*(1), 108–115. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2907.2007.00112.x

Cote, J., Arnoux, E., Sorci, G., Gaillard, M., & Faivre, B. (2010). Age-dependent allocation of
carotenoids to coloration versus antioxidant defences. *Journal of Experimental*
Biology, *213*(2), 271–277. doi:10.1242/jeb.035188

Csernus, B., Szabó, C., Knop, R., Kidane, R. G., Ndunguru, S. F., Gulyás, G., ... Czeglédi, L.
(2023). Capsanthin supplementation modulates the immune response in broiler
chickens under Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide challenge. *Archives Animal*
Breeding, *66*(1), 103–111. doi:10.5194/aab-66-103-2023

Csernus, B.; Biró, S.; Babinszky, L.; Komlósi, I.; Jávor, A.; Stündl, L.; Remenyik, J.; Bai, P.;
Oláh, J.; Pesti-Asbóth, G.; Levente Czeglédi. 2020. Effect of carotenoids,
oligosaccharides and anthocyanins on growth performance, immunological

parameters and intestinal morphology in broiler chickens challenged with *Escherichia*

coli lipopolysaccharide. *Animals*, 10, 347. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10020347

D'Alba, L., Van Hemert, C., Spencer, K. A., Heidinger, B. J., Gill, L., Evans, N. P., … Shawkey,

M. D. (2014). Melanin-based color of plumage: role of condition and of feathers'

microstructure. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 54(4), 633–644.

doi:10.1093/icb/icu094

Elvira-Torales, L. I., García-Alonso, J., & Periago-Castón, M. J. (2019). Nutritional

importance of carotenoids and their effect on liver health: A review. *Antioxidants*,

8(7), 229. doi:10.3390/antiox8070229

Endler, J. A. (1980). Natural Selection on Color Patterns in *Poecilia reticulata*. *Evolution*,

34(1), 76–91.

Figuerola, J., Torres, J., Garrido, J., Green, A. J., & Negro, J. J. (2005). Do carotenoids and

spleen size vary with helminth load in greylag geese? *Canadian Journal of Zoology*,

83(3), 389–395. doi:10.1139/z05-022

Fitze, P. S., Tschirren, B., Gasparini, J., & Richner, H. (2007). Carotenoid-based plumage

colors and immune function: is there a trade-off for rare carotenoids? *American*

Naturalist, *169*, S137-44. doi:10.1086/510094

Foley, J.D., Van Dam, A., 1982. Fundamentals of Interactive Computer Graphics. Addison-

Wesley, Reading, M.A.

García-de Blas, E., Mateo, R., Viñuela, J., & Alonso-Álvarez, C. (2011). Identification of

carotenoid pigments and their fatty acid esters in an avian integument combining

HPLC-DAD and LC-MS analyses. *Journal of Chromatography B*, *879*(5–6), 341-348.

doi:10.1016/j.jchromb.2010.12.019

García-de Blas, E., Mateo, R., Viñuela, J., Pérez-Rodríguez, L., & Alonso-Alvarez, C. (2013).
Free and esterified carotenoids in ornaments of an avian species: the relationship to
color expression and sources of variability. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*,
86(5), 483–98. doi:10.1086/671812

Gautier, P., Barroca, M., Bertrand, S., Eraud, C., Gaillard, M., Hamman, M., … Faivre, B.
(2008). The presence of females modulates the expression of a carotenoid-based
sexual signal. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 62(7), 1159–1166.
doi:10.1007/s00265-008-0544-1

George, D. B., Schneider, B. C., McGraw, K. J., & Ardia, D. R. (2017). Carotenoids buffer the
acute phase response on fever, sickness behavior and rapid bill color change in zebra
finches. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 220(16), 2957–2964.
doi:10.1242/jeb.155069

Gómez, J., Liñán-Cembrano, G., (2017). SpotEgg: an image-processing tool for automatised
analysis of colouration and spottiness. *Journal Avian Biology* 48, 502–512.

Grether, G. F., Kolluru, G. R., & Nersissian, K. (2004). Individual colour patches as
multicomponent signals. *Biological Reviews*, 79(3), 583–610.
doi:10.1017/S1464793103006390

Henderson, A. J., Lasselin, J., Lekander, M., Olsson, M. J., Powis, S. J., Axelsson, J., &
Perrett, D. I. (2017). Skin colour changes during experimentally-induced sickness.
Brain, Behavior, and Immunity, 60, 312–318. doi:10.1016/j.bbi.2016.11.008

Hill, G. E. (2011). Condition-dependent traits as signals of the functionality of vital cellular
processes. *Ecology Letters*, 14(7), 625–634. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01622.x

Hill, G. E., 1990. Female house finches prefer colorful males: Sexual selection for a
condition-dependent trait. Anim Behav 40: 563–570.

Hill, G. E., Hood, W. R., Ge, Z., Grinter, R., Greening, C., Johnson, J. D., Park, N. R., Taylor,
H. A., Andreasen, V. A., Powers, M. J., Justyn, N. M., Parry, H. A., Kavazis, A. N., Zhang,
Y. (2019). Plumage redness signals mitochondrial function in the House Finch.
Proceedings of the Royal Society B, *286*, 20191354.

Hill, G. E., Weaver, R. J., & Powers, M. J. (2023). Carotenoid ornaments and the spandrels
of physiology: a critique of theory to explain condition dependency. *Biological*
Reviews, 98(6), 2320–2332. doi:10.1111/brv.13008

Ibáñez, A., Polo-Cavia, N., López, P., & Martín, J. (2014). Honest sexual signaling in turtles:
experimental evidence of a trade-off between immune response and coloration in
red-eared sliders Trachemys scripta elegans. *Die Naturwissenschaften*, *101*(10), 803–
811. doi:10.1007/s00114-014-1219-6

Jiang, Q., Im, S., Wagner, J. G., Hernandez, M. L., & Peden, D. B. (2022). Gamma-
tocopherol, a major form of vitamin E in diets: Insights into antioxidant and anti-
inflammatory effects, mechanisms, and roles in disease management. *Free Radical*
Biology and Medicine, *178*, 347–359. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2021.12.012

Johnson, J. D., & Hill, G. E. (2013). Is carotenoid ornamentation linked to the inner
mitochondria membrane potential? A hypothesis for the maintenance of signal
honesty. *Biochimie*, *95*(2), 436–444. doi:10.1016/j.biochi.2012.10.021

Kaulmann, A., & Bohn, T. (2014). Carotenoids, inflammation, and oxidative stress-
implications of cellular signaling pathways and relation to chronic disease prevention.
Nutrition Research, *34*(11), 907–929. doi:10.1016/j.nutres.2014.07.010

Koch, R. E., & Hill, G. E. (2018). Do carotenoid-based ornaments entail resource trade-offs?
An evaluation of theory and data. *Functional Ecology*, *32*(8), 1908–1920.
doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13122

Koch, R. E., Hill, G. E., & Koch, R. E. (2016). The importance of carotenoid dose in
supplementation studies with songbirds. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*,
89(1), 61–71. doi:10.1086/684485

Koch, R. E., Truong, C. N., Reeb, H. R., & Joski, B. H. (2025). Multiple pathways to red
carotenoid coloration : House finches (*Haemorhous mexicanus*) do not use CYP2J19
to produce red plumage. Molecular Ecology, doi: 10.1111/mec.17744

Kodric-Brown, A. (1985). Female preferente and sexual selection for male coloration in the
guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 17, 199–205.

Koutsos, E. A., Calvert, C. C., & Klasing, K. C. (2003). The effect of an acute phase response
on tissue carotenoid levels of growing chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus*).
Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A, 135(4), 635–646. doi:10.1016/S1095-
6433(03)00158-2

Laidre, M. E., & Johnstone, R. A. (2013). Animal signals. *Current Biology*, 23(18), 829–833.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2013.07.070

Lessells, C. M., & Boag, P. T. (1987). Unrepeatable repetabilities: a common mistake. The
Auk, 104, 116–121. doi:10.2307/4087240

Li, N., Ansari, A. R., Sun, Z., Huang, H., Cui, L., Hu, Y., … Liu, H. (2017). Toll like receptor 4
signaling pathway participated in Salmonella lipopolysaccharide-induced spleen
injury in young chicks. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, *112*, 288–294.
doi:10.1016/j.micpath.2017.10.004

Lieboldt, M. A., Frahm, J., Halle, I., Görs, S., Schrader, L., Weigend, S., … Dänicke, S. (2016).
Metabolic and clinical response to Escherichia coli lipopolysaccharide in layer pullets
of different genetic backgrounds supplied with graded dietary L-arginine. *Poultry*
Science, 95(3), 595–611. doi:10.3382/ps/pev359

Lopes, R. J., Johnson, J. D., Toomey, M. B., Ferreira, M. S., Araújo, P. M., Melo-Ferreira, J.,
… Carneiro, M. (2016). Genetic basis for red coloration in birds report genetic basis
for red coloration in birds. *Current Biology*, *26*(11), 1427–1434.
doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.03.076

López, P., Gabirot, M., & Martín, J. (2009). Immune challenge affects sexual coloration of
male Iberian wall lizards. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A, 311*(2), 96–104.
doi:10.1002/jez.505

Maynard Smith, J. & Harper, D. (2003). Animal Signals. Oxford University Press.

McGraw, K. J., & Toomey, M. B. (2010). Carotenoid accumulation in the tissues of zebra
finches: predictors of integumentary pigmentation and implications for carotenoid
allocation strategies. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, *83*(1), 97–109.
doi:10.1086/648396

McGraw, K. J., Lee, K., & Lewin, A. (2011). The effect of capture-and-handling stress on
carotenoid-based beak coloration in zebra finches. *Journal of Comparative*
Physiology. A, 197(6), 683–91. doi:10.1007/s00359-011-0631-z

McGraw, K.J. (2006). Mechanics of carotenoid-based coloration. in G.E. Hill and K.J.
McGraw, eds. Bird coloration, VoL. 1: Mechanisms and measurements. Harvard
University Press, Harvard.

Meriwether, L. S., Humphrey, B. D., Peterson, D. G., Klasing, K. C., & Koutsos, E. A. (2010).
Lutein exposure, in ovo or in the diet, reduces parameters of inflammation in the
liver and spleen laying-type chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Journal of Animal*
Physiology and Animal Nutrition, *94*(5), 115–122. doi:10.1111/j.1439-
0396.2010.00990.x

862 Merrill, L., Naylor, M. F., & Grindstaff, J. L. (2016). Imperfect past and present
863  progressive: Beak color reflects early-life and adult exposure to antigen. *Behavioral*
864  *Ecology*, *27*(5), 1320–1330. doi:10.1093/beheco/arw029

865 Metzger, B. J., & Bairlein, F. (2011). Fat stores in a migratory bird: a reservoir of carotenoid
866  pigments for times of need? *Journal of Comparative Physiology. B, 181*(2), 269–75.
867  doi:10.1007/s00360-010-0511-9

868 Milani, A., Basirnejad, M., Shahbazi, S., & Bolhassani, A. (2017). Carotenoids: biochemistry,
869  pharmacology and treatment. *British Journal of Pharmacology*, *174*(11), 1290–1324.
870  doi:10.1111/bph.13625

871 Møller, A. P., & Erritzøe, J. (2002). Coevolution of host immune defence and parasite-
872  induced mortality: Relative spleen size and mortality in altricial birds. *Oikos, 99*(1),
873  95–100. doi:10.1034/j.1600-0706.2002.990110.x

874 Møller, A. P., Biard, C., Blount, J. D., Houston, D. C., Ninni, P., Saino, N. & Surai, P. F.
875  (2000). Carotenoid-dependent signals: Indicators of foraging efficiency,
876  immunocompetence or detoxification ability? *Avian and Poultry Biology Reviews*, 11,
877  137–159.

878 Mounien, L., & Tourniaire, F. (2019). Nutrients adipose tissue and adipose tissue-driven.
879  *Nutrients, 11*, 1–14.

880 Mundy, N. I. I., Stapley, J., Bennison, C., Tucker, R., Twyman, H., Kim, K. W., … Slate, J.
881  (2016). Red carotenoid coloration in the zebra finch is controlled by a cytochrome
882  p450 gene cluster. *Current Biology*, 26(11), 1435–1440.
883  doi:10.1016/j.cub.2016.04.047

884 Negro, J. J., Figuerola, J., Garrido, J., & Green, a. J. (2001). Fat stores in birds: an
885  overlooked sink for carotenoid pigments? *Functional Ecology*, *15*(3), 297–303.
886  doi:10.1046/j.1365-2435.2001.00526.x

Palace, V. P., Khaper, N., Qin, Q., & Singal, P. K. (1999). Antioxidant potentials of vitamin A
and carotenoids and their relevance to heart disease. *Free Radical Biology and*
Medicine, *26*(5–6), 746–761. doi:10.1016/S0891-5849(98)00266-4

Perez-Rodriguez, L. (2009). Carotenoids in evolutionary ecology: re-evaluating the
antioxidant role. *BioEssays*, *31*, 1116–1126. doi:10.1002/bies.200900070

Rajput, N., Naeem, M., Ali, S., Zhang, J. F., Zhang, L., & Wang, T. (2013). The effect of
dietary supplementation with the natural carotenoids curcumin and lutein on broiler
pigmentation and immunity. *Poultry Science*, *92*(5), 1177–1185. doi:10.3382/ps.2012-
02853

Rathinam, V. A. K., Zhao, Y., & Shao, F. (2019). Innate immunity to intracellular LPS. *Nature*
Immunology, *20*(5), 527–533. doi:10.1038/s41590-019-0368-3

Rice, W. R., & Gaines, S. D. (1994). 'Heads I win, tails you lose': testing directional
alternative hypotheses in ecological and evolutionary research. *Science*, *9*, 235–237.

Ridout, M., Demetrio, C. G., & Hinde, J. (1998). Models for count data with many zeros. In
Proceedings of the XIXth International Biometric Conference, pages 179–192.

Rodríguez-Estival, J., Martínez-Haro, M., Martín-Hernando, M. a P., & Mateo, R. (2010).
Sub-chronic effects of nitrate in drinking water on red-legged partridge (Alectoris
rufa): oxidative stress and T-cell mediated immune function. *Environmental Research*,
110(5), 469–75. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2010.03.008

Romano, A., Rubolini, D., Caprioli, M., Boncoraglio, G., Ambrosini, R., & Saino, N. (2011).
Sex-Related effects of an immune challenge on growth and begging behavior of barn
swallow nestlings. *PLoS ONE*, *6*(7), e22805. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022805

Rosenthal, M. F., Murphy, T. G., Darling, N., & Tarvin, K. A. (2012). Ornamental bill color
rapidly signals changing condition. *Journal of Avian Biology*, *43*(6), 553–564.
doi:10.1111/j.1600-048X.2012.05774.x

Rubin, L. P., Ross, A. C., Stephensen, C. B., Bohn, T., & Tanumihardjo, S. A. (2017).
Metabolic effects of inflammation on vitamin A and carotenoids in humans and
animal models. *Advances in Nutrition*, *8*(2), 197–212. doi:10.3945/an.116.014167

Ruxton, G. D., & Neuhäuser, M. (2010). When should we use one-tailed hypothesis
testing? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *1*(2), 114–117. doi:10.1111/j.2041-
210x.2010.00014.x

Scalf, C. S., Chariker, J. H., Rouchka, E. C., & Ashley, N. T. (2019). Transcriptomic analysis of
immune response to bacterial lipopolysaccharide in zebra finch (*Taeniopygia*
guttata). *BMC Genomics*, *20*(1), 647. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-6016-3

Sköld-Chiriac, S., Nord, A., Tobler, M., Nilsson, J. Å., & Hasselquist, D. (2015). Body
temperature changes during simulated bacterial infection in a songbird: Fever at
night and hypothermia during the day. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 218(18),
2961–2969. doi:10.1242/jeb.122150

Siefferman, L., & Hill, G. E. (2005). Evidence for sexual selection on structural plumage
coloration in female eastern bluebirds (*Sialia sialis*). *Evolution*, 59(8), 1819–1828.
doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2005.tb01828.x

Sild, E., Sepp, T., Männiste, M., & Hõrak, P. (2011). Carotenoid intake does not affect
immune-stimulated oxidative burst in greenfinches. *Journal of Experimental Biology*,
214(20), 3467–3473. doi:10.1242/jeb.062182

Simons, M. J. P., & Verhulst, S. (2011). Zebra finch females prefer males with redder bills
independent of song rate-a meta-analysis. *Behavioral Ecology*, *22*(4), 755–762.
doi:10.1093/beheco/arr043

934 Smith, K. G., & Hunt, J. L. (2004). On the use of spleen mass as a measure of avian immune
935   system strength. *Oecologia*, *138*(1), 28–31. doi:10.1007/s00442-003-1409-y

936 Stevens, M., Párraga, C. A., Cuthill, I. C., Partridge, J. C., & Troscianko, T. S. (2007). Using
937   digital photography to study animal coloration. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*
938   *Society*, 90, 211–237.

939 Svensson, P. A., & Wong, B. B. M. (2011). Carotenoid-based signals in behavioural ecology:
940   A review. *Behaviour*, *148*(2), 131–189. doi:10.1163/000579510X548673

941 Számadó, S., Samu, F., & Takács, K. (2022). Condition-dependent trade-offs maintain
942   honest signalling. *Royal Society Open Science*, *9*(10). doi:10.1098/rsos.220335

943 Számadó, S., Zachar, I., & Penn, D. J. (2023b). The trade-offs of honest and dishonest
944   signals. *EcoEvorxiv*, preprint: https://doi.org/10.32942/X2960G

945 Számadó, S., Zachar, I., Czégel, D., & Penn, D. J. (2023a). Honesty in signalling games is
946   maintained by trade-offs rather than costs. *BMC Biology*, *21*(1), 4.
947   doi:10.1186/s12915-022-01496-9

948 Tang G & Russell RM (2009). Carotenoids as Provitamin A. In Carotenoids Volume 5:
949   Nutrition and Health. Edited by G. Britton, S. Liaaen-Jensen, H. Pfander. Chapter 8,
950   pp. 149-172. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, Germany.

951 Teemer, S. R., & Hawley, D. M. (2024). Effects of cold temperature and pseudo-infection
952   on sickness behaviour and transmission potential in house finches. *Animal Behaviour*,
953   209, 179–189. doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2024.01.003

954 Tesoriere, L., Bongiorno, a, Pintaudi, a M., D'Anna, R., D'Arpa, D., & Livrea, M. a. (1996).
955   Synergistic interactions between vitamin A and vitamin E against lipid peroxidation in
956   phosphatidylcholine liposomes. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics*, *326*(1), 57–
957   63. doi:10.1006/abbi.1996.0046

Toomey, M. B., Marques, C. I., Araújo, P. M., Huang, D., Zhong, S., Liu, Y., … Corbo, J. C.

(2022). A mechanism for red coloration in vertebrates. *Current Biology*, 32(19), 4201-

4214. doi:10.1016/j.cub.2022.08.013

Torres, R., & Velando, A. (2007). Male reproductive senescence: The price of immune-

induced oxidative damage on sexual attractiveness in the blue-footed booby. *Journal*

of Animal Ecology, *76*(6), 1161–1168. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2656.2007.01282.x

Weaver, R. J., Koch, R. E., & Hill, G. E. (2017). What maintains signal honesty in animal

colour displays used in mate choice? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*

B, *372*(1724). doi:10.1098/rstb.2016.0343

Weaver, R. J., Santos, E. S. A., Tucker, A. M., Wilson, A. E., & Hill, G. E. (2018). Carotenoid

metabolism strengthens the link between feather coloration and individual quality.

Nature Communications, *9*(1), 73. doi:10.1038/s41467-017-02649-z

Xie, H., Rath, N. C., Huff, G. R., Huff, W. E., & Balog, J. M. (2000). Effects of Salmonella

typhimurium lipopolysaccharide on broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, *79*(1), 33–40.

doi:10.1093/ps/79.1.33