EPB41L4A-AS1 long noncoding RNA acts in both *cis*- and *trans*-acting transcriptional regulation and controls nucleolar biology

Alan Monziani[1,2], Juan Pablo Unfried[1,2], Todor Cvetanovic[1,2] and Igor Ulitsky[1,2]

[1]Department of Immunology and Regenerative Biology and [2]Department of Molecular Neuroscience, Weizmann Institute of Science, 7610001 Rehovot, Israel

Abstract

Mammalian genomes are pervasively transcribed into long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs), whose functions and modes of action remain poorly understood. *EPB41L4A-AS1* is an evolutionary conserved, broadly and highly expressed lncRNA that produces the H/ACA snoRNA *SNORA13* from one of its introns. We studied the consequences of *EPB41L4A-AS1* perturbation in breast cancer cells and found that it acts both *in cis*, to enhance transcription of the proximal *EPB41L4A* gene and additional genes in its two flanking topologically associated domains, and in *trans* by broadly regulating gene expression, including expression of snoRNAs, transcription of genes involved in nucleolar biology and the distribution of nucleolar proteins. These effects are phenocopied by the loss of SUB1, an interactor of *EPB41L4A-AS1*, and are observed following transient perturbations of *EPB41L4A-AS1* that do not affect steady-state *SNORA13* levels or the rRNA modification it helps install. Exogenous expression of the full-length *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus but not *SNORA13* expression can rescue the *trans*-acting transcriptional effects of its perturbation. The *EPB41L4A-AS1* gene is thus a versatile locus producing RNA molecules acting on multiple levels for key cellular functions.

Introduction

Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) are a highly heterogeneous group of RNA molecules, defined as being >500 nt long and not displaying a significant protein-coding potential[1–4]. Given this broad definition and the pervasive transcription of the human genome[5–8], lncRNAs are now the RNA class with the largest number of annotated genes[9]. However, only a limited number of lncRNAs have well-defined mechanisms of action. Long noncoding RNAs exhibit a wide range of expression levels, driven by varying transcription rates and half-lives. Similarly to protein-coding mRNAs, lncRNAs are transcribed by RNA polymerase II (Pol II), 5' capped with 7-methyl guanosine (m[7]G), polyadenylated at their 3' ends, and have a canonical exon–intron structure. Interestingly, small peptides arising from short and poorly conserved translated open reading frames (ORFs) have been reported in several lncRNAs[10–13], and studies using ultra-deep sequencing of ribosome profiling data and deep proteomic analysis found evidence of translation of ORFs in hundreds of lncRNAs[14]. Yet it remains unclear how many of these are encoding functional peptides, mainly because these ORFs are typically poorly conserved and the protein products do not appear to be abundant[15].

One way to functionally classify lncRNAs is by the nature of the functional elements harbored within their loci. One class comprises genes in which the DNA element has a function unrelated to the transcription of the locus[16]. The other includes cases in which the act of transcription is

functionally relevant, while the resulting RNAs are "byproducts" of Pol II activity, which, for
example, can tune the expression of another locus in 3D proximity[1,17–19]. This class is potentially
broad because it can explain the prevalence of lncRNA loci in which the lncRNAs are expressed
at very few copies and/or have sequences that are evolving without any apparent selection. For
the cases where the RNA product is functional, a further distinction can be made between *cis*-
acting lncRNAs, the function of which is restricted to the locus from which they are transcribed,
and *trans*-acting lncRNAs, which are transcribed, processed, and then move away by diffusion
or active transport to exert their function elsewhere. The latter RNAs require a relatively stable
RNA molecule because they have to interact with one or more other RNA species and/or
proteins, whereas, for *cis*-acting lncRNAs, such an RNA molecule may or may not be
necessary, with the act of transcription and concomitant chromatin remodeling reported to be
sufficient in several cases[20].

Small nucleolar RNAs (snoRNAs) are intermediate-sized RNAs whose main function is to guide
the installation of RNA modifications on other RNAs, mainly ribosomal RNAs (rRNAs). In
mammalian cells, snoRNAs are produced from introns of polyadenylated transcripts, some of
which code for proteins, and others are instead lncRNAs. Noncoding snoRNA host genes (or
ncSHNG) are characterized by several characteristic features, including high and broad
expression levels, relatively inefficient splicing, and sensitivity to nonsense-mediated decay[21].
While lncRNA functions have been shown for some ncSNHGs, most remain poorly understood.
Here, we describe a bioinformatic screen that converged on *EPB41L4A-AS1*, a very abundant
and conserved lncRNA/snoRNA-host gene acting as a regulator in *cis*, and our observations
that this lncRNA also works in *trans* to regulate nucleolar biology.

Results

A computational screen for putative *cis*-acting lncRNAs

We hypothesized that lncRNAs likely to act in *cis* will be occasionally co-regulated with their
target genes and also found in spatial proximity to the target promoters. We sought such
lncRNA-target pairs in the GeneHancer database[22], that connects regulatory elements and their
targets by combining tissue co-expression data, enhancer-enriched histone modifications,
transcription factor binding, Hi-C data, and eQTLs. We complemented this resource with RNA-
seq datasets from the breast cancer cell line MCF-7 exposed to different treatments[23–25] (**Fig.
1A** and **Table S1**). This analysis (see Methods) led us to focus on four lncRNA-target pairs
connected in GeneHancer and in which both genes were significantly either upregulated or
downregulated in the treatment conditions, compared to controls (**Fig. S1A-D**). We knocked
down (KD) each candidate for 72 hours with two distinct GapmeRs—antisense oligonucleotides
with a DNA core that induces the degradation of target nascent RNA via RNAse H activity. We
then tested the expression of the target genes using RT-qPCR. Among the four lncRNAs, KD of
EPB41L4A-AS1 with both GapmeRs significantly repressed *EPB41L4A* (**Fig. 1B**), whereas the
other lncRNAs had no substantial effects on the putative target genes.

EPB41L4A-AS1 is a complex lncRNA gene

The *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus is ~2 kb (kilobases) long, and the main transcribed isoform

terminates ~300 nt from the 3' end of the main isoform of the convergently transcribed

EPB41L4A gene, and >250 kb away from the *EPB41L4A* transcription start site (TSS, **Fig. 1C**).

An H/ACA snoRNA, *SNORA13*, a homolog of yeast *snR35*, is embedded within the first intron of

EPB41L4A-AS1. This snoRNA guides pseudouridylation on position 1248 of the small subunit

18S rRNA[26]. This modification is the first step in the installation of one of the most complex RNA

modifications known to date—N1-methyl-N3-aminocarboxypropyl-pseudouridine

(m(1)acp(3)Ψ)—that is located next to the tRNA P-site and is often lost in human cancers[26].

Recently, *SNORA13* has been reported to negatively regulate ribosome biogenesis by

decreasing the incorporation of RPL23 into maturing 60S subunits, eventually triggering p53-

mediated cellular senescence[27].

Several groups reported different functions of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. It was found to be differentially

expressed across the cell cycle, and its KD affected cell cycle progression and reduced

proliferation in multiple cancer cell lines[28]. Another study implicated *EPB41L4A-AS1* in glucose

metabolism through interactions with HDAC2 and NPM1[29]. Silencing of *EPB41L4A-AS1* led to

HDAC2 translocation into the nucleoplasm, where it binds the *VDAC1* and *VHL* promoter

regions, among others[29], and effects on the expression of additional genes were reported in

other systems as well[30–33]. In human cancers, *EPB41L4A-AS1* is also downregulated and

correlates with a poor prognosis in a variety of cancers, including breast cancer (BRCA), which

we also observed by analyzing the TCGA data (**Fig. S2**), aligning well with the widespread loss

of the m(1)acp(3)Ψ modification. *EPB41L4A* instead is a poorly studied gene, reported to be

involved in predisposition to papillary thyroid carcinoma by modulating the WNT/β-catenin

pathway[34,35], possibly playing a role in connecting the cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane, as

well as playing unspecified roles throughout development[36].

Figure 1. *EPB41L4A-AS1* is a highly expressed lncRNA with a widespread cellular distribution.
(A) Graphical overview of the screen to identify candidate *cis*-acting lncRNAs. **(B)** RT-qPCR to assess the expression of each candidate *cis*-acting lncRNA and their predicted *cis*-regulated target genes, following KD with two unique GapmeRs. **(C)** (Top) Overview of the 5q22.1-2 locus, with the bars highlighting the two TADs. (Bottom) Zoom in on the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus, with tracks for the PhyloP conservation score (UCSC genome browser), H3K4me3 and H3K27ac histone modifications (ENCODE), PolyA+ and Ribo(-) RNA-seq coverage (this study), GENCODE transcripts and CAGE reads (FANTOM5). The location of the GapmeRs used in this study is also reported. **(D)** A representative single-molecule RNA FISH (smFISH) image shows *EPB41L4A-AS1* intra-cellular localization. *GAPDH* and DAPI were used to label the cytoplasm and the nucleus, respectively (scale bar = 20 μm, 100X magnification). **(E)** RT-qPCR analysis of subcellular fractionation experiments. The percentages of RNA in each compartment were obtained by normalizing the expression in the different fractions to that in whole cells. **(F)** UMI-4C contact profiles using baits targeting the TSSes of *STARD4* (left), *EPB41L4A-AS1* (center), or *EPB41L4A* (right). The dotted line represents the center of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus. All experiments were performed in n=3 biological replicates, except UMI-4C with n=2, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. ns = P>0.05; * = P<0.05,**=P<0.01 = **; ***=P<0.001 (two-sided Student's t-test).

EPB41L4A-AS1 is highly expressed in both the nucleus and cytoplasm and is regulated in multiple conditions

Using single-molecule fluorescence *in-situ* hybridization (smFISH) and subcellular fractionation we found that *EPB41L4A-AS1* is expressed at an average of 33.37 ± 3.95 molecule per cell, and displays both nuclear and cytoplasmic localization in MCF-7 cells (**Fig. 1D**), with a minor fraction associated with chromatin as well (**Fig. 1E**). In contrast, both *EPB41L4A* and *SNORA13* were mostly found in the chromatin fraction (**Fig. 1E**), the former possibly due to the length of its pre-mRNA (>250 kb), which would require substantial time to transcribe[37–40]. Coding-Potential Assessment (CPAT)[41] analysis showed the sequence of *EPB41L4A-AS1* has little coding potential. A translated ORF in the first exon of *EPB41L4A-AS1* has been reported to produce a small peptide (TIGA1), which localizes to the mitochondria and induces growth arrest[42] (**Fig. 1C**). Applying CPAT to each exon separately, found evidence for some coding potential only in the first exon that harbors the TIGA1 peptide (**Fig. S3A**). Importantly, analysis of the RNA-seq signal coverage shows that the common *EPB41L4A-AS1* isoform in MCF-7 cells begins downstream of the AUG codon at the start of TIGA1, and TIGA1 doesn't have another in-frame AUG in its sequence. Consistently, the vast majority of CAGE tags at the *EPB41L4A-AS1* are also downstream of the AUG of TIGA1, consistent with the start sites of most isoforms of *EPB41L4A-AS1* annotated in GENCODE (**Fig. 1E**). We therefore conclude that while some fraction of *EPB41L4A-AS1* might produce TIGA1 peptides, most RNA products of this gene in MCF-7 do not produce TIGA1 or other peptides predicted to be functional.

Consistently with a previous study[42], we found a strong upregulation of *EPB41L4A-AS1, EPB41L4A*, and *SNORA13* in MCF-7 cells upon serum starvation (**Fig. S3B**). In contrast with a previous report[28], we did not detect *EPB41L4A-AS1* to be differentially expressed across the cell cycle in MCF-7 cells (**Fig. S3C**). We further explored the effect of different stresses and environmental conditions on *EPB41L4A-AS1* by analyzing its expression in a published dataset

comprising five different cell types exposed to a panel of 50 different substances[43] (**Fig. S3D**),
as well as exposing MCF-7 cells to LPS (bacterial infection), H_2O_2 (oxidative stress),
thapsigargin (ER stress and unfolded protein response) and etoposide (DNA damage) (**Fig.**
S3E). Strikingly, *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression was induced in nearly all conditions, often together
with *EPB41L4A* but not *SNORA13*, suggesting the levels of the snoRNA are typically detached
from that of the lncRNA[44], likely because the latter is substantially more stable (see below).

EPB41L4A-AS1 regulates *EPB41L4A* transcription

We assessed the 3D chromatin architecture around the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus by performing
UMI-4C-seq[45] with baits targeting the lncRNA, *EPB41L4A* and the promoter of *STARD4*—a
flanking gene found ~650 Kb upstream of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* promoter. *EPB41L4A-AS1*
formed contacts with both genes (**Fig. 1F**, middle), with prominent peaks corresponding to the
promoter of *EPB41L4A*. Interestingly, the promoter of *EPB41L4A* displays several contacts with
its own gene body (**Fig. 1F**, right panel), consistent with a "stripe" in HiC data where a single
anchor interacts with the whole topologically associated domain (TAD) at high frequency[46], with
those frequencies reduced to below background levels upstream of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus.
Conversely, contacts from the *STARD4* promoter also show a peak near *EPB41L4A-AS1* and
then reduced below the background (**Fig. 1F**, left panel). These data indicate that *EPB41L4A-*
AS1 is located at a TAD boundary region, forming strong spatial contacts with sites upstream
and downstream, including the promoter of *EPB41L4A*. In agreement with this, *EPB41L4A-AS1*
is located between the 5q22.1 and 5q22.2 chromosomal bands, delimiting two TADs as evident
in both our 4C-seq and Micro-C data from H1 cells (**Fig. 1F** and **2A**). Both *EPB41L4A* and
EPB41L4A-AS1 are characterized by CTCF binding near their promoters and their chromatin is
extensively demarcated with H3K27ac (**Fig. 2A**). A recent study identified this boundary as one
of the most insulated in human embryonic stem cells (hESCs)[47].

Figure 2. *EPB41L4A-AS1* is a *cis*-acting lncRNA affecting genome-wide gene expression. **(A)** (Top) In scale view of the *EPB41L4A-AS1-EPB41L4A* locus, with zoomed areas corresponding to the two TSS. (Middle) CTCF and H3K27ac coverage across this region. (Bottom) Micro-C data in H1-hESCs[48] show continuous contacts throughout the *EPB41L4A* gene body. **(B)** RT-qPCR and **(C)** Western blot for the indicated genes and proteins following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD. **(D)** H3K27ac CUT&RUN-qPCR following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs using primers targeting the promoter of *EPB41L4A*. **(E)** UMI-4C contact profiles in control and LNA1-transfected cells using baits targeting the TSS of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. The green area represents the quantified genomic interval, and the p-value was calculated using a Chi-squared test. **(F)** Changes in gene expression for the genes in the two flanking TADs of the lncRNA in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1*. The vertical dotted lines represent the TAD boundaries (as assessed by TADmap[49]), the continuous vertical line the lncRNA locus and inter-TAD boundary, and the horizontal continuous line a $\log_2$Fold-change equal to 0. The dots represent individual genes, with the significant ones highlighted. **(G)** MA plot showing the changes in genome-wide gene expression in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1*. **(H)** Same as (G), but with GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A*. **(I)** GO enrichment analysis for the upregulated (left) and downregulated (right) genes after *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD. All experiments were performed in n=3 biological replicates, except UMI-4C with n=2, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001 (two-sided Student's t-test). A gene was considered to be differentially expressed if both adjusted P<0.05 and $|\log_2$Fold-change$|$ >0.41 (corresponding to a change of 33%).

We then focused on a possible reciprocal regulation between *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *EPB41L4A*.
EPB41L4A-AS1 KD with antisense GapmerRs reduced the expression of *EPB41L4A* mRNA
and its promoter-associated divergent lncRNA *EPB41L4A-DT* (**Fig. 2B**), as well as the
EPB41L4A protein levels (**Fig. 2C**). CUT&RUN-qPCR of the H3K27ac mark—which is
associated with active promoters and enhancers—showed a reduction of H3K27ac at the
EPB41L4A promoter (**Fig. 2D**). In contrast to the effects of GapmeRs, which mostly act in the
nucleus and on the nascent RNA[50,51], KD of *EPB41L4A-AS1* with siRNAs, which instead mainly
act on the mature RNA in the cytoplasm, did not affect *EPB41L4A* levels (**Fig. S4A**). Levels of
EPB41L4A were not affected by increased expression of *EPB41L4A-AS1* from the endogenous
locus by CRISPR activation (CRISPRa), nor by its exogenous expression from a plasmid (**Fig.
S4B** and **S4C**). The former suggests that endogenous levels of *EPB41L4A-AS1*—that are far
greater than those of *EPB41L4A*—are sufficient to sustain the maximal expression of this target
gene in MCF-7 cells. Moreover, downregulation (**Fig. S4D** and **S4E**) or upregulation (**Fig. S4F**
and **S4G**) of *EPB41L4A* did not have any consistent effects on *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression,
suggesting a unidirectional effect of *EPB41L4A-AS1* transcription of its nascent RNA product on
EPB41L4A. Consistently with a *cis*-acting regulation, the reduced *EPB41L4A* mRNA levels after
EPB41L4A-AS1 knockdown were rescued only after CRISPRa of the endogenous *EPB41L4A-*
AS1 locus (**Fig. S4H** and **S4I**). To study the potential mode of action of this regulation, we
inspected UMI-4C data anchored at the *EPB41L4A-AS1* promoter and found a reduction in the
contact frequency with the *EPB41L4A* promoter upon treatment with *EPB41L4A-AS1*-targeting
GapmeRs, that was statistically significant for LNA1 (**Fig. 2E** and **S4J**). We conclude that the
transcription of the RNA product of *EPB41L4A-AS1* acts unidirectionally and in *cis* to promote

the expression of *EPB41L4A*, possibly by ensuring spatial proximity between the TAD boundary
and the *EPB41L4A* promoter.

Genome-wide transcriptional alterations upon depletion of *EPB41L4A-AS1*

To evaluate the global transcriptional response to *EPB41L4A-AS1* levels, we sequenced
polyadenylated RNA from cells transfected with *EPB41L4A-AS1*-, *EPB41L4A*-targeting, or
control GapmeRs by RNA-seq (**Table S2**). Because LNA1 induced the expression of the stress
responsive gene *CDKN1A* (p21)—a known offtarget effect of some GapmeR[52–54] (**Fig. S5A-C**)
—we have decided to initially sequence only the cells transfected with LNA2. Consistently with
the RT-qPCR data, KD of *EPB41L4A-AS1* reduced *EPB41L4A* expression, and also reduced
expression of several, but not all other genes in the TADs flanking the lncRNA (**Fig. 2F**). Based
on these data, *EPB41L4A-AS1* is a significant *cis*-acting activator according to TransCistor[55]
(P=0.005 using the digital mode). The *cis*-regulated genes reduced by *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD
included *NREP*, a gene important for brain development, whose homolog was downregulated
by genetic manipulations of regions homologous to the lncRNA locus in mice[47]. Depletion of
EPB41L4A-AS1 thus affects several genes in its vicinity.

Downregulation of *EPB41L4A-AS1* led to broad changes in gene expression beyond the
proximal TADs (**Fig. S5D**). A total of 2,364 genes were differentially expressed (1,110 up, 1,254
down, adjusted P<0.05 and |log$_2$Fold-change| >0.41) (**Fig. 2G**), in contrast to only 104 (36 up,
68 down) when *EPB41L4A* was targeted (**Fig. 2H**). A total of 25 (69%) of the upregulated, and
22 (32%) of the downregulated genes after *EPB41L4A* KD were also regulated in the same
direction by the KD of *EPB41L4A-AS1* (**Fig. S5E,** P<10^{-15} for both). In agreement with studies
on *EPB41L4A* function[34,35], these genes were enriched with those functioning in cell-cell
adhesion and cell surface receptor signaling, although with a borderline significance (**Fig. S5F**).
Most of the consequences of the depletion of *EPB41L4A-AS1* are thus not directly explained by
changes in *EPB41L4A* levels. An additional *trans*-acting function for *EPB41L4A-AS1* would
therefore be consistent with its high expression levels compared to most lncRNAs detected in
MCF-7 (**Fig. S5G**). To strengthen these findings, we have transfected MCF-7 cells with LNA1
and a second control GapmeR (NT2), as well as the previous one (NT1) and LNA2, and
sequenced the polyadenylated RNA fraction as before. Notably, the expression levels (in
FPKMs) of the replicates of both control samples are highly correlated with each other (**Fig.
S6A**), and the global transcriptomic changes triggered by the two *EPB41L4A-AS1*-targeting
LNAs are largely concordant (**Fig. S6B** and **S6C**). Because of this concordance and the cleaner
(i.e., no CDKN1A upregulation) readout in LNA2-transfected cells, we focused mainly on these
cells for subsequent analyses.

Several GO terms were found to be enriched among both up- and down-regulated genes
following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD. Upregulated genes were linked to cell-cell adhesion,
inflammatory response and JAK/STAT/ERK pathway, and the downregulated ones to cell cycle,
division and DNA repair, among others (**Fig. 2I**). When considering cellular components, the
downregulated genes were associated with ribonucleoprotein and spliceosomal complexes, as
well as to ribosome subunit and, interestingly, the nucleolus (**Fig. S7A** and **S7B**). Thus, since
EPB41L4A-AS1 is a snoRNA-host gene (SNHG) and snoRNAs typically localize to and act in

the nucleolus, we aimed to investigate whether this lncRNA might interact with some factors that
can explain the observed enrichment in nucleolar-associated genes.

***EPB41L4A-AS1* binds SUB1 and affects the expression of its target genes**

In order to identify potential factors that might be associated with *EPB41L4A-AS1*, we inspected
protein-RNA binding data from the ENCODE eCLIP dataset[56]. The exons of the *EPB41L4A-AS1*
lncRNA were densely and strongly bound by SUB1 (also known as PC4) in both HepG2 and
K562 cells (**Fig. 3A**). SUB1 interacts with all three RNA polymerases and was reported to be
involved in transcription initiation and elongation, response to DNA damage, chromatin
condensation[57–60], telomere maintenance[61,62] and rDNA transcription[63]. SUB1 normally localizes
throughout the nucleus in various cell lines, yet staining experiments show a moderate
enrichment for the nucleolus (source: Human Protein Atlas;
https://www.proteinatlas.org/ENSG00000113387-SUB1/subcellular)[63]. Another nucleolus-
related protein, NPM1, which binds C/D-box snoRNAs and directs 2'-O-methylation of rRNAs[64],
had a single moderately confident binding site within *EPB41L4A-AS1* intron overlapping
SNORA13 (**Fig. 3A**). Interestingly, both *SUB1* and *NPM1* mRNAs were significantly
downregulated following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD (**Fig. 3B**).

Figure 3. SUB1 interacts with *EPB41L4A-AS1* and affects gene expression at the DNA and RNA levels. **(A)** Schematics of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus with tracks depicting the eCLIP peaks for both SUB1 and NPM1 (source: ENCODE). **(B)** Average expression levels (in FPKMs) of *SUB1* and *NPM1* in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting either *EPB41L4A-AS1* or *EPB41L4A*, with the error bars representing the standard deviation across the n = 3 replicates. DESeq2 adjusted P-values compared to control GapmeR are also reported. **(C)** Changes in gene expression upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD of the genes ranked by the SUB1 eCLIP binding confidence. **(D)** Western blot following RIP using either a SUB1 or IgG antibody. TUBULIN was used as a negative control, IN - input, SN - supernatant/unbound, B - bound. **(E)** Same as (C), but with genes ranked by their enrichment in the RIP data (log₂FC RIP / Input). **(F)** Metagene profile around TSS of the normalized SUB1 CUT&RUN signal, stratified by gene expression levels in MCF-7 cells. **(G)** Heatmap of SUB1 reads coverage around the TSSs of all human genes, stratified by gene expression levels in MCF-7 cells. **(H)** Changes in gene expression upon

EPB41L4A-AS1 KD with GapmeRs for genes with and without a high-confidence SUB1 peak in their TSS. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA p-value is also reported. In all cases, ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001.

We hypothesized that loss of *EPB41L4A-AS1* might affect SUB1, either via the reduction in its
expression or by affecting its functions. We stratified SUB1 eCLIP targets into confidence
intervals, based on the number, strength and confidence of the reported binding sites. Indeed,
eCLIP targets of SUB1 (from HepG2 cells profiled by ENCODE) were significantly
downregulated following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD in MCF-7, with more confident targets
experiencing stronger downregulation (**Fig. 3C**). Importantly, this still holds true when controlling
for gene expression levels (**Fig. S7C**), suggesting that this negative trend is not due to
differences in their baseline expression. To obtain SUB1-associated transcripts in MCF-7 cells,
we performed a native RNA immunoprecipitation followed by sequencing of polyA+ RNAs (RIP-
seq) (**Fig. 3D**, **S7D** and **S7E**). As expected, *EPB41L4A-AS1* precipitated with SUB1, as did
other top hits from the eCLIP data (**Fig. S7D**). A total of 1,470 genes were enriched in the IP
fraction (adjusted P<0.05 and |$\log_2$Fold-change| >0.41) (**Fig. S7E**), and our MCF-7 RIP data
was in agreement with that of the eCLIP in HepG2 cells (**Fig. S7F**). Similarly to what was done
with the eCLIP data, we divided the RIP-defined targets into confidence intervals based on the
significance and overall enrichment in the IP fraction. Strikingly, SUB1-associated transcripts
were downregulated upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD (**Fig. 3E**), similar to what was observed for the
eCLIP-based targets. Since SUB1 is also a transcriptional regulator associated with chromatin,
we performed CUT&RUN to uncover the genome-wide chromatin occupancy of SUB1 in MCF-7
cells (**Table S3**). SUB1 binding was found to be enriched around TSSs of active genes (**Fig. 3F**
and **3G**), and among its 835 high-confidence genomic peaks, 418 (~50%) overlapped with the
promoters of 411 genes. Of these genes, transcripts from only 56 were also bound by SUB1 at
the RNA level, suggesting that SUB1 largely targets distinct gene sets at the DNA and RNA
levels. Genes with promoter occupancy of SUB1 were enriched for biological processes related
to genome maintenance, stress response, and nuclear transport (**Fig. S7G**), which is in line with
previous studies[57–60]. These genes were also slightly, yet highly significantly downregulated
following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD (**Fig. 3H**). Taken together, *EPB41L4A-AS1* interacts with SUB1
and its KD led to both a decrease in SUB1 mRNA level and the concomitant downregulation of
SUB1 targets on chromatin and at the RNA level, positioning this lncRNA as a modulator of
SUB1 activity.

Global de-regulation of snoRNAs and histone mRNA expression upon loss of *EPB41L4A-
AS1*

Since many classes of noncoding RNAs—including those localizing to the nucleolus, such as
snoRNAs—are not polyadenylated, we next sequenced total rRNA-depleted RNAs from
EPB41L4A-AS1 KD and control MCF-7 cells. As expected, using this approach we could
quantify various RNA species lacking polyA tails, such as small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs),

snoRNAs and histone mRNAs (**Fig. 4A**), and could also better quantify intronic expression.
Consistent with a *cis*-acting regulation at the transcriptional level, both the exonic and intronic
reads of *EPB41L4A* were significantly reduced after *EPB41L4A-AS1* downregulation (**Fig. S8A**).
When considering different transcript classes, we observed a marked upregulation of most
snRNAs and snoRNAs and a significant downregulation of histone mRNAs (**Fig. 4A**). To
quantity SNHG de-regulation at higher resolution, we created a custom annotation in which we
divided each SNHG into exonic regions, the snoRNA-encoding region, regions in the snoRNA-
containing introns that are found upstream ('pre-') and downstream ('post-') of the snoRNA, and
other snoRNA-less introns ('Other') of the SNHG transcripts, while considering the various
isoforms annotated for each SNHG (see Methods) (**Fig. 4B**). We then quantified the levels of
each region in control and KD conditions. Intriguingly, while SNHG exons and unrelated introns
were not affected by *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD, read coverage was increased over both the snoRNAs
and the flanking intronic regions (**Fig. 4C**). These changes were shared among all the snoRNA
classes (**Fig. S8B**) and were concordant within individual introns when both (pre- and post-) had
enough coverage to be quantified (**Fig. S8C**). Notably, the effect sizes of these changes were
small, and they were not evident when plotting metagenes of these regions—that show the
median coverage level—which is close to zero even in rRNA-depleted data (**Fig. S8D**),
therefore suggesting that snoRNA processing remains an efficient process even in cells
depleted of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. Therefore, most of the effect we observe is likely in the increased
accumulation of the mature and stable snoRNAs, eventually hinting that *EPB41L4A-AS1*
perturbations specifically affect the snoRNA production and/or stability rather than SNHG
transcription.

To test if the effects on snoRNA biogenesis are related to the changes in SUB1 expression
and/or activity, we sequenced the rRNA-depleted RNA from MCF-7 cells in which *SUB1* was
depleted by siRNAs (**Fig. S8E** and **S8F**). We identified a total of 243 upregulated and 154
downregulated genes (**Fig. S8G**), of which 41 (~17%) and 74 (~48%) were concordantly
affected by *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion, and the magnitudes of the genome-wide responses were
moderately, but significantly correlated (Spearman's R = 0.138, P<10^{-15}). Strikingly, *SUB1* KD
led to a similar increase in snoRNA levels and a decrease in histone mRNAs (**Fig. 4D, 4E** and
S8H). Interestingly, the changes in snoRNAs expression were highly concordant with those
driven by the KD of *EPB41L4A-AS1* (**Fig. 4F**, R = 0.383) and intronic regions flanking the
snoRNAs were also increased, suggesting that *EPB41L4A-AS1* and SUB1 affect the
abundance of the same pool of snoRNAs in MCF-7 cells through likely a shared mechanism.

Lastly, we tested whether the effects of *EPB41L4A-AS1* perturbation could be a generic
outcome of a noncoding SNHG (ncSNHG) perturbation. To this end, we used GapmeRs to
deplete *GAS5*, another abundant SNHG which encodes 11 snoRNAs within its introns (**Fig.
S9A** and **S9B**). As expected from the various functions associated with this ncSNHG[65–73], *GAS5*
KD led to substantial changes in gene expression (**Fig. S9C**), including a marked suppression
of histone mRNA transcription, in line with its anti-proliferative function (**Fig. S9D**). However,
snoRNAs or their host introns were largely unaffected (**Fig. S9D**-**F**), suggesting that the effect of
EPB41L4A-AS1 on snoRNA expression is not a common feature of ncSNHGs.

Figure 4. *EPB41L4A-AS1* and SUB1 depletion results in a widespread accumulation of mature snoRNAs. **(A)** Changes in gene expression upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs of the indicated RNA classes. **(B)** Schematics depicting the different regions which were separately quantified in each

SNHG. **(C)** Changes in RNA-seq read coverage in different regions upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs. **(D)** As in (B) for *SUB1* KD with siRNAs of the indicated RNA classes. **(E)** as in (C) for *SUB1* KD. **(F)** Correspondence between changes in snoRNAs expression after *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *SUB1* KD. The color indicates the different snoRNA classes, and Spearman's correlation coefficient is shown. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA P-value is also reported.

Depletion of *EPB41L4A-AS1* affects SUB1 distribution in the nucleus

The results described so far point to *EPB41L4A-AS1* being important for SUB1 function. To test
whether *EPB41L4A-AS1* is important for its proper intracellular distribution, we immunostained
for SUB1 and NPM1 in MCF-7 cells transfected with either control or *EPB41L4A-AS1*-targeting
GapmeRs. A substantial change in subcellular localization could be observed for both proteins
(**Fig. 5A** and **5B**). Specifically, SUB1 normally displays a pan-nuclear localization, sometimes
slightly enriching into DAPI-low areas corresponding to the nucleoli (**Fig. 5A** and **5C**). Upon
EPB41L4A-AS1 depletion, SUB1 shifts towards a stronger nucleolar localization while retaining
a presence throughout the nucleus. NPM1 instead regularly shows enrichment inside the
nucleoli; however, in GapmeR-transfected cells, this is accompanied by the appearance of
globular aggregates within the nucleus (**Fig. 5B** and **5D**), reminiscent of the previously reported
nucleolar damage/stress response[74,75].

Figure 5. Loss of *EPB41L4A-AS1* results in an altered nuclear patterning of SUB1 and NPM1. **(A)** Representative immunofluorescence images for SUB1 after *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion with two distinct GapmeRs (scale bar = 20 μm, 100X magnification). **(B)** Same as in (A), but for NPM1. **(C)** Quantification of the kurtosis of SUB1 nuclear signal in the indicated conditions. **(D)** Same as in (C), but for NPM1. **(E)** Representative immunofluorescence images for SUB1 after *SUB1* KD with siRNAs

(scale bar = 20 μm, 60X magnification). **(F)** Same as in (E), but for NPM1. **(G)** Same as in (D), but after *SUB1* depletion. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA P-value is also reported. In each boxplot, points represent individual measurements (cell nuclei).

To test if the observed patterns of changes in SUB1 and NPM1 distribution are triggered by
nucleolar stress, we exposed MCF-7 cells to CX-5461, an RNA polymerase I inhibitor that is
currently being evaluated as a therapeutic agent for solid tumors with DNA repair deficiencies[76–
79]. This led to a similar NPM1 pattern as that triggered by *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion as early as
1h after treatment with the higher dose, and the change was more pronounced in later time
points (**Fig. S10A** and **S10B**). SUB1 localization also changed, but only at the last time point
(24hrs) (**Fig. S10C** and **S10D**). Lastly, we tested whether SUB1 depletion (**Fig. 5E**) could affect
NPM1 distribution, and indeed *SUB1* KD via siRNAs made NPM1 assume a similar pattern to
that caused by *EPB41L4A-AS1* loss (**Fig. 5F** and **5G**), suggesting that SUB1 acts upstream of
NPM1 and this lncRNA might modulate this regulation.

EPB41L4A-AS1 perturbations do not affect steady-state levels of SNORA13

A recent study reported an independent function for *SNORA13*, the snoRNA hosted by
EPB41L4A-AS1 in ribosomal assembly and prevention of nucleolar stress in BJ fibroblasts and
mice[27]. We therefore wondered if the effects we observe in our experimental system result from
changes in expression levels of this snoRNA. *SNORA13* levels did not significantly change in
RT-qPCR analysis of perturbed cells (**Fig. 2B**), or in rRNA-depleted RNA-seq data (**Fig. 6A**).
We also performed a Northern blot for *SNORA13*, which also did not indicate changes in
expression level or changes in the RNA size of *SNORA13* upon GapmeR-mediated depletion of
EPB41L4A-AS1 (**Fig. 6B**). To complement these data, we assessed the levels of the
18S:1248m^{1}acp^{3}Ψ modification, which includes a pseudouridylation guided by *SNORA13*. We
used an aminocarboxyl propyl reverse transcription (aRT)-PCR assay as previously described[26],
and found no difference in HinfI cleavage between control cells and those transfected with either
EPB41L4A-AS1- or *EPB41L4A*-targeting GapmeRs (**Fig. 6C**), suggesting that the MACP
modification is not significantly affected as well. In addition, variant calling at 18S:1248
exploiting the residual rRNA reads in our polyA+ RNA-seq dataset revealed no distinction in
allele frequency between control and transfected cells (**Fig. 6D**), concordant with the aRT-PCR
results. In conclusion, we show that in our experimental system—MCF-7 cells transiently
transfected with GapmeRs targeting its host—we do not observe changes in steady state
SNORA13 levels nor abundance of the only known RNA modification driven by this snoRNA.
Therefore, we postulate that the observed endophenotypes are likely due to changes in the
EPB41L4A-AS1 lncRNA levels and its cooperation with SUB1.

Figure 6. *EPB41L4A-AS1* but not the expression of *SNORA13* is required for proper snoRNAs expression. **(A)** Average expression levels (in FPKM) of *SNORA13* in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1* (rRNA-depleted RNA-seq), with the error bars representing the standard

deviation across the three replicates. DESeq2 adjusted P-values compared to control GapmeR are also reported. **(B)** Northern blot for *SNORA13* in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1*. *U6* was used as a loading control, and total RNA stain is also shown on top. **(C)** Schematics of the expected fragment sizes following HinfI digestion in the aRT-PCR assay (left), and agarose gel following HinfI digestion of the PCR-amplified *18S* rRNA in cells transfected with GapmeRs targeting either *EPB41L4A-AS1* or *EPB41L4A* (right). No enzyme and genomic DNA (gDNA) were used as negative controls. **(D)** Allele frequency at 18S:1248 in the polyA+ RNA-seq dataset, using rRNA reads. **(E)** UCSC Genome Browser view of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus, with tracks showing the rRNA-depleted RNA-seq coverage of *EPB41L4A-AS1* OE and control cells. **(F)** RT-qPCR for the indicated genes upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs (right) or overexpression with a full-length unspliced vector (left) over the course of three days post transfection. **(G)** RT-qPCR for the indicated snoRNAs upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs over the course of three days post transfection. **(H)** Schematics of the different *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *SNORA13* overexpressing vectors used in the rescue experiments. **(I)** The ratio between changes in gene expression detected by RT-qPCR in cells transfected with an *EPB41L4A-AS1* or *SNORA13* overexpressing vector vs control cells. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots and forest plots representing the standard deviation. In all cases, ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001 (two-sided Student's t-test).

A full-length, intron- and *SNORA13*-containing *EPB41L4A-AS1* is required to partially rescue snoRNA expression

We reasoned that if *EPB41L4A-AS1* indeed regulates snoRNA expression, this function likely
occurs in *trans*, because none of the genes in the vicinity of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus are
known to be involved in snoRNA biogenesis or stability. To test this, we performed rescue
experiments in which we co-transfected lncRNA-targeting GapmeRs with different GapmeR-
resistant *EPB41L4A-AS1* variants expressed from a plasmid driven by a constitutive CMV
promoter. We confirmed that the transfected vector is well spliced (**Fig. 6E**), and results in an
increase in both *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *SNORA13* expression (**Fig. 6F**, left).

We then assessed both KD dynamics and the abundance of snoRNAs selected based on their
sensitivity to *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD in the RNA-seq data at 24, 48 and 72 hours after transfection.
EPB41L4A expression decreased concomitantly with that of *EPB41L4A-AS1*, in line with the
transcription of the lncRNA regulating this gene in *cis* (**Fig. 6F**, right). The expression of most
EPB41L4A-AS1-sensitive snoRNAs increased as early as 24 hours after transfection, and they
generally remained upregulated throughout the next two days (**Fig. 6G**). We then designed four
different *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression vectors to attempt a rescue: 1) full length, including introns;
2) full length, including introns but without *SNORA13* (ΔSNORA13); 3) full length, including
introns but with either the H or ACA *SNORA13* boxes deleted (ΔH and ΔACA); and 4)
SNORA13 alone (**Fig. 6H**). We then compared gene expression between cells transfected with
the overexpressing vectors (OE) or an empty control (EV). We were able to obtain a partial
rescue of snoRNA expression only when co-transfecting the full length *EPB41L4A-AS1*, and not
any other vector not expressing any or just a mutated *SNORA13* (**Fig. 6I**), indicating that the
SNORA13 sequence is involved in the process. However, the expression of a stand-alone
SNORA13, using the expression vector used and validated previously[27], was incapable to drive

any rescue of the snoRNAs abundance (**Fig. 6I**). This suggests that the entire *EPB41L4A-AS1*
transcript is required to counteract *EPB41L4A-AS1* knockdown, most likely because the intact
SNORA13 sequence is necessary to undergo the characteristic processing steps of a SNHG.

EPB41L4A-AS1 affects RNA metabolism of both the genes found in _cis_ and of snoRNAs

To distinguish between the effects of *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion on RNA synthesis and RNA
decay, we performed SLAM-seq[80] using ribo-depleted total RNA in *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD cells
(**Table S4**). Following 48 hours after control or *EPB41L4A-AS1*–targeting GapmeRs
transfection, we collected RNA at 0, 4, 8 and 24 hours post-addition of 4sU to the media, while
replenishing fresh 4sU at each time point (see Methods) (**Fig. 7A**). *EPB41L4A* showed
decreased synthesis rate and unchanged half-life (**Fig. 7B**), as expected from a gene regulated
at the level of transcription. As a group, genes upregulated after *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD in the
regular steady-state RNA-seq data had substantially higher synthesis rates, and those
downregulated had lower synthesis rates, when compared to unchanged ones ($P=3.25 \times 10^{-14}$ for
the up-regulated genes and $P=0.002$ for the down-regulated genes, Mann-Whitney test, **Fig.
7C**), whereas only a minor difference was observed in terms of half-lives ($P=0.011$ for the up-
regulated genes and $P=1.82 \times 10^{-8}$ for the down-regulated genes, Mann-Whitney test, **Fig. 7D**).
This suggests that the *trans*-regulated genes are also mostly regulated at the level of their
transcription. Additionally, when comparing the effects across genes in the two neighboring
TADs ("Cis"), other TADs on the same chromosome ("Chr5"), or other chromosomes ("Trans"),
the genes proximal to *EPB41L4A-AS1* specifically exhibit a reduced synthesis rate compared to
the others (**Fig. S11A**), but no changes in their half-lives (**Fig. S11B**).

Compared to their hosts and other genes, snoRNAs were, as expected, relatively stable in both
KD and control conditions (**Fig. 7E** and **7F**), while the intronic regions exhibited high turnover.
When comparing *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD to the control GapmeRs, a slight increase in the synthesis
rates of different parts of SNHGs was observed. The snoRNA half-life is difficult to measure
accurately due to their relative stability and their short length, which means that the number of
incorporated 4sU that can be detected is much lower than that in longer transcripts. With these
caveats in mind, there appeared to be a relative increase in snoRNA stability compared to a
reduction observed in other genes and other parts of the SNHGs (**Fig. 7G** and **7H**). Altogether,
this indicates that the increase of snoRNAs level driven by *EPB41L4A-AS1* knockdown is
primarily due to the increased transcription of their hosts, with a possible additional component
of relative stabilization.

Figure 7. The increased abundance of snoRNAs is primarily due to their hosts' increased transcription and stability. **(A)** Workflow of the SLAM-seq experiment. MCF-7 cells were transfected with the indicated GapmeRs for 48 hours, after which the media was replaced with media containing 4sU and the cells were harvested at different time points. **(B)** Fitted model depicting the synthesis and decay rates of the *EPB41L4A* mRNA upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs. **(C)** Changes in synthesis rate upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs for the indicated group of genes. **(D)** Same as in (C), but for changes in half lives. **(E)** Half lives in control conditions of the indicated SNHG regions as described in Fig. 4B. P-values refer to the comparison with the unrelated genes. **(F)** Same as in (E), but after *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with LNA2. **(G)** Changes in synthesis rate upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs for the indicated SNHG regions. **(H)** Same as in (G), but for changes in half lives. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA P-value is also reported. In all cases, ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001.

EPB41L4A-AS1 loss affects cell proliferation and invasion

Lastly, we aimed to assess the phenotypic consequences of *EPB41L4A-AS1* loss. As
mentioned above, the genes affected by the KD were found to be enriched for GO terms related
to cell adhesion, movement and division (**Fig. 2I**). Thus, we measured cell migration and
proliferation by performing wound healing and cell counting assays in cells with reduced
EPB41L4A-AS1 levels. Cells transfected with *EPB41L4A-AS1* targeting GapmeRs exhibited
significantly higher migration rates, compared to controls (**Fig. 8A** and **8B**), with cells bordering
the wounded region displaying a flattened and translucent morphology (**Fig. 8A**). This higher
migration is not due to higher cellular proliferation/division, as cells with reduced lncRNA levels
proliferate less (**Fig. 8C**), and this deficiency could be rescued by co-transfecting a vector
encoding the GapmeR-resistant, full-length *EPB41L4A-AS1* (**Fig. 8C**), suggesting that this
modulation takes place in *trans*.

These findings suggest that proper *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression is required for cellular
proliferation, whereas its deficiency results in the onset of more aggressive and migratory
behavior, likely linked to the increase of the gene signature of epithelial to mesenchymal
transition (EMT) (**Fig. 8D**). Because EMT is not characterized by a unique gene expression
program and rather involves distinct and partially overlapping gene signatures[81], we checked
the expression level of marker genes linked to different types of EMTs (**Fig. 8E**). The most
upregulated gene in Fig. 8D is *TIMP3*, a matrix metallopeptidase inhibitor associated with a
particular EMT signature that is less invasive and more profibrotic (EMT-T2)[81]. Interestingly, we
observed a stark upregulation of other genes linked to EMT-T2, such as *TIMP1*, *FOSB*, *SOX9*,
JUNB, *JUN* and *KLF4*, whereas *MPP* genes (linked to EMT-T1, which is highly proteolytic and
invasive) are generally downregulated or not expressed. This suggests that the downregulation
of *EPB41L4A-AS1* is primarily linked to a specific EMT program (EMT-T2), and future studies
aimed at uncovering the exact mechanisms and relevance will shed light upon a possible
therapeutic potential of this lncRNA.

Figure 8. Cells with reduced *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression display reduced proliferation and increased invasion capacity. **(A)** Representative brightfield images of the wound at the indicated time

points and conditions. **(B)** Wound area (top-left), normalized wound area (top-right), closure percentage (bottom-left) and migration rate (bottom-right) at the indicated time points and conditions. The significance was calculated by a two-sided Student's t-test. **(C)** Growth curve of the cells in the indicated conditions over the course of three days. The significance was calculated by a two-sided Student's t-test. **(D)** Changes in gene expression of the EMT signature genes (from MSigDB) after KD with GapmeRs targeting either *EPB41L4A-AS1* or *EPB41L4A* (polyA+ RNA-seq data). The significance of the comparison was computed by a Mann-Whitney test. **(E)** Average expression levels (in FPKMs) following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with GapmeRs of selected genes that have been previously linked to EMT, with the error bars representing the standard deviation across the n = 3 replicates. DESeq2 adjusted P-values compared to control GapmeR are also reported. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. The error bars in the barplots represent the standard deviation. In the boxplot, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points. The points in the boxplot represent individual genes, and their color whether they were found to be significantly (adjusted P<0.05 and |log$_2$Fold-change| >0.41) dysregulated (red) or not (black). In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test).

Discussion

We show here that the *EPB41L4A-AS1* gene locus harbors a pleiotropic gene that, within a
single biological system—MCF-7 breast cancer cells—performs diverse functions (**Fig. 9**). At
the site of its own transcription, which overlaps a strong TAD boundary, *EPB41L4A-AS1* is
required to maintain expression of several adjacent genes, which are regulated at the level of
transcription. Strikingly, the promoter of *EPB41L4A-AS1* ranks in the 99.8th percentile of the
strongest TAD boundaries in human H1 embryonic stem cells[47,82]. It features several CTCF
binding sites (**Fig. 2A**), and in MCF-7 cells, we demonstrate that it blocks the propagation of the
4C signal between the two flanking TADSs (**Fig. 1F**). Future studies will help elucidate how
EPB41L4A-AS1 transcription and/or the RNA product regulate this boundary. So far, we found
that *EPB41L4A-AS1* did not affect CTCF binding to the boundary, and while some peaks in the
vicinity of *EPB41L4A-AS1* were significantly affected by its loss, they did not appear to be found
near genes that were dysregulated by its KD (**Fig. S11C**). We also found that the KD of
EPB41L4A-AS1 for 72 hours—which depletes the RNA product, but may also affect the nascent
RNA transcription[53,54]—reduces the spatial contacts between the TAD boundary and the
EPB41L4A promoter (**Fig. 2E** and **Fig. S4J**). Further elucidation of the exact functional entity
needed for the *cis*-acting regulation will require detailed genetic perturbations of the locus, that
are difficult to carry out in the polypoid MCF-7 cells, without affecting other functional elements
of this locus or cell survival as we were unable to generate deletion clones despite several
attempts.

Figure 9. Proposed model of the *cis* and *trans* functions of the *EPB41L4A-AS1* lncRNA. Under normal conditions, the *EPB41L4A-AS1* locus is transcribed and ensures the proper expression of several genes located in *cis*. In *trans*, it interacts with SUB1 which eventually is evenly distributed throughout the nucleus. When there are reduced levels of *EPB41L4A-AS1*, the expression of the genes in *cis* is reduced, and SUB1 accumulates in the nucleolus. As a consequence, snoRNAs abundance increases, likely due to their increased stability, and MCF-7 cells acquire a more invasive *in vitro* phenotype. Created with Biorender.

A recent study found that *SNORA13* negatively regulates ribosome biogenesis in TERT-
immortalized human fibroblasts (BJ-HRAS[G12V]), by decreasing the incorporation of RPL23 into
the maturing 60S ribosomal subunits, eventually triggering p53-mediated cellular senescence[27].
In their experimental setting, *SNORA13* was constitutively reduced by either CRISPRi of the
EPB41L4A-AS1 locus for 7 days or its KO leaving the lncRNA sequence and expression
unaltered, whereas our approach relies solely on transient knockdown for 48–72hrs that does not
appreciably affect *SNORA13* (**Fig. 1B, 2B, 6A** and **6B**), or the levels of the RNA modification that
it guides (**Fig. 6C** and **6D**). Therefore, while both studies implicate *EPB41L4A-AS1* in nucleolar
biology and its perturbation in nucleolar stress, there is an apparent discrepancy between our

results on the role that *SNORA13* plays. In our hands, the differential expression of snoRNAs and
cell proliferation can be rescued by the expression of *EPB41L4A-AS1* with the snoRNA, and not
by separate expression of *SNORA13*, suggesting the snoRNA alone is not playing a central role
in the observed phenotypes. Also, the study by Cheng et al. showed that activity of the p53
pathway was less active in *SNORA13* knockout cells, with or without induction of oncogenic
stress, whereas we see an opposite trend of some up-regulation of the p53 pathway upon
transient *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD (**Fig. S11D**). We cannot however completely rule out potential
contributions of this snoRNA to the phenotypes observed in this study. For instance, the
phenotype of snoRNAs expression is partially rescued by *EPB41L4A-AS1* overexpression in *trans*
only when a full-length, unspliced and *SNORA13*-containing vector is used, and not when the
snoRNA is deleted, mutated or expressed alone (**Fig. 6I**). This would suggest that the processing
of *EPB41L4A-AS1* is involved in its mode of action, although further experiments will be needed
to address whether *SNORA13* or its DNA sequence are indeed required to regulate the
expression of the snoRNAs. We note that compensatory effects are possible in cells that grow for
extended periods of time without *SNORA13*.

Beyond its site of transcription, *EPB41L4A-AS1* associates with SUB1, an abundant protein
linked to various functions, and these two players are required for the proper distribution of at
least two nuclear proteins. Their dysregulation results in large-scale changes in gene
expression, including up-regulation of snoRNA expression, mostly through increased
transcription of their hosts, and possibly through a somewhat impaired snoRNA processing
and/or stability. To further hinder our efforts in discerning between these two possibilities, the
exact molecular pathways involved in snoRNAs biogenesis, maturation and decay are still not
completely understood. One of the genes that most consistently was affected by *EPB41L4A-*
AS1 KD with GapmeRs is *MTREX* (also known as *MTR4*), that becomes downregulated at both
the RNA and protein levels (**Fig. S12A-C**). Interestingly, MTREX it is part of the NEXT and
PAXT complexes[83], that target several short-lived RNAs for degradation, and the depletion of
either *MTREX* or other complex members leads to the upregulation of such RNAs, that include
PROMPTs, uaRNAs and eRNAs, among others. It is therefore tempting to hypothesize a role
for *MTREX*-containing complexes in trimming and degrading those introns, and releasing the
mature snoRNAs. Future studies specifically aimed at uncovering novel players in mammalian
snoRNA biology will both conclusively elucidate whether *MTREX* is indeed involved in these
processes.

Several features of the response to *EPB41L4A-AS1* resemble nucleolar stress, including altered
distribution of NPM1[74,75]. SUB1 was shown to be involved in many nuclear processes, including
transcription[58], DNA damage response[84,85], telomere maintenance[61], and nucleolar processes
including rRNA biogenesis[63,86]. Our results suggest a complex and multi-faceted relationship
between *EPB41L4A-AS1* and SUB1, as *SUB1* mRNA levels are reduced by the transient (72
hours) KD of the lncRNA (**Fig. 3B**), the distribution of the protein in the nucleus is altered (**Fig.**
5A and **5C**), while the protein itself is the most prominent binder of the mature *EPB41L4A-AS1*
in ENCODE eCLIP data (**Fig. 3A**). The most striking connection between *EPB41L4A-AS1* and
SUB1 is the similar phenotype triggered by their loss (**Fig. 4**). We note that a recent study has
shown that SUB1 is required for Pol I-mediated rDNA transcription in the nucleolus[63]. In the
presence of nucleolar SUB1, rDNA transcription proceeds as expected, but when SUB1 is

depleted or its nucleolar localization is affected—by either sodium butyrate treatment or
inhibition of KAT5-mediated phosphorylation at its lysine 35 (K35)—the levels of the 47S pre-
rRNA are significantly reduced. In our settings, SUB1 enriches into the nucleolus following
EPB41L4A-AS1 KD; thus, we might expect to see a slightly increased rDNA transcription or no
effect at all, given that SUB1 localizes in the nucleolus in baseline conditions as well. It is
however difficult to determine which of the connections between these two genes is the most
functionally relevant and which may be indirect and/or feedback interactions. For example, it is
possible that *EPB41L4A-AS1* primarily acts as a transcriptional regulator of *SUB1* mRNA, or
that its RNA product is required for proper stability and/or localization of the SUB1 protein, or
that *EPB41L4A-AS1* acts as a scaffold for the formation of protein-protein interactions in which
SUB1 is involved.

In the context of cancer, a systematic study of TCGA data, which is consistent with our analysis
(**Fig. S2**) found that *EPB41L4A-AS1* is downregulated in tumors compared to tumor-adjacent
normal tissues[29], in accordance with both the downregulation of the TIGA1 protein[29] and the
reduction in the *SNORA13*-guided rRNA modification[26]. The association with poor prognosis[29] is
consistent with the observed increased invasiveness that we find in MCF-7 cells. Effects of
EPB41L4A-AS1 on migration were also reported in colorectal cancer cells[32]. The notion that
cells with reduced *EPB41L4A-AS1* levels exhibit lower proliferation (**Fig. 8C**), yet increased
invasion (**Fig. 8A** and **8B**) is compatible with a function as an oncogene by promoting EMT (**Fig.**
8D and **8E**). Cells undergoing this process may reduce or even completely halt proliferation/cell
division, until they revert back to an epithelial state[87,88]. Notably, downregulated genes following
EPB41L4A-AS1 KD are enriched in GO terms related to cell proliferation and cell cycle
progression (**Fig. 2I**), whereas those upregulated for terms linked to EMT processes. Thus,
while we cannot rule out a potential function as tumor suppressor gene, our data better fits the
idea that this lncRNA promotes invasion, and thus, primarily functions as an oncogene.

We note that this is not the first instance in which this lncRNA has been investigated. Several
recent studies have described the functions of *EPB41L4A-AS1* in various biological systems,
highlighting its dysregulation in cancer[29,32,89], diabetes[31], aging[90,91], and Alzheimer's disease
(AD)[91]. Both p53 and PGC-1α were shown to act upstream of *EPB41L4A-AS1* and regulate its
expression[29]. In these different systems, different pathways were described as regulated by this
lncRNA, including glycolysis, glutamine metabolism[29], and autophagy[91]. Mechanistically, it was
reported that this lncRNA regulates gene expression via its association with and upregulation of
KAT2A (GCN5/GNC5L2)[31,91]. These prior studies generally relied on candidate-gene or co-
expression approaches to identify the genes regulated by *EPB41L4A-AS1*, and did not
systematically examine its *cis*- and *trans*-acting effects, with the exception of a *cis*-acting
regulation, that was briefly hinted in a preprint posted four years ago[92], but not published so far
to the best of our knowledge. These studies also did not systematically investigate the *trans*-
regulated targets of *EPB41L4A-AS1*, did not separately deplete the nascent and the mature
forms of the lncRNA, and did not attempt to decouple its functions from those of *SNORA13*.

Methods

<u>Cell culture, transfection and treatments</u>

MCF-7 cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 4.5 g/L of glucose, 4 mM L-Glutamine, 10% FBS, 1% PenStrep solution, grown in incubators maintained at 37°C with 5% CO_2, and were detached for passaging using a Trypsin (0.05%) – EDTA (0.02%) solution. DNA plasmid, siRNAs (final concentration 25 nM) and GapmeRs (50 nM) transfections were performed by using Lipofectamine 3000 (ThermoFisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's protocol protocol. In all cases, cells were plated the day before the transfection in an antibiotic-free medium which was subsequently changed prior to adding the transfection mix, and again after 24 hr to maximize cell viability. All transfections were carried out for 72 hours, unless specified otherwise. The complete list with the sequences of both siRNAs and LNA/GapmeRs is reported in **Table S5**.

Cell synchronization was performed using a standard double-thymidine block. Briefly, thymidine (Sigma) was added to the culture medium at a final concentration of 2 mM, and the cells were incubated for 18 hours. The medium was then replaced with standard complete medium for 9 hours, followed by the addition of fresh thymidine-containing medium. After an additional 15 hourS, the medium was replaced with standard complete medium to release the cells from the G1/S boundary into the S phase.

Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) was purchased from Sigma, diluted in DNase/RNase-free H_2O and added to the cell culture media at the indicated final concentrations. Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) was purchased from Sigma and added directly to the cell culture media at the indicated final concentrations. Thapsigargin and Etoposide (Sigma) were diluted in tissue culture-grade DMSO and added to the cell culture media at the indicated final concentrations. Cells were cultured with these stressors for a total of three days, and cells supplemented with an equal volume of the respective solvent were used as controls. CX-5461 (Sigma) was diluted in sterile-filtered 50 mM NaH_2PO_4 at a stock concentration of 10mM and added to the cell culture media at a final concentration of 0.5, 1 or 5 μM. As a control, cells were supplemented with an equal volume of 50 mM NaH_2PO_4.

<u>Plasmids construction</u>

The sequence corresponding to the cDNA of human *EPB41L4A-AS1* (ENST00000688370.2_3) was synthesized and cloned by Hylabs inside a pcDNA3.1(+) vector. The vector to express the *EPB41L4A* ORF (NM_022140.5) was purchased from Genecopoeia (EX-A8889-Lv201). The vector expressing a full length and unspliced *EPB41L4A-AS1* (pLV[ncRNA]-Puro-CMV) was purchased from Vectorbuilder, and the target site of LNA2 was mutated by introducing four mismatches (AACTTAAAAGCAGCGT → A<u>C</u>CTTA<u>G</u>AAG<u>T</u>AG<u>A</u>GT) via restriction-free cloning.

To generate the Δ*SNORA13*, ΔH, and ΔACA vectors, we ordered *EPB41L4A-AS1* gene
fragments with the desired mutations from TWIST. These fragments corresponded to the
sequence between the unique SalI and MluI restriction sites and were inserted via restriction
digestion. The pLKO.1 *SNORA13* plasmid[27] was a gift from Prof. Mendell (UT Southwestern).
All gRNAs were ordered as single-stranded oligos (Sigma) and cloned inside pKLV-Puro
vectors as previously described[93]. The complete list of gRNAs and their sequences is available
in **Table S5.** All plasmids were sent for Sanger and whole plasmid (Plasmidsaurus) sequencing
prior to use.

<u>Wound healing assay and analysis</u>

Wound healing experiments were carried out as previously described[94]. To perform the wound
healing assay, we employed the μ-Plate 24 Well system (Ibidi). Specifically, we transfected the
targeting and control GapmeRs via reverse transfection, diluting the transfection mixes directly
into the cell mixtures while seeding the cells. After reaching confluence overnight (O/N), the
culture inserts were gently removed with sterile forceps and the wells were washed with 1X
PBS. Brightfield images were taken using an EVOS Cell Imaging System (Thermo Fisher
Scientific) at different time points. Data analysis was then performed using the
Wound_healing_size_tool[95] plugin for ImageJ, which calculates the total (μm^2) and percentage
(%) of the wounded area. From these data, we calculated the rate of cell migration (R_M) as:

$$R_M = \frac{W_i - W_t}{t}$$

616   Where W_i represents the initial average wound width, and W_t is the average wound width at a
617   given time t. Additionally, we derived the percentage of wound closure defined as:

$$Wound\ Closure\ (\%) = \frac{A_{t=0} - A_{t=\Delta t}}{A_{t=0}}$$

With $A_{t=0}$ and $A_{t=\Delta t}$ being the wounded area (in μm^2) at the beginning and after time t,
respectively.

<u>Gene expression analysis</u>

RNA was extracted by using TRI-Reagent as previously described[94], according to the
manufacturer's instructions. The isolated RNA was then resuspended in DNase/RNase-free
H_2O and DNase-treated using the Baseline-ZERO™ DNase (Biosearch Technologies), following
the manufacturer's recommendations and incubating the RNAs for 1 hour at 37°C. RNA was
then retrotranscribed using the qScript™ Flex cDNA Synthesis Kit (Quanta Bio), following
standard conditions as suggested by the manufacturer. The resulting cDNA was finally diluted
1:5-1:10 with DNase/RNase-free H_2O and used as input for Quantitative Real-Time PCR using

the Fast SYBR™ Green Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Each reaction was amplified using
the following PCR program: 20'' at 95°C, 40 cycles by denaturing for 1'' at 95°C followed by
annealing/extension for 20'' at 60°C. Results were analyzed using the ΔΔCt method. The
complete list of primers and their sequences is available in **Table S5**.

<u>Aminocarboxyl propyl reverse transcription (aRT)-PCR assay to estimate 18S:1248m^{1}acp^{3}Ψ</u>

The aminocarboxylpropyl reverse transcription (aRT)-PCR assay to detect and quantify the
1248.m1acp3Ψ modification was performed as previously described[26], with minor modifications.
Briefly, total RNA was extracted as described above, and 1 µg per sample was DNase-treated
using TURBO DNase (Invitrogen), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Retrotrascription
was then carried out using SuperScript III (Invitrogen), following the manual's recommendations
and by using only random hexamers. The cDNA was then diluted 1:5 with DNase/RNase-free
H_2O and used for end-point PCR using the Q5 High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (NEB), according
to the standard protocol and using the primers listed in the original protocol[26] and available in
Table S5. From each PCR reaction, 5 µL were digested in duplicate with HinFI (NEB) in
rCutSmart buffer (NEB) and an additional 5 µL were incubated without the enzyme as a
negative control. Lastly, the resulting fragments were then run on a 2% agarose gel.

<u>Northern Blot</u>

Northern Blot to detect *SNORA13* was performed as previously described[27], with minor
modifications. A total of 20 µg of RNA per sample was loaded onto an 8% TBE-UREA
polyacrylamide gel, which had been pre-equilibrated by running at low voltage in 1X TBE. After
electrophoresis, the gel was stained for 15 minutes in 0.5X TBE with a few drops of ethidium
bromide while rocking, to assess the quality of the run. The RNA was then transferred to an
HyBond-NX membrane (GE Healthcare) in 0.5X TBE at room temperature (RT) using a
constant current of 0.25 A for 2 hours. Following the transfer, the membrane was rinsed in 2X
SSC, crosslinked at 1200 J/cm² in a UV oven, and pre-hybridized for 30 minutes at 42°C in 10
mL of pre-warmed ULTRAhyb™ Ultrasensitive Hybridization Buffer (ThermoFisher Scientific)
while rotating. IR fluorescent probes targeting *U6* and *SNORA13* were then added to a final
concentration of 10 nM, and the membrane was incubated O/N at 42°C while rotating. The next
day, the membrane was washed twice in 2X SSC, 0.1% SDS at 42°C for 5 minutes while
rotating, followed by two additional washes in 0.1X SSC, 0.1% SDS, and then finally imaged.
The complete list of probes is available in **Table S5**.

<u>Protein extraction and Western Blot</u>

Proteins were extracted using RIPA as previously described[94]. Briefly, cells were detached
using a 0.05% Trypsin – 0.02% EDTA solution, pelleted by centrifugation, resuspended in ice-
cold RIPA buffer, and incubated on ice for 20 minutes with intermittent vortexing. The lysates
were then centrifuged at 15,000g for 15 minutes at 4°C, after which the supernatants were
collected and quantified. For Western blots, equal amounts of protein were loaded onto a
polyacrylamide gel and run in an SDS running buffer. Proteins were then transferred onto a pre-
activated PVDF membrane in a cold Tris-Glycine buffer supplemented with 20% methanol, with
a constant current of 0.30 A for 2 hours. Membranes were then blocked in 5% milk in 1X PBS-

0.1% Tween 20 for 1 hour, followed by O/N incubation at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in
the same blocking solution. The next day, membranes were washed three times with 1X PBS-
0.1% Tween 20, incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with the appropriate secondary
antibodies, and washed three more times before image acquisition. The complete list of primary
and secondary antibodies and relative dilutions is available in **Table S5**.

<u>Immunostaining</u>

Immunofluorescence was performed as previously described[94]. Cells were plated and
transfected on either sterile glass coverslips or 8-well chambers (Ibidi). They were first washed
once with 1X PBS, then fixed with cold 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 1X PBS for 15 minutes
at room temperature. After two 5-minute washes with 1X PBS, cells were permeabilized by
incubating them in a permeabilization/blocking solution containing 5% horse serum, 1 mg/mL
BSA, and 0.1% Triton X-100 in 1X PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Following three
washes with 1X PBS, cells were incubated O/N at 4°C with primary antibodies diluted in
blocking solution (5% horse serum, 1 mg/mL BSA in 1X PBS). The next day, cells were washed
three times with 1X PBS and incubated for 2 hours at room temperature with secondary
antibodies in blocking solution, protected from light. After three additional washes with 1X PBS,
cells were stained with DAPI and mounted using ProLong™ Glass Antifade Mountant
(Invitrogen). The slides were left to cure O/N in the dark at room temperature before imaging
with a Zeiss Spinning Disk confocal microscope. The complete list of primary and secondary
antibodies and relative dilutions is available in **Table S5**.

<u>Subcellular fractionation</u>

To fractionate cells and isolate the cytoplasmic, nucleoplasmic and chromatin RNA fractions we
employed a published protocol[96] with minor modifications. Confluent 10 cm plates were
harvested with a Trypsin (0.05%) – EDTA (0.02%) solution, pelleted by centrifugation, washed
once with ice-cold 1X PBS and resuspended in 380 µL of ice-cold HLB buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl,
pH 7.5, 10 mM NaCl, 3 mM $MgCl_2$, 0.3% NP-40, 10% Glycerol) freshly supplemented with
RNase Inhibitors (EURX). The samples were then incubated on ice for 20' with occasional
vortexing, spun down for 3' at 4°C / 1000 g, the supernatant was then transferred to a new tube,
centrifuged again for 10' at 4°C / 1000 g, after which the supernatant (cytoplasmic fraction) was
moved to a new tube, supplemented with 1 mL of RNA precipitation solution (RPS, 150mM
Sodium Acetate in 100% ethanol) and stored at -20°C for at least 1 h. The semi-pure nuclei
were then washed three times with ice-cold HLB and centrifugations for 2' at 4°C / 500 g, after
which the pellets were resuspended in 380 µL of ice-cold MWS (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.0, 4 mM
EDTA, pH 8.0, 0.3 M NaCl, 1 M Urea, 1% NP-40) freshly supplemented with RNase Inhibitors,
quickly vortexed and incubated on ice for 5 minutes, vortexed again and incubated for an
additional 10 minutes. The tubes were then centrifuged for 3' at 4°C / 1000 g, the supernatant
(nucleoplasmic / nuclear soluble fraction) was saved to a new tube, supplemented with 1 mL of
RPS and stored at -20°C for at least 1 h. The chromatin pellets were finally washed three times
with ice-cold MWS and centrifugations for 2' at 4°C / 500 g, after which 1 mL of TRI-Reagent
was added and samples were stored at -20°C. After 1+ hours at -20°C, the tubes with the
cytoplasmic and nucleoplasmic fractions in RPS were briefly vortexed, centrifuged for 15' at 4°C

/ 15000 g, the pellets were washed with 70% ethanol by vortexing and centrifuged again for 15'
at 4°C / 15000 g, after which they were air-dried and resuspended in 1 mL of TRI-Reagent. To
each sample in 1 mL of TRI-Reagent, 10 µL of 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0 were added followed by an
incubation with vortexing for ~10 minutes at 65°C, or until the RNA pellets fully dissolved. The
RNA was then extracted following the standard TRI-Reagent protocol.

Native RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP)

To perform Native RNA Immunoprecipitation, we have employed a slightly modified version of
the ENCODE IP protocol[97]. Briefly, $5x10^6$ MCF-7 cells were harvested by trypsinization, washed
once with 1X PBS, and flash frozen as pellets in 50 µL of 1X PBS. The pellets were then thawed
on ice, resuspended in 450 µL of ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 100 mM NaCl;
1% NP-40; 0.1% SDS; 0.5% sodium deoxycholate) supplemented with EDTA-free Protease
Inhibitor Cocktail (APExBIO)) and RNase Inhibitor (EURX), and incubated on ice for 20' with
occasional vortexing to allow complete lysis. The lysates were then centrifuged at max speed
for 15' at 4°C and the supernatants were collected into a new tube. A total of 20 µL/sample of
Protein A/G beads were then washed twice with ice-cold lysis buffer and resuspended in 250 µL
of lysis buffer, of which 50 µL were used to pre-clear the lysate by incubation at RT for 30' with
gentle rotation, and the remaining 200 µL were instead incubated for 1 h/RT with 3 µg of
antibody. After 30' of preclearing, the clear lysate was moved to a new tube in ice, and 25 µL
(5%) of it was saved as INPUT sample. After 1 h, the antibody/beads were washed three times
with ice-cold lysis buffer and incubated with the pre-cleared lysate O/N at 4°C while gently
rotating. The following day, 25 µL (5%) of the supernatant was saved as UNBOUND sample,
and the beads (BOUND sample) were gently washed twice with ice-cold wash buffer (20 mM
Tris-HCl pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl2, 0.2% Tween-20) freshly supplemented with RNase Inhibitors
(EUR$_X$). Finally, 500 µL of TRI-Reagent was added to all three fractions and RNA isolation was
carried out as previously described. The complete list of antibodies and relative dilutions is
available in **Table S5**.

Single-molecule RNA FISH with HCR technology (smFISH HCR)

To visualize the subcellular localization of EPB41L4A-AS1 in vivo, we performed single-
molecule fluorescence in situ hybridization (smFISH) using HCR™ amplifiers. Probe sets (n =
30 unique probes) targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *GAPDH* (positive control) were designed and
ordered from Molecular Instruments. We followed the Multiplexed HCR v3.0 protocol with minor
modifications. MCF-7 cells were plated in 8-well chambers (Ibidi) and cultured O/N as described
above. The next day, cells were fixed with cold 4% PFA in 1X PBS for 10 minutes at RT and
then permeabilized O/N in 70% ethanol at -20°C. Following permeabilization, cells were washed
twice with 2X SSC buffer and incubated at 37°C for 30 minutes in hybridization buffer (HB). The
HB was then replaced with a probe solution containing 1.2 pmol of *EPB41L4A-AS1* probes and
0.6 pmol of *GAPDH* probes in HB. The slides were incubated O/N at 37°C. To remove excess
probes, the slides were washed four times with probe wash buffer at 37°C for 5 minutes each,
followed by two washes with 5X SSCT at RT for 5 minutes. The samples were then pre-
amplified in amplification buffer for 30 minutes at RT and subsequently incubated O/N in the
dark at RT in amplification buffer supplemented with 18 pmol of the appropriate hairpins. Finally,

excess hairpins were removed by washing the slides five times in 5X SSCT at RT. The slides
were mounted with ProLong™ Glass Antifade Mountant (Invitrogen), cured O/N in the dark at
RT, and imaged using a Nikon CSU-W1 spinning disk confocal microscope. In order to estimate
the RNA copy number, we imaged multiple distinct fields, extracted the number of *EPB41L4A-*
AS1/*GAPDH* molecules in each field using the "Find Maxima" tool in ImageJ/Fiji, and divided
them by the number of cells (as assessed by DAPI staining).

<u>Cleavage Under Targets and Release Using Nuclease (CUT&RUN)</u>

CUT&RUN reactions were performed following the V3 of the protocol[98], with minor modifications
which were described previously[94]. An equal number of cells was harvested and washed once
with 1X PBS at room temperature. After three washes with Wash Buffer (20 mM HEPES-NaOH
pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM Spermidine supplemented with EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor
Cocktail (APExBIO)) at RT, cells were resuspended in 1 mL Wash Buffer and incubated for 10
minutes while gently rotating with 20 µL of pre-activated Concanavalin A-coated magnetic
beads (EpiCypher). The buffer was then removed, and the beads were resuspended in 150 µL
of Antibody Buffer (Wash Buffer with 0.1% Digitonin (Sigma) and 2 mM EDTA, pH 8) containing
the antibody of interest, and left gently rotating O/N with a 180° angle at 4°C. On the next day,
cells were washed two times with ice-cold Dig-Wash Buffer (Wash Buffer with 0.1% Digitonin),
resuspended in 150 µL of Dig-Wash Buffer supplemented with 1 µL of custom-made pA/G-
MNase every mL and left rotating for 1h at 4°C. After that, cells were washed again two times
with Dig-Wash Buffer, resuspended in 100 µL of the same buffer, and chilled into a thermoblock
sitting on ice. To initiate the cleavage reaction, 2 µL of 100 mM $CaCl_2$ was added to each tube,
and the tubes were left at 0°C for 30 minutes. To halt the reaction, 100 µL of a 2X STOP Buffer
(340 mM NaCl, 20 mM EDTA pH 8, 4 mM EGTA pH 8, 0.05% Digitonin, 100 µg/mL RNAse A,
50 µg/mL Glycogen) were added to each tube, and cleaved DNA fragments were released by
incubating the samples for 30' at 37°C. The tubes were then centrifuged for 5 minutes at 4°C /
16,000g and the supernatant was collected. Finally, the DNA was purified by standard
Phenol/Chloroform extraction using the 5PRIME Phase Lock Gel Heavy tubes (QuantaBio), and
the success of the CUT&RUN reaction was assessed by running the positive control
(H3K27me3 or H3K4me3) on a Tapestation (Agilent Technologies) using a High Sensitivity
D1000 ScreenTape (Agilent Technologies). The complete list of primary and secondary
antibodies and relative dilutions is available in **Table S5**.

<u>RNA-seq and CUT&RUN Library Preparation</u>

RNA-seq libraries were prepared using the CORALL mRNA-Seq Kit V2 (Lexogen), following the
manufacturer's instructions. Prior to library generation, 1 µg of total RNA was either PolyA-
enriched using the Poly(A) RNA Selection Kit V1.5 (Lexogen), or depleted of rRNAs using the
RiboCop rRNA depletion kit for HMR V2 (Lexogen). To prepare the CUT&RUN libraries, we
followed the original protocol[98], with slight modifications which were described previously[94]. The
libraries were then quality-checked by both dsDNA Qubit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and
Tapestation (Agilent Technologies). All libraries were sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000
or Novaseq X instrument, aiming for either 10M (CUT&RUN) or 15M (RNA-seq) reads per
sample.

RNA-seq data analysis

Analysis of the RNA-seq libraries was performed as previously described[94]. Raw FASTQ files were processed by a customized Lexogen CORALL analysis pipeline script (https://github.com/Lexogen-Tools/corall_analysis). Briefly, adaptors were trimmed by using Cutadapt[99], and the resulting trimmed FASTQ files were used as input for mapping to the hg19 human genome with STAR aligner[100], using the `--quantMode GeneCounts` option in order to count the number of reads mapping to each feature provided by an appropriate GTF file. To call for differential expression, we used DESeq2[101], considering a gene to be differentially expressed when adjusted P<0.05 and absolute $\log_2$FC >0.41 (~33% increase or decrease). Prior to any subsequent analysis, we filtered out poorly expressed genes, pseudogenes, and genes with an exonic length <200nt. To perform GO enrichment analysis and GSEA, we used the Clusterprofiler R package[102] by considering a term to be enriched when the adjusted P<0.05. Whenever several redundant terms were found, we employed the *simplify* function with a cutoff=0.7 to reduce the redundancy.

CUT&RUN data analysis

Raw FASTQ files were aligned to the hg19 reference genome with Bowtie2[103], using the -p 8, -X 2000 and --no-unal options. Peaks were called using the MACS2 callpeak function[104]. Peak quantification and differential expression were performed using the HOMER tool suite[105], using the *annotatePeaks.pl* and *getDiffExpression.pl* functions, respectively. Lastly, to plot the metagene profiles and coverage heatmaps, we employed deepTools[106].

Computational screen to identify putative *cis*-acting lncRNAs

We reasoned that lncRNAs that are likely to act in *cis* will be occasionally co-regulated with their target genes and also found in spatial proximity to the target promoters. We therefore sought such lncRNA-target pairs using the GeneHancer database[22] that connects between regulatory elements and their targets by combining tissue co-expression data, ChIP-seq of enhancer-enriched histone modifications and transcription factor binding, Hi-C data and eQTLs within putative enhancer regions. We complemented this by analyzing several RNA-seq datasets of MCF-7 breast cancer cells exposed to different conditions[23–25], to infer genome-wide transcriptional alterations across a variety of different experimental settings. We then focused on those cases in which a lncRNA 1) overlaps a high-confidence GeneHancer (GH) element, 2) is differentially expressed in at least one of the conditions analyzed, and 3) the targets of the aforementioned GH element are also concomitantly differentially expressed. Because this approach identified over 600 candidate lncRNA-target pairs (**Table S1**), we first discarded lncRNAs which have been already reported to act in *cis*, and prioritized those cases in which the lncRNA is well expressed and/or the target genes are biologically interesting. This led us to focus on four lncRNA-target pairs connected in GeneHancer and in which both genes were significantly either upregulated or downregulated in the treatment condition, compared to controls (**Fig. S1A-D**).

Chromosome Conformation Capture (3C)

Between 5 and 10x10^6 cells for each condition/replicate were collected and washed once with
1X PBS at RT. Cells were then resuspended in 10 mL of ice-cold 1X PBS with 10% FBS,
supplemented with another 10 mL of 1X PBS with 10% FBS and 4% Formaldehyde, and left
gently rotating for 5' at RT. Quenching was achieved by adding 1020 µL 2.5 M Glycine to each
tube and placing them on ice to cool down, after that the cells were centrifuged for 8' at 4°C /
300 g and washed once with ice-cold 1X PBS. The pellet was then resuspended in 10 mL of
Nuclear Permeabilization Buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0, 10 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40)
supplemented with EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (APExBIO)), gently rotated for 1 h in a
cold room and centrifuged for 5' at 4°C / 600 g. After discarding the supernatant, the nuclei were
resuspended in ~1 mL of ice-cold 1X PBS, moved to a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube, centrifuged and
washed again with 1mL ice-cold 1X PBS, and eventually frozen as a pellet at -80 °C.

After 1+ days at -80 °C, each pellet was thawed on ice and gently resuspended in 175.5 µL of
nuclease-free H$_2$O, after which 24.5 µL of 10X DpnII Reaction Buffer was added and the tubes
were moved to a thermomixer set at 37°C. Each reaction was then supplemented with 3 µL of
pre-warmed 20% SDS, incubated for 1h at 37°C / 900g, supplemented again with 20 µL of pre-
warmed 20% Triton-X100 and incubated for an additional 1h at 37°C / 900g, after which 15 µL
of DpnII (NEB, 50.000 U/mL) were added and the reaction was finally incubated O/N at 37°C /
900g. The following day, the restriction reaction was removed by centrifugation for 5' at 4°C /
600 g, and the pellets were washed once with ice-cold 1X PBS, eventually leaving a small
volume (~30 µL) on top of the pellet. The tubes were then incubated for 20' at 65°C, to
inactivate DpnII activity, followed by thorough resuspension in 445 µL of nuclease-free H$_2$O and
were transferred to ice. After chilling, 50 µL of 10X Ligation Buffer (NEB), 0.5 µg BSA and 5 µL
of Quick Ligase (NEB) were added to each tube, and left incubating O/N at 16°C. After the O/N
incubation, the ligation reaction was removed by centrifugation for 5' at 4°C / 600 g, the pellets
were washed once with ice-cold 1X PBS and eventually resuspended in 250 µL 10 mM Tris-
HCl, pH 7.5. A total of 30 µg of RNase A were then added to each sample, which were
subsequently incubated for 45' at 37°C / 750g, after which 15 µL of PCR-grade Proteinase K
were added and incubated O/N at 65°C / 750g. The following day, the de-crosslinked reactions
(3C template) were cleaned with 0.5X Sera-Mag Size Selection Beads (Cytiva Life Sciences),
according to manufacturer's instructions, eluted in 200 µL Elution Buffer (Qiagen) and quantified
by dsDNA Qubit.

<u>UMI Circular Chromosome Conformation Capture (UMI-4C)</u>

Quantitative UMI-4C was performed as previously described[45], with minor modifications. An
equal amount of 3C template (5-10 µg) for each sample/replicate was diluted to a final volume
of 200 µL with Elution Buffer and sonicated to an extent the fragments size ranges between 250
and 1000bp. To the sonicated fragments, 20 µL of 10X End-Repair Buffer (NEB) and 10 µL of
End Repair Mix (NEB) were added and the samples were incubated for 30' at 20°C, after which
they were cleaned with 2.2X Sera-Mag Selection Beads and eluted in 78 µL 10 mM Tris-HCl,
pH 8.0. To 76 µL of the cleaned DNA, 10 µL 10X NEBuffer 2, 10 µL 10 mM dATP, and 4 µL
Klenow (3'-5' exo, NEB) were added, and the reaction was incubated for 30' at 37°C. After this,
the enzymes were inactivated by incubating the samples for 20' at 75°C, then 2 µL of FastCIP
(NEB) were added and the reactions were further incubated for 1 h at 50°C, followed by

purification with 2X Sera-Mag Size Selection Beads and elution in 67 μL of 10mM Tris-HCl, pH
8.0. Illumina-compatible adapters were ligated by adding 80 μL Quick Ligase Buffer (NEB), 10
μL Quick Ligase (NEB) and 4 μL of 15 μM Y-Shaped Adaptors (IDT) to 66 μL of the eluted DNA
from the previous step, followed by an incubation at 25°C for 15'. After that, the samples were
denatured for 2' at 95°C, placed on ice, brought to volume by adding 160 μL of nuclease-free
H_2O, cleaned with 1X Sera-Mag Size Selection Beads and eluted in 40 μL 10 mM Tris-HCl, pH
8.0. To quantify the resulting 4C template, 1 μL was diluted in 4 μL of 10mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0,
denatured for 5' at 95°C, placed on ice and analyzed by ssDNA Qubit.

Libraries were then prepared using a nested PCR approach, preparing five reactions for each
sample and employing three bait primers for each target (EPB41L4A-AS1 TSS, EPB41L4A
TSS, STARD4 TSS, see next for design criteria) to increase complexity of the 4C profiles. For
the first PCR (PCR1), upstream bait primers were designed close (<80-85 bp) to a DpnII
restriction site (hg19 genome build); for the second PCR (PCR2), the downstream bait primers
were designed to follow – but not overlap – the upstream ones, ending ideally <20bp from the
DpnII site ("pad" sequence), and containing a sequence consisting of the Universal Illumina P5
on their 5' end. Both primers were designed to have a standard annealing temperature of
~60°C, and their sequences are available in **Table S5**. PCR1 consisted of 200 ng of 4C
template, 10 μL of 10X Phusion Buffer (NEB), 1 μL of 10 mM dNTPs, 2.5 μL of pooled upstream
bait primers with a final concentration of 10 μM, 2.5 μL of 10 μM Universal Illumina P7 primer,
0.5 μL Phusion Polymerase (NEB), and nuclease-free H_2O up to a final volume of 50 μL. The
reactions were incubated for 30'' at 98°C, amplified with 20 cycles consisting of 10'' at 98°C, 30''
at 56°C and 60'' at 72°C, with a final extension of 10' at 72°C, individually purified with 0.8X
Sera-Mag Size Selection Beads and eluted in 20 μL of 10 mM Tris-Hcl, pH 8.0. PCR2 consisted
of 15 μL of purified template from PCR1, 10 μL of 10X Phusion Buffer (NEB), 1 μL of 10 mM
dNTPs, 2.5 μL of pooled downstream bait primers with a final concentration of 10 μM, 2.5 μL of
10μM Universal Illumina P7 primer, 0.5 μL Phusion Polymerase (NEB), and nuclease-free H_2O
up to a final volume of 50 μL. The reactions were incubated with the same program of PCR1 but
with 18 cycles of amplification instead of 20, all five replicates of each sample were then pooled
together, purified with 0.8X Sera-Mag Size Selection Beads and eluted in 40 μL of Elution
Buffer. The libraries were then quality-checked by both dsDNA Qubit and Tapestation, and
eventually pooled according to the relative number of reads needed. All libraries were
sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 instrument, aiming at ~5M reads per sample.

UMI-4C Data Analysis

To analyze the UMI-4C data we have employed the umi4cpackage R package
(https://github.com/tanaylab/umi4cpackage). After setting up the configuration file as described,
they were loaded with the *p4cLoadConfFiles* function, and the raw fastq files were analyzed
using the *p4cCreate4CseqTrack* function. The 4C profiles were then built and plotted using the
p4cNewProfile and plot functions, respectively, specifying the *cis* window and overlaying two
profiles when needed. The p-values and log$_2$FC between conditions and across a genomic
region of interest were finally calculated using the *p4cIntervalsMean* function.

Metabolic labeling, SLAM-seq and data analysis

For half-life and synthesis rate estimation upon the KD of *EPB41L4A-AS1*, cells were
transfected with control and targeting GapmeRs as described above. Metabolic labeling and
SLAM-seq were then performed as previously described[107], with minor modifications. All steps
were performed in the dark until the chemical conversion with iodoacetamide (IAA). After 48h
hours, the growth medium was replaced with fresh media supplemented with 500 µM 4-
Thiouridine (4sU, Sigma), and replaced again with fresh 4sU after every time point. In total, we
collected RNA from cells at time 0 (unlabeled), and after 4, 8 and 24h hours post-4sU addition.
RNA extraction was carried out as described above with the addition of DTT (0.1 mM final
concentration) to keep the samples under reducing conditions, and IAA conversion was
performed as described previously[80,107]. Ribosomal RNAs were then depleted using the
NEBNext rRNA Depletion Kit V2 (NEB) and libraries were generated with the NEBNext Ultra II
Directional Library Kit (NEB), both according the manufacturer's instructions. Estimation of half-
lives and synthesis rates was performed using GRAND-SLAM/grandR[108], and are listed in **Table
S4**. Notably, the estimation assumes steady state expression in control samples, but not in the
KD ones.

Data availability

All the RNA-seq, RIP-seq, UMI-4C, and CUT&RUN datasets were deposited to the GEO database under the accession GSE292272.

Author contributions

A.M. and I.U. conceived the study. A.M. carried out most experiments and analyzed the data. J.P.U. helped with experimental designs, UMI-4C experiments and provided insightful input. T.C. assisted with stress induction experiments. A.M. and I.U. wrote the manuscript with input from the other authors.

Acknowledgements

We thank members of the Ulitsky lab for insightful discussions and comments on the manuscript, and in particular Rotem Nevo for his help with the smFISH analysis. We thank Dana Hirsch and Liat Alyagor for assistance with smFISH experiments, Ehud Sivan for imaging data analysis, Noa Gil for discussions about UMI-4C, Florian Erhard for assistance with SLAM-seq analysis and Josh Mendell's lab for the SNORA13 over-expression plasmid. This work was supported by the ERC Consolidator Grant lncIMPACT to IU.

Supplementary Figure 1. **UCSC Genome Browser view of the loci containing the selected lncRNAs and target genes for validation**. View of the *EPB41L4A-AS1 - EPB41L4A* **(A)**, *LINC00938 - ARID2* **(B)**, *MIR9-3HG - POLG* **(C)** and *NET1e - NET1 - CALML5* **(D)** loci. In each view, the PhyloP conservation score and GeneHancer (GH) elements are also reported.

Supplementary Figure 2. *EPB41L4A-AS1* is dysregulated in several cancer types and correlates with survival. **(A)** Expression level of *EPB41L4A-AS1* (left) and *EPB41L4A* (right) across the TCGA cohort. The thick lines and each point represent the median and an individual sample, respectively, and the color on top reflects whether the indicated genes are significantly more expressed in control or tumor conditions. **(B)** Correspondence between the expression of *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *EPB41L4A* in GTEx v8 (left), TCGA control (center) and tumor (right) samples. The Spearman's correlation coefficient and p-value is also reported. **(C)** Kaplan-Meier survival curve stratified into individuals with the lower and higher 50% expression level of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. **(D)** Expression level of *EPB41L4A-AS1* (left) and

EPB41L4A (right) in patients with the different BRCA subtypes and matched controls. The thick lines, edges of the box, whiskers and each point represent the median, first and third quartiles, the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), and an individual sample, respectively. **(E)** Correspondence between the expression of *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *EPB41L4A* in BRCA samples. **(F)** Expression level of *EPB41L4A-AS1* in patients at different BRCA stages. The white dot and edges of the box represent the median and the first and third quartiles, respectively. The one-way ANOVA p-value for differential expression is also reported. In all cases, P<0.05 = *. Data was accessed using the GEPIA2 portal[109].

Supplementary Figure 3. *EPB41L4A-AS1* **expression is altered upon multiple stimuli**. **(A)** CPAT[41] analysis of the indicated transcripts. As controls for a noncoding and a coding transcript, *XIST* and *ACTB* are reported. Changes in gene expression for the indicated genes **(B)** during a time course of 7 days in serum starvation conditions, **(C)** following the release from a double thymidine cell cycle block, **(D)** in a panel of 250 unique cell type-treatment combination (the Spearman's correlation coefficient and trendline are also reported), **(E)** and after exposing MCF-7 cells to LPS, H_2O_2, thapsigargin and etoposide. When applicable, all experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the dotplots and boxplots representing the standard deviation. In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test).

Supplementary Figure 4. *EPB41L4A-AS1* **unidirectionally facilitates** *EPB41L4A* **expression in** *cis*. RT-qPCR to assess the expression of the reported genes after **(A)** transfection with siRNAs against *EPB41L4A-AS1*, **(B)** CRISPRa with guides targeting the *EPB41L4A-AS1* promoter, **(C)** transfection with plasmid encoding the *EPB41L4A-AS1* cDNA, transfection with GapmeRs **(D)** and siRNAs **(E)** targeting *EPB41L4A*, **(F)** CRISPRa with guides targeting the *EPB41L4A* promoter and **(G)** transfection with plasmid encoding the *EPB41L4A* cDNA. **(H)** Changes in *EPB41L4A-AS1* expression after rescuing *EPB41L4A-AS1* with an ectopic plasmid or CRISPRa following its KD with GapmeRs. In both panels (Ectopic OE and CRISPRa) the "-" samples represent those transfected with the Empty Vector or sgControl. Asterisks indicate significance relative to the –/– control (transfected with both the control GapmeR and vector). **(I)** Same as in (H), but for changes in *EPB41L4A* expression. **(J)** UMI-4C contact profiles in control and LNA2-transfected cells using baits targeting the TSS of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. The green area represents the quantified genomic interval, and the p-value was calculated using a Chi-squared test. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, except UMI-4C with n=2, with the error bars in the boxplots representing the standard deviation. In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test).

Supplementary Figure 5. **Most of the transcriptomic changes following *EPB41L4A-AS1* downregulation are not explained by *EPB41L4A*.** **(A)** RT-qPCR and **(B)** Western blot for *CDKN1A* mRNA and protein following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with LNA1 and LNA2. **(C)** Quantification of CDKN1A

protein levels from (B). **(D)** Hierarchical clustering (top) and Principal Component Analysis (PCA, bottom) of the polyA+ RNA-seq libraries. The color in the heatmap represents the Euclidean distance between the libraries. **(E)** Heatmap of the differentially expressed genes shared between *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *EPB41L4A* depletions. Color intensity reflects the changes in gene expression (log2FC). **(F)** GO enrichment analysis of the genes downregulated following *EPB41L4A* depletion. **(G)** Barplot of the lncRNAs (annotated in GENCODE) detected in MCF-7 cells, ordered by their expression. *EPB41L4A-AS1* and other representative *cis-* or *trans*-acting lncRNAs are highlighted. All experiments were performed in n=3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001 (two-sided Student's t-test). A gene was considered to be differentially expressed if both adjusted P<0.05 and |log2Fold-change| >0.41 (corresponding to a change of 33%).

Supplementary Figure 6. **LNA1 and LNA2 induce a similar transcriptional response. (A)** Correspondence of the gene expression levels (in FPKMs) between each individual replicate of the two control GapmeRs. The values depict the Spearman's correlation coefficients of each pairwise correlation. **(B)** Correspondence between changes in gene expression after *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD using either LNA1 or LNA2, normalized on either NT1 (top) or NT2 (bottom). **(C)** Average expression levels (in FPKMs) of *EPB41L4A*, *NPM1* and *MTREX* in cells transfected with the two GapmeRs targeting *EPB41L4A-AS1*, with the error bars representing the standard deviation across the n = 3 replicates. DESeq2 adjusted P-values compared to control GapmeR #1 (NT1) are also reported. All experiments were performed in n=3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. ns - P>0.05; * - P<0.05; ** - P<0.01; *** - P<0.001.

Supplementary Figure 7. **SUB1 is both a chromatin-associated and an RNA-binding protein. (A)** Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using *cellular component* as ontology. **(B)** Running score and preranked list of the nucleolus-associated genes in the GSEA analysis. **(C)** Changes in gene expression upon *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD of the genes ranked by the SUB1 eCLIP binding confidence, for both the actual targets (as in Fig. 3C) and expression-matched controls (in grey). **(D)** RT-qPCR for the indicated genes after RIP with either an anti-SUB1 or control IgG antibody. **(E)** MA plot showing the relative enrichment in RIP-seq after using either an anti-SUB1 or control IgG antibody. **(F)** Forest plot for the enrichment of the genes in the ENCODE SUB1 eCLIP in our RIP-seq data. The genes in the eCLIP dataset were ranked according to their enrichment score. **(G)** GO enrichment analysis of the genes with a SUB1 peak as determined from our CUT&RUN data. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, with the error bars representing the standard deviation (C) or the 95% confidence interval (E). In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test. A gene was considered to be differentially expressed if both adjusted P<0.05 and |$\log_2$Fold-change| >0.41 (corresponding to a change of 33%). In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test in (C), Fisher's exact test in (E)).

Supplementary Figure 8. *EPB41L4A-AS1* **and SUB1 depletion affect the expression of different classes of snoRNAs. (A)** Barplot depicting the normalized read density in the Ribo(-) RNA-seq data in *EPB41L4A* exons and introns. **(B)** Boxplot of gene expression changes (log₂FC) after *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion for the indicated RNA categories. **(C)** Correspondence of the changes in gene expression after *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD between the pre-intronic, post-intronic and snoRNA regions. Spearman's correlation coefficients are also reported. **(D)** Normalized Ribo(-) RNA-seq signal over the intronic regions before (top) and after (bottom) the expressed snoRNAs. RT-qPCR **(E)** and Western blot **(F)**

upon *SUB1* depletion with siRNAs to assess KD efficiency. **(G)** MA plot showing the genome-wide gene expression changes after *SUB1* KD with siRNAs. **(H)** Same as in (B), but after *SUB1* KD with siRNAs. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA p-value is also reported. A gene was considered to be differentially expressed if both adjusted P<0.05 and |log$_2$Fold-change| >0.41 (corresponding to a change of 33%). In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test).

Supplementary Figure 9. *GAS5* depletion does not affect snoRNAs expression. **(A)** Schematics of the *GAS5* locus with tracks depicting the eCLIP peaks for both SUB1 and NPM1 (source: ENCODE), as well as the location of the two GapmeRs that were used. **(B)** RT-qPCR upon *GAS5* depletion with GapmeRs to assess KD efficiency. **(C)** MA plot showing the genome-wide gene expression changes after *GAS5* KD with GapmeRs. **(D)** Violin/boxplots of gene expression changes (log₂FC) after *GAS5* depletion for the indicated RNA classes. **(E)** Boxplot of gene expression changes (log₂FC) after *GAS5* depletion for the indicated RNA categories. **(F)** Correspondence between the changes in gene expression of the color-coded snoRNA categories after *EPB41L4A-AS1* and *GAS5* depletion. The

trendline and Spearman correlation coefficient are also reported. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test, and a global ANOVA p-value is also reported. A gene was considered to be differentially expressed if both adjusted P<0.05 and |$\log_2$Fold-change| >0.41 (corresponding to a change of 33%). In all cases, ns - P>0.05 = ns; * - P<0.05 = *; ** - P<0.01 = **; *** - P<0.001 = *** (two-sided Student's t-test).

Supplementary Figure 10. **Nucleolar stress induced by CX-5461 treatment affects SUB1 and NPM1 nuclear patterns. (A)** Representative immunofluorescence images for NPM1 after treating cells with the indicated CX-5461 concentrations and time (scale bar = 20 µm). **(B)** Boxplot depicting the changes of the Kurtosis of the nuclear NPM1 signal after treating MCF-7 cells with the indicated CX-5461 concentrations and time. **(C)** Same as in (A), but for SUB1. **(D)** Same as in (B), but for SUB1. All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as

single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test.

Supplementary Figure 11. *EPB41L4A-AS1* **affects local RNA metabolism. (A)** Changes in synthesis rate in KD cells of the genes in the two flanking TADs of *EPB41L4A-AS1*. The vertical dotted lines represent the TAD boundaries (as assessed by TADmap), the continuous vertical line the lncRNA locus and inter-TAD boundary, and the horizontal continuous line a log₂Fold-change equal to 0. The dots represent individual genes, with the significant (adjusted P<0.05 and |log₂Fold-change| >0.41) ones highlighted in red. **(B)** Same as in (A), but for RNA half lives. **(C)** Same as in (A) and (B), but for CTCF binding as assessed by CUT&RUN. Each dot and name represent a single CTCF peak and the closest gene, respectively. **(D)** Changes in gene expression of the p53 signature genes (from MSigDB) after KD with GapmeRs targeting either *EPB41L4A-AS1* or *EPB41L4A* (polyA+ RNA-seq data), and *SNORA13* KO cells[27]. The points in the boxplot represent individual genes, and their color whether they were found to be significantly dysregulated (red) or not (black). All experiments were performed in n = 3 biological replicates. In the boxplots, the thick line, edges of the box, and whiskers represent the median, first and third quartiles, and the upper and lower 1.5 interquartile ranges (IQRs), respectively. Outliers (observations outside the 1.5 IQRs) are drawn as single points, the significance of the different comparisons was computed by a Mann-Whitney test.

Supplementary Figure 12. *EPB41L4A-AS1* depletion consistently represses MTREX. **(A)** RT-qPCR and **(B)** Western blot for *MTREX* mRNA and protein following *EPB41L4A-AS1* KD with LNA1 and LNA2. **(C)** Quantification of MTREX protein levels from (B). All experiments were performed in n=3 biological replicates, with the error bars in the barplots representing the standard deviation. ns - $P>0.05$; * - $P<0.05$; ** - $P<0.01$; *** - $P<0.001$ (two-sided Student's t-test).

References

1. Statello, L., Guo, C.-J., Chen, L.-L. & Huarte, M. Gene regulation by long non-coding RNAs

and its biological functions. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **22**, 96–118 (2021).

2. Wu, H., Yang, L. & Chen, L.-L. The Diversity of Long Noncoding RNAs and Their

Generation. *Trends Genet.* **33**, 540–552 (2017).

3. Ransohoff, J. D., Wei, Y. & Khavari, P. A. The functions and unique features of long

intergenic non-coding RNA. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **19**, 143–157 (2018).

4. Mattick, J. S. *et al.* Long non-coding RNAs: definitions, functions, challenges and

recommendations. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **24**, 430–447 (2023).

5. Hangauer, M. J., Vaughn, I. W. & McManus, M. T. Pervasive transcription of the human

genome produces thousands of previously unidentified long intergenic noncoding RNAs.

PLoS Genet. **9**, e1003569 (2013).

6.   Carninci, P. *et al.* The transcriptional landscape of the mammalian genome. *Science* **309**,

1559–1563 (2005).

7.   ENCODE Project Consortium *et al.* Identification and analysis of functional elements in 1%

of the human genome by the ENCODE pilot project. *Nature* **447**, 799–816 (2007).

8.   Kapranov, P. *et al.* RNA maps reveal new RNA classes and a possible function for

pervasive transcription. *Science* **316**, 1484–1488 (2007).

9.   Mudge, J. M. *et al.* GENCODE 2025: reference gene annotation for human and mouse.

Nucleic Acids Res. (2024) doi:10.1093/nar/gkae1078.

10.   Ruiz-Orera, J., Messeguer, X., Subirana, J. A. & Alba, M. M. Long non-coding RNAs as a

source of new peptides. *Elife* **3**, e03523 (2014).

11.   Chen, J. *et al.* Pervasive functional translation of noncanonical human open reading

frames. *Science* **367**, 1140–1146 (2020).

12.   Barczak, W. *et al.* Long non-coding RNA-derived peptides are immunogenic and drive a

potent anti-tumour response. *Nat. Commun.* **14**, 1078 (2023).

13.   Kesner, J. S. *et al.* Noncoding translation mitigation. *Nature* **617**, 395–402 (2023).

14.   Chothani, S. P. *et al.* A high-resolution map of human RNA translation. *Mol. Cell* **82**, 2885–

2899.e8 (2022).

15.   Housman, G. & Ulitsky, I. Methods for distinguishing between protein-coding and long

noncoding RNAs and the elusive biological purpose of translation of long noncoding RNAs.

Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1859**, 31–40 (2016).

16.   Paralkar, V. R. *et al.* Unlinking an lncRNA from Its Associated cis Element. *Mol. Cell* **62**,

104–110 (2016).

17.   Ali, T. & Grote, P. Beyond the RNA-dependent function of LncRNA genes. *Elife* **9**, (2020).

18.   Beucher, A. *et al.* The HASTER lncRNA promoter is a cis-acting transcriptional stabilizer of

HNF1A. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **24**, 1528–1540 (2022).

19. De Santa, F. *et al.* A large fraction of extragenic RNA pol II transcription sites overlap
enhancers. *PLoS Biol.* **8**, e1000384 (2010).

20. Gil, N. & Ulitsky, I. Regulation of gene expression by cis-acting long non-coding RNAs. *Nat.*
Rev. Genet. **21**, 102–117 (2020).

21. Monziani, A. & Ulitsky, I. Noncoding snoRNA host genes are a distinct subclass of long
noncoding RNAs. *Trends Genet.* (2023) doi:10.1016/j.tig.2023.09.001.

22. Fishilevich, S. *et al.* GeneHancer: genome-wide integration of enhancers and target genes
in GeneCards. *Database* **2017**, (2017).

23. Sun, M., Gadad, S. S., Kim, D.-S. & Kraus, W. L. Discovery, Annotation, and Functional
Analysis of Long Noncoding RNAs Controlling Cell-Cycle Gene Expression and
Proliferation in Breast Cancer Cells. *Mol. Cell* **59**, 698–711 (2015).

24. Nagarajan, S. *et al.* ARID1A influences HDAC1/BRD4 activity, intrinsic proliferative capacity
and breast cancer treatment response. *Nat. Genet.* **52**, 187–197 (2020).

25. Janky, R. 's *et al.* iRegulon: from a gene list to a gene regulatory network using large motif
and track collections. *PLoS Comput. Biol.* **10**, e1003731 (2014).

26. Babaian, A. *et al.* Loss of m1acp3Ψ Ribosomal RNA Modification Is a Major Feature of
Cancer. *Cell Rep.* **31**, 107611 (2020).

27. Cheng, Y. *et al.* A non-canonical role for a small nucleolar RNA in ribosome biogenesis and
senescence. *Cell* **187**, 4770–4789.e23 (2024).

28. Hegre, S. A. *et al.* Joint changes in RNA, RNA polymerase II, and promoter activity through
the cell cycle identify non-coding RNAs involved in proliferation. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 18952
(2021).

29. Liao, M. *et al.* LncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 regulates glycolysis and glutaminolysis by
mediating nucleolar translocation of HDAC2. *EBioMedicine* **41**, 200–213 (2019).

30. Zhu, Y. *et al.* Overexpression of lncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 Induces Metabolic
Reprogramming in Trophoblast Cells and Placenta Tissue of Miscarriage. *Mol. Ther.*

Nucleic Acids **18**, 518–532 (2019).

31. Liao, W. *et al.* Persistent high glucose induced EPB41L4A-AS1 inhibits glucose uptake via

GCN5 mediating crotonylation and acetylation of histones and non-histones. *Clin. Transl.*

Med. **12**, e699 (2022).

32. Bin, J. *et al.* Long noncoding RNA EPB41L4A-AS1 functions as an oncogene by regulating

the Rho/ROCK pathway in colorectal cancer. *J. Cell. Physiol.* **236**, 523–535 (2021).

33. Wang, Z. *et al.* Downregulation of lncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 Mediates Activation of MYD88-

Dependent NF-κB Pathway in Diabetes-Related Inflammation. *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.*

Obes. **14**, 265–277 (2021).

34. Ishiguro, H. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of human NBL4, a gene involved in the

beta-catenin/tcf signaling pathway. *Jpn. J. Cancer Res.* **91**, 597–603 (2000).

35. Comiskey, D. F., Jr *et al.* Characterizing the function of EPB41L4A in the predisposition to

papillary thyroid carcinoma. *Sci. Rep.* **10**, 19984 (2020).

36. Guo, Y., Christine, K. S., Conlon, F., Gessert, S. & Kühl, M. Expression analysis of

epb41l4a during Xenopus laevis embryogenesis. *Dev. Genes Evol.* **221**, 113–119 (2011).

37. Didiot, M.-C. *et al.* Nuclear Localization of Huntingtin mRNA Is Specific to Cells of Neuronal

Origin. *Cell Rep* **24**, 2553–2560.e5 (2018).

38. Ly, S. *et al.* Mutant huntingtin messenger RNA forms neuronal nuclear clusters in rodent

and human brains. *Brain Commun* **4**, fcac248 (2022).

39. Bahar Halpern, K. *et al.* Nuclear Retention of mRNA in Mammalian Tissues. *Cell Rep* **13**,

2653–2662 (2015).

40. Lubelsky, Y. & Ulitsky, I. Sequences enriched in Alu repeats drive nuclear localization of

long RNAs in human cells. *Nature* **555**, 107–111 (2018).

41. Wang, L. *et al.* CPAT: Coding-Potential Assessment Tool using an alignment-free logistic

regression model. *Nucleic Acids Res* **41**, e74 (2013).

42. Yabuta, N. *et al.* Isolation and characterization of the TIGA genes, whose transcripts are

induced by growth arrest. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **34**, 4878–4892 (2006).

43. Moyerbrailean, G. A. *et al.* High-throughput allele-specific expression across 250

environmental conditions. *Genome Res.* **26**, 1627–1638 (2016).

44. Fafard-Couture, É., Bergeron, D., Couture, S., Abou-Elela, S. & Scott, M. S. Annotation of

snoRNA abundance across human tissues reveals complex snoRNA-host gene

relationships. *Genome Biol* **22**, 172 (2021).

45. Schwartzman, O. *et al.* UMI-4C for quantitative and targeted chromosomal contact profiling.

Nat. Methods **13**, 685–691 (2016).

46. Vian, L. *et al.* The energetics and physiological impact of cohesin extrusion. *Cell* **175**, 292–

294 (2018).

47. Salnikov, P. *et al.* Structural variants in the Epb41l4a locus: TAD disruption and Nrep gene

misregulation as hypothetical drivers of neurodevelopmental outcomes. *Sci Rep* **14**, 5288

(2024).

48. Krietenstein, N. *et al.* Ultrastructural Details of Mammalian Chromosome Architecture. *Mol.*

Cell **78**, 554–565.e7 (2020).

49. Singh, R. & Berger, B. Deciphering the species-level structure of topologically associating

domains. *bioRxiv* (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.10.28.466333.

50. Liang, X.-H., Vickers, T. A., Guo, S. & Crooke, S. T. Efficient and specific knockdown of

small non-coding RNAs in mammalian cells and in mice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, e13

(2011).

51. Hagedorn, P. H. *et al.* Locked nucleic acid: modality, diversity, and drug discovery. *Drug*

Discov. Today **23**, 101–114 (2018).

52. Maranon, D. G. & Wilusz, J. Mind the gapmer: Implications of co-transcriptional cleavage

by antisense oligonucleotides. *Mol. Cell* **77**, 932–933 (2020).

53. Lee, J.-S. & Mendell, J. T. Antisense-Mediated Transcript Knockdown Triggers Premature

Transcription Termination. *Mol. Cell* **77**, 1044–1054.e3 (2020).

54.   Lai, F., Damle, S. S., Ling, K. K. & Rigo, F. Directed RNase H Cleavage of Nascent

Transcripts Causes Transcription Termination. *Mol. Cell* **77**, 1032–1043.e4 (2020).

55.   Dhaka, B. *et al.* Functional identification of cis-regulatory long noncoding RNAs at

controlled false discovery rates. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **52**, 2821–2835 (2024).

56.   Van Nostrand, E. L. *et al.* A large-scale binding and functional map of human RNA-binding

proteins. *Nature* **583**, 711–719 (2020).

57.   Garavís, M. & Calvo, O. Sub1/PC4, a multifaceted factor: from transcription to genome

stability. *Curr. Genet.* **63**, 1023–1035 (2017).

58.   Conesa, C. & Acker, J. Sub1/PC4 a chromatin associated protein with multiple functions in

transcription. *RNA Biol.* **7**, 287–290 (2010).

59.   Das, C. *et al.* Transcriptional coactivator PC4, a chromatin-associated protein, induces

chromatin condensation. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* **26**, 8303–8315 (2006).

60.   Hou, Y. *et al.* G-quadruplex inducer/stabilizer pyridostatin targets SUB1 to promote

cytotoxicity of a transplatinum complex. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **50**, 3070–3082 (2022).

61.   Dubois, J.-C. *et al.* The single-stranded DNA-binding factor SUB1/PC4 alleviates replication

stress at telomeres and is a vulnerability of ALT cancer cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*

122, e2419712122 (2025).

62.   Salgado, S. *et al.* Human PC4 supports telomere stability and viability in cells utilizing the

alternative lengthening of telomeres mechanism. *EMBO Rep* **25**, 5294–5315 (2024).

63.   Kaypee, S. *et al.* Positive coactivator PC4 shows dynamic nucleolar distribution required for

rDNA transcription and protein synthesis. *Cell Commun Signal* **23**, 283 (2025).

64.   Nachmani, D. *et al.* Germline NPM1 mutations lead to altered rRNA 2'-O-methylation and

cause dyskeratosis congenita. *Nat. Genet.* **51**, 1518–1529 (2019).

65.   Mourtada-Maarabouni, M., Hedge, V. L., Kirkham, L., Farzaneh, F. & Williams, G. T.

Growth arrest in human T-cells is controlled by the non-coding RNA growth-arrest-specific

transcript 5 (GAS5). *J. Cell Sci.* **121**, 939–946 (2008).

66. Schneider, C., King, R. M. & Philipson, L. Genes specifically expressed at growth arrest of

mammalian cells. *Cell* **54**, 787–793 (1988).

67. Mourtada-Maarabouni, M., Pickard, M. R., Hedge, V. L., Farzaneh, F. & Williams, G. T.

GAS5, a non-protein-coding RNA, controls apoptosis and is downregulated in breast

cancer. *Oncogene* **28**, 195–208 (2009).

68. Yacqub-Usman, K., Pickard, M. R. & Williams, G. T. Reciprocal regulation of GAS5 lncRNA

levels and mTOR inhibitor action in prostate cancer cells. *Prostate* **75**, 693–705 (2015).

69. Kino, T., Hurt, D. E., Ichijo, T., Nader, N. & Chrousos, G. P. Noncoding RNA gas5 is a

growth arrest- and starvation-associated repressor of the glucocorticoid receptor. *Sci.*

Signal. **3**, ra8 (2010).

70. Zhang, Z. *et al.* Negative regulation of lncRNA GAS5 by miR-21. *Cell Death Differ.* **20**,

1558–1568 (2013).

71. Hu, G., Lou, Z. & Gupta, M. The long non-coding RNA GAS5 cooperates with the

eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E to regulate c-Myc translation. *PLoS One* **9**,

e107016 (2014).

72. Sun, D. *et al.* LncRNA GAS5 inhibits microglial M2 polarization and exacerbates

demyelination. *EMBO Rep.* **18**, 1801–1816 (2017).

73. He, X. *et al.* An Lnc RNA (GAS5)/SnoRNA-derived piRNA induces activation of TRAIL gene

by site-specifically recruiting MLL/COMPASS-like complexes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**, 3712–

3725 (2015).

74. Potapova, T. A. *et al.* Distinct states of nucleolar stress induced by anticancer drugs. (2023)

doi:10.7554/eLife.88799.

75. Yang, K. *et al.* A redox mechanism underlying nucleolar stress sensing by nucleophosmin.

Nat. Commun. **7**, 13599 (2016).

76. Haddach, M. *et al.* Discovery of CX-5461, the first direct and selective inhibitor of RNA

polymerase I, for cancer therapeutics. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **3**, 602–606 (2012).

77. Xu, H. *et al.* CX-5461 is a DNA G-quadruplex stabilizer with selective lethality in BRCA1/2

deficient tumours. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14432 (2017).

78. Mars, J.-C. *et al.* The chemotherapeutic agent CX-5461 irreversibly blocks RNA

polymerase I initiation and promoter release to cause nucleolar disruption, DNA damage

and cell inviability. *NAR Cancer* **2**, zcaa032 (2020).

79. Hilton, J. *et al.* Results of the phase I CCTG IND.231 trial of CX-5461 in patients with

advanced solid tumors enriched for DNA-repair deficiencies. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 3607

(2022).

80. Herzog, V. A. *et al.* Thiol-linked alkylation of RNA to assess expression dynamics. *Nat*

Methods **14**, 1198–1204 (2017).

81. Youssef, K. K. *et al.* Two distinct epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition programs control

invasion and inflammation in segregated tumor cell populations. *Nat. Cancer* **5**, 1660–1680

(2024).

82. Open2C *et al.* Cooltools: Enabling high-resolution Hi-C analysis in Python. *PLoS Comput.*

Biol. **20**, e1012067 (2024).

83. Contreras, X. *et al.* PAPγ associates with PAXT nuclear exosome to control the abundance

of PROMPT ncRNAs. *Nat Commun* **14**, 6745 (2023).

84. Yu, L., Ma, H., Ji, X. & Volkert, M. R. The Sub1 nuclear protein protects DNA from oxidative

damage. *Mol. Cell. Biochem.* **412**, 165–171 (2016).

85. Mortusewicz, O. *et al.* Recruitment of RNA polymerase II cofactor PC4 to DNA damage

sites. *J. Cell Biol.* **183**, 769–776 (2008).

86. Tafforeau, L. *et al.* The complexity of human ribosome biogenesis revealed by systematic

nucleolar screening of Pre-rRNA processing factors. *Mol. Cell* **51**, 539–551 (2013).

87. Dongre, A. & Weinberg, R. A. New insights into the mechanisms of epithelial-mesenchymal

transition and implications for cancer. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **20**, 69–84 (2019).

88. Brabletz, T., Kalluri, R., Nieto, M. A. & Weinberg, R. A. EMT in cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer* **18**,

128–134 (2018).

89. Wang, M. *et al.* Integrated analysis of lncRNA-miRNA-mRNA ceRNA network identified

lncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 as a potential biomarker in non-small cell lung cancer. *Front.*

Genet. **11**, 511676 (2020).

90. Yang, T. *et al.* Down-regulation of EPB41L4A-AS1 mediated the brain aging and

neurodegenerative diseases via damaging synthesis of NAD+ and ATP. *Cell Biosci.* **11**,

192 (2021).

91. Wang, Z. *et al.* EPB41L4A-AS1 is required to maintain basal autophagy to modulates Aβ

clearance. *NPJ Aging* **10**, 24 (2024).

92. Samdal, H. *et al.* The lncRNA EPB41L4A-AS1 regulates gene expression in the nucleus

and exerts cell type-dependent effects on cell cycle progression. *bioRxiv*

2021.02.10.430566 (2021) doi:10.1101/2021.02.10.430566.

93. Konermann, S. *et al.* Genome-scale transcriptional activation by an engineered CRISPR-

Cas9 complex. *Nature* **517**, 583–588 (2015).

94. Monziani, A., Ben-Tov Perry, R., Hezroni, H. & Ulitsky, I. BAHCC1 promotes gene

expression in neuronal cells by antagonizing SIN3A-HDAC1. *bioRxiv* (2025)

doi:10.1101/2025.01.24.634719.

95. Suarez-Arnedo, A. *et al.* An image J plugin for the high throughput image analysis of in vitro

scratch wound healing assays. *PLoS One* **15**, e0232565 (2020).

96. Gagnon, K. T., Li, L., Janowski, B. A. & Corey, D. R. Analysis of nuclear RNA interference

in human cells by subcellular fractionation and Argonaute loading. *Nat. Protoc.* **9**, 2045–

2060 (2014).

97. Sundararaman, B. *et al.* Resources for the Comprehensive Discovery of Functional RNA

Elements. *Mol. Cell* **61**, 903–913 (2016).

98. Meers, M. P., Bryson, T. D., Henikoff, J. G. & Henikoff, S. Improved CUT&RUN chromatin

profiling tools. *Elife* **8**, (2019).

99. Martin, M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads.

EMBnet J. **17**, 10 (2011).

100. Dobin, A. *et al.* STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 15–21 (2013).

101. Love, M., Anders, S. & Huber, W. Differential analysis of count data--the DESeq2 package.

Genome Biol. **15**, 550 (2014).

102. Wu, T. *et al.* clusterProfiler 4.0: A universal enrichment tool for interpreting omics data.

Innovation (Camb) **2**, 100141 (2021).

103. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods*

9, 357–359 (2012).

104. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Model-based analysis of ChIP-Seq (MACS). *Genome Biol.* **9**, R137 (2008).

105. Heinz, S. *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cis-

regulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol. Cell* **38**, 576–589

(2010).

106. Ramírez, F. *et al.* deepTools2: a next generation web server for deep-sequencing data

analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**, W160–5 (2016).

107. Zuckerman, B., Ron, M., Mikl, M., Segal, E. & Ulitsky, I. Gene Architecture and Sequence

Composition Underpin Selective Dependency of Nuclear Export of Long RNAs on NXF1

and the TREX Complex. *Mol Cell* **79**, 251–267.e6 (2020).

108. Jürges, C., Dölken, L. & Erhard, F. Dissecting newly transcribed and old RNA using

GRAND-SLAM. *Bioinformatics* **34**, i218–i226 (2018).

109. Tang, Z., Kang, B., Li, C., Chen, T. & Zhang, Z. GEPIA2: an enhanced web server for

large-scale expression profiling and interactive analysis. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **47**, (2019).

1212