1

Functional Role for Cas Cytoplasmic Adaptor Proteins During Cortical Axon Pathfinding

Running title: Dual Functions of Cas Proteins in Cortical Tract Development

Jason A. Estep [1, *], Alyssa M. Treptow [1, *], Payton A. Rao [2], Patrick Williamson [3], Wenny Wong [2],

Martin M. Riccomagno [1, 2, 3, #]

1 Cell, Molecular and Developmental Biology Graduate Program, Department of Molecular, Cell

& Systems Biology, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

2 Neuroscience Graduate Program, Department of Molecular, Cell & Systems Biology, University

of California, Riverside, CA, USA

3 Neuroscience Undergraduate Program, Department of Molecular, Cell & Systems Biology,

University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Author: Martin M. Riccomagno, martinmr@ucr.edu.

Abstract

Proper neural circuit organization requires individual neurons to project to their targets with high specificity. While several guidance molecules have been shown to mediate axonal fasciculation and pathfinding, less is understood about how neurons intracellularly interpret and integrate these cues. Here we provide genetic evidence that the Crk-Associated Substrate (Cas) family of intracellular adaptor proteins is required for proper fasciculation and guidance of two cortical white matter tracts: the Anterior Commissure (AC) and thalamocortical axons (TCAs). Using a *Cas* Triple Conditional Knock Out (*Cas TcKO*) mouse model, we show that Cas proteins are required for proper TCA projection by a non-neuronal cortical cell population. We also demonstrate a requirement of the β1-integrin receptor for TCA projection, similarly in a population of non-neuronal cortical cells. Additional analysis of *Cas TcKO* mutants reveals a role for Cas proteins in AC fasciculation, here within the neurons themselves. This AC fasciculation requirement is not phenocopied in β1-integrin deficient mutants, suggesting that Cas proteins might signal downstream of a different receptor during this axon pathfinding event. These findings implicate Cas proteins as key mediators of cortical axon tract fasciculation and guidance.

Author Summary

In the developing nervous system, neurons extend axons—long projections that relay information to their targets—to establish neural circuits. Axons follow specific pathways directed by extracellular guidance cues, much like street signs direct traffic. While these guidance cues are well studied, how neurons internally interpret and respond to these signals

remains unclear. Here, we examine the role of the Crk-Associated Substrate (Cas) family of

intracellular adaptor proteins in axon guidance within cortical axon tracts. Using genetic

techniques to selectively remove *Cas* gene function from specific cell types, we demonstrate

that Cas proteins are required for proper fasciculation (bundling) of anterior commissure axons,

acting directly within the projecting axons themselves. Additionally, Cas proteins are required

for proper guidance of thalamocortical projections—axons connecting the thalamus with the

cortex. However, in this case, Cas proteins do not act within projecting axons but instead direct

target neurons to their final positions. We further show that the β1-integrin receptor is similarly

required for thalamocortical axon projection. These findings provide genetic evidence for a

critical role of Cas adaptor proteins in both fasciculation and guidance of cortical axon tracts.

Introduction

During Central Nervous System (CNS) development, axons often traverse complex routes

to meet their synaptic targets, interacting with a diverse array of attractive, repulsive, and

permissive cues [1,2]. One developmental mechanism proposed to ensure proper guidance of

large tracts is the concept of "pioneer" versus "follower" axons [3–7]. In this framework, initial

pioneering axons carve out stereotyped trajectories due to the presence of intermediate

targets, or guidepost cells [8]. These pioneer axons then serve as tracks that subsequent

follower axons may use to reach a common target. Both the guidepost-to-guidepost

advancement of pioneering axons, as well as the regulated fasciculation and defasciculation of

follower axons, require neurons to properly sense and respond to adhesive signals [9,10] in the

forms of cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix (ECM) interactions. In the vertebrate nervous

system, two well-established examples of guidepost-assisted axonal guidance are

thalamocortical axon (TCA) projections [11,12] and the commissural tracts of the forebrain, such

as the Anterior Commissure (AC) [13]. However, the exact cellular and molecular mechanisms

that permit the timely interpretation of adhesive signals during TCA guidance or AC formation

remain to be elucidated.

During normal development, TCA projections are guided by a diverse array of secreted

gradients, guidepost populations, and intermediate targets as they navigate their way from the

thalamus to the developing neocortex [14–16]. In mice, TCAs, originating from the thalamus at

embryonic day 12 (E12), first turn dorsolaterally at the diencephalic–telencephalic boundary

(DTB), then fasciculate to form the internal capsule on E13 and advance through the subpallium

until they reach the pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) at E14 [12,17]. At the pallial-subpallial

boundary (PSPB), TCAs encounter corticofugal axons and arrest for two to three days before

entering the cortical plate, temporarily targeting a cortical region known as the subplate [18–

20]. Entry of thalamic axons into the cortical layers and coordinated target selection is facilitated

by the subplate, a developmentally transient population of the earliest-born telencephalic

neurons that serves as an intermediate target for TCA pathfinding [18,19,21–23]. By E18, TCAs

innervate the cortical plate through the intermediate zone and defasciculate to reach their

individual targets [12,15], arriving at the neocortex before their target layer (layer IV) is fully

formed. Recent studies suggest that TCAs help promote the specification of layer IV neurons as

the cortex develops [24].

The formation of commissural tracts in the forebrain is also known to involve the

assistance of additional intermediate targets, often in the form of transient midline glial

populations [25–28], such as the glial "tunnel" that facilitates the formation of the Anterior

Commissure [29,30]. The AC is composed of two tracts that share a midline crossing point.

Beginning at E14-E15 in mice, axons of the anterior AC (aAC) originate from the Anterior

Olfactory Nucleus and form reciprocal connections to each olfactory bulb, while axons of the

posterior AC (pAC) originate from the ventrolateral cortex and join with the stria terminalis to

form reciprocal connections between the two hemispheres [31,32]. Recent developmental

studies have identified a population of pioneering axons that precede primary fascicle

formation and midline crossing during pAC establishment and suggest a role for ECM molecules

in the sorting of aAC and pAC axons [33].

The Crk-Associated Substrate (Cas) family of signal adaptor proteins is comprised of four

paralogues: p130Cas (Bcar1), Cas-L (HEF1, NEDD9), Sin (EFS), and Cass4 [34,35]. All four Cas

paralogues have high sequence conservation and structure similarity with an N-terminal SH3-

domain, a central substrate domain containing 15 repeats of the Tyr-X-X-Pro (YxxP) motif, and a

serine-rich domain [35]. Upon upstream receptor activation, Cas proteins are phosphorylated

on tyrosine residues within the substrate domain, thus permitting docking of SH2- and PTB-

containing partners such as Crk, CrkL, Nck, and SHIPTP2 [34,36–39]. Biochemical studies have

demonstrated that Cas proteins are phosphorylated downstream of Integrin [40–42],

Dystroglycan [43], CXCL12/CXCR4 [44], Neuropilin [45], and Netrin [46] signaling.

Phosphorylation of Cas induces the assembly of the Cas-Crk-DOCK180 scaffold complex which

subsequently recruits and activates Rac GTPases, leading to local actin polymerization

[34,47,48]. In this way, Cas proteins act as an essential link between external adhesion signals

and the actin cytoskeleton, permitting cells to reorganize their actin network in response to

ECM engagement.

While *p130Cas*[36], *CasL* [49], and *Sin* [50] are all expressed in the embryonic nervous

system, *Cass4* expression appears to be restricted primarily to the lung, spleen, and blood cells,

with minimal brain expression [51]. Within the context of neurodevelopment, Cas proteins have

been shown to regulate motor axon fasciculation and projection in Drosophila [41], with similar

roles in the fasciculation and pathfinding of Dorsal Root Ganglion (DRG) axons in the

mammalian Peripheral Nervous System (PNS) [52]. In the mammalian CNS, Cas proteins play

additional roles during neuronal migration and axonal guidance events: Cas adaptor proteins

coordinate commissural projections in the spinal cord [46], organize Retinal Ganglion Cell

positioning in the mouse retina [42], and have been recently shown to participate in cortical

lamination [43].

In this study, we sought to investigate the potential roles of Cas proteins during the

formation of forebrain white matter tracts. Accordingly, we focused our investigation on the

three Cas family members with established expression and functional roles in neural

development (p130Cas, CasL, and Sin). Using conditional reverse genetics, we have found that

Cas proteins are required for proper guidance of TCA projections and fasciculation of pAC axons.

We demonstrate that the TCA projection phenotype is cortical-autonomous but non-neuronal-

autonomous (i.e., *Cas* genes function in a population of cortical non-neuronal cells to regulate

proper lamination), with mis-projecting TCA processes closely associating with misplaced

subplate and deep layer neurons. Further, we demonstrate a cortical- and neuronal-

autonomous role for *Cas* genes (i.e., *Cas* genes function in cortical neurons) during AC

fasciculation and show that defasciculating AC axons originate, in part, from the dorsolateral

cortex. Interestingly, defects in AC fasciculation are not phenocopied by β1-integrin mutants,

suggesting that Cas proteins act, at least in part, independently of β1-integrin during AC

formation.

Results

To investigate the potential roles of *Cas* family genes during cortical white matter tract

formation, we began by assessing the expression of the *Cas* family paralogues during cortical

development. We recently established that transcripts for the paralogues *p130Cas*, *CasL/Nedd9*,

and *Sin/Efs* are all expressed in the developing neocortex, with overlapping expression in the

subventricular and ventricular zones [43]. The *p130Cas* transcript is dynamically enriched in the

cortical plate at E12.5 and the intermediate zone at E14.5, consistent with expression in

postmitotic neurons that are projecting axons [43].

We next performed immunohistochemistry in Swiss Webster embryos at E14.5, E16.5,

and E18.5 for p130Cas protein and the cortical white matter marker Neural Cell Adhesion

Molecule L1 (NCAM-L1) (**Fig 1**) [53–55] and the radial glia marker Nestin (**S1 Fig**) [56,57]. At

E14.5, p130Cas signal was detected in the intermediate zone, cortical plate, and marginal zone

(**Fig 1C-C'**). p130Cas signal co-expressed with the radial glial cell (RGC) marker Nestin in the

cortical plate and at the pial surface where radial glial endfeet adhere to the basement

membrane (**S1B Fig**). High-magnification images show co-expression of p130Cas with the axonal

marker NCAM-L1 in the presumptive Anterior Commissure (AC) (**Fig 1B-B'**). At E16.5, p130Cas

protein was broadly expressed throughout the developing neocortex, with the most robust

signal observed in the marginal zone, subventricular zone, and intermediate zone (**Fig 1F-F'**).

Additional high-magnification images demonstrate p130Cas signal strongly co-expressed with

NCAM-L1 in the External Capsule (EC) (**Fig 1E**, white arrow) and the now midline-crossing AC

(**Fig 1E**, white arrowheads). By E18.5, p130Cas expression was diffusely expressed throughout

the cortical plate, with stronger expression in the subventricular zone (**Fig 1I**) and cortical white

matter tracts, including the corpus callosum, internal capsule, EC, and AC (**Fig 1G**). Clear co-

expression of p130Cas with NCAM-L1 was observed in the EC (**Fig 1H**, white arrow) and AC (**Fig

1H**, white arrowheads).

To better visualize axons originating from neurons expressing *p130Cas*, we took

advantage of the *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* transgenic line generated by the GENSAT BAC transgenic

project [58]. These mice carry a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) containing an enhanced

green fluorescent protein (EGFP) expression cassette which is driven by the *p130Cas* promoter

along with its regulatory sequences, thereby causing all cells with *p130Cas* promoter activity to

accumulate cytosolic EGFP in a pattern that recapitulates endogenous gene expression [43,52].

We collected *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* embryos at E14.5 and E16.5 and neonates at postnatal

day 0 (P0) and performed immunohistochemistry for EGFP and NCAM-L1 to visualize cortical

white matter tracts (**Fig 2**). At E14.5, we found *p130Cas*-driven EGFP signal overlapped with

NCAM-L1 in multiple areas: the cortical plate, the lateral cortex, and a group of fasciculating

axons forming the presumptive AC (**Fig 2A-A''**, white arrowheads). By E16.5, robust *p130Cas*-

driven EGFP signal was observed broadly in the cortical plate and the marginal zone (**Fig 2B-B'**).

EGFP signal was also detected in white matter, with clear expression in internal capsule axons,

the EC (**Fig 2B**, white arrow), and the midline-crossing AC (**Fig 2B**, white arrowheads). In

neonates (P0), we observed strong *p130Cas*-driven EGFP in all major cortical tracts including the

corpus callosum, striatum, EC, and AC (**Fig 2C-C''**, white arrow denotes EC and white

arrowheads denote AC). Higher-magnification images of *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* neonates at the

pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) revealed many diffuse NCAM-L1+ processes innervating the

subpallium that were not expressing *p130Cas*-driven EGFP, although many EGFP+ cell bodies

occupied this region (**Fig 2D-D''**, white dotted line indicates pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB)).

In the cingulate cortex, high-magnification images of P0 *p130Cas*-EGFP-BAC animals showed

robust expression of EGFP in the cortical plate and the corpus callosum (**Fig 2E-E''**).

Having confirmed the developmental expression of *Cas* genes during the formation of

cortical white matter, we next sought to test the requirement of Cas proteins during cortical

tract formation. Thus, we generated *Cas* triple conditional knockouts (*Cas TcKO*) [42,43,52] to

assess the broad requirements for Cas proteins (p130Cas, CasL, and Sin) during cortical tract

development. In brief, *Cas TcKO* animals bear homozygous null alleles for the Cas homologues

CasL (*CasL$^{-/-}$*) and *Sin* (*Sin$^{-/-}$*) while also harboring one floxed allele and one deletion (delta) allele

for *p130Cas* (*p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$*). Constitutive deletion of *p130Cas* results in early embryonic lethality

due to defects in cardiovascular development [59]. Thus, crossing TcKO animals to cell-specific

Cre-driver lines permits Cre-mediated excision of *p130Cas* in neural tissue only, allowing

embryos to survive through development.

To determine the requirement of *Cas* genes during cortical white matter tract formation,

we chose to first induce a broad deletion of *Cas* gene function by crossing *Cas TcKO* animals

with *Nestin-Cre* mice. *Nestin-Cre* drives early (E9.5) recombination in neural stem cells and

intermediate progenitors [60]. As such, *Nestin-Cre* is often employed to produce CNS-wide

deletions in both neuronal and glial populations. We generated *Nestin-Cre;CasL^{-/-};Sin^{-/-}*

;p130Cas^{fl/Δ} (or more simply *Nes-Cre;TcKO*) mutants and started by confirming the specificity of

the p130Cas antibody by immunostaining control (*CasL^{-/-};Sin^{-/-};p130Cas^{fl/+}*) and littermate *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO brains at E16.5 (**Fig 1**; **S2 Fig**). The p130Cas antibody showed strong specificity for the

EC-AC tract and the cortical plate, with staining only remaining in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* animals in a

small subpopulation of cells in the hypothalamus (**S2 Fig**). We next performed

immunohistochemistry at P0 for the axon marker NCAM-L1 to examine the organization of

major cortical tracts (**Fig 3**). We observed notable disruptions to two major white matter tracts

in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants: the Anterior Commissure (AC) and cortical white matter.

Axons of the External Capsule (EC) that, upon exit from the pallium, normally turn

medially to join the posterior Anterior Commissure (pAC), fail to fasciculate properly in *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO mutants, and instead project ventrally into the subpallium (**Fig 3A'** and **Fig 3B'**). Tracing

experiments using DiA crystals placed into the Somatosensory 2 (S2) region of the cortex (**S3C**

Fig) confirmed that these mis-projecting axons originate in the dorsolateral and lateral cortex

(**Fig 3C** and **Fig 3D**; n = 6 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls). We quantified axon pathfinding

errors by calculating the average number of stray pAC axon bundles per section per animal in

the subpallial area in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and control mice. The number of stray axon

fascicles in the subpallial area in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* animals averaged 4.23 ± 1.12, while the average

was 0 for control mice (**Fig 3I**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test, p = 0.0004, n = 7 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n =

10 for controls).

Nes-Cre;TcKO mutants also display severe defects in white matter within the cortical

plate, with large bundles of axons mis-projecting towards the pial surface (**Fig 3A''** and **Fig 3B''**).

We hereafter refer to these ectopic projections as Cortical Bundles (CBs). To quantify the CB

phenotype, we calculated the average number of CBs present per section per animal in the

cortex of both *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and control mice. On average, we observed 7.88 ± 0.72

CBs in the cortex of *Nes-Cre;TcKO* and 0 in littermate controls (**Fig 3J**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test,

p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls). The cortex receives input from multiple

cortical and subcortical areas, including contralateral connections via the corpus callosum and

AC [8] and thalamic connections from thalamocortical axon (TCA) projections [61,62]. We

therefore asked if the CB phenotype presented by *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants consisted of axons

originating from cortical or subcortical areas. Initial tracing experiments using DiA crystals

placed into the S2 cortex indicated that mis-projecting axons in CBs are not cortical in origin (**Fig

3E-F**). We performed additional lipophilic tracing experiments by placing DiI crystals into the

laterodorsal nucleus of the thalamus (**S3F Fig**) and found robust labeling of CBs in the ipsilateral

hemisphere in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutant neonates (**Fig 3E** and **Fig 3F**; n = 6 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5

for controls). This suggests that CBs form primarily from TCA afferents. Taken together, these

data point to a strong requirement for *Cas* family genes during cortical white matter tract

formation.

To examine the developmental progression of *Nes-Cre;TcKO* white matter tract defects,

we collected embryos at E14.6, E16.5, and E18.5 and performed immunohistochemistry for

NCAM-L1 (**Fig 4**). Interestingly, we observed different developmental trajectories for each

mutant phenotype. Initial formation of the EC-AC tract was unperturbed in *Nes-Cre;TcKO*

animals with no observable axon defasciculation at E14.5 (**Fig 4A'** and **Fig 4B'**; n = 4 for *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 4 for controls) and E16.5 (**Fig 4C'** and **Fig 4D'**; n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 12 for

controls). It was not until late embryonic development (E18.5) that we detected aberrant axon

defasciculation in the AC (**Fig 4E'** and **Fig 4F'**, white arrowhead), with *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants

averaging 6.55 ± 1.09 stray axon bundles in the subpallial area, while control animals averaged 0

(**Fig 4G**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test, p = 0.0011, n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls). In

contrast, the CB phenotype is apparent in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants as early as E14.5 (**Fig 4A''** and

Fig 4B'', white asterisks), with the phenotype growing in severity as development continued (**Fig**

4C''-F'', white asterisks denote CBs). The average number of CBs in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutant mice

increased with age (4.29 ± 0.79 vs 5.06 ± 0.23 vs 9.06 ± 0.76), and at each embryonic time point,

the number of CBs observed on average in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants was significantly higher

compared to littermate controls (**Fig 4H**; **S1 Table**; two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey honestly

significant difference (HSD) post hoc test, p < 0.0001 E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E16.5

Nes-Cre;TcKO versus control, E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus

E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; ns E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* vs

E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; E14.5: n = 4 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls; E16.5: n = 8 for *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 12 for controls; E18.5: n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls). These results

suggest different developmental trajectories for each of the presented white matter tract

mutant phenotypes.

We next asked if *Cas* family genes are functioning in a cortical-autonomous and

neuronal-autonomous manner during establishment of the EC-AC and TCA tracts. With this aim,

we generated two new classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants by crossing TcKO animals into *Emx1-Cre* [63]

and *Nex-Cre* [64] driver lines. In contrast to the broad CNS expression of *Nestin-Cre*, *Emx1-Cre*

and *Nex-Cre* recombination events are largely restricted to the cortex, allowing us to assess for a

possible cortical-autonomous role of *Cas* genes. *Emx1-Cre* induces recombination in cortical

radial glial cells (RGCs), affecting RGCs, cortical excitatory neurons, and macroglial populations,

while *Nex-Cre* is active only in early postmitotic excitatory neurons within the cortex. By

comparing potential phenotypic differences between *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutant

animals, we can evaluate if *Cas* genes function non-neuronal autonomously (i.e., in cortical non-

neuronal cells) or neuronal-autonomously (i.e., in cortical neurons) within the cortex (**S4 Fig**).

We have previously validated that *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutant animals do

not produce functional *Cas* transcripts in the expected neural populations [43]. We then

generated *Emx1-Cre;CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$* (*Emx1-Cre;TcKO*) mutants and collected neonates

(P0) for immunohistochemistry using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Fig 5A-B''**). We observed

defasciculation of AC axons in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* animals, which phenocopied the AC

defasciculation errors in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* neonates (**Fig 5A'** and **Fig 5B'**). These defasciculating AC

axons were labeled by lipophilic tracing from DiA crystals that were placed in the S2 region of

the cortex (**Fig 5C** and **Fig 5D**; n = 4 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5 for controls). In *Emx1-Cre;TcKO*

mutants, we observed an average of 7.90 ± 1.43 stray axon bundles in the subpallial area, while

in control mice we observed 0 (**Fig 5M**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test, p <0.0001, n = 8 for *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 10 for controls). *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* animals also presented the ectopic CB phenotype,

with large bundles of axons mis-projecting to the pial surface (**Fig 5A''** and **Fig 5B''**). On average,

we observed 9.15 ± 0.43 CBs in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mice and 0 in controls (**Fig 5N**; **S1 Table**;

Unpaired *t*-test, p <0.0001, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls). Lastly, we performed

axon tracing experiments by placing DiI crystals into the thalamus of *Emx1;TcKO* neonates and

confirmed that these CBs are primarily formed by thalamic axons (**Fig 5E** and **Fig 5F**; n = 3 for

Emx1-Cre;TcKO, n = 3 for controls).

Our initial analysis showed that the CBs in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants are primarily TCA

afferents originating from the thalamic nuclei (**Fig 3G** and **Fig 3H**). However, *Emx1-Cre* is not

expressed in the thalamic territory [63]. Thus, while the observation of CBs in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO*

mutants does not fully exclude the possibility of a thalamic role for Cas genes in TCA

innervation, it strongly suggests a cortical-autonomous role for Cas in guiding those axons,

thereby demonstrating that the white matter tract phenotypes in *Cas TcKO* animals require Cas

gene function in the developing neocortex itself.

Next, we generated *Nex-Cre;CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$* (*Nex-Cre;TcKO*) mice and collected

neonates (P0) for immunohistochemistry using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Fig 5G-H''**). In

contrast to *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and *Nestin-Cre;TcKO* mutants, *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants only

presented the AC defasciculation phenotype (**Fig 5G'** and **Fig 5H'**, white arrowheads),

demonstrating a neuronal-autonomous role for *Cas* genes during AC fasciculation. These stray

AC axons were again confirmed to be dorsolateral and lateral in origin by lipophilic tracing from

DiA crystal placement into the S2 cortex (**Fig 5I** and **Fig 5J**, white arrowheads; n = 5 for *Nex-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 4 for controls). We observed an average of 8.47 ± 1.11 stray axon bundles in the

subpallial area of *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants and 0 in control mice (**Fig 5O**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test,

p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls). Interestingly, *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutant

neonates do not present the CB phenotype, indicating a non-neuronal autonomous role of *Cas*

genes in TCA projections (**Fig 5G''** and **Fig 5H''**; n = 8 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls).

Analyses of the three classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants thus indicate a complex requirement

for *Cas* genes during cortical white matter tract formation. The proper fasciculation of AC axons

appears to require *Cas* in a neuronal-autonomous manner, likely reflecting a requirement for

Cas genes in the projecting axons themselves. In contrast, the proper projection of TCA

afferents into the cortex appears to have a non-neuronal-autonomous requirement for *Cas*

genes. As such, we infer that *Cas* genes are required by some non-neuronal cortical cell

population to properly coordinate TCA guidance, possibly by controlling the positioning of

intermediate target populations or the provision of local guidance cues in the cortex.

To further assess the cortical- and neuronal-autonomous role of Cas genes during AC

fasciculation, we genetically labeled AC axons to confirm their cortical origins. This facilitated a

closer examination of their relationship to neighboring tracts. To this end, we made use of the

Ai14/R26$^{LSL-tdTomato}$ Cre reporter line (or simply *Ai14*), which expresses tdTomato fluorescent

protein upon Cre-mediated excision of upstream translational STOP sequences, permitting

visualization of cells or tissues that have or previously had Cre recombinase expression [65]. We

crossed the *Ai14* reporter line into the *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* line to generate *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO*

mutants and collected P0 neonates for immunohistochemistry with the marker NCAM-L1 (**Fig**

6A-D''). As expected, we found robust labeling of the EC-AC tract in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls

(*Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$*) and observed tight fasciculation of the AC tract in

these animals (**Fig 6A-B**). Examination of *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants revealed that 100% of

the large, defasciculating AC axon bundles were tdTomato+, genetically confirming the cortical

origin of these mis-projecting axons (**Fig 6D-D''**, white arrowheads). On average, we observed

9.5 ± 0.29 stray axon bundles in the subpallial area of *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mice and 0 in

Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$ controls (**Fig 6I**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test p < 0.0001, n = 3 for *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$*

;TcKO, n = 3 for controls). Interestingly, we also observed tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ processes that

diffusely populated the subpallium. The thicker bundles of tdTomato+/NCAM-L1+ processes

appeared to intercalate with these tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ processes (**Fig 6D**), suggesting that

Cas-deficient tdTomato+ axons might be improperly associating with other axonal processes.

We next crossed the *Ai14* reporter line into the *Nex-Cre;TcKO* line to generate

NexCre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO mutants and collected P0 neonates for immunohistochemistry with the

marker NCAM-L1 (**Fig 6E-H''**). As observed with *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls, we found robust

labeling of the EC-AC tract in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls (*Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$*)

(**Fig 6E-F**). In *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants, we observed large, defasciculating AC axon bundles

in the subpallial area, 100% of which were tdTomato+ (**Fig 6H-H''**, white arrowheads). On

average, we observed 9.33 ± 2.03 stray axon bundles in the subpallial area in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$*

;TcKO, while in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls, we observed 0 (**Fig 6J**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test p =

0.0116, n = 4 for *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO,* n = 3 for controls). As in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants,

the defasciculating tdTomato+/NCAM-L1+ AC processes in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants

appeared to intercalate with the tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ bundles that also populate the

subpallium (**Fig 6H**). Taken together, these data genetically confirm the cortical origins of

defasciculating axons in the AC while also supporting the neuronal-autonomous role of *Cas*

genes for proper AC fasciculation.

During canonical adhesion signaling events, Cas proteins are recruited to early Integrin

Adhesion Complexes (IACs) via β1-integrin receptor stimulation and subsequent activation of

the non-receptor tyrosine kinases Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) and Src [34]. In the mammalian

brain, β1-integrin, encoded by *Itgb1*, appears to be the most prevalent β subunit involved in

mediating adhesion signaling events [66–70], with established roles in axonal guidance and

growth cone dynamics [67,71–73]. It has been demonstrated that *Itgb1* is expressed in the

developing cortex [74,75]. We attempted to validate β1-integrin protein expression in the

developing cortex using two different β1-integrin antibodies, but neither demonstrated

specificity (**S5-S6 Figs**). To investigate whether β1-integrin could be the adhesion receptor acting

upstream of Cas proteins during cortical white matter tract development, we asked if the

requirement for *Cas* genes in AC fasciculation and TCA projection was also observed in *Itgb1*

mutants.

We therefore generated *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mice and performed

immunohistochemistry in neonates (P0) using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Fig 7**). We first

examined the AC commissure tract in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* neonates.

Remarkably, neither class of *Itgb1* mutants phenocopied the AC defasciculation defects

observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**Fig 7A', Fig 7B', Fig 7C',** and **Fig 7D'**; n = 6 for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$*, n

= 5 for controls; n = 3 for *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants, n = 4 for controls). These observations

demonstrate that the β1-integrin receptor by itself is dispensable for AC fasciculation,

suggesting a different receptor may be acting upstream of *Cas* genes during AC formation. We

next examined the cortices of *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants and observed

bundles of mis-projecting axons in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants, a defect that strongly

phenocopied the CB phenotype presented by *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**Fig 7A''** and **Fig 7B''**,

white asterisks). On average, we observed 8.08 ± 0.25 CBs in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* animals and 0 in

controls (**Fig 7E**; **S1 Table**; Unpaired *t*-test, p < 0.0001, n = 6 for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$*, n = 5 for

controls). Interestingly, *Nex-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants did not display Cortical Bundles (**Fig 7C''** and

Fig 7D''; n = 3 for *Nex-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants, n = 4 for controls). This suggests similar non-

neuronal-autonomous roles for β1-integrin and Cas proteins during TCA projection pathfinding.

Given that β1-integrin seems to be dispensable on its own for AC formation, we sought

to determine the expression of other β-integrin subunits previously reported to be expressed

during cortical development [75]. Transcripts for the β-integrin subunits β5-, β6-, and β8-

integrin (encoded by *Itgb5*, *Itgb6*, and *Itgb8*, respectively) are indeed expressed in the

developing neocortex at E14.5 (**S7 Fig**), E16.5 (**S8 Fig**), and E18.5 (**S9 Fig**), with overlapping

expression in the ventricular zone at E18.5 (**S9 Fig**). Moreover, throughout development, *Itgb6*

and *Itgb8* are diffusely expressed in the piriform cortex (**S7-S9 Figs**), where neurons that project

axons that contribute to the formation of the pAC reside.

Lastly, we sought to identify a developmental mechanism by which TCA afferents mis-

project to form CBs in *Cas TcKO* mutants. Previous research from our lab established a role for

Cas proteins and β1-integrin during cortical lamination [43]. We therefore asked if the CB

phenotype presented by *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants was a secondary

phenotype that resulted from the disruption of cortical lamination in these mutants. As

previously mentioned, the subplate is a transient structure that acts as an intermediate target

for TCAs, coordinating the entry of these thalamic axons into the cortical plate [22,61]. Given

the cortical requirement of *Cas* genes for TCA guidance, and the defects in cortical lamination

presented by *Cas TcKO* mutants [43], we hypothesized that one possible explanation for the CB

phenotype presented by our mutants here could be the displacement of subplate and other

deep-layer neurons in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants.

Thus, we performed immunohistochemistry in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}*

mutants at E16.5 (**Fig 8**) and P0 (**Fig 9**), using the markers NCAM-L1 and Tbr1. Tbr1 is a

transcription factor known to regulate the specification of the subplate and layer VI cortical

layers [76]. Indeed, we found, at E16.5 and P0, both *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1- Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}*

mutants displayed cortical dysplasia, as previously described [43]: lamination of deep-layer

Tbr1+ cells is highly disrupted, with columns of Tbr1+ cells reaching the marginal zone (**Fig 8B**

and **Fig 8D**, **Fig 8F** and **Fig 8H**; **Fig 9B** and **Fig 9D**, **Fig 9F** and **Fig 9H**). Interestingly, in both *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants, 100% of the NCAM-L1+ CBs associated with these

displaced Tbr1+ cells, at times with clear "tunnels" of Tbr1+ cells containing CBs invading the

marginal zone (**Fig 8D-D"** and **Fig 8H-H"**, white asterisks; **Fig 9D-D"** and **Fig 9H-H"**, white

asterisks; n = 5-8 per group, see figure legends for details). We have previously demonstrated

that there are no cortical lamination defects in P7 *Nex-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants

[43]. Additional analysis of *Nex-Cre;TcKO* animals at P0, using the markers NCAM-L1 and Tbr1,

showed no apparent differences in cortical lamination between *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants and

littermate controls (n = 4 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants, n = 4 for controls) (**S10 Fig**). Taken together,

these results demonstrate that the disorganization of the subplate and deep cortical layers

observed in pan-cortical *Cas TcKO* and *Itgb1* mutant mice is non-neuronal autonomous and

strongly correlates with the ectopic CB phenotype.

Discussion

In this study, we used conditional mouse genetics to assess the cortical-autonomous and

neuronal-autonomous roles of *Cas* genes during forebrain white matter tract formation. Using a

Cas triple conditional knockout (*Cas TcKO*) model, we provide strong genetic evidence that Cas

proteins are required in two manners: 1) cortical- and neuronal-autonomously for proper

fasciculation of the posterior branch of the Anterior Commissure (pAC) (**Fig 3B'** and **Fig 3D**), and

2) cortical- and non-neuronal autonomously for thalamocortical axon (TCA) guidance (**Fig 3B''**

and **Fig 3H**).

The AC is a highly evolutionarily conserved forebrain tract that, in mice, makes reciprocal

connections between the Anterior Olfactory Nuclei of each olfactory bulb (via the anterior AC

tract, aAC) and mediates reciprocal connections between the piriform and entorhinal cortices of

the two hemispheres (via the posterior AC tract, pAC) [32]. Recent developmental studies have

identified a small group of pioneering axons with unusual elongation kinetics that advance

towards the embryonic midline at E13, preceding the majority of pAC axons that arrive later at

E15 [33]. These late-arriving processes join the stalled pioneers to form a primary fascicle that

crosses the embryonic midline in unison at E16 then innervates contralaterally and achieves

specific target selection at E17 and E18, respectively [33]. In our study, we find that *Cas TcKO*

mutants display defasciculation and ventral targeting of axons of the pAC tract (**Fig 3B'** and **Fig**

3D). However, these defects are only observable at later embryonic (E18.5) and postnatal (P0)

stages (**Fig 4F** and **Fig 3B**). Considering the timeline of pAC formation, this suggests that the

axons that fail to fasciculate properly are a population of late "follower" axons arriving at the AC

later in development.

Interestingly, we find that the defasciculating AC processes originate from the

dorsolateral cortex, as they are labeled by lipophilic tracing from the somatosensory S2 cortex in

all three classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants analyzed (**Fig 3D**; **Fig 5D** and **Fig 5J**). These results are

somewhat unexpected: the pAC has been primarily described as carrying axons from

ventrolateral cortical areas in mice [32]. The observation of DiI-labeled AC axons in both control

and mutant animals after placement of dye crystals in S2 might suggest that a population of

cortical axons projecting along the EC contributes to the formation of the AC. However, we

cannot exclude the possibility that DiI cross-labeled other AC-projecting cortical axons ventral to

S2. We genetically confirmed the cortical origin of the defasciculating AC axons using the *Ai14*

Cre-dependent reporter in both the *Emx1-Cre* and *Nex-Cre* lines (**Fig 6**). Additionally, we

observed intercalation of defasciculating tdTomato+ AC processes with other tdTomato-/NCAM-

L1+ processes in the subpallium. This may suggest that these defasciculating axons may be

failing to properly regulate homotypic versus heterotypic associations [77]. Taken together,

these data support a neuronal-autonomous requirement of *Cas* genes for the guidance of a

subset of cortical axons, which in the absence of these genes, mis-project into the ventral

subpallium.

During canonical adhesion signaling, Cas proteins are recruited to Integrin Adhesion

Complexes (IACs) following integrin receptor activation [34,39,78]. The integrins are a large

family of transmembrane receptors that include 18 alpha (α) and 8 beta (β) subunits and are

known to form 24 combinations of $\alpha\beta$ heterodimers [79,80]. The β1-integrin subunit has been

particularly well studied and is found in 12 of the known heterodimer combinations, mediating

many intracellular signaling functions during CNS development [42,66–69,75,80,81]. We

therefore analyzed the structure of the AC in *Itgb1* mutant neonates, which are deficient for the

β1-integirn subunit. Surprisingly, conditional deletion of *Itgb1* using *Emx1-Cre* or *Nex-Cre* failed

to phenocopy the AC defasciculation defects observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**Fig 7**), suggesting

that β1-integrin is dispensable for proper fasciculation of this tract. This raises two intriguing

possibilities: 1) there is compensation by another β subunit in *Itgb1*-deficient mice, or 2) Cas

proteins are signaling downstream of a different type of receptor.

In support of the first possibility, β5-integrin, β6-integrin, and β8-integrin are expressed

in the embryonic cortex (**S7-S9 Figs**) [75]. Thus, they might be acting as part of the adhesion

receptors driving the fasciculation of the AC axons, or might compensate for the loss of *Itgb1* in

mutant mice via the formation of heterodimers with α-integrins. For example, heterodimer

formation between αV-integrin and β1-, β5-, β6-, and β8-integrin has been previously

documented [80]. Of all α-subunits, αV-integrin appears as a prime candidate to modulate this

process: in addition to being able to form heterodimers with multiple β-integrins, αV-integrin is

known to have a critical role in neurodevelopment and is expressed in axons of white matter

tracts in the cerebral cortex [82,83]. Moreover, αV-integrin-containing dimers bind to the ECM

molecules laminin, fibronectin, vitronectin, tenascin, and collagen [68,75]. Importantly, laminin,

fibronectin, and tenascin are expressed by a population of midline glial cells that help

coordinate the development of the pAC [29]. One possible scenario then is that β5-integrin, β6-

integrin, or β8-integrin is acting as a functional partner with αV-integrin during AC fasciculation,

or can at least compensate for the loss of *Itgb1.*

The second possible explanation for the failure of *Itgb1* mutants to phenocopy the AC

defasciculation defects presented by *Cas TcKO* animals is that Cas proteins are signaling

downstream of an entirely different receptor system. It has previously been shown that

phospho-activation of Cas can be modulated by CXCL12/CXCR4 [44], Neuropilin [45], Netrin

[46], and Eph/Ephrin [84] signaling. Moreover, mutations in several genes that participate in

these signaling pathways or have known roles in adhesion have been shown to affect the

development of the AC; however, most of these mutations result in thinning or absence of the

AC tracts, not defasciculation of AC axons [85–107].

479   Notably, *Ephb2/Nuk* mutants [86] and *Ephb1/Ephb2* double-mutants [87] display

defasciculation and ventral targeting of pAC axons, which partially phenocopy *Cas TcKO*

mutants. Moreover, biochemical studies have implicated cross-talk between β1-integrin and

EphB/EphrinB receptor pathways in various cell types [108–111], including retinal ganglion cells

[112] and mossy fiber axons [113]. It is possible that these signaling cascades may be

coordinating phosphorylation of Cas proteins in cortical neuron axons as well. Determining

whether Cas proteins are working downstream of Eph/Ephrin receptor systems during AC

projection and fasciculation will be an interesting goal for future studies.

487   The second white matter tract phenotype presented by *Cas TcKO* mutants is the

appearance of large ectopic bundles of axons mis-projecting towards the pial surface of the

cortex (**Fig 3A''** and **Fig 3B''**), which we termed Cortical Bundles (CBs). Lipophilic tracing

experiments revealed the origin of these mis-projections to be thalamic (**Fig 3F** and **Fig 3H**).

Analysis of cortical bundle formation in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* revealed a comparable phenotype to

that observed in *Nestin-Cre;TcKO*, strongly suggesting a cortical-autonomous role for *Cas* genes

in CB formation. Further genetic experiments demonstrated that this phenotype is non-

neuronal-autonomous: conditional deletion of *Cas* genes using *Nex-Cre* failed to recapitulate

the CB phenotype (**Fig 5H''** and **Fig 5L**). From this, we inferred that *Cas* gene function is required

by some non-neuronal cortical-resident population responsible for coordinating TCA entry.

Similarly, analysis of *Itgb1* mutants revealed that conditional deletion using *Nex-Cre* did not

result in CB formation (**Fig 7D''**), indicating a comparable cortical-autonomous, non-neuronal-

autonomous role for β1-integrin. However, we cannot formally exclude a thalamus-autonomous

role for *Itgb1* or *Cas* genes in TCA pathfinding, as we did not ablate these genes exclusively in

the thalamus. Future studies using thalamus-specific Cre drivers would be needed to definitively

address this question.

During normal development, TCA innervation of the cortex is regulated by a transient

structure known as the subplate which acts as an intermediate target for TCAs until their target

layer (layer IV) is fully formed [15]. Arriving TCA projections stall in the subplate for several days

and grow extensive side processes within the subplate during this waiting period [18–20]. Upon

entering the cortical plate, TCAs form transient microcircuits with subplate neurons and

maturing cortical layer IV neurons, a process which appears to facilitate the proper targeting of

layer IV and thus the organization of mature circuits [23,114,115]. Mutations that disrupt the

laminar organization of the subplate, such as *reeler* mutants [116] and *Cdk5* mutants [117],

result in aberrant TCA projection patterns within the cortical plate, speaking to the

developmental importance of the subplate in TCA guidance. Previous work from our lab and

other labs has demonstrated that *Cas TcKO* and *Itgb1* mutants have severely disrupted laminar

organization of the cortex caused by breakages in the basal lamina that destabilize radial glial

endfeet attachment, thus resulting in improper migration of cortical neurons and severe cortical

dysplasia [43,74,118].

Taking all of this into consideration, we asked whether the disruption of subplate and

layer VI organization observed in *Cas TcKO* and *Itgb1* mutants could be a contributing

developmental factor to the cortical-autonomous defects we observed in TCA guidance. Using

the subplate/Layer VI marker Tbr1, we observed severe displacement of the deep cortical layers

at E16.5 (**Fig 8**) and P0 (**Fig 9**) in both *Cas TcKO* and *Itgb1* mutants. Co-labeling with NCAM-L1

revealed that 100% of ectopic CB projections correlate with sites of displaced Tbr1+ cells (**Fig 8D**

and **Fig 8H**; **Fig 9D** and **Fig 9H**).

Within the context of our previous work [43], these results suggest a model

whereby *Cas* genes are required in cortical radial glial cells to regulate endfeet attachment,

stabilization of the basement membrane, and glial process organization, which subsequently aid

in neuronal migration and formation of the cortical layers, including the subplate. In the

absence of *Cas* or *Itgb1* genes, these radial glial tracks are disrupted, resulting in mispositioned

subplate neurons (**Fig 8D** and **Fig 8H**; **Fig 9D** and **Fig 9H**) [43]. These mispositioned subplate

neurons could potentially recruit TCAs for innervation, causing ectopic cortical bundles to

innervate the proper intermediate target positioned in the wrong location. Hence, our model

(**S4 Fig**) is that while the role of *Cas* genes is non-neuronal-autonomous (acting in radial glia

rather than in the neurons themselves), the mispositioned Tbr1+ neurons in *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO mutants drive the TCA misprojection phenotype. These results, however indirect, add

to an extensive body of literature suggesting that proper lamination and formation of the

subplate is required for appropriate TCA afferent projection [15–19,21–23,61,119–124].

Collectively, this work establishes Cas proteins as essential mediators of forebrain axon

tract development and provides genetic mechanistic insights into their cell-type-specific

functions during cortical lamination-dependent thalamocortical guidance and neuronal-

autonomous commissural fasciculation. We build on previous genetic evidence that *Cas* genes

function radial glial-autonomously downstream of the β1-integrin receptor to ensure proper

lamination of the cortex [43], demonstrating that errors in lamination result in disruptions to

the subplate. Based on our data, we propose that this misplacement of the subplate might

cause aberrant thalamocortical axon guidance and the formation of ectopic bundles that mis-

project towards the pial surface. Further, we provide genetic evidence that *Cas* genes function

independently of the β1-integrin receptor in a neuronal-autonomous manner during

fasciculation and guidance of the Anterior Commissure, demonstrating that a subset of late-

arriving axons fail to properly fasciculate with the posterior branch of the Anterior Commissure.

These defasciculating axons display improper ventral targeting upon crossing the pallial-

subpallial boundary (PSPB). Our findings add to a growing body of evidence suggesting essential

roles for Cas proteins in adhesion signaling during axon guidance and migration [34,41–

43,46,52,125,126].

Materials & Methods

Animals and Genotyping: For embryonic collections, the morning of vaginal plug observation

was designated as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) and the day of birth was postnatal day 0 (P0). The

generation of the *Cas TcKO* model has been previously described [42,127,128]. Swiss Webster

mice were purchased from Taconic Biosciences (Model: SW-F and SW-M). The following lines

were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory: *Nestin-Cre* (Stock: 003771), *Emx1-Cre* (Stock:

005628), *Ai14/R26^LSL-tdTomato^* (Stock: 007914), and *Itgb1^fl/fl^* (Stock: 004605). Genotyping for

Nestin-Cre was carried out using the following primers: F: CCT TCC TGA AGC AGT AGA GCA R:

GCC TTA TTG TGG AAG GAC TG. Genotyping for *Emx1-Cre* was carried out using the following

primers: F: CCA TAT CAA CCG GTG GCG CAT C and R: TCG ATA AGC TTG GAT CCG GAG AG.

Genotyping for *Ai14/R26^LSL-tdTomato^* was carried out using the following primers: WTF: AAG GGA

GCT GCA GTG GAG TA, WTR: CCG AAA ATC TGT GGG AAG TC, MutF: CTG TTC CTG TAC GGC ATG

G, and MutR: GGC ATT AAA GCA GCG TAT CC. Genotyping for *Itgb1^fl/fl^* mice was carried out using

the primers: F: CGG CTC AAA GCA GAG TGT CAG TC and R: CCA CAA CTT TCC CAG TTA GCT CTC.

Nex-Cre mice were kindly provided by Drs. Nave and Goebbels [64,129]. Genotyping for *Nex-Cre*

was carried out using the following primers: Primer 4: GAG TCC TGG AAT CAG TCT TTT TC,

Primer 5: AGA ATG TGG AGT AGG GTG AC, and Primer 6: CCG CAT AAC CAG TGA AAC AG. Tail

biopsies were digested with Quanta tail extraction reagent kit (cat: 95091-025). PCR reactions

were prepared using GoTaq Master Mix (Promega cat: PRM7123). All animal procedures were

performed according to the University of California, Riverside's Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. All procedures were approved by UC Riverside IACUC.

Immunohistochemistry: Embryos, neonates, and adult mice were transcardially perfused with

ice-cold 1xPBS and subsequently dissected in cold 1xPBS. Brain tissue was post-fixed in 4% PFA

(diluted in 1xPBS) for 2hrs – overnight at 4°C. Tissue samples were processed immediately as

floating sections or cryopreserved in a solution of 30% sucrose in 1xPBS before embedding in

Tissue Plus O.C.T. Compound (Fisher HealthCare, cat: 4585) for long-term storage. Tissue

processed as floating sections was embedded in 3% agarose and sectioned coronally as 100-

150µm sections on a vibratome (Lecia, cat: VT1000S). Floating sections were incubated with a

permeabilization solution containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.3% TritonX-100 in

1xPBS for 4hrs – overnight at 4°C. Primary and secondary antibody mixes were diluted in a

solution of 5% goat serum made with permeabilization solution. All antibody incubations were

overnight at 4°C with gentle agitation. Cryoprotected samples were sectioned coronally at 25-

50µm on a cryostat (Leica cat: CM3050). Cryosections were dried for 20 minutes at room

temperature before blocking in freshly prepared blocking buffer (10% goat serum and 0.1%

TritonX-100 in 1xPBS) for 1hr before primary antibody addition. Antibodies were then prepared

in blocking buffer with reduced goat serum (5%) and incubated in a humidified chamber

overnight at 4°C. The antibodies and concentrations used in this study: rabbit anti-p130Cas

(E1L9H) (1:200, Cell Signaling Technology cat: 13846), rat anti-NCAM-L1 (1:500, Millipore cat:

MAB5272), chicken anti-Nestin (1:500, Aves cat: NES), chicken anti-GFP (1:500, Aves cat: GFP-

1020), rabbit anti-dsRed (1:500, Takara Bioscience cat: 632496), rabbit anti-Tbr1 (1:500, Abcam

cat: ab31940 [polyclonal]), rabbit anti-Tbr1 (1:500, Abcam cat: ab183032 [monoclonal]), mouse

anti-CD29 (Integrin beta 1) (1:100, eBioscience cat: 14-0299-82), and rabbit anti-Integrin β1

(D6S1W) (1:100, Cell Signaling Technology cat: 34971). Secondary antibodies were purchased

from ThermoFisher and diluted to 1:1000 from the stock concentration: goat anti-chicken 488

(cat: A-11039), goat anti-chicken 546 (cat: A-11040), goat anti-rabbit 488 (cat: A-11034), goat

anti-rabbit 546 (cat: A-11035), goat anti-rabbit 647 (cat: A-21244), goat anti-rat 488 (cat: A-

21208), goat anti-rat 546 (cat: A-11081), and goat anti-mouse 647 (cat: A-21236). Nuclear

counterstain was achieved by co-incubation with 1ug/ml DAPI (ThermoFisher cat: 50850585) in

all secondary antibody incubations. Tissue was mounted using Fluoro Gel with DABCO (Electron

Microscopy Sciences, cat: 17985-02). Confocal scanning images were acquired on a Leica DMi8

using a 10x objective (NA=0.40) or 20x objective (NA=0.75), and z-stacks were acquired with 20-

40 steps of sizes of 2-4μm.

Fluorescent *in situ* Hybridization: Embryos were perfused and dissected as described above for

immunohistochemistry. Brain tissue was then post-fixed in 4% PFA (in 1xPBS) overnight at 4°C

and cryopreserved as previously described. Fluorescent *in situ* hybridization was performed on

20 μm cryosections from E14.5, E16.5, or E18.5 embryos using the RNAScope Multiplex

Fluorescent Detection Kit v2 from Advanced Cell Diagnostics according to the manufacturer's

instructions (ACD, 323110) [130]. Briefly, ssDNA "z-probes" hybridize complementary to the

RNA of interest. Oligos bind to the tail region of the z-probe and are bound to amplifiers labeled

with horseradish peroxidase (HRP) and fluorophores. *Itgb5* (ACD, 404311), *Itgb6* (ACD, 312501),

and *Itgb8* (ACD, 407931) probes generated by Advanced Cell Diagnostics were uniquely

amplified with Opal dyes (Akoya Biosciences, FP1487001KT, FP1488001KT, FP1496001KT) and

counterstained with DAPI (1 μg/ml). Slides were mounted using Fluoro Gel with DABCO.

Confocal scanning images were acquired on a Leica DMi8.

Axonal Tracing: Lipophilic tracing of white matter tracts was performed by manually placing

small crystals of either DiA (Invitrogen cat: D3883) or DiI (Invitrogen cat: D282) into neonate

tissue dissected as described above for immunohistochemistry and postfixed in 4% PFA (in

1xPBS) overnight at 4°C. DiA crystals were placed in the Somatosensory 2 (S2) region of the

cortex, while DiI crystals were placed in the laterodorsal thalamus. Lipophilic crystals were

allowed to diffuse for 8 weeks at 37°C in a solution of 4% PFA in 1xPBS, with the solution

changed daily for the first 4 days and weekly thereafter. Tissue was embedded in 3% agarose in

1xPBS and sectioned as 150 μm sections on a vibratome (Lecia, cat: VT1000S). Sections were

imaged freshly sliced on a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 microscope.

Quantification of Stray Axon Bundles and Cortical Bundles: Quantification of stray axon

bundles defasciculating from the EC-AC tract and mis-projecting Cortical Bundles (CBs) was

done using ImageJ. The number of stray axon bundles in the subpallial area and the number of

CBs in the cortex were counted manually for each section per animal using the ImageJ

multipoint tool. Three to twelve independent animals were analyzed per group, depending on

the experiment, and two to twelve sections were analyzed per animal. Bar graphs represent the

group mean ± standard error of group mean, with plotted values representing the mean from

each animal analyzed. The datasets were then tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test

and QQ plot. For normally distributed datasets, Unpaired *t*-test was performed for comparing

two independent samples and two-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey honestly significant

difference (HSD) post hoc test, was used for multiple comparisons.

Ethics approval: All animal procedures presented here were performed according to the

University of California, Riverside's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)-

approved guidelines, under protocol A-8.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Teresa Ubina for critically reading the manuscript and providing

helpful comments. We would like to thank Drs. Nave and Goebbels for the *Nex-Cre* mice. We

would also like to thank Drs. Sachiko Seo and Mineo Kurokawa, and Dr. Konstantina

Alexandropoulos for sharing the *CasL$^{-/-}$* and *Sin$^{-/-}$* mouse lines, respectively.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data analyzed during this study are included in this article and supporting files.

Authors Contributions

JAE and AMT designed and performed experiments and wrote the manuscript. PAR, PW, and

WW designed and performed experiments. MMR conceived the project, designed experiments,

and wrote the manuscript.

References

1.   Tessier-Lavigne M, Goodman CS. The molecular biology of axon guidance. Science
1996;274:1123–33.

2.   Kolodkin AL, Tessier-Lavigne M. Mechanisms and molecules of neuronal wiring: a primer. Cold
Spring Harb Perspect Biol 2011;3:a001727.

3.   Caudy M, Bentley D. Pioneer growth cone steering along a series of neuronal and non-neuronal
cues of different affinities. J Neurosci 1986;6:1781–95.

4.   Lefcort F, Bentley D. Pathfinding by pioneer neurons in isolated, opened and mesoderm-free limb
buds of embryonic grasshoppers. Dev Biol 1987;119:466–80.

5.   Easter SS, Ross LS, Frankfurter A. Initial tract formation in the mouse brain. J Neurosci
1993;13:285–99.

6.   Easter SS, Burrill J, Marcus RC, Ross LS, Taylor JS, Wilson SW. Initial tract formation in the
vertebrate brain. Prog Brain Res 1994;102:79–93.

7.   Wang L, Marquardt T. What axons tell each other: axon-axon signaling in nerve and circuit
assembly. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2013;23:974–82.

8.   Chédotal A, Richards LJ. Wiring the brain: the biology of neuronal guidance. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol 2010;2:a001917.

9.   Moreland T, Poulain FE. To Stick or Not to Stick: The Multiple Roles of Cell Adhesion Molecules in
Neural Circuit Assembly. Front Neurosci 2022;16:889155.

10.   Breau MA, Trembleau A. Chemical and mechanical control of axon fasciculation and
defasciculation. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2023;140:72–81.

11.   López-Bendito G, Cautinat A, Sánchez JA, Bielle F, Flames N, Garratt AN, et al. Tangential neuronal
migration controls axon guidance: a role for neuregulin-1 in thalamocortical axon navigation. Cell
2006;125:127–42.

12.   Garel S, López-Bendito G. Inputs from the thalamocortical system on axon pathfinding
mechanisms. Curr Opin Neurobiol 2014;27:143–50.

13.   Squarzoni P, Thion MS, Garel S. Neuronal and microglial regulators of cortical wiring: usual and
novel guideposts. Front Neurosci 2015;9:248.

14.   Vanderhaeghen P, Polleux F. Developmental mechanisms patterning thalamocortical projections:
intrinsic, extrinsic and in between. Trends Neurosci 2004;27:384–91.

15.   Molnár Z, Garel S, López-Bendito G, Maness P, Price DJ. Mechanisms controlling the guidance of
thalamocortical axons through the embryonic forebrain. Eur J Neurosci 2012;35:1573–85.

16.   López-Bendito G. Development of the Thalamocortical Interactions: Past, Present and Future.
Neuroscience 2018;385:67–74.

17. Molnár Z, Kwan KY. Development and Evolution of Thalamocortical Connectivity. Cold Spring Harb
Perspect Biol 2024;16:a041503.

18. Ghosh A, Antonini A, McConnell SK, Shatz CJ. Requirement for subplate neurons in the formation
of thalamocortical connections. Nature 1990;347:179–81.

19. Allendoerfer KL, Shatz CJ. The subplate, a transient neocortical structure: its role in the
development of connections between thalamus and cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 1994;17:185–218.

20. Ayoub AE, Kostovic I. New horizons for the subplate zone and its pioneering neurons. Cereb
Cortex 2009;19:1705–7.

21. McConnell SK, Ghosh A, Shatz CJ. Subplate neurons pioneer the first axon pathway from the
cerebral cortex. Science 1989;245:978–82.

22. Ghosh A, Shatz CJ. Involvement of subplate neurons in the formation of ocular dominance
columns. Science 1992;255:1441–3.

23. Kanold PO, Luhmann HJ. The subplate and early cortical circuits. Annu Rev Neurosci 2010;33:23–
48.

24. Monko T, Rebertus J, Stolley J, Salton SR, Nakagawa Y. Thalamocortical axons regulate
neurogenesis and laminar fates in the early sensory cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
2022;119:e2201355119.

25. Silver J, Edwards MA, Levitt P. Immunocytochemical demonstration of early appearing astroglial
structures that form boundaries and pathways along axon tracts in the fetal brain. J Comp Neurol
1993;328:415–36.

26. Marcus RC, Blazeski R, Godement P, Mason CA. Retinal axon divergence in the optic chiasm:
uncrossed axons diverge from crossed axons within a midline glial specialization. J Neurosci
1995;15:3716–29.

27. Shu T, Richards LJ. Cortical axon guidance by the glial wedge during the development of the
corpus callosum. J Neurosci 2001;21:2749–58.

28. Shu T, Li Y, Keller A, Richards LJ. The glial sling is a migratory population of developing neurons.
Development 2003;130:2929–37.

29. Pires-Neto MA, Braga-De-Souza S, Lent R. Molecular tunnels and boundaries for growing axons in
the anterior commissure of hamster embryos. J Comp Neurol 1998;399:176–88.

30. Lent R, Uziel D, Baudrimont M, Fallet C. Cellular and molecular tunnels surrounding the forebrain
commissures of human fetuses. J Comp Neurol 2005;483:375–82.

31. Jouandet ML, Hartenstein V. Basal telencephalic origins of the anterior commissure of the rat. Exp
Brain Res 1983;50:183–92.

32. Fenlon LR, Suarez R, Lynton Z, Richards LJ. The evolution, formation and connectivity of the
anterior commissure. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2021;118:50–9.

33. Martin-Lopez E, Meller SJ, Greer CA. Development of piriform cortex interhemispheric
connections via the anterior commissure: progressive and regressive strategies. Brain Struct Funct
2018;223:4067–85.

34. Defilippi P, Di Stefano P, Cabodi S. p130Cas: a versatile scaffold in signaling networks. Trends Cell
Biol 2006;16:257–63.

35. Deneka A, Korobeynikov V, Golemis EA. Embryonal Fyn-associated substrate (EFS) and CASS4: The
lesser-known CAS protein family members. Gene 2015;570:25–35.

36. Bouton AH, Riggins RB, Bruce-Staskal PJ. Functions of the adapter protein Cas: signal convergence
and the determination of cellular responses. Oncogene 2001;20:6448–58.

37. Ruest PJ, Shin NY, Polte TR, Zhang X, Hanks SK. Mechanisms of CAS substrate domain tyrosine
phosphorylation by FAK and Src. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7641–52.

38. Fonseca PM, Shin NY, Brábek J, Ryzhova L, Wu J, Hanks SK. Regulation and localization of CAS
substrate domain tyrosine phosphorylation. Cell Signal 2004;16:621–9.

39. Donato DM, Ryzhova LM, Meenderink LM, Kaverina I, Hanks SK. Dynamics and mechanism of
p130Cas localization to focal adhesions. J Biol Chem 2010;285:20769–79.

40. Bargon SD, Gunning PW, O'Neill GM. The Cas family docking protein, HEF1, promotes the
formation of neurite-like membrane extensions. Biochim Biophys Acta 2005;1746:143–54.

41. Huang Z, Yazdani U, Thompson-Peer KL, Kolodkin AL, Terman JR. Crk-associated substrate (Cas)
signaling protein functions with integrins to specify axon guidance during development.
Development 2007;134:2337–47.

42. Riccomagno MM, Sun LO, Brady CM, Alexandropoulos K, Seo S, Kurokawa M, et al. Cas adaptor
proteins organize the retinal ganglion cell layer downstream of integrin signaling. Neuron
2014;81:779–86.

43. Wong W, Estep JA, Treptow AM, Rajabli N, Jahncke JN, Ubina T, et al. An adhesion signaling axis
involving Dystroglycan, β1-Integrin, and Cas adaptor proteins regulates the establishment of the
cortical glial scaffold. PLoS Biol 2023;21:e3002212.

44. Wang JF, Park IW, Groopman JE. Stromal cell-derived factor-1alpha stimulates tyrosine
phosphorylation of multiple focal adhesion proteins and induces migration of hematopoietic
progenitor cells: roles of phosphoinositide-3 kinase and protein kinase C. Blood 2000;95:2505–13.

45. Evans IM, Yamaji M, Britton G, Pellet-Many C, Lockie C, Zachary IC, et al. Neuropilin-1 signaling
through p130Cas tyrosine phosphorylation is essential for growth factor-dependent migration of
glioma and endothelial cells. Mol Cell Biol 2011;31:1174–85.

46. Liu G, Li W, Gao X, Li X, Jürgensen C, Park HT, et al. p130CAS is required for netrin signaling and
commissural axon guidance. J Neurosci 2007;27:957–68.

47. Honda H, Nakamoto T, Sakai R, Hirai H. p130(Cas), an assembling molecule of actin filaments,
promotes cell movement, cell migration, and cell spreading in fibroblasts. Biochem Biophys Res
Commun 1999;262:25–30.

48. Gustavsson A, Yuan M, Fällman M. Temporal dissection of beta1-integrin signaling indicates a role
for p130Cas-Crk in filopodia formation. J Biol Chem 2004;279:22893–901.

49. Aquino JB, Marmigère F, Lallemend F, Lundgren TK, Villar MJ, Wegner M, et al. Differential
expression and dynamic changes of murine NEDD9 in progenitor cells of diverse tissues. Gene
Expr Patterns 2008;8:217–26.

50. Ishino M, Ohba T, Inazawa J, Sasaki H, Ariyama Y, Sasaki T. Identification of an Efs isoform that
lacks the SH3 domain and chromosomal mapping of human Efs. Oncogene 1997;15:1741–5.

51. Singh MK, Dadke D, Nicolas E, Serebriiskii IG, Apostolou S, Canutescu A, et al. A Novel Cas Family
Member, HEPL, Regulates FAK and Cell Spreading. Mol Biol Cell 2008;19:1627–36.

52. Vahedi-Hunter TA, Estep JA, Rosette KA, Rutlin ML, Wright KM, Riccomagno MM. Cas Adaptor
Proteins Coordinate Sensory Axon Fasciculation. Sci Rep 2018;8:5996.

53. Fischer G, Künemund V, Schachner M. Neurite outgrowth patterns in cerebellar microexplant
cultures are affected by antibodies to the cell surface glycoprotein L1. J Neurosci 1986;6:605–12.

54. Moos M, Tacke R, Scherer H, Teplow D, Früh K, Schachner M. Neural adhesion molecule L1 as a
member of the immunoglobulin superfamily with binding domains similar to fibronectin. Nature
1988;334:701–3.

55. Munakata H, Nakamura Y, Matsumoto-Miyai K, Itoh K, Yamasaki H, Shiosaka S. Distribution and
densitometry mapping of L1-CAM immunoreactivity in the adult mouse brain--light microscopic
observation. BMC Neurosci 2003;4:7.

56. Lendahl U, Zimmerman LB, McKay RD. CNS stem cells express a new class of intermediate
filament protein. Cell 1990;60:585–95.

57. Lu X, Duan M, Song L, Zhang W, Hu X, Zhao S, et al. Morphological changes of radial glial cells
during mouse embryonic development. Brain Res 2015;1599:57–66.

58. Gong S, Zheng C, Doughty ML, Losos K, Didkovsky N, Schambra UB, et al. A gene expression atlas
of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. Nature 2003;425:917–
25.

59. Honda H, Oda H, Nakamoto T, Honda Z, Sakai R, Suzuki T, et al. Cardiovascular anomaly, impaired
actin bundling and resistance to Src-induced transformation in mice lacking p130Cas. Nat Genet
1998;19:361–5.

60. Tronche F, Kellendonk C, Kretz O, Gass P, Anlag K, Orban PC, et al. Disruption of the glucocorticoid
receptor gene in the nervous system results in reduced anxiety. Nat Genet 1999;23:99–103.

61. López-Bendito G, Molnár Z. Thalamocortical development: how are we going to get there? Nat
Rev Neurosci 2003;4:276–89.

62. Hanashima C, Molnár Z, Fishell G. Building bridges to the cortex. Cell 2006;125:24–7.

63. Gorski JA, Talley T, Qiu M, Puelles L, Rubenstein JLR, Jones KR. Cortical excitatory neurons and glia,
but not GABAergic neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing lineage. J Neurosci
2002;22:6309–14.

64. Goebbels S, Bormuth I, Bode U, Hermanson O, Schwab MH, Nave KA. Genetic targeting of
principal neurons in neocortex and hippocampus of NEX-Cre mice. Genesis 2006;44:611–21.

65. Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, Oh SW, Zariwala HA, Gu H, et al. A robust and high-
throughput Cre reporting and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci
2010;13:133–40.

66. Milner R, Campbell IL. The integrin family of cell adhesion molecules has multiple functions within
the CNS. J Neurosci Res 2002;69:286–91.

67. Myers JP, Santiago-Medina M, Gomez TM. Regulation of axonal outgrowth and pathfinding by
integrin-ECM interactions. Dev Neurobiol 2011;71:901–23.

68. Lilja J, Ivaska J. Integrin activity in neuronal connectivity. J Cell Sci 2018;131:jcs212803.

69. Jaudon F, Thalhammer A, Cingolani LA. Integrin adhesion in brain assembly: From molecular
structure to neuropsychiatric disorders. Eur J Neurosci 2021;53:3831–50.

70. Yan L, Cui Z. Integrin β1 and the Repair after Nervous System Injury. Eur Neurol 2023;86:2–12.

71. Bixby JL, Harris WA. Molecular mechanisms of axon growth and guidance. Annu Rev Cell Biol
1991;7:117–59.

72. Renaudin A, Lehmann M, Girault J, McKerracher L. Organization of point contacts in neuronal
growth cones. J Neurosci Res 1999;55:458–71.

73. Kerstein PC, Nichol RH, Gomez TM. Mechanochemical regulation of growth cone motility. Front
Cell Neurosci 2015;9:244.

74. Graus-Porta D, Blaess S, Senften M, Littlewood-Evans A, Damsky C, Huang Z, et al. Beta1-class
integrins regulate the development of laminae and folia in the cerebral and cerebellar cortex.
Neuron 2001;31:367–79.

75. Schmid RS, Anton ES. Role of integrins in the development of the cerebral cortex. Cereb Cortex
2003;13:219–24.

76. Hevner RF, Shi L, Justice N, Hsueh Y, Sheng M, Smiga S, et al. Tbr1 regulates differentiation of the
preplate and layer 6. Neuron 2001;29:353–66.

77. Spead O, Poulain FE. Trans-Axonal Signaling in Neural Circuit Wiring. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:5170.

78. Vuori K, Hirai H, Aizawa S, Ruoslahti E. Introduction of p130cas signaling complex formation upon
integrin-mediated cell adhesion: a role for Src family kinases. Mol Cell Biol 1996;16:2606–13.

79. Schwartz MA. Integrin signaling revisited. Trends Cell Biol 2001;11:466–70.

80. Takada Y, Ye X, Simon S. The integrins. Genome Biol 2007;8:215.

81. Hynes RO. Integrins: versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell adhesion. Cell 1992;69:11–25.

82. McCarty JH, Lacy-Hulbert A, Charest A, Bronson RT, Crowley D, Housman D, et al. Selective
ablation of alphav integrins in the central nervous system leads to cerebral hemorrhage, seizures,
axonal degeneration and premature death. Development 2005;132:165–76.

83. Denda S, Reichardt LF. Studies on integrins in the nervous system. Methods Enzymol
2007;426:203–21.

84. Bourgin C, Murai KK, Richter M, Pasquale EB. The EphA4 receptor regulates dendritic spine
remodeling by affecting beta1-integrin signaling pathways. J Cell Biol 2007;178:1295–307.

85. Fazeli A, Dickinson SL, Hermiston ML, Tighe RV, Steen RG, Small CG, et al. Phenotype of mice
lacking functional Deleted in colorectal cancer (Dcc) gene. Nature 1997;386:796–804.

86. Henkemeyer M, Orioli D, Henderson JT, Saxton TM, Roder J, Pawson T, et al. Nuk controls
pathfinding of commissural axons in the mammalian central nervous system. Cell 1996;86:35–46.

87. Robichaux MA, Chenaux G, Ho HYH, Soskis MJ, Greenberg ME, Henkemeyer M, et al. EphB1 and
EphB2 intracellular domains regulate the formation of the corpus callosum and anterior
commissure. Dev Neurobiol 2016;76:405–20.

88. Kwon YT, Tsai LH, Crandall JE. Callosal axon guidance defects in p35(-/-) mice. J Comp Neurol
1999;415:218–29.

89. Islam SM, Shinmyo Y, Okafuji T, Su Y, Naser IB, Ahmed G, et al. Draxin, a repulsive guidance protein
for spinal cord and forebrain commissures. Science 2009;323:388–93.

90. Ito A, Shinmyo Y, Abe T, Oshima N, Tanaka H, Ohta K. Tsukushi is required for anterior commissure
formation in mouse brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2010;402:813–8.

91. Klingler E, Martin PM, Garcia M, Moreau-Fauvarque C, Falk J, Chareyre F, et al. The cytoskeleton-
associated protein SCHIP1 is involved in axon guidance, and is required for piriform cortex and
anterior commissure development. Development 2015;142:2026–36.

92. Serafini T, Colamarino SA, Leonardo ED, Wang H, Beddington R, Skarnes WC, et al. Netrin-1 is
required for commissural axon guidance in the developing vertebrate nervous system. Cell
1996;87:1001–14.

93. Falk J, Bechara A, Fiore R, Nawabi H, Zhou H, Hoyo-Becerra C, et al. Dual functional activity of
semaphorin 3B is required for positioning the anterior commissure. Neuron 2005;48:63–75.

94. Sahay A, Molliver ME, Ginty DD, Kolodkin AL. Semaphorin 3F is critical for development of limbic
system circuitry and is required in neurons for selective CNS axon guidance events. J Neurosci
2003;23:6671–80.

95. Dottori M, Hartley L, Galea M, Paxinos G, Polizzotto M, Kilpatrick T, et al. EphA4 (Sek1) receptor
tyrosine kinase is required for the development of the corticospinal tract. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
1998;95:13248–53.

96. Suto F, Ito K, Uemura M, Shimizu M, Shinkawa Y, Sanbo M, et al. Plexin-a4 mediates axon-
repulsive activities of both secreted and transmembrane semaphorins and plays roles in nerve
fiber guidance. J Neurosci 2005;25:3628–37.

97. Chen H, Bagri A, Zupicich JA, Zou Y, Stoeckli E, Pleasure SJ, et al. Neuropilin-2 regulates the
development of selective cranial and sensory nerves and hippocampal mossy fiber projections.
Neuron 2000;25:43–56.

98. Giger RJ, Cloutier JF, Sahay A, Prinjha RK, Levengood DV, Moore SE, et al. Neuropilin-2 is required
in vivo for selective axon guidance responses to secreted semaphorins. Neuron 2000;25:29–41.

99. Abudureyimu S, Asai N, Enomoto A, Weng L, Kobayashi H, Wang X, et al. Essential Role of
Linx/Islr2 in the Development of the Forebrain Anterior Commissure. Sci Rep 2018;8:7292.

100. Deuel TAS, Liu JS, Corbo JC, Yoo SY, Rorke-Adams LB, Walsh CA. Genetic interactions between
doublecortin and doublecortin-like kinase in neuronal migration and axon outgrowth. Neuron
2006;49:41–53.

101. Tole S, Gutin G, Bhatnagar L, Remedios R, Hébert JM. Development of midline cell types and
commissural axon tracts requires Fgfr1 in the cerebrum. Dev Biol 2006;289:141–51.

102. Qu Y, Huang Y, Feng J, Alvarez-Bolado G, Grove EA, Yang Y, et al. Genetic evidence that Celsr3 and
Celsr2, together with Fzd3, regulate forebrain wiring in a Vangl-independent manner. Proc Natl
Acad Sci U S A 2014;111:E2996-3004.

103. Tissir F, Bar I, Jossin Y, De Backer O, Goffinet AM. Protocadherin Celsr3 is crucial in axonal tract
development. Nat Neurosci 2005;8:451–7.

104. López-Bendito G, Flames N, Ma L, Fouquet C, Di Meglio T, Chedotal A, et al. Robo1 and Robo2
cooperate to control the guidance of major axonal tracts in the mammalian forebrain. J Neurosci
2007;27:3395–407.

105. Hua ZL, Jeon S, Caterina MJ, Nathans J. Frizzled3 is required for the development of multiple axon
tracts in the mouse central nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2014;111:E3005-3014.

106. Shen Y, Mani S, Donovan SL, Schwob JE, Meiri KF. Growth-associated protein-43 is required for
commissural axon guidance in the developing vertebrate nervous system. J Neurosci
2002;22:239–47.

107. Chen L, Liao G, Waclaw RR, Burns KA, Linquist D, Campbell K, et al. Rac1 controls the formation of
midline commissures and the competency of tangential migration in ventral telencephalic
neurons. J Neurosci 2007;27:3884–93.

108. Stein E, Huynh-Do U, Lane AA, Cerretti DP, Daniel TO. Nck recruitment to Eph receptor,
EphB1/ELK, couples ligand activation to c-Jun kinase. J Biol Chem 1998;273:1303–8.

109. Stein E, Lane AA, Cerretti DP, Schoecklmann HO, Schroff AD, Van Etten RL, et al. Eph receptors
discriminate specific ligand oligomers to determine alternative signaling complexes, attachment,
and assembly responses. Genes Dev 1998;12:667–78.

110. Huynh-Do U, Stein E, Lane AA, Liu H, Cerretti DP, Daniel TO. Surface densities of ephrin-B1
determine EphB1-coupled activation of cell attachment through alphavbeta3 and alpha5beta1
integrins. EMBO J 1999;18:2165–73.

111. Becker E, Huynh-Do U, Holland S, Pawson T, Daniel TO, Skolnik EY. Nck-interacting Ste20 kinase
couples Eph receptors to c-Jun N-terminal kinase and integrin activation. Mol Cell Biol
2000;20:1537–45.

112. Suh LH, Oster SF, Soehrman SS, Grenningloh G, Sretavan DW. L1/Laminin modulation of growth
cone response to EphB triggers growth pauses and regulates the microtubule destabilizing protein
SCG10. J Neurosci 2004;24:1976–86.

113. Xu NJ, Henkemeyer M. Ephrin-B3 reverse signaling through Grb4 and cytoskeletal regulators
mediates axon pruning. Nat Neurosci 2009;12:268–76.

114. Tolner EA, Sheikh A, Yukin AY, Kaila K, Kanold PO. Subplate neurons promote spindle bursts and
thalamocortical patterning in the neonatal rat somatosensory cortex. J Neurosci 2012;32:692–
702.

115. Viswanathan S, Bandyopadhyay S, Kao JPY, Kanold PO. Changing microcircuits in the subplate of
the developing cortex. J Neurosci 2012;32:1589–601.

116. Molnár Z, Adams R, Goffinet AM, Blakemore C. The role of the first postmitotic cortical cells in the
development of thalamocortical innervation in the reeler mouse. J Neurosci 1998;18:5746–65.

117. Gilmore EC, Ohshima T, Goffinet AM, Kulkarni AB, Herrup K. Cyclin-dependent kinase 5-deficient
mice demonstrate novel developmental arrest in cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 1998;18:6370–7.

118. Belvindrah R, Graus-Porta D, Goebbels S, Nave KA, Müller U. Beta1 integrins in radial glia but not
in migrating neurons are essential for the formation of cell layers in the cerebral cortex. J Neurosci
2007;27:13854–65.

119. O'Leary DD, Schlaggar BL, Tuttle R. Specification of neocortical areas and thalamocortical
connections. Annu Rev Neurosci 1994;17:419–39.

120. Molnár Z, Adams R, Blakemore C. Mechanisms underlying the early establishment of
thalamocortical connections in the rat. J Neurosci 1998;18:5723–45.

121. Kanold PO, Kara P, Reid RC, Shatz CJ. Role of subplate neurons in functional maturation of visual
cortical columns. Science 2003;301:521–5.

122. Kanold PO. Subplate neurons: crucial regulators of cortical development and plasticity. Front
Neuroanat 2009;3:16.

123. Hoerder-Suabedissen A, Molnár Z. Morphology of mouse subplate cells with identified projection
targets changes with age. J Comp Neurol 2012;520:174–85.

124. Ohtaka-Maruyama C. Subplate Neurons as an Organizer of Mammalian Neocortical Development.
Front Neuroanat 2020;14:8.

125. Cabodi S, del Pilar Camacho-Leal M, Di Stefano P, Defilippi P. Integrin signalling adaptors: not only
figurants in the cancer story. Nat Rev Cancer 2010;10:858–70.

126. Machiyama H, Hirata H, Loh XK, Kanchi MM, Fujita H, Tan SH, et al. Displacement of p130Cas from
focal adhesions links actomyosin contraction to cell migration. J Cell Sci 2014;127:3440–50.

127. Seo S, Asai T, Saito T, Suzuki T, Morishita Y, Nakamoto T, et al. Crk-associated substrate lymphocyte
type is required for lymphocyte trafficking and marginal zone B cell maintenance. J Immunol
2005;175:3492–501.

128. Donlin LT, Danzl NM, Wanjalla C, Alexandropoulos K. Deficiency in expression of the signaling
protein Sin/Efs leads to T-lymphocyte activation and mucosal inflammation. Mol Cell Biol
2005;25:11035–46.

129. Wu SX, Goebbels S, Nakamura K, Nakamura K, Kometani K, Minato N, et al. Pyramidal neurons of
upper cortical layers generated by NEX-positive progenitor cells in the subventricular zone. Proc
Natl Acad Sci U S A 2005;102:17172–7.

130. Wang F, Flanagan J, Su N, Wang LC, Bui S, Nielson A, et al. RNAscope: a novel in situ RNA analysis
platform for formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues. J Mol Diagn 2012;14:22–9.

 Figure Legends

Figure 1. p130Cas protein is expressed in the developing cortex during lamination and white

matter tract formation. (A-I') Immunohistochemistry showing the developmental expression

pattern of p130Cas protein (green) during cortical development of WT mice. At E14.5, p130Cas

expression is strongly detected in the intermediate zone and marginal zone and more diffusely

in the cortical plate (**C-C'**). At E16.5, p130Cas expression is strongest in the cortical white matter,

intermediate zone, subventricular zone, and marginal zone (**F-F'**). By E18.5, p130Cas is broadly

expressed throughout the cortex, with stronger expression in the subventricular, marginal, and

intermediate zones (**I-I'**). Robust co-expression of p130Cas with axonal marker NCAM-L1 (red) is

observed in cortical white matter tracts, including the External Capsule (EC) (**B, E, and H**, white

arrowhead) and the Anterior Commissure (AC) (**B, F, and H**, white arrows). MZ = Marginal Zone;

CP = Cortical Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ = Subventricular Zone; VZ = Ventricular Zone.

Scale bar = 250µm (A-B', D-I'). Scale bar = 100µm (C-D'). E14.5: n = 4; E16.5: n = 3; and E18.5: n =

4.

Figure 2. *p130Cas* is expressed in neurons that project axons along the cortical white matter.

(**A-E''**) Detection of EGFP (green) expression of *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* transgenic mice co-

immunolabeled with the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (red) at E14.5 (**A-A''**), E16.5 (**B-B''**), and P0 (**C-**

C''). Analysis reveals strong *p130Cas* promoter activity during the formation of cortical white

matter tracts. Robust EGFP expression is observed in the External Capsule (EC) (**A, B, C**, white

arrowhead) and Anterior Commissure (AC) (**A, B, C**, white arrows). High-resolution images at P0

show EGFP (**D', E'**) and NCAM-L1 (**D'', E''**) expression at the pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) (**D**,

dotted line) and the cortical plate (**E**). Scale bar = 250μm. n = 3 animals for each developmental

timepoint.

Figure 3. *Cas TcKO* mutants display errors in cortical and thalamocortical connections. (A-B'')

Immunohistological analysis of major cortical tracts using the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green) in

Nes-Cre;TcKO mutant and control neonates (P0). Two major defasciculation phenotypes are

present in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice: 1) efferents originating in the cortex fail to fasciculate properly in

the pAC tract and erroneously project into the subpallium (**B'**), and 2) thalamocortical

connections meant to innervate layer IV mis-project to the subpial boundary, forming large

Cortical Bundles (**B''**). Each of these phenotypes is fully penetrant in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. The

high-magnification panel in **B''** is from a similar area as the upper box in **B**, but from a nearby

section. (**C-H**) The origin of mis-projecting axons is confirmed via anterograde lipophilic tracing

experiments. DiA crystals placed into the S2 cortex label ventrally defasciculating AC axons (**D**,

arrowheads) but not Cortical Bundles (**F**) in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. DiI crystals placed in the

laterodorsal thalamus label Cortical Bundles (**H**, white asterisks). (**I**) Quantification of the

number of stray axon bundles in the subpallial area. Unpaired *t*-test, ***p < 0.001, n = 7 for *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 10 for controls. (**J**) Quantification of the number of Cortical Bundles. Unpaired *t*-

test, ****p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls. Values provided are mean ±

SEM. Scale bar = 250μm.

Figure 4. Developmental progression of white matter defects in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. (A-F'')

Immunohistochemistry for the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green) in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and

control mice at E14.5 (**A-B''**), E16.5 (**C-D''**), and E18.5 (**E-F''**). Analysis reveals different

developmental timelines for each defasciculation phenotype. The EC-AC tract begins developing

at E14.5 in control (**A'**) and *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice (**B'**). *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice display no AC

defasciculation errors until E18.5 (**F'**, white arrowhead). Cortical Bundles are apparent at E14.5

in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**B''**, white asterisks) and worsen in severity as development continues

(**D''** and **F''**, white asterisks). (**G**) Quantification of the average number of stray axon bundles in

the subpallial area at E18.5. Unpaired *t*-test, **p < 0.01, n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for

controls. (**H**) Quantification of the average number of Cortical Bundles at E14.6, E16.5, and

E18.5. Two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD post hoc test, ****p < 0.0001 E14.5 *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO versus control, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control,

E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*;

ns E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* vs E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; E14.5: n = 4 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls;

E16.5: n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 12 for controls; E18.5: n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for

controls). Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 250μm.

1009

Figure 5. Neuronal-autonomous and non-neuronal autonomous roles for *Cas* genes in white

matter tract fasciculation. (A-H'') Immunohistochemistry for the axonal marker NCAM-L1

(green) in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* neonates. Analysis reveals both classes of

conditional knockouts present the AC defasciculation phenotype (**B' and H'**, white arrowheads),

indicating this phenotype is neuronal-autonomous (i.e, Cas proteins function within the neurons

themselves). This phenotype is fully penetrant in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants.

Similar to *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**Figure 2**), defasciculating axons in the subpallial area of *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants are cortical in origin as revealed by anterograde DiA tracing

from crystal placement in the S2 cortex (**D and J**, white arrowheads). In contrast, only *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO mutants display the Cortical Bundle phenotype (**B''**, white asterisks). Cortical Bundles

are confirmed to be TCA projections by anterograde labeling from DiI crystals placed in the

laterodorsal thalamus (**F**, white asterisks). The absence of the Cortical Bundle phenotype in *Nex-*

Cre;TcKO mutants (**H'' and L**) indicates *Cas* genes function in a population of cortical non-

neuronal cells during TCA fasciculation and projection. (**M**) Quantification of the number of

stray axon bundles in the subpallial area in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-

test, ****p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls. (**N**) Quantification of the

number of Cortical Bundles in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****p <

0.0001, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls. (**O**) Quantification of the number of stray

axon bundles in the subpallial area of *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test,

****p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM.

Scale bar = 250µm.

1031

Figure 6. Genetic labeling confirms cortical origins of AC defasciculating axons in *Cas TcKO*

mutants. (A-H'') Immunohistochemistry for NCAM-L1 (green) and the tdTomato fluorescent

Cre-dependent reporter (red). Analysis reveals extensive co-labeling in defasciculating AC axon

bundles in both *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* (**C-D''**) and *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* (**G-H''**) mutants. In both

classes of conditional mutants, tdTomato+ defasciculating axons appear to intercalate with

diffuse NCAM-L1+ processes that occupy the subpallium (**D' vs D'' and H' vs H''**, white

arrowheads). No tdTomato+ processes may be seen invading the subpallium in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$*

;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$ controls (**A-B''**) and *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$* (**E-F''**)

controls. (**I**) Quantification of the number of stray axon bundles in the subpallial area of *Emx1-*

Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$, n = 3 for *Emx1-*

Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO, n = 3 for controls. (**J**) Quantification of the number of stray axon bundles in the

subpallial area of *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, *$p < 0.05$, n = 4 for

Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO, n = 3 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 250µm.

1045

Figure 7. *Itgb1* mutants phenocopy the TCA tract defects observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants. (A-

D'') Immunohistological analysis of NCAM-L1 (green) in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$*

neonates. Neither class of conditional mutant phenocopies the AC defasciculation defects

observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**B' and D'**), suggesting that β1-integrin is dispensable for AC tract

fasciculation. Only *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants phenocopy the Cortical Bundle phenotype

observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**B''**, white asterisks), indicating β1-integrin similarly functions in

a population of cortical non-neuronal cells during TCA projection. (**E**) Quantification of the

number of Cortical Bundles in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p <$

0.0001, n = 6 for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$*, n = 5 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale

bar = 250µm.

1056

Figure 8. Cortical Bundle phenotype in E16.5 *Itgb1* and *Cas TcKO* mutants correlates with

displaced subplate cells. (A-H") Immunohistochemistry of Tbr1 (red) and NCAM-L1 (green) in

E16.5 *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants. Analysis reveals that severe cortical

dysplasia is associated with Cortical Bundles. In both classes of conditional mutants, Tbr1+

deep-layer/subplate cells are displaced to more superficial layers (**D' and H'**, white asterisks).

Cortical Bundles correlate with superficially displaced Tbr1+ deep-layer/subplate cells (**D'' and**

H'', white asterisks). 100% penetrance and expressivity. n = 6 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO*, n = 6 for

controls; n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1,* n = 8 for controls. Scale bar = 250μm.

1065

Figure 9. Cortical Bundle phenotype in P0 *Itgb1* and *Cas TcKO* neonate mutants correlates with

displaced subplate cells. (A-H") Immunohistological analysis of Tbr1 (red) and NCAM-L1 (green)

in P0 *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants. Severe cortical dysplasia is associated with

Cortical Bundles. In both classes of conditional mutants, Tbr1+ deep-layer/subplate cells are

displaced to more superficial positions (**D' and H'**, white asterisks). Cortical Bundles correlate

with superficially displaced Tbr1+ deep-layer/subplate cells (**D'' and H''**, white asterisks). 100%

penetrance and expressivity. n = 5 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO*, n = 7 for controls; n = 6 for *Emx1-*

Cre;Itgb1, n = 5 for controls. Scale bar = 250μm.

1074

1075 **S1 Table. Numerical values for graphs in Figure 3, Figure 4, Figure 5, Figure 6, and Figure 7.** The

1076 number of stray axon bundles in the subpallial area and the number of CBs in the cortex for

1077   each section from each animal analyzed. Data are organized by figure: **Fig 3** (Sheet 1), **Fig 4**

1078   (Sheet 2), **Fig 5** (Sheet 3), **Fig 6** (Sheet 4), and **Fig 7** (Sheet 5).

1079

S1 Fig. p130Cas protein is expressed in the developing neocortex. (A-F')

Immunohistochemistry showing the developmental expression pattern of p130Cas protein

(green) during wild-type cortical development. At E14.5, p130Cas protein expression overlapped

with the radial glial cell marker Nestin (red) in the cortical plate and at the pial surface (**B-B'**). At

E16.5, p130Cas expression is strongest in the cortical white matter, subventricular zone, and

marginal zone (**D-D'**). By E18.5, p130Cas is robustly expressed in the subventricular and

intermediate zones (**F-F'**). Weaker expression of p130Cas is also detected at the marginal zone

and pial surface at E16.5 (**D'**) and E18.5 (**F'**), overlapping with Nestin expression (**D and F**). MZ =

Marginal Zone; CP = Cortical Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ = Subventricular Zone; VZ =

Ventricular Zone. Scale bar = 250μm (A, C-F'). Scale bar = 100μm (B-B'). E14.5: n = 4; E16.5: n =

3; and E18.5: n = 4.

1091

S2 Fig. The p130Cas antibody is specific for p130Cas protein. (A-H') Immunohistochemistry of

E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* and littermate control embryos (*CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/+}$*) demonstrates

p130Cas signal (green) is not detected in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice. Control embryos strongly

express p130Cas protein in the developing neocortex (**D'**) and cortical white matter tracts (**A'**),

including the External Capsule (EC) and Anterior Commissure (AC) (**B'**). p130Cas signal is lost in

Nes-Cre;TcKO embryos (**E'**, **F'**, and **H'**) except for in the hypothalamic region (**E'** and **G'**, white

asterisk). Note that the expression of p130Cas looks nearly identical in control $CasL^{-/-};Sin^{-/-}$

$;p130Cas^{fl/+}$ embryos and wild-type embryos (**Fig 1**; **S1 Fig**). MZ = Marginal Zone; CP = Cortical

Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ = Subventricular Zone; VZ = Ventricular Zone. Scale bar =

250μm (A-A', C-C', E-E', and G-G'). Scale bar = 100μm (B-B', D-D', F-F', and H-H'). n = 3 animals for

Nes-Cre;TcKO and control.

1103

1104 **S3 Fig. Placement of DiA and DiI crystals in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls.** (**A-F**) DiA and

1105 DiI crystal placement in coronal sections from P0 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* and control mice. DiA crystals

1106 were placed into the Somatosensory 2 (S2) region of the cortex (**C**), while DiI crystals were

1107 placed along the central region of the thalamus (**F**). Scale bar = 250μm.

1108

S4 Fig. Illustration of cell-type-specific requirements of *Cas* gene function for TCA pathfinding.

(**A-C**) Representative model depicting the differences in *Cas* gene expression in Control, *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO, and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* animals. (**A**) In control animals, *Cas* genes are expressed in radial

glial cells (RGCs; solid blue), postmitotic immature migrating neurons (solid yellow), and post-

migratory excitatory cortical neurons (solid grey), including the subplate (solid red). (**B**) *Emx1-*

Cre drives recombination in cortical RGCs. Thus, in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants, *Cas* genes are

ablated in RGCs (hollow blue), immature migrating excitatory neurons (hollow yellow), and

excitatory neurons (hollow grey), including the subplate (hollow red). Thalamocortical axons

(TCAs) project to mispositioned subplate cells, resulting in the Cortical Bundle (CB) phenotype

observed in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutant mice. (**C**) In *Nex-Cre;TcKO* animals, *Nex-Cre* drives

recombination in early postmitotic excitatory cortical neurons. *Cas* genes are therefore present

in RGCs (solid blue), but not in migrating neurons (hollow yellow) and post-migratory neurons of

the developing neocortex (hollow grey and hollow red). TCA projections are normal in *Nex-*

Cre;TcKO mutants. ECM = Extracellular Matrix; MZ = Marginal Zone; CP = Cortical Plate; VZ =

Ventricular Zone; RGC = Radial Glial Cell; TCA = Thalamocortical Axons. Created with

BioRender.com.

1125

S5 Fig. Rabbit anti-β1-integrin antibody is not specific for β1-integrin protein. (A-F')

Immunohistochemistry of E16.5 *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* and littermate control animals using the rabbit-

anti-β1-integrin antibody (Cell Signaling Technology) demonstrates that putative β1-integrin

signal (green) is still present in the cortex of *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* mice. Control embryos show signal

in the marginal zone (**C'**), cortical plate, and all major cortical white matter tracts (**A'**), including

thalamocortical axons (**C'**), the External Capsule (EC) (**E'**), and the Anterior Commissure (AC) (**E'**).

This signal is still observed in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* animals, including the cortical plate, EC, and AC

(**B', D', and F'**). Scale bar = 250μm (A-A' and B-B'). Scale bar = 100μm (C-F'). n = 4 animals for

Emx1-Cre;Itgb1 and control.

1135

S6 Fig. Mouse anti-β1-integrin antibody is not specific for β1-integrin protein. (A-F')

Immunohistochemistry of E16.5 *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* and littermate control animals using the

mouse-anti-β1-integrin antibody (eBioscience) shows that putative β1-integrin signal (green) is

still present in the cortex of *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* mice. Control embryos show signal in the

vasculature (**C'**), the piriform cortex (**E'**), marginal zone, and all major cortical white matter

tracts (**A'**), including thalamocortical axons (**C'**) and the External Capsule (EC) (**E'**) and Anterior

Commissure (AC) (**E'**). Strong signal is still detected in the cortex, AC, and EC of *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1*

animals (**B'**). High-magnification images show clear β1-integrin signal in thalamocortical axons

(**D'**) and the EC and AC (**F'**). Scale bar = 250μm (**A-A' and B-B'**). Scale bar = 100μm (C-F'). n = 4

animals for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1* and control.

1146

S7 Fig. *Itgb5*, *Itgb6*, and *Itgb8* are expressed in the neocortex at E14.5. (A-D''') RNAScope for

Itgb5 (yellow), *Itgb6* (magenta), and *Itgb8* (cyan) in the developing neocortex at E14.5. *Itgb6* is

expressed diffusely throughout the developing neocortex (**A'', B'', and C''**), while *Itgb8* is

robustly expressed in the subventricular and ventricular zones of the Primary Somatosensory

Cortex (S1) (**B'''**) and Secondary Somatosensory Cortex (S2) (**C'''**). *Itgb5* appears to be weakly

expressed in the ventricular zone as well as in the vasculature (**A'**). High magnification images

show diffuse expression of *Itgb6* (**D''**) and *Itgb8* (**D'''**) in the Piriform Cortex (P). S1 = Primary

Somatosensory Cortex; S2 = Secondary Somatosensory Cortex; P = Piriform Cortex. MZ =

Marginal Zone; CP = Cortical Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ = Subventricular Zone; VZ =

Ventricular Zone. Scale bar = 250μm (A-A'''). Scale bar = 100μm (B-D'''). n = 3 animals.

1157

S8 Fig. *Itgb5*, *Itgb6*, and *Itgb8* are expressed in the neocortex at E16.5. (A-D''') RNAScope for

Itgb5 (yellow), *Itgb6* (magenta), and *Itgb8* (cyan) in the developing neocortex at E16.5. *Itgb5*,

Itgb6, and *Itgb8* are all expressed in the ventricular zone of the Primary Somatosensory Cortex

(S1) (**B'-B'''**) and Secondary Somatosensory Cortex (S2) (**C'-C'''**). *Itgb5* also appears to be

expressed in the vasculature (**A'**). Weak expression of *Itgb6* (**B''**) and *Itgb8* (**B'''**) is detected in

the marginal zone of S1 and S2. Expression of *Itgb6* (**D''**) and *Itgb8* (**D'''**) is observed in the

Piriform Cortex (P). S1 = Primary Somatosensory Cortex; S2 = Secondary Somatosensory Cortex;

P = Piriform Cortex. MZ = Marginal Zone; CP = Cortical Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ =

Subventricular Zone; VZ = Ventricular Zone. Scale bar = 250µm (A-A'''). Scale bar = 100µm (B-

D'''). n = 3 animals.

1168

S9 Fig. *Itgb5*, *Itgb6*, and *Itgb8* are expressed in the neocortex at E18.5. (A-D''') RNAScope for

Itgb5 (yellow), *Itgb6* (magenta), and *Itgb8* (cyan) in the developing neocortex at E18.5. All three

transcripts are strongly expressed in the ventricular zone of the Primary Somatosensory Cortex

(S1) (**B', B'', and B'''**). *Itgb5* appears to be weakly expressed in the vasculature (**B', C', and D'**).

Itgb8 is diffusely expressed in the Secondary Somatosensory Cortex (S2) (**C'''**). Expression of

Itgb6 (**D''**) and *Itgb8* (**D'''**) is detected in the Piriform Cortex (P). S1 = Primary Somatosensory

Cortex; S2 = Secondary Somatosensory Cortex; P = Piriform Cortex. MZ = Marginal Zone; CP =

Cortical Plate; IZ = Intermediate Zone; SVZ = Subventricular Zone; VZ = Ventricular Zone. Scale

bar = 250µm (A-A'''). Scale bar = 100µm (B-D'''). n = 3 animals.

1178

S10 Fig. Positioning of deep-layer neurons is normal in P0 *Nex-Cre;TcKO* animals. (A-D'')

Immunohistochemistry of P0 *Nex-Cre;TcKO* animals using the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green)

and the deep layer marker Tbr1 (red) shows no apparent differences in lamination between

NexCre;TcKO and control cortices. Normal positioning of deep-layer/subplate cells is observed in

Nex-Cre;TcKO animals (**D'**). This correlated with the normal fasciculation and pathfinding of

NCAM-L1+ (green) cortical white matter tracts. n = 4 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO* and controls. Scale bar =

250μm (A and C). Scale bar = 100μm (B-B'', D-D'').

	DAPI NCAM-L1 Tbr1	**Tbr1**	**NCAM-L1**

Control
A | B | B' | B''

Emx1-Cre; TcKO
C | D | D' * * * | D'' * * *

Control
E | F | F' | F''

Emx1-Cre; Itgb1^{fl/fl}
G | H | H' * * * | H'' * * *