1

Functional Role for Cas Cytoplasmic Adaptor Proteins During Cortical Axon Pathfinding

Running title: Cas adaptor proteins are required for cortical tract pathfinding

Jason A. Estep [1, *], Alyssa M. Treptow [1, *], Payton A. DePalma [2], Patrick Williamson [3], Wenny Wong [3], Martin M. Riccomagno [1, 2, 3, #]

1 Cell, Molecular and Developmental Biology Graduate Program,

2 Neuroscience Graduate Program,

3 Neuroscience Undergraduate Program, Department of Molecular, Cell & Systems Biology,

University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

* These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Author: Martin M. Riccomagno, martinmr@ucr.edu.

Abstract

Proper neural circuit organization requires individual neurons to project to their targets with

high specificity. While several guidance molecules have been shown to mediate axonal

fasciculation and pathfinding, less is understood about how neurons intracellularly interpret and

integrate these cues. Here we provide genetic evidence that the Crk-Associated Substrate (Cas)

family of intracellular adaptor proteins is required for proper fasciculation and guidance of two

cortical white matter tracts: the Anterior Commissure (AC) and thalamocortical axons (TCAs).

Using a *Cas* Triple Conditional Knock Out (*Cas TcKO*) mouse model, we show that Cas proteins

are required non-neuronally for TCA projections in a manner that phenocopies the non-

neuronal requirement for the β1-integrin receptor. Additional analysis of *Cas TcKO* mutants

reveals a neuronal-autonomous role in AC fasciculation that is not phenocopied in β1-integrin

deficient mutants, suggesting that Cas proteins might signal downstream of a different receptor

during this axon pathfinding event. These findings implicate Cas proteins as key mediators of

cortical axon tract fasciculation and guidance.

Introduction

During Central Nervous System (CNS) development, axons often traverse complex routes to meet their synaptic targets, interacting with a diverse array of attractive, repulsive, and permissive cues (Tessier-Lavigne and Goodman 1996; Kolodkin and Tessier-Lavigne 2011). One developmental mechanism proposed to ensure proper guidance of large tracts is the concept of "pioneer" vs "follower" axons (Caudy and Bentley 1986; Lefcort and Bentley 1987; Easter et al. 1993, 1994; Wang and Marquardt 2013). In this framework, initial pioneering axons carve out stereotyped trajectories due to the presence of intermediate targets, or guidepost cells (Chédotal and Richards 2010). These pioneer axons then serve as tracks that subsequent follower axons may use to reach a common target. Both the guidepost-to-guidepost advancement of pioneering axons as well as the regulated fasciculation and defasciculation of follower axons require neurons to properly sense and respond to adhesive signals (Moreland and Poulain 2022; Breau and Trembleau 2023) in the forms of cell-cell and cell-extracellular matrix (ECM) interactions. In the vertebrate nervous system, two well-established examples of guidepost-assisted axonal guidance are thalamocortical axon (TCA) projections (López-Bendito et al. 2006; Garel and López-Bendito 2014) and the commissural tracts of the forebrain such as the Anterior Commissure (AC) (Squarzoni et al. 2015). However, the exact cellular and molecular mechanisms that permit the timely interpretation of adhesive signals during TCA guidance or AC formation remain to be elucidated.

During normal development, TCA projections are guided by a diverse array of secreted gradients, guidepost populations, and intermediate targets as they navigate their way from the thalamus to the developing neocortex (Vanderhaeghen and Polleux 2004; Molnár et al. 2012;

López-Bendito 2018). In mice, TCAs, originating from the thalamus at embryonic day 12 (E12),

first turn dorsolaterally at the diencephalic–telencephalic boundary (DTB), then fasciculate to

form the internal capsule on E13 and advance through the subpallium until they reach the

pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) at E14 (Garel and López-Bendito 2014; Molnár and Kwan

2024). At the PSPB, TCAs encounter corticofugal axons and arrest for two to three days before

entering the cortical plate, temporarily targeting a cortical region known as the subplate (Ghosh

et al. 1990; Allendoerfer and Shatz 1994; Ayoub and Kostovic 2009). Entry of thalamic axons

into the cortical layers and coordinated target selection is facilitated by the subplate, a

developmentally transient population of the earliest-born telencephalic neurons that serves as

an intermediate target for TCA pathfinding (McConnell et al. 1989; Ghosh et al. 1990; Ghosh

and Shatz 1992; Allendoerfer and Shatz 1994; Kanold and Luhmann 2010). By E18, TCAs

innervate the cortical plate through the intermediate zone and defasciculate to reach their

individual targets (Molnár et al. 2012; Garel and López-Bendito 2014), arriving at the neocortex

before their target layer (layer IV) is fully formed. Recent studies suggest that TCAs help

promote the specification of layer IV neurons as the cortex develops (Monko et al. 2022).

The formation of commissural tracts in the forebrain is also known to involve the

assistance of additional intermediate targets, often in the form of transient midline glial

populations (Silver et al. 1993; Marcus et al. 1995; Shu and Richards 2001; Shu et al. 2003), such

as the glial "tunnel" that facilitates the formation of the Anterior Commissure (Pires-Neto et al.

1998; Lent et al. 2005). The AC is composed of two tracts that share a midline crossing point.

Beginning at E14-E15 in mice, axons of the anterior AC (aAC) originate from the Anterior

Olfactory Nucleus and form reciprocal connections to each olfactory bulb, while axons of the

posterior AC (pAC) originate from the ventrolateral cortex and join with the stria terminalis to

form reciprocal connections between the two hemispheres (Jouandet and Hartenstein 1983;

Fenlon et al. 2021). Recent developmental studies have identified a population of pioneering

axons that precede primary fascicle formation and midline crossing during pAC establishment

and suggest a role for ECM molecules in the sorting of aAC and pAC axons (Martin-Lopez et al.

2018).

The Crk-Associated Substrate (Cas) family of signal adaptor proteins is comprised of four

paralogues: p130Cas (Bcar1), Cas-L (HEF1, NEDD9), Sin (EFS), and Cass4 (Defilippi et al. 2006;

Deneka et al. 2015). All four Cas paralogues have high sequence conservation and structure

similarity with an N-terminal SH3-domain, a central substrate domain containing 15 repeats of

the Tyr-X-X-Pro (YxxP) motif, and a serine-rich domain (Deneka et al. 2015). Upon upstream

receptor activation, Cas proteins are phosphorylated on tyrosine residues within the substrate

domain, thus permitting docking of SH2- and PTB-containing partners such as Crk, CrkL, Nck,

and SHIPTP2 (Bouton et al. 2001; Ruest et al. 2001; Fonseca et al. 2004; Defilippi et al. 2006;

Donato et al. 2010). Biochemical studies have demonstrated that Cas proteins are

phosphorylated downstream of Integrin (Bargon et al. 2005; Huang et al. 2007; Riccomagno et

al. 2014), Dystroglycan (Wong et al. 2023), CXCL12/CXCR4 (Wang et al. 2000), Neuropilin (Evans

et al. 2011), and Netrin (Liu et al. 2007) signaling. Phosphorylation of Cas induces the assembly

of the Cas-Crk-DOCK180 scaffold complex which subsequently recruits and activates Rac

GTPases, leading to local actin polymerization (Honda et al. 1999; Gustavsson et al. 2004;

Defilippi et al. 2006). In this way, Cas proteins act as an essential link between external adhesion

signals and the actin cytoskeleton, permitting cells to reorganize their actin network in response

to ECM engagement.

Developmentally, Cas proteins have been shown to regulate motor axon fasciculation

and projection in Drosophila (Huang et al. 2007), with similar roles in the fasciculation and

pathfinding of Dorsal Root Ganglion (DRG) axons in the mammalian Peripheral Nervous System

(PNS) (Vahedi-Hunter et al. 2018). In the mammalian CNS, Cas proteins play additional roles

during neuronal migration and axonal guidance events: Cas adaptor proteins coordinate

commissural projections in the spinal cord (Liu et al. 2007), organize Retinal Ganglion Cell

positioning in the mouse retina (Riccomagno et al. 2014), and have been recently shown to

participate in cortical lamination (Wong et al. 2023).

In this study, we sought to investigate the potential roles of Cas proteins during the

formation of forebrain white matter tracts. Using conditional reverse genetics, we have found

that Cas proteins are required for proper guidance of TCA projections and fasciculation of pAC

axons. We demonstrate that the TCA projection phenotype is cortical-autonomous but non-

neuronal-autonomous, with mis-projecting TCA processes closely associating with misplaced

subplate and deep layer neurons. Further, we demonstrate a cortical- and neuronal-

autonomous role for *Cas* genes during AC fasciculation and show that defasciculating AC axons

originate, in part, from the dorsolateral cortex. Interestingly, defects in AC fasciculation are not

phenocopied by β1-integrin mutants, suggesting that Cas proteins act, at least in part,

independently of β1-integrin during AC formation.

Results

To investigate the potential roles of *Cas* family genes during cortical white matter tract

formation, we began by assessing the expression of the *Cas* family paralogues during cortical

development. We recently established that transcripts for the paralogues *p130Cas*, *CasL/Nedd9*,

and *Sin/Efs* are all expressed in the developing cortex, with overlapping expression in the

subventricular and ventricular zones (Wong et al. 2023). The *p130Cas* transcript is dynamically

enriched in the cortical plate at E12.5 and the intermediate zone at E14.5, consistent with

expression in postmitotic neurons that are projecting axons (Wong et al. 2023). To better

visualize axons originating from neurons expressing *p130Cas*, we took advantage of the

p130Cas-EGFP-BAC transgenic line generated by the GENSAT BAC transgenic project (Gong et al.

2003). These mice carry a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) containing an enhanced green

fluorescent protein (EGFP) expression cassette which is driven by the *p130Cas* promoter along

with its regulatory sequences, thereby causing all cells with *p130Cas* promoter activity to

accumulate cytosolic EGFP in a pattern that recapitulates endogenous gene expression (Vahedi-

Hunter et al. 2018; Wong et al. 2023).

We collected *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* embryos at E14.5 and E16.5 and neonates at postnatal

day 0 (P0) and performed immunohistochemistry for EGFP and Neural Cell Adhesion Molecule

L1 (NCAM-L1) (Fischer et al. 1986; Moos et al. 1988; Munakata et al. 2003) to visualize cortical

white matter tracts (**Figure 1**). At E14.5, we found *p130Cas*-driven EGFP signal co-expressed

with NCAM-L1 in multiple areas: the cortical plate, the lateral cortex, and a group of

fasciculating axons forming the presumptive Anterior Commissure (AC) (**Figure 1 A-A''**, white

arrowheads denote forming AC). By E16.5, robust *p130Cas*-driven EGFP signal was observed

broadly in the cortical plate and the marginal zone (**Figure 1 B-B'**). EGFP signal was also

detected in white matter, with clear expression in striatal axons, the External Capsule (EC, **Figure**

1 B, white asterisk), and the now-midline-crossing AC (**Figure 1 B**, white arrowheads). In

neonates (P0), we observed strong *p130Cas*-driven EGFP in all major cortical tracts including the

corpus callosum, striatum, EC, and AC (**Figure 1 C-C''**, white asterisk denotes EC and white

arrowheads denote AC). Higher-magnification images of *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* neonates at the

pallial-subpallial boundary (PSPB) revealed many diffuse NCAM-L1+ processes innervating the

subpallium that were not expressing *p130Cas*-driven EGFP, although many EGFP+ cell bodies

occupied this region (**Figure 1 D-D''**, white dotted line indicates boundary). In the cingulate

cortex, high-magnification images of P0 *p130Cas*-EGFP-BAC animals showed robust expression

of EGFP in the cortical plate and the corpus callosum (**Figure 1 E-E''**).

Having confirmed the developmental expression of *Cas* genes during the formation of

cortical white matter, we next sought to test the requirement of Cas proteins during cortical

tract formation. To this end, we generated *Cas* triple conditional knockouts (*Cas TcKO*)

(Riccomagno et al. 2014; Vahedi-Hunter et al. 2018; Wong et al. 2023) to assess the broad

requirements for Cas proteins during cortical tract development. In brief, these animals bear

homozygous null alleles for the Cas homologues *CasL* ($CasL^{-/-}$) and *Sin* ($Sin^{-/-}$) while also

harboring one floxed allele and one deletion (delta) allele for *p130Cas* ($p130Cas^{fl/\Delta}$). Constitutive

deletion of *p130Cas* results in early embryonic lethality due to defects in cardiovascular

development (Honda et al. 1998). Thus, crossing TcKO animals to cell-specific Cre-driver lines

permits Cre-mediated excision of *p130Cas* in neural tissue only, allowing embryos to survive

through development.

To determine the requirement of *Cas* genes during cortical white matter tract formation,

we chose to first induce a broad deletion of *Cas* gene function by crossing *Cas TcKO* animals with

Nestin-Cre mice. *Nestin-Cre* drives early (E9.5) recombination in neural stem cells and

intermediate progenitors (Tronche et al. 1999). As such, *Nestin-Cre* is often employed to

produce CNS-wide deletions in both neuronal and glial populations. We generated *Nestin-*

Cre;CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$ (or more simply *Nes-Cre;TcKO*) mutants and performed

immunohistochemistry at P0 for the axon marker NCAM-L1 to examine the organization of

major cortical tracts (**Figure 2**). We observed notable disruptions to two major white matter

tracts in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants: the Anterior Commissure (AC) and cortical white matter.

Axons of the External Capsule (EC) that, upon exit from the pallium, normally turn

medially to join the posterior Anterior Commissure (pAC), fail to fasciculate properly in *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO mutants, and instead project ventrally into the subpallium (**Figure 2 A' and B'**). Tracing

experiments using DiA crystals placed into the Somatosensory 2 (S2) region of the cortex

(**Supplemental Figure 1 A-B'**) confirmed that these mis-projecting axons originate in the

dorsolateral and lateral cortex (**Figure 2 C and D**; n = 6 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls). We

quantified axon pathfinding errors by calculating the average number of stray pAC axon bundles

per section per animal in the subpallial area in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and control mice. The

number of stray axon fascicles in the subpallial area in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* animals averaged 4.23 ±

1.12, while the average was 0 for control mice (**Figure 2 I**; Unpaired *t*-test, p = 0.0004, n = 7 for

Nes-Cre;TcKO, n = 10 for controls

Nes-Cre;TcKO mutants also display severe defects in white matter within the cortical

plate, with large bundles of axons mis-projecting towards the pial surface (**Figure 2 A'' and B''**).

We hereafter refer to these ectopic projections as Cortical Bundles (CBs). To quantify the CB

phenotype, we calculated the average number of CBs present per section per animal in the

cortex of both *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and control mice. On average, we observed 7.88 ± 0.72

CBs in the cortex of *Nes-Cre;TcKO* and 0 in littermate controls (**Figure 2 J**; Unpaired *t*-test, p <

0.0001, n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls). The cortex receives input from multiple

cortical and subcortical areas, including contralateral connections via the corpus callosum and

AC (Chédotal and Richards 2010) and thalamic connections from Thalamocortical axon (TCA)

projections (López-Bendito and Molnár 2003; Hanashima et al. 2006). We therefore asked if the

CB phenotype presented by *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants consisted of axons originating from cortical

or subcortical areas. Initial tracing experiments using DiA crystals placed into the S2 cortex

indicated that mis-projecting axons in CBs are not cortical in origin (**Figure 2 E-F**). We performed

additional lipophilic tracing experiments by placing DiI crystals into the laterodorsal nucleus of

the thalamus (**Supplemental Figure 1 C-D'**) and found robust labeling of CBs in the ipsilateral

hemisphere in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutant neonates (**Figure 2 E and F**; n = 6 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5 for

controls). This suggests that CBs form primarily from TCA afferents. Taken together, these data

point to a strong requirement for *Cas* family genes during cortical white matter tract formation.

To examine the developmental progression of *Nes-Cre;TcKO* white matter tract defects,

we collected mutant embryos at E14.6, E16.5, and E18.5 and performed immunohistochemistry

for NCAM-L1 (**Figure 3**). Interestingly, we observed different developmental trajectories for each

mutant phenotype. Initial formation of the EC-AC tract was unperturbed in *Nes-Cre;TcKO*

animals with no observable axon defasciculation at E14.5 and E16.5 (**Figure 3 A' and B', C' and**

D'; E14.5: n = 4 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls; E16.5: n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 12 for

controls). It was not until late embryonic development (E18.5) that we detected aberrant axon

defasciculation in the AC (**Figure 3 E' and F'**, white arrowhead), with *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants

averaging 6.55 ± 1.09 stray axons in the subpallial area, while control animals averaged 0 (**Figure**

3 G; Unpaired *t*-test, p = 0.0011, n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls). In contrast, the CB

phenotype is apparent in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants as early as E14.5 (**Figure 3 A'' and B''**, white

asterisks), with the phenotype growing in severity as development continued (**Figure 3 C''-F''**,

white asterisks denote CBs). The average number of CBs in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutant mice increased

with age (4.29 ± 0.79 vs 5.06 ± 0.23 vs 9.06 ± 0.76), and at each embryonic time point, the

number of CBs observed on average in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants was significantly higher compared

to littermate controls (**Figure 3 H**; two-way ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey honestly significant

difference (HSD) post hoc test, p < 0.0001 E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E16.5 *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO versus control, E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5

Nes-Cre;TcKO, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; ns E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* vs E16.5

Nes-Cre;TcKO; E14.5: n = 4 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls; E16.5: n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n =

12 for controls; E18.5: n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls). These results suggest different

developmental trajectories for each of the presented white matter tract mutant phenotypes.

We next asked if *Cas* family genes are functioning in a cortical-autonomous and

neuronal-autonomous manner during establishment of the EC-AC and TCA tracts. With this

aim, we generated two new classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants by crossing TcKO animals into *Emx1-Cre*

(Gorski et al. 2002) and *Nex-Cre* (Goebbels et al. 2006) driver lines. In contrast to the broad CNS

expression of *Nestin-Cre*, *Emx1-Cre* and *Nex-Cre* recombination events are largely restricted to

the cortex. *Emx1-Cre* induces recombination in cortical radial glial cells (RGCs), affecting RGCs,

cortical excitatory neurons, and macroglial populations, while *Nex-Cre* is active only in early

postmitotic excitatory neurons within the cortex. We have previously validated that *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutant animals do not produce functional *Cas* transcripts in the

expected neural populations (Wong et al. 2023).

We initially generated *Emx1-Cre;CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$* (*Emx1-Cre;TcKO*) mutants and

collected neonates (P0) for immunohistochemistry using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Figure 4 A-**

B''). We observed defasciculation of AC axons in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* animals, which phenocopied

the AC defasciculation errors in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* neonates (**Figure 4 A' and B'**). These

defasciculating AC axons were labeled by lipophilic tracing from DiA crystals that were placed in

the S2 region of the cortex (**Figure 4 C and D**; n = 4 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5 for controls). In

Emx1-Cre;TcKO mutants, we observed an average of 7.90 ± 1.43 stray axons in the subpallial

area, while in control mice we observed 0 (**Figure 4 M**; Unpaired *t*-test, p <0.0001, n = 8 for

Emx1-Cre;TcKO, n = 10 for controls). *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* animals also presented the ectopic CB

phenotype, with large bundles of axons mis-projecting to the pial surface (**Figure 4 A'' and B''**).

On average, we observed 9.15 ± 0.43 CBs in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mice and 0 in controls (**Figure 4 N**;

Unpaired *t*-test, p <0.0001, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls). Lastly, we performed

axon tracing experiments by placing DiI crystals into the thalamus of *Emx1;TcKO* neonates and

confirmed that these CBs are primarily formed by thalamic axons (**Figure 4 E and F**; n = 3 for

Emx1-Cre;TcKO, n = 3 for controls).

Our initial analysis showed that the CBs in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants are primarily TCA

afferents originating from the thalamic nuclei (**Figure 2 G and H**). However, *Emx1-Cre* is not

expressed in the thalamic territory (Gorski et al. 2002). Thus, the observation of CBs in *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO mutants excludes the possibility of a thalamic-autonomous role for *Cas* genes in TCA

innervation, thereby demonstrating that the white matter tract phenotypes in *Cas TcKO* animals

are cortical-autonomous (i.e. requiring *Cas* gene function in the cortical plate itself).

Next, we generated *Nex-Cre;CasL$^{-/-}$;Sin$^{-/-}$;p130Cas$^{fl/\Delta}$* (*Nex-Cre;TcKO*) mice and collected

neonates (P0) for immunohistochemistry using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Figure 4 G-H''**). In

contrast to *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and *Nestin-Cre;TcKO* mutants, *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants only

presented the AC defasciculation phenotype (**Figure 4 G' and H'**, white arrowheads),

demonstrating a neuronal-autonomous role for *Cas* genes during AC fasciculation. These stray

AC axons were again confirmed to be dorsolateral and lateral in origin by lipophilic tracing from

DiA crystal placement into the S2 cortex (**Figure 4 I and J**, white arrowheads; n = 5 for *Nex-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 4 for controls) We observed an average of 8.92 ± 1.17 stray axons on the subpallial

area of *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants and 0 in control mice (Unpaired *t*-test, p < 0.0001, n = 7 for *Nex-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 5 for controls) (**Figure 4 O**). Interestingly, *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutant neonates do not

present the CB phenotype, indicating a non-neuronal autonomous role of *Cas* genes in TCA

projections (**Figure 4 G'' and H''**; n = 7 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5 for controls)

Analyses of the three classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants thus indicate a complex requirement

for *Cas* genes during cortical white matter tract formation. The proper fasciculation of AC axons

appears to require *Cas* in a neuronal-autonomous manner, likely reflecting a requirement for

Cas genes in the projecting axons themselves. In contrast, the proper projection of TCA afferents

into the cortex appears to have a non-neuronal-autonomous requirement for *Cas* genes. As

such, we infer that *Cas* genes are required by some non-neuronal cortical cell population to

properly coordinate TCA guidance, possibly by controlling the positioning of intermediate target

populations or the provision of local guidance cues in the cortex.

To further assess the cortical- and neuronal-autonomous role of Cas genes during AC

fasciculation, we genetically labeled AC axons to confirm their cortical origins. This facilitated a

closer examination of their relationship to neighboring tracts. To this end, we made use of the

Ai14/R26^LSL-tdTomato Cre reporter line (or simply *Ai14*) which expresses tdTomato fluorescent

protein upon Cre-mediated excision of upstream translational STOP sequences, permitting

visualization of cells or tissues that have or previously had Cre recombinase expression

(Madisen et al. 2010). We crossed the *Ai14* reporter line into *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* line to generate

Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-;TcKO mutants and collected P0 neonates for immunohistochemistry with the

marker NCAM-L1 (**Figure 5 A-D''**). As expected, we found robust labeling of the EC-AC tract in

Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/- controls (*Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-;CasL^+/-;Sin^+/-;p130Cas^+/+*) and observed tight

fasciculation of the AC tract in these animals (**Figure 5 A-B**). Examination of *Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-*

;TcKO mutants revealed that 100% of the large, defasciculating AC axon bundles were

tdTomato+, genetically confirming the cortical origin of these mis-projecting axons (**Figure 5 D-**

D'', white arrowheads). On average, we observed 9.5 ± 0.29 stray axons in the subpallial area of

Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-;TcKO mice and 0 in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-* controls (**Figure 5 I**; Unpaired *t*-test p <

0.0001, n = 3 for *Emx1-Cre;Ai14^+/-;TcKO*, n = 3 for controls) Interestingly, we also observed

tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ processes that diffusely populated the subpallium. The thicker bundles of

tdTomato+/NCAM-L1+ processes appeared to interpolate with these tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+

processes, (**Figure 5 D**), suggesting that Cas-deficient tdTomato+ axons might be improperly

associating with other axonal processes.

We next crossed the *Ai14* reporter line into the *Nex-Cre;TcKO* line to generate

NexCre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO mutants and collected P0 neonates for immunohistochemistry with the

marker NCAM-L1 (**Figure 5 E-H''**). As observed with *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls, we found robust

labeling of the EC-AC tract in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls (*Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$*)

(**Figure 5 E-F**). In *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants, we observed large, defasciculating AC axon

bundles in the subpallial area, 100% of which were tdTomato+ (**Figure 5 H-H''**, white

arrowheads). On average, we observed 9.33 ± 2.03 stray axons in the subpallial area in *Nex-*

Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO, while in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$* controls we observed 0 (**Figure 5 J**; Unpaired *t*-test p =

0.0116, n = 4 for *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO,* n = 3 for controls). As in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants,

the defasciculating tdTomato+/NCAM-L1+ AC processes in *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants

appeared to interpolate with the tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ bundles that also populate the

subpallium (**Figure 5 H**). Taken together, these data genetically confirm the cortical origins of

defasciculating axons in the AC while also corroborating the neuronal-autonomous role of *Cas*

genes for proper AC fasciculation.

During canonical adhesion signaling events, Cas proteins are recruited to early Integrin

Adhesion Complexes (IACs) via β1-integrin receptor stimulation and subsequent activation of

the non-receptor tyrosine kinases Focal Adhesion Kinase (FAK) and Src (Defilippi et al. 2006). In

the mammalian brain, β1-integrin, encoded by *Itgb1*, appears to be the most prevalent β

subunit involved in mediating adhesion signaling events (Milner and Campbell 2002; Myers et

al. 2011; Lilja and Ivaska 2018; Jaudon et al. 2021; Yan and Cui 2023), with established roles in

axonal guidance and growth cone dynamics (Bixby and Harris 1991; Renaudin et al. 1999; Myers

et al. 2011; Kerstein et al. 2015). To investigate whether β1-integrin could be the adhesion

receptor acting upstream of Cas proteins during cortical white matter tract development, we

asked if the requirement for *Cas* genes in AC fasciculation and TCA projection was also observed

in *Itgb1* mutants.

We therefore generated *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mice and performed

immunohistochemistry in neonates (P0) using the axon marker NCAM-L1 (**Figure 6**). We

observed bundles of mis-projecting axons in the cortices of *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants, a defect

that strongly phenocopied the CB phenotype presented by *Emx1-Cre;Cas TcKO* mutants (**Figure**

6 A'' and B'', white asterisks). On average, we observed 8.08 ± 0.25 CBs in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$*

compared to controls which had 0 (**Figure 6 E**; Unpaired *t*-test, p < 0.0001, n = 6 for *Emx1-*

Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$, n = 5 for controls). *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants did not display cortical bundles (**Figure**

6 C'' and D''; n = 3 for *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants, n = 4 for controls). These results suggest a

similar non-neuronal-autonomous role for β1-integrin and Cas proteins during TCA projection

pathfinding. We also examined the AC commissure tract in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* and *Nex-*

Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$ neonates. Remarkably, we found that neither class of *Itgb1* mutants phenocopied

the AC defasciculation defects observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**Figure 6 A', B', C' and D'**; n = 6 for

Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$, n = 5 for controls; n = 3 for *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants, n = 4 for controls).

These observations demonstrate that the β1-integrin receptor by itself is dispensable for AC

fasciculation, suggesting a different receptor may be acting upstream of *Cas* genes during AC

formation.

Lastly, we sought to identify a developmental mechanism by which TCA afferents mis-

project to form CBs in *Cas TcKO* mutants. Previous research from our lab established a role for

Cas proteins and β1-integrin during cortical lamination (Wong et al. 2023). We therefore asked if

the cortical-autonomous, non-neuronal-autonomous CB phenotype presented by *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO and *Emx1-Cre;Igtb1^{fl/fl}* mutants was a secondary phenotype that resulted from the

disruption of cortical lamination in these mutants. As previously mentioned, the subplate is a

transient structure that acts as an intermediate target for TCAs, coordinating the entry of these

thalamic axons into the cortical plate (Ghosh and Shatz 1992; López-Bendito and Molnár 2003).

Given the cortical requirement of *Cas* genes for TCA guidance, and the defects in cortical

lamination presented by *Cas TcKO* mutants (Wong et al. 2023), we hypothesized that one

possible explanation for the CB phenotype presented by our mutants here could be the

displacement of subplate and other deep layer neurons in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Igtb1^{fl/fl}*

mutants.

Thus, we performed immunohistochemistry at E16.5 and P0 in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and

Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl} mutants, using the markers NCAM-L1 and Tbr1 (**Figure 7 and Figure 8**,

respectively). Tbr1 is a transcription factor known to regulate the specification of the subplate

and layer VI cortical layers (Hevner et al. 2001). Indeed, we found, at E16.5 and P0, both *Emx1-*

Cre;TcKO and *Emx1- Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants displayed cortical dysplasia, as previously described

(Wong et al. 2023): lamination of deep-layer Tbr1+ cells is highly disrupted, with columns of

Tbr1+ cells reaching the marginal zone (**Figure 7 B and D, F and H**; **Figure 8 B and D, F and H**).

Interestingly, in both *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants, 100% of the NCAM-L1+

CBs associated with these displaced Tbr1+ cells, at times with clear "tunnels" of Tbr1+ cells

containing CBs mis-projecting to the marginal zone (**Figure 7 D-D'', H-H''**, white asterisks; **Figure**

8 D-D'', H-H'', white asterisks). These results demonstrate that the disorganization of the

subplate and deep cortical layers observed in both pan-cortical *Cas TcKO* and *Itgb1* mutant mice

strongly correlates with the ectopic CB phenotype in these animals.

Discussion

In this study, we used conditional mouse genetics to assess the cortical-autonomous and

neuronal-autonomous roles of *Cas* genes during forebrain white matter tract formation. Using a

Cas triple conditional knockout (*Cas TcKO*) model, we provide strong genetic evidence that Cas

proteins are required in two manners: 1) cortical- and neuronal-autonomously for proper

fasciculation of the posterior branch of the Anterior Commissure (pAC) (**Figure 2 B' and D**), and

2) cortical- and non-neuronal autonomously for thalamocortical axon (TCA) guidance (**Figure 2**

B'' and H).

The AC is a highly evolutionarily conserved forebrain tract that, in mice, makes reciprocal

connections between the Anterior Olfactory Nuclei of each olfactory bulb (via the anterior AC

tract, aAC) and mediates reciprocal connections between the piriform and entorhinal cortices of

the two hemispheres (via the posterior AC tract, pAC) (Fenlon et al. 2021). Recent

developmental studies have identified a small group of pioneering axons with unusual

elongation kinetics that advance towards the embryonic midline at E13, preceding the majority

of pAC axons that arrived later at E15 (Martin-Lopez et al. 2018). These later arriving processes

join the stalled pioneers to form a primary fascicle that crosses the embryonic midline in unison

at E16, then innervates contralaterally and achieves specific target selection at E17 and E18,

respectively (Martin-Lopez et al. 2018). In our study, we find that *Cas TcKO* mutants display

defasciculation and ventral targeting of axons of the pAC tract (**Figure 2 B' and D**). However,

these defects are only observable at later embryonic (E18.5) and postnatal (P0) stages (**Figure 3**

F and **Figure 2 B**). Considering the timeline of pAC formation, this suggests that the axons that

fail to fasciculate properly are a population of late "follower" axons arriving at the AC later in

development.

Interestingly, we find that the defasciculating AC processes originate from the

dorsolateral cortex, as they are labeled by lipophilic tracing from the somatosensory S2 cortex in

all three classes of *Cas TcKO* mutants analyzed (**Figure 2 D**; **Figure 4 D and J**). These results are

somewhat unexpected: the pAC has been primarily described as carrying axons from

ventrolateral cortical areas in mice (Fenlon et al. 2021). The observation of DiI-labeled AC axons

in both control and mutant animals after placement of dye crystals in S2 might suggest that a

population of cortical axons projecting along the EC contributes to the formation of the AC.

However, we cannot exclude the possibility that DiI cross-labeled other AC-projecting cortical

axons ventral to S2. We genetically confirmed the cortical origin of the defasciculating AC axons

using the *Ai14 Cre*-dependent reporter in both the *Emx1-Cre* and *Nex-Cre* lines (**Figure 5**).

Additionally, we observed interpolation of defasciculating tdTomato+ AC processes with other

tdTomato-/NCAM-L1+ processes in the subpallium. This may suggest that these defasciculating

axons may be failing to properly regulate homotypic versus heterotypic associations (Spead and

Poulain 2020). Taken together, these data support a neuronal cell-autonomous requirement of

Cas genes for the guidance of a subset of cortical axons, that in the absence of these genes, mis-

project into the ventral subpallium.

During canonical adhesion signaling, Cas proteins are recruited to IACs following integrin

receptor activation (Vuori et al. 1996; Defilippi et al. 2006; Donato et al. 2010). The integrins are

a large family of transmembrane receptors that include 18 alpha (α) and 8 beta (β) subunits and

are known to form 24 combinations of αβ heterodimers (Schwartz 2001; Takada et al. 2007).

The β1-integrin subunit has been particularly well studied and is found in 12 of the known

heterodimer combinations, mediating many intracellular signaling functions during CNS

development (Hynes 1992; Milner and Campbell 2002; Schmid and Anton 2003; Takada et al.

2007; Myers et al. 2011; Riccomagno et al. 2014; Lilja and Ivaska 2018; Jaudon et al. 2021). We

therefore analyzed the structure of the AC in *Igtb1* mutant neonates which are deficient for the

β1-integirn subunit. Surprisingly, conditional deletion of *Igtb1* using *Emx1-Cre* or *Nex-Cre* failed

to phenocopy the AC defasciculation defects observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**Figure 6**),

suggesting that β1-integrin is dispensable for proper fasciculation of this tract. This raises two

intriguing possibilities: 1) there is compensation by another β subunit in *Igtb1* deficient mice, or

2) Cas proteins are signaling downstream of a different type of receptor.

In support of the first possibility, β5-integrin, β6-integrin, and β8-integrin are expressed

in the embryonic cortex (Schmid and Anton 2003). Thus, they might be acting as part of the

adhesion receptors driving the fasciculation of the AC axons, or might compensate for the loss

of *Igtb1* in mutant mice via the formation of heterodimers with α-integrins. For example,

heterodimer formation between αV-integrin and β1-, β5-, β6-, and β8-integrin has been

previously documented (Takada et al. 2007). Of all α-subunits, αV-integrin appears as a prime

candidate to modulate this process: in addition to being able to form heterodimers with

multiple β-integrins, αV-integrin is known to have a critical role in neurodevelopment and is

expressed in axons of white matter tracts in the cerebral cortex (McCarty et al. 2005; Denda and

Reichardt 2007). Moreover, αV-integrin containing dimers bind to the ECM molecules laminin,

fibronectin, vitronectin, tenascin, and collagen (Schmid and Anton 2003; Lilja and Ivaska 2018).

Importantly, laminin, fibronectin, and tenascin are expressed by a population of midline glial

cells that help coordinate the development of the pAC (Pires-Neto et al. 1998). One possible

scenario then is that β5-integrin, β6-integrin, or β8-integrin are acting as functional partners

with αV-integrin during AC fasciculation, or can at least compensate for the loss of *Igtb1.*

The second possible explanation for the failure of *Igtb1* mutants to phenocopy the AC

defasciculation defects presented by *Cas TcKO* animals is that Cas proteins are signaling

downstream of an entirely different receptor system. It has previously been shown that

phospho-activation of Cas can be modulated by CXCL12/CXCR4 (Wang et al. 2000), Neuropilin

(Evans et al. 2011), Netrin (Liu et al. 2007), and Eph/Ephrin (Bourgin et al. 2007) signaling.

Moreover, mutations in several genes that participate in these signaling pathways or have

known roles in adhesion have been shown to affect the development of the AC. aAC

development is abnormal in *DCC* mutant animals (Fazeli et al. 1997); formation of the pAC is

affected in *Ephb2/Nuk* (Henkemeyer et al. 1996), *Ephb1/Ephb2* (Robichaux et al. 2016), and

p35/Cdk5r1 (Kwon et al. 1999) null mice; and the entire AC tract is disrupted in mice carrying

loss-of-function mutations for *Draxin* (Islam et al. 2009), *Tsku* (Ito et al. 2010), *Schip1* (Klingler et

al. 2015), *Ntn1* (Netrin-1) (Serafini et al. 1996), *Sema3b* (Falk et al. 2005), *Sema3f* (Sahay et al.

2003), *Epha4/Sek* (Dottori et al. 1998), *Plxna4* (Suto et al. 2005), *Nrp2* (Neuropilin 2) (Chen et al.

2000; Giger et al. 2000), *Islr2/Linx* (Abudureyimu et al. 2018), *Dcx/Dclk1* (Deuel et al. 2006),

Fgfr1 (Tole et al. 2006), *Celsr2* (Qu et al. 2014), *Celsr3* (Tissir et al. 2005), *Robo1/Robo2* (López-

Bendito et al. 2007), *Fzd3* (Hua et al. 2014), *Gap43* (Shen et al. 2002), and *Rac1* (Chen et al.

2007). However, most of these mutations result in thinning or absence of the AC tracts, not

defasciculation of AC axons.

Notably, *Ephb2/Nuk* mutants (Henkemeyer et al. 1996) and *Ephb1/Ephb2* double-

mutants (Robichaux et al. 2016) display defasciculation and ventral targeting of pAC axons, that

partially phenocopy *Cas TcKO* mutants. Moreover, biochemical studies have implicated cross-

talk between β1-integrin and EphB/EphrinB receptor pathways in various cell types (Stein et al.

1998a, b; Huynh-Do et al. 1999; Becker et al. 2000), including retinal ganglion cells (Suh et al.

2004) and mossy fiber axons (Xu and Henkemeyer 2009). It is possible that these signaling

cascades may be coordinating phosphorylation of Cas proteins in cortical neuron axons as well.

Determining whether Cas proteins are working downstream of Eph/Ephrin receptor systems

during AC projection and fasciculation will be an interesting goal for future studies.

The second white matter tract phenotype presented by *Cas TcKO* mutants is the

appearance of large ectopic bundles of axons mis-projecting towards the pial surface of the

cortex (**Figure 2 A'' and B''**), which we termed Cortical Bundles (CBs). Lipophilic tracing

experiments revealed the origin of these mis-projections to be thalamic (**Figure 2 F and H**).

Genetic experiments demonstrated that this phenotype is cortical-autonomous, but non-

neuronal-autonomous: conditional deletion of *Cas* genes using *Nex-Cre* fails to recapitulate the

CB phenotype (**Figure 4 H'' and L**). From this, we inferred that *Cas* gene function is not required

in TCA projections themselves, but rather some cortical-resident population that is responsible

for coordinating TCA entry. Additionally, analysis of *Igtb1* mutants also revealed a cortical-

autonomous, non-neuronal-autonomous role for β1-integrin. Conditional deletion of *Igtb1*

using *Nex-Cre* did not result in the formation of CBs (**Figure 6 D''**).

During normal development, TCA innervation of the cortex is regulated by a transient

structure known as the subplate which acts as an intermediate target for TCAs until their target

layer (layer IV) is fully formed (Molnár et al. 2012). Arriving TCA projections stall in the subplate

for several days and grow extensive side processes within the subplate during this waiting

period (Ghosh et al. 1990; Allendoerfer and Shatz 1994; Ayoub and Kostovic 2009). Upon

entering the cortical plate, TCAs form transient microcircuits with subplate neurons and

maturing cortical layer IV neurons, a process which appears to facilitate the proper targeting of

layer IV and thus the organization of mature circuits (Kanold and Luhmann 2010; Tolner et al.

2012; Viswanathan et al. 2012). Mutations that disrupt the laminar organization of the subplate,

such as *reeler* mutants (Molnár et al. 1998b) and *Cdk5* mutants (Gilmore et al. 1998), result in

aberrant TCA projection patterns within the cortical plate, speaking to the developmental

importance of the subplate in TCA guidance. Previous work from our lab and other labs has

demonstrated that *Cas TcKO* and *Igtb1* mutants have severely disrupted laminar organization of

the cortex caused by breakages in the basal lamina that destabilize radial glial endfeet

attachment, thus resulting in improper migration of cortical neurons and severe cortical

dysplasia (Graus-Porta et al. 2001; Belvindrah et al. 2007; Wong et al. 2023).

Taking all of this into consideration, in this study, we asked if the disruption of subplate

and layer VI organization observed in *Cas TcKO* and *Igtb1* mutants could be a contributing

developmental factor to the cortical-autonomous defects we observed in TCA guidance. Indeed,

using the subplate/Layer VI marker Tbr1, we observed severe displacement of the deep cortical

layers at E16.5 and P0 in both *Cas TcKO* and *Igtb1* mutants (**Figure 7 and Figure 8**). Co-labeling

with NCAM-L1 revealed that 100% of ectopic CB projections correlate with sites of displaced

Tbr1+ cells (**Figure 7 D and H**, **Figure 8 D and H**). Within the context of our previous work (Wong

et al. 2023), these results suggest a model whereby *Cas* genes are required in cortical radial glial

cells to regulate endfeet attachment, stabilization of the basement membrane, and glial process

organization, which subsequently aid in neuronal migration and formation of the cortical layers,

including the subplate. In the absence of *Cas* or *Igtb1* genes, these radial glial tracks are

disrupted, resulting in mispositioned subplate neurons (**Figure 7 D and H**, **Figure 8 D and H**;

(Wong et al. 2023)). These mispositioned subplate neurons could potentially recruit TCAs for

innervation, causing ectopic cortical bundles to innervate the proper intermediate target that is

positioned in the wrong location. These results, however indirect, also add to an extensive body

of literature suggesting that proper lamination and formation of the subplate is required for

appropriate TCA afferent projection (McConnell et al. 1989; Ghosh et al. 1990; Ghosh and Shatz

1992; Allendoerfer and Shatz 1994; O'Leary et al. 1994; Molnár et al. 1998a, 2012; López-

Bendito and Molnár 2003; Kanold et al. 2003; Kanold 2009; Kanold and Luhmann 2010;

Hoerder-Suabedissen and Molnár 2012; López-Bendito 2018; Ohtaka-Maruyama 2020; Molnár

and Kwan 2024).

Collectively, these studies demonstrate dual roles for the Cas family of signal adaptor

proteins functioning neuronal- and non-neuronal-autonomously during axonal guidance and

fasciculation of cortical white matter tracts. We build on previous genetic evidence that *Cas*

genes function radial glial-autonomously downstream of the β1-integrin receptor to ensure

proper lamination of the cortex (Wong et al. 2023), demonstrating that errors in lamination

result in disruptions to the subplate. Based on our data, we propose that this misplacement of

the subplate might cause aberrant thalamocortical axon guidance and the formation of ectopic

bundles that mis-project towards the pial surface. Further, we provide genetic evidence that *Cas*

genes function independently of the β1-integrin receptor in a neuronal-autonomous manner

during fasciculation and guidance of the Anterior Commissure, demonstrating that a subset of

late-arriving axons fail to properly fasciculate with the posterior branch of the Anterior

Commissure. These defasciculating axons display improper ventral targeting upon crossing the

pallial-subpallial boundary. This study implicates Cas proteins as key mediators of axon

fasciculation and pathfinding for cortical white matter tracts, and adds to a growing body of

work suggesting essential roles for Cas proteins in adhesion signaling during guidance and

migration (Defilippi et al. 2006; Liu et al. 2007; Huang et al. 2007; Cabodi et al. 2010;

Riccomagno et al. 2014; Machiyama et al. 2014; Vahedi-Hunter et al. 2018; Wong et al. 2023).

Materials & Methods

Animals and Genotyping: For embryonic collections, the morning of vaginal plug observation

was designated as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) and the day of birth was postnatal day 0 (P0). The

generation of the *Cas TcKO* model has been previously described (Seo et al. 2005; Donlin et al.

2005; Riccomagno et al. 2014). The following lines were purchased from The Jackson

Laboratory: *Nestin-Cre* (Stock: 003771), *Emx1-Cre* (Stock: 005628), *Ai14/R26$^{LSL-tdTomato}$* (Stock:

007914), and *Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* (Stock: 004605). Genotyping for *Nestin-Cre* was carried out using the

following primers: F: CCT TCC TGA AGC AGT AGA GCA R: GCC TTA TTG TGG AAG GAC TG.

Genotyping for *Emx1-Cre* was carried out using the following primers: F: CCA TAT CAA CCG GTG

GCG CAT C and R: TCG ATA AGC TTG GAT CCG GAG AG. Genotyping for *Ai14/R26$^{LSL-tdTomato}$* was

carried out using the following primers: WTF: AAG GGA GCT GCA GTG GAG TA, WTR: CCG AAA

ATC TGT GGG AAG TC, MutF: CTG TTC CTG TAC GGC ATG G, and MutR: GGC ATT AAA GCA GCG

TAT CC. Genotyping for *Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mice was carried out using the primers: F: CGG CTC AAA GCA

GAG TGT CAG TC and R: CCA CAA CTT TCC CAG TTA GCT CTC. *Nex-Cre* mice were kindly provided

by Drs. Nave and Goebbels (Wu et al. 2005; Goebbels et al. 2006). Genotyping for *Nex-Cre* was

carried out using the following primers: Primer 4: GAG TCC TGG AAT CAG TCT TTT TC, Primer 5:

AGA ATG TGG AGT AGG GTG AC, and Primer 6: CCG CAT AAC CAG TGA AAC AG. Tail biopsies

were digested with Quanta tail extraction reagent kit (cat: 95091-025) and PCR reactions were

prepared using GoTaq Master Mix (Promega cat: PRM7123). All animal procedures were

performed according to the University of California, Riverside's Institutional Animal Care and

Use Committee (IACUC) guidelines. All procedures were approved by UC Riverside IACUC.

Immunohistochemistry: Embryos, neonates, and adult mice were transcardially perfused with

ice-cold 1xPBS and subsequently dissected in cold 1xPBS. Brain tissue was post-fixed in 4% PFA

(diluted in 1xPBS) for 2hrs – overnight at 4°C. Tissue samples were processed immediately as

floating sections or cryopreserved in a solution of 30% sucrose in 1xPBS before embedding in

Tissue Plus O.C.T. Compound (Fisher HealthCare, cat:4585) for long-term storage. Tissue

processed as floating sections was embedded in 3% agarose and sectioned coronally as 100-

150µm sections on a vibratome (Lecia, cat: VT1000S). Floating sections were incubated with a

permeabilization solution containing 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.3% TritonX-100 in

1xPBS for 4hrs – overnight at 4°C. Primary and secondary antibody mixes were diluted in a

solution of 5% goat serum made with permeabilization solution. All antibody incubations were

overnight at 4°C with gentle agitation. Cryoprotected samples were sectioned coronally at 25-

50μm on a cryostat (Leica cat: CM3050). Cryosections were dried for 20 minutes at room

temperature before blocking in freshly prepared blocking buffer (10% goat serum and 0.1%

TritonX-100 in 1xPBS) for 1hr before primary antibody addition. Antibodies were then prepared

in blocking buffer with reduced goat serum (5%) and incubated in a humidified chamber

overnight at 4°C. The antibodies and concentrations used in this study: chicken anti-GFP (1:500,

Aves cat: GFP-1020), rat anti-NCAM-L1 (1:500, Millipore cat: MAB5272), rabbit anti-dsRed

(1:500, Takara Bioscience cat: 632496), rabbit anti-Tbr1 (1:500, Abcam cat: ab31940

[polyclonal]), and rabbit anti-Tbr1 (1:500, Abcam cat: ab183032 [monoclonal]). Secondary

antibodies were purchased from ThermoFisher and diluted to 1:1000 from the stock

concentration: goat anti-chicken 488 (cat: A-11039), goat anti-rabbit 546 (cat: A-11035), goat

anti-rat 488 (cat: A-21208), goat anti-rat 546 (cat: A-11081). Nuclear counterstain was achieved

by co-incubation with 1ug/ml DAPI (ThermoFisher cat: 50850585) in all secondary antibody

incubations. Tissue was mounted using Fluorogel with DABCO (Electron Microscopy Sciences,

cat:17985-02). Confocal scanning images were acquired on a Leica DMi8 using a 10x objective

(NA=0.40) or 20x objective (NA=0.75) and z-stacks were acquired with 20-40 steps of sizes of 2-

4μm.

Axonal Tracing: Lipophilic tracing of white matter tracts was performed by manually placing

small crystals of either DiA (Invitrogen cat: D3883) or DiI (Invitrogen cat: D282) into neonate

tissue dissected as described above for immunohistochemistry and postfixed in 4% PFA in 1xPBS

overnight. Lipophilic crystals were allowed to diffuse for 8 weeks at 37°C in a solution of 4% PFA

in 1xPBS, with the solution changed daily for the first 4 days and weekly thereafter. Tissue was

embedded in 3% agarose in 1xPBS and sectioned as 150μm sections on a vibratome (Lecia, cat:

VT1000S). Sections were imaged freshly sliced on a Zeiss Axio Imager 2 microscope.

Quantification of Stray Axons and Cortical Bundles: Quantification of stray axons

defasciculating from the EC-AC tract and mis-projecting Cortical Bundles (CBs) was done using

ImageJ. The number of stray axons in the subpallial area and the number of CBs in the cortex

were counted manually for each section per animal using the ImageJ multipoint tool. Graph

values are represented as mean ± standard error of mean, with n = 3 to 12 independent animals

per group depending on the experiment, and 2 to 12 sections analyzed per animal. The datasets

were then tested for normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test and QQ plot. For normally

distributed datasets, Unpaired *t*-test was performed for comparing two independent samples,

and two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey honestly significant difference (HSD) post hoc test was

used for multiple comparisons.

Ethics approval: All animal procedures presented here were performed according to the

University of California, Riverside's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC)-

approved guidelines, under protocol A-8.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Dr. Teresa Ubina for critically reading the manuscript and providing

helpful comments. We would like to thank Drs. Nave and Goebbels for the *Nex-Cre* mice. We

would also like to thank Drs. Sachiko Seo and Mineo Kurokawa, and Dr. Konstantina

Alexandropoulos for sharing the *CasL*$^{-/-}$ and *Sin*$^{-/-}$ mouse lines, respectively.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Availability of data and materials

All data analyzed during this study are included in this article.

Authors Contributions

JAE and AMT designed and performed experiments and wrote the manuscript. PD, PW, and WW

designed and performed experiments. MMR conceived the project, designed experiments, and

wrote the manuscript.

References

Abudureyimu S, Asai N, Enomoto A, et al (2018) Essential Role of Linx/Islr2 in the Development
of the Forebrain Anterior Commissure. Sci Rep 8:7292. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-
018-24064-0

Allendoerfer KL, Shatz CJ (1994) The subplate, a transient neocortical structure: its role in the
development of connections between thalamus and cortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 17:185–
218. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.17.030194.001153

Ayoub AE, Kostovic I (2009) New horizons for the subplate zone and its pioneering neurons.
Cereb Cortex 19:1705–1707. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhp025

Bargon SD, Gunning PW, O'Neill GM (2005) The Cas family docking protein, HEF1, promotes the
formation of neurite-like membrane extensions. Biochim Biophys Acta 1746:143–154.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamcr.2005.10.008

Becker E, Huynh-Do U, Holland S, et al (2000) Nck-interacting Ste20 kinase couples Eph
receptors to c-Jun N-terminal kinase and integrin activation. Mol Cell Biol 20:1537–1545.
https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.20.5.1537-1545.2000

Belvindrah R, Graus-Porta D, Goebbels S, et al (2007) Beta1 integrins in radial glia but not in
migrating neurons are essential for the formation of cell layers in the cerebral cortex. J
Neurosci 27:13854–13865. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4494-07.2007

Bixby JL, Harris WA (1991) Molecular mechanisms of axon growth and guidance. Annu Rev Cell
Biol 7:117–159. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.cb.07.110191.001001

Bourgin C, Murai KK, Richter M, Pasquale EB (2007) The EphA4 receptor regulates dendritic
spine remodeling by affecting beta1-integrin signaling pathways. J Cell Biol 178:1295–
1307. https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200610139

Bouton AH, Riggins RB, Bruce-Staskal PJ (2001) Functions of the adapter protein Cas: signal
convergence and the determination of cellular responses. Oncogene 20:6448–6458.
https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1204785

Breau MA, Trembleau A (2023) Chemical and mechanical control of axon fasciculation and
defasciculation. Semin Cell Dev Biol 140:72–81.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2022.06.014

Cabodi S, del Pilar Camacho-Leal M, Di Stefano P, Defilippi P (2010) Integrin signalling adaptors:
not only figurants in the cancer story. Nat Rev Cancer 10:858–870.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc2967

Caudy M, Bentley D (1986) Pioneer growth cone steering along a series of neuronal and non-
neuronal cues of different affinities. J Neurosci 6:1781–1795.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-06-01781.1986

Chédotal A, Richards LJ (2010) Wiring the brain: the biology of neuronal guidance. Cold Spring
Harb Perspect Biol 2:a001917. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001917

Chen H, Bagri A, Zupicich JA, et al (2000) Neuropilin-2 regulates the development of selective
cranial and sensory nerves and hippocampal mossy fiber projections. Neuron 25:43–56.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80870-3

Chen L, Liao G, Waclaw RR, et al (2007) Rac1 controls the formation of midline commissures and
the competency of tangential migration in ventral telencephalic neurons. J Neurosci
27:3884–3893. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3509-06.2007

Defilippi P, Di Stefano P, Cabodi S (2006) p130Cas: a versatile scaffold in signaling networks.
Trends Cell Biol 16:257–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2006.03.003

Denda S, Reichardt LF (2007) Studies on integrins in the nervous system. Methods Enzymol
426:203–221. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(07)26010-0

Deneka A, Korobeynikov V, Golemis EA (2015) Embryonal Fyn-associated substrate (EFS) and
CASS4: The lesser-known CAS protein family members. Gene 570:25–35.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2015.06.062

Deuel TAS, Liu JS, Corbo JC, et al (2006) Genetic interactions between doublecortin and
doublecortin-like kinase in neuronal migration and axon outgrowth. Neuron 49:41–53.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.10.038

Donato DM, Ryzhova LM, Meenderink LM, et al (2010) Dynamics and mechanism of p130Cas
localization to focal adhesions. J Biol Chem 285:20769–20779.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.091207

Donlin LT, Danzl NM, Wanjalla C, Alexandropoulos K (2005) Deficiency in expression of the
signaling protein Sin/Efs leads to T-lymphocyte activation and mucosal inflammation.
Mol Cell Biol 25:11035–11046. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.25.24.11035-11046.2005

Dottori M, Hartley L, Galea M, et al (1998) EphA4 (Sek1) receptor tyrosine kinase is required for
the development of the corticospinal tract. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 95:13248–13253.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.22.13248

Easter SS, Burrill J, Marcus RC, et al (1994) Initial tract formation in the vertebrate brain. Prog
Brain Res 102:79–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0079-6123(08)60533-6

Easter SS, Ross LS, Frankfurter A (1993) Initial tract formation in the mouse brain. J Neurosci
13:285–299. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.13-01-00285.1993

Evans IM, Yamaji M, Britton G, et al (2011) Neuropilin-1 signaling through p130Cas tyrosine
phosphorylation is essential for growth factor-dependent migration of glioma and
endothelial cells. Mol Cell Biol 31:1174–1185. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.00903-10

Falk J, Bechara A, Fiore R, et al (2005) Dual functional activity of semaphorin 3B is required for
positioning the anterior commissure. Neuron 48:63–75.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.08.033

Fazeli A, Dickinson SL, Hermiston ML, et al (1997) Phenotype of mice lacking functional Deleted
in colorectal cancer (Dcc) gene. Nature 386:796–804. https://doi.org/10.1038/386796a0

Fenlon LR, Suarez R, Lynton Z, Richards LJ (2021) The evolution, formation and connectivity of
the anterior commissure. Semin Cell Dev Biol 118:50–59.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2021.04.009

Fischer G, Künemund V, Schachner M (1986) Neurite outgrowth patterns in cerebellar
microexplant cultures are affected by antibodies to the cell surface glycoprotein L1. J
Neurosci 6:605–612. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.06-02-00605.1986

Fonseca PM, Shin N-Y, Brábek J, et al (2004) Regulation and localization of CAS substrate domain
tyrosine phosphorylation. Cell Signal 16:621–629.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2003.10.004

Garel S, López-Bendito G (2014) Inputs from the thalamocortical system on axon pathfinding
mechanisms. Curr Opin Neurobiol 27:143–150.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2014.03.013

Ghosh A, Antonini A, McConnell SK, Shatz CJ (1990) Requirement for subplate neurons in the
formation of thalamocortical connections. Nature 347:179–181.
https://doi.org/10.1038/347179a0

Ghosh A, Shatz CJ (1992) Involvement of subplate neurons in the formation of ocular
dominance columns. Science 255:1441–1443. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1542795

Giger RJ, Cloutier JF, Sahay A, et al (2000) Neuropilin-2 is required in vivo for selective axon
guidance responses to secreted semaphorins. Neuron 25:29–41.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(00)80869-7

Gilmore EC, Ohshima T, Goffinet AM, et al (1998) Cyclin-dependent kinase 5-deficient mice
demonstrate novel developmental arrest in cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 18:6370–6377.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-16-06370.1998

Goebbels S, Bormuth I, Bode U, et al (2006) Genetic targeting of principal neurons in neocortex
and hippocampus of NEX-Cre mice. Genesis 44:611–621.
https://doi.org/10.1002/dvg.20256

Gong S, Zheng C, Doughty ML, et al (2003) A gene expression atlas of the central nervous
system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. Nature 425:917–925.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02033

Gorski JA, Talley T, Qiu M, et al (2002) Cortical excitatory neurons and glia, but not GABAergic
neurons, are produced in the Emx1-expressing lineage. J Neurosci 22:6309–6314.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-15-06309.2002

Graus-Porta D, Blaess S, Senften M, et al (2001) Beta1-class integrins regulate the development
of laminae and folia in the cerebral and cerebellar cortex. Neuron 31:367–379.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00374-9

Gustavsson A, Yuan M, Fällman M (2004) Temporal dissection of beta1-integrin signaling
indicates a role for p130Cas-Crk in filopodia formation. J Biol Chem 279:22893–22901.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M309693200

Hanashima C, Molnár Z, Fishell G (2006) Building bridges to the cortex. Cell 125:24–27.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.03.021

Henkemeyer M, Orioli D, Henderson JT, et al (1996) Nuk controls pathfinding of commissural
axons in the mammalian central nervous system. Cell 86:35–46.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80075-6

Hevner RF, Shi L, Justice N, et al (2001) Tbr1 regulates differentiation of the preplate and layer 6.
Neuron 29:353–366. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0896-6273(01)00211-2

Hoerder-Suabedissen A, Molnár Z (2012) Morphology of mouse subplate cells with identified
projection targets changes with age. J Comp Neurol 520:174–185.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.22725

Honda H, Nakamoto T, Sakai R, Hirai H (1999) p130(Cas), an assembling molecule of actin
filaments, promotes cell movement, cell migration, and cell spreading in fibroblasts.
Biochem Biophys Res Commun 262:25–30. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1999.1162

Honda H, Oda H, Nakamoto T, et al (1998) Cardiovascular anomaly, impaired actin bundling and
resistance to Src-induced transformation in mice lacking p130Cas. Nat Genet 19:361–
365. https://doi.org/10.1038/1246

Hua ZL, Jeon S, Caterina MJ, Nathans J (2014) Frizzled3 is required for the development of
multiple axon tracts in the mouse central nervous system. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
111:E3005-3014. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406399111

Huang Z, Yazdani U, Thompson-Peer KL, et al (2007) Crk-associated substrate (Cas) signaling
protein functions with integrins to specify axon guidance during development.
Development 134:2337–2347. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.004242

Huynh-Do U, Stein E, Lane AA, et al (1999) Surface densities of ephrin-B1 determine EphB1-
coupled activation of cell attachment through alphavbeta3 and alpha5beta1 integrins.
EMBO J 18:2165–2173. https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/18.8.2165

Hynes RO (1992) Integrins: versatility, modulation, and signaling in cell adhesion. Cell 69:11–25.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(92)90115-s

Islam SM, Shinmyo Y, Okafuji T, et al (2009) Draxin, a repulsive guidance protein for spinal cord
and forebrain commissures. Science 323:388–393.
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1165187

Ito A, Shinmyo Y, Abe T, et al (2010) Tsukushi is required for anterior commissure formation in
mouse brain. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 402:813–818.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2010.10.127

Jaudon F, Thalhammer A, Cingolani LA (2021) Integrin adhesion in brain assembly: From
molecular structure to neuropsychiatric disorders. Eur J Neurosci 53:3831–3850.
https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.14859

Jouandet ML, Hartenstein V (1983) Basal telencephalic origins of the anterior commissure of the
rat. Exp Brain Res 50:183–192. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00239182

Kanold PO (2009) Subplate neurons: crucial regulators of cortical development and plasticity.
Front Neuroanat 3:16. https://doi.org/10.3389/neuro.05.016.2009

Kanold PO, Kara P, Reid RC, Shatz CJ (2003) Role of subplate neurons in functional maturation of
visual cortical columns. Science 301:521–525. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1084152

Kanold PO, Luhmann HJ (2010) The subplate and early cortical circuits. Annu Rev Neurosci
33:23–48. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-060909-153244

Kerstein PC, Nichol RH, Gomez TM (2015) Mechanochemical regulation of growth cone motility.
Front Cell Neurosci 9:244. https://doi.org/10.3389/fncel.2015.00244

Klingler E, Martin P-M, Garcia M, et al (2015) The cytoskeleton-associated protein SCHIP1 is
involved in axon guidance, and is required for piriform cortex and anterior commissure
development. Development 142:2026–2036. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.119248

Kolodkin AL, Tessier-Lavigne M (2011) Mechanisms and molecules of neuronal wiring: a primer.
Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 3:a001727. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001727

Kwon YT, Tsai LH, Crandall JE (1999) Callosal axon guidance defects in p35(-/-) mice. J Comp
Neurol 415:218–229. https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1096-
9861(19991213)415:2<218::aid-cne6>3.0.co;2-f

Lefcort F, Bentley D (1987) Pathfinding by pioneer neurons in isolated, opened and mesoderm-
free limb buds of embryonic grasshoppers. Dev Biol 119:466–480.
https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(87)90050-9

Lent R, Uziel D, Baudrimont M, Fallet C (2005) Cellular and molecular tunnels surrounding the
forebrain commissures of human fetuses. J Comp Neurol 483:375–382.
https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.20427

Lilja J, Ivaska J (2018) Integrin activity in neuronal connectivity. J Cell Sci 131:jcs212803.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.212803

Liu G, Li W, Gao X, et al (2007) p130CAS is required for netrin signaling and commissural axon
guidance. J Neurosci 27:957–968. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4616-06.2007

López-Bendito G (2018) Development of the Thalamocortical Interactions: Past, Present and
Future. Neuroscience 385:67–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2018.06.020

López-Bendito G, Cautinat A, Sánchez JA, et al (2006) Tangential neuronal migration controls
axon guidance: a role for neuregulin-1 in thalamocortical axon navigation. Cell 125:127–
142. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.01.042

López-Bendito G, Flames N, Ma L, et al (2007) Robo1 and Robo2 cooperate to control the
guidance of major axonal tracts in the mammalian forebrain. J Neurosci 27:3395–3407.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4605-06.2007

López-Bendito G, Molnár Z (2003) Thalamocortical development: how are we going to get
there? Nat Rev Neurosci 4:276–289. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn1075

Machiyama H, Hirata H, Loh XK, et al (2014) Displacement of p130Cas from focal adhesions links
actomyosin contraction to cell migration. J Cell Sci 127:3440–3450.
https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.143438

Madisen L, Zwingman TA, Sunkin SM, et al (2010) A robust and high-throughput Cre reporting
and characterization system for the whole mouse brain. Nat Neurosci 13:133–140.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2467

Marcus RC, Blazeski R, Godement P, Mason CA (1995) Retinal axon divergence in the optic
chiasm: uncrossed axons diverge from crossed axons within a midline glial specialization.
J Neurosci 15:3716–3729. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.15-05-03716.1995

Martin-Lopez E, Meller SJ, Greer CA (2018) Development of piriform cortex interhemispheric
connections via the anterior commissure: progressive and regressive strategies. Brain
Struct Funct 223:4067–4085. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00429-018-1741-y

McCarty JH, Lacy-Hulbert A, Charest A, et al (2005) Selective ablation of alphav integrins in the
central nervous system leads to cerebral hemorrhage, seizures, axonal degeneration and
premature death. Development 132:165–176. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01551

McConnell SK, Ghosh A, Shatz CJ (1989) Subplate neurons pioneer the first axon pathway from
the cerebral cortex. Science 245:978–982. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.2475909

Milner R, Campbell IL (2002) The integrin family of cell adhesion molecules has multiple
functions within the CNS. J Neurosci Res 69:286–291. https://doi.org/10.1002/jnr.10321

Molnár Z, Adams R, Blakemore C (1998a) Mechanisms underlying the early establishment of
thalamocortical connections in the rat. J Neurosci 18:5723–5745.
https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-15-05723.1998

Molnár Z, Adams R, Goffinet AM, Blakemore C (1998b) The role of the first postmitotic cortical
cells in the development of thalamocortical innervation in the reeler mouse. J Neurosci
18:5746–5765. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.18-15-05746.1998

Molnár Z, Garel S, López-Bendito G, et al (2012) Mechanisms controlling the guidance of
thalamocortical axons through the embryonic forebrain. Eur J Neurosci 35:1573–1585.
https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9568.2012.08119.x

Molnár Z, Kwan KY (2024) Development and Evolution of Thalamocortical Connectivity. Cold
Spring Harb Perspect Biol 16:a041503. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a041503

Monko T, Rebertus J, Stolley J, et al (2022) Thalamocortical axons regulate neurogenesis and
laminar fates in the early sensory cortex. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 119:e2201355119.
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2201355119

Moos M, Tacke R, Scherer H, et al (1988) Neural adhesion molecule L1 as a member of the
immunoglobulin superfamily with binding domains similar to fibronectin. Nature
334:701–703. https://doi.org/10.1038/334701a0

Moreland T, Poulain FE (2022) To Stick or Not to Stick: The Multiple Roles of Cell Adhesion
Molecules in Neural Circuit Assembly. Front Neurosci 16:889155.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2022.889155

Munakata H, Nakamura Y, Matsumoto-Miyai K, et al (2003) Distribution and densitometry
mapping of L1-CAM immunoreactivity in the adult mouse brain--light microscopic
observation. BMC Neurosci 4:7. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2202-4-7

Myers JP, Santiago-Medina M, Gomez TM (2011) Regulation of axonal outgrowth and
pathfinding by integrin-ECM interactions. Dev Neurobiol 71:901–923.
https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.20931

Ohtaka-Maruyama C (2020) Subplate Neurons as an Organizer of Mammalian Neocortical
Development. Front Neuroanat 14:8. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnana.2020.00008

O'Leary DD, Schlaggar BL, Tuttle R (1994) Specification of neocortical areas and thalamocortical
connections. Annu Rev Neurosci 17:419–439.
https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ne.17.030194.002223

Pires-Neto MA, Braga-De-Souza S, Lent R (1998) Molecular tunnels and boundaries for growing
axons in the anterior commissure of hamster embryos. J Comp Neurol 399:176–188.
https://doi.org/10.1002/(sici)1096-9861(19980921)399:2<176::aid-cne3>3.0.co;2-y

Qu Y, Huang Y, Feng J, et al (2014) Genetic evidence that Celsr3 and Celsr2, together with Fzd3,
regulate forebrain wiring in a Vangl-independent manner. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A
111:E2996-3004. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1402105111

Renaudin A, Lehmann M, Girault J, McKerracher L (1999) Organization of point contacts in
neuronal growth cones. J Neurosci Res 55:458–471. https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-
4547(19990215)55:4<458::AID-JNR6>3.0.CO;2-D

Riccomagno MM, Sun LO, Brady CM, et al (2014) Cas adaptor proteins organize the retinal
ganglion cell layer downstream of integrin signaling. Neuron 81:779–786.
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2014.01.036

Robichaux MA, Chenaux G, Ho H-YH, et al (2016) EphB1 and EphB2 intracellular domains
regulate the formation of the corpus callosum and anterior commissure. Dev Neurobiol
76:405–420. https://doi.org/10.1002/dneu.22323

Ruest PJ, Shin NY, Polte TR, et al (2001) Mechanisms of CAS substrate domain tyrosine
phosphorylation by FAK and Src. Mol Cell Biol 21:7641–7652.
https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.21.22.7641-7652.2001

Sahay A, Molliver ME, Ginty DD, Kolodkin AL (2003) Semaphorin 3F is critical for development of
limbic system circuitry and is required in neurons for selective CNS axon guidance
events. J Neurosci 23:6671–6680. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.23-17-
06671.2003

Schmid RS, Anton ES (2003) Role of integrins in the development of the cerebral cortex. Cereb
Cortex 13:219–224. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/13.3.219

Schwartz MA (2001) Integrin signaling revisited. Trends Cell Biol 11:466–470.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0962-8924(01)02152-3

Seo S, Asai T, Saito T, et al (2005) Crk-associated substrate lymphocyte type is required for
lymphocyte trafficking and marginal zone B cell maintenance. J Immunol 175:3492–
3501. https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.175.6.3492

Serafini T, Colamarino SA, Leonardo ED, et al (1996) Netrin-1 is required for commissural axon
guidance in the developing vertebrate nervous system. Cell 87:1001–1014.
https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81795-x

Shen Y, Mani S, Donovan SL, et al (2002) Growth-associated protein-43 is required for
commissural axon guidance in the developing vertebrate nervous system. J Neurosci
22:239–247. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.22-01-00239.2002

Shu T, Li Y, Keller A, Richards LJ (2003) The glial sling is a migratory population of developing
neurons. Development 130:2929–2937. https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.00514

Shu T, Richards LJ (2001) Cortical axon guidance by the glial wedge during the development of
the corpus callosum. J Neurosci 21:2749–2758. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.21-
08-02749.2001

Silver J, Edwards MA, Levitt P (1993) Immunocytochemical demonstration of early appearing
astroglial structures that form boundaries and pathways along axon tracts in the fetal
brain. J Comp Neurol 328:415–436. https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.903280308

Spead O, Poulain FE (2020) Trans-Axonal Signaling in Neural Circuit Wiring. Int J Mol Sci 21:5170.
https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21145170

Squarzoni P, Thion MS, Garel S (2015) Neuronal and microglial regulators of cortical wiring:
usual and novel guideposts. Front Neurosci 9:248.
https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2015.00248

Stein E, Huynh-Do U, Lane AA, et al (1998a) Nck recruitment to Eph receptor, EphB1/ELK,
couples ligand activation to c-Jun kinase. J Biol Chem 273:1303–1308.
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.3.1303

Stein E, Lane AA, Cerretti DP, et al (1998b) Eph receptors discriminate specific ligand oligomers
to determine alternative signaling complexes, attachment, and assembly responses.
Genes Dev 12:667–678. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.12.5.667

Suh LH, Oster SF, Soehrman SS, et al (2004) L1/Laminin modulation of growth cone response to
EphB triggers growth pauses and regulates the microtubule destabilizing protein SCG10.
J Neurosci 24:1976–1986. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1670-03.2004

Suto F, Ito K, Uemura M, et al (2005) Plexin-a4 mediates axon-repulsive activities of both
secreted and transmembrane semaphorins and plays roles in nerve fiber guidance. J
Neurosci 25:3628–3637. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4480-04.2005

Takada Y, Ye X, Simon S (2007) The integrins. Genome Biol 8:215. https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-
2007-8-5-215

Tessier-Lavigne M, Goodman CS (1996) The molecular biology of axon guidance. Science
905   274:1123–1133. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.274.5290.1123

906   Tissir F, Bar I, Jossin Y, et al (2005) Protocadherin Celsr3 is crucial in axonal tract development.
907   Nat Neurosci 8:451–457. https://doi.org/10.1038/nn1428

908   Tole S, Gutin G, Bhatnagar L, et al (2006) Development of midline cell types and commissural
909   axon tracts requires Fgfr1 in the cerebrum. Dev Biol 289:141–151.
910   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2005.10.020

911   Tolner EA, Sheikh A, Yukin AY, et al (2012) Subplate neurons promote spindle bursts and
912   thalamocortical patterning in the neonatal rat somatosensory cortex. J Neurosci 32:692–
913   702. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1538-11.2012

914   Tronche F, Kellendonk C, Kretz O, et al (1999) Disruption of the glucocorticoid receptor gene in
915   the nervous system results in reduced anxiety. Nat Genet 23:99–103.
916   https://doi.org/10.1038/12703

917   Vahedi-Hunter TA, Estep JA, Rosette KA, et al (2018) Cas Adaptor Proteins Coordinate Sensory
918   Axon Fasciculation. Sci Rep 8:5996. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-24261-x

919   Vanderhaeghen P, Polleux F (2004) Developmental mechanisms patterning thalamocortical
920   projections: intrinsic, extrinsic and in between. Trends Neurosci 27:384–391.
921   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tins.2004.05.009

922   Viswanathan S, Bandyopadhyay S, Kao JPY, Kanold PO (2012) Changing microcircuits in the
923   subplate of the developing cortex. J Neurosci 32:1589–1601.
924   https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4748-11.2012

925   Vuori K, Hirai H, Aizawa S, Ruoslahti E (1996) Introduction of p130cas signaling complex
926   formation upon integrin-mediated cell adhesion: a role for Src family kinases. Mol Cell
927   Biol 16:2606–2613. https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.16.6.2606

928   Wang JF, Park IW, Groopman JE (2000) Stromal cell-derived factor-1alpha stimulates tyrosine
929   phosphorylation of multiple focal adhesion proteins and induces migration of
930   hematopoietic progenitor cells: roles of phosphoinositide-3 kinase and protein kinase C.
931   Blood 95:2505–2513

932   Wang L, Marquardt T (2013) What axons tell each other: axon-axon signaling in nerve and circuit
933   assembly. Curr Opin Neurobiol 23:974–982. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2013.08.004

934   Wong W, Estep JA, Treptow AM, et al (2023) An adhesion signaling axis involving Dystroglycan,
935   β1-Integrin, and Cas adaptor proteins regulates the establishment of the cortical glial
936   scaffold. PLoS Biol 21:e3002212. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3002212

Wu S-X, Goebbels S, Nakamura K, et al (2005) Pyramidal neurons of upper cortical layers
generated by NEX-positive progenitor cells in the subventricular zone. Proc Natl Acad Sci
U S A 102:17172–17177. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0508560102

Xu N-J, Henkemeyer M (2009) Ephrin-B3 reverse signaling through Grb4 and cytoskeletal
regulators mediates axon pruning. Nat Neurosci 12:268–276.
https://doi.org/10.1038/nn.2254

Yan L, Cui Z (2023) Integrin β1 and the Repair after Nervous System Injury. Eur Neurol 86:2–12.
https://doi.org/10.1159/000526690

Figure 1. *p130Cas* is expressed in the cortical white matter. (A-E'') Detection of EGFP (green)

expression of *p130Cas-EGFP-BAC* transgenic mice co-immunolabeled with the axonal marker

NCAM-L1 (red) at E14.5 (**A-A''**), E16.5 (**B-B''**), and P0 (**C-C''**). Analysis reveals strong *p130Cas*

promoter activity during the formation of cortical white matter tracts. Robust EGFP expression

is observed in the External Capsule (EC) (**A, B, C**, white asterisk) and Anterior Commissure (AC) (

A, B, C, white arrowheads). High resolution images at P0 show EGFP (**D', E'**) and NCAM-L1 (**D'',**

E'') expression at the pallial-subpallial boundary (**D**, dotted line) and the cortical plate (**E**). Scale

bar = 250μm.

Figure 2. *Cas TcKO* mutants display errors in cortical and thalamocortical connections. (A-B'')

Immunohistological analysis of major cortical tracts using the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green) in

Nes-Cre;TcKO mutant and control neonates (P0). Two major defasciculation phenotypes are

present in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice: 1) efferents originating in the cortex fail to fasciculate properly in

the pAC tract and erroneously project into the subpallium (**B'**), and 2) thalamocortical

connections meant to innervate layer IV mis-project to the subpial boundary, forming large

Cortical Bundles (**B''**). Each of these phenotypes is fully penetrant in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. (**C-**

H) The origin of mis-projecting axons is confirmed via anterograde lipophilic tracing

experiments. DiA crystals placed into the S2 cortex label ventrally defasciculating AC axons (**D**,

arrowheads) but not Cortical Bundles (**F**) in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. DiI crystals placed in the

laterodorsal thalamus label Cortical Bundles (**H**, white asterisks). (**I**) Quantification of the

number of stray axons in the subpallial area. Unpaired *t*-test, ***p < 0.001, n = 7 for *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO, n = 10 for controls. (**J**) Quantification of the number of Cortical Bundles. Unpaired *t*-

test, ****p < 0.0001, n = 8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 7 for controls. Values provided are mean ±

SEM. Scale bar = 250µm.

Figure 3. Developmental progression of white matter defects in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants. (A-F'')

Immunohistochemistry for the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green) in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and

control mice at E14.5 (**A-B''**), E16.5, (**C-D''**), and E18.5 (**E-F''**). Analysis reveals different

developmental timelines for each defasciculation phenotype. The EC-AC tract begin developing

at E14.5 in control (**A'**) and *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice (**B'**). *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mice display no AC

defasciculation errors until E18.5 (**F'**, white arrowhead). Cortical Bundles are apparent at E14.5

in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**B''**, white asterisks) and worsen in severity as development continues

(**D''** and **F''**, white asterisks). (**G**) Quantification of the average number of stray axons in the

subpallial area at E18.5. Unpaired *t*-test, **p < 0.01, n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls.

(**H**) Quantification of the average number of Cortical Bundles at E14.6, E16.5 and E18.5. Two-

way ANOVA, p < 0.0001; Tukey HSD post hoc test, ****p < 0.0001 E14.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus

control, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus control, E14.5 *Nes-*

Cre;TcKO versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*, E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* versus E18.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; ns E14.5

Nes-Cre;TcKO vs E16.5 *Nes-Cre;TcKO*; E14.5: n = 4 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls; E16.5: n =

8 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 12 for controls; E18.5: n = 5 for *Nes-Cre;TcKO,* n = 4 for controls). Values

provided are mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 250µm.

Figure 4. Neuronal-autonomous and non-neuronal autonomous roles for *Cas* genes in white matter tract fasciculation. (A-H'') Immunohistochemistry for the axonal marker NCAM-L1 (green) in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* neonates. Analysis reveals both classes of conditional knockouts present the AC defasciculation phenotype (**B'** and **H'**, white arrowheads), indicating this phenotype is neuronal-autonomous. This phenotype fully penetrant in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants. Similar to *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**Figure 2**), defasciculating axons in the subpallial area of *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants are cortical in origin as revealed by anterograde DiA tracing from crystal placement in the S2 cortex (**D** and **J**, white arrowheads). In contrast, only *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants display the Cortical Bundle phenotype (**B''**, white asterisks). Cortical Bundles are confirmed to be TCA projections by anterograde labeling from DiI crystals placed in the laterodorsal thalamus (**F**, white asterisks). The absence of the Cortical Bundle phenotype in *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants (**H''** and **L**) indicates the role of *Cas* genes during TCA fasciculation and projection is non-neuronal autonomous. (**M**) Quantification of the number of stray axons in the subpallial area in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls. (**N**) Quantification of the number of Cortical Bundles in *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$, n = 8 for *Emx1-Cre;TcKO,* n = 10 for controls (**O**) Quantification of the number of stray axons in the subpallial area of *Nex-Cre;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$, n = 7 for *Nex-Cre;TcKO,* n = 5 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 250µm.

Figure 5. Genetic labeling confirms cortical origins of AC defasciculating axons in *Cas TcKO*

mutants. (**A-H''**) Immunohistochemistry for NCAM-L1 (green) and the tdTomato fluorescent

Cre-dependent reporter (red). Analysis reveals extensive co-labeling in defasciculating AC axon

bundles in both *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* (**C-D''**) and *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* (**G-H''**) mutants. In both

classes of conditional mutants, tdTomato+ defasciculating axons appear to interpolate with

diffuse NCAM-L1+ processes that occupy the subpallium (**D' vs D''** and **H' vs H''**, white

arrowheads). No tdTomato+ processes may be seen invading the subpallium in *Emx1-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$*

;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$ controls (**A-B''**) and *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;CasL$^{+/-}$;Sin$^{+/-}$;p130Cas$^{+/+}$* (**E-F''**)

controls. (**I**) Quantification of the number of stray axons in the subpallial area of *Emx1-*

Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****$p < 0.0001$, n = 3 for *Emx1-*

Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO, n = 3 for controls. (**J**) Quantification of the number of stray axons in the

subpallial area of *Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, *$p < 0.05$, n = 4 for

Nex-Cre;Ai14$^{+/-}$;TcKO, n = 3 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale bar = 250μm.

Figure 6. *Itgb1* mutants phenocopy the non-neuronal autonomous TCA tract defects observed

in *Cas TcKO* mutants. (A-D'') Immunohistological analysis of NCAM-L1 (green) in *Emx1-*

Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$ and *Nex-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* neonates. Neither class of conditional mutant phenocopies the

AC defasciculation defects observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**B'** and **D'**), suggesting that β1-integrin

is dispensable for AC tract fasciculation. Only *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants phenocopy the Cortical

Bundle phenotype observed in *Cas TcKO* mutants (**B''**, white asterisks), indicating a similar non-

neuronal autonomous role of β1-integrin during TCA projection. (**E**) Quantification of the

number of Cortical Bundles in *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1$^{fl/fl}$* mutants and controls. Unpaired *t*-test, ****p <

0.0001, n = 6 for *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}*, n = 5 for controls. Values provided are mean ± SEM. Scale

bar = 250µm.

Figure 7. Non-neuronal autonomous phenotypes in E16.5 *Itgb1* and *Cas TcKO* mutants

correlate with displaced subplate cells. (A-H'') Immunohistochemistry of Tbr1 (red) and NCAM-

L1 (green) in E16.5 *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants. Analysis reveals severe

cortical dysplasia associated with Cortical Bundles. In both classes of conditional mutants, Tbr1+

deep layer/subplate cells are displaced to more superficial layers (**D'** and **H'**, white asterisks).

Cortical Bundles correlate with superficially displaced Tbr1+ deep layer/subplate cells (**D''** and

H'', white asterisks). Scale bar = 250µm.

1041

Figure 8. Non-neuronal autonomous phenotypes in P0 *Itgb1* and *Cas TcKO* neonate mutants

correlate with displaced subplate cells. (A-H'') Immunohistological analysis of Tbr1 (red) and

NCAM-L1 (green) in P0 *Emx1-Cre;TcKO* and *Emx1-Cre;Itgb1^{fl/fl}* mutants. Severe cortical dysplasia

is associated with Cortical Bundles. In both classes of conditional mutants, Tbr1+ deep

layer/subplate cells are displaced to more superficial positions (**D'** and **H'**, white asterisks).

Cortical Bundles correlate with superficially displaced Tbr1+ deep layer/subplate cells (**D''** and

H'', white asterisks). Scale bar = 250µm.

1049

1050   **Supplemental Figure 1. Placement of DiA and DiI crystals in *Nes-Cre;TcKO* mutants and**

1051   **controls. (A-D')** DiA and DiI crystal placement in coronal sections from P0 *Nes-Cre;TcKO* and

1052   control mice. DiA crystals were placed into the Somatosensory 2 (S2) region of the cortex (**A, B**),

1053   while DiI crystals were placed into the laterodorsal nucleus of the thalamus (**C, D**). Scale bar =

1054   250µm.

1055

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8