Two telomere-to-telomere genome assemblies and comparisons revealed the conserved key genes associated with sugar accumulation in *Rubus* genus

Xiaopeng Li[1,†], Xianyan Han[1,3,†], Shoucheng Liu[1,†], Qunying Zhang[2,†], Jiyuan Guan[2,†], Yajun Tang[1,†], Meng Zhang[1], Pengbo Xu[4], Mengzhuo Zheng[1], Jianxin Bian[1], Kui Li[1], Guilian Sun[1], Yan Sun[1], Yuanxin Dong[1], Xiaojie Lin[1], Zhengdong Wang[1], Houcheng Zhou[3], Guohui Yang[5], Zhongchi Liu[6], Hongli Lian[4,*], Hang He[1,*], Junhui Zhou[1,*]

[1] Peking University Institute of Advanced Agricultural Sciences, Shandong Laboratory of Advanced Agricultural Sciences in Weifang, Shandong Provincial Key Laboratory of Precision Molecular Crop Design and Breeding, Shandong 261325, China

[2] Botanic Garden of Guizhou Province, Guiyang city, Guizhou Province 550081, China

[3] National Key Laboratory for Germplasm Innovation & Utilization of Horticultural Crops, Zhengzhou Fruit Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Zhengzhou 450009, China

[4] School of Agriculture and Biology, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China

[5] College of Horticulture & Landscape Architecture, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin 150030, China

[6] Faculty of Synthetic Biology, Shenzhen University of Advanced Technology, Shenzhen 518100, China

[*] Correspondence: junhui.zhou@pku-iaas.edu.cn, hehang@pku.edu.cn, hllian@sjtu.edu.cn

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

To the Editor,

The genus *Rubus*, commonly known as brambles, comprise small perennial berry

shrubs within the Rosaceae family. These plants are composed of over 740 species

and boast a rich diversity of germplasm resources with a cosmopolitan distribution

spanning diverse latitudes [1, 2], rendering them of exceptional value for evolutionary

studies. *Rubus* species, recognized by the United Nations Food and Agriculture

Organization (FAO) as an international 'third-generation fruit', are particularly

notable for their low sugar content and high abundance of bioactive compounds.

These properties confer significant nutritional and medical value, including the ability

to scavenge reactive oxygen species (ROS), reduce blood pressure, prevent

carcinogenesis and cardiovascular diseases, and decelerate aging-related processes [3,

4]. Additionally, *Rubus* species exhibit a short juvenile phase, self-compatibility, and

diploid genome (2n=2x=14), along with an facile transformation system [5], making

an ideal model system for both fundamental and applied research in woody fruit

crops.

Previously, the first assembled and annotated genome of *Rubus* species was black

raspberry (*Rubus occidentalis* L.) [6], followed by the genomes of *Rubus chingii* Hu

[7] and *Rubus idaeus* [8, 9]. The *Rubus* genus displays striking genomic and

phenotypic diversity across its subgenera, a characteristic shaped by its perennial

growth habit, high heterozygosity, and complex reproductive biology, resulting in

substantial interspecific divergence. Besides, current *Rubus* genome assemblies

remain fragmented, with substantial gaps in centromeric and telomeric regions and

incomplete gene annotation. These limitations hinder comprehensive genomic

analyses and functional studies. High-quality, telomere-to-telomere assemblies for

representative subgenera are critically needed to advance *Rubus* research.

For the first time, we assembled two highly continuous, completely gap-free reference

genomes of the *Rubus* subgenus: *Rubus hirsutus* Thunb. 'Penglei' (XMM) and *Rubus*

eustephanos Focke ex Diels 'Dahongpao' (DHP), which are widely distributed in

southern China with similar phenotypic traits (Figures 1A, 1B, and Figure S1), yet

ripe fruits display distinct sugar accumulation levels (Table S1), making them ideal

candidates for investigating the mechanisms underlying sugar accumulation in the

Rubus genus. The XMM (213.53 Mb, 28,204 genes) and DHP (218.26 Mb, 28,569

genes) genomes exhibit close evolutionary relationships, diverging approximately

3.21 Mya. Comparative genomics identified extensive synteny, interspecific structural

variations (translocations, inversions, segmental duplications), and presence/absence

variation (PAV). Using Hi-C interaction heatmaps and Sanger sequencing, we

validated interspecific structural inversions. Additionally, we identified a sugar

transporter gene (*MFS1*), which is present in XMM but absent in DHP. Combined

analysis of the gene family expansion/contraction and transcriptome identified two

conserved key genes (*RhSTP13* and *RhSTP7*) associated with sugar accumulation in

Rubus genus and displayed distinct roles through transient expression assay. To

facilitate functional genomics study, we also established a comprehensive *Rubus*

database, RubusDB, a freely accessible repository consolidating all genomic,

transcriptomic and phenotypic data of *Rubus* genus. These findings provide a

foundational framework for elucidating the genetic basis of sugar accumulation,

genome diversification, and trait improvement in *Rubus* species.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Sequencing, assembly, and annotation of the XMM and DHP genome

The telomere-to-telomere (T2T) genomes of XMM and DHP were assembled using

an integrative approach that combined ultra-long reads from Oxford Nanopore

Technology (ONT), PacBio high-fidelity (HiFi) long reads, Illumina paired-end short

reads, and High-throughput Chromosome Conformation Capture (Hi-C) data (Figure

1C, Figures S2, S3, and Table S2). This effort resulted in two of the most complete

Rubus genomes to date (Table S3), featuring fully resolved telomeres enriched with

the plant telomeric repeat motif (TTTAGGG) (Table S4). The genome sizes are

213.53 Mb for XMM and 218.26 Mb for DHP (Figure 1D and Table S5). Both

assemblies achieved exceptional BUSCO completeness scores of 99.18% for XMM

and 99.23% for DHP. Furthermore, the mapping rates for HiFi and ONT reads were

99.90% and 99.99% for XMM (with 100% coverage each) and 99.17% and 99.98%

for DHP (with coverage of 99.87% and 99.94%, respectively). The LTR Assembly

Index (LAI) values exceeded gold standards, with scores of 26.49 for XMM and

20.53 for DHP, while consensus quality value (QV) scores ranged from 65 to 68

(Table S6). Additionally, we identified two types of centromeric satellites (CEN18

and CEN17), which share 84% sequence similarity and occupy 19.94% and 23.90%

of the centromeric regions in XMM and DHP, respectively (Figure 1D, Figure S4, and

Table S7).

Through a combination of *ab initio* and homology-based approaches, 46.59% (99.48

Mb) and 47.18% (102.98 Mb) of repetitive sequences were identified in the XMM and

DHP genomes, respectively (Table S8). Following repeat masking, gene structure

annotation was performed using a hybrid strategy that integrated *de novo* prediction,

homology-based methods, and transcriptome evidence (Figure S5). This

comprehensive annotation revealed 28,204 and 28,569 protein-coding genes in the

XMM and DHP genomes, respectively (Table S9). These annotations achieved

exceptional coverage of 98.50% and 98.45% of the complete BUSCO gene set,

surpassing the quality of all previously released *Rubus* genomes (Table S10).

Comparative genomic analyses between XMM and DHP

To explore chromosomal variations and trait diversity between the XMM and DHP

genomes, we conducted a comparative genomic analysis (Figures 1D, 1E). This

analysis identified 380 syntenic blocks with over 79.00% 1-to-1 collinearity, encompassing 939,717 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), 180,328 insertions/deletions (InDels), and 867 structural variants, including 349 translocations, 408 duplications, and 110 inversions (Table S11). Notably, a large inversion on chromosome 7, containing 33 annotated genes, was confirmed by aligning Hi-C reads from DHP to the XMM genome and visualizing the Hi-C interaction heatmap (Figure 1F). Furthermore, a significant inversion was observed specifically in the centromeric region of chromosome 6 in both XMM and DHP genomes, which was validated through Sanger sequencing (Figures 1E, 1G, Figure S6, and Table S12).

Additionally, we identified 2,013 and 2,146 presence/absence variation (PAV) sequences in the XMM and DHP genomes, respectively, totaling 1.33 Mb and 1.28 Mb. Over 87.50% of these PAV regions were shorter than 1 kb (Figure S7A). Of particular interest, a sugar transporter gene (*MFS1*), present in the XMM genome but absent in DHP, exhibited higher expression levels across six tissues in XMM (Figures 1D, 1H, 1I and Figure S7B).

Gene family expansion/contraction analysis and divergence time estimation

To explore the evolutionary history of the two *Rubus* species, we conducted a phylogenetic analysis using ten other published Rosaceae genomes (*Prunus armeniaca*, *Potentilla micrantha*, *Fragaria vesca*, *Rosa rugosa*, *Rosa wichuraiana*, *Rubus occidentalis*, *Rubus idaeus* 'Joan J', *Rubus argutus*, *Rubus chingii* Hu, and *Rubus corchorifolius*) from the Genome Database for Rosaceae [10]. Based on sequence similarity, we identified 33,275 ortholog groups, encompassing 27,209 genes from XMM and 27,527 genes from DHP (Figure 1J). A phylogenetic tree was constructed for these 12 Rosaceae species using 3,969 conserved single-copy genes. The analysis revealed that XMM and DHP form a distinct clade, having diverged from the common ancestor of four *Rubus* species approximately 12.44 million years

ago (Mya). The divergence between XMM and DHP occurred more recently, around

3.21 Mya (Figure S8). Additionally, *Rubus chingii* Hu and *Rubus corchorifolius* were

found to be closely related to XMM and DHP.

Following the divergence of XMM and DHP, 198 gene families underwent significant

expansion, while 617 families experienced contraction. Notably, gene families

associated with glycoprotein biosynthetic and metabolic process expanded, whereas

those involved in (1->3)-beta-D-glucan biosynthetic contracted (corrected $P < 0.05$)

(Figures S9, S10). Further analysis of expanded and contracted gene families, along

with functional gene annotations, revealed that two sugar-related gene families in

XMM have undergone expansion, while three sugar-related gene families have

undergone contraction (Figure 2A and Table S13).

Identifying candidate genes of sugar accumulation in *Rubus* fruits

Based on our UPLC-MS/MS results (Figure 2C), XMM exhibited a remarkably high

sugar content, approximately 3.64 times greater than that of DHP. To broaden our

analysis, we carried out transcriptome sequencing and annotated eight other *Rubus*

materials. These 10 materials were categorized into high-sugar and low-sugar groups

according to their total fruit sugar content (Table S14), revealing 3,531 differentially

expressed genes (DEGs) which were significantly enriched in the molecular function

'active transmembrane transporter activity' (GO:0022804) (Figure 2B and Table S15).

We further identified 40 candidate genes primarily involved in sugar transport

processes that likely contribute to the differences in sugar accumulation between

XMM and DHP by integrating differential gene expression analysis, homologous

gene comparison, and functional annotation (Figure 2D and Table S16).

RhSTP13 and RhSTP7 exhibit distinct regulatory effects on sugar content in

Rubus

Then we selected two most striking DEGs, *RhSTP13* and *RhSTP7*, which were

enriched in the 'active transmembrane transporter activity' and 'symporter activity'

GO terms and exhibited highest contrasting expression patterns: *RhSTP13* was

upregulated in high-sugar samples, while *RhSTP7* was downregulated. To verify their

functions in sugar accumulation during *Rubus* fruit development, we constructed

RhSTP13- and *RhSTP7*-overexpressed constructs and performed transient

transformation assays in the fruits of *Rubus argutus*, a cultivar with medium sugar

content (Figure 2E). Overexpression of *RhSTP13* led to a 17.48% increase in sugar

concentration, whereas overexpression of *RhSTP7* resulted in a 41.73% decrease in

total sugar content (Figure 2F, and Table S17). The relative expression levels of

RhSTP13 and *RhSTP7* were consistent to the expected changes in sugar content

(Figures 2G, 2H). In addition, we predicted the protein 3D structures of both genes

and found no significant structural differences (Figures 2I, 2J). *RhSTP13* belongs to

an expanded gene family in XMM. We investigated the conserved SV sequences via

Rubus resequencing and Sanger sequencing, and identified multiple mutation types

within TTG sequence near to the branch point in the fourth intron region of *RhSTP13*

in most low-sugar groups. This mutation may contribute to variations in *RhSTP13*

expression levels, ultimately influencing sugar accumulation (Figures 2D, 2K and

Figure S11). These T2T genomes provide a robust foundation for genomic and

functional studies of *Rubus* genus, particularly in understanding the genetic basis of

sugar accumulation and other economical traits.

Establishment of RubusDB database

We have established a comprehensive database for the *Rubus* genus, RubusDB, to

document all genomic, transcriptomic, and phenotypic data generated in this study

(Figure S12). Additionally, RubusDB offers basic analysis tools, such as batch query

and BLAST, to support gene discovery and genomic investigations across all species

within the *Rubus* genus. We will continue incorporate newly-updated genomic

resources and bioinformatics tools of all *Rubus* genus to RubusDB in the future.

FIGURE 1 Phenotypic characterization, *de novo* genome assemblies, variation, and

evolution of *Rubus hirsutus* Thunb. 'Penglei' (XMM) and *Rubus eustephanos* Focke

ex Diels 'Dahongpao' (DHP). (A) Illustration of XMM whole plants, stem, flower bud

and flower, respectively. (B) Illustration of DHP whole plants, stem, flower bud and

flower, respectively. (C) The chromosome karyotype analysis of XMM. Bar = 10 μm.

(D) Circular diagram of XMM and DHP reference genomes. (a) Chromosomes are

represented by centromeres (dark red) and telomeres (blue); (b) CEN18 density; (c)

CEN17 density; (d) Gene density; (e) TE density; (f) PAV density; (g) SNP density; (h)

Collinear lines at the center of diagram highlight homoeologous chromosomes

relationships and non-homoeologous regions. (E) Genomic alignments between XMM

and DHP. Inversions, duplications and translocations are marked with orange, blue

and green ribbons, respectively. (F) Identification of large inversions in chromosome 7

between XMM and DHP. The three heatmaps show the chromatin interaction matrix,

including mapping Hi-C data of DHP against DHP genome (left), mapping Hi-C data

of DHP against XMM genome (middle) and mapping Hi-C data of XMM against

XMM genome (right). The lower panel illustrates gene alignments between XMM and

DHP. (G) Synteny, structural rearrangements, monomer, and identity distribution in

the 16-20 Mbp region of chromosome 6 between XMM and DHP. (H) Local genome

synteny of chromosome 5, with a structural variation that is present only in XMM. The

PAV region includes a gene related to sugar transporter (*MFS1*, XMM05G025540). (I)

Gene-level matchings of *MFS* genes in the PAVs region between XMM and DHP. (J)

Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times between raspberries and other

Rosaceae species. The black numbers close to the divergence nodes indicate the

divergence times and the red bars represent the 95% confidence intervals. The red and

black numbers indicate expanded and contracted ortholog groups at the corresponding

node. Scale bar corresponds to 10 Mya.

FIGURE 2 Identification and functional verification of candidate genes for sugar accumulation in *Rubus*. (A) Venn diagram depicts the family of sugar-related, contraction and expansion gene family in XMM. (B) GO enrichment analyses of DEGs in ripe fruits between high-sugar and low-sugar groups. (C) Total sugar contents of ripe fruits in ten *Rubus* species were detected through UPLC-MS/MS platform. A1-A8 represented *Rubus idaeus* Tulamen, *Rubus idaeus* Erika, *Rubus idaeus* Orange Miracle, *Rubus chingii* Hu, *Rubus setchuenensis* Bureau et Franch, *Rubus argutus* Hillquist, *Rubus idaeus* Heritage and *Rubus occidentalis*, respectively. (D)Sugar-relate allelic expression profiles between five high-sugar and five low-sugar samples. A1-A8 represented *Rubus idaeus* Tulamen, *Rubus idaeus* Erika, *Rubus idaeus* Orange Miracle, *Rubus chingii* Hu, *Rubus setchuenensis* Bureau et Franch, *Rubus argutus* Hillquist, *Rubus idaeus* Heritage and *Rubus occidentalis*, respectively. The color gradient ranging from red to green is indicative of the relative expression values of the genes. (E) Over-expression constructions were carried out transient transformation in *Rubus argutus* fruits at turning stage. (F) Total sugar content in

mature fruits of *RhSTP7*-OE and *RhSTP13*-OE based on UPLC-MS/MS results.

Cas9-OE infiltrated-fruits were used as control. Two biological replicates consisting

of five fruits were prepared for determination of sugar content. (G-H) Relative

expression level of two candidate genes in agro-infiltrated *Rubus argutus* fruits,

RhSTP13-OE (G) and *RhSTP7*-OE (H) construction. (I-J) Protein structural prediction

of *RhSTP13* (I) and *RhSTP7* (J). Deep teal and orange represent *RhSTP13* and *RhSTP7*

in XMM. Yellow and slate represent allele in DHP. (K) Multiple sequence alignment

of the fourth intron region of *RhSTP13* in different *Rubus* genus for Illumina

sequencing data. '*' represented potential branch point.

METHODS

Comprehensive detailed procedures are provided in the Supplementary Information.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Xiaopeng Li: Investigation; methodology; formal analysis; writing-review and editing. **Xianyan Han:** Investigation; methodology; writing-review and editing. **Shoucheng Liu:** Investigation; formal analysis; writing-review and editing. **Qunying Zhang:** Funding acquisition; resources; investigation. **Jiyuan Guan:** Methodology; resources. **Yajun Tang:** Methodology; validation. **Meng Zhang:** Investigation. **Pengbo Xu:** Investigation. **Mengzhuo Zheng:** Formal analysis; writing-review and editing. **Jianxin Bian:** Investigation; formal analysis. **Kui Li:** Investigation; writing-review and editing. **Guilian Sun:** Methodology; investigation. **Yan Sun:** Investigation. **Yuanxin Dong:** Investigation. **Xiaojie Lin:** Investigation. **Zhengdong Wang:** Validation. **Houcheng Zhou:** Methodology; supervision. **Guohui Yang:** Resources. **Zhongchi Liu:** Project administration; conceptualization. **Hongli Lian:** Project administration; supervision; writing-review and editing. **Hang He:** Project administration; conceptualization; supervision; writing-review and editing. **Junhui Zhou:** Project administration; funding acquisition; conceptualization; resources; supervision; writing-review and editing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank the high-performance computing platform, Dr. Bosheng Li, Dr. Guochen Qin, Dr. Xiaoli Lin, Zhiying Lou, Dr. Dongdong Lu, Bailong Song and Hongxiao Sun (PKU-IAAS) for technical assistance in this project. We also thank Taishan Scholars Program (J.Z.), the Dean's Foundation from PKU-IAAS, the funding from Shandong Laboratory of Advanced Agricultural Sciences at Weifang, and Guizhou Provincial Scientific and Technological Program (QKHFQ[2024]004-1) for financial support.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All the raw sequencing data generated for this project, including the Nanopore ONT

data, PacBio HiFi data, and Illumina NovaSeq data, as well as the assemblies, are

archived at the National Genomics Data Center.

ETHICS STATEMENT

No animals or humans were involved in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Janick, Jules, James N Moore. 1996. Fruit breeding, tree and tropical fruits. *John*

Wiley & Sons,

2. Jennings, Derek L. 1988. Raspberries and blackberries: their breeding, diseases and

growth.

3. Kaume, L., L. R. Howard, L. Devareddy. 2012. "The blackberry fruit: a review on

its composition and chemistry, metabolism and bioavailability, and health benefits." *J.*

Agric. Food Chem. 60: 5716-5727. https://doi.org/10.1021/jf203318p

4. Moyer, R. A., K. E. Hummer, C. E. Finn, B. Frei, R. E. Wrolstad. 2002.

"Anthocyanins, phenolics, and antioxidant capacity in diverse small fruits: vaccinium,

rubus, and ribes." *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 50: 519-525.

https://doi.org/10.1021/jf011062r

5. **Miller, Sara**. 2019. 'Gene editing of red raspberry (Rubus idaeus L.) with

CRISPR/Cas9 knocking out F3'H', Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Ås.

6. VanBuren, Robert, Doug Bryant, Jill M Bushakra, Kelly J Vining, Patrick P Edger,

Erik R Rowley, Henry D Priest, Todd P Michael, Eric Lyons, Sergei A Filichkin.

2016. "The genome of black raspberry (Rubus occidentalis)." *Plant J.* 87: 535-547.

https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.13215

7. Wang, L., T. Lei, G. Han, J. Yue, X. Zhang, Q. Yang, H. Ruan, et al. 2021. "The

chromosome-scale reference genome of Rubus chingii Hu provides insight into the

biosynthetic pathway of hydrolyzable tannins." *Plant J.* 107: 1466-1477.

https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.15394

8. Davik, J., D. Roen, E. Lysoe, M. Buti, S. Rossman, M. Alsheikh, E. L. Aiden, O.

Dudchenko, D. J. Sargent. 2022. "A chromosome-level genome sequence assembly of

the red raspberry (Rubus idaeus L.)." *PLoS One* 17: e0265096.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0265096

9. Li, Xiaopeng, Xianyan Han, Shoucheng Liu, Qunying Zhang, Jiyuan Guan, Yajun

Tang, Meng Zhang, et al. 2025. "Two telomere-to-telomere, gap-free genome

assemblies and comparisons revealed the conserved key genes associated with sugar

accumulation in Rubus genus." *bioRxiv* 2025.2004.2009.646780.

https://doi.org/10.1101/2025.04.09.646780

320   10. Jung, S., T. Lee, C. H. Cheng, K. Buble, P. Zheng, J. Yu, J. Humann, et al. 2019.

321   "15 years of GDR: New data and functionality in the Genome Database for Rosaceae."

322   *Nucleic Acids Res.* 47: D1137-d1145. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1000

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

Figure S1. Morphological comparison between *Rubus hirsutus* Thunb. 'Penglei' (XMM) and *Rubus eustephanos* Focke ex Diels 'Dahongpao' (DHP).

Figure S2. Ploidy characterization of XMM and DHP.

Figure S3. Assembly pipeline for *Rubus* genome.

Figure S4. Representative pairwise sequence identity heatmap for centromeres located on XMM chromosomes and DHP chromosomes.

Figure S5. Pipeline of genome annotation for XMM and DHP.

Figure S6. Structural variants between XMM and DHP genomes.

Figure S7. PAV regions of XMM and DHP.

Figure S8. Phylogenetic relationships between *Rubus* genus and other Rosaceae species.

Figure S9. GO enrichment analyses of significant expansion gene families during the divergence of XMM and DHP.

Figure S10. GO enrichment analyses of significant contraction gene families during the divergence of XMM and DHP.

Figure S11. Multiple sequence alignment of the fourth intron region of *RhSTP13* in different *Rubus* genus.

Figure S12. Overview of data sources, tools, and module interactions of RubusDB.

Table S1. Total sugar content, total acidity and sugar-acid ratio of *Rubus hirsutus*

Thunb. 'Penglei' (XMM) and *Rubus eustephanos* Focke ex Diels 'Dahongpao' (DHP)

Table S2. Data used for *Rubus hirsutus* Thunb. 'Penglei' (XMM) and *Rubus*

eustephanos Focke ex Diels 'Dahongpao' (DHP) assembly and annotation.

Table S3. Statistics of the published Rubus genomes (all values are anchored to the

chromosomes).

Table S4. Telomere statistics of XMM and DHP genome assemblies.

Table S5. Length statistics of XMM and DHP genome assemblies.

Table S6. Evaluation of XMM and DHP genome assemblies.

Table S7. Centromere statistics of XMM and DHP genome assemblies.

Table S8. Summary of XMM and DHP TE assemblies (bp).

Table S9. Summary of XMM and DHP gene annotations.

Table S10. BUSCO analysis of published *Rubus* genome annotation.

Table S11. Summary of aligned sequences and structural variations in the XMM and

DHP genomes.

Table S12. Primers used in this study.

Table S13. Contraction and expansion of sugar-related gene families in XMM.

Table S14. Total sugar contents of ripe fruits of ten *Rubus* species were detected

using UPLC-MS/MS platform.

Table S15. The enriched GO terms for DEGs in XMM.

Table S16. 40 sugar-related candidate genes.

Table S17. Total sugar content of *RhSTP7*-OE, *RhSTP13*-OE fruits and control.

368