1   **Title**: **Symbiont virulence is a poor predictor of impacts on host population dynamics**
2

3   **Authors**: Marcin K. Dziuba[1]*, Kristina M. McIntire[1]‡, Elizabeth S. Davenport[1], Fiona E.
4   Corcoran[1]†, Taleah Nelson[1], Paige McCreadie[1], Riley T. Manuel[1], Emma Baird[1]§, Natalia Ferreira
5   dos Santos[1], Mia Robbins[1], Emma Dismondy[1], Kira J. Monell[1], Cristian Huerta[1], Lindsey C.
6   Selter[1]¶, Katya Deckelbaum[1], Michael H. Cortez[2], Meghan A. Duffy[1]
7

8   **Affiliations**:

9   [1]Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Michigan; Ann Arbor, Michigan,
10 48109, USA

11 [2]Department of Biological Sciences, Florida State University; Tallahassee, Florida, 32304, USA
12

*Corresponding author. Email: marcinkdziuba@gmail.com; marcind@umich.edu

‡Present address: Hawaiʻi Cooperative Studies Unit, University of Hawaiʻi; Hilo, Hawaii, 96720,
USA

†Present address: Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University;
Princeton, New Jersey, 08544, USA

§Present address: Department of Epigenetics, Van Andel Institute; Grand Rapids, Michigan,
49503, USA

¶Present address: Animal Health Diagnostic Center, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell
University; Ithaca, New York, 14850, USA
22

Abstract: Symbionts are classified as parasites or mutualists based on their impacts on host

individuals, but timescale, ecological context, and level of biological organization can all influence

symbiosis outcomes, and hence whether they are deemed harmful or beneficial. We designed

experiments exposing the key freshwater grazer *Daphnia dentifera* to two symbionts varying in

virulence, to test how well individual-level effects translate into population-level patterns. We

found that a seemingly mildly virulent microsporidian strongly reduced host population size, with

consequences for primary production, while a yeast that was highly virulent to individuals had no

detectable negative effects on host population size. Our experiments show that studies that fail to

consider the impacts of symbionts at multiple scales risk focusing mitigation and control measures

on the wrong symbionts.

Main text:

Scientists have long recognized that symbionts can have major effects on host fitness (*1*),

classifying symbionts with net positive impacts as mutualists and those with net negative impacts

as parasites (*2*). This classification is typically based on individual-level measurements of changes

in fitness-related traits of the host, like symbiont-induced changes in host survival (*3–5*) or

reproductive success (e.g., (*5–7*)). A common measure of the impact of symbionts on hosts is

virulence, a term that has a variety of definitions, but in a broad sense is defined as damage inflicted

on the host by the symbiont (e.g., (*5*)), and in a narrow sense as symbiont-induced increases in

mortality (*3–5, 8*). Here, we use the broad sense definition, but emphasize that both definitions of

virulence refer to an individual-level measure of symbiosis outcome. Measuring virulent effects

of parasites on life-history traits helps assess the impact of a given symbiont on its host, and is

commonly used in human and veterinary medicine, conservation, and organismal biology (*4, 9,*

10). However, besides the individual-level impacts, it is also important to identify how symbionts

affect host population trajectories; after all, a common reason for measuring individual-level

effects is to predict the population-level patterns. Accurate prediction of the large-scale, long-term

consequences of symbiosis outcomes is crucial to identify risks and benefits of symbiont spread

through host populations, and can be used in improving management practices in agriculture and

conservation biology (*11, 12*). Yet, there is growing evidence that effects at the individual-level

can poorly predict effects at the population-level (*12*), and that symbionts that seem benign at the

individual-level can have harmful population-level effects and vice versa (e.g., (*13*, *14*)). These

contradictory patterns stem from scale-dependent impacts like density-dependent effects, trans-

and multigenerational effects or environmental context (*13*, *15–18*), and studies of symbionts at

the individual level cannot detect these impacts. Hence the individual-level studies of virulence

are burdened with a risk of incorrectly classifying a symbiont as harmful, neutral or beneficial for

the population. Such incorrect labeling of a symbiont can lead us astray when we attempt to assess

the food web- and ecosystem-level impacts of symbionts, or when we try to decide which

symbionts should be combated or propagated. To improve our understanding of the role symbionts

play in host populations, food webs and ecosystems, we need to recognize that the outcomes of

symbiosis can vary depending on the time scale, level of biological organization, and ecological

context in which the outcome is measured (e.g., (*12–14*)).

In this study, we explored how the net impact of symbiosis may change with scale and context,

using the freshwater zooplankton *Daphnia dentifera* as a model organism. *Daphnia*, like all

organisms, are subject to and dependent on symbiotic interactions; some of these interactions are

vital for their survival (e.g., *Daphnia* without gut microbiomes die (*19*)), while others are

detrimental (e.g., highly virulent parasites, (*20*)). A well-studied symbiont of *Daphnia* spp. is

Metschnikowia bicuspidata, a yeast pathogen considered highly virulent mostly due to its large

reduction in host lifespan (*21–23*). A recently discovered and seemingly much less virulent

microsporidian symbiont of *Daphnia* species, *Ordospora pajunii* (*24*, *25*), shows potential to

become a mutalist when *M. bicuspidata* is present in the environment (*26*). *Ordospora pajunii*

infection reduces the penetrability of *Daphnia* guts to attacking *M. bicuspidata* spores (*26*, *27*).

Additionally, an experimental investigation of potential drivers of context-dependent mutualism

revealed that *O. pajunii* causes *Daphnia* to become a dead-end host for *M. bicuspidata* upon

sequential co-infection due to increased host mortality (*27*). However, *O. pajunii* has also been

found to greatly reduce offspring quality through transgenerational effects, which should decrease

host population size over longer time scales (*28*). Therefore, our expectation was that the net

impact of *O. pajunii* might change depending on whether the impacts were measured at the

individual-level over short timescales versus at the population-level over longer time scales, as

well as depending on whether the ecological context included the highly virulent *M. bicuspidata*.

We first investigated the short-term, individual-scale effects of each symbiont on the host with a

life-table experiment, then tested for potential shifts in outcomes based on ecological context and

longer timescales (spanning generations) using a population-level experiment.

Individual-level effects

At the individual-level, infections affected host reproduction (parasite:clutch interaction F=43.52,

p<0.001) and lifespan (parasite effect F=29.35, p<0.001), but the effects of each symbiont strongly

differed. Specifically, the yeast *M. bicuspidata* was highly virulent to the host, reducing its

reproduction by 28-46% in clutches 2-4 (t-ratio>5.4 and p<0.001 for each comparison, Fig. 1A)

and lifespan by 63.5% (t-ratio=6.22, p<0.001, Fig. 1B), the latter resulting in the host producing

no more than four clutches. *Ordospora pajunii* infection, on the other hand, was less harmful to

host individuals. The infections of *O. pajunii* had no effect on the number of offspring in the first

three clutches, but this microsporidian had a negative effect on later reproduction, with the size of

clutches 4-8 reduced by 8-29% (t-ratio>3.2 and p<0.003 for each comparison, Fig. 1A) and

clutches 9-14 reduced by 31-54% (t-ratio>11.2 and p<0.001 for each comparison). *O. pajunii* did

not significantly reduce host lifespan (t-ratio=1.74, p<0.27, Fig. 1B). Parasite treatment had a

significant effect on reproduction time of *Daphnia* in clutches 1-4 (parasite effect F=5.00, p=0.007) (Fig. S1). While neither *M. bicuspidata* nor *O. pajunii* exposed *Daphnia* differed from the control (C-MB t-ratio=-0.74 and p=1.000; C-OP t-ratio=1.43 and p=0.466), the *O. pajunii* exposed *Daphnia* reproduced faster than those exposed to *M. bicuspidata* (MB-OP t-ratio=3.15 and p=0.005) (Fig. S1). Overall, *M. bicuspidata* had larger negative effects on the reproduction and survival of individuals than *O. pajunii*.

Scaling up

Based on the life-table experiment results and previous studies of these symbionts, we predicted that the highly virulent yeast *M. bicuspidata* would strongly reduce the population density of *Daphnia*. The life-table experiment suggested that *O. pajunii* should have negligible effects on *Daphnia* populations. However, we know that *O. pajunii* induces negative transgenerational effects (i.e., transgenerational virulence, (*28*)), and therefore, we expected that the microsporidian could negatively impact *Daphnia* population density over multiple generations. We also hypothesized that *O. pajunii* would prevent, or at least reduce, *M. bicuspidata* outbreaks, benefiting the host. Hence, we exposed *Daphnia* populations to *O. pajunii*, *M. bicuspidata*, both, or neither as a control. Because *O. pajunii* outbreaks in natural lakes occur before those of *M. bicuspidata* (*27*), and the hypothesized protection mechanism against *M. bicuspidata* requires established infection of *O. pajunii* (*26*, *27*), we added *O. pajunii* spores at the start of the experiment and waited until day 31 for its epidemics to be established at ~15% prevalence to add *M. bicuspidata*. We analyzed the growth rates and densities of host populations, as well as prevalences of each parasite. We also investigated differences in host demographics, expecting that transgenerational virulence of *O. pajunii* would reduce the proportion of juveniles in the

populations. Additionally, we quantified the standing stock of algae in the microcosms to

determine how the symbionts altered host-resource interactions.

For the population-level experiment, the effects of single- and co-exposure to the symbionts were

assessed during two time intervals: the initial growth phase (until day 23) and the post-peak phase

(days 30-93). The separation was determined by both population dynamics and the experimental

design: *M. bicuspidata* spores were added on day 31, when *O. pajunii* epidemics were established

(~15% prevalence) and the host populations had peaked in abundance. Thus, we used the time

before the *M. bicuspidata* exposure to quantify how *O. pajunii* affects initial host population

growth rate, and we combined the two groups exposed to *O. pajunii* into one group (OP and

OPMB, labeled 'OP' in Fig. 2A), and the two unexposed groups into a separate group (C and MB,

labeled 'C' in Fig. 2A). The post-peak phase was used to analyze the impact of both symbionts on

host population trajectories, with the four treatments analyzed separately.

Population-level effects

Contrary to what the individual-level measurements of virulence predicted, we found strong

negative effects of *O. pajunii* on the host populations and no negative effects of *M. bicuspidata*.

In the initial growth phase of the experiment, *O. pajunii* reduced the population growth rate by

13% (χ^2 = 17.37, $p<0.001$, Fig. 2A). This negative impact of *O. pajunii* on hosts continued after

the peak in densities. In populations with only *O. pajunii*, host population size on days 30-61 was

reduced by, on average, 47% (t-ratio>2.7 and $p<0.034$ for each comparison between OP and C on

each day); in the co-exposure treatment, host population size on days 30-64 was reduced by, on

average, 42% (t-ratio>2.8 and $p<0.026$ for each comparison; Fig. 2B). Conversely, *M. bicuspidata*

had no observable negative effects on the host populations; instead, later in the experiment (days

71-83), the *Daphnia* population size was larger in comparison to the control populations by, on

average, 41% (*t*-ratio<-2.84 and *p*<0.028 for comparisons on each day; Fig. 2B).

The most likely explanation for the decimation of *Daphnia* populations by *O. pajunii* is

transgenerational virulence (i.e., negative effects of maternal exposure on offspring fitness, even

when those offspring are not themselves exposed (*28*)). A mathematical model estimating the

population-level impacts of transgenerational virulence predicted an approximately 30% reduction

in population size (*28*), which is similar to the effect we observed in the population experiment.

Additionally, we found a lower proportion of juveniles in the *O. pajunii*-exposed populations

(treatment effect *F*=9.66, *p*<0.001; Fig. 3), which is consistent with high juvenile mortality caused

by transgenerational virulence. Adverse transgenerational effects of maternal exposure to stressors

have been observed before, via, for example, altered embryo nutrition or epigenetic inheritance

(*29*, *30*) or, for some parasites, vertical transmission (*31*). We do not know yet the process

responsible for the transgenerational virulence of *O. pajunii*, but we do know that the

microsporidian is not vertically transmitted and that the embryos produced by exposed mothers

are more fragile (*28*).

Resource availability

We also hypothesized that some of the discrepancy between the individual-level effects on host

fitness and population-level patterns could be explained by host-resource interactions. Some

models indicate that intraspecific host competition for resources can amplify the virulence of

seemingly benign parasites (*13*). Specifically, strong negative effects of *O. pajunii* could be the

result of an infection-driven increase in resource demand that led to starvation and smaller

population sizes. Contrary to this, *O. pajunii* exposed populations had leftover algae on days 29-

56 in single exposure and on days 25-64 in co-exposure (C-OP *t*-ratio<-2.88 and *p*<0.025 ; C-

OPMB *t*-ratio<-2.68 and *p*<0.045 for the given time spans) (Fig. S2), when the host populations

were undergoing the strongest reductions in density. This indicates that the decrease in population

size of *Daphnia* exposed to the microsporidian was not driven by resource depletion and starvation.

Conversely, it is possible that the exposed *Daphnia* were undergoing illness-mediated anorexia

(*32*, *33*), which would lower the overall food consumption. In a different study, we found that *O.*

pajunii can depress host feeding rates (*34*). Hence, the unexpected harmfulness of *O. pajunii* is not

likely to be a result of resource shortage. We acknowledge that *O. pajunii* infection might hamper

host nutrition through processes independent of food density in the environment, although

assimilation efficiency of hosts seems to be unaffected by the microsporidian (*34*).

In contrast, *M. bicuspidata*-exposed *Daphnia* populations consumed all the available food (Fig.

S2). Previous work has suggested that infection-driven decreases in foraging rates in *M.*

bicuspidata-infected hosts (*32*, *35*) can allow for increased resource uptake by other (susceptible,

uninfected) hosts, driving a phenomenon known as a disease-induced hydra effect (*36*). The lack

of a standing stock of algae in the *M. bicuspidata*-exposed treatment suggests that the very high

densities of hosts consumed all the available food; if infected hosts consumed less food, that would

leave additional food for the uninfected hosts, fueling a hydra effect, which is consistent with the

periodically higher population size of the yeast-exposed population in comparison to the control

(Fig. 2B). Additionally, during *M. bicuspidata* epidemics, the older and larger *Daphnia* experience

the greatest infection-driven mortality. Old and large adults are effective grazers (*37*), but

contribute very little to the population growth rate (*38*). Therefore, their increased mortality would

release a fraction of resources from their control, and nourish the juveniles, increasing their

survival, and stabilizing the whole population. Hence, we suspect that a joint effect of increased

resource availability due to foraging depression, and age-dependent mortality eliminating large

grazers, could be responsible for the lack of negative effects of *M. bicuspidata* at the population-

level despite its high individual-level virulence.

Antagonistic relationship of the symbionts

This study was motivated by the hypothesis that *O. pajunii* is a context-dependent mutualist whose

impact switches from mildly negative to positive in the presence of the more harmful yeast

symbiont. Consistent with prior work on *O. pajunii* (*26*, *27*) and on its close relative (*39*), *M.*

bicuspidata prevalence was reduced in our experiment in the presence of *O. pajunii*, and *O. pajunii*

prevalence was lower during *M. bicuspidata* outbreaks (Fig. 4A). Specifically, the prevalence of

M. bicuspidata was lower in co-exposure from day 56 of the experiment onward, and reached a

66% reduction by the end of the experiment (treatment:day interaction *z*-value=-2.33, *p*=0.020;

Fig. 4A). *Ordospora pajunii* was also less prevalent in the co-exposure treatment from day 44

onward, reaching a 57% reduction at the end of the experiment (treatment:day interaction *F*=15.39,

p<0.001; Fig. 4B). Despite the latter, co-exposed populations had similar host population dynamics

as the populations exposed just to *O. pajunii* (Fig. 2B). All in all, our assumption that *O. pajunii*

could be beneficial for *Daphnia* is not supported because *O. pajunii* had a strong negative

population-level effect whereas *M. bicuspidata* did not. Citing Francis Bacon "*the remedy is worse*

than the disease".

Beyond individual-level virulence measurements

Awareness of how ecological context affects the outcome of symbiosis is crucial for epidemiology, environmental management and conservation, medicine, and agriculture (*14*). While there is growing consideration of context-dependent changes in symbiosis outcomes, few studies consider the role that time span and level of biological organization play in the functioning of symbiosis. Switching from individual-level analysis to population-level studies done over multiple generations can dramatically change the perspective on the outcome or even nature of the focal symbiosis. For example, anthelmintic treatment that was predicted to help the buffalo population fight off tuberculosis infection actually increased the spread of bovine tuberculosis (*12*). Furthermore, *Wolbachia* is known to have complex transgenerational effects (*40*); for example, in *Drosophila melanogaster,* grandmother age determines the strength of the cytoplasmic incompatibility impact on fitness of the offspring of *Wolbachia*-infected males (*41*). These examples, and many other studies (e.g., (*23*, *42–44*)), show that individual-level, single generation studies are burdened with a risk of overlooking important patterns and interactions, such as transgenerational inheritance, selection of host and symbiont genotypes, or shifts in symbiosis outcomes stemming from population/community level interactions. These effects have the potential to change our classification of a focal symbiosis from mutualistic to parasitic or vice versa. Here, we present how transgenerational virulence inflicted by a microsporidian that does minimal harm to the infected host can decimate the host population, and how a highly virulent yeast parasite that was expected to be extremely harmful to the host population turned out to be benign. We would not have been able to predict such strong population-level consequences if we had relied solely on individual-level measurements of virulence in a single generation.

Understanding the large-scale and long-term consequences of symbiosis is crucial for ecology,

evolutionary biology, and epidemiology. The strong population-level effects of *O. pajunii* detected

in this study indicate that long-lasting epidemics of this widespread microsporidian (as have been

seen in nature; (*26*, *45*, *46*)) have the potential to decrease the abundance of *Daphnia*; given their

central role in lake food webs, this likely has consequences for primary producers and ecosystem

functioning. Indeed, our finding that there was excess algae in populations exposed to *O. pajunii*

but not in control populations or those exposed to only *M. bicuspidata* suggest cascading effects

on the food web. More generally, we found that switching between individual- and population-

level studies revealed patterns that change our understanding of how a focal symbiosis functions

and how it should be classified. Our study emphasizes that individual-based methods of virulence

estimation are not enough to make accurate predictions about the impact of a symbiont on a host

population, and hence parametrizing models using only within-generation, individual-level

measurements may not be sufficient to predict the population- and food web-level effects of

epidemics. To combat the spread and harmful effects of infectious diseases in humans, wildlife

and agriculture (e.g., (*47–49*)), and to use symbiosis in disease biocontrol (e.g., (*50*, *51*)), we

require a deep understanding of the outcomes of symbioses at levels beyond individuals. Such

understanding comes from determining the impacts of symbionts at the population scale over

longer time scales, as well as the role of ecological context in shaping those interactions.

Incorporating these factors into our investigations of infectious diseases and symbioses will be

crucial not only for furthering our knowledge of the ecology and evolution of symbionts but also

for decision making in agriculture, conservation, and management.

References

1.   J. Sapp, The dynamics of symbiosis: an historical overview. *Can. J. Bot.* **82**, 1046–1056
(2004).

2.   T. L. F. Leung, R. Poulin, Parasitism, commensalism, and mutualism: exploring the many
shades of symbioses. *Vie Milieu Life Environ.* **58**,107–115 (2008).

3.   A. Casadevall, L. Pirofski, Host-pathogen interactions: the attributes of virulence. *J. Infect.*
Dis. **184**, 337–344 (2001).

4.   S. R. Thomas, J. S. Elkinton, Pathogenicity and virulence. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **85**, 146–
151 (2004).

5.   C. E. Cressler, D. V. McLeod, C. Rozins, J. Van Den Hoogen, T. Day, The adaptive
evolution of virulence: a review of theoretical predictions and empirical tests. *Parasitology*
143, 915–930 (2016).

6.   R. Poulin, C. Combes, The concept of virulence: Interpretations and implications. *Parasitol.*
Today **15**, 474–475 (1999).

7.   F. Ben-Ami, J. Routtu, The expression and evolution of virulence in multiple infections: the
role of specificity, relative virulence and relative dose. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **13**, 97 (2013).

8.   B. A. Hidalgo, L. M. Silva, M. Franz, R. R. Regoes, S. A. O. Armitage, Decomposing
virulence to understand bacterial clearance in persistent infections. *Nat. Commun.* **13**,
5023 (2022).

9.   Casadevall Arturo, Pirofski Liise-anne, Host-pathogen interactions: Redefining the basic
concepts of virulence and pathogenicity. *Infect. Immun.* **67**, 3703–3713 (1999).

10.   T. Day, On the evolution of virulence and the relationship between various measures of
mortality. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci.* **269**, 1317–1323 (2002).

11.   M. Duhamel, P. Vandenkoornhuyse, Sustainable agriculture: possible trajectories from
mutualistic symbiosis and plant neodomestication. *Trends Plant Sci.* **18**, 597–600 (2013).

12.   V. O. Ezenwa, A. E. Jolles, Opposite effects of anthelmintic treatment on microbial
infection at individual versus population scales. *Science* **347**, 175–177 (2015).

13.   C. M. Lively, The ecology of virulence. *Ecol. Lett.* **9**, 1089–1095 (2006).

14.   J. H. Daskin, R. A. Alford, Context-dependent symbioses and their potential roles in wildlife
diseases. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **279**, 1457–1465 (2012).

15.   T. E. Stewart Merrill, C. E. Cáceres, S. Gray, V. R. Laird, Z. T. Schnitzler, J. C. Buck,
Timescale reverses the relationship between host density and infection risk. *Proc. R. Soc.*
B Biol. Sci. **289**, 20221106 (2022).

16.   R. Poulin, F. Thomas, Epigenetic effects of infection on the phenotype of host offspring:
parasites reaching across host generations. *Oikos* **117**, 331–335 (2008).

17.   N. C. Johnson, J.-H. Graham, F. A. Smith, Functioning of mycorrhizal associations along
297   the mutualism–parasitism continuum*. *New Phytol.* **135**, 575–585 (1997).

298   18.   C. W. Russell, B. A. Fleming, C. A. Jost, A. Tran, A. T. Stenquist, M. A. Wambaugh, M. P.
299   Bronner, M. A. Mulvey, Context-dependent requirements for FimH and other canonical
300   virulence factors in gut colonization by extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli. Infect.*
301   *Immun.* **86**, 10.1128/iai.00746-17 (2018).

302   19.   M. Callens, E. Macke, K. Muylaert, P. Bossier, B. Lievens, M. Waud, E. Decaestecker,
303   Food availability affects the strength of mutualistic host–microbiota interactions in *Daphnia*
304   *magna*. *ISME J.* **10**, 911–920 (2016).

305   20.   D. Ebert, *Ecology, Epidemiology, and Evolution of Parasitism in Daphnia.* (National Library
306   of Medicine (US), 2005).

307   21.   D. Ebert, M. Lipsitch, K. L. Mangin, The effect of parasites on host population density and
308   extinction: experimental epidemiology with *Daphnia* and six microparasites. *Am. Nat.* **156**,
309   459–477 (2000).

310   22.   M. A. Duffy, S. R. Hall, Selective predation and rapid evolution can jointly dampen effects
311   of virulent parasites on *Daphnia* populations. *Am. Nat.* **171**, 499–510 (2008).

312   23.   T. E. Stewart Merrill, S. R. Hall, L. Merrill, C. E. Cáceres, variation in immune defense
313   shapes disease outcomes in laboratory and wild *Daphnia*. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **59**, 1203–
314   1219 (2019).

315   24.   N. R. M. de Albuquerque, K. L. Haag, P. D. Fields, A. Cabalzar, F. Ben-Ami, J.-F. Pombert,
316   D. Ebert, A new microsporidian parasite*, Ordospora pajunii* sp. nov (Ordosporidae), of
317   *Daphnia longispina* highlights the value of genomic data for delineating species
318   boundaries. *J. Eukaryot. Microbiol.* **69**, e12902 (2022).

319   25.   M. K. Dziuba, K. M. McIntire, K. Seto, E. S. Davenport, M. A. Rogalski, C. D. Gowler, E.
320   Baird, M. Vaandrager, C. Huerta, R. Jaye, F. E. Corcoran, A. Withrow, S. Ahrendt, A.
321   Salamov, M. Nolan, S. Tejomurthula, K. Barry, I. V. Grigoriev, T. Y. James, M. A. Duffy,
322   Phylogeny, morphology, virulence, ecology, and host range of *Ordospora pajunii*
323   (Ordosporidae), a microsporidian symbiont of *Daphnia* spp. *mBio* **15**, e00582-24 (2024).

324   26.   M. A. Rogalski, T. S. Merrill, C. Gowler, C. Caceres, M. Duffy, Context-dependent host-
325   symbiont interactions: shifts along the parasitism–mutualism continuum. *Am. Nat.* **198**,
326   563–575 (2021).

327   27.   M. K. Dziuba, K. M. McIntire, E. S. Davenport, E. Baird, C. Huerta, R. Jaye, F. Corcoran,
328   P. McCreadie, T. Nelson, M. A. Duffy, Microsporidian coinfection reduces fitness of a
329   fungal pathogen due to rapid host mortality. *mBio* **15**, e00583-24 (2024).

330   28.   K. M. McIntire, M. K. Dziuba, E. B. Haywood, M. L. Robertson, M. Vaandrager, E. Baird, F.
331   Corcoran, M. H. Cortez, M. A. Duffy, Transgenerational pathogen effects: Maternal
332   pathogen exposure reduces offspring fitness. *bioRxiv* 2023.03.14.532659 [Preprint] (2025).
333   https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.03.14.532659.

29.   C.-C. Wei, P.-L. Yen, A. Chaikritsadakarn, C.-W. Huang, C.-H. Chang, V. H.-C. Liao,
Parental CuO nanoparticles exposure results in transgenerational toxicity in
Caenorhabditis elegans associated with possible epigenetic regulation. *Ecotoxicol.*
Environ. Saf. **203**, 111001 (2020).

30.   C. U. Braz, T. Taylor, H. Namous, J. Townsend, T. Crenshaw, H. Khatib, Paternal diet
induces transgenerational epigenetic inheritance of DNA methylation signatures and
phenotypes in sheep model. *PNAS Nexus* **1**, pgac040 (2022).

31.   M. D. Klein, A. Proaño, S. Noazin, M. Sciaudone, R. H. Gilman, N. M. Bowman, Risk
factors for vertical transmission of Chagas disease: A systematic review and meta-
analysis. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* **105**, 357–373 (2021).

32.   J. L. Hite, A. C. Pfenning, C. E. Cressler, Starving the enemy? Feeding behavior shapes
host-parasite interactions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **35**, 68–80 (2020).

33.   S. K. J. R. Auld, K. Raidma, Host genetic variation in feeding rate mediates a fecundity
cost of parasite resistance in a *Daphnia*-parasite system. *bioRxiv* 2022.11.29.518345
[Preprint] (2022). https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.11.29.518345.

34.   E. Davenport, M. Dziuba, F. Corcoran, N. F. dos Santos, K. Monell, P. McCreadie, S.
Calhoun, T. Nelson, L. Jacobson, R. Manuel, M. Duffy, Resource quantity affects infection
success and impacts of a microsporidian on hosts. *Authorea*
10.22541/au.173858053.37915414/v1 [Preprint] (2025).
https://doi.org/10.22541/au.173858053.37915414/v1.

35.   C. L. Searle, M. H. Cortez, K. K. Hunsberger, D. C. Grippi, I. A. Oleksy, C. L. Shaw, S. B.
de la Serna, C. L. Lash, K. L. Dhir, M. A. Duffy, Population density, not host competence,
drives patterns of disease in an invaded community. *Am. Nat.* **188**, 554–566 (2016).

36.   R. M. Penczykowski, M. S. Shocket, J. H. Ochs, B. C. P. Lemanski, H. Sundar, M. A.
Duffy, S. R. Hall, Virulent disease epidemics can increase host density by depressing
foraging of hosts. *Am. Nat.* **199**, 75–90 (2022).

37.   Z. M. Gliwicz, Food thresholds and body size in cladocerans. *Nature* **343**, 638–640 (1990).

38.   J. B. McGraw, H. Caswell, Estimation of individual fitness from life-history data. *Am. Nat.*
147, 47–64 (1996).

39.   F. Manzi, S. Halle, L. Seemann, F. Ben-Ami, J. Wolinska, Sequential infection of *Daphnia*
magna by a gut microsporidium followed by a haemolymph yeast decreases transmission
of both parasites. *Parasitology* **148**, 1566–1577 (2021).

40.   A. R. Weeks, K. Tracy Reynolds, A. A. Hoffmann, *Wolbachia* dynamics and host effects:
what has (and has not) been demonstrated? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**, 257–262 (2002).

41.   E. M. Layton, J. On, J. I. Perlmutter, S. R. Bordenstein, J. D. Shropshire, Paternal
grandmother age affects the strength of Wolbachia-induced cytoplasmic incompatibility in
Drosophila melanogaster. *mBio* **10**, 10.1128/mbio.01879-19 (2019).

42. M. A. Duffy, J. H. Ochs, R. M. Penczykowski, D. J. Civitello, C. A. Klausmeier, S. R. Hall,
Ecological context influences epidemic size and parasite-driven evolution. *Science* **335**,
1636 (2012).

43. J. L. Sachs, M. O. Ehinger, E. L. Simms, Origins of cheating and loss of symbiosis in wild
Bradyrhizobium. *J. Evol. Biol.* **23**, 1075–1089 (2010).

44. C. C. Wendling, J. Lange, H. Liesegang, M. Sieber, A. Poehlein, B. Bunk, J. Rajkov, H.
Goehlich, O. Roth, M. A. Brockhurst, Higher phage virulence accelerates the evolution of
host resistance. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **289**, 20221070 (2022).

45. E. S. Davenport, M. K. Dziuba, L. E. Jacobson, S. K. Calhoun, K. J. Monell, M. A. Duffy,
How does parasite environmental transmission stage concentration change before, during,
and after disease outbreaks? *Ecology* **105**, e4235 (2024).

46. E. S. Davenport, M. K. Dziuba, L. E. Jacobson, S. K. Calhoun, K. J. Monell, M. A. Duffy,
Parasite transmission stage abundance varies in lakes over time and space. *Limnol.*
Oceanogr. **69**, 2167–2179 (2024).

47. E. Tambo, E. C. Ugwu, J. Y. Ngogang, Need of surveillance response systems to combat
Ebola outbreaks and other emerging infectious diseases in African countries. *Infect. Dis.*
Poverty **3**, 29 (2014).

48. J. Chen, L. Sun, Y. Cheng, Z. Lu, K. Shao, T. Li, C. Hu, H. Han, Graphene oxide-silver
nanocomposite: novel agricultural antifungal agent against *Fusarium graminearum* for crop
disease prevention. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **8**, 24057–24070 (2016).

49. P. Daszak, J. K. Olival, H. Li, A strategy to prevent future epidemics similar to the 2019-
nCoV outbreak. *Biosaf. Health* **02**, 6–8 (2020).

50. L. C. Lewis, D. J. Bruck, J. R. Prasifka, E. S. Raun, *Nosema pyrausta*: Its biology, history,
and potential role in a landscape of transgenic insecticidal crops. *Biol. Control* **48**, 223–231
(2009).

51. D. Sassera, S. Epis, M. Pajoro, C. Bandi, Microbial symbiosis and the control of vector-
borne pathogens in tsetse flies, human lice, and triatomine bugs. *Pathog. Glob. Health*
107, 285–292 (2013).

52. S. N. Wood, Fast stable restricted maximum likelihood and marginal likelihood estimation
of semiparametric generalized linear models. *J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser. B Stat. Methodol.* **73**, 3–
36 (2011).

53. R. Lenth, emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means, R package
version 1.10.3, GitHub (2024); https://rvlenth.github.io/emmeans/.

54. A. Dinno, _dunn.test: Dunn's test of multiple comparisons using rank sums_, R package
version 1.3.6, CRAN (2024). https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=dunn.test.

55. J. Pinheiro, D. Bates, _nlme: Linear and nonlinear mixed effects models_. R package
version 3.1-164, CRAN (2023). https://svn.r-project.org/R-packages/trunk/nlme/

56. F. Cribari-Neto, A. Zeileis, Beta regression in R. *J. Stat. Softw.* **34**, 1–24 (2010).

57. H. Wickham, *Ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis* (Springer-Verlag New York,
2016).

Acknowledgements

Funding: This work was supported by NSF DEB-1748729 to MAD and by the Gordon and Betty
Moore Foundation (GBMF9202) to MAD.

Author contributions:

Conceptualization: MKD, KMM, MHC, MAD

Methodology: MKD, KMM, MHC, MAD

Investigation: MKD, KMM, ESD, FEC, TN, PM, RTM, EB, NFS, MR, ED, KJM, CH, LS, KD

Visualization: MKD

Funding acquisition: MAD

Project administration: MKD, MAD

Supervision: MKD, MAD

Writing – original draft: MKD, MHC, MAD

Writing – review & editing: MKD, ESD, RTM, KJM, MHC, MAD

Competing Interests: There are no competing interests.

Data and Materials availability: Data and code used in this manuscript is publicly accessible on
GitHub   (https://github.com/marcinkdziuba/Pitcher-experiment/releases/tag/v1.1)   and   through
Zenodo (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15186214).

Figures

Fig. 1. **The number of offspring per clutch (A) and the lifespan (B) of *Daphnia* were strongly**
reduced by *M. bicuspidata* (red), while *O. pajunii* (orange) reduced clutch size only in late life
stages (after clutch 3) and had no effect on host lifespan. Panel A shows fitted values±SE of
clutch size (number of offspring per reproduction event) in consecutive clutches. Thick lines on
the bottom of the figure indicate clutches at which the difference in clutch size between control
and the treatment of corresponding color is significant. Panel B shows mean±SE lifespan (black
points and error bars) of *Daphnia* in each treatment, with individual data points color-coded by
treatment.
443

Fig. 2. ***Daphnia* initial population growth rate (A) and population size (B) were reduced by**
***O. pajunii* whereas *M. bicuspidata* increased population size.** Panel A shows population growth
rate during the initial growth phase (through day 23) in groups unexposed (C and MB) and exposed
to *O. pajunii* (OP and OPBM), grouped into parasite treatment C or OP, respectively, because the
population growth rates were measured before *M. bicuspidata* dosing. The black points and error
bars indicate mean±SE, the data points are plotted underneath with colors corresponding to
treatments. Panel B shows GAM modeled population densities in each treatment, the vertical gray
line with black stripe on day 30 separates the time before the populations peaked (dashed lines)
and after they peaked (solid lines); *M. bicuspidata* was dosed to the system on day 31, around
when densities peaked. Only the modeled population sizes after day 30 were analyzed with the
GAM. The population size in the single infection (OP) treatment was reduced on days 30-61,
while, in the co-infection (OPMB) treatment, it was reduced on days 30-64. *M. bicuspidata* (MB)
had a positive effect on the host population on days 71-83. The solid lines and gray ribbons indicate
fitted values±SE, thick lines on top and bottom of the figure indicate the time span at which the
treatment of the corresponding color had significantly larger or smaller host population size,
respectively, in comparison to the control.
462

463
464   Fig. 3. **Proportion of juveniles decreased over time in all treatments, but populations with *O.***
465   ***pajunii* outbreaks consistently had a lower proportion of juveniles than either control**
466   **populations or *M. bicuspidata* exposed populations.** Fitted values of linear regression±SE are
467   presented.
468

469
470 Fig. 4. **Prevalence of *M. bicuspidata* (A) and *O. pajunii* (B) were lower in the co-infection**
471 **treatment in comparison to the single infection treatments.** The plots show fitted curves of beta
472 regression for *M. bicuspidata* (A) and GAM for *O. pajunii* (B), with data points plotted underneath;
473 the thick lines above the figures show the time span when the prevalence of the respective parasite
474 was higher in the single infection treatment than in the co-infection treatment.