Modulating AP-1 enables CAR-T cells to establish an intratumoral PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} stem-like reservoir and overcomes resistance to PD-1 axis blockade

Authors: Andrew J. Snyder[1,2,3], Carolyn Shasha[4], Tam Ho[5], Sarah Garrison[1], Amelia R. Wilhelm[1,2,3] Mitchell G. Kluesner[1,2,3], Sergio Ortiz[1], W. Sam Nutt[1,2], Emma Bingham[1], Shruti S. Bhise[5], Everett Fan[5], Victor Zepeda[1], Megha Sarvothama[1], Xiao Wang[6], Shobha Potluri[6], Annalyssa Long[7], Anna Elz[7], Scott Furlan[5], Evan W. Newell[4], Shivani Srivastava[1,8]*

Affiliations:
[1]Human Biology Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington
[2]Molecular and Cellular Biology Graduate Program, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
[3]Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Washington School of Medicine, Seattle, WA, USA
[4]Vaccine and Infectious Disease Division, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington
[5]Translational Science and Therapeutics, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington
[6]Lyell Immunopharma, South San Francisco, CA
[7]Fred Hutch Innovation Lab, Immunotherapy Integrated Research Center, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, Seattle, Washington
[8]Department of Immunology, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington

*Corresponding Author: Shivani Srivastava, Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center, 1100 Fairview Avenue N, S3-204, Seattle, Washington 98109-1024

Phone: (206) 667-5103; Email: ssrivas2@fredhutch.org

Abstract:

PD-1[+]Tcf1^{+} stem-like cells are critical mediators of endogenous T cell responses to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade and are maintained by MHC-dependent interactions with professional antigen-presenting cells (**APCs**). Unlike conventional T cells, CAR-T cells are activated by intact antigen expressed on tumors, not by peptide/MHC expressed on APCs, restricting their activation to the hostile tumor microenvironment (**TME**) that may impair preservation of this critical stem-like subset. Indeed, in an autochthonous model of ROR1^{+} lung cancer that we developed, CAR-T cells targeting the tumor-associated antigen ROR1 were uniformly Tcf1^{+} prior to infusion but rapidly downregulated Tcf1 *in vivo* and became terminally exhausted, similar to observations in patients, resulting in faster attrition and no enhancement in response to PD-L1 blockade. We hypothesized that overexpression of AP-1 family transcription factors, which can regulate T cell exhaustion, could enable CAR-Ts to maintain this critical PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} subset within tumors independently of APCs and sensitize them to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Overexpression of the AP-1 TF c-Jun, but not BATF, improved preservation of PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} CAR-T cells within tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner that correlated with increased persistence deeper within tumors. Notably, c-Jun overexpression alone was insufficient to prevent CAR-T exhaustion in the lung TME, in contrast to prior work in xenograft models, with progressive CAR-T dysfunction correlated with PD-1-dependent downregulation of c-Jun. However, c-Jun overexpression dramatically sensitized CAR-Ts to PD-L1 blockade, which restored c-Jun levels in CAR-Ts, drove log-fold expansion of CAR-Ts within tumors, and induced nearly complete eradication of ROR1^{+} tumor in highly aggressive models of lung cancer. Altogether, our data show that combination with PD-L1 blockade is necessary to unleash the full potential of c-Jun-overexpressing CAR-T cells in aggressive solid tumors like lung cancer and suggest that strategies to enhance formation of intratumoral PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} reservoirs can overcome CAR-T resistance to PD-1 blockade.

Main Text:

INTRODUCTION

Immune checkpoint inhibitors (**ICI**) targeting the PD-1/PD-L1 axis have been transformative for the treatment of lung cancer, which is the leading cause of cancer mortality in the United States and worldwide,[1] but ~80% of lung cancer cases remain refractory to ICI.[2] One therapeutic strategy is to infuse patients with T cells engineered to express chimeric antigen receptors (**CAR**) specific for tumor antigens, endowing patients with a supply of functional tumor-specific T cells. Immunotherapy using CAR-T cells targeting CD19 has induced dramatic

remissions in some patients with advanced hematological malignancies, representing one of the

most remarkable therapeutic advances in the past decade.[3-12] Extending this success to more

common epithelial cancers like lung cancer, however, has proved more challenging.[1,13] Efficacy

of CAR-T cell therapies in solid tumors has been limited by multiple factors, including

heterogeneity of tumor antigen expression, T cell dysfunction in the tumor microenvironment

(**TME**), and poor persistence of infused T cells.[13-18] The tumor-associated antigen ROR1 was

previously identified as an attractive target for CAR-T cells due to its high expression in multiple

common, incurable cancers, including non-small cell lung cancer (**NSCLC**), triple-negative breast

(**TNBC**), and chronic lymphocytic leukemia (**CLL**), and limited expression in vital adult tissues.[19-

22] In a phase 1 clinical trial conducted at our Center, CAR-T cells targeting ROR1 induced

responses in CLL patients but upregulated multiple inhibitory receptors, became dysfunctional,

and persisted poorly in TNBC and NSCLC patients, suggesting that strategies to preserve CAR-

T function are needed to extend efficacy to more common solid tumors.[21,23] An obvious strategy

to rescue CAR-T cell function is to combine treatment with ICI; however, clinical studies thus far

have shown mixed results as to whether ICI enhances CAR-T cell efficacy, and the mechanisms

underlying CAR-T resistance to ICI are unclear.[24-34] Understanding the pathways that limit T cell

function in the context of PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, thus, is critical for developing more effective

immunotherapies for patients with aggressive solid tumors.

Recent work has demonstrated that a subset of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ exhausted T cells, termed

precursor exhausted cells (**Tpex**), are critical for sustaining immune responses to tumors and

chronic infections.[35-43] T cell exhaustion is a progressive decline in effector function and memory

potential driven by chronic antigen stimulation that is commonly observed in cancer and chronic

infection.[44-49] PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ Tpex retain some stem-like qualities, including the capacity for self-

renewal, proliferation, and differentiation into transitory effector-like cells that mediate tumor cell

killing.[35-43] Tpex provide the proliferative burst after PD-1 blockade, and strategies to deplete or

boost Tpex can shorten or extend tumor control and response to PD-1 blockade,

respectively.[38,36,38,39,50,51] Unlike terminally exhausted cells , which are scattered throughout

tumors, Tpex preferentially co-localize with antigen-presenting cells (**APCs**) in tumor-draining

lymph nodes (**tdLN**) and perivascular niches, where they are maintained by MHC-dependent

interactions.[36,51-57] This spatial segregation has been observed in patients and multiple animal

models of cancer, chronic infection, and autoimmunity, suggesting that localization of Tpex to

APC-rich regions may protect them from terminal differentiation.[36,42,53-55,58,59] The signals provided

in APC niches that promote Tpex maintenance are still being uncovered and may involve

protection from more persistent antigen stimulation, inhibition of mTOR signaling, and/or reduced

hypoxia, all of which are more prevalent in the TME and promote terminal exhaustion.[39,41,50,52,60]

Unlike conventional T cells, CAR-T cells are primarily activated through their CAR by intact

antigen expressed on tumor cells, not by peptide/MHC complexes expressed on tumor cells and

APCs. This MHC-independent design is commonly regarded as a positive trait, as it enables CAR-

T cells to kill tumors that have downregulated antigen presentation on MHC, a common

mechanism of tumor escape from MHC-restricted T cells.[61] However, this design may also act as

an Achilles' heel for CAR-T cells, as it restricts their activation to the TME, a more hostile

environment than APC niches that may promote Tcf1 downregulation and inhibit preservation of

stem-like qualities. Given the critical role of APCs and tdLNs in regulating and maintaining Tpex,

it is unclear how insufficient activation of CAR-T cells by APCs impacts their ability to establish

and maintain an *in vivo* reservoir of stem-like PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ cells and how this affects their response

to PD-1 blockade.

Defining the mechanisms limiting CAR-T cell function and sensitivity to ICI in solid tumors

requires more sophisticated and rigorous animal models than are routinely in use. We previously

adapted the Kras$^{LSL-G12D/+}$;p53$^{fl/fl}$ (**KP**) autochthonous mouse model of NSCLC to express human

ROR1 (**KP^{ROR1}**) to evaluate strategies for enhancing the efficacy of ROR1 CAR-T cells.[21,62]

Infecting KP mice intratracheally with lentivirus expressing Cre recombinase both deletes p53 and

activates oncogenic Kras^{G12D} in some lung epithelial cells, resulting in development of tumors that

co-evolve naturally with the host immune system, develop a highly suppressive TME that

resembles human NSCLC, and are refractory to ICI.[62-64] We demonstrated that this aggressive

model recapitulates the poor persistence and dysfunction of ROR1 CAR-T cells observed in

patients.[21] Moreover, tumors progress slowly over 4-5 months, enabling long-term analyses of

CAR-T function and differentiation that are not possible in rapidly progressive transplantable and

xenograft models.[64] The KP^{ROR1} model, thus, closely mirrors observations in patients and provides

a unique platform to test strategies to enhance CAR-T cell activity and sensitivity to ICI that can

inform clinical translation.

An advantage of adoptive cell therapy is the opportunity to engineer tumor-reactive T cells

to promote their maintenance of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ Tpex and preserve sensitivity to ICI. Exhaustion

develops in part due to an imbalance between NFAT and activating AP-1 transcription factors

(**TFs**), with insufficient activation of AP-1 TFs promoting "exhausted" rather than functional

"effector" T cell differentiation.[45,65] Because overexpression of AP-1 TFs have been shown to

regulate T cell exhaustion,[65,66] we hypothesized that modulation of AP-1 TFs could protect CAR-

T cells from terminal differentiation and enable them to establish an *in vivo* reservoir of stem-like

PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ cells that could sensitize them to further enhancement with PD-1 blockade. We show

that, although ROR1 CAR-T cells are uniformly Tcf1$^+$ prior to infusion, they rapidly downregulate

Tcf1 *in vivo*, fail to establish a PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ reservoir, and undergo terminal exhaustion, similar to

observations in patients. In the absence of a Tpex reservoir, PD-L1 blockade did not enhance

ROR1 CAR-T activity and instead drove their terminal differentiation and more rapid attrition within

tumors. Here, we use highly aggressive models of ROR1$^+$ NSCLC to define the mechanisms

underlying CAR-T resistance to PD-1 axis blockade and evaluate strategies to enhance formation

of an intratumoral reservoir of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like CAR-T cells that can restore CAR-T cell

sensitivity to PD-1 axis blockade.

RESULTS

ROR1 CAR-T cells fail to maintain a Tcf1$^+$ reservoir within lung tumors and are not

enhanced by PD-L1 blockade

We previously adapted the Kras/p53 autochthonous mouse model of lung

adenocarcinoma to express ROR1 by modifying the lentivirus used to induce tumors to co-

express Cre and truncated human ROR1 (**hROR1**).[21] Because linkage of multiple transgenes via

2A ribosomal skip elements can result in decreased co-expression of promoter-distal

transgenes,[67] we modified the lentivirus used to induce tumors to place hROR1 in the 5' position

(**hROR1-P2A-Cre**), which increased co-expression of Cre and hROR1 without increasing hROR1

levels (Fig. S1A, B).[21] In KP mice, hROR1-P2A-Cre lentivirus induced lung tumors that showed

hROR1 expression in ~50-70% of tumor cells by 12 weeks post-infection, when tumors were

visible by microCT, and this fraction remained stable up to 18 weeks post-infection (Fig. S1C).

Heterogeneous ROR1 expression could arise from silencing of the hROR1-P2A-Cre transgene

or transient Cre expression without stable lentiviral integration in a subset of cells.[68] Nevertheless,

this level of heterogeneity is similar to that found in patients with ROR1$^+$ TNBC and NSCLC, in

which ROR1$^+$ tumors express ROR1 in >50% of tumor cells.[19] The KP^{ROR1} model, thus, enables

us to study ROR1 CAR-T cell therapy directed against a clinically relevant antigen expressed at

physiological levels.

To target hROR1, we used a previously described ROR1 CAR comprised of a single-chain

variable fragment (**scFv**) that recognizes a similar epitope as the CAR used in the clinical trial

and CD28 and CD3ζ signaling domains (Fig. 1A).[69,70] When administered to tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1}

mice after lymphodepleting chemotherapy with cyclophosphamide (**Cy**), ROR1 CAR-T cells

accumulated within KP^{ROR1} tumors, upregulated the co-inhibitory receptors PD-1 and TIM-3, and

lost the ability to produce IFNγ upon restimulation *ex vivo* compared to control T cells modified to

express only the truncated murine CD19 transduction marker (**tCD19**) (Fig. 1A, 1B), indicating

that ROR1 CAR-T cells undergo terminal exhaustion in the KP[ROR1] model.[21] Interestingly, ROR1

CAR-T cells prior to infusion highly expressed Tcf1, a TF critical for maintaining the stem-like

features of memory and Tpex cells, and did not express PD-1, exhibiting a central memory-like

phenotype that has been associated with improved clinical responses (Fig. 1C).[71-77] However,

upon infusion into tumor-bearing mice, ROR1 CAR-T cells rapidly and progressively

downregulated Tcf1 and upregulated PD-1, adopting a PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{-}TIM-3^{+} phenotype that has

been associated with poor response to PD-1 axis blockade.[78] Indeed, even though ROR1 CAR-

T cells highly expressed PD-1 and PD-L1 was highly upregulated on tumor neutrophils and

macrophages in mice treated with ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig. 1D), suggesting increased activation

of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, PD-L1 blockade did not enhance ROR1 CAR-T cell activity. While

PD-L1 blockade drove increased PD-1 expression and activation of ROR1 CAR-T cells, it did not

increase numbers of ROR1 CAR-T cells and instead drove more rapid CAR-T cell attrition within

KP[ROR1] tumors (Fig. 1E). Consequently, combination treatment with anti-PD-L1 did not improve

ROR1 CAR-T cell efficacy, with ROR1^{+} tumor progressing similarly in both groups (Fig. 1F, 1G).

Thus, despite their high expression of Tcf1 pre-infusion, ROR1 CAR-T cells fail to establish a

reservoir of PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} cells *in vivo*, and this correlates with their terminal exhaustion and inability

to be enhanced by PD-L1 blockade.

Overexpression of AP-1 family TFs affects maintenance of intratumoral Tcf1^{+} CAR-T cells

***and long-term persistence* in vivo**

157   We hypothesized that strategies to enhance preservation of PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} CAR-T cells *in*

vivo could both improve CAR-T cell persistence and sensitize them to further enhancement with

ICI. T cell exhaustion is driven by a balance between NFAT and AP-1, and overexpression of AP-

1 family TFs like c-Jun and BATF have been shown to partially restore CAR-T cell function in

transplantable and xenograft tumor models.[65,66] To examine how overexpression of these AP-1

family TFs affected the ability of CAR-T cells to establish a PD-1^{+}Tcf1^{+} reservoir within tumors,

we modified the CAR construct to co-express murine c-Jun or BATF upstream of the ROR1 CAR

and a tCD19/GFP transduction marker (**cJun.ROR1** and **BATF.ROR1 CAR-T cells,** respectively)

(Fig. 2A). Prior to infusion, cJun.ROR1 and BATF.ROR1 CAR-T cells expressed comparable

levels of surface CAR and higher levels of c-Jun and BATF, respectively, compared to ROR1

CAR-T cells (Fig. S2A). Additionally, cJun.ROR1 and BATF.ROR1 CAR-T cells showed similarly

high Tcf1 expression, proliferative capacity, cytokine production, and cytotoxic degranulation

upon stimulation as ROR1 CAR-T cells prior to infusion, though their capacity to produce IL-2 was

slightly increased (Fig. S2C-F). These data suggest that c-Jun or BATF overexpression do not

substantially alter CAR-T functional capacity prior to infusion or upon acute stimulation *in vitro*.

To determine how overexpression of c-Jun or BATF affected maintenance of Tcf1$^+$ CAR-T cells

in vivo, we transplanted B6 mice with lung tumors using a KP-ROR1 cell line derived from the

GEM model and treated them with Cy and ROR1 CAR-T, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T, or BATF.ROR1

CAR-T cells. Whereas tumor-infiltrating ROR1 CAR-T cells and BATF.ROR1 CAR-T cells rapidly

downregulated Tcf1 by 8 days post-infusion, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells maintained significantly

higher frequencies of Tcf1$^+$ cells within lung tumors, and this correlated with their significantly

enhanced accumulation within tumors (Fig. 2B). Correspondingly, treatment with cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells, but not BATF.ROR1 CAR-T cells, induced a modest but significant extension in

survival compared to treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells, suggesting that improving preservation

of Tcf1$^+$ CAR-T cells *in vivo* can improve tumor control.

Because Tcf1$^+$ Tpex have been shown to sustain and replenish T cells within tumors, we

next examined how c-Jun overexpression affected long-term CAR-T cell persistence *in vivo*.

Long-term T cell persistence, however, is difficult to assess in transplantable tumor models, in

which fully mature tumor cells are implanted and progress rapidly. Thus, we instead evaluated

how c-Jun overexpression affected CAR-T cell persistence in the autochthonous KP^{ROR1} model,

in which tumors progress from defined oncogenic mutations in single lung epithelial cells over 4-

6 months. To directly compare ROR1 CAR-T and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells head-to-head, we co-

infused a 1:1 ratio of cJun.ROR1 and ROR1 CAR-T cells into tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice (Fig.

2B). By 7 days post-infusion, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells significantly outcompeted ROR1 CAR-T

cells at accumulating within the same KP^{ROR1} lung tumors (Fig. 2C). This competitive advantage

was maintained up to at least 22 days post-infusion, though both cJun.ROR1 and ROR1 CAR-T

cells declined in frequency over time. By contrast, both cJun.ROR1 and ROR1 CAR-T cells

maintained a ~1:1 ratio in spleens and lungs of non-tumor-bearing mice and in spleens of KP^{ROR1}

mice, suggesting that the accumulation benefit observed with c-Jun overexpression was antigen-

dependent. We observed similar trends when cJun.ROR1 and ROR1 CAR-T cells were infused

into separate mice, with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells showing significantly increased accumulation 8

days post-infusion but no significant difference by 35 days post-infusion (Fig. 2D, 2E), indicating

the early benefits of c-Jun overexpression were also evident in a non-competitive setting.

Immunohistochemistry of KP^{ROR1} lung tumors showed that cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells persisted at

higher density and penetrated deeper within tumors compared to ROR1 CAR-T cells by 21 days

post-infusion (Fig. 2F-G). Altogether, these data show that c-Jun overexpression significantly

enhances the ability of CAR-T cells to establish a PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ resource population within tumors,

and this correlates with improved persistence deeper within tumor nests in a cell-intrinsic and

antigen-dependent manner; however, these benefits wane with time and result in only modestly

improved survival, indicating the effects of c-Jun overexpression alone are insufficient to

substantially enhance CAR-T cell activity in models of lung cancer.

c-Jun overexpression improves preservation of stem-like PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ CAR-T cells within

lung tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner

Although Tcf1$^+$ cells were increased among cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells within tumors,

whether this subset expresses stemness gene signatures comparable to conventional MHC-

restricted Tpex is not clear. To determine more comprehensively how c-Jun overexpression

affected CAR-T cell differentiation in the TME, we treated tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice with Cy and

either cJun.ROR1 or ROR1 CAR-T cells and harvested lung tumors 9 days and 30 days post-

infusion. We used single-cell RNA sequencing (**scRNAseq**) to resolve the transcriptional profile

of CD8$^+$ T cells enriched from tumors at each time point, as well as of cJun.ROR1 or ROR1 CAR-

T cells prior to infusion. We recovered some non-T cell populations that likely reflected imperfect

purity of the CD8 positive selection protocol (Fig. S4A); additionally, we detected a small subset

of cells that exclusively expressed *Cd8, Cd4,* and *Rag1* that likely represented contaminating

thymocytes isolated during lung dissection, as this subset was derived from a single mouse (Fig.

S4B, S4C). Thus, we re-clustered the data to focus on *Cd3e*-expressing T cells and to exclude

contaminating thymocytes (Fig. S4D). The *CAR* transgene was highly expressed in a subset of

clusters, which we further sub-clustered to better resolve the transcriptional profile of CAR-T cells

(Fig. S4D, S4E).

Unsupervised clustering analysis of CAR-T cells revealed a range of cell states that

segregated based on time point (Fig. 4A, 4B). Cells in the CAR-T cell infusion product ("**IP**") highly

expressed stemness-associated genes, such as *Tcf7*, *Il7r*, and *Bach2*, and lacked expression of

Pdcd1 and *Tox*, consistent with a non-exhausted central memory-like (**Tcm**) phenotype prior to

infusion (Fig. 4B, 4C). After infusion, a small subset of cells present at both Day 9 and Day 30

retained expression of central memory-like genes similar to the infusion product and likely

represented cells that had not yet engaged antigen *in vivo*, which we termed "**Tcm**"*.* At Day 9, we

resolved 3 clusters of cells distinguished by their upregulation of the exhaustion-associated genes

Pdcd1 and *Tox*. The largest of these 3 clusters expressed a combination of memory-associated

genes (*Tcf7*, *Il7r*, *Bach2*) and exhaustion-associated genes (*Pdcd1*, *Tox*, *Tigit*, *Lag3*), consistent

with the phenotype of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like precursor exhausted cells (**Tpex**) that have been

shown to mediate the proliferative burst in response to PD-1/PD-L1 axis blockade (Fig. 4C).[35-42,78]

This "**Tpex**" cluster showed strong enrichment of multiple gene signatures of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ Tpex

derived from studies in both mouse and human T cells in chronic infection and cancer, suggesting

high transcriptional similarity between this CAR-T cell subset and endogenous Tpex cells (Fig.

4D, S4F).[38,53,78,79] We also identified a cluster of effector-like exhausted cells ("**Teff**") which

expressed inhibitory receptors and markers of exhaustion (*Pdcd1*, *Tox*, *Havcr2*,), lacked

expression of memory-like genes, and expressed the highest levels of genes associated with

effector function (*Ifng*, *Batf*) and costimulatory and IL-2 signaling (*Tnfsf11*, *Tnfrsf4*, *Cd70*, *Il2ra*,

Stat5a) (Fig. 4C). The final cluster enriched at Day 9 showed relatively lower expression of

memory-associated, effector-like, and costimulatory genes, and higher expression of inhibitory

receptor and exhaustion-related genes, suggesting a terminally exhausted-like phenotype ("**Tex**

D9"). By Day 30, although a small subset cells were found in the Tcm and Tpex clusters, the

majority of T cells were found in a cluster that lacked expression of memory- and costimulatory-

related genes and showed the highest expression of inhibitory receptor genes and genes

associated with terminal exhaustion (*Tox, Gzmk, Prf1, Eomes, Entpd1*), which we termed "**Tex**

D30" (Fig. 4C). This cluster showed strong enrichment for gene signatures of terminal exhaustion,

derived from both mouse and human T cells in chronic infection and cancer, that has been

associated with failure to respond to PD-L1 blockade (Fig. 4D, Fig. S4G).[38,53,78,79] Finally, we also

resolved a cluster of "**Cycling**" cells that highly expressed the cell cycle-associated genes *Mki67*

and *Stmn1* and was comprised of cells from each time point.

To compare the relative abundance of ROR1 CAR-T cells and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells

across these different cell states, we performed differential abundance testing using Milo,[80] a

method for differential abundance analysis across overlapping "neighborhoods". Notably,

cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells were significantly enriched among 81 neighborhoods localized within the

Tpex cluster and defined by upregulation of the memory-associated genes *Tcf7*, *Il7r*, *Il2ra*, and

Xcl1 (Fig. 4E-H). By contrast, ROR1 CAR-T cells were significantly enriched in 9 neighborhoods

localized within the Tex D30 cluster that were defined by upregulation of genes associated with

terminal exhaustion (*Eomes, Entpd1, Havcr2, Prf1, Gzmk*), indicating that ROR1 CAR-T cells

show more rapid terminal exhaustion while cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells show improved preservation

of stem-like Tpex (Fig. 4E-H).

Consistent with our scRNAseq data, we used flow cytometry to validate that cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells showed significantly higher frequency of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$TIM-3$^-$ Tpex up to 30 days post-

infusion, indicating that c-Jun overexpression enhanced CAR-T differentiation into Tpex *in vivo*

(Fig. 4I, 4J). However, Tpex frequency declined over time, suggesting progressive differentiation

in vivo. Because antigen stimulation can drive progressive differentiation,[45] we wondered whether

the enhanced function of cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells might drive a reduction in ROR1$^+$ tumor burden

that could indirectly lead to less stimulation and better preservation of Tpex. To test this, we co-

infused cJun.ROR1 and ROR1 CAR-T cells into tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice and compared their

phenotype within the same tumors. cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells maintained a higher proportion of

PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$TIM-3$^-$ Tpex even in the co-infusion setting, demonstrating that enhanced Tpex

preservation is due to cell-intrinsic effects of c-Jun overexpression on CAR-T cells and not due to

extrinsic differences in the TME (Fig. 4K). Thus, our data demonstrate that c-Jun overexpression

enables CAR-T cells to preserve an intratumoral stem-like subset that transcriptionally resembles

endogenous Tpex cells.

c-Jun.ROR1 CAR-T cells show improved function but ultimately exhaust in vivo

Although c-Jun overexpression enabled CAR-T cells to establish a Tpex reservoir *in vivo*,

our scRNAseq data showed that cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells ultimately upregulated gene signatures

associated with exhaustion (including *Pdcd1* and *Tox*), suggesting that c-Jun overexpression

alone may be insufficient to prevent exhaustion and that this may limit CAR-T cell activity *in vivo*.

To determine how c-Jun overexpression impacted the development of exhaustion in ROR1 CAR-

T cells, we analyzed the expression of co-inhibitory receptors on tumor-infiltrating CAR-T cells

over time, as higher and sustained levels of PD-1 and other co-inhibitory receptors is associated

with T cell exhaustion.[78,81-84] Nearly all CAR-T cells upregulated and maintained PD-1 expression

within KP^{ROR1} tumors, though cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells upregulated PD-1 to significantly lower

levels and showed lower expression of TIM-3 and LAG-3 compared to ROR1 CAR-T cells 9 days

post-infusion (Fig. 3A, 3B). Nevertheless, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells eventually upregulated PD-1

and TIM-3 to levels comparable to ROR1 CAR-T cells by day 30 post-infusion, with only LAG-3

maintained at significantly lower levels. Likewise, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} tumors

showed higher production of IFNγ, TNFα, and IL-2 compared to ROR1 CAR-T cells within 9 days

post-infusion. (Fig. 3C, 3D), but this advantage was largely lost by day 35 post-infusion (Fig. 3C,

3D). Compared to ROR1 CAR-Ts, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells expressed significantly lower levels

of Tox, a transcription factor shown to drive and reinforce commitment to the "exhaustion"

program in CD8$^+$ T cells (Fig. 3E, 3F)[85-88]; however, cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts progressively

upregulated Tox over time, suggesting the beneficial effects of c-Jun overexpression were

incomplete. Notably, c-Jun overexpression showed similarly beneficial, but incomplete, effects in

both ROR1.BBζ and ROR1.28ζ CAR-T cells, with all treated mice showing only modestly

improved survival and succumbing to disease (Fig. S4). Altogether, these data indicate that c-Jun

overexpression is insufficient to prevent the development of exhaustion in ROR1 CAR-T cells *in*
vivo, which limits their anti-tumor activity against aggressive lung tumors.

c-Jun overexpression dramatically sensitizes ROR1 CAR-T cells to enhancement with PD-
L1 blockade

Although c-Jun overexpression alone did not substantially enhance ROR1 CAR-T cell-
mediated tumor control, we hypothesized that its ability to enhance numbers of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-
like CAR-T cells within tumors may sensitize them to enhancement with ICI. To test this, we
treated tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and
administered either blocking anti-PD-L1 antibody or vehicle every 3-4 days (Fig. 5A). By 5 days
post-infusion, PD-L1 blockade had no effect on ROR1 CAR-T cell numbers, as observed before,
but drastically boosted numbers of tumor-infiltrating cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells >10-fold. PD-1
expression remained uniformly high in CAR-T cells across all treatment groups but increased
slightly in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells with PD-L1 blockade, suggesting that this combination drove
increased activation of cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig.5B, 5C). Interestingly, combination treatment
with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1 also drove a synergistic increase in numbers of
tumor-infiltrating endogenous CD8$^+$ T cells, which also showed greater expression of PD-1,
suggesting that treatment with c-Jun.ROR1 CAR-T cells may also sensitize endogenous CD8$^+$ T
cells to enhancement with PD-L1 blockade (Fig. 5C). Whereas PD-L1 blockade drove terminal
differentiation of ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig 5D, 5E), it increased frequencies of both PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$TIM-
3$^-$ Tpex and more differentiated PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^-$TIM-3$^+$ Tex cells among cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells,
suggesting an ability to both expand a stem-like reservoir and generate more differentiated
effector cells. Thus, c-Jun overexpression enhances ROR1 CAR-T accumulation and protects
them from terminal differentiation in response to PD-L1 blockade.

c-Jun levels are downregulated post-transcriptionally in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and
restored by PD-L1 blockade

c-Jun overexpression was previously shown to prevent CAR-T cell exhaustion by
competing with and displacing inhibitory AP-1 transcription factors from chromatin, suggesting
that c-Jun levels must be sufficiently high relative to inhibitory transcription factors to mediate
successful reprogramming of CAR-T cells.[65] We hypothesized that incomplete prevention of
exhaustion in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells may be due to insufficiently high overexpression of c-Jun.
To test this, we used an antibody that detects both endogenous and transgenic murine c-Jun and
used flow cytometry to measure c-Jun expression in CAR-T cells over time. Whereas cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells uniformly expressed higher levels of c-Jun relative to ROR1 CAR-T cells prior to

infusion, they significantly downregulated c-Jun levels in KP[ROR1] tumors as early as 9 days post-

infusion (Fig. 6A, 6B).

Downregulation of c-Jun could be due to decreased transcription and/or epigenetic

silencing of the cJun-P2A-CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP transgene, as retroviral vectors have been

reported to undergo DNA methylation in T cells *in vivo*.[89] We hypothesized that this would result

in equivalent downregulation of both c-Jun and the inert tCD19/GFP transduction marker encoded

by the retroviral transgene, such that the ratio of c-Jun to GFP protein would remain equivalent.

Instead, we found that the ratio of c-Jun to GFP levels decreased significantly in cJun.ROR1 CAR-

T cells within KP[ROR1] tumors 9 days post-infusion relative to pre-infusion, suggesting that c-Jun

was being selectively downregulated relative to the tCD19/GFP marker (Fig. 6B).

Alternatively, high CAR expression has been shown to drive activation-induced cell

death[90], and because c-Jun is co-expressed with the ROR1 CAR, death of T cells with high CAR

(and thus high c-Jun) expression may leave behind a residual CAR-T population with lower c-Jun

levels. To determine whether c-Jun was selectively downregulated independently of the ROR1

CAR, we designed constructs which unlinked expression of c-Jun and the ROR1 CAR. We co-

transduced T cells with CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP and cJun-P2A-Thy1.1 vectors and compared them

to T cells transduced with our standard cJun-P2A-CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP vector (Fig. 6C). Both

CAR-T cells transduced with a single cJun.ROR1 CAR vector or co-transduced with separate c-

Jun and ROR1 CAR vectors showed equivalently high c-Jun expression prior to infusion and

similar downregulation of c-Jun in KP[ROR1] tumors within 8 days post-infusion, indicating that c-Jun

downregulation occurred independently of co-expression with the ROR1 CAR (Fig. 6D).

Interestingly, although c-Jun and the Thy.1 transduction marker were highly co-expressed in the

pre-infusion product, <40% of Thy1.1[+] CAR-T cells maintained c-Jun expression in KP[ROR1] tumors

8 days post-infusion (Fig. 5E). Thus, tumor-infiltrating cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells downregulate c-

Jun independently of the ROR1 CAR and Thy1.1 or tCD19/GFP markers, suggesting that c-Jun

downregulation occurs post-transcriptionally.

c-Jun stability is regulated in part by phosphorylation by MAPK family kinases,[91] and TCR

signaling induces phosphorylation of c-Jun at sites that protect it from ubiquitin-mediated

degradation and increase its half-life.[92-96] Because of its ability to inhibit proximal TCR signaling,

PD-1 signaling can inhibit c-Jun phosphorylation and restrain AP-1 activity in T cell lymphomas.[97]

Given that PD-L1 blockade dramatically increased c-Jun CAR-T cells within KP[ROR1] tumors, we

wondered whether PD-1/PD-L1 signaling was responsible for reduced c-Jun levels in cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells. We treated tumor-bearing KP[ROR1] mice with cJun.ROR1 or ROR1 CAR-T cells and

administered anti-PD-L1 or vehicle as before. By 5 days post-infusion, c-Jun levels were only
slightly, but insignificantly, elevated in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells relative to ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig.
6F). However, treatment with anti-PD-L1 dramatically increased c-Jun levels in cJun.ROR1 CAR-
T cells but had no effect on ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig. 5J), indicating that c-Jun downregulation was
PD-L1-dependent.

The effects of PD-L1 blockade on c-Jun levels in CAR-T cells could be due to direct effects
of disrupting PD-1 signaling in CAR-T cells and/or due to indirect effects on other PD-1$^+$ or PD-
L1$^+$ cells in the TME.[77-80] To determine whether intrinsic PD-1 signaling in CAR-T cells was
responsible for reduced c-Jun levels, we used CRISPR/Cas9 to selectively knockout PD-1 in
CD8$^+$ cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (**cJun.ROR1^{PD1-KO}**) (Fig. 6G). While anti-PD-L1 drove a significant
increase in c-Jun levels in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells, cJun.ROR1^{PD1-KO} CAR-T cells showed
intermediate c-Jun levels that were slightly, but insignificantly, increased compared to mock-
edited cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig. 6H). To control for extrinsic differences in the TME that could
influence c-Jun levels, we compared c-Jun levels in mock-edited and PD-1^{KO} cJun.ROR1 CAR-T
cells co-infused into the same tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice. Within the same tumors, PD-1^{KO}
cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells showed significantly increased c-Jun levels relative to mock-edited cells,
though the magnitude of increase was not as large as that observed with PD-L1 blockade (Fig.
6I). These data suggest that c-Jun downregulation partially depends on intrinsic PD-1 signaling
in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells, but that extrinsic effects of anti-PD-L1 on other cell types likely also
contribute to c-Jun downregulation.

cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells localize more closely to PD-L1$^+$ cells and show reduced terminal
differentiation in response to PD-L1 blockade

One possible explanation for the enhanced sensitivity of cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-
L1 blockade could be increased PD-1/PD-L1 signaling in tumors treated with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T
cells. Using scRNAseq data from live cells sorted from CAR-T cell-treated KP^{ROR1} tumors, we
found that among all immune cells identified, *Cd274* (which encodes PD-L1) was upregulated to
the greatest degree on tumor macrophages and neutrophils, which comprise the dominant
immune infiltrate in KP tumors (Fig. S5A-F).[98] Using flow cytometry, we confirmed that treatment
with ROR1 CAR-T cells and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells drove similarly high upregulation of PD-L1
on tumor cells, macrophages, and neutrophils by 9 days post-infusion compared to untreated
tumors (Fig. S5G), suggesting that differences in PD-L1 upregulation did not explain the vast
difference in CAR-T response to PD-L1 blockade.

To resolve whether ROR1 CAR-T and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells showed differential
localization relative to various endogenous PD-L1$^+$ cell types, we used the 10X Xenium spatial
transcriptomics platform and designed a custom panel that included probes for genes defining
various endogenous immune and stromal cells identified in our scRNA-seq data from KP^{ROR1}
tumors (Fig. 4C, S5D). We also included probes for the ROR1 CAR transgene and ROR1-Cre
lentiviral vector, enabling us to specifically identify *CAR*$^+$ T cells and *ROR1*$^+$ tumor cells. We
treated tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells, administering
either vehicle or anti-PD-L1 as before, and harvested lungs from each group 7 days post-
treatment to analyze by 10X Xenium. Unsupervised clustering enabled us to identify most of the
cell types previously identified by scRNA-seq, including subsets of T cells, B cells, macrophages,
and neutrophils (Fig. 7A, Fig. S6).
Using both the gene expression profiles and spatial coordinates of each cell, we used
Leiden clustering to classify each lung into three distinct spatial regions: normal lung parenchyma,
tumor, and a composite non-tumor region that included airway epithelia and blood vessels near
tumor nodules (Fig. 7B). In vehicle-treated mice, ROR1 CAR-T cells were enriched within tumors,
comprising ~10% of total cells within this region compared to <3% within non-tumor regions (Fig.
7B, 7C). cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells were increased in frequency across all three spatial regions but
showed preferential enrichment within tumors. Interestingly, anti-PD-L1 treatment induced a
dramatic decline in ROR1 CAR-T cells across all three spatial regions, consistent with our prior
data (Fig. 1D, 5E). By contrast, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells maintained elevated levels within tumors
upon anti-PD-L1 treatment and instead showed an increase in frequency within the composite
airway/blood vessel region, but not the normal lung parenchyma, that may be suggestive of
increased extravasation from the circulation (Fig. 7B, 7C).
To understand how ROR1 CAR-T cells and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells might differ in their
interactions with PD-L1$^+$ endogenous cells in the TME, we mapped the localization of each cell
type identified via clustering. In all treated mice, the dominant immune infiltrate within tumors was
comprised of three subsets of myeloid cells that highly expressed *Cd274* (PD-L1) (Fig. 7D, S6).
We identified these myeloid clusters as: 1) "M1-like macrophages", with higher *Nos2* and lower
Tgfb1 expression; 2) "non-M1-like macrophages", with higher *Tgfb1* and lower *Nos2* expression;
and 3) neutrophils, defined by high expression of *Cxcr2, C5ar1*, and *Mmp9* (Fig. 7D, S6).
Neutrophils and non-M1-like macrophages were present at highest frequency in tumors treated
with ROR1 CAR-T cells; however, treatment with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells induced a decrease in
neutrophils and non-M1-like macrophages and an increase in M1-like macrophages within tumors
(Fig. 7E). As M1-like macrophages have been reported to exert anti-tumor functions,[99-103] these

changes suggest a polarization of the TME towards a more pro-inflammatory state. Whereas
addition of anti-PD-L1 to ROR1 CAR-T therapy induced a decline in M1-like macrophages,
addition of anti-PD-L1 to cJun.ROR1 CAR-T therapy maintained elevated frequencies of M1-like
macrophages, indicating that cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells were required to enable the favorable
TME-remodeling effects of anti-PD-L1 on tumor macrophages.

We next asked whether the enhanced response of cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-L1
blockade might be driven in part by increased interactions of PD-1$^+$ CAR-T cells with PD-L1$^+$ cells
within tumors. Although *Cd274*-expressing macrophages and neutrophils made up a similar
fraction (~60%) of all cells within tumors of mice treated with either ROR1 CAR-T cells or
cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig. 7D, S6), proximity analysis revealed that PD-1$^+$ cJun.CAR-T cells
were surrounded by significantly more PD-L1$^+$ cells within a 20 um radius than PD-1$^+$ ROR1 CAR-
T cells (Fig. 7F). These data suggest that altered spatial distribution of PD-L1$^+$ cells in closer
proximity to cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells may contribute to their enhanced sensitivity to enhancement
with PD-L1 blockade.

Finally, endogenous Tpex cells have been shown to be preferentially enriched in tumor-
draining lymph nodes and perivascular APC niches, while more terminally differentiated
exhausted T cells localize deeper within tumor nests.[51,53,57] Because CAR-T cells are not expected
to be activated by MHC-dependent interactions with APCs, we wondered whether the Tpex
subset formed by cJun.ROR1 or ROR1 CAR-T cells showed distinct localization from more
terminally differentiated subsets or whether they were able to persist deep within tumors. To
compare localization of Tpex CAR-T cells to other CAR-T subsets, we sub-clustered CAR-T cells
based on expression of genes that were most differentially expressed between CAR-T sub-
clusters identified from our scRNA-seq dataset (Fig. 4A, 4C). We identified subclusters that
correlated closely in gene expression with Tcm (*Pdcd1*^{low} *Tcf7*^{hi}), Tpex (*Pdcd1*^{hi} *Tcf7*^{hi} *Havcr2*^{lo}),
Teff (*Pdcd1*^{hi} *Tcf7*^{lo} *Havcr2*^{hi} *Ifng*^{hi}), and Tex (*Pdcd1*^{hi} *Tcf7*^{lo} *Havcr2*^{hi} *Ifng*^{lo} *Gzmb*^{hi}) subsets
identified by scRNA-seq (Fig. 7G, 7H). Treatment with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells induced an
increase in the frequency of Tpex, as well as Teff and Tex, subsets within tumors compared to
treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells (Fig. 7I), consistent with our flow and scRNA-seq data.
Whereas anti-PD-L1 drove a similar decline in all ROR1 CAR-T cell subsets, it preferentially
maintained Tpex and Teff subsets and drove a decline in the Tex subset among cJun.ROR1 CAR-
Ts, suggesting enhanced function of cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts. Interestingly, Tpex cells among both
ROR1 CAR-T cells and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells showed comparable intratumoral penetration to
more differentiated Teff cells within the same tumors, suggesting that CAR-T Tpex are not
spatially segregated from Teff and are capable of persisting deep within tumors. Altogether, these

data suggest that c-Jun overexpression protects ROR1 CAR-T cells from terminal exhaustion and

attrition induced by PD-L1 blockade.

c-Jun overexpression overcomes resistance of ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-L1 blockade

To determine whether combination therapy with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and PD-L1

blockade was sufficient to enhance tumor control, we treated tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice with

ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and administered either vehicle or anti-PD-L1 every 3-

4 days for a total of 14 days (5 total doses) (Fig. 8A). Four weeks after cessation of anti-PD-L1

treatment, we harvested lungs and used immunohistochemistry to quantify $ROR1^+$ tumor burden.

Treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells showed minimal reduction in $ROR1^+$ tumor burden relative to

untreated KP^{ROR1} mice, with the majority of tumors maintaining ROR1 expression (Fig. 8B, 8C).

Treatment with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells alone showed a slight but insignificant decrease in

$ROR1^+$ tumor burden compared to treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells, consistent with the transient

improvement in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cell function and the modest improvement in survival we

observed in transplantable models of lung cancer. Whereas PD-L1 blockade had no significant

effect on tumor control by ROR1 CAR-T cells and even slightly worsened it (Fig. 8C), it

dramatically improved tumor control by cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells, with $ROR1^+$ tumor eliminated in

nearly all treated mice. Total tumor was also significantly decreased in mice treated with

cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1 relative to mice treated with ROR1 CAR-T cells and anti-

PD-L1 (Fig. 8C). However, $ROR1^-$ tumor continued to progress in mice treated with cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1, suggesting escape of antigen-null tumor may limit efficacy.

To test whether escape of $ROR1^{null}$ tumor is the major factor limiting efficacy of cJun.ROR1

CAR-T and anti-PD-L1 combination therapy, we evaluated this treatment regimen in a lung tumor

model with less pre-existing heterogeneity and more uniform expression of ROR1. We induced

lung tumor development in B6 mice by intravenously injecting a KP-ROR1 cell lined derived from

the GEM model which had been sorted to ensure >95% positivity for ROR1 (Fig. 8D, 8E).

Strikingly, in this setting with more uniform ROR1 expression on tumors, PD-L1 blockade again

had no effect on numbers of tumor-infiltrating ROR1 CAR-T cells but boosted cJun.ROR1 CAR-

T cells numbers within tumors ~100-fold (Fig. 8F, 8G). Although endogenous $CD8^+PD-1^+$ T cells

were increased ~5-fold by treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1 together compared

to treatment with ROR1 CAR-T cells alone, their numbers increased ~100 fold upon treatment

with cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1, suggesting a synergistic increase in activation of

endogenous T cells by this combination. In contrast to results in the GEM model, addition of anti-

PD-L1 to ROR1 CAR-T cell treatment showed a slight improvement in survival, though these

effects were likely driven by increases in endogenous CD8$^+$ T cells, as numbers of ROR1 CAR-T

cells were unchanged by anti-PD-L1 treatment (Fig. 8F, 8G). However, treatment with cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells and anti-PD-L1 together significantly improved survival compared to all other

treatment groups (Fig. 8H). Altogether, our data demonstrate that c-Jun overexpression

dramatically reverses CAR-T cell resistance to PD-L1 blockade, enabling efficient regression of

ROR1$^+$ tumors and improved survival in aggressive models of ROR1$^+$ lung cancer.

DISCUSSION

PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ Tpex cells are critical for sustaining endogenous anti-tumor immune

responses to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade, but whether CAR-T cells can maintain this critical resource

population *in vivo* and how this affects their sensitivity to ICI have not been well-defined. Here,

we demonstrate that, despite showing high Tcf1 expression, polyfunctionality, and expression of

stemness gene signatures prior to infusion, ROR1 CAR-T cells rapidly downregulate Tcf1 and are

unable to establish a PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like reservoir *in vivo*, resulting in rapid terminal exhaustion

and faster attrition in response to PD-L1 blockade. Overexpression of the AP-1 family TF c-Jun,

but not BATF, significantly improved preservation of PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like CAR-T cells within

tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner and sensitized CAR-T cells to enhancement with ICI, enabling

nearly complete elimination of ROR1$^+$ tumor and improved survival in highly aggressive models

of ROR1$^+$ lung cancer. Notably, c-Jun overexpression alone was insufficient to prevent CAR-T

exhaustion in the lung TME, in contrast to prior work in xenograft models, with progressive CAR-

T dysfunction correlated with PD-1-dependent downregulation of c-Jun. PD-L1 blockade restored

c-Jun levels, suggesting that PD-1 axis inhibition may be necessary to reveal the full potential of

c-Jun-overexpressing CAR-T cells in the suppressive microenvironment of aggressive solid

tumors like lung cancer. Altogether, our data show that ROR1 CAR-Ts fail to establish an

intratumoral PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like reservoir, but that c-Jun overexpression can overcome this

defect and may serve as a readily translatable strategy to sensitize previously refractory CAR-Ts

to PD-1 axis blockade.

Although ICI can rescue endogenous anti-tumor activity and has been transformative for

the treatment of many solid tumors,[104,105] combination of CAR-T therapy with ICI has shown mixed

result in patients, and the mechanisms underlying CAR-T resistance to ICI are unclear.[24-34] Our

data suggest that the ability of CAR-T cells to establish and maintain a PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ stem-like

reservoir *in vivo* is a critical determinant of sensitivity to ICI. Although Tcf1 expression and

stemness in cell therapy infusion products is associated with improved clinical responses,[71-77]

signals within the TME may promote terminal differentiation of infused cells and fail to protect a

subset of stem-like CAR-T cells that can sustain anti-tumor responses and expand in response to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade. Indeed, signals known to protect endogenous stem-like Tpex cells, such as MHC-I-dependent interactions with DCs in APC niches and tumor-draining lymph nodes,[51,52,57] are likely bypassed by CAR-T cells, which do not depend on peptide/MHC for activation. Thus, CAR-T cells may be uniquely disadvantaged at establishing this critical stem-like reservoir *in vivo*, which may contribute to their poor persistence, exhaustion, and resistance to PD-L1 blockade.[47] Indeed, despite showing high Tcf1 expression and polyfunctionality prior to infusion, ROR1 CAR-T cells rapidly downregulated Tcf1 *in vivo* and showed increased terminal differentiation and more rapid attrition in response to PD-L1 blockade, potentially even worsening tumor control. These results mirror those shown in recent studies where depletion of DCs or knockout of MHC-I expression on DCs drove terminal differentiation of Tpex cells and loss of viral control,[51,57] suggesting that absence of MHC-I-dependent interactions with DCs may drive rapid terminal differentiation of CAR-T cells *in vivo*. Importantly, our data suggest that overexpression of c-Jun may be one strategy that bypasses these limitations and enables CAR-T cells to establish an intratumoral stem-like reservoir that can sensitize them to enhancement with ICI. Notably, c-Jun overexpression did not substantially increase Tcf1 expression or functionality of ROR1 CAR-T cells prior to infusion; however, it may protect CAR-T cells from signals they receive in the TME that promote their terminal differentiation and downregulation of Tcf1. Interestingly, cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells showed significantly better preservation of Tpex within tumors even when co-infused with ROR1 CAR-T cells into the same tumor-bearing mice, indicating that c-Jun overexpression enhances preservation of this critical stem-like subset in a cell-intrinsic manner. Although the mechanisms by which c-Jun promotes differentiation of stem/memory-like Tcf1$^+$ subsets are unclear, some studies suggest that c-Jun can directly bind to the *Tcf7* locus and disrupt binding of inhibitory AP-1 TFs like IRF4, which promote CD8$^+$ T cell exhaustion and impair memory development during chronic infection.[65,106] Interestingly, endogenous Tpex cells also show strong enrichment for c-Jun DNA binding,[41] and a recent study demonstrated that neuropilin-1 induced c-Jun down-regulation in endogenous tumor-specific T cells that correlated with poor Tpex self-renewal, terminal differentiation, and resistance to ICI.[107] These data suggest that c-Jun levels may broadly correlate with sensitivity to ICI among both endogenous and engineered T cells.[65,108-111]

Since the initial study by Lynn et al. showing that c-Jun overexpression renders CAR-T cells "exhaustion resistant" in xenograft models, there has been limited demonstration that c-Jun overexpression is sufficient to enhance CAR-T activity in patients or more clinically relevant mouse models, and the mechanisms limiting c-Jun activity *in vivo* have not been defined.[65,66,112]

Indeed, preliminary data from a phase 1 clinical trial evaluating ROR1 CAR-T cells overexpressing
c-Jun indicate that c-Jun overexpression increases the anti-tumor activity and stem-like properties
of ROR1 CAR-T cells in patients with ROR1^{+} TNBC and NSCLC but is insufficient to mediate
complete responses, mirroring our results in the KP[ROR1] model.[113] Our data suggest that PD-1/PD-
L1-dependent downregulation of c-Jun is a major factor limiting efficacy of c-Jun.ROR1 CAR-T
cells, and this down-regulation may be more pronounced in tumors heavily infiltrated by PD-L1[+]
myeloid cells. Indeed, our data show that, similar to human NSCLC and in contrast to xenograft
models, macrophages and neutrophils comprise the dominant immune infiltrate within KP[ROR1]
tumors, highly express PD-L1, and co-localize closely with PD-1^{+} CAR-T cells.[114,115] Thus,
myeloid-derived PD-L1 could contribute to increased PD-1 signaling, c-Jun down-regulation, and
ultimate cJun.ROR1 CAR-T dysfunction that is observed in immune-competent models but not
xenograft models, which lack PD-L1^{+} myeloid cells.[116] Although the mechanisms by which the
PD-1/PD-L1 axis down-regulates c-Jun in CAR-Ts is unclear, our data indicate that c-Jun down-
regulation depends partially on intrinsic PD-1 signaling within CAR-T cells. PD-1 signaling may
inhibit c-Jun phosphorylation at sites that prevent binding to E3 ubiquitin ligases, resulting in
increased ubiquitin-mediated degradation.[92-97] In fact, PD-1 signaling has been shown to activate
ubiquitin ligases that facilitate degradation of critical components of the TCR and IL-2 signaling
pathways, driving T cell dysfunction.[117-119] Altogether, our data reveal that PD-L1 blockade
significantly restores c-Jun levels and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cell activity *in vivo*, providing a readily
translatable strategy to maximize the benefits of c-Jun overexpression in patients.

The KP model is notoriously aggressive, and achieving nearly complete elimination of
ROR1[+] tumor with a single infusion of CAR-T cells and only two weeks of anti-PD-L1 treatment is
a major feat. However, our data also indicate that efficacy is likely to be limited by progression of
ROR1[null] tumor. As most targets for CAR-T cells in solid tumors are heterogeneously expressed,
escape of antigen[null] tumor is likely to impair efficacy of even the most potent CAR-T cell products.
One limitation of the KP[ROR1] model is that the ROR1 expressed within tumors is truncated and
does not signal. As ROR1 has been shown to play a functional role in promoting tumor
proliferation, growth, and metastasis,[19,120-128] there may be a greater selective pressure against
escape of ROR1[null] tumors in patients that is not appropriately modeled in KP[ROR1] mice.
Nevertheless, strategies to control escape of antigen[null] tumors will be critical for efficacy of CAR-
T therapy in patients with heterogeneous solid tumors. One approach is to engage the
endogenous anti-tumor immune response, which may be polyclonal and capable of controlling
escape of tumors that lack or downregulate expression of the CAR target. Our studies show that
combination of c-Jun CAR-T cells with PD-L1 blockade synergistically boosted numbers of

endogenous PD-1$^+$ CD8$^+$ T cells within KP tumors, though whether these cells contribute to tumor

control is not known. As KP tumors lack the high mutational burden of human NSCLC tumors,[129]

additional studies introducing model neoantigens into KP tumors may be needed to determine

whether combination of c-Jun CAR-T cells with PD-L1 blockade can synergistically activate

endogenous tumor-specific immune responses to control escape of CAR antigen^{null} tumors.

Finally, while c-Jun overexpression significantly enhances CAR-T cell function and

response to ICI, it is possible that enhanced CAR-T cell activity may reveal new toxicities *in vivo*.

ROR1, like most target antigens for CAR-T cells in solid tumors, shares some expression in

normal tissues, including parathyroid, pancreatic islets, and gastric mucosa.[19] As the CAR used

in our study targets human ROR1 in KP tumors and does not cross-react with murine ROR1, we

are unable to evaluate the effects of c-Jun CAR-T therapy and PD-L1 blockade on on-target off-

tumor toxicity in this model.[21,22] Although ROR1 CAR-T cells did not induce dose-limiting toxicities

in patients with ROR1$^+$ CLL, TNBC or NSCLC, 67% of patients experienced reversible grade 3 or

4 pulmonary adverse events, suggesting that lung tissue may be susceptible to toxicity.[23] Notably,

despite the massive accumulation of c-Jun.ROR1 CAR-T cells in the lung upon PD-L1 blockade,

we did not observe any toxicity in the KP^{ROR1} model, suggesting that efficacy and safety can be

balanced in the absence of CAR target expression on normal tissue. Strategies to enhance CAR-

T cell activity, thus, may need to be coupled with logic-gating strategies to restrict CAR-T cell

activity to tumor cells to enhance anti-tumor activity without compromising safety. Alternatively,

antigens with heterogeneous but tumor-specific expression, like EGFRvIII or the Tn-Muc1

glycoform,[130,131] may be attractive candidates to target in combination with c-Jun overexpression

and/or PD-L1 blockade, as our data show that this treatment strategy significantly reduced tumor

burden without toxicity despite ROR1 being expressed in only ~60% of tumor cells prior to CAR-

T infusion.

In summary, our data demonstrate that c-Jun overexpression enables ROR1 CAR-T cells

to establish a critical stem-like reservoir *in vivo* that dramatically sensitizes them to enhancement

with PD-L1 blockade, providing a strong rationale for combining c-Jun CAR-T cell therapy with

ICI to enhance efficacy in patients. Moreover, given that c-Jun levels correlate broadly with

sensitivity of both endogenous and engineered T cells to ICI, our work raises the exciting

possibility that c-Jun overexpression could be broadly applied to sensitize not only CAR-T, but

also TCR-T and tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte (**TIL**) adoptive cell therapies, to enhancement with

ICI. Such strategies to sensitize endogenous and engineered T cells to ICI have the potential to

extend the transformative benefits of ICI to the majority of cancer patients who remain refractory

to ICI.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

Animals

C57BL/6 (**B6**) and B6.SJL (**CD45.1**) mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratory. $Kras^{LSL-}$

$^{G12D/+}$;$p53^{fl/fl}$ (**KP**) mice were generously provided by A. McGarry Houghton (FHCC, Seattle WA).

For studies with KP mice, 8-18 week old age-matched and sex-matched mice were used. For all

other studies, 8-12 week old age-matched and sex-matched mice were used. Mice of the same

sex were randomly assigned to experimental groups or were assigned based on tumor burden

such that all experimental groups had similar average tumor volume prior to treatment. All mice

were housed and bred at the FHCC (Seattle, WA). All experiments were performed in accordance

within the guidelines of the FHCC Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee

(PROTO202000031).

Cell Lines

The KP1233 ("KP") tumor and 3TZ "GreenGo" cell lines were generously provided by Tyler Jacks

(Massachusetts Institute of Technology). KP-ROR1 tumor cell lines were previously described[21]

and were generated by retroviral transduction of the KP tumor cell line with full-length human

ROR1 (UniProt: Q01973) and subsequent FACS sorting of ROR1$^+$ cells to >95% purity. All cells

were tested bi-monthly for the absence of mycoplasma. KP, KP-ROR1, and 3TZ cell lines were

maintained in complete DMEM (DMEM with 10% FBS, 2mM L-glutamine, 100U/ml

penicillin/streptomycin, 25mM HEPES). Lenti-X cells for lentiviral packaging were purchased from

Clontech. Plat-E cells for retroviral packaging were purchased from Cell Biolabs. All cells were

tested bi-monthly for the absence of mycoplasma. KP, KP-ROR1, 3TZ, Plat-E, and LentiX cell

lines were maintained in complete DMEM (DMEM with 10% FBS, 2 mM L-glutamine, 100 U/ml

penicillin/streptomycin, 25 mM HEPES).

METHOD DETAILS

Cloning of Murine CAR Constructs

The MP71 retroviral vector, which was a gift from W. Uckert (Max Delbruck Center for Molecular

Medicine), was modified to encode a human ROR1-specific CAR co-expressed with a truncated

murine CD19 transduction marker (**tCD19**). In some experiments, the tCD19 transduction marker

was fused to eGFP (tCD19/GFP). The ROR1 CAR possessed a murine CD8α signal peptide

(UniProt: P01731, aa1-27), 2A2 scFv[21,132], human IgG4 short spacer[133], murine CD28

transmembrane (UniProt: P31041, aa151-177), murine 4-1BB signaling domain (UniProt:

P20334, aa211-256) or murine CD28 signaling domain with an LL→GG substitution located at

positions 184-185 of the native CD28[134] (UniProt: P31041, aa178-218), murine CD3ζ (UniProt:

P24161, aa52-164), and was linked by a P2A ribosomal skip element to tCD19/GFP. To generate

ROR1 CARs co-expressing c-Jun or BATF, the vector was further modified to express murine c-

Jun (Uniprot: P05627) or murine BATF (Uniprot: O35284) upstream and linked by a P2A skip

element to ROR1 CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP. To generate the cJun-P2A-Thy1.1 vector, murine c-Jun

(Uniprot: P05627) was linked by a P2A skip element to Thy1.1 (Uniprot: P01831). Vectors

expressing only the tCD19 or tCD19/GFP marker was used to generate control T cells.

Generation of Murine CAR-T Cells

Retrovirus was produced by transient transfection of Plat-E cells with the indicated MP71 vectors.

Viral supernatant was harvested 48 hr after transfection, filtered through a 0.45 μm pore filter, and

snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for long-term storage. Cell suspensions were prepared from spleen

and peripheral lymph nodes of donor mice by tissue disruption with glass slides and filtered

through a 40 μm filter. Murine CD8$^+$ and CD4$^+$ T cells were enriched from spleens and peripheral

lymph nodes of donor mice using untouched negative isolation kits (Stem Cell) and stimulated

with anti-CD3/28 Mouse T-Activator Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) at a bead to cell ratio of 1:1 for

24 hr in a 37°C, 5% CO_2 incubator in complete RPMI (RPM1 1640, 10% heat inactivated FBS, 1

mM sodium pyruvate, 1 mM HEPES, 100 U/ml penicillin/streptomycin, 50 μM β-mercaptoethanol)

supplemented with 50 U/ml recombinant human IL-2 (Peprotech). Non-tissue culture plates were

coated with 12.5 μg/ml RetroNectin (TaKaRa) according to the manufacturer's protocol, and

plates were loaded with pre-titered virus per well and centrifuged for 2 hr at 3000xg at 32°C. For

co-transduction experiments, pre-titered virus was mixed 1:1 and added to pre-coated

RetroNectin wells and centrifuged for 2 hr at 3000xg. Murine T cells were harvested and

resuspended at 1x10^6 cells/ml in fresh complete RPMI supplemented with 50 U/ml IL-2. Viral

supernatant was aspirated from RetroNectin-coated plates, plates were rinsed with PBS, and T

cells were added to each virus-coated well. Plates were then centrifuged at 800g for 30 min at

32°C and returned to 37°C, 5% CO_2 incubators. After 24 hours, T cells were harvested and

resuspended in complete RPMI with 50 U/ml IL-2. T cells were subsequently harvested, counted,

and maintained at 1x10^6 cells/ml in complete RPMI with 50 ng/ml human IL-15 every 1-2 days

after. Four days after transduction, magnetic beads were removed and T cell transduction was

measured by flow cytometry staining for tCD19, GFP, Thy1.1, ROR1 CAR, and/or c-Jun.

Transduced cells were enriched using the EasySep CD19 Positive Selection Kit II (Stem Cell)

and either used immediately for *in vitro* or *in vivo* experiments or cryopreserved in complete RPMI

supplemented with 10% FBS and 10% DMSO. Cryopreserved cells were thawed in a 37°C water

bath, washed, counted, re-confirmed for viability, tCD19, GFP, Thy1.1, ROR1 CAR, and/or c-Jun

expression by flow cytometry, and used immediately for experiments.

Generation of PD-1^{KO} CAR-T cells

Ribonucleoprotein (**RNP**) complex was prepared by combing PD1-sgRNA (5′-

ACAGCCCAAGUGAAUGACCA) and Cas9 protein (Horizon Cat# CAS12206) at a 3:1 molar ratio.

Lonza P3 buffer (Catalog #: V4XP-3024) was prepared according to package insert. Murine CD8$^+$

T cells were isolated from spleens and peripheral lymph nodes of donor mice and stimulated with

anti-CD3/28 Mouse T-Activator Dynabeads (ThermoFisher) at a bead to cell ratio of 1:1 for 24 hr

in a 37°C, 5% CO_2 incubator in complete RPMI supplemented with 50 U/ml recombinant human

IL-2, as described above. After 24 hr of stimulation, CD8$^+$ T cells were harvested, de-beaded, and

resuspended in Lonza P3 buffer and RNP ($4x10^6$ cells per 80 ul). The cell/RNP mixture was

transferred to a Lonza 4D-Nucleofector® X Kit L cuvette (Catalog #: V4XP-3024) and

electroporated in a Lonza 4D Nucleofector Core Unit using the "CA137" program. Following

electroporation, cells were rested at 37°C for 15 mins. Mouse T-Activator anti-CD3/28 beads were

added back to the cell suspension 1:1 with T-cells. T cells were then added to retrovirus-coated

plates and cultured as described above. Five days post-electroporation, genomic DNA (**gDNA**)

was extracted from a subset of cells using the QuickExtract™ DNA Extraction Solution

(BioScience Technologies, QE0905T). The 900 bp region surrounding the sgRNA was amplified

via PCR with forward (5'-CACCTCTAGTTGCCTGTTCT) and reverse (5'-

CACCTGTAAAACCCACCTAA) primers. gDNA was sequenced by ACGT DNA Sequencing

Services, using the primer sequence CACCTCTAGTTGCCTGTTCT. Indel frequencies were

determined using the ICE analysis tool provided by Synthego Corporation. To further validate

efficient knockdown of PD-1 expression, T cells were stimulated with 50ng/ml PMA and 1 µg/ml

ionomycin in 0.2 ml complete RPMI in 96-well U-bottomed plates (Costar) at 37°C, 5% CO_2 for

24 hr and analyzed by flow cytometry for expression of PD-1 by flow cytometry.

Generation, Titration, and Intratracheal Administration of Cre Lentivirus

We previously described modification of the HIV7 lentiviral vector[135] to encode Cre

recombinase, firefly luciferase (**ffluc**), and truncated human ROR1 (**hROR1t**) (UniProt: Q01973,

aa 1-462), with each transgene linked by P2A and T2A ribosomal skip elements (Cre-P2A-ffluc-

T2A-hROR1t).[21] We further modified this vector to reposition hROR1t in the 5' position, by

expressing hROR1t (UniProt: Q01973, aa 1-462) linked by a P2A ribosomal skip element to Cre recombinase (**hROR1-P2A-Cre**). As a control, the HIV7 vector was modified to express Cre only.

Lentivirus was produced by transient calcium phosphate transfection of the packaging cell line LentiX with the indicated HIV7 lentiviral vectors, psPAX2 (Addgene #12260), and VSVg envelope. Viral supernatant was harvested 24, 48 and 72 hr after transfection, filtered through a 0.45-μm pore filter, and stored at 4°C for up to 1 week until ready for ultracentrifugation. Lentivirus was concentrated by mixing filtered lentivirus with 40% polyethylene glycol (PEG, Sigma) at a PEG to virus ratio of 1:3 for 12-24 hr at 4°C. The virus/PEG mixture was then centrifuged at 1500g for 45 min at 4°C, supernatant was aspirated, and the virus pellet was resuspended in 30 ml serum-free DMEM. Lentivirus was further concentrated in an Optima L-90K ultracentrifuge (Beckman Coulter) at 24,500 rpm for 90 min at 4°C. The final virus pellet was resuspended in 0.5 – 1 ml serum-free DMEM by vortexing for 1-3 hr at 4°C, aliquoted, and frozen at -80°C for long-term storage.

Cre lentivirus was titered using 3TZ "Green Go" cells. Briefly, 5×10^4 3TZ cells were plated in 1 ml of complete DMEM in 12-well plates. 5-6 hours later when cells were adherent, supernatant was aspirated and media was replaced with 0.5 ml complete DMEM containing serial dilutions of thawed Cre lentivirus (e.g. 10-fold serial dilutions from 1:10 to 1:10,000) and 8 μg/ml polybrene. After 24 hr, media was replaced with 1 ml complete DMEM and cells were passaged for 3-4 days before analysis by flow cytometry for GFP and/or hROR1 expression. Virus titer was calculated according to the following formula: Titer (pfu/μl) = $[(5 \times 10^4) * (\%GFP^+ \text{ cells}) / 100] / [\text{Volume of virus added (μl)}]$. Lung tumors were induced in KP mice by intratracheal intubation and inhalation of 2×10^4 pfu Cre-expressing lentivirus as reported.[62]

CAR-T Cell Treatment of KP^{ROR1} mice

KP mice were scanned by micro-computed tomography (micro-CT) ~10-11 weeks post-infection and one week before T cell infusion, and tumor burden was calculated for each mouse using 3D Slicer image computing platform. Mice were distributed into treatment groups such that the average tumor burden was as similar as possible prior to treatment to control for variability in induction of tumors. For all T cell infusion experiments, KP mice were injected intraperitoneally with 150 mg/kg cyclophosphamide and 6-24 hr later were injected intravenously by retro-orbital injection with 6×10^6 live tCD19$^+$ control or CAR-T cells (1:1 ratio of CD8:CD4 T cells). For co-transfer experiments, ROR1 CAR-T and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells were mixed 1:1 prior to infusion. Mice were monitored for weight, body condition score, tumor burden, and survival where

indicated. All mice in each experiment were sacrificed when any individual mice showed clinical

signs of severe disease or 20 percent weight loss.

Lung Metastatic Transplantable KP Tumor Model

5×10^4 KP-ROR1 tumor cell lines were injected intravenously via tail vein into 8–12 week old B6

mice to induce development of metastatic lung tumors. After 21 days, tumor-bearing mice were

injected intraperitoneally with 150 mg/kg cyclophosphamide and 6-24 hr later were injected with

6×10^6 live tCD19$^+$ control or CAR-T cells (1:1 ratio of CD8:CD4 T cells). Mice were monitored for

weight, body condition score, tumor burden, and survival where indicated. All mice in each

experiment were sacrificed when any individual mice showed clinical signs of severe disease or

20 percent weight loss.

Anti-PD-L1 Treatment

In some experiments, mice were treated with 200 ug anti-PD-L1 antibody (BioXcell, clone:

10F.9G2) intraperitoneally every 3-4 days beginning 1 day post T cell transfer and continuing for

14 days (5 total doses).

Preparation of Tissues for Flow Cytometry and RNAseq

Cell suspensions were prepared from murine spleen and peripheral lymph nodes by tissue

disruption with glass slides and filtering through a 40 µm filter, and lysing with ACK lysis buffer

(Gibco). For analysis of lung tumors, mice were injected with 400 ng PECy7-conjugated anti-

CD45 antibody (clone 30-F11, BioLegend) intravenously via retro-orbital injection 5 min prior to

euthanasia to distinguish vascular and non-vascular cells in the lung. Whole lungs were placed in

GentleMACS C Tubes with 6 ml of complete RPMI supplemented with 2 mg/ml collagenase IV

(Worthington) and 80 U/ml DNAse I (Worthington). Lungs were minced using the

"m_impTumor_01_01" program on a GentleMACS Octo Dissociator and incubated in a 37°C

shaker at 120 rpm for 30 min. Cells were filtered through a 100 µm filter, lysed with ACK lysing

buffer (Gibco), and resuspended as single cell suspensions for downstream analysis. All flow

cytometry analyses of tumor-bearing lungs were performed on live CD45-PECy7$^-$ cells. For bulk

RNAseq and single cell RNAseq experiments, lung single cell suspensions were cryopreserved

in complete RPMI supplemented with 10% FBS and 10% DMSO.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were stained using the Live/Dead Fixable Aqua Dead Cell stain kit (Invitrogen) according to

the manufacturer's protocol. For surface staining, cells were incubated at 4°C for 30 min in

staining buffer (PBS, 2% FBS) with the following directly conjugated antibodies for murine proteins

(from BioLegend unless otherwise specified): anti-CD4 (RM4-5), -CD8 (53-6.7), -CD45.1 (A20), -

CD45.2 (104), -CD45 (30-F11), -CD3 (145-2C11), -CD19 (eBio1D3, Thermo Fisher), -PD-1

(29F.1A12), -LAG-3 (C9B7W), -TIM3 (RMT3-23), -Tox (REA473, Miltenyi), -Thy1.1 (OX-7),

Thy1.2 (53-2.1), -B220 (RA3-6B2), NKp46 (29A1.4), NK1.1 (PK136), -CD11b (M1/70), -CD11c

(N418), -Ly6C (HK1.4, Thermo Fisher), -Ly6G (1A8), -F4/80 (BM8), I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2, Thermo

Fisher), -CD24 (M1/69), -CD64 (X54-5/7.1), -SiglecF (E50-2440, BD Biosciences), -PD-L1

(10F.9G2), -EpCAM (G8.8, Thermo Fisher); or with the following directly conjugated antibodies

for human proteins: anti-ROR1 (2A2, Miltenyi Biotec). Recombinant Fc-hROR1 (Fred Hutchinson

Cancer Center Protein Core) and anti-human IgG secondary antibody (HP6017, BioLegend) was

used to measure ROR1 CAR expression. For intracellular staining, cells were surface stained as

described, washed and permeabilized for 20 min with eBioscience Fix/Perm kit at 4°C. Cells were

stained for 30 min at 4°C in 1X Perm/Wash buffer (eBioscience) with anti-mouse IFN-γ (XMG1;

Thermo Fisher), -TNFα (MP6XT22, Thermo Fisher), -IL-2 (JES6-5H4), -Foxp3 (FJK-16S), -Ki67

(B56, BD Biosciences), -Tcf1 (C63D9, Cell Signaling), and/or -cJun (60A8, Cell Signaling). For

intracellular cytokine staining following restimulation, cells were stimulated with 50ng/ml PMA and

1 µg/ml ionomycin in 0.2 ml complete RPMI in 96-well U-bottomed plates (Costar) at 37°C, 5%

CO_2 for 6 hr. GolgiPlug (BD Biosciences) was added to all wells according to the manufacturer's

protocol at the beginning of co-culture. All data were acquired on FACS Symphony or Canto II

flow cytometers (BD Biosciences) and analyzed using FlowJo software (Treestar).

In Vitro Proliferation Assay

For analysis of CAR-T cell proliferation *in vitro*, cells were incubated with 10 uM Cell Trace Blue

(Thermo Fisher) in PBS for 9 mins at 37°C and washed with 100% FBS. Cell Trace Blue-labeled

T cells were stimulated with 50ng/ml PMA and 1 µg/ml ionomycin in 0.2 ml complete RPMI in 96-

well U-bottomed plates (Costar) at 37°C, 5% CO_2 for 72 hr and analyzed by flow cytometry.

Proliferation index was calculated from Cell Trace Blue dye dilution profiles using FlowJo software

(Tree Star).

Immunohistochemistry

Lungs were fixed in 10% neutral-buffered formalin. Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissues

were sectioned at 4 microns onto positively-charged slides and baked for 1 hr at 60°C. The slides

were then dewaxed and stained on a Leica BOND Rx autostainer (Leica, Buffalo Grove, IL) using

Leica Bond reagents for dewaxing (Dewax Solution). Antigen retrieval was performed at 100°C

for 20 min using Leica Epitope Retrieval Solution 1 (Leica AR9961). Endogenous peroxidase was

blocked with H2O2 (in the Leica kit D59800) for 5 min followed by protein blocking with TCT buffer

(0.05 M Tris, 0.15 M NaCl, 0.25% Casein, 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.6 +/- 0.1) for 10 min. Primary

rabbit anti-ROR1 antibody at 1:500 (Cell Signaling #16540) or rabbit anti-CD8 antibody at 1:200

(Cell Signaling #98941) was applied for 60 minutes followed by the Refine reagent Rbt-HRP

polymer (in the Leica kit D59800) for 12 minutes. Staining was visualized using Mixed Refine

DAB (in the Leica kit D59800) and then counter-stained with Hematoxylin (in the Leica kit D59800)

for 4 minutes, rinsed and dehydrated and then cover-slipped. Percent ROR1$^+$ cells and CD8$^+$

localization within tumors was quantified using HALO software (Indica Labs).

Single-Cell RNA Sequencing

Cryopreserved lung tumor cell suspensions and CAR-T infusion products were thawed,

washed, and labeled with TotalSeq-C anti-mouse Hashtags (Biolegend) according to the

manufacturer's protocol. Following hashing, equal numbers of live cells were pooled from 3 mice

in each treatment group to form 4 pools: D9 ROR1 CAR, D9 cJun.ROR1 CAR, D30 ROR1 CAR,

D30 cJun.ROR1 CAR. For analysis of T cells, CD8$^+$ T cells were isolated from each pool using

the Mouse CD8a Positive Selection Kit II (Stem Cell). For analysis of the TME, each pool was

stained Live/Dead Fixable Aqua Dead Cell stain kit (Invitrogen) and live CD45-PECy7$^-$ cells were

sorted. For analysis of CAR-T infusion products, CAR-T cells were used directly after hashing.

Libraries from CAR-T infusion product, T cell, and TME samples were prepared using the 10X

Genomics Chromium GEM-X Single Cell 5' Kit as per the manufacturer's instructions and were

sequenced on an Illumina NextSeq 2000 according to the standard 10X Genomics protocol.

Resulting reads were aligned to modified reference genome which includes sequences

for the ROR1 scFv, tCd19-GFP, and cJun transgenes, using CellRanger (v.8.0.0) software from

10X Genomics. HDF5 matrix files were imported into R using the Seurat v5 package. Cells whose

UMI counts for mitochondrial genes greater than 10% were excluded from downstream analysis.

Cells with between 200 and 7000 genes expressed per cell were included in the analysis. Hashtag

oligos (HTO) assay was demultiplexed using Seurat function, HTODemux, to assign hashes and

identify cells with more than one hash to be excluded. Scrublet was used to identify potential

multiplets which were excluded from downstream analysis. We obtained the following total

numbers of cells for each sample after filtering cells for quality: 25458 cells (T cells) and 39639

cells (TME).

Clustering, Differential Expression, Geneset Scores, and Differential Abundance Analysis

Clustering was performed using Seurat on CAR+ cell clusters in the T cells population,
numbering 8766 cells. For unsupervised clustering of cell expression profiles, expression matrices
were first normalized using SCTransform, while regressing out mitochondrial percentage. We
then performed PCA dimension reduction, followed by FindNeighbors, FindClusters with
resolution of 0.8, and UMAP reduction on the first 10 dimensions. Cell annotation was done using
cluster markers from FindAllMarkers on upregulated genes using the following settings: log fold
change threshold of 0.25, p-adjusted threshold of 0.05, and genes expressed in at least 10% of
the cluster (mitochondrial and ribosomal genes were not included in input features). Relative
expression of specific gene sets across cells were calculated using AddModuleScore function.

Differential abundance analysis was performed using miloR package (v 2.0.0) to identify
enrichment of either treatment group (ROR1 CAR-T versus cJun.ROR1 CAR-T). K-nearest
neighbor (k-NN) graph was constructed using miloR standard workflow, using k = 30 and prop =
0.5 to define neighborhoods. We included "sample_hash" variable as covariate to account for
variation across different mouse IDs and time points. Enrichment was assessed using Spatial
false discovery rate (SpatialFDR) threshold of < 0.05. Each neighborhood was annotated based
on the predominant cell type, with those containing less than 70% of a single cell type labeled as
"Mixed" neighborhoods. We used a set of 1000 highly variable genes, identified with
modelGeneVar() and getTopHVGs() from scran R package (v.1.32.0), as input features for
differential gene expression analysis between all neighborhoods enriched in ROR1 CAR-T versus
cJun.ROR1 CAR-T. Neighborhood expression profiles were aggregated by treatment group, and
significant markers were identified using adjusted p-value < 0.05.

Xenium Custom Probe Panel Design and In Situ Gene Expression

A 100 gene custom add on *in situ* gene expression panel was generated with the Xenium
Panel Designer Tool by 10x Genomics (online tool). The custom design was informed by
annotated 5' single-cell RNA sequencing data described in this study (Fig.4C) and included
custom probes for the ROR1-Cre (*SS109-CRtumor*) and ROR1 CAR (*ROR1_CAR*-T) transgenes,
to enable detection of ROR1$^+$ tumor and CAR$^+$ T cells. Probes for 99 genes identified as
differentially expressed and biologically informative from the combined dataset were developed
to augment the Xenium Mouse Tissue Atlasing Panel, which includes 379 genes for identifying
major mouse cell types. Throughout the panel design process, we prioritized probe coverage for
key cell types of interest, ensuring robust representation for populations such as macrophages,

B cells, dendritic cells, fibroblasts, neutrophils, NK cells, various T cell subsets (including Th17

and Treg), and multiple tumor/stroma subpopulations. Probe selection was optimized to maximize

detection sensitivity across these cell types while carefully managing allocation of the detection

budget to minimize optical crowding. Each gene's probe sets were chosen to balance coverage

and specificity, accommodating the biological heterogeneity of the sample.

Formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) tissue blocks were sectioned and placed on the

Xenium slides as outlined in the 10X Genomics Xenium In Situ Protocols FFPE Tissue

Preparation Guide CG000578 Rev. C. Slides were incubated in a covered container in the

Eppendorf Innova at 42°C for 3 hr to further adhere the tissue sections to the slide. Slides were

then stored in a desiccator at room temperature in the dark until ready to

proceed. Deparaffinization and decrosslinking were performed per the 10X Genomics

CG000580-Rev C protocol. Briefly, slides were incubated in a covered container in the Eppendorf

Innova at 60°C for 2 hr. After cooling to room temperature, the tissue sections underwent a series

of submersions in xylene, ethanol, and nuclease free water to complete the deparaffinization

process. The slides were loaded into the provided Xenium cassettes, a decrosslinking buffer

added, and the slides incubated on the thermocycler adapter to decrosslink and permeabilize the

sections. Xenium In Situ Gene Expression User Guide (CG000584 Rev. F) was followed for

subsequent steps as follows. Probe preparation was performed by heating the Mouse Multi-

Tissue panel + custom add on (described above) to 95°C for 2 min before snap cooling on ice for

1 min. The mix of probes and buffer was added to the slides and incubated overnight for a

minimum of 16 hr at 50°C. Slides were washed with PBS-T and incubated with a post hybridization

wash buffer for 30 min at 37°C. The padlock probes were then ligated at the junction by incubating

with a ligase enzyme for 2 hr at 37°C. Following ligation and washing with PBS-T, rolling circle

amplification was performed for 2 hr at 30°C\ to amplify the signal from each probe. Slides were

prepared for imaging by applying an autofluorescence quenching solution followed by nuclei

staining before loading on to the Xenium instrument as instructed by Xenium Analyzer User Guide

(CG000584 Rev. E). Upon completion of the run, slides underwent H&E staining following

quencher removal in a 10 mM sodium hydrosulfite solution for 10 min. Staining was performed

according to Xenium In Situ Gene Expression-Post-Xenium Analyzer H&E staining demonstrated

protocol (CG000613 Rev. B).

Xenium Analysis

Raw Xenium spatial transcriptomic data was segmented using the Proseg algorithm.[136] All

subsequent data processing and analysis was performed in Python using the scanpy v1.9.8[137]

and squidpy v1.5.0[138] packages. For each sample, low quality cells with fewer than 10 total
transcripts were removed, and genes detected in fewer than 5 cells were removed. Transcriptional
data was then log-normalized and PCA-transformed. A nearest-neighbor graph was constructed
and used to generate UMAP representation and Leiden clusters. CAR-T cell clusters were
identified based on expression of the *ROR1_CAR-T* marker. Those CAR-T cells were then
separately subclustered: a nearest-neighbors graph was constructed based on expression of only
T cell marker genes (*Tcf7, Mki67, Pdcd1, Havcr2, Ifng, Gzmb, Prdm1, Tox, Foxp3, Entpd1*) for
identification of relevant T cell subsets, which was then used for generation of a UMAP and Leiden
subclusters.

To identify spatial regions (tumor, airway/blood vessel, and normal lung parenchyma), an
additional nearest-neighbor graph was constructed based on spatial coordinates of cells. A joint
graph was constructed from the two nearest-neighbor graphs (the one constructed from spatial
coordinates as well as the graph constructed from gene expression), with the spatial coordinate
graph weighted at between 0.5 and 0.6, depending on the sample. Leiden clustering was then
performed using this joint graph, with resolution 0.7. Resulting spatial clusters were combined in
some cases, using the H&E image as a reference. To identify individual tumors, Leiden clustering
based on only the spatial coordinate nearest-neighbor graph was performed on the cells identified
as belonging to tumor regions. Clusters were again combined when necessary, according to the
H&E image. Abundance analysis was performed by calculating the relative proportion of each
cluster of interest out of the total number of cells in the region of interest (individual tumors or
entire non-tumor region). Proximity analysis was performed using the co-occurrence and
neighborhood enrichment functions in squidpy.

QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
All data are presented as the mean values ± SEM. Statistical significance was determined by one-
way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test, two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test, log-rank Mantel-Cox
test, unpaired Student's two-way t-test, or paired Student's two-way t-test as indicated in figure
legends using Prism software (Graphpad). Statistical significance was established at the levels of
*, $p < 0.05$; **, $p < 0.005$; ***, $p < 0.0005$; ****, $p < 0.0001$.

List of Supplementary Materials
Fig. S1 to S6.

References

1   Siegel, R., Ma, J., Zou, Z. & Jemal, A. Cancer statistics, 2014. *CA: a cancer journal for*
clinicians **64**, 9 - 29 (2014). https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.21208

2   Johnson, D. B., Rioth, M. J. & Horn, L. Immune checkpoint inhibitors in NSCLC. *Curr Treat*
Options Oncol **15**, 658-669 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11864-014-0305-5

3   Porter, D. L. *et al.* Chimeric antigen receptor T cells persist and induce sustained
remissions in relapsed refractory chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Sci Transl Med* **7**,
303ra139 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aac5415

4   Porter, D. L., Levine, B. L., Kalos, M., Bagg, A. & June, C. H. Chimeric antigen receptor-
modified T cells in chronic lymphoid leukemia. *The New England journal of medicine* **365**,
725 - 733 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa1103849

5   Brentjens, R. J. *et al.* CD19-targeted T cells rapidly induce molecular remissions in adults
with chemotherapy-refractory acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Science translational*
medicine **5**, 177ra138 - 177ra138 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3005930

6   Brentjens, R. J. *et al.* Safety and persistence of adoptively transferred autologous CD19-
targeted T cells in patients with relapsed or chemotherapy refractory B-cell leukemias.
Blood **118**, 4817 - 4828 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-04-348540

7   Kalos, M. *et al.* T cells with chimeric antigen receptors have potent antitumor effects and
can establish memory in patients with advanced leukemia. *Science translational medicine*
3, 95ra73 - 95ra73 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3002842

8   Mullard, A. Second anticancer CAR T therapy receives FDA approval. *Nat Rev Drug*
Discov **16**, 818 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2017.249

9   Mullard, A. FDA approves first CAR T therapy. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* **16**, 669 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd.2017.196

10   Melenhorst, J. J. *et al.* Decade-long leukaemia remissions with persistence of CD4(+) CAR
T cells. *Nature* (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04390-6

11   Scholler, J. *et al.* Decade-long safety and function of retroviral-modified chimeric antigen
receptor   T   cells.   *Sci   Transl   Med*   **4**,   132ra153   (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.3003761

12   Turtle, C. J. *et al.* Immunotherapy of non-Hodgkin's lymphoma with a defined ratio of CD8+
and CD4+ CD19-specific chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells. *Science translational*
medicine **8**, 355ra116 - 355ra116 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1126/scitranslmed.aaf8621

13   Srivastava, S. & Riddell, S. R. Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cell Therapy: Challenges to
1014   Bench-to-Bedside Efficacy. *Journal of immunology (Baltimore, Md. : 1950)* **200**, 459 - 468
1015   (2018). https://doi.org/10.4049/jimmunol.1701155

1016   14   June, C. H. & Sadelain, M. Chimeric Antigen Receptor Therapy. *N Engl J Med* **379**, 64-73
1017   (2018). https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1706169

1018   15   Brown, C. E. & Mackall, C. L. CAR T cell therapy: inroads to response and resistance.
1019   *Nature reviews. Immunology* **19**, 73 - 74 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-018-
1020   0119-y

1021   16   D'Aloia, M. M., Zizzari, I. G., Sacchetti, B., Pierelli, L. & Alimandi, M. CAR-T cells: the long
1022   and winding road to solid tumors. *Cell Death Dis* **9**, 282 (2018).
1023   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-018-0278-6

1024   17   Newick, K., Moon, E. & Albelda, S. M. Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell therapy for solid
1025   tumors. *Molecular therapy oncolytics* **3**, 16006 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/mto.2016.6

1026   18   Schmidts, A. & Maus, M. V. Making CAR T Cells a Solid Option for Solid Tumors. *Front
1027   Immunol* **9**, 2593 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02593

1028   19   Balakrishnan, A. *et al.* Analysis of ROR1 Protein Expression in Human Cancer and Normal
1029   Tissues. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for
1030   Cancer Research* **23**, 3061 - 3071 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-16-2083

1031   20   Berger, C. *et al.* Safety of targeting ROR1 in primates with chimeric antigen receptor-
1032   modified T cells. *Cancer immunology research* **3**, 206 - 216 (2015).
1033   https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.cir-14-0163

1034   21   Srivastava, S. *et al.* Immunogenic Chemotherapy Enhances Recruitment of CAR-T Cells
1035   to Lung Tumors and Improves Antitumor Efficacy when Combined with Checkpoint
1036   Blockade.   *Cancer   Cell*   **39**,   193-208   e110   (2021).
1037   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.11.005

1038   22   Srivastava, S. *et al.* Logic-Gated ROR1 Chimeric Antigen Receptor Expression Rescues
1039   T Cell-Mediated Toxicity to Normal Tissues and Enables Selective Tumor Targeting.
1040   *Cancer cell* **35**, 489 - 503.e488 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.02.003

1041   23   Jaeger-Ruckstuhl, C. A. *et al.* Phase 1 Study of ROR1 Specific CAR T Cells in Advanced
1042   Hematopoietic   and   Epithelial   Malignancies.   *Clin   Cancer   Res*   (2024).
1043   https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-24-2172

1044   24   Grosser, R., Cherkassky, L., Chintala, N. & Adusumilli, P. S. Combination Immunotherapy
1045   with CAR T Cells and Checkpoint Blockade for the Treatment of Solid Tumors. *Cancer
1046   Cell* **36**, 471-482 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2019.09.006

25   Pérez-Moreno, M. A. *et al.* Combined or Sequential Treatment with Immune Checkpoint
Inhibitors and Car-T Cell Therapies for the Management of Haematological Malignancies:
A Systematic Review. *Int J Mol Sci* **24** (2023). https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms241914780

26   Li, A. M. *et al.* Checkpoint Inhibitors Augment CD19-Directed Chimeric Antigen Receptor
(CAR) T Cell Therapy in Relapsed B-Cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia. *Blood* **132**, 556-
556 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2018-99-112572

27   Maude, S. L. *et al.*   (American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2017).

28   Cao, Y. *et al.* Anti-CD19 Chimeric Antigen Receptor T Cells in Combination With
Nivolumab Are Safe and Effective Against Relapsed/Refractory B-Cell Non-hodgkin
Lymphoma. *Front Oncol* **9**, 767 (2019). https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00767

29   Siddiqi, T. *et al.* Safety of lisocabtagene maraleucel given with Durvalumab in patients
with relapsed/refractory aggressive B-cell non Hodgkin lymphoma: first results from the
platform study. *Hematological Oncology* **37**, 171-172 (2019).

30   Jacobson, C. A. *et al.* Abstract CT055: Phase 1/2 primary analysis of ZUMA-6:
Axicabtagene ciloleucel (Axi-Cel) in combination With atezolizumab (Atezo) for the
treatment of patients (Pts) with refractory diffuse large B cell lymphoma (DLBCL). *Cancer*
Research **80**, CT055-CT055 (2020).

31   Osborne, W. *et al.*   (American Society of Clinical Oncology, 2020).

32   Chong, E. A. *et al.* Pembrolizumab for B-cell lymphomas relapsing after or refractory to
CD19-directed CAR T-cell therapy. *Blood, The Journal of the American Society of*
Hematology **139**, 1026-1038 (2022).

33   Vinaud Hirayama, A. *et al.* Timing of PD-L1 blockade with durvalumab may affect
outcomes of CD19 CAR-T cell therapy for relapsed/Refractory large B-Cell lymphoma.
Blood **140**, 7447-7449 (2022).

34   Jaeger, U. *et al.* Safety and efficacy of tisagenlecleucel plus pembrolizumab in patients
with r/r DLBCL: phase 1b PORTIA study results. *Blood Advances* **7**, 2283-2286 (2023).

35   Beltra, J. C. *et al.* Developmental Relationships of Four Exhausted CD8(+) T Cell Subsets
Reveals Underlying Transcriptional and Epigenetic Landscape Control Mechanisms.
Immunity **52**, 825-841 e828 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2020.04.014

36   Im, S. J. *et al.* Defining CD8+ T cells that provide the proliferative burst after PD-1 therapy.
Nature **537**, 417-421 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19330

37   Jadhav, R. R. *et al.* Epigenetic signature of PD-1+ TCF1+ CD8 T cells that act as resource
cells during chronic viral infection and respond to PD-1 blockade. *Proc National Acad Sci*
116, 14113-14118 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1903520116 PMID - 31227606

38   Siddiqui, I. *et al.* Intratumoral Tcf1(+)PD-1(+)CD8(+) T Cells with Stem-like Properties
Promote Tumor Control in Response to Vaccination and Checkpoint Blockade
Immunotherapy.   *Immunity*   **50**,   195-211   e110   (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.12.021

39   Kallies, A., Zehn, D. & Utzschneider, D. T. Precursor exhausted T cells: key to successful
immunotherapy? *Nat Rev Immunol* **20**, 128-136 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-
019-0223-7

40   Utzschneider, D. T. *et al.* T Cell Factor 1-Expressing Memory-like CD8(+) T Cells Sustain
the Immune Response to Chronic Viral Infections. *Immunity* **45**, 415-427 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.07.021

41   Utzschneider, D. T. *et al.* Early precursor T cells establish and propagate T cell exhaustion
in chronic infection. *Nat Immunol* **21**, 1256-1266 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-
020-0760-z

42   He, R. *et al.* Follicular CXCR5- expressing CD8(+) T cells curtail chronic viral infection.
Nature **537**, 412-428 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19317

43   Miller, B. C. *et al.* Subsets of exhausted CD8+ T cells differentially mediate tumor control
and respond to checkpoint blockade. *Nature immunology* **20**, 326 - 336 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0312-6

44   Blank, C. U. *et al.* Defining 'T cell exhaustion'. *Nat Rev Immunol* **19**, 665-674 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-019-0221-9

45   Martinez, G. J. *et al.* The transcription factor NFAT promotes exhaustion of activated
CD8(+)   T   cells.   *Immunity*   **42**,   265-278   (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2015.01.006

46   Pauken, K. E. & Wherry, E. J. Overcoming T cell exhaustion in infection and cancer.
Trends in immunology **36**, 265 - 276 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.it.2015.02.008

47   Schietinger, A. *et al.* Tumor-Specific T Cell Dysfunction Is a Dynamic Antigen-Driven
Differentiation Program Initiated Early during Tumorigenesis. *Immunity* **45**, 389 - 401
(2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.07.011

48   Wherry, E. J. T cell exhaustion. *Nature Immunology* **12**, 492 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.2035 PMID - 21739672

49   Wherry, E. J. & Kurachi, M. Molecular and cellular insights into T cell exhaustion. *Nat Rev*
Immunol **15**, 486-499 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3862

50   Gabriel, S. S. *et al.* Transforming growth factor-beta-regulated mTOR activity preserves
cellular metabolism to maintain long-term T cell responses in chronic infection. *Immunity*
54, 1698-1714 e1695 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2021.06.007

51   Schenkel, J. M. *et al.* Conventional type I dendritic cells maintain a reservoir of proliferative
tumor-antigen specific TCF-1(+) CD8(+) T cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes. *Immunity*
54, 2338-2353 e2336 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2021.08.026

52   Connolly, K. A. *et al.* A reservoir of stem-like CD8(+) T cells in the tumor-draining lymph
node preserves the ongoing antitumor immune response. *Sci Immunol* **6**, eabg7836
(2021). https://doi.org/10.1126/sciimmunol.abg7836

53   Jansen, C. S. *et al.* An intra-tumoral niche maintains and differentiates stem-like CD8 T
cells. *Nature* **576**, 465-470 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1836-5

54   Stoltzfus, C. R. *et al.* Multi-Parameter Quantitative Imaging of Tumor Microenvironments
Reveals Perivascular Immune Niches Associated With Anti-Tumor Immunity. *Front*
Immunol **12**, 726492 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.726492

55   Eberhardt, C. S. *et al.* Functional HPV-specific PD-1(+) stem-like CD8 T cells in head and
neck cancer. *Nature* **597**, 279-284 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03862-z

56   Prokhnevska, N. a. C., Maria A. and Valanparambil, Rajesh M. and Sobierajska, Ewelina
and Barwick, Benjamin G. and Jansen, Caroline S. and Moon, Adriana R. and Gregorova,
Petra and delBalzo, Luke and Greenwald, Rachel and Bilen, Mehmet A. and Alemozaffar,
Mehrdad and Cimmino, Cara and Larsen, Christian and Master, Viraj A. and Sanda, Martin
and Kissick, Haydn. CD8 T Cell Activation in Cancer is Comprised of Two Distinct Phases.
Immunity (2021). https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3893902

57   Dahling, S. *et al.* Type 1 conventional dendritic cells maintain and guide the differentiation
of precursors of exhausted T cells in distinct cellular niches. *Immunity* **55**, 656-670 e658
(2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2022.03.006

58   Gearty, S. V. *et al.* An autoimmune stem-like CD8 T cell population drives type 1 diabetes.
Nature (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-04248-x

59   Hudson, W. H. *et al.* Proliferating Transitory T Cells with an Effector-like Transcriptional
Signature Emerge from PD-1(+) Stem-like CD8(+) T Cells during Chronic Infection.
Immunity **51**, 1043-1058 e1044 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.11.002

60   Scharping, N. E. *et al.* Mitochondrial stress induced by continuous stimulation under
hypoxia rapidly drives T cell exhaustion. *Nat Immunol* **22**, 205-215 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-020-00834-9

61 Dhatchinamoorthy, K., Colbert, J. D. & Rock, K. L. Cancer Immune Evasion Through Loss
of MHC Class I Antigen Presentation. *Front Immunol* **12**, 636568 (2021).
https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.636568

62 DuPage, M., Dooley, A. L. & Jacks, T. Conditional mouse lung cancer models using
adenoviral or lentiviral delivery of Cre recombinase. *Nature protocols* **4**, 1064 - 1072
(2009). https://doi.org/10.1038/nprot.2009.95

63 DuPage, M. *et al.* Endogenous T cell responses to antigens expressed in lung
adenocarcinomas delay malignant tumor progression. *Cancer cell* **19**, 72 - 85 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2010.11.011

64 DuPage, M. & Jacks, T. Genetically engineered mouse models of cancer reveal new
insights about the antitumor immune response. *Current opinion in immunology* **25**, 192 -
199 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coi.2013.02.005

65 Lynn, R. C. *et al.* c-Jun overexpression in CAR T cells induces exhaustion resistance.
Nature **576**, 293-300 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1805-z PMID -
31802004

66 Seo, H. *et al.* BATF and IRF4 cooperate to counter exhaustion in tumor-infiltrating CAR T
cells. *Nature Immunology* **22**, 983-995 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-021-00964-
8

67 Liu, Z. *et al.* Systematic comparison of 2A peptides for cloning multi-genes in a
polycistronic vector. *Sci Rep* **7**, 2193 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-02460-2

68 Cabrera, A. *et al.* The sound of silence: Transgene silencing in mammalian cell
engineering. *Cell Syst* **13**, 950-973 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cels.2022.11.005

69 Hudecek, M. *et al.* Receptor affinity and extracellular domain modifications affect tumor
recognition by ROR1-specific chimeric antigen receptor T cells. *Clinical cancer research :*
an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research **19**, 3153-3164 (2013).
https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0330

70 Yang, J. *et al.* Therapeutic potential and challenges of targeting receptor tyrosine kinase
ROR1 with monoclonal antibodies in B-cell malignancies. *PLoS One* **6**, e21018 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021018

71 Gattinoni, L. *et al.* Acquisition of full effector function in vitro paradoxically impairs the in
vivo antitumor efficacy of adoptively transferred CD8+ T cells. *J Clin Invest* **115**, 1616-
1626 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI24480

72 Gattinoni, L., Klebanoff, C. A. & Restifo, N. P. Paths to stemness: building the ultimate
antitumour T cell. *Nat Rev Cancer* **12**, 671-684 (2012). https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3322

73   Klebanoff, C. A. *et al.* Central memory self/tumor-reactive CD8+ T cells confer superior
antitumor immunity compared with effector memory T cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **102**,
9571-9576 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503726102

74   Xu, Y. *et al.* Closely related T-memory stem cells correlate with in vivo expansion of
CAR.CD19-T cells and are preserved by IL-7 and IL-15. *Blood* **123**, 3750-3759 (2014).
https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2014-01-552174

75   Louis, C. U. *et al.* Antitumor activity and long-term fate of chimeric antigen receptor-
positive T cells in patients with neuroblastoma. *Blood* **118**, 6050-6056 (2011).
https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2011-05-354449

76   Monfrini, C. *et al.* Phenotypic Composition of Commercial Anti-CD19 CAR T Cells Affects
In Vivo Expansion and Disease Response in Patients with Large B-cell Lymphoma. *Clin
Cancer Res* **28**, 3378-3386 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-22-0164

77   Sommermeyer, D. *et al.* Chimeric antigen receptor-modified T cells derived from defined
CD8 and CD4 subsets confer superior antitumor reactivity in vivo. *Leukemia* (2015).
https://doi.org/10.1038/leu.2015.247

78   Miller, B. C. *et al.* Subsets of exhausted CD8(+) T cells differentially mediate tumor control
and respond to checkpoint blockade. *Nat Immunol* **20**, 326-336 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-019-0312-6

79   Oliveira, G. *et al.* Phenotype, specificity and avidity of antitumour CD8(+) T cells in
melanoma. *Nature* **596**, 119-125 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03704-y

80   Dann, E., Henderson, N. C., Teichmann, S. A., Morgan, M. D. & Marioni, J. C. Differential
abundance testing on single-cell data using k-nearest neighbor graphs. *Nat Biotechnol* **40**,
245-253 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41587-021-01033-z

81   Weiss, S. A. *et al.* Epigenetic tuning of PD-1 expression improves exhausted T cell
function and viral control. *Nat Immunol* **25**, 1871-1883 (2024).
https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-024-01961-3

82   Sen, D. R. *et al.* The epigenetic landscape of T cell exhaustion. *Science* **354**, 1165-1169
(2016). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aae0491

83   Pauken, K. E. *et al.* Epigenetic stability of exhausted T cells limits durability of
reinvigoration by PD-1 blockade. *Science* **354**, 1160-1165 (2016).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf2807

84   Blackburn, S. D. *et al.* Coregulation of CD8+ T cell exhaustion by multiple inhibitory
receptors during chronic viral infection. *Nat Immunol* **10**, 29-37 (2009).
https://doi.org/10.1038/ni.1679

85   Alfei, F. *et al.* TOX reinforces the phenotype and longevity of exhausted T cells in chronic
viral infection. *Nature* **571**, 265 - 269 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1326-9

86   Khan, O. *et al.* TOX transcriptionally and epigenetically programs CD8+ T cell exhaustion.
Nature **571**, 211 - 218 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1325-x

87   Scott, A. C. *et al.* TOX is a critical regulator of tumour-specific T cell differentiation. *Nature*
571, 270 - 274 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1324-y

88   Seo, H. *et al.* TOX and TOX2 transcription factors cooperate with NR4A transcription
factors to impose CD8+ T cell exhaustion. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
Sciences of the United States of America **116**, 12410 - 12415 (2019).
https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1905675116

89   Nowicki, T. S. *et al.* Epigenetic Suppression of Transgenic T-cell Receptor Expression via
Gamma-Retroviral Vector Methylation in Adoptive Cell Transfer Therapy. *Cancer Discov*
10, 1645-1653 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-20-0300

90   Eyquem, J. *et al.* Targeting a CAR to the TRAC locus with CRISPR/Cas9 enhances
tumour rejection. *Nature* **543**, 113-117 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature21405

91   Papavassiliou, A. G. & Musti, A. M. The Multifaceted Output of c-Jun Biological Activity:
Focus at the Junction of CD8 T Cell Activation and Exhaustion. *Cells* **9** (2020).
https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9112470

92   Musti, A. M., Treier, M. & Bohmann, D. Reduced ubiquitin-dependent degradation of c-
Jun after phosphorylation by MAP kinases. *Science* **275**, 400-402 (1997).
https://doi.org/10.1126/science.275.5298.400

93   Conze, D. *et al.* c-Jun NH(2)-terminal kinase (JNK)1 and JNK2 have distinct roles in
CD8(+) T cell activation. *J Exp Med* **195**, 811-823 (2002).
https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20011508

94   Gao, B., Lee, S. M. & Fang, D. The tyrosine kinase c-Abl protects c-Jun from
ubiquitination-mediated degradation in T cells. *J Biol Chem* **281**, 29711-29718 (2006).
https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M604596200

95   Zipfel, P. A., Zhang, W., Quiroz, M. & Pendergast, A. M. Requirement for Abl kinases in T
cell receptor signaling. *Curr Biol* **14**, 1222-1231 (2004).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2004.07.021

96   Su, B. *et al.* JNK is involved in signal integration during costimulation of T lymphocytes.
Cell **77**, 727-736 (1994). https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(94)90056-6

1246 97 Wartewig, T. *et al.* PD-1 instructs a tumor-suppressive metabolic program that restricts
1247   glycolysis and restrains AP-1 activity in T cell lymphoma. *Nat Cancer* **4**, 1508-1525 (2023).
1248   https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-023-00635-7

1249 98 Zilionis, R. *et al.* Single-Cell Transcriptomics of Human and Mouse Lung Cancers Reveals
1250   Conserved Myeloid Populations across Individuals and Species. *Immunity* **50**, 1317-1334
1251   e1310 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.009

1252 99 Chen, D. *et al.* Chloroquine modulates antitumor immune response by resetting tumor-
1253   associated macrophages toward M1 phenotype. *Nature Communications* **9**, 873 (2018).
1254   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-03225-9

1255 100 Ma, Q. *et al.* PlGF signaling and macrophage repolarization contribute to the anti-
1256   neoplastic effect of metformin. *Eur J Pharmacol* **863**, 172696 (2019).
1257   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejphar.2019.172696

1258 101 Meng, Y. *et al.* Galactan isolated from Cantharellus cibarius modulates antitumor immune
1259   response by converting tumor-associated macrophages toward M1-like phenotype.
1260   *Carbohydr Polym* **226**, 115295 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2019.115295

1261 102 Rodell, C. B. *et al.* TLR7/8-agonist-loaded nanoparticles promote the polarization of
1262   tumour-associated macrophages to enhance cancer immunotherapy. *Nature Biomedical*
1263   *Engineering* **2**, 578-588 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41551-018-0236-8

1264 103 Duan, Z. & Luo, Y. Targeting macrophages in cancer immunotherapy. *Signal Transduction*
1265   *and Targeted Therapy* **6**, 127 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41392-021-00506-6

1266 104 Sharma, P. *et al.* Immune checkpoint therapy—current perspectives and future directions.
1267   *Cell* **186**, 1652-1669 (2023). https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2023.03.006

1268 105 Robert, C. A decade of immune-checkpoint inhibitors in cancer therapy. *Nature*
1269   *Communications* **11**, 3801 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17670-y

1270 106 Man, K. *et al.* Transcription Factor IRF4 Promotes CD8(+) T Cell Exhaustion and Limits
1271   the Development of Memory-like T Cells during Chronic Infection. *Immunity* **47**, 1129-
1272   1141.e1125 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2017.11.021

1273 107 Liu, C. *et al.* Neuropilin-1 is a T cell memory checkpoint limiting long-term antitumor
1274   immunity. *Nat Immunol* **21**, 1010-1021 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41590-020-0733-
1275   2

1276 108 Heitzeneder, S. *et al.* GPC2-CAR T cells tuned for low antigen density mediate potent
1277   activity against neuroblastoma without toxicity. *Cancer Cell* **40**, 53-69.e59 (2022).
1278   https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2021.12.005

1279   109   Xu, T. *et al.* Coexpression of c-Jun in multiple-chain DAP-CAR-engineered T-cells for solid
1280   tumor therapy. *Immunotherapy* **14**, 1457-1466 (2022). https://doi.org/10.2217/imt-2022-
1281   0171

1282   110   Zuo, S. *et al.* C-JUN overexpressing CAR-T cells in acute myeloid leukemia: preclinical
1283   characterization and phase I trial. *Nature Communications* **15**, 6155 (2024).
1284   https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-024-50485-9

1285   111   Hussein, M. S., Li, Q., Mao, R., Peng, Y. & He, Y. TCR T cells overexpressing c-Jun have
1286   better functionality with improved tumor infiltration and persistence in hepatocellular
1287   carcinoma. *Front Immunol* **14**, 1114770 (2023).
1288   https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2023.1114770

1289   112   Chan, J. D. *et al.* FOXO1 enhances CAR T cell stemness, metabolic fitness and efficacy.
1290   *Nature* **629**, 201-210 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07242-1

1291   113   Lynn, R. C. *et al.* 285 LYL797 ROR-1 CAR T-cell translational data demonstrated T-cell
1292   reprogramming limited exhaustion, maintained stemness, and resulted in tumor infiltration
1293   and cell killing in patients with solid tumors. *Journal for ImmunoTherapy of Cancer* **12**,
1294   A324 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2024-SITC2024.0285

1295   114   Kargl, J. *et al.* Neutrophils dominate the immune cell composition in non-small cell lung
1296   cancer. *Nat Commun* **8**, 14381 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14381

1297   115   Kargl, J. *et al.* Neutrophil content predicts lymphocyte depletion and anti-PD1 treatment
1298   failure in NSCLC. *JCI Insight* **4** (2019). https://doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.130850

1299   116   Burga, R. A. *et al.* Liver myeloid-derived suppressor cells expand in response to liver
1300   metastases in mice and inhibit the anti-tumor efficacy of anti-CEA CAR-T. *Cancer
1301   Immunol Immunother* **64**, 817-829 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00262-015-1692-6

1302   117   Chocarro, L. *et al.* PD-1/LAG-3 co-signaling profiling uncovers CBL ubiquitin ligases as
1303   key immunotherapy targets. *EMBO Mol Med* **16**, 1791-1816 (2024).
1304   https://doi.org/10.1038/s44321-024-00098-y

1305   118   Liu, R. *et al.* PD-1 signaling negatively regulates the common cytokine receptor gamma
1306   chain via MARCH5-mediated ubiquitination and degradation to suppress anti-tumor
1307   immunity. *Cell Res* **33**, 923-939 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-023-00890-4

1308   119   Kumar, J. *et al.* Deletion of Cbl-b inhibits CD8(+) T-cell exhaustion and promotes CAR T-
1309   cell function. *J Immunother Cancer* **9** (2021). https://doi.org/10.1136/jitc-2020-001688

1310   120   Chien, H.-P. *et al.* Expression of ROR1 has prognostic significance in triple negative
1311   breast cancer. *Virchows Archiv : an international journal of pathology* **468**, 589 - 595
1312   (2016). https://doi.org/10.1007/s00428-016-1911-3

121   Choi, M. Y. *et al.* Phase I Trial: Cirmtuzumab Inhibits ROR1 Signaling and Stemness
Signatures in Patients with Chronic Lymphocytic Leukemia. *Cell stem cell* **22**, 951 -
959.e953 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2018.05.018

122   Cui, B. *et al.* High-level ROR1 associates with accelerated disease progression in chronic
lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood* **128**, 2931 - 2940 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2016-
04-712562

123   Henry, C., Hacker, N. & Ford, C. Silencing ROR1 and ROR2 inhibits invasion and
adhesion in an organotypic model of ovarian cancer metastasis. *Oncotarget* **8**, 112727 -
112738 (2017). https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.22559

124   Yamaguchi, T. *et al.* NKX2-1/TITF1/TTF-1-Induced ROR1 is required to sustain EGFR
survival signaling in lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer cell* **21**, 348 - 361 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2012.02.008

125   Zhang, H. *et al.* ROR1 expression correlated with poor clinical outcome in human ovarian
cancer. *Scientific reports* **4**, 5811 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05811

126   Zhang, S. *et al.* ROR1 is expressed in human breast cancer and associated with enhanced
tumor-cell growth. *PLoS One* **7**, e31127 (2012).
https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0031127

127   Zheng, Y. Z. *et al.* ROR1 is a novel prognostic biomarker in patients with lung
adenocarcinoma. *Sci Rep* **6**, 36447 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36447

128   Zhou, J.-K. *et al.* ROR1 expression as a biomarker for predicting prognosis in patients with
colorectal cancer. *Oncotarget* **8**, 32864 - 32872 (2017).
https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.15860

129   Westcott, P. M. *et al.* The mutational landscapes of genetic and chemical models of Kras-
driven lung cancer. *Nature* **517**, 489-492 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13898

130   Koga, T. *et al.* Mapping of genomic EGFRvIII deletions in glioblastoma: insight into
rearrangement mechanisms and biomarker development. *Neuro Oncol* **20**, 1310-1320
(2018). https://doi.org/10.1093/neuonc/noy058

131   Posey, A. D., Jr. *et al.* Engineered CAR T Cells Targeting the Cancer-Associated Tn-
Glycoform of the Membrane Mucin MUC1 Control Adenocarcinoma. *Immunity* **44**, 1444-
1454 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2016.05.014

132   Hudecek, M. *et al.* The B-cell tumor-associated antigen ROR1 can be targeted with T cells
modified to express a ROR1-specific chimeric antigen receptor. *Blood* **116**, 4532-4541
(2010). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2010-05-283309

133   Hudecek, M. *et al.* The nonsignaling extracellular spacer domain of chimeric antigen
1347   receptors is decisive for in vivo antitumor activity. *Cancer immunology research* **3**, 125 -
1348   135 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.cir-14-0127
1349   134   Nguyen, P., Moisini, I. & Geiger, T. L. Identification of a murine CD28 dileucine motif that
1350   suppresses single-chain chimeric T-cell receptor expression and function. *Blood* **102**,
1351   4320-4325 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2003-04-1255
1352   135   Yam, P. Y. *et al.* Design of HIV vectors for efficient gene delivery into human hematopoietic
1353   cells. *Mol Ther* **5**, 479-484 (2002). https://doi.org/10.1006/mthe.2002.0558
1354   136   Jones, D. C. *et al.* Cell Simulation as Cell Segmentation. *bioRxiv*, 2024.2004.2025.591218
1355   (2024). https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.04.25.591218
1356   137   Wolf, F. A., Angerer, P. & Theis, F. J. SCANPY: large-scale single-cell gene expression
1357   data analysis. *Genome Biology* **19**, 15 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13059-017-1382-
1358   0
1359   138   Palla, G. *et al.* Squidpy: a scalable framework for spatial omics analysis. *Nat Methods* **19**,
1360   171-178 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41592-021-01358-2
1361

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank Ekram Gad, Elena Carlson, and Tracy Goodpaster in Shared Resources and David Sowerby in the Fred Hutch Innovation Lab for technical services, as well as Joshua Veatch, Mark Headley, and Stan Riddell for helpful discussions and reagents. Treatment schematic figures were created with BioRender. This research was also supported by the Preclinical Imaging, Comparative Medicine, and Experimental Histopathology Shared Resources of the Fred Hutch/University of Washington Cancer Consortium.

Funding

National Cancer Institute, R37CA276285, (S.S.)

American Lung Association, Lung Cancer Discovery Award (S.S.)

V Foundation, Bob Bast Translational Research Grant (S.S.)

Cancer Center Support Grant, P30 CA015704 (S.S.)

Lyell Immunopharma, Sponsored Research Agreement (S.S.)

National Institutes of Health, T32 5T32GM007266-46 (A.J.S.).

Author Contributions

A.J.S and S.S. conceived the study, designed experiments, and wrote the manuscript. A.J.S. performed experiments, analyzed the data, and interpreted results. C.S., A.R.W., A.L., A.E., and E.N. generated and performed analysis of spatial transcriptomic data. T.H., S.B., E.F., X.W., S.P, and S.F. performed analysis of single cell RNA-seq data. M.G.K, S.O., W.S.N., S.G., E.B., V.Z., and M.S. performed experiments and analyzed data.

Competing Interests

S.S. has served as a consultant for, held equity in, and received research funding from Lyell Immunopharma, and is an inventor on a patent ("Immunogenic chemotherapy markedly enhances the efficacy of ROR1 CAR T cells in lung adenocarcinoma"; PCT/US2018/049812) filed by Fred Hutchinson Cancer Center and licensed by Lyell Immunopharma. X.W. and S.P. are employees and shareholders of Lyell Immunopharma. E.W.N. is a co-founder, advisor, and shareholder of ImmunoScape and is an advisor for Neogene Therapeutics. The remaining authors declare no competing interests.

Data and Materials Availability

Xenium spatial transcriptomic data are deposited in Zenodo and can be accessed under DOI:

10.5281/zenodo.14775896 (or at zenodo.org/records/14775896). Single cell RNAseq

(scRNAseq) data are deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under the accession number

GSE288687. Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to

and will be fulfilled by the Corresponding Author, Shivani Srivastava (ssrivas2@fredhutch.org).

Figures

1401

Fig. 1. ROR1 CAR-T cells fail to maintain a Tcf1$^+$ reservoir within lung tumors and are not

enhanced by PD-L1 blockade. A) Schematic of retroviral constructs used to engineer murine

ROR1 CAR-T and control T cells. TM = transmembrane. tCD19 = truncated murine CD19. B)

Frequency (left), PD-1 and TIM-3 expression (middle), and IFNγ production upon *ex vivo*

restimulation with PMA and ionomycin (right) by infused CD8$^+$CD19$^+$ T cells in lungs 8 days post-

infusion into KP^{ROR1} mice. N=3-6 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. C)

Representative flow plots (left) and summary (right) of Tcf1 and PD-1 expression by CD8$^+$CD19$^+$

CAR-T cell over time *in vivo*. N=5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D)

PD-L1 expression on CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^+$ neutrophils and CD11c$^+$F4/80$^+$ macrophages within KP^{ROR1}

lung tumors 8 days post-treatment with control T cells or ROR1 CAR-T cells. N=3-6 mice per

group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. E) ROR1 CAR-T cell number (left) and PD-1 expression

(right) 14 and 35 days post-infusion into KP^{ROR1} mice and treatment with vehicle or anti-PD-L1.

N=5-6 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. F) Representative IHC staining for

ROR1 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 45 days post-infusion with ROR1 CAR-T cells +/- anti-PD-L1. G)

Quantification of ROR1$^+$ tumor from IHC images of KP^{ROR1} lungs treated as indicated 45 days

post-infusion. N=6-7 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative

of 2 independent experiments.

1419

Fig. 2. Overexpression of AP-1 family TFs affects maintenance of intratumoral Tcf1$^+$ CAR-

T cells and long-term persistence *in vivo*. A) Schematic of ROR1 CAR, cJun.ROR1 CAR, and

BATF.ROR1 CAR retroviral constructs used to generate murine CAR-T cells. TM =

transmembrane. tCD19 = truncated murine CD19. B) Tcf1 expression (left) and accumulation

(right) of CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells in infusion products (**IP**) and within tumors 8 days post-infusion

into B6 mice transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

N=5-6 mice per group. C) Survival of B6 mice transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors and treated

with Cy and indicated CAR-T cells. Log-rank Mantel-Cox test. N=4-5 mice per groups. D)

Treatment scheme showing co-infusion of CAR-T cells. KP = Kras$^{LSL-G12D/+}$p53$^{f/f}$. I.T =

intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. E) Frequency of CD45.1$^+$CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 (pink) and

CD45.2$^+$CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) of total live cells in spleens or lungs from non-

tumor bearing WT or tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice 8 and 22 days post-CAR-T cell infusion. Lines

indicate paired comparisons between ROR1 CAR-T and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells in the same

mice. N=3-6 mice per group. Paired Student's two-way t-test. F) Treatment scheme showing

separate CAR-T infusion. G) Frequency of CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells

(teal) of total live cells in lungs of tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice 8 and 35 days post-CAR-T cell

infusion. N=5-9 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. H) Representative IHC

staining for CD8 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 21 days post-infusion with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1

CAR-T cells. Yellow line indicates tumor margin. I) IHC analysis showing quantification of CD8$^+$

cells at various distances from tumor margin in KP^{ROR1} lungs 21 days post-infusion of ROR1 CAR-

T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. N=3 mice per group. Data

are representative of 2-5 independent experiments.

1442
1443

Fig. 3. c-Jun overexpression improves preservation of stem-like PD-1$^+$Tcf1$^+$ CAR-T cells

within lung tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner. A) Unsupervised clustering of ROR1 and

cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells from the Infusion Product or from KP^{ROR1} tumors 9 and 30 days post-

infusion and analyzed by scRNA-seq. B) Clusters in (A) colored by time point. C) Dot plot showing

expression of genes that define each CAR-T cell subcluster. D) Geneset scores of enriched

pathways from Jansen et al.[53] in CAR-T cell subclusters. E) Clusters in (A) colored by CAR-T cell

product. F) K-nearest neighbor graph using Milo showing neighborhoods enriched in cJun.ROR1

CAR-Ts (teal) or ROR1 CAR-Ts (pink). G) Differential abundance analysis using Milo showing

fold change enrichment of neighborhoods within specific CAR-T subclusters in either cJun.ROR1

CAR-Ts (teal) or ROR1 CAR-Ts (pink). H) Heatmap showing genes differentially expressed

between neighborhoods enriched in ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. I, J)

Representative flow cytometry plots (I) and summary (J) showing frequency of Tcf1$^+$TIM-3$^-$ stem-

like subset among CD8$^+$GFP$^+$PD-1$^+$ CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} lungs 9 and 30 days post-infusion.

N=3-4 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. K) Frequency of Tcf1$^+$TIM-3$^-$

stem-like cells among CD45.1$^+$CD8$^+$GFP$^+$PD-1$^+$ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and CD45.2$^+$CD8$^+$GFP$^+$PD-

1$^+$ cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs 9 days post-co-infusion. Lines indicated

matched ROR1 and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells within the same mice. N=6 mice per group. Paired

Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

1462
1463

Fig. 4. cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells show improved function but ultimately exhaust *in vivo*. A,

B): Representative flow cytometry plots (A) and summary (B) of inhibitory receptor expression on

CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) from KP^{ROR1} lungs 9 days and

30 days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. C, D)

Representative flow cytometry plots (C) and summary (D) of intracellular cytokine staining of

CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs 8 and 35 days

post-infusion after *ex vivo* restimulation with PMA and ionomycin. N=5-9 mice per group. Unpaired

Student's two-way t-test. E, F) Representative flow cytometry plots (E) and summary (F) of Tox

expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs

9 and 30 days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. Data

are representative of 2-5 independent experiments.

1475

Fig. 5. c-Jun overexpression dramatically sensitizes ROR1 CAR-T cells to enhancement

with PD-L1 blockade. A) Treatment schematic. I.T. = intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. B)

Representative flow cytometry plots showing expression of the CAR transduction marker (GFP)

and PD-1 in CD8$^+$ T cells from KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. C) Absolute number and PD-1

expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells (top) and CD8$^+$GFP$^-$ endogenous T cells (bottom) in lungs

of KP^{ROR1} mice treated as indicated. N=4-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-

test. D) Representative flow cytometry plots showing Tcf1 and TIM-3 expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$PD-

1$^+$ CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. E) Frequency of Tcf1$^+$TIM-3$^-$ stem-like

precursor exhausted (**Tpex**) and Tcf1$^-$TIM-3$^+$ terminally exhausted (**Tex**) subsets of

CD8$^+$GFP$^+$PD-1$^+$ CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. N=4-5 mice per group. One-

way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments

1487

Fig. 6. c-Jun levels are downregulated post-transcriptionally in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells

and restored by PD-L1 blockade. A) Flow cytometry plots showing murine c-Jun expression in

ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) pre-infusion and within KP^{ROR1} lungs 9

days post-infusion. B) Frequency of c-Jun (left) and ratio of c-Jun to GFP median fluorescence

intensity (**MFI**) (right) in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells in the infusion product (**IP**) and in KP^{ROR1} lungs 9

days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. C) Schematic

of retroviral CAR and c-Jun constructs. D) Total c-Jun expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells in

the IP or in KP^{ROR1} lungs 8 days post-infusion. N=5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's

post-test. E) Total c-Jun expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$Thy1.1$^+$ CAR-T cells co-transduced with ROR1

CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP and cJun-P2A-Thy1.1 vectors in the IP and in KP^{ROR1} lungs 8 days post-

infusion. N=5 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. F) Summary (left) and

representative flow cytometry plot (right) of c-Jun expression in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ ROR1 CAR-T (pink)

and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion and treatment with vehicle

(filled) or αPD-L1 antibody (unfilled). N=4-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-

test. G) PD-1 expression on CRISPR/Cas9 mock-edited and PD-1^{KO} cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cell

infusion products 24 hr post-stimulation with PMA/ionomycin. N=3 technical replicates. Unpaired

Student's two-way t-test. H) c-Jun levels in tumor-infiltrating cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells treated as

indicated 9 days post-infusion into B6 mice bearing transplanted KP^{ROR1} tumors. N=5-6 mice per

group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. I) c-Jun levels in mock-edited and PD-1^{KO}

cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells 9 days post-co-infusion into tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice. N=4 mice per

group. Paired Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

1509

Fig. 7. cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts localize more closely to PD-L1$^+$ cells and show reduced terminal

differentiation in response to PD-L1 blockade. A) Unsupervised clustering of spatial

transcriptomic profiles of cells from lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 7 days post-treatment with ROR1 CAR-

T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells +/- anti-PD-L1. N=1 lung per treatment group. B) Spatial plot

showing localization of *ROR1_CART*$^+$ cells (black) in tumor (red), airway/blood vessels (blue),

and normal lung parenchyma (grey). C) Proportion of cells in indicated spatial regions of each

sample expressing the *ROR1_CART* transgene. CAR-T frequency was calculated within each

individual tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. One-way ANOVA with

Tukey's post-test. D) Pie chart showing proportion of each cluster in (A) within tumor region of

each sample. E) Left: Proportion of various myeloid clusters among total cells in each tumor

nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

F) Left: Representative image illustrating proximity analysis calculation. Red = cells co-expressing

ROR1_CART and *Pdcd1* (PD-1$^+$ CAR-T cells). Blue = cells expressing *Cd274* (PD-L1$^+$ cells).

Right: mean number of PD-L1$^+$ neighbors within a 20um radius of a PD-1$^+$ CAR-T cell in each

tumor nodule. N=17-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. G) Sub-clustering of CAR-T cells.

H) Dot plot showing expression of genes defining each cluster. I) Proportion of indicated CAR-T

subcluster among total cell within each tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per

group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. J) Distance of indicated CAR-T subset from tumor

border normalized by tumor radius. Connecting lines indicate Tpex and Teff CAR-T subsets within

the same tumor nodule. Paired Student's two-way t-test. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per

group.

1531

Fig. 8. c-Jun overexpression overcomes resistance of ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-L1

blockade. A) Treatment schematic. I.T. = intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. B)

Representative IHC staining for ROR1 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 42 days post-infusion with ROR1

CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. +/- anti-PD-L1. C) Quantification of ROR1$^+$ tumor (top) and

total tumor (bottom) from IHC images of KP^{ROR1} lungs treated as indicated 42 days post-infusion.

N=3-7 mice per group. One-way with ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) Treatment schematic. E)

Left: ROR1 expression on KP-ROR1 tumor cell lines derived from GEM model and sorted to be

>95% ROR1$^+$. Right: representative IHC showing ROR1 expression on lungs of B6 mice

transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors 21 days post-tumor injection and pre-CAR-T cell

infusion. F) Representative flow plots showing GFP and PD-1 expression on CD8$^+$ T cells within

lung tumors 14 days post-infusion. G) Absolute number of CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells and CD8$^+$PD-

1$^+$ endogenous T cells within lung tumors 14 days post-infusion. N=6-7 mice per group. One-way

ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. H) Survival. Log-rank Mantel-Cox test. N=7-8 mice per group.

Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Supplemental Information

1547

Supplementary Fig. 1. Modification of the lentiviral hROR1-Cre vector improves co-

expression of hROR1 and Cre recombinase. A) Schematic of lentiviral constructs used to

induce tumors in KP mice. ffluc = firefly luciferase. hROR1t = truncated human ROR1. Cre =

Cre recombinase. B) Flow cytometry plots showing expression of hROR1 in GFP$^+$ 3TZ$^{LSL-GFP}$

"GreenGo" Cre-reporter cells infected with the indicated lentiviruses. C) Representative IHC

staining (left) and summary (right) of ROR1 expression on lung tumors in KP mice infected with

Cre or hROR1-Cre lentivirus. Data are representative of 3-5 independent experiments.

1555

Supplementary Fig. 2. Overexpression of AP-1 family TFs does not substantially alter

ROR1 CAR-T cell function prior to infusion. A) Flow cytometry plots showing ROR1 CAR, c-

Jun, and BATF expression in CD8$^+$ T cells untransduced or transduced with ROR1 CAR,

cJun.ROR1 CAR, or BATF.ROR1 CAR vectors prior to infusion. B) Flow cytometry plot showing

Tcf1 expression in CAR-T cell infusion products relative to ROR1 CAR-T cells within KP^{ROR1}

tumors 8 days post-infusion (grey). C) Proliferation of CAR-T cells 72 hours post-stimulation with

PMA and ionomycin *in vitro*. N=3 technical replicates. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

D) Representative plot showing dilution of Cell Trace Blue dye upon stimulation with PMA and

ionomycin in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cell infusion product. E) Intracellular cytokine staining analysis of

CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cell infusion products upon stimulation with PMA and ionomycin *in vitro*. One-

way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. Data are representative of 3 independent experiments.

1567

Supplementary Fig. 3. c-Jun overexpression improves activity of both ROR1.BBζ and

ROR1.28ζ CAR-T cells, but tumors ultimately progress. A) Frequency of GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells of

CD8$^+$ T cells in lungs 8 days post-infusion into B6 mice bearing transplanted KP-ROR1 lung

tumors. N=3-4 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. B, C) Inhibitory receptor

expression (B) and cytokine production upon *ex vivo* restimulation with PMA and ionomycin (C)

in CD8$^+$GFP$^+$ CAR-T cells in lungs 8 days post-infusion into B6 mice bearing transplanted KP^{ROR1}

lung tumors. N=3-4 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) Survival of B6

mice bearing transplanted KP^{ROR1} tumors and treated with the indicated T cell products. N=4 mice

per group. Log-rank Mantel-Cox test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

1577

Supplementary Fig. 4. Sub-clustering of CAR-T cells from single cell RNA-seq data. A)

Unsupervised clustering (left) and *Cd3e* expression (right) of CAR-T infusion product and CD8$^+$

cells enriched from KP^{ROR1} tumors 9 and 30 days post-CAR-T infusion. B) Expression of *Rag1*

among clusters in (A). C) Coloring of clusters in (A) by sample hash. N = 3 mice per group. D)

Sub-clustering of cells expressing *Cd3e* and excluding *Rag1* (left) and expression of *Cd3e*

(middle) and *CAR* transgene (right) among T cell subclusters. E) Sub-clustering of *CAR*$^+$

clusters (left) and expression of *CAR* among CAR-T subclusters (right). F, G) Enrichment of

various gene sets for memory/stem-like T cells (F) or terminally exhausted T cells (G) among

CAR-T subclusters.

1587

Supplementary Fig. 5. Annotation of TME single cell RNAseq clusters. A) Unsupervised

clustering of live cells sorted from KP^{ROR1} tumors of mice left untreated, or 9 or 30 days post-

infusion with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. B, C) Clusters in (A) colored by time point

(B) or treatment group (C). D) Dot plot showing expression of various lineage-defining genes

across clusters in (A). E,F) UMAP (E) and violin plot (F) showing expression of *Cd274* (encodes

PD-L1) across clusters in (A). G) Expression of PD-L1 on CD45$^-$EpCAM$^+$ROR1$^+$ KP tumor cells,

CD11c$^+$F4/80$^+$ macrophages, and CD11b$^+$Ly6G$^+$ neutrophils within KP^{ROR1} lung tumors left

untreated (black) or 9 days post-infusion of ROR1 CAR-Ts (pink) or cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts (teal).

N=3-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test.

1597

1598 **Supplementary Fig. 6. Annotation of 10X Xenium clusters.** Lungs from KP^{ROR1} mice were

1599 harvested 7 days post-treatment with ROR1 CAR-Ts + vehicle, ROR1 CAR-Ts + anti-PD-L1,

1600 cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts + vehicle, or cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts + anti-PD-L1 and analyzed by 10X

1601 Xenium. Dot plot summarizes expression of various genes defining each cluster.

Figure 1. ROR1 CAR-T cells fail to maintain a Tcf1+ reservoir within lung tumors and are not enhanced by PD-L1 blockade. A) Schematic of retroviral constructs used to engineer murine ROR1 CAR-T and control T cells. TM = transmembrane. tCD19 = truncated murine CD19. B) Frequency (left), PD-1 and TIM-3 expression (middle), and IFNγ production upon ex vivo restimulation with PMA and ionomycin (right) by infused CD8+CD19+ T cells in lungs 8 days post-infusion into KP^{ROR1} mice. N=3-6 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. C) Representative flow plots (left) and summary (right) of Tcf1 and PD-1 expression by CD8+CD19+ CAR-T cell over time in vivo. N=5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) PD-L1 expression on CD11b+Ly6G+ neutrophils and CD11c+F4/80+ macrophages within KP^{ROR1} lung tumors 8 days post-treatment with control T cells or ROR1 CAR-T cells. N=3-6 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. E) ROR1 CAR-T cell number (left) and PD-1 expression (right) 14 and 35 days post-infusion into KP^{ROR1} mice and treatment with vehicle or anti-PD-L1. N=5-6 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. F) Representative IHC staining for ROR1 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 45 days post-infusion with ROR1 CAR-T cells +/- anti-PD-L1. G) Quantification of ROR1+ tumor from IHC images of KP^{ROR1} lungs treated as indicated 45 days post-infusion. N=6-7 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Figure 2. Overexpression of AP-1 family TFs affects maintenance of intratumoral Tcf1+ CAR-T cells and long-term persistence in vivo.

Figure 2. Overexpression of AP-1 family TFs affects maintenance of intratumoral Tcf1+ CAR-T cells and long-term persistence in vivo.

A) Schematic of ROR1 CAR, cJun.ROR1 CAR, and BATF.ROR1 CAR retroviral constructs used to generate murine CAR-T cells. TM = transmembrane. tCD19 = truncated murine CD19. B) Tcf1 expression (left) and accumulation (right) of CD8+GFP+ CAR-T cells in infusion products (IP) and within tumors 8 days post-infusion into B6 mice transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. N=5-6 mice per group. C) Survival of B6 mice transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors and treated with Cy and indicated CAR-T cells. Log-rank Mantel-Cox test. N=4-5 mice per groups. D) Treatment scheme showing co-infusion of CAR-T cells. KP = Kras$^{LSL-G12D/+}$p53$^{f/f}$. I.T = intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. E) Frequency of CD45.1+CD8+GFP+ ROR1 (pink) and CD45.2+CD8+GFP+ cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) of total live cells in spleens or lungs from non-tumor bearing WT or tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice 8 and 22 days post-CAR-T cell infusion. Lines indicate paired comparisons between ROR1 CAR-T and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells in the same mice. N=3-6 mice per group. Paired Student's two-way t-test. F) Treatment scheme showing separate CAR-T infusion. G) Frequency of CD8+GFP+ ROR1 (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) of total live cells in lungs of tumor-bearing KP^{ROR1} mice 8 and 35 days post-CAR-T cell infusion. N=5-9 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. H) Representative IHC staining for CD8 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 21 days post-infusion with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. Yellow line indicates tumor margin. I) IHC analysis showing quantification of CD8+ cells at various distances from tumor margin in KP^{ROR1} lungs 21 days post-infusion of ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. N=3 mice per group. Data are representative of 2-5 independent experiments.

Figure 3. c-Jun overexpression improves preservation of stem-like PD-1+Tcf1+ CAR-T cells within lung tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner.

Figure 3. c-Jun overexpression improves preservation of stem-like PD-1+Tcf1+ CAR-T cells within lung tumors in a cell-intrinsic manner. A) Unsupervised clustering of ROR1 and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells from the Infusion Product or from KP^ROR1 tumors 9 and 30 days post-infusion and analyzed by scRNA-seq. B) Clusters in (A) colored by time point. C) Dot plot showing expression of genes that define each CAR-T cell subcluster. D) Geneset scores of enriched pathways from Jansen et al. in CAR-T cell subclusters. E) Clusters in (A) colored by CAR-T cell product. F) K-nearest neighbor graph using Milo showing neighborhoods enriched in cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts (teal) or ROR1 CAR-Ts (pink). G) Differential abundance analysis using Milo showing fold change enrichment of neighborhoods within specific CAR-T subclusters in either cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts (teal) or ROR1 CAR-Ts (pink). H) Heatmap showing genes differentially expressed between neighborhoods enriched in ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. I, J) Representative flow cytometry plots (I) and summary (J) showing frequency of Tcf1+TIM-3- stem-like subset among CD8+GFP+PD-1+ CAR-T cells in KP^ROR1 lungs 9 and 30 days post-infusion. N=3-4 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. K) Frequency of Tcf1+TIM-3- stem-like cells among CD45.1+CD8+GFP+PD-1+ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and CD45.2+CD8+GFP+PD-1+ cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^ROR1 lungs 9 days post-co-infusion. Lines indicated matched ROR1 and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells within the same mice. N=6 mice per group. Paired Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Figure 4. cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells show improved function but ultimately exhaust *in vivo*. A, B): Representative flow cytometry plots (A) and summary (B) of inhibitory receptor expression on CD8+GFP+ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) from KP^{ROR1} lungs 9 days and 30 days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. C, D) Representative flow cytometry plots (C) and summary (D) of intracellular cytokine staining of CD8+GFP+ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs 8 and 35 days post-infusion after ex vivo restimulation with PMA and ionomycin. N=5-9 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. E, F) Representative flow cytometry plots (E) and summary (F) of Tox expression in CD8+GFP+ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^{ROR1} lungs 9 and 30 days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. Data are representative of 2-5 independent experiments.

Figure 5. c-Jun overexpression dramatically sensitizes ROR1 CAR-T cells to enhancement with PD-L1 blockade. A) Treatment schematic. I.T. = intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. B) Representative flow cytometry plots showing expression of the CAR transduction marker (GFP) and PD-1 in CD8+ T cells from KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. C) Absolute number and PD-1 expression in CD8+GFP+ CAR-T cells (top) and CD8+GFP- endogenous T cells (bottom) in lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice treated as indicated. N=4-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) Representative flow cytometry plots showing Tcf1 and TIM-3 expression in CD8+GFP+PD-1+ CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. E) Frequency of Tcf1+TIM-3- stem-like precursor exhausted (Tpex) and Tcf1-TIM-3+ terminally exhausted (Tex) subsets of CD8+GFP+PD-1+ CAR-T cells in KP^{ROR1} lungs 5 days post-infusion. N=4-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. F) Frequency of cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells either CRISPR/Cas9 control-edited (*Tcf7+/+*) or Tcf7-edited (*Tcf7-/-*) in lung tumors 11 days post-infusion. N=5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Figure 6. c-Jun levels are downregulated post-transcriptionally in cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells and restored by PD-L1 blockade. A) Flow cytometry plots showing murine c-Jun expression in ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) pre-infusion and within KP^ROR1 lungs 9 days post-infusion. B) Frequency of c-Jun (left) and ratio of c-Jun to GFP median fluorescence intensity (MFI) (right) in CD8+GFP+ CAR-T cells in the infusion product (IP) and in KP^ROR1 lungs 9 days post-infusion. N=3-5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. C) Schematic of retroviral CAR and c-Jun constructs. D) Total c-Jun expression in CD8+GFP+ CAR-T cells in the IP or in KP^ROR1 lungs 8 days post-infusion. N=5 mice per group. Two-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. E) Total c-Jun expression in CD8+GFP+Thy1.1+ CAR-T cells co-transduced with ROR1 CAR-P2A-tCD19/GFP and cJun-P2A-Thy1.1 vectors in the IP and in KP^ROR1 lungs 8 days post-infusion. N=5 mice per group. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. F) Summary (left) and representative flow cytometry plot (right) of c-Jun expression in CD8+GFP+ ROR1 CAR-T (pink) and cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells (teal) in KP^ROR1 lungs 5 days post-infusion and treatment with vehicle (filled) or αPD-L1 antibody (unfilled). N=4-5 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. G) PD-1 expression on CRISPR/-Cas9 mock-edited and PD-1^KO cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cell infusion products 24 hr post-stimulation with PMA/ionomycin. N=3 technical replicates. Unpaired Student's two-way t-test. H) c-Jun levels in tumor-infiltrating cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells treated as indicated 9 days post-infusion into B6 mice bearing transplanted KP-ROR1 tumors. N=5-6 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. I) c-Jun levels in mock-edited and PD-1^KO cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells 9 days post-co-infusion into tumor-bearing KP^ROR1 mice. N=4 mice per group. Paired Student's two-way t-test. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.

Figure 7. cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts localize more closely to PD-L1+ cells and show reduced terminal differentiation in response to PD-L1 blockade.

Figure 7. cJun.ROR1 CAR-Ts localize more closely to PD-L1+ cells and show reduced terminal differentiation in response to PD-L1 blockade. A) Unsupervised clustering of spatial transcriptomic profiles of cells from lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 7 days post-treatment with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells +/- anti-PD-L1. N=1 lung per treatment group. B) Spatial plot showing localization of *ROR1_CART*+ cells (black) in tumor (red), airway/blood vessels (blue), and normal lung parenchyma (grey). C) Proportion of cells in indicated spatial regions of each sample expressing the *ROR1_CART* transgene. CAR-T frequency was calculated within each individual tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) Pie chart showing proportion of each cluster in (A) within tumor region of each sample. E) Left: Proportion of various myeloid clusters among total cells in each tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. F) Left: Representative image illustrating proximity analysis calculation. Red = cells co-expressing *ROR1_CART* and *Pdcd1* (PD-1+ CAR-T cells). Blue = cells expressing *Cd274* (PD-L1+ cells). Right: mean number of PD-L1+ neighbors within a 20um radius of a PD-1+ CAR-T cell in each tumor nodule. N=17-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. G) Sub-clustering of CAR-T cells. H) Dot plot showing expression of genes defining each cluster. I) Proportion of indicated CAR-T subcluster among total cell within each tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. J) Distance of indicated CAR-T subset from tumor border normalized by tumor radius. Connecting lines indicate Tpex and Teff CAR-T subsets within the same tumor nodule. N=8-35 tumor nodules from 1 lung per group. Paired Student's two-way t-test.

Figure 8. c-Jun overexpression overcomes resistance of ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-L1 blockade.

Figure 8. c-Jun overexpression overcomes resistance of ROR1 CAR-T cells to PD-L1 blockade.
A) Treatment schematic. I.T. = intratracheal. Cy = cyclophosphamide. B) Representative IHC staining for ROR1 on lungs of KP^{ROR1} mice 42 days post-infusion with ROR1 CAR-T or cJun.ROR1 CAR-T cells. +/- anti-PD-L1. C) Quantification of ROR1+ tumor (top) and total tumor (bottom) from IHC images of KP^{ROR1} lungs treated as indicated 42 days post-infusion. N=3-7 mice per group. One-way with ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. D) Treatment schematic. E) Left: ROR1 expression on KP-ROR1 tumor cell lines derived from GEM model and sorted to be >95% ROR1+. Right: representative IHC showing ROR1 expression on lungs of B6 mice transplanted with KP-ROR1 lung tumors 21 days post-tumor injection and pre-CAR-T cell infusion. F) Representative flow plots showing GFP and PD-1 expression on CD8+ T cells within lung tumors 14 days post-infusion. G) Absolute number of CD8+GFP+ CAR-T cells and CD8+PD-1+ endogenous T cells within lung tumors 14 days post-infusion. N=6-7 mice per group. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post-test. H) Survival. Log-rank Mantel-Cox test. N=7-8 mice per group. Data are representative of 2 independent experiments.