An Essential Adaptor for Apicoplast Fission and Inheritance in

Malaria Parasites

Authors:

James Blauwkamp[1], Krithika Rajaram[2, 3], Sophia R. Staggers[4], Oliver Harrigan[1], Emma H.

Doud[5], Sean T. Prigge[2], Stella Y. Sun[4], Sabrina Absalon[1#]

Affiliations:

[1]Indiana University School of Medicine, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology,

Indianapolis

[2]Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health, Department of Molecular Microbiology

and Immunology, Baltimore

[3]The Ohio State University College of Arts and Sciences, Department of Microbiology,

Columbus

[4]University of Pittsburgh, Department of Structural Biology, Pittsburgh

[5]Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis

[#]Corresponding Author

Corresponding Author Email:

sabsalon@iu.edu

Abstract

Blood-stage *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites rely on a non-photosynthetic plastid, the

apicoplast, for survival, making it an attractive target for antimalarial intervention. Like the

mitochondrion, the apicoplast cannot be generated *de novo* and must be inherited by

daughter parasites during cell division. This inheritance relies on coordinated apicoplast

positioning and fission, but the molecular mechanisms controlling these processes remain

poorly understood. Here, we identify a previously uncharacterized *P. falciparum* protein

(Pf3D7_0613600), which we name PfAnchor, as a key regulator of apicoplast fission. Using

Ultrastructure Expansion Microscopy (U-ExM), we show that PfAnchor localizes to the

apicoplast throughout the asexual blood-stage. Conditional depletion disrupts apicoplast

fission, leading to incomplete cytokinesis and parasite death. Notably, loss of the

apicoplast's elongated branched structure via azithromycin treatment rescues these

defects, underscoring Anchor's specific role in apicoplast fission. Immunoprecipitation

identified an interaction with the dynamin-like GTPase PfDyn2, a key mediator of both

apicoplast and mitochondrial fission, establishing PfAnchor as the first apicoplast-specific

dynamin adaptor protein. Our findings define PfAnchor as an essential factor for apicoplast

fission and inheritance in *P. falciparum* blood-stage parasites, highlighting parasite-specific

organelle division as a potential vulnerability for therapeutic intervention.

Introduction

Protists, a diverse group of predominantly single-celled eukaryotes, represent the

largest component of eukaryotic diversity and are found in nearly every environment [1, 2].

Like other eukaryotes, most protists contain mitochondria and/or plastid-derived organelles

that support essential metabolic processes. Examples include photosynthetic plastids in

dinoflagellates and the non-photosynthetic apicoplast found in most apicomplexan

parasites [3]. Both mitochondria and plastids originate from endosymbiotic events where

ancestral eukaryotes engulfed bacteria or algae that evolved into organelles [4]. Evidence for

this evolutionary origin includes the presence of organelle genomes within mitochondria and

plastids, as well as the multi-membrane structure of plastids derived from secondary endosymbiosis [5]. Given their fundamental roles in survival and metabolism and the absence of plastids in human hosts, these organelles represent attractive targets for novel antiparasitic drug development [6, 7].

Malaria is a parasitic disease caused by protozoan *Plasmodium* parasites, with an estimated 249 million cases and 608,000 deaths reported in 2022 [8]. *Plasmodium* parasites invade host red blood cells and undergo multiple rounds of asynchronous DNA replication within a shared cytoplasm, resulting in a multi-nucleated parasite [9]. These parasites contain a non-photosynthetic plastid known as the apicoplast. This metabolic organelle is thought to have originated from a secondary endosymbiosis event involving red algae and possesses its own reduced genome of approximately 35kb [10-12]. While the apicoplast plays a role in fatty acid and heme synthesis, studies have shown that its essential functions include the synthesis of isoprenoid precursors such as isopentenyl pyrophosphate (IPP) and coenzyme A (CoA) [13-19].

The apicoplast in *Plasmodium* is a single, branching organelle that expands in volume as the parasite progresses through the intraerythrocytic cycle. Volume electron microscopy (EM) studies, along with our recent work using ultrastructure expansion microscopy (U-ExM), have confirmed that the apicoplast undergoes multiple asynchronous fission events during schizogony, coinciding with parasite cytokinesis [20-22]. Recent studies have shown that apicoplast fission is mediated by a dynamin-like protein, PfDyn2 (Pf3D7_1037500), and is essential for parasite survival, as the apicoplast cannot be synthesized de novo [23, 24]. Unlike classical dynamin proteins, which contain a pleckstrin homology (PH) domain for direct membrane interaction during fission, dynamin-related proteins rely on adaptor proteins to recruit them to membranes and assemble functional fission complexes [25-27]. Mitochondrial fission adaptors vary widely in sequence and structure, with four identified in humans [28-31], three in yeast [32-34], two in the related parasite *Toxoplasma gondii* [35, 36], and one in *Plasmodium* [37]. However, no adaptor proteins specific to apicoplast fission have been identified in either *T. gondii* or *P. falciparum*, leaving the mechanism by which PfDyn2 facilitates apicoplast division unresolved.

In this study, we identify and characterize PfAnchor (Pf3D7_0613600), a nuclear-
encoded protein that localizes to the apicoplast during the blood stage developmental
cycle. Using the inducible TetR-DOZI conditional knockdown system [38], we demonstrate
that PfAnchor is essential for parasite growth. Live microscopy of PfAnchor-deficient
parasites revealed that while egress occurs, daughter cells form large clumps, preventing
successful invasion. U-ExM analysis further showed that the apicoplast fails to undergo
proper fission, resulting in most daughter parasites remaining tethered to each other via a
collapsed apicoplast, while a subset of parasites emerges without an apicoplast. Blocking
apicoplast branching via azithromycin treatment fully rescued the growth defect in
PfAnchor-deficient parasites. Immunoprecipitation experiments identified an interaction
between PfAnchor and PfDyn2, suggesting that PfAnchor acts as a dynamin adaptor protein
required for apicoplast fission and inheritance. By uncovering a key regulator of apicoplast
division, this study provides new insights into the molecular machinery governing organelle
inheritance. Additionally, we highlight the potential of targeting apicoplast morphology as a
novel strategy for inducing same-cycle parasite death, positioning PfAnchor as a promising
therapeutic target for malaria intervention.

97   **Results**

PfAnchor: A conserved *Plasmodium* apicoplast-associated protein in the blood stage.

Proximal labeling experiments using *P. falciparum* Minichromosome Maintenance-
binding protein (PfMCMBP) as bait [39] led to the identification of an uncharacterized protein
that we named PfAnchor (Pf3D7_0613600, **Figure S1-S2, Supp Data 1**). PfAnchor is a
Plasmodium specific 1034 amino acid protein. No homologs of PfAnchor could be identified
in other apicomplexan organisms, suggesting it may represent a lineage-specific adaptation.
The sequence structure contains a hydrophobic helix at the N-terminal end, predicted to be
a transmembrane domain, as well as a potential phosphorylation site at S204 (**Figure 1a**).
Alphafold3 structure prediction [40] revealed an ordered region at the C-terminal end which
is present across *Plasmodium* species (**Figure 1a, Figure S3**). By combining Alphafold3

predictions with a DALI search [41], we, along with a recent publication [42], identified this

region as a putative pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (**Figure 1a**). These domains are

typically involved in membrane binding suggesting that PfAnchor may associate with a

membrane. Sequence analysis of the predicted PH domain revealed strong conservation

among *Plasmodium* species, with the highest similarity between *P. falciparum* and the

Laverania subgenus (**Figure S3a**). Alphafold3 structure predictions across *Plasmodium*

species with varying degrees of PfAnchor homology showed structural conservation of the

predicted PH domain with high confidence model prediction in multiple species (**Figure**

S3b). This structural conversation suggests that the predicted PH domain may have a

conserved functional role in *Plasmodium* parasites.

Having identified PfAnchor as a potential membrane-associated protein through

structural predictions, we next investigated its subcellular localization and membrane

association. To enable detection and conditional regulation of PfAnchor, we engineered a C-

terminal fusion of the protein with the spaghetti monster-V5 (smV5) epitope tag [43] and

integrated the TetR-based conditional knockdown system [38] into the 3D7 parasite strain,

named PfAnchor iKD (**Figure 1b, Figure S4**). This system allows for controlled expression of

PfAnchor in the presence of anhydrotetracycline (aTC), a small molecule that stabilizes

mRNA translation. In the absence of aTC, translation is suppressed, resulting in effective

protein knockdown. Although PfAnchor's transcriptional profile [44] suggests expression

throughout the entire intraerythrocytic developmental cycle (IDC), we detected protein

expression only from the trophozoite stage through segmented schizonts using western blot

analysis (**Figure 1c**). To validate the functionality of the inducible knockdown system, we

washed out aTC one cycle prior to analysis, approximately 10 hours before egress, and

collected samples 40 hours post-invasion. Western blot analysis showed that PfAnchor

expression was reduced by more than 90% across four independent biological replicates,

confirming the efficacy of the knockdown system (**Figure 1d**).

To determine whether PfAnchor is membrane-associated, we conducted sodium

carbonate solubility assays. Parasites were hypotonically lysed to remove soluble cytosolic

proteins, followed by sequential extraction with sodium carbonate (to release peripherally

associated membrane proteins) and Triton-X100 (to solubilize integral membrane proteins).
The resulting fractions, including the supernatant and pellet, were analyzed by western blot
to assess the distribution of PfAnchor. Although minor cytosolic contamination was
detected in the sodium carbonate fraction, most of PfAnchor was enriched in this fraction,
consistent with a peripheral association with membranes (**Figure 2a**).

Given its membrane association, we next sought to identify the specific membrane
compartment with which PfAnchor associates. Using U-ExM, we observed that PfAnchor
localizes to regions containing non-nuclear DNA, suggesting a potential association with a
DNA-containing organelle. By staining PfAnchor parasites with MitoTracker, we showed that
PfAnchor did not co-localize with the mitochondrion (**Figure 2b**). As the apicoplast
possesses its own genome, we hypothesized that PfAnchor might localize to the apicoplast
during this stage. To test this, we generated a new cell line by tagging PfAnchor with smV5 in
the PfMev::Api-GFP background [45] that we named PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD (**Figure S5**). This strain
enables conditional disruption of the apicoplast by mevalonate (MVA) supplementation,
supporting viability of the parasite without the organelle. This system facilitates visualization
of the apicoplast through GFP tagging and allows investigation of PfAnchor's function in the
absence of an intact apicoplast. Imaging analysis of this cell line revealed that PfAnchor
localizes to the periphery of the apicoplast throughout schizogony (**Figure 2b, c**), supporting
its involvement in apicoplast biology.

To determine the orientation of PfAnchor within the apicoplast, we performed
proteinase K protection assays. Infected red blood cells were lysed with saponin to release
parasites, followed by treatment with digitonin to selectively permeabilize the parasite
plasma membrane. The resulting pellet was exposed to proteinase K to degrade cytosolic-
facing proteins while preserving proteins within organellar compartments. We found that
PfAnchor was susceptible to proteinase K digestion, indicating that its C-terminal epitope
tag faces the cytosol (**Figure 2d**). Together, these findings demonstrate that PfAnchor is a
cytosol-facing protein associated with the apicoplast membrane.

165   **PfAnchor is essential for apicoplast partitioning and merozoite formation.**

166   To investigate the role of PfAnchor in parasite development, we removed

167   anhydrotetracycline (aTC) from the culture and monitored parasite growth by microscopy.

168   PfAnchor-deficient parasites exhibited a complete growth arrest during the first cycle of

169   depletion, with no early-stage parasites detected in the culture, suggesting a critical role in

170   either egress or invasion (**Figure 3a**). To test this, we performed live microscopy on PfAnchor-

171   expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites to investigate whether egress was affected.

172   Parasites were treated with Compound 1 to arrest and synchronize them just prior to egress

173   from red blood cells [46]. After washing with fresh media, egress events were monitored.

174   PfAnchor-expressing parasites displayed rapid and explosive egress, with individual

175   daughter merozoites dispersing quickly. By contrast, PfAnchor-deficient parasites

176   underwent egress, yet the majority of daughter merozoites remained clustered and failed to

177   fully separate, often remaining attached near the food vacuole (**Figure 3b, c**). To quantify

178   egress phenotypes, we categorized parasites based on morphology: "normal" morphology

179   was defined as minimal merozoite clumping with daughter parasites dispersing effectively,

180   while "abnormal" morphology was characterized by limited separation of daughter

181   parasites, which formed slow-moving or immobile clumps. PfAnchor-expressing parasites

182   showed an average of 89.3 +/- 9.5% normal morphology (52/61 egress events) over three

183   biological replicates while PfAnchor-deficient parasites showed an average of 10.7 +/- 9.3%

184   normal morphology (3/39 egress events) over three biological replicates. We note that

185   PfAnchor-deficient parasite morphology closely resembles the phenotype associated with

186   impaired apicoplast segregation [47]. Given this resemblance, we hypothesized that

187   disrupted apicoplast branching may underline the observed cytokinesis defect. To test this,

188   we visualized the apicoplast morphology in PfAnchor-deficient parasites expressing ACP-

189   GFP (PfAnchor[Mev] iKD parasites). Imaging of PfAnchor deficient parasites revealed two

190   distinct groups of merozoites: clumped merozoites that share a single, unsegregated

191   apicoplast, and free merozoites that display no apicoplast signal. We hypothesize that these

192   free merozoites lack an apicoplast. In contrast, in PfAnchor-expressing parasites exhibit

193   proper segregation, with each merozoite containing a distinct apicoplast (**Figure 3d**). These

findings demonstrate that PfAnchor is essential for apicoplast inheritance during merozoite

formation.

PfAnchor is required for apicoplast division during IDC.

Given its localization to the apicoplast periphery and the observed defects in

organelle inheritance upon knockdown, we reasoned that PfAnchor plays a direct role in

apicoplast division. We hypothesize that in the absence of PfAnchor, apicoplast fission fails,

resulting in merozoites tethered by a single, undivided apicoplast. To test this, we used U-

ExM to track apicoplast division during parasite replication and determine how PfAnchor

depletion disrupts organelle division and inheritance. To assess whether PfAnchor loss

affects apicoplast biogenesis, we measured apicoplast area in cell projections [21]. No

significant differences were observed between PfAnchor-expressing and deficient parasites,

indicating that apicoplast biogenesis remains unaffected (**Figure 4a**). Additionally, as we

and others previously demonstrated, the apicoplast adopts a characteristic crown shape

during early segmentation, with a single branched apicoplast connecting all outer centriolar

plaques (CPs) [21, 48, 49]. This organization was also observed in PfAnchor-deficient

parasites, indicating that the pre-fission positioning of the apicoplast and its association

with the CPs remain unaffected in the absence of PfAnchor (**Figure S6**). However, PfAnchor-

deficient parasites exhibited a striking defect in apicoplast inheritance during

cytokinesis. Unlike control parasites, which display individual, segmented apicoplasts in

daughter parasites, PfAnchor-deficient parasites retained a single, interconnected

apicoplast linking multiple daughter parasites (**Figure 4b, c**). Live microscopy previously

revealed a subset of daughter parasites lacking apicoplast signal, and U-ExM further

confirmed this phenotype, showing that these apicoplast-negative merozoites had

separated from the cluster and moved freely (**Figure 4d**). This resulted in two distinct

populations of daughter parasites in the PfAnchor-deficient samples: (1) parasites

connected by a shared apicoplast traversing the basal complex (**Figure 4e**), and (2) parasites

that were physically separated from the residual body but devoid of apicoplast signal (**Figure

4d**). Notably, while PfAnchor-deficient parasites displayed severe apicoplast inheritance

defects, mitochondria biogenesis and division remained unaffected, with each daughter cell

inheriting a single mitochondrion (**Figure S7**). These findings establish PfAnchor as a critical

factor of apicoplast division and inheritance, and its loss likely prevents parasite propagation

and survival.

To further investigate the impact of Anchor depletion on apicoplast structure, we

performed cryo-focused ion beam (cryo-FIB) milling and cryo-electron tomography (cryo-

ET) on PfAnchor iKD parasites in the presence and absence of aTC. To ensure stage-matched

analysis, we treated cultures with Compound 1 (4-[2-(4-fluorophenyl)-5(1-methylpiperidine-

4-yl)-1H-pyrrol-3-yl]pyridine or C1), a reversible protein kinase G inhibitor that arrests

schizonts immediately prior to egress by preventing parasitophorous vacuole membrane

(PVM) rupture [46, 50]. C1-stalled schizonts were Percoll-purified and incubated in media

containing 2.5 μM C1 for 2–3 hours before fixation. We analyzed a total of 12 tomograms from

PfAnchor-expressing (+aTC) parasites, all containing identifiable apicoplasts, and 9

tomograms from PfAnchor-deficient (–aTC) parasites that featured apicoplasts. The

apicoplast was distinguished in tomograms based on its characteristic four-membrane

structure. 2D Measurement of the smallest internal diameter, taken from a 2D slice at the

center of the apicoplast volume, revealed no significant difference between PfAnchor-

expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites (**Figure S8a**). In both PfAnchor-expressing and

PfAnchor-deficient parasites, we observed cryo-EM densities within the intermembrane

space of the apicoplast (**Figure 4f**). These densities included dense intermembrane buds,

defined as electron-dense tethering structures between neighboring apicoplast membranes

(**Figure 4f, blue arrows**). Interestingly, the frequency of these dense intermembrane buds

was significantly reduced in PfAnchor-deficient parasites, with PfAnchor-expressing

parasites present ~2.2 dense buds per apicoplast on average (S.D. = 1.2), while PfAnchor-

deficient parasites averaged ~1.1 dense buds per apicoplast (S.D. = 1.2).

In addition to the dense intermembrane buds, we identified enclosed membrane-like

buds—small, circular densities forming between apicoplast membranes (**Figure 4f, green**

arrows). Unlike the dense intermembrane buds, the number of enclosed buds remained

unchanged between PfAnchor-expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites. This suggests

that while PfAnchor influences the formation or maintenance of dense intermembrane

buds, it does not significantly impact the presence of enclosed buds, indicating that some

aspects of membrane organization remain intact. Beyond these structures, we observed

additional internal features within the apicoplast lumen. These included single-layer and

double-layer membrane structures, which may represent distinct internal compartments or

intermediates in apicoplast remodeling (**Figure S8b-e**). Quantification of single-layer

membrane structures revealed no significant differences in their abundance or internal

diameter between PfAnchor-expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites (**Figure S8c, d**),

suggesting that their formation is independent of PfAnchor. However, double-layer

membrane structures were exclusively detected in PfAnchor-deficient parasites (**Figure

S8e**), raising the possibility that PfAnchor depletion alters the internal architecture of the

apicoplast. These findings suggest that while PfAnchor is not required for overall membrane

biogenesis, it may play a role in maintaining the organization or remodeling of apicoplast

membrane organization, potentially influencing its division and inheritance.

Loss of apicoplast-branched structure rescues growth in PfAnchor-deficient parasites.

We hypothesized that the egress defects observed in PfAnchor-deficient parasites

result from physical tethering of daughter merozoites by the branched apicoplast structure

and that disrupting this tethering could restore parasite viability. Bacterial translation

inhibitors such as doxycycline, clindamycin, and azithromycin are known to disrupt

apicoplast structure, leading to the formation of apicoplast-like vesicles during the second

cycle of treatment and parasite death [13, 45]. The growth of parasites treated with bacterial

translation inhibitors can be rescued with the addition of exogenous IPP [13], leading to

parasites growing with apicoplast-like vesicles instead of a branching apicoplast and

irreversible loss of the apicoplast genome [51]. The high cost and the difficulties associated

with the IPP-rescue system [13] for long-term studies led to the development of an

apicoplast bypass system by Swift et al. in 2020 [45]. This system introduces four enzymes

into the cytoplasm of the parasite, allowing for IPP synthesis via the mevalonate (MVA)

pathway in the cytoplasm, thereby bypassing the endogenous methylerythritol phosphate

(MEP) pathway in the apicoplast. By adding mevalonate to the growth medium, the bypass

system significantly reduces the cost and improves the feasibility of long-term experimental
studies.

To test our hypothesis, we used the apicoplast bypass PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD cell line and
treated parasites with the antibiotic azithromycin (AZ) to prevent apicoplast branching
during PfAnchor knockdown [13, 52]. Briefly, PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD parasites were initially cultured
in the presence of 50 µM mevalonate (Mev) and 100 nM AZ for 7 days. The parasites were
then split into four experimental groups: (1) +aTC -Mev (green), (2) -aTC -Mev (orange), (3)
+aTC +Mev (blue), and (4) -aTC +Mev (red) (**Figure 5a**). These groups were cultured for an
additional 8 days, taking samples every 2 days for growth analysis. To prevent culture
collapse, parasite cultures were diluted (1:8) every 2 days. U-ExM validated the loss of the
apicoplast branching structure and PCR analysis confirmed the loss of the apicoplast
genome regardless of the presence of PfAnchor (**Figure 5c, g, Figure S9**).

Growth analysis revealed distinct phenotypes depending on PfAnchor expression
and the presence of Mev. In parasites with apicoplast-like vesicles (+AZ), parasites
supplemented with Mev displayed equivalent growth rates regardless of PfAnchor
expression (**blue and red lines overlapping, Figure 5b**), indicating that disruption of the
branched apicoplast structure fully rescued the growth defects associated with PfAnchor
depletion. Conversely, parasites with apicoplast-like vesicles but not supplemented with
Mev failed to grow regardless of PfAnchor expression (**green and orange lines overlapping,**
Figure 5b), validating the experimental setup. These results demonstrate that the loss of the
branched apicoplast structure is sufficient to fully rescue the growth defects in PfAnchor-
deficient parasites. This finding underscores the critical role of PfAnchor in apicoplast
fission. Since parasite viability is restored in the absence of a branched apicoplast, it
appears unlikely that PfAnchor has any other essential functions beyond facilitating
apicoplast fission.

As controls we tested the growth of parasites with a branched apicoplast (-AZ) in the
presence or absence of Mev or PfAnchor. Branched apicoplast PfAnchor-expressing
parasites showed robust growth with or without Mev supplementation (**green and blue lines**

overlapping, Figure S10). Branched apicoplast PfAnchor-deficient parasites failed to grow

without Mev, as evidenced by a decline in parasitemia (**orange line, Figure S10b**).

Interestingly, PfAnchor-deficient parasites supplemented with Mev initially exhibited

reduced growth but subsequently recovered, achieving growth rates comparable to

PfAnchor-expressing parasites (**red line, Figure S10b**). We hypothesize that this initial

recovery of growth is caused by free merozoites which contain no apicoplast in the PfAnchor

population (**Figures 3d, 4b**) that can survive and propagate when supplemented with Mev.

Apicoplast-like vesicles are retained in daughter parasites in association with

mitochondria, independent of PfAnchor.

To investigate the fate of the apicoplast following its disruption by azithromycin, we

examined the spatial organization and inheritance of the resulting apicoplast-like vesicles

using U-ExM (**Figure 5c, g**). In PfAnchor-expressing parasites, the majority of apicoplast-like

vesicles were excluded from the daughter parasites and instead localized within the residual

body at the completion of cytokinesis (**Figure S11**). However, a subset of apicoplast-like

vesicles remained within daughter cells, and these retained vesicles were consistently

associated with the mitochondrion (**Figure 5c, S11**). This suggests that mitochondrial

association plays a key role in apicoplast vesicle inheritance, while vesicles not tethered to

the mitochondrion are largely excluded from daughter parasites during cytokinesis.

To determine whether PfAnchor is required for apicoplast-like vesicle inheritance, we

examined PfAnchor-deficient parasites and found that their vesicle localization and

distribution were largely similar to PfAnchor-expressing parasites (**Figure 5g, S12**). However,

quantification revealed a modest but significant increase in the number of mitochondrion-

associated apicoplast vesicles in PfAnchor-deficient parasites compared to PfAnchor-

expressing parasites (**Figure 5e**). This suggests that while PfAnchor is not required for vesicle

retention, its depletion may subtly influence the balance between vesicle inheritance and

clearance. Despite this increase, the spatial distribution of apicoplast-like vesicles along the

mitochondrion was unchanged between conditions (**Figure 5f**), reinforcing the conclusion

that PfAnchor does not impact the positioning of retained vesicles. As the mitochondrion

served as a spatial reference for apicoplast vesicle distribution, we quantified mitochondrial

length to ensure that mitochondrial organization remained unchanged in the presence or

absence of PfAnchor. No significant differences in mitochondrial length were observed

between PfAnchor-expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites following azithromycin

treatment (**Figure 5d**), confirming that any differences in apicoplast-like vesicle localization

would not be due to changes in mitochondrial morphology. Together, these data indicate that

mitochondrial association is a key determinant of apicoplast vesicle retention, while

PfAnchor is not required for their inheritance.

PfAnchor interacts with PfDyn2 to facilitate apicoplast fission.

Knockdown of PfAnchor disrupts apicoplast segregation and positioning, yet its

precise molecular function remains unclear. To identify potential interacting partners, we

performed immunoprecipitation (IP) experiments using two PfAnchor-tagged cell lines

(PfAnchor iKD and PfAnchor[Mev] iKD), followed by unbiased mass spectrometry. Parental

untagged (3D7-Cas9) parasites served as a control to distinguish specific interactors from

background proteins. Principal component analysis (PCA) of the mass spectrometry data

confirmed the specificity of PfAnchor-associated interactions, as PfAnchor clustered

distinctly from background interactors (**Figure 6a, Supp Data 2**). Among the most highly

enriched proteins, PfDyn2 emerged as a primary interactor, supporting its role as a key

regulator of apicoplast and mitochondrial fission [23, 24]. In addition to PfDyn2, PCA

identified PfHsp70 (PF3D7_0818900) as a secondary interactor, suggesting a possible role

in stabilizing protein complexes during apicoplast division [53, 54]. Beyond the PCA analysis,

PfAnchor pulldown experiments identified additional interactors uniquely present in the

PfAnchor dataset (**Figure 6c**). Among these, PfActin1 was specifically enriched, consistent

with its established role in cytoskeletal regulation of organelle segregation [47, 55]. PfCinch,

a basal complex protein [56], was also identified, suggesting a possible link between

PfAnchor and cytoskeletal structures involved in organelle positioning. These findings

provide strong evidence that PfAnchor interacts with PfDyn2, reinforcing its involvement in

apicoplast fission. The identification of PfHsp70 as a secondary interactor suggests a

potential chaperone function that may contribute to the stability of the fission complex.
Additionally, the enrichment of PfActin1 and PfCinch supports a possible cytoskeletal role
in apicoplast segregation.

To complement our IP approach and further define the PfAnchor interactome, we
performed proximity-dependent biotin labeling (BioID), followed by mass spectrometry.
BioID enables the identification of proteins in close spatial proximity to PfAnchor, including
transient or membrane-associated interactors. Two independent biological replicates of
BioID with PfAnchor-BirA-HA(**Figure S13**) were performed, with parental untagged parasites
serving as a control to account for background labeling. PCA analysis of BioID mass
spectrometry data demonstrated that PfAnchor clustered distinctly from background
interactors, with PfDyn2 emerging as the most enriched protein in proximity to PfAnchor
(**Figure 6b, Supp Data 3**). This further supports a functional association between PfAnchor
and PfDyn2, consistent with the results of our immunoprecipitation experiments. Additional
proteins detected in the BioID dataset included components of the MCM complex, though
their potential relevance to apicoplast function remains unclear. PfDyn2 was consistently
enriched in both BioID and IP datasets, reinforcing its role as PfAnchor's primary interactor
(**Figure 6d**). This strong association suggests that PfAnchor may act as an adaptor or
regulator in apicoplast fission, potentially recruiting PfDyn2 to the organelle membrane.
Together, these findings support a model in which PfAnchor serves as a key adaptor protein,
bridging cytoskeletal and membrane remodeling factors to facilitate apicoplast fission
(**Figure 6e**).

387   **Discussion**

This study reveals a fundamentally new mechanism of parasite death and identifies
PfAnchor as an essential adaptor that enables apicoplast fission in *Plasmodium falciparum*.
We show that PfAnchor localizes to the apicoplast throughout the intraerythrocytic cycle
and is required for its division and inheritance. Conditional depletion of PfAnchor disrupts
apicoplast fission, resulting in physically tethered merozoites that fail to complete

cytokinesis and cannot invade new red blood cells. This phenotype demonstrates, for the

first time, that failure to divide the apicoplast can directly impair cell division and lead to

same-cycle parasite death. Additionally, we highlight the potential of targeting apicoplast

morphology as a novel strategy for inducing same-cycle parasite death, positioning

PfAnchor as a promising therapeutic target for malaria intervention.

399 PfAnchor's Structural Features and Functional Implications

Alphafold3 predictions and domain analysis suggest that PfAnchor contains a

pleckstrin homology (PH) domain, a common motif for membrane binding. Given that the

apicoplast membrane is enriched in PI3P [57, 58], it is plausible that PfAnchor's PH domain

mediates its localization. Additionally, PfAnchor's N-terminal region contains a hydrophobic

helix, which carries a mutation linked to resistance against actin-polymerization inhibitors

[59]. The co-occurrence of mutations in PfAnchor and profilin (a key actin regulator) in

parasites resistant to MMV020291, an antimalarial that targets actin polymerization,

suggests a functional link between PfAnchor and actin dynamics [59]. Actin dynamics are

increasingly recognized as important for apicoplast segregation, and our identification of

PfActin1 as a PfAnchor interactor supports this idea [47, 55]. In other eukaryotic systems,

profilin-actin interactions regulate fission events by remodeling membrane-associated

cytoskeletal networks [60-62]. By analogy, PfAnchor may facilitate actin polymerization at

the apicoplast fission site, potentially through indirect interactions with profilin. Future

studies should investigate whether targeted disruption of PfAnchor's hydrophobic helix

affects actin-mediated apicoplast segregation.

416 PfAnchor's Role in Organelle Structure and Function

Despite its role in apicoplast fission, PfAnchor is not required for overall apicoplast

biogenesis. Parasites lacking PfAnchor remain viable when the metabolic function of the

apicoplast is bypassed using mevalonate supplementation. Furthermore, treatment with

azithromycin, which eliminates the branched apicoplast structure, rescued the growth
defects of PfAnchor-deficient parasites. These findings confirm that PfAnchor's primary
function is in organelle fission rather than metabolism. Interestingly, while PfAnchor is not
required for other apicoplast functions such as fatty acid synthesis, iron-sulfur cluster
biosynthesis, or heme production, its potential role in isoprenoid precursor synthesis
remains unclear. Inhibition of the MEP pathway using fosmidomycin results in an
unbranched, stagnant apicoplast [13, 14]; however, PfAnchor-deficient parasites still exhibit
normal biogenesis and branching, making a direct role in isoprenoid metabolism unlikely.

Cryo-electron tomography of PfAnchor-deficient and control parasites further
revealed the presence of distinct electron-dense structures within the apicoplast. We
identified two main morphologies: "dense buds," small, localized densities between
membranes, and "enclosed buds," larger invaginated membrane profiles. Similar
membranous profiles—sometimes described as tubular whorls—have been reported in
apicoplasts of *Plasmodium* and *Toxoplasma*, though their functional role remains
unresolved [63-65]. Comparative plastid systems such as etioplasts, also contain
analogous intra-organelle structures, including protein complexes, plastoglobuli, and
tubular arrays involved in membrane remodeling and metabolic scaffolding [66]. The
persistence of these features in the *Plasmodium* apicoplast, independent of PfAnchor
expression, raises intriguing questions about their function in membrane remodeling,
metabolite transport, or structural maintenance of the apicoplast's four-membrane
architecture. Whether these intramembrane structures persist within the apicoplast-like
vesicles remains an open question. Further investigation will be required to determine
whether these features are involved in apicoplast homeostasis, division, or are vestiges of
its photosynthetic ancestry.

Mitochondrion-Apicoplast Tethers and Organelle Inheritance

Our findings provide the first high-resolution evidence that mitochondrion-
associated apicoplast-like vesicles are selectively inherited [67], reinforcing the functional

dependency between these organelles [49, 65]. While most vesicles were excluded from

daughter cells, the retained subset consistently colocalized with mitochondria (**Figures 5,**

S10, S11). This strong spatial association suggests a role for physical tethering between the

apicoplast and mitochondrion in ensuring faithful organelle inheritance. Moreover, the

molecular components mediating this tethering are likely preserved on apicoplast-like

vesicles that are inherited. Consistent with this idea, electron-dense structures at the

interface between the mitochondrion and apicoplast have recently been described by cryo-

ET in *P. falciparum*, suggesting the presence of defined tethering complexes at points of

close membrane contact [65]. While we did not specifically image vesicles in contact with

mitochondria by cryo-ET in this study, it remains an open and important question whether

these dense tethering structures persist in the apicoplast-like vesicles that are inherited

following AZ treatment. Interestingly, PfAnchor depletion resulted in a slight but significant

increase in mitochondrion-associated vesicles (**Figure 5e**), indicating that while PfAnchor is

not required for inheritance, its loss may alter the balance between vesicle retention and

clearance. The nature of the mitochondrion–apicoplast tethers remains to be elucidated,

but it is likely mediated by tethers that help coordinate spatial organization during division.

Are these tethers simple structural linkages, or true membrane contact sites that enable

metabolite exchange or signaling? The role of the cytoskeleton, particularly actin, in

stabilizing these tethers warrants further investigation, as cytoskeletal elements are known

to contribute to apicoplast segregation in *Plasmodium* and other apicomplexans[47, 55].

PfAnchor Interacts with PfDyn2 and the Cytoskeleton

To elucidate the molecular function of PfAnchor, we performed immunoprecipitation

and proximity labeling experiments, which identified PfDyn2 as its primary interacting

partner. PfDyn2 is a dynamin-related GTPase known to mediate apicoplast and

mitochondrial fission [23, 24]. The enrichment of PfDyn2 in both datasets supports a model

in which PfAnchor functions as a dynamin adaptor, likely recruiting PfDyn2 to the apicoplast

membrane to facilitate membrane fission. This is analogous to mitochondrial fission

adaptors in other eukaryotes, which tether dynamin-related proteins to fission sites.
Additionally, we identified interactions between PfAnchor and cytoskeletal components,
including PfActin1 and PfCINCH, suggesting a potential role in coordinating apicoplast
fission with parasite cytoskeletal dynamics [55, 56]. Notably, the basal complex, which
facilitates cytokinesis, may also coordinate organelle fission. Positioned at the parasite
plasma membrane, it could serve as a platform for synchronizing apicoplast and
mitochondrial division with daughter cell separation. Future studies should examine
whether basal complex components, such as PfCINCH, actively remodel membranes or
anchor fission machinery at the apicoplast and mitochondria during schizogony.
Additionally, PfHsp70 (PF3D7_0818900), identified in our interactome analysis, could
stabilize the fission complex, as its homologs in other eukaryotes support mitochondrial and
chloroplast division by assisting in protein complex assembly and function [54, 68].

Finally, we identified PfAnchor via proximal labeling using PfMCMBP, a DNA helicase
that functions during DNA replication [39]. While reciprocal proximity labeling with PfAnchor
as bait confirmed the presence of PfMCMBP and PfMCM5, our immunoprecipitation
experiments did not recover these proteins, suggesting that they are not direct binding
partners of PfAnchor. Instead, their detection in proximity labeling assays likely reflects their
spatial localization within the parasite during schizogony rather than a functional interaction.
The apicoplast and PfAnchor are positioned near the centriolar plaque (CP) immediately
before segmentation (**Figure S6**), and the CP is surrounded by nuclear pore complexes,
indicating active nucleocytoplasmic transport in this region [69]. Given this, it is plausible
that the MCM complex, which shuttles between the cytoplasm and nucleus during DNA
replication, transiently occupies a similar cellular space. While a direct functional
relationship between PfAnchor and the MCM complex remains unlikely, these findings
highlight the complex organization of cellular structures during *P. falciparum* blood-stage
parasites replication and underscore the utility of proximity labeling in capturing transiently
colocalized proteins within the parasite.

PfAnchor in Other Developmental Stages

Although our study establishes PfAnchor's role in blood-stage parasites, its
expression in other life cycle stages suggests broader functions. Transcriptomic data
indicate that PfAnchor is expressed during gametocytogenesis, where male and female
gametocytes harbor a single, non-branching apicoplast [70, 71]. Whether PfAnchor plays a
role in gametocyte development or is dispensable at this stage remains unclear. By contrast,
during mosquito and liver stages, parasites undergo extensive replication, and their
apicoplasts adopt a branching tubular morphology similar to blood stages [72]. This implies
that apicoplast fission must occur to ensure organelle inheritance during liver merozoite and
sporozoite formation. Investigating PfAnchor's function in these stages could reveal
conserved mechanisms of apicoplast division throughout the parasite life cycle and identify
new transmission-blocking targets.

Our findings identify PfAnchor as an essential adaptor linking dynamin-mediated
membrane scission to actin-dependent apicoplast partitioning. In many eukaryotes, the
same dynamin-related protein (such as Yeast Dnm1 or Human Drp1) is recruited to different
organelles via organelle-specific adaptors, enabling functional specificity despite shared
GTPase machinery [25, 26]. Similarly, in *Plasmodium*, the dynamin-related protein Dyn2
mediates fission of both the mitochondrion and the apicoplast (PMID: 39611847). Here, we
demonstrate that PfAnchor is specifically required for apicoplast division but dispensable
for mitochondrial fission, revealing the first known organelle-specific adaptor for dynamin
function in this system. The adaptor responsible for mitochondrial fission in *Plasmodium*
remains to be identified. The selective requirement for PfAnchor in apicoplast division may
reflect the evolutionary origin and complex membrane architecture of this organelle, which
is derived from a red algal endosymbiont and surrounded by four membranes. Importantly,
studying *Plasmodium* and other myxozoans—including dinoflagellates—offers a unique
opportunity to explore how a single dynamin pathway is adapted to remodel and divide
organelles of diverse evolutionary origins within the same cellular environment. While the
apicoplast in Apicomplexa has evolved into a non-photosynthetic, metabolism-focused
organelle essential for parasitism, dinoflagellates retain photosynthetic plastids derived
from the same secondary endosymbiotic event. This divergence provides a powerful

comparative framework to dissect how conserved membrane fission machinery is
repurposed across lineages to accommodate organelle-specific architectures and
functions. Given that apicoplast division is essential for parasite survival and lacks a
counterpart in human cells, targeting PfAnchor or its associated machinery could offer a
promising new avenue for antimalarial strategies. Future studies will be critical to uncover
the molecular composition and regulation of this fission pathway and to understand how
cytoskeletal remodeling and membrane scission are coordinated to ensure faithful
inheritance of the apicoplast.

Materials and Methods

Parasite culture:

The 3D7 *Plasmodium falciparum* strain, obtained from the Walter and Eliza Hall
Institute (Melbourne, Australia) was cultured in human O^+ RBCs at 4% hematocrit in RPMI-
1640 containing 25 mM HEPES, 50 mg/L hypoxanthine, 0.21% sodium bicarbonate, and
0.5% w/v Albumax II [73]. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C while shaking in a gas mixture of
1% O_2, 5% CO_2, and 94% N_2 as previously described The PfAnchor iKD (smV5-Tet
Pf3D7_0613600) and PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD (smV5-Tet Pf3D7_0613600 in PfMev background) cell
lines were maintained under selection of 2.5 nM WR99210 and supplemented with 500 nM
anhydrotetracycline (aTC).

Plasmid generation and transfection:

To generate the PfMCMBP-BirA-HA cell line for proximal labeling, the homology region
of pSAB60 (PfMCMBP-3HADD [39]) was cut with Not1/Xho1 and cloned into our BirA plasmid
(pRR28) [74] to create pSAB99. To generate the PfMCMBP-BirA-HA strain, 100 µg of pSAB99
plasmid was transfected into synchronized ring staged parasites using electroporation.
Upon transfection, stable single crossover parasites were selected by cycling 2.5 nM
WR99210 on and off as previously described [75]. Integration of pSAB99 plasmid into the

genome of 3D7 was confirmed via PCR using primer pairs oSAB504/oJDD44,
oSAB505/oJDD44, and oSAB504/oSAB506 **(Figure S1**).

To create the Pf3D7_0613600-smV5-Tet (PfAnchor iKD) plasmid, the Pf3D7_0613600
5' and 3' homology regions were PCR amplified from 3D7 genomic DNA with
oligonucleotides oJDD4308/4310 and oJDD4307/4309 respectively. The two pieces were
fused together using Sequence Overlap Extension PCR (SOE-PCR) using oJDD4803/4309
and the piece was digested using Not1/Nco1 and ligated onto pRR92 [56] (which contains
the smV5 epitope tag, ten copies of the Tet-aptamer for the TetR-DOZI aptamer knockdown
system, and the expression cassette for human dihydrofolate reductase (hDHFR)) to form
pSAB177. To create Pf3D7_0613600 targeting guide RNA plasmid, oJDD4347/4348 were
annealed, phosphorylated, and ligated into Bpil-digested pRR216 [23] to generate pSAB175.
All oligonucleotide sequences are shown in **Table S1**. To generate the PfAnchor iKD strain,
100 µg of pSAB177 plasmid was linearized with Stu1 and transfected into 3D7-Cas9
parasites, along with 100 µg of pSAB175 and supplemented with 500 nM aTC. 24 hours
following transfection parasites were treated with 5 nM WR99210 for 7 days before
maintaining on 2.5 nM WR99210 and 500 nM aTC until resistant parasites were detected.
Integration of pSAB177 plasmid into the genome of 3D7 was confirmed via PCR using primer
pairs oSAB243/oJDD2933, oSAB367/oSAB2933, oSAB243/oSAB366, and
oSAB367/oSAB366. Primer binding locations and predicted PCR product sizes are listed in
Figure S4a-b.

To generate the PfAnchor[Mev] iKD strain, 350 µL of RBCs were electroporated using the
GenePulser XCell system (Bio-Rad) with 75 µg each of pSAB175 and linearized pSAB177
obtained by digestion with EcoRV. The electroporated RBCs were combined with 1 mL
of PfMev[attB] parasite culture at 3% parasitemia and cultured in 10 mL of CMA (Complete
Medium with Albumax) for two days. Subsequently, the cultures were transferred to selective
medium containing 2.5 nM WR99210 for seven days. Afterward, the cultures were returned
to CMA until parasites were detected on blood smears, at which point WR99210 was
reintroduced to the medium. The transgenic parasite culture was cloned by limiting dilution.
Clones were screened for an intact aptamer array via PCR amplification using primers

oSAB249 and oJDD44. Clone F3 was selected for further experiments (**Figure S14**).
Integration of pSAB177 plasmid into the genome of NF54-PfMev parasites [45] was
confirmed via PCR using primer pairs oSAB243/oJDD2933, oSAB367/oSAB2933,
oSAB243/oSAB366, and oSAB367/oSAB366. Primer binding locations and predicted PCR
product size found in **Figure S4a, c**.

To generate the PfAnchor-BirA-HA proximal labeling plasmid, homology region from
pSAB177 was amplified using oSAB356/358 and the piece was digested using Not1/Xho1
and ligated into pSAB99 to create pSAB233. To generate the PfAnchor-BirA-HA strain, 100 μg
of pSAB233 plasmid was linearized with Stu1 and transfected into 3D7-Cas9, along with 100
μg of pSAB175. 24 hours following transfection parasites were treated with 5 nM WR99210
for 7 days before maintaining on 2.5 nM WR99210 until resistant parasites were detected.
Integration of pSAB233 plasmid into the genome of 3D7 was confirmed via PCR using primer
pairs oSAB243/oJDD44, oSAB243/oSAB366, oSAB367/oJDD44, and oSAB367/oSAB366.
Primer binding locations and predicted PCR product size found in **Figure S13**. All parasite
lines used in this study are listed in **Table S2**.

Growth analysis:

For parasite growth analysis, parasite cultures were synchronized at the schizont
stage by either density centrifugation using 60% Percoll PLUS or by magnetic separation
using MACs columns, incubated at 37 °C for 2-3 hours with fresh erythrocytes, and then
newly invaded ring stage parasites were purified by treatment with 5% w/v sorbitol. In three
biological replicates, cultures were plated and incubated at 37 °C at 4% hematocrit in the
presence or absence of aTC. Over the course of 96 hours (2 intraerythrocytic cycles)
timepoints were taken at 0, 48, and 96 hours and the parasitemia was determined by
counting a minimum of 400 RBCs.

Sodium carbonate extraction:

For the sodium carbonate extraction assay, the protocol from Liffner *et al* was used
[76]. Saponin-lysed parasite pellets from 10 mL of high parasitemia late schizonts (40-48 hpi)
were resuspended in 100 μL of Milli-Q water and snap-frozen using liquid nitrogen four times

before passing through a 28 gauge needle. Samples were centrifuged for 10 minutes at
18,000 x g at 4 °C, with the supernatant reserved as the hypotonic (water soluble) sample.
The pellet was washed twice with 500 µL Milli-Q water and once with 500 µL 1x PBS before
the pellet was resuspended in 100 µL 0.1 M sodium carbonate (Na_2CO_3) and incubated in ice
for 30 minutes. The sample was centrifuged at 18,000 x g at 4 °C for 5 minutes and the
supernatant was reserved as the sodium carbonate (peripheral membrane) sample. The
remaining pellet was washed 3 times in 100 µL 1x PBS before resuspending the pellet in 100
µL ice cold 0.1% Triton X-100/PBS and incubated for 30 minutes on ice. Samples were
centrifuged at 18,000 x g at 4 °C and the supernatant was reserved before the pellet was
washed and resuspended in 100 µL 1x PBS. Samples were analyzed by western blotting.

Proteinase K protection:

For Proteinase K protection assay, the protocol from Liffner *et al* was used [76]. 3x 10
mL cultures of schizonts (36-48 hpi) were lysed with 0.15% saponin/PBS-protease inhibitors
(Sigma S8820-20 TAB, 1 tab for 100 mL PBS) for 10 minutes before centrifuging at 18,000 x g
for 10 minutes at 4 °C. Pellets were washed 3x in 1x PBS-protease inhibitors before further
treatment. Three treatments were performed: one tube treated with 250 µL SOTE buffer (0.6
M Sorbitol, 20 mM Tris HCl, 2 mM EDTA) alone. A second tube was treated with 250 µL 0.02%
w/v digitonin in SOTE for 10 minutes on ice before centrifuging at 800 x g for 10 minutes at 4
°C. The resulting pellet was washed once with SOTE buffer. A third tube of sample was
treated with digitonin followed by treatment with 0.1 mg/mL Proteinase K in SOTE for 30
minutes on ice. The sample was then centrifuged at 18,000 x g for 10 minutes at 4 °C before
inactivating the Proteinase K by treatment of the pellet with 100 µL of 5 mM PMSF
(ThermoFisher #36978) in SOTE buffer for 10 minutes on ice. Samples were analyzed by
western blotting.

Western Blot:

Protein samples were collected by saponin lysis with 0.15% w/v saponin/PBS-
protease inhibitors for 10 minutes on ice, parasite material was pelleted by centrifugation
before washing 3x with PBS-protease inhibitors. Parasite pellets were resuspended in

Laemmli sample buffer + 5% v/v β-mercaptoethanol (Aldrich), heated at 37 °C for 1 hour with
vortexing every 30 minutes, and separated by size using BioRad 4-20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX
stain free gels (BioRad Cat. #4568095) at 200 V for 40 minutes. Proteins were transferred to
a nitrocellulose membrane using a BioRad Transblot Turbo Transfer System with the
MixedMW setting (25 V, 1.3 A, 7 minutes) before blocking using 1:5 BioRad EveryBlot Blocking
Buffer (BioRad Cat. #12010020): PBS for 30 minutes at room temperature. Membranes were
incubated in primary antibodies (listed in Table S3) overnight at 4 °C, washed 3x in 1x TBS+
0.01% Tween20 before incubating with secondary antibodies (listed in Table S3) at room
temperature for 45 minutes. Western Blots were visualized using a BioRad ChemiDocMP
Imaging system. Western blot quantification was done using BioRad Image Lab V6.1
software.

Live microscopy:

Glass bottomed microscopy dishes (Cellvis D35-20-1.5-N) were coated with 0.5
mg/mL concanavalin A (Sigma, C0412-5MG) solution for 1 hour at 37 °C before 3x washes
with Milli-Q water. Synchronous late stage +aTC or -aTC parasites were purified by MACs
columns and treated with compound 1 [46] before being applied to the glass bottomed
dishes and incubated for 3 hours at 37 °C to allow parasites to adhere. After 3 hours samples
were washed with 1 mL complete phenol-red-free RPMI. Brightfield and fluorescent images
were taken every 0.5-4.5 seconds for 30 minutes per sample using a Leica DMI6000 B
microscope and a 63x objective with a numerical aperture of 1.4.

Ultrastructure Expansion Microscopy (U-ExM) :

12 mm round coverslips (Fisher, Cat# NC1129240) were treated with poly-D-lysine for
1 hour at 37 °C, washed twice with MilliQ water, and placed in the wells of a 24 well plate.
Five hundred uL of parasite cultures adjusted to roughly 1% hematocrit was added to the
wells containing a coverslip. Samples were allowed to settle for 30 minutes at 37 °C before
culture supernatant was removed and 500 μL of 4% v/v PFA in 1x PBS was gently added along
the side of the well and incubated at 37 °C for 20 minutes. Coverslips were washed once with
1x PBS before being treated with 500 μL of 1.4% v/v formaldehyde/2% v/v acrylamide (FA/AA)

in PBS overnight at 37 °C. Gelation, denaturation, staining, and expansion of gels were

performed as previously described [21]. Stained gels were imaged using a Zeiss LSM900

AxioObserver with an Airyscan 2 detector. Images were taken using a 63x Plan-Apochromat

objective lens with a numerical aperture of 1.4. All antibodies and their dilutions used in

this study are listed in **Table S3**.

Cryo-Electron Tomography

Sample preparation

PfAnchor iKD parasites were grown and synchronized as described above. Late

schizont pellets were obtained via percoll purification and allowed to incubate in 5 mL of

media with 2.5 uM Compound 1 [46] for 3 hours. Parasites were chemically fixed with 4%

PFA/0.01% glutaraldehyde for 20 minutes before resuspending in PBS prior to cryo-electron

tomography.

Cell vitrification:

Quantifoil Cu R2/1 grids were glow-discharged for 1 minute at 25 mA using an

EmiTech K100X glow discharger (EMS). 4 µL of PfAnchor iKD *Compound 1 arrested* parasites

grew in presence or absence of aTC (previously fixed with 4% PFA/glutamate PBS solution)

cell culture were applied in 4 µL to each grid, manually back-blotted on one side (22°C and

100% humidity) for 3-4 seconds, and plunge-frozen in a 1:1 mixture of liquid ethane and

propane using a Vitrobot Mk IV (ThermoFisher). Plunge-frozen grids were stored in liquid

nitrogen.

Cryo-FIB Milling:

Cryo-FIB milling was performed using an Aquilos 2, a cryo-dedicated DualBeam

microscope (ThermoFisher). Cryo-grids were sputter coated for 10 seconds, followed by a

layer of organic platinum deposited by the gas injection system for 15 seconds, followed by

another 10 seconds of sputter coating. Cell clusters were milled to generate lamella at ~150-

200 nm thickness, using 0.1-0.3 nA ion beam current for rough milling and 30-50 pA for final

polishing.

Cryo-ET:

Cryo-FIB milled lamella grids were transferred to a Titan Krios 3Gi microscope (ThermoFisher, FEI), equipped with a 300 kV field emission gun, a Selectris energy filter and Falcon 4i direct electron detector. Tilt series were collected using Tomo5 software (ThermoFisher) at 42,000x magnification (2.9 Å pixel size) and the energy filter at 20.0 eV slit width. Tilt ranges were adjusted for each acquisition site to the minimum and maximum tilt angles between -60° and +60° that encompassed the feature of interest. Tilt series were acquired in 3° increments with each tilt image recorded over 5 movie frames at defocus values between -4 to -8 μm. The total dose applied for each tilt series was ~100 e-/Å^2.

Tomogram Reconstruction and Analysis:

Movie frames for each tilt series were motion corrected using MotionCor2 through Relion 3.1 using 5x5 patches. Motion-corrected tilt series were generated using EMAN2. Tilt series alignment, tomogram reconstruction, and CTF estimation were performed using the automated EMAN2 pipeline. Feature segmentation was performed using neural network training in EMAN2 or manually using IMOD 3dmod. Tomogram features were visualized and analyzed using ChimeraX.

Mevalonate bypass experiments

Azithromycin treatment:

To generate PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD parasites with disrupted apicoplasts, Clone F3 parasites were treated with 100 nM azithromycin (1× IC$_{50}$) (Sigma PZ0007) for one week, with continuous supplementation of 50 μM mevalonate (Sigma M4667). The untreated parental Anchor knockdown line served as a positive control for apicoplast genome detection (Table S1).

Measurement of parasite growth:

To assess the dependence of apicoplast-intact and apicoplast-disrupted PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD parasites on mevalonate for survival, asynchronous parasites were washed three times

with 10 mL of CMA to remove residual mevalonate and aTC. They were seeded in a 96-well
plate at an initial parasitemia of 0.5% and a hematocrit of 2%, with a total volume of 200 µL
per well. The parasites were then cultured under four conditions, with each condition tested
in quadruplicate: (1) CMA with 50 µM mevalonate and aTC, (2) CMA with 50 µM mevalonate,
(3) CMA with aTC, and (4) CMA alone. Cultures were incubated at 37°C in chambers gassed
with 94% N_2, 3% O_2, and 3% CO_2. Every other day, 10 µL of each sample was collected,
diluted 1:10 in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and stored in a 96-well plate at 4°C. To
prevent overgrowth, cultures were simultaneously diluted 1:8.

For growth curve determination, parasite samples were analyzed by flow cytometry
over two intervals: days 0 to 4 and days 5 to 8. On day 4, 10 µL of each diluted sample was
transferred to a new 96-well plate containing 100 µL of 1× SYBR Green (Invitrogen) in PBS per
well. The plates were incubated at room temperature in the dark with gentle shaking for 30
minutes. After staining, 150 µL of PBS was added to each well to dilute excess dye. The
samples were analyzed using an Attune Nxt flow cytometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a
50-µL acquisition volume, a flow rate of 25 µL/min, and a total collection of 10,000 events
per sample. The same protocol was repeated on day 8 for the second set of samples
collected on days 6 and 8.

Analysis of apicoplast genome

To assess the integrity of the apicoplast genome after treatment with azithromycin,
PfAnchor[Mev] iKD parasites were grown for 5 days in the presence of 100 nM azithromycin and
50 µM mevalonate before aTC washout. Genomic DNA was extracted using QIAmp DNA
blood mini kit (Qiagen, #51106) every two days up to day eight. Control samples from
PfAnchor[Mev] iKD not treated with azithromycin were also collected. PCR analysis was
performed using primers oSAB484/oSAB485 (GAPDH, nuclear DNA), oSAB486/oSAB487
(tufa, apicoplast DNA), and oSAB488/oSAB489 (cytb3, mitochondrion DNA) as previously
described [77].

Immunoprecipitation and proximal labeling

Sample preparation

Protein extraction for both immunoprecipitation (IP) and BioID experiments was
performed using the same lysis procedure. Parasites were cultured at 2% hematocrit and 4–
5% parasitemia before harvesting. Red blood cells were lysed using 0.05% saponin in PBS
supplemented with protease inhibitors (SigmaFast, Sigma S8820-20TAB) and incubated on
ice for 10 minutes. Parasites were washed three times with ice-cold PBS + protease
inhibitors by centrifugation at 10,000 g for 5 minutes at 4°C. The resulting pellets were
resuspended in 1 mL PBS + protease inhibitors, centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 2 minutes at 4
°C, and stored at -80 °C or processed immediately. Parasite pellets were lysed in 1 mL RIPA
buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.1%
SDS) supplemented with protease inhibitors. Samples were incubated on a rotator at 4 °C
for 30 minutes. To ensure efficient lysis, three rounds of sonication (30 seconds at 20
amplitude) were performed on ice, with 3-minute rest intervals between pulses. Lysates
were cleared by centrifugation at 15,000 g for 30 minutes at 4 °C, and the supernatant was
transferred to a fresh tube. For the MCMBP-BioID experiments, soluble and insoluble
fractions were processed separately using the same protocol described in our previous
study [39]. For immunoprecipitation, 50 µL of anti-V5 magnetic beads (Thermo, #88816) per
sample were washed twice with 1 mL RIPA buffer and incubated with the cleared lysates
overnight at 4 °C with constant rotation. Beads were collected using a magnetic stand,
washed three times with 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate buffer, and resuspended for on-
bead digestion and mass spectrometry analysis. For BioID, 50 µL of streptavidin magnetic
beads (Thermo, #88816) were used instead of V5 magnetic beads. After overnight
incubation, beads were washed following the same procedure as in IP before processing for
mass spectrometry analysis.

On bead digests:

After washing, beads were covered with 8 M Urea, 100 mM Tris hydrochloride, pH 8.5,
reduced with 5 mM tris (2-carboxyethyl) phosphine hydrochloride (TCEP, Sigma-Aldrich Cat
No: C4706) for 30 minutes at room temperature to reduce the disulfide bonds. The resulting
free cysteine thiols were alkylated using 10 mM choloracetamide (CAA, Sigma Aldrich Cat
No: C0267) for 30 minutes at RT, protected from light. Samples were diluted to 2 M Urea with

50 mM Tris pH 8.5 and proteolytic digestion was carried out with Trypsin/LysC Gold (0.4 µg,

Mass Spectrometry grade, Promega Corporation Cat No: V5072) overnight at 35 °C. After

digestion, samples were quenched with 0.4% trifluoroacetic acid (v/v, Fluka Cat No: 91699).

Samples were either injected onto a trap column followed by analytical column or first

subjected to a solid phase extraction clean up on Pierce C18 spin columns (Cat No 87870).

Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry:

Approximately 1/15th of each IP sample was loaded onto a 5 cm C18 trap column

Acclaim™ PepMap™ 100 (3 µm particle size, 75 µm diameter; Thermo Scientific, Cat No:

164946) followed by a 25 cm EASY-Spray column (Thermo Scientific, Cat No: ES902) and

analyzed using a Q-Exactive Plus mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) operated in

positive ion mode. Solvent B was increased from 5%-35% over 100 min, to 90% over 2 min,

back to 3% over 2 minutes (Solvent A: 95% water, 5% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid; Solvent

B: 100% acetonitrile, 0.1% formic acid). A data dependent top 15 method was used with MS

scan range of 350-2000 m/z, resolution of 70,000, automatic gain control (AGC) target 3e6,

maximum injection time (IT) of 200 ms. MS2 resolution of 17,500, scan range of 200-2000

m/z, normalized collision energy of 30, isolation window of 4 m/z, target AGC of 1e5, and

maximum IT of 150 ms. Dynamic exclusion of 10 sec, charge exclusion of 1, 7, 8, >8 and

isotopic exclusion parameters were used. For samples post C18 cleanup, after drying in a

speed vacuum, samples were resuspended in 25 µL 0.1 % FA. Approximately 1/5th of each

sample was then injected using an EasyNano1200 LC coupled to an Exploris 480 orbitrap

mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific)). Solvent B (80% Acetonitrile, 0.1 % FA) was

increased from 8-35 % over 90 minutes, increased from 35-65 % over 15 min, increased to

85% over 5 min, held at 85% for 5 min, and decreased to 4% over 5 min. The mass

spectrometer was operated in positive ion mode, advanced peak determination on, default

charge state of 2 and user defined lock mass of 445.12003. 4 second cycle time was used

with MS1 parameters of scan range 375-1500 m/z: orbitrap resolution of 60,000, standard

AGC, automatic max IT, and RF lens of 40%. Monoisotopic peak determination was set to

peptide with a minimum intensity filter of 5e3, charge state filter of 2-7, and dynamic

exclusion of 30 s with 5 ppm mass tolerance. MS2 parameters included an isolation window

of 4 m/z, normalized high energy dissociation energy of 30 %, orbitrap resolution of 15,000,

user defined first mass of 110 m/z, standard AGC target and auto max IT. Data were recorded

using Tune application 4.2.362.42.

Data analysis:

Data were analyzed using Proteome Discoverer 2.5.0.400 (Thermo Fisher Scientific).

Plasmodium falciparum 3D7 and *Homo sapiens* reference proteome databases

(downloaded from Uniprot on 04/06/2021 with 5381 sequences and on 05/13/2022 with

78806 sequences respectively), plus common laboratory contaminants (73 sequences)

were searched using SEQUEST HT. Precursor mass tolerance was set to 10 ppm and

fragment mass tolerance set at 0.02 Da with a maximum of 3 missed cleavages. A maximum

of 3 modifications were allowed per peptide. Dynamic modifications included methionine

oxidation; phosphorylation on serine, threonine, and tyrosine; dynamic protein terminus

modifications were acetylation, met-loss, and met-loss plus acetylation. Static

modifications were carbamidomethylation on cysteines. Percolator false discovery rate

(FDR) filtration of 1% was applied to both the peptide-spectrum match and protein levels.

Search results were loaded into Scaffold Q + S Software (version 5.2.2, Proteome Software,

Inc) for visualization.

Data availability

Raw and processed mass spectrometry data have been uploaded to ProteomeXchange
with accession PXD062126.

Author contributions

James Blauwkamp: Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Writing -
Original Draft, Writing - Review & Editing, Visualization.

Krithika Rajaram: Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Visualization, Review &
Editing

Sophia R. Staggers: Methodology, Investigation, Data curation, Visualization, Review &
Editing.

Oliver Harrigan: Methodology**,** Data curation.

Emma H. Doud: Methodology, Formal analysis Data curation, Review & Editing

Sean T. Prigge: Review & Editing, Funding Acquisition.

Stella Y. Sun: Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data curation, Resources,

Review & Editing, Supervision**.**

Sabrina Absalon: Conceptualization, Methodology, Formal analysis, Investigation, Data

curation, Visualization, Resources, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project

administration, Funding Acquisition.

Acknowledgements

We thank Michael Holmes and Anat Florentin for insightful discussions and review of the

manuscript. We thank BEI Resources (NIAID, NIH), the European Malaria Reagent

Repository, and Vasant Muralidharan for the provision of antibodies. This research made use

of PlasmoDB, a VEuPathDB resource [78]. This research was supported by Grant No.

2023198 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) and was made

possible by an award from the Indiana University School of Medicine (S.A., BRG award no.

EPAR2216). The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily

represent the official views of the Indiana University School of Medicine. This research was

also supported by S.T.P. from the National Institutes of Health (R01 AI125534), the Johns

Hopkins Malaria Research Institute, and Bloomberg Philanthropies, with S.T.P. as principal

investigator. The mass spectrometry work performed in this work was done by the Indiana

University School of Medicine Proteomics Core and supported by Start-up funds from S.A.

Acquisition of the IUSM Proteomics core instrumentation used for this project was provided

by the Indiana University Precision Health Initiative**.** The proteomics work was supported, in

part, by the Indiana Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute (funded in part by Award

Number UL1TR002529 from the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing

Translational Sciences, Clinical and Translational Sciences Award) and, in part, by the IU

Simon Comprehensive Cancer Center Support Grant (Award Number P30CA082709 from

the National Cancer Institute). The Pittsburgh Center for CryoEM (RRID:SCR_025216) used

for data collection in this project was supported, in part, by the University of Pittsburgh, the

School of Medicine, the Department of Structural Biology, and the National Institutes of

Health (grants S10-OD-019995 and S10-OD-025009).

References:

1. Burki, F., et al., *The New Tree of Eukaryotes*. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 2020.
35(1): p. 43-55.

2. Collier, S.L., et al., *Modes and mechanisms for the inheritance of mitochondria and*
plastids in pathogenic protists. PLOS Pathogens, 2025. **21**(1): p. e1012835.

3. Lewis, W.H., et al., *Plastid translocon recycling in dinoflagellates demonstrates the*
portability of complex plastids between hosts. Current Biology, 2024. **34**(23): p.
5494-5506.e3.

4. Gray, M.W., *The endosymbiont hypothesis revisited*. Int Rev Cytol, 1992. **141**: p. 340,
221-242.

5. Gould, S.B., R.F. Waller, and G.I. McFadden, *Plastid evolution*. Annu Rev Plant Biol,
2008. **59**: p. 491-517.

6. Goodman, C.D., H.D. Buchanan, and G.I. McFadden, *Is the Mitochondrion a Good*
Malaria Drug Target? Trends in Parasitology, 2017. **33**(3): p. 185-193.

7. Low, L.M., D.I. Stanisic, and M.F. Good, *Exploiting the apicoplast: apicoplast-*
targeting drugs and malaria vaccine development. Microbes and Infection, 2018.
20(9): p. 477-483.

8. Organization, W.H., *World Malaria Report 2023*. 2023. 1-356.

9. Gerald, N., B. Mahajan, and S. Kumar, *Mitosis in the human malaria parasite*
Plasmodium falciparum. Eukaryotic cell, 2011. **10**(4): p. 474-482.

10. Köhler, S., et al., *A Plastid of Probable Green Algal Origin in Apicomplexan Parasites*.
Science, 1997. **275**(5305): p. 1485-1489.

11. Janouškovec, J., et al., *A common red algal origin of the apicomplexan,*
dinoflagellate, and heterokont plastids. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences, 2010. **107**(24): p. 10949-10954.

12. Fast, N.M., et al., *Nuclear-Encoded, Plastid-Targeted Genes Suggest a Single*
Common Origin for Apicomplexan and Dinoflagellate Plastids. Molecular Biology
and Evolution, 2001. **18**(3): p. 418-426.

13. Yeh, E. and J.L. DeRisi, *Chemical Rescue of Malaria Parasites Lacking an Apicoplast*
Defines Organelle Function in Blood-Stage Plasmodium falciparum. PLOS Biology,
2011. **9**(8): p. e1001138.

14. Okada, M., et al., *Critical role for isoprenoids in apicoplast biogenesis by malaria*
parasites. eLife, 2022. **11**: p. e73208.

15. Kennedy, K., et al., *Delayed death in the malaria parasite Plasmodium falciparum is
caused by disruption of prenylation-dependent intracellular trafficking*. PLOS
Biology, 2019. **17**(7): p. e3000376.
16. Swift, R.P., et al., *Dephospho-CoA kinase, a nuclear-encoded apicoplast protein,
remains active and essential after Plasmodium falciparum apicoplast disruption*.
Embo j, 2021. **40**(16): p. e107247.
17. Ralph, S.A., et al., *Metabolic maps and functions of the Plasmodium falciparum
apicoplast*. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2004. **2**(3): p. 203-216.
18. Buchanan, H.D., C.D. Goodman, and G.I. McFadden, *Roles of the apicoplast across
the life cycles of rodent and human malaria parasites*. J Eukaryot Microbiol, 2022.
69(6): p. e12947.
19. Gisselberg, J.E., et al., *The suf iron-sulfur cluster synthesis pathway is required for
apicoplast maintenance in malaria parasites*. PLoS Pathog, 2013. **9**(9): p. e1003655.
20. Waller, R.F., et al., *Protein trafficking to the plastid of Plasmodium falciparum is via
the secretory pathway*. Embo j, 2000. **19**(8): p. 1794-802.
21. Liffner, B., et al., *Atlas of Plasmodium falciparum intraerythrocytic development
using expansion microscopy*. 2023, eLife Sciences Publications, Ltd.
22. Rudlaff, R.M., et al., *Three-dimensional ultrastructure of Plasmodium falciparum
throughout cytokinesis*. PLOS Pathogens, 2020. **16**(6): p. e1008587.
23. Morano Alexander, A., et al., *The dynamin-related protein PfDyn2 is essential for
both apicoplast and mitochondrial fission in Plasmodium falciparum*. mBio, 2024.
16(1): p. e03036-24.
24. Thakur, V., et al., *A dynamin-like protein in Plasmodium falciparum plays an
essential role in parasite growth, mitochondrial development and homeostasis
during asexual blood stages*. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell
Research, 2025: p. 119940.
25. Bui, H.T. and J.M. Shaw, *Dynamin assembly strategies and adaptor proteins in
mitochondrial fission*. Curr Biol, 2013. **23**(19): p. R891-9.
26. Koirala, S., et al., *Interchangeable adaptors regulate mitochondrial dynamin
assembly for membrane scission*. Proceedings of the National Academy of
Sciences, 2013. **110**(15): p. E1342-E1351.
27. Zerihun, M., S. Sukumaran, and N. Qvit, *The Drp1-Mediated Mitochondrial Fission
Protein Interactome as an Emerging Core Player in Mitochondrial Dynamics and
Cardiovascular Disease Therapy*. Int J Mol Sci, 2023. **24**(6).
28. Gandre-Babbe, S. and A.M. van der Bliek, *The novel tail-anchored membrane
protein Mff controls mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission in mammalian cells*. Mol
Biol Cell, 2008. **19**(6): p. 2402-12.
29. Palmer, C.S., et al., *MiD49 and MiD51, new components of the mitochondrial fission
machinery*. EMBO Rep, 2011. **12**(6): p. 565-73.
30. Stojanovski, D., et al., *Levels of human Fis1 at the mitochondrial outer membrane
regulate mitochondrial morphology*. Journal of Cell Science, 2004. **117**(7): p. 1201-
1210.
31. Zhao, J., et al., *Human MIEF1 recruits Drp1 to mitochondrial outer membranes and
promotes mitochondrial fusion rather than fission*. Embo j, 2011. **30**(14): p. 2762-78.

32. Griffin, E.E., J. Graumann, and D.C. Chan, *The WD40 protein Caf4p is a component*
of the mitochondrial fission machinery and recruits Dnm1p to mitochondria. J Cell
Biol, 2005. **170**(2): p. 237-48.

33. Mozdy, A.D., J.M. McCaffery, and J.M. Shaw, *Dnm1p GTPase-mediated*
mitochondrial fission is a multi-step process requiring the novel integral membrane
component Fis1p. J Cell Biol, 2000. **151**(2): p. 367-80.

34. Tieu, Q. and J. Nunnari, *Mdv1p is a WD repeat protein that interacts with the*
dynamin-related GTPase, Dnm1p, to trigger mitochondrial division. J Cell Biol, 2000.
151(2): p. 353-66.

35. Jacobs, K., R. Charvat, and G. Arrizabalaga, *Identification of Fis1 Interactors in*
Toxoplasma gondii Reveals a Novel Protein Required for Peripheral Distribution of
the Mitochondrion. mBio, 2020. **11**(1).

36. Oliveira Souza, R.O., et al., *IMC10 and LMF1 mediate mitochondrial morphology*
through mitochondrion-pellicle contact sites in Toxoplasma gondii. J Cell Sci, 2022.
135(22).

37. Maruthi, M., et al., *Dispensable Role of Mitochondrial Fission Protein 1 (Fis1) in the*
Erythrocytic Development of Plasmodium falciparum. mSphere, 2020. **5**(5).

38. Ganesan, S.M., et al., *Synthetic RNA–protein modules integrated with native*
translation mechanisms to control gene expression in malaria parasites. 2016. **7**(1):
p. 10727.

39. Absalon, S. and J.D. Dvorin, *Depletion of the mini-chromosome maintenance*
complex binding protein allows the progression of cytokinesis despite abnormal
karyokinesis during the asexual development of Plasmodium falciparum. Cell
Microbiol, 2021. **23**(3): p. e13284.

40. Jumper, J., et al., *Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold*.
Nature, 2021. **596**(7873): p. 583-589.

41. Holm, L., *Dali server: structural unification of protein families*. Nucleic Acids
Research, 2022. **50**(W1): p. W210-W215.

42. Behrens, H.M. and T. Spielmann, *Identification of domains in Plasmodium*
falciparum proteins of unknown function using DALI search on AlphaFold
predictions. Sci Rep, 2024. **14**(1): p. 10527.

43. Viswanathan, S., et al., *High-performance probes for light and electron microscopy*.
Nat Methods, 2015. **12**(6): p. 568-76.

44. Chappell, L., et al., *Refining the transcriptome of the human malaria parasite*
Plasmodium falciparum using amplification-free RNA-seq. BMC Genomics, 2020.
21(1): p. 395.

45. Swift, R.P., et al., *A mevalonate bypass system facilitates elucidation of plastid*
biology in malaria parasites. PLOS Pathogens, 2020. **16**(2): p. e1008316.

46. Taylor, H.M., et al., *The malaria parasite cyclic GMP-dependent protein kinase plays*
a central role in blood-stage schizogony. Eukaryot Cell, 2010. **9**(1): p. 37-45.

47. Das, S., et al., *Multiple essential functions of Plasmodium falciparum actin-1 during*
malaria blood-stage development. BMC Biology, 2017. **15**(1): p. 70.

48.   Michal, S., et al., *Organelle Development and Inheritance are Driven by Independent*
995   *Nuclear and Organellar Mechanisms in Malaria Parasites*. bioRxiv, 2025: p.
996   2025.03.06.641809.

997   49.   Verhoef, J.M.J., et al., *Detailing organelle division and segregation in Plasmodium*
998   *falciparum*. Journal of Cell Biology, 2024. **223**(12): p. e202406064.

50.   Gurnett, A.M., et al., *Purification and molecular characterization of cGMP-*
dependent protein kinase from Apicomplexan parasites. A novel chemotherapeutic
target. J Biol Chem, 2002. **277**(18): p. 15913-22.

51.   Dahl, E.L., et al., *Tetracyclines specifically target the apicoplast of the malaria*
parasite Plasmodium falciparum. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2006. **50**(9): p.
3124-31.

52.   Sidhu, A.B.S., et al., *In Vitro Efficacy, Resistance Selection, and Structural Modeling*
Studies Implicate the Malarial Parasite Apicoplast as the Target of Azithromycin*.
Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2007. **282**(4): p. 2494-2504.

53.   Elwi, A.N., et al., *Mitochondrial chaperone DnaJA3 induces Drp1-dependent*
mitochondrial fragmentation. Int J Biochem Cell Biol, 2012. **44**(8): p. 1366-76.

54.   Barth, J., T. Schach, and J.M. Przyborski, *HSP70 and their co-chaperones in the*
human malaria parasite P. falciparum and their potential as drug targets. Frontiers in
Molecular Biosciences, 2022. **9**.

55.   Stortz, J.F., et al., *Formin-2 drives polymerisation of actin filaments enabling*
segregation of apicoplasts and cytokinesis in Plasmodium falciparum. eLife, 2019.
8: p. e49030.

56.   Rudlaff, R.M., et al., *An essential contractile ring protein controls cell division in*
Plasmodium falciparum. Nat Commun, 2019. **10**(1): p. 2181.

57.   Singh, N., et al., *Redefining the specificity of phosphoinositide-binding by human PH*
domain-containing proteins. Nature Communications, 2021. **12**(1): p. 4339.

58.   Tawk, L., et al., *Phosphatidylinositol 3-phosphate, an essential lipid in Plasmodium,*
localizes to the food vacuole membrane and the apicoplast. Eukaryot Cell, 2010.
9(10): p. 1519-30.

59.   Dans, M.G., et al., *Sulfonylpiperazine compounds prevent Plasmodium falciparum*
invasion of red blood cells through interference with actin-1/profilin dynamics. PLoS
Biol, 2023. **21**(4): p. e3002066.

60.   Gareus, R., et al., *Mouse Profilin 2 Regulates Endocytosis and Competes with SH3*
Ligand Binding to Dynamin 1 *. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2006. **281**(5): p.
2803-2811.

61.   Velle, K.B., et al., *Actin network evolution as a key driver of eukaryotic*
diversification. Journal of Cell Science, 2024. **137**(15): p. jcs261660.

62.   Witke, W., et al., *In mouse brain profilin I and profilin II associate with regulators of*
the endocytic pathway and actin assembly. Embo j, 1998. **17**(4): p. 967-76.

63.   Hopkins, J., et al., *The plastid in Plasmodium falciparum asexual blood stages: a*
three-dimensional ultrastructural analysis. Protist, 1999. **150**(3): p. 283-95.

64.   Lim, L. and G.I. McFadden, *The evolution, metabolism and functions of the*
apicoplast. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 2010. **365**(1541): p. 749-63.

65. Sun, S.Y., et al., *Cryogenic electron tomography reveals novel structures in the apical complex of Plasmodium falciparum*. mBio, 2024. **15**(4): p. e0286423.

66. Floris, D. and W. Kühlbrandt, *Molecular landscape of etioplast inner membranes in higher plants*. Nat Plants, 2021. **7**(4): p. 514-523.

67. Xu, W., et al., *Inheritance of the genome-less apicoplast in the "apicoplast-minus" Plasmodium falciparum*. bioRxiv, 2025.

68. Shonhai, A., A. Boshoff, and G.L. Blatch, *The structural and functional diversity of Hsp70 proteins from Plasmodium falciparum*. Protein Science, 2007. **16**(9): p. 1803-1818.

69. Blauwkamp, J., et al., *Nuclear pore complexes undergo Nup221 exchange during blood-stage asexual replication of Plasmodium parasites*. mSphere, 2024. **9**(12): p. e00750-24.

70. Lasonder, E., et al., *Integrated transcriptomic and proteomic analyses of P. falciparum gametocytes: molecular insight into sex-specific processes and translational repression*. Nucleic Acids Res, 2016. **44**(13): p. 6087-101.

71. López-Barragán, M.J., et al., *Directional gene expression and antisense transcripts in sexual and asexual stages of Plasmodium falciparum*. BMC Genomics, 2011. **12**: p. 587.

72. Frischknecht, F. and K. Matuschewski, *Plasmodium Sporozoite Biology*. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med, 2017. **7**(5).

73. Trager, W. and J.B. Jensen, *Human Malaria Parasites in Continuous Culture*. Science, 1976. **193**(4254): p. 673-675.

74. Gurung, P., P. McGee James, and D. Dvorin Jeffrey, *PfCAP-H is essential for assembly of condensin I complex and karyokinesis during asexual proliferation of Plasmodium falciparum*. mBio, 2024. **15**(5): p. e02850-23.

75. Absalon, S., J.A. Robbins, and J.D. Dvorin, *An essential malaria protein defines the architecture of blood-stage and transmission-stage parasites*. 2016. **7**(1): p. 11449.

76. Liffner, B., et al., *PfCERLI1 is a conserved rhoptry associated protein essential for Plasmodium falciparum merozoite invasion of erythrocytes*. Nature Communications, 2020. **11**(1): p. 1411.

77. Pasaje, C.F.A., et al., *Selective inhibition of apicoplast tryptophanyl-tRNA synthetase causes delayed death in Plasmodium falciparum*. Scientific Reports, 2016. **6**(1): p. 27531.

78. Amos, B., et al., *VEuPathDB: the eukaryotic pathogen, vector and host bioinformatics resource center*. Nucleic Acids Research, 2022. **50**(D1): p. D898-D911.

1073

Figure 1: PfAnchor is an essential conserved *Plasmodium* protein expressed through the asexual life cycle.

a. Schematic representation of PfAnchor's structural features and predicted protein folding. PfAnchor primary sequence shows a single phosphorylation site at S204. Alphafold3 modeling combined with a DALI protein domain search identified a conserved pleckstrin homology (PH) domain (PfAnchor AA 768-998) across *Plasmodium* species. The sequence alignment of this region shows strong conservation, with a predicted phosphoinositides (PIP)-binding motif (PfAnchor AA 892-904) highlighted in magenta in the structural model. **b.** Schematic of PfAnchor tagging strategy and conditional knockdown system. PfAnchor was tagged with an smV5 epitope for visualization, and knockdown was achieved using the TetR-aptamer system, where protein translation depends on anhydrotetracycline (aTC) availability. **c.** Representative western blot (N=2) of parasite protein lysates from ring (R), trophozoite (T), early schizont (ES), and late schizont (LS) stages. Anti-V5 detects PfAnchor, showing that it is expressed throughout the asexual life cycle with highest abundance in schizont stages. Anti-PfAldolase is used as a loading control, as it is a constitutively expressed cytoplasmic protein that maintains relatively stable levels across all intraerythrocytic stages. **d.** Western blot analysis confirming PfAnchor knockdown efficiency. Quantification performed via measurement of the fluorescent intensities of the sample (V5) bands compared to the normalized intensities of the loading control (PfAldolase) bands. Plotted is mean +/- SD, n = four biological replicates.

Figure 2: PfAnchor localizes to the cytoplasmic side of the apicoplast outer membrane.

a. Sodium carbonate fractionation assay demonstrating that PfAnchor is peripherally associated with a membrane. Fractions include hypotonic (unbound cytoplasmic proteins), carbonate (peripherally bound membrane proteins), Triton X-100 soluble (integral membrane proteins), and Triton X-100 insoluble (GPI-anchored membrane proteins). PfAldolase was used as a cytoplasmic control, PfEXP2 was used as peripheral membrane control. **b-c.** U-EXM analysis of PfAnchor localization in PfAnchor-iKD and PfAnchor[Mev]-iKD cell lines. PfAnchor is detected in close proximity to non-nuclear DNA but does not colocalize with mitochondrial DNA. Apicoplast staining confirms that PfAnchor is specifically localized around the apicoplast. Protein density is shown in grayscale, PfAnchor in green, apicoplast in magenta, mitochondria in yellow, and DNA in blue. Scale bars: 2 µm, with image depth (µm) indicated. Schematic representations highlight non-nuclear DNA (dark blue) and basal complexes (white), with light gray dashed lines marking areas of potential membrane staining based on NHS ester density changes. **d.** Proteinase K protection assay shows that the C-terminal tagged PfAnchor is cytoplasmically exposed. Anti-PfAldolase and anti-HsHistone H3 were used as unprotected and protected controls, respectively.

Figure 3: PfAnchor is required for proper parasite cytokinesis but dispensable for egress.
a. Growth analysis of PfAnchor expressing and deficient parasites over two replication cycles. Parasitemia was quantified by light microscopy over three biological replicates. Hemacolor-stained smears show late-stage parasites with abnormal morphology prior to egress and absence of ring stage parasites following egress. Scale bar 5 µm. **b.** Representative live microscopy images of PfAnchor-expressing (top) and PfAnchor-deficient (bottom) parasites at the point of egress. While PfAnchor-expressing parasites display daughter merozoites dispersing away from each other, PfAnchor-deficient parasites exhibit daughter merozoites remaining clumped together (abnormal morphology). Scale bar 5 µm. **c.** Quantification of normal and abnormal morphology of daughter parasites following egress from the live microscopy experiments over three biological replicates. PfAnchor-expressing parasites showed 89.3 +/- 9.5% normal morphology over 3 replicates while the PfAnchor-deficient parasites showed 10.7 +/- 9.3% normal morphology over 3 replicates. **d.** Representative live microscopy images of PfAnchor-expressing (top) and PfAnchor-deficient (bottom) parasites at the point of egress with the apicoplast shown in green. Parasites expressing PfAnchor show daughters that are moving away from each other with the apicoplast labeled in green (ACP-GFP). In PfAnchor-expressing parasites, daughter cells separate with apicoplast fragments properly inherited by individual merozoites. In contrast, PfAnchor-deficient parasites display daughter cells remaining clumped together, with a single apicoplast strand persisting across the cluster. Scale bar 5 µm.

Figure 4: PfAnchor is required for apicoplast division and inheritance but not biogenesis.

a. Quantification of total apicoplast area in age-matched pre- and post-division parasites shows no significant differences between PfAnchor-expressing and PfAnchor-deficient parasites, indicating that apicoplast biogenesis is unaffected (unpaired t-tests, +aTC: 32 cells over 2 replicates, -aTC 31 cells over 2 replicates, not significant). **b.** Representative images illustrating the quantified phenotype in (c-e). Shown are different z-slices of a single PfAnchor-deficient parasite displaying apicoplast signal trapped within the basal complex, an unsegmented apicoplast, and daughter parasites devoid of apicoplast signal. Protein density in grayscale, apicoplast in pink and DNA in blue. Scale bar 2 µm. Z-slices used are indicated, with image depth (µm) noted. **c.** Percentage of daughter parasites per schizont with a fully segmented apicoplast in schizonts stalled at the end of segmentation. Unpaired t-tests, +aTC: 25 cells over 3 replicates, -aTC: 21 cells over 3 replicates, **** indicates p-value < 0.0001. **d.** Percentage of daughter parasites lacking apicoplast signal in schizonts stalled at the end of schizogony. Unpaired t-tests, +aTC: 25 cells over 3 replicates, -aTC: 21 cells over 3 replicates, **** indicates p-value < 0.0001. **e.** Percentage of daughter parasites per schizont

exhibiting apicoplast signal within the basal complex (BC) in schizonts stalled at the end of schizogony. Unpaired t-tests, +aTC: 25 cells over 3 replicates, -aTC: 21 cells over 3 replicates, **** indicates p-value < 0.0001. **f.** Representative cryo-electron tomography (cryo-ET) images showing that PfAnchor depletion does not affect apicoplast membrane integrity. However, analysis of internal apicoplast structures reveals a significant reduction in the number of dense intermembrane buds (blue arrows and data points) upon PfAnchor knockdown, while enclosed membrane buds (green arrows and data points) remain unaffected. Scale bars: 100 nm (unpaired t-tests, * indicates p-value < 0.05).

Figure 5: Disruption of apicoplast branching rescues PfAnchor-deficient parasites, while apicoplast-like vesicle distribution along mitochondria remain unchanged.

a. Schematic of the experimental setup. PfAnchor^Mev iKD parasites were pre-treated with mevalonate (+Mev) and azithromycin (+AZ) for 7 days to disrupt the apicoplast structure, leading to the formation of apicoplast-like vesicles. Following this pre-treatment, parasites were washed and maintained under the indicated conditions (±aTC, ±Mev) for downstream analyses. **b.** Cumulative parasitemia of PfAnchor-expressing (+aTC) and PfAnchor-deficient (-aTC) parasites over 8 days in +AZ conditions. In AZ-treated parasites, disruption of the apicoplast resulted in the formation of apicoplast-like vesicles, which rescued the growth defect of PfAnchor-deficient parasites, but only in the presence of mevalonate (+Mev). Parasites lacking both PfAnchor and mevalonate (-Mev) failed to propagate. Parasites were sampled every 2 days for growth and PCR analysis, with cultures diluted at 1:8 every 2 days to ensure continued replication. Data represent mean ± SD from 2 biological replicates in quadruplicate. **C.** Representative U-ExM image of a PfAnchor-expressing parasite displaying apicoplast-like vesicles (pink, anti-GFP) within the forming daughter parasites, along with PfAnchor (green, anti-V5) and mitochondria (yellow, anti-Hsp60). Protein density is shown in grayscale. Scale bar: 2 µm, with z-slices and image depth (µm) indicated. **d.** Quantification of mitochondrial length in

PfAnchor-expressing (8 cells, 15 mitochondria) and PfAnchor-deficient (10 cells, 30 mitochondria) parasites following AZ treatment. No significant differences in mitochondrial length were observed between conditions (ns, unpaired t-test). (**e-g**). Apicoplast-like vesicles were analyzed for their association with mitochondria. **e**. The number of apicoplast-like vesicles associated with mitochondria was significantly increased in PfAnchor-deficient (-aTC) parasites compared to PfAnchor-expressing (+aTC) parasites. **f**. Violin plots show the spatial distribution of apicoplast-like vesicles along the mitochondria, demonstrating that PfAnchor depletion does not alter their positioning. Statistical analysis was performed using unpaired t-tests, +aTC: 20 mitochondria from 8 schizonts; -aTC: 30 mitochondria from 15 schizonts. **g**. Representative U-ExM image of a PfAnchor-deficient parasite showing apicoplast-like vesicles (pink, anti-GFP) within forming daughter parasites, along with mitochondria (yellow, anti-Hsp60). Protein density is shown in grayscale. Scale bar: 2 µm, with z-slices and image depth (µm) indicated.

Figure 6: PfAnchor interacts with the dynamin-like GTPase PfDyn2, supporting a role in apicoplast division.

a. Principal component analysis (PCA) of mass spectrometry data from PfAnchor immunoprecipitation (IP) assays, performed in biological duplicates using the PfAnchor iKD and PfAnchor^{Mev} iKD cell lines (as listed in c). PfDyn2 is identified as the primary interacting partner of PfAnchor, while PfHsp70 is also detected as a potential secondary interactor. Ribosomal proteins (gray) cluster separately as background. **b.** PCA of PfAnchor proximity labeling (BioID) mass spectrometry data. PfDyn2 is enriched in close proximity to PfAnchor, supporting its interaction. Additionally, components of the MCM complex are detected, though their functional relevance remains unclear. Tables listing proteins uniquely identified in PfAnchor IP (c) and PfAnchor BioID (d) datasets compared to control samples. PfDyn2 is consistently enriched across both methods, further supporting its role as the primary interactor of PfAnchor. **e.** Model of PfAnchor's role in

apicoplast division and inheritance. **Left:** During cytokinesis, the apicoplast (pink) is positioned adjacent to the centriolar plaque (CP) and basal complex (BC), where PfAnchor (green) localizes along the apicoplast membrane. PfDyn2 (yellow) is recruited to the fission site, potentially through PfAnchor, while actin (dashed orange lines) forms a dynamic network [55] that may contribute to membrane remodeling and organelle segregation. **Right:** Close-up view of apicoplast fission, where PfDyn2 assembles at the division site, driving membrane constriction. PfAnchor may function as a tethering factor, linking the fission machinery to the apicoplast membrane and ensuring proper inheritance of the organelle by daughter parasites.