Diversification dynamics of the Palaeozoic actinopterygian radiation

Ray-finned fish are the most speciose vertebrate group today, but the dynamics of their early diversification are contentious. Their fossil record suggests a first radiation in the Carboniferous following the Late Devonian mass extinction events. Conversely, recent phylogenetic hypotheses imply a radiation originating in the Late Devonian, but lack the taxonomic breadth required to robustly test this. This necessitates phylogeny-free inference of actinopterygian diversification rates from fossil occurrences, itself challenging due to complex systematics, incomplete occurrence databases, and severe spatiotemporal sampling biases. Here, we analyse a comprehensive dataset of Palaeozoic actinopterygian genera and species using approaches that accommodate spatial and temporal sampling variation. We detect elevated actinopterygian diversification in the Late Devonian, with substantially greater lineage survival across the Hangenberg extinction event than indicated by the raw fossil record. Surprisingly, we detect no positive shifts in origination across the event, refuting previous hypotheses of explosive actinopterygian radiation in its wake. Instead, cryptic survival of diversified lineages appears responsible for the robust signal of increased diversity across different geographic scales in the Carboniferous. Nonetheless, these trends are overwhelmingly driven by low palaeolatitude Euramerican fossil assemblages, highlighting the ongoing spatial limitations of the actinopterygian fossil record.

Keywords: fossil sampling bias; spatial standardisation; birth-death process; speciation; extinction; origination

1. Introduction

The early diversification of ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii) presents an intriguing evolutionary puzzle. Following their divergence from lobe-finned fish (Sarcopterygii) in the Silurian [1] and in sharp contrast to their sister clade, actinopterygians persisted at low diversity and ecological prominence for nearly 50 million years, represented by a handful of undisputed occurrences through the Early and Middle Devonian, alongside fragmentary taxa known only from scales with contested taxonomic affinities [2,3]. The Late Devonian was punctuated by the Kellwasser crises and Hangenberg event, mass extinction pulses marked by reef collapse and marine anoxia, across which vertebrate faunas previously dominated by placoderms and sarcopterygians were rapidly supplanted by a more contemporary fauna composed of chondrichthyans and taxonomically and morphologically diversified actinopterygians [4-10].

Previous studies have hypothesised that the Hangenberg event was directly responsible for the sudden inflection in the macroevolutionary trajectory of actinopterygians [4-6,11,12], casting their Carboniferous explosion of diversity as a classic model of adaptive radiation following mass extinction. This interpretation of their empirical fossil record appears borne out by phylogenetic hypotheses of fossil taxa, which infer a paucity of lineages to have survived the event and bring the origin of crown group actinopterygians in line with the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary [11,13]. A recent report of a Devonian actinopterygian nested within an otherwise Carboniferous clade [13] raises an alternative hypothesis, however: that cryptic actinopterygian diversification began in the Devonian under markedly different ecological conditions and with much higher lineage survivorship into the Carboniferous than previously thought. [13,14].

The poor quality of the early actinopterygian fossil record presents a substantial challenge to evaluating whether their early Carboniferous diversification was fuelled by explosive radiation of a few lineages following the Hangenberg event, or by wider survival of lineages which diverged during the Devonian [13]. Many taxa are morphologically conservative, known from only fragmentary specimens, or both, hindering confident anatomical characterisation and inclusion in morphological data matrices. In turn, phylogenetic analyses of taxonomically depauperate, morphologically incomplete matrices have typically recovered extensive polytomies between early actinopterygian taxa and uncertain relationships between Palaeozoic lineages and crown actinopterygians more

generally, including candidates for Devonian lineages seeding diversification in the Carboniferous [12,16-21]. Fossil occurrence databases have also failed to keep up with the complex and extensive systematics of Palaeozoic actinopterygians where lengthy history of study, largely unaided by modern imaging techniques and often of character-poor specimens, has resulted in a web of problematic taxonomic delineations and revisions [10]. Consequently, no analysis of early actinopterygian fossil diversity from either occurrence-based or phylogenetic approaches has utilised an up-to-date compilation of all described taxa.

Recent efforts have tackled the lack of high-quality fossil occurrence databases but have also highlighted the severe sampling biases plaguing the early actinopterygian fossil record through geological time and across geographic space [5,9,10,16]. As such, it is unknown whether their sharp increase in apparent diversity in the early Carboniferous might be driven by spatiotemporal sampling biases in their fossil record, or if their increased geographic extent genuinely reflects their evolutionary radiation at this time. Patterns of relative diversity are themselves useful indicators of macroevolutionary success but are generally uninformative of the rates of origination and extinction responsible for changing diversity. A range of abiotic or biotic mechanisms can drive rate variation [22], with implications for the processes which may have shaped early actinopterygian diversity in the ecological context of the Late Devonian versus the early Carboniferous. Competitive exclusion by other gnathostomes for example could have suppressed origination, while environmental vulnerability might have resulted in high extinction, but these rates have not yet been quantified in Palaeozoic actinopterygians.

Two broad strategies have been proposed to overcome spatial sampling biases. The first uses diversity estimates from local subsamples of the fossil record where spatial sampling variation is minimised between subsamples [23-26], allowing informative average trends to be drawn from these local estimates. The second uses larger, regional datasets which are spatially standardised through time to capture taxon stratigraphic durations rather than just pointwise samples of diversity [27-29]. Origination and extinction rates can then be robustly estimated from these datasets using birth-death-sampling models which accommodate the phylogenetic linkage of a set of taxa subsampled from clade's total diversity, enabling rate estimation that accommodates the unsampled ghost lineages required to tackle the problem of Late Devonian diversification, but without the need for a formal phylogenetic tree [30-33]. In this paper, we investigate patterns of diversity and diversification rates in early

actinopterygians using an expanded fossil occurrence database coupled with these

complementary analytical strategies to elucidate their rise to prominence through the

Palaeozoic. We characterise their sampling and diversity through the Palaeozoic to

understand the extent to which their fossil record is biologically informative versus driven by

sampling bias, particularly the potential role of geographic sampling variation through time.

We then focus on whether exceptional diversification dynamics were responsible for their

early Carboniferous radiation or whether their radiation was instead rooted in the Late

Devonian.

107   2. Materials and methods

2.1 Fossil occurrence data. We assembled an occurrence dataset of all valid early

actinopterygian genera and species described up to February 2024 within the Paleobiology

Database (PBDB). This comprises 2142 Lochkovian (Early Devonian) to Changhsingian

(Late Permian) genus level actinopterygian occurrences, of which 1644 (76.7%) are classified

to species level, across 907 stage- to substage-constrained PBDB collections with a mean

collection age uncertainty of 4.1 Ma (median 2.8 Ma, median absolute deviation 2.1 Ma). We

also included 212 Early Triassic occurrences across 62 PBDB collections to minimise edge

effects in our study window. Generally, these collections are specific geological sites from

which fossils have been collected, although a smaller number represent regional-scale faunal

assemblages or estimated sampling locations for certain taxa. Rather than using arbitrary

equal length intervals or formal chronostratigraphic divisions in our downstream diversity

analyses, we used the formation binning approach of Dean et al. [34] to optimise the

resolution of our downstream diversity analyses without exceeding the practical limits

imposed by occurrence stratigraphic uncertainty, thus avoiding a false degree of temporal

precision in our results. Full details on the assembly, cleaning and binning of our dataset are

available in the supplementary information.

2.2 Spatial subsampling. We used the minimum spanning tree (MST) length of the

occurrence data in each time bin to quantify the spatial sampling extent of the actinopterygian

fossil record through the Palaeozoic, as MST length captures both the geographic coverage

and spread of a dataset and closely correlates with several alternative spatial metrics [23]. As

there is substantial variation in MST length through time (see Results, Fig. 1B), we applied

two spatial subsampling schemes to the temporally binned occurrence data to reduce bias

from the species-area effect prior to estimation of diversity and diversification rates, and to

deconvolve global actinopterygian diversity trends into their local geographic components.

Our first scheme reduces fluctuations in the global extent of an occurrence dataset

through time by subsampling its MST to a target length (18000 km) between time bins, using

the method of Flannery-Sutherland et al. [27]. This provides the taxon stratigraphic durations

required for estimating diversification rates as well as diversity, whilst preserving their

phylogenetic diversification signal by minimising taxonomic exclusion [27]. Choice of target

MST length presents a trade-off between standardisation efficacy versus data exclusion

between time bins [27]. As more stringent thresholds would have resulted in unacceptably

high exclusion, spatial extent of the available data in some intervals naturally falls below our

target length, either because actinopterygians were geographically restricted at that time in

their evolutionary history or due to poor sampling. The former reason may be plausible for

the earliest portion of their fossil record, but the latter is likely responsible for later deficient

intervals, so we avoid drawing any biological conclusions from these even though we still

estimate diversity and diversification rates across them. Our second scheme does not provide

data suitable for rate estimation but avoids the limitations of the MST approach by

aggregating temporally binned collections within equal area hexagonal grid cells generated

by the *icosa* R package [35] to provide samples of local diversity, followed by calculation of

mean grid cell diversity through time.

2.3 Diversity estimation. We estimated bin-wise genus richness (= diversity herein) from our

total and spatially subsampled datasets using sampled-in-bin diversity (SIB), coverage-based

rarefaction (CBR) and extrapolation by Squares (SQR), in addition to range-through-time

diversity (RTT) for our total and MST-subsampled datasets. CBR and SQS are more suited to

estimating true diversity patterns and have previously been applied to Palaeozoic

actinopterygians [8] but not within spatially controlled frameworks that mitigate

geographically biased sampling. SIB makes no corrections for uneven sampling between time

bins and RTT only ensures that the minimum bound on diversity is recovered, but these

metrics still provide useful indications of sampling quality in the fossil record, and permit

comparison with counts made by previous studies. We favoured genus-level analysis as

genera are considered more robust to variation in sampling and taxonomic practice, reducing

the potential for highly uneven abundance distributions which can undermine the reliability

of CBR [36], although it is not a true substitute for species level diversity [37]. SIB and RTT

were calculated using the `divDyn()` function of the *divDyn* R package [38]. CBR was

implemented using the `estimateD()` function of the *iNEXT* R package [39] with a

sampling quorum of 0.5, and SQR calculated directly in R using the equation of Alroy [40].

2.3 Birth-death-sampling models. We estimated Palaeozoic actinopterygian origination and

extinction rates to investigate the timing and magnitude of any rate shifts, using the total

occurrence dataset which represents their known diversity, as well as our MST-standardised

dataset to additionally control for the confounding effects of spatial sampling variation.

Traditional methods for inferring diversification rates from fossil occurrence data (e.g.,

second-for-third or three-timer metrics [41,42]) are often unreliable when confronted by

clades with preservation rates and high turnover [43]. Instead, methods which treat fossil

occurrence data as the product of a birth-death-sampling process are more robust [44]. These

methods are also insensitive to uneven taxon abundance distributions that impact CBR or

SQR, so we infer origination and extinction rates at genus and species levels, although we

note that the latter level still displays problematic over-splitting of morphologically

conservative wastebasket genera (e.g., *Rhadinichthys*, *Elonichthys*, *Amblypterus*,

Paramblypterus).

We employed two models with complementary sets of assumptions: a hierarchical birth-

death-sampling model [30-32] and the skyline fossilised birth-death range model [33,44]

hereafter referred to by their respective implementations in the software packages PyRate

[31] and RevBayes [45]. Briefly, the key model differences are, respectively, in statistically-

derived versus user-prescribed timings of shifts in origination and extinction rates, and the

assumption of complete versus incomplete sampling of all fossil lineages that ever existed. As

such, PyRate avoids imposing any rate shifts across the Hangenberg event *a priori*, while

RevBayes relaxes the unrealistic assumption of complete lineage sampling. Both PyRate and

RevBayes ultimately produce estimates of origination, extinction, and sampling rates through

time, permitting direct comparison between their different model assumptions. Full details of

the implementations and parameters for all rate analyses are available in the supplementary

information.

3. Results

3.1 Palaeozoic actinopterygian sampling trends. The first putative actinopterygian fossils

occur in the Lochkovian (Fig. 1A). Bin-wise counts of actinopterygian occurrences are low

through the Devonian until a two-to-threefold increase in the Tournaisian (~ 355 Ma, Fig.

1A). Prominent spikes occur late in the Viséan (~340 Ma), through the Bashkirian and

Gzhelian (~320 and 302 Ma), and in the Wuchiapingian (~258 Ma), producing a saw-toothed

sampling pattern through the Palaeozoic (Fig. 1A). The spatial extent of their fossil

occurrences also varies through time, falling below our target spatial extent of 18000 km in

the Early and Middle Devonian, and in the Viséan (Fig. 1B). Subsampling by MST length

resulted in relatively minor loss of occurrences from the dataset but was generally effective in

reducing fluctuations in spatial extent from the Serpukhovian (~330 Ma) with extent in most

bins falling within ±10% of our target (raw MST length standard deviation = 8942 km;

subsampled MST length standard deviation = 6801 km; Fig. 1B), aside for a slight deficit

early in the Bashkirian, and in the Changhsingian (~253 Ma) where spatial extent slightly

exceeds the target (Fig. 1B).

Spikes in occurrence sampling correlate with total SIB diversity (Spearman's rank

correlation coefficient = 0.90, p < 0.0001), while the relationship with their total spatial

extent is weaker, but still significant (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient = 0.34, p <

0.05), with Devonian extents comparable to those throughout the Carboniferous and Permian

despite substantially more counts in the latter. Controlling for bias under the species-area

effect by MST subsampling reduces actinopterygian SIB diversity in the Frasnian (~370 Ma),

in the Tournaisian and through the Permian, but SIB diversity through the rest of Palaeozoic

is generally unaffected. RTT diversity reduces sawtooth trends in SIB diversity only slightly

(Fig. 1C), with SIB showing deficits relative to RTT in the Viséan, Bashkirian, Moscovian

(~310 Ma) and Artiniskian (~285 Ma).

3.2 Palaeozoic actinopterygian diversity trends. Global trends in RTT diversity closely track

with estimates from CBR (Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.82, p < 0.0001) and SQR

(Spearman correlation coefficient = 0.97, p < 0.0001), with little change between the total and

MST-standardised datasets (Figs. 1D, 1E). Diversity accrued slowly through the Devonian

but rose by around an order of magnitude across the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary.

Some diversity loss occurred into the early Viséan before a second peak at the beginning of

the Pennsylvanian, decline through the remainder of the Carboniferous, then a general trend

of rising diversity through the Early Permian. This trend terminated with a drop in diversity

in the Middle Permian, followed by a final spike in the Changhsingian. The major exceptions

to this correspondence between raw and sampling-corrected diversity trends, however, are the

patterns of relative richness across the Permian-Carboniferous boundary, where SQR

diversity peaked sharply in the Gzhelian while CBR diversity peaked in the Asselian (~298

Ma; Fig. 1E)

At local geographic scales, there is a regime shift from a low to a high mean grid cell

diversity across the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary recorded by SIB, CBR and SQR

metrics (Fig. 1F). SIB and SQR are highly similar throughout the study interval, with small

fluctuations through the Tournaisian to the Bashkirian, a slight decline through the

Moscovian and Gzhelian, recovery across the Carboniferous-Permian boundary, a second

decline through the Artinskian, progressive rise through the remainder of the Early to Middle

Permian, then a final decline through the Late Permian (Fig. 1F). CBR diversity instead

declined in the late Viséan until the recovery across the Carboniferous-Permian boundary,

while the progressive diversity rise through the Middle Permian recovered by SIB and CBR

was punctuated by decline through the Roadian and Wordian. The prominent Gzhelian and

Asselian spikes in global diversity recovered by SQR and CBR respectively are more muted

but remain apparent at the local scale (Fig. 1F).

3.3 Palaeozoic actinopterygian diversification rates. Actinopterygian genus and species

origination (λ_g, λ_s) and extinction (μ_g, μ_s) rates are sensitive to spatial sampling extent and

birth-death-sampling model assumptions (Figs. 2, 3). In our PyRate results, μ_g was virtually

constant from the Devonian to the Capitanian stage of the Middle Permian. In the total

dataset, μ_g increased twofold at the end of the Capitanian then rose through the remainder of

the study window, compared to a sixfold increase at the end of the Changhsingian across the

Permian-Triassic boundary in the spatially standardised dataset. In the complete dataset, λ_g

was constant through the Devonian, sharply decreased in the early Tournaisian into a period

of constancy punctuated by a weak, transient increase at the end of the Carboniferous, then

increased threefold in Lopingian (Fig. 2D). After spatial standardisation, the sharp decrease in

λ_g instead took place across the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary (Fig. 2D). The net result

was a piecewise downshift in positive mean diversification rate across the Devonian-

Carboniferous boundary, transient increases at the end of the Carboniferous and the Early

Permian, then an increasingly negative rate through the Lopingian (Fig. 2F). Species-level

rates from PyRate are more volatile than at the genus level (Fig. 3), with diversification rate

increasing very gradually through the Devonian, then fluctuating between positive and
negative regimes from the Carboniferous onwards (Fig. 3F).

PyRate found no evidence for a statistically significant increase in diversification rate at
the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary at either the genus or species levels before or after
spatial standardisation (Figs. 2B–2D, 3B–3D). Instead, diversification rates generally showed
a modest decline at the onset of the Carboniferous (Figs. 2E-2F, 3E–3F), driven by a
statistically significant decrease in origination rate, an elevated rate in the late Pennsylvanian
driven by elevated origination, and a sharp decline towards the end of the Permian driven by
a statistically significant increase in extinction rate. Statistically significant rate shifts in
origination and extinction are more prevalent at the species level compared to the genus level
and are also more prevalent after spatial standardisation, but many of these are positively
rather than strongly supported and of negligible magnitude. Exceptions are spikes in the 95%
highest posterior density in λ_s in the Serpukhovian and the end of the Kungurian, although the
change in mean rate is again minimal (Fig. 3D).

Origination and extinction rates from RevBayes are more dynamic than those from
PyRate and display greater uncertainty (Figs. 2, 3), with estimated sampling rates also
differing substantially to those of PyRate and suggesting a high prevalence of incomplete
lineage sampling (Fig. S1). μ_g declined gently through the Early and Middle Devonian,
fluctuated through the Late Devonian, rose then fell in the Tournaisian and Viséan
respectively, rose and fell again at the beginning of the Pennsylvannian epoch of the
Carboniferous, then continued to rise for the remainder of the Carboniferous and into the
Early Permian (Fig. 2A). μ_g dropped again at the end of the Late Permian, spiked in the
Wuchiapingian, then spiked even more strongly across the Permian-Triassic boundary. These
trends remain after spatial standardisation, apart from a more rapid rise in μ_g through the
Pennsylvanian, then a more sudden decline in the Early Permian (Fig. 2A). In contrast to
extinction, λ_g showed stepwise increases through the Early and Middle Devonian, a drop in
the Frasnian stage of the Late Devonian, a spike in the Fammenian stage at the end of the
Devonian, then another decline in the Tournaisian (Fig. 2C). λ_g rose slightly during the
Viséan, then decreased to Early Devonian levels through the remainder of the Carboniferous
and into the Early Permian (Fig. 2C). Spikes in origination punctuated the Kungurian and
Wordian stages of the Early and Middle Permian respectively, but rates remained generally
low otherwise (Fig. 2C). Trends are again largely unchanged following spatial

standardisation, aside for a continued stepwise rate increase through the Devonian. These

rates resulted in intervals of strongly positive diversification in the Middle Devonian,

Fammenian, Viséan and Kungurian, diversification close to zero in the Tournaisian, and

further fluctuations between positive and negative regimes through the remainder of the

Palaeozoic until a crash at the end of the Permian (Fig. 2E). Species-level dynamics from

RevBayes show similar trends to those at genus level with more prominent spikes in

origination and extinction rates, although the absolute magnitudes of species-level rates are

generally lower than those recovered by PyRate (Fig. 3), and the timing of rate increases and

decreases for μ_s in the Pennsylvanian are concordant before and after spatial standardisation,

with a peak in extinction rates centred in the Asselian (Fig. 3A).

Bayes Factor tests marked very few RevBayes rate shifts as statistically significant. At

the genus level, the only significant extinction rate shifts took place at the end of the Permian,

but the timings of individual shifts are discordant before and after spatial standardisation (Fig.

2A), while significant shifts were also detected after spatial standardisation around the

Permian-Carboniferous boundary at the species level. Following spatial standardisation,

significant decreases in λ_g took place at the end of the Devonian, and at the ends of the Early

and Middle Permian (Fig. 2C), while a significant increase took place at the beginning of the

Kungurian. As with PyRate, species level rate shifts are more prevalent. Shifts in extinction

rate following spatial standardisation were detected at the beginning and end of the Permian

(Fig. 3A), while shifts in origination rate are dispersed through the Devonian, Mississippian

and Kungurian (Fig. 3C).

310 **4. Discussion**

4.1 Signals of sampling versus macroecology. The positive correlation between geographic

extent and observed diversity in the Palaeozoic actinopterygian fossil record indicates that

spatial sampling bias affects their diversity trends. Despite this, raw actinopterygian diversity

patterns through the Devonian and Carboniferous were largely unchanged by spatial

standardisation procedures (Fig. 1D). At face value this might suggest that their fossil record

during this interval is well sampled enough to adequately record their diversity patterns,

despite fluctuation in sampling intensity and spatial extent, but a more realistic interpretation

is that trends are so heavily biased towards their intensely sampled low latitude Euramerican

fossil record [8,9] that spatially standardised diversity recovers the trend of their total fossil
record. Our expanded occurrence database, incorporating all early actinopterygian taxa as of
our cut-off date remains subject to this fundamental limitation in the spatial sampling
structure of their fossil record. Consequently, it is not strictly possible to identify global
trends in actinopterygian diversity, necessitating the potentially flawed assumption that the
diversity patterns from our predominantly Euramerican dataset are representative of their
global dynamics.

Despite bearing most of their Palaeozoic fossil record, the oldest actinopterygian
occurrences come from outside of Euramerica and are themselves geographically disparate
(the South China Block and Brazilian Paraná Basin [46-47]), even though actinopterygians
must necessarily have originated in a discrete location. Similarly, these occurrences are from
marine facies, but the oldest undisputed Euramerican actinopterygian, *Cheirolepis trailli* from
the Middle Devonian, is from lacustrine deposits of the Orcadian Basin of Scotland.
Actinopterygians clearly dispersed widely early in their evolutionary history, including from
the marine to the freshwater realm, yet there is essentially no fossil record of these transitions
[17]. Alongside their conspicuous absence in the Silurian in contrast to their sarcopterygian
sister group, these geographic and environmental disparities belie the sampling deficits
plaguing their early fossil record. Indeterminate actinopterygian material offers tantalising
glimpses into this conspicuous biogeographic gap [9], but its incompleteness prevents
adequate characterisation of their wider diversity during the Devonian and continues to
highlight the anatomical and morphological challenges facing phylogenetic and occurrence-
based approaches to their diversification dynamics. Even so, the abundance of well-sampled
Silurian and Devonian sites which record the proliferation of placoderms and sarcopterygians
in both marine and freshwater ecosystems suggests that actinopterygians were genuinely rare
during this interval in their evolutionary history, partly explaining the paucity of their early
fossil record [9]

While spatial extent, and total and sampling-corrected diversity in the actinopterygian
fossil record increased from the Devonian to the Carboniferous, this pattern is borne out by
average diversity across equal area grid cells, suggesting that this proliferation was a genuine
biological trend, rather than an artefact of spatiotemporal sampling biases. There is clearer
evidence for undersampling during the early Viséan and Moscovian, where declines in spatial
sampling extent correspond to declines in diversity even after MST-subsampling, besides

greater deficits between SIB and RTT metrics (Fig. 1C). Sharp crashes in diversity or
diversification rates during these intervals are not supported, however, by diversity trends
from our hexagonally binned subsamples or results from PyRate or RevBayes (Figs. 1F, 2A–
2C, 3E–3F). We therefore predict that future sampling within known or undiscovered early
Viséan localities should reveal substantially greater actinopterygian diversity than is currently
observed.

Actinopterygian diversity patterns can be partly isolated from variation in spatial
sampling extent by focusing on their consistently sampled Euramerican data, but this record
may still be prone to other biases, particularly lagerstätten effects. This does not appear to
impact diversity patterns observed across from the Famennian to the Tournaisian. Multiple
sites bearing exceptional actinopterygian specimens preserved in three dimensions or which
have yielded substantial quantities of compressed, but complete actinopterygian specimens
within well-preserved community assemblages more generally, however, correspond high
diversity intervals through the late Viséan (Glencartholm, Granton), Serpukhovian (Manse
Burn, Bear Gulch), Bashkirian (Pennine Coal Measures), Moscovian (Mazon Creek) and
Gzhelian (Montceau Les Mines). Lagerstätte intervals may display inflated diversity resulting
from disproportionately complete preservation of past assemblages, with exceptional
preservation additionally increasing potential for sampling of taxonomically and
phylogenetically diagnostic characters [48,49]. Consequently, Euramerican lagerstätten may
still bias diversity estimates even after accounting for variation in sampling effort, although
lagerstätten effects on diversity are spatially and taxonomically variable [50]. Conceivably,
the role of lagerstatten in provisioning exceptionally preserved, character-rich specimens [51]
may also impact phylogenetic estimation of early actinopterygian diversification even in
intervals lacking noticeable peaks in diversity (e.g., the Kinney Brick Quarry in the
Kasimovian).

Permian diversity patterns are more challenging to interpret due to conflicting signals
between metrics and spatial scales. Sampling extent is higher compared to the Carboniferous,
corroborating previous findings [8,9] and correlating with generally high diversity throughout
the period, although diversity is largely undiminished when sampling extent is controlled for
(Fig. 1D-1E). High diversity in the Early Permian is also recovered by sampling-corrected
metrics before and after spatial standardisation, but the global estimates are abnormally high
compared to the rest of the dataset (Fig. 1D–1E), with local diversity estimates showing a

more modest diversity rise across the Carboniferous-Permian boundary. A potential

explanation is extensive historical collection of small-bodied, morphologically conservative

actinopterygian specimens in lacustrine deposits spanning the Asselian and Sakmarian stages

of the Early Permian across Europe [52-54], from which many taxonomically suspect genera

and species have been described. In turn, highly skewed global abundance distributions

during this interval may affect the performance of CBR and SQR, as local diversity patterns

do not display such extreme spikes in diversity (Fig. 1F).

At face value, Early Permian reductions in predominantly low latitude local diversity

lends circumstantial support to the hypothesis that loss of equatorial coastal and freshwater

habitat areas during the assembly and aridification of Pangaea may have curtailed rising

actinopterygian diversity [8] or at least in its well-sampled Euramerican component.

Diversity reductions did not continue through the remainder of the Permian, however,

suggesting that actinopterygians remained locally successful components of marine and

freshwater ecosystems. Nonetheless, potential confounding effects from wastebasket taxa or

over-splitting of specimens from historic European and Siberian collections cannot be

excluded in this instance, emphasising the importance of ongoing and future taxonomic

revision to order to ascertain reliable patterns of Early Permian actinopterygian diversity

[55,56].

4.2 Actinopterygian diversification through mass extinction. The Late Devonian was affected

by the two-phase Kellwasser crisis at the end of the Frasnian and the Hangenberg event at the

end of the Famennian. The Kellwasser crisis had a more significant impact on reef

ecosystems [57], of which actinopterygians were a part (e.g., the Frasnian Gogo Formation of

Western Australia [20]). Uncorrected, unstandardised diversity decreased in the early

Famennian compared to the Frasnian, but this decrease is lost when sampling corrected

metrics or spatial standardisation is employed, potentially due to exclusion of

actinopterygians from the Gogo Formation during MST subsampling. Apparent impacts

across other vertebrates have been ascribed to back-smearing of lineage-wise extinctions due

to poor sampling in the Famennian [4]. While occurrence counts for actinopterygians are

indeed higher in the Frasnian, PyRate and RevBayes correct this effect and we find no

evidence for a significant increase in extinction rates at either the species or genus levels

through the Frasnian (Figs. 2, 3). This corroborates previous inferences that the

stratigraphically brief Upper and Lower Kellwasser events had only minor impacts on

vertebrate faunas [4]. Resolving the precise effects of these ~0.1 Ma-duration events on
actinopterygians, however, will require section level analysis to achieve a suitable degree of
stratigraphic precision.

Previous authors have posited that an explosive radiation of actinopterygians took place
in the early Carboniferous [4-6, 11,12]. We find, however, that while actinopterygian
diversity increased during this interval across a range of spatial scales, there is no statistical
evidence for a significant increase in diversification rate after the Hangenberg event. PyRate
returns only weak evidence for increased diversification in the Famennian (Fig. 2E, 3E), but
their early Carboniferous rise in diversity remains apparent (Fig. 1C–1F). This suggests that
there must have been sufficient lineages present in the Devonian combined with high
survivorship into the Carboniferous to facilitate rapid growth in diversity without any clear
shifts in diversification rate. PyRate indicates that the true stratigraphic ranges of at least 33
known actinopterygian taxa may have spanned the Devonian-Carboniferous boundary (Fig.
4), highlighting the potential extent of their cryptic diversification and survivorship.

In some cases, apparent survivorship could be artefactual, arising from backwards
extension of wastebasket taxa which share conservative fusiform morphologies. For example,
Kentuckia and *Gonatodus* are both recovered as boundary crossers, but comparison between
their respective Devonian and Carboniferous species strongly suggests that they are
polyphyletic [17,58]. In other cases, however, boundary crossers display substantial
morphological variation and are also geographically taxonomically disparate [4,59],
suggesting that their survivorship and rapid morphological innovation represent the wider
diversification dynamics that underpinned early actinopterygian success. Some early
Carboniferous taxa retain Devonian-grade anatomy, showing that survivorship was not
strictly coupled with morphological innovation [14]. High morphological diversity and
abundance displayed by actinopterygians in Tournaisian marine and freshwater deposits
[4,60,61], along with evidence for Carboniferous-grade anatomies in some Late Devonian
actinopterygians [13,62], indicates that the rapid independent acquisitions of novel body
forms across multiple lineages may span a more protracted phase of environmental
perturbation and turnover in vertebrate communities that commenced prior to the Hangenberg
event [63].

While RevBayes does not estimate true lineage durations, it can relax the assumption of
complete species sampling during inference of origination and extinction rates, with lower

sampling rates compared to PyRate supporting the expectation that their observed fossil
diversity heavily under-samples their true diversity (Fig. S1). Under this relaxed assumption,
RevBayes supports a statistically significant increase in genus and species origination rates in
the Famennian before and after spatial standardisation, providing the elevated diversification
rates needed to generate the cryptic diversity in our proposed evolutionary scenario.
Devonian actinopterygian occurrence and taxon counts remain low and so their scarcity
through most of this interval appears genuine. There is a conspicuous drop in occurrence
counts in the late Famennian following spatial standardisation, however, and so the
impression of low diversity prior to the Hangenberg event may partly reflect poor sampling.
Indeterminate fragments of Late Devonian and early Carboniferous actinopterygians are also
suggestive of their unappreciated taxonomic diversity during this critical interval in their
early history [60,64,65]. Complex taxonomic histories and imprecise assignment of numerous
actinopterygian specimens to wastebasket taxa likely masks a greater pool of Palaeozoic
species diversity than is currently appreciated, while overzealous splitting of anatomically
identical specimens will conversely overinflate diversity estimates (e.g., [66]). Future
description of actinopterygian taxa in the Famennian or improved sampling of early
Carboniferous taxa in phylogenetic trees should address this deficit, particularly given the
relative understudy of small bodied, poorly preserved Late Devonian specimens, some of
which display Carboniferous-grade morphologies [62]. Cryptic diversification and poor
sampling during the Famennian are not mutually exclusive explanations for the apparent
disconnect between elevated diversification in the Late Devonian and elevated diversity in
the Tournaisian, as a response lag between an increase in rate and its resultant product may be
further exacerbated when the latter is also under-sampled.

Results from PyRate and RevBayes both indicate cryptic diversification during the Late
Devonian, with respective decreases and increase in origination and extinction rates in the
early Carboniferous. This corroborates recent phylogenetically-derived inferences that the
recognition of diverse, morphologically distinct actinopterygian lineages in the Carboniferous
is predicated on anatomical divergences and lineage separations rooted in the Late Devonian
[13] even if Devonian actinopterygian disparity was superficially constrained [67]. These
patterns suggest that competitive exclusion by other gnathostome clades may not have been a
prevalent mechanism shaping early actinopterygian diversity as, if this were the case,
suppression of origination rates would be expected. Preserved gut contents indicate that
Devonian actinopterygians preyed upon a taxonomically diverse range of small bodied,

mobile prey [67]. This ecological generalism may help explain their stable extinction rates through the Kellwasser and Hangenberg crises by insulating them from turnover and collapse of other vertebrate groups, with previous work showing their similar apparent insensitivity to ecological perturbation during other mass extinction events [68]. Carboniferous decline in origination rates may instead reflect growing intraclade competition as actinopterygians increased in abundance and ecomorphological diversity. Increased extinction rates in the same interval may be a passive component of their greater diversity as the number of lineage terminations will still rise even if proportional extinction rates remain constant. Nonetheless, it could also reflect increased extinction risk in actinopterygian lineages with specialist morphologies and lifestyles that resulted in a naturally greater degree of environmental sensitivity, even though the early Carboniferous was a time of relative stability.

5. Conclusions

Birth-death modelling does not support exceptional shifts in actinopterygian diversification rates in the early Carboniferous, but sampling-corrected diversity patterns robustly support a marked increase in the number of actinopterygian taxa during this interval. A possible solution to this apparent contradiction is cryptic diversification of actinopterygians in the Late Devonian coupled with high lineage survivorship across the Hangenberg event. If this scenario is accurate, then formal phylogenetic analyses incorporating a greater proportion of the total known diversity of Palaeozoic actinopterygians and improved sampling of their Famennian fossil record should recover these early divergences. Future analytical gain will also come from resolution of Carboniferous wastebasket taxa through fundamental anatomical redescription. Concurrent critical revision and rejection of dubious scale-based taxa will help to focus efforts on portions of each wastebasket where resolution is even feasible, while at least some wastebasket taxa comprise specimens amenable to CT scanning [58], including three-dimensional specimens of *Kentuckia*, *Gonatodus* and *Rhadinichthy* where taxonomic resolution through robust, detailed anatomical characterisation is possible.

Regime shifts in actinopterygian diversity at local and continental scales observed in the early Carboniferous nonetheless mark the Hangenberg event as a turning point in their macroevolutionary history, with Carboniferous actinopterygians showing wider geographic distributions and ecomorphological diversity compared to in the Devonian [2]. Their increase

in taxonomic diversity may not have been driven by exceptional diversification rates, but their change in ecological prominence was still profound. A possible explanation for this is high survivorship of morphologically conservative, cryptic Devonian taxa and ecological release during the Hangenberg event resulting in the decoupling of taxonomic and ecological diversity, a pattern which is seen elsewhere in the fossil record across mass extinction boundaries [69]. Disparity analysis supports morphological conservatism amongst Devonian actinopterygians [67], but at least some the survivors may have displayed higher morphological diversity than is currently appreciated. Further work is therefore needed to ascertain how ecological release versus intraclade competition may have shaped their subsequent evolutionary radiation.

References

1. Zhu M, Zhao W, Jia L, Lu J, Qiao T, Qu Q. (2009). The oldest articulated osteichthyan reveals mosaic gnathostome characters. *Nature* **458**, 469–474.

2. Giles S, Friedman M., Brazeau, M. (2015). Osteichthyan-like cranial conditions in an Early Devonian stem gnathostome. *Nature* **520**, 82–85.

3. Schultze H, Mickle K, Poplin C, Hilton E, Grande L. (2021). *Handbook of Paleoichthyology, Volume 8A: Actinopterygii I.* Verlag Dr Friedrich Pfeil, Munich. 299p.

4. Sallan L, Coates M. (2010). End-Devonian extinction and a bottleneck in the early evolution of modern jawed vertebrates. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA.* **107**, 10131–10135.

5. Friedman M. (2015). The early evolution of ray-finned fishes. *Palaeontology* **58**, 213–228.

6. Sallan, L., Friedman, M. (2011). Heads or tails: staged diversification in vertebrate evolutionary radiations. *P. R. Soc. B.* **289**, 279, 2025–2032.

7. Sallan L, Galimberti A. (2015). Body-size reduction in vertebrates following the end-Devonian mass extinction. *Science* **350**, 812–815.

8. Friedman M, Sallan L. (2012). Five hundred million years of extinction and recovery: a Phanerozoic survey of large-scale diversity patterns in fishes. *Palaeontology* **55**, 707–742.

9. Henderson S, Dunne E, Giles S. (2022). Sampling biases obscure the early diversification of the largest living vertebrate group. *P. R. Soc. B.* **289**, 20220916.

10. Henderson S, Dunne E, Fasey S, Giles S. (2022). The early diversification of ray-finned fishes (Actinopterygii): hypotheses, challenges and future prospects. *Biol. Rev.* **98**, 284–315.

11. Clement A, King B, Giles S, Choo B, Ahlberg P, Young G, Long J. (2018). Neurocranial anatomy of an enigmatic Early Devonian fish sheds light on early osteichthyan evolution. *eLife* e34349.

12. Giles S, Xi G, Near T, Friedman M. (2017). Early members of 'living fossil' lineage imply later origin of modern ray-finned fishes. *Nature* **549**, 265–268.

13. Giles S, Feilich K, Warnock R, Piece S, Friedman M. (2023). A Late Devonian actinopterygian suggests high lineage survivorship across the end-Devonian mass extinction. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 10–19.

14. Wilson C, Pardo J, Anderson J. (2018). A primitive actinopterygian braincase from the Tournaisian of Nova Scotia. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **5**, 171727.

15. Caron A, Venkataraman V, Tietjen K, Coates M. (2023). A fish for Phoebe: a new actinopterygian from the Upper Carboniferous Coal Measures of Saddleworth, Greater Manchester, UK, and a revision of *Kansasiella eatoni. Zool. J. Linn. Soc Lond.* **198**, 957–981

16. Sallan L. (2014). Major issues in the origins of ray-finned fish (Actinopterygii) biodiversity. *Biol. Rev.* **89**, 950–971.

17. Friedman M, Blom H. (2006). A new actinopterygian from the Famennian of East Greenland and the interrelationships of Devonian ray-finned fishes. *J. Palaeontol.* **80**, 1186–1204.

18. Long J, Choo B, Young G. (2008). A new basal actinopterygian fish from the Middle Devonian. *Antarct. Sci.* **20**, 393–412.

19. Choo B, Long J, Trinajstic K. (2009). A new genus and species of basal actinopterygian fish from the Upper Devonian Gogo Formation of Western Australia. *Acta Zool.* **90**, 194–210.

20. Choo B. (2012). Revision of the actinopterygian genus *Mimipiscis* (=*Mimia*) from the Upper Devonian Gogo Formation of Western Australia and the interrelationships of the early Actinopterygii. *Earth Env. Sci. T. R. So.* **102**, 77–104.

21. Giles S, Darras L, Clément G, Blieck A, Friedman M. (2015). An exceptionally preserved Late Devonian actinopterygian provides a new model for primitive cranial anatomy in ray-finned fishes. *P. R. Soc. B* **282**, 20151485.

22. Li J, Huang J, Sukumaran J, Knowles L. (2018). Microevolutionary processes impact macroevolutionary patterns. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **18**, 123.

23. Close R, Benson R, Upchurch P, Butler R. (2017). Controlling for the species-area effect supports constrained long-term Mesozoic terrestrial vertebrate diversification. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15381.

24. Close R, Benson R, Saupe E, Clapham M, Butler R. (2020). The spatial structure of Phanerozoic marine animal diversity. *Science* **368**, 420–424.

25. Close R, Benson R, Alroy J, Carrano M, Cleary T, Dunne E, Mannion P, Uhen M, Butler R. (2020). The apparent exponential radiation of Phanerozoic land vertebrates is an artefact of spatial sampling biases. *P. R. Soc. B* **287**, 20200372.

26. Antell G, Benson R, Saupe E. (2024). Spatial standardization of taxon occurrence data – a call to action. *Paleobiology* **50**, 177–193.

27. Flannery-Sutherland J, Silvestro D, Benton M. (2022). Global diversity dynamics in the fossil record are regionally heterogeneous. *Nat. Commun.* **13**, 2751.

28. Flannery-Sutherland J, Crossan C, Myers C, Hendy A, Landman N, Witts J. (2024). Late Cretaceous ammonoids show that drivers of diversification are regionally heterogeneous. *Nat. Commun.* **15**, 5382.

29. Allen, B., Clapham, M., Saupe, E., Wignall, P., Hill, D., Dunhill, A. (2023). Estimating spatial variation in origination and extinction in deep time. *Paleobiology* **49**, 509–526.

30. Silvestro D, Salamin N, Schnitzler J. (2014). PyRate: a new program to estimate speciation and extinction rates from incomplete fossil data. *Meth. Ecol. Evol.* **5**, 1126–1131.

31. Silvestro D, Schnitzler J, Li L, Antonelli, A., Salamin, N. (2014). Bayesian estimation of speciation and extinction from incomplete fossil occurrence data. *Syst. Biol.* **63**, 349–367.

32. Silvestro D, Salamin N, Antonelli A, Meyer X. (2019). Improved estimation of macroevolutionary rates from fossil data using a Bayesian framework. *Paleobiology* **45**, 546–570.

33. Stadler T, Gavryushkina A, Warnock R, Drummond A, Heath T. (2018). The fossilized birth-death model for the analysis of stratigraphic range data under different speciation modes. *J. Theor. Biol.* **447**, 41–55.

34. Dean C, Chiarenza A, Maidment S. (2020). Formation binning: a new method for increased temporal resolution in regional studies, applied to the Late Cretaceous dinosaur fossil record of North America. *Palaeontology* **63**, 881–901

35. Kocsis A. (2021). icosa: global triangular and penta-hexagonal grids based on tessellated icosahedra. R package version 0.10.1. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=icosa.

36. Benson R, Butler R, Close R, Saupe E, Rabosky D. (2021). Biodiversity across space and time in the fossil record. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, R1225–R1236.

37. Hendricks J, Saupe E, Myers C, Hermsen E, Allmon W. (2016). The generification of the fossil record. *Paleobiology* **40**, 511–528.

38. Kocsis A, Reddin C, Alroy J, Kiessling W. (2019). The r package divDyn for quantifying diversity dynamics using fossil sampling data. *Meth. Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 735–743.

39. Hsieh T, Ma K, Chao A. (2022). iNEXT: iNterpolation and EXTrapolation for species diversity. R package version 3.0.0. http://chao.stat.nthu.edu.tw/wordpress/software-download/.

40. Alroy J. (2020). On four measures of taxonomic richness. *Paleobiology* **46**, 158–175.

41. Alroy J. (2014). Accurate and precise estimates of origination and extinction rates. *Paleobiology* **40**, 374–397.

42. Alroy J. (2015). A more precise speciation and extinction rate estimator. *Paleobiology* **41**, 633–639.

43. Warnock R, Heath T, Stadler T. (2020). Assessing the impact of incomplete species sampling on estimates of speciation and extinction rates. *Paleobiology* **46**, 137–157.

44. Pett W, Warnock R, Gavryushkina A. (2022). Macroevolutionary analysis of stratigraphic range data. RevBayes tutorial (accessed 25/04/24). https://revbayes.github.io/tutorials/fbd_range/FBD_skyline_maths.pdf.

45. Höhna S, Landis M, Heath T, Boussau B, Lartillot N, Moore B, Huelsenbeck J, Ronquist F. (2016). RevBayes: Bayesian phylogenetic inference using graphical models and an interactive model-specification language. *Syst. Biol.* **65**, 726–736.

46. Lu J, Giles S, Friedman M, den Blaauwen J, Zhu M. (2016). The oldest actinopterygian highlights the cryptic early history of the hyperdiverse ray-finned fishes. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 1602–1608.

47. Figueroa R, Weinschültz L, Friedman M. (2021). The oldest Devonian circumpolar ray-finned fish? *Biol. Lett.* **17**, 20200766.

48. Benson R, Butler R. (2011). Uncovering the diversification history of marine tetrapods: ecology influences the effect of geological sampling biases. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec. Publ.* **358**, 191–208.Benson R, Butler R, Close R, Saupe E, Rabosky D. (2021). Biodiversity across space and time in the fossil record. *Curr. Biol.* **31**, R1225–R1236.

49. Dean C, Mannion P, Butler R. (2016). Preservational bias controls the fossil record of
pterosaurs. *Palaeontology* **59**, 225–247.

50. Walker F, Dunhill A, Benton M. (2019). Variable preservation potential and richness in
the fossil record of vertebrates. *Palaeontology* **63**, 313–329.

51. Woolley C, Bottjer D, Corsetti F, Smith N. (2024). Quantifying the effects of exceptional
fossil preservation on the global availability of phylogenetic data in deep time. *PLoS ONE*
19, e0297637

52. Stamberg S. (2006). Carboniferous-Permian actinopterygian fishes of the continental
basins of the Bohemian Massif, Czech Republic: an overview. *Geol. Soc. Lond. Spec.*
Publ. **265**, 217–230.

53. Stamberg S. (2013). Knowledge of the Carboniferous and Permian actinopterygian fishes
of the Bohemian Massif – 100 years after Antonín Frič. *Acta Mus. Nat. Pragae, Ser. B* **69**,
159–181.

54. Stamberg S. (2018). Actinopterygians of the Permian locality Buxières-Les-Mines
(Bourbon l'Archambault Basin, France) and their relationship to other early
actinopterygians. *Foss. Imp.* **74**, 245–291.

55. Bakaev, A. S. (2022). On the revision of the Permian ray-finned fishes of European
Russia. Part 1. *Paleontological Journal* **56**, 564–573.

56. Bakaev, A. S. (2022). On the revision of the Permian ray-finned fishes of European
Russia. Part 2. *Paleontological Journal* **56**, 670–681.

57. Carmichael S, Waters J, Königshof P, Suttner T, Kido E. (2019). Paleogeography and
paleoenvironments of the Late Devonian Kellwasser event: a review of its
sedimentological and geochemical expression. *Global Planet. Change* **183**, 102984.

58. Igielman B, Figueroa R, Higgins R, Pierce S, Coates M, Troyer E, Fernandez V, Dollman
K, Lu J, Zhu M, et al. (2025). The lower jaw of Devonian ray-finned fishes
(Actinopterygii): anatomy, relationships, and functional morphology. Preprint at
biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.01.30.635695v1.full.

59. Holland T, Long J, Warren A, Garvey J. (2006). Second specimen of the lower
actinopterygian *Novogonatodus kasantsevae* Long 1988 from the Early Carboniferous of
Mansfield, Victoria. *P. R. Soc. Victoria* **118**, 1–10.

60. Clack J, Bennett C, Davies S, Scott A, Sherwin J, Smithson T. (2019). A Tournaisian
(earliest Carboniferous) conglomerate-preserved non-marine faunal assemblage and its
environmental and sedimentological context. *PeerJ* **3**, e5972.

61. Wilson C, Mansky C, Anderson J. (2021). A platysomid occurrence from the Tournaisian
of Nova Scotia. *Sci. Rep. UK.* **11**, 8375

62. Janvier P, Lethiers F, Monod O, Balkaş Ö. (1984). Discovery of a vertebrate fauna at the
Devonian-Carboniferous Boundary in SE Turkey (Hakkari Province). *J. Petrol. Geol.* **7**,
47–168.

63. Assemat, A., Girard, C., Joachimski, M. M., Adnet, S. (2022). Vertebrate diversity reveals
perturbations in faunal communities prior to the Hangenberg event in the Montagne Noire
(France). *Bull. Geosci.* **97**, 109–122.

64. Blieck A, Goujet D, Janvier P. (1987). The vertebrate stratigraphy of the Lower Devonian
(Red Bay Group and Wood Bay Formation) of Spitsbergen. *Mod. Geol.* **1**, 197–217.

65. Plax D. (2022). A new species of the ray-finned fish (Osteichthyes, Actinopterygii) from
the upper Emsian and lowermost Eifelian deposits of Belarus. *Lithosphere* **1**, 30–39.

66. Korn, D., Klug, C. (2007). Conch form analysis, variability, morphological disparity, and
mode of life of the Frasnian (Late Devonian) ammonoid *Manticoceras* from Coumiac
(Montagne Noire, France). In: Landman, N., Davis, R., Mapes, R. (eds.). *Cephalopods*
present and past: new insights and fresh perspectives. Dordrecht, Springer Netherlands,
57–85.

67. Troyer E, Rivero-Vega R, Cui X, Zhu M, Qiao T, Saad H, Figueroa R, Andrews J,
Clement A, Lebedev O, Higgins R, Igielman B, Pierce S, Giles S, Friedman M. (2025).
Macroevolutionary role reversals in the earliest radiation of bony fishes. *Curr. Biol.* **19**,
4631–4641.

68. Smithwick F, Stubbs T. (2018). Phanerozoic survivors: Actinopterygian evolution through
the Permo-Triassic and Triassic-Jurassic mass extinction events. *Evolution* **72**, 348–362.

69. Ruta M, Angielczyk K, Fröbisch J, Benton M. (2013). Decoupling of morphological
disparity and taxic diversity during the adaptive radiation of anomodont therapsids. *P. R.*
Soc. B. **280**, 20132414.

Acknowledgements

XXX, XXX. and XXX. were funded by a Royal Society Dorothy Hodgkin Research
Fellowship (XXX) to XXX. XXX was funded by a Royal Society Enhancement Award to

706 XXX. (grant no. XXX). We thank Emma Dunne, Richard Butler and Matt Friedman for
707 helpful discussions, and three anonymous reviewers whose comments greatly improved the
708 quality of this manuscript.

Author contributions

711 XXX and XXX conceived the study. XXX compiled the actinopterygian database at the core
712 of this work which was expanded by XXX. XXX and XXX uploaded the occurrences to the
713 PBDB. XXX carried out all analyses and wrote the manuscript with input from all authors.

Data availability

716 All fossil occurrence data used in this work is publicly available through the PBDB. All code
717 scripts and data used to run our analyses are available in the paper electronic supplement
718 (10.6084/m9.figshare.28789472). PyRate and RevBayes are freely available online
719 (github.com/dsilvestro/PyRate; revbayes.github.io/download).

Figure 1. Sampling and diversity in Palaeozoic actinopterygian fossil occurrence record.
729 The coloured ribbon and grey bars demarcate geological stages, with division of the Viséan
730 into informal early and late substages. Vertical dashed lines indicate end of period. Stage

abbreviations from left to right as follows: Lo = Lochkovian, P = Pragian, Em = Emsian, E = Eifelian, G = Givetian, Fr = Frasnian, Fa = Famennian, Tr = Tournaisian, Vi = Viséan, Sr = Serpukhovian, B = Bashkirian, M = Moscovian, K = Kasimovian, G = Gzhelian, A = Asselian, S = Sakmarian, Ar = Artinskian, Ku = Kungurian, R = Roadian, W = Wordian, C = Capitanian, Wc = Wuchiapingian, Ch = Changhsingian, I = Induan, O = Olenekian. **A.** Number of fossil occurrences through time. **B.** Geographic extent of fossil occurrences measured as the length of their minimum spanning tree (MST). **C.** Global actinopterygian genus richness measured from the total dataset using sampled-in-bin (SIB) and range-through-time (RTT) metrics. **D.** RTT global actinopterygian genus richness before and after standardisation by MST length. **E.** Sampling standardised global actinopterygian diversity estimated by coverage-based rarefaction (CBR) and Squares (SQR), before and after standardisation by MST length. **F.** Local actinopterygian genus richness measured in equal area grid cells using SIB, CBR and SQR metrics, plus mean grid cell richness through time.

Figure 2. Genus-level diversification dynamics of Palaeozoic actinopterygians. Origination (λ_g) and extinction (μ_g) rates, resultant net diversification rates, and 95% highest posterior densities were estimated under two different birth-death-sampling processes using Bayesian inference. Results in colour are from the total dataset, while results in grey are from a subsample of the dataset following spatial standardisation by minimum spanning tree length. Statistical support for the significance of extinction and origination rate shifts is displayed at the bottom of each plot. The coloured ribbon and grey bars demarcate geological stages, with division of the Viséan into informal early and late substages. Vertical dashed lines indicate end of period. Stage abbreviations as in Fig. 1. **A.** RevBayes μ_g. **B.** PyRate μ_g. **C.** RevBayes λ_g. **D.** PyRate λ_g. **E.** Genus diversification rate from RevBayes. **F.** Genus diversification rate from PyRate.

Figure 3. Species-level diversification dynamics of Palaeozoic actinopterygians. Origination (λ_s) and extinction (μ_s) rates, resultant net diversification rates, and 95% highest posterior densities were estimated under two different birth-death-sampling processes using Bayesian inference. Results in colour are from the total dataset, while results in grey are from

a subsample of the dataset following spatial standardisation by minimum spanning tree

length. Statistical support for the significance of extinction and origination rate shifts is

displayed at the bottom of each plot. The coloured ribbon and grey bars demarcate geological

stages, with division of the Viséan into informal early and late substages. Vertical dashed

lines indicate end of period. Stage abbreviations as in Fig. 1. **A.** RevBayes μ_s. **B.** PyRate μ_s.

C. RevBayes λ_s. **D.** PyRate λ_s. **E.** Species diversification rate from RevBayes. **F.** Species

diversification rate from PyRate.

Figure 4. Stratigraphic ranges of actinopterygian taxa spanning the Hangenberg event.

Sampling-corrected stratigraphic ranges were estimated using PyRate. The vertical dotted

lines mark the timing of the Kellwasser and Hangenberg events at the Frasnian-Famennian

and Devonian-Carboniferous boundaries respectively. The coloured ribbon and grey bars

demarcate geological stages. The reader is referred to the first figure caption for stage

abbreviations. Quote marks indicate suspected polyphyletic wastebasket genera. Silhouette of

Acrolepis by Tree of Life App.