A rapid transfer of virions coated with heparan sulfate from the ECM to CD151 defines an early step in the human papillomavirus infection cascade

Annika Massenberg[1], Yahya Homsi[1], Carl Niklas Schneider[1], Snježana Mikuličić[2], Tatjana Döring[2], Luise Florin[2,3,*], Thorsten Lang[1,*]

[1]University of Bonn, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Membrane Biochemistry, Life & Medical Sciences (LIMES) Institute, Carl-Troll-Straße 31, 53115 Bonn, Germany
[2]Institute for Virology, University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Obere Zahlbacher Straße 67, 55131 Mainz, Germany
[3] Research Center for Immunotherapy (FZI), University Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, 55131 Mainz, Germany

*Correspondence should be addressed to Thorsten Lang (thorsten.lang@uni-bonn.de) or Luise Florin (lflorin@uni-mainz.de)

Keywords: virus infection, primary attachment site, secondary receptor, filopodia, transport, tetraspanins

Abstract

Human Papillomaviruses (HPVs) are the underlying cause of several types of cancer, albeit they are mostly known for their association with cervical carcinoma. The virions must reach their target cells through a break in the epithelial barrier. After binding to heparan sulfate (HS) of the extracellular matrix (ECM), they translocate to the cell surface and co-internalize with the entry factor CD151.

The *in vivo* occurring translocation from the ECM to entry factors at the cell membrane may be bypassed in nonpolarized cells with low ECM secretion. To specifically investigate these early events of the infection cascade, we use polarized keratinocytes. They produce a robust ECM and have a restricted expression of entry receptors primarily to the substrate-adhered basal membrane. Virion access to the basal membrane by diffusion is strongly limited, such that translocation from the ECM is the main pathway used for infection. We block the translocation from ECM attachment sites to the cell body, release the blocking, and monitor the association of virions with CD151 or HS. We observe quick virion translocation from the ECM to the cell body within 15 min. During translocation, virions associate with the tetraspanin CD151 present at the cell border or at filopodia. Translocating virions are decorated with HS, which they lose in the next few hours, presumably prior to endocytosis.

Our observations reveal a rapid step in the HPV infection cascade: the transfer of HS-coated

virions from the ECM to CD151. This step is too fast to account for the asynchronous uptake of HPVs

which is likely driven by glycan- and capsid processing.

Introduction

Already in the 80s, Harald zur Hausen proposed a role of human papillomaviruses in cancer

(*zur Hausen, 2009*). Since then, five more classes of oncogenic viruses have been identified (*Galati et

al., 2024a*). To date, it is assumed that more than 10% of the worldwide human cancer burden is

associated with infectious agents (*Galati et al., 2024a*), from which about a half is caused by

Papillomaviridae (*Martel et al., 2017*). Thus, the understanding of viral entry strategies has

implications going beyond the classical treatment of acute viral infections.

Human papillomaviruses are small, non-enveloped viruses with a diameter of ≈55 nm. The

icosahedral capsid is mainly composed of pentameric L1 capsomers. Together with less L2 capsid

proteins, capsomers surround a histone core bearing a circular double-stranded DNA (*Baker et al.,*

1991; Ozbun and Campos, 2021). From the more than 200 phylogenetically classified HPV genotypes,

the most oncogenic ones are HPV16 and HPV18 (*Galati et al., 2024b*), which are responsible for about

70 - 80% of the cervical cancer cases (*Christiansen et al., 2015*). In addition, they cause other severe

cancers such as anogenital, head and neck tumors (*Doorbar et al., 2012*).

For papillomavirus infection, a disruption of the epithelial barrier is a prerequisite, through

which virions reach mitotically active basal cells of the epithelia (*Ozbun, 2019*). Here, virions bind to

the linear polysaccharide heparan sulfate (HS) that is present in the extracellular matrix (ECM) but as

well on the plasma membrane surface. HS is attached to proteins forming so called heparan sulfate

proteoglycans (HSPGs). Positively charged and polar residues of the L1 capsid protein form multiple

heparan sulfate binding sites that interact with negative charges of HS, resulting in a strong bond and

virus uptake into the infectious pathway (*Dasgupta et al., 2011; Giroglou et al., 2001; Joyce et al.,*

1999; Knappe et al., 2007; Surviladze et al., 2015). While in cell culture virions bind to HS on both the

cell surface and the ECM, it has been suggested that *in vivo* they bind predominantly to HS of the

extracellular basement membrane (*Day and Schelhaas, 2014; Kines et al., 2009; Ozbun and Campos,*

2021; Schiller et al., 2010). In any case, the tight bond between the linear polysaccharide and virions

must be disrupted before they can bind to a yet unknown secondary receptor on the cell surface,

followed by internalization (*Ozbun and Campos, 2021*).

The strong electrostatic bonding precludes HS release via dissociation. Two release

mechanisms are discussed, that mutually are not exclusive. In the so-called priming model, binding to

HS structurally results in capsid enlargement and softening (*Feng et al., 2024*), followed by the exposure and cleavage of L1 by kallikrein-8 (KLK8) (*Cerqueira et al., 2015*), exposure of L2 by cyclophilin (*Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2009*), and L2 cleavage by furin (*Richards et al., 2006*). After these structural modifications of the capsid surface the 'primed' virion is able to bind to the secondary receptor. In an alternative model, the HS-virion bond remains. However, cleavage of HS/HSPGs by heparanases and proteinases produces HS fragments. Therefore, albeit still bound to the virion surface, the fragmented HS no longer anchors the virion to the ECM (*Surviladze et al., 2012; Surviladze et al., 2015*).

Once the virions are released, they could reach the cell surface simply by passive diffusion. In a co-culture trans-well assay, pseudovirions (PsVs) from donor cells infect spatially separated receiver cells (*Surviladze et al., 2012*), demonstrating that free diffusion of virions may be sufficient for infection. However, an active transport mechanism has been reported as well. PsVs migrate along actin-rich protrusions from the ECM towards the cell body (*Schelhaas et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2008*).

While it is generally agreed on that HS is a primary virion attachment site, the molecular identity of the secondary receptor on the main cell body surface is unknown. The secondary complex is most likely a multimeric complex rather than a single molecular component. Possible candidates are proteins crucial for cell entry, as the tetraspanin CD151 (*Mikuličić et al., 2019; Scheffer et al., 2013; Spoden et al., 2008*), integrin-α6 (Itgα6) (*Evander et al., 1997; Yoon et al., 2001*), growth factor receptors (*Mikuličić et al., 2019; Surviladze et al., 2012*), and the annexin A2 heterotetramer (*Dziduszko and Ozbun, 2013; Woodham et al., 2012*). From these molecules, the tetraspanin CD151 could play a coordinating role, as tetraspanins organize viral entry platforms in many types of viral infections, including infections with coronavirus, cytomegalovirus, hepatitis C virus, human immunodeficiency virus, human papilloma virus, and influenza virus (*Bruening et al., 2018; Earnest et al., 2015; Florin and Lang, 2018; Hantak et al., 2019; Hochdorfer et al., 2016; Scheffer et al., 2013; Zona et al., 2013*). Tetraspanin entry platforms could form in a slow and stochastic fashion, which would provide an explanation for the asynchronous virion uptake with half-times of above 10 h (*Becker et al., 2018*). However, it is unclear whether virions associate with CD151 already in the moment of virion transfer from the ECM to the cell surface or afterwards in preparation of the endocytic uptake.

In this study, we explore the relationship between actin-dependent transport and CD151 association in HPV infection. We employ the cell permeable mycotoxin and actin polymerization inhibitor cytochalasin D (CytD). We find that CytD causes the trapping of HPV16 PsVs in the ECM of a polarized human keratinocyte cell line (HaCaT cells), where they remain accumulated adjacent to the cell periphery. This blocking of the virus transfer is accompanied by co-accumulation of HS in the ECM

area. Upon CytD removal, HS-decorated PsVs move from the ECM to the cell body and CD151. The association of PsVs with CD151 persists within the next few hours, whereas the HS coat is stripped off. These findings distinguish a step in the infection cascade with CD151 playing an early role directly after the release of virions from the ECM.

Results

Cytochalasin D arrests the translocation of HPV16 PsVs from the extracellular matrix to the cell body surface

The molecular surface of PsVs is immunologically indistinguishable from HPV virus particles (**Ozbun and Campos, 2021**), which makes them a widely used tool for studying host cell entry. In this study, we employ HPV16 pseudovirions with an encapsidated luciferase reporter plasmid under the control of the HPV16 promotor (**Wüstenhagen et al., 2018**). Hence, instead of viral DNA a luciferase encoding plasmid enters the nucleus, enabling the analysis of the infection rate via the luciferase activity. Moreover, the plasmids are composed of nucleotides to which fluorophores can be coupled by click-chemistry. This allows for their detection by fluorescence microscopy throughout the entire infection pathway.

Many PsVs that bind to the ECM may locate distal from the cell surface and are thus unable to establish direct contact with entry receptors. However, they are capable of migrating by an actin-dependent transport along cell protrusions towards the cell body (**Schelhaas et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2008**). We aimed for blocking this transport in HaCaT cells, a cell line that is widely used as a cell culture model for HPV infection. HaCaT cells closely resemble primary keratinocytes in key aspects: they are not virally transformed and produce large amounts of ECM that facilitates infection (**Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2018; Gilson et al., 2020**). In addition, HaCaT cells exhibit cellular polarity that enforces binding of virus particles to the ECM, as the virions cannot bind to receptors/entry components, such as CD151, Itgα6 and HSPGs that co-distribute on the basolateral membrane of polarized keratinocytes (**Cowin et al., 2006; Mertens et al., 1996; Sterk et al., 2000**), making them inaccessible by diffusion.

We incubate cells for 5 h with PsVs in the absence or presence of 10 µg/ml CytD. Previously, it has been shown that this CytD concentration stops cell migration after a few minutes (**Peng et al., 2011**). Frequently, we observe patches of confluent cells which are common to HaCaT cells. Cells at the center of these patches are dismissed during imaging, because there are no anterogradely migrating PsVs at these cells. A second reason for our dismissal of these cells is that hardly any PsVs are bound to them, possibly because their basal membranes are inaccessible by diffusion. Instead, we focus on isolated HaCaT cells or cells at the periphery of cell patches. At these cells, we find more PsVs per cell than one would expect from the employed 50 viral genome equivalents (vge) per cell,

indicating that PsVs are unequally distributed between the cells. Moreover, these PsVs usually are not

homogenously distributed around the cell but concentrate at one region. We investigate the

translocation of PsVs from these regions, defining ROIs for analysis that cover PsVs at the periphery

and the cell body (see Supplementary Figures 6A and 8A). After the 5 h incubation, cells were fixed

and stained by a membrane marker, the dye TMA-DPH, to mark the main cell body (Figure 1A, gray

lookup table (LUT)). Additionally, they were stained for PsVs (by click-chemistry; magenta LUT), HS

(antibody staining; cyan LUT), and the membrane protein Itgα6 (antibody staining; green LUT). In the

control, PsVs locate mostly in the cell body area (Figure 1A, upper row; see white outline that is based

on TMA-DPH staining). In contrast, when CytD was present, the cell body area is largely devoid of PsVs

(Figure 1A, lower row; please note that the large central bright area is mainly caused by

autofluorescence). Instead, PsVs often accumulate adjacent to the cell body. This adjacent region that

is up to several μm wide and rich in HS, likely contains the ECM. We conclude that, upon inhibition of

actin-dynamics by CytD, PsVs remain accumulated in the ECM area.

Comparing in Figure 1A the PsV brightness in the upper (control, magenta LUT) and lower

(CytD, magenta LUT) panels suggests that the amount of PsVs does not differ greatly. This is surprising,

as CytD is not reported to inhibit enzymes involved in capsid modification or HS/HSPGs processing,

after which the PsVs supposedly leave the ECM. If this were the case, one would expect no difference

in the number of PsVs at the periphery between cells that were treated with or without CytD for 5 h.

Therefore, we conclude that PsVs remain ECM associated while ready for binding to a secondary

receptor. However, a quantification of the PsVs with and without CytD is not possible in this

experiment, due to interference from autofluorescence (Figure 1A, large magenta area in the center).

To study PsVs in the absence of autofluorescence, we employed unroofed cells (*Heuser, 2000*), also

referred to as membrane sheets. After pre-treatment of cells as above, membrane sheets are

generated by brief ultrasound pulses that remove the upper cellular parts (and thus any intracellular

autofluorescence), leaving behind the extracellular matrix and the basal membrane along with the

bound PsVs. During imaging, membrane sheets are identified via staining of F-actin with fluorescently

labelled phalloidin (Figure 1B, green LUT) that marks the main cell body cortex together with

lamellipodia and filopodia. In this experiment we observe that PsVs, not always but frequently,

accumulate adjacent to the isolated basal membrane (defined by F-actin staining, Figure 1B, green

LUT) after CytD treatment, which differs from the control (Figure 1B, magenta LUT).

The PsV maxima density (Figure 1B, magenta LUT, detected as local maxima of antibody

stained L1) and the PsV intensity are quantified in an area covering the cell body and the periphery.

Compared to the control, CytD reduces the PsV maxima density by 26% (the PsV maxima density in

the control and CytD treated cells is 0.7 and 0.52 PsVs/μm^2, respectively), whereas the maxima

intensity increases by 41% (Figure 1C). A histogram of the PsV maxima intensities illustrates that CytD

broadens the intensity distribution towards brighter PsVs (Figure 1D). The increase of the PsV intensity

after CytD treatment can also be appreciated comparing the upper and middle/lower L1 image of

Figure 1B (shown at the same settings of brightness and contrast). As CytD is unlikely to act directly

on PsVs (e.g., by fusing them to larger and brighter particles), we assume that the underlying cause of

brighter maxima is the resolution limit of the microscope. The densely accumulated PsVs are no longer

resolved and merge to brighter spots. These poorly resolved maxima are on average 41% brighter but

of them there are 26% fewer. The decrease in maxima density is in the order of magnitude of the

increase in intensity, which yields a roughly similar total signal in both conditions.

We addressed whether PsVs would still be accumulated when adding CytD 1 h after the PsVs

rather than simultaneously (Figure 1B, lower panel, CytD after 1 h). As in the CytD condition, we

frequently see accumulated PsVs adjacent to the isolated basal membrane (Figure 1B, arrows point

towards PsV accumulations). The PsV maxima intensity distribution is broader when compared to the

control but narrower than with CytD present the entire time (Figure 1D). We conclude that complete

PsV binding and translocation takes longer than 1 h, as otherwise control and CytD after 1 h should be

more similar.

At this point, one could conclude from the data that CytD arrests PsVs on their journey

towards the cell body and that PsVs are not collected from the cells, but remain trapped in the ECM.

How efficient PsV trapping is cannot be determined exactly for several reasons. First, our assay is not

precise for technical reasons, as the above-mentioned limited resolution results in an underestimation

of the number of PsVs the stronger they are accumulated. Second, we do not know how many PsVs in

the control become endocytosed during the 5 h of incubation. This reduces the PsVs in the control,

which is our value for comparison. Moreover, it should be noted that both the degree of PsV

accumulation as well as the number of PsVs are highly variable. Even in the absence of CytD, we

occasionally find cells with accumulated PsVs at the periphery, whereas such cells are more frequently

observed after CytD. This causes a large variability within the data. Still, we consider larger PsV

accumulations after CytD as a typical effect (please note that for illustration of this effect we show in

the Figures such examples). Despite these limitations, it appears that within the 5 h incubation period

with CytD a large fraction of PsVs remains associated with the ECM.

During the CytD incubation, PsVs bind to HSPGs of the basolateral membrane for 5 h. Still, in

the cell body area hardly any PsVs are present (0.14 PsV/μm^2, Supplementary Figure 1B). In the

control, the PsV density is several-fold larger (Supplementary Figure 1B). This is expected, as the PsVs

bind to the ECM and translocate to the cell body. We wondered whether there are more binding sites

at the basal membrane that, however, remain inaccessible to PsVs by diffusion, because of the

insufficient space between glass-coverslip and basolateral membrane. For clarification, we incubated

EDTA detached HaCaT cells in suspension with PsVs for 1 h at 4 °C, followed by re-attachment for 1 h.

Under these conditions, we find a PsV density 12.4-fold larger than after 5 h of CytD incubation of

adhered cells (Supplementary Figure 1B and D). However, it should be noted that these values cannot

be directly compared. Aside from the different treatments, another difference lies in the size of the

basal membrane, as re-attachment of cells is not complete after only 1 h (compare size of adhered

membranes in Supplementary Figure 1A and C). Therefore, the imaged membranes are likely strongly

ruffled, which results in the underestimation of the size of the adhered membrane. As a result, we

overestimate the PsVs per μm^2 (please note that we cannot re-attach cells for longer times as we

would then lose PsVs due to endocytosis). On the other hand, we would underestimate the PsV density

at the basal membrane if after re-attachment we image in part also some apical membrane. In any

case, the experiment suggests that PsVs bind more efficiently if membrane surface receptors are

accessible by diffusion. This is in support of the above notion that the basal membrane may provide

more entry receptors than one would expect from the low density of PsVs bound after 5 h CytD

(Supplementary Figure 1B). This suggests that under our assay conditions, PsVs cannot easily bypass

the translocation from the ECM to the cell body by diffusing directly to the basal membrane. Hence,

the large majority of PsVs that enter the cell were previously bound to the ECM. Therefore, HaCaT

cells serve as an ideal model for studying the transfer of ECM bound HPV particles to the cell surface,

which is similar to *in vivo* infection of basal keratinocytes after binding to the basement membrane

(**Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2018; Day and Schelhaas, 2014; Kines et al., 2009; Schiller et al., 2010**).

The blocking of PsV translocation by cytochalasin D is reversible

CytD not only arrests the transport of PsVs to the cell but is known to block other actin-

dependent processes, which strongly affects the physiology of the cell. Therefore, we investigated

whether PsVs would proceed normally on their infection pathway once CytD is washed off. In order

to allow for recovery from CytD, cells were washed after the 5 h simultaneous PsV/CytD treatment,

then incubated for another 19 h and the infection rate was subsequently determined by measuring

the luciferase activity in the cell lysate. CytD reduced luciferase activity by 29%, as compared to the

control (Figure 1E; left). A certain degree of reduced infectivity is to be expected, as PsVs have ≈20%

less time to complete infection as compared to cells that were not treated with CytD. When PsVs were

not washed off after 5 h but left for the entire 24 h incubation, the infection rate increased by 52%,

whereas continuous treatment with CytD results in no infection (Figure 1E; right). The latter is in line

with previous studies (**Schelhaas et al., 2008; Selinka et al., 2002; Selinka et al., 2007; Spoden et al.,**

2013) showing that CytD is a strong inhibitor of HPV infection. In any case, PsVs proceed on the

infection pathway upon removal of CytD. The reduced infection rate of 29% can be explained by the 5 h blocking at the beginning of the incubation period that should delay the time course of infection. Therefore, we propose that CytD is suitable for transiently arresting PsVs in a state between primary attachment to HS and cell body secondary receptor binding.

Next, we investigated the onset of PsV translocation after CytD wash off. Cells were treated with PsVs and CytD for 5 h, washed, and incubated further without PsVs/CytD for 0 min, 30 min or 60 min, followed by fixation and staining for PsVs (Figure 2A, magenta LUT) and F-actin (Figure 2A, green LUT). The antibody staining against PsVs, like above the click-chemistry staining, is again highly variable. For analyzing the translocation process, we calculated the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) between PsV-L1 and F-actin staining. The PCC quantifies the similarity between two variables, in this case the pixel values of the two images. The PCC results in the value of 1 if images overlap perfectly and -1 for an image and its negative. After 5 h of CytD, PsVs again accumulate at the edge of the F-actin staining (Figure 2A, compare to Figure 1B that shows membrane sheets). The distal PsVs and the F-actin staining partially exclude each other, thus at 0 min we obtain a negative PCC (Figure 2A and D). After 30 min and 60 min, PsVs mostly overlap with F-actin stained areas, which results in positive PCCs (Figure 2A and D). The time course of the change in PCC values suggests an onset of translocation recovery within 30 min after CytD removal.

Assessing previously proposed steps in HPV infection in our assay

PsVs reportedly bind tightly to their primary attachment site until the capsid surface undergoes structural changes, which involves modifications of L1 and L2 (see above). Consequently, we would expect that inhibition of L1 processing during the CytD incubation prevents the recovery of PsV translocation from the ECM to the cell body (Figure 2A and D). To test for this possibility, as employed in earlier studies, the protease inhibitor leupeptin was used to inhibit proteases including KLK8 which is required for L1 cleavage (***Cerqueira et al., 2015***). Employing this inhibitor, the PCC between PsV-L1 and F-actin staining remains negative after CytD removal, showing that for translocation indeed the action of proteases is required (Figure 2B and D). In contrast, inhibition of L2 cleavage by a furin specific inhibitor has no effect on the PCC (Figure 2C and D). However, it should be noted that we occasionally observe PsVs not completely translocating but accumulating at the border of the F-actin stained area (for example see Figure 2C (60 min)). This results in an increase of the PCC almost equal to complete translocation, explaining why the PCC remains unaffected despite a furin inhibitory effect. Hence, furin inhibition may have some effect on translocation that, however, is undetected in this type of analysis.

As outlined above, during the 5 h incubation with CytD, proteases in the ECM are expected to

cleave HS chains. These cleavage products should be able to diffuse out of the ECM, unless they remain

associated with non-translocating PsVs. On the other hand, in the control, less HS cleavage products

are trapped and if trapped the PsV leave the ECM. So far, and throughout the entire study, we stain

the cells without prior cell permeabilization (albeit fixation perforates the cell membrane to some

extent) in order to monitor only cell surface events. Using an antibody that reacts with an epitope in

native heparan sulfate chains, only after CytD and if PsVs were present, the level of HS staining was

significantly increased (Figure 3B). As shown in Figure 3A, stronger HS staining at PsVs (open arrows)

and as well in PsV free areas (closed arrows) was observed.

As additional control and shown in Supplementary Figure 2, we used an antibody that reacts

with a HS neo-epitope generated by heparitinase-treated heparan sulfate chains (**Yokoyama et al.,**

1999; for details see methods). This neo-epitope staining is independent of the presence of CytD and

the incubation time, suggesting that CytD does not directly affect HS processing. Collectively, our

findings indicate that without actin-dependent PsV translocation HS cleavage products are retained in

the ECM, consistent with the hypothesis that cleaved HS remains associated with PsVs (**Ozbun and**

Campos, 2021).

The transfer of PsVs from the ECM to the cell body is fast and efficient

Next, we studied the time course of PsV translocation in more detail. Cells were treated as in

Figure 1A (in Figure 4, the 0 min time point is identical), followed by removal of PsVs/CytD. Different

from Figure 2, we did not calculate the PCC that provides only an approximate idea of the time course

of translocation, but monitored the diminishment of the integrated density of PsVs locating at the cell

periphery after 0, 15, 30 and 60 min. The cell periphery is delineated from the cell body by the TMA-

DPH membrane staining (Figure 4A, the cell periphery is defined by the area enclosed by the two white

lines in the images shown with the magenta LUT).

At 0 min, compared to the control, CytD causes a 6-fold increase of PsV signal in the periphery

(Figure 4B). This increase is more than halved when cells were incubated for 15 min, and after 30 min,

the level of the control is reached (Figure 4B). This suggests that the half-time of PsV translocation

from the periphery to the cell body is about 15 min. In fact, the half-time maybe longer, as we cannot

exclude that cell spreading after CytD removal contributes to less PsVs measured in the cell periphery.

In any case, translocation is fast and efficient and not a major component in the time course of

infection. We conclude that translocation is not responsible for the asynchronous virion uptake

observed for HPV PsVs.

Translocation of PsVs to CD151

During translocation, at some point PsVs may approach to cell surface HPV entry factors, like the tetraspanin CD151. For studying this possibility, we employ superresolution STED microscopy, analyzing the association of PsVs with CD151 over time. Cells are treated and monitored as above, but with an extended time window of up to 180 min, as cell surface processes are expected to take longer than an hour.

After fixation, PsVs and CD151 were double-stained with antibodies against L1 (Figure 5A, magenta LUT) and CD151 (Figure 5A, green LUT). In addition, we stained F-actin with fluorescent labelled phalloidin. We simultaneously image L1 and F-actin in the confocal and CD151 in the STED channel.

As shown in Figure 5A, CD151 concentrates in spots scattered across the cell surface. It is also present at cell protrusions that are rich in F-actin and vary strongly in number and shape (Supplementary Figure 3A shows some examples of these filopodia). Compared to the control, CytD again preserves the accumulated PsVs at the cell periphery, and at early time points PsVs appear brighter (Figure 5A; for overview images and F-actin staining see Supplementary Figure 4A and B; for variability of PsV accumulations after CytD see Supplementary Figure 4B).

Initially, we wondered whether, after reaching the cell body, PsVs are transported quickly further towards the center of the cell body. Based on the CD151 image, a cell border region was defined (for details see Supplementary Figure 3B). We counted the number of PsVs in this region, and expressed it as percentage of all PsVs in the image. In the control, the fraction of PsVs in the cell border region was rather low, ranging from 13.4% (0 min) to 7.6% (180 min). After CytD, at 0 min, compared to the control the fraction almost tripled (36.5%). It diminished to 16.9% after 60 min, and 12.3% after 180 min (Supplementary Figure 3C). This suggests that PsVs only briefly remain in the cell periphery.

The intensity of the CD151 staining between cells is highly variable (Figure 5A and B). In the control, the mean CD151 intensity shows no trend over the 180 min time course, whereas with CytD the intensity diminishes after 180 min (Figure 5B). The decrease of the CD151 staining intensity points towards the possibility that, after CytD wash off, CD151 is more strongly internalized, presumably due to co-internalization with endocytosed PsVs. This idea is supported by the observation that, in particular at CytD/180 min, we occasionally observe CD151/PsV agglomerations (Figure 5A, see structures marked by arrows at CytD/180 min, for more examples see Supplementary Figure 5A). We did not study this issue systematically, but some of these structures have clear three-dimensional extension (see Supplementary Figure 5B for axial scans). Therefore, they are likely tubular structures filled with several PsVs, as previously described by electron microscopy (*Schelhaas et al., 2012*). We observe fewer of such structures at control/180 min, probably because cells have been actively interacting with PsVs for altogether 8 h, opposed to 3 h in the CytD treated cells. Hence, in the control,

PsV endocytosis may be less synchronized compared to CytD explaining the CD151 diminishment at
CytD/180 min (Figure 5B).

For studying the association between PsVs (L1) and CD151, the PCC between the channels is
calculated. The PCCs are around 0.1, with the exception of the CytD/0 min value that is significantly
lower and even negative (Figure 5C, for PCC values of flipped images see Supplementary Figure 6).
This reflects the somewhat mutual exclusion of the two stainings as already discussed above; PsV
accumulations are at the cell periphery and the CD151 staining is mainly at the cell body and in
filopodia. At 30 min after CytD, the PCC has reached the level of 0.1 as in the other conditions,
suggesting that actin processes are required early for the PsV-CD151 association.

One would expect that the translocation of PsVs to the cell surface increases the number of
PsVs that are closely associated with CD151. As criteria for close association, we define a distance of
≤ 80 nm between PsV and CD151 maxima, a value which is close to the resolution limit of the used
microscope (***Finke et al., 2020***). In the control, the fraction of PsVs closely associated with CD151, after
correction for random background association (see Supplementary Figure 7), is 8.7% (Figure 5D,
control). At 0 min after CytD, we start with a fraction of 5.5%, a value that increases to 12.7% in the
next 60 min (CytD/60 min), although this change is not significant (Figure 5D, CytD).

Altogether, we conclude that after a 5 h pre-incubation with PsVs (Figure 5D, control), about
10% of the PsVs are associated closely with CD151 in a time window of 180 min. CytD diminishes only
the early association at 0 min (5.5%, Figure 5D, CytD). The data suggests that PsVs establish contact to
CD151 assemblies early in the infection cascade (see also the increase in the PCC after CytD between
0 and 30 min, Figure 5C).

PsV association with HS

Next, we studied the association between PsVs and HS. As a reference staining for the cell
body, we used Itgα6 that is not visible at cell protrusions. PsVs are visualized by click-chemistry and
imaged in the confocal channel. HS and Itgα6 were stained by antibodies, and imaged at STED
microscopic resolution. The three stainings were simultaneously recorded.

As shown in Figure 6A (green LUT), the Itgα6 staining results in a pattern of dense spots. The
Itgα6 intensity does not change over time (Supplementary Figure 8E). The pattern of the HS staining
(cyan LUT) and the overlap of HS with PsVs and Itgα6 are highly variable (Figure 6A).

CytD increases the intensity of HS (Figure 6B; also apparent when comparing the HS brightness
in the upper and lower panels of Figure 6A; for an overview of the CytD/0min images see
Supplementary Figure 4C). This increase of intensity is particularly notable at the 0 min time point,
where the samples treated with CytD have a more than two-fold higher intensity and differ

significantly from the control. Hence, in this experiment we reproduce the PsV/CytD mediated HS

intensity increase observed in Figure 3.

In the control, the PCC between PsVs and HS is little above zero. The largest PCC is found at

CytD/0 min, which reflects the finding that at this time point both PsVs and HS preferentially locate at

the cell periphery (Figure 6C, for PCC values of flipped images see Supplementary Figure 8). Over time,

the accumulated PsVs diminish due to translocation, which is accompanied by a PCC approaching zero,

the same value as in the control (Figure 6C). Additionally, we analyze the PCC between PsVs and HS

specifically in the cell body region, excluding the cell periphery (Figure 6D). For the control, the PCC in

the cell body region ranges between 0 and 0.05. After CytD, we observe an increase in the PCC from

0 min to 30 min and a decrease from 30 min to 180 min (for PCC values of flipped images see

Supplementary Figure 8).

When analyzing the PsVs closely associating with HS, at 0 min, CytD increases the fraction of

PsVs associated with HS more than 3-fold. Over the next 180 min, this fraction gradually decreases

until it is equal to the control value (Figure 6E).

Altogether, the analysis of the PCC between HS and PsVs (Figure 6C) along with the fraction

of closely HS associated PsVs (Figure 6E) indicates that CytD treatment increases HS/PsV association

at 0 min, likely because PsVs remain accumulated in the ECM. After CytD removal, this association

diminishes. A transient increase in PCC is observed at 30 min specifically in the region of the cell body

(Figure 6D) which likely reflects the translocation of HS-coated virions to the cell body. However, this

association also diminishes over the subsequent 150 min, suggesting that an increasing number of

PsVs are no longer associated with HS – likely due to progressive loss of the HS coat over time.

Alternatively, PsVs just may disappear after internalization.

Next, for each PsV we plot the 'distance to the next nearest Itgα6 maximum' against 'the

distance to the next nearest HS maximum' (Figure 7). In the control, the distances remain unchanged

over the entire observation time; 59% - 65% of the PsVs are at a short distance (< 250 nm) to both

Itgα6 and HS (Figure 7B, black; see also Supplementary Table 1), 12 - 15% are at a short distance (<

250 nm) to HS but not to Itgα6 (> 250 nm) (Figure 7B, green; see also dashed green box in Figure 9

marking PsVs in the ECM). Also, the distance plots are in line with the idea that the distance patterns

of PsVs in untreated cells do not change over the 180 min observation time (Figure 7C, please compare

the upper distance plots from left to right). In cells treated with CytD, a larger fraction of PsVs is

accumulated at the periphery at the 0 min time point, which is reflected in a larger fraction (46% as

opposed to 12% in the control; Figure 7B, green; Supplementary Table 1) of PsVs with a short distance

(< 250 nm) to HS but not to Itgα6. Over time, the PsVs in the CytD treated cells acquire a shorter

distance to Itgα6 and a larger distance to HS (Figure 7C, lower row). The population with short

distances to HS and large ones to Itgα6 strongly diminishes from 46% to 11% (Figure 7B, CytD, green).

After 180 min, the distances are similar to the untreated control. Between CytD/60 min and

CytD/180 min, the fraction of PsVs with a large distance (> 250 nm) to HS and a short distance (<

250 nm) to Itgα6, representing PsVs at the cell body without HS (see also dashed magenta box in

Figure 9), increases from 9.6% to 25.2% (Figure 7B, magenta). This is inconsistent with the idea of

endocytosis of HS-coated PsVs that would only diminish short distances to Itgα6 but not create long

distances to HS, which is what we observe. The observation supports the idea that PsVs lose their HS

coat after translocating to the cell surface, which is also supported by the transient increase in the PCC

between HS and PsVs of the cell body at 30 min (Figure 6D).

The movement of HS towards the cell body after removal of CytD, which indirectly

demonstrates that PsVs are coated with HS, is suggested by a shortening of the HS-Itgα6 distance over

time (Supplementary Figure 8D). Moreover, the PCC between PsVs and HS in the cell body area

increases (Figure 6D). Altogether, the data suggest that HS-coated PsVs migrate towards the cell body.

A large fraction of translocating PsVs sheds HS within one hour after removing CytD (Figure 7C, lower

panels, showing increasing PsV-HS distances). This is the time window where we notice the occurrence

of endocytic PsV/CD151 structures (see above and Supplementary Figure 5).

Actin retrograde transport, which underlies the here observed virion transport, is the

integrative result of three components (*Schelhaas et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2008*). On the one side,

actin filaments in the cell periphery are pushed into the cell body area when actin polymerizes at their

tips. The retrograde filament movement causes tension and is facilitated by F-actin degradation

opposed to the side of F-actin growth. Moreover, the motor protein myosin II exerts force on actin

filaments, pulling those towards the cell body. As CytD broadly interferes with F-actin dependent

processes, we investigated the effects upon inhibition of only one of the three components, namely

the myosin II mediated retrograde movement towards the cell body. Instead of CytD, we employed in

the 5 h preincubation the myosin II inhibitor blebbistatin. For the control (0 min), we show in Figure

8A one example of a cell with comparatively many PsVs at the periphery (as mentioned above, the

PsV pattern is highly variable) to better illustrate the difference to the PsV pattern occasionally seen

with blebbistatin. After blebbistatin treatment (0 min), PsVs are still distal to the cell body but less

dispersed than after CytD treatment, seemingly as if translocation started but stopped in the midst of

the pathway (Figure 8A, blebbistatin). The PCC between PsVs and HS, like after CytD (Figure 6C), is

elevated after blebbistatin, albeit the effect is not significant (Figure 8C). The cell body PCC, is not at

30 min (CytD) but already at 0 min elevated (compare Figure 6D to Figure 8D), which can be explained

by partial translocation. This is further supported by the fact that only 8% of PsVs are closely associated

with HS (Figure 8E; blebbistatin, 0 min) compared to 15% after CytD treatment (Figure 6E; 0 min).

Furthermore, after 0 min PsV incubation with blebbistatin we observe no effect on the HS intensity

(compare Figure 8B to Figure 3B and Figure 6B). Hence, in contrast to CytD, blebbistatin does not trap

the PsVs in the ECM where they associate with HS, but ongoing actin polymerization pushes actin

filaments along with PsVs towards the cell body.

Discussion

In this study, we investigate the early events of HPV16 infection preceding virus endocytosis.

Our findings reveal that actin dynamics of filopodia, rather than passive virion diffusion, are crucial for

releasing HS-coated virus particles from the adhesive ECM and translocating them to the cell body.

When actin-dependent processes are inhibited by CytD, HS-coated virus particles remain trapped in

the ECM, allowing for a more synchronized observation of the infection process. Once the transfer is

no longer blocked, PsVs rapidly migrate toward the cell body, associate with CD151, and shed their

HS-coat before endocytosis.

A reversible blocking of PsV translocation from the ECM to the cell body by CytD

Many viruses use cell surface HS for primary attachment, including herpes simplex virus

type 1, human cytomegalovirus, human immunodeficiency virus type 1, adenovirus type 2, dengue

virus, hepatitis B virus, and vaccinia virus (***Cagno et al., 2019; Giroglou et al., 2001; Tian et al., 2021***).

HPVs could be different as they bind as well to HS of the extracellular basement membrane, at least

in vivo during the wounding and healing processes required for infection (***Day and Schelhaas, 2014;***

Kines et al., 2009; Ozbun and Campos, 2021; Schiller et al., 2010).

By treating cells with CytD, we block actin-mediated transport, leading to a 6-fold

accumulation of PsVs at the cell periphery after 5 hours (Figure 4B). After CytD removal, infectivity is

only moderately reduced (29%) (Figure 1E), suggesting that the treatment is reversible and only

slightly harmful, if at all.

Upon CytD washout, PsVs translocate to the cell body with a half-time of ≈15 minutes, as

suggested by the diminishment of accumulated PsVs at the periphery. As mentioned above, the half-

time could be longer if cell spreading is in part responsible for the translocation of PsVs onto the cell

body. However, we assume that this is rather unlikely, as cell spreading would increase the PCC

between PsVs and F-actin under a condition where filopodia mediated transport is blocked but not

cell spreading, which is not the case (Figure 2B and D, CytD/leupeptin). Within this 15 min time frame,

PsVs associate more strongly with CD151. It is in principle possible that along with CytD removal ECM-

accumulated PsVs are merely washed off. However, after only 15 min, the effect of this could not have

been very strong, considering the still 6-fold accumulation of PsVs after the 5 h incubation with CytD.

Furthermore, CytD pre-treatment appears to synchronize uptake: We observe endocytic

structures after CytD treatment more frequently (for examples see Supplementary Figure 5) and the

CD151 intensity diminishes over time only after the CytD treatment (Figure 5B). We therefore

conclude that the processing of the PsVs in the ECM is contributing to desynchronization and is largely

completed after the 5 h pre-incubation with CytD. We estimate that about 6-fold more PsVs (Figure

2B) translocate to the cell surface in a coordinated fashion after CytD removal, which likely enhances

the number of endocytic events compared to untreated controls.

The role of actin-driven virion transport

The strong electrostatic interaction between HPV and HS implies that some processing — via

HS cleavage or structural capsid changes — is a prerequisite for the release of virions from the ECM.

CytD likely does not inhibit HS processing (***Jamieson et al., 2021***; see also Supplementary Figure 2) nor

is it likely to inhibit KLK8. Therefore, we assume that PsVs are primed after 5 h of incubation with CytD.

They may remain associated via weaker interactions, and actin-driven transport is required for pulling

the HS-coated virions out of the sticky matrix towards the cell body. Sticky PsV aggregations that are

released from HeLa cell surface after binding and blocking of the subsequent entry pathway have been

observed earlier and might reflect similar HS/PsV-stuctures (***Mikuličić et al., 2025***). Alternatively, an

ECM bound virion is not exposed to all processing factors at the same time. In this case, migration

through the ECM is additionally required to establish, one by one, contact with all processing enzymes

involved. Once the fully processed virions have reached the cell surface, detachment from the ECM

may be facilitated by new bonds established between the PsVs and cell surface receptors. Now, the

PsVs and cell surface receptors move towards the central cell body by intracellular dynamics which

results in CD151 accumulation at virus binding sites and ultimately endocytosis (see Figure 5 as well

as Supplementary Figure 5).

Both CytD and blebbistatin increase PsV-HS colocalization, but blebbistatin allows partial

translocation (compare Figure 6C and Figure 8C as well as Figure 6D and Figure 8D), indicating that

actin retrograde flow initiates movement, while myosin II contributes to sustained transport. As a

result, virus movement can proceed to some extent after blebbistatin treatment. However, the

subsequent blocking of transport at later time points reflects the inhibition of myosin II, which

eventually disrupts the cytoskeletal dynamics and force generation that is necessary for sustained

transport. In contrast, CytD directly interferes with actin polymerization, leading to an earlier and

more persistent blocking of virus transport due to structural disruption of the filopodial tracks
themselves.

Altogether, our findings support earlier reports showing that HPV utilizes filopodia for cell
entry, migrating at several micrometers per minute (*Schelhaas et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2008*). This
translocation is fast compared to the entire infection process and therefore cannot largely contribute
to the asynchronous HPV uptake. Since we use polarized cells, PsVs hardly bind to the cell surface
directly (Supplementary Figure 1). Therefore, the actin-driven virion transport plays a decisive role in
HPV infection of isolated HaCaT cells, which cannot be modelled in nonpolarized cells, where PsVs can
bind to the entire cell surface.

HaCaT cells as model system in HPV infection

Inhibition of HPV16 PsV transport diminishes infection only of subconfluent but not of
confluent HaCaT cells by about 50% (*Schelhaas et al., 2008*). Therefore, actin-dependent translocation
along protrusions may be dispensable for infection at a high cell density (*Schelhaas et al., 2008*) and
merely increases the exposure of cells to virions (*Smith et al., 2008*). However, this increased exposure
could be relevant *in vivo*, as wounding of the epidermis results in upregulation of filopodia formation
(*Vasioukhin et al., 2000*). Filopodia usage not only facilitates infection but also increases the likelihood
of virions to reach their target cells during wound healing, namely the filopodia-rich basal dividing
cells. In fact, several types of viruses exploit filopodia during virus entry (*Chang et al., 2016*), hinting
at the possibility that for HPV and other types of viruses actin-driven virion transport may play a more
important role than it is currently assumed. If this is the case, sub-confluent HaCaT cells, or even better
single HaCaT cells, would be an ideal model system for the study of these very early infection steps
that involve ECM attachment and subsequent filopodia-dependent transport. As shown in
Supplementary Figure 1, HaCaT cells have many binding sites for the HPV16 PsVs. However, as they
are polarized and the binding receptors are only at the basal membrane, they remain relatively
inaccessible by diffusion. Therefore, the ECM binding that is also observed *in vivo* (*Day and Schelhaas,*
2014) and subsequent transport via filopodia are used upon infection of HaCaT cells that locate at the
periphery of cell patches. Here, PsVs bind to the ECM which strongly enhances infection of primary
keratinocytes (*Bienkowska-Haba et al., 2018*). In contrast, HPV can readily bind to HSPGs on the cell
surface of nonpolarized cells, and by this bypasses ECM mediated virus priming and the filopodia
dependency. We propose that HaCaT cells are a valuable system for studying the very early events in
HPV infection that allows for dissecting capsid interaction with ECM resident priming factors and cell
surface receptors.

Translocating PsVs are decorated with HS which they lose over time

CytD treatment increases the HS signal when PsVs are present (Figure 3B and 6B). A relationship between PsVs and HS cleavage products has been previously suggested (***Ozbun and Campos, 2021; Surviladze et al., 2012***), and we propose that our data is in line with these previous observations. It should be noted that under conditions without the 5 h CytD blocking, PsVs may only briefly reside in the ECM and as a result are less decorated with HS. Hence, under our assay conditions the HS decoration of virions may be enhanced in comparison to other assays.

At the 0 min time point post-CytD, 15% of the PsVs are closely associated with HS (Figure 6E), but this fraction declines to 4.3% by 180 min. Considering the important role of HS as a primary attachment site, the fraction of 15% appears unexpectedly small. There are multiple possible explanations for underestimating the number of PsVs closely associated with HS. One contributing factor may be that accumulated PsVs are not well-resolved (see above), which results in an underestimation of HS associated PsVs in particular at CytD/0 min. Other explanations include that the HS antibody does not reach all HS epitopes in the dense matrix. Yet another explanation could be that PsV binding to HS and antibody binding to HS is sometimes mutually exclusive, a hypothesis which is supported by data showing that the HPV capsid possesses multiple HS binding sites (***Dasgupta et al., 2011; Richards et al., 2013***). Together, our data suggests that PsVs initially translocate with HS attached and then shed it, potentially either upon receptor binding or further capsid rearrangement. These observations are in line with a previous study showing reduced HS colocalization during productive infection (***Selinka et al., 2007***).

The initial HS-coat may facilitate the formation of a complex with PsVs and cell surface receptors such as integrins or growth factor receptors (GFRs) (***Ballut et al., 2013; Pellegrini, 2001***). This could facilitate receptor clustering and engagement as shown previously for coronavirus infection (***Bugatti et al., 2025; Clausen et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2023***), as well as the binding of signaling molecules to the cell surface (***Miladinova et al., 2022***). Here, CD151 may support receptor clustering by binding to both integrins and GFRs (***Hemler, 2005; Mikuličić et al., 2019***). In addition, earlier studies suggested that cofactors like laminin-332 and growth factors further stabilize these interactions (***Culp et al., 2006; Mikuličić et al., 2019; Richards et al., 2014; Surviladze et al., 2012***). Furthermore, the HPV capsid has multiple binding sites for distinct functions (***Richards et al., 2013***) which supports the idea that HS fulfills several roles during viral entry — first by promoting capsid attachment, then inducing conformational changes, and finally by assisting in receptor engagement and clustering. However, HS cleavage alone is not sufficient for ECM release; active transport and capsid priming remain essential (see leupeptin effect in Figure 2B and D). Diffusion may account for some virion movement, but filopodia-mediated transport dominates in this context.

PsV-CD151 association is an early contact at the cell surface

CD151 is a known mediator of HPV entry (***Scheffer et al., 2013; Spoden et al., 2008***). Our data

shows that about 10% of the PsVs are closely associated with CD151 at all post-translocation time

points. This fraction is lower at 0 min post-CytD (Figure 5D, see also lower PCC in Figure 5C), indicating

that actin disruption impairs initial CD151 engagement. Hence, the data suggest that PsVs establish

contact to CD151 assemblies shortly after translocation to the cell surface, and from then on, the

fraction of closely associated PsVs remains constant. At first glance this implies that, after the initial

formation of the PsV-CD151 assemblies, they do not change in nature. However, we observe

agglomerated CD151 maxima (locally patched maxima) close to PsVs at later time points that likely

are endocytic structures (see arrow in Figure 5A, Supplementary Figure 5). This agglomeration process

is not detected in our analysis as we only determine the next nearest CD151 assembly. Some further

CD151 reorganization into larger entry platforms has been previously observed (***Florin and Lang,***

2018). This is in accordance with the observation that virions enter the cell in crowds with many of

them occupying one endocytic organelle (***Schelhaas et al., 2012***).

For the PsVs closely associated with CD151, one may have expected a larger fraction than

10%. Given that PsVs are not supposed to bind directly to CD151 and that CD151 is likely part of a

larger membrane structure, like a tetraspanin enriched microdomain, our ≤ 80 nm distance criteria

may be too short and by this we underestimate the association frequency. Altogether, we propose

that an early contact between PsVs and the cell surface involves an assembly defined by CD151 (Figure

9).

Integration of our data into the HPV infection cascade

HPV infection is the result of several steps, starting with the initial binding of virions via

electrostatic and polar interactions (***Dasgupta et al., 2011***) to the primary attachment site HS

(***Richards et al., 2013***), which induces capsid modification (***Cerqueira et al., 2015; Feng et al., 2024***)

and HS cleavage (***Surviladze et al., 2015***), enabling the virion to be released from the ECM or the

glycocalyx. Next, virions bind to the cell surface to a secondary receptor complex that forms over time,

and become internalized via endocytosis, before they are trafficked to the nucleus (***Mikuličić et al.,***

2021; Ozbun and Campos, 2021). Regarding the transition from the primary attachment site to cell

surface binding, as already outlined in the introduction, two models are discussed. In one model,

proteases cleave the capsid proteins. After priming, the capsids are structurally modified and the

virion can dissociate from its HS attachment site. It has been suggested that capsid priming is mediated

by KLK8 (***Cerqueira et al., 2015***) and furin (***Richards et al., 2006***). In our system, KLK8 inhibition blocks

PsV transport, while furin inhibition has some effect that, however, cannot be detected in this analysis (Figure 2) suggesting furin engagement at later steps in the infection cascade. This is in line with earlier *in vitro* studies on the role of cell surface furin (**Day et al., 2008; Day and Schiller, 2009; Surviladze et al., 2015**). In any case, our results align with both models of ECM detachment: one involving HS cleavage (HS co-transfer) and another involving capsid modification (by e.g., KLK8).

We propose that after 5 h of CytD treatment, glycan-induced structural activation as well as capsid processing by proteases such as KLK8 of the capsid and HS cleavage essentially are completed ((i) in Figure 9). Subsequently, (ii) the HS decorated virion is transported from the ECM to the cell body on filopodia, which takes about 15 min. In a time window of 30 min, PsV-CD151 association occurs. (iii) In the samples recorded 30 – 180 min after CytD wash off, we observe that PsVs lose their HS-coat, and individual PsV-CD151 assemblies seem to merge into larger structures that are subsequently endocytosed. This model highlights the role of ECM interactions, actin dynamics, and receptor engagement in HPV16 entry.

Materials and Methods

Antibodies and PsVs

We used the following primary antibodies in immunostainings of proteins. For the capsid protein L1, a rabbit polyclonal antibody (pAb) K75 (diluted 1:1000) as described previously (**Knappe et al., 2007; Rommel et al., 2005**), for CD151 a mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) (1:200) (Biorad, cat# MCA1856GA), and for Itgα6 a rabbit polyclonal antibody (1:200) (Invitrogen, cat# PA5-12334) were used. For Heparan sulfate (HS) a mouse IgM monoclonal antibody (1:200) (amsbio, cat# 370255-S) was used that reacts with an epitope in native heparan sulfate chains and not with hyaluronate, chondroitin or DNA, and poorly with heparin (mAb 10E4 (**David et al., 1992**)). For HS neo-epitope (**Yokoyama et al., 1999**) detection, a mouse monoclonal antibody (1:200) (amsbio, cat#370260-S) was used that reacts only with heparitinase-treated heparan sulfate chains, proteoglycans, or tissue sections, and not with heparinase treated HSPGs. The antibody recognizes desaturated uronic acid residues (mAb 3G10 (**David et al., 1992**)). As secondary antibodies we used a STAR GREEN-coupled goat-anti-rabbit (1:200) (Abberior, cat# STGREEN-1002-500UG), an AlexaFluor 594-coupled donkey-anti-rabbit (1:200) (Invitrogen, cat# A21207), an AlexaFluor 594-coupled donkey-anti-mouse (1:200) (Invitrogen, cat# A21203), an AlexaFluor 594-coupled donkey-anti-mouse IgM (1:200) (Invitrogen, cat# A21044), a STAR RED-coupled goat-anti-mouse (1:200) (Abberior, cat# STRED-1001-500UG) and a STAR RED-coupled goat-anti-rabbit (1:200) (Abberior, cat# STRED-1002-500UG). Additionally, phalloidin iFluor488 (1:1000 of ready to use solution) (abcam, cat# ab176753) or phalloidin iFluor647

(1:1000) (abcam, cat# ab176759) was used to stain F-actin. Staining of the PsV plasmid DNA was

performed by click-labeling according to the manufacturer's instructions with the dye 6-FAM Azide

(Baseclick EdU 488 kit, Carl Roth, cat# 1Y67.1).

HPV16 PsVs were produced following established procedures (**Buck et al., 2004; Spoden et**

al., 2012). HEK293TT cells were cultured in 175 cm² flasks and transfected with polyethylenimine (PEI),

using equimolar amounts of codon-optimized HPV16 L1/L2 (pShell-16L1wt-16L2wt) and a reporter

plasmid (pGL4.20-puro-HPV16 LCR (**Wüstenhagen et al., 2018**)). For the production of 5-ethynyl-2'-

deoxyuridine (EdU)-labeled PsVs, the culture medium was replaced 5 hours post-transfection with

fresh medium containing 30 µM EdU. After 48 hours, cells were harvested, centrifuged, and washed

twice with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) supplemented with 9.5 mM $MgCl_2$ (1x PBS/ $MgCl_2$). After

the final centrifugation, the pellet was resuspended in 1x PBS/ $MgCl_2$ containing 0.5% Brij58 (Sigma-

Aldrich), and 250 units Benzonase (Merck Millipore), and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours on a rotating

platform. Subsequently, lysates were chilled on ice and the NaCl concentration was adjusted to 0.85

M. After clarification by centrifugation, the supernatant was loaded onto an iodixanol (Optiprep)

gradient consisting of 39%, 33%, and 27% layers (bottom to top). Gradients were equilibrated for 90

minutes at room temperature before ultracentrifugation at 55,000 rpm for 3.5 hours at 16°C. Fifteen

fractions of 300 µl each were collected from the top and analyzed via luciferase reporter assay to

identify peak fractions. PsV titers were determined based on packaged genomes (viral genome

equivalents, vge) as previously described (**Spoden et al., 2012**). The concentrations of stock solutions

were 7.7 x 10^6 vge/µl (for microscopy experiments) and 14.1 x 10^6 vge/µl (for the luciferase assay).

Cell culture

For microscopy, human immortalized keratinocytes (HaCaTs) (Cell Lines Services, Eppelheim,

Germany) were cultured in high glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM + GlutaMAX,

Gibco, cat# 61965-026) supplemented with 10% FBS (PAN Biotech, cat# P30-3031) and 1%

Penicillin/Streptomycin (10,000 U/ml Penicillin, 10 mg/ml Streptomycin; PAN Biotech, cat# P06-

07100) at 37 °C with 5% CO_2. For the luciferase infection assay, cells were grown at 37°C and 5% CO_2

in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM + GlutaMAX, Thermo Fisher Scientific), supplemented

with 10% FBS (Sigma-Aldrich), 1% minimum essential medium non-essential amino acids (MEM non-

essential amino acids (Thermo Fisher Scientific)), and 5 µg/ml ciprofloxacin (Fresenius Kabi). For

experiments, the antibiotics in the medium were omitted.

Sample preparation and immunostaining

About 150,000 HaCaT cells were plated onto 25 mm diameter poly-L-lysine (PLL) coated

(100 µg/ml PLL for 30 min) glass coverslips in 6-well plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2.

The next day, cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 5 h with PsVs (50 vge/plated cell) and

10 µg/ml cytochalasin D (CytD; stock solution 10 mg/ml in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)) (Life

Technologies, cat# PHZ1063) or 30 µM (−)-blebbistatin (stock solution 13.68 mM in DMSO) (Sigma-

Aldrich, cat# B0560-1MG) in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS. For controls, we added the same

amount of DMSO without CytD or blebbistatin. Cells were washed with PBS (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM

KCl, 1.76 mM KH_2PO_4, 10 mM Na_2HPO_4, pH 7.4) and fresh DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS was

added. Cells were incubated further at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 0 min (here the medium was added

followed by immediate removal), 15 min, 30 min, 60 min and 180 min.

In another set of experiments, about 150,000 HaCaT cells were plated onto 25 mm diameter

PLL coated glass coverslips in 6-well plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2. The next day,

cells were incubated at 37°C and 5% CO_2 for 5 h with PsVs (50 vge/plated cell) and 10 µg/ml CytD

either together with 100 µM leupeptin (stock solution 100 mM in ddH_2O) (Carl Roth, cat# CN33.1) or

5 µM Furin inhibitor I (stock solution 5 mM in DMSO) (Sigma-Aldrich, cat# 344930-1MG) in DMEM

supplemented with 10% FBS. For controls, we used only 10 µg/ml CytD. Cells were washed with PBS

and fresh DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS was added. Cells were incubated further at 37°C and

5% CO_2 for 0 min, 30 min and 60 min.

To analyze PsV binding to detached HaCaT cells, about 350,000 HaCaT cells per well were

plated in 6-well plates and incubated for 24 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2. The next day, cells were detached

by a 15 min incubation with 10 mM EDTA (in PBS, pH 7.4) at 37°C and 5% CO_2. Detached cells were

collected and incubated with 50 vg/cell in DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS under constant rotation

at 4 °C for 1 h. Cells were washed three times with PBS at 4 °C to remove any unbound PsVs. Then,

cells were seeded onto 25 mm diameter PLL coated glass coverslips in 6-well plates and incubated for

1 h at 37°C and 5% CO_2.

Before staining, cells were washed twice with PBS and fixed at room temperature (RT) with

4% PFA in PBS for 30 min, unless membrane sheets were generated. In this case, the coverslips were

placed in ice cold sonication buffer (120 mM KGlu, 20 mM KAc, 10 mM EGTA, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.2)

and a 100 ms ultrasound pulse at 100% power was applied. This was repeated until in total about 10

pulses were applied at different locations of the coverslip. Then, membrane sheets were fixed like

cells at RT with 4% PFA in PBS for 30 min. PFA was removed, and residual PFA was quenched by 50 mM

NH_4Cl in PBS for 30 min. Afterwards, samples were blocked with 3% BSA in PBS for 30 min. Staining of

PsVs was performed by click-labeling with the dye 6-FAM for 30 min at RT according to the

manufacturer's instructions. Then, samples were washed three times with PBS. In case of no PsV

labeling by click-chemistry, directly after blocking, the respective primary antibodies were added: mouse IgM mAb against HS (1:200), mouse mAb against Δ-HS (1:200), rabbit pAb against Itgα6 (1:200), mouse mAb against CD151 (1:200) and rabbit pAb K75 against L1 (1:1000) in 3% BSA in PBS for 2 h. Samples were washed three times with PBS before adding the respective secondary antibodies and fluorescent labelled phalloidins in 3% BSA in PBS for 1 h: for HS we added AlexaFluor 594 coupled to donkey-anti-mouse IgM (1:200), for Δ-HS AlexaFluor 594 coupled to donkey-anti-mouse (1:200), for Itgα6 STAR RED coupled to goat-anti-rabbit (1:200), for CD151 AlexaFluor 594 coupled to donkey-anti-mouse (1:200) or STAR RED coupled to goat-anti-mouse (1:200), for L1 AlexaFluor 594 coupled to donkey-anti-rabbit (1:200) or STAR GREEN coupled to goat-anti-rabbit (1:200) and for F-actin phalloidin iFluor488 (1:1000) or phalloidin iFluor647 (1:1000). Afterwards, samples were washed three times with PBS, followed by mounting of the coverslips onto microscopy slides using ProLong Gold antifade mounting medium (Invitrogen, cat# P36930).

Confocal and STED microscopy

For confocal and STED microscopy, samples were imaged employing a 4-channel STED microscope from Abberior Instruments. The microscope is based on an Olympus IX83 confocal microscope equipped with an UPlanSApo 100x (1.4 NA) objective (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). Confocal and STED micrographs were recorded simultaneously. For confocal imaging, a 488 nm laser was used for the excitation of 6-FAM, STAR GREEN and phalloidin iFluor488, and emission was detected at 500–550 nm. For STED imaging, a 561 nm laser (at 45%) was used for the excitation of AlexaFluor 594 (detection at 580–630 nm) and a 640 nm laser (at 45%) for the excitation of STAR RED and iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (detection at 650-720 nm), in combination with a 775 nm laser for depletion (at 45%). The pixel size was set to 25 nm, for overview images a pixel size of 250 nm was used.

Analysis of confocal and STED micrographs

Images were analyzed using Fiji ImageJ (**Schindelin et al., 2012**) in combination with a custom written macro, essentially as described previously (**Schmidt et al., 2024**). When analyzing double stainings of Alexa594 (red channel) and STAR RED (long red channel), we corrected for crosstalk from the red into the long red channel by subtracting 40% of the intensity of the red channel image from the long red channel image.

First, images were smoothed with a Gaussian blur to improve maxima detection. For confocal PsV-DNA micrographs, we employed a Gaussian blur of σ = 1, and for confocal PsV-L1 and STED micrographs a Gaussian blur of σ = 0.5. Local maxima were detected using the 'Find Maxima' function (noise tolerance 60 for L1 if stained together with phalloidin iFluor488, and 80 if stained together with

CD151, HS, or phalloidin iFluor647, 3 for click-labeled PsVs, 8 for CD151, 6 for HS and 15 for Itgα6),

yielding maxima positions in pixel positions. For analysis, regions of interest (ROIs) were defined (for

details see figure legends).

In order to define the cell border region (Supplementary Figure 3B), the ImageJ 'Make Binary'

function was used on CD151 STED micrographs for generating a binary mask. When possible, the

'Wand' tool was used to further outline the area covered by the cell, otherwise the same was done

manually using the original micrograph as a reference. From the outline in the binary mask, a ROI was

created, that was then filled in white with the 'Flood Fill' Tool. The ROI was shrunk to a 20-pixel broad

strip, and cleared outside of the strip, what leaves a 20-pixel broad, closed ribbon marking the

intracellular side of the cell border that, however, exhibits arbitrary edges produced in the process

above. These edges were removed by manual adjustments with the 'Pencil' tool and the clear

function. Afterwards, the ROI defines the intracellular side of the cell border. The ROI was

symmetrically broadened by 40 pixels (using the 'Enlarge' function). From the now at least 60 pixels

broad ROI, approximately two thirds covered the intracellular and one third the extracellular side. In

this ROI, using the ImageJ 'Find Maxima' function, PsV-L1 maxima were detected (at a noise tolerance

of 80) with a custom written macro in ImageJ both in the cell border region ROI and in a ROI covering

the entire micrograph (excluding a 2-pixel edge). From these values, the percentage of PsVs within the

cell border region was calculated.

For measuring intensity over time, we measured in the ROI the mean gray value. For

background correction, we subtracted the mean gray value measured in a ROI next to the cell.

For measuring maxima intensity, a ROI with a diameter of 125 nm (5 pixels) was placed onto

the determined maxima positions (see above). Using these ROIs, the average mean gray value of each

maximum was measured. The average background mean gray value was measured in a ROI placed

next to the cell and subtracted from the average mean gray value of the maxima. For each membrane

sheet, the average maxima intensity was calculated, followed by averaging of the values of the

membrane sheets.

To measure the shortest distance, e.g., between PsV and CD151 maxima, as a quality control

for the PsV maxima (CD151 maxima do not undergo the quality control step) we placed onto each

maximum position a horizontal and a vertical linescan (31 pixels long × 3 pixels width). Afterwards, we

fitted a Gaussian distribution to the intensity distribution of each maximum. Only if at least one of the

fits exhibits a fit quality of $R^2 > 0.8$ and if the Gaussian maximum located central to the intensity

distribution the maximum was included in the further analysis. Moreover, using a 125 nm ROI at the

PsV and CD151 maxima positions, the center of mass of fluorescence was determined, yielding the

maxima positions in sub-pixels. Based on these positions we further calculated the shortest distance

of a PsV maximum to the nearest CD151 maximum.

Using the shortest distances, we either calculated the fraction of closely associated PsVs (PsVs

with a distance ≤ 80 nm to e.g., CD151) over time, or the average shortest distance over time. The

fraction of closely associated PsVs was corrected for random background association that increases

with the maxima density. The relationship between background association and maxima density was

expressed by a linear equation obtained from the same images re-analyzed after flipping them

horizontally and vertically (see e.g., Supplementary Figure 7).

The Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) was calculated with a custom written macro in

ImageJ. As a control, we performed the analysis on horizontally and vertically flipped ROI-defined

images as well (see e.g., Supplementary Figure 6A). The cell body PCC between PsVs and HS is

measured in smaller ROIs covering exclusively the cell body region (this is confirmed with the Itgα6 or

F-actin image as reference).

Per condition and biological replicate, 14 - 15 images were analyzed and values were

averaged. For images from one set of experiments, in the figure the same channels are shown at the

same settings of brightness and contrast, if not stated otherwise.

Epi-fluorescence microscopy and image analysis

For epifluorescence microscopy, we used microscopic equipment and settings as previously

described (**Homsi et al., 2014**) except of the illumination system, which was replaced by a SPECTRA X

Light engine; Lumencor, Beaverton, OR, USA). In brief, PFA-fixed cells were imaged in a chamber filled

with 1 ml of PBS to which 50 μl of a saturated solution of TMA-DPH [1-(4-tri-methyl-ammonium-

phenyl)-6-phenyl-1,3,5-hexatriene-p-toluenesulfonate (T204; Thermofisher)] in PBS was added, for

visualizing the membranes in the blue channel. PsVs, HS and Itgα6 were imaged in the green, red and

far-red channels, respectively.

For analysis, rectangular ROIs of ≈ 45 x 10^3 pixel were placed onto the cell body such that

approximately one half of the ROI covers the ECM area and the other one the cell body. The cell

periphery was defined using the TMA-DPH image from which a binary mask was created. With

reference to the binary mask, a band of up to 30 pixels width was created beginning at the cell body

and reaching out towards the cell periphery. Using this ROI, in the PsV-DNA image the size of the ROI

and the mean gray values were measured from which the integrated intensities were calculated after

background correction.

Luciferase infection assay

HaCaT cells were grown in 24-well plates and allowed to adhere overnight. The following day,

cells were treated with HPV16 PsVs at a concentration of approximately 100 vge per cell, in the

presence or absence of 10 µg/ml cytochalasin D (CytD) in DMSO or an equivalent amount of DMSO

(Control). In one condition, PsVs/CytD were applied for 5 hours, after which the medium was replaced

with fresh medium lacking the compounds, and incubation was continued for additional 19 hours (in

total 24 h). In another condition, cells were exposed to PsVs/CytD continuously for the full 24 h period.

Then, cells were washed with PBS and lysed using 1 x Cell Culture Lysis Reagent (Promega, cat# E153A).

Following high-speed centrifugation, luciferase activity in the cleared lysates was quantified using an

LB 942 Tristar 3 Multimode Microplate Reader (Berthold Technologies). Cytotoxic effects,

accompanied by a loss of membrane integrity (indicated by released lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)),

were determined by measuring the LDH levels in the cell lysates. LDH activity was assessed using the

CytoTox-ONE Homogeneous Membrane Integrity Assay (Promega, cat# G7891). LDH fluorescence was

quantified according to the manufacturer's instructions using the LB 942 Tristar 3 Multimode

Microplate Reader.

Statistics

Microscopy data sets were based on three biological replicates. One replicate includes per

time point the average of 14 - 15 images analyzed (with exception of Figure 4, which includes 14 – 35

analyzed cells per replicate and time point). For the infection assay, data sets were based on three

biological replicates. One biological replicate is the average of three technical replicates. Data was

tested for significance with a two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test with significance $* = p < 0.05$,

$** = p < 0.01$ and $*** = p < 0.001$.

Acknowledgement

LF and TL were funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation),

Projektnummer 322863883 (LF and TL) and Projektnummer 270976260 (TL).

References

Baker TS, Newcomb WW, Olson NH, Cowsert LM, Olson C, Brown JC. 1991. Structures of bovine and
human papillomaviruses. Analysis by cryoelectron microscopy and three-dimensional image
reconstruction. *Biophysical journal* **60**:1445–1456. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(91)82181-6.
Ballut L, Sapay N, Chautard E, Imberty A, Ricard-Blum S. 2013. Mapping of heparin/heparan sulfate
binding sites on αvβ3 integrin by molecular docking. *Journal of molecular recognition : JMR* **26**:76–
85. doi: 10.1002/jmr.2250.

Becker M, Greune L, Schmidt MA, Schelhaas M. 2018. Extracellular Conformational Changes in the
Capsid of Human Papillomaviruses Contribute to Asynchronous Uptake into Host Cells. *Journal of*
virology **92**. doi: 10.1128/jvi.02106-17.
Bienkowska-Haba M, Luszczek W, Myers JE, Keiffer TR, DiGiuseppe S, Polk P, Bodily JM, Scott RS,
Sapp M. 2018. A new cell culture model to genetically dissect the complete human papillomavirus
life cycle. *PLoS Pathogens* **14**:e1006846. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1006846.
Bienkowska-Haba M, Patel HD, Sapp M. 2009. Target cell cyclophilins facilitate human
papillomavirus type 16 infection. *PLoS Pathogens* **5**:e1000524. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000524.
Bruening J, Lasswitz L, Banse P, Kahl S, Marinach C, Vondran FW, Kaderali L, Silvie O, Pietschmann T,
Meissner F, Gerold G. 2018. Hepatitis C virus enters liver cells using the CD81 receptor complex
proteins calpain-5 and CBLB. *PLoS Pathogens* **14**:e1007111. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007111.
Buck CB, Pastrana DV, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. 2004. Efficient intracellular assembly of papillomaviral
vectors. *Journal of virology* **78**:751–757. doi: 10.1128/jvi.78.2.751-757.2004.
Bugatti A, Zani A, Bardelli M, Giovanetti M, Ravelli C, Ciccozzi M, Caruso A, Caccuri F. 2025. Heparan
sulfate proteoglycans remodel SARS-CoV-2 spike conformation to allow integrin interaction and
infection of endothelial cells. *Frontiers in cellular and infection microbiology* **15**:1552116.
doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2025.1552116.
Cagno V, Tseligka ED, Jones ST, Tapparel C. 2019. Heparan Sulfate Proteoglycans and Viral
Attachment: True Receptors or Adaptation Bias? *Viruses* **11**. doi: 10.3390/v11070596.
Cerqueira C, Samperio Ventayol P, Vogeley C, Schelhaas M. 2015. Kallikrein-8 Proteolytically
Processes Human Papillomaviruses in the Extracellular Space To Facilitate Entry into Host Cells.
Journal of virology **89**:7038–7052. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00234-15.
Chang K, Baginski J, Hassan SF, Volin M, Shukla D, Tiwari V. 2016. Filopodia and Viruses: An Analysis
of Membrane Processes in Entry Mechanisms. *Frontiers in microbiology* **7**:300.
doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00300.
Christiansen IK, Sandve GK, Schmitz M, Dürst M, Hovig E. 2015. Transcriptionally active regions are
the preferred targets for chromosomal HPV integration in cervical carcinogenesis. *PLoS one*
10:e0119566. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0119566.
Clausen TM, Sandoval DR, Spliid CB, Pihl J, Perrett HR, Painter CD, Narayanan A, Majowicz SA, Kwong
EM, McVicar RN, Thacker BE, Glass CA, Yang Z, Torres JL, Golden GJ, Bartels PL, Porell RN,
Garretson AF, Laubach L, Feldman J, Yin X, Pu Y, Hauser BM, Caradonna TM, Kellman BP, Martino
C, Gordts PLSM, Chanda SK, Schmidt AG, Godula K, Leibel SL, Jose J, Corbett KD, Ward AB, Carlin
AF, Esko JD. 2020. SARS-CoV-2 Infection Depends on Cellular Heparan Sulfate and ACE2. *Cell*
183:1043-1057.e15. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.09.033.
Cowin AJ, Adams D, Geary SM, Wright MD, Jones JCR, Ashman LK. 2006. Wound healing is defective
in mice lacking tetraspanin CD151. *The Journal of investigative dermatology* **126**:680–689.
doi: 10.1038/sj.jid.5700142.
Culp TD, Budgeon LR, Marinkovich MP, Meneguzzi G, Christensen ND. 2006. Keratinocyte-secreted
laminin 5 can function as a transient receptor for human papillomaviruses by binding virions and
transferring them to adjacent cells. *Journal of virology* **80**:8940–8950. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00724-06.
Dasgupta J, Bienkowska-Haba M, Ortega ME, Patel HD, Bodevin S, Spillmann D, Bishop B, Sapp M,
Chen XS. 2011. Structural basis of oligosaccharide receptor recognition by human papillomavirus.
The Journal of biological chemistry **286**:2617–2624. doi: 10.1074/jbc.m110.160184.
David G, Bai XM, van der Schueren B, Cassiman JJ, van den Berghe H. 1992. Developmental changes
in heparan sulfate expression: in situ detection with mAbs. *The Journal of cell biology* **119**:961–
975. doi: 10.1083/jcb.119.4.961.

Day PM, Gambhira R, Roden RBS, Lowy DR, Schiller JT. 2008. Mechanisms of human papillomavirus
type 16 neutralization by l2 cross-neutralizing and l1 type-specific antibodies. *Journal of virology*
82:4638–4646. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00143-08.
Day PM, Schelhaas M. 2014. Concepts of papillomavirus entry into host cells. *Current opinion in*
virology **4**:24–31. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2013.11.002.
Day PM, Schiller JT. 2009. The role of furin in papillomavirus infection. *Future microbiology* **4**:1255–
1262. doi: 10.2217/fmb.09.86.
Doorbar J, Quint W, Banks L, Bravo IG, Stoler M, Broker TR, Stanley MA. 2012. The biology and life-
cycle of human papillomaviruses. *Vaccine* **30 Suppl 5**:F55-70. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.06.083.
Dziduszko A, Ozbun MA. 2013. Annexin A2 and S100A10 regulate human papillomavirus type 16
entry and intracellular trafficking in human keratinocytes. *Journal of virology* **87**:7502–7515.
doi: 10.1128/JVI.00519-13.
Earnest JT, Hantak MP, Park J-E, Gallagher T. 2015. Coronavirus and influenza virus proteolytic
priming takes place in tetraspanin-enriched membrane microdomains. *Journal of virology*
89:6093–6104. doi: 10.1128/JVI.00543-15.
Evander M, Frazer IH, Payne E, Qi YM, Hengst K, McMillan NA. 1997. Identification of the alpha6
integrin as a candidate receptor for papillomaviruses. *Journal of virology* **71**:2449–2456.
doi: 10.1128/JVI.71.3.2449-2456.1997.
Feng Y, van Bodegraven D, Kádek A, L B Munguira I, Soria-Martinez L, Nentwich S, Saha S, Chardon F,
Kavan D, Uetrecht C, Schelhaas M, Roos WH. 2024. Glycan-induced structural activation softens
the human papillomavirus capsid for entry through reduction of intercapsomere flexibility. *Nature*
communications **15**:10076. doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-54373-0.
Finke J, Mikuličić S, Loster A-L, Gawlitza A, Florin L, Lang T. 2020. Anatomy of a viral entry platform
differentially functionalized by integrins α3 and α6. *Scientific reports* **10**:5356.
doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-62202-9.
Florin L, Lang T. 2018. Tetraspanin Assemblies in Virus Infection. *Frontiers in immunology* **9**:1140.
doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01140.
Galati L, Chiantore MV, Marinaro M, Di Bonito P. 2024a. Human Oncogenic Viruses: Characteristics
and Prevention Strategies-Lessons Learned from Human Papillomaviruses. *Viruses* **16**.
doi: 10.3390/v16030416.
Galati L, Di Bonito P, Marinaro M, Chiantore MV, Gheit T. 2024b. HPV16 Phylogenetic Variants in
Anogenital and Head and Neck Cancers: State of the Art and Perspectives. *Viruses* **16**.
doi: 10.3390/v16060904.
Gilson TD, Gibson RT, Androphy EJ. 2020. Optimization of human papillomavirus-based pseudovirus
techniques for efficient gene transfer. *Scientific reports* **10**:15517. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-
72027-1.
Giroglou T, Florin L, Schäfer F, Streeck RE, Sapp M. 2001. Human papillomavirus infection requires
cell surface heparan sulfate. *Journal of virology* **75**:1565–1570. doi: 10.1128/JVI.75.3.1565-
1570.2001.
Hantak MP, Qing E, Earnest JT, Gallagher T. 2019. Tetraspanins: Architects of Viral Entry and Exit
Platforms. *Journal of virology* **93**. doi: 10.1128/JVI.01429-17.
Hemler ME. 2005. Tetraspanin functions and associated microdomains. *Nature reviews. Molecular*
cell biology **6**:801–811. doi: 10.1038/nrm1736.
Heuser J. 2000. The production of 'cell cortices' for light and electron microscopy. *Traffic*
(Copenhagen, Denmark) **1**:545–552. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-0854.2000.010704.x.
Hochdorfer D, Florin L, Sinzger C, Lieber D. 2016. Tetraspanin CD151 Promotes Initial Events in
Human Cytomegalovirus Infection. *Journal of virology* **90**:6430–6442. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00145-16.

Homsi Y, Schloetel J-G, Scheffer KD, Schmidt TH, Destainville N, Florin L, Lang T. 2014. The
extracellular δ-domain is essential for the formation of CD81 tetraspanin webs. *Biophysical journal*
107:100–113. doi: 10.1016/j.bpj.2014.05.028.
Jamieson RR, Stasiak SE, Polio SR, Augspurg RD, McCormick CA, Ruberti JW, Parameswaran H. 2021.
Stiffening of the extracellular matrix is a sufficient condition for airway hyperreactivity. *Journal of*
applied physiology (Bethesda, Md. : 1985) **130**:1635–1645. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00554.2020.
Joyce JG, Tung JS, Przysiecki CT, Cook JC, Lehman ED, Sands JA, Jansen KU, Keller PM. 1999. The L1
major capsid protein of human papillomavirus type 11 recombinant virus-like particles interacts
with heparin and cell-surface glycosaminoglycans on human keratinocytes. *The Journal of*
biological chemistry **274**:5810–5822. doi: 10.1074/jbc.274.9.5810.
Kines RC, Thompson CD, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, Day PM. 2009. The initial steps leading to
papillomavirus infection occur on the basement membrane prior to cell surface binding.
Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **106**:20458–
20463. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0908502106.
Knappe M, Bodevin S, Selinka H-C, Spillmann D, Streeck RE, Chen XS, Lindahl U, Sapp M. 2007.
Surface-exposed amino acid residues of HPV16 L1 protein mediating interaction with cell surface
heparan sulfate. *The Journal of biological chemistry* **282**:27913–27922.
doi: 10.1074/jbc.m705127200.
Martel C de, Plummer M, Vignat J, Franceschi S. 2017. Worldwide burden of cancer attributable to
HPV by site, country and HPV type. *International journal of cancer* **141**:664–670.
doi: 10.1002/ijc.30716.
Mertens G, van der Schueren B, van den Berghe H, David G. 1996. Heparan sulfate expression in
polarized epithelial cells: the apical sorting of glypican (GPI-anchored proteoglycan) is inversely
related to its heparan sulfate content. *The Journal of cell biology* **132**:487–497.
doi: 10.1083/jcb.132.3.487.
Mikuličić S, Finke J, Boukhallouk F, Wüstenhagen E, Sons D, Homsi Y, Reiss K, Lang T, Florin L. 2019.
ADAM17-dependent signaling is required for oncogenic human papillomavirus entry platform
assembly. *eLife* **8**. doi: 10.7554/eLife.44345.
Mikuličić S, Massenberg A, Döring T, Brandenburg K, Lang T, Florin L. 2025. HSPG-binding peptide
Pep19-2.5 is a potent inhibitor of HPV16 infection. *Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy*
69:e0157524. doi: 10.1128/aac.01575-24.
Mikuličić S, Strunk J, Florin L. 2021. HPV16 Entry into Epithelial Cells: Running a Gauntlet. *Viruses* **13**.
doi: 10.3390/v13122460.
Miladinova E, Lilkova E, Krachmarova E, Malinova K, Petkov P, Ilieva N, Nacheva G, Litov L. 2022.
Heparan Sulfate Facilitates Binding of hIFNγ to Its Cell-Surface Receptor hIFNGR1. *International*
journal of molecular sciences **23**. doi: 10.3390/ijms23169415.
Ozbun MA. 2019. Extracellular events impacting human papillomavirus infections: Epithelial
wounding to cell signaling involved in virus entry. *Papillomavirus research (Amsterdam,*
Netherlands) **7**:188–192. doi: 10.1016/j.pvr.2019.04.009.
Ozbun MA, Campos SK. 2021. The long and winding road: human papillomavirus entry and
subcellular trafficking. *Current opinion in virology* **50**:76–86. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2021.07.010.
Pellegrini L. 2001. Role of heparan sulfate in fibroblast growth factor signalling: a structural view.
Current opinion in structural biology **11**:629–634. doi: 10.1016/s0959-440x(00)00258-x.
Peng GE, Wilson SR, Weiner OD. 2011. A pharmacological cocktail for arresting actin dynamics in
living cells. *Molecular biology of the cell* **22**:3986–3994. doi: 10.1091/mbc.E11-04-0379.
Richards KF, Bienkowska-Haba M, Dasgupta J, Chen XS, Sapp M. 2013. Multiple heparan sulfate
binding site engagements are required for the infectious entry of human papillomavirus type 16.
Journal of virology **87**:11426–11437. doi: 10.1128/jvi.01721-13.

Richards KF, Mukherjee S, Bienkowska-Haba M, Pang J, Sapp M. 2014. Human papillomavirus
species-specific interaction with the basement membrane-resident non-heparan sulfate receptor.
Viruses **6**:4856–4879. doi: 10.3390/v6124856.

Richards RM, Lowy DR, Schiller JT, Day PM. 2006. Cleavage of the papillomavirus minor capsid
protein, L2, at a furin consensus site is necessary for infection. *Proceedings of the National*
Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **103**:1522–1527.
doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508815103.

Rommel O, Dillner J, Fligge C, Bergsdorf C, Wang X, Selinka H-C, Sapp M. 2005. Heparan sulfate
proteoglycans interact exclusively with conformationally intact HPV L1 assemblies: basis for a
virus-like particle ELISA. *Journal of medical virology* **75**:114–121. doi: 10.1002/jmv.20245.

Scheffer KD, Gawlitza A, Spoden GA, Zhang XA, Lambert C, Berditchevski F, Florin L. 2013.
Tetraspanin CD151 mediates papillomavirus type 16 endocytosis. *Journal of virology* **87**:3435–
3446. doi: 10.1128/JVI.02906-12.

Schelhaas M, Ewers H, Rajamäki M-L, Day PM, Schiller JT, Helenius A. 2008. Human papillomavirus
type 16 entry: retrograde cell surface transport along actin-rich protrusions. *PLoS Pathogens*
4:e1000148. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1000148.

Schelhaas M, Shah B, Holzer M, Blattmann P, Kühling L, Day PM, Schiller JT, Helenius A. 2012. Entry
of human papillomavirus type 16 by actin-dependent, clathrin- and lipid raft-independent
endocytosis. *PLoS Pathogens* **8**:e1002657. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002657.

Schiller JT, Day PM, Kines RC. 2010. Current understanding of the mechanism of HPV infection.
Gynecologic oncology **118**:S12-7. doi: 10.1016/j.ygyno.2010.04.004.

Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C,
Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez J-Y, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A. 2012.
Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. *Nature methods* **9**:676–682.
doi: 10.1038/nmeth.2019.

Schmidt SC, Massenberg A, Homsi Y, Sons D, Lang T. 2024. Microscopic clusters feature the
composition of biochemical tetraspanin-assemblies and constitute building-blocks of tetraspanin
enriched domains. *Scientific reports* **14**:2093. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-52615-1.

Selinka H-C, Florin L, Patel HD, Freitag K, Schmidtke M, Makarov VA, Sapp M. 2007. Inhibition of
transfer to secondary receptors by heparan sulfate-binding drug or antibody induces
noninfectious uptake of human papillomavirus. *Journal of virology* **81**:10970–10980.
doi: 10.1128/jvi.00998-07.

Selinka H-C, Giroglou T, Sapp M. 2002. Analysis of the infectious entry pathway of human
papillomavirus type 33 pseudovirions. *Virology* **299**:279–287. doi: 10.1006/viro.2001.1493.

Smith JL, Lidke DS, Ozbun MA. 2008. Virus activated filopodia promote human papillomavirus type
31 uptake from the extracellular matrix. *Virology* **381**:16–21. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2008.08.040.

Spoden G, Freitag K, Husmann M, Boller K, Sapp M, Lambert C, Florin L. 2008. Clathrin- and caveolin-
independent entry of human papillomavirus type 16--involvement of tetraspanin-enriched
microdomains (TEMs). *PLoS one* **3**:e3313. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003313.

Spoden G, Kühling L, Cordes N, Frenzel B, Sapp M, Boller K, Florin L, Schelhaas M. 2013. Human
papillomavirus types 16, 18, and 31 share similar endocytic requirements for entry. *Journal of*
virology **87**:7765–7773. doi: 10.1128/jvi.00370-13.

Spoden GA, Besold K, Krauter S, Plachter B, Hanik N, Kilbinger AFM, Lambert C, Florin L. 2012.
Polyethylenimine is a strong inhibitor of human papillomavirus and cytomegalovirus infection.
Antimicrobial agents and chemotherapy **56**:75–82. doi: 10.1128/aac.05147-11.

Sterk LM, Geuijen CA, Oomen LC, Calafat J, Janssen H, Sonnenberg A. 2000. The tetraspan molecule
CD151, a novel constituent of hemidesmosomes, associates with the integrin alpha6beta4 and

may regulate the spatial organization of hemidesmosomes. *The Journal of cell biology* **149**:969–
982. doi: 10.1083/jcb.149.4.969.

Surviladze Z, Dziduszko A, Ozbun MA. 2012. Essential Roles for Soluble Virion-Associated Heparan
Sulfonated Proteoglycans and Growth Factors in Human Papillomavirus Infections. *PLoS*
Pathogens **8**:e1002519. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002519.

Surviladze Z, Sterkand RT, Ozbun MA. 2015. Interaction of human papillomavirus type 16 particles
with heparan sulfate and syndecan-1 molecules in the keratinocyte extracellular matrix plays an
active role in infection. *The Journal of general virology* **96**:2232–2241. doi: 10.1099/vir.0.000147.

Tian J, Li C, Li W. 2021. Entry of hepatitis B virus: going beyond NTCP to the nucleus. *Current opinion*
in virology **50**:97–102. doi: 10.1016/j.coviro.2021.08.001.

Vasioukhin V, Bauer C, Yin M, Fuchs E. 2000. Directed actin polymerization is the driving force for
epithelial cell-cell adhesion. *Cell* **100**:209–219. doi: 10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81559-7.

Woodham AW, Da Silva DM, Skeate JG, Raff AB, Ambroso MR, Brand HE, Isas JM, Langen R, Kast
WM. 2012. The S100A10 subunit of the annexin A2 heterotetramer facilitates L2-mediated human
papillomavirus infection. *PLoS one* **7**:e43519. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0043519.

Wüstenhagen E, Boukhallouk F, Negwer I, Rajalingam K, Stubenrauch F, Florin L. 2018. The Myb-
related protein MYPOP is a novel intrinsic host restriction factor of oncogenic human
papillomaviruses. *Oncogene* **37**:6275–6284. doi: 10.1038/s41388-018-0398-6.

Yokoyama H, Sato K, Okudaira M, Morita C, Takahashi C, Suzuki D, Sakai H, Iwamoto Y. 1999. Serum
and urinary concentrations of heparan sulfate in patients with diabetic nephropathy. *Kidney*
international **56**:650–658. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1755.1999.00591.x.

Yoon CS, Kim KD, Park SN, Cheong SW. 2001. alpha(6) Integrin is the main receptor of human
papillomavirus type 16 VLP. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications* **283**:668–673.
doi: 10.1006/bbrc.2001.4838.

Zhang Q, Tang W, Stancanelli E, Jung E, Syed Z, Pagadala V, Saidi L, Chen CZ, Gao P, Xu M, Pavlinov I,
Li B, Huang W, Chen L, Liu J, Xie H, Zheng W, Ye Y. 2023. Host heparan sulfate promotes ACE2
super-cluster assembly and enhances SARS-CoV-2-associated syncytium formation. *Nature*
communications **14**:5777. doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-41453-w.

Zona L, Lupberger J, Sidahmed-Adrar N, Thumann C, Harris HJ, Barnes A, Florentin J, Tawar RG, Xiao
F, Turek M, Durand SC, Duong FHT, Heim MH, Cosset F-L, Hirsch I, Samuel D, Brino L, Zeisel MB, Le
Naour F, McKeating JA, Baumert TF. 2013. HRas signal transduction promotes hepatitis C virus cell
entry by triggering assembly of the host tetraspanin receptor complex. *Cell host & microbe*
13:302–313. doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2013.02.006.

zur Hausen H. 2009. The search for infectious causes of human cancers: where and why (Nobel
lecture). *Angewandte Chemie (International ed. in English)* **48**:5798–5808.
doi: 10.1002/anie.200901917.

1076

1077
1078 **Figure 1.** *CytD arrests PsV translocation from the ECM to the cell body.*
1079 (A) In the absence (Control) or presence of 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD), HaCaT cells were incubated with
1080 PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h. Then, cells were fixed, washed and stained with the cell membrane dye TMA-
1081 DPH (gray lookup table (LUT)). PsVs (magenta LUT) were visualized through coupling a dye (6-FAM
1082 Azide) to the encapsidated plasmid by click-chemistry. Indirect immunolabeling was employed for

staining of HS (AlexaFluor 594; cyan LUT) and Itgα6 (STARRED; green LUT). Imaging was realized with epi-fluorescence microscopy. White lines delineate the main cell body; lines were created with reference to the TMA-DPH membrane staining. (B) Same pre-treatment of cells as in (A), with an additional condition where CytD was added 1 h after the PsVs (CytD after 1 h). Prior to fixation, membrane sheets were generated and F-actin was stained with phalloidin coupled to iFluor488 (green LUT). The capsid protein L1 of the PsVs and CD151 were stained by immunofluorescence using primary antibodies in combination with AlexaFluor 594-labelled (L1, magenta LUT) and STAR RED-labelled (CD151, not shown in this figure for clarity reasons) secondary antibodies. Images of phalloidin and L1 staining were acquired in the confocal and STED mode of a STED microscope, respectively. Arrows in the PsV-L1 images point towards accumulated PsVs that after CytD are more frequently observed than in the control (see text). (C) Analysis of images as shown in (B) using ROIs covering the whole image. PsV maxima were detected and their intensities were quantified in a circular 125 nm diameter region of interest (ROI), followed by background correction. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3; one biological replicate includes per condition the average of 14 - 15 analyzed membranes (intensity values of one membrane sheet were averaged) with altogether at least 1000 maxima intensity values). (D) PsV maxima intensity distribution of the data in (C). The fraction of PsVs, expressed in percent, is plotted as histogram (10 a.u. bins) against the maxima intensity. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3). (E) HaCaT cells were treated either for 5 h or 24 h with PsVs, together without (Control) or with 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD). In case of the 5 h incubation, after removal of the PsVs/CytD cells were incubated for another 19 h in medium (in total 24 h). After a total of 24 h incubation, the luciferase activity of lysed cells was measured, yielding the infection rate that was normalized to LDH, resulting in the normalized infection rate. The normalized infection rate was further related to the mean normalized infection rate of the 5 h control, set to 100%, yielding the relative infection rate. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates; the value of one biological replicate is the average of three technical replicates). (C) and (E). Statistical differences between Control and CytD was analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's t-test (n = 3, for details see methods). a.u., arbitrary units.

1110
1111
Figure 2. *Transfer of PsVs from the ECM to the cell body requires PsV priming.*
HaCaT cells were pre-incubated for 5 h at 37 °C with PsVs, in the presence of (A) 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD),
(B) 10 µg/ml CytD and 100 µM leupeptin (CytD/leupeptin), or (C) 10 µg/ml CytD and 5 µM Furin
inhibitor I (CytD/Furin inhibitor I). Afterwards, cells were washed and incubated without
PsVs/inhibitors further for 0 min, 30 min or 60 min, before they were fixed and stained by indirect
immunofluorescence for L1 (STAR GREEN, magenta LUT) and for F-actin by iFluor647-labelled
phalloidin (green LUT). PsVs-L1 and F-actin staining were imaged in the confocal mode of a STED
microscope. (D) For determination of the Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) between PsV-L1
(magenta LUT) and Phalloidin (green LUT), we placed large ROIs onto the images that covered mainly
the cell body but included parts of the cell periphery as well. The PCC was plotted over time. Values
are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical difference between CytD and
CytD/inhibitors was analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see
methods).

1125
Figure 3. *Increased HS intensity after incubation with PsVs and CytD.*
(A) HaCaT cells were incubated without (top) and with (bottom) PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence
(Control, upper panels) or presence of 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD, lower panels). Afterwards, cells were
washed, fixed and stained. Immunofluorescence was used for L1 (STAR GREEN; magenta LUT) and for
HS (AlexaFluor 594; cyan LUT). F-actin was stained by iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (green LUT). PsVs-
L1, HS and F-actin staining were imaged in the confocal mode of a STED microscope. The open arrow
marks a region where PsVs overlap with HS. The closed arrow marks a region devoid of PsVs showing
strong HS staining. (B) For analysis of the mean HS intensity, we placed large ROIs onto the images
covering mainly the cell body but including parts of the cell periphery as well. Values are given as
means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between Control and CytD were
analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1137
Figure 4. *Fast diminishment of accumulated PsVs at the cell periphery after removal of CytD.*
(A) HaCaT cells were incubated with PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence (Control) or presence of
10 µg/ml CytD (CytD). Then, cells were washed and incubated for the indicated time periods without
PsVs/CytD, before they were fixed and stained as in Figure 1 (t = 0 min is identical to Figure 1A; for
clarity we show only the membrane (gray LUT; images are shown at different settings of brightness
and contrast) and the PsV-DNA staining (magenta LUT; images are shown at the same settings of
brightness and contrast). The white lines in the membrane images delineate the cell body from the
periphery. They were created with reference to the membrane staining (for details see methods).
Using the cell body delineation as starting point, an up to 30-pixel broad area was created (PsV
channel, magenta LUT; see area enclosed by the smoother white lines and the cell body delineation
lines). The area enclosed by the two white lines defines the cell periphery. (B) The PsV-DNA signal of
the periphery was quantified as integrated density, background corrected, and plotted over time.
Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). The statistical difference between the
same time points of Control and CytD were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test
(n = 3, for details see methods).

1153
Figure 5. *Association between PsVs and CD151 is an early event in the infection cascade.*
(A) HaCaT cells were incubated with PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence (Control, upper panels) or
presence of 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD, lower panels). Afterwards, cells were washed and incubated without
PsVs/CytD further for 0 min, 30 min, 60 min or 180 min, before they were fixed and stained by indirect
immunofluorescence for L1 (STAR GREEN; magenta LUT) and for CD151 (AlexaFluor 594; green LUT),
and for F-actin by iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (here not shown for clarity, please see Supplementary
Figure 3 for F-actin illustrating the variability of filopodia after CytD treatment). The bottom rows show
magnified views of the merged images from the regions marked by the white boxes. PsV-L1 and F-
actin staining were imaged in the confocal and CD151 staining in the STED mode of a STED microscope,
respectively. Therefore, compared to CD151, the PsVs are less resolved and also appear much larger
than their real physical size (see magnified views). CytD/180 min, arrows mark presumably endocytic
structures that formed in the central cell body region (for more examples see Supplementary Figure
5). For analysis, we placed large ROIs onto the images that covered mainly the cell body but included

parts of the cell periphery as well (for an example ROI see Supplementary Figure 6A). (B) The mean CD151 intensity was measured and plotted over time. (C) The PCC between PsV-L1 (magenta LUT) and CD151 (green LUT) was calculated and plotted over time. (D) The fraction of PsVs (in percent) that have a distance to the next neighbored CD151 maximum ≤ 80 nm, which we define as closely associated, is plotted over time. Please note that the values in (D) were corrected for random background association (for details see Supplementary Figure 7). Two examples of PsVs (each marked by an asterisk) from the CytD/30 min (left) and CytD/60 min (right) conditions are shown. The value in the upper left states the shortest distance between the PsV and the next nearest CD151 maximum (marked by an arrow) in nm. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of Control and CytD were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1178
Figure 6. *Association between PsVs and HS.*
(A) HaCaT cells were incubated with PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence (Control, upper panels) or
presence of 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD, lower panels). Afterwards, cells were washed and incubated without

PsVs/CytD further for up to 180 min, before they were fixed and stained. PsVs (magenta LUT) were
visualized by click-chemistry (6-FAM Azide) and indirect immunolabeling was used for HS (AlexaFluor
594; cyan LUT) and for Itgα6 (STAR RED; green LUT). The bottom rows show magnified views of the
white boxes in the merged images. PsV-DNA staining was imaged in the confocal and HS and Itgα6
staining in the STED mode of a STED microscope. For analysis, we placed large ROIs onto the images
that covered mainly the cell body but included parts of the cell periphery as well (see example in
Supplementary Figure 8A). For (D), smaller ROIs covering only the cell body region were used. (B) The
mean HS intensity plotted over time. (C) The PCC between PsV-DNA (magenta LUT) and HS (cyan LUT)
over time. (D) The PCC between PsV-DNA (magenta LUT) and HS (cyan LUT) in the region of the cell
body over time. (E) The fraction of PsVs (in percent) closely associating with HS (distance ≤ 80 nm)
plotted over time (for background correction see Supplementary Figure 9). Two examples of PsVs
(each marked by an asterisk) from the CytD/0 min condition are shown. The value in the upper left
corner states the shortest distance (in nm) between the marked PsV and its next nearest HS maximum
(marked by an arrow). Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Using the two-
tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3 biological replicates), we analyzed in (B), (C) and (E) the
statistical differences between the same time points of Control and CytD, and in (D) the difference
between CytD/30 min and CytD/0 min or CytD/180 min (for details see methods).
1199

Figure 7. *PsV-Itgα6 and PsV-HS distances over time.*
(A) Definition of four PsV populations based on the PsV distances to Itgα6 and HS (the plot is taken from Control, 0 min and shown again in C). Dashed green rectangle, PsVs with a distance to HS < 250 nm and to Itgα6 > 250 nm. Dashed magenta rectangle, PsVs with a distance to HS > 250 nm and to Itgα6 < 250 nm. Dashed gray square, PsVs with a distance to HS > 250 nm and to Itgα6 > 250 nm. PsVs not included in the previous categories have a distance to HS < 250 nm and to Itgα6 < 250 nm (dashed black square). (B) From the PsVs analyzed in Figure 6, for the Control (left) and CytD (right) the PsV fraction size (in percent) of each population is illustrated. Shown are the means of three biological replicates. For means ± SD and statistical analysis see Supplementary Table 1. (C) For the Control (top) and CytD (bottom), for each PsV, we plotted the shortest distance to Itgα6 against the shortest distance to HS (pooling the three biological replicates; 3,043 – 4,080 PsVs per plot).

1213
1214 **Figure 8.** *Association between PsVs and HS after blebbistatin treatment.*
1215 (A) HaCaT cells were incubated with PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence (Control, upper panels) or
1216 presence of 30 µM blebbistatin (Blebbistatin, lower panels). Afterwards, cells were washed and

incubated without PsVs/blebbistatin further for up to 180 min, before they were fixed and stained. Immunofluorescence was used for L1 (STAR GREEN; magenta LUT) and HS (AlexaFluor 594; cyan LUT) staining. F-actin was stained by iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (green LUT). The bottom rows show magnified views of the white boxes in the merged images. PsVs and F-actin staining were imaged in the confocal and HS staining in the STED mode of a STED microscope. For analysis, we placed large ROIs onto the images that covered mainly the cell body but included parts of the cell periphery as well. For (D), smaller ROIs covering only the cell body region were used. (B) Mean HS intensity over time. (C) PCC between PsV-L1 (magenta LUT) and HS (cyan LUT) over time. (D) PCC between PsV-L1 (magenta LUT) and HS (cyan LUT) in the region of the cell body over time. (E) The fraction of PsVs (in percent) closely associating with HS (distance ≤ 80 nm) plotted over time (for background correction see Supplementary Figure 11). Two examples of PsVs (each marked by an asterisk) from the blebbistatin/0 min condition are shown. The value in the upper left states the shortest distance between the PsV and its next nearest HS maximum (marked by an arrow) in nm. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of Control and Blebbistatin were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3), but the analysis yielded no p-values below 0.05.

Figure 9. *Model of ECM events, actin transport, and receptor engagement in HPV16 entry.*
(i) During 5 h of incubation with CytD, the PsVs bind to HS of the ECM, are primed, and become coated with HS cleavage products, enabling them for cell surface receptor engagement. (ii) After CytD removal, within 15 min, HS-decorated viruses move along filopodia to the cell body and associate with CD151 assemblies (completed within 30 min), likely located at the filopodia. (iii) Eventually, they lose their HS coat, and individual HPV16-CD151 assemblies agglomerate into larger structures (platforms), which are subsequently endocytosed. Dashed rectangles mark PsVs representing populations as defined in Figure 7. Dashed green rectangle, PsVs with a distance to HS < 250 nm and to Itgα6 > 250 nm. Dashed black rectangle, PsVs with a distance to HS < 250 nm and to Itgα6 < 250 nm. Dashed magenta rectangle, PsVs with a distance to HS > 250 nm and to Itgα6 < 250 nm.

1245 **Supplementary information**

1246

1247
Supplementary Figure 1. *PsV density at the adhered membrane of substrate-adhered grown and re-*
attached HaCaT cells.
(A) The shown HaCaT cells are from the 0 min time point (top, Control; bottom, CytD) of the
experiment described in Figure 5. Only the PsV-L1 (STAR GREEN; magenta LUT) and the F-actin
phalloidin (iFluor647; green LUT) staining are shown. (B) In order to count the PsVs at the basal
membrane, we placed ROIs covering only the cell body. Within these ROIs the PsV maxima were
counted and related to the size of the analyzed area. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological
replicates). Statistical differences between Control and CytD were analyzed by using the two-tailed,
unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3), but the analysis yielded no p-value below 0.05. (C) HaCaT cells were
detached by a 15 min incubation with 10 mM EDTA (in PBS) and incubated with PsVs at 4 °C for 1 h
under constant rotation. After washing the cells three times with PBS, they were seeded onto PLL-
coated glass coverslips for 1 h. Then, cells were washed, fixed and stained for L1 (STAR GREEN;
magenta LUT) by indirect immunofluorescence and for F-actin by iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (green
LUT). PsV-L1 and F-actin staining were imaged as in (A) in the confocal mode of a STED microscope.
(D) Analysis as in (B). Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates).

1263
1264
Supplementary Figure 2. *HS neo-epitope (Δ-HS) staining intensity after CytD treatment.*
(A) HaCaT cells were incubated with PsVs at 37 °C for 5 h, in the absence (Control, upper panels) or presence of 10 µg/ml CytD (CytD, lower panels). Afterwards, cells were washed and incubated without PsVs/CytD further for up to 180 min, before they were fixed and stained. Immunofluorescence was used for the visualization of L1 (STAR GREEN; magenta LUT) and the HS neo-epitope, referred to as Δ-HS (AlexaFluor 594; cyan LUT). F-actin was stained by iFluor647-labelled phalloidin (green LUT). PsV-L1, Δ-HS and F-actin staining were imaged in the confocal mode of a STED microscope. For analysis, we placed large ROIs onto the images that covered mainly the cell body but included parts of the cell periphery as well. (B) The mean Δ-HS intensity over time. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of Control and CytD were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3), but the analysis yielded no p-values below 0.05.

Supplementary Figure 3. *Variability of filopodia/diminishment of PsVs from the cell border region after*
CytD removal.
(A) More images from the experiment described in Figure 5. We observed that many cells exhibit
filopodia. Due to the large variability in number and shape we cannot show a representative image.
Instead, we present for the CytD condition (0 min and 30 min) a variety of cells with CD151 positive
filopodia. (B) Based on the CD151 image (green LUT), a cell border strip is defined and broadened by
20 pixels on each side, in the following referred to as the cell border region (for details see materials
and methods). Please note that the cell border region is different from the cell periphery described in
Figure 4 that delineates the cell body from the periphery. Instead, the cell border region covers both
sides of the cell border (approximately two thirds inside and one third outside of the cell; for details
see methods). (C) Diminishment of PsV maxima from the cell border region over time, expressed as
percentage of all PsVs present in the image. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates).
Statistical differences between the same time points of Control and CytD were analyzed by using the
two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see materials and methods).
1292

1293

Supplementary Figure 4. *Overview images.*
(A) Upper row, micrographs from Figure 5, CytD/0min, showing as well F-actin staining (green LUT) and CD151 using the cyan LUT. Lower row, confocal overview. After taking the overview, the area in the white box was imaged as described in the legend of Figure 5, yielding the images shown in the upper row in A. (B) More overview images from the experiment described in Figure 5, condition CytD/0 min, showing examples of large and small PsV accumulations. (C) Upper row, micrographs from Figure 6, CytD/0 min. Lower row, confocal overview. The area in the white box was imaged as described in the legend of Figure 6, yielding the images of the upper row in C.

Supplementary Figure 5. *Examples of agglomerated CD151 maxima associated with PsVs that presumably represent endocytic structures.*

(A) From the CytD/180 min time point described in Figure 5, we show more examples of agglomerated CD151 maxima (green LUT, see green patches) that associate with PsVs (magenta LUT). (B) Same experiment as in (A). Starting at the basal membrane, at confocal resolution we imaged CD151 (green LUT) and PsV-L1 (magenta LUT). Cells were further scanned by 400 nm steps in the axial direction. Some of the CD151 agglomerates noticed in the basal membranes appear to continue deeper into the cell, more than a micrometer in the second example from the left. We propose that the agglomerated CD151 maxima close to PsVs feature the characteristics of endocytic structures, as CD151 has been shown to co-internalize with PsVs (*Scheffer et al., 2013*), and as these structures invaginate into the cell, like PsV filled tubular organelles previously described by electron microscopy (*Schelhaas et al.,*

1314   *2012*). Images in (A) and (B) are shown at the same settings of brightness and contrast but at different
1315   settings compared to Figure 5.
1316

1317
Supplementary Figure 6. *PCC values of flipped images.*
(A) For each image pair, we determined the PCC on respective non-overlapping images that have the
same density of objects and intensities. To this end, one channel was flipped horizontally and
vertically. Left, original images (from the CytD/0 min condition). The ROI for analysis is illustrated as
white box. Right, in the ROI, the CD151 image (green LUT) was flipped horizontally and vertically. (B +
C) Shown are the PCCs over time of Figure 5C obtained on the original images ((B) Control, (C) CytD)
together with the PCCs of the flipped images. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological
replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of original and flipped images was
analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1327
Supplementary Figure 7. *Background correction of the fraction of PsVs closely associated with CD151.*
(A) The fraction of closely associated PsVs (PsV-L1 maxima with a distance ≤ 80 nm to the next nearest
CD151 maximum) in the Control of Figure 5 was analyzed on original and flipped images (for an
example of a flipped image see Supplementary Figure 6A). On flipped images, we often find values
more than half of the values of the original images, demonstrating that many PsVs have a distance
≤ 80 nm to CD151 merely by chance (background association). (B) Same as (A), for CytD. (C) Each time
point in (A) and (B) obtained from flipped images is the average of three biological replicates. We use
these altogether 24 data points, plotting the fraction of closely associated PsVs against the CD151
maxima density. The fraction increases with the maxima density, as the chance of random association
increases with the maxima density. The fitted linear regression line describes the dependence of the
background association from the maxima density. As a result, the background association (y) can be
calculated for any maxima density (x) in original images with the equation y = 2.04x. Please note that
the CytD/0 min may be overcorrected as we subtract background association with reference to the
CD151 maxima density of the entire ROI (for an example ROI see Supplementary Figure 6A), although
the local maxima density at distal PsVs is lower. On the other hand, PsVs at the cell border may have
a larger local CD151 maxima density and consequently are undercorrected. (D) and (E), for each
original and flipped image of (A) and (B), the background was calculated and subtracted from the
uncorrected value. The original background corrected values in (D) and (E) are shown in Figure 5D.

Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between the same
time points of original and flipped images were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-
test (n = 3, for details see methods).
1349

Supplementary Figure 8. *PCC values of flipped images, HS-Itgα6 shortest distance and Itgα6 intensity.*
(A) For each image pair, we determined the PCC on respective non-overlapping images that have the
same density of objects and intensities. To this end, one channel was flipped horizontally and
vertically. Left, original images (from the CytD/0 min condition). The ROI for analysis is illustrated as
white box. Right, in the ROI, the HS image (cyan LUT) was flipped horizontally and vertically. (B + C)

Shown are the PCCs over time of Figure 6C obtained with the original images ((B) Control, (C) CytD) together with the PCCs of the flipped images. (D) The shortest distance of HS maxima to the next nearest Itgα6 maxima over time. (E) The mean Itgα6 intensity over time. (F + G) Shown are the PCCs over time of Figure 6D obtained with the original images ((F) Control, (G) CytD) together with the PCCs of the flipped images. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of original and flipped images ((B), (C), (F) and (G)) or Control and CytD (E). (D) Difference between CytD/0 min and CytD/180 min. Statistical differences were analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1365

Supplementary Figure 9. *Background correction of the fraction of PsVs closely associated with HS.*

(A) The fraction of closely associated PsVs (PsV-DNA maxima with a distance ≤ 80 nm to the next

nearest HS maximum) in the Control of Figure 6 was analyzed on original and flipped images (for an

example of a flipped image see Supplementary Figure 8A). (B) Same as (A), for CytD. (C) From the

altogether 24 analyzed flipped images, we obtained the equation y = 1.85x for calculating the

background association (please see Supplementary Figure 7 for more details). (D) and (E), background

corrected graphs of (A) and (B). For each original and flipped image the background was calculated

and subtracted from the uncorrected value. The original background corrected values in (D) and (E)

are shown in Figure 6E. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical

differences between the same time points of original and flipped images were analyzed by using the

two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1377

Supplementary Figure 10. *PCC values of flipped images.*

(A) and (B) The PCC values between PsV and HS of Figure 8C ((A) Control, (B) Blebbistatin) plotted

together with the respective PCC values of flipped images. (C) and (D) The cell body PCC values

between PsV and HS of Figure 8D ((C) Control, (D) Blebbistatin) plotted together with the respective

PCC values of flipped images. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological replicates). Statistical

differences between the same time points of original and flipped images were analyzed using the two-

tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

1385
Supplementary Figure 11. *Background correction of the fraction of PsVs closely associated with HS*
upon blebbistatin treatment.
(A) The fraction of closely associated PsVs (PsV-L1 maxima with a distance ≤ 80 nm to the next nearest
HS maximum) in the Control of Figure 8 was analyzed on original and flipped images. (B) Same as (A),
for blebbistatin. (C) From the altogether 24 analyzed flipped images, we obtained the equation y =
2.15x for calculating the background association (please see Supplementary Figure 7 for more details).
(D) and (E), background corrected graphs of (A) and (B). For each original and flipped image the
background was calculated and subtracted from the uncorrected value. The original background
corrected values in (D) and (E) are shown in Figure 8E. Values are given as means ± SD (n = 3 biological
replicates). Statistical differences between the same time points of original and flipped images were
analyzed by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n = 3, for details see methods).

Supplementary Table 1. Fraction of PsVs in percent of each of the four distance categories (see left
column). Values are means ± SD of the data shown in Figure 7B. For each time point and category, p-
values between Control and CytD were calculated by using the two-tailed, unpaired student's *t*-test (n
= 3 biological replicates). P-values < 0.05 are illustrated in bold.

	0 min			30 min			60 min			180 min		
	Control [%]	CytD [%]	p-value	Control [%]	CytD [%]	p-value	Control [%]	CytD [%]	p-value	Control [%]	CytD [%]	p-value
HS < 250 nm & Itgα6 < 250 nm	62.31 ± 5.05	47.83 ±3.26	**0.0271**	65.48 ± 8.35	63.67 ± 1.14	0.7764	59.06 ± 10.64	67.16 ± 9.84	0.4739	63.69 ± 4.27	59.28 ± 3.17	0.3065
HS < 250 nm & Itgα6 > 250 nm	12.18 ± 5.51	46.04 ± 3.67	**0.0019**	15.46 ± 3.14	26.12 ± 2.16	**0.0167**	13.25 ± 1.94	19.87 ± 3.12	0.0637	11.97 ± 1.53	10.64 ± 1.15	0.3808
HS > 250 nm & Itgα6 < 250 nm	21.59 ± 4.07	4.34 ± 0.37	**0.0040**	15.83 ± 5.73	8.58 ± 2.33	0.1723	23.49 ± 6.55	9.60 ± 4.81	0.0731	20.88 ± 3.31	25.24 ± 1.96	0.1842
HS > 250 nm & Itgα6 > 250 nm	3.93 ± 0.30	1.79 ± 0.60	**0.0110**	3.23 ± 0.88	1.63 ± 0.21	0.0675	4.19 ± 2.43	3.38 ± 2.20	0.7425	3.46 ± 0.54	4.84 ± 2.87	0.5393