In vivo CRISPR screening identifies regulators of hyperplastic and hypertrophic adipose remodelling in zebrafish

Rebecca Wafer*, Panna Tandon* and James E. N. Minchin[#]

Centre for Cardiovascular Science, College of Medicine and Veterinary Medicine, University of Edinburgh, Scotland, United Kingdom

* Indicates equal contributions. # indicates author for correspondence: james.minchin@ed.ac.uk

Abstract

Adipose tissues exhibit a remarkable capacity to expand, regress, and remodel in response to energy status. The cellular mechanisms underlying adipose remodelling are central to metabolic health. Hypertrophic remodelling - characterised by the enlargement of existing adipocytes - is associated with insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. In contrast, hyperplastic remodelling – in which new adipocytes are generated - is linked to improved metabolic outcomes. Despite its clinical importance, the regulation of hypertrophic and hyperplastic adipose remodelling remains poorly understood. In this study, we first leveraged human genetic and transcriptomic data to identify candidate genes involved in adipose remodelling. We then developed a quantitative imaging pipeline to assess hyperplastic and hypertrophic morphology in zebrafish subcutaneous adipose tissue, and applied it in an F0 CRISPR mutagenesis screen targeting 25 candidate genes. This screen identified six genes that significantly altered adipose morphology; including Sushi Repeat Containing Protein (Srpx) - a gene with previously unknown roles in adipose. Among the identified genes, *foxp1b* mutants were notable for inducing hypertrophic morphology. To investigate further, we generated stable loss-of-function alleles for both zebrafish *foxp1* genes. We found that *foxp1b* mutants display a developmental bias towards hypertrophic adipose growth but fail to undergo further hypertrophic remodelling in response to a high-fat diet - suggesting that early developmental patterning constrains later adaptability to diet. Together, these findings establish a scalable and tractable in vivo screening platform for identifying regulators of adipose remodelling, and reveal a potential developmental influence on the capacity for diet-induced adipose expansion.

Introduction

Adipose tissues exhibit a remarkable capacity to remodel and restructure themselves in response to the energetic status, the environment, physiological demands, or disease. Adipose remodelling involves alterations in both adipocyte number (addition or removal of adipocytes) and adipocyte size (expansion or reduction in adipocyte size). Increases in adipocyte size (hypertrophy) can trigger hypoxia, necrosis, altered lipid flux, inflammation and insulin resistance (1–3). Accordingly, a hypertrophic adipose morphology – adipose characterised by few, large adipocytes – is associated with increased cardiometabolic disease (4–6). Contrastingly, a hyperplastic adipose morphology - characterised by many, small adipocytes - is associated with more metabolically beneficial outcomes (7,8). Indeed, reductions in the hyperplastic potential of mouse adipose is associated with decreased insulin sensitivity (9), and disruption of adipocyte progenitor differentiation, proliferation and renewal leads to adipose hypertrophy and ensuing metabolic dysfunction (10). Strikingly, adipose hypertrophy is increased and preadipocyte frequency is reduced in diabetic obese patients (relative to non-diabetic obese) (11), and genetic predisposition for Type 2 diabetes, but not obesity, is

associated with an impaired ability to recruit new adipose cells to store excess lipids in the

subcutaneous adipose tissue (12). Despite its clinical relevance, the genetic, cell and molecular

processes that determine the capacity for hyperplastic and/or hypertrophic adipose plasticity are

largely unknown but will be key for treating and understanding adipose-related disease.

The zebrafish provides a tractable and powerful in vivo model for studying adipose growth and

remodelling dynamics. Zebrafish adipose tissue is morphologically similar to mammalian white

adipose tissue, comprising large adipocytes with a single dominant cytoplasmic lipid droplet (13,14).

Transcriptomic profiling further supports this conservation, with RNA-Seq data revealing strong

molecular similarity between zebrafish and mammalian white adipose tissue (15). Functionally,

zebrafish adipose depots respond dynamically to nutritional status - expanding following a high-fat

diet and regressing following food restriction (16,17). Further, prolonged high-fat or overfeeding has

been shown to induce diabetic phenotypes in zebrafish (18,19), reinforcing the model's relevance to

metabolic disease. One of the key advantages of the zebrafish model is its optical accessibility and

amenability to fluorescent imaging. Lipophilic dyes such as Nile Red can be used to label lipid droplets

(LDs) in vivo (13,20), and LD-associated protein reporters now allow real-time visualisation of lipid

droplet dynamics in living animals enabling rapid assessment of adiposity and LD morphology (21,22).

Additionally, transgenic tools are increasingly available to label and manipulate zebrafish adipocytes

(23,24). Importantly, zebrafish are amenable to targeted CRISPR screens, and recent studies have

utilised this methodology to examine roles for candidate obesity genes (25,26). However, to date,

the zebrafish model has not been applied to systematically study the cellular growth properties of

adipose tissue—specifically the regulation of hyperplastic versus hypertrophic remodelling.

Here, we leverage transcriptomic data from human subcutaneous adipose to identify genes

associated with hyperplastic/hypertrophic adipose morphology. We then establish an image-based

method for quantifying hyperplastic/hypertrophic morphology signatures in zebrafish, and apply it

in a CRISPR screen targeting 25 candidate morphology genes. We identify six genes that alter adipose

morphology, three whose disruption induces hypertrophic morphology (*foxp1b*, *txnipa* and

mmp14b), and three that induce hyperplastic morphology (*ptenb*, *cxcl14* and *srpx*). To our

knowledge, one of these - Sushi Repeat Containing Protein (Srpx) – has no previously characterised

roles in adipose biology. For functional follow-up, we focus on Foxp1, a transcription factor known to

regulate stem and progenitor cells maintenance across multiple tissues. We generated new stable

mutant lines for the two zebrafish *foxp1* genes (*foxp1a* and *foxp1b*), and find that mutation of *foxp1b*

leads to a developmental bias towards hypertrophic growth, but an inability to undergo hypertrophic

growth in response to high-fat diet. These findings suggest that early developmental patterning of

adipose morphology may influence subsequent capacity to respond to diet-induced remodelling.

Methods

Identification of differentially expressed genes associated with small or large adipocytes in human subcutaneous adipose

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) associated with large or small adipocytes in human SAT were

obtained from Honecker et al., 2022. Briefly, 3,727 genes were significantly associated with adipocyte

area by both categorical and continuous statistical models (FDR < 0.05) (27). 2,190 DEGs were

associated with small adipocyte area, 1,537 DEGs associated with large adipocyte area (**Suppl. Table**

1). Expression within human WAT cell types for each of the candidate morphology genes was

determined using data from (28) via the Single Cell Portal

(https://singlecell.broadinstitute.org/single_cell). Scaled mean expression for each of the

morphology DEGs was assessed within each of the 16 previously defined WAT cell clusters (adipose

stem and progenitor cells (ASPCs), mesothelium, pericyte, adipocyte, macrophage, endothelial, LEC,

monocyte, T cells, dendritic cells, smooth muscle cells, b cells, mast cells, natural killer cells,

endometrium, and neutrophils). Of the 3,727 morphology genes, the following were removed: 410

genes without annotations in bulk RNA-Seq dataset, 250 genes not found in the Single Cell Portal

dataset, and 87 genes not expressed in the 16 WAT cell types. Hierarchical clustering of the remaining

2,980 morphology genes based on WAT cell type expression was performed in Morpheus

(https://software.broadinstitute.org/morpheus) using an average linkage method and one minus

Pearson correlation metric (**Suppl. Fig. 1**). Morphology genes enriched in ASPCs were identified as

either (i) belonging to the 75 morphology DEGs within the ASPC cluster, or (ii) one of the top 50

morphology DEGs with highest scaled mean expression in ASPCs (**Suppl. Table 2**). Three genes were

present in both categories (*CXCL14*, *KAZN* and *SPARC*), resulting in 122 ASPC-enriched morphology

DEGs (**Suppl. Table 2**). Ribosomal genes were excluded along with genes that did not code for

proteins, resulting in 102 candidate morphology genes (**Suppl. Table 2**).

Prioritisation and ranking of candidate adipose morphology genes

Enrichment analysis on the 102 candidate genes described above was performed using GProfiler

(https://biit.cs.ut.ee/gprofiler/gost), with the 3,727 original genes as a background gene set. Six

enriched GO biological process gene sets were identified, comprising; three 'developmental' terms

(GO:0048869, GO:0009888 & GO:0032502), one 'differentiation' term (GO:0030154), and two more

generic 'process' terms (GO:0048869 & GO:0048523) (**Suppl. Table 3**). For each of the 102

morphology genes, beta coefficients between SAT expression levels and the 23 cardiometabolic traits

from the METSIM study were clustered in Heatmapper (www.heatmapper.ca) using an average

linkage clustering method and Pearson distance measurement method (**Suppl. Fig. 2**). Correlations

between SAT expression and 23 cardiometabolic traits from 770 males as part of the METSIM study

were obtained from (29). The following genes were not found in the METSIM data: *EIF4EBP3*, *PDF*,

SELENOM and *SELENOS*. Candidate body mass index (BMI) and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR) genome-

wide association study (GWAS) genes were identified by (i) downloading summary statistics for BMI

and WHR from the GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/), (ii) identifying unique variants

associated with the traits at genome-wide significance ($p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$), (iii) calculating proxy variants in

in high linkage disequilibrium in CEU population (R2>0.8), (iv) calculating 'LD blocks' that encompass

each lead and associated proxy variants and (v) identifying genes that overlap with the LD blocks. The

DIOPT Ortholog Finder (https://www.flyrnai.org/cgi-bin/DRSC_orthologs.pl) was used to identify

zebrafish orthologs of the candidate human morphology genes based on the weighted score. The

'alignment & scores' function in DIOPT was used to calculate protein similarity between human and

zebrafish genes. scRNA-Seq scaled mean expression and % of cells expressing candidate genes from

Yang Loureiro et al. (2023) were obtained from the Single Cell Portal.

Zebrafish husbandry and maintenance

134 Zebrafish were housed in the Queen's Medical Research Institute (QMRI) facility at the University of

135 Edinburgh, UK. Standard husbandry was followed on a recirculating system and a 14h:10h light to

136 dark cycle. Feeding regimen was as described in Tandon et al., 2025. The wild-type line used were

137 WIKs maintained at the QMRI facility. Zebrafish experiments were conducted in accordance with the

138 UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 under the project licence PP9112175.

F0 CRISPR screen in zebrafish

We followed general CRISPR screen methodology (30–32). Between three and five guide RNAs

(gRNAs) were designed to target each gene using the UCSC Genome browser track

ZebrafishGenomics (31) and CHOPCHOP software. gRNAs were selected based on off-target and

efficiency scores, along with location in gene (targeting early exons, functional domains, and avoiding

exons with lengths divisible by three). Pooled in vitro transcription of gRNAs was performed as per

Wu et al (2018), and RNA was cleaned using Zymoclean columns (Zymo Research, Cat. # R1013). Prior

to injection, gRNAs were incubated with Cas9 protein (New England Biolabs, Cat. # M0646T) and

heated for 5 mins at 37°C. Mutagenesis of individual gRNAs was verified using T7E1 assays (New

England Biolabs, Cat. # M0302S). gRNAs were injected into zebrafish embryos at the one-cell stage

as per Wu et al 2018, along with a Fast Green dye to screen for successfully injected embryos.

Identical injection mixes lacking the gRNAs (Cas9-only control) were used for control groups. 50

injected embryos were placed per petri dish and screened for survival at 5 days post fertilisation

(dpf). At 5 dpf, injected larvae were placed in a small volume of system water in a 1L nursery tank

without running water. From 10 dpf, a slow flow of system water was introduced. Larvae were raised

at a density of 25 per 1L nursery tank, before being transferred to a 3L tank at 21 dpf. Survival was

further assessed at 21 dpf. Feeding regimen was as described for standard husbandry. At 36-42 dpf,

fish were euthanised and Nile Red staining performed as described previously (16).

Image-based adipose morphology profiling in zebrafish

For each Nile Red-stained juvenile zebrafish, we took two images on a Leica M205 FCA fluorescence

stereomicroscope with a 1x objective: a whole animal image to allow use to measure total adiposity

and standard length (SL), and a higher magnification image of the lateral subcutaneous adipose tissue

(LSAT) along the zebrafish flank (hereafter referred to just as subcutaneous adipose or SAT). Images

were always taken of the right side of the fish, as zebrafish adipose shows left-right asymmetry, with

the right side containing the majority of pancreatic adipose. Images were first processed in

Fiji/ImageJ and the 'Clear Outside' function was used to reduce image size and focus on the SAT area

of interest or wider zebrafish. LD SAT images were then imported to Cellpose v2.2 and segmented

using the 'cyto' model (33). Automated segmentations were assessed and manually adjusted to

correct errors. Segmented masks and object outlines were imported to Fiji/ImageJ where xy

coordinates for each segmented LD along with LD measurements were taken (including Feret's

diameter for LD size). ImageJ macros used for the importation of Cellpose masks and measurement

of xy coordinates are available at github.com/jeminchin/morphology_screen. Total adipose area and

SAT area were segmented using Ilastik software as previously described (34). Standard length was

measured using the line tool in Fiji/ImageJ.

Statistical analysis of morphology values for the zebrafish F0 CRISPR screen

To calculate hyperplastic/hypertrophic adipose morphology we followed methodology from (35),

and used an initial dataset of 194 Nile Red-stained wild-type zebrafish to fit a generalised additive

model (GAM) to capture the relationship between SAT area and mean SAT LD diameter. Morphology

value was calculated as the deviation of individual mean LD diameters from the fitted model. To

compare hyperplastic/hypertrophic adipose morphologies between control and CRISPR mutants, we

first trained a GAM from either (i) experiment-specific control data (eg, Cas9-only injected controls),

or (ii) control data pooled from multiple experiments (n = 343 control zebrafish). These trained

models were then applied to mutant test data to assess morphology values (i.e. mean mutant LD

diameter deviation from the training model) using the mgcv package in R. To assess differences in

morphology values between CRISPR mutants and controls, we conducted Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS)

tests to assess overall distribution shape differences. P values were corrected for multiple tests using

Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction (23 tests performed, adjusted p value < 0.05). We performed KS

tests using both experiment-specific and pooled control data. Secondly, to complement the KS tests,

we log-transformed SAT area and mean SAT LD diameter to linearise the relationship and performed

linear mixed-model regression with replicate as a random effect to control for replicate-specific

effects. Using this method, we assessed both intercept and slope differences between CRISPR mutant

(pooled replicates) and experiment-specific controls or pooled controls. P values were corrected for

multiple tests using Benjamini-Hochberg.

Generation of *foxp1a* and *foxp1b* mutant zebrafish lines

foxp1a and *foxp1b* zebrafish mutant lines were made using standard CRISPR-Cas9 methods (34). For

both genes we targeted the highly conserved DNA-binding Forkhead domain (FHD). The location and

sequence of the CRISPR gRNAs were: *foxp1a* – 5'-GACGAGTGGAGAATGTGAAG-3' targeting the FHD

in exon 14, and *foxp1b* – 5'-GATAACGAAGCATACGTGAA-3' targeting the FHD in exon 15. We

generated two alleles - ed116 is a 13bp indel in the forkhead domain of *foxp1a* resulting in a

frameshift and premature stop codon, and ed125 is a 4bp indel in the forkhead domain of *foxp1b*

resulting in a frameshift and premature stop. Primers to amplify locus surrounding lesions for T7E1

assays or sequencing were: ed116 forward – 5'-GCCAGATTGGACTGGATGTT-3', ed116 reverse – 5'-

TTATTTCCAGGCCATTCTGG-3', ed125 forward – 5'-TTCAGTTTCAGCTCCTTCCTTC-3', ed125 reverse –

5'-TGGAAGTCAAGCTACCAGCA-3'. To genotype ed116, primers were designed to recognise wither

the 13bp indel or the wild-type sequence. Sequences were: forward – 5'-GCCAGATTGGACTGGATGTT-

3', reverse - 5'-TTATTTCCAGGCCATTCTGG-3', wild-type – 5'-ACGAGTGGAGAATGTGAAGG-3', ed116

– 5'-AAACGGCCCCCTCGTACT-3'. For ed125, the following primers were used to amplify the locus:

forward – 5'-TTCAGTTTCAGCTCCTTCCTTC-3', and reverse – 5'-TGGAAGTCAAGCTACCAGCA-3'. The

PCR product was then digested with the restriction enzyme HpyCH4IV (New England Biolabs, Cat. #

R0619S). Additionally, KASP genotyping assays were designed for the ed116 and ed125 alleles (KASP

on demand, LGC Biosearch Technologies). Western blots were performed to assess effect of

mutations on Foxp1 protein. Protein was isolated from the caudal fin - a pool of seven fin samples

was placed on dry ice in RIPA buffer (Thermo, Cat. # 8990) containing protease inhibitor (Thermo,

Cat. # A32953). Samples were homogenised with a TissueRupter, centrifuged for 15 mins at 4C, lysate

removed and added to LDS blue (Novex, Cat. # B0008) with reducing agent (Novex, B0009), heated

to 95C for 10 mins and the centrifuged for 1 min. Protein samples were run on 8% Bis-Tris gels

(Invitrogen, NW00082BOX) and run together with protein ladder (Thermo Scientific, Cat. # 26619).

Foxp1 antibody was PA5-26848 (Thermo Fisher) and b-actin antibody was (Sigma, A2228). Blood

assays were from Biovision (Glucose – K606-100, triacylglyceride – K622-100, cholesterol – K623-100)

and performed as per the manufacturer's instructions with 1 ul of blood from an adult zebrafish used

for the glucose and cholesterol assays, and 0.2 ul for the triacyclglyceride assay. qRT-PCR was

performed on a Roche LightCycler 480 using Luna reagents (New England Biolabs, Cat. # M3003L).

cDNA was synthesised using Superscript IV (Invitrogen, Cat. # 18090010). Primers were: *foxp1a*

forward 5'-GGCCACTTTGAGGATGACTC-3', *foxp1a* reverse 5'-CCTCGCCACCTAAAACTCAG-3', *foxp1b*

forward 5'-CATTGGCTCCTCTTTTACGC-3', *foxp1b* reverse 5'-ACAGCAACGGTAGTGACAGC-3', *bactin*

forward 5'-GCCTCCGATCCAGACAGAGT-3' and *bactin* reverse 5'-TGACAGGATGCAGAAGGAGA-3'.

High-fat diet in zebrafish

A high-fat immersion diet was conducted on juvenile fish at 35 dpf. Genotyped fish were stained with

Nile Red, imaged on a Leica M205 FCA stereoscope and recovered individually in a 12-well plate

whilst the SL of each fish was measured. Based on SL, fish were placed into groups with equal average

SL. Within groups, fish were randomly paired up and placed two fish per mesh insert in a 10L tank on

the recirculating system, allowing pairs of fish to be tracked through the experiment. The location of

each pair was randomly rotated daily to minimise tank location-effects. Fish assigned to the high-fat

diet group were immersed in 5% chicken egg yolk for two hours daily over the course of 14 days (16).

Control fish were placed in fresh system water for two hours daily. Both control and high-fat groups

received an additional two feeds throughout the day.

Code availability

242 All code used in this manuscript are available at github.com/jeminchin/morphology_screen.

Results

Identification of 102 candidate human adipose morphology genes enriched in adipocyte stem and progenitor cells

To identify candidate regulators of hyperplastic/hypertrophic adipose morphology, we leveraged

previously published bulk RNA-Seq data that reported 3,727 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in

human subcutaneous adipose tissue (SAT) characterised by either large or small adipocytes (**Fig. 1;**

Suppl. Table 1) (27). We reasoned these DEGs may contribute to establishing hypertrophic or

hyperplastic adipose phenotypes. As the RNA-Seq was performed on whole SAT - comprising a

heterogeneous mix of cell types - we next asked which adipose-resident cell populations

preferentially express these morphology-associated genes. To address this, we clustered the 3,727

candidate genes based on their relative expression across 16 human white adipose tissue (WAT) cell

types, using published single-cell transcriptomic data (**Fig. 1; Suppl. Fig. 1**) (28). As expected, the

largest subset of morphology DEGs (n = 730) was enriched in mature adipocytes, consistent with

central roles for adipocytes in defining overall adipose morphology (**Suppl. Fig. 1**). Notably, we also

identified 102 DEGs enriched in adipose stem and progenitor cells (ASPCs) (**Fig. 1; Suppl. Fig. 1; Suppl.**

Table 2). Given prior evidence that ASPC abundance and differentiation rate can influence adipose

tissue expansion and morphology (36,37), we hypothesised that these genes may function within

ASPCs to regulate or establish adipose morphology.

Analysis of candidate human adipose morphology genes using GWAS and cardiometabolic

trait associations

Prior to functional analysis, we first explored genetic and cardiometabolic features of the 102

candidate genes. As an initial step, we examined overlap with BMI- and WHR-associated GWAS

genes, and found that 16 of the candidate morphology genes were also BMI GWAS genes (*TNRC6B*,

LRMDA, *PRRX1*, *TSHZ2*, *PTPRD*, *PARD3*, *ADH1B*, *TBX15*, *MAGI2*, *RTL8A*, *CAST*, *BNC2*, *RERE*, *PTPRG*,

ADAMTSL1 and *C1QBP*) and one gene, *HOXC4*, overlapped with WHR-associated loci; however,

Fisher's exact test indicated these overlaps were not significantly enriched (**Suppl. Fig. 2**). We next

clustered candidate genes based on their SAT expression correlations with 23 cardiometabolic traits

from the METSIM study (29). This revealed two distinct gene–trait signatures: one associated with a

"healthy" metabolic profile - characterised by higher hip circumference, fat-free mass, HDL-C, Muscle

Insulin Sensitivity Index (MISI) and adiponectin levels, alongside lower BMI, WHR, total fatty acids,

triacylglycerides, Insulin and HOMA-B - and another with an "unhealthy" profile, showing the

opposite trait pattern (**Suppl. Fig. 2**). Notably, genes associated with both hypertrophic and

hyperplastic adipose morphology were found across both signatures (**Suppl. Fig. 2**), suggesting that

these morphological phenotypes are not strictly aligned with traditional markers of metabolic health.

Enrichment of cell differentiation and developmental genes among adipose morphology

candidates

To explore functional themes within our gene set, we performed enrichment analysis to identify

overrepresented biological processes. This analysis revealed that 54 of the 102 ASPC-enriched genes

were significantly associated with Gene Ontology (GO) terms related to cell differentiation

(GO:0030154) and tissue development (GO:0048869, GO:0009888, GO:0032502) (**Figs. 1, 2A,B &**

Suppl. Table 3). Reasoning that they may play key roles in ASPC differentiation and/or the patterning

of adipose tissue, we prioritised this subset of 54 genes for further analysis. To refine this list, we

applied two complementary strategies. First, we ranked genes by their sequence conservation

between humans and zebrafish (**Fig. 2D**). Second, we assessed scRNA-Seq expression dynamics

during progenitor differentiation to adipocytes (38) (**Figs. 2D–F**). From this, we shortlisted 25 highly

conserved genes that were predominantly expressed in either progenitors from undifferentiated

samples, or within multipotent progenitors that persist following differentiation (*MGP+* SWAT cells)

(**Figs. 2D–F & Table 1).** This final set included genes with established roles in adipocyte progenitors –

such as *PRRX1* (H. Liu et al., 2022), *TBX15* (Pan et al., 2021), and *IRX1* (Han, 2022) - though their

specific contributions to adipose morphology have not yet been characterised.

An image-based pipeline to quantify lipid droplet size, number and spatial patterning in zebrafish subcutaneous adipose

To investigate the function of the candidate morphology genes, we developed an image-based

phenotyping pipeline in zebrafish. Building on previous studies where Nile Red fluorescence was used

to quantify whole-animal adiposity and perform targeted CRISPR screens for regulators of total body

fat (16,26), we refined this approach to capture not only global adiposity data but also high-resolution

images of Nile Red-positive LDs. This enabled detailed quantification of LD size, number and spatial

patterning within zebrafish SAT (**Fig. 3A-D**). High-magnification images were acquired with a pixel

size between 1-2 μm^2 allowing individual LDs to be clearly resolved within zebrafish SAT (**Fig. 3A–D**).

Although very small LDs within multilocular adipocytes fall below our detection threshold, this

resolution reliably captures the larger LDs characteristic of mature adipocytes (**Fig. 3A-D**). Using this

pipeline, we characterised SAT development in 107 wild-type zebrafish from three independent

clutches (**Fig. 1E-G**). Representative LD masks illustrating the morphological progression of SAT

expansion are shown in **Fig. 3E**. Consistent with previous observations, LDs are first deposited at the

anterior end of the adipose depot and new LDs are added in both posterior and dorsoventral

directions (**Fig. 3E**). To spatially track this progression, we annotated the most anterior LD and defined

successive 200 μm strata extending posteriorly from this reference point (**Fig. 3E**). This stratification

enabled comparisons of LD morphology and number at anatomically and developmentally equivalent

positions across individuals. As zebrafish grew from 7 to 12 mm standard length (SL), we observed

limited increases in LD number within the anterior-most stratum (stratum 1) (**Fig. 3E & F**). Instead,

new LDs accumulated in more posterior regions, particularly in strata 3 and 4, which exhibited the

greatest LD number and overall growth (**Figs. 3E & F**). Concurrently, LDs increased in size, reaching

an average diameter of ~65 μm by 11–12 mm SL (**Fig. 3E & G**). Notably, posterior strata - containing

more recently formed LDs - appeared to be in the process of enlarging toward this consistent size

benchmark (**Fig. 3E & G**). Together, these findings show that during these relatively young juvenile

stages, LDs expand rapidly in size but ultimately stabilise at an average diameter of ~65 μm, reflecting

a potential upper limit of LD growth at this developmental stage.

Quantification of adipose morphology in juvenile zebrafish

As LD size, number and spatial dynamics can be robustly captured in juvenile zebrafish, we next

developed methodology to quantify adipose morphology – specifically hyperplastic versus

hypertrophic patterns – in a format scalable for CRISPR-based screening. Our approach was

conceptually based on previously described methods for assessing human adipose morphology (35).

To establish a baseline, we quantified SAT LD number and size within 194 wild-type fish (including

the 107 animals analysed in **Fig. 3**). We observed a strong curvilinear relationship between mean LD

diameter and the total depot size (R^2 = 0.85) (**Fig. 4A**). This relationship also held true for other LD

size metrics (including area and perimeter), further suggesting that as the zebrafish SAT expands, LDs

initially grow rapidly in size but then plateau as they reach a steady-state size and hypertrophic

growth slows relative to the overall size of the depot. Following the strategy of Arner et al (2010), we

calculated a 'morphology value' for each individual based on the deviation of each fish from the fitted

curve (**Fig. 4B**). Morphology values were normally distributed around zero, with approximately equal

proportions of individuals exhibiting hyperplastic (negative deviation) or hypertrophic (positive

deviation) SAT (**Fig. 4B**). Notably, morphology values were inversely correlated with LD number (p =

3.9 x10^{-6}), such that hypertrophic individuals had fewer, larger LDs while hyperplastic individuals had

more numerous, smaller LDs (**Fig. 4C**). Together, these data show that morphology values can be

applied to zebrafish SAT and used to quantify hyperplastic/hypertrophic patterning.

Morphology values can be used to assess differences in F0 zebrafish CRISPR mutants

We next tested whether this metric could detect known regulators of adipose morphology. As a

positive control, we targeted *growth hormone 1* (*gh1*) using established F0 CRISPR mutant

methodology. Consistent with previous findings that *gh1* mutants display hypertrophic SAT LDs (39),

gh1 F0 CRISPR mutants displayed significantly larger LDs and an upward shift in morphology values

(p = 0.04) (**Suppl. Fig. 3**). Notably, this effect was detected in only nine *gh1*-targeted fish,

corresponding to a large effect size (Cohen's d = 1.06) (**Suppl. Fig. 3**). Power analysis based on this

dataset indicated that similar effects could be detected with 80% power using as few as 11 fish.

Moreover, detecting medium-sized effects (Cohen's d = 0.6-0.7) would require only 23-31 mutant

fish. Together, these results demonstrate that image-based profiling of adipose morphology is a

sensitive and scalable approach for in vivo genetic screens aimed at identifying regulators of

hyperplastic and hypertrophic adipose growth.

A targeted CRISPR screen identified six genes that alter subcutaneous adipose morphology in zebrafish

Following successful validation of our approach by targeting of *gh1*, we applied the same F0 CRISPR

methodology and image-based pipeline to functionally assess all 25 conserved candidate genes

identified from human adipose tissue datasets. Two independent experimental replicates were

performed for each gene target (**Table 1**), resulting in a total of 50 CRISPR targeting experiments and

1,393 juvenile zebrafish screened (**Table 1**). To quantify SAT morphology and classify hyperplastic or

hypertrophic profiles, we applied two complementary statistical approaches. First, we calculated

morphology values for each fish and compared mutant versus control morphology value distributions

using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test. This non-parametric test does not assume a specific

distribution and is sensitive to shape-based distributional changes. Second, we applied linear mixed-

models (LMMs) to evaluate the relationship between LD size and total SAT area, whilst accounting

for replicate-specific variability. The LMMs allowed us to test whether LDs scaled normally with tissue

size (slope) or differed in size at any given adipose area (intercept). After correcting for multiple tests,

the KS test identified three mutants with hypertrophic morphology (*foxp1b*, *txnipa* and *mmp14b*) and

three with hyperplastic morphology (*ptenb*, *cxcl14* and *srpx*) (**Table 1 & Fig. 5**). Among the

hypertrophic mutants, *foxp1b* and *txnipa* were also detected using both LMMs for slope and

intercept, whilst *mmp14b* was only identified using the LMM for slope (**Table 1 & Fig. 5**). Conversely,

hyperplastic morphology in *ptenb*, *cxcl14* and *srpx* mutants was only detected using the KS test (**Table**

1 & Fig. 5). Interestingly, *ptenb* mutants exhibited both hyperplastic and hypertrophic populations of

LDs, suggesting a multimodal distribution of LDs (**Fig. 5A**). Together, these findings demonstrate

image-based profiling is an effective and scalable approach for identifying regulators of adipose

morphology.

gene target (zebrafish)	sample size		Statistical power			KS test (FDR adjusted p value)	LMM – slope (FDR adjusted p value)	LMM – intercept (FDR adjusted p value)
	expt.	cont.	Small (0.4)	Medium (0.6)	Large (1)			
prrx1b	51	57	54%	87%	99.9%	0.2652	0.979	0.871
foxp1b	36	57	46%	80%	99.6%	**0.02176**	**0.0022632**	**0.021712**
runx1t1	22	34	30%	58%	95%	0.4287	0.232961	0.306659
ptenb	25	29	30%	58%	95%	**0.006846**	0.674	0.508
cacnb4a	23	34	31%	59%	95%	0.6418	0.288296	0.463958
sparc	23	35	31%	59%	95.6%	0.293	0.557	0.767
pid1	26	29	31%	59%	95.3%	0.3481	0.10398	0.15810
sdk1a	25	34	32%	61%	96%	0.7517	0.534960	0.670365
cxcl14	24	29	30%	57%	94%	**0.02255**	0.462406	0.682372
ptprga	35	39	40%	72%	99%	0.4389	0.769939	0.810014
ptprdb	24	39	33%	62%	97%	0.203	0.212	0.450
lrmda	22	29	28%	55%	93%	0.966	0.181489	0.206508
txnipa	24	36	32%	61%	96%	**0.03319**	**3.10e-05**	**0.000923**
tmem115	29	29	32%	61%	96%	0.1469	0.224	0.187
tbx15	17	35	26%	51%	91%	0.3098	0.1416	0.1199
mmp14b	30	36	36%	67%	98%	**0.03856**	**0.00737**	0.07725
cd81a	26	35	33%	63%	97%	0.5928	0.160	0.198
aspa	17	17	20%	40%	81%	0.778		
srpx	20	25	26%	50%	90%	**0.02411**	0.263	0.201
pard3ab	32	42	39%	71%	99%	0.7033	0.545	0.592
nav3	28	42	37%	68%	98%	0.3718	0.199	0.258

lamb2	6	21	13%	24%	55%	0.7665		
kazna	23	42	33%	62%	97%	0.293	0.0774	0.0958

Table 1. Zebrafish gene targets and adipose morphology statistics. Adjusted p values after Benjamini-Hochberg FDR correction for 23 statistical tests. Small, medium and large correspond to effect sizes (Cohen's d). KS = Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. LMM = linear mixed model with experiment as random effect. Values in bold indicate statistical significance. All genes were targeted in two independent replicates (except for *aspa* and *lamb2* which only had a single replicate).

A hypertrophic growth bias of subcutaneous adipose in *foxp1b* and double *foxp1a;foxp1b* zebrafish mutants

Notably, targeting *foxp1b* (one of two zebrafish Foxp1 genes) induced hypertrophic SAT morphology (**Fig. 5**). Foxp1 is required for maintaining undifferentiated and self-renewing stem and progenitor cells in multiple tissue compartments (40–44). Further, in mouse, Foxp1 inhibits brown adipogenesis and browning of white adipocytes (45). However, roles for Foxp1 in establishing hyperplastic/hypertrophic morphology of adipose is unknown. To further study the role of Foxp1, we generated new loss-of-function alleles for both *foxp1* genes (*foxp1a* and *foxp1b*) in zebrafish (**Fig. 6A**). We targeted the highly conserved Forkhead domain (FHD) (**Fig. 6B**), which is essential for DNA binding and therefore Foxp1 transcriptional activity (46,47) (**Fig. 6B**). We generated two alleles – *foxp1a^{ed116}* and *foxp1b^{ed125}* – which each contained a frameshift and premature stop codon within the FHD (**Fig. 6B**). qRT-PCR revealed reduced *foxp1a* or *foxp1b* mRNA in the respective single mutants, with no apparent mRNA upregulation of the paralogous *foxp1* gene, or other members of the Foxp family (**Suppl. Fig. 4**). Western blots revealed a significant reduction of Foxp1 protein in each of the single mutants, and in *foxp1a;foxp1b* double mutants suggesting severe loss-of-function alleles (**Fig. 6C & Suppl. Fig. 4**). At approximately one-month of age (~10 mm SL), *foxp1b^{ed125}* and double *foxp1a;foxp1b* mutants were significantly smaller than either wild-type control or *foxp1a^{ed116}* fish (**Fig. 6E**), and analysis of baseline lipid storage within adipose tissues using Nile Red revealed that *foxp1b^{ed125}* and double *foxp1a;foxp1b* mutants also had lower lipid storage in adipose tissues than control fish (normalised to size of fish) (**Fig. 6F**). Similar to that observed in F0 CRISPR mutants, at one-month of age *foxp1b^{ed125}* and *foxp1a;foxp1b* mutants had significantly larger LDs characteristic of hypertrophic morphology (**Fig. 6G & H**). Analysis of blood metabolites revealed *foxp1a;foxp1b* double mutants were hyperglycaemic (**Suppl. Fig. 5**), and strikingly, in *foxp1a;foxp1b* double mutants there was increased lipid accumulation in the liver (**Fig. 6D & Suppl. Fig. 5D**). At adulthood, fish size and lipid storage within adipose in *foxp1a;foxp1b* double mutants had largely caught up with wild-

type control fish (adiposity at ~80% of wild-type levels) (**Suppl. Fig. 6**). These findings show that stable

foxp1b mutants have hypertrophic adipose along with metabolic dysfunction.

***foxp1b* zebrafish mutants are unable to undergo hypertrophic remodelling in response to a**

high-fat diet

Our previous analyses showed that *foxp1b* mutants display a bias toward hypertrophic growth during

developmental expansion of SAT. To determine whether this bias impacts the adipose response to

dietary challenge, we subjected *foxp1a*, *foxp1b*, and *foxp1a;foxp1b* double mutants to a two-week

high-fat diet (HFD), a condition previously shown to induce SAT expansion (16). All *foxp1* mutant

genotypes exhibited reduced overall adipose expansion compared to control fish, indicating a general

impairment in expansion capacity (**Suppl. Fig. 7**). However, when LD diameter was assessed, a striking

difference emerged: the already hypertrophic SAT in *foxp1b* mutants failed to undergo further

hypertrophic remodelling in response to HFD (**Fig. 6J**). In contrast, *foxp1a* mutants, which did not

display a hypertrophic phenotype at baseline, demonstrated clear hypertrophic expansion following

HFD exposure (**Fig. 6J**). These findings suggest that the hypertrophic bias observed in *foxp1b* mutants

during development does not confer an enhanced capacity for hypertrophic remodelling during

dietary-induced adipose growth. Taken together, these results indicate that stable *foxp1b* and

foxp1a;foxp1b double mutants exhibit hypertrophic adipose morphology, but are unable to further

remodel hypertrophically in response to nutritional excess.

Discussion

In this study, we (i) identified candidate human genes implicated in hypertrophic and hyperplastic

remodelling of adipose tissue, (ii) established an image-based profiling method for assessing adipose

morphology in zebrafish, (iii) conducted an in vivo CRISPR screen in zebrafish to functionally evaluate

the roles of 25 candidate genes, (iv) identified six genes that influence hyperplastic or hypertrophic

remodelling, (v) focused on *Foxp1*, generating stable zebrafish mutant lines that recapitulate

phenotypes observed in F0 CRISPR experiments, and (vi) discovered that although *foxp1b* mutants

display baseline hypertrophic morphology, they fail to undergo further hypertrophic remodelling in

response to a high-fat diet, suggesting that a developmental bias in adipose growth influences later

responses to diet. Together, this work demonstrates a scalable, tractable screening platform to
identify regulators of adipose remodelling.

In this study we employed a multi-step process to identify candidate morphology genes from human
data. As GWAS for adipose morphology has only been conducted in relatively small cohorts (48,49),
we instead used published transcriptomic data that identified DEGs enriched in SAT characterised by
large or small adipocytes (27). Clustering of the morphology DEG expression correlations with
cardiometabolic traits separated genes into 'healthy' and 'unhealthy' profiles (**Suppl. Fig. 2**); genes
associated with larger adipocytes generally aligned with adverse metabolic traits such as increased
BMI and WHR in accordance with adipocyte size increasing with BMI (50). However, this pattern was
not absolute—some candidates diverged from this axis (**Suppl. Fig. 2**), representing potentially
interesting regulators of adipose morphology that are uncoupled from traditional metabolic or
disease states. For this study, we focussed on candidate genes that were highly expressed or enriched
within adipocyte stem and progenitor cells (ASPCs), wanting to focus on the hyperplastic potential of
adipose influencing remodelling capacity and mechanism. However, we note that hyperplastic
adipose potential is likely determined by multiple cell types, not just progenitors, and also including
the adipose microenvironment (51,52).

We established a rapid, scalable, stereomicroscope-based imaging pipeline for profiling zebrafish
adipose morphology. Our imaging resolution was sufficient to detect large LDs but not smaller LDs
found as secondary LDs in zebrafish adipocytes (52), a phenomenon which is also found in mouse
adipocytes (53). Nevertheless, our methodology reliably captured large LDs and allowed us to use LD
size as a proxy for overall adipocyte size. We adapted an existing analytical framework from the Arner
lab, applying morphology value metrics developed for human adipose tissue to zebrafish SAT, and
observed very similar relationships, including a curvilinear relationship between LD size and depot
size and an inverse correlation between LD number and LD size. We therefore believe that the growth
dynamics of zebrafish adipose is likely similar to that of mammals. To test for differences in adipose
morphology, we implemented two complementary statistical approaches: the Kolmogorov–Smirnov
(KS) test to compare the overall distribution of morphology values between groups, and linear mixed
models (LMMs) to assess group-specific changes in LD size while accounting for experimental batch

effects. The KS test proved more sensitive to subtle distributional shifts, while the LMMs provided a

more conservative measure of structured group-level differences. This dual-method strategy is well-

suited for future high-throughput screens, including those targeting GWAS-derived candidates.

Using this platform, we identified six mutants that altered adipose morphology. *Txnipa (txnipa)* F0

CRISPR mutants displayed hypertrophic morphology. TXNIP (Thioredoxin-Interacting Protein) is a

well-characterised regulator of oxidative stress, metabolism, and inflammation. TXNIP binds and

inhibits the antioxidant thioredoxin, leading to increased production of reactive oxygen species and

oxidative stress (54). Interestingly TXNIP links nutrient sensing to inflammatory signalling by

responding to and regulating glucose uptake and NLRP3 inflammasome complex formation (54).

Interestingly, the ROS/TXNIP/NLRP3 pathway impairs insulin signalling, a major driver of

adipogenesis and hyperplastic adipose growth (55). Further, vitamin D increases TXNIP expression

(56), which plays a major role in energy storage in zebrafish (57). *Mmp14b* F0 zebrafish mutants also

exhibited hypertrophic adipose. MMP14 (Matrix Metallopeptidase 14) is a pericellular collagenase

and plays a key role in ECM remodelling. In mice, MMP14 deficiency stiffens the adipose matrix and

restricts hypertrophic expansion, resulting in adipose characterised by small adipocytes (58).

Conversely, overexpression of Mmp14 increased the size of adipocytes (59). As such, these mouse

phenotypes appear contradictory to the hypertrophic morphology we observe after mutation of

mmp14b in zebrafish and warrant further investigation. We note that two *mmp14* genes exist in

zebrafish, therefore both paralogues could be targeted in future studies. *Ptenb* F0 zebrafish mutants

predominantly showed a hyperplastic phenotype, however a population of hypertrophic LDs were

also present suggesting a bimodal size distribution. PTEN (Phosphatase and Tensin homolog) inhibits

PI3K/AKT signalling and loss in progenitor cells promotes differentiation and hyperplastic growth in

line with formation of lipomas in patients with PTEN hamartoma tumour syndrome (60).

Interestingly, PTEN knockout mouse models also appear to show bimodal adipocyte sizes in gonadal

and retroperitoneal adipose, suggesting that complex adipose expansion dynamics are controlled by

PTEN (61). *Cxcl14* F0 zebrafish mutants also displayed a hyperplastic phenotype. CXCL14 is a

chemokine and adipokine that regulates immune tone and progenitor dynamics in adipose tissue.

Circulating *CXCL14* mRNA was decreased in obesity, and inversely correlated with pro-inflammatory

pathway members, and positively correlated with GLUT4 and Adiponectin suggesting a dysregulated

metabolic and inflammatory state (62).

Of great interest, *srpx* F0 CRISPR mutants showed a hyperplastic SAT morphology. Interetsngly, SRPX

has not been previously shown to have a role in adipose tissue, however it is part of the

transcriptomic signature of CD142+ ASPCs in mouse, which function as non-adipogenic inhibitors of

adipogenesis (Aregs) (63). It is therefore of interest to test whether Srpx functions within Aregs to

regulate hyperplastic remodelling, with a reduction of Srpx leading to increased hyperplastic growth.

Outside of adipose, Srpx is implicated in the negative regulation of cell proliferation and has is a

marker gene for glioblastoma (64).

For the primary functional follow-up in this study, we focused on *Foxp1*, a gene known to maintain

stem and progenitor populations in multiple tissues including the hair follicle, haematopoietic niche,

mammary gland, and cerebral cortex (40,41,43,44). We hypothesise that *Foxp1* may be required in

adipose progenitors for hyperplastic growth, and that its loss would result in compensatory

hypertrophy. In mouse models, *Foxp1* deletion leads to increased browning of white adipose tissue

and enhanced thermogenesis (45), suggesting a repressive role in thermogenic programming.

Although zebrafish are ectothermic and historically not thought to possess thermogenic adipocytes,

recent evidence shows epicardial adipose in zebrafish is thermogenic (15), raising the possibility that

foxp1 mutants may also undergo browning—an intriguing hypothesis for future work. We generated

stable *foxp1a* and *foxp1b* mutant lines carrying severe loss-of-function alleles, confirmed by reduced

protein expression. *Foxp1b* mutants displayed more severe phenotypes than *foxp1a*, consistent with

its higher conservation with mammalian *FOXP1*. The major phenotype in *foxp1a* mutants was

impaired adipose expansion following HFD, suggesting failure to respond to diet-induced stress

signals. In contrast, *foxp1b* mutants were smaller at baseline, with less adipose tissue, along with a

failure to expand adipose following a HFD. Notably, the double mutants developed fatty liver and

hyperglycaemia—phenotypes also observed in *Foxp1*-deficient mice (65), where increased hepatic

de novo lipogenesis (DNL) contributes to ectopic fat deposition and disrupted glucose homeostasis.

This may similarly underlie the zebrafish phenotype and could be tested in future work.

Strikingly, despite *foxp1b* mutants displaying a baseline bias towards hypertrophy, they were unable

to undergo further hypertrophic expansion in response to HFD. This contrasts with *foxp1a* mutants,

which readily increased LD size post-diet. The inability of *foxp1b* mutants to undergo diet-induced

hypertrophic remodelling suggests a potential ceiling effect on adipocyte size, possibly due to

physical or molecular constraints. As all experiments were conducted in growing juveniles, this may

reflect developmental limitations—such as restricted compartment space or altered ECM properties.

Alternatively, *foxp1b* mutants may fail to perceive or respond to HFD-induced molecular cues,

potentially implicating *Foxp1* in metabolic sensing(66). It remains to be determined whether this is a

foxp1b-specific effect or if a general developmental bias towards hypertrophy limits later

hypertrophic remodelling which could be tested in the other hypertrophic mutants identified in this

screen.

Acknowledgements

543 We thank Rosalyn Fong for help with initial zebrafish *foxp1* genotyping strategies, and David Duneau,

544 Will Cawthorn and Rob Semple for advice on modelling of adipose morphology. The work was funded

545 by a British Heart Foundation PhD Studentship awarded to RW, and a BBSRC grant (BB/X009467/1)

546 awarded to PT and JENM.

Author contributions

549 RW and PT performed experiments, RW, PT and JENM performed data analysis, PT and JENM wrote

550 the manuscript.

Wafer, Tandon et al.

REFERENCES

1. Tandon P, Wafer R, Minchin JEN. Adipose morphology and metabolic disease. J Exp Biol. 2018 Mar 7;221(Pt Suppl 1):jeb164970.

2. Hagberg CE, Spalding KL. White adipocyte dysfunction and obesity-associated pathologies in humans. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol. 2024 Apr;25(4):270–89.

3. Vishvanath L, Gupta RK. Contribution of adipogenesis to healthy adipose tissue expansion in obesity. J Clin Invest. 2019 Oct 1;129(10):4022–31.

4. Rydén M, Arner P. Cardiovascular risk score is linked to subcutaneous adipocyte size and lipid metabolism. J Intern Med. 2017 Sep;282(3):220–8.

5. Acosta JR, Douagi I, Andersson DP, Bäckdahl J, Rydén M, Arner P, et al. Increased fat cell size: a major phenotype of subcutaneous white adipose tissue in non-obese individuals with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia. 2016 Mar;59(3):560–70.

6. Weyer C, Foley JE, Bogardus C, Tataranni PA, Pratley RE. Enlarged subcutaneous abdominal adipocyte size, but not obesity itself, predicts type II diabetes independent of insulin resistance. Diabetologia. 2000 Dec;43(12):1498–506.

7. Hoffstedt J, Arner E, Wahrenberg H, Andersson DP, Qvisth V, Löfgren P, et al. Regional impact of adipose tissue morphology on the metabolic profile in morbid obesity. Diabetologia. 2010 Dec;53(12):2496–503.

8. Boden G, Cheung P, Mozzoli M, Fried SK. Effect of thiazolidinediones on glucose and fatty acid metabolism in patients with type 2 diabetes. Metabolism. 2003 Jun;52(6):753–9.

9. Kim SM, Lun M, Wang M, Senyo SE, Guillermier C, Patwari P, et al. Loss of white adipose hyperplastic potential is associated with enhanced susceptibility to insulin resistance. Cell Metab. 2014 Dec 2;20(6):1049–58.

10. Gao Z, Daquinag AC, Fussell C, Zhao Z, Dai Y, Rivera A, et al. Age-associated telomere attrition in adipocyte progenitors predisposes to metabolic disease. Nat Metab. 2020 Dec;2(12):1482–97.

11. Muir LA, Neeley CK, Meyer KA, Baker NA, Brosius AM, Washabaugh AR, et al. Adipose tissue fibrosis, hypertrophy, and hyperplasia: Correlations with diabetes in human obesity. Obesity . 2016 Mar;24(3):597–605.

12. Arner P, Arner E, Hammarstedt A, Smith U. Genetic predisposition for Type 2 diabetes, but not for overweight/obesity, is associated with a restricted adipogenesis. PLoS One. 2011 Apr 12;6(4):e18284.

13. Flynn EJ 3rd, Trent CM, Rawls JF. Ontogeny and nutritional control of adipogenesis in zebrafish (Danio rerio). J Lipid Res. 2009 Aug;50(8):1641–52.

14. Imrie D, Sadler KC. White adipose tissue development in zebrafish is regulated by both developmental time and fish size. Dev Dyn. 2010 Nov;239(11):3013–23.

15. Morocho-Jaramillo P-A, Kotlar-Goldaper I, Zakarauskas-Seth BI, Purfürst B, Filosa A, Sawamiphak S. The zebrafish heart harbors a thermogenic beige fat depot analog of human epicardial adipose tissue. Cell Rep. 2024 Mar 26;43(3):113955.

16. Minchin JEN, Rawls JF. A classification system for zebrafish adipose tissues. Dis Model Mech. 2017 Jun 1;10(6):797–809.

17. Minchin JEN, Scahill CM, Staudt N, Busch-Nentwich EM, Rawls JF. Deep phenotyping in zebrafish reveals
genetic and diet-induced adiposity changes that may inform disease risk. J Lipid Res. 2018
Aug;59(8):1536–45.

18. Oka T, Nishimura Y, Zang L, Hirano M, Shimada Y, Wang Z, et al. Diet-induced obesity in zebrafish shares
common pathophysiological pathways with mammalian obesity. BMC Physiol. 2010 Oct 21;10(1):21.

19. Landgraf K, Schuster S, Meusel A, Garten A, Riemer T, Schleinitz D, et al. Short-term overfeeding of
zebrafish with normal or high-fat diet as a model for the development of metabolically healthy versus
unhealthy obesity. BMC Physiol. 2017 Mar 21;17(1):4.

20. Minchin JEN, Rawls JF. In vivo analysis of white adipose tissue in zebrafish. Methods Cell Biol.
2011;105:63–86.

21. Lumaquin D, Johns E, Montal E, Weiss JM, Ola D, Abuhashem A, et al. An in vivo reporter for tracking lipid
droplet dynamics in transparent zebrafish. Elife [Internet]. 2021 Jun 11;10. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34114952/

22. Wilson MH, Ekker SC, Farber SA. Imaging cytoplasmic lipid droplets in vivo with fluorescent perilipin 2
and perilipin 3 knock-in zebrafish. Elife [Internet]. 2021 Aug 13;10. Available from:
https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/34387191/

23. Lepanto P, Levin-Ferreyra F, Koziol U, Malacrida L, Badano JL. Insights into in vivo adipocyte
differentiation through cell-specific labeling in zebrafish. Biol Open [Internet]. 2021 Sep 15;10(9).
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/bio.058734

24. Mao Y, Hong K-H, Liao W, Li L, Kim S-J, Xiong Y, et al. Generation of a novel transgenic zebrafish for
studying adipocyte development and metabolic control. Int J Mol Sci. 2021 Apr 13;22(8):3994.

25. Mazzaferro E, Mujica E, Zhang H, Emmanouilidou A, Jenseit A, Evcimen B, et al. Functionally characterizing
obesity-susceptibility genes using CRISPR/Cas9, in vivo imaging and deep learning. Sci Rep. 2025 Feb
13;15(1):5408.

26. van der Klaauw AA, Croizier S, Mendes de Oliveira E, Stadler LKJ, Park S, Kong Y, et al. Human Semaphorin
3 variants link melanocortin circuit development and energy balance. Cell. 2019 Feb 7;176(4):729-
742.e18.

27. Honecker J, Ruschke S, Seeliger C, Laber S, Strobel S, Pröll P, et al. Transcriptome and fatty-acid signatures
of adipocyte hypertrophy and its non-invasive MR-based characterization in human adipose tissue.
EBioMedicine. 2022 May;79:104020.

28. Emont MP, Jacobs C, Essene AL, Pant D, Tenen D, Colleluori G, et al. A single-cell atlas of human and
mouse white adipose tissue. Nature. 2022 Mar;603(7903):926–33.

29. Civelek M, Wu Y, Pan C, Raulerson CK, Ko A, He A, et al. Genetic Regulation of Adipose Gene Expression
and Cardio-Metabolic Traits. Am J Hum Genet. 2017 Mar 2;100(3):428–43.

30. Kroll F, Powell GT, Ghosh M, Gestri G, Antinucci P, Hearn TJ, et al. A simple and effective F0 knockout
method for rapid screening of behaviour and other complex phenotypes. Elife [Internet]. 2021 Jan 8;10.
Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.59683

31. Varshney GK, Pei W, LaFave MC, Idol J, Xu L, Gallardo V, et al. High-throughput gene targeting and
phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. Genome Res. 2015 Jul;25(7):1030–42.

32. Wu RS, Lam II, Clay H, Duong DN, Deo RC, Coughlin SR. A Rapid Method for Directed Gene Knockout for
Screening in G0 Zebrafish. Dev Cell. 2018 Jul 2;46(1):112-125.e4.

33. Stringer C, Wang T, Michaelos M, Pachitariu M. Cellpose: a generalist algorithm for cellular segmentation.
Nat Methods. 2021 Jan;18(1):100–6.

34. Tandon P, Lyall Z, Cowie M, Minchin JEN. Human genetic studies and zebrafish models identify Plxna4 as
a regulator of adiposity, somatic growth, and feeding behaviours [Internet]. bioRxiv. 2025 [cited 2025 Apr
18].   p.   2025.03.15.643290.   Available   from:
https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.03.15.643290v1.abstract

35. Arner E, Westermark PO, Spalding KL, Britton T, Rydén M, Frisén J, et al. Adipocyte turnover: relevance
to human adipose tissue morphology. Diabetes. 2010 Jan;59(1):105–9.

36. Gustafson B, Nerstedt A, Smith U. Reduced subcutaneous adipogenesis in human hypertrophic obesity is
linked to senescent precursor cells. Nat Commun. 2019 Jun 21;10(1):2757.

37. Hedbacker K, Lu Y-H, Dallner O, Li Z, Fayzikhodjaeva G, Birsoy K, et al. Limitation of adipose tissue by the
number of embryonic progenitor cells. Elife [Internet]. 2020 May 26;9. Available from:
http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.53074

38. Yang Loureiro Z, Joyce S, DeSouza T, Solivan-Rivera J, Desai A, Skritakis P, et al. Wnt signaling preserves
progenitor cell multipotency during adipose tissue development. Nat Metab. 2023 Jun;5(6):1014–28.

39. McMenamin SK, Minchin JEN, Gordon TN, Rawls JF, Parichy DM. Dwarfism and increased adiposity in the
gh1 mutant zebrafish vizzini. Endocrinology. 2013 Apr 1;154(4):1476–87.

40. Zhao J, Li H, Zhou R, Ma G, Dekker JD, Tucker HO, et al. Foxp1 regulates the proliferation of hair follicle
stem cells in response to oxidative stress during hair cycling. PLoS One. 2015 Jul 14;10(7):e0131674.

41. Naudin C, Hattabi A, Michelet F, Miri-Nezhad A, Benyoucef A, Pflumio F, et al. PUMILIO/FOXP1 signaling
drives expansion of hematopoietic stem/progenitor and leukemia cells. Blood. 2017 May
4;129(18):2493–506.

42. Li H, Liu P, Xu S, Li Y, Dekker JD, Li B, et al. FOXP1 controls mesenchymal stem cell commitment and
senescence during skeletal aging. J Clin Invest. 2017 Apr 3;127(4):1241–53.

43. Pearson CA, Moore DM, Tucker HO, Dekker JD, Hu H, Miquelajáuregui A, et al. Foxp1 regulates neural
stem cell self-renewal and bias toward deep layer cortical fates. Cell Rep. 2020 Feb 11;30(6):1964-
1981.e3.

44. Fu NY, Pal B, Chen Y, Jackling FC, Milevskiy M, Vaillant F, et al. Foxp1 Is Indispensable for Ductal
Morphogenesis and Controls the Exit of Mammary Stem Cells from Quiescence. Dev Cell. 2018 Dec
3;47(5):629-644.e8.

45. Liu P, Huang S, Ling S, Xu S, Wang F, Zhang W, et al. Foxp1 controls brown/beige adipocyte differentiation
and thermogenesis through regulating β3-AR desensitization. Nat Commun. 2019 Nov 7;10(1):5070.

46. Dai S, Qu L, Li J, Chen Y. Toward a mechanistic understanding of DNA binding by forkhead transcription
factors and its perturbation by pathogenic mutations. Nucleic Acids Res. 2021 Oct 11;49(18):10235–49.

47. Stroud JC, Wu Y, Bates DL, Han A, Nowick K, Paabo S, et al. Structure of the forkhead domain of FOXP2
bound to DNA. Structure. 2006 Jan;14(1):159–66.

48.   Glastonbury CA, Pulit SL, Honecker J, Censin JC, Laber S, Yaghootkar H, et al. Machine Learning based
histology phenotyping to investigate the epidemiologic and genetic basis of adipocyte morphology and
cardiometabolic traits. PLoS Comput Biol. 2020 Aug;16(8):e1008044.

49.   Kulyté A, Aman A, Strawbridge RJ, Arner P, Dahlman IA. Genome-wide association study identifies genetic
loci associated with fat cell number and overlap with genetic risk loci for type 2 diabetes. Diabetes. 2022
Jun 1;71(6):1350–62.

50.   Ye RZ, Richard G, Gévry N, Tchernof A, Carpentier AC. Fat cell size: Measurement methods,
pathophysiological origins, and relationships with metabolic dysregulations. Endocr Rev. 2022 Jan
12;43(1):35–60.

51.   Jeffery E, Wing A, Holtrup B, Sebo Z, Kaplan JL, Saavedra-Peña R, et al. The adipose tissue
microenvironment regulates depot-specific adipogenesis in obesity. Cell Metab. 2016 Jul 12;24(1):142–
50.

52.   Minchin JEN, Dahlman I, Harvey CJ, Mejhert N, Singh MK, Epstein JA, et al. Plexin D1 determines body fat
distribution by regulating the type V collagen microenvironment in visceral adipose tissue. Proc Natl Acad
Sci U S A. 2015 Apr 7;112(14):4363–8.

53.   Chau Y-Y, Bandiera R, Serrels A, Martínez-Estrada OM, Qing W, Lee M, et al. Visceral and subcutaneous
fat have different origins and evidence supports a mesothelial source. Nat Cell Biol. 2014 Apr;16(4):367–
75.

54.   Choi E-H, Park S-J. TXNIP: A key protein in the cellular stress response pathway and a potential therapeutic
target. Exp Mol Med. 2023 Jul 3;55(7):1348–56.

55.   Russell-Guzmán J, Américo-Da Silva L, Cadagan C, Maturana M, Palomero J, Estrada M, et al. Activation
of the ROS/TXNIP/NLRP3 pathway disrupts insulin-dependent glucose uptake in skeletal muscle of
insulin-resistant obese mice. Free Radic Biol Med. 2024 Sep 1;222:187–98.

56.   Hamilton JP, Potter JJ, Koganti L, Meltzer SJ, Mezey E. Effects of vitamin D3 stimulation of thioredoxin-
interacting protein in hepatocellular carcinoma: TXNIP, vitamin D3 and HCC. Hepatol Res. 2014
Dec;44(13):1357–66.

57.   Freeburg SH, Shwartz A, Kemény LV, Smith CJ, Weeks O, Miller BM, et al. Hepatocyte vitamin D receptor
functions as a nutrient sensor that regulates energy storage and tissue growth in zebrafish. Cell Rep. 2024
Jul 23;43(7):114393.

58.   Chun T-H, Hotary KB, Sabeh F, Saltiel AR, Allen ED, Weiss SJ. A pericellular collagenase directs the 3-
dimensional development of white adipose tissue. Cell. 2006 May 5;125(3):577–91.

59.   Li X, Zhao Y, Chen C, Yang L, Lee H-H, Wang Z, et al. Critical role of matrix metalloproteinase 14 in adipose
tissue remodeling during obesity. Mol Cell Biol. 2020 Mar 30;40(8):1–24.

60.   Kirstein AS, Kehr S, Nebe M, Hanschkow M, Barth LAG, Lorenz J, et al. PTEN regulates adipose progenitor
cell growth, differentiation, and replicative aging. J Biol Chem. 2021 Aug;297(2):100968.

61.   Huang W, Queen NJ, McMurphy TB, Ali S, Cao L. Adipose PTEN regulates adult adipose tissue homeostasis
and redistribution via a PTEN-leptin-sympathetic loop. Mol Metab. 2019 Dec;30:48–60.

62.   Cereijo R, Quesada-López T, Gavaldà-Navarro A, Tarascó J, Pellitero S, Reyes M, et al. The chemokine
CXCL14 is negatively associated with obesity and concomitant type-2 diabetes in humans. Int J Obes .
2021 Mar;45(3):706–10.

63. Zachara M, Rainer PY, Hashimi H, Russeil JM, Alpern D, Ferrero R, et al. Mammalian adipogenesis
regulator (Areg) cells use retinoic acid signalling to be non- and anti-adipogenic in age-dependent
manner. EMBO J. 2022 Sep 15;41(18):e108206.

64. Ampudia-Mesias E, El-Hadad S, Cameron CS, Wöhrer A, Ströbel T, Saydam N, et al. SRPX emerges as a
potential tumor marker in the extracellular vesicles of glioblastoma. Cancers (Basel). 2022 Apr
14;14(8):1984.

65. Zou Y, Gong N, Cui Y, Wang X, Cui A, Chen Q, et al. Forkhead box P1 (FOXP1) transcription factor regulates
hepatic glucose homeostasis. J Biol Chem. 2015 Dec 18;290(51):30607–15.

66. Ma H, Sukonina V, Zhang W, Meng F, Subhash S, Palmgren H, et al. The transcription factor Foxp1
regulates aerobic glycolysis in adipocytes and myocytes. J Biol Chem. 2023 May 5;104795.

Wafer, Tandon et al., **Figure 1**

Figure 1. Project overview. Depicting steps for candidate gene identification based on human
transcriptomic data (1), enriching for genes expressed within adipose stem and progenitor cells, (3)
GO term enrichment analysis of candidate genes to focus on development and differentiation
processes, (4) prioritisation of candidate genes based on conservation to zebrafish and expression
dynamics during adipocyte progenitor differentiation and (5) an in vivo CRISPR screen in zebrafish to
functionally characterise genes.

Figure 2. Prioritisation of candidate adipose morphology genes based on conservation to zebrafish and expression dynamics during progenitor differentiation. A. GO term enrichment analysis of the 102 candidate morphology genes enriched in adipose stem and progenitor cells. 54 of these genes were enriched in four GO terms related to development and differentiation. **B.** Venn diagram showing gene intersection between GO terms. Cell differentiation (GO:0030154) and cellular developmental process (GO:0048869) had 100% overlap. **C.** Grey bars denote % protein similarity between human and zebrafish orthologs. Dot plots below show scaled mean expression (colour) and % of cells expressing (dot size) each candidate genes within four cell types identified during progenitor differentiation to adipocytes. Cell types are: non-induced progenitors, *MGP+* SWAT cells, *ADIPOQ+* adipogenic cells and others, based on Yang Loureiro et al., 2023. **D.** PCA projection of single cells according to cluster designation from C. **E.** Example candidate morphology genes and their expression during adipocyte differentiation.

Figure 3. Spatial dynamics of subcutaneous adipose growth in zebrafish. A. Nile Red stained zebrafish at 11.6 mm standard length (SL). Black signal denotes Nile Red+ neutral lipid within adipose. The magenta dotted box denotes the area shown in B. **B.** Higher magnification image showing region of interest. Magenta outlined lipid droplets (LDs) highlight the subcutaneous adipose tissue. **C.** Zoomed in view of subcutaneous adipose LDs. White dots are melanosome pigment granules. **D.** Magenta liens outline the LD segmentation performed on LDs from C. **E.** Segmented subcutaneous adipose LDs colour-coded according to LD diameter from four representative zebrafish at different sizes. Fish sizes are shown in mm SL. Strata were defined in 200 µm intervals from the most anterior (to the right) LD. **F.** Number of LDs in each strata according to size of the zebrafish (SL). Zebrafish were categorised into 5 groups according to size. **G.** Mean diameter of LDs in each strata according to size of zebrafish.

Figure 4. Calculation of adipose morphology value based on relationship between lipid droplet size
and overall adipose depot size. A. Diameter of subcutaneous adipose LDs plotted relative to depot
area. Mean LD diameters per fish are dark grey, individual LD diameters are light grey. Line was fitted
using a generalised additive model. **B.** Morphology values were calculated as the deviation of mean
LD diameter from the fitted model in A. Note the normal distribution of morphology values around
zero. **C.** Inverse relationship between morphology value and number of LDs. A linear model was fitted
to show the inverse relationship.

Wafer, Tandon et al., **Figure 5**

Figure 5. Identification of mutants with hypertrophic or hyperplastic adipose morphology. A. Morphology values for individual mutants (blue) with respect to their Cas-9 only control (grey). Dotted vertical line represents a morphology value of zero. **B.** Cumulative probability functions showing shift towards hypertrophic (*foxp1b*, *txnipa* and *mmp14b*) or hyperplastic (*ptenb*, *cxcl14* and *srpx*) morphology. **C.** Segmented subcutaneous adipose LDs from each respective mutant colour-coded according to LD diameter.

Figure 6. Stable *foxp1b* zebrafish mutants have hypertrophic adipose but are unable to undergo hypertrophic expansion in response to a high-fat diet. A. Phylogenetic tree showing relatedness of zebrafish Foxp1a and Foxp1b amino acid sequences to human, mouse, opossum and ceolocanth Focp1. Bar indicates substitutions per X. **B.** Overview of human Foxp1 domain structure showing a polyQ, coiled-coil and forkhead domain. Zoomed view of the DNA-binding forkhead domain showing structural features including helix and S. Essential amino acids of DNA binding are highlighted in orange, amino acids essential for DNA binding are highlighted in blue. Zebrafish wild-type Foxp1a and Foxp1b sequences are shown aligned to human, along with the ed116 foxp1a and ed125 foxp1b mutant alleles. Grey boxes show the addition of nonsense peptide followed by premature stop codon. **C.** Western blot showing the reduction of Foxp1 protein in double *foxp1a;foxp1b* zebrafish mutants. B-actin is used as a loading control. Asterisk indicates the Foxp1 protein band. **D.** Nile Red fluorescence images showing adipose lipid distribution (black signal) in wild-type, *foxp1a^{ed116}*, *foxp1b^{ed125}* and double *foxp1a^{ed116};foxp1b^{ed125}* zebrafish mutants. E indicates the eye. Asterisk indicates lipid accumulation within the liver in double mutants. Scale bar is 1 mm. **E.** Violin plots

showing fish size (standard length, mm) of foxp1a[ed116], foxp1b[ed125] and double foxp1a[ed116];foxp1b[ed125]
mutants compared to wild-type siblings. **F.** Violin plots showing Nile Red-positive adipose area in
foxp1a[ed116], foxp1b[ed125] and double foxp1a[ed116];foxp1b[ed125] mutants compared to wild-type siblings.
G. Violin plots showing average LD diameter in *foxp1a[ed116]*, *foxp1b[ed125]* and double
foxp1a[ed116];foxp1b[ed125] mutants compared to wild-type siblings. **H.** LipidTox stained LDs within
subcutaneous adipose of *foxp1a[ed116]*, *foxp1b[ed125]*, double *foxp1a[ed116];foxp1b[ed125]* mutants and wild-
type sibling. **I.** Overview of high-fat diet feeding experiment where zebrafish were genotyped and
Nile Red imaged at 35 dpf, before undergoing a 14-day high-fat diet immersion (5% chicken egg yolk)
for two hours daily in addition to normal feeding regimen. Control diet was two hour immersion in
system water daily. Post-diet Nile Red imaging was performed at 49 dpf. **J.** Violin plots showing effects
of diet and genotype on average LD diameter. Statistical tests were one-way ANOVA followed by a
Tukey's HSD post-hoc test.