A role of villin-dependent F-actin organization in peroxisome motility in Arabidopsis cells

Calvin H. Huang [a], Amanda M. Koenig [b], Yuh-Ru Julie Lee [a], Yibo Shi [a], Jianping Hu [b,c,1], Bo Liu [a,1]

[a] Department of Plant Biology, College of Biological Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA 95616, U.S.A.

[b] Michigan State University-Department of Energy Plant Research Laboratory, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, U.S.A.

[c] Department of Plant Biology, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824, U.S.A.

[1] Corresponding authors: Bo Liu, bliu@ucdavis.edu; Jianping Hu, huji@msu.edu

Short title: Villins and peroxisome motility

ABSTRACT

Actin microfilaments (F-actin) serve as the track for directional movement of organelles in

plants. To understand how the F-actin network is employed for the movement of peroxisomes,

essential organelles in plant metabolism, we analyzed mutants of three villin (*VLN*) genes,

which encode the primary actin-bundling factor and are most actively expressed in vegetative

tissues in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. We found that the *vln4* mutation greatly exacerbated the growth

and subcellular defects in *vln2 vln3*. Compared to the wild-type cells, the double and triple *vln*

mutants exhibit progressive reduction of stable F-actin bundles and rapid remodeling of the fine

filaments. The defective F-actin network did not prevent peroxisomes from taking on both rapid

and slow movements along the tracks but caused significantly reduced speed of movement and

displacement distance of peroxisomes. Using a correlation analysis method, we classified the

complex heterogeneous peroxisome movement patterns into clusters reflecting distinct

movement directionalities. The *vln* triple mutant had significantly reduced number of

peroxisomes with long-range and linear movement. Our results provide insights into how VLN-

dependent F-actin organization is coupled with the complex pattern of peroxisome movement.

INTRODUCTION

The single-membrane organelle peroxisome displays rapid directional movement through the cytoplasm while performing essential functions such as detoxification, β-oxidation, and phytohormone biosynthesis. All these functions likely require peroxisome translocation throughout the cell to scavenge cytotoxins and coordinate with other cellular compartments for versatile metabolic reactions (Sandalio et al., 2021). In mammals, peroxisomes interact with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), lipid droplets, lysozymes, and mitochondria during metabolic processes like fatty acid catabolism, and defects in peroxisomal distribution and inter-organellar interactions are the underlying cause of several diseases in humans (Wanders et al., 2023). In plants, peroxisomes also exhibit critical interactions with chloroplasts for photorespiration and phytohormone biosynthesis, besides their interaction with the ER and mitochondria (Koenig et al., 2023; Pan et al., 2020). Additionally, peroxisomes participate in functions across the entire expanse of the cell, and their trafficking and spatial arrangement are often linked to the fluctuating demands of the cell during development or under stresses (He et al., 2021). The dynamic distribution of peroxisomes, therefore, requires agile motility along the cytoskeletal filaments and their associated motor proteins (Neuhaus et al., 2016).

In fungi and animals, peroxisome trafficking is primarily governed by microtubules and the associated motors of dynein and kinesins (Covill-Cooke et al., 2021; Salogiannis and Reck-Peterson, 2017). In plants, however, active movement of peroxisomes and other organelles predominately takes place on the F-actin tracks and depends on the motor proteins in the Myosin XI subfamily (Avisar et al., 2008). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, for example, actin depolymerization or inactivation of multiple Myosin XI genes causes a nearly complete cessation of organelle movement (Mathur et al., 2002; Peremyslov et al., 2010). However, research to date has barely explored the molecular mechanisms that regulate actomyosin-dependent organelle movement in plants (Koenig et al., 2023). In typical differentiated plant cells, F-actin is organized into two distinct populations: long and stable bundles and highly dynamic filaments (Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013). It is unclear whether organelles like peroxisomes employ either or both types of F-actin tracks for their directional movement.

F-actin bundling in plants is primarily mediated by the villin (VLN) family proteins, which were initially isolated from lily pollen as abundant actin-binding proteins (Huang et al., 2015; Yokota et al., 2003). In Arabidopsis, VLN proteins are encoded by five genes and show various degrees of actin bundling capabilities both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Li et al., 2025). Of the five paralogs, *VLN2,*

VLN3 and *VLN4* are most broadly expressed in vegetative tissues. The *vln2 vln3* double

mutants display a reduction in F-actin bundling and altered growth patterns with twisted organs,

but no significant compromise in vegetative growth (Bao et al., 2012; van der Honing et al.,

2012). Therefore, we hypothesized that VLN4 might function redundantly with VLN2 and VLN3

in mediating organelle movement and the coupled growth processes in plants. Here, we

analyzed F-actin organization and peroxisome movement in the mutants of these three

Arabidopsis *VLN* genes highly expressed in vegetative tissues. The *vln2 vln3 vln4* triple mutant

showed greatly reduced F-actin bundling, increased mesh-like F-actin networks, and gross

defects in vegetative growth, which allowed us to interrogate how compromised F-actin bundling

impacts patterns of peroxisome movement without disassembling the entire F-actin network.

Our analysis of the *vln* triple mutant uncovered significantly reduced movement speed and

decreased displacement distance of peroxisomes. We also developed quantitative methods to

differentiate features associated with peroxisomal movement modes and correlate such

differences with the varied F-actin organization patterns.

RESULTS

F-actin organization is deficient in the *vln* mutants

Although peroxisomes are known to move along F-actin tracks by Myosin XI motors in plant

cells, it is unknown how the arrangement of the F-actin network facilitates modes of motility,

specifically directional movement. We sought to understand the role of actin organization,

particularly F-actin bundling, in peroxisome movement. Since the VLN proteins contribute

significantly to actin bundling in plants (Huang et al., 2015), we used Arabidopsis *vln* mutants to

address how F-actin bundling might be linked to peroxisome movement. Of the five Arabidopsis

VLN paralogs, *VLN2*, *VLN3* and *VLN4* are most broadly expressed in vegetative tissues

according to community-generated transcriptional data (https://bar.utoronto.ca/eplant/). It has

recently been reported that VLN2, VLN3, and VLN4 function redundantly in hypocotyl

elongation, with VLN2 playing the most prominent role, and that higher-order *vln* mutants

display aberrant actin organization in the root and leaf cells (Li et al., 2025). Therefore, we

predicted that these three VLN proteins function redundantly to regulate organelle movement

and the coupled growth processes.

To determine the role of VLN in peroxisome dynamics, we isolated a *vln4* T-DNA homozygous

mutant from the ABRC (Arabidopsis Biological Research Center) and crossed it with the *vln2*

vln3 (*vln2/3*) double mutant (Bao et al., 2012). This independently generated *vln2 vln3 vln4*

(*vln2/3/4*) triple mutant displayed growth defects (Supplemental Figure 1) similar to what was

described recently (Li et al., 2025).

Because of the reported impact of actomyosin-dependent cell growth and the defect of

hypocotyl cell elongation in the *vln2/3/4* mutant (Li et al., 2025), we used hypocotyl cells to

characterize the actin defects in more detail before investigating peroxisomal dynamics in the

mutants. Using live-cell imaging of F-actin labeled by a LifeAct-GFP (green fluorescent protein)

fusion protein, we first confirmed that F-actin cable formation is compromised in hypocotyl cells

in both the double and triple *vln* mutants (Figure 1A). Compared to the wild-type (WT) cells in

which thick, continuous F-actin bundles spanned the cell length, fine F-actin filaments in the *vln*

mutant cells were more prominent and organized into mesh-like networks (Figure 1A). In

addition, F-actin bundles are less frequently observed in *vln2/3* and completely absent in the

vln2/3/4 triple mutant (Figure 1A). We further quantified the reduction of actin bundles in *vln*

hypocotyl cells using the parameter skewness, a standard metric used for assessing F-actin

bundling, in which higher fluorescence intensity of the LifeAct-GFP signal in bundled filaments

resulted in a higher skewness value (Higaki, 2017; Higaki et al., 2010). The *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4*

mutants exhibited progressively reduced skewness compared to the WT, indicating decreased

F-actin bundling in the mutant cells (Figure 1B).

In WT cells, prominent F-actin cables are often oriented in parallel to the cell elongation axis,

likely underlying the recently reported cell elongation phenotype in *vln* mutant hypocotyls (Li et

al., 2025). To further assess the deficient F-actin network in the hypocotyl of the *vln* mutants, we

quantified the average angle of F-actin filaments, with 0° being in the transverse direction (as a

reference axis) and 90° being parallel to the growth direction (Figure 1A). In epidermal cells of

the WT hypocotyl, due to the presence of longitudinal bundles along the growth direction, the F-

actin filaments were more parallel to the direction of growth, as indicated by a higher average

angle (Figure 1C). In the *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutant cells, however, the overall angles became

smaller, concomitant with the decrease in long F-actin cables and the increasingly abundant

appearance of mesh networks of F-actin (Figure 1C).

The dynamic and cell-spanning organization of F-actin is maintained in *vln* mutants

Although *vln* mutants are deficient in actin bundling, they retain a reorganized F-actin network,

unlike complete elimination of the filaments by pharmacological means. Therefore, we

hypothesized that some actin-dependent organelle motility might be maintained in the mutants.

To better understand the specific actin structure available for cargo transport, we further

characterized the F-actin network in the *vln* mutants—using stability, coherency, and density as

the metrics.

Generally, thick F-actin fibers tend to be stable over time, whereas actin filaments in the mesh

network are more dynamic (Henty-Ridilla et al., 2013). Because the F-actin mesh network is

pronounced in the *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutants, we tested whether the altered array organization

was associated with rapid actin remodeling in these mutants. Images of F-actin were captured

at 5-sec intervals, after which images of different timepoints were pseudo-colored and merged.

Noticeably, while F-actin in WT cells predominantly appeared as 'white' in the merged images,

indicating little, if any, remodeling, the mutant cells showed more dynamic changes in F-actin

organization with each timepoint observed in discrete colors (Figure 2A). Further, the white color

in the merged images often correlated with thick, long F-actin bundles that are more static than

those filaments in the mesh networks in the same WT cells (Figure 2A). In the *vln2/3* and

vln2/3/4 mutant cells, the three distinct colors were more evidently discerned (Figure 2A).

Likewise, quantification of F-actin stability showed that a significantly higher proportion of F-

actin in the *vln* mutants underwent remodeling than in the WT, with the *vln2/3/4* mutant cells

containing significantly fewer F-actin cables than *vln2/3* (Figure 2B). Our data supported

previous conclusions that loss of these three VLN proteins severely impaired F-actin bundling

and additionally demonstrated the predominance of a diffuse, dynamic mesh network of F-actin

in the high-order *vln* mutants.

Coherency is a measure of the degree of isotropy of the F-actin distribution, where 0 indicates

entirely random orientation and 1 indicates uniform orientation, the latter of which is

characteristic of cells enriched with actin cables. F-actin filaments in WT cells are organized in a

pattern with higher coherence values compared to the presence of more disordered filaments

with coherence closer to 0 in the *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutants (Figure 2C). The decreased

coherency in the *vln* mutants suggests the increased occurrence of dispersed and mesh F-actin

resulted from the reduced presence of cell-spanning F-actin bundles.

To further characterize the more diffuse, less linear F-actin network in *vln* mutants, we quantified

the density of F-actin by measuring the percentage of F-actin occupancy in the cytoplasm. The

vln2/3 cells exhibited significantly higher F-actin occupancy than the WT cells, and the F-actin

density in *vln2/3/4* was approximately double that of the WT (Figure 2D). The increased F-actin
occupancy suggests that the F-actin network, despite being devoid of obvious bundles, still
spans the cortex in the mutants. Therefore, the cytoskeletal apparatus capable of supporting
organelle motility across the whole cell seems to persist in the mutants.

To determine if the VLN proteins are associated with F-actin, we expressed a VLN2-GFP fusion
protein under the control of its own promoter in the *vln2/3* double mutant. Suppression of the
mutant growth phenotype (Supplemental Figure 2A) suggests that VLN2-GFP is functional.
When co-expressed with LifeAct-mScarlet-I in tobacco cells, VLN2-GFP was mostly detected on
F-actin bundles (Supplemental Figure 2B). Similarly, A VLN4-GFP fusion protein expressed
under its native promoter also decorated F-actin bundles marked by LifeAct-mScarlet-I when
transiently expressed in tobacco cells (Supplemental Figure 2B).

Taken together, our data showed that in the *vln* mutants, F-actin dynamic polymerization and
cell-spanning organization are maintained while only cable formation is deficient. As such, these
knockout mutants can serve as an informative genetic tool to study the role of actin organization
in peroxisome motility.

VLN-dependent actin bundling facilitates peroxisome movement

Because of their intact F-actin filaments yet compromised F-actin bundles, the *vln* mutants
seemed to be an ideal system to evaluate the specific contribution of actin bundling to
peroxisome motility. To determine the impact of actin bundling in peroxisome movement and
distribution in Arabidopsis, we transformed WT, *vln2/3*, and *vln2/3/4* plants with constructs for
the markers of F-actin (LifeAct-GFP) and peroxisomes (mScarlet-I-SRL). Peroxisomes and F-
actin were visualized simultaneously in the hypocotyl cells of plants co-expressing the two
fusion proteins. The transgenic *vln* double and triple mutants exhibited progressive deficiencies
in F-actin bundling, resembling what was observed earlier in this study (Figure 3A). These
defects were concomitant with the progressive aggregation of peroxisomes, suggesting an
inability of peroxisomes to be distributed uniformly across the cell in the mutants (Figure 3A).
Maximum projections using the time dimensions are shown. It is worth noting that peroxisomes
of WT and occasionally in *vln2/3* mutants were able to make long, linear tracks across the cell
whereas peroxisomes in *vln2/3/4* moved mostly within a confined area (Figure 3A). Time-lapse
images, taken at 5-sec intervals in epidermal cells of the hypocotyl, revealed that peroxisomes
maintained motility along the F-actin tracks in both *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutants (Figure 3A,

Movie S1). Moreover, each track of peroxisome movement was heterogenous, with some rapid

movements (>1.5 µm/s) as well as more frequently observed slower movements (<0.5 µm/s),

similar to what was observed in the WT (Figure 3B), indicating that the mechanisms of

actomyosin-dependent peroxisome movement were at least partially maintained in the *vln*

mutants.

To examine the role of VLNs in peroxisome motility in more depth, we compared the speed of

peroxisome movement among WT, *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4*. Despite variations in instantaneous

speed during imaging duration, calculation of the mean speed of each peroxisome movement

revealed that only the severe inhibition of F-actin bundling in *vln2/3/*4 caused a significant

decrease in the mean speed, while intermediate bundling in *vln2/3* was sufficient to maintain

peroxisome speed comparable to that of the WT (Figure 3B). These data suggest that

peroxisome movement is facilitated by both discrete F-actin filaments and densely bundled actin

cables.

Next, we wanted to exclude peroxisomes that were potentially unable to associate with F-actin

via myosin from our calculation and only assess the movement of peroxisomes already

associated with myosin motors. To achieve this goal, we calculated the maximum speed of

peroxisome movement, defined as the highest instantaneous speed recorded between two

consecutive timepoints. Again, the maximum speed distributions were not significantly different

between WT and *vln2/3*, but were more frequently observed at lower values in *vln2/3/4* (Figure

3C). We therefore conclude that actin dynamics and organization influence peroxisome velocity

and distribution.

Fast, directional peroxisome movement and distribution are facilitated by F-actin cables

Since the heterogenous pattern of peroxisome motility shifted towards slower speeds in the

absence of longitudinal actin bundles, as shown in the *vln2/3/4* triple mutants, we hypothesized

that F-actin cables specifically facilitate fast, linear movement, enabling uniform peroxisome

distribution across the cell. To test this, we evaluated total moving distance, displacement,

straight-line speed, overall linearity of movement, and directional change rate of peroxisomes

when they deviated from the prior trajectories (Figure 4A-E).

Measurement of the total moving distance revealed that most peroxisomes traverse similar

distances with no significant difference between mutant and WT cells (Figure 4A). However,

peroxisomes in *vln2/3/4* cells have a significantly shorter displacement, measured as the

shortest distance between the start and end points of a tracked peroxisome (Figure 4B).

Consistently, the triple mutant also exhibited reduced displacement distance, whose value was

normalized by track duration and represented by "straight-line speed" that accounts for any

variations of movements tracked per peroxisome (Figure 4C). Together these data suggest that,

while peroxisomes still move in short bursts that sum to a similar distance observed between *vln*

mutants and WT, these organelles do not successively travel as far distance in the *vln2/3/4*

mutant, which lacks prominent F-actin bundles or cables, when compared to WT or *vln2/3*. In

fact, peroxisomes in *vln2/3/4* mutant cells changed direction more frequently than those in the

WT cells. Motility in *vln2/3/4* was overall less linear with a lower ratio of straight-line speed to

track mean speed (Figure 4D). However, peroxisomes in the triple mutant more frequently

change directions (Figure 4E), likely because their movement predominantly relied on the

dynamic F-actin mesh network rather than stable, longitudinal bundles as tracks.

To better assess the heterogenous motility pattern of peroxisomes, we employed a Pearson's

correlation matrix to conduct pairwise analysis of the influence of the aforementioned motility

parameters on each other within individual genotypes. The *vln* mutants showed correlations

comparable to WT between average velocity, maximum speed, total distance and track

displacement. By contrast, correlations between parameters that account for directional

movement (straightforward speed, linearity, and directional change rate) varied across

genotypes (Supplemental Figure S3A). We then plotted the data of straight-line speed, linearity,

and directional change rate from all genotypes in a 3-dimensional scatterplot and used k-means

clustering to group organelle motility according to these multidimensional criteria (Figure 5A).

Using the Elbow Plot method (Supplemental Figure S3B), the data were grouped into 3 clusters:

high straightforward speed and linearity but low directional change rate as Cluster 1;

intermediate straightforward speed, linearity, and directional change as Cluster 2; and low

straightforward speed and linearity but high directional change rate as Cluster 3. Cluster 1 is

enriched for peroxisomes that rapidly and directionally traverse the cell with minimal turns, while

Cluster 3 represented peroxisomes that move in short bursts with frequent changes in direction.

Interestingly, WT peroxisomes exhibited uniformly heterogeneous movement across the three

clusters, with roughly one third of the tracked movements represented in each cluster (Figure

5A). However, in the *vln2/3* mutants, a smaller proportion (29.6% in Cluster 1) of peroxisomes

move directionally compared to 32.8% in the WT, and the peroxisome motility profiles shifted

towards intermediate (Cluster 2) and short burst (Cluster 3) movements (Figure 5A). In the

vln2/3/4 triple mutant, the reduction in fast, linear peroxisome movements was further

exacerbated (20.6% in Cluster 1), with more peroxisomes moving in short bursts (39.8%,

Cluster 3) compared to 30.5% in WT (Figure 5A). This shift in cluster populations across

genotypes suggests that a lesser proportion of peroxisomes move quickly and linearly when F-

actin bundling is deficient in the *vln* mutants.

To assess whether peroxisome distribution was altered in *vln* mutants, we determined the ratio

of track displacement to directional change rate. A higher ratio indicates greater dispersion, as it

reflects directed movement for a greater distance (*i.e.*, more displacement with few directional

changes). In contrast, a lower ratio reflects movement within a more confined region,

representing frequent directional changes with little displacement. In WT and to some extent

vln2/3 mutants, long and stable F-actin cables serve as the track for peroxisomes to be

dispersed throughout the cell. However, the absence of such cables in *vln2/3/4* would limit

peroxisomes to move predominantly within localized regions. In agreement with this prediction,

the outcome of our quantification assessments indicated that the WT and *vln2/3* cells exhibited

higher average dispersion ratios [40.76 ± 5.10 and 50.8 ± 5.92 µm/(rad/sec), respectively] than

those of *vln2/3/4* [22.48 ± 0.97 µm/(rad/sec)] (Figure 5B). These findings suggest that

peroxisome movement becomes more confined within the actin mesh network in the triple

mutant.

Taken together, our results showed that densely bundled F-actin cables are necessary for rapid,

directional movement of peroxisomes, which likely enable the proper subcellular distribution of

peroxisomes required for their diverse metabolic functions.

DISCUSSION

In this work, we generated *vln2/3/4* triple mutant to investigate the collective roles of three F-

actin bundling VLN proteins in the dynamics and organization of the F-actin network. Our

quantitative analysis of peroxisome motility in the WT and *vln* mutants showed that VLN-

dependent F-actin organization is required for rapid directional movement of peroxisomes in the

epidermal cells of Arabidopsis hypocotyls.

Plant VLN proteins are grouped into three classes, in which VLN4 belongs to class III while

VLN2 and VLN3 are in class II (Khurana et al., 2010). Consistent with what was reported

recently (Li et al., 2025), we found loss of VLN4 to greatly enhance defects in the *vln2/3* double

mutant. Our results collectively indicate that the functions of these three VLN proteins, despite

being in two different classes, converge towards stabilizing F-actin and promoting high-order F-

actin bundle formation in vegetative tissues. However, when the three VLN proteins were

examined individually without an F-actin marker, they exhibited different extents of localization

on F-actin-like structures in different tissues (Li et al., 2025). Here we showed that both VLN2

and VLN4 proteins had pronounced association with prominent F-actin bundles but less so with

fine filaments (Supplemental Figure S2B). Although VLN proteins exhibit common actin-bundling

activities in vitro, it will be interesting to determine by live-cell imaging whether these proteins

co-localize and if so whether their localization may be augmented by each other *in vivo*. More

importantly, we wish to learn how they perform cooperative functions in bundling and/or

stabilization of F-actin filaments *in vivo*. Surprisingly, additional mutations in two other *VLN*

genes encoding class I and III VLN proteins respectively did not exacerbate the growth

phenotypes in the *vln2/3/4* triple mutant (Li et al., 2025), indicating that the three VLN proteins

examined in our study play the primary role in F-actin bundling.

Previous phenotypic analysis of myosin mutants clearly demonstrated that directional

movement of organelles like peroxisomes is dependent on Myosin XI motors (Peremyslov et al.,

2010; Perico and Sparkes, 2018). It is intriguing how specific organelles can be actively

transported at such a wide range of speeds. We hypothesize that the heterogeneity of

peroxisome motility can be brought about by different Myosin XI motors that exhibit different

motile activities (Haraguchi et al., 2016). When ectopically expressed in a heterologous system,

myosin truncations including the DIL (dilute) domain from at least 7 of the 13 Arabidopsis

Myosin XI motors exhibit peroxisome-binding activities (Sattarzadeh et al., 2011). However, it is

yet to be determined whether the full-length myosin proteins are associated with the organelle

when expressed under their native promoters. It is also possible that multiple motors can bind to

the same organelle to increase the movement processivity so that it can be transported for long

distance, especially in giant cells of organisms like *Chara corallina* that demonstrate long-

distance transport of organelles at great velocities (Shimmen and Yokota, 2004). Varied number

of active motors on each peroxisome, which is still hard to quantify *in vivo*, likely contributes to

the heterogeneity in transport velocities as well.

While Myosin XI motors function as the drive of organelle motility with significant influence on

the speed and travel distance, we showed that F-actin organization was critical for the diversity

of organelle movement. When VLN-dependent actin bundling is deficient, fewer peroxisomes

reached maximum speeds (Figure 3B), and the linearity of peroxisome movement was impaired

(Figure 4). Golgi and mitochondria show similar shifts in motility according to the natural
variation in F-actin architecture across the root elongation zone (Akkerman et al., 2011). The
variation in F-actin networks throughout cell development may support the proper distribution of
organelles. For example, longitudinally oriented, prominent F-actin cables are found in plant
cells during interphase and are often considered the primary tracks of long-distance transport of
organelles for their robust anisotropic expansion (Garcia-González and van Gelderen, 2021).
Our analysis of the *vln2/3/4* triple mutant revealed that fine filaments of F-actin are capable of
facilitating directional transport of peroxisomes but insufficient to maintain the robust directional
movement that takes place on VLN-dependent actin cables. However, the long, stable F-actin
bundles exhibit great advantages of promoting rapid, directional movement of the organelles.
Compromises of such rapid, directional movement have a profound consequence in cell
expansion that presumably is dependent on rapid deployment of organelles across the
cytoplasm.

In addition to developmentally related roles, both organelle distribution and VLN-dependent
actin bundling have been linked to cellular responses to biotic stresses like bacterial infection.
For example, inoculation of virulent bacteria induces bundling of F-actin in Arabidopsis leaf cells
(Lu et al., 2020; Shimono et al., 2016). It will be very interesting to test whether changes in F-
actin organization and/or organelle transport brought about by *vln* mutations influences their
susceptibility to pathogenic microbes.

We observed highly heterogeneous peroxisome movement, the significance of the which
remains unclear. Because peroxisomes often exchange metabolites with other organelles for
their function, for example with mitochondria and chloroplasts during photorespiration, it is likely
that peroxisome motility is coupled with the position of other organelles to facilitate inter-
organelle communication. In fact, chloroplast-mitochondrion-peroxisome interactions increase in
response to high light (Jaipargas et al., 2016), which induces higher rates of photorespiration,
and mitochondria exhibit different motility patterns before and after being associated with
chloroplasts (Oikawa et al., 2021). It would be interesting to determine whether certain
movement patterns are unique for peroxisomes physically interacting with other organelles.
Additionally, peroxisome velocities may vary across the cytoplasm and are coupled with
localized spaces with different physiological conditions. Lastly, various intrinsic and extrinsic
factors may cause distinct velocities and directionalities of peroxisomes, which may be enabled
by VLN-dependent F-actin bundling for efficient motility and distribution.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Plant genotype and growth

Arabidopsis thaliana plants were grown in soil in a growth chamber at 21°C with cycles of 16hr

light and 8hr dark. *Arabidopsis* seedlings that were used for imaging were sterilized and sowed

onto ½ Murashige & Skoog basal media with 0.8% phytagel. Seeds in solid media were cold

stratified for 2 days then moved into the growth chamber for 6 days before imaging. All

Arabidopsis thaliana mutants and WT plants are in the Col-0 genetic background. Floral dipping

method was used to deliver transgenes into the plant, following established protocols (Clough

and Bent, 1998).

Mutants of *VLN4* were isolated from seed stock of SALK_109099C (Arabidopsis Biological

Resource Center, Ohio State University), which carried a T-DNA insertion in the 12th intron of the

VLN4 gene, by using the primers 109099RP (5'- CAT TCA AAA ACT CTA TGC TGT TGG-3')

and LBb1.3 (5'-GGA TTT TGC CGA TTT CGG AAC CAC-3') to detect the T-DNA insertion and

109099LP (5'-TAT ATC TCT GTC ACC TGC CAA AAG-3') and 109099RP to detect the wild-type

allele. The *vln2/3* double mutant, generally provided by Prof. Shanjin Huang, was described in

an earlier publication (Bao et al., 2012).

Plasmid construction

To produce an expression vector carrying cassettes for expression of both mScarlet-I-PTS1 and

LifeAct-GFP, we first had the codon-optimized mScarlet-I-encoding DNA fragment synthesized

commercially (Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc.). The SRL-coding sequence was added to the

3' end by PCR using primers 5'- GTA CCG AAT GGT GAG CAA GGG AGA AG-3' and 5'-CGG

CGC GCC TTA TAG CCG GCT TTT ATA CAG TTC GTC CAT ACC GCC G-3'. The resulting

fragment was inserted into a plasmid containing the promoter sequence of EF1α (AT1G07920).

Separately, the LifeAct-coding sequence was synthesized and inserted into pENTR4 (Thermo

Fisher), to generate a plasmid expressing LifeAct-GFP under the viral 35S promoter by

Gateway LR cloning with the pGWB5 plasmid (Nakagawa et al., 2007). The resulting plasmid

was linearized by *Apa*I, after which the DNA fragment for EF1α(p)::mScarlet-I-PTS1 (SRL),

amplified by the primers 5'- GCG ACA ATA TGA TCG GGC CTC CGG TGT CAA TCT CAG G-3'

and 5'- TGT GGA CGC CGG GCC TTA TAG CCG GCT TTT ATA CAG TTC G-3' from the

plasmid described above, was inserted by Gibson reaction to generate the plasmid pLB187

used for transformation.

Plasmids were transformed into the Agrobacterium strain GV3101, prior to floral dipping in the

WT, *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* plants.

Confocal microscopy

After germination and growth in the chambers, Arabidopsis seedlings were mounted in water for

imaging. Live-cell imaging was performed on an LSM980 laser scanning confocal microscope

with the Airyscan2 function using a 40X water immersion objective and standard excitation and

emission settings for EGFP and mCherry by the manufacturer (Carl Zeiss). Single frame images

of F-actin were used to measure orientation, coherency, density and skewness. For

measurements of F-actin stability, time-lapse images with 5sec/frame were obtained. Similarly,

to image peroxisome movement, both markers for F-actin and peroxisomes were

simultaneously imaged with a time-lapse of 5sec/frame. At least 3 independent transformant

lines were imaged per genotype. In Figure 1A and 3A, time-lapse movies were presented in

maximum intensity projection of images collected over time.

Quantification of F-actin

Images were first rotated such that the direction of hypocotyl growth is along the vertical axis,

then thresholded, binarized and skeletonized for F-actin quantification (Higaki, 2017). A region

of interest was drawn and selected for quantitative analysis. The ImageJ plugin OrientationJ

was used to measure orientation and coherency (Püspöki et al., 2016). Skewness and density

were measured using the LPX plugin package (Higaki et al., 2010; Schneider et al., 2012).

In measuring the percentage of stable F-actin, F-actin was imaged for 10 sec at 5 sec/frame,

after which the first (time zero) and the last (10 sec) frames were merged. Under the reasoning

that stable F-actin filaments should still be present or unchanged in position after 10 sec, a

stable filament from the last frame should colocalize with itself from the first frame. The merged

image was thresholded for colocalized filaments. The percentage of stable F-actin was

calculated using the ratio of colocalized F-actin signal to the total F-actin signal.

Quantification of peroxisome motility and distribution

Quantitative measurement of F-actin-dependent peroxisome motility was carried out by imaging

F-actin and peroxisomes simultaneously with 5-sec intervals. Peroxisomes not associated with

F-actin were not measured. Peroxisomes were tracked using the ImageJ plugin, TrackMate

(Ershov et al., 2022). Given that the speed of slow moving peroxisomes is usually ≥0.2 µm/sec

(Mathur et al., 2002), we excluded peroxisomes moving at velocities below 0.1 µm/sec as those

appear to be more likely due to Brownian movements. We also manually reevaluated the tracks

with velocities <0.1 µm/sec to confirm that these movements appeared oscillatory. The output

track data summarized the overall movement of individual peroxisomes during the imaging

duration, and provided the following track features: (1) mean speed for the average speed

values during every 5-sec intervals along a track; (2) maximum speed for the highest

instantaneous speed between two consecutive timepoints along a track; (3) straight-line speed,

calculated as track displacement divided by track duration; (4) total distance traveled; (5) track

displacement; (6) linearity of forward progression for the ratio between straight-line speed and

track mean speed, where completely linear tracks would have a value of 1; and (7) directional

change rate calculated as the average angle between consecutive timepoints along a track

divided by the track duration.

To quantify peroxisome distribution, a dispersion ratio was calculated by having the track

displacement (µm) divided by the directional change rate (rad/s). A higher ratio represents

peroxisomes that travel long distances with few turns, which would result in peroxisome

dispersion across the cell. A lower ratio represents a peroxisome that turns frequently and

moves within a small region.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Shanjin Huang for providing the *vln2/3* mutant seeds and Dr. Tsuyoshi Nakagawa

for sharing the pGWB plasmids, Dr. Felicia L. Peng and Dr. Chenxin Li for their advice and

comments on this work. This study was made possible in part through access to the MCB Light

Microscopy Core Facility with training and support by Dr. Thomas Wilkop.

Competing interests

456   The authors declare no other competing or financial interests.

Author contributions

459   Conceptualization: C.H.H., Y.-R.J.L., J.H., B.L.; Methodology: C.H.H., A.M.K., Y.-R.J.L., J.H.,

460   B.L.; Investigation: C.H.H., Y.-R.J.L.; Formal analysis: C.H.H., A.M.K., Y.-R.J.L.; Visualization:

461   C.H.H., Y.-R.J.L.; Data curation: C.H.H.; Supervision: B.L., J.H.; Project administration: B.L.;

462   Funding acquisition: J.H., B.L.; Writing (original draft): C.H.H., A.M.K.; Writing (review and

463   editing): C.H.H., A.M.K., Y.-R.J.L., J.H., B.L.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the U.S. National Science Foundation (2148207 to B.L

& 2148206 to J.H). B.L. was also sponsored by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA)-the

National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA) under an Agricultural Experiment Station (AES)

hatch project (CA-D-PLB-2536-H). A.M.K was partially funded by a grant from the Chemical

Sciences, Geoscience and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of

Science, U.S. Department of Energy (DE-FG02-91ER20021) to J.H. The Zeiss LSM 980

microscope used in this study was purchased with a grant (S10OD026702) from the U.S.

National Institutes of Health.

Data and resource availability

All data acquired from this study are included within the main text and Supplementary

information.

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. F-actin organization in the Arabidopsis *vln* mutants. (A) LifeAct-GFP-labeled F-actin
imaged at the cell cortex of hypocotyl epidermal cells in transgenic wild-type (WT), *vln2/3*, and
vln2/3/4 plants. The WT cell has cortical F-actin in two populations: long, thick bundles and finer
filaments in mesh network. The prominent bundles are reduced in *vln2/3* and almost completely
lost in *vln2/3/4*. Maximum projections of the LifeAct-GFP images taken over time are shown for
all genotypes. (B) The skewness of the F-actin as a measurement of how well the filaments are
bundled. Values were obtained from n>35 cells of each genotype. (C) Quantitative assessment
of F-actin orientation, determined as the angle relative to the reference axis, where 90° angle is
parallel to the direction of growth indicated by double arrows in (A). The mean values are
indicated by thick dotted lines. Significance is determined by a two-tailed t-test with p < 0.01
(****). Scale bar: 5 µm.

Figure 2. Effects of *vln* mutations on the dynamics of F-actin in Arabidopsis. (A) Three time-
lapse images of F-actin taken at 5-sec intervals in the WT, *vln2/3*, and *vln2/3/4* cells. In the
merged images, each frame is pseudo-colored (red at 0 sec, green at 5 sec, and blue at 10 sec)
to reflect the changes of F-actin filaments where stable filaments with no changes after 10 sec
appear in white. WT cells have more stable filaments, whereas the double mutant *vln2/3* has
fewer stable filaments and the triple mutant *vln2/3/4* mostly has rapidly remodeling of F-actin
filaments. (B) Quantification of the amount of stable F-actin. WT has the highest amount while
the *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutants progressively have less. Values are measured from n>35 cells
of each genotype. (C) Coherency of F-actin filaments as a measurement of how F-actin
filaments are aligned. Higher coherency values represent more ordered filaments in a preferred
direction. (D) Comparison of F-actin density in WT, *vln2/3*, and *vln2/3/4* cells. Density value is
determined by the proportion (%) of the cell cortex occupied by F-actin. Significance is
determined by a two-tailed t-test with p < 0.01 (****). Scale bar: 5 µm.

Figure 3. Peroxisome motility is affected in the *vln2/3/4* mutant lacking F-actin bundling. (A)
Maximum projections over time of peroxisome and F-actin simultaneously imaged at 5-sec
intervals. In the *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutant cells that have reduced long F-actin bundles,
peroxisomes show aggregation, impaired distribution, and reduced linear movement. (B-C)
Quantitative assessments of average peroxisome speed and maximum speed in WT and *vln*
mutants. Values are measured from n>300 peroxisome tracks from each genotype and plotted
along a raincloud plot with a histogram on top and a boxplot below. Yellow diamonds represent
the mean position. Statistical significance is determined by a Wilcoxon rank sum test with p-
values shown in the figures.

Figure 4. Quantitative comparison of peroxisome tracks in the WT, *vln2/3* and *vln2/3/4* mutant
cells. Parameters include total distance (A), track displacement (B), average straight-line speed
(C), linearity as a ratio of straight-line speed to track mean speed (D), and directional change
rate in radians (rad)/s (E). Values are obtained from n>300 peroxisome tracks from each
genotype and plotted in a raincloud plot with a histogram on top and a boxplot below. Yellow
diamonds represent the mean values. Significance is determined by a Wilcoxon rank sum test
with p-values shown in the figures.

Figure 5. Multivariate clustering and dispersion analysis distinguish peroxisome movement
behaviors in WT and *vln* mutants. (A) Pairwise correlation analysis of peroxisome movement in
directional change rate, average straightforward speed, and linearity. Formation of three clusters
resulted from the pairwise correlation analysis. Proportion of peroxisome movement patterns is
represented by the three clusters in WT, *vln2/3*, and *vln2/3/4* cells. (B) Peroxisome dispersion
ratios used to quantify peroxisome distribution, as reflected by the rate of track displacement
and directional change [μm/(rad/sec)]. Peroxisomes in WT and *vln2/3* are able to travel long
distances before changing directions, shown by higher ratio values. Conversely, peroxisomes in
vln2/3/4 show more confined movements within a localized region, evidenced by lower ratio
values when compared to WT and *vln2/3*. Significance is determined by a two-tailed t-test with
p-values shown in the figures. Values are measured from n>300 peroxisomes for each
genotype.

REFERENCES

Akkerman, M., Overdijk, E. J. R., Schel, J. H. N., Emons, A. M. C. and Ketelaar, T. (2011). Golgi Body Motility in the Plant Cell Cortex Correlates with Actin Cytoskeleton Organization. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **52**, 1844-1855.

Avisar, D., Prokhnevsky, A. I., Makarova, K. S., Koonin, E. V. and Dolja, V. V. (2008). Myosin XI-K Is required for rapid trafficking of Golgi stacks, peroxisomes, and mitochondria in leaf cells of Nicotiana benthamiana. *Plant Physiol* **146**, 1098-108.

Bao, C. C., Wang, J., Zhang, R. H., Zhang, B. C., Zhang, H., Zhou, Y. H. and Huang, S. J. (2012). Arabidopsis VILLIN2 and VILLIN3 act redundantly in sclerenchyma development via bundling of actin filaments. *Plant Journal* **71**, 962-975.

Clough, S. J. and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J* **16**, 735-743.

Covill-Cooke, C., Toncheva, V. S. and Kittler, J. T. (2021). Regulation of peroxisomal trafficking and distribution. *Cellular And Molecular Life Sciences* **78**, 1929-1941.

Ershov, D., Phan, M.-S., Pylvänäinen, J. W., Rigaud, S. U., Le Blanc, L., Charles-Orszag, A., Conway, J. R. W., Laine, R. F., Roy, N. H., Bonazzi, D. et al. (2022). TrackMate 7: integrating state-of-the-art segmentation algorithms into tracking pipelines. *Nature Methods* **19**, 829-832.

Garcia-González, J. and van Gelderen, K. (2021). Bundling up the Role of the Actin Cytoskeleton in Primary Root Growth. *Front Plant Sci* **12**.

Haraguchi, T., Tominaga, M., Nakano, A., Yamamoto, K. and Ito, K. (2016). Myosin XI-I is Mechanically and Enzymatically Unique Among Class-XI Myosins in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Physiol* **57**, 1732-43.

He, A. Y., Dean, J. M. and Lodhi, I. J. (2021). Peroxisomes as cellular adaptors to metabolic and environmental stress. *Trends in Cell Biology* **31**, 656-670.

Henty-Ridilla, J. L., Li, J. J., Blanchoin, L. and Staiger, C. J. (2013). Actin dynamics in the cortical array of plant cells. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* **16**, 678-687.

Higaki, T. (2017). Quantitative evaluation of cytoskeletal organizations by microscopic image analysis. *PLANT MORPHOLOGY* **29**, 15-21.

Higaki, T., Kutsuna, N., Sano, T., Kondo, N. and Hasezawa, S. (2010). Quantification and cluster analysis of actin cytoskeletal structures in plant cells: role of actin bundling in stomatal movement during diurnal cycles in Arabidopsis guard cells. *Plant Journal* **61**, 156-165.

Huang, S., Qu, X. and Zhang, R. (2015). Plant villins: versatile actin regulatory proteins. *J Integr Plant Biol* **57**, 40-9.

Jaipargas, E. A., Mathur, N., Daher, F. B., Wasteneys, G. O. and Mathur, J. (2016). High light intensity leads to increased peroxule-mitochondria interactions in plants. *Front Cell Dev Biol* **4**.

Khurana, P., Henty, J. L., Huang, S. J., Staiger, A. M., Blanchoin, L. and Staiger, C. J. (2010). VILLIN1 and VILLIN3 Have Overlapping and Distinct Activities in Actin Bundle Formation and Turnover. *Plant Cell* **22**, 2727-2748.

Koenig, A. M., Liu, B. and Hu, J. P. (2023). Visualizing the dynamics of plant energy
organelles. *Biochemical Society Transactions* **51**, 2029-2040.
**Li, X., Zhuang, Y. H., Zhao, W. Y., Qu, X. L., Wang, J., Chang, M., Shen, J. F., Chen,
N. Z. and Huang, S. J.** (2025). Molecular and functional adaption of Arabidopsis villins.
New Phytologist **245**, 1158-1179.
Lu, Y. J., Li, P., Shimono, M., Corrion, A., Higaki, T., He, S. Y. and Day, B. (2020).
Arabidopsis calcium-dependent protein kinase 3 regulates actin cytoskeleton organization
and immunity. *Nature Communications* **11**.
Mathur, J., Mathur, N. and Hulskamp, M. (2002). Simultaneous visualization of
peroxisomes and cytoskeletal elements reveals actin and not microtubule-based
peroxisome motility in plants. *Plant Physiol* **128**, 1031-45.
**Nakagawa, T., Kurose, T., Hino, T., Tanaka, K., Kawamukai, M., Niwa, Y., Toyooka,
K., Matsuoka, K., Jinbo, T. and Kimura, T.** (2007). Development of series of gateway binary
vectors, pGWBs, for realizing efficient construction of fusion genes for plant
transformation. *J Biosci Bioeng* **104**, 34-41.
Neuhaus, A., Eggeling, C., Erdmann, R. and Schliebs, W. (2016). Why do
peroxisomes associate with the cytoskeleton? *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta-Molecular
Cell Research* **1863**, 1019-1026.
**Oikawa, K., Imai, T., Thagun, C., Toyooka, K., Yoshizumi, T., Ishikawa, K.,
Kodama, Y. and Numata, K.** (2021). Mitochondrial movement during its association with
chloroplasts in. *Communications Biology* **4**.
Pan, R. H., Liu, J., Wang, S. S. and Hu, J. P. (2020). Peroxisomes: versatile
organelles with diverse roles in plants. *New Phytologist* **225**, 1410-1427.
Peremyslov, V. V., Prokhnevsky, A. I. and Dolja, V. V. (2010). Class XI myosins are
required for development, cell expansion, and F-Actin organization in Arabidopsis. *Plant
Cell* **22**, 1883-97.
Perico, C. and Sparkes, I. (2018). Plant organelle dynamics: cytoskeletal control
and membrane contact sites. *New Phytologist* **220**, 381-394.
Püspöki, Z., Storath, M., Sage, D. and Unser, M. (2016). Transforms and Operators
for Directional Bioimage Analysis: A Survey. *Focus on Bio-Image Informatics* **219**, 69-93.
Salogiannis, J. and Reck-Peterson, S. L. (2017). Hitchhiking: A Non-Canonical
Mode of Microtubule-Based Transport. *Trends in Cell Biology* **27**, 141-150.
Sandalio, L. M., Peláez-Vico, M. A., Molina-Moya, E. and Romero-Puertas, M. C.
(2021). Peroxisomes as redox-signaling nodes in intracellular communication and stress
responses. *Plant Physiology* **186**, 22-35.
Sattarzadeh, A., Schmelzer, E. and Hanson, M. R. (2011). Analysis of Organelle
Targeting by DIL Domains of the Arabidopsis Myosin XI Family. *Front Plant Sci* **2**, 72.
Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. and Eliceiri, K. W. (2012). NIH Image to ImageJ:
25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* **9**, 671-675.
Shimmen, T. and Yokota, E. (2004). Cytoplasmic streaming in plants. *Curr Opin
Cell Biol.* **16**, 68-72.
**Shimono, M., Lu, Y. J., Porter, K., Kvitko, B. H., Henty-Ridilla, J., Creason, A., He,
S. Y., Chang, J. H., Staiger, C. J. and Day, B.** (2016). The type III effector HopG1 induces

actin remodeling to promote symptom development and susceptibility during infection.
Plant Physiology **171**, 2239-2255.
624   **van der Honing, H. S., Kieft, H., Emons, A. M. C. and Ketelaar, T.** (2012).
625   Arabidopsis VILLIN2 and VILLIN3 Are Required for the Generation of Thick Actin Filament
626   Bundles and for Directional Organ Growth. *Plant Physiology* **158**, 1426-1438.
627   **Wanders, R. J. A., Baes, M., Ribeiro, D., Ferdinandusse, S. and Waterham, H. R.**
628   (2023). The physiological functions of human peroxisomes. *Physiol Rev* **103**, 957-1024.
629   **Yokota, E., Vidali, L., Tominaga, M., Tahara, H., Orii, H., Morizane, Y., Hepler, P. K.**
630   **and Shimmen, T.** (2003). Plant 115-kDa actin-filament bundling protein, P-115-ABP, is a
631   homologue of plant villin and is widely distributed in cells. *Plant and Cell Physiology* **44**,
632   1088-1099.